IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

In re: RELIZ TECHNOLOGY GROUP HOLDINGS INC., *et al.*,[1] Debtors.	Chapter 11 Case No. 26-10371 (TMH) (Jointly Administered) **Obj. Deadline: 7/24/26 at 4:00 p.m. (ET)** **Hrg. Date: 8/13/26 at 11:00 a.m. (ET)**

MOTION OF DEBTORS FOR ENTRY OF ORDER EXTENDING EXCLUSIVE PERIODS TO FILE A CHAPTER 11 PLAN AND SOLICIT ACCEPTANCES THEREOF

Reliz Technology Group Holdings Inc. and its affiliated debtors and debtors in possession in the above-captioned chapter 11 cases (collectively, the "Debtors") hereby move (the "Motion") for entry an order, substantially in the form attached hereto as **Exhibit A** (the "Proposed Order"), granting the relief described below. In support of the Motion, the Debtors respectfully represent as follows:

RELIEF REQUESTED

1. By the Motion, the Debtors request entry of the Proposed Order extending by 90 days (a) the period during which the Debtors have the exclusive right to file a chapter 11 plan, through and including October 11, 2026 (the "Exclusive Filing Period"),[2] and (b) the period during which the Debtors have the exclusive right to solicit votes on a chapter 11 plan, through and including February 9, 2027 (the "Exclusive Solicitation Period" and, together with the Exclusive

[1] The Debtors in these chapter 11 cases, along with the last four digits of their respective federal tax identification numbers, are: Reliz Technology Group Holdings Inc. (6265); Reliz Technologies LLC (1968); Reliz LTD (N/A); and Reliz CI LTD (N/A). The Debtors' service address is 401 West Ontario St., Suite 400, Chicago, IL 60654.

[2] Pursuant to Del. Bankr. L.R. 9006-2, the filing of this Motion prior to the expiration of the current Exclusive Periods (as defined herein) shall automatically extend the Exclusive Periods until such time as the Court rules on this Motion. *See* Del. Bankr. L.R. 9006-2.

Filing Period, the "Exclusive Periods"), without prejudice to the Debtors' right to seek further extensions of the Exclusive Periods.[3]

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

2. The United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware (the "Court") has jurisdiction to consider the Motion pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334 and the *Amended Standing Order of Reference* from the United States District Court for the District of Delaware, dated February 29, 2012. This is a core proceeding under 28 U.S.C. § 157(b). Venue of this case and the Motion in this District is proper under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409.

3. The legal predicate for the relief requested herein is section 1121(d) of title 11 of the United States Code (the "Bankruptcy Code") and Rule 9006-2 of the Local Rules of the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware (the "Local Rules").

4. The Debtors confirm their consent, pursuant to Local Rule 9013-1(f), to the entry of a final order by the Court in connection with the Motion in the event that it is later determined that the Court, absent consent of the parties, cannot enter final orders or judgments in connection herewith consistent with Article III of the United States Constitution.

BACKGROUND

I. The Chapter 11 Cases

5. On March 15, 2026 (the "Petition Date"), each Debtor commenced a case by filing a voluntary petition for relief under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code (collectively, the "Chapter 11 Cases") in the Court.

6. The Debtors continue to operate their businesses and manage their properties as debtors and debtors in possession pursuant to Bankruptcy Code sections 1107(a) and 1108.

[3] The Debtors' Exclusive Filing Period and Exclusive Solicitation Period currently expire on July 13, 2026 and November 11, 2026, respectively.

7. On March 27, 2026, the Office of the United States Trustee for the District of Delaware (the "U.S. Trustee") appointed an Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors in the Chapter 11 Cases (the "Committee") pursuant to Bankruptcy Code section 1102(a) [Docket No. 104]. No trustee or examiner has been appointed in the Chapter 11 Cases.

8. Additional information regarding the Debtors and the Chapter 11 Cases, including the reasons for and objectives of the Chapter 11 Cases, is set forth in the *Declaration of Mark Renzi in Support of Chapter 11 Petitions and First Day Motions* [Docket No. 3].

II. The Claims Process

9. On March 25, 2026, the Debtors filed the *Motion of Debtors for Entry of Order (I) Establishing Bar Dates for Filing to File Proofs of Claim; (II) Approving Procedures for Filing Proofs of Claim; (III) Approving Form and Manner of Notice of Bar Dates; and (IV) Granting Related Relief* [Docket No. 93] (the "Bar Date Motion"). On April 14, 2026, the Court entered an order [Docket No. 176] granting the Bar Date Motion and, among other things, establishing May 14, 2026 (5:00 p.m. ET) as the deadline for persons and entities to assert claims against or interests in the Debtors.

10. To date, 124 proofs of claim have been filed in the Chapter 11 Cases, including Proof of Claim Nos. 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51, and 52 (collectively, the "Celsius Claims") filed by Celsius Network Ltd. ("Celsius"). The Celsius Claims were asserted in a liquidated amount of $5,572,806.00 as of the Petition Date, plus additional unliquidated and/or contingent amounts. Celsius is the Debtors' largest secured lender and has played a central role in the Debtors' Chapter 11 Cases.

11. On June 18, 2026, the Debtors filed the *Motion of Debtors for Entry of Order (I) Approving Settlement With Celsius Network Ltd.; (II) Approving Assumption and Assignment*

to Celsius of the InvestiFI SAFE Note; and (III) Granting Related Relief [Docket No. 440] (the "Celsius Settlement Motion"). On June 30, 2026, the Court entered an order [Docket No. 478] approving the Celsius Settlement Motion and authorizing the Debtors to settle the Celsius Claims in accordance with the Celsius Settlement Motion and the settlement agreement described therein.

III. The Plan Process

12. On April 7, 2026, the Debtors filed the *Joint Chapter 11 Plan of Reliz Technology Group Holdings Inc. and Its Debtor Affiliates* [Docket No. 128] (as may be amended, supplemented, or otherwise modified, the "Plan"), pursuant to and as described in the *Disclosure Statement Relating to Joint Chapter 11 Plan of Reliz Technology Group Holdings Inc. and Its Debtor Affiliates* [Docket No. 129] (as amended, the "Disclosure Statement").

13. On July 11, 2024, the Court entered an order [Docket No. 388] (the "Solicitation Procedures Order") approving the Disclosure Statement and related solicitation procedures. Among other things, the Solicitation Procedures Order (i) established July 1, 2026 (4:00 p.m. ET) as the deadline for voting parties to accept or reject the Plan and (ii) scheduled a hearing (the "Confirmation Hearing") to consider approval of the Plan for July 13, 2026 (10:00 a.m. ET).

14. On July 8, 2026, the Debtors filed the *Declaration of Michael Paque with Respect to the Tabulation of Votes on the Joint Chapter 11 Plan of Reliz Technology Group Holdings Inc. and its Debtor Affiliates* [Docket No. 502], which reflects that the large majority of creditors voting on the Plan voted in favor of the Plan, and all voting classes accepted the Plan.

BASIS FOR RELIEF REQUESTED AND APPLICABLE AUTHORITY

15. Bankruptcy Code section 1121(b) provides for an initial period of 120 days after the commencement of a chapter 11 case during which a debtor has the exclusive right to file a plan. *See* 11 U.S.C. § 1121(b). Bankruptcy Code section 1121(c)(3) provides that if a debtor files

a plan within the Exclusive Filing Period, it has an initial period of 180 days after the commencement of the chapter 11 case to obtain acceptance of such plan. *See* 11 U.S.C. § 1121(c)(3). Bankruptcy Code section 1121(d) permits the Bankruptcy Court to extend the Exclusive Periods for "cause." *See* 11 U.S.C. § 1121(d). For the reasons set forth herein, the Debtors believe that such "cause" exists to extend the Exclusive Periods by 90 days each.

I. Bankruptcy Code Section 1121(d) Permits the Court to Extend the Exclusive Periods for "Cause."

16. The plan exclusivity periods are intended to afford debtors the opportunity to propose a plan and to solicit acceptances of the plan without the disruption that might be caused by the filing of competing plans by third parties. It is well established that the decision to extend a debtor's exclusivity periods is committed to the sound discretion of the Court and should be based upon the facts and circumstances of a particular case.[4] *See First Am. Bank of New York v. Southwest Gloves and Safety Equip., Inc.*, 64 B.R. 963, 965 (D. Del. 1986); *In re Mid-State Raceway, Inc.*, 323 B.R. 63, 68 (Bankr. N.D.N.Y. 2005); *In re Reetz*, 61 B.R. 412, 414 (Bankr. W.D. Wis. 1986). Although the Bankruptcy Code does not define "cause" for purposes of an extension request under Bankruptcy Code section 1121(d), courts have looked to its legislative history for guidance. *See In re Burns & Roe Enters., Inc.*, No. 00-41610 RG, 2005 WL 6289213, at *4 (D.N.J. Nov. 2, 2005) (noting that the exclusive periods under Bankruptcy Code section 1121 are intended "to promote an environment in which the debtor's business may be rehabilitated and a consensual plan may be negotiated") (quoting H.R. Rep. No. 103-835, at 36 (1994)); *In re Gibson & Cushman Dredging Corp.*, 101 B.R. 405, 409 (E.D.N.Y. 1989); *In re Amko Plastics, Inc.*, 197

[4] Although the Bankruptcy Abuse Prevention and Consumer Protection Act of 2005 ("BAPCPA") amended Bankruptcy Code section 1121(d) by prohibiting extensions of the Exclusive Filing Period and Exclusive Solicitation Period beyond 18 and 20 months of the petition date, respectively, there was no revision to the standards for obtaining interim extensions. Accordingly, pre-BAPCPA case law continues to apply and must be examined in the context of the Chapter 11 Cases.

B.R. 74, 77 (Bankr. S.D. Ohio 1996). Such legislative history indicates that Congress did not intend the 120- and 180-day exclusivity periods to be a hard and fast limit. *See Amko Plastics, Inc.*, 197 B.R. at 77 (noting that Congress intended courts to have flexibility in dealing with extensions of exclusivity); *Gaines v. Perkins (In re Perkins)*, 71 B.R. 294, 297 (W.D. Tenn. 1987) ("The hallmark of [section 1121(d)] is flexibility."). Rather, Congress intended that the debtor's exclusivity periods be of an adequate length, given the circumstances, for a debtor to formulate, negotiate, and draft a viable plan without the disruptions that would occur with the filing of competing plans of reorganization. *See Geriatrics Nursing Home v. First Fidelity Bank, N.A.*, 187 B.R. 128, 133 (D.N.J. 1995) ("The opportunity to negotiate its plan unimpaired by competition, the court held, is meant to allow the debtor time to satisfy all creditors and win support for its restructuring scheme and thus ensure its survival as a business."). This period of exclusivity affords a debtor an opportunity to propose a chapter 11 plan and solicit acceptances thereof without the potential value deterioration and disruption to business operations that would ensue from the filing and solicitation of competing plans by non-debtor parties. Further, the legislative history indicates that "cause" should be interpreted in such a way "to allow the debtor to reach agreement." H.R. Rep. No. 95-595 at 231-32 (1978).

17. To determine whether "cause" exists to grant an extension of the Exclusive Periods, courts analyze the following factors:

(a) the size of the debtors and difficulty in formulating a plan;

(b) the necessity of sufficient time to negotiate a plan and prepare adequate information to allow a creditor to determine whether to accept the plan;

(c) the existence of good faith progress toward reorganization;

(d) whether the debtors are paying their debts as they come due;

(e) whether the debtors have demonstrated reasonable prospects for filing a viable plan;

(f) whether the debtors have made progress in negotiating with creditors;

(g) the length of time the case has been pending;

(h) whether the debtors are seeking an extension to pressure creditors to submit to their demands; and

(i) whether or not unresolved contingencies exist.

See, e.g., *In re Dow Corning Corp.*, 208 B.R. 661, 664–65 (Bankr. E.D. Mich. 1997); *In re Adelphia Commc'ns Corp.*, 352 B.R. 578, 587 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 2006); *In re Cent. Jersey Airport Servs., LLC*, 282 B.R. 176, 184 (Bankr. D.N.J. 2002); *In re Express One Int'l Inc.*, 194 B.R. 98, 100 (Bankr. E.D. Tex. 1996).

18. Not all of the above factors are relevant to every case and courts may consider the relevant subset of factors to determine whether cause exists to grant an exclusivity extension in a particular chapter 11 case. *See, e.g.*, *In re Express One Int'l, Inc.*, 194 B.R. 98, 100-01 (Bankr. E.D. Tex. 1996) (identifying four of the factors as relevant in determining whether "cause" exists to extend exclusivity); *In re United Press Int'l, Inc.*, 60 B.R. 265, 269 (Bankr. D.D.C. 1986) (finding that the debtor showed "cause" to extend exclusivity based upon three of the factors); *In re Pine Run Trust, Inc.*, 67 B.R. 432, 435 (Bankr. E.D. Pa. 1986) (relying on two factors in holding that cause existed to extend exclusivity). The Debtors respectfully submit that, as detailed below, consideration of the relevant factors supports the requested extensions of the Exclusive Periods.

II. Good Cause Exists to Extend the Exclusive Periods

19. This is the Debtors' first request for an extension of their Exclusive Periods, and the Debtors are seeking only a limited 90-day extension. The Debtors submit that these factors, and the additional factors discussed below, weigh in favor of such extension.

A. The Debtors Have Made Good Faith Progress Since the Petition Date.

20. Since the Petition Date, the Debtors have made significant and material progress advancing the Chapter 11 Cases. Significantly, the Debtors have filed and solicited their Plan, and have settled with Celsius, the Debtors' largest secured lender, with respect to the Celsius Claims.

21. The Debtors have engaged meaningfully with all stakeholders regarding the Debtors' Plan, and were able to resolve all informal objections to the Plan through revisions to the Plan and the proposed confirmation order. Based on these efforts, the Debtors believe that the July 13, 2026 Confirmation Hearing will proceed on a fully-consensual basis. Although the Debtors anticipate consummating the Plan shortly after confirmation, the Debtors nonetheless believe it is prudent to seek an extension of their Exclusive Periods.

22. The Debtors submit that their significant progress made to date in the Chapter 11 Cases strongly weighs in favor of extending the Exclusive Periods.

B. The Debtors Have Paid Their Debts in the Ordinary Course as They Came Due.

23. The Debtors have made and will continue to make timely payments on their undisputed post-petition obligations in the ordinary course, meaning that the requested extension of the Exclusive Periods will not prejudice the legitimate interests of post-petition creditors. As such, this factor weighs in favor of extending the Exclusive Periods.

C. The Debtors are Not Seeking an Extension to Pressure Creditors.

24. Granting the requested extensions of the Exclusive Periods will not pressure the Debtors' creditors or grant the Debtors any unfair bargaining leverage. As noted above, Plan solicitation is complete and the Confirmation Hearing is scheduled to go forward on July 13, 2026. Thus, the Debtors are seeking extensions of the Exclusive Periods out of an abundance of caution

in the event that any unforeseen issues arise. Therefore, this factor also weighs in favor of extending the Exclusive Periods.

D. The Debtors Have Reasonable Prospects for Filing a Viable Plan.

25. As discussed above, the Plan has been filed and solicited and approved by all classes entitled to vote on the Plan. Additionally, there were no objections filed to Plan confirmation, and the Debtors were able to resolve all informal objections through agreed modifications to the Plan and proposed confirmation order. Accordingly, the Debtors believe that this factor weighs in favor of extending the Exclusive Periods.

26. Based upon the foregoing, the Debtors respectfully submit that cause exists in the Chapter 11 Cases to extend the Exclusive Periods as requested herein.

NOTICE

27. The Debtors will provide notice of the Motion to: (a) the U.S. Trustee; (b) the Committee; (c) all parties entitled to notice pursuant to Local Rule 2002-1(b). The Debtors submit that no other or further notice is required.

[Remainder of Page Intentionally Left Blank]

WHEREFORE, the Debtors respectfully request entry of the Proposed Order, substantially in the form attached hereto, granting the relief requested herein and granting such other relief as is just and proper.

Dated: July 10, 2026
Wilmington, Delaware

MCDERMOTT WILL & SCHULTE LLP

/s/ David R. Hurst

David R. Hurst (I.D. No. 3743)
Andrew A. Mark (I.D. No. 6861)
The Brandywine Building
1000 N. West Street, Suite 1400
Wilmington, Delaware 19801
Telephone: (302) 485-3900
Email: dhurst@mcdermottlaw.com
amark@mcdermottlaw.com

-and-

Darren Azman (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Joseph B. Evans (admitted *pro hac vice*)
R. Ethan Dover (admitted *pro hac vice*)
One Vanderbilt Avenue
New York, New York 10017
Telephone: (212) 547-5400
Email: dazman@mcdermottlaw.com
jbevans@mcdermottlaw.com
edover@mcdermottlaw.com

-and-

Gregg Steinman (admitted *pro hac vice*)
333 SE 2nd Avenue, Suite 4500
Miami, Florida 33131
Telephone: (305) 358-3500
Email: gsteinman@mcdermottlaw.com

Counsel for Debtors and Debtors in Possession

IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

In re:	Chapter 11
RELIZ TECHNOLOGY GROUP HOLDINGS INC., *et al.*,[1]	Case No. 26-10371 (TMH)
	(Jointly Administered)
Debtors.	**Obj. Deadline: 7/24/26 at 4:00 p.m. (ET)** **Hrg. Date: 8/13/26 at 11:00 a.m. (ET)**

NOTICE OF HEARING ON MOTION OF DEBTORS FOR ENTRY OF ORDER EXTENDING EXCLUSIVE PERIODS TO FILE A CHAPTER 11 PLAN AND SOLICIT ACCEPTANCES THEREOF

TO: (a) the United States Trustee for the District of Delaware; (b) the Committee; and (c) all parties entitled to notice pursuant to Local Rule 2002-1(b)

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that, on July 10, 2026, the above-captioned debtors and debtors-in-possession (the "Debtors") filed the *Motion of Debtors for Entry of Order Extending Exclusive Periods to File a Chapter 11 Plan and Solicit Acceptances Thereof* (the "Motion") with the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware (the "Court").

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that objections, if any, to the Motion must be in writing, filed with the Court, 824 Market Street, 3rd Floor, Wilmington, Delaware 19801, and served upon: (a) the Debtors, 401 West Ontario Street, Suite 400, Chicago, IL 60654 (Attn: Joseph Perry); (b) counsel to the Debtors, McDermott Will & Schulte LLP, The Brandywine Building, 1000 N. West Street, Suite 1400, Wilmington, DE 19801 (Attn: David R. Hurst (dhurst@mcdermottlaw.com) and Andrew A. Mark (amark@mcdermottlaw.com)), One Vanderbilt Avenue, New York, NY 10017 (Attn: Darren Azman (dazman@mcdermottlaw.com), Joseph B. Evans (jbevans@mcdermottlaw.com), and R. Ethan Dover (edover@mcdermottlaw.com)), and 333 SE 2nd Avenue, Suite 4500, Miami, FL 33131 (Attn: Gregg Steinman (gsteinman@mcdermottlaw.com)); (c) counsel to the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors, Morris James LLP, 3205 Avenue North Blvd., Suite 100, Wilmington, DE 19803 (Attn: Eric J. Monzo (emonzo@morrisjames.com), Tara C. Pakrouh (tpakrouh@morrisjames.com), and Siena B. Cerra (scerra@morrisjames.com)); and (d) the United States Trustee for the District of Delaware, 844 King Street, Suite 2207, Lockbox 35, Wilmington, DE 19801 (Attn: Benjamin Hackman (benjamin.a.hackman@usdoj.gov)), so that it is received on or before **July 24, 2026, at 4:00 p.m. (prevailing Eastern Time).**

[1] The Debtors in these chapter 11 cases, along with the last four digits of their respective federal tax identification numbers, are: Reliz Technology Group Holdings Inc. (6265); Reliz Technologies LLC (1968); Reliz LTD (N/A); and Reliz CI LTD (N/A). The Debtors' service address is 401 West Ontario St., Suite 400, Chicago, IL 60654.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that a hearing on the Motion, if necessary, will be held on **August 13, 2026, at 11:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern Time)** before the Honorable Thomas M. Horan, United States Bankruptcy Judge, United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware, 824 Market Street, 3rd Floor, Courtroom No. 7, Wilmington, Delaware 19801.

IF YOU FAIL TO RESPOND IN ACCORDANCE WITH THIS NOTICE, THE COURT MAY GRANT THE RELIEF REQUESTED BY THE MOTION WITHOUT FURTHER NOTICE OR A HEARING.

Dated: July 10, 2026
Wilmington, Delaware

MCDERMOTT WILL & SCHULTE LLP

/s/ David R. Hurst

David R. Hurst (I.D. No. 3743)
Andrew A. Mark (I.D. No. 6861)
The Brandywine Building
1000 N. West Street, Suite 1400
Wilmington, Delaware 19801
Telephone: (302) 485-3900
Email: dhurst@mcdermottlaw.com
amark@mcdermottlaw.com

-and-

Darren Azman (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Joseph B. Evans (admitted *pro hac vice*)
R. Ethan Dover (admitted *pro hac vice*)
One Vanderbilt Avenue
New York, New York 10017
Telephone: (212) 547-5400
Email: dazman@mcdermottlaw.com
jbevans@mcdermottlaw.com
edover@mcdermottlaw.com

-and-

Gregg Steinman (admitted *pro hac vice*)
333 SE 2nd Avenue, Suite 4500
Miami, Florida 33131
Telephone: (305) 358-3500
Email: gsteinman@mcdermottlaw.com

Counsel for Debtors and Debtors in Possession

EXHIBIT A

Proposed Order

IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

In re: RELIZ TECHNOLOGY GROUP HOLDINGS INC., *et al.*,[1] Debtors.	Chapter 11 Case No. 26-10371 (TMH) (Jointly Administered)

ORDER EXTENDING EXCLUSIVE PERIODS TO FILE
A CHAPTER 11 PLAN AND SOLICIT ACCEPTANCES THEREOF

Upon the motion (the "Motion")[2] of the Debtors for entry of an order (this "Order") pursuant to section 1121(d) of the Bankruptcy Code and Local Rule 9006-2 extending by 90 days the Debtors' exclusive periods to (i) file a chapter 11 plan, through and including October 11, 2026 (the "Exclusive Filing Period"), and (ii) solicit votes thereon, through and including February 9, 2027 (the "Exclusive Solicitation Period" and, together with the Exclusive Filing Period, the "Exclusive Periods"), without prejudice to the Debtors' right to seek further extensions of the Exclusive Periods, as more fully described in the Motion; and the Court having jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334 and the *Amended Standing Order of Reference* from the United States District Court for the District of Delaware, dated February 29, 2012; and the matter being a core proceeding within the meaning of 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(2); and venue of this proceeding and the Motion in this District being proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409; and the Court being able to issue a final order consistent with Article III of the United States Constitution; and due and sufficient notice of the Motion having been given under the particular

[1] The Debtors in these chapter 11 cases, along with the last four digits of their respective federal tax identification numbers, are: Reliz Technology Group Holdings Inc. (6265); Reliz Technologies LLC (1968); Reliz LTD (N/A); and Reliz CI LTD (N/A). The Debtors' service address is 401 West Ontario St., Suite 400, Chicago, IL 60654.

[2] Capitalized terms used but not otherwise defined herein shall have the meanings ascribed to them in the Motion.

circumstances; and it appearing that no other or further notice is necessary; and it appearing that the relief requested in the Motion is in the best interests of the Debtors, their estates, their creditors, and other parties in interest; and after due deliberation thereon; and good and sufficient cause appearing therefor; it is hereby

ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that:

1. The Motion is granted as set forth herein.

2. The Exclusive Filing Period is extended through and including October 11, 2026.

3. The Exclusive Solicitation Period is extended through and including February 9, 2027.

4. The entry of this Order is without prejudice to the Debtors' right to request further extensions of the Exclusive Periods.

5. The Debtors are authorized to take all actions necessary to implement the relief granted in this Order.

6. The Court retains exclusive jurisdiction with respect to all matters arising from or related to the implementation, interpretation, or enforcement of this Order.