

ENTERED

October 30, 2025

Nathan Ochsner, Clerk

**IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
HOUSTON DIVISION**

IN RE:	§	
	§	CASE NO: 23-90086
TEHUM CARE SERVICES, INC.,	§	
	§	
Debtor.	§	
	§	CHAPTER 11
	§	

**SECOND DECISION AND ORDER ON YESCARE'S
OMNIBUS MOTION TO ENJOIN PLAINTIFFS FROM
PROSECUTING CASES AGAINST RELEASED PARTIES**

(RE: ECF No. 2160)

CHS TX, Inc. d/b/a YesCare and certain of its affiliates are parties to consensual third-party releases approved under Tehum's confirmed Joint Chapter 11 Plan. YesCare filed a motion seeking to enjoin certain parties from litigating against YesCare and related parties based on the third-party releases.¹ In August 2025, the Court entered a *Decision and Order* partially granting and partially denying the motion, but also set another hearing about certain parties listed on an annexed "Exhibit C."²

After conducting the additional evidentiary hearing and considering the arguments of counsel, the Court partially grants and partially denies the motion as to the Exhibit C parties.

BACKGROUND

In March 2025, the Court entered an order confirming the Plan. The Plan incorporated a settlement agreement between Tehum, YesCare and certain of its affiliates ("**YesCare Parties**"), the Official Committee of Tort Claimants, and the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors.

The settlement resolved, among other things, Tehum's estate causes of action against YesCare Parties about a Texas divisional merger and related acts.³ In exchange, YesCare Parties agreed to pay \$50 million. The funds were split evenly between a personal injury and/or wrongful death trust and a general unsecured claim trust.

¹ Motion to Enjoin Plaintiffs from Prosecuting Against Released Parties, ECF No. 2160. The final list of proposed enjoined parties was filed at ECF No. 2160-1.

² Decision and Order, ECF No. 2374.

³ Disclosure Statement, ECF No. 1815-2, at 11-14.



239008625110100000000001

The Plan also provided for consensual third-party releases between, among others, YesCare Parties and personal injury and/or wrongful death (“**PI/WD**”) claimants.⁴

The Plan defines “Released Parties” as:

(a) the Debtor; (b) Russell Perry, the Debtor’s Chief Restructuring Officer; (c) the Committees and their respective members; (d) the Professionals; (e) the GUC Trustee; (f) the PI/WD Trustee; (g) the Settlement Parties; (h) M2 EquityCo LLC; (i) Valitás Intermediate Holdings Inc.; (j) Valitás Health Services, Inc.; (k) M2 Pharmacorr Equity Holdings LLC; (l) Pharmacorr/M2 LLC; (m) Pharmacorr Holdings LLC; (n) Endeavor Distribution LLC; (o) Yes Care Holdings LLC; (p) Sigma RM, LLC; (q) DG Realty Management LLC; (r) Scaracor LLC; (s) Yitzchak Lefkowitz a/k/a Isaac Lefkowitz; (t) Sara Ann Tirschwell; (u) Ayodeji Olawale Ladele; (v) Beverly Michelle Rice; (w) Jeffrey Scott King; (x) Jennifer Lynne Finger; (y) Frank Jeffrey Sholey; (z) FTI Capital Advisors, LLC, and for each Entity listed in (a) through (z), each of their respective current and former officers, directors, managers, employees, contractors, agents, attorneys, and other professional advisors, Insiders, and Affiliates.⁵

In turn, the “Releasing Parties” are (a) the Debtor; (b) the Settlement Parties; and (c) Consenting Claimants.⁶ “Consenting Claimants” consist of “a Consenting Indirect Claimant, a Consenting GUC Claimant, and/or a Consenting PI/WD Claimant.” A “GUC Claim” means any Claim against the Debtor that is not a PI/WD Claim, an Administrative Claim, a Secured Claim, an Other Secured Claim, a Priority Tax Claim, an Other Priority Claim. The term includes any general unsecured claim against Tehum regardless of whether such claim is alleged to have been allocated to CHS TX, Inc. or YesCare Corp. under the Plan of Divisional Merger.

The Court approved voting and solicitation procedures order (“**Solicitation Order**”) required solicitation packages to be sent to eligible claimholders.⁷ PI/WD claimants were classified in Classes 6–8 of the Plan and were entitled to receive a ballot and an Opt-Out Release Form.⁸ An incarcerated claimant had to be provided hard copies of the solicitation package, which included a copy of the Plan, the

⁴ Disclosure Statement, ECF No. 1815-2, at 15.

⁵ Plan, ECF No. 1815-1, at 14.

⁶ Plan, ECF No. 1815-1, at 14.

⁷ Solicitation Order, ECF No. 1813, Exhibit 1: Solicitation Procedures, at 1.

⁸ Solicitation Order, ECF No. 1813, Exhibit 1: Solicitation Procedures, at 7, 9.

Disclosure Statement, an Opt-Out Release Form, and a letter from the Tort Claimants' Committee (which, among other things, recommended claimants discuss the solicitation package with their lawyer).⁹ Claimants who opted out of the third-party releases did not participate in the settlement and could pursue recovery against third parties—including YesCare Parties—in the tort system on theories of successor liability.¹⁰

Finally, some classes, like “Other Priority Claims” and “Other Secured Claims” were treated as unimpaired and did not receive a ballot. But these parties did receive an “Opt-Out Release Form.”¹¹

In connection with Plan confirmation, the solicitation agent filed a certificate of service of the solicitation materials (“**Solicitation Certificate of Service**”).¹² The solicitation agent also filed a Declaration Regarding Solicitation and Tabulation of Votes (“**Voting Declaration**”).¹³ The Voting Declaration states that the solicitation agent posted certain solicitation materials, including the Plan and the Disclosure Statement on a public access website.¹⁴ The Opt-Out Release Form was not posted or otherwise publicly accessible.¹⁵ The Voting Declaration also states that publication notice was published in the Prison Legal News.¹⁶

YESCARE'S MOTION

YesCare seeks an order enjoining certain parties from continuing to litigate in federal and state courts against YesCare Parties. YesCare originally identified over 100 parties in chart who allegedly did not submit an Opt-Out Release Form.¹⁷ The chart identified parties by name, the case caption for the litigation, and the form of notice purportedly provided to each party.¹⁸ YesCare asserts that each listed party received sufficient notice of the consensual third-party releases in the Plan,

⁹ Solicitation Order, ECF No. 1813, at 6–7.

¹⁰ Disclosure Statement, ECF No. 1815-2, at v–vi. Aside from successor liability, causes of action that were derivative of estate causes of action were settled and released by Tehum. The Solicitation Order also provided that holders of general unsecured claims would receive an Opt-Out Release Form. It does not appear that any of the parties identified in YesCare's motion fall into this category. But it would not change anything in this Order anyway.

¹¹ Solicitation Order, ECF No. 1813, Exhibit 1: Solicitation Procedures, at 7, 9.

¹² Certificate of Service of Solicitation Materials, ECF No. 1852. The solicitation agent also filed Supplemental Certificates of Service at ECF Nos. 1867, 1868, 1925, 1928, 1958, 1959, 1960, and 1988.

¹³ Declaration Regarding the Solicitation and Tabulation of Votes, ECF No. 1993.

¹⁴ Declaration Regarding the Solicitation and Tabulation of Votes ¶8, ECF No. 1993.

¹⁵ See Declaration Regarding the Solicitation and Tabulation of Votes ¶8, ECF No. 1993.

¹⁶ Declaration Regarding the Solicitation and Tabulation of Votes ¶9, ECF No. 1993; see also Order Approving Disclosure Statement, ECF No. 1813, Exhibit 4-2: Publication Notice.

¹⁷ See Exhibit A, ECF No. 2360-1.

¹⁸ Exhibit A, ECF No. 2360-1. YesCare's description of the form of notice purportedly provided to parties was very inaccurate.

and that the channeling injunction under the Plan bars them from prosecuting their respective lawsuits.

In August 2025, the Court entered a *Decision and Order* on the motion. The Court granted the motion as to parties listed on an annexed **Exhibit A** because those parties received an Opt-Out Release Form and did not opt out of the consensual releases. The Court denied the motion as to parties listed on an annexed **Exhibit B** because those parties were not served an Opt-Out Release Form. The Court did not rule on parties listed on an annexed **Exhibit C**. Exhibit C parties were served an Opt-Out Release Form and a Notice of Non-Voting Status instead of a ballot. The Court set a separate hearing for these parties to consider additional legal considerations and made no finding or ruling about these parties. A concern was that these parties were served packages provided to unimpaired creditors under the Plan, thus not subject to the trust procedures and settlement.

RULING ON EXHIBIT C PARTIES

The Southern District of Texas Complex Case Procedures require a creditor to receive a notice that provides a box to check indicating assent or opposition to proposed consensual third-party releases in a chapter 11 plan.¹⁹ The Solicitation Order followed the Complex Case Procedures and required parties asked for a consensual third-party release to receive a Court approved Opt-Out Release Form.²⁰

The Court conducted an evidentiary hearing. The majority of Exhibit C claimants did not object to the YesCare motion when originally filed or in connection with the second hearing for Exhibit C claimants. The Solicitation Certificate of Service provided that these parties were served with an Opt-Out Release Form. There is no evidence of any of these parties objecting to their classification or treatment under the Plan. Thus, the Court finds the consensual third-party releases enforceable against these parties, which are listed on the annexed **Exhibit 1**. Now we turn to individuals who objected and assert they are not bound by the releases.

Akinola

In March 2022, Rilwan Akinola filed a *pro se* complaint in the United States District Court for the District of Maryland. Akinola asserted claims against Corizon Health, Inc., a nurse, and a correctional officer. In February 2023, Corizon filed a Suggestion of Bankruptcy and the Maryland court stayed proceedings against Corizon.²¹ In April 2024, the court appointed pro bono counsel for Akinola. In

¹⁹ Procedures for Complex Cases in the Southern District of Texas, Section O, Plan Confirmation ¶40.

²⁰ Solicitation Order, ECF No. 1813.

²¹ ECF No. 2160-1.

September 2024, Akinola filed a proposed amended complaint that dropped the claim against Corizon and asserted claims only against the nurse and the correctional officer. The amended complaint was later approved by the Maryland court. Thus, it appears Akinola is not asserting a claim against Tehum—or liability held by Tehum—based on the divisional merger. And there is no evidence in the record to the contrary.

The Solicitation Certificate of Service shows that Akinola was served with the Opt-Out Release Form on November 20, 2024 using a Baltimore City Correctional Center address.²² Akinola argues, however, that he never received the Opt-Out. He submitted a sworn declaration stating that the address listed on the Solicitation Certificate of Service was outdated.²³ Akinola said that in March 2023 he was transferred from the Baltimore Center to the Dorsey Run Correctional Facility in Maryland.²⁴ Then, in November 2023, he was transferred to Roxbury Correctional Institution in Maryland.²⁵ And that he stayed in Roxbury until he was released on November 27, 2024.²⁶ Thus, Akinola argues that Tehum served him at an address where had not been for over 8 months. Akinola also said that his true address could have been determined, because he submitted filings in the underlying litigation reflecting an updated address.²⁷ And that his current location was readily ascertainable through the publicly available Inmate Locator on the website of Maryland’s Department of Public Safety and Correctional Services. He also said he never received any forwarded mail with the Opt-Out Release Form.²⁸

Akinola was suing Corizon based on liability that was perhaps held by Tehum due the divisional merger at the time Tehum filed its bankruptcy case (which he later dropped). Thus, he would have been a known creditor constitutionally entitled to actual notice—and not publication notice or other notice unrelated to Plan confirmation—before his litigation claims could be released under the Plan. *See, e.g., In re Placid Oil Co.*, 753 F.3d 151, 154 (5th Cir. 2014) (quoting *Mullane v. Cent. Hanover Bank & Tr. Co.*, 339 U.S. 306, 313–15 (1950) (concluding that Due Process requires actual notice for known creditors). Due Process required that he receive actual notice—i.e., the Opt-Out Release Form. And, again, the Solicitation Order required it too.

“Proof that a letter properly directed was placed in a post office creates a presumption that it reached its destination in usual time and was actually received by the person to whom it was addressed.” *Hagner v. United States*, 285 U.S. 427,

²² See Certificate of Service re: Solicitation Materials Served on November 20, 2024, ECF No. 1852.

²³ Akinola Declaration, ECF No. 2472-1 (also 2285-1).

²⁴ Akinola Declaration, ECF No. 2472-1 (also 2285-1).

²⁵ Akinola Declaration, ECF No. 2472-1 (also 2285-1).

²⁶ Akinola Declaration, ECF No. 2472-1 (also 2285-1).

²⁷ See Akinola Declaration, ECF No. 2472-2.

²⁸ Akinola Declaration, ECF No. 2472-1 (also 2285-1).

430 (1932). Bankruptcy Rule 9006(e) also provides that “[s]ervice by mail of process, any other document, or notice is complete on mailing.” This doesn’t mean, however, that the serving party can just use any address. Due process requires notice that is reasonably calculated to apprise a party of the pendency of a matter and to afford that party the opportunity to present a response. *Matter of Faden*, 96 F.3d 792, 795–96 (5th Cir. 1996) (citing *Mullane*, 339 U.S. at 314). Thus, the address used must have been reasonably calculated to serve Akinola with the Opt-Out Release Form. Notice is not reasonably calculated when a party does not properly determine a creditor’s address despite having adequate information readily available. *Matter of Faden*, 96 F.3d at 796.

Here, evidence shows that Akinola was served the Opt-Out Release Form at the Baltimore Center.²⁹ But YesCare doesn’t establish that the address used was reasonably calculated to give Akinola notice. Akinola has rebutted any presumption that Tehum served him using the right address. He was not housed at the Baltimore Center during the Plan solicitation time. And there is no evidence in the record about Tehum’s books and records or the soliciting parties’ decision to solicit Akinola using the Baltimore Center address. Akinola also did not file a proof of claim or assert a claim against Tehum in this case using the Baltimore address. Nor did his counsel enter a notice of appearance requesting notice to the Baltimore Center.

No Tehum party involved in the solicitation process, including the solicitation agent testified at the evidentiary to support the relief request as to any party YesCare seeks to bind.³⁰ And that lack of evidence matters. As with prior hearings, the YesCare Parties (who were not the primary parties involved in the solicitation process) rely on certificates of service. And that is not enough to deem Akinola bound by third party releases based on service to the Baltimore address. And any argument that Akinola had some duty to keep Tehum apprised of his address in the bankruptcy case, rather than in the Maryland case is rejected.³¹

²⁹ ECF No. 1852

³⁰ YesCare Corp. attached an exhibit stating that “Mr. Akinola had actual knowledge of the bankruptcy proceeding because a Suggestion of Bankruptcy was filed in the underlying lawsuit on February 21, 2023. (Doc. 30).” But YesCare did not provide the address used to notify Akinola in the Suggestion of Bankruptcy and didn’t provide a copy of the Suggestion of Bankruptcy.

³¹ The Court also incorporates its reasoning in the Decision and Order at ECF No. 2374 and decision at ECF No. 2460 about arguments raised by YesCare parties about a duty to stay informed. YesCare’s motion also says Akinola was an incarcerated claimant, which is undisputed. This means that he should have been classified as a PI/WD Claimant under the Plan, and he wasn’t. Under the Plan holders of PI/WD claims are entitled to vote. Plan, ECF Nos. 1815-1, 1813. The Solicitation Procedures required that he be entitled to the Disclosure Statement, the Plan, and related materials (which included a letter from the Official Tort Claimants’ Committee telling him to discuss the materials with his lawyer). Exhibit H, ECF No. 1852. Thus, even if Akinola was a claimant, he was still not properly served these materials and the Court would afford him the right, at a minimum

In addition, it is also not established why Akinola was even served with an Opt-Out Release Form when he was not suing Tehum or suing based on causes of action relating to debt or liabilities associated with Tehum. Again, there was no evidence presented from Tehum representatives or parties who solicited Plan materials. And there is no argument that Akinola is suing a party based on a claim held by the estate. YesCare's motion is about enforcing consensual releases between third parties. Also recall that "Consenting Claimants" are the parties who released YesCare under the consensual third-party releases in the Plan.³² And a Consenting Claimant must either be a Consenting Indirect Claimant, a Consenting GUC Claimant, or a Consenting PI/WD Claimant.³³ The Plan definitions of each term requires a claimant to assert either a direct claim against Tehum or based on liability allocated to Tehum under the divisional merger, or an indirect claim against Tehum for defense, contribution, indemnification, or subrogation. Akinola is not seeking anything from Tehum, directly or indirectly. So he would not be subject to the Plan, including the consensual releases.

Thus, for all these reasons, Akinola is not bound by the consensual releases under the Plan.

Hefley

Dustin Hefley was involved in prepetition litigation with Tehum and YesCare Parties in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Missouri. Thus, due Process required that Hefley receive actual notice—i.e., the Opt-Out Release Form. And the Solicitation Order required it too.

The Solicitation Certificate of Service shows that Hefley was served with the Opt-Out Release Form using an address for Hefley's counsel at 103 E. Broadway St., Bolivar, Missouri 65613.³⁴ The problem is that's the address for his counsel's prior law firm, which he left in 2019. In 2022, Hefley's counsel appeared in the Missouri litigation and filed an updated address. Tehum, however, didn't serve Hefley directly or use his counsel's updated address. Thus, Hefley argues he should not be bound by the consensual third-party releases under the Plan.

YesCare says that the address used by the solicitation agent was the address listed in the case caption for the electronic court docket in the Missouri litigation. It appears that the court system did not update or reflect the address in Hefley's counsel's updated notice on the case caption. Regardless, based on the evidence, Hefley is not bound by the third-party releases.

under an excusable neglect standard, to submit an Opt-Out Release Form. And, again, he couldn't have objected to any Plan treatment because he was served at the wrong address.

³² Plan, ECF No. 1815-1, at 4.

³³ Plan, ECF No. 1815-1, at 4.

³⁴ Exhibit H, Certificate of Service of Solicitation Materials, ECF No. 1852.

First, the Solicitation Order specifically required that incarcerated claimants be distributed a solicitation package containing a disclosure statement, the Plan, an Opt-Out Release Form and a pre-addressed return envelope.³⁵ Even if he held another type of claim, for which there is no evidence he did, Hefley was still supposed to receive a package under the Solicitation Procedures Order and he never did. His counsel never received a Plan solicitation package either. Instead, the solicitation agent only mailed a notice of non-voting status and the Opt-Out Release Form to his counsel.³⁶

Second, there is evidence in the record that Hefley's counsel was served at the old address, so the presumption applies about service to that location. But there is no evidence in the record about whether that address satisfies due process here. Hefley has rebutted any presumption of good service. There was an updated address in the underlying litigation where Hefley's counsel may have been reached. Hefley's counsel also argues that the YesCare state court lawyers know his correct address and a phone call to them would have given them the right address. As with Akinola, this Court has no evidence about Tehum's books and records or the soliciting parties' decision to use the old address for Hefley's counsel, or why Hefley was not served directly either. And any argument that Hefley had some duty to keep Tehum apprised of his address in this case, rather than the Missouri case is rejected.³⁷ Hefley is not bound by the consensual releases under the Plan.

Lyles

Andrew Lyles was involved in prepetition litigation in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Michigan. Lyles originally sued multiple defendants, including a Corizon entity, based on alleged Eighth Amendment violations. But at the time Tehum started this bankruptcy case, the only defendant in the Lyles lawsuit was Dr. Keith Papendick.³⁸

In March 2023, this Court entered an *Order Regarding Debtor's Emergency Motion to Extend and Enforce the Automatic Stay*,³⁹ which extended the automatic

³⁵ Solicitation Order, ECF No. 1813, at 6–7. The Solicitation Order requires Plan related materials be served on Holders of Claims and Incarcerated Claimants. “Holder” means any Person or Entity holding a Claim or an Interest. “Incarcerated Claimant” is the Holder of a PI/WD Claim who is known to be currently incarcerated in a federal, state, or local penal institution, prison, jail, reformatory, or other similar correctional institution. *Id.*, Exhibit 1: Solicitation Procedures, at 3–5.

³⁶Exhibit H, Certificate of Service of Solicitation Materials, ECF No. 1852.

³⁷The Court also incorporates its reasoning in the Decision and Order at ECF No. 2374 and decision at ECF No. 2460 about arguments raised by YesCare parties about a duty to stay informed. Hefley also never filed a proof of claim. Hefley's counsel never filed a notice of appearance in this case before YesCare filed its motion. So there is nothing in this case indicating Hefley wanted to be served at the counsel's old firm address.

³⁸ ECF No. 2310-3.

³⁹ Adv. 23-03049, ECF No. 118.

stay to, among others, the Lyles lawsuit. That same month, the Court entered a *Stipulation and Agreed Order Regarding Debtor's Motion to Extend and Enforce the Automatic Stay*.⁴⁰ Tehum agreed that the Lyles lawsuit should no longer be subject to the automatic stay. And the Court ordered that the Lyles lawsuit “may proceed against the sole defendant in that matter, Dr. Keith Papendick, a non-debtor individual.”⁴¹

Even though Lyles was only suing a non-debtor, the Solicitation Certificate of Service shows that Lyles was served with the Opt-Out Release Form on November 20, 2024 using an address at the Cotton Correctional Facility in Jackson, Michigan. Lyles did not return the Opt-Out Release Form, so the YesCare Parties believe he is bound by the consensual third-party releases.

YesCare argues that Dr. Papendick falls within the definition of “Released Parties” because he is an “agent” of and “professional advisor” to Tehum, Lyles had notice and an opportunity to Opt-Out but failed to do so, Lyles failed to make an argument about the Plan’s opt-out mechanism before Plan confirmation, and the Plan opt-out mechanism conforms with Fifth Circuit law.

Dr. Papendick’s employer and its relationship with Tehum is disputed. Dr. Papendick was employed by Quality Correctional Care of Michigan, P.C. (“QCCM”). Lyles says this answers the questions because he is not an employee of Tehum. YesCare disagrees. YesCare says that Corizon contracted with the Michigan Department of Corrections (“MDOC”) to provide healthcare to Michigan prisoners. Corizon then subcontracted with QCCM to provide medical services to the MDOC because Michigan law does not permit the corporate practice of medicine. Thus, YesCare believes Dr. Papendick was Tehum’s “agent[]” and/or “professional advisor[],” for purposes of the definition of “Released Parties.” YesCare, however, is wrong because it fails to consider the fundamental point that Lyles is not a creditor, and there is no evidence he is suing based on a derivative claim held by Tehum. YesCare’s motion is about enforcing consensual releases of claims between non-debtor third parties. And Lyles is not a Consenting Claimant.

As noted above, only Consenting Claimants are subject to the consensual releases. And the Agreed Order between Tehum and Lyles stated that Dr. Papendick is a non-debtor individual. Lyles has not filed a proof of claim or asserted any form of claim against Tehum. So he is not a Consenting Indirect Claimant, a Consenting GUC Claimant, or a Consenting PI/WD Claimant. Lyles was not served a ballot to vote on the Plan. He was also not served a proof of claim either. But for some reason, he was served with a Notice of Non-Voting Status reserved for secured claim, “Other Priority Claims,” administrative claims, or tax claims, which again

⁴⁰ ECF No. 237.

⁴¹ ECF No. 237.

would only apply to a party with a claim against Tehum.⁴² There is no evidence why Tehum served Lyles with any Plan related materials.

Bases on the record, Lyles is a non-debtor who is asserting a claim against another non-debtor and obtained an Agreed Order with Tehum confirming that Lyles could litigate against a non-debtor. And any argument that Lyles had some duty to keep Tehum apprised of his address here or stay vigilant for potential releases under a Plan after getting relief to sue Dr. Papendick directly is rejected.⁴³ He is not bound by the consensual third-party releases under the Plan.⁴⁴

Kelly

Keith Kelly was a plaintiff in a 2020 lawsuit in Maryland asserting claims under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 against the Commissioner of the State of Maryland Department of Corrections and certain employees of Roxbury Correctional Institution (“**2020 Lawsuit**”). Kelley was represented in that lawsuit by Bekman, Marder, Hopper, Malarkey, and Perlin LLC (“**Bekman Firm**”).

In February 2023, Tehum started these bankruptcy cases. Tehum served the Beckman Firm with a proof of claim about the 2020 Lawsuit. Later in 2024, this lawsuit was terminated by stipulation between the parties.

In September 2023, Kelly started another lawsuit in Maryland *pro se* asserting claims under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 against certain individuals who worked at the Western Correctional Institution and were previously employed by Corizon (“**2023 Lawsuit**”). Tehum and Corizon were not named as defendants in the 2023 Lawsuit. Nor are there any claims asserted against them.

YesCare seeks to enjoin Kelly from proceeding in the 2023 Lawsuit against YesCare based on failure to opt out of the consensual releases. YesCare relies on the Solicitation Certificate of Service. It shows that Kelly was served with the Opt-Out Release Form and a Notice of Non-Voting Status using the Beckman Firm

⁴² To the extent that Lyles would be considered a claimant based on the underlying litigation, he would have been improperly served. Under the Plan holders of PI/WD claims are entitled to vote. Plan, ECF No. 1815-1. The Solicitation Procedures require that he would be entitled to the Disclosure Statement, the Plan, and other related materials. ECF No. 1813. Lyles did not receive these materials. *See* Certificate of Service of Solicitation Materials, ECF No. 1852 (listing Lyles in Exhibit H and stating that the Exhibit H service list was sent “Opt-Out Release Form, Notice of Non-Voting Status, Confirmation Hearing Notice, and Return Envelope.” Thus, if Lyles is considered a claimant, he was not properly served the required materials.

⁴³ The Court also incorporates its reasoning in the Decision and Order at ECF No. 2374 and decision at ECF No. 2460 about arguments raised by YesCare parties about a duty to stay informed.

⁴⁴ Because Lyles is not a Consenting Claimant, arguments about whether Dr. Papendick falls within the definition of Released Party are moot.

address.⁴⁵ Kelly was not personally served. In December 2024, the Beckman Firm sent a letter to Kelly with the Opt-Out Form stating the firm didn't represent him on this matter.⁴⁶

In January 2025, Kelly submitted a letter to this Court (which was docketed on February 4, 2025) directing all future correspondence be sent to him directly.⁴⁷ He also stated that he did not fully understand the bankruptcy process. He also refers to the 2023 Lawsuit and says he is not going to opt out.⁴⁸ Later, in a June 2025 objection, Kelly says that he wanted to continue litigating the 2023 Lawsuit.⁴⁹

Kelly started the 2023 Lawsuit *pro se*, so the Solicitation Order required Tehum to serve him directly with Plan related materials. But that did not happen. Instead, his counsel on the 2020 Lawsuit was served.⁵⁰ And that cannot serve as notice for the 2023 Lawsuit because the Bekman Firm didn't represent Kelly with respect to the 2023 Lawsuit. So he cannot be bound by the consensual third-party releases. Following the Solicitation Order trumps everything here.

It also appears that Kelly is a non-debtor who is asserting claims against non-debtors in the 2023 Lawsuit. He has not asserted a claim against Tehum, any liability assumed by Tehum in the divisional merger, nor is he otherwise seeking anything from Tehum. Kelly is not a creditor or a Consenting Claimant. Thus, Kelley's conflicting statements about his intent to opt-out are moot. Nor is there any evidence in the record about Tehum's books and records or the soliciting parties' decision to solicit Kelley through the firm representing in the 2020 Lawsuit. Finally, any argument that Kelly had some duty to keep Tehum apprised of his address or stay vigilant in this case rather than the 2023 Lawsuit, is rejected.⁵¹ He is not bound by the consensual third-party releases under the Plan.

Young

Ardra Young is a plaintiff in a prepetition lawsuit in Michigan against former employees of Tehum related entities based on alleged medical care he received during his incarceration at the Gus Harrison Facility in Adrian, Michigan in 2021.

⁴⁵ Exhibit H, Certificate of Service of Solicitation Materials, ECF No. 1852.

⁴⁶ ECF No. 1952.

⁴⁷ ECF No. 1952.

⁴⁸ The letter states "I, Keith Darnell Kelly, am a claimant [sic] is the above caption case and I do not want to opt-out. I have a "pending" civil case, case No.: BAH-23-2342, in the United States District Court for the District Court of Maryland, 101 Lombard Street, Baltimore, Maryland, 21201-2691; so, I'm not going to opt-out." The letter suggests that Kelly may have erroneously believed that by opting-out, he would be free to continue litigating his claims in the District Court.

⁴⁹ ECF No. 2284.

⁵⁰ ECF No. 1852.

⁵¹ The Court incorporates its reasoning in the Decision and Order at ECF No. 2374 and decision at ECF No. 2460 about arguments raised by YesCare parties about a duty to stay informed.

Assuming any claims he asserts are against Tehum—or liability held by Tehum—based on the divisional merger, which is unclear from the record, he would be a known creditor.⁵²

Young claims he never received the Opt-Out Release Form or any Plan related notices. Young submitted a letter purportedly signed by a mailroom supervisor confirming he did not receive any legal mail between March 2023 and January 2025.⁵³ The letter, however, asked the supervisor to confirm whether the legal mail came from Bowman and Brooke, which is counsel to the YesCare Parties, and not legal mail from the solicitation agent.

The Solicitation Certificate of Service shows that Young was served with the Opt-Out Release Form using:

Gus Harrison Correctional Facility
2727 East Beecher Street
Adrian, MI 49221⁵⁴

But Young has filed several pleadings in this case, each using the following address:

Richard A. Handlon Correctional Facility
1728 Bluewater Highway
Ionia, MI 48846⁵⁵

This Court has no evidence about Tehum's books and records, the soliciting parties' decision to solicit Young using the Harrison Facility, rather than the Handlon Facility. Regardless, Young has rebutted any presumption of good service. Instead, the evidence shows that he was served at the wrong address.⁵⁶

YesCare's reply to Young's objection includes Young's original and amended complaints filed in 2021-2022. These complaints were filed when Young was housed at the Harrison Facility.⁵⁷ But YesCare also included the Suggestion of Bankruptcy filed by Tehum's counsel in the Michigan case about one week after the petition date. The case caption in the Suggestion of Bankruptcy lists Young's address at the Handlon Facility, not Harrison.⁵⁸ The Proof of Service on the last page also includes a certification that Young was served by mail at the Handlon Facility.⁵⁹ Thus, as of petition date, Tehum served Young at the Handlon Facility. Moreover, Young's

⁵² This Court has not determined whether Young asserted a claim against Tehum for him to be subject to the Plan and potentially qualifies as a Releasing Party.

⁵³ ECF No. 2478.

⁵⁴ Exhibit H, Certificate of Service of Solicitation Materials, ECF No. 1852.

⁵⁵ See ECF Nos. 2255, 2256, 2464, 2478, 2479.

⁵⁶ ECF No. 1852.

⁵⁷ See Exhibit A & C, Original Complaint, ECF No. 2281.

⁵⁸ See Exhibit B, Suggestion of Bankruptcy, ECF No. 2281.

⁵⁹ See Exhibit B, Suggestion of Bankruptcy, ECF No. 2281.

objection to the motion shows that he asked for a prison log between March 2023 and January 2025 from the Handlon Facility, not the Harrison Facility.⁶⁰ Thus, all evidence shows that Young didn't receive the Opt-Out Form because he was not at the Harrison Facility as of the petition date to the present and Tehum's Michigan counsel knew or should have known it.

The Court also notes that if Young is an incarcerated claimant based on the Michigan litigation, he was improperly served. Under the Plan holders of PI/WD claims are entitled to vote. Plan, ECF Nos. 1815-1, 1813. The Solicitation Procedures require that he would be entitled to the Disclosure Statement, the Plan, and related materials. And that didn't happen here. Exhibit H, ECF No. 1852 shows that Young was sent the Opt-Out Release Form, Notice of Non-Voting Status, Confirmation Hearing Notice, and Return Envelope. Meaning that he was not sent the other required materials.

Thus, for all these reasons, Young is not bound by the consensual third-party releases under the Plan.

Glenn

Deon Glenn is an incarcerated prisoner with the MDOC. In April 2022, he started a prepetition lawsuit against an MDOC employee and a Corizon employee in a state court action in the Eastern District of Michigan based on alleged violations of the Eighth Amendment. Glenn and his counsel say they never received any notices in connection with the bankruptcy case.

The Solicitation Certificate of Service shows that Glenn was served with the Opt-Out Release Form and a Notice of Non-Voting Status using the G. Robert Cotton Correctional Facility.⁶¹ Glenn's counsel submitted an affidavit stating he nor Glenn ever received the Opt-Out Release Form.⁶² The affidavit also states that "I am seeking the legal mail from the prison but have not received that log as yet."⁶³

The Court will not make a determination yet. Glenn's counsel must certify in a sworn declaration by December 15 whether Glenn received any legal mail from Tehum or the solicitation agent (Verita) in connection with the Tehum bankruptcy case. YesCare parties will be afforded time to respond to any additional evidence submitted. There is a presumption that the solicitation agent mailed the Opt-Out Release Form to the G. Robert Cotton Correctional Facility. But this presumption may be overcome "by clear and convincing evidence that the mailing was not, in fact, accomplished." *In re OCA, Inc.*, 551 F.3d 359, 371 (5th Cir. 2008). Absence of

⁶⁰ ECF No. 2478.

⁶¹ Exhibit H, Certificate of Service of Solicitation Materials, ECF No. 1852.

⁶² ECF No. 2481.

⁶³ ECF No. 2481.

mail log entries may raise factual disputes over whether delivery occurred. *See Lupyán v. Corinthian Colleges Inc.*, 761 F.3d 314, 322 (3d Cir. 2014) (citing *United States v. Dawson*, 608 F.2d 1038, 1040 (5th Cir. 1979)) (noting that the absence of an entry in routinely kept mail logs may serve as evidence of non-receipt).


There Court also notes that if Glenn is an incarcerated claimant based on the Michigan litigation, he would have been improperly served. Under the Plan holders of PI/WD claims are entitled to vote. Plan, ECF Nos. 1815-1, 1813. The Solicitation Procedures require that he would be entitled to the Disclosure Statement, the Plan, and related materials. Exhibit H, ECF No. 1852. And that didn't happen here.

ORDER

For the reasons stated above, the Court finds and Orders that:

1. The parties listed on **Exhibit 1** to this Order were served an Opt-Out Release Form and are bound by the consensual third-party releases in the Plan. These parties are restrained and enjoined from taking any action to prosecute any causes of action for the purpose of directly, indirectly, or derivatively collecting, recovering, or receiving payment, satisfaction, or recovery from any Released Party based on released Causes of Action (as such terms are defined in the Plan).
2. The parties listed on **Exhibit 2** are not bound by the consensual third-party releases in the Plan.
3. The Court will conduct another hearing on Deon Glenn at a later date.
4. The Court retains jurisdiction to interpret and enforce this Order.

Signed: October 29, 2025



Christopher Lopez
United States Bankruptcy Judge

EXHIBIT 1

Claimant/Plaintiff	Case No.
Benjamin Beach	22-cv-12105 (USDC E.D. Mich.)
Randy Clay	17-cv-00646 (USDC D. N.J.)
Andre Dennison	22-cv-15106 (9th Cir. Ct. of Appeals)
Dante Jeter	21-cv-02828 (USDC D. Md.)
Maurice Jones	22-cv-03079 (USDC W.D. Mo.)
Eilenn McNamara	20-cv-04570 (USDC E.D. Pa.)
Todd Nachtweith	21-cv-00371 (USDC E.D. Mo.)
Macking Nettles	22-cv-00119 (USDC W.D. Mich.)
Michael Perkins	21-cv-12720 (USDC E.D. M.)
Alfred Vela	16-cv-51 (USDC N.D. Ind.)
Daniel Wichterman	16-cv-05796 (USDC E.D. Pa.)
Andrew Wolf	21-cv-00226 (USDC D. Idaho)

EXHIBIT 2

Claimant/Plaintiff	Case No.
Rilwan Akinola	22-cv-00657 (USDC D. Md.) D-121-cv-22-007131 (D.C. Md. Alleghany Cty.)
Dustin Hefley	21-cv-00041 (USDC E.D. Mo.)
Keith Kelly	23-cv-2432 (USDC D. Md.)
Andrew Lyles	19-cv-10673 (USDC E.D. Mich.)
Ardra Young	21-cv-12170 (USDC E.D. Mich.)

United States Bankruptcy Court
Southern District of Texas

In re:
Tehum Care Services, Inc.
Thurman R Watson
Debtors

Case No. 23-90086-cml
Chapter 11

CERTIFICATE OF NOTICE

District/off: 0541-4

User: ADIuser

Page 1 of 8

Date Rcvd: Oct 30, 2025

Form ID: pdf002

Total Noticed: 212

The following symbols are used throughout this certificate:

Symbol	Definition
+	Addresses marked '+' were corrected by inserting the ZIP, adding the last four digits to complete the zip +4, or replacing an incorrect ZIP. USPS regulations require that automation-compatible mail display the correct ZIP.
^	Addresses marked '^' were sent via mandatory electronic bankruptcy noticing pursuant to Fed. R. Bank. P. 9036.
#	Addresses marked '#' were identified by the USPS National Change of Address system as requiring an update. While the notice was still deliverable, the notice recipient was advised to update its address with the court immediately.
##	Addresses marked '##' were identified by the USPS National Change of Address system as undeliverable. Notices will no longer be delivered by the USPS to these addresses; therefore, they have been bypassed. The debtor's attorney or pro se debtor was advised that the specified notice was undeliverable.

Notice by first class mail was sent to the following persons/entities by the Bankruptcy Noticing Center on Nov 01, 2025:

Recip ID	Recipient Name and Address
db	+ Tehum Care Services, Inc., 205 Powell Place, Suite 104, Brentwood, TN 37027-7522
aty	+ Bradley Arant Boulton Cummings LLP, c/o James Bailey, 1819 Fifth Ave. N., Birmingham, AL 35203-2120
aty	+ D. Cameron Moxley, Brown Rudnick LLP, 7 Times Square, New York, NY 10036-6548
aty	+ Eliese R. Herzl-bertz, Duane Morris, LLP, 30 S. 17th St, Philadelphia, PA 19103-4196
aty	+ Frank Ozment, Frank Ozment Attorney at Law, LLC, 217 Country Club Park, Box 501, Birmingham, AL 35213, UNITED STATES 35213-4237
aty	+ Jessica M. Meyers, Brown Rudnick LLP, 7 Times Square, New York, NY 10036-6548
aty	+ Keith Louis Altman, The Law Office of Keith Altman, 33228 W. 12 Mile Rd., Suite 375, Farmington Hills, MI 48334-3309
aty	+ Martin J McAndrew, O'Connor Kimball LLP, 51 Haddonfield Rd, Ste 330, Cherry Hill, NY 08002-4616
aty	+ Mary Long, Missouri Attorney General, 815 Olive St, Ste 200, St Louis, MO 63101-1510
aty	+ Meghan McCafferty, Brown Rudnick LLP, One Financial Center, Boston, MA 02111-2600
aty	+ Ross M Kwastieniet, Kirkland & Ellis LLP, 300 North LaSalle St, Chicago, IL 60654-3406
aty	+ Solomon Mordechai Radner, Law Office of Keith Altman, 33228 W. 12 Mile Rd., Suite 375, Farmington Hills, MI 48334-3309
aty	+ The Toomey Law Firm, The Old Robb & Stucky Building, 1625 Hendry Street, Suite 203, Fort Myers, FL 33901-2973
cr	+ Aakash Dalal, 215 Burlington Rd S, Bridgeton, NJ 08302-3479
intp	+ Aaron B Fodge, ISCI-13-F-64-A, POB 14, Boise, ID 83707-0014
cr	Alex Scott, 514 57th St, Apt. 6, Melba, IN 83641
intp	+ Alfred Green, 076282, La Palma Correctional Center, 5501 N La Palma Road, Eloy, AZ 85131-9641
cr	+ Alfred Thompson, 100 N. 20th Street, Suite 303, Philadelphia, PA 19103-1454
cr	+ Ananda Slocum, c/o Lane & Nach, P.C., 2001 East Campbell Avenue, Suite 103, Phoenix, AZ 85016 US 85016-5573
cr	+ Andrew Joseph Dicks, Western Correctional Institution, 13800 McMullen Hwy SW, Cumberland, MD 21502-5622
cr	+ Angela Branum, c/o Attorney Joy Bertrand, PO Box 2734, Scottsdale, AZ 85252-2734, UNITED STATES 85252-2734
intp	+ Ardra Young, Richard A Handlon Correctional Facility, 1728 Bluewater Highway, Ionia, MI 48846-8553
cr	+ Arizona Department of Corrections, Rehabilitation,, c/o Christopher Simpson, 2929 N Central Ave Ste 2000, Phoenix, AZ 85012-2838
cr	+ Armando Banuelos, c/o Hallinan & Killpack Law Firm, 5240 E Pima St, Tucson, AZ 85712, UNITED STATES OF AMERICA 85712-3630
cr	+ Arsenio Mascarenas, Smith Templeman Law Firm, 1005 Marquette Ave. NW, Albuquerque, NM 87102-1937, UNITED STATES 87102-1937
cr	+ Arvant Kumar Tripathi, POB 8909, San Luis, AZ 85349-0376
cr	+ Baltimore Washington Medical Center, Inc. d/b/a Un, c/o Gallagher Evelius & Jones LLP, 218 N. Charles Street, Suite 400, Baltimore, MD 21201-4070
cr	+ Benjamin Bedogwar Oryang, Staton Correctional Facility, AIS# 168079 F2-34A, 2690 Marion Spillway Rd, Elmore, AL 36025-1531
ptcrd	+ Billie Mancell, 707 Myrtle Ave, El Paso, TX 79901-2567
cr	+ Billy Meyer, ASPC Tucson, Cimarron Unit, PO Box 24409, Tucson, AZ 85734-4409
intp	+ Billy Ray Rose, #123255, Arizona State Prison Complex, Tucson - Cimarron, PO Box 24407 Tucson, AZ 85734-4407
cr	+ Bradley Schwartz, c/o Hallinan & Killpack Law Firm, 5240 E Pima St, Tucson, AZ 85712, UNITED STATES OF AMERICA 85712-3630
cr	+ Brandon Mykelwood, ASPC Tuscon, Cimarron Unity, PO Box 24409, Tucson, AZ 85734-4409
intp	+ Bruman Alvarez, 13800 McMullen Hwy SW, Cumberland, MD 21502-5622
cr	Business Centers, 12520D Olive Blvd, Creve Coeur, MO 63141-6683
cr	+ Capitol Eye Care, et al., 1705 Christy Drive, Jefferson City, MO 65101, UNITED STATES 65101-5195
intp	+ Cedric Bell, Cotton Corr. Fac., 3500 N. Elm Street, Jackson, MI 49201-8887

District/off: 0541-4

User: ADIuser

Page 2 of 8

Date Rcvd: Oct 30, 2025

Form ID: pdf002

Total Noticed: 212

cr + Chapman & Assoc. dba Chapman Law Group, c/o Howard Borin, Esq., 40950 Woodward Ave., Ste. 100, Bloomfield Hills, MI 48304-5124

cr + Charles Stewart Jamsen, 2145 Hackmore Drive, Ann Arbor, MI 48103-1405

cr + Charlie Stevens, 63 Pine Lake Rd, Thomasville, GA 31757-6407

intp + Chester Bird, Wyoming Medium Correctional Institution, 7076 Road 55F, Torrington, WY 82240-7771

cr + Christopher Brightly, 2438 E Broadway Boulevard, Tucson, AZ 85719-6008

cr Christopher D Harrell, WMCI #26939, 7076 Road 55F, Torrington, WY 82240-7771

cr + Clarence Dean as Personal Representative of the Es, c/o Barnes & Thornburg, LLP, Leah A. O'Farrell, 3340 Peachtree Rd NE, Suite 2900, Atlanta, GA 30326-1092

intp Copeland Stair, et al, 191 Peachtree St NE, Ste 3600, POB 56887, Atlanta, GA 30343-0887

cr + Dakota Gamble, ASPC Tuscon, Cimarron Unit, PO Box 24409, Tuscon, AZ 85734-4409

cr + Daniel Lee Wilmer, Tuscan Complex, Whetstone Unit, 10004 S Wilmont Rd, PO Box 24402 Tuscan, AZ 85734-4402

cr + Danny Hoskins, JCI, POB 534, Jessup, MD 20794-0534

cr + Darren Johnson, Kinross Correctional Facility, 4533 W. Industrial Park Drive, Kincheloe, MI 49788-1638

cr + Darren Pendleton, PO Box 24409, Tucson, AZ 85734-4409

cr + David Hall, 8092 Castle Rock Ct., Pasadena, MD 21122-6436

cr + David Rose, c/o Jodie E. Buchman, Esq., Silverman, Thompson, Slutkin & White, LLC, 400 East Pratt Street, Suite 900, Baltimore, MD 21202 UNITED STATES 21202-3127

intp + DeAndre Ballard, 2823071/437484, 30420 Revells Neck Rd, Westover, MD 21890-3368

intp + Demetrius McBride, Thumb Correctional Facility, 3225 John Conley Dr, Lapeer, MI 48446-2987

cr + Dimensions Health Corporation d/b/a University of, c/o Gallagher Evelius & Jones LLP, 218 N. Charles Street, Suite 400, Baltimore, MD 21201-4070

intp + Donald Rolle, 7076 Road 55F, Tarrington, WY 82240-7771

cr + Donna Marie Rohrs, 16593 Blodgett Rd., PO Box 68, Hersey, MI 49639-0068

cr + Edward Smith, Parnall Correctional Facility, 721 Cushing St. Apt 1, Southhead, IN 46616-1227

intp + Edward Smith #659715, 1780 E Parnall Rd, Jackson, MI 49201-7136

cr + Edward Stenberg, 124629, Thumb Correctional Facility, FB-48, 3225 Jon Conley Dr Lapear, MI 48446-2987

cr #+ Elliot R. Schneider, 1307 Butterfly Lane, Frederick, MD 21703-5970

intp + Erin Maurice Justice, E.C. Brooks Correctional Facility, 2500 South Sheridan Drive, Muskegon Heights, MI 49444-2600

cr + Estate of Austin Bouton, Conroy Baran, LLC, 1316 Saint Louis Avenue, 2nd FL, Kansas City, MO 64101-1353

intp + Estate of Leon Casiquito, Touchet Law Firm, 111 Tulane Drive Southeast, Albuquerque, NM 87106-1439

cr + Estate of Robert Johnson, Jr., 1219 Spruce Street, Philadelphia, PA 19107, UNITED STATES 19107-5607

res + Euril Nobles, Kinross Correctional Facility, 4533 W Industrial Park Dr, Kincheloe, MI 49788-1638

cr + Francisco A Lopez, A.S.P.C Tucson, Cimarron Unit, PO Box 24409, Tucson, AZ 85734-4409

intp + Frank Mosley, P.O Box 24409, Tucson, AZ 85734-4409

cr Frank Patterson, 7076 Road 55 F, Torrington, WY 82240-7771

cr + Gordon S Dittmer, Lakeland Correctional Facility, 141 First St, Coldwater, MI 49036-9687

cr + Harold Obiakor, c/o Hackney Odum & Dardas, 10850 E. Traverse Hwy., Suite 4440, Traverse City, MI 49684-1364

cr + Hector Garcia, Jr., 234 Buckhorn Dr, Las Cruces, NM 88005-3185

cr + Henry Snook, c/o Lane & Nach, P.C., 2001 East Campbell Avenue, Suite 103, Phoenix, AZ 85016 US 85016-5573

cr + Iatonda Phupatrick Taylor, #225263, Kinross Correctional Facility, 4533 W Industrial Pk Dr, Kincheloe, MI 49788-1638

cr + Ikemefuna Chukwurah, 2315424, MCI-J, POB 549, Jessup, MD 20794-0549

intp + Insider, Inc., One Liberty Plaza, 8th FL, New York, NY 10006-1431

cr + Iven Cornelius Cruse, 30420 Revells Neck Rd, Westover, MD 21890-3368

cr J Brother, Jr, 1300 Post Oak H 2000, Houston, TX 77056

intp + J. Thaddeus Eckenrode, Eckenrode-Maupin Law Firm, 11477 Olde Cabin Rd, Ste 110, St Louis, MO 63141-7128

cr + Jaime Herrera, PO Box 24409, Tuscon, AZ 85734-4409

cr + Jaime Ray Martinez, PO Box 24409, Tucson, AZ 85734-4409

cr + James Miller, c/o Kevin Young, 801 W 47th Street, Ste 107, Kansas City, MO 64112-1253

intp + Jamonte Jamar Fletcher, Roxbury Correctional Institution, 18701 Roxbury Road, DOC ID#00422651/SID 3086802, Hagerstown, MD 21746-1002

cr + Jason Robinson, c/o Lane & Nach, P.C., 2001 E. Campbell Ave., Ste. 103, Phoenix, AZ 85016-5573

cr Jeffrey Walter Bomber, W11901 Middle Beach, Naubinway, MI 49762

cr + Jennifer Mary Mailly, 532 W. Pantera Ave, Mesa, AZ 85210-8319

cr + Jennifer Power, c/o Lane & Nach, P.C., 2001 East Campbell Avenue, Suite 103, Phoenix, AZ 85016 US 85016-5573

cr + Jeremy Cochran, J.C.I., POB 534, Jessup, MD 20794-0534

cr + Jeremy Ryan Rodriguez, ASPC Tuscon, Cimarron Unit, PO Box 24409, Tucson, AZ 85734-4409

cr + Jim Williams, 2805 W 8 Mile Rd, Detroit, MI 48203-1071

crmcch Jim Williams, Jr, Central Michigan Correctional Facility, 320 N. Hubbard St, St Louis, MO 48880

cr + John Glassman, 917 N. 12th Avenue, Pensacola, FL 32501, UNITED STATES 32501-3339

cr + Jorge Hernandez, PO Box 24409, Tuscon, AZ 85734-4409

cr + Julian Tarver, POB 88600, Steilacoom, WA 98388-0647

cr + Keith D Kelly, Western Correctional Inst., 13800 McMullen Hwy, SW, Cumberland, MD 21502-5622

cr + Kenneth Hinton, #442872, 18800 Roxbury Road, Hagerstown, MD 21746-1001

District/off: 0541-4

User: ADIuser

Page 3 of 8

Date Rcvd: Oct 30, 2025

Form ID: pdf002

Total Noticed: 212

cr + Kenneth M. Vickers, III, Arizona State Prison Complex Tucson, Unit Cimarron, PO Box 24409, Tucson, AZ 85734-4409

cr + Kevin Gentle, ASPC Tucson, Cimarron Unit, PO Box 24409, Tucson, AZ 85734-4409

cr + Kevin Pich, Gay & Chacker, P.C., 1731 Spring Garden Street, Philadelphia, PA 19130, UNITED STATES 19130-3893

cr + Kevin Sorrick, Eastern Correctional Institution, 30420 Revells Neck Road, Westover, MD 21890-3368

cr + Kimberly Dorkins, 804 Sturgis Place, Pikesville, MD 21208-5842

cr + Kipton Prell, 255 W Highway 32, Licking, MO 65542-9076

cr + Kristine Williams, 1709 Southwest Skyline Dr, Blue Springs, MO 64015-4845

intp + Kurtzman Carson Consultants LLC, 222 N Pacific Coast Highway, 3rd Floor, El Segundo, CA 90245-5648

cr + Kyle Berry, Arizona State Prison Complex, Camarron, POB 24404, Tucson, AZ 85734-4404

intp + Kyle Matthew Harvey, PO box 24409, Tucson, AZ 85734-4409

cr + Laura Medley, POB 490, Mesa, AZ 85211-0490

intp + Lee Ridgley, ISCC E-3-41a, POB 70010, Boise, ID 83707-0110

cr + Leonard Thomas, #175876, Miami Correctional Facility, 3038 W 850 S, Bunker Hill, IN 46914-9810

cr + Linda Floyd, c/o Lane & Nach, P.C., 2001 East Campbell Avenue, Suite 103, Phoenix, AZ 85018 US 85016-5573

cr + Lone Star Alliance Inc., A Risk Retention Company,, c/o Stromberg Stock, PLLC, 8350 N Central Expy, Ste 1225, Dallas, TX 75206-1600

cr + Luis A. Ayo, Jr, ASPC Tucson, Cimarron Unit, PO Box 24409, Tucson, AZ 85734-4409

intp + Luke D. Schlaht, A.S.P.C. Tucson Cimarron Unit, POB 24409, Tucson, AZ 85734-4409

cr + Mack Mandrell Loyde, c/o Moseley & Moseley, Attorneys, 237 Castlewood Dr., Suite D, Murfreesboro, TN 37129-5166

cr + Marcus Gilyard, #530753, Crossroads Correctional Center, 1115 E Pence Rd, Cameron, MO 64429-8804

intp + Marcus Jones, H. U 5 B-130, NE Correctional Center, 13698 Airport Rd., Bowling Green, MO 63334-2733

intp + Marcus L Walker, Macomb Correctional Facility, 34625-26 Mile Rd, Lenox Township, MI 48048

cr + Mark Antonio Slyter, PO Box 24409, Tucson, AZ 85734-4409

cr + Mark Stewart, 203381, Arizona State Prison Complex - Elyman, South Unit, POB 3500 Florence, AZ 85132-3500

intp + Martin Rilingier, #1267395, 6-B-120, Crossroads Correctional Center, 1115 Pence Rd Cameron, MO 64429-8804

cr + Martin Stanshine, Stanshine Sigal, PC, 1528 Walnut Street, Ste 700, Philadelphia, PA 19102-3607

cr + Maryland General Hospital, Inc. d/b/a University o, c/o Gallagher Evelius & Jones LLP, 218 N. Charles Street, Suite 400, Baltimore, MD 21201-4070

cr + Maxim Healthcare Staffing Services, Inc., c/o Susan Mathews, Baker Donelson, 1301 McKinney St., Ste. 3700, Houston, TX 77010-3034

cr + Melvin Stuart, ASPC Tucson, Cimarron Unit, PO Box 24409, Tucson, AZ 85734-4409

cr + Michael A Peller, #76780, ASPC- Tucson Complex -Cimarron, PO Box 24407, Tucson, AZ 85734-4407

cr + Michael Chapman, Elmore Correctional Facility, 3520 Marion Spillway Rd., Elmore, AL 36025-1532

cr + Michael Rosales, c/o Lane & Nach, P.C., 2001 E. Campbell Ave., Ste. 103, Phoenix, AZ 85016-5573

cr + Michael Sean Perkins, Macomb Correctional Facility, 34625 26 Mile Rd, Lenox Township, MI 48048-3002

intp + Michael Tyrone Estelle, Bellamy Creek Correctional Fac, (IB), 1727 West Bluewater Hwy, Ionia, MI 48846-8647

intp #+ Michael Zimmerman, c/o Nach, Rodgers, Hilkert & Santilli, 2001 E. Campbell Ave., #103, Phoenix, AZ 85016, UNITED STATES 85016-5573

cr + Mitsubishi HC Capital America, Inc. f/k/a Hitachi, c/o Amish R. Doshi, Esq., Doshi Legal Group, PC, 1979 Marcus Avenue, Suite 210E, Lake Success, NY 11042-1076

intp + Moses Kirschke, 384285, Lakeland Corr. Facility, 141 First Street, Coldwater, MI 49036-9687

cr + Myron Jessie, S. Louis Correction Facility, 8585 N. Croswell Rd., St.Louis, MI 48880-9236

cr + Nathan Alvarez, c/o Lane & Nach, P.C., 2001 E. Campbell Ave., Ste. 103, Phoenix, AZ 85016-5573

intp + Neil Willey, 934948, Jesup Correctional Inst., POB 534, Jessup, MD 20794-0534

cr + Paris Morgan, 122 Wellesley Dr SE, Albuquerque, NM 87106, UNITED STATES 87106-1444

cr + Patrick C Lynn, 64377, EDCF, POB 311, El Dorado, KS 67042-0311

cr + Paul Lupe, c/o Hallinan & Killpack Law Firm, 5240 E Pima St, Tucson, AZ 85712, UNITED STATES OF AMERICA 85712-3630

cr + Phillip Buchanan, Algoa Correctional Center, 8501 No More Victim's Road, Jefferson City, MO 65101, UNITED STATES 65101-4567

intp + Phillips Parker Orberon and Arnett, 716 W Main Street, Suite 300, Louisville, KY 40202-2677

cr + RMSC Plaintiffs, c/o Walker & Patterson, P.C., Johnie Patterson, 4815, Houston, 77092

cr + Randolph DeLeon, c/o Lane & Nach, P.C., 2001 E. Campbell Ave., Ste. 103, Phoenix, AZ 85016-5573

cr + Renard McClain, 217-269 sid 1300894, 18701 Roxbury Rd, Hagerstown, MD 21746-1002

cr + Richard Felix, Jr, ASPC Tucson, Cimarron Unit, PO Box 24409, Tucson, AZ 85734-4409

intp + Richard Glover, LAW OFFICE OF KEITH ALTMAN, 33228 W. 12 Mile Road, Suite 375, Farmington Hills, MI 48334-3309

intp + Rick Storm, Idaho Dept of Corrections, S1C1, POB 8509, Boise, ID 83707-2509

cr + Rickey Joe Coleman, 25115 E. Phillips Dr., Aurora, CO 80016-7373

cr + Robert D Blaurock, EDCF, POB 311, El Dorado, KS 67042-0311

cr + Robert David Lacy, 10714 W. Braemar, Holly, MI 48442-8694

cr + Robert Gamez, Jr., Snell & Wilmer, One East Washington Street, Suite 2700, Phoenix, AZ 85004-0908

cr + Robert Gary Moore, W. C. I., 13800 McMullen HWY, SW, Cumberland, MD 21502-5622

cr + Robert L Dykes-Bay, Gus Harrison Correctional Facility, 2727 E Beecher St, Adrian, MT 49221-3506

cr + Robert Medrano, PO Box 24409, Tucson, AZ 85734-4409

cr + Robert Schaff, OnderLaw, LLC, 110 E Lockwood Ave, St. Louis, MO 63119-3058

cr + Roger Ervin, #361733, RCI, 18701 Roxbury Road, Hagerstown, MD 21746-1002

cr + Rosilyn Jindal, 920 Red Oak Court, Tecumseh, MI 49286-1070

District/off: 0541-4

User: ADIuser

Page 4 of 8

Date Rcvd: Oct 30, 2025

Form ID: pdf002

Total Noticed: 212

cr + Saint Alphonsus Health System, Inc., Mehaffy Weber P.C., c/o Blake Hamm, P.O. Box 16, Beaumont, TX 77704-0016

intp + Scott Woodbury, #203038, Kinross Correctional Facility, 4533 W. Industrial Park Drive, Kinecheloe, MI 49788-1638

cr + Sean Michael Ryan, #787263, TCF, 3225 John Conley Dr, Lapeer, MI 48446-2987

intp + Sean Rogers, WMCI, 7076 Road 55F, Torrington, WY 82240-7771

cr + Shaidon E Blake, LCC, 10930 Lawrence Rd, Sumner, IL 62466-4915

cr + Shanthi Gopal, c/o Hackney Odlum and Dardas, 10850 E. Traverse Hwy., Suite 4440, Traverse City, MI 49684-1364

cr + Shawn Reid, ASPC Tucson, POB 2440, 1000 So. Wimont Rd, Tucson, AZ 85711

cr + Shawn Reid Franklin, Arizona State Prison Complex Tucson, Cimeron Unit, Tucson, AZ 85734

intp + Shelton Wood, WCI 267922, 13800 McMullen Hwy, Cumberland, MD 21502-5622

cr + Shore Health System, Inc. d/b/a University of Mary, c/o Gallagher Evelius & Jones LLP, 218 N. Charles Street, Suite 400, Baltimore, MD 21201-4070

cr + Sidney Lumpkins, 4711 Liberty Heights Avenue, Baltimore, MD 21207-7155

intp + Southern Center for Human Rights, 60 Walton St NW, Atlanta, GA 30303-2149

intp + Stephen Floyd Ullrich, VDF, Bldg C/S-4-B, 451 Riverview Parkway, Santee, CA 92071-5829

intp + Stephen J. Howe, Whiteford, Taylor & Preston, LLP, Seven Saint Paul St, Suite 1500, Baltimore, MD 21202 UNITED STATES 21202-1588

cr + Stephen Nolan, 14100 McMullen Highway, Cumberland, MD 21502-5777

cr + Temujin Kensu, MDOC-189355, 34625 26 Mile Rd, Lewiston, MI 49756

cr + Timothy K Kunkel, Arizona State Prison Complex Tucson, Cimmoron Unit, POB 24409, Tucson, AZ 85734-4409

op + Tina Darling, Hancock, Daniel & Johnson, PC, 4701 Cox Rd., Suite 400, Glen Allen, VA 23060-6802

intp + Tina Glover, LAW OFFICE OF KEITH ALTMAN, LAW OFFICE OF KEITH ALTMAN, 33228 W. 12 Mile Road, Suite 375 Farmington Hills, MI 48334-3309

cr + Todd Jermaine Thomas, Apalachee Correctional Institution, 52 West Unit Drive, Sneads, FL 32460-4162

cr + Tommy R. Gutierrez, ASPC Tuscon, Cimarron, PO Box 24409, Tuscon, AZ 85734-4409

cr + Tonatihu Aguilar, PO Box 40611, TUCSON, AZ 85717, US 85717-0611

cr + Travis Rye, ASPC Tucson, Cimarron Unit, PO Box 24409, Tucson, AZ 85734-4409

cr + Tyreece Daviss, ASPC Tuscon, Cimarron Unit, PO Box 24409, Tucson, AZ 85734-4409

intp + Tyreese Moore #779951, 2500 S. Sheridan Rd, Muskegon, MI 49444-2665

cr + Tyrone-Anthony Bell, Lapeer Correctional Fac., 3225 John Conley, Lapeer, MI 48446-2987

cr + University of Maryland Medical Center, LLC, c/o Gallagher Evelius & Jones LLP, 218 N. Charles Street, Suite 400, Baltimore, MD 21201-4070

intp + Vicente R Ulate, A.S.P.C. Tuscon Cimarron Unit P.O. Box 2, Tucson, AZ 85702-0002

cr + Waheed Nelson, C/O P.O. Box 340261, Tampa, FL 33694

cr + Waylon Areia, Arizona Department of Corrections, ADC #249034, Tucson, AZ 85734

cr + Wayne Douglas Mirkley, #87699, Ohio State Department of Corrections, PO Box 14, Boise, ID 83707-0014

cr + Webster, Henry, Bradwell, Cohan, Speagle & DeShazo, P.O. Box 239, Montgomery, AL 36101-0239

cr + Wendy Jamros, Plunkett Cooney, 150 W. Jefferson, Suite 800, Detroit, MI 48226-4451

intp + William C Watson, #197565 3C-19-L, Arizona State Prison Complex, Tucson - Cimarron, PO Box 24407 Tucson, AZ 85734-4407

intp + Zemina Lakic, 947 W. Waltman Dr., Meridian, ID 83642-6149

TOTAL: 191

Notice by electronic transmission was sent to the following persons/entities by the Bankruptcy Noticing Center.

Electronic transmission includes sending notices via email (Email/text and Email/PDF), and electronic data interchange (EDI). Electronic transmission is in Eastern Standard Time.

Recip ID	Notice Type: Email Address	Date/Time	Recipient Name and Address
cr	+ Email/Text: ahochheiser@mauricewutscher.com	Oct 30 2025 19:56:00	ANV Global Services Inc. on behalf of Associated I, c/o Maurice Wutscher LLP, 23611 Chagrin Blvd. Suite 207, Beachwood, OH 44122-5540
cr	+ Email/Text: courtnotices@grablemartin.com	Oct 30 2025 19:56:00	Adree Edmo, c/o Mary Elizabeth Heard, 100 NE Loop 410, Suite 605, SAN ANTONIO, TX 78216-4742
cr	+ Email/Text: mhayward@haywardfirm.com	Oct 30 2025 19:57:00	CHS TX, Inc., c/o Melissa S. Hayward, Hayward PLLC, 10501 N. Central Expy., Ste. 106, Dallas, TX 75231-2203
cr	+ Email/Text: notices@crgfinancial.com	Oct 30 2025 19:57:00	CRG Financial LLC, 84 Herbert Avenue, Building B, Suite 202, Closter, NJ 07624, UNITED STATES 07624-1343
cr	^ MEBN	Oct 30 2025 19:55:31	Canon Financial Services, Inc., Fleischer, Fleischer & Suglia, Four Greentree Centre, 601 Route 73 North, Suite 305, Marlton, NJ 08053, UNITED STATES 08053-3475
cr	+ Email/Text: BNC-bkhouston@munsch.com	Oct 30 2025 19:57:00	Certain Officials or Employees of the State of Ida,

District/off: 0541-4

User: ADIuser

Page 5 of 8

Date Rcvd: Oct 30, 2025

Form ID: pdf002

Total Noticed: 212

			Munsch Hardt Kopf & Harr, PC, 700 Milam St., Suite 800, Houston, TX 77002-2835
cr	+ Email/Text: lemaster@slolp.com	Oct 30 2025 19:56:00	Dell Financial Services L.L.C., c/o Streusand Landon Ozburn & Lemmon, 1801 S. MoPac Expressway, Suite 320, Austin, TX 78746-9817
cr	Email/Text: fred.glass@fairharborcapital.com	Oct 30 2025 19:56:00	Fair Harbor Capital, LLC, PO Box 237037, New York, NY 10023, US
cr	Email/Text: nick.jakubowski@halo.com	Oct 30 2025 19:56:00	Halo Branded Solutions, Inc., 635 Butterfield Rd., Oakbrook Terrace, IL 60181
cr	+ Email/Text: arapoport@haincapital.com	Oct 30 2025 19:57:00	Hain Capital Group, LLC, 301 Route 17 North, Suite 816A, Rutherford, NJ 07070, UNITED STATES 07070-2575
cr	+ Email/Text: BNC-bkhouston@munsch.com	Oct 30 2025 19:57:00	Idaho Department of Corrections, Munsch Hardt Kopf & Harr PC, 700 Milam St., Suite 800, Houston, TX 77002-2835
cr	^ MEBN	Oct 30 2025 19:56:55	Julian Tarver, POB 88600, Steilacoom, WA 98388-0647
op	+ Email/Text: kecnoticing@kccllc.com	Oct 30 2025 19:57:00	Kurtzman Carson Consultants, LLC dba Verita Global, 222 N. Pacific Coast Highway, Suite 300, El Segundo, CA 90245-5614
cr	+ Email/Text: notifications@cstrial.com	Oct 30 2025 19:57:00	Lisa Lee Alice Brown, CAIN & SKARNULIS PLLC, 303 Colorado Street, Suite 2850, Austin, TX 78701, US 78701-0137
cr	+ Email/Text: mail@moseleylawfirm.com	Oct 30 2025 19:57:00	Mack Mandrell Loyde, c/o Moseley & Moseley, Attorneys, 237 Castlewood Dr., Suite D, Murfreesboro, TN 37129-5166
cr	+ Email/Text: schristianson@buchalter.com	Oct 30 2025 19:56:00	Oracle America, Inc., Buchalter PC, c/o Shawn M. Christianson, 425 Market St., Suite 2900, San Francisco, Ca 94105-2491
intp	Email/Text: jaosorno@publicjustice.net	Oct 30 2025 19:57:00	Public Justice, 1620 L St NW, Suite 630, Washington, DC 20036
cr	+ Email/Text: BKBNCNotices@ftb.ca.gov	Oct 30 2025 19:57:00	State of California, Bankruptcy Section MS A340, Franchise Tax Board, PO Box 2952, Sacramento, CA 95812-2952
cr	+ Email/Text: BNC-bkhouston@munsch.com	Oct 30 2025 19:57:00	State of Idaho, Munsch Hardt Kopf & Harr PC, 700 Milam St., Suite 800, Houston, TX 77002-2835
cr	+ Email/Text: AGBankRevenue@ag.tn.gov	Oct 30 2025 19:56:00	TN Dept of Revenue, c/o TN Attorney General's Office, Bankruptcy Division, P.O. Box 20207, Nashville, TN 37202-4015
intp	^ MEBN	Oct 30 2025 19:56:39	W. D. Merkley, ISCI, Med. Anx. -7, POB 14, Boise, ID 83707-0014
pla	^ MEBN	Oct 30 2025 19:56:41	Wayne D Merkly, ISCI- Med. Anx-7, P.O. Box 14, Boise, ID 83707-0014
cr	+ Email/Text: mhayward@haywardfirm.com	Oct 30 2025 19:57:00	YesCare Corp., c/o Melissa S. Hayward, Hayward PLLC, 10501 N. Central Expy., Ste. 106, Dallas, TX 75231-2203

TOTAL: 23

BYPASSED RECIPIENTS

The following addresses were not sent this bankruptcy notice due to an undeliverable address, *duplicate of an address listed above, *P duplicate of a preferred address, or ## out of date forwarding orders with USPS.

Recip ID	Bypass Reason	Name and Address
aty		Gray Reed
tr		Matthew J Dundon

District/off: 0541-4

User: ADIuser

Page 6 of 8

Date Rcvd: Oct 30, 2025

Form ID: pdf002

Total Noticed: 212

tr	Tehum GUC Liquidating Trust
intp	ACLU National Prison Project
intp	Abdul Jahed, M.D.
cr	Adam Baker
intp	Aisha Pope
cr	Alfred Vela
cr	Alton Brown
cr	Andrew Lyles
fa	Ankura Consulting Group, LLC
cr	Anthony Sabala
cr	Antoinette Windhurst
cr	Antonio Reali
cr	Bay Hospital, Inc. d/b/a Gulf Coast Regional Medic
intp	Beu Beach
cr	Bradford Capital Management, LLC
cr	Brenda Walker
intp	Brian Salazar
intp	Bryan Farmer
intp	Cameron Tietz
cr	Capital Region Medical Center
cr	Cassandra Oliver
intp	Center for Constitutional Rights
cr	Centric Ambulatory Surgery Center, LLC
intp	Centurion of Maryland, LLC
intp	Charles Eccles, Arizonia State Prison
cr	Charles Jones
cr	Chelsea Gilliam
cr	Chloe Grey
cr	Christopher Gilyard
cr	City of Philadelphia
cr	Clarence Dean
cr	Class of Idaho Department of Corrections Inmates
intp	Claudia Howard, M.D.
cr	Co-Counsel for Tort Claimants' Committee
intp	Committee of Tort Claimants
cr	Consilium Staffing, LLC
3pd	Coverys Speciality Insurance Company
intp	Dakin Campbell, Insider
cr	Darrell Barrows
cr	Darren Robert Pedersen
cr	David Wichterman, Jr.
cr	Deon Glenn
cr	Derico Thompson
cr	Dustin Hefley
intp	Earl D Christine
cr	Eileen McNamara
cr	Elizabeth Frederick
intp	Ernest Uzicanin, M.D.
cr	Estate of Daniel Wichterman
intp	Estate of Darryl Terrell Becton, c/o Monique Shenn
cr	Estate of Jonathan Gleaves, Jr.
cr	Eugenio Mathis
intp	FTI Capital Advisors, LLC
cr	Former Corizon Employees
intp	Gabriel Morgan
intp	Geneva Consulting LLC
cr	Ginger Headley
cr	Gregory Abraham
cr	HCA Health Services of Florida, Inc. d/b/a St. Luc
intp	Hammel J. Clark-El
cr	Highwoods Realty Limited Partnership
intp	Human Rights Defense Center
cr	James Hyman

District/off: 0541-4

User: ADIuser

Page 7 of 8

Date Rcvd: Oct 30, 2025

Form ID: pdf002

Total Noticed: 212

cr	Jemme J. Jenkins, individually, and representative
cr	Joseph Alexander Clark
cr	Joshua Buskirk
cr	Junho Park
intp	Keith Darnell Kelly
cr	Kennedy Holland
cr	Kerrie Milkiewicz
cr	Kimberly Briggs
cr	Kohchise Jackson, US
cr	Lawnwood Medical Center, Inc. d/b/a Lawnwood Regio
intp	Lexington Insurance Company
cr	Logicalis, Inc.
intp	M2 LoanCo, LLC
cr	MMS Minnesota Supply, Inc.
cr	Machelle Pearson
cr	Maria Sheldon
cr	Marion Community Hospital, Inc. d/b/a Ocala Region
cr	Mark Coffelt
cr	Matthew Crain
cr	Memorial Healthcare Group, Inc. d/b/a Memorial Hos
intp	National Union Fire Insurance Company Of Pittsburg
cr	New York State Nurses Association Pension Fund
intp	Nicole Einbinder, Insider
cr	Non-Party Flacks Group, LLC, Non-Party Flacks Group, LLC
cr	North Florida Regional Medical Center, Inc. d/b/a
crem	Official Unsecured Creditors' Committee
cr	OnPoint Capital, LLC
intp	Party Estate of Leon Casiquito
cr	Paul Al-Amin
intp	Phillip Wayne Berryman
intp	Public Justice
cr	Rachell Garwood
cr	Rebecca Smith
cr	Reuben Cortes, et al.
intp	Richie Majors
cr	Ricky Scott
intp	Rights Behind Bars
intp	Rilwan Akinola
cr	Robert Anthony Villa
cr	Robert Lee Guinard
intp	Roderick & Solange MacArthur Justice Center
cr	Sabrie Alexander
cr	Sanjeev Ravipudi
intp	Sara Tirschwell
intp	Sean Jenkins
cr	Serina Rides
cr	Shawn Steele
intp	Sherwin Shelton
cr	Sigma Risk Management, LLC
cr	St. Luke's Health System, Ltd.
cr	St. Luke's Regional Medical Center, Ltd.
cr	State of Missouri
intp	TR Capital Management LLC, US
cr	Tallahassee Medical Center, Inc. d/b/a Capital Reg
intp	Terence Anderson
cr	The Curators of the University of Missouri
cr	The Putative Class in Pearson v. Washington 2:19-c
cr	The Putative Class in Smith v Washington 2:19-cv-1
intp	Thomas Keeker
pla	Thurman R Watson
cr	Tiffany Smith
cr	Timothy Hawkins
intp	Tort Claimants' Committee

District/off: 0541-4

User: ADIuser

Page 8 of 8

Date Rcvd: Oct 30, 2025

Form ID: pdf002

Total Noticed: 212

cr		Tracey Grissom
cr		Tracey L. Skinner
intp		UC Berkeley Center for Consumer Law & Economic Jus
cr		Victoria Hallett
cr		Vinson Griffin
cr		White & Case LLP
cr		William Kelly
cr		William T Walker
intp		Worth Rises
intp		Worth Rises
cr	##+	Aleatha Denise Reitsma-Mathias, 7806 Founders Circle, Naples, FL 34104-5315
cr	##+	Leona Miotke, 20804 Butteville Rd NE, Aurora, OR 97002-8746
intp	##+	Sefika Lakic, 990 Clithero Dr, Boise, ID 83703-5704

TOTAL: 138 Undeliverable, 0 Duplicate, 3 Out of date forwarding address

NOTICE CERTIFICATION

I, Gustava Winters, declare under the penalty of perjury that I have sent the attached document to the above listed entities in the manner shown, and prepared the Certificate of Notice and that it is true and correct to the best of my information and belief.

Meeting of Creditor Notices only (Official Form 309): Pursuant to Fed .R. Bank. P.2002(a)(1), a notice containing the complete Social Security Number (SSN) of the debtor(s) was furnished to all parties listed. This official court copy contains the redacted SSN as required by the bankruptcy rules and the Judiciary's privacy policies.

Date: Nov 01, 2025

Signature: /s/Gustava Winters