Docket #0035 Date Filed: 12/10/2013

RECEIVED
DEC 10
U.S. BANKRUPTCY COURT
SO DIST OF NEW YORK

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

Alfredia Pruitt
Plaintiff/Creditor

V

GMAC
Residential Capital, LLC, et al
Debtors/Defendants

Case No: 12-12020(MG)
Adv. Case No. 13-01350(MG)

Jury Trial Demanded

MOTION FOR ADVERSE PARTY DEMONSTRATE CASE(S) IN THE LOWER COURT HAS BEEN HEARD ON THE MERITS

These matters have not been litigated. I have not been given a full and fair opportunity **for hearings on the Merits** of these matters.

Because of my ignorance and financial situation the lower court has continually summarily issued orders, but has never conducted hearings on their Merits. I understand I have a right to do this and if so I demand so.

Your honor, I'm asking the court to have the adverse party demonstrate where I've had hearings on their Merits. Numerous demands for jury trials have been made, but none has occurred. I have not had a hearing on the Merits nor a Trial.

I plead to the court to have the party demonstrate otherwise.

This 7th day of December, 2013.

Alfredia Pruitt

Alfredia Pruitt
4499 Beacon Hill Dr
Lilburn, GA 30047
(770)-668-3915

1212020140123000000000007

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This is to certify that on this date, I Alfredia Pruitt, did mail by United Postal Service the opposing party and the court a true and exact copy of The Motion For Adverse Party Demonstrate Cases that the lower Courts has been heard on The Merits.

GMAC
C/O MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP
1290 Avenue of the Americas
Telephone: (212)468-8000
Facsimile: (212)468-7900

United States Bankruptcy Court
One Bowling Green
New York, NY 10004

Residential Capital, LLC, et a;
2335 Alaska Ave
El Segundo, CA 90245

Submitted this 7th day of December, 2013.

Alfredia Pruitt
4499 Beacon Hill Dr
Lilburn, GA 30047
(770)668-3915

EI 874097480 US

EXPRESS MAIL
UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE
Post Office To Addressee

ORIGIN (POSTAL SERVICE USE ONLY)

ZIP Code: 30047
Day of Delivery: ☐ Next ☐ 2nd ☐ 2nd Del. Day
Postage: $ 19.95
Scheduled Date of Delivery: Month Day
Return Receipt Fee: $
Scheduled Time of Delivery: ☐ Noon ☐ 3 PM
COD Fee: $
Insurance Fee: $
Military
Total Postage & Fees: $ 19.95
2nd Day ☐ 3rd Day
Int'l Alpha Country Code
Acceptance Emp. Initials
Day: 13 Year
Time Accepted: ☐ AM ☐ PM
Weight: lbs. 8 ozs.
Rate ☐ or Weight

DELIVERY (POSTAL USE ONLY)

Delivery Attempt: Mo. Day Time ☐ AM ☐ PM Employee Signature
Delivery Attempt: Mo. Day Time ☐ AM ☐ PM Employee Signature
Delivery Date: Mo. Day Time ☐ AM ☐ PM Employee Signature

CUSTOMER USE ONLY

PAYMENT BY ACCOUNT
Express Mail Corporate Acct. No.
Federal Agency Acct. No. or Postal Service Acct. No.
☐ WAIVER OF SIGNATURE (Domestic Mail Only)
Additional merchandise insurance is void if customer requests waiver of signature.
Waiver of signature may not be available for certain destinations.
Delivery may be made without obtaining signature of addressee or addressee's agent if delivery employee judges that article can be left in secure location and I authorize that delivery employee's signature constitutes valid proof of delivery.
NO DELIVERY ☐ Weekend ☐ Holiday
Mailer Signature

FROM: (PLEASE PRINT) PHONE ( )
Alfredia Pruitt
499 Beacon Hill Dr
Lilburn GA 30047

TO: (PLEASE PRINT) PHONE ( )
United States Bankruptcy Court
Southern District of New York
One Bowling Green
New York NY 10004

ZIP + 4 (U.S. ADDRESSES ONLY. DO NOT USE FOR FOREIGN POSTAL CODES.)
10004 +

FOR INTERNATIONAL DESTINATIONS, WRITE COUNTRY NAME BELOW.

FOR PICKUP OR TRACKING
Visit www.usps.com
Call 1-800-222-1811

EP13

EI 874097502 US

UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE

Post Office To Addressee

ORIGIN (POSTAL SERVICE USE ONLY)

ZIP Code	Day of Delivery	Postage
30047	☐ Next ☐ 2nd ☐ 2nd Del. Day	$ 19.45
Date Accepted Day Year	Scheduled Date of Delivery Month Day	Return Receipt Fee $
Time Accepted ☐ AM ☐ PM	Scheduled Time of Delivery ☐ Noon ☐ 3 PM	COD Fee $ / Insurance Fee $
Weight ☐ Rate ☐ or Weight	Military ☐ 2nd Day ☐ 3rd Day	Total Postage & Fees $ 19.95
3 lbs. ozs.	Int'l Alpha Country Code	Acceptance Emp. Initials

DELIVERY (POSTAL USE ONLY)

Delivery Attempt Mo. Day	Time ☐ AM ☐ PM	Employee Signature
Delivery Attempt Mo. Day	Time ☐ AM ☐ PM	Employee Signature
Delivery Date Mo. Day	Time ☐ AM ☒ PM	Employee Signature

CUSTOMER USE ONLY

PAYMENT BY ACCOUNT
Express Mail Corporate Acct. No.
Federal Agency Acct. No. or Postal Service Acct. No.

☐ WAIVER OF SIGNATURE (Domestic Mail Only)
Additional merchandise insurance is void if customer requests waiver of signature. I wish delivery to be made without obtaining signature of addressee or addressee's agent (if delivery employee judges that article can be left in secure location) and I authorize that delivery employee's signature constitutes valid proof of delivery.

☐ NO DELIVERY ☐ Weekend ☐ Holiday

Mailer Signature

FROM: (PLEASE PRINT) PHONE ( )

Sheria Pruitt Hill
1499 Beacon Hill Dr
Lilburn GA 30047

TO: (PLEASE PRINT) PHONE ( )

Residential Capital LLC
2255 Alaska Ave
El Segundo CA

ZIP + 4 (U.S. ADDRESSES ONLY. DO NOT USE FOR FOREIGN POSTAL CODES.)

90245 +

FOR INTERNATIONAL DESTINATIONS, WRITE COUNTRY NAME BELOW.

FOR PICKUP OR TRACKING
Visit www.usps.com
Call 1-800-222-1811

EMS

EI 874097493 US

EXPRESS MAIL
UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE
Post Office To Addressee

Customer Copy

ORIGIN (POSTAL SERVICE USE ONLY)

ZIP Code: 30047
Date Accepted: 12-9-13
Day / Year
Time Accepted: 0.36 ☐ AM ☒ PM
Weight: 3 lbs. ozs.
Rate ☐ or Weight

Day of Delivery: ☐ Next ☒ 2nd ☐ 2nd Del. Day
Scheduled Date of Delivery: Month / Day
Scheduled Time of Delivery: ☐ Noon ☒ 3PM
☐ Military
☐ 2nd Day ☐ 3rd Day
Int'l Alpha Country Code

Postage: $ 19.95
Return Receipt Fee: $
COD Fee: $
Insurance Fee: $
Total Postage & Fees: $ 19.95
Acceptance Emp. Initials

DELIVERY (POSTAL USE ONLY)

Delivery Attempt	Time	Employee Signature
Mo. Day	☐ AM ☐ PM	
Delivery Attempt	Time	Employee Signature
Mo. Day	☐ AM ☐ PM	
Delivery Date	Time	Employee Signature
Mo. Day	☐ AM ☐ PM	

CUSTOMER USE ONLY

PAYMENT BY ACCOUNT
Express Mail Corporate Acct. No.
Federal Agency Acct. No. or Postal Service Acct. No.

☐ WAIVER OF SIGNATURE (Domestic Mail Only)
Additional merchandise insurance is void if customer requests waiver of signature. I wish delivery to be made without obtaining signature of addressee or addressee's agent if delivery employee judges that article can be left in secure location) and I authorize that delivery employee's signature constitutes valid proof of delivery.

☐ NO DELIVERY ☐ Weekend ☐ Holiday

☐ Mailer Signature

FROM: (PLEASE PRINT) PHONE ( )
Affiana Austell
1499 Beacon Hill Dr
Lilburn GA 30047

TO: (PLEASE PRINT) PHONE ( )
GMAC
c/o Morrison + Foerster LLP
1290 Avenue of the Americas
New York NY
(ZIP + 4 (U.S. ADDRESSES ONLY. DO NOT USE FOR FOREIGN POSTAL CODES.)
10104 +

FOR INTERNATIONAL DESTINATIONS, WRITE COUNTRY NAME BELOW.

FOR PICKUP OR TRACKING
Visit www.usps.com
Call 1-800-222-1811

EMS

RECEIVED
DEC 10
U.S. BANKRUPTCY COURT
SO DIST OF NEW YORK

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

Alfredia Pruitt
Plaintiff/Creditor

Case No: 12-12020(MG)
Adv. Case No 13-01350(MG)

V

GMAC
Residential Capital, LLC, et al
Debtors/Defendants

Transcript to the Judge Hearing in Ref to Lis Pendas

The transcript that was taken on January 25, 2012 in reference to the Lis Pendas, page 4 no. 6-11 state the court would set a show-cause hearing in reference to the appeal, (hearing was never set). Page 6 no. 9 show that case was dismissed because the money wasn't paid into the court registry to remain in the home(**although, Defendant state to the court case was fully litigated**). Page 9 12-15 reference money had to be paid into the court registry. Page 8 no. 6-12 talk about Federal National taking over the property and the attorney stated no Fannie Mae has, why did Federal National Mortgage evict me, if Fannie Mae was the one that took over the property? Your honor even the transcript shows different entities.

Your honor the court addressed each case without my presence, with the exception of the original case discussed on page 15 in the transcript. I DEMANDED A JURY TRIAL, the court addressed each and every case without a hearing on the Merits never the less a trial, I was never given my rights to be heard. I found out later that I could appeal the lower court for not approving my paupers affidavits', everything that I filed was denied

without any type hearing, even a show cause hearing the judge mentioned in the transcript was never held, because it was me, every time I filed anything in the lower court, I attached a pauper's affidavit, which was always denied, until one day I walked one through to the courts. The transcript also shows this was in reference to a dispossessory judgment with Federal National Mortgage(still confused as to why the property was given to Fannie Mae, no record showing that). Page 15 1-25 state the original suit was about me staying in possession of my home and because I didn't pay money into the court registry the issue of possession became moot, and it state I had to pay money into the court so a rightful title holder could have some rent (continued on 16 1-6) equivalent, ma'am. That was your remedy, not to say you can't sue otherwise. So I wanted that to be clear to you, Ms. Pruitt. **YOUR HONOR THIS WAS ON JANUARY 25, 2012. THAT STATEMENT ALONE BAR RESJUDICATA FROM BEING APPLIED.**

Your honor again, even in this transcript there are 3 different owners mentioned, Freddie Mac, Fannie Mae, and Federal National Mortgage.

This transcript was taken by Becky Pearrow- Certified Court Reporter on January 25, 2012, I'm asking the court to bar Res Judicata and collateral estoppel from being applied. Your honor even after the lower court recognized that I did file a pauper's affidavit, which is truly the case, the lower court refused to honor it and proceed with the case against me. Beginning with the Superior court, it's my understanding that all courts from the up have equity jurisdiction, and that being the case, how could anyone in my destitute position, not have their pauper's affidavit approved. I don't know what the elements of fraud are but it certainly appears I have been defrauded by GMAC and the lower court. The transcript shows I never got a fair hearing on the Merits, I've tried over and over

again to be heard. GMAC state in their Motion to Dismiss, claimant state her case was never heard because of the non payment into the court registry, it was fully litigated, your honor the "**Transcript proves different".** I feel the lower court wanted to get rid of my case as quickly and easily as they could. After researching I should have never been denied of being indigence, maybe if I was given the opportunity based on my being poor in the lower court, I would not be in your court room. Your honor I filed in the lower court injunctions to remain in my property, that failed, looking back now, I feel it was because I wasn't given the right to be heard due to pauper's affidavits' being denied. My numerous demands for Jury Trial have been made but none has occurred, no motions , hearings or nothing! If GMAC attorneys states that all cases has been fully litigated it should be easy for them to present the records and judgments on the cases.

As on January 25, 2013 Judge Dawson Jackson, Chief Judge of Gwinnett Superior Court state the remedy could have been resolved while I was in the house if money was paid into the registry, that was my remedy, not to ~~sue~~ SAY I can't sue otherwise. Page 16 of Transcript.

This 7th day of December,2013.

Alfredia Pruitt
4499 Beacon Hill Dr
Lilburn, Ga 30047
770-668-3915

Transcript Attached.

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF GWINNETT COUNTY

STATE OF GEORGIA

FEDERAL NATIONAL MORTGAGE, Plaintiff, vs. ALFREDIA PRUITT, Defendant.	CIVIL FILE NOS. 10-A-10972-3 11-A-10084-3 11-A-10675-3 (Transcript to be filed only in 11-A-10084) per defendant.)

Transcript of the proceedings had before the Honorable K. Dawson Jackson, Chief Judge, Gwinnett Superior Court, Lawrenceville, Georgia, on January 25, 2012.

COPY

APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL:

For the Plaintiff: Teah N. Glenn, Esq.

For the Defendant: Alfredia Pruitt, Pro Se

BECKY D. PEARROW
Certified Court Reporter
75 Langley Drive
Lawrenceville, GA 30046
770.822.8638

PROCEEDINGS

THE COURT: This lady is an independent contractor, this lady here. It's between you and her; it's a method or manner of payment.

How would you expect payment to be made, Ms. Pearrow?

THE COURT REPORTER: At the end of the hearing, I'll need to collect from you.

MS. PRUITT: Okay.

THE COURT: Now, counsel, having said that, do you want to share in the takedown of the case?

MS. GLENN: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Now, we have several motions that have to be heard at this juncture. There are several cases where, apparently, an appeal has been attempted or filed by Ms. Pruitt where the Court has made various rulings. Counsel, what's your understanding of this case? You represent Federal National Mortgage in the various aspects of this case; is that correct?

MS. GLENN: GMAC and USAA Federal Savings Bank.

THE COURT: Thank you, counsel. You represent the other parts affected by this litigation.

MS. GLENN Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you. And state your name, please, counsel. You're Ms. --

MS. GLENN: Ms. Teah Glenn for the record.

And, Your Honor, I believe there are two variations with regard to the defendants and there are, I believe, three different actions, one of which has gone up on appeal. And then the others, Your Honor, I thought were dismissed by this Court.

THE COURT: Well, counsel, Ms. Pruitt is a pro se litigant, and she has various cases pending, and the Court has tried to track every one of these, and the Court has made rulings from time to time. So my understanding is that Ms. Pruitt has Case No. 10-A-10972-3.

Do you have that down, Ms. Glenn? That is the case where the Court has directed a hearing to show cause why the appeal should not be dismissed for nonpayment of court costs.

MS. GLENN: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Then I have another case, 11-A-10084-3, Pruitt versus Federal National Mortgage Company, in reverse posture, a separate action. This also is a show-cause hearing why the appeal should not be dismissed for failure to pay appeal costs.

Then there is another case Ms. Pruitt has filed or involved in, and this is 11-A-10675-3, a show-cause hearing why the appeal should not be dismissed and the defendant's motion to cancel two lis pendens actions.

Now, is that what you've got, counsel?

MS. GLENN: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Right. And it's in various stages of litigation. The Court has made rulings, and Ms. Pruitt has filed several lawsuits seeking, in essence, the same relief. That's what the Court has found.

Now, she's attempting to appeal the cases. She's here pro se, but my understanding is the costs of the appeal have not been paid. That seems to be the status of her cases, and that would cause the Court to set a show-cause hearing as to why the court costs have not been paid to perfect an appeal.

Is that your understanding, Ms. Pruitt? Ms. Pruitt, is that your understanding as to why you're here otherwise?

MS. PRUITT: No, Your Honor, it wasn't my understanding.

THE COURT: Well, that's what the notices show, Ms. Pruitt, respectfully.

MS. PRUITT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: So I'm not your attorney, Ms. Pruitt, but you've appealed several rulings of the Court and appeal costs have not been paid, have yet to be paid. There's nothing paid in the registry of the court, and the Court has to be cautious, certainly.

You filed a notice of appeal, but the costs have to

p.4 4705145580 fax

be paid. I'm not here, respectfully, ma'am, to tell you what to do, but these are legal steps that have to be taken to perfect an appeal under Code Section 5-6-48.

So, counsel, are you familiar with all these cases Ms. Pruitt has filed, apparently, over the same property? I'm assuming all this is about the same house. She's filed multiple lawsuits over this house, or at least two that I'm aware of, two or more.

MS. GLENN: Yes, Your Honor. Some of the confusion comes from the fact that we were not always served with Ms. Pruitt's various filings and so --

THE COURT: Perhaps not.

MS. GLENN: What we -- we had to piece the lawsuit together from the Court's orders. I'm able to -- I may just assert when we get to various stages, but I do see the order denying and dismissing 10675-3, and I'm aware that the other two matters are up on appeal.

THE COURT: Well, they're not up on appeal. She's filed a notice of appeal, but the court costs have not been paid. That's a condition precedent to any supersedes and, apparently, they've remained unpaid.

MS. GLENN: Yes, Your Honor. And I've also wrote the Court of Appeals an order saying that --

THE COURT: Yes. They remain unpaid. The Court set this down to show cause why her attempted appeals of those

p.5 4705145580 fax

orders should not be dismissed for nonpaying court costs, which is jurisdictional in nature.

MS. GLENN: Certainly, Your Honor. And we would certainly contend that those amounts are due, and this Court is well within its right to dismiss those pending appeals. And if you prefer to separately address our --

THE COURT: Well, they have several backgrounds, but they revolve around the same issues. And also the Court of Appeals, I would note, has dismissed her interim appeal as well.

MS. GLENN: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: You have that. That's been recited in several of the others where it's very, very clear to stay in possession of the property, as I understand it, she had to pay rent into the court --

MS. GLENN: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: -- to maintain possession and even during the pendency of any appeal that she might wish to take, separate and apart from paying appeal costs. That seems to be the posture of the case. I don't speak for her, but the Court has to be careful about this case to make sure it's been properly handled nonetheless.

MS. GLENN: I understand.

THE COURT: And, also, the Court has signed orders allowing -- directing that a dispossessory could take

place, counsel, of the property and that she could be dispossessed.

MS. GLENN: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I was curious about that, counsel. You represent these parties who seek and have title to the property. I'm curious why that has not yet occurred if it has not yet occurred.

MS. GLENN: The eviction -- the lockout, Your Honor, has, in fact, occurred.

THE COURT: I wasn't aware of that. I don't know. I don't monitor these cases.

MS. GLENN: Yes. There's different dispossessory counsel that handles the actual --

THE COURT: I don't know if the lady is still in the property. I don't know, respectfully to Ms. Pruitt. I do not know.

MS. GLENN: No, Your Honor. It was our understanding we seek to determine if the property is vacant or not before attempting the lockout.

THE COURT: All right. Respectfully, Ms. Pruitt, are you still in the house that might have been in question? Are you still on the property, ma'am?

MS. PRUITT: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: You're not. And I don't know what happened, ma'am, to the property, but I can assure you,

Ms. Pruitt, the Court would not have signed the dispossessory unless the Court deemed it to be legally authorized and proper, Ms. Pruitt, respectfully to you, ma'am.

MS. PRUITT: Yes.

THE COURT: Now, I'm not sure what other issues need to be discussed insofar as possession. Has Federal National Mortgage taken control of the property, Ms. Glenn?

MS. GLENN: Fannie Mae has.

THE COURT: Fannie Mae. All right. So the property has been delivered.

MS. GLENN: Yes.

THE COURT: And, therefore, I would assume the issue of possession has remained and is moot, nonetheless.

MS. GLENN: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right.

Now, Ms. Pruitt, the next step, you have appealed several of the Court's orders, which you have the right to do, but there are legal matters that have to be addressed, one of which is to pay the appeal costs, respectfully, Ms. Pruitt. That's where the case or cases stand now it's my understanding looking at the legal authority the Court has and the documents you have filed in each case, which have been otherwise carefully reviewed by the Court, Ms.

Pruitt, from time to time as the law may require.

So, Ms. Pruitt, what's your understanding of the case?

MS. PRUITT: The understanding, Your Honor, on 11-10084, I did pay the appeal cost into that one. The other two that I filed, I filed a pauper's affidavit.

THE COURT: Yes, ma'am. Yes, you attempted to file one.

MS. PRUITT: One of them was denied, and I think two of them was approved because I walked it through to the Court.

THE COURT: I'm not sure, ma'am, depending on what you filed. But my understanding is, ma'am, the Court has not approved any pauper's affidavit for paying of appeal cost.

MS. PRUITT: Okay.

THE COURT: That's where the case stands is my understanding and my recollection. The Court has not approved a pauper's affidavit to bypass the payment of appeal cost for the cases. So I don't mean to misspeak, Ms. Pruitt. I have an understanding of what you mentioned about when you came forward with a presiding judge approving some affidavits from time to time. But the case, at this point, the Court has not approved, again, in either or any case a pauper's affidavit for appeal cost,

Ms. Pruitt.

MS. PRUITT: Okay. Well, Your Honor, I was under the wrong understanding that it took care of that.

THE COURT: All right. I'm not sure. I assure you I'm going to check the record again. My staff attorney is here, Ms. Mary Trammell. We've been monitoring the cases very carefully and it would appear presently, respectfully, ma'am, the appeal costs have not been paid. And you said a moment ago that some costs were paid. Do you know which case that was on, Ms. Pruitt?

MS. PRUITT: I think it was 11-10084.

THE COURT: I'm not sure. I'm certainly going to check it to make certain. And, of course, you need to understand the appeal costs have to be paid on time. They have to be paid on time.

MS. PRUITT: It was just a misunderstanding on my part. After I received that approval, I didn't understand that it did not take care of the appeal.

THE COURT: Well, again, there are itemizations, Ms. Pruitt, but we're going to check.

Mary, are you familiar with what the lady might be talking about? She's talking about another case. There are two here. We have them all before the Court. But, nonetheless, if the costs have not been paid in a timely fashion, the Court has discretion to, respectfully, ma'am,

to dismiss your appeals. The Court's rulings here are final. The Court has addressed each and every case, and when you wish to appeal them, you have to go through proper steps, as you may know, to have a notice of appeal and pay the cost in a timely fashion.

MS. PRUITT: Okay.

THE COURT: If it's not been done, the Court is empowered, respectfully, ma'am, to dismiss your appeal, or your attempted appeals.

MS. PRUITT: Okay.

THE COURT: Now, Ms. Pruitt, respectfully, do you have any other lawsuits going on at this time --

MS. PRUITT: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: -- about this property, Ms. Pruitt?

MS. PRUITT: No.

THE COURT: And, respectfully, ma'am, when did you leave the property? I assume you left the property at some point voluntarily after a writ of possession was obtained. I'm curious.

MS. PRUITT: I think it was around November.

THE COURT: So you've been out of the home for some time?

MS. PRUITT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Right. And you understand, Ms. Pruitt, it's a legal matter.

MS. PRUITT: Yes.

THE COURT: And that's become moot, and the next step is to see whether or not this Court -- or the appellate court could accept your case. You have to pay the appeal costs. If not, the Court is empowered to dismiss the appeal or attempted appeals. I am inclined to do so, respectfully, Ms. Pruitt, in either or both cases. That's 10-A-10972-3 and/or 11-A-10084-3.

Counsel, it would appear to be in order. I'm not aware that she's paid the appeal cost. I will double-check that just to make sure that they were not paid. It appears they were not based on our research.

I do have the clerk's file so we don't have misunderstandings about this.

MS. GLENN: Your Honor, that's my understanding.

THE COURT: Counsel, I want you to make sure you check it, too, Ms. Glenn, because the Court needs to act correctly.

MS. GLENN: Your Honor, if I may, certainly I checked before the hearing, and there was nothing of record that I could locate with regard to payments.

THE COURT: Of either case? Did you look in either case, counsel? I know you're walking in here, respectfully, counsel. Ms. Pruitt has filed several lawsuits, so I'll say no more about it.

fax 4/05145580 p.12

MS. GLENN: Your Honor, if I may, it appears that I'm reading MD12-04, the Court of Appeals ruling with regard to at least one.

THE COURT: Yes, ma'am. The Court of appeals certainly has issued a limited -- a slip opinion about it, and I read very carefully to make sure I was satisfied I was in order, and they have ruled that the matter could be moot. Correct, counsel?

MS. GLENN: Yes, Your Honor. But I was going to say, in addition to the requisite sums that have not been paid, the Court of Appeals also noted that Ms. Pruitt's appeal was untimely. It appears -- at the very end it says that OCGA 44-7-56 also provides that in any appeal in a dispossessory judgment it must be filed within --

THE COURT: Now, that's of a dispossessory judgment.

MS. GLENN: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Now, she's filed collateral lawsuits. Ms. Pruitt has filed or attempted to file other lawsuits, separate and apart from the issue of possession. So I don't disagree with that decision. I think it certainly has a direct bearing and was and did on the issue of possession, and she's vacated the property. The writ was issued, and she's not in the premises. It's been restored to the rightful owner at this time.

So, now, another point, counsel, that was a

triggering event. The 11-A-10675-3 case, that was, I believe, also a case where an appeal has been attempted but court costs were not paid there. There's a triplicate case here where costs have not been paid in a timely or proper fashion otherwise, and there was a motion to cancel lis pendens that was filed on 1-10-2012 by your firm, counsel.

MS. GLENN: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And that would be because why as a practical matter?

MS. GLENN: Your Honor, Ms. Pruitt has filed two lis pendens at this point burdening the property that Fannie Mae has taken back. Your Honor, the lis pendens, frankly, are clouding the title.

THE COURT: Sure, damage to the title.

MS. GLENN: Certainly.

THE COURT: And there would be no basis to allow the motion to stand. I'm sorry, to have a lis pendens to stand because the title to the property is not in issue and it's not been governed nor has it been detained in any way by this Court.

MS. GLENN: No, Your Honor. At this point that's simply to clear the title and have the requisite --

THE COURT: All right. Well, respectfully to you, Ms. Pruitt, you've prepared a pro se filed lis pendens on

your property. I assume that was when you were attempting to maintain possession.

MS. PRUITT: Yes, Your Honor. It was when I was attempting, but also I'm trying to find out who owned my mortgage, you know, because USAA Federal Savings state they were the lawful owner. GMAC stated they were the lawful owner, and then I have documents where both wrote letters stating they are the servicer also in their intervening assignments here in the county where there is no chain of title. If GMAC owned my mortgage, why was I making payments to USAA Federal Savings and then in turn USAA Federal Savings stated they're my servicer?

THE COURT: Right. Well, to give you the remedy -- that was while you were in the house; correct, ma'am? That was while you were still there while you were trying to maintain possession of the home.

MS. PRUITT: Yes.

THE COURT: Yes, ma'am. And your remedy was and is at that time to pay rent into the court. That was your remedy until the Court could sort out any claims you might have had. Respectfully, ma'am, they were never paid into court, so, therefore, the issue of possession became moot and that would have allowed you to stay put in the property, but you had to pay into the registry of the court so a rightful titleholder could have some rent or

equivalent, ma'am. That was your remedy, not to say you can't sue otherwise. So I just wanted that to be clear to you, Ms. Pruitt.

MS. PRUITT: I understand.

THE COURT: You understand that, ma'am?

MS. PRUITT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Just a moment.

(Brief interruption)

THE COURT: Ma'am, my staff attorney has just checked right on the clerk's file. We show no payments, respectfully, ma'am.

MS. PRUITT: I think, Your Honor, what happened -- because I was under the misunderstanding that when I had those paupers' affidavits signed that it had taken care of that, so that was my error.

THE COURT: I understand, ma'am. But anyway, things have been sorted out.

Now, respectfully, I'm going to grant the motion to cancel the lis pendens. Counsel, I do think it's in order. There's no basis to cloud the title to prevent use of the title that now belongs to Freddie Mac; is that correct, or what's the name for that? I'm sorry, who?

MS. GLENN: It's Fannie Mae, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Fannie Mae. Well, okay.

Respectfully, Ms. Pruitt, I'm satisfied the appeal

should be dismissed because the costs have not been paid in any of the several lawsuits, and there would be no legal basis to have the lis pendens stay on this property to cloud the title and prevent the ownership of the property to be complete or transferred to others as the law may otherwise allow.

Is that your position, counsel, Ms. Glenn?

MS. GLENN: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Now, Ms. Pruitt, do you have any questions about that, Ms. Pruitt?

MS. PRUITT: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you, ma'am. And I'll just leave it at that.

I'm going to prepare the appropriate orders, counsel.

Did you have a proposed order you wanted me to consider? There are several cases to bring an end to the litigation, and that's all the Court would say about it as long as it's legally done, counsel.

MS. GLENN: Yes, Your Honor. Attached to our motion to cancel lis pendens was a proposed order.

THE COURT: All right. It was.

MS. GLENN: That we would need executed as well as a cancelation of lis pendens, and I'm happy to provide that.

THE COURT: All right. That's fine. Well, please.

fax 4/05145550 p.11

Did you file it with the Court, a proposed order? I want to make sure I've got it. I want to make sure --

MS. GLENN: I did, Your Honor.

THE COURT: It may be here. I don't know, counsel. I have volumes of litigation and papers to keep up with all day long so it's easy enough for me to overlook things, respectfully, counsel. I do want to make sure I have it and make sure it's as to the right case.

MS. PRUITT: We did file a copy, Your Honor.

THE COURT: You did. And you may have, counsel. Surely, you probably did. I'm just sorry I just can't, at a moment's notice, recall everything in these files.

MS. GLENN: Please excuse, that's our duplicate copy.

THE COURT: All right. It's a duplicate.

MS. GLENN: And the cancelation is what we would submit too.

THE COURT: All right. That's submitted, and that has the proper description of the property that may have been in issue. That is correctly identified. I don't want to make a mistake now, counsel, and have to correct a deed somewhere, an incorrect description.

And you've furnished that proposed order to Ms. Pruitt; correct, counsel? Did you give her a copy?

MS. PRUITT: Yes, Your Honor, she was copied.

THE COURT: Oh, she was copied --

MS. PRUITT: Yes.

THE COURT: -- so we don't have something ex parte. I'm just trying to make sure.

MS. PRUITT: Your Honor, I haven't received anything. I did receive from --

THE COURT: The notice hearing? All right. Well, let me do this. I'm going to have my staff attorney just give you copies of the proposed orders. I'm going to scrutinze them, Ms. Pruitt. I have to be satisfied with what I sign. I assure you I will do so.

Counsel, otherwise, I'm going to enter appropriate orders after I've reviewed the file. So I'm going to go ahead and make sure that Ms. Pruitt has got a copy of what you've got. I'll take another look at it to make sure I'm satisfied with any orders I've entered today on this case. And it will take me some time to look through the files and stuff to make sure I'm satisfied with my rulings.

MS. GLENN: Certainly, Your Honor. We would just wait for --

THE COURT: That will be fine. Just stand by.

Ms. Pruitt, I'll just leave it at that. Ms. Pruitt, do we have a current address, Ms. Pruitt, so I can send you the orders? Do you have a change of address perhaps? I don't know, ma'am.

MS. PRUITT: I haven't done it yet. I anticipate on

doing it.

THE COURT: Okay. I just want to make sure you get a copy of the orders that have affected your litigation. Do you have an address or post office box anywhere?

MS. PRUITT: Yes.

THE COURT: If you could write that down on a piece of paper. I don't want you to be, quote, out of the loop, Ms. Pruitt, that you don't get something and don't know. Just write that down, just a mailing address, please, so that the Court knows where to send these documents to you once I enter any appropriate orders and file them with the clerk.

Counsel, do you know how to get in touch with her? Do you need an address?

MS. GLENN: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I just want to make sure she's getting her notices and proposed orders and things are done properly.

Counsel, we're at ease. Thank you.

And, Ms. Pruitt, I'll go ahead and just put everybody at ease. Otherwise, I'm going to adjourn that case and you can expect an appropriate order in the next several days.

MS. GLENN: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor.

MS. PRUITT: Excuse me. I thought this was in

reference to my lawsuit. It isn't?

THE COURT: Yes, ma'am. These are dealing with your lawsuits where you've attempted to appeal them, ma'am. It appears to the Court that the Court will dismiss the appeals, ma'am, because the appeal costs have not been paid as we just discussed, Ms. Pruitt.

Thank you, Ms. Pruitt. That's all. And I'll send out the order. Thank you for coming today. That's all. The hearing is adjourned.

MS. GLENN: Your Honor, will you also provide a copy of Ms. Pruitt's correct information to serve her with a copy of any order?

THE COURT: She wrote down Post Office Box 1312. Right, Ms. Pruitt?

MS. PRUITT: Yes.

THE COURT: Thank you. That's fine. That's sufficient. Thank you. I'll cc the parties all the way around. Thank you for coming today. This hearing is adjourned for the time being. Thank you.

(Whereupon, the hearing was concluded.)

fax 4/05/14 p.21

C E R T I F I C A T E

STATE OF GEORGIA)
COUNTY OF GWINNETT)

I, BECKY D. PEARROW, being a Certified Court Reporter in and for the State of Georgia, hereby certify that the foregoing pages, 1 through 21, constitutes, to the best of my ability, a complete and accurate transcription of the proceedings of the court and were accurately reported and transcribed by me or under my direction.

I further certify that I am not neither related to or counsel to the parties herein nor have any interest in the outcome of the above-styled proceedings.

This certification is expressly withdrawn and denied upon the disassembly and/or photocopying of the foregoing transcript, or any portion thereof, unless said disassembly or photocopying is done by the undersigned signature and official seal are attached thereon.

Witness my hand and official seal, this 27th day of November, 2013, Lawrenceville, Georgia.

BECKY D. PEARROW, CCR
Certificate No. B-1190

CERTIFIED COURT REPORTER STATE OF GEORGIA 1776 B-1190

fax 4/05145580 p.22