



**FORMAN HOLT ELIADES  
& YOUNGMAN LLC**  
80 Route 4 East, Suite 290  
Paramus, New Jersey 07652  
(201) 845-1000  
Harry M. Gutfleish (HMG-6483)

**PATTERSON BELKNAP WEBB & TYLER LLP**  
1133 Avenue of the Americas  
New York, New York 10036-6710  
(212) 336-2000  
Daniel A. Lowenthal (admitted *pro hac vice*)  
Brian P. Guiney (admitted *pro hac vice*)

*Co-Counsel for the Tarragon Creditor Entity*

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY	
In re:	
TARRAGON CORPORATION, et al.,	
	Debtors.

Chapter 11  
Case No. 09-10555 (DHS)  
Jointly Administered

**Return Date: November 25, 2014  
10:00 a.m.**

**ORDER EXTENDING THE DEADLINE FOR THE  
TARRAGON CREDITOR ENTITY TO OBJECT TO ALL CLAIMS**

The relief set forth on the following page, numbered two (2), is hereby

**ORDERED.**

**DATED: 11/25/2014**

Honorable Donald H. Steckroth  
United States Bankruptcy Judge



(Page 2)

**In re:** Tarragon Corporation, et al.

**Case No.** 09-10555 (DHS)

**Caption:** ORDER EXTENDING THE DEADLINE FOR THE TARRAGON CREDITOR ENTITY TO OBJECT TO ALL CLAIMS

---

This matter having been opened to the Court upon the motion filed by the Tarragon Creditor Entity (the “TCE”) formed pursuant to the confirmed Second Amended and Restated Joint Plan of Reorganization Under Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code dated May 11, 2010, through its co-counsel, Forman Holt Eliades & Youngman LLC and Patterson Belknap Webb & Tyler LLP, for entry of an Order extending the deadline to object to Claims (the “Motion”); and good and sufficient notice of the Motion having been provided, as evidenced by the affidavit/certification of service filed with the Court; and the Court having considered the application filed in support of the Motion (the “Application”), opposition thereto, if any, and the arguments of counsel, if any; and good cause appearing for the entry of this Order;

**IT IS ORDERED** that:

1. The Motion is granted.
2. The Objection Deadline (as defined in the Application) shall be and hereby is extended through and including April 1, 2015.
3. The relief set forth herein is without prejudice to the TCE’s right to seek further extensions of the Objection Deadline if circumstances warrant such relief.
4. The Court shall retain jurisdiction to hear and determine all matters arising from implementation of this Order.