

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

In re:

Zosano Pharma Corporation,

Debtor.

Chapter 11

Case No. 22-10506 (JKS)

Obj. Date: October 15, 2024 at 4:00 p.m. (ET)

Hearing Date: October 22, 2024 at 1:00 p.m. (ET)

**LIQUIDATING TRUSTEE'S FIFTH OMNIBUS OBJECTION  
(NON-SUBSTANTIVE) TO THE ALLOWANCE OF CERTAIN  
CLAIMS PURSUANT TO SECTION 502 OF THE BANKRUPTCY  
CODE, BANKRUPTCY RULE 3007 AND LOCAL RULE 3007-1**

**(No-Basis Claims)**

**PARTIES RECEIVING THIS OBJECTION SHOULD LOCATE THEIR NAMES  
AND THEIR DISPUTED CLAIMS IDENTIFIED ON SCHEDULE 1 TO THE  
PROPOSED ORDER**

***TO CLAIMANTS WHOSE DISPUTED CLAIMS ARE SUBJECT TO THIS  
OBJECTION:***

**\*YOUR SUBSTANTIVE RIGHTS MAY BE AFFECTED BY THIS OBJECTION  
AND ANY FURTHER OBJECTION THAT MAY BE FILED IN THIS  
CHAPTER 11 CASE\***

**\*\*THE RELIEF SOUGHT IN THIS OBJECTION IS WITHOUT PREJUDICE  
TO THE RIGHTS OF THE DEBTOR TO PURSUE FURTHER OBJECTIONS  
TO THE DISPUTED CLAIMS\*\***

SierraConstellation Partners, LLC, as Liquidating Trustee (the "Trustee") in the bankruptcy case of the above-captioned debtor (the "Debtor"), hereby submits its fifth omnibus objection (the "Objection"), pursuant to section 502 of title 11 of the United States Code (the "Bankruptcy Code"), Rule 3007 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure (the "Bankruptcy Rules"), and Rule 3007-1 of the Local Rules of Bankruptcy Practice and Procedure of the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware (the "Local Rules"), to the allowance of certain claims filed against the Debtor and its estate that are listed on **Schedule 1** (collectively, the "Subject Claims") to the proposed form of order



221050624091900000000003

attached hereto as **Exhibit A** (the “Proposed Order”), and request the entry of the Proposed Order disallowing the Subject Claims, as indicated in further detail below and on **Schedule 1** to the Proposed Order. In support of this Objection, the Trustee relies on the *Declaration of William Partridge in Support of the Liquidating Trustee’s Fifth (Non-Substantive) Omnibus Objection to the Allowance of Certain Claims*, attached hereto as **Exhibit B** (the “Partridge Declaration”). In further support of the Objection, the Trustee respectfully represents as follows:

**I. Jurisdiction and Venue**

1. The Court has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334, and the *Amended Standing Order of Reference from the United States District Court for the District of Delaware* dated as of February 29, 2012. This is a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(2), and the Trustee consents, pursuant to Local Rule 9013-1(f), to the entry of a final order by the Court in connection with the Objection to the extent that it is later determined that the Court, absent consent of the parties, cannot enter final orders or judgments in connection herewith consistent with Article III of the United States Constitution.

2. Venue is proper before the Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409.

3. The statutory and legal predicates for the relief sought herein are section 502 of the Bankruptcy Code, Bankruptcy Rule 3007 and Local Rule 3007-1.

**II. Background**

4. On June 1, 2022 (the “Petition Date”), the Debtor commenced this bankruptcy case (the “Chapter 11 Case”) by filing a voluntary petition for relief under chapter 11 of title 11 of the Bankruptcy Code.

5. The Debtor filed its Chapter 11 Plan of Liquidation [Docket No. 232] and related Amended Disclosure Statement [Docket No. 231] on October 10, 2022. The Debtor later filed an Amended Chapter 11 Plan of Liquidation [Docket No. 280] on November 18, 2022 and a Second Amended Chapter 11 Plan of Liquidation [Docket No. 291] on November 22, 2022.

6. The Court entered the *Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Order Confirming the Second Amended Chapter 11 Plan of Liquidation of Zosano Pharma Corporation* (the “Confirmation Order”) [Docket No. 294].

7. The effective date of the Plan was December 7, 2022. As outlined in the Confirmation Order, “the Liquidating Trustee shall have the sole authority to: (1) file, withdraw, or litigate to judgment, objections to Claims or Interest; (2) settle or compromise any Disputed Claim without any further notice to or action, order, or approval by the Bankruptcy Court...” *See* Confirmation Order, p. 29.

### **III. Proofs of Claim and Bar Date Orders**

8. On June 6, 2022, the Court entered an order [Docket No. 23] appointing Kurtzman Carson Consultants LLC (“KCC”) as claims and noticing agent in the Chapter 11 Case. Among other things, KCC is authorized to (a) receive, maintain, record and otherwise administer the proofs of claim filed in the Chapter 11 Case, and (b) maintain an official claims register for the Debtor.

9. On July 22, 2022, the Court entered an order [Docket No. 142] (the “Bar Date Order”) providing that, except as otherwise provided therein, (i) all persons or entities (including, without limitation, individuals, partnerships, corporations, joint ventures, and trusts) that assert a claim, as defined in section 101(5) of the Bankruptcy Code, against the

Debtor, including, without limitation, secured claims, and priority claims, which arose on or prior to the Petition Date, including requests for allowance and payment of claims under section 503(b)(9) of the Bankruptcy Code, shall file a proof of any such claim so that it is actually received on or before 5:00 p.m. (Eastern Time) on August 22, 2022 (the “General Bar Date”), and (ii) all governmental units, as defined in section 101(27) of the Bankruptcy Code, shall file a proof of any such claim so that it is actually received on or before 5:00 p.m. (Eastern Time) on November 28, 2022 (the “Government Bar Date”).

10. Notice of the Bar Dates was provided by mail and publication in accordance with the procedures outlined in the Bar Date Order. [Docket Nos. 151, 154, 174, & 186].

#### **IV. Relief Requested**

11. By this Objection, the Trustee requests that the Court enter the Proposed Order, pursuant to section 502(b) of the Bankruptcy Code, Bankruptcy Rule 3007(d)(6), and Local Rule 3007-1(d)(vi), disallowing the Subject Claims as indicated in further detail below and on **Schedule 1** to the Proposed Order.

12. In accordance with Local Rule 3007-1(e)(i)(E), the Trustee submits that this Objection complies in all material respects with Local Rule 3007-1.

#### **V. Basis for Relief**

13. The Trustee’s professionals have examined each of the Subject Claims, all supporting documentation provided by the claimant, if any, and the Debtor’s books and records and have determined that in each case the Subject Claims are alleged claims that do not have a basis for allowance in the Debtors’ books and records and do not include or attach sufficient information or documentation to constitute *prima facie* evidence of the validity or amount of the claim, as contemplated by Bankruptcy Rule 3001(f). Therefore,

the Subject Claims should be reduced or disallowed in their entirety. The elimination of the Subject Claims will enable the Trustee to maintain a claims register that more accurately reflects the claims that have been asserted in this Case.

14. “Bankruptcy Rule 3001(f) provides that a proof of claim executed and filed in accordance with the rules of procedure constitutes *prima facie* evidence of the validity and amount of the claim. Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3001(f). A proof of claim that lacks the supporting documentation required by Rule 3001 does not receive the presumption of *prima facie* validity. Rather, the claimant maintains the burden of proving his or her claim by a preponderance of the evidence.” See *In re New Century TRS Holdings, Inc.*, 2014 WL 1466444, at \*6 (Bankr. D. Del. April 10, 2014).

15. “A ‘properly filed’ proof of claim, as proscribed by the Judicial Conference in Official Form 10, consists of ‘(1) a creditor's name and address, (2) basis for claim, (3) date debt incurred, (4) amount of claim, (5) classification of claim, and (6) supporting documents.’” *In re Armstrong*, 320 B.R. 97, 104 (Bankr. N.D. Tex. 2005) (internal citations omitted). The fact that there is not any supporting documentation for each Subject Claim refutes the *prima facie* validity of the claim. See e.g. *In re W.R. Grace & Co.*, 626 B.R. 217, 235 (Bankr. D. Del. 2021) (explaining that if the objector refutes the claimant’s *prima facie* claim, the burden of proof shifts back to the claimant).

16. Accordingly, the Trustee objects to the Subject Claims, and request entry of the Proposed Order disallowing, in their entirety and as set forth herein, the Subject Claims as indicated on **Schedule 1** to the Proposed Order.

**VI. Responses to this Objection**

17. Any responses to this Objection must be filed **on or before 4:00 p.m. (ET) on October 15, 2024**, in accordance with the procedures set forth in the notice of this Objection.

18. The Trustee reserves the right to seek to adjourn the hearing on any Subject Claim, and in the event that the Trustee does so, it will state the same in the agenda for the hearing on that Subject Claim, which agenda will be served on the claimant.

**VII. Reservation of Rights**

19. The Trustee reserves any and all rights to amend, supplement or otherwise modify this Objection, the Proposed Order, or **Schedule 1** thereto, and to file additional objections to any and all claims filed in this Chapter 11 Case, including, without limitation, any and all of the Subject Claims. The Trustee also reserves any and all rights, claims and defenses with respect to any and all of the Subject Claims, and nothing included in or omitted from this Objection, the Proposed Order, or **Schedule 1** thereto is intended or shall be deemed to impair, prejudice, waive or otherwise affect any rights, claims, or defenses of the Trustee and its estate with respect to the Subject Claims.

**VIII. Notice**

20. Notice of the Objection has been provided to: (i) the U.S. Trustee; (ii) any party that has requested notice pursuant to Rule 2002 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy procedure; and (iii) all claimants whose Subject Claims are the subject of this Objection. In light of the nature of the relief requested herein, the Trustee submits that no other or further notice is necessary.

**IX. Conclusion**

**WHEREFORE**, for the reasons set forth herein, the Trustee respectfully requests that this Court:

- a) disallow the Subject Claims listed on **Schedule 1**;
- b) enter the Proposed Order, and
- c) grant the Trustee such other and further relief as is just and proper.

Respectfully submitted this 19th day of September, 2024.

GREENBERG TRAUIG, LLP

/s/ Dennis A. Meloro

Dennis A. Meloro (DE Bar No. 4435)  
The Nemours Building  
222 Delaware Avenue, Suite 1600  
Wilmington, Delaware 19801  
Telephone: (302) 661-7000  
Facsimile: (302) 661-7360  
Email: Dennis.Meloro@gtlaw.com

-and-

John D. Elrod (admitted *pro hac vice*)  
Terminus 200  
3333 Piedmont Road NE, Suite 2500  
Atlanta, Georgia 30305  
Telephone: (678) 553-2100  
Facsimile: (678) 553-2212  
Email: elrodj@gtlaw.com

*Counsel for SierraConstellation Partners,  
LLC, as Liquidating Trustee*

**EXHIBIT A**

Proposed Order



**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
DISTRICT OF DELAWARE**

In re:

Zosano Pharma Corporation,

Debtor.

Chapter 11

Case No. 22-10506 (JKS)

Ref. Docket No. \_\_\_\_\_

**ORDER SUSTAINING LIQUIDATING TRUSTEE’S FIFTH (NON-SUBSTANTIVE)  
OMNIBUS OBJECTION TO THE ALLOWANCE OF CERTAIN CLAIMS PURSUANT  
TO SECTION 502 OF THE BANKRUPTCY CODE, BANKRUPTCY RULE 3007 AND  
LOCAL RULE 3007-1**

Upon consideration of the *Liquidating Trustee’s Fifth (Non-Substantive) Omnibus Objection to the Allowance of Certain Claims Pursuant to Section 502 of the Bankruptcy Code, Bankruptcy Rule 3007, and Local Rule 3007-1* (the “Objection”);<sup>1</sup> and it appearing that this Court has jurisdiction to consider the Objection pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334 and the *Amended Standing Order of Reference from the United States District Court for the District of Delaware* dated as of February 29, 2012; and it appearing that venue of this chapter 11 case and the Objection in this district is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409; and it appearing that this matter is a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b); and it appearing that notice of the Objection was good and sufficient upon the particular circumstances and that no other or further notice need be given; and it appearing that the legal and factual bases set forth in the Objection establish just cause for the relief granted herein; and after due deliberation thereon, and good and sufficient cause appearing therefor; it is hereby **ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED THAT:**

1. The Objection is SUSTAINED, as set forth herein.

---

<sup>1</sup> Capitalized terms used but not otherwise defined herein shall have the same meaning ascribed to them in the Objection.

2. The Subject Claims identified on **Schedule 1** to the Order are hereby disallowed as claims in their entirety.

3. The Trustee's objection to each Subject Claim addressed in the Objection constitutes a separate contested matter as contemplated by Bankruptcy Rule 9014. This Order shall be deemed a separate Order with respect to each claim. Any stay of this Order pending appeal by any of the claimants subject to this Order shall only apply to the contested matter which involves such claimant and shall not act to stay the applicability and/or finality of this Order with respect to the other contested matters covered hereby.

4. Any and all rights of the Trustee to amend, supplement or otherwise modify the Objection and to file additional objections to any and all claims filed in this Chapter 11 Case, including, without limitation, any and all of the Subject Claims, shall be reserved. Any and all rights, claims and defenses of the Trustee and its estate with respect to any and all of the Subject Claims shall be reserved, and nothing included in or omitted from the Objection is intended or shall be deemed to impair, prejudice, waive or otherwise affect any rights, claims, or defenses of the Trustee with respect to the Subject Claims.

5. This Court shall retain jurisdiction over any and all affected parties with respect to any and all matters, claims or rights arising from or related to the implementation or interpretation of this Order.

**Schedule 1**

(1) Name of Claimant	(2) Claim Number	(3) Claim Amount	(4) Reason for Disallowance
Abbas, Hudaifa	271	\$84.08	The claim fails to: (i) comport with the Debtor's books and records; and (ii) include sufficient information to determine the validity of the claim. Further, to extent claimant's shares of stock could be confirmed, such claim should be reclassified as equity and disallowed as a claim.
Azimi, Farhad	133	\$310.00	The claim fails to: (i) comport with the Debtor's books and records; and (ii) include sufficient information to determine the validity of the claim.
Banirazi, Reza	55	\$12,010.27	The claim fails to: (i) comport with the Debtor's books and records; and (ii) include sufficient information to determine the validity of the claim.
Barbera, Michael Jordan	264	\$25,000.00	The claim fails to: (i) comport with the Debtor's books and records; and (ii) include sufficient information to determine the validity of the claim. Debtor's books and records do not reflect claimant as a supplier of goods to the Debtor and there is no documentation to support the claim.
Bhargava, Akanksha	73	\$579.25	The claim fails to: (i) comport with the Debtor's books and records; and (ii) include sufficient information to determine the validity of the claim.
Bui, James	77	\$2,500.00	The claim fails to: (i) comport with the Debtor's books and records; and (ii) include sufficient information to determine the validity of the claim. Pursuant to the Debtor's books and records, Claimant was not an employee of the Debtor and any services Claimant may have performed for the Debtor were paid and not outstanding at the time of the Petition Date. Debtor's books and records do not reflect any deposit made by the Claimant to the Debtor at any time prior to the Petition Date.
Dannehold, John R.	160	\$1,693.42	The claim fails to: (i) comport with the Debtor's books and records; and (ii) include sufficient information to determine the validity of the claim.
Haile, Amare	295	\$10,429.11	The claim fails to: (i) comport with the Debtor's books and records; and (ii) include sufficient information to determine the validity of the claim.
Haile, Amare	296	\$2,122.70	The claim fails to: (i) comport with the Debtor's books and records; and (ii) include sufficient information to determine the validity of the claim.

(1) Name of Claimant	(2) Claim Number	(3) Claim Amount	(4) Reason for Disallowance
Heaggans, Tyler	261	\$99.00	The claim fails to: (i) comport with the Debtor's books and records; and (ii) include sufficient information to determine the validity of the claim.
Lecompte, Vicky	72	\$185.41	The claim fails to: (i) comport with the Debtor's books and records; and (ii) include sufficient information to determine the validity of the claim.
Lee, Soo Jung	183	\$219.28	The claim fails to: (i) comport with the Debtor's books and records; and (ii) include sufficient information to determine the validity of the claim.
Li, Zhonggang	280	\$ -	The claim fails to: (i) comport with the Debtor's books and records; and (ii) include sufficient information to determine the validity of the claim.
Makridis, Savvas	265	\$ -	The claim fails to: (i) comport with the Debtor's books and records; and (ii) include sufficient information to determine the validity of the claim.
Maksimovic, Biljana	276	\$2,689.64	The claim fails to: (i) comport with the Debtor's books and records; and (ii) include sufficient information to determine the validity of the claim.
Marianeschi, Maria D	114	\$79,456.83	The claim fails to: (i) comport with the Debtor's books and records; and (ii) include sufficient information to determine the validity of the claim.
Patel, Mehul	211	\$100,076.00 (including all amounts identified in the claim)	The claim fails to: (i) comport with the Debtor's books and records; and (ii) include sufficient information to determine the validity of the claim. Pursuant to the Debtor's books and records, Claimant was not an employee of the Debtor and any services Claimant may have performed for the Debtor were paid and not outstanding at the time of the Petition Date. Further, there is no loan file or documentation included in the Debtor's books and records, nor any attached to the claim.
Patel, Mehul	269	\$100,076.00 (including all amounts identified in the claim)	The claim fails to: (i) comport with the Debtor's books and records; and (ii) include sufficient information to determine the validity of the claim. Pursuant to the Debtor's books and records, Claimant was not an employee of the Debtor and any services Claimant may have performed for the Debtor were paid and not outstanding at the time of the Petition Date. Further, there is no loan file or documentation included in the Debtor's books and records, nor any attached to the claim.

(1) Name of Claimant	(2) Claim Number	(3) Claim Amount	(4) Reason for Disallowance
Sasena, Robert	25	\$1,059.82	The claim fails to: (i) comport with the Debtor's books and records; and (ii) include sufficient information to determine the validity of the claim.
Shah, Sanjay	131	\$ -	The claim fails to: (i) comport with the Debtor's books and records; and (ii) include sufficient information to determine the validity of the claim.
Simonsen, Karen	87	\$ -	The claim fails to: (i) comport with the Debtor's books and records; and (ii) include sufficient information to determine the validity of the claim.
Smith, Ewart S	68	\$421.00	The claim fails to: (i) comport with the Debtor's books and records; and (ii) include sufficient information to determine the validity of the claim.
Sowards, Harold Ray II	62	\$9.14	The claim fails to: (i) comport with the Debtor's books and records; and (ii) include sufficient information to determine the validity of the claim.
Stefanescu, Cristian	95	\$390.14	The claim fails to: (i) comport with the Debtor's books and records; and (ii) include sufficient information to determine the validity of the claim.
Stinson, Joshua	194	\$259.00	The claim fails to: (i) comport with the Debtor's books and records; and (ii) include sufficient information to determine the validity of the claim. Further, there is no loan file or documentation included in the Debtor's books and records, nor any attached to the claim.

**Exhibit B**

Partridge Declaration

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

In re:

Zosano Pharma Corporation,

Debtor.

Chapter 11

Case No. 22-10506 (JKS)

**DECLARATION OF WILLIAM PARTRIDGE IN SUPPORT OF THE LIQUIDATING TRUSTEE'S FIFTH (NON-SUBSTANTIVE) OMNIBUS OBJECTION TO THE ALLOWANCE OF CERTAIN CLAIMS PURSUANT TO SECTION 502 OF THE BANKRUPTCY CODE, BANKRUPTCY RULE 3007 AND LOCAL RULE 3007-1**

I, William Partridge, hereby declare that the following is true to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief:

1. I am a Managing Director at SierraConstellation Partners, LLC ("SierraConstellation").

2. SierraConstellation serves as Liquidating Trustee (the "Trustee") in the bankruptcy case of the above-captioned debtor (the "Debtor") as provided in the Second Amended Chapter 11 Plan of Liquidation [Docket No. 291] and approved by the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware pursuant to the *Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Order Confirming the Second Amended Chapter 11 Plan of Liquidation of Zosano Pharma Corporation* [Docket No. 294].

3. I am authorized to submit this Declaration. I am familiar with the Debtor's financial and operational affairs, I have a general knowledge of the Debtor's books and records, and I have reviewed the relevant claims filed against the Debtor.

4. I have also reviewed and am familiar with the contents of the *Liquidating Trustee's Fifth (Non-Substantive) Omnibus Objection to the Allowance of Certain Claims Pursuant to*



*Section 502 of the Bankruptcy Code, Bankruptcy Rule 3007, and Local Rule 3007-1* (the “Objection”).<sup>2</sup> I submit this declaration (the “Declaration”) in support of the Objection.

5. Except as otherwise indicated herein, all facts set forth in this Declaration are based on my personal knowledge, my review of the Debtor’s books and records, relevant documents and other information prepared or collected by the Debtor’s advisors, or my opinion based on my experience with the Debtor’s operations and financial condition. In making my statements based on my review of the Debtor’s books and records, relevant documents and other information prepared or collected by the Debtor’s advisors, I have relied upon these advisors accurately recording, preparing or collecting any such documentation and other information. If I were called to testify as a witness in this matter, I could and would competently testify to each of the facts set forth herein based upon my personal knowledge, review of documents, or opinion.

6. To the best of my knowledge, information, and belief, insofar as I have been able to ascertain after reasonable inquiry, significant time and resources have been expended to review and reconcile the proofs of claim (the “Proofs of Claim”) filed against the Debtor in the Chapter 11 Case. Upon review of the Proofs of Claim filed in the Chapter 11 Case and supporting documentation attached thereto, I, on behalf of the Trustee, have determined that the Proofs of Claim listed on **Schedule 1** (the “Subject Claims”) to the proposed form of order (the “Proposed Order”) attached as **Exhibit A** to the Objection are not properly asserted pursuant to section 502(b) of the Bankruptcy Code.

7. To the best of my knowledge, information, and belief, after comparing the Proofs of Claim of the Subject Claims identified on **Schedule 1** to the Proposed Order with the Debtor’s books and records, the Subject Claims do not have a basis in the Debtors’ books and records and

---

<sup>2</sup> Capitalized terms used but not otherwise defined herein shall have the same meaning ascribed to them in the Objection.

do not include or attach sufficient information or documentation to constitute *prima facie* evidence of the validity or amount of the claim.

8. Accordingly, I believe the Subject Claims identified on **Schedule 1** to the Proposed Order should be disallowed in their entirety, as provided in the Objection.

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, I declare under penalty of perjury the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Dated: September 19, 2024

/s/ William Partridge  
William Partridge  
SierraConstellation Partners, LLC,  
as Liquidating Trustee

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

In re:

Zosano Pharma Corporation,

Debtor.

Chapter 11

Case No. 22-10506 (JKS)

Obj. Date: October 15, 2024 at 4:00 p.m. (ET)

Hearing Date: October 22, 2024 at 1:00 p.m. (ET)

**NOTICE OF OMNIBUS OBJECTION TO CLAIMS**

**PLEASE TAKE NOTICE** that, on September 19, 2024 SierraConstellation Partners, LLC, as Liquidating Trustee (the “Trustee”) in the bankruptcy case of the above-captioned debtor (the “Debtor”), filed the *Liquidating Trustee’s Fifth (Non-Substantive) Omnibus Objection to the Allowance of Certain Claims Pursuant to Section 502 of the Bankruptcy Code, Bankruptcy Rules 3007, and Local Rule 3007-1* (the “Objection”).

**THE OBJECTION SEEKS TO ALTER YOUR RIGHTS. THEREFORE, YOU SHOULD READ THE OBJECTION AND THE EXHIBITS THERETO CAREFULLY AND DISCUSS THEM WITH YOUR ATTORNEY. IF YOU DO NOT HAVE AN ATTORNEY, YOU MAY WISH TO CONSULT ONE.**

**THE EXHIBITS ATTACHED TO THE PROPOSED ORDER LIST ALL CLAIMS THAT ARE THE SUBJECT OF THE OBJECTION.**

**PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE** that any response or objection to the relief sought in the Objection must be filed with the Court, 824 North Market Street, 3rd Floor, Wilmington, Delaware 19801 on or before **October 15, 2024 AT 4:00 P.M. PREVAILING EASTERN TIME.**

**PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE** that at the same time, you must also serve a copy of the response or objection upon: counsel to the Trustee: (a) Greenberg Traurig, LLP, The

Nemours Building, 222 Delaware Avenue, Suite 1600, Wilmington, Delaware 19801, Attn: Dennis A. Meloro (Dennis.Meloro@gtlaw.com); John D. Elrod ([elrodj@gtlaw.com](mailto:elrodj@gtlaw.com)).

**PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE THAT A HEARING TO CONSIDER THE RELIEF SOUGHT IN THE OBJECTION WILL BE HELD ON **October 22, 2024 AT 1:00 P.M. PREVAILING EASTERN TIME** BEFORE THE HONORABLE KATE STICKLES, IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE, 824 N. MARKET STREET, 5TH FLOOR, COURTROOM NO. 6, WILMINGTON, DELAWARE 19801.**

**PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE THAT, IF NO OBJECTIONS OR RESPONSES TO THE OBJECTION ARE TIMELY FILED, SERVED, AND RECEIVED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THIS NOTICE, THE COURT MAY GRANT THE RELIEF REQUESTED THEREIN WITHOUT FURTHER NOTICE OR A HEARING.**

[Signature on next page]

Dated: September 19, 2024

GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP

/s/ Dennis A. Meloro

Dennis A. Meloro (DE Bar No. 4435)  
222 Delaware Avenue, Suite 1600  
Wilmington, Delaware 19801  
Telephone: (302) 661-7000  
Facsimile: (302) 661-7360  
Email: Dennis.Meloro@gtlaw.com

-and-

John D. Elrod (admitted *pro hac vice*)  
Terminus 200  
3333 Piedmont Road NE, Suite 2500  
Atlanta, Georgia 30305  
Telephone: (678) 553-2100  
Facsimile: (678) 553-2212  
Email: elrodj@gtlaw.com

*Counsel for SierraConstellation Partners, LLC, as  
Liquidating Trustee*