Docket #0030 Date Filed: 9/11/2019

MARK SHINDERMAN (Bar No. 136644)
mshinderman@milbank.com
ROBERT J. LIUBICIC (Bar No. 271465)
rliubicic@milbank.com
ALEXANDRA ACHAMALLAH (Bar No. 317978)
aachamallah@milbank.com
MILBANK LLP
2029 Century Park East, 33rd Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90067
Telephone: (424) 386-4000/Facsimile: (213) 629-5063

THOMAS E. JEFFRY JR. (Bar No. 125265)
thomas.jeffry@arentfox.com
ROBERT M. HIRSH (admitted *pro hac vice*)
robert.hirsh@arentfox.com
ARENT FOX LLP
1301 Avenue of the Americas
New York, NY 10019
Telephone: (212) 457-5430/Facsimile: (516) 426-8232

Counsel for the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors of Verity Health System of California, Inc., et al.

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA – LOS ANGELES DIVISION

In re: VERITY HEALTH SYSTEM OF CALIFORNIA, INC., *et al*., Debtors and Debtors In Possession.	Chapter 11 Lead Case No. 2:18-bk-20151-ER Hon. Ernest M. Robles Adv. Proc. No. 2:19-ap-01165-ER
OFFICIAL COMMITTEE OF UNSECURED CREDITORS of VERITY HEALTH SYSTEM OF CALIFORNIA, INC., *et al*., Plaintiff, v. U.S. BANK NATIONAL ASSOCIATION, as trustee, Defendant.	**FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR DETERMINATION OF VALIDITY, PRIORITY, AND EXTENT OF LIENS AND SECURITY INTERESTS**

1820151190912000000000003

The Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors ("Plaintiff" or the "Committee") of debtors and debtors-in-possession (collectively, the "Debtors") in the above-captioned chapter 11 cases, for and on behalf of the Debtors' estates, as and for its first amended adversary complaint (the "First Amended Complaint"), against Defendant U.S. Bank National Association in its capacity as trustee ("Defendant" and together with Plaintiff, the "Parties"), pursuant to Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 7001 and title 11 of the United States Code, 11 U.S.C. §§ 101 et seq. (the "Bankruptcy Code"), hereby respectfully alleges as follows:

NATURE OF THE ACTION

1. This is an adversary proceeding brought pursuant to, *inter alia*, Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 7001, sections 105 and 502 of the Bankruptcy Code, and other applicable law, seeking a declaratory judgment determining the validity, priority, and extent of the liens against property of the Debtors' estates held by Defendant.

2. The Committee seeks a determination that other than the property identified in Exhibit A (the "Collateral"), as of the Petition Date, Defendant does not have a perfected security interest in any of the Debtors' assets—and, in particular, Defendant does not have a perfected security interest in the deposit accounts of the Debtors set forth in Section I of Exhibit B hereto (the "Deposit Accounts") or the funds therein, or in the entities or the assets of the entities set forth in Section II of Exhibit B hereto, nor does Defendant have any rights and/or interests in any so-called quality assurance fee ("QAF") revenue funds arising under California Welfare & Institutions Code sections 14169.54 and 14169.55, or the right to any future disbursements on account thereof, relating to QAF fee-for-service periods 7–10 and QAF managed care period 3 ("Future QAF Disbursements").

3. Plaintiff has standing to prosecute this action by virtue of, *inter alia*, paragraph 5(e) of the *Final Order (I) Authorizing Postpetition Financing, (II) Authorizing Use of Cash Collateral, (III) Granting Liens and Providing Superpriority Administrative Expense Status, (IV) Granting Adequate Protection, (V) Modifying Automatic Stay, and (VI) Granting Related Relief* (the "Final DIP Order") [Docket No. 409] entered by the Court on October 4, 2018.

4. Paragraph 5(e) of the Final DIP Order provides, in pertinent part:

> (e) **Validity, Perfection and Amount of Prepetition Liens**. The Debtors further acknowledge and agree that, as of the Petition Date, (a) the Prepetition Liens securing the Prepetition Secured Obligations on the Prepetition Collateral and the VMF Liens on the VMF Collateral were valid, binding, enforceable, non-avoidable, and properly perfected and were granted to, or for the benefit of, the Prepetition Secured Creditors and McKesson, (b) the Prepetition Liens were senior in priority over any and all other Liens on the Prepetition Collateral except the prepetition tax lien arising in connection with the CSCDA Special Assessments, and (c) the VMF Liens were senior in priority over any and all other Liens on VMF Collateral. The findings and stipulations set forth in this Final Order with respect to the validity, enforceability and amount of the Prepetition Secured Obligation and the Prepetition Liens shall be binding on any subsequent trustee, responsible person, examiner with expanded powers, any other estate representative, and all creditors and parties in interest and all of their successors in interest and assigns, including the Committee, unless, and solely to the extent that, a party in interest with requisite standing and authority (other than the Debtors, as to which any Challenge (as defined below) is irrevocably waived and relinquished) has timely filed the appropriate pleadings, and timely commenced the appropriate proceeding required under the Bankruptcy Code and Bankruptcy Rules, including as required pursuant to Part VII of the Bankruptcy Rules (in each case subject to the limitations set forth in this paragraph 4(d)) challenging the Prepetition Liens (each such proceeding or appropriate pleading commencing a proceeding or other contested matter, a "***Challenge***") within ninety (90) days from the formation of the Committee (the "***Challenge Deadline***"); *provided* that for purposes of filing a Challenge, the Committee shall be deemed to have standing to file the requisite pleading without further order of the Court; and *provided further*, that the "Challenge Deadline" for matters solely relating to the value of the Prepetition Collateral may be further extended to such time as may be agreed by stipulation among the Debtors, the Committee and the Prepetition Secured Creditors or as further ordered by the Court.

Final DIP Order, ¶ 5(e) (emphasis added).

5. This action was filed on June 13, 2019, which was within the Challenge Deadline as extended by agreement of the Parties.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

6. This Court has jurisdiction over this adversary proceeding by virtue of 28 U.S.C. § 1334(b) and pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(a).

7. This adversary proceeding is a "core" proceeding as defined in 28 U.S.C. §§ 157(b)(2)(A) and (K).

8. In the event that any part of this adversary proceeding is found to be "non-core," Plaintiff consents to the entry of final orders and judgments by this Court, pursuant to Rule 7008 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure.

9. Venue in this District is properly laid pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1409.

PARTIES

10. The Committee was formed on September 14, 2018 and was duly appointed on September 17, 2018 by the Office of the United States Trustee pursuant to Section 1102(a)(1) of the Bankruptcy Code [Docket No. 197].

11. The Committee brings this proceeding on behalf of and for the benefit of the Debtors' chapter 11 estates.

12. Upon information and belief, Defendant is a party to the Indentures described in paragraph 21 below. Upon information and belief, Defendant conducts operations in the United States.

RELEVANT FACTS

13. On August 31, 2018 (the "Petition Date"), the above-referenced Debtors filed voluntary cases (the "Bankruptcy Cases") under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Central District of California.

14. The Debtors are in the business of operating hospitals and other healthcare facilities in California.

15. The Debtors continue in the management and operation of their businesses and properties as debtors-in-possession pursuant to sections 1107 and 1108 of the Bankruptcy Code. No trustee or examiner has been appointed in the Bankruptcy Cases.

16. On the Petition Date, the Debtors filed their *Emergency Motion of Debtors for Interim and Final Orders (A) Authorizing the Debtors to Obtain Post Petition Financing (B) Authorizing the Debtors to Use Cash Collateral and (C) Granting Adequate Protection to Prepetition Secured Creditors Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §§ 105, 363, 364, 1107 and 1108; Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Support Thereof* [Docket No. 31] (the "DIP Motion").

17. Pursuant to the Loan Agreements (defined in paragraph 21 below), the Debtors were loaned bond proceeds from the Indentures (referred to in paragraph 21 below) pursuant to which Defendant served as note trustee and collateral agent prior to the Petition Date.

18. This action is to determine the validity, priority, and extent of Defendant's liens and security interests. This action is not brought against Defendant in its individual capacity, but rather solely as a note trustee and collateral agent. Plaintiff does not contend that Defendant has done anything improper.

19. Subject to the limitations set forth, *inter alia*, in paragraph 5(e) of the Final DIP Order, the Debtors stipulated to, *inter alia*, the validity, perfection, and enforceability of Defendant's liens and scope of Defendant's collateral, and waived all rights to challenge such liens. *See* Final DIP Order, ¶ 5(e).

20. Pursuant to paragraph 5(e) of the Final DIP Order, the stipulations and admissions contained in the Final DIP Order are deemed binding upon the Debtors and all non-debtor parties-in-interest, including without limitation the Committee, for all purposes, including, without limitation the validity, perfection, and enforceability of the Prepetition Liens and the Prepetition Secured Obligations (as defined in the Final DIP Order), unless and except to the extent the Committee has initiated a timely Challenge Proceeding (as defined in the Final DIP Order). *See* Final DIP Order, ¶ 5(e).

21. The applicable Debtors' obligations owing to the Defendant are secured by a lien on and security interest in the Collateral pursuant to:

(i) the loan agreements identified in items (1) – (6) below (collectively, the "Loan Agreements"):

(1) Loan Agreement dated as of December 1, 2015 between the California Public Finance Authority and Verity Health System of California, Inc. executed in connection with the $60,000,000 California Public Finance Authority Revenue Notes (Verity Health System) Series 2015A, as amended by the Amendment to the Loan Agreement dated as of March 2, 2016.

(2) Loan Agreement dated as of December 1, 2015 between the California Public Finance Authority and Verity Health System of California, Inc. executed in

connection with the $45,000,000 California Public Finance Authority Revenue Notes (Verity Health System) Series 2015B, as amended by the Amendment to the Loan Agreement dated as of March 2, 2016.

(3) Loan Agreement dated as of December 1, 2015 between the California Public Finance Authority and Verity Health System of California, Inc. executed in connection with the $10,000,000 California Public Finance Authority Revenue Notes (Verity Health System) Series 2015C (FEDERALLY TAXABLE), as amended by the Amendment to the Loan Agreement dated as of March 2, 2016.

(4) Loan Agreement dated as of December 1, 2015 between the California Public Finance Authority and Verity Health System of California, Inc. executed in connection with the $45,000,000 California Public Finance Authority Revenue Notes (Verity Health System) Series 20l5D, as amended by the Amendment to the Loan Agreement dated as of March 2, 2016.

(5) Loan Agreement dated as of September 1, 2017 between the California Public Finance Authority and Verity Health System of California, Inc. executed in connection with the $21,000,000 California Public Finance Authority Revenue Notes (Verity Health System) Series 2017.

(6) Loan Agreement dated as of December 1, 2017 between the California Public Finance Authority and Verity Health System of California, Inc. executed in connection with the $21,000,000 California Public Finance Authority Revenue Notes (Verity Health System) Series 2017B.

(ii) the Notes, Indentures (as defined in the Loan Agreements), and Master Indenture (in each case referred to in the applicable Loan Agreement), and

(iii) each Deed of Trust With Fixture Filing and Security Agreement and Assignment of Leases and Rents entered into in connection with the Loan Agreements (individually or collectively, "Deed of Trust").

22. On December 27, 2018, the Bankruptcy Court entered an order granting Debtors' motion seeking approval of the sale (the "SCC Sale") of O'Connor Hospital and Saint Louise

Regional Hospital to the County of Santa Clara, a political subdivision of California ("SCC"). The SCC sale has closed. On May 2, 2019, the Bankruptcy Court entered an order granting Debtors' motion seeking approval of the sale (the "SGM Sale," and together with the SCC Sale, the "Sales") to Strategic Global Management, Inc. ("SGM") of St. Francis Medical Center, St. Vincent Medical Center, and Seton Medical Center. The SGM sale has not yet closed.

23. The proceeds of the Sales include substantial going concern premiums. Defendant is not entitled to those premiums because they are generated by labor—the hard work of the doctors, nurses, and other employees of the medical facilities.

24. Stated differently, the going concern premiums are not the proceeds of the Collateral. The bankruptcy process created significant value above what the Defendant would have realized upon foreclosure on its interest in the Collateral. In a foreclosure, Defendant would have found itself the owner of a collection of buildings, not an ongoing business, and would have been subject to significant wind-down costs. These chapter 11 cases, on the other hand, have unlocked the going concern value of the Debtors' enterprise, resulting in a substantial benefit to Defendant as well as allowing Defendant to avoid the costs of a wind-down.

25. Other than the Collateral, as of the Petition Date, the Defendant does not have a perfected security interest in any of the Debtors' assets, including (i) the Deposit Accounts set forth in Section I of Exhibit B hereto, (ii) the entities or the assets of the entities set forth in Section II of Exhibit B hereto, (iii) any going concern premium that has been or will be generated, (iv) assets related to medical office buildings (the "MOB Assets"), (v) any Future QAF Disbursements, and (vi) any commercial tort claims.

26. A determination by the Court pursuant to Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure 7001(2) and 7001(9), sections 105 and 502 of the Bankruptcy Code, and other applicable law regarding the validity, priority, and extent of Defendant's liens against the Debtors' estates is necessary to the proper administration of the Debtors' estates.

27. All rights to bring avoidance or similar actions under Chapter 5 of the Bankruptcy Code or similar state law (collectively, "Avoidance Actions") are reserved. Nothing in this First Amended

Complaint shall be considered to be a waiver of any rights of the Committee, the Debtors, a liquidating trust, or any other entity with standing, to bring Avoidance Actions against any party.

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Declaratory Relief – Clarification of Final DIP Order)

28. The Committee restates and re-alleges each of the foregoing allegations as if fully set forth herein.

29. Paragraph 5(e) of the Final DIP Order provides that "the Prepetition Liens securing the Prepetition Secured Obligations on the Prepetition Collateral and the VMF Liens on the VMF Collateral were valid, binding, enforceable, non-avoidable, and properly perfected and were granted to, or for the benefit of, the Prepetition Secured Creditors and McKesson."

30. Read literally, paragraph 5(e) of the Final DIP Order mistakenly suggests that Defendant has a perfected security interest in all of the assets of all of the Debtors.

31. As of the Petition Date, Defendant does not have a perfected security interest in *all* of the assets of all of the Debtors, but rather only in the Collateral set forth on Exhibit A. Defendant does not have a perfected security interest in any of the Debtors' other assets, including (i) the Deposit Accounts set forth in Section I of Exhibit B hereto, (ii) the entities or the assets of the entities set forth in Section II of Exhibit B hereto, (iii) any going concern premium that has been or will be generated, (iv) the MOB Assets, (v) any Future QAF Disbursements, and (vi) any commercial tort claims.

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Declaratory Relief – Deposit Accounts And Entities)

32. The Committee restates and re-alleges each of the foregoing allegations as if fully set forth herein.

33. Defendant does not have a perfected security interest in the Deposit Accounts set forth in Section I of Exhibit B hereto because (a) there are no deposit account control agreements for the Deposit Accounts, (b) there is no possession of the funds in the Deposit Accounts by Defendant, and (c) the funds in the Deposit Accounts do not constitute identifiable cash proceeds of an otherwise perfected, unavoidable lien in other collateral of the Defendant.

34. Defendant does not have a perfected security interest in the entities or the assets of the entities set forth in Section II of Exhibit B hereto.

THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Declaratory Relief – Future QAF Disbursements)

35. The Committee restates and re-alleges each of the foregoing allegations as if fully set forth herein.

36. Defendant does not have a perfected security interest in Future QAF Disbursements because, among other things, (a) Future QAF Disbursements do not constitute proceeds of pre-petition collateral, (b) the value of a lien in Future QAF Disbursements to Defendant is $0.00 given that, as of the Petition Date, Defendant could not foreclose on its purported security interest and demand collection from the State of California, (c) the value of Future QAF Disbursements to Debtors on the Petition Date was $0.00 because Debtors had not undertaken the commitments or satisfied the conditions precedent to have any rights to Future QAF Disbursements, (d) Future QAF Disbursements depended completely upon post-petition services of the Debtors, and (e) to the extent Defendant contends one or more Loan Agreements were modified to include a lien in Future QAF Disbursements, the purported granting of any such lien was a constructive fraudulent conveyance under 11 U.S.C. §§ 544 and 550 and California Civil Code §§ 3439.04 and 3439.05, because Debtors received less than reasonably equivalent value in exchange for the granting of the lien, and (i) Debtors' remaining assets were unreasonably small in relation to the transaction, or (ii) Debtors intended to incur, or believed or reasonably should have believed they would incur, debts beyond Debtors' ability to repay, or (iii) Debtors were insolvent at the time, or as a result, of the granting of the lien.

37. Accordingly, any purported lien in any Future QAF Disbursements in favor of Defendant must be avoided.

FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Declaratory Relief – Defendant is Undersecured)

38. The Committee restates and re-alleges each of the foregoing allegations as if fully set forth herein.

39. If the Court were to grant the relief sought in some or all of the first three claims for relief herein, the Defendant would be undersecured.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, the Committee respectfully requests that the Court:

(a) issue a declaratory judgment that, notwithstanding the language of Paragraph 5(e) of the Final DIP Order, as of the Petition Date Defendant does not have a perfected security interest in any of the Debtors' assets other than the Collateral set forth on Exhibit A hereto;

(b) issue a declaratory judgment that Defendant does not have a perfected security interest in (i) the Deposit Accounts set forth in Section I of Exhibit B hereto, (ii) the entities or the assets of the entities set forth in Section II of Exhibit B hereto; (iii) any going concern premium that has been or will be generated; (iv) the MOB Assets; and (v) any commercial tort claims;

(c) issue a declaratory judgment that Defendant does not have a perfected security interest in Future QAF Disbursements;

(d) issue a declaratory judgment that, as a result of the Committee's claims for relief, Defendant is undersecured; and

(e) grant any further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

DATED: September 11, 2019

MILBANK LLP

/s/ Mark Shinderman
Mark Shinderman
Robert J. Liubicic
Alexandra Achamallah

ARENT FOX LLP
Thomas E. Jeffry Jr.
Robert M. Hirsh (admitted *pro hac vice*)

Counsel for the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors of Verity Health System of California, Inc., et al.

Exhibit A

Defendant is secured by a lien on and security interest in the following property:

(1) Real Property:

Property Address	APN
3630 E. Imperial Highway Lynwood, CA 90262	6173-021-008, 007, 006
2700 E. Slauson Ave., Huntington Park, CA 90255	6320-006-069
5957/5931 Atlantic Blvd., Maywood, CA 90270	6313-013-026, 028
9340/9400 No Name Uno, Gilroy, CA 95020	835-05-031, 032
600 Marine Blvd., Moss Beach, CA 94038	037-160-090-9

(2) a perfected security interest in the following personal property of Seton Medical Center, Saint Louise Regional Hospital, St. Francis Medical Center, O'Connor Hospital, St. Vincent Medical Center and Seton Coastside (collectively, the "Relevant Debtors") to the extent such property under California law may be perfected by the filing of a financing statement:

(a) all Accounts (as defined in the Security Agreements referred to in the Loan Agreements);

(b) the accounts listed below; and

(c) to the extent not covered by the foregoing, all products, Proceeds (as defined in the Security Agreements referred to in the Loan Agreements) and replacements of the foregoing;

Company	Financial Institution	Account Number
O'Connor Hospital	Bank of America	XXXXXX3900
St. Louise Regional Hospital	Bank of America	XXXXXX3905
St. Francis Medical Center	Bank of America	XXXXXX3924
St. Vincent Medical Center	Bank of America	XXXXXX3929
Seton Coastside	Bank of America	XXXXXX3887
Seton Medical Center	Bank of America	XXXXXX3882

(3) to the extent not covered by subparagraph (1) or (2) above, with respect to the Relevant Debtors, a perfected security interest in the Property (as defined in the Deed of Trust) of such Relevant Debtors, which under California law may be perfected by the recording of the Deed of Trust in the applicable recording office; and

(4) to the extent not covered by subparagraph (1), (2), or (3) above, with respect to St. Francis Medical Center, St. Louise Regional Hospital, and Verity Holdings LLC, a perfected security interest in the Property (as defined in the Deed of Trust) and/or Personal Property (as defined in the Deed of Trust) of such entities described in the financing statements filed with the California Secretary of State in respect thereof, in each case to the extent such Property and/or Personal Property may be perfected under California law by the filing of a financing statement.

Exhibit B

Exhibit B—Section I

Company	Financial Institution	Account Number
Verity Health System of CA Inc.	Bank of America	XXXXXX6440
Verity Health System of CA Inc.	Bank of America	XXXXXX9250
Verity Health System of CA Inc.	Bank of America	XXXXXX1785
Verity Health System of CA Inc.	Bank of America	XXXXXX2889
O'Connor Hospital	Bank of America	XXXXXX2945
O'Connor Hospital	Bank of America	XXXXXX6389
O'Connor Hospital	Bank of America	XXXXXX3007
O'Connor Hospital	Bank of America	XXXXXX2284
O'Connor Hospital	Bank of America	XXXXXX2662
St. Louise Regional Hospital	Bank of America	XXXXXX2926
St. Louise Regional Hospital	Bank of America	XXXXXX6421
St. Louise Regional Hospital	Bank of America	XXXXXX7575
St. Louise Regional Hospital	Bank of America	XXXXXX2307
St. Francis Medical Center	Bank of America	XXXXXX2940
St. Francis Medical Center	Bank of America	XXXXXX6407
St. Francis Medical Center	Bank of America	XXXXXX3012
St. Francis Medical Center	Bank of America	XXXXXX2487
St. Francis Medical Center	Bank of America	XXXXXX1057
St. Francis Medical Center	Bank of America	XXXXXX1371
St. Francis Medical Center	Bank of America	XXXXXX1357
St. Francis Medical Center	Bank of America	XXXXXX1845
St. Francis Medical Center	Bank of America	XXXXXX1840
St. Francis Medical Center	U.S. Bank	XXXXXX2162
St. Vincent Medical Center	Bank of America	XXXXXX2964
St. Vincent Medical Center	Bank of America	XXXXXX6426
St. Vincent Medical Center	Bank of America	XXXXXX3017
St. Vincent Medical Center	Bank of America	XXXXXX2062
St. Vincent Medical Center	Bank of America	XXXXXX0553
St. Vincent Medical Center	Bank of America	XXXXXX3695
St. Vincent Medical Center	Bank of America	XXXXXX0989
Seton Medical Center	Bank of America	XXXXXX2902
Seton Medical Center	Bank of America	XXXXXX6365
Seton Medical Center	Bank of America	XXXXXX3001
Seton Medical Center	Bank of America	XXXXXX2289
Seton Medical Center	Bank of America	XXXXX02907
Seton Medical Center	Bank of America	XXXXXX6384

Company	Financial Institution	Account Number
Seton Medical Center	Bank of America	XXXXXX2302
Seton Medical Center	Bank of America	XXXXX12907
O'Connor Hospital Foundation	Bank of America	XXXXXX3433
O'Connor Hospital Foundation	Morgan Stanley	XXXXX2-037
St. Louise Regional Hospital Foundation	Bank of America	XXXXXX2521
St. Francis Medical Center Foundation	Bank of America	XXXXXX2809
St. Vincent Medical Center Foundation	Bank of America	XXXXXX3025
St. Vincent Medical Center Foundation	East West Bank	XXXXXX1162
St. Vincent Dialysis Center	Bank of America	XXXXXX8921
Seton Medical Center Foundation	Bank of America	XXXXXX2260
Healy, James T/U/A	Wells Fargo	XXXXXX0089
Verity Business Services	Bank of America	XXXXXX6402
Verity Business Services	Bank of America	XXXXXX2982
Verity Business Services	Bank of America	XXXXXX3192
Verity Medical Foundation	Wells Fargo	XXXXXX9996
Verity Medical Foundation	Wells Fargo	XXXXXX0010
Verity Holdings LLC	Bank of America	XXXXXX1597
Verity Holdings LLC	Bank of America	XXXXXX1248
Verity Holdings LLC	Bank of America	XXXXXX1970
Verity Holdings LLC	Bank of America	XXXXXX1975
Seton Medical Center	Tri Counties Bank	XXXXXX3611
Seton Medical Center Foundation	Tri Counties Bank	XXXXXX8521

Exhibit B—Section II

- Verity Health System of California, Inc.
- O'Connor Hospital Foundation
- Saint Louise Regional Hospital Foundation
- St. Francis Medical Center of Lynwood Foundation
- St. Vincent Foundation
- St. Vincent Dialysis Center, Inc.
- Seton Medical Center Foundation
- Verity Business Services
- Verity Medical Foundation
- De Paul Ventures, LLC
- De Paul Ventures - San Jose Dialysis, LLC

PROOF OF SERVICE OF DOCUMENT

I am over the age of 18 and not a party to this bankruptcy case or adversary proceeding. My business address is:

2029 Century Park E, 33rd Floor, Los Angeles, CA 90067.

A true and correct copy of the foregoing document entitled (*specify*): ***FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR DETERMINATION OF VALIDITY, PRIORITY, AND EXTENT OF LIENS AND SECURITY INTERESTS*** will be served or was served (a) on the judge in chambers in the form and manner required by LBR 5005-2(d); and (b) in the manner stated below:

1. TO BE SERVED BY THE COURT VIA NOTICE OF ELECTRONIC FILING (NEF): Pursuant to controlling General Orders and LBR, the foregoing document will be served by the court via NEF and hyperlink to the document. On (*date*) September 11, 2019, I checked the CM/ECF docket for this bankruptcy case or adversary proceeding and determined that the following persons are on the Electronic Mail Notice List to receive NEF transmission at the email addresses stated below:

☒ Service information continued on attached page

2. SERVED BY UNITED STATES MAIL:
On (*date*) September 11, 2019, I served the following persons and/or entities at the last known addresses in this bankruptcy case or adversary proceeding by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope in the United States mail, first class, postage prepaid, and addressed as follows. Listing the judge here constitutes a declaration that mailing to the judge will be completed no later than 24 hours after the document is filed.

☒ Service information continued on attached page

3. SERVED BY PERSONAL DELIVERY, OVERNIGHT MAIL, FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION OR EMAIL (state method for each person or entity served): Pursuant to F.R.Civ.P. 5 and/or controlling LBR, on (*date*) September 11, 2019, I served the following persons and/or entities by personal delivery, overnight mail service, or (for those who consented in writing to such service method), by facsimile transmission and/or email as follows. Listing the judge here constitutes a declaration that personal delivery on, or overnight mail to, the judge will be completed no later than 24 hours after the document is filed.

☒ Service information continued on attached page

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the foregoing is true and correct.

September 11, 2019	Beth Aalberts	/s/ Beth Aalberts
Date	*Printed Name*	*Signature*

SERVICE LIST
(Via NEF)

- **Alexandra Achamallah** aachamallah@milbank.com, rliubicic@milbank.com
- **James Cornell Behrens** jbehrens@milbank.com, gbray@milbank.com;mshinderman@milbank.com;dodonnell@milbank.com;jbrewster@milbank.com;JWeber@milbank.com
- **Nicholas A Koffroth** nick.koffroth@dentons.com, chris.omeara@dentons.com
- **Samuel R Maizel** samuel.maizel@dentons.com, alicia.aguilar@dentons.com;docket.general.lit.LOS@dentons.com;tania.moyron@dentons.com;kathryn.howard@dentons.com;joan.mack@dentons.com;derry.kalve@dentons.com
- **Tania M Moyron** tania.moyron@dentons.com, chris.omeara@dentons.com;nick.koffroth@dentons.com
- **Jason M Reed** Jason.Reed@Maslon.com
- **Mark Shinderman** mshinderman@milbank.com, dmuhrez@milbank.com;dlbatie@milbank.com
- **Jason D Strabo** jstrabo@mwe.com, cfuraha@mwe.com
- **United States Trustee (LA)** ustpregion16.la.ecf@usdoj.gov

SERVICE LIST
(Via First Class Mail)

Nathan F Coco
McDermott Will & Emery
444 W Lake St Ste 4000
Chicago, IL 60606-0029

Megan Preusker
McDermott Will & Emery
444 West Lake Street, Ste 4000
Chicago, IL 60606-0029

Clark Whitmore
Maslon LLP
3300 Wells Fargo Center
90 S 7th St
Minneapolis, MN 55402

SERVICE LIST
(Via Personal Delivery)

The Honorable Ernest M. Robles
United States Bankruptcy Court
Central District of California
Edward R. Roybal Federal Building and Courthouse
255 E. Temple Street, Suite 1560/Courtroom 1568
Los Angeles, CA 90012-3300

MARK SHINDERMAN (Bar No. 136644)
mshinderman@milbank.com
ROBERT J. LIUBICIC (Bar No. 271465)
rliubicic@milbank.com
ALEXANDRA ACHAMALLAH (Bar No. 317978)
aachamallah@milbank.com
MILBANK LLP
2029 Century Park East, 33rd Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90067
Telephone: (424) 386-4000/Facsimile: (213) 629-5063

THOMAS E. JEFFRY JR. (Bar No. 125265)
thomas.jeffry@arentfox.com
ROBERT M. HIRSH (admitted *pro hac vice*)
robert.hirsh@arentfox.com
ARENT FOX LLP
1301 Avenue of the Americas
New York, NY 10019
Telephone: (212) 457-5430/Facsimile: (516) 426-8232

Counsel for the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors of Verity Health System of California, Inc., et al.

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA – LOS ANGELES DIVISION

In re: VERITY HEALTH SYSTEM OF CALIFORNIA, INC., *et al.*, Debtors and Debtors In Possession.	Chapter 11 Lead Case No. 2:18-bk-20151-ER Hon. Ernest M. Robles Adv. Proc. No. ~~2:19-ap-01165-ER~~
OFFICIAL COMMITTEE OF UNSECURED CREDITORS of VERITY HEALTH SYSTEM OF CALIFORNIA, INC., *et al.*, Plaintiff, v. U.S. BANK NATIONAL ASSOCIATION, as trustee, Defendant.	**FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR DETERMINATION OF VALIDITY, PRIORITY, AND EXTENT OF LIENS AND SECURITY INTERESTS** ~~Hearing to be scheduled.~~

The Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors ("Plaintiff" or the "Committee") of debtors and debtors-in-possession (collectively, the "Debtors") in the above-captioned chapter 11 cases, for and on behalf of the Debtors' estates, as and for its **first amended** adversary complaint (the "**First Amended** Complaint"), against Defendant U.S. Bank National Association in its capacity as trustee ("Defendant" and together with Plaintiff, the "Parties"), pursuant to Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 7001 and title 11 of the United States Code, 11 U.S.C. §§ 101 et seq. (the "Bankruptcy Code"), hereby respectfully alleges as follows:

NATURE OF THE ACTION

1. This is an adversary proceeding brought pursuant to, *inter alia*, Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 7001, sections 105 and 502 of the Bankruptcy Code, and other applicable law, seeking a declaratory judgment determining the validity, priority, and extent of the liens against property of the Debtors' estates held by Defendant.

2. The Committee seeks a determination that other than the property identified in Exhibit A (the "Collateral"), as of the Petition Date, Defendant does not have a perfected security interest in any of the Debtors' assets—and, in particular, Defendant does not have a perfected security interest in the deposit accounts of the Debtors set forth in Section I of Exhibit B hereto (the "Deposit Accounts") or the funds therein, or in the entities or the assets of the entities set forth in Section II of Exhibit B hereto, nor does Defendant have any rights and/or interests in any so-called quality assurance fee ("QAF") revenue funds arising under California Welfare & Institutions Code sections 14169.54 and 14169.55, or the right to any future disbursements on account thereof, relating to QAF **fee-for-service** periods 7–10 **and QAF managed care period 3** ("Future QAF ~~Reimbursements~~**Disbursements**").

3. Plaintiff has standing to prosecute this ~~Complaint~~**action** by virtue of, *inter alia*, paragraph 5(e) of the *Final Order (I) Authorizing Postpetition Financing, (II) Authorizing Use of Cash Collateral, (III) Granting Liens and Providing Superpriority Administrative Expense Status, (IV) Granting Adequate Protection, (V) Modifying Automatic Stay, and (VI) Granting Related Relief* (the "Final DIP Order") [Docket No. 409] entered by the Court on October 4, 2018.

4. Paragraph 5(e) of the Final DIP Order provides, in pertinent part:

> (e) **Validity, Perfection and Amount of Prepetition Liens**. The Debtors further acknowledge and agree that, as of the Petition Date, (a) the Prepetition Liens securing the Prepetition Secured Obligations on the Prepetition Collateral and the VMF Liens on the VMF Collateral were valid, binding, enforceable, non-avoidable, and properly perfected and were granted to, or for the benefit of, the Prepetition Secured Creditors and McKesson, (b) the Prepetition Liens were senior in priority over any and all other Liens on the Prepetition Collateral except the prepetition tax lien arising in connection with the CSCDA Special Assessments, and (c) the VMF Liens were senior in priority over any and all other Liens on VMF Collateral. The findings and stipulations set forth in this Final Order with respect to the validity, enforceability and amount of the Prepetition Secured Obligation and the Prepetition Liens shall be binding on any subsequent trustee, responsible person, examiner with expanded powers, any other estate representative, and all creditors and parties in interest and all of their successors in interest and assigns, including the Committee, unless, and solely to the extent that, a party in interest with requisite standing and authority (other than the Debtors, as to which any Challenge (as defined below) is irrevocably waived and relinquished) has timely filed the appropriate pleadings, and timely commenced the appropriate proceeding required under the Bankruptcy Code and Bankruptcy Rules, including as required pursuant to Part VII of the Bankruptcy Rules (in each case subject to the limitations set forth in this paragraph 4(d)) challenging the Prepetition Liens (each such proceeding or appropriate pleading commencing a proceeding or other contested matter, a "***Challenge***") within ninety (90) days from the formation of the Committee (the "***Challenge Deadline***"); *provided* that for purposes of filing a Challenge, the Committee shall be deemed to have standing to file the requisite pleading without further order of the Court; and *provided further*, that the "Challenge Deadline" for matters solely relating to the value of the Prepetition Collateral may be further extended to such time as may be agreed by stipulation among the Debtors, the Committee and the Prepetition Secured Creditors or as further ordered by the Court.

Final DIP Order, ¶ 5(e) (emphasis added).

~~5. The Parties have extended the Challenge Deadline on several occasions. As of the date of this filing, the Challenge Deadline is June 13, 2019.~~

5. This action was filed on June 13, 2019, which was within the Challenge Deadline as extended by agreement of the Parties.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

6. This Court has jurisdiction over this adversary proceeding by virtue of 28 U.S.C. § 1334(b) and pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(a).

7. This adversary proceeding is a "core" proceeding as defined in 28 U.S.C. §§ 157(b)(2)(A) and (K).

8. In the event that any part of this adversary proceeding is found to be "non-core," Plaintiff consents to the entry of final orders and judgments by this Court, pursuant to Rule 7008 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure.

9. Venue in this District is properly laid pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1409.

PARTIES

10. The Committee was formed on September 14, 2018 and was duly appointed on September 17, 2018 by the Office of the United States Trustee pursuant to Section 1102(a)(1) of the Bankruptcy Code [Docket No. 197].

11. The Committee brings this proceeding on behalf of and for the benefit of the Debtors' chapter 11 estates.

12. Upon information and belief, Defendant is a party to the Indentures described in paragraph 21 below. Upon information and belief, Defendant conducts operations in the United States.

RELEVANT FACTS

13. On August 31, 2018 (the "Petition Date"), the above-referenced Debtors filed voluntary cases (the "Bankruptcy Cases") under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Central District of California.

14. The Debtors are in the business of operating hospitals and other healthcare facilities in California.

15. The Debtors continue in the management and operation of their businesses and properties as debtors-in-possession pursuant to sections 1107 and 1108 of the Bankruptcy Code. No trustee or examiner has been appointed in the Bankruptcy Cases.

16. On the Petition Date, the Debtors filed their *Emergency Motion of Debtors for Interim and Final Orders (A) Authorizing the Debtors to Obtain Post Petition Financing (B) Authorizing the Debtors to Use Cash Collateral and (C) Granting Adequate Protection to Prepetition Secured Creditors Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §§ 105, 363, 364, 1107 and 1108; Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Support Thereof* [Docket No. 31] (the "DIP Motion").

17. Pursuant to the Loan Agreements (defined in paragraph 21 below), the Debtors were loaned bond proceeds from the Indentures (referred to in paragraph 21 below) pursuant to which Defendant served as note trustee and collateral agent prior to the Petition Date.

18. This action is to determine the validity, priority, and extent of Defendant's liens and security interests. This action is not brought against Defendant in its individual capacity, but rather solely as a note trustee and collateral agent. Plaintiff does not contend that Defendant has done anything improper.

19. Subject to the limitations set forth, *inter alia*, in paragraph 5(e) of the Final DIP Order, the Debtors stipulated to, *inter alia*, the validity, perfection, and enforceability of Defendant's liens and scope of Defendant's collateral, and waived all rights to challenge such liens. *See* Final DIP Order, ¶ 5(e).

20. Pursuant to paragraph 5(e) of the Final DIP Order, the stipulations and admissions contained in the Final DIP Order are deemed binding upon the Debtors and all non-debtor parties-in-interest, including without limitation the Committee, for all purposes, including, without limitation the validity, perfection, and enforceability of the Prepetition Liens and the Prepetition Secured Obligations (as defined in the Final DIP Order), unless and except to the extent the Committee has initiated a timely Challenge Proceeding (as defined in the Final DIP Order). *See* Final DIP Order, ¶ 5(e).

21. The applicable Debtors' obligations owing to the Defendant are secured by a lien on and security interest in the Collateral pursuant to:

(i) the loan agreements identified in items (1) – (6) below (collectively, the "Loan Agreements"):

(1) Loan Agreement dated as of December 1, 2015 between the California Public Finance Authority and Verity Health System of California, Inc. executed in connection with the $60,000,000 California Public Finance Authority Revenue Notes (Verity Health System) Series 2015A, as amended by the Amendment to the Loan Agreement dated as of March 2, 2016.

(2) Loan Agreement dated as of December 1, 2015 between the California Public Finance Authority and Verity Health System of California, Inc. executed in connection with the $45,000,000 California Public Finance Authority Revenue Notes (Verity Health System) Series 2015B, as amended by the Amendment to the Loan Agreement dated as of March 2, 2016.

(3) Loan Agreement dated as of December 1, 2015 between the California Public Finance Authority and Verity Health System of California, Inc. executed in connection with the $10,000,000 California Public Finance Authority Revenue Notes (Verity Health System) Series 2015C (FEDERALLY TAXABLE), as amended by the Amendment to the Loan Agreement dated as of March 2, 2016.

(4) Loan Agreement dated as of December 1, 2015 between the California Public Finance Authority and Verity Health System of California, Inc. executed in connection with the $45,000,000 California Public Finance Authority Revenue Notes (Verity Health System) Series 20l5D, as amended by the Amendment to the Loan Agreement dated as of March 2, 2016.

(5) Loan Agreement dated as of September 1, 2017 between the California Public Finance Authority and Verity Health System of California, Inc. executed in connection with the $21,000,000 California Public Finance Authority Revenue Notes (Verity Health System) Series 2017.

(6) Loan Agreement dated as of December 1, 2017 between the California Public Finance Authority and Verity Health System of California, Inc. executed in connection with the $21,000,000 California Public Finance Authority Revenue Notes (Verity Health System) Series 2017B.

(ii) the Notes, Indentures (as defined in the Loan Agreements), and Master Indenture (in each case referred to in the applicable Loan Agreement), and

(iii) each Deed of Trust With Fixture Filing and Security Agreement and Assignment of Leases and Rents entered into in connection with the Loan Agreements (individually or collectively, "Deed of Trust").

22. On December 27, 2018, the Bankruptcy Court entered an order granting Debtors' motion seeking approval of the sale (the "SCC Sale") of O'Connor Hospital and Saint Louise Regional Hospital to the County of Santa Clara, a political subdivision of California ("SCC"). The SCC sale has closed. On May 2, 2019, the Bankruptcy Court entered an order granting Debtors' motion seeking approval of the sale (the "SGM Sale," and together with the SCC Sale, the "Sales") to Strategic Global Management, Inc. ("SGM") of St. Francis Medical Center, St. Vincent Medical Center, and Seton Medical Center. The SGM sale has not yet closed.

23. The proceeds of the Sales include substantial going concern premiums. Defendant is not entitled to those premiums because they are generated by labor—the hard work of the doctors, nurses, and other employees of the medical facilities.

24. Stated differently, the going concern premiums are not the proceeds of the Collateral. The bankruptcy process created significant value above what the Defendant would have realized upon foreclosure on its interest in the Collateral. In a foreclosure, Defendant would have found itself the owner of a collection of buildings, not an ongoing business, and would have been subject to significant wind-down costs. These chapter 11 cases, on the other hand, have unlocked the going concern value of the Debtors' enterprise, resulting in a substantial benefit to Defendant as well as allowing Defendant to avoid the costs of a wind-down.

25. ~~22.~~ Other than the Collateral, as of the Petition Date, the Defendant does not have a perfected security interest in any of the Debtors' assets, including (i) the Deposit Accounts set forth in Section I of Exhibit B hereto, (ii) the entities or the assets of the entities set forth in Section II of Exhibit B hereto, (iii) any **going concern premium that has been or will be generated, (iv) assets**

related to medical office buildings (the "MOB Assets"), (v) any Future QAF Disbursements, and (~~iv~~**vi**) any commercial ~~causes of action~~**tort claims**.

26. ~~23.~~ A determination by the Court pursuant to Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure 7001(2) and 7001(9), sections 105 and 502 of the Bankruptcy Code, and other applicable law regarding the validity, priority, and extent of Defendant's liens against the Debtors' estates is necessary to the proper administration of the Debtors' estates.

27. ~~24.~~ All rights to bring avoidance or similar actions under Chapter 5 of the Bankruptcy Code or similar state law (collectively, "Avoidance Actions") are reserved. Nothing in this **First Amended** Complaint shall be considered to be a waiver of any rights of the Committee, the Debtors, a liquidating trust, or any other entity with standing, to bring Avoidance Actions against any party.

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Declaratory Relief – Clarification of Final DIP Order)

28. ~~25.~~ The Committee restates and re-alleges each of the foregoing allegations as if fully set forth herein.

29. ~~26.~~ Paragraph 5(e) of the Final DIP Order provides that "the Prepetition Liens securing the Prepetition Secured Obligations on the Prepetition Collateral and the VMF Liens on the VMF Collateral were valid, binding, enforceable, non-avoidable, and properly perfected and were granted to, or for the benefit of, the Prepetition Secured Creditors and McKesson."

30. ~~27.~~ Read literally, paragraph 5(e) of the Final DIP Order mistakenly suggests that Defendant has a perfected security interest in all of the assets of all of the Debtors.

31. ~~28.~~ As of the Petition Date, Defendant does not have a perfected security interest in *all* of the assets of all of the Debtors, but rather only in the Collateral set forth on Exhibit A. Defendant does not have a perfected security interest in any of the Debtors' other assets, including (i) the Deposit Accounts set forth in Section I of Exhibit B hereto, (ii) the entities or the assets of the entities set forth in Section II of Exhibit B hereto, (iii) any **going concern premium that has been or will be generated, (iv) the MOB Assets, (v) any** Future QAF Disbursements, and (~~iv~~**vi**) any commercial ~~causes of action~~**tort claims**.

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Declaratory Relief – Deposit Accounts And Entities)

32. ~~29.~~ The Committee restates and re-alleges each of the foregoing allegations as if fully set forth herein.

33. ~~30.~~ Defendant does not have a perfected security interest in the Deposit Accounts set forth in Section I of Exhibit B hereto because (a) there are no deposit account control agreements for the Deposit Accounts, (b) there is no possession of the funds in the Deposit Accounts by Defendant, and (c) the funds in the Deposit Accounts do not constitute identifiable cash proceeds of an otherwise perfected, unavoidable lien in other collateral of the Defendant.

34. ~~31.~~ Defendant does not have a perfected security interest in the entities or the assets of the entities set forth in Section II of Exhibit B hereto.

THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Declaratory Relief – Future QAF Disbursements)

35. ~~32.~~ The Committee restates and re-alleges each of the foregoing allegations as if fully set forth herein.

36. ~~33.~~ Defendant does not have a perfected security interest in Future QAF Disbursements because, among other things, (a) Future QAF Disbursements do not constitute proceeds of pre-petition collateral, (b) the value of a lien in Future QAF Disbursements to Defendant is $0.00 given that, as of the Petition Date, Defendant could not foreclose on its purported security interest and demand collection from the State of California, (c) the value of Future QAF ~~Disbursement~~**Disbursements** to Debtors on the Petition Date was $0.00 because Debtors had not undertaken the commitments or satisfied the conditions precedent to have any rights to Future QAF Disbursements, ~~and/or~~ (d) Future QAF Disbursements depended completely upon post-petition services of the Debtors~~.~~**, and (e) to the extent Defendant contends one or more Loan Agreements were modified to include a lien in Future QAF Disbursements, the purported granting of any such lien was a constructive fraudulent conveyance under 11 U.S.C. §§ 544 and 550 and California Civil Code §§ 3439.04 and 3439.05, because Debtors received less than reasonably equivalent value in exchange for the granting of the lien, and (i) Debtors' remaining assets**

were unreasonably small in relation to the transaction, or (ii) Debtors intended to incur, or believed or reasonably should have believed they would incur, debts beyond Debtors' ability to repay, or (iii) Debtors were insolvent at the time, or as a result, of the granting of the lien.

37. ~~34.~~ Accordingly, any purported lien in any Future QAF Disbursements in favor of Defendant must be avoided.

FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Declaratory Relief – Defendant is Undersecured)

38. The Committee restates and re-alleges each of the foregoing allegations as if fully set forth herein.

39. If the Court were to grant the relief sought in some or all of the first three claims for relief herein, the Defendant would be undersecured.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, the Committee respectfully requests that the Court:

(a) issue a declaratory judgment that, notwithstanding the language of Paragraph 5(e) of the Final DIP Order, as of the Petition Date Defendant does not have a perfected security interest in any of the Debtors' assets other than the Collateral set forth on Exhibit A hereto;

(b) issue a declaratory judgment that Defendant does not have a perfected security interest in (i) the Deposit Accounts set forth in Section I of Exhibit B hereto, ~~or~~ (ii) the entities or the assets of the entities set forth in Section II of Exhibit B hereto; **(iii) any going concern premium that has been or will be generated; (iv) the MOB Assets; and (v) any commercial tort claims;**

(c) issue a declaratory judgment that Defendant does not have a perfected security interest in Future QAF Disbursements; ~~and~~

(d) issue a declaratory judgment that, as a result of the Committee's claims for relief, Defendant is undersecured; and

(~~d~~**e**) grant any further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

DATED: ~~June 13~~**September 11**, 2019

MILBANK LLP

/s/ Mark Shinderman
Mark Shinderman
Robert J. Liubicic
Alexandra Achamallah

ARENT FOX LLP
Thomas E. Jeffry Jr.
Robert M. Hirsh (admitted *pro hac vice*)

Counsel for the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors of Verity Health System of California, Inc., et al.

Exhibit A

Defendant is secured by a lien on and security interest in the following property:

(1) Real Property:

Property Address	APN
3630 E. Imperial Highway Lynwood, CA 90262	6173-021-008, 007, 006
2700 E. Slauson Ave., Huntington Park, CA 90255	6320-006-069
5957/5931 Atlantic Blvd., Maywood, CA 90270	6313-013-026, 028
9340/9400 No Name Uno, Gilroy, CA 95020	835-05-031, 032
600 Marine Blvd., Moss Beach, CA 94038	037-160-090-9

(2) a perfected security interest in the following personal property of Seton Medical Center, Saint Louise Regional Hospital, St. Francis Medical Center, O'Connor Hospital, St. Vincent Medical Center and Seton Coastside (collectively, the "Relevant Debtors") to the extent such property under California law may be perfected by the filing of a financing statement:

(a) all Accounts (as defined in the Security Agreements referred to in the Loan Agreements);

(b) the accounts listed below; and

(c) to the extent not covered by the foregoing, all products, Proceeds (as defined in the Security Agreements referred to in the Loan Agreements) and replacements of the foregoing;

Company	Financial Institution	Account Number
O'Connor Hospital	Bank of America	XXXXXX3900
St. Louise Regional Hospital	Bank of America	XXXXXX3905
St. Francis Medical Center	Bank of America	XXXXXX3924
St. Vincent Medical Center	Bank of America	XXXXXX3929
Seton Coastside	Bank of America	XXXXXX3887
Seton Medical Center	Bank of America	XXXXXX3882

(3) to the extent not covered by subparagraph (1) or (2) above, with respect to the Relevant Debtors, a perfected security interest in the Property (as defined in the Deed of Trust) of such Relevant Debtors, which under California law may be perfected by the recording of the Deed of Trust in the applicable recording office; and

(4) to the extent not covered by subparagraph (1), (2), or (3) above, with respect to St. Francis Medical Center, St. Louise Regional Hospital, and Verity Holdings LLC, a perfected security interest in the Property (as defined in the Deed of Trust) and/or Personal Property (as defined in the Deed of Trust) of such entities described in the financing statements filed with the California Secretary of State in respect thereof, in each case to the extent such Property and/or Personal Property may be perfected under California law by the filing of a financing statement.

Exhibit B

Exhibit B—Section I

Company	Financial Institution	Account Number
Verity Health System of CA Inc.	Bank of America	XXXXXX6440
Verity Health System of CA Inc.	Bank of America	XXXXXX9250
Verity Health System of CA Inc.	Bank of America	XXXXXX1785
Verity Health System of CA Inc.	Bank of America	XXXXXX2889
O'Connor Hospital	Bank of America	XXXXXX2945
O'Connor Hospital	Bank of America	XXXXXX6389
O'Connor Hospital	Bank of America	XXXXXX3007
O'Connor Hospital	Bank of America	XXXXXX2284
O'Connor Hospital	Bank of America	XXXXXX2662
St. Louise Regional Hospital	Bank of America	XXXXXX2926
St. Louise Regional Hospital	Bank of America	XXXXXX6421
St. Louise Regional Hospital	Bank of America	XXXXXX7575
St. Louise Regional Hospital	Bank of America	XXXXXX2307
St. Francis Medical Center	Bank of America	XXXXXX2940
St. Francis Medical Center	Bank of America	XXXXXX6407
St. Francis Medical Center	Bank of America	XXXXXX3012
St. Francis Medical Center	Bank of America	XXXXXX2487
St. Francis Medical Center	Bank of America	XXXXXX1057
St. Francis Medical Center	Bank of America	XXXXXX1371
St. Francis Medical Center	Bank of America	XXXXXX1357
St. Francis Medical Center	Bank of America	XXXXXX1845
St. Francis Medical Center	Bank of America	XXXXXX1840
St. Francis Medical Center	U.S. Bank	XXXXXX2162
St. Vincent Medical Center	Bank of America	XXXXXX2964
St. Vincent Medical Center	Bank of America	XXXXXX6426
St. Vincent Medical Center	Bank of America	XXXXXX3017
St. Vincent Medical Center	Bank of America	XXXXXX2062
St. Vincent Medical Center	Bank of America	XXXXXX0553
St. Vincent Medical Center	Bank of America	XXXXXX3695
St. Vincent Medical Center	Bank of America	XXXXXX0989
Seton Medical Center	Bank of America	XXXXXX2902
Seton Medical Center	Bank of America	XXXXXX6365
Seton Medical Center	Bank of America	XXXXXX3001
Seton Medical Center	Bank of America	XXXXXX2289
Seton Medical Center	Bank of America	XXXXX02907
Seton Medical Center	Bank of America	XXXXXX6384

Company	Financial Institution	Account Number
Seton Medical Center	Bank of America	XXXXXX2302
Seton Medical Center	Bank of America	XXXXX12907
O'Connor Hospital Foundation	Bank of America	XXXXXX3433
O'Connor Hospital Foundation	Morgan Stanley	XXXXX2-037
St. Louise Regional Hospital Foundation	Bank of America	XXXXXX2521
St. Francis Medical Center Foundation	Bank of America	XXXXXX2809
St. Vincent Medical Center Foundation	Bank of America	XXXXXX3025
St. Vincent Medical Center Foundation	East West Bank	XXXXXX1162
St. Vincent Dialysis Center	Bank of America	XXXXXX8921
Seton Medical Center Foundation	Bank of America	XXXXXX2260
Healy, James T/U/A	Wells Fargo	XXXXXX0089
Verity Business Services	Bank of America	XXXXXX6402
Verity Business Services	Bank of America	XXXXXX2982
Verity Business Services	Bank of America	XXXXXX3192
Verity Medical Foundation	Wells Fargo	XXXXXX9996
Verity Medical Foundation	Wells Fargo	XXXXXX0010
Verity Holdings LLC	Bank of America	XXXXXX1597
Verity Holdings LLC	Bank of America	XXXXXX1248
Verity Holdings LLC	Bank of America	XXXXXX1970
Verity Holdings LLC	Bank of America	XXXXXX1975
Seton Medical Center	Tri Counties Bank	XXXXXX3611
Seton Medical Center Foundation	Tri Counties Bank	XXXXXX8521

Exhibit B—Section II

- Verity Health System of California, Inc.
- O'Connor Hospital Foundation
- Saint Louise Regional Hospital Foundation
- St. Francis Medical Center of Lynwood Foundation
- St. Vincent Foundation
- St. Vincent Dialysis Center, Inc.
- Seton Medical Center Foundation
- Verity Business Services
- Verity Medical Foundation
- De Paul Ventures, LLC
- De Paul Ventures - San Jose Dialysis, LLC