Docket #2713 Date Filed: 08/13/2026

UNITED STATES FEDERAL BANKRUPTCY COURT
IN THE MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS
KINROSS CORRECTIONAL FACILITY

United States Courts
Southern District of Texas
FILED
AUG 13 2026
Nathan Ochsner, Clerk of Court

IN RE: TEHUM INSURANCE COMPANY

COMPLAINT FOR RETALIATION

In October of 2019, I was issued an ANKLE BRACE by the Kinross Correctional Facility, health care unit based on a prior order from a doctor in the Thumb Correctional Facility for this brace.

I was given this brace after under going X-Rays and physical exams on the ankle

Three decade ago, the ankle was broken in an accident and was not set straight.

Due to the nature of the break being in the ball area of the leg bone, this created arthritis and left bone shards floating around the joint.

This then created an issue of the bone shards and arthritis coming together at times to cause instant and extreme pain, making the ankle fail to the point of me falling.

I had a serious fall while in the Thumb Correctional Facility that led to the issuance of the ankle brace.

When I arrived at the Kinross Correctional facility, the issue began to get worse and in October of 2019, I was issued an ankle brace with plastic stays in it.

This worked fine and I used it up until July of 2026 when I was summoned to the Health Care Unit and forced to relinquish the brace, (SEE EXHIBIT A).

I was told that it was no longer needed, yet I have had no surgerys or other treatments that could prevented the bone shard and arthritis from causing me any harm.Kinross Health Care Unit also sent me for X-Rays and did a physical exam BEFORE the issuance of the ankle brace with stays was given to me.

I am now in the position of not having any support when the ankle fails and the ensuing fall will cause me more physical damage due to my age (64) Its not a matter of 'IF' I fall, but rather when.

I wasnt even given a physical exam before this device was pronounced unneeded by health care staff.

I am certain that this is being done due my filing a law suit against the insurance company, and winning. This certainly seems to be an arbitrary and capricious decision that places me in actual physical danger of being injured.

This case is still ongoing and has not been fully closed out with federal courts having over sight due to the company filing for bankruptcy.

2390086260818000000000001

This then brings the following statute into active duty.

No one may retaliate against any one who is a party to federal bankruptcy action under U.S. Bankruptcy Code-Chapter 5, Sec. 323 and 541.

A copy of this is being sent to the Honorable Federal Judge Lopez who is over seeing this case to commence action for default against the involved parties and a request for referral to be made to the United States Department Of Justice.

This case number is #23-90086-(CML) and can be found on West law or any legal web site.

In addition to violating Federal Code, this removal of a medical device also violates the United State Constitution and the Michigan Constitutional Rights to redress the government for grievances against it.

No one may retaliate against anyone who files a law suit or grievance.

There is no other reason that this device was removed from me as the ankle has not improved with age. And there has been no physical exam or X-Rays done to prove this out.

In fact, the only test and exams that have been done are the very one that led to the brace being issued in the first place.

And the detail/accommodation I was given didnt have an expiration date because they knew at the time that it wasnt going to get better with age. (SEE EXHIBIT B-E)

So the only logical conclusion can be its being done to retaliate against me for filing a suit against the state and the insurance company.

As an agreement with the trust to settle, I was given As a request in my relief, I asked for medical treatment in perpetuity. This places the settlement agreement in default at this time.

RESOLUTION:

That the ankle brace be returned to me forthwith with no further action taken by health care staff on this issue unless requested by myself.

RETALIATION CLAUSE;

I fear retaliation in the form or Transfer, denial of medical treatment and or any other unusual circumstances of prison life that may arise.

I have read the foregoing and believe it to be true to the best on my knowledge, information and belief.

/s/ Scott Woodbury

SCOTT WOODBURY 203038
COMPLAINANT

DATE: 8/6/26

SCOTT WOODBURY 203038
KINROSS CORRECTIONAL FACILITY
4533 W, INDUSTRIAL PARK DRIVE
KINCHELOE, MICHIGAN
49788

GRAND RAPIDS MI
8 AUG 2026 PM 3
FIRST-CLASS

US POSTAGE PITNEY BOWES
ZIP 49788 $ 001.07⁰
02 7W
0008040230 AUG. 07. 2026

Judge Christopher Lopez
Southern District of Texas
Houston Division
515 Rusk Ave
Houston, Texas
77002

United States Courts
Southern District of Texas
FILED
AUG 13 2026
Nathan Ochsner, Clerk of Court

77002-260099