Docket #0406 Date Filed: 09/09/2026

IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
HOUSTON DIVISION

In re	)	Chapter 11
Ambipar Emergency Response,[1]	)	Case No. 25-90524 (ARP)
Debtor in a Foreign Proceeding.	)	

STATEMENT OF THE AD HOC GROUP OF FINANCIAL CREDITORS

The Ad Hoc Group of Financial Creditors (the "**Ad Hoc Group**"),[2] by and through its undersigned counsel, hereby respectfully submits this statement to inform this Court of developments in the foreign proceeding (the "**RJ Proceeding**") of Ambipar Emergency Response (the "**Debtor**" and with its affiliates subject to the RJ Proceeding, the "**RJ Debtors**").

General Meeting of Creditors Scheduled but Not Convened

1. On August 7, 2026, the Third Corporate Court of Rio de Janeiro (the "**Brazilian Bankruptcy Court**") issued a notice convening two general creditors' meetings (each a "**GCM**").[3] The Brazilian Bankruptcy Court scheduled the first GCM to convene on August 25, 2026 at 1:00pm (ET) and the second GCM to convene on September 1, 2026 at 1:00pm (ET). On August

[1] The last four digits of the Debtor's taxpayer identification number are 0263. The Debtor's address is P.O. Box 10008, Willow House, Cricket Square, Grand Cayman, KY1-1001, Cayman Islands.

[2] For purposes of this Statement, the Ad Hoc Group is composed of the following participants: (i) Banco ABC Brasil S.A., (ii) Banco do Brasil S.A., (iii) Fundo De Investimento Em Direitos Creditórios Pcg-Brasil Multicarteira, and (iv) Banco Sumitomo Mitsui Brasileiro S/A.

[3] *See* Notice of Creditors' Meeting (Aug. 7, 2026). A machine translation is attached as **Exhibit A**. The Ad Hoc Group has procured a certified translation and will file it with the Court once it is finalized.

25, 2026, before the first GCM commenced, it was determined that the GCM had failed to meet quorum and would not be convened.[4]

2. Prior to the second GCM scheduled for September 1, certain creditors of the RJ Debtors (including some members of the Ad Hoc Group) sought urgent relief to suspend the upcoming GCM pending their appeal of an earlier ruling that upheld the Brazilian Bankruptcy Court's jurisdiction over the RJ Proceeding.[5] The creditors argued that (1) São Paulo is the "principal establishment" of the RJ Debtors, as that term is defined under Brazilian law, and (2) that, accordingly, Rio de Janeiro is not the proper venue for the RJ Proceeding.[6]

3. On September 1, 2026, the Superior Court of Justice (the "**STJ**") granted the creditors' request for relief and found that the earlier ruling upholding Rio de Janeiro as the RJ Debtors' principal establishment warrants closer review.[7] To avoid "a situation that would be difficult to reverse before the matter has been properly investigated," the STJ also ordered a preliminary injunction to suspend the GCM set to occur on September 1, 2026.[8] Promptly thereafter, the Brazilian Bankruptcy Court implemented the order of the STJ and suspended the

---

4 *See* Notice to the Market by Ambipar Participações E Empreendimentos S.A. and Environmental ESG Participações S.A. (Aug. 26, 2026), attached as **Exhibit B**.

5 *See* Preliminary Injunction No. 1061 by the Superior Tribunal de Justiça (Sept. 1, 2026). A machine translation is attached as **Exhibit C**. The Ad Hoc Group has procured a certified translation and will file it with the Court once it is finalized.

6 Ex. C at 2-3.

7 *Id.* at 10 ("The controversy demands a more in-depth examination of the contours outlined in the ruling regarding the corporate and managerial structure of the conglomerate…").

8 *Id.* at 10-11 ("The risk of irreparable harm is also evident, given the imminent general meeting of creditors, scheduled for September 1, 2026…").

GCM scheduled for September 1, 2026.[9] The suspension of the GCM by the STJ is indefinite and there is no indication of when the GCM may be rescheduled.

4. Additionally, while the RSA[10] required the RJ Debtors to file a revised RJ Plan consistent with its terms within seven (7) days before the GCM[11] – August 18, 2026 for the first scheduled GCM and August 25, 2026 for the second scheduled GCM – and submitted for a vote by creditors before August 28, 2026,[12] and then approved by the Brazilian Bankruptcy Court by the later of October 7, 2026 and 20 business days following approval by creditors,[13] the RJ Debtors still have not filed any revised RJ Plan. And given the injunction of the GCM imposed by the STJ, as well as the uncertainty as to whether the RJ Proceeding will be transferred to another court, it is unlikely that the RJ Debtors will file any revised restructuring plan in the near future.

Conclusion

5. Given that the GCM has been suspended indefinitely and the lack of progress in the filing of any revised RJ Plan, there is no longer any apparent urgency with respect to the *Motion for (I) Recognition of Foreign Main Proceeding, (II) Recognition of Foreign Representative, and (III) Related Relief under Chapter 15 of the Bankruptcy Code*, Case No. 26-90709 (ARP) (Bankr. S.D. Tex. July 9, 2026) or the *Debtor Parties' Motion to Suspend the Chapter 11 Case*, Case No. 25-90524 (ARP) (Bankr. S.D. Tex. July 9, 2026) as there does not appear to be any imminent

---

[9] Brazilian Bankruptcy Court's Implementation Order. A machine translation is attached as **Exhibit D**. The Ad Hoc Group has procured a certified translation and will file it with the Court once it is finalized.

[10] The "**RSA**" means that certain restructuring support agreement appended as Exhibit A to the *Debtor Parties' Motion o Suspend the Chapter 11 Case*, Case No. 25-90524 (ARP) (Bankr. S.D. Tex. July 9, 2026) [Docket No. 254].

[11] *Steps Plan*, Case No. 25-90524 (Bankr. S.D. Tex. July 9, 2026) [Docket No. 254] (the "**Steps Plan**"), Exhibit C at 1.

[12] Steps Plan at 1.

[13] Steps Plan at 1.

prospect for a global restructuring in Brazil. The Ad Hoc Group will continue to keep the Court apprised of further developments with respect to the RJ Proceeding.

[*Remainder of page intentionally left blank*]

Dated: September 9, 2026
Houston, Texas

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Charles R. Koster

WHITE & CASE LLP
Charles R. Koster (Texas Bar No. 24128278)
609 Main Street, Suite 2900
Houston, Texas 77002
Telephone: (713) 496-9700
Email: charles.koster@whitecase.com

Ricardo M. Pasianotto (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Andrew F. Costello (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Loredana B. Miranda (admitted *pro hac vice*)
1221 Avenue of the Americas
New York, New York 10020
Telephone: (212) 819-8200
Email: ricardo.pasianotto@whitecase.com
andrew.costello@whitecase.com
loredana.miranda@whitecase.com

John K. Cunningham (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Richard S. Kebrdle (admitted *pro hac vice*)
200 South Biscayne Boulevard, Suite 4900
Miami, Florida 33131
Telephone: (305) 371-2700
Email: jcunningham@whitecase.com
rkebrdle@whitecase.com

Jason N. Zakia (admitted *pro hac vice*)
300 N. LaSalle Drive
Chicago, Illinois 60654
Telephone: (312) 881-5400
Email: jzakia@whitecase.com

Counsel to the Ad Hoc Group of Financial Creditors

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on September 9, 2026, I caused a copy of the foregoing document to be served via the Electronic Case Filing System for the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of Texas.

/s/ Charles R. Koster
Charles R. Koster

EXHIBIT A

Machine Translated by Google

Judicial Branch
Court of Justice of the State of Rio de Janeiro, **3rd**
Business Court of the Capital District

AV. ERASMO BRAGA, 115, LAMINA I, SALA 713 - Neighborhood: Centro - Postal Code: 20020903 - Phone: 21 31332724 - Email: cap03vemp@tjrj.jus.br

DECLARATORY EMBARGOES IN JUDICIAL REORGANIZATION NO. 3014764-58.2025.8.19.0001/RJ

APPELLANT: AMBIPAR RESPONSE ENVIRONMENTAL CONSULTING OFFSHORE SA

Location: Rio de Janeiro **Date:** 04/08/2026

NOTICE NO. 190004070464

NOTICE OF CONVOCATION OF THE GENERAL MEETING OF CREDITORS OF THE JUDICIAL REORGANIZATION OF THE "AMBIPAR GROUP", composed of the companies AMBIPAR RESPONSE ENVIRONMENTAL CONSULTING OFFSHORE SA; AMBIPAR CBL INDÚSTRIA E COMÉRCIO DE MANUFATURADOS SA; AMBIPAR CERTIFICATION LTDA.; AMBIPAR COMPLIANCE SOLUTIONS SA; AMBIPAR C-SAFETY COMÉRCIO, INDÚSTRIA E SERVIÇOS LTDA.; AMBIPAR ECO PRODUCTS SA; AMBIPAR ENVIRONMENT CIRCULAR ECONOMY FPI PARANÁ LTDA.; AMBIPAR ENVIRONMENT CIRCULAR ECONOMY FPI SA; AMBIPAR ENVIRONMENT CIRCULAR ECONOMY NE LTDA.; AMBIPAR ENVIRONMENT CIRCULAR ECONOMY RM SA; AMBIPAR ENVIRONMENT ECONOMIA CIRCULAR NORDESTE SA; AMBIPAR ENVIRONMENT MANAUS LTDA.; AMBIPAR ENVIRONMENT RESIDENTIAL COLLECTION SA; AMBIPAR ENVIRONMENT REVERSE MANUFACTURING SA; AMBIPAR ENVIRONMENT WASTE MANAGEMENT AL SA; AMBIPAR ENVIRONMENT WASTE MANAGEMENT SUL LTDA.; AMBIPAR ENVIRONMENTAL CENTROESTE SA; AMBIPAR ENVIRONMENTAL GLASS CULLET RECYCLING SP LTDA.; AMBIPAR ENVIRONMENTAL GREEN TIRE AMBIENTAL LTDA; AMBIPAR ENVIRONMENTAL MACHINES SA; AMBIPAR ENVIRONMENTAL MINING LTDA; AMBIPAR ENVIRONMENTAL NORDESTE LTDA; AMBIPAR ENVIRONMENTAL NORDESTE SA; AMBIPAR ENVIRONMENTAL SOLUTIONS - SOLUÇÕES AMBIENTAIS LTDA; AMBIPAR ENVIRONMENTAL SUPREMA INDUSTRIAL SOLUTIONS SA; AMBIPAR ENVIRONMENTAL VIRASER SA; AMBIPAR ESG BRASIL SA; AMBIPAR ESG RISK MANAGEMENT LTDA; AMBIPAR FLYONE SERVIÇO AÉREO ESPECIALIZADO, COMÉRCIO E SERVIÇOS SA; AMBIPAR GREEN TECH LTDA; AMBIPAR HEALTH WASTE SERVICES SA; AMBIPAR INCORPORATION INVESTMENTS LTDA.; AMBIPAR METAL RECYCLING LTDA; AMBIPAR PARTICIPAÇÕES E EMPREENDIMENTOS SA; AMBIPAR RESPONSE ANALYTICAL SA; AMBIPAR RESPONSE DRACARES APOIO MARÍTIMO E PORTUÁRIO SA; AMBIPAR RESPONSE EMERGENCY MEDICAL SERVICES H SA; AMBIPAR RESPONSE EMERGENCY MEDICAL SERVICES R SA; AMBIPAR RESPONSE EMERGENCY MEDICAL SERVICES S SA; AMBIPAR RESPONSE ENVIRONMENTAL REMEDIATION LTDA; AMBIPAR RESPONSE ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES LTDA; AMBIPAR RESPONSE ESPÍRITO SANTO SA; AMBIPAR RESPONSE FAUNA E FLORA LTDA; AMBIPAR RESPONSE GEOCIÊNCIAS LTDA; AMBIPAR RESPONSE INDUSTRIAL ROBOT SA; AMBIPAR RESPONSE INDUSTRIAL SERVICES SA; AMBIPAR RESPONSE MARINE SA; AMBIPAR RESPONSE MARITIME SERVICES PDA SA; AMBIPAR RESPONSE SA; AMBIPAR RESPONSE TANK CLEANING SA; AMBIPAR WASTE TO ENERGY SA; AMBIPAR WORKFORCE SOLUTION - MÃO DE OBRA TEMPORÁRIA LTDA; BOOMERA AMBIPAR GESTÃO AMBIENTAL SA; DRYPOL AMBIPAR ENVIRONMENTAL PET SOLUTIONS SA; EMERGENCY PARTICIPATIONS SA; ENVIRONMENTAL ESG PARTICIPATIONS SA; JM INTEGRATED SERVICES SA; RG RESPONSE SA; RMC2 ENVIRONMENTAL SOLUTIONS LTDA; TERRA DRONE BRAZIL ENGINEERING SERVICES SA; AMBIPAR ENVIRONMENT CULLET RECYCLING BRAZIL SA; AMBIPAR ENVIRONMENT POST-CONSUMER LTDA.; AMBIPAR ENVIRONMENTAL ECOPARK SA; AMBIPAR ENVIRONMENT INDUSTRIAL WATER SOLUTIONS LTDA.; AMBIPAR ENVIRONMENT WATER SOLUTIONS LTDA.; AMBIPAR RESPONSE PARTICIPATIONS BRAZIL SA; AMAZONIA INCORPORATION AND PARTICIPATION SA; NUTRIGÁS SA; NUTRIPETRO SA; EVEREST PARTICIPAÇÕES E EMPREENDIMENTOS SA; AMBIPAR LUX S.A.RL; AMBIPAR EMERGENCY RESPONSE; and AMBIPAR LOGISTICS LTDA., extracted in accordance with articles 36 and 56 of Law No. 11.101/2005, from the records of Process No. 3014764-58.2025.8.19.0001 – available on the website of the Joint Judicial Administration: **https://carapetcovaj.com.br/processos/** and **https://gomesdemattos.com.br/admjudicial/lista-de-processos/grupo-ambipar/ .**

The Court of Law of the 3rd Business Court of the Capital District of the State of Rio de Janeiro, in the judicial reorganization proceedings no. 3014764-58.2025.8.19.0001, requested by the companies that comprise the "AMBIPAR GROUP", hereby informs all those who may see or have knowledge of this notice that, in the judicial reorganization proceedings no. 3014764-58.2025.8.19.0001, given the presentation of objections to the Judicial Reorganization Plan (contained in event 482 of the proceedings), all creditors and interested parties are hereby summoned, in accordance with articles... 36 and 56, ***caput,*** of Law No. 11.101/2005, for the General Meeting of Creditors – AGC, which will have as its object the deliberation on the Judicial Reorganization Plan of the Ambipar Group, attached to event 482 of the records, and any amendments thereto, in accordance with the decision contained in event 1095.

The General Meeting of Creditors (AGC) will be chaired by the appointed Joint Judicial Administration – CARAPETCOV ADMINISTRAÇÃO JUDICIAL, through its partner Thiago Carapetcov, and GOMES DE MATTOS – ADVOGADOS ASSOCIADOS, through its partner, Augusto Alves Moreira Neto –, and will be held in a hybrid format, in first call, with a physical address at Av. das Américas, 8585 - Barra da Tijuca, Rio de Janeiro - RJ, 22793-081 – Square Design Hotel, and via the “Assemblex Pilar” platform through the access link.

Machine Translated by Google

https://assemblexpillar.com.br/, on August 25, 2026, at 2:00 PM (fourteen hours), the Assembly will be installed with the presence of creditors holding more than half of the credits with voting rights in each class, calculated by value. If there is no quorum at the first call, the creditors are hereby summoned to the Assembly, in a second call, which will be installed with the presence of any number of creditors, to be held at the same locations, on September 1, 2026, at 2:00 PM (fourteen hours), all in accordance with art. 37, §2, of Law No. 11.101/2005. Creditors entitled to vote and/or authorized representatives must arrive at the Assembly at least 2 hours and 30 minutes in advance, i.e., at 11:30 AM, for registration and to sign the attendance list. Creditors and/or authorized representatives must bring their original personal identification document and CPF (Brazilian taxpayer ID). Registration will take place from 11:30 AM to 1:45 PM, 15 minutes before the start of the Assembly. Creditors/Representatives who choose to participate via the “Assemblex Pilar” platform must also arrive at least 15 minutes before the Assembly begins. The purpose of this Assembly is for creditors to deliberate on the following agenda: a) approval, rejection, or modification of the Judicial Reorganization Plan and any subsequent amendments submitted by the companies undergoing reorganization, with the vote count in accordance with Article 45 of Law 11.101/05; b) other matters within the Assembly's competence, pursuant to Article 35, I, of Law 11.101/05.

PRIOR REGISTRATION: TO PARTICIPATE IN THE JOINT JUDICIAL ADMINISTRATION MEETING, CREDITORS' REPRESENTATIVES AND/OR ATTORNEYS MUST PROVIDE INDIVIDUAL PRIOR REGISTRATION WITH THE JOINT JUDICIAL ADMINISTRATION, respecting the procedure described below, regardless of the participation modality (whether in person or digital).

Register on the platform, via the link **https://assemblexpillar.com.br/,** no **later than 24 (twenty-four) hours** before the assembly is held in its 1st or 2nd call. indicating full name, CPF (Brazilian tax identification number), one valid and up-to-date email address, mobile phone number with area code capable of receiving text messages and WhatsApp, and a selfie photo holding an official identification document and the date the photo was taken. Afterwards, the participant will receive a confirmation link in their email to complete the registration process and set their access password. Once registration is complete, the participant must log in to the platform **at https://assemblexpillar.com.br/** and click on the menu under **“RJ Processes”** to locate the Judicial Reorganization of the Ambipar Group, and click on **“Request Qualification”,** within **24 (twenty-four) hours** before the holding of the assembly in the 1st or 2nd call, attaching the Representation Documents and/or Power of Attorney described in this notice (if applicable). In the **“My Requests” option,** the participant can track the status of their request, which will be analyzed by the Judicial Administration. Only participants with qualification requests approved by the Judicial Administration will have access to the General Meeting of Creditors and will be able to register in person, on the days, at the location and times scheduled. For Creditors and/or Representatives who prefer to access the General Creditors' Meeting digitally, also subject to approval of their qualifications by the Judicial Administration as described above, they must, on the day of the GCM, access the “Assemblex Pillar” Platform, click on the “RJ Processes” page, locate the Judicial Reorganization of the Ambipar Group and click on the “Access Meeting” button. Only 01 (one) access per login (Registration) will be allowed on the platform during the GCM. Participants who choose to participate virtually must be connected to the internet through a secure, stable and operational network, using their preferred device (computer or mobile phone). The use of laptops or desktops with an updated internet browser is recommended (preferably Windows operating system and Google Chrome browser), as well as a backup device in case the main device experiences problems. Participants will also be able to obtain detailed and illustrative instructions for accessing and using the "Assemblex Pillar" digital platform, through which the General Meeting will be held, in the User Manual that will be available on the homepage at the link **https://assemblexpillar.com.br/.** The participant is responsible for the accuracy of their personal data at the time of registration, qualification, and participation in the General Meeting of Creditors, as well as for the protection of their access password, which is personal and non-transferable. The participant will have access to technical support via online chat on the platform and via WhatsApp at +55 48 3372-8910, Monday to Friday from 8:00 AM to 6:00 PM. Support through these channels is only for answering questions and receiving assistance in using the platform. Only one (1) access per login (registration) will be allowed on the platform during the General Meeting of Creditors.

Regarding in-person accreditation, it is reiterated that the Representative/Attorney must be previously authorized, as described above, and appear in person at the scheduled location and date, with sufficient advance notice, so that, together with the support team, their data can be printed individually and their registration completed.

Regarding Representation Documents and/or Power of Attorney, pursuant to Article 37, §4, of Law No. 11.101/2005, the creditor may be represented at the General Creditors' Meeting (AGC) by a proxy or legal representative, provided that a public or private power of attorney granting specific powers to participate and vote at the AGC is delivered to the Judicial Administration up to 24 hours before the date of the Meeting, or the indication of the pages of the case file where the document is located. In the case of a private power of attorney, it must be accompanied by a copy of the identity card and CPF (Brazilian taxpayer identification number) of the individual grantor, and may be digitally signed using a digital certificate or other means of proving authorship and integrity of documents in electronic form. In the case of a legal entity, the power of attorney must be accompanied by the company's articles of incorporation, which must demonstrate the powers of the person signing the power of attorney, and the notarization of the grantor's signature is waived. In the case of a foreign creditor, the power of attorney, articles of incorporation, and other documents relevant to proving legal representation must be accompanied by a sworn translation; apostille/notarization is not required. DOCUMENTS RELATING TO THE DEMONSTRATION OF LEGAL REPRESENTATION OF THE CORPORATE CREDITOR WILL NOT BE ACCEPTED ON THE DAY OF THE MEETING; SUCH DOCUMENTS MUST BE SUBMITTED WITHIN THE ABOVE-STATED DEADLINE, UNDER PENALTY OF...

Machine Translated by Google

For non-accreditation to the assembly, participation is only possible through the Assemblex platform, respecting the procedures and deadlines established in this notice. The same understanding applies to individual and corporate creditors represented by proxies.

Pursuant to Article 37, §§ 5 and 6, of Law No. 11.101/2005, workers' unions may represent their members holding credits derived from labor legislation or resulting from work-related accidents who do not attend the assembly in person or through a proxy, and must submit to the judicial administrator, up to 10 (ten) days before the assembly, a list of the members they intend to represent. Any worker listed by more than one union must clarify, up to 24 (twenty-four) hours before the assembly, which union represents them, otherwise they will not be represented at the assembly by any of them. In these cases, communication should be made via email to **ambipar@carapetcovaj.com.br** and **admjud@gomesdemattos.com.br** first, and then proceed with the qualification process outlined in this notice.

INVESTOR CREDITOR: In order for an investor creditor, holder of debt securities issued by the companies undergoing reorganization and listed in the names of fiduciary agents, to participate in the assembly and adopt the procedures provided for herein, they must first proceed with the separation of their credit, as per the specific notice already published for this purpose, and only after that, access the Assemblex platform to proceed with the registration of their Representative and/or Attorney.

ACCESS TO THE JUDICIAL REORGANIZATION PLAN: Creditors may obtain a copy of the judicial reorganization plan to be submitted to the Assembly for deliberation on the websites of the Judicial Administrators **(https://carapetcovaj.com.br/processos/).** and **https://gomesdemattos.com.br/admjudicial/lista-de-processos/grupo-ambipar/)** and of the Companies Under Reorganization **(https://ri.ambipar.com/documentos-da-recuperacao-judicial/),** or by email request to the Joint Judicial Administration, and the Plan may also be extracted from the electronic judicial reorganization process file (no. 3014764-58.2025.8.19.0001), through the case search system (EPROC) on the website **eproc1g.tjrj.jus.br.**

Considering the extensive list of creditors subject to judicial reorganization and the need to give the act an organic structure, creditors will be granted the right to speak for a period of up to 3 (three) minutes during the General Creditors' Meeting.

The General Creditors' Meeting (AGC) will be recorded. The companies undergoing judicial reorganization must conspicuously post a copy of the AGC meeting notice at their headquarters and branches. And, so that all interested parties may be informed, I order the issuance of this notice, which will be published and posted as required by law and made available on the websites of the Judicial Administrators and the companies undergoing judicial reorganization. Please note that this Court is located at Av. Erasmo Braga, 115, Lâmina Central – Sala 713 – CEP: 20020-903 – Centro – Rio de Janeiro – RJ.

Given and passed in the city of Rio de Janeiro, ___04___ of August 2026.

I, Marceli da Silva Argento, Head of the Clerk's Office, Registration No. 31466, ordered this to be typed and I sign it. (signed) Dr. Leonardo de Castro Gomes – Judge of Law.

This document was electronically signed by **LEONARDO DE CASTRO GOMES, Judge,** on August 5, 2026, at 12:28:45 PM, in accordance with Article 1, III, "b", of Law 11.419/2006. The authenticity of the document can be verified on the website https://eproc1g.tjrj.jus.br/eproc/externo_controlador.php?acao=consulta_autenticidade_documentos, by entering the verification code **190004070464v2** and the CRC code **366e31fb.**

EXHIBIT B

ambipar

AMBIPAR PARTICIPAÇÕES E EMPREENDIMENTOS S.A. – EM RECUPERAÇÃO JUDICIAL
Publicly Held Company
CNPJ/MF No. 12.648.266/0001-24
NIRE No. 35.300.384.466 | CVM Code 2496-1

ENVIRONMENTAL ESG PARTICIPAÇÕES S.A. – EM RECUPERAÇÃO JUDICIAL
Publicly Held Company
CNPJ/MF No. 09.527.023/0001-23
NIRE No. 35.300.412.923|CVM Code 2627-1

NOTICE TO THE MARKET

AMBIPAR PARTICIPAÇÕES E EMPREENDIMENTOS S.A. – EM RECUPERAÇÃO JUDICIAL (B3: AMBP3) ("Ambipar") and **ENVIRONMENTAL ESG PARTICIPAÇÕES S.A. – EM RECUPERAÇÃO JUDICIAL** ("ESG" and, together with Ambipar, the "Companies"), in compliance with CVM Resolution No. 44, dated August 23, 2021, as amended, and Article 157, Paragraph 4, of Law No. 6,404, dated December 15, 1976, as amended, and further to the Material Facts disclosed on October 20 and 30, 2025, December 19, 2025, and July 8, 2026, hereby inform their shareholders and the market in general that the General Meeting of Creditors ("GMC") of the Companies and their affiliates (together with the Companies, the "Ambipar Group"), scheduled for August 25, 2026 at 2 p.m.[1] in connection with the Ambipar Group's judicial reorganization proceeding, was not Convened, as the minimum legal quorum required to hold the meeting at first call was not reached.

Accordingly, as provided in the GMC Notice, the GMC will be held on second call on September 1, 2026, at 2:00 p.m., and will be Convened with the attendance of any number of creditors, pursuant to the Notice and Article 37, Paragraph 2, of Law No. 11,101/2005, as amended.

The Companies will keep their shareholders and the market in general informed of any further developments related to the matters addressed in this Notice to the Market.

São Paulo, August 26, 2026.

AMBIPAR PARTICIPAÇÕES E EMPREENDIMENTOS S.A. – EM RECUPERAÇÃO JUDICIAL

ENVIRONMENTAL ESG PARTICIPAÇÕES S.A. – EM RECUPERAÇÃO JUDICIAL

Renato Ferreira dos Santos
Investor Relations Officer

1- Notice published in the records of judicial reorganization proceeding No. 3014764-58.2025.8.19.0001, pending before the 3rd Business Court of the Capital District of the State of Rio de Janeiro, available on the CVM website and on the Companies' Investor Relations website.

EXHIBIT C

Machine Translated by Google

SUPERIOR TRIBUNAL DE JUSTIÇA

PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION NO. 1061 - RJ (2026/0374216-8)

REPORTER		**MINISTER RAUL ARAÚJO**
APPLICANT	:	SPECIAL ASSETS III - INVESTMENT FUND IN LIMITED LIABILITY CREDIT RIGHTS
LAWYERS	:	BEATRIZ PACHECO VILLAR - DF082785
		JORGE LUIS DA COSTA SILVA - DF084110
		PATRICIA RIOS SALLES DE OLIVEIRA - DF040428
		RAFAEL BARUD CASQUEIRA PIMENTA - DF073993
		YASMIN VALLE VIANA MARQUES PAIVA - DF073995
APPLICANT	:	OLIVEIRA TRUST DISTRIBUTOR OF SECURITIES AND VALUES FURNITURE SA
LAWYERS		Alfredo Cabrini Souza e Silva - SP405181
		GABRIEL JOSÉ DE ORLEANS AND BRAGANÇA - RJ132374
		HUGO TUBONE YAMASHITA - SP300097
		ISABELA TAN ARCURI - SP456776
APPLICANT		: SUMITOMO MITSUI BANK OF BRAZIL S/A
LAWYERS		: GABRIEL JOSÉ DE ORLEANS AND BRAGANÇA - RJ132374
		MARCELO DICKSTEIN - RJ155674
		MARCELO BARBOSA SACRAMONE - SP240389
APPLICANT		ABC Bank Brazil SA
LAWYERS		: FELIPE SENE TAMBURUS - SP221974
		GUSTAVO JOSE MENDES TEPEDINO - RJ041245
		MARINA BRANCO CAMPOS MELO E SILVA - SP311802
APPLICANT	:	RIGHTS INVESTMENT FUND CREDITORS - LIMITED LIABILITY
LAWYERS		ADRIANA MARIA CRUZ DIAS DE OLIVEIRA - SP236521
		IVO WAISBERG - SP146176
		MÁRCIO SOUZA GUIMARÃES - DF072054
REQUIRED		AMBIPAR PARTICIPACOES E EMPREENDIMENTOS SA
LAWYERS		GUSTAVO FONTES VALENTE SALGUEIRO - RJ135064
		LUIS FELIPE SALOMÃO FILHO - RJ234563
		RODRIGO CUNHA MELLO SALOMÃO - RJ211150
		ANA TEREZA BASILIO - RJ074802
		RODRIGO FIGUEIREDO DA SILVA COTTA - RJ168001

DECISION

Electronic document VDA59635490 electronically signed in accordance with Article 1, Paragraph 2, Item III of Law 11.419/2006
Signatory: RAUL ARAÚJO Signed on: 01/09/2026 10:56:26
Document Control Code: f16400c0-1e6b-454c-9022-0d0ee5125683

Machine Translated by Google

This concerns an **urgent protective measure** concerning SPECIAL ASSETS III – FUND OF INVESTMENT IN LIMITED LIABILITY CREDIT RIGHTS and

OTHERS, **financial creditors of the Ambipar Group,** requesting the **granting of suspensive effect to the special appeal filed against the decision of the Honorable Court of Justice of the State of Rio de Janeiro, which upheld the jurisdiction of the Rio de Janeiro court in the judicial reorganization of the conglomerate,** in a judgment with the following summary:

> *"APPEAL **AGAINST A DECISION GRANTING THE PROCESSING OF THE JUDICIAL REORGANIZATION OF THE COMPANIES NOW APPEALED,** IN PROCEDURAL AND SUBSTANTIAL CONSOLIDATION. UPHELD. **PRELIMINARY OBJECTION OF LACK OF JURISDICTION. REJECTED. 'PRINCIPAL ESTABLISHMENT OF THE DEBTOR', PROVIDED FOR IN ARTICLE 3 OF LAW NO. 11.101/2005, WHICH CORRESPONDS TO THE LOCATION WHERE THE MAIN ACTIVITIES ARE CONCENTRATED."***
>
> ***The company's activities, that is, where the largest volume of business is conducted, are not limited to the registered address.** Documentary evidence produced in the original proceedings shows that the **Ambipar Group, despite operating** in several countries and **conducting a large volume of business in the state of São Paulo, concentrates the management and execution of the largest volume of business in the capital of Rio de Janeiro, a determining factor in the jurisdiction of the court handling the judicial reorganization.** Preliminary objection of nullity, due to alleged violation of the principle of congruence, of equal turn, rejected. Decision rendered in strict observance of the guidelines indicated by the plaintiff in their initial pleading. Interpretation of the request, which must consider the entirety of the claim and observe the principle of good faith.*
>
> *INTELLIGENCE OF ART. 322, § 2°, CPC. FORMAL REQUIREMENTS PROVIDED FOR IN LAW NO. 11.101/2005, FOR THE PROCESSING OF JUDICIAL REORGANIZATION. HYPOTHESIS IN WHICH THE APPELLEES FULFILLED THE REQUIREMENTS PROVIDED FOR IN THE GOVERNING LEGISLATION, AS EVEN ATTESTED BY THE JUDICIAL ADMINISTRATION IN A STATEMENT IN THE MAIN PROCEEDINGS. POSSIBILITY, IN THE CONCRETE CASE, OF UNIFICATION OF ASSETS AND LIABILITIES OF THE APPELLEES, BELONGING TO THE SAME ECONOMIC GROUP, IN SUBSTANTIAL CONSOLIDATION, UNDER THE TERMS OF ART. 69 – J, OF LAW NO. 11.101/2005. EXTRAPOLATION, BY THE JUDGE OF FIRST INSTANCE, OF THE EFFECTS OF JUDICIAL REORGANIZATION PROVIDED FOR IN LAW NO. 11.101/2005, NOT EVIDENCED. PRECEDENTS OF THE STJ AND THE TJRJ. **APPEAL DENIED."*** (e-STJ, p.
>
> 551) G. n.

The records do not show that a motion for clarification was filed.

The applicants argue the presence of ***fumus boni iuris ,*** emphasizing that...

probability of success of your special appeal.

In their appeal, filed pursuant to subparagraph "a" of the constitutional provision, they alleged the violation of the following provisions of federal law, with their respective arguments: **(i)** Article 3 of Law 11.101/2005,

because **the concept of principal establishment was allegedly improperly reduced to the location of greatest profitability, disregarding the actual**

Electronic document VDA59635490 electronically signed in accordance with Article 1, §2, item III of Law 11.419/2006. Signatory: RAUL ARAÚJO. Signed on: 01/09/2026 10:56:26. Document Control Code: f16400c0-1e6b-454c-9022-0d0ee5125683

center for business management, administration and organization, which would be located in São Paulo/SP;

(ii) Articles 489, § 1, IV, of the CPC and 48 and 51 of Law 11.101/2005, since the judgment allegedly adopted **generic reasoning,** without addressing the allegations of insufficient documentation, lack of demonstration of the economic and financial crisis, and corporate irregularity of companies included in the active

party; **(iii)** Articles 489, § 1, IV, of the CPC and 48 and 51 of Law 11.101/2005, since the processing of the judicial reorganization was allegedly maintained **without proof of the minimum legal requirements, the** verification of which could not be dispensed with due to the summary nature of the initial cognition, requiring, if necessary, prior verification;

(iv) art. 69-J of Law 11.101/2005, since **the substantial consolidation** would have been granted without proof of the legal requirements, despite there being elements that would indicate the non-existence of asset confusion or interconnection between certain companies undergoing reorganization;

(v) arts. 6 and 49, caput and § 1, of Law 11.101/2005, since, by recognizing **the supervening loss of the object,** the judgment would have validated the extension of the *stay period* and the effects of the judicial reorganization to related parties unrelated to the active party, restricting the collection against third parties, co-obligors and guarantors;

(vi) Article 49, § 3, of Law 11.101/2005, as **restrictions would have been imposed on the enforcement of fiduciary guarantees and the effectiveness of early maturity clauses,** even though the respective credits were not subject to judicial reorganization and the essentiality of the assets had not been recognized individually; **(vii)** Article 47 of Law

11.101/2005, as the principle of preserving the company would have been used as an absolute basis to **extend the *stay period,* reach third parties and limit the rights of non-bankruptcy creditors,** disregarding mandatory rules of the reorganization regime;

(viii) art. 492 of the CPC, as it would have validated an ultra petita **decision ,** which granted measures that were broader than those requested regarding the suspension of termination clauses and early maturity, the extension of effects to related parties, and the repossession of assets linked to credits not subject to judicial reorganization.

In a preliminary admissibility assessment, the Court of Justice of Rio de Janeiro (TJ-RJ) dismissed the appeal (e-STJ, pp. 425-431).

The applicants claim that **the main controversy revolves around the definition. " *Principal establishment"* for the purposes of Article 3 of Law 11.101/2005.**

They allege a violation of Article 3 of Law 11.101/2005 by the **appealed judgment,** which **allegedly based its assessment solely on a profitability index in three municipalities, without considering the group's central management, administration, and governance structure,** a guideline consolidated by the Second Section.

The applicants highlight that the controlling ***holding company*** **is headquartered in São Paulo, that a substantial portion of the group's Brazilian companies are located in that state, and that the companies themselves, in a statement to the United States courts, indicate that...**

Electronic document VDA59635490 electronically signed in accordance with Article 1, §2, item III of Law 11.419/2006. Signatory: RAUL ARAÚJO. Signed on: 01/09/2026 10:56:26. Document Control Code: f16400c0-1e6b-454c-9022-0d0ee5125683

Machine Translated by Google

The municipality of São Paulo serves as an operational center, concentrating senior management, corporate functions, and bookkeeping and records.

They argue that the *"principal establishment"* cannot be defined by accounting metrics produced by the debtor itself, as this would undermine the rule of absolute and functional accrual accounting.

Regarding the ***risk of irreparable harm,*** they also consider it present. They state that The applicants state that the **process involves approximately 74 companies and billions in liabilities, with a general meeting of creditors scheduled for September 1, 2026, at 2 PM, in a second call and with any quorum.**

They note that the general meeting of creditors **may approve the recovery plan,** generating **novation of credits, settlements, releases, and large-scale implementation acts.**

They argue that such effects would occur before a court whose jurisdiction is precisely the subject of the appeal, creating accomplished facts that are difficult to reverse and potentially rendering this Court's judgment useless.

The suspension of the meeting is presented as a measure that safeguards the usefulness of the appeal, the legal security of the collective act, and the preservation of the company, since it does not interrupt activities, does not affect the *stay period ,* and ensures that the deliberation takes place before the constitutionally appropriate court.

Based on this, **they request an active effect to refer the recovery to the court of The jurisdiction of the São Paulo district court is responsible for deciding on the preservation of actions already taken, and for granting a stay of execution, interrupting the assembly until jurisdiction is determined**

This is the report. I will now make a decision.

Regarding provisional relief, the 2015 Code of Civil Procedure states the following:

> *"Art. 294.* ***Provisional relief*** *may be based* ***on urgency or evidence."***
> *Sole paragraph.* ***Provisional urgent relief, whether precautionary or anticipatory,*** *may be granted in an antecedent or incidental manner.*
>
> *"Art. 299. Provisional relief shall be requested from the court of the case and, when preliminary, from the court competent to hear the main claim."*
> *Sole paragraph. Except as otherwise provided, in actions within the original jurisdiction of a court and in appeals, provisional relief shall be requested from the court competent to decide the merits.*
>
> *"Article 300.* ***Urgent relief will be granted when there is evidence demonstrating the likelihood of the right and the danger of harm or the risk to the useful outcome*** *of the process."*

Specifically with regard to granting suspensive effect to a special appeal, The Code of Civil Procedure establishes that:

> *"Article 1,029. The extraordinary appeal and the* ***special appeal,*** *in the cases provided for in the Federal Constitution, shall be filed with the president or vice-president of the court of origin, in separate petitions containing: (...)"*

Electronic document VDA59635490 electronically signed in accordance with Article 1, §2, item III of Law 11.419/2006. Signatory: RAUL ARAÚJO. Signed on: 01/09/2026 10:56:26. Document Control Code: f16400c0-1e6b-454c-9022-0d0ee5125683

Machine Translated by Google

> *§ 5. The* ***request for the granting of suspensive effect to an extraordinary appeal*** *or a special appeal may be made by means of a request addressed: I - to the respective superior court, in the period between the publication of the decision admitting the appeal and its distribution, with the designated rapporteur being prevented from judging it; II - to the rapporteur, if the appeal has already been distributed; III - to the president or vice-president of the court of origin, in the period between the filing of the appeal and the publication of the decision admitting the appeal, as well as in the case of the appeal having been stayed, pursuant to article 1,037.*

By making a systematic-teleological interpretation of the legal provisions transcribed above, it can be inferred that granting suspensive effect to a special appeal and, as a logical consequence, to an appeal against a special appeal, as in the previous procedural system, requires the simultaneous presence of *fumus boni iuris,* consisting of the plausibility of the right invoked in the appeal, and *periculum in mora,* the characterization of which requires the demonstration of a risk of irreparable or difficult-to-repair damage resulting from any delay in resolving the case.

In this case, **with regard to the requested suspensive effect,** the following are **present: prerequisites for the partial granting of the request.**

For the purposes of determining jurisdiction to process a request for judicial reorganization, in accordance with the guidance of this Superior Court, **identifying the** debtor's ***"principal establishment"*** **requires a substantive assessment that considers the center of direction and administration of operations, the greatest concentration of business activities, and the core of governance.** In this regard:

> *"INTERNAL APPEAL IN A CONFLICT OF JURISDICTION. COMMON COURTS OF THE STATES OF SÃO PAULO AND PARÁ. INDIVIDUAL ENFORCEMENT PROCEEDINGS AND MEDIATION PRIOR TO A REQUEST FOR JUDICIAL REORGANIZATION. PRECAUTIONARY MEASURES. COURT" COMPETENT FOR THE MAIN CLAIM. DEBTOR'S MAIN ESTABLISHMENT. ECONOMIC CRITERION: HIGHEST VOLUME OF BUSINESS FOR THE COMPANY AND CENTER OF BUSINESS GOVERNANCE. CONFLICT OF JURISDICTION RECOGNIZED.*
>
> *Jurisdiction of the São Paulo Courts.*
>
> *1. In judicial reorganization proceedings, the principle also applies that jurisdiction to hear and decide on a precautionary request lies with the court that has jurisdiction to hear and decide on the main judicial reorganization request.*
>
> ***2. Pursuant to Article 3 of Law 11.101/2005, the court with jurisdiction over the request for judicial reorganization is that of the location of the debtor's principal establishment, considered to be the most important location of the business activities, i.e., the one with the highest volume of business and the center of governance of those businesses.***
>
> *3. This understanding is even more appropriate when dealing with* ***large business corporations dedicated to complex economic activities of production and circulation of goods and services,*** *such as the production of agricultural, mineral, and forestry commodities. In these cases, while production and extraction are processed inland, in vast areas within the territories of various states, or even offshore, as in the case of oil and gas,* ***the nerve center of the enterprise, where decisions are made and the main transactions are carried out, is located in distant large urban, business, and financial centers.***
>
> *4. One cannot lose sight of the extreme complexity and necessary interconnection of activities and businesses in the gigantic machinery of the world.*

Electronic document VDA59635490 electronically signed in accordance with Article 1, §2, item III of Law 11.419/2006. Signatory: RAUL ARAÚJO. Signed on: 01/09/2026 10:56:26. Document Control Code: f16400c0-1e6b-454c-9022-0d0ee5125683

Machine Translated by Google

A globalized capitalist environment, characterized by a diversity of specialized, interrelated contracts, often involving ***a dense production chain encompassing exports, foreign exchange, maritime transport, advance sales of production, trading on commodity exchanges, and financing of activities.*** *5. This is the context under examination, in which the debtor's complex activities range from mineral extraction, carried out in the interior of the State of Pará, to the numerous contracts entered into in a metropolitan center, where* ***the most important location of the company's operations is identified, as it encompasses the largest volume of business and the decision-making core of the company, located in the city of São Paulo, as the main establishment of the company in question.***

6. Conflict of jurisdiction acknowledged, declaring the jurisdiction of the Court of the State of São Paulo.

(AgInt in CC No. 186.905/SP, rapporteur Minister RAUL ARAÚJO, SECOND SECTION, judged on 09/28/2022, DJe of 10/13/2022, emphasis added)

"BUSINESS LAW. INTERNAL APPEAL. JUDICIAL REORGANIZATION. DEFINITION OF COMPETENT COURT. CRITERION OF MAIN ESTABLISHMENT. INTERNAL APPEAL DISMISSED.

I. Case under review

1. Internal appeal filed against a single-judge decision that declared the 4th Civil Court of Aparecida de Goiânia (GO) competent to process and judge a request for judicial reorganization, based on Article 3 of Law No. 11.101/2005 and the material interpretation of the concept of 'principal establishment'.

2. The appealed decision was based on evidence indicating the operational and financial centrality of the company in Aparecida de Goiânia, thus negating the prevalence of prevention over absolute jurisdiction.

3. The appellants allege formal irregularities in the formation of the incident, specifically the absence of essential documents. They maintain that the company's principal establishment is in São Paulo, based on balance sheets, financial statements, and a list of creditors.

Alternatively, they request that the court of origin be notified for reconsideration of the appeals that were deemed inadmissible.

II. Question under discussion

4. The question under discussion is whether the court with jurisdiction to process the judicial reorganization should be defined based on the material criterion of the "principal establishment," considering the center of direction and administration of business activities, or whether the formal headquarters or other elements such as balance sheets and list of creditors prevail.

III. Reasons for the decision ***5. The debtor's 'principal establishment', for jurisdictional purposes, must be materially assessed, considering the center of direction and administration of activities, the largest volume of business, and the core of governance, according to the consolidated understanding of this Court.***

6. The appealed decision highlighted that the evidence indicates the concentration of logistical and financial operations in Aparecida de Goiânia, including a significantly higher issuance of invoices and possession of the operational headquarters in that municipality.

7. The claim that accounting documents and a list of creditors would lead to São Paulo having jurisdiction was dismissed, as the body of evidence shows that the vital center of the activities is located in Goiás, and any delay in registration is irrelevant.

8. The jurisprudence of the Superior Court of Justice (STJ) rejects the claim for re-evaluation of evidence in internal appeals, maintaining the material criterion as the guide for defining jurisdiction.

9. The subsidiary request to notify the court of origin for reconsideration of the prejudiced requests was rejected, as the conflict of

Electronic document VDA59635490 electronically signed in accordance with Article 1, §2, item III of Law 11.419/2006. Signatory: RAUL ARAÚJO. Signed on: 01/09/2026 10:56:26. Document Control Code: f16400c0-1e6b-454c-9022-0d0ee5125683

Machine Translated by Google

Jurisdiction is limited to defining the competent court, while preserving the possibility of reassessment of the actions by the court declared competent.
IV. Ruling and Thesis
10. Internal appeal dismissed.
Judgment thesis: 1. The debtor's 'principal establishment,' for the purposes of jurisdiction in judicial reorganization, must be materially assessed, considering the center of direction and administration of activities, the largest volume of business, and the core of governance, and not the formal headquarters in tax registries. 2. Absolute jurisdiction in matters of judicial reorganization prevails over prevention. 3. The conflict of jurisdiction is limited to the definition of the competent court, with the court declared competent being responsible for reassessing procedural acts, if necessary.

Relevant provision cited: Law No. 11.101/2005, art. 3. Relevant case law cited: STJ, AgInt in CC No. 186.905/SP; STJ, CC No. 183.402/MG.
(AgInt in CC No. 214.133/SP, rapporteur Minister JOÃO OTÁVIO DE NORONHA, SECOND SECTION, judged on 11/3/2026, DJEN of 16/3/2026, emphasis added)

"CONFLICT OF JURISDICTION. JUDICIAL REORGANIZATION." PROCESSING OF THE REQUEST. JURISDICTION OF THE LOCATION OF THE DEBTOR'S MAIN ESTABLISHMENT.
1. The Business Reorganization and Bankruptcy Law (Law No. 11,101/2005) provides in its article 3 the need to define the location of the 'debtor's principal establishment' as a reference for defining jurisdiction for processing judicial reorganization.

2. According to the jurisprudence of this Second Section, the debtor's principal establishment should be considered to be the most important location for its business activities, that is, where the largest volume of business is concentrated and the center of business governance is located.
3. In the case at hand, the applicant's principal establishment is located in Ponte Alta do Bom Jesus/TO, a locality within the jurisdiction of the Taguatinga - TO Judicial District, and therefore should be subject to its jurisdiction.
"Conflict resolved to declare the jurisdiction of the referring court."
(CC No. 213.738/TO, rapporteur Minister HUMBERTO MARTINS, SECOND SECTION, decided on 12/4/2025, DJEN of 12/11/2025, emphasis added)

In a **cursory analysis, typical of preliminary injunctions,** it is observed that **the appealed judgment ,** although it recognized **the governance center as legally relevant, defined jurisdiction taking establishment based on indicators -, into account the main business – in São Paulo, an of economic performance** and operational infrastructure, without stating, therefore, that the higher revenue of the Rio de Janeiro operation corresponds to the decision-making core of the conglomerate.

See the following excerpt from the judgment:

*"The **higher volume of business directly impacts revenue, operating income, and profit margin,** which, in turn, **are substantially higher compared to the performance seen in the previous period."***
State of São Paulo, as can be seen from the following information provided by the debtors:

- City of São Paulo: Presents a Net Operating Revenue (NOR) of 40,634 and a Net Profit (NP) of 4,984, equivalent to a 12.3% margin. It has 75 employees, resulting in an NP per employee of 66.5.

- City of Rio de Janeiro: Stands out as the group's main operation, with revenue of 210,986 and net income of 38,898 (18.4% margin), involving 246 employees.

Electronic document VDA59635490 electronically signed in accordance with Article 1, §2, item III of Law 11.419/2006. Signatory: RAUL ARAÚJO. Signed on: 01/09/2026 10:56:26. Document Control Code: f16400c0-1e6b-454c-9022-0d0ee5125683

Machine Translated by Google

Net profit per employee is 158.1, indicating high productivity and a significant concentration of the group's economic operations in this city.

- Nova Odessa SP: Presents a negative performance, with revenue of 131,228 and net income of 11,020 (-8.4% margin), employing 243 people. Net income per employee is negative (-45.3), indicating that the unit does not generate significant profit and has low operational efficiency.

Confirming the jurisdiction of Rio de Janeiro for processing the judicial reorganization under analysis, the records (event 17 – annex 4) contain an opinion from Professor ***Daniel Carnio Costa,*** *with the following content:*

According to the information presented by the Group, ***the city of Rio de Janeiro, among all others in the country and the world, concentrates the majority of the group's operations, generating the highest revenue, operating income, and profit margin.*** This *factor demonstrates that the ability to pay debts, a central element for the recovery process, is located in this jurisdiction. Rio de Janeiro also houses* significant ***operational infrastructure*** *for the group, including bases in Duque de Caxias, the Port of Açu, and the Barra da Tijuca airport (where the entire helicopter fleet is located), concentrating strategic activities in the oil and gas, port, and maritime support sectors. Furthermore, the Rio de Janeiro headquarters, located at Rua Lauro Muller, nº 116, 27th Floor, Botafogo, is responsible for managing the largest volume of the group's critical business, as it is there that strategic and administrative decisions relating to the most relevant economic operations are concentrated. The photographs and social media screenshots of representatives of the group below confirm the existence of this significant physical, administrative, and managerial facility. It should be noted that the location is also used for planning activities, training, and establishing new partnerships. (...) 47. Considering this set of elements, it is evident, at least based on the information and documents presented by the consultant, that Rio de Janeiro constitutes the most relevant economic, operational, and strategic center for the restructuring of the Ambipar Group. Furthermore, it is in Rio de Janeiro that the most relevant and profitable contracts and the most impactful activities from an economic and social point of view are located, which consequently attracts the jurisdiction of the court in that location to process and judge both the preliminary injunction and the future request for judicial reorganization. (...) 48. In view of the information presented, it is concluded that the jurisdiction to process the judicial reorganization of the Ambipar Group lies with the Judicial Reorganization Court of the Rio de Janeiro/RJ District. [...]*

From this perspective, the court of Rio de Janeiro has jurisdiction to jointly process and judge the request for judicial reorganization relating to the companies belonging to the same economic group, since, according to the documents attached to the case file, the largest volume of business is concentrated here, [...]" (e-STJ, pp. 543-544) G. n.

In contrast, ***at first glance,*** **the factual framework recorded in the appealed decision and in the judgment reveals that Ambipar Participações e Empreendimentos SA,** ***a holding company*** **based in São Paulo and established to consolidate control of the various companies in the group (with 600 operational bases in more than 41 countries), exercises control over the other members of the conglomerate, whose governance is integrated.**

It also reveals that **it was within the financial management of this** ***holding company*** **that the strategic operations were developed, which the court itself identified as the cause and trigger of the economic and financial crisis** of the Ambipar Group.

Electronic document VDA59635490 electronically signed in accordance with Article 1, §2, item III of Law 11.419/2006. Signatory: RAUL ARAÚJO. Signed on: 01/09/2026 10:56:26. Document Control Code: f16400c0-1e6b-454c-9022-0d0ee5125683

Machine Translated by Google

See **excerpts from the appealed decision** - reproduced in full in

ruling:

"[...] *As **historical context,** they state: founded in 1995, initially focused on the management of industrial waste; in May 1996, expansion into the logistics area with GETEL LOGÍSTICA, also starting to operate in transportation for large companies and industries throughout Brazil.*

Decades of growth followed, described as exponential, driven by pioneering spirit and excellence in services, coupled with an unwavering commitment to environmental preservation, job creation, income generation, and local development.

In 2005, they registered the founding of BRASIL AMBIENTAL CENTRAL DE TRATAMENTO DE RESÍDUOS (CTR). In 2008, they established PLANETA AMBIENTAL – CENTRAL DE SERVIÇOS COMPARTILHADOS, with a focus on emergency response. They affirm continuous investments in technology, technical training, and corporate governance, with compliance and transparency standards that have enhanced the group's reputation in the sector.

In this context, more than just a service provider conglomerate, it presents itself as an agent of environmental transformation, promoting a circular economy, waste valorization, and large-scale decarbonization.
***They report that in September 2010, AMBIPAR PARTICIPAÇÕES E EMPREENDIMENTOS SA ('AMBIPAR PARTICIPAÇÕES') was founded with the purpose of consolidating control of the group's various companies. In May 2011, the holding company became the controlling shareholder of the group and, in the same month, acquired 51% of DESCARTE CERTO (reverse manufacturing division, responsible for the post-consumer product chain).** In 2019, there was a rebranding, with a corporate restructuring that divided the group into two verticals: AMBIPAR RESPONSE and AMBIPAR ENVIRONMENTAL (environmental solutions consolidated in the Environmental Vertical; emergency response, in the Response Vertical).*

In the capital markets, in July 2020, the group went public on the B3 stock exchange, raising approximately R$ 1.08 billion through the issuance of shares in AMBIPAR PARTICIPAÇÕES. *The following year, AMBIPAR EMERGENCY RESPONSE went public on the NYSE, a milestone in governance and strategic relevance. According to the report, the funds were allocated to organic expansion and acquisitions in Brazil and abroad, consolidating its leadership in environmental management.*

Starting in 2020, they mention acquisitions such as REVALORE, SUPPLY, VERDE GHAIA, and ÂMBITO, and throughout 2021, AFC, METAL AR, CENTROESTE, BOOMERA, ECOLÓGICA NORDESTE (through AFC), BIOFÍLICA, DRYPOL, TRICICLO, SUPREMA, SIR, BRASIL COLETA, MCZ, ECOLÓGICA RESÍDUOS, WATU, and ECOTEC, all strategic for waste management. Finally, ***they report a network of 600 operational bases in more than 41 countries, strategically positioned near industrial hubs, ports, highways, and railways.*** [...]

Regarding the active joint litigation, they indicate support in article 69-G of the Brazilian Bankruptcy Law (LRF), since the ***companies are under the corporate control of the same holding company (AMBIPAR PARTICIPAÇÕES E EMPREENDIMENTOS SA),*** as per the organizational chart in document 7 of Event 89. [...] *At the same time,* ***they explain that the control relationship and the identity of the corporate structure are found in the aforementioned organizational chart and point out that the administrative, legal, financial, and governance structure is interconnected,*** *so that the segregation of assets and liabilities would require an excessive expenditure of time and resources.* [...]

Furthermore, ***from the perspective of the control and dependency relationship, the organizational chart in Annex 8 ('DOC 6') of event 89 clearly and objectively demonstrates the control exercised by the holding company over various companies within the group, as well as the integration of its governance.***

Electronic document VDA59635490 electronically signed in accordance with Article 1, §2, item III of Law 11.419/2006. Signatory: RAUL ARAÚJO. Signed on: 01/09/2026 10:56:26. Document Control Code: f16400c0-1e6b-454c-9022-0d0ee5125683

> [...]
> *The evidence presented in the case file clearly demonstrates the causes of the economic and financial crisis, since, after Mr. João Arruda became* ***CFO of AMBIPAR PARTICIPAÇÕES, it became evident that the swap contracts*** *originally signed with Bank of America* ***were transferred*** *to Deutsche Bank* ***and subsequently amended*** *to include the possibility of payment in kind of the Green Bonds issued by AMBIPAR LUX abroad.*
> ***With this addition, a speculative element was introduced, whereas the preceding adjustments were non-speculative and limited to currency hedging*** [...]. [...]
>
> *Following this turning point, Deutsche Bank began demanding additional guarantees from AMBIPAR PARTICIPAÇÕES at levels exceeding those originally stipulated in the swaps, ultimately requiring an investment of R$ 60 million, under penalty of automatic and early maturity of the derivative contracts and the consequent USD 35 million loan signed with the institution itself.*
>
> *This acceleration, in turn, triggered a chain reaction from other financial institutions, driven by the fear of systemic default within the Ambipar Group, a dynamic explainable by the dissemination, in the Group's financial contracts, of cross-premature maturity clauses, whereby the acceleration of one obligation radiates effects on the others."* (e-STJ, pp. 526-533)

This set of elements, although not authorizing, at this stage of summary cognition, a definitive conclusion regarding the location of the main establishment of the Ambipar Group, reveals, at first glance, sufficient legal plausibility to consider the presence of *fumus boni iuris.*

The controversy demands a more in-depth examination of the contours outlined in the ruling regarding the corporate and managerial structure of the conglomerate, especially to verify whether the location with the highest economic performance and the location where the core of governance and business decision-making is effectively concentrated converge or are distinct.

The procedural moment therefore recommends caution, in order to avoid the immediate production of the effects of the decision from Rio de Janeiro consolidating a situation that would be difficult to reverse before the matter has been properly investigated, a circumstance that guides the granting of suspensive effect to the appeal.

The *risk of irreparable harm* is also evident, given the **imminent general meeting of creditors, scheduled for September 1, 2026, at 2 PM, in a second call,** when it may be convened with any number of creditors, within the scope of a **judicial reorganization request that encompasses approximately 74 companies and billions in liabilities.**

The eventual approval of the plan could trigger its subsequent Approval and implementation, with novation of credits, granting of releases, release of guarantees, and the performance of acts of high complexity and patrimonial impact. Such measures would be conducted before a court whose **jurisdiction constitutes the central object of the appeal, with a concrete risk of consolidating legal and economic situations that are difficult to reverse, capable of compromising the usefulness of the final judgment.**

The proximity of the assembly's date and the magnitude of the effects potentially resulting from its deliberations therefore highlight the **urgency of the measure and recommend it.**

Electronic document VDA59635490 electronically signed in accordance with Article 1, §2, item III of Law 11.419/2006. Signatory: RAUL ARAÚJO. Signed on: 01/09/2026 10:56:26. Document Control Code: f16400c0-1e6b-454c-9022-0d0ee5125683

Machine Translated by Google

Suspension of the course of the recovery process pending a more detailed examination of the controversy by this Court.

It should be clarified that, within the scope of precautionary measures, the subject matter of the special appeal is not examined. Only the existence of the legal prerequisites authorizing the precautionary measure is analyzed, in summary proceedings, without an in-depth examination of the controversy, which is only carried out in the judgment of the main appeal.

Device.

In light of the foregoing, **I partially grant the request, ordering the suspension of General meeting of creditors scheduled, in second call, for September 1, 2026, at 2 pm, as well as any new calls,** until the judgment of the controversy regarding jurisdiction or further deliberation by the Superior Court of Justice.

The Court of Justice of the State of Rio de Janeiro and the esteemed Judge of the 3rd Business Court of the Capital District of the State of Rio de Janeiro are hereby notified , **with urgency,** of the approval of this request.

Publish it.

Brasilia, August 31, 2026.

Minister RAUL ARAÚJO

Rapporteur

Electronic document VDA59635490 electronically signed in accordance with Article 1, §2, item III of Law 11.419/2006. Signatory: RAUL ARAÚJO. Signed on: 01/09/2026 10:56:26. Document Control Code: f16400c0-1e6b-454c-9022-0d0ee5125683

EXHIBIT D

Machine Translated by Google

Judicial Branch of the State of Rio de Janeiro
Capital District, **3rd**
Business Court of the Capital District

Judicial Reorganization No. 3014764-58.2025.8.19.0001/RJ

AUTHOR: AMBIPAR RESPONSE ENVIRONMENTAL CONSULTING OFFSHORE SA

DEFENDANT: DEUTSCHE BANK SA GERMAN BANK

ORDER/DECISION

Event 1273. In view of the decision of the Superior Court of Justice in the context of the Preliminary Injunction No. 1061, the General Meeting scheduled for second call on this date (01/09/2026), at 2 pm, is suspended. Communicate this immediately to the Joint Judicial Administration.

I note that I consulted the stages of the respective process through the Superior Court's website at 1:58 PM, without finding any alteration to the judgment.

Further decision is pending.

Furthermore, let the recovering defendants, AJ, and lastly, the Public Prosecutor's Office, comment on the petition from **event 1268,** on behalf of... de OLIVEIRA TRUST DISTRIBUIDORA DE TÍTULOS E VALORES MOBILIÁRIOS SA

This document was electronically signed by **LEONARDO DE CASTRO GOMES, Judge,** on September 1, 2026, at 1:59:18 PM, in accordance with Article 1, III, "b", of Law 11.419/2006. The authenticity of the document can be verified on the website https://eproc1g.tjrj.jus.br/eproc/externo_controlador.php?acao=consulta_autenticidade_documentos, by entering the verification code **190004802392v4** and the CRC code **49095e63.**

print