FOLEY & LARDNER LLP
Eileen R. Ridley (CA Bar No. 151735)
Tel: (415) 438-6469; eridley@foley.com
Shane J. Moses (CA Bar No. 250533)
Tel: (415) 438-6404; smoses@foley.com
Ann Marie Uetz (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Tel: (313) 234-7114; auetz@foley.com
Matthew D. Lee (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Tel: (608) 258-4203; mdlee@foley.com
Geoffrey S. Goodman (*pro hac vice* requested)
Tel: (312) 832-4515; ggoodman@foley.com
Mark C. Moore (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Tel: (214) 999-4150; mmoore@foley.com
One Market Plaza
55 Spear Street Tower, Suite 1900
San Francisco, CA 94105

Counsel for the Debtor
and Debtor in Possession

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

OAKLAND DIVISION

In re:

THE ROMAN CATHOLIC BISHOP OF OAKLAND, a California corporation sole,

Debtor.

Case No. 23-40523 WJL

Chapter 11

DECLARATION OF ANN MARIE UETZ IN SUPPORT OF TENTH INTERIM FEE APPLICATION OF FOLEY & LARDNER LLP, AS GENERAL BANKRUPTCY COUNSEL TO THE DEBTOR, FOR ALLOWANCE AND PAYMENT OF COMPENSATION AND REIMBURSEMENT OF EXPENSES FOR THE PERIOD OF MAY 1, 2026, THROUGH AUGUST 31, 2026

Judge: Hon. William J. Lafferty

Date: December 2, 2026
Time: 10:30 a.m. PST
Place: United States Bankruptcy Court
1300 Clay Street
Courtroom 220
Oakland, CA 94612

I, Ann Marie Uetz, do hereby declare as follows:

The following facts are personally known to me, and if called to do so, I could and would competently testify thereto.

1. I am an attorney admitted to practice before all courts in the State of Michigan and various federal district and bankruptcy courts. I have been granted *pro hac vice* status to appear in the above-captioned case. I am a partner with the law firm of Foley & Lardner LLP ("Foley"), where I have worked for more than twenty years.

2. I submit this declaration in Support of the *Tenth Interim Fee Application of Foley & Lardner LLP, as General Bankruptcy Counsel to the Debtor, for Allowance and Payment of Compensation and Reimbursement of Expenses for the Period of May 1, 2026, through August 31, 2026* (the "Interim Application").

3. I have personally reviewed the information contained in the Interim Application, and believe its contents to be true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information and belief.

4. Foley does not charge for photocopying expenses, print jobs, or scanned copies. However, it might sometimes be necessary for Foley to send large copying projects to an outside copy service that charges a reduced rate for photocopying.

5. Foley does not charge for local or long-distance telephone calls placed by attorneys from their offices. Foley only bills its clients for the actual costs charged Foley by teleconferencing services in the event that a multiple-party teleconference is initiated through Foley.

6. Regarding providers of on-line legal research (e.g., LEXIS and WESTLAW), Foley charges the standard usage rates these providers charge for computerized legal research. Foley bills its clients the actual amount charged by such services, with no premium. Any volume discount received by Foley is passed on to the client.

7. Foley believes the foregoing rates for expenses are the market rates that the majority of law firms charge clients for such services.

8. Foley has not been paid or promised any compensation from any source for services rendered in connection with this case, other than the Debtor's funds and the retainer paid to it by the Debtor pre-petition.

9. Foley has not entered into any agreement or understanding with any other entity for the sharing of compensation received or to be received for services rendered and/or to be rendered in connection with this case.

10. Foley believes that the compensation and expense reimbursement sought in the Interim Application is in conformity with the *United States Bankruptcy Court Northern District of California Guidelines for Compensation and Expense Reimbursement of Professionals and Trustees,* except to the extent that certain of the monthly billings are for amounts in excess of $20,000 per category. Because those categories involve substantially similar issues, Foley has not attempted to break them into subcategories. Given the size and complexity of this case, Foley submits that limiting each category to $20,000 is not practicable.

11. As made clear in the Interim Application, the compensation and expenses sought herein were billed at rates no less favorable than those customarily billed by Foley and generally accepted by the Firm's clients.

12. I have personally reviewed the bills in this matter, and the bills represent true and correct charges to the best of my knowledge, information and belief.

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed this 30th day of October, 2026.

/s/ Ann Marie Uetz
Ann Marie Uetz, Esq.