Case 23-90086 Document 1555 Filed in TXSB on 05/13/2/ Page 1 of 3 Docket #1555 Date Filed: 05/13/2024

FOR THE SOU	TATES BANKRUPTCY COURT DITHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS DUSTON DIVISION United States Courts Southern District of Texas
IATONDA PHUPATRIK TAYLOR,	DUSTON DIVISION United States Courts FILED MAY
Claimant-Creditor,	MAY 1 3 2024 MAY 1 3 2024 Nathan Ochsner, Clerk of Courts
۷.	HON. CHRISTOPHER LOPEZ
TEHUM SERVICES, INC.,	) CHAPTER 11
Debtor-Respondent.	) ORAL ARGUMENT REQUESTED ) Attached Motion
<pre>&gt;&gt;In Pro Se (Self) Mr. Iatonda P. Taylor no. 225263 Kinross Correctional Facility 4533 W. Industrial Pk. Dr. Kinchelce, MI 49788</pre>	GRAY REED LAW FIRM Attn: Attorney Amber M. Carson 1300 Post Oak, Blyd. Suite #2000 Houston, TX 77056
Jason S. Brookner 1601 Elm Street Suite #4600 Dallas, TX 75201	Tehum Care Services, Inc. Claims Processing Center c/o KCC 222 H. Pacific Coast Hwy. Suite #300 El Segundo, CA 90245

×.,

# CLAIMANT'S MOTION FOR EMERGENCY INJUNCTIVE/RESTRAINING ORDER UPON DEBTOR'S CONTRACTUAL-HOLDING MI. DEPT. OF CORR. & KINROSS CORR. FAC. MAILROOM(S) TO ALLOW FOR UNINTERRUPTED PASSAGE OF LEGAL MAILINGS FOR SAMEDAY RECEIVINGS

The Claimant, Iatonda Phupatrik Taylor, in pro se (self), moves by requests, pursuant to Fed. Rules of Civ. Proc. (FRCP) 65 et al.; 28 U.S.C.S. § 1334 et al., for the following reasons:

1. On April 11, 2024 Hon. Lopez issued an order denying the Official Comittee's motion for structual dismissl. ("RE: Docket No. 1260")

2. Like all mailings regarding the pending case, the Claimant did not receive a copy of the order until approximately 15 days later, on 4/25/2024 by U.S. Mail.



1

### Case 23-90086 Document 1555 Filed in TXSB on 05/13/24 Page 2 of 3

3. The injunctive order is needed because Michigan Department of Corrections and KCF "holds" all of Claimant's "incoming legal mail"; "15 days" under Policy Directive (PD) 05.03.116, 118, and Operating Procedure (OP) 05.03.118: "Expedited Prisoner Legal Mail and Court Filing", of which on it face is contrary to U.S. Courts responsive time to respond under several FRCPs, thus violates due process.

4. Accordingly, since the Claimant is at-all-times at a disadvantage in the case against the Debtor's late mailings, or not mailed at all, but also, the deliberate disadvantages has been compounded by the MDOC and KCF unconstitutional holdings of incoming and outgoing legal mailings. Therefore, being that the Debtor was the healthcare contractors, an injunctive should be granted in order to avoid the appearance of the MDOC and KCF siding with the Debtor through legal mailings.

2

For the constitutional reasons, the Claimant PRAYS that Honorable Christopher Lopez GRANT the requests for an injunctive order, or conduct any measures He deem necessary.

Submitted /Ro /s/ atrik Taylor n-Pro Se (Self)

May 12, 2024

### PROOF OF MAILING

The Claimant did mail one true an exact copy of the Motion(s) and Brief(s) to the Debtor and its Processing Office.

I.

### VERIFICATION

I, <u>MNAM</u> <u>MULTICE</u> declare under the penalties of perjury that the Motion(s) and Brief(s) has been examined by me and that its contents are true to the best of my information, knowledge, and belief.

Case 23-90086 Document 1555-1 Filed in TXSB on 05/13/24 Page 1 of 1

### UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS HOUSTON DIVISION

#### IATONDA PHUPATRIK TAYLOR,

Claimant-Creditor,

.

Case No: 23-90086

HON. CHRISTOPHER LOPEZ

TEHUM SERVICES, INC.,

CHAPTER 11

Debtor-Respondent.

### BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF

# CLAIMANT'S MOTION FOR EMERGENCY INJUNCTIVE/RESTRAINING ORDER UPON DEBTOR'S CONTRACTUAL-HOLDING MI. DEPT. OF CORR. & KINROSS CORR. FAC. MAILROOM(S) TO ALLOW FOR UNINTERRUPTED PASSAGE OF LEGAL MAILINGS FOR SAMEDAY RECEIVINGS

#### Legal Reason

The court may issue a preliminary injunction only on notice to the adverse party. Before or after beginning the hearing on a motion for preliminary injunction, the court may advance the trial on the merits. FRCP 65(a)(1) and (2).

NOW COMES the Claimant, Iatonda Phupatrik Taylor, here to under: "[T]he principle that unimpeded transmission of inmate legal mail is the 'most obvious and formal manifestation' of the right of access to the courts,...has been clearly established for some time now". Simkins, v. Bruse, 406 F.3d 1239, 1243 (10th Cir. 2005)

#### **RELIEF REQUESTED**

1

WHEREFORE those reasons in the Motion(s) and Brief(s), the Claimant PRAYS

that Honorable Christopher Lopez issue a necessary ORDER,

Respectully submit /s/ onda Phupatrik Taylor >In Pro Se

May 17, 2024