C	ase 2:18-bk-20151-ER Doc 313 File 00/07/14 Main Document Pa	ge 1 of 17							
1 2	GREGORY A. BRAY (Bar No. 115367) gbray@milbank.com MARK SHINDERMAN (Bar No. 136644) mshinderman@milbank.com								
3 4 5	JAMES C. BEHRENS (Bar No. 280365) jbehrens@milbank.com MILBANK, TWEED, HADLEY & M ^e CLOY LLP 2029 Century Park East, 33rd Floor Los Angeles, CA 90067								
6	Telephone: (424) 386-4000/Facsimile: (213) 629-5063 Proposed Counsel for the Official Committee of								
7 8	Unsecured Creditors of Verity Health System of California, Inc., <u>et al.</u>								
9	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA – LOS ANGELES DIVISION								
10	In re:	Lead Case No. 18-20151 Jointly Administered With: CASE NO.: 2:18-bk-20162-ER							
11 12	VERITY HEALTH SYSTEM OF CALIFORNIA, INC., <i>et al.</i> ,	CASE NO.: 2:18-bk-20163-ER CASE NO.: 2:18-bk-20164-ER							
13	Debtors and Debtors In Possession.	CASE NO.: 2:18-bk-20165-ER CASE NO.: 2:18-bk-20167-ER CASE NO.: 2:18-bk-20168-ER							
14 15	Affects:	CASE NO.: 2:18-bk-20169-ER CASE NO.: 2:18-bk-20171-ER CASE NO.: 2:18-bk-20172-ER							
16	 All Debtors Verity Health System of California, Inc. 	CASE NO.: 2:18-bk-20173-ER CASE NO.: 2:18-bk-20175-ER CASE NO.: 2:18-bk-20176-ER							
17 18	 Saint Louise Regional Hospital St. Francis Medical Center St. Vincent Medical Center 	CASE NO.: 2:18-bk-20178-ER CASE NO.: 2:18-bk-20179-ER CASE NO.: 2:18-bk-20180-ER							
19	 Seton Medical Center O'Connor Hospital Foundation 	CASE NO.: 2:18-bk-20181-ER Chapter 11 Cases							
20	□ Saint Louise Regional Hospital Foundation	Hon. Ernest M. Robles							
21 22	 St. Francis Medical Center of Lynwood Foundation St. Vincent Foundation 	OFFICIAL COMMITTEE OF UNSECURED CREDITORS'							
22	 St. Vincent Foundation St. Vincent Dialysis Center, Inc. Seton Medical Center Foundation 	RESPONSE TO CASH MANAGEMENT MOTION [DKT. 23]							
24	 Verity Business Services Verity Medical Foundation 								
25 26	 Verity Holdings, LLC De Paul Ventures, LLC 								
26 27	De Paul Ventures - San Jose Dialysis, LLC								
28	Debtors and Debtors In Possession.								
		1820151180927000000000019							

Case 2:18-bk-20151-ER Doc 313 Filed 09/27/18 Entered 09/27/18 11:37:45 Desc Main Document Page 2 of 17

1	The Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors of Verity Health System of							
2	California, Inc., et al. (the " <u>Committee</u> ") appointed in connection with the chapter 11 cases of the							
3	above-captioned debtors and debtors-in-possession (the "Debtors"), hereby submits this response							
4	(the " <u>Response</u> ") to Debtors' <i>Emergency Motion of Debtors for Authority to (1) Continue Using</i>							
5	Existing Cash Management System, Bank Accounts and Business forms; (2) Implement Changes to							
6	the Cash Management System in the Ordinary Course of Business; (3) Continue Intercompany							
7	Transactions; (4) Provide Administrative Expense Priority for Postpetition Intercompany Claims;							
8	(5) Obtain Related Relief [Dkt. 23] (the "Cash Management Motion").							
9	I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>							
10	1. The Committee was appointed by the United States Trustee on September 17,							
11	2018. The Committee's advisors have been getting up to speed and have been engaged in							
12	discussions with the Debtors' advisors over the past several days regarding the Cash Management							
13	Motion. Although progress has been made, some issues remain.							
14	II. <u>ARGUMENT</u>							
15	A. <u>Tracking</u>							
16	2. Paragraph 5 of the form of Cash Management Order proposed by the Debtors							
17	seeks to address tracking, tracing, and accounting issues, by providing as follows:							
18								
	5. The Debtors are authorized and empowered to continue							
19	performing under and honoring intercompany transactions related to the Cash Management System in the ordinary course of business, in							
19 20	performing under and honoring intercompany transactions related to the Cash Management System in the ordinary course of business, in their business judgment and in their sole discretion subject to the terms of the DIP Documents; provided that the Debtors shall not be							
	performing under and honoring intercompany transactions related to the Cash Management System in the ordinary course of business, in their business judgment and in their sole discretion subject to the terms of the DIP Documents; provided that the Debtors shall not be authorized to undertake any such intercompany transactions that are (i) not on the same terms as, or materially consistent with, the Debtors'							
20	performing under and honoring intercompany transactions related to the Cash Management System in the ordinary course of business, in their business judgment and in their sole discretion subject to the terms of the DIP Documents; provided that the Debtors shall not be authorized to undertake any such intercompany transactions that are (i) not on the same terms as, or materially consistent with, the Debtors' operation of their business in the ordinary course during the prepetition period, or (ii) prohibited or restricted by the terms of any DIP							
20 21	performing under and honoring intercompany transactions related to the Cash Management System in the ordinary course of business, in their business judgment and in their sole discretion subject to the terms of the DIP Documents; provided that the Debtors shall not be authorized to undertake any such intercompany transactions that are (i) not on the same terms as, or materially consistent with, the Debtors' operation of their business in the ordinary course during the prepetition period, or (ii) prohibited or restricted by the terms of any DIP Document; provided further that the Debtors shall (i) keep records of any postpetition intercompany transactions that occur during the							
20 21 22	performing under and honoring intercompany transactions related to the Cash Management System in the ordinary course of business, in their business judgment and in their sole discretion subject to the terms of the DIP Documents; provided that the Debtors shall not be authorized to undertake any such intercompany transactions that are (i) not on the same terms as, or materially consistent with, the Debtors' operation of their business in the ordinary course during the prepetition period, or (ii) prohibited or restricted by the terms of any DIP Document; provided further that the Debtors shall (i) keep records of any postpetition intercompany transactions that occur during the chapter 11 cases and (ii) implement accounting procedures to identify and distinguish between prepetition and postpetition intercompany							
20 21 22 23	performing under and honoring intercompany transactions related to the Cash Management System in the ordinary course of business, in their business judgment and in their sole discretion subject to the terms of the DIP Documents; provided that the Debtors shall not be authorized to undertake any such intercompany transactions that are (i) not on the same terms as, or materially consistent with, the Debtors' operation of their business in the ordinary course during the prepetition period, or (ii) prohibited or restricted by the terms of any DIP Document; provided further that the Debtors shall (i) keep records of any postpetition intercompany transactions that occur during the chapter 11 cases and (ii) implement accounting procedures to identify							
 20 21 22 23 24 	performing under and honoring intercompany transactions related to the Cash Management System in the ordinary course of business, in their business judgment and in their sole discretion subject to the terms of the DIP Documents; provided that the Debtors shall not be authorized to undertake any such intercompany transactions that are (i) not on the same terms as, or materially consistent with, the Debtors' operation of their business in the ordinary course during the prepetition period, or (ii) prohibited or restricted by the terms of any DIP Document; provided further that the Debtors shall (i) keep records of any postpetition intercompany transactions that occur during the chapter 11 cases and (ii) implement accounting procedures to identify and distinguish between prepetition and postpetition intercompany							
 20 21 22 23 24 25 	performing under and honoring intercompany transactions related to the Cash Management System in the ordinary course of business, in their business judgment and in their sole discretion subject to the terms of the DIP Documents; provided that the Debtors shall not be authorized to undertake any such intercompany transactions that are (i) not on the same terms as, or materially consistent with, the Debtors' operation of their business in the ordinary course during the prepetition period, or (ii) prohibited or restricted by the terms of any DIP Document; provided further that the Debtors shall (i) keep records of any postpetition intercompany transactions that occur during the chapter 11 cases and (ii) implement accounting procedures to identify and distinguish between prepetition and postpetition intercompany transactions;							
 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 	performing under and honoring intercompany transactions related to the Cash Management System in the ordinary course of business, in their business judgment and in their sole discretion subject to the terms of the DIP Documents; provided that the Debtors shall not be authorized to undertake any such intercompany transactions that are (i) not on the same terms as, or materially consistent with, the Debtors' operation of their business in the ordinary course during the prepetition period, or (ii) prohibited or restricted by the terms of any DIP Document; provided further that the Debtors shall (i) keep records of any postpetition intercompany transactions that occur during the chapter 11 cases and (ii) implement accounting procedures to identify and distinguish between prepetition and postpetition intercompany transactions; (Cash Management Order ¶ 5.)							

1 Accounting/Recording: The Debtors shall maintain current records with respect to all transfers of cash so that all intercompany transactions may be readily ascertained, traced, and distinguished between prepetition and postpetition transactions and recorded properly on applicable intercompany accounts. The Debtors shall provide reasonable access to such records and procedures to the Committee's advisors. 6 4. In addition, the Committee has requested that the Cash Management Order mandate that the Committee's advisors be provided with more frequent and fulsome reporting than contemplated by the current form of Order, by amending the Order to provide as follows: 9 Information: The Debtors shall provide the Committee with: (i) information regarding past intercompany balances at filing and underlying transactions; (ii) intercompany balances at filing and underlying transactions; (ii) intercompany balances at filing and underlying transactions; (iii) intercompany claims arising and underlying transactions; (iii) intercompany claims arising and the Petition Date based upon transfers made using the Cash Management Order for the Petition Date based upon transfers made using the Cash Management System shall be accorded administrative expense priority. 18 Intercompany transfer is necessary for one Debtor that has a funding request should draw from the DIP loan. It seems unnecessary for one Debtor that has a funding request should draw from the DIP loan. It seems unnecessary for one Debtor to be lending funds to another. 21 7. If, however, such a transfer is necessary, it should be accorded the proper priority. In many cases, courts have afforded debtor-to-debtor intercompany transfers superpriority claims status. ¹ The Committee requests the following clarification, which grants s	С	ase 2:18-bk-20151-ER Doc 313 Filed 09/27/18 Entered 09/27/18 11:37:45 Desc Main Document Page 3 of 17								
 B. <u>Intercompany Transactions</u> 5. Paragraph 6 of the form of Cash Management Order provides as follows: 6. In accordance with sections 364(b), 503(b)(1) and 507(a)(2) of the Bankruptcy Code, all intercompany claims arising after the Petition Date based upon transfers made using the Cash Management System shall be accorded administrative expense priority. (Cash Management Order ¶ 6.). 6. The Committee questions the need for any postpetition intercompany transfers given that the DIP loan is the primary source of liquidity for the Debtors. A Debtor that has a funding request should draw from the DIP loan. It seems unnecessary for one Debtor to be lending funds to another. 7. If, however, such a transfer is necessary, it should be accorded the proper priority. In many cases, courts have afforded debtor-to-debtor intercompany transfers superpriority claims status.¹ The Committee requests the following clarification, which grants such superpriority <i>for the FirstBaregy Solutions Corp.</i>, No. 1533896 (KRH) (Bankr. E.D. Va. Oct. 8, 2015) [Docket No. 624]; In re FirstBaregy Solutions Corp., No. 18-50757 (AMK) (Bankr. S.D.NY. Nov. 6, 2008) [Docket No. 488]; In re Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc., No. 08-13555 (JMP) (Bankr. S.D.NY. Nov. 6, 2008) [Docket No. 1416]; In re M & G USA Corporation, No. 17-12307 (BLS) (Bankr. D. Del. Jun. 22, 2018) [Docket No. 169]; In re 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 records with respect to all transfers of cash so that all intercompany transactions may be readily ascertained, traced, and distinguished between prepetition and postpetition transactions and recorded properly on applicable intercompany accounts. The Debtors shall provide reasonable access to such records and procedures to the Committee's advisors. 4. In addition, the Committee has requested that the Cash Management Order mandate that the Committee's advisors be provided with more frequent and fulsome reporting than contemplated by the current form of Order, by amending the Order to provide as follows: <u>Information</u>: The Debtors shall provide the Committee with: (i) information regarding past intercompany activities among Debtors and non-debtors; Intercompany balances at filing and underlying transactions; and (iii) monthly summaries of all 								
 6. In accordance with sections 364(b), 503(b)(1) and 507(a)(2) of the Bankruptcy Code, all intercompany claims arising after the Petition Date based upon transfers made using the Cash Management System shall be accorded administrative expense priority. (Cash Management Order ¶ 6.). 6. The Committee questions the need for any postpetition intercompany transfers given that the DIP loan is the primary source of liquidity for the Debtors. A Debtor that has a funding request should draw from the DIP loan. It seems unnecessary for one Debtor to be lending funds to another. 7. If, however, such a transfer is necessary, it should be accorded the proper priority. In many cases, courts have afforded debtor-to-debtor intercompany transfers superpriority claims status.¹ The Committee requests the following clarification, which grants such superpriority <i>See, e.g., In re Alpha Natural Resources,</i> No. 1533896 (KRH) (Bankr. E.D. Va. Oct. 8, 2015) [Docket No. 624]; <i>In re FirstEnergy Solutions Corp.,</i> No. 18-50757 (AMK) (Bankr. D. Ohio May 8, 2018) [Docket No. 488]; <i>In re Leman Brothers Holdings Inc.,</i> No. 08-13555 (JMP) (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. Nov. 6, 2008) [Docket No. 1416]; <i>In re M & G USA Corporation,</i> No. 17-12307 (BLS) (Bankr. D. Del. Jun. 22, 2018) [Docket No. 1469]; <i>In re</i> 										
 6. In accordance with sections 364(b), 503(b)(1) and 507(a)(2) of the Bankruptcy Code, all intercompany claims arising after the Petition Date based upon transfers made using the Cash Management System shall be accorded administrative expense priority. (Cash Management Order ¶ 6.). 6. The Committee questions the need for any postpetition intercompany transfers given that the DIP loan is the primary source of liquidity for the Debtors. A Debtor that has a funding request should draw from the DIP loan. It seems unnecessary for one Debtor to be lending funds to another. 7. If, however, such a transfer is necessary, it should be accorded the proper priority. In many cases, courts have afforded debtor-to-debtor intercompany transfers superpriority claims status.¹ The Committee requests the following clarification, which grants such superpriority <i>See, e.g., In re Alpha Natural Resources,</i> No. 1533896 (KRH) (Bankr. E.D. Va. Oct. 8, 2015) [Docket No. 624]; <i>In re FirstEnergy Solutions Corp.,</i> No. 18-50757 (AMK) (Bankr. D. Ohio May 8, 2018) [Docket No. 488]; <i>In re Leman Brothers Holdings Inc.,</i> No. 08-13555 (JMP) (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. Nov. 6, 2008) [Docket No. 1416]; <i>In re M & G USA Corporation,</i> No. 17-12307 (BLS) (Bankr. D. Del. Jun. 22, 2018) [Docket No. 1469]; <i>In re</i> 										
 6. The Committee questions the need for any postpetition intercompany transfers given that the DIP loan is the primary source of liquidity for the Debtors. A Debtor that has a funding request should draw from the DIP loan. It seems unnecessary for one Debtor to be lending funds to another. 7. If, however, such a transfer is necessary, it should be accorded the proper priority. In many cases, courts have afforded debtor-to-debtor intercompany transfers superpriority claims status.¹ The Committee requests the following clarification, which grants such superpriority <i>See, e.g., In re Alpha Natural Resources,</i> No. 1533896 (KRH) (Bankr. E.D. Va. Oct. 8, 2015) [Docket No. 624]; <i>In re FirstEnergy Solutions Corp.,</i> No. 18-50757 (AMK) (Bankr. N.D. Ohio May 8, 2018) [Docket No. 1416]; <i>In re M & G USA Corporation,</i> No. 17-12307 (BLS) (Bankr. D. Del. Jun. 22, 2018) [Docket No. 1609]; <i>In re</i> 	15 16	507(a)(2) of the Bankruptcy Code, all intercompany claims arising after the Petition Date based upon transfers made using the Cash Management System shall be accorded administrative expense								
 given that the DIP loan is the primary source of liquidity for the Debtors. A Debtor that has a funding request should draw from the DIP loan. It seems unnecessary for one Debtor to be lending funds to another. 7. If, however, such a transfer is necessary, it should be accorded the proper priority. In many cases, courts have afforded debtor-to-debtor intercompany transfers superpriority claims status.¹ The Committee requests the following clarification, which grants such superpriority <i>See, e.g., In re Alpha Natural Resources</i>, No. 1533896 (KRH) (Bankr. E.D. Va. Oct. 8, 2015) [Docket No. 624]; <i>In re FirstEnergy Solutions Corp.</i>, No. 18-50757 (AMK) (Bankr. N.D. Ohio May 8, 2018) [Docket No. 1416]; <i>In re M & G USA Corporation</i>, No. 17-12307 (BLS) (Bankr. D. Del. Jun. 22, 2018) [Docket No. 1609]; <i>In re</i> 	18	(Cash Management Order ¶ 6.).								
 funding request should draw from the DIP loan. It seems unnecessary for one Debtor to be lending funds to another. 7. If, however, such a transfer is necessary, it should be accorded the proper priority. In many cases, courts have afforded debtor-to-debtor intercompany transfers superpriority claims status.¹ The Committee requests the following clarification, which grants such superpriority <i>See, e.g., In re Alpha Natural Resources,</i> No. 1533896 (KRH) (Bankr. E.D. Va. Oct. 8, 2015) [Docket No. 624]; <i>In re FirstEnergy Solutions Corp.,</i> No. 18-50757 (AMK) (Bankr. N.D. Ohio May 8, 2018) [Docket No. 488]; <i>In re Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc.,</i> No. 08-13555 (JMP) (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. Nov. 6, 2008) [Docket No. 1416]; <i>In re M & G USA Corporation,</i> No. 17-12307 (BLS) (Bankr. D. Del. Jun. 22, 2018) [Docket No. 1609]; <i>In re</i> 	19	6. The Committee questions the need for any postpetition intercompany transfers								
 funds to another. 7. If, however, such a transfer is necessary, it should be accorded the proper priority. In many cases, courts have afforded debtor-to-debtor intercompany transfers superpriority claims status.¹ The Committee requests the following clarification, which grants such superpriority <i>See, e.g., In re Alpha Natural Resources,</i> No. 1533896 (KRH) (Bankr. E.D. Va. Oct. 8, 2015) [Docket No. 624]; <i>In re FirstEnergy Solutions Corp.,</i> No. 18-50757 (AMK) (Bankr. N.D. Ohio May 8, 2018) [Docket No. 488]; <i>In re Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc.,</i> No. 08-13555 (JMP) (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. Nov. 6, 2008) [Docket No. 1416]; <i>In re M & G USA Corporation,</i> No. 17-12307 (BLS) (Bankr. D. Del. Jun. 22, 2018) [Docket No. 1609]; <i>In re</i> 	20	given that the DIP loan is the primary source of liquidity for the Debtors. A Debtor that has a								
 7. If, however, such a transfer is necessary, it should be accorded the proper priority. In many cases, courts have afforded debtor-to-debtor intercompany transfers superpriority claims status.¹ The Committee requests the following clarification, which grants such superpriority <i>See, e.g., In re Alpha Natural Resources,</i> No. 1533896 (KRH) (Bankr. E.D. Va. Oct. 8, 2015) [Docket No. 624]; <i>In re FirstEnergy Solutions Corp.,</i> No. 18-50757 (AMK) (Bankr. N.D. Ohio May 8, 2018) [Docket No. 488]; <i>In re Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc.,</i> No. 08-13555 (JMP) (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. Nov. 6, 2008) [Docket No. 1416]; <i>In re M & G USA Corporation,</i> No. 17-12307 (BLS) (Bankr. D. Del. Jun. 22, 2018) [Docket No. 1609]; <i>In re</i> 	21	funding request should draw from the DIP loan. It seems unnecessary for one Debtor to be lending								
 priority. In many cases, courts have afforded debtor-to-debtor intercompany transfers superpriority claims status.¹ The Committee requests the following clarification, which grants such superpriority <i>See, e.g., In re Alpha Natural Resources,</i> No. 1533896 (KRH) (Bankr. E.D. Va. Oct. 8, 2015) [Docket No. 624]; <i>In re FirstEnergy Solutions Corp.,</i> No. 18-50757 (AMK) (Bankr. N.D. Ohio May 8, 2018) [Docket No. 488]; <i>In re Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc.,</i> No. 08-13555 (JMP) (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. Nov. 6, 2008) [Docket No. 1416]; <i>In re M & G USA Corporation,</i> No. 17-12307 (BLS) (Bankr. D. Del. Jun. 22, 2018) [Docket No. 1609]; <i>In re</i> 	22	funds to another.								
 claims status.¹ The Committee requests the following clarification, which grants such superpriority See, e.g., In re Alpha Natural Resources, No. 1533896 (KRH) (Bankr. E.D. Va. Oct. 8, 2015) [Docket No. 624]; In re FirstEnergy Solutions Corp., No. 18-50757 (AMK) (Bankr. N.D. Ohio May 8, 2018) [Docket No. 488]; In re Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc., No. 08-13555 (JMP) (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. Nov. 6, 2008) [Docket No. 1416]; In re M & G USA Corporation, No. 17-12307 (BLS) (Bankr. D. Del. Jun. 22, 2018) [Docket No. 1609]; In re 	23	7. If, however, such a transfer is necessary, it should be accorded the proper								
 26 ¹ See, e.g., In re Alpha Natural Resources, No. 1533896 (KRH) (Bankr. E.D. Va. Oct. 8, 2015) [Docket No. 624]; 27 In re FirstEnergy Solutions Corp., No. 18-50757 (AMK) (Bankr. N.D. Ohio May 8, 2018) [Docket No. 488]; 28 In re Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc., No. 08-13555 (JMP) (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. Nov. 6, 2008) [Docket No. 1416]; 28 In re M & G USA Corporation, No. 17-12307 (BLS) (Bankr. D. Del. Jun. 22, 2018) [Docket No. 1609]; In re 	24	priority. In many cases, courts have afforded debtor-to-debtor intercompany transfers superpriority								
 See, e.g., In re Alpha Natural Resources, No. 1533896 (KRH) (Bankr. E.D. Va. Oct. 8, 2015) [Docket No. 624]; In re FirstEnergy Solutions Corp., No. 18-50757 (AMK) (Bankr. N.D. Ohio May 8, 2018) [Docket No. 488]; In re Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc., No. 08-13555 (JMP) (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. Nov. 6, 2008) [Docket No. 1416]; In re M & G USA Corporation, No. 17-12307 (BLS) (Bankr. D. Del. Jun. 22, 2018) [Docket No. 1609]; In re 	25	claims status. ¹ The Committee requests the following clarification, which grants such superpriority								
	27	 See, e.g., In re Alpha Natural Resources, No. 1533896 (KRH) (Bankr. E.D. Va. Oct. 8, 2015) [Docket No. 624]: In re FirstEnergy Solutions Corp., No. 18-50757 (AMK) (Bankr. N.D. Ohio May 8, 2018) [Docket No. 488]; In re Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc., No. 08-13555 (JMP) (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. Nov. 6, 2008) [Docket No. 1416]; In re M & G USA Corporation, No. 17-12307 (BLS) (Bankr. D. Del. Jun. 22, 2018) [Docket No. 1609]; In re 								

Case 2:18-bk-20151-ER Doc 313 Filed 09/27/18 Entered 09/27/18 11:37:45 Desc Main Document Page 4 of 17

1	claims status to claims arising out of such transfers, but provides that the status of such Debtor-to-								
2	Debtor intercompany claims is <i>junior in priority</i> to liens and claims granted to DIP lenders yet								
3	senior to liens and claims granted to the Debtors' prepetition secured lenders as adequate protection:								
4	Debtor/Debtor Claims—Superpriority Claim: Claims held by a Debtor								
5	against another Debtor arising from post-petition intercompany transactions shall give rise to superpriority claims pursuant to sections 264, 502, and 507 of the Bankruptey Code (asch, an "Intercompany								
6	364, 503, and 507 of the Bankruptcy Code (each, an " <u>Intercompany</u> <u>Superpriority Claim</u> ") that are junior in priority to the liens and claims granted to the DIP landers but senior to the liens and claims granted to								
7	granted to the DIP lenders but senior to the liens and claims granted to the Debtors' prepetition secured lenders as adequate protection								
8	pursuant to any order of this Court.								
9	C. <u>Committee Consent for Transfers from Debtors to Non-Debtors</u>								
10	8. Intercompany transfers to non-Debtors are of particular concern to the								
11	Committee. The protections accorded such transfers between Debtors generally are not available.								
12	Accordingly, the Committee proposes the following provision in the final form of Cash Management								
13	Order:								
14	Debtor/Non-Debtor Transfers-Notice and Consent: The Debtors will								
15	seek a further order of this Court prior to engaging in any transfer involving amounts in excess of \$250,000 in the aggregate from a								
16	Debtor to any non-Debtor without three (3) business days' notice be provided to the Committee and its prior consent having been obtained.								
17	9. The Committee's objective is not to impede the ordinary course operation of								
18	the Debtors' business. For this reason, the Committee is prepared to carve out from this prohibition								
19									
20	an agreed-upon list of non-objectionable non-Debtor intercompany transfers that occur on a regular								
21	basis—but the baseline prohibition should remain in the Order to guard against non-ordinary course								
22	transfers to non-Debtor affiliates, as well as insiders or former insiders.D. <u>Amendments</u>								
23	10. Finally, the proposed Cash Management Order raises an issue as to the								
24	amendment of cash management arrangements and procedures. Paragraphs 2 and 15 of the Debtors'								
25									
26	form of Order provide:								
27	2. The Debtors are authorized to implement changes to their Cash Management System in the ordinary course of business,								
28	including closing any existing bank accounts or opening any new bank accounts (collectively, the "Bank Accounts")								
	4								

C	ase 2:18-bk-20151-ER Doc 313 Filed 09/27/18 Entered 09/27/18 11:37:45 Desc Main Document Page 5 of 17								
1									
2	******								
3	15. The Debtors and the Banks may, without further Order of this Court, agree to and implement changes to the Cash								
4	Management System and procedures in the ordinary course of business, including, without limitation, the opening and closing of bank accounts, subject to the terms of any DIP Document.								
5	(Cash Management Order ¶¶ 2, 15.).								
6									
7	11. It is the Committee's position that the final form of Cash Management Order								
8	should be amended to require that:								
9	Amendments: (i) notice is to be provided to the Committee (along with								
10	the U.S. Trustee) prior to the opening of any new Bank Accounts; and (ii) changes may be made without further Order of the Court, but only after the Committee and the U.S. Trustee have been provided three (3) business days' notice of, and the opportunity to object to, the proposed changes.								
11									
12	changes.								
13	III. <u>RESERVATION OF RIGHTS</u>								
14	12. The Committee's discussions with the Debtors regarding the Cash								
15	Management Motion are ongoing, and, in response (in part) to the Committee's comments, the								
16	Debtors are in the process of revising their proposed final form of Order on this motion. If there are								
17	any issues that remain unresolved in the proposed final form of Order, the Committee reserves the								
18	right to supplement this Response and address such issues at the October 3 hearing.								
19	IV. <u>CONCLUSION</u>								
20	13. Based on the foregoing, the Committee respectfully requests that (i) to the								
21	extent that the Debtors do not adequately address the issues raised herein by the Committee, the								
22	Court enter a form of Cash Management Order that reflects the Committee's proposed changes; and								
23	(ii) the Court grant such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.								
24									
25									
26									
27									
28									
	_								
	5								

С	ase 2:18-bk-20151-ER	Doc 313 Filed 09 Main Document	/27/18 Entered 09/27/18 11:37:45 Desc Page 6 of 17
1	DATED: September 27	, 2018	MILBANK, TWEED, HADLEY & M ^e CLOY
2			<u>/s/ Gregory A. Bray</u> GREGORY A. BRAY
3			MARK SHINDERMAN JAMES C. BEHRENS
4			Proposed Counsel for the Official Committee of
5			Unsecured Creditors of Verity Health System of California, Inc., <u>et al.</u>
6			
7			
8			
9 10			
10			
12			
12			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
			6

Case 2:18-bk-20151-ER Doc 313 Filed 09/27/18 Entered 09/27/18 11:37:45 Desc Main Document Page 7 of 17

PROOF OF SERVICE OF DOCUMENT

I am over the age of 18 and not a party to this bankruptcy case or adversary proceeding. My business address is:

2029 Century Park E, 33rd Floor, Los Angeles, CA 90067.

A true and correct copy of the foregoing document entitled (*specify*): <u>OFFICIAL COMMITTEE OF UNSECURED</u> <u>CREDITORS' RESPONSE TO CASH MANAGEMENT MOTION [DKT. 23]</u>

	_will	be	served	or	was	served	(a)	on	the	judge	in
chambers in the form and manner required by LBR 5005-2(d); and (b) in the manner stated below:											

1. <u>TO BE SERVED BY THE COURT VIA NOTICE OF ELECTRONIC FILING (NEF)</u>: Pursuant to controlling General Orders and LBR, the foregoing document will be served by the court via NEF and hyperlink to the document. On (*date*) <u>September 27, 2018</u>, I checked the CM/ECF docket for this bankruptcy case or adversary proceeding and determined that the following persons are on the Electronic Mail Notice List to receive NEF transmission at the email addresses stated below:

Service information continued on attached page

2. SERVED BY UNITED STATES MAIL:

On (*date*) September 27, 2018, I served the following persons and/or entities at the last known addresses in this bankruptcy case or adversary proceeding by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope in the United States mail, first class, postage prepaid, and addressed as follows. Listing the judge here constitutes a declaration that mailing to the judge <u>will be completed</u> no later than 24 hours after the document is filed.

Service information continued on attached page

3. SERVED BY PERSONAL DELIVERY, OVERNIGHT MAIL, FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION OR EMAIL (state method

<u>for each person or entity served</u>): Pursuant to F.R.Civ.P. 5 and/or controlling LBR, on (*date*) <u>September 27, 2018</u>, I served the following persons and/or entities by personal delivery, overnight mail service, or (for those who consented in writing to such service method), by facsimile transmission and/or email as follows. Listing the judge here constitutes a declaration that personal delivery on, or overnight mail to, the judge <u>will be completed</u> no later than 24 hours after the document is filed.

Service information continued on attached page

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the foregoing is true and correct.

September 27, 2018Ricky WindomDatePrinted Name

/s/ Ricky Windom Signature

This form is mandatory. It has been approved for use by the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Central District of California.

F 9013-3.1.PROOF.SERVICE

SERVICE LIST

(Via NEF)

alicia.berry@doj.ca.gov ann.sandor@workday.com ElrodJ@gtlaw.com bankruptcycourtnotices@unioncounsel.net erich@unioncounsel.net tmainguy@unioncounsel.net cgray@unioncounsel.net bbennett@jonesday.com bflorence@local39.org bphillips@cochlear.com brad.hamman@sodexo.com branchd@ballardspahr.com grossn@ballardspahr.com Brian@brdcpas.com ccameron@vaneck.com Charles.Kim@nantworks.com clark.whitmore@maslon.com darryl.laddin@agg.com david.lemke@wallerlaw.com DKirk@carltonfields.com ryant@carltonfields.com dmiller@seiu-uhw.org driley@allenmatkins.com DSBleck@mintz.com LWeiser-Varon@mintz.com gbray@milbank.com mshinderman@milbank.com jbehrens@milbank.com gshlionsky@rosemawr.com jmorrone@rosemawr.com elevesque@rosemawr.com hatty.yip@usdoj.gov jkim@kellerbenvenutti.com jkohanski@bushgottlieb.com dahdoot@bushgottlieb.com kprestegard@bushgottlieb.com jstrabo@mwe.com kskogstad@calnurses.org ndaro@calnurses.org Mark.v.birkholz@wellsfargo.com Corbin.B.Connell@wellsfargo.com mmortimer@sycr.com mneubauer@carltonfields.com ljohnson@stvincentipa.com dkirk@carltonfields.com ms@swllplaw.com msweet@foxrothschild.com nschultz@foxrothschild.com PDWELLER@AETNA.COM

PJRicotta@mintz.com purkey@purkeyandassociates.com ramkraut@foxrothschild.com rgerber@lordabbett.com Rich@TrodellaLapping.com Robert_f_auwaerter@vanguard.com sandra.spivey@usbank.com SBSE.Insolvency.Balt@irs.gov seb@blakeleyllp.com SECBankruptcy-OGC-ADO@SEC.GOV SReed@medline.com strollo.michael@pbgc.gov trisha.monesi@capstonelawyers.com virginia.housum@umb.com Wsmith@mwe.com ncoco@mwe.com mpreusker@mwe.com mplevin@crowell.com jkohanski@bushgottlieb.com dahdoot@bushgottlieb.com kprestegard@bushgottlieb.com mneubauer@carltonfields.com sberman@slk-law.com ikallick@manatt.com

SERVICE LIST

(First Class Mail)

Verity Health System of California, Inc. 2040 E. Mariposa Avenue El Segundo, CA 90245

Samuel R. Maizel Dentons US LLP 601 South Figueroa Street Suite 2500 Los Angeles, CA 90017

Alicia Berry Deputy Attorney General 300 South Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013

Hatty K. Yip Office of the UST/DOJ 915 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 1850 Los Angeles, CA 90017

SEC Securities and Exchange Commission c/o Greg Bianchi 10 Glenlake Parkway, Suite 500 Atlanta, GA 30328

Top 50 Creditor/Creditor Committee SEIU United Healthcare Workers West Xavier Becerra California Department of Justice 1300 "I" Street Sacramento, CA 95814

Attorneys for Creditor RightSourcing, Inc. Serlin & Whiteford, LLP U.S. Department of Justice 950 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20530-0001

Case 2:18-bk-20151-ER Doc 313 Filed 09/27/18 Entered 09/27/18 11:37:45 Desc Main Document Page 11 of 17

Top 50 Creditor/Creditor Committee Sodexo Operations, LLC, a Delaware Limited Liability Company Sodexo CTM LLC Jennifer Kent, Director 1501 Capitol Avenue, Suite 4510 Sacramento, CA 95814

Top 50 Creditor/Creditor Committee St. Vincent IPA Medical Corporation 1500 11th Street Sacramento, CA 95814

State of California Board of Equalization All Other Service and Bankruptcy Notices State of California Board of Equalization 1625 North Market Boulevard Sacramento, CA 95834

State of California Board of Equalization Service of Adversary Proceedings State of California Board of Equalization 722 Capitol Mall Sacramento, CA 95814

State of California Board of Equalization Bankruptcy Code Section 505 Requests State of California Board of Equalization Centralized Insolvency Operation P.O. Box 7346 Philadelphia, PA 19101-7346

State of California Employment Development Department State of California Employment Development Department Centralized Insolvency Operation 2970 Market St Philadelphia, PA 19104

State of California Franchise Tax Board Bankruptcy Code Section 505 Requests and All Other Service and Notices State of California Franchise Tax Board 300 North Los Angeles Street Los Angeles CA 90012

State of California Franchise Tax Board Service of Adversary Proceedings State of California Franchise Tax Board 600 Arch Street Philadelphia PA 19101 State of California Franchise Tax Board State of California Franchise Tax Board Consumer Law Section Attn Bankruptcy Notices 455 Golden Gate Ave., Suite 11000 San Francisco CA 94102

Attorneys for Premier, Inc., and certain of its subsidiaries Stradling Yocca Carlson & Rauth, P.C. Wendi A. Horwitz, Deputy Attorney General Department of Justice Office of the Attorney General 300 South Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles CA 90013

Prepetition Secured Creditor (2005 Bondholder) The Vanguard Group, Inc. Department of Justice Kenneth Wang 300 South Spring Street Los Angeles CA 90013

Attorneys for Retirement Plan for Hospital Employees Trodella & Lapping LLP Department of Justice Jennifer Kim 300 South Spring Street, Floor 9 Los Angeles CA 90013

U.S. Department of Health & Human Services U.S. Department of Health & Human Services 200 Vesey Street, #400 New York NY 10281

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Account Information Group, MIC: 29 P.O. Box 942879 Sacramento CA 94279-0029

SEC U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission Executive Director 450 N Street, MIC: 73 Sacramento CA 95814-0073

Case 2:18-bk-20151-ER Doc 313 Filed 09/27/18 Entered 09/27/18 11:37:45 Desc Main Document Page 13 of 17

Successor Master Trustee for the Prepetition Secured Revenue Bonds, Series 2005 A, G and H UMB Bank, N.A.Special Operations Bankruptcy Team MIC: 74 P.O. Box 942879 Sacramento CA 94279-0074

United States of America United States Attorney's Office Bankruptcy Group MIC 92E P. O. Box 826880 Sacramento CA 94280-0001

United States Attorney's Office United States Attorneys Office Franchise Tax Board Bankruptcy Section MS: A-340 P. O. Box 2952 Sacramento CA 95812-2952

United States Attorney's Office United States Attorneys Office Franchise Tax Board Chief Counsel c/o General Counsel Section P.O. Box 1720MS: A-260 Rancho Cordova CA 95741-1720

United Stated Attorney's Office United States Attorneys Office 300 South Spring Street, #5704 Los Angeles CA 90013

Attorney General United States Department of Justice Alex M. Azar II, Secretary 200 Independence Avenue, S.W. Washington DC 20201

Office of the United States Trustee United States Trustee Angela M. Belgrove, Assistant Regional Counsel Office of the General Counsel, Region IX 90 7th Street, Suite 4-500 San Francisco CA 94103-6705 Note Trustee and Collateral Agent for the Revenue Bonds Series 2005 ("2005 Bonds") and Series 2015 and 2017 Revenue Notes (2015 and 2017 collectively the "Working Capital Notes") US Bank NA Attn: Bankruptcy Counsel 444 South Flower Street, Suite 900 Los Angeles CA 90071-9591

Chambers USBC Central District of California Federal Building Room 7516 300 North Los Angeles Street Los Angeles CA 90012

Prepetition Secured Creditor (2005 Bondholder) Van Eck Associates Corporation Central District of California 312 North Spring Street, Suite 1200 Los Angeles CA 90012

Counsel to DIP Lender Ally Bank Waller Lansden Dortch & Davis, LLP Northern District of California Federal Courthouse 450 Golden Gate Avenue San Francisco CA 94102

Attorneys for Stationary Engineers Local 39 Attorneys for SEIU United Healthcare Workers-West Attorneys for Creditor Stationary Engineers Local 39 Pension Trust Fund and Stationary Engineers Local 39 Health & Welfare Trust Fund Weinberg Roger & RosenfeldNorthern District of California 150 Almaden Boulevard, Suite 900 San Jose, CA 95113

Wells Fargo Bank N.A., Bond Trustee Wells Fargo Bank, N.A Ben Franklin Station P. O. Box 683 Washington DC 20044

Case 2:18-bk-20151-ER Doc 313 Filed 09/27/18 Entered 09/27/18 11:37:45 Desc Main Document Page 15 of 17

Bond Trustee - 2005 Bonds Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. Ernest M. Robles Edward R. Roybal Federal Building and U.S. Courthouse 255 East Temple Street, Suite 1560 Los Angeles CA 90012

Top 50 Creditor Workday, Inc Jeffrey K. Carlson or Current Officer 608 Second Avenue South Minneapolis MN 55402

Monique D. Jewett-Brewster (State Bar. No. 217792) HOPKINS & CARLEY A Law Corporation 70 S First Street San Jose, CA 95113

SERVICE LIST

(Overnight Mail)

The Honorable Ernest M. Robles United States Bankruptcy Court Central District of California Edward R. Roybal Federal Building and Courthouse 255 E. Temple Street, Suite 1560 Los Angeles, CA 90012 Case 2:18-bk-20151-ER Doc 313 Filed 09/27/18 Entered 09/27/18 11:37:45 Desc Main Document Page 17 of 17

SERVICE LIST

(Via Email)

Attorneys for Chapter 11 Debtors and Debtors In Possession: Samuel R. Maizel – samuel.maizel@dentons.com John A. Moe, II – john.moe@dentons.com Tania M. Moyron – tania.moyron@dentons.com