

Caption in Compliance with D.N.J. LBR 9004-1(b)



Order Filed on March 1, 2024  
by Clerk  
U.S. Bankruptcy Court  
District of New Jersey

|  |  |
|--|--|
| <b>UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT<br/>DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY</b> |  |
| In re:   | Chapter 11                                   |
| THRASIO HOLDINGS, INC., <i>et al.</i> ,                          | Case No. 24-11840 (CMG)                      |
|  | Debtors. <sup>1</sup> (Jointly Administered) |

**INTERIM ORDER (I) APPROVING  
THE DEBTORS' PROPOSED ADEQUATE  
ASSURANCE OF PAYMENT FOR FUTURE UTILITY  
SERVICES, (II) PROHIBITING UTILITY PROVIDERS  
FROM ALTERING, REFUSING, OR DISCONTINUING  
SERVICES, AND (III) APPROVING THE DEBTORS' PROPOSED  
PROCEDURES FOR RESOLVING ADEQUATE ASSURANCE REQUESTS**

---

The relief set forth on the following pages, numbered three (3) through fifteen (15), is **ORDERED.**

**DATED: March 1, 2024**

  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 Honorable Christine M. Gravelle  
 United States Bankruptcy Judge

<sup>1</sup> The last four digits of Debtor Thrasio Holdings, Inc.'s tax identification number are 8327. A complete list of the Debtors in these chapter 11 cases and each such Debtor's tax identification number may be obtained on the website of the Debtors' proposed claims and noticing agent at <https://www.kcellc.net/Thrasio>. The Debtors' service address for purposes of these chapter 11 cases is 85 West Street, 3rd Floor, Walpole, MA, 02081.



**Caption in Compliance with D.N.J. LBR 9004-1(b)**

**KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP  
KIRKLAND & ELLIS INTERNATIONAL LLP**

Anup Sathy, P.C. (*pro hac vice* pending)  
300 North LaSalle Street  
Chicago, Illinois 60654  
Telephone: (312) 862-2000  
Facsimile: (312) 862-2200  
anup.sathy@kirkland.com

-and-

Matthew C. Fagen, P.C. (*pro hac vice* pending)  
Francis Petrie (*pro hac vice* pending)  
Evan Swager (*pro hac vice* pending)  
601 Lexington Avenue  
New York, New York 10022  
Telephone: (212) 446-4800  
Facsimile: (212) 446-4900  
matthew.fagen@kirkland.com  
francis.petrie@kirkland.com  
evan.swager@kirkland.com

**COLE SCHOTZ P.C.**

Michael D. Sirota, Esq.  
Warren A. Usatine, Esq.  
Felice R. Yudkin, Esq.  
Jacob S. Frumkin, Esq.  
Court Plaza North, 25 Main Street  
Hackensack, New Jersey 07601  
Telephone: (201) 489-3000  
msirota@coleschotz.com  
wusatine@coleschotz.com  
fyudkin@coleschotz.com  
jfrumkin@coleschotz.com

*Proposed Co-Counsel to the Debtors and Debtors in Possession*

(Page | 3)

Debtors: THRASIO HOLDINGS, INC., *et al.*

Case No. 24-11840 (CMG)

Caption of Order: INTERIM ORDER (I) APPROVING THE DEBTORS' PROPOSED ADEQUATE ASSURANCE OF PAYMENT FOR FUTURE UTILITY SERVICES, (II) PROHIBITING UTILITY PROVIDERS FROM ALTERING, REFUSING, OR DISCONTINUING SERVICES, AND (III) APPROVING THE DEBTORS' PROPOSED PROCEDURES FOR RESOLVING ADEQUATE ASSURANCE REQUESTS

---

Upon the *Debtors' Motion for Entry of Interim and Final Orders (I) Approving the Debtors' Proposed Adequate Assurance of Payment for Future Utility Services, (II) Prohibiting Utility Providers from Altering, Refusing, or Discontinuing Services, and (III) Approving the Debtors' Proposed Procedures for Resolving Adequate Assurance Requests* (the "Motion")<sup>2</sup> of the above-captioned debtors and debtors in possession (collectively, the "Debtors") for entry of an interim order (this "Interim Order"), (a) approving the Debtors' Proposed Adequate Assurance of payment for future utility services, (b) prohibiting Utility Providers from altering, refusing, or discontinuing services, and (c) approving the Debtors' proposed procedures for resolving Adequate Assurance Requests, all as more fully set forth in the Motion; and upon the First Day Declaration; and the Court having jurisdiction to consider the Motion and the relief requested therein pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334 and the *Standing Order of Reference to the Bankruptcy Court Under Title 11* of the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey, entered July 23, 1984, and amended on September 18, 2012 (Simandle, C.J.); and this Court having found that venue of this proceeding and the Motion in this district is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409; and this Court having found that the Debtors' notice of the Motion was appropriate under the circumstances and no other notice need be provided; and this Court having reviewed the Motion and having heard the statements in support of the relief requested therein at a hearing before this Court; and this Court having determined that the legal and factual bases set

---

<sup>2</sup> Capitalized terms used but not otherwise defined herein have the meanings ascribed to them in the Motion.

(Page | 4)

Debtors: THRASIO HOLDINGS, INC., *et al.*

Case No. 24-11840 (CMG)

Caption of Order: INTERIM ORDER (I) APPROVING THE DEBTORS' PROPOSED ADEQUATE ASSURANCE OF PAYMENT FOR FUTURE UTILITY SERVICES, (II) PROHIBITING UTILITY PROVIDERS FROM ALTERING, REFUSING, OR DISCONTINUING SERVICES, AND (III) APPROVING THE DEBTORS' PROPOSED PROCEDURES FOR RESOLVING ADEQUATE ASSURANCE REQUESTS

---

forth in the Motion establish just cause for the relief granted herein; and upon all of the proceedings had before the Court and after due deliberation and sufficient cause appearing therefor **IT IS**

**HEREBY ORDERED THAT:**

1. The Motion is **GRANTED** on an interim basis as set forth herein.
2. The Final Hearing on the Motion will be held on **March 27, 2024 at 10:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern Time)**. Objections, if any, that relate to the Motion shall be filed and served so as to be actually received by the Debtor's proposed counsel on or before **March 20, 2024 at 4:00 p.m. (prevailing Eastern Time)**. If no objections are filed to the Motion, the Court may enter an order approving the relief requested in the Motion on a final basis without further notice or hearing.
3. The Adequate Assurance Deposit and the Debtors' ability to pay for future Utility Services in the ordinary course of business (subject to the Adequate Assurance Procedures) shall constitute adequate assurance of future payment as required under section 366 of the Bankruptcy Code.
4. Until such time as the Court enters a final order on the Motion or the Court orders otherwise, all Utility Providers, including those Utility Providers paid by the Landlords, are prohibited from altering, refusing, or discontinuing services on account of any unpaid prepetition charges, the commencement of these chapter 11 cases, or any perceived inadequacy of the Proposed Adequate Assurance.

(Page | 5)

Debtors: THRASIO HOLDINGS, INC., *et al.*

Case No. 24-11840 (CMG)

Caption of Order: INTERIM ORDER (I) APPROVING THE DEBTORS' PROPOSED ADEQUATE ASSURANCE OF PAYMENT FOR FUTURE UTILITY SERVICES, (II) PROHIBITING UTILITY PROVIDERS FROM ALTERING, REFUSING, OR DISCONTINUING SERVICES, AND (III) APPROVING THE DEBTORS' PROPOSED PROCEDURES FOR RESOLVING ADEQUATE ASSURANCE REQUESTS

---

5. The following Adequate Assurance Procedures are hereby approved on an interim basis:

- a. The Debtors will serve a copy of the Motion and this Interim Order to each Utility Provider within two (2) business days after entry of this Interim Order by the Court.
- b. Within fifteen (15) days of entry of this Interim Order, subject to paragraphs (c)–(m) below, the Debtors will deposit the Adequate Assurance Deposit, in the aggregate amount of \$6,655 in the Adequate Assurance Account.
- c. A Utility Provider may make an Adequate Assurance Request if the Debtors have not satisfied their postpetition payment obligation with respect to the applicable Utility Services in accordance with the terms and conditions of such service, and such payment obligation remains unpaid beyond any applicable grace period for the Utility Service. No disbursement will be made for an Adequate Assurance Request unless the requesting Utility Provider provides notice to: (a) the Debtors, Thrasio Holdings Inc., 85 West Street, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, Walpole, MA, 02081, Attn: Josh Burke and Michael Fahey; (b) proposed co-counsel to the Debtors, Kirkland & Ellis LLP, 300 North LaSalle Street, Chicago, Illinois 60654 Attn: Anup Sathy, P.C. (anup.sathy@kirkland.com) and 601 Lexington Avenue, New York, New York 10022, Attn: Matthew C. Fagen, P.C. (matthew.fagen@kirkland.com), Francis Petrie (francis.petrie@kirkland.com), and Evan Swager (evan.swager@kirkland.com); (c) proposed co-counsel to the Debtors, Cole Schotz P.C., Court Plaza North, 25 Main Street, Hackensack, New Jersey 07601, Attn: Michael D. Sirota, Esq. (msirota@coleschotz.com), Warren A. Usatine, Esq. (wusatine@coleschotz.com), Felice Yudkin (fyudkin@coleschotz.com), and Jacob S. Frumkin, Esq. (jfrumkin@coleschotz.com); (d) the United States Trustee for the District of New Jersey, One Newark Center, Suite 2100, Newark, NJ 07102, Attn: Jeffrey Sponder (Jeffrey.M.Sponder@usdoj.gov) and Lauren Bielskie (Lauren.Bielskie@usdoj.gov); (e) counsel to any statutory committee appointed in these chapter 11 cases; (f) counsel to the Ad Hoc Group, Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP, 200 Park Avenue, New York, New York 10166, Attn: Scott Greenberg (sgreenberg@gibsondunn.com) and Joe Zujkowski (jzujkowski@gibsondunn.com); and (g) counsel to the

(Page | 6)

Debtors: THRASIO HOLDINGS, INC., *et al.*

Case No. 24-11840 (CMG)

Caption of Order: INTERIM ORDER (I) APPROVING THE DEBTORS' PROPOSED ADEQUATE ASSURANCE OF PAYMENT FOR FUTURE UTILITY SERVICES, (II) PROHIBITING UTILITY PROVIDERS FROM ALTERING, REFUSING, OR DISCONTINUING SERVICES, AND (III) APPROVING THE DEBTORS' PROPOSED PROCEDURES FOR RESOLVING ADEQUATE ASSURANCE REQUESTS

---

Administrative Agent under the Revolving Credit Facility, Simpson Thacher & Bartlett LLP, 425 Lexington Avenue, New York, New York 10017 Attn: Nicholas Baker (nbaker@stblaw.com), Philip L. DiDonato (philip.didonato@stblaw.com), and Amy W. Zhou (amy.zhou@stblaw.com) (collectively, the "Notice Parties"). The Debtors shall honor such request within five (5) business days after the date the request is received by the Debtors, subject to the ability of the Debtors and any such requesting Utility Provider reaching an alternative resolution. A court order is not required to honor the Adequate Assurance Request in accordance with the Adequate Assurance Procedures or to settle a postpetition payment dispute related to Utility Services. To the extent any Utility Provider receives a disbursement from the Adequate Assurance Account, the Debtors shall replenish the Adequate Assurance Account in the amount disbursed.

- d. Each Utility Provider shall be entitled to the funds in the Adequate Assurance Account in the amount set forth for such Utility Provider in the column labeled "Proposed Adequate Assurance" on the Utility Services List, attached to the Motion as Exhibit C.
- e. Each Utility Provider holding an existing deposit is permitted to maintain its existing deposit in addition to its right to funds in the Adequate Assurance Account. Such Utility Provider may not, absent a separate order granting relief from Section 362 of the Bankruptcy Code, apply such existing deposit to any prepetition amounts owed if there are no outstanding disputes related to postpetition payment.
- f. The portion of the Adequate Assurance Deposit attributable to each Utility Provider shall be returned to the Debtors or the reorganized debtors, as applicable, automatically, without further order of the Court, on the earlier of (i) the Debtors reconciling and paying the Utility Provider's final invoice in accordance with applicable nonbankruptcy law following the Debtors' termination of Utility Services from such Utility Provider or (ii) the effective date of any chapter 11 plan confirmed in these chapter 11 cases if there are no outstanding disputes related to postpetition payment.
- g. Any Utility Provider that is not satisfied with the Proposed Adequate Assurance and seeks additional or other assurance of payment must serve a request for additional assurance of future payment (an "Additional

(Page | 7)

Debtors: THRASIO HOLDINGS, INC., *et al.*

Case No. 24-11840 (CMG)

Caption of Order: INTERIM ORDER (I) APPROVING THE DEBTORS' PROPOSED ADEQUATE ASSURANCE OF PAYMENT FOR FUTURE UTILITY SERVICES, (II) PROHIBITING UTILITY PROVIDERS FROM ALTERING, REFUSING, OR DISCONTINUING SERVICES, AND (III) APPROVING THE DEBTORS' PROPOSED PROCEDURES FOR RESOLVING ADEQUATE ASSURANCE REQUESTS

---

Assurance Request”) on the Notice Parties no later than (i) the date that is seven (7) calendar days before Final Hearing, or (ii) in the case of a Subsequently Identified Utility Provider (as defined below), the date that is fourteen (14) days after the date such Subsequently Identified Utility Provider receives notice. Any Utility Provider that objects to the Debtors' Proposed Adequate Assurance must serve an Additional Assurance Request on the Notice Parties.

- h. Any Adequate Assurance Request or Additional Assurance Request must set forth in writing the following: (i) the location(s) for which the Utility Services are provided and the account number(s) for such location(s), (ii) the outstanding balance for each such account and a summary of the Debtors' payment history relevant to the affected account(s); (iii) evidence that the Debtors have a direct obligation to the Utility Provider; and (iv) explanation why the Utility Provider believes the Proposed Adequate Assurance is not sufficient adequate assurance of future payment.
- i. The Debtors may without further order from this Court, resolve any Additional Assurance Request by mutual agreement with a Utility Provider, and the Debtors may, in connection with any such agreement, provide a Utility Provider with additional adequate assurance of payment, including, but not limited to, cash deposits, prepayments, or other forms of security if the Debtors believe that such adequate assurance is reasonable; *provided, however* that (i) the Debtors shall maintain a summary record of such agreements and their respective terms, and such summary record and the agreements themselves shall be available to the Notice Parties upon request, and (ii) the Debtors shall consult with the Ad Hoc Group prior to resolving any material Adequate Assurance Request or Additional Assurance Request pursuant to this paragraph 5.i.
- j. Unless a Utility Provider files and serves an Adequate Assurance Request, the Utility Provider shall be (i) deemed to have received “satisfactory” adequate assurance of payment in compliance with section 366 of the Bankruptcy Code and (ii) forbidden from discontinuing, altering, or refusing Utility Services to, or discriminating against, the Debtors on account of any unpaid prepetition charges, or requiring additional assurance of payment other than the Proposed Adequate Assurance.



(Page | 8)

Debtors: THRASIO HOLDINGS, INC., *et al.*

Case No. 24-11840 (CMG)

Caption of Order: INTERIM ORDER (I) APPROVING THE DEBTORS' PROPOSED ADEQUATE ASSURANCE OF PAYMENT FOR FUTURE UTILITY SERVICES, (II) PROHIBITING UTILITY PROVIDERS FROM ALTERING, REFUSING, OR DISCONTINUING SERVICES, AND (III) APPROVING THE DEBTORS' PROPOSED PROCEDURES FOR RESOLVING ADEQUATE ASSURANCE REQUESTS

---

- k. Upon the Debtors' receipt of an Adequate Assurance Request, the Debtors shall promptly negotiate with the Utility Provider to resolve the Utility Provider's Adequate Assurance Request.
- l. If (a) the Debtors determine that the Additional Assurance Request is not reasonable, and the Debtors are unable to reach an alternative resolution with the Utility Provider during the Resolution Period or (b) an objection is received, the Debtors shall request a hearing (a "Determination Hearing") before this Court at the next omnibus hearing date, or such other date that the Debtors and the Utility Provider may agree, to determine the adequacy of assurances of payment with respect to a particular Utility Provider pursuant to section 366(c)(3) of the Bankruptcy Code. Pending final resolution of the Determination Hearing, the Utility Provider filing such Additional Assurance Request shall be prohibited from altering, refusing, or discontinuing Utility Services to the Debtors on account of unpaid charges for prepetition services or on account of any objections to the Proposed Adequate Assurance.
- m. The Adequate Assurance Deposit deposited into the Adequate Assurance Account on behalf of any Utility Provider (including any additional amount deposited upon request of any applicable Utility Provider), or any portion thereof, shall be returned to the Debtors, less any amounts owed on account of unpaid, postpetition Utility Services, by no later than five (5) business days following the earlier of the date upon which (i) a chapter 11 plan becomes effective after being confirmed in these chapter 11 cases or (ii) the Debtors provide notice to a Utility Provider that services provided to the Debtors by such Utility Provider will no longer be needed or will be reduced.

6. The Utility Providers, including those Utility Providers paid by the Landlords, are prohibited from requiring additional adequate assurance of payment other than pursuant to the Adequate Assurance Procedures. Notwithstanding anything to the contrary herein, nothing in this Interim Order affects the rights and obligations of the Debtors and their landlords under section 365 of the Bankruptcy Code with respect to nonresidential real property leases.



(Page | 9)

Debtors: THRASIO HOLDINGS, INC., *et al.*

Case No. 24-11840 (CMG)

Caption of Order: INTERIM ORDER (I) APPROVING THE DEBTORS' PROPOSED ADEQUATE ASSURANCE OF PAYMENT FOR FUTURE UTILITY SERVICES, (II) PROHIBITING UTILITY PROVIDERS FROM ALTERING, REFUSING, OR DISCONTINUING SERVICES, AND (III) APPROVING THE DEBTORS' PROPOSED PROCEDURES FOR RESOLVING ADEQUATE ASSURANCE REQUESTS

---

7. Absent further order of this Court, any landlord that pays directly for Utility Services for the benefit of the Debtors pursuant to a nonresidential real property lease, and has been provided notice of the relief provided by this Interim Order, must continue paying for such Utility Services in the ordinary course of business and may not cease, reduce, delay, or otherwise interfere with the payment or delivery of such Utility Services, regardless of any nonpayment, deferral, or waiver of rent, or any defaults with respect to the applicable lease; *provided* that a landlord may cease payments on account of Utility Services following the effective date of the rejection of the applicable lease pursuant to section 365 of the Bankruptcy Code, if any.

8. Unless and until a Utility Provider files and serves an Additional Assurance Request in accordance with the Adequate Assurance Procedures and the Court rules otherwise at a Determination Hearing, the Utility Provider shall be (a) deemed to have received "satisfactory" adequate assurance of payment in compliance with section 366 of the Bankruptcy Code and (b) forbidden from (i) discontinuing, altering, or refusing Utility Services to or discriminating against the Debtors on account of any unpaid prepetition charges, the commencement of these chapter 11 cases, or any perceived inadequacy of the Proposed Adequate Assurance, or (ii) requiring additional assurance of payment other than the Proposed Adequate Assurance.

9. Any deposit provided to a Utility Provider by the Debtors prior to the Petition Date must be returned to the Debtors within twenty-one (21) calendar days of receiving a notice that the services provided to the Debtors by such Utility Provider will no longer be needed.

(Page | 10)

Debtors: THRASIO HOLDINGS, INC., *et al.*  
Case No. 24-11840 (CMG)  
Caption of Order: INTERIM ORDER (I) APPROVING THE DEBTORS' PROPOSED ADEQUATE ASSURANCE OF PAYMENT FOR FUTURE UTILITY SERVICES, (II) PROHIBITING UTILITY PROVIDERS FROM ALTERING, REFUSING, OR DISCONTINUING SERVICES, AND (III) APPROVING THE DEBTORS' PROPOSED PROCEDURES FOR RESOLVING ADEQUATE ASSURANCE REQUESTS

---

10. Upon the closure of one of the Debtors' locations and the discontinuance of the Utility Services associated therewith, or the termination of Utility Services independent thereof, the Debtors may, in their discretion and without further order of this Court, reduce the Adequate Assurance Deposit by an amount not exceeding, for each of the Utility Services being discontinued, the lesser of (i) the estimated amount equal to one-half of the Debtors' monthly average cost of services from the applicable Utility Provider and (ii) the amount of the Adequate Assurance Deposit then attributable to the applicable Utility Provider; *provided* that for any Utility Provider for which the Adequate Assurance Deposit is reduced, the Debtors shall have (i) provided such Utility Provider with fourteen (14) days' notice of such reduction and (ii) paid such Utility Provider in full for any outstanding postpetition Utility Services before reducing the Adequate Assurance Deposit. Notice of any reduction of the Adequate Assurance Deposit shall be provided to the Notice Parties.

11. The Debtors are authorized, without notice or further order from the Court, to (a) add any Utility Provider to the Utility Providers List (each, a "Subsequently Identified Utility Provider"), (b) remove any Utility Provider from the Utility Providers List, and (c) add to or subtract from the Adequate Assurance Account the portion of such deposit allocated to any Utility Provider(s) or Subsequently Identified Utility Provider(s); *provided* that the Debtors shall provide fourteen (14) days' notice to any Utility Provider that it is being removed from the Utility Providers List and that its respective Adequate Assurance Deposit will be deducted from the Adequate Assurance Account. Notice of the addition or subtraction of a Utility Provider and/or

(Page | 11)

Debtors: THRASIO HOLDINGS, INC., *et al.*

Case No. 24-11840 (CMG)

Caption of Order: INTERIM ORDER (I) APPROVING THE DEBTORS' PROPOSED ADEQUATE ASSURANCE OF PAYMENT FOR FUTURE UTILITY SERVICES, (II) PROHIBITING UTILITY PROVIDERS FROM ALTERING, REFUSING, OR DISCONTINUING SERVICES, AND (III) APPROVING THE DEBTORS' PROPOSED PROCEDURES FOR RESOLVING ADEQUATE ASSURANCE REQUESTS

---

the addition or subtraction to the Adequate Assurance Deposit shall be provided to the Notice Parties. If an objection is received from such Utility Provider(s), the Debtors may request a hearing before this Court regarding such objection. The Debtors shall not deduct the Adequate Assurance Deposit allocated to any such Utility Provider that the Debtors seek to terminate or delete from the Utility Providers List unless and until the fourteen-day notice period has expired without objection from the respective Utility Provider(s). The terms of this Interim Order and the Adequate Assurance Procedures shall apply to any Subsequently Identified Utility Provider.

12. The Debtors must (a) serve any Subsequently Identified Utility Provider a copy of the Motion and Interim Order within two (2) business days of such provider being added to the list, (b) allocate additional amounts to the Adequate Assurance Deposit in accordance with the Motion, and (c) provide notice to the Subsequently Identified Utility Provider of its proposed Adequate Assurance. Notice shall also be provided to the Notice Parties. Any Subsequently Identified Utility Provider shall (a) be bound to the Adequate Assurance Procedures and (b) have twenty-one (21) days from the date of service of the Motion and the Interim Order to make a request for additional adequate assurance of payment in accordance with the Adequate Assurance Procedures. The Debtors shall supplement Exhibit C to the Motion with the names of any subsequently identified Utility Provider and file the same with the Court.

13. The Debtors will cause a copy of this Interim Order, including the Adequate Assurance Procedures, to be served on any Subsequently Identified Utility Provider, and any such Utility Provider shall be bound by the Adequate Assurance Procedures.

(Page | 12)

Debtors: THRASIO HOLDINGS, INC., *et al.*

Case No. 24-11840 (CMG)

Caption of Order: INTERIM ORDER (I) APPROVING THE DEBTORS' PROPOSED ADEQUATE ASSURANCE OF PAYMENT FOR FUTURE UTILITY SERVICES, (II) PROHIBITING UTILITY PROVIDERS FROM ALTERING, REFUSING, OR DISCONTINUING SERVICES, AND (III) APPROVING THE DEBTORS' PROPOSED PROCEDURES FOR RESOLVING ADEQUATE ASSURANCE REQUESTS

---

14. The Adequate Assurance Procedures set forth herein are for all Utility Providers providing Utility Services to the Debtors and are not limited to those parties or entities listed on the Utility Services List.

15. The Debtors' service of the Motion upon the Utility Services List shall not constitute an admission or concession that any such entity is a "utility" within the meaning of section 366 of the Bankruptcy Code, and the Debtors reserve their rights to contest whether any entity is a utility for the purposes of section 366 of the Bankruptcy Code.

16. Nothing contained in the Motion or this Interim Order, and no action taken pursuant to the relief requested or granted (including any payment made in accordance with this Interim Order), is intended as or shall be construed or deemed to be: (a) an admission as to the amount of, basis for, or validity of any claim against the Debtors under the Bankruptcy Code or other applicable nonbankruptcy law; (b) a waiver of the Debtors' or any other party in interest's right to dispute any claim on any grounds; (c) a promise or requirement to pay any particular claim; (d) an implication, admission or finding that any particular claim is an administrative expense claim, other priority claim or otherwise of a type specified or defined in the Motion or this Interim Order; (e) a request or authorization to assume, adopt, or reject any agreement, contract, or lease pursuant to section 365 of the Bankruptcy Code; (f) an admission as to the validity, priority, enforceability or perfection of any lien on, security interest in, or other encumbrance on property of the Debtors' estates; or (g) a waiver or limitation of any claims, causes of action or other rights

(Page | 13)

Debtors: THRASIO HOLDINGS, INC., *et al.*  
Case No. 24-11840 (CMG)  
Caption of Order: INTERIM ORDER (I) APPROVING THE DEBTORS' PROPOSED ADEQUATE ASSURANCE OF PAYMENT FOR FUTURE UTILITY SERVICES, (II) PROHIBITING UTILITY PROVIDERS FROM ALTERING, REFUSING, OR DISCONTINUING SERVICES, AND (III) APPROVING THE DEBTORS' PROPOSED PROCEDURES FOR RESOLVING ADEQUATE ASSURANCE REQUESTS

---

of the Debtors or any other party in interest against any person or entity under the Bankruptcy Code or any other applicable law.

17. The Debtors are authorized to issue postpetition checks, or to effect postpetition fund transfer requests, in replacement of any checks or fund transfer requests that are dishonored as a consequence of these chapter 11 cases with respect to prepetition amounts owed in connection with the relief granted herein.

18. Notwithstanding anything to the contrary in the Motion or this Interim Order, any payment made, obligation incurred, or relief or authorization granted hereunder shall not be inconsistent with, and shall be subject to and in compliance with, the requirements imposed on the Debtors under the terms of each interim and final order entered by the Court in respect of *Debtors' Motion for Entry of Interim and Final Orders (I) Authorizing the Debtors to Obtain Postpetition Secured Financing, (II) Granting Liens and Providing Superpriority Administrative Expense Claims, (III) Authorizing the Use of Cash Collateral, (IV) Granting Adequate Protection, (V) Modifying the Automatic Stay, and (VI) Scheduling a Final Hearing* filed substantially contemporaneously herewith (the "DIP Orders"), including compliance with any budget or cash flow forecast in connection therewith and any other terms and conditions thereof. Nothing herein is intended to modify, alter, or waive, in any way, any terms, provisions, requirements, or restrictions of the DIP Orders.

19. Notwithstanding anything to the contrary in any other Order of this Court, including the DIP Order, the interests of any party, including but not limited to the Debtors' postpetition or

(Page | 14)

Debtors: THRASIO HOLDINGS, INC., *et al.*

Case No. 24-11840 (CMG)

Caption of Order: INTERIM ORDER (I) APPROVING THE DEBTORS' PROPOSED ADEQUATE ASSURANCE OF PAYMENT FOR FUTURE UTILITY SERVICES, (II) PROHIBITING UTILITY PROVIDERS FROM ALTERING, REFUSING, OR DISCONTINUING SERVICES, AND (III) APPROVING THE DEBTORS' PROPOSED PROCEDURES FOR RESOLVING ADEQUATE ASSURANCE REQUESTS

---

prepetition lenders, in, or lien on, the Adequate Assurance Deposit shall be subordinate to the Utility Providers' interests in any Adequate Assurance Deposit until such time as the Adequate Assurance Deposit is returned to the Debtors pursuant to this Interim Order, or as otherwise ordered by the Court.

20. The Debtors have demonstrated that the requested relief is "necessary to avoid immediate and irreparable harm," as contemplated by Bankruptcy Rule 6003.

21. Nothing in this Interim Order authorizes the Debtors to accelerate any payments not otherwise due.

22. Notice of the Motion as provided therein shall be deemed good and sufficient notice of such Motion and the requirements of Bankruptcy Rule 6004(a) and the Local Rules are satisfied by such notice.

23. The Debtors shall serve a copy of this Interim Order on all required parties pursuant to Local Rule 9013-5(f).

24. Notwithstanding Bankruptcy Rule 6004(h), the terms and conditions of this Interim Order are immediately effective and enforceable upon its entry.

25. The requirement set forth in Local Rule 9013-1(a)(3) that any motion be accompanied by a memorandum of law is hereby deemed satisfied by the contents of the Motion or otherwise waived.

26. Any party may move for modification of this Interim Order in accordance with Local Rule 9013-5(e).

(Page | 15)

Debtors: THRASIO HOLDINGS, INC., *et al.*

Case No. 24-11840 (CMG)

Caption of Order: INTERIM ORDER (I) APPROVING THE DEBTORS' PROPOSED ADEQUATE ASSURANCE OF PAYMENT FOR FUTURE UTILITY SERVICES, (II) PROHIBITING UTILITY PROVIDERS FROM ALTERING, REFUSING, OR DISCONTINUING SERVICES, AND (III) APPROVING THE DEBTORS' PROPOSED PROCEDURES FOR RESOLVING ADEQUATE ASSURANCE REQUESTS

---

27. The Debtors are authorized to take all actions necessary to effectuate the relief granted in this Interim Order in accordance with the Motion.

28. This Court retains exclusive jurisdiction with respect to all matters arising from or related to the implementation, interpretation, and enforcement of this Interim Order.



In re:  
Thrasio Holdings, Inc.  
Debtor

Case No. 24-11840-CMG  
Chapter 11

## CERTIFICATE OF NOTICE

District/off: 0312-3  
Date Rcvd: Mar 01, 2024

User: admin  
Form ID: pdf903

Page 1 of 2  
Total Noticed: 1

The following symbols are used throughout this certificate:

**Symbol**      **Definition**

+      Addresses marked '+' were corrected by inserting the ZIP, adding the last four digits to complete the zip +4, or replacing an incorrect ZIP. USPS regulations require that automation-compatible mail display the correct ZIP.

**Notice by first class mail was sent to the following persons/entities by the Bankruptcy Noticing Center on Mar 03, 2024:**

| Recip ID | Recipient Name and Address  |
|----------|---|
| db       | + Thrasio Holdings, Inc., 85 West Street, 3rd Floor, Walpole, MA 02081-1844 |

TOTAL: 1

**Notice by electronic transmission was sent to the following persons/entities by the Bankruptcy Noticing Center.**  
Electronic transmission includes sending notices via email (Email/text and Email/PDF), and electronic data interchange (EDI).

NONE

## BYPASSED RECIPIENTS

The following addresses were not sent this bankruptcy notice due to an undeliverable address, \*duplicate of an address listed above, \*P duplicate of a preferred address, or ## out of date forwarding orders with USPS.

NONE

## NOTICE CERTIFICATION

I, Gustava Winters, declare under the penalty of perjury that I have sent the attached document to the above listed entities in the manner shown, and prepared the Certificate of Notice and that it is true and correct to the best of my information and belief.

**Meeting of Creditor Notices only (Official Form 309): Pursuant to Fed .R. Bank. P.2002(a)(1), a notice containing the complete Social Security Number (SSN) of the debtor(s) was furnished to all parties listed. This official court copy contains the redacted SSN as required by the bankruptcy rules and the Judiciary's privacy policies.**

Date: Mar 03, 2024

Signature:           /s/Gustava Winters          

---

## CM/ECF NOTICE OF ELECTRONIC FILING

The following persons/entities were sent notice through the court's CM/ECF electronic mail (Email) system on March 1, 2024 at the address(es) listed below:

| Name              | Email Address  |
|-------------------|--|
| Andrew H. Sherman | on behalf of Creditor Ad Hoc First Lien Group asherman@sillscummis.com   |
| AnnElyse S. Gains | on behalf of Creditor Ad Hoc First Lien Group agains@gibsondunn.com<br>JZujkowski@gibsondunn.com;MRowe@gibsondunn.com;MSunday@gibsondunn.com       |
| Brett D. Goodman  | on behalf of Interested Party Wilmington Savings Fund Society FSB brett.goodman@afslaw.com,<br>jeffrey.gleit@afslaw.com;matthew.bentley@afslaw.com |
| David S. Catuogno | on behalf of Creditor Amazon.com Services LLC david.catuogno@klgates.com   |
| Gregory Kopacz    | on behalf of Creditor Ad Hoc First Lien Group gkopacz@sillscummis.com  |

District/off: 0312-3

User: admin

Page 2 of 2

Date Rcvd: Mar 01, 2024

Form ID: pdf903

Total Noticed: 1

Jeffrey M. Sponder

on behalf of U.S. Trustee U.S. Trustee jeffrey.m.sponder@usdoj.gov jeffrey.m.sponder@usdoj.gov

Lauren Bielskie

on behalf of U.S. Trustee U.S. Trustee lauren.bielskie@usdoj.gov

Michael D. Sirota

on behalf of Debtor Thrasio Holdings Inc. msirota@coleschotz.com,  
fpisano@coleschotz.com;ssallie@coleschotz.com;lmorton@coleschotz.com;pratkowiak@coleschotz.com;ddelehanty@coleschotz.com

Morris S. Bauer

on behalf of Interested Party Corner Capital Management LLC MSBauer@duanemorris.com, tjsantorelli@duanemorris.com

Rachel A. Parisi

on behalf of Creditor Royal Bank of Canada raparisi@pbnlaw.com  
mpdermatis@pbnlaw.com;sakelly@pbnlaw.com;pnbalala@pbnlaw.com;jmoconnor@pbnlaw.com

Scott J. Greenberg

on behalf of Creditor Ad Hoc First Lien Group sgreenberg@gibsondunn.com

U.S. Trustee

USTPRegion03.NE.ECF@usdoj.gov

Warren J. Martin, Jr.

on behalf of Creditor Royal Bank of Canada wjmartin@pbnlaw.com  
mpdermatis@pbnlaw.com;pnbalala@pbnlaw.com;raparisi@pbnlaw.com;jmoconnor@pbnlaw.com

TOTAL: 13