



Order Filed on January 14, 2016
by Clerk
U.S. Bankruptcy Court
District of New Jersey

GOLDMAN, DAVIS & GUTFLEISH, P.C.

Three University Plaza
Suite 410
Hackensack, New Jersey 07601
(201) 488-2600
Harry M. Gutfleish

PATTERSON BELKNAP WEBB & TYLER LLP

1133 Avenue of the Americas
New York, New York 10036-6710
(212) 336-2000
Daniel A. Lowenthal (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Brian P. Guiney (admitted *pro hac vice*)

Co-Counsel for the Tarragon Creditor Entity

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY	
In re:	
TARRAGON CORPORATION, et al.,	
	Debtors.

Chapter 11
Case No. 09-10555 (MBK)
Jointly Administered


**Return Date: January 11, 2016
10:00 a.m.**

**ORDER EXTENDING THE DEADLINE FOR THE
TARRAGON CREDITOR ENTITY TO OBJECT TO ALL CLAIMS**

The relief set forth on the following page, numbered two (2), is hereby

ORDERED.

DATED: January 14, 2016


Honorable Michael B. Kaplan
United States Bankruptcy Judge



(Page 2)

In re: Tarragon Corporation, et al.

Case No. 09-10555 (MBK)

Caption: ORDER EXTENDING THE DEADLINE FOR THE TARRAGON CREDITOR ENTITY TO OBJECT TO ALL CLAIMS

This matter having been opened to the Court upon the motion filed by the Tarragon Creditor Entity (the “TCE”) formed pursuant to the confirmed Second Amended and Restated Joint Plan of Reorganization Under Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code dated May 11, 2010, through its co-counsel, Goldman, Davis & Gutfleish, P.C. and Patterson Belknap Webb & Tyler LLP, for entry of an Order extending the deadline to object to Claims (the “Motion”); and good and sufficient notice of the Motion having been provided, as evidenced by the affidavit/certification of service filed with the Court; and the Court having considered the application filed in support of the Motion (the “Application”), opposition thereto, if any, and the arguments of counsel, if any; and good cause appearing for the entry of this Order;

IT IS ORDERED that:

1. The Motion is granted.
2. The Objection Deadline (as defined in the Application) shall be and hereby is extended through and including June 1, 2016.
3. The relief set forth herein is without prejudice to the TCE’s right to seek further extensions of the Objection Deadline if circumstances warrant such relief.
4. The Court shall retain jurisdiction to hear and determine all matters arising from implementation of this Order.