Fill in this information to identify the case:				
Debtor	Sequential Brands Group, Inc.			
United States Ba	ankruptcy Court for the:	District of Delaware (State)		
Case number	21-11194	<u> </u>		

## Official Form 410

Proof of Claim 04/19

Read the instructions before filling out this form. This form is for making a claim for payment in a bankruptcy case. Do not use this form to make a request for payment of an administrative expense. Make such a request according to 11 U.S.C. § 503.

**Filers must leave out or redact** information that is entitled to privacy on this form or on any attached documents. Attach redacted copies or any documents that support the claim, such as promissory notes, purchase orders, invoices, itemized statements of running accounts, contracts, judgments, mortgages, and security agreements. **Do not send original documents;** they may be destroyed after scanning. If the documents are not available, explain in an attachment.

A person who files a fraudulent claim could be fined up to \$500,000, imprisoned for up to 5 years, or both. 18 U.S.C. §§ 152, 157, and 3571.

Fill in all the information about the claim as of the date the case was filed. That date is on the notice of bankruptcy (Form 309) that you received.

Pa	rt 1: Identify the Clair	n	
1.	Who is the current creditor?	BEN ARIE  Name of the current creditor (the person or entity to be paid for this claim)  Other names the creditor used with the debtor	
2.	Has this claim been acquired from someone else?	✓ No  Yes. From whom?	
3.	Where should notices and payments to the creditor be sent?  Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure (FRBP) 2002(g)	Where should notices to the creditor be sent?  BEN ARIE Michael J. Kapin, Esq. KAPIN PLLC 1133 Broadway Suite 1001 New York, NY 10010, United States  Contact phone Contact email  Uniform claim identifier for electronic payments in chapter 13 (if you use contact page 13).	Where should payments to the creditor be sent? (if different)  Contact phone Contact email
	Does this claim amend one already filed?  Do you know if anyone else has filed a proof of claim for this claim?	<ul> <li>✓ No</li> <li>✓ Yes. Claim number on court claims registry (if known)</li> <li>✓ No</li> <li>✓ Yes. Who made the earlier filing?</li> </ul>	Filed on

Official Form 410 Proof of Claim

6.	Do you have any number you use to identify the debtor?	✓ No  Yes. Last 4 digits of the debtor's account or any number you use to identify the debtor:
7.	How much is the claim?	\$ 5,000,000.00  Does this amount include interest or other charges?  No  Yes. Attach statement itemizing interest, fees, expenses, or other
3.	What is the basis of the claim?	charges required by Bankruptcy Rule 3001(c)(2)(A).  Examples: Goods sold, money loaned, lease, services performed, personal injury or wrongful death, or credit card. Attach redacted copies of any documents supporting the claim required by Bankruptcy Rule 3001(c).  Limit disclosing information that is entitled to privacy, such as health care information.  Personal Injury / Products Liability -Complaint Attached
9.	Is all or part of the claim secured?	Yes. The claim is secured by a lien on property.  Nature or property:  Real estate: If the claim is secured by the debtor's principle residence, file a Mortgage Proof of Claim Attachment (Official Form 410-A) with this Proof of Claim.  Motor vehicle Other. Describe:  Basis for perfection:  Attach redacted copies of documents, if any, that show evidence of perfection of a security interest (for example, a mortgage, lien, certificate of title, financing statement, or other document that shows the lien has been filed or recorded.)
		Value of property:  Amount of the claim that is secured:  Amount of the claim that is unsecured:  \$  (The sum of the secured and unsecured amount should match the amount in line 7  Amount necessary to cure any default as of the date of the petition:  \$

<b>☑</b> No		
Yes. Identify the propert	/:	

Yes. Amount necessary to cure any default as of the date of the petition.

Official Form 410

10. Is this claim based on a

11. Is this claim subject to a right of setoff?

lease?

12. Is all or part of the claim	<b>№</b> No		
entitled to priority under 11 U.S.C. § 507(a)?		k all that apply:	Amount entitled to priority
A claim may be partly priority and partly	— ☐ Dome	stic support obligations (including alimony and child support) under S.C. § 507(a)(1)(A) or (a)(1)(B).	
nonpriority. For example, in some categories, the law limits the amount	☐ Up to	\$3,025* of deposits toward purchase, lease, or rental of property vices for personal, family, or household use. 11 U.S.C. § 507(a)(7).	\$
entitled to priority.			\$
	days I	s, salaries, or commissions (up to \$13,650*) earned within 180 pefore the bankruptcy petition is filed or the debtor's business ends, ever is earlier. 11 U.S.C. § 507(a)(4).	\$
	Taxes	or penalties owed to governmental units. 11 U.S.C. § 507(a)(8).	\$
	Contri	butions to an employee benefit plan. 11 U.S.C. § 507(a)(5).	\$
	☐ Other	. Specify subsection of 11 U.S.C. § 507(a)() that applies.	\$
	* Amounts	are subject to adjustment on 4/01/22 and every 3 years after that for cases begun	on or after the date of adjustment.
13. Is all or part of the claim pursuant to 11 U.S.C.	<b>☑</b> No		
§ 503(b)(9)?	days befor	ate the amount of your claim arising from the value of any goods receive the date of commencement of the above case, in which the goods by course of such Debtor's business. Attach documentation supporting	have been sold to the Debtor in
	\$		
Part 3: Sign Below			
The person completing	Check the appropri	riate box:	
this proof of claim must sign and date it.	I am the cred	itor.	
FRBP 9011(b).	I am the cred	itor's attorney or authorized agent.	
If you file this claim electronically, FRBP	I am the trust	ee, or the debtor, or their authorized agent. Bankruptcy Rule 3004.	
5005(a)(2) authorizes courts to establish local rules specifying what a signature	I am a guara	ntor, surety, endorser, or other codebtor. Bankruptcy Rule 3005.	
is.  A person who files a		an authorized signature on this <i>Proof of Claim</i> serves as an acknowled claim, the creditor gave the debtor credit for any payments received to	
fraudulent claim could be fined up to \$500,000,	I have examined the information in this <i>Proof of Claim</i> and have reasonable belief that the information is true and correct.		
imprisoned for up to 5 years, or both.	I declare under pe	nalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.	
18 U.Ś.C. §§ 152, 157, and 3571.	Executed on date	11/29/2021 MM / DD / YYYY	
	<u>/s/Michael J</u> Signature	<u>Kapin</u>	
	Print the name of	the person who is completing and signing this claim:	
	Name	Michael J Kapin First name Middle name Last r	name
	Title	Attorney at Law	
	Company	KAPIN PLLC Identify the corporate servicer as the company if the authorized agent is a servicer	:
	Address		
	Contact phone	Email	



Official Form 410 Proof of Claim

# KCC ePOC Electronic Claim Filing Summary

For phone assistance: Domestic (866) 556-7696 | International 001-310-823-9000

Debtor:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
21-11194 - Sequential Brands Group, Inc.			
District:			
District of Delaware			
Creditor:	Has Supporting Doc	umentation:	
BEN ARIE			
Michael J. Kapin, Esq.	Yes, supporting documentation successfully uploaded Related Document Statement:		
KAPIN PLLC	Related Document C	rtatement.	
1133 Broadway Suite 1001	Has Related Claim:		
·	No		
New York, NY, 10010	<b>Related Claim Filed</b>	Ву:	
United States			
Phone:	Filing Party:		
2125130500	Authorized ag	gent	
Phone 2:			
Fax:			
8665755019			
Email:			
mikekapin@gmail.com			
Other Names Used with Debtor:	Amends Claim:		
	No		
	Acquired Claim:		
	No		
Basis of Claim:	Last 4 Digits:	Uniform Claim Identifier:	
Personal Injury / Products Liability -Complaint Attached	No		
Total Amount of Claim:	Includes Interest or	Charges:	
5,000,000.00	No		
Has Priority Claim:	Priority Under:		
No			
Has Secured Claim:	Nature of Secured Amount:		
No	Value of Property:		
Amount of 503(b)(9):	Annual Interest Rate:		
No	Arrogrago Amount:		
Based on Lease:	Arrearage Amount:		
No Basis for Perfection:		:	
Subject to Right of Setoff:	Amount Unsecured:		
No			
Submitted By:			
Michael J Kapin on 29-Nov-2021 5:09:24 p.m. Eastern Tim	пе		
Title:			
Attorney at Law			
Company:			

KAPIN PLLC

,	our claim can be filed electronica	Illy on KCC's website at https://epoc.kcc	llc.net/SQBG.
	ID: 25705577	PIN: FRJBMIIG	
	United States Bank	ruptcy Court for the District of Delaware	
Indicate Debtor aga	inst which you assert a claim by che	cking the appropriate box below. (Check o	nly one Debtor per claim form.)
X Sequential Brands Group, Inc. (Case	No. 21-11194)   Brand Matter,	LLC (Case No. 21-11199)	☐ Joe's Holdings, LLC (Case No. 21-11205)
SQBG, Inc. (Case No. 21-11195)	☐ SBG FM, LLC	C (Case No. 21-11200)	Gaiam Brand Holdco, LLC (Case No. 21-11206
Sequential Licensing, Inc. (Case No.	21-11196)   Galaxy Brand	ls, LLC (Case No. 21-11201)	☐ Gaiam Americas, Inc. (Case No. 21-11207)
☐ William Rast Licensing, LLC (Case N	o. 21-11197)	Marketing Company, Inc. (Case No. 21-11202)	☐ SBG-Gaiam Holdings, LLC (Case No. 21-1120
Heeling Sports Limited (Case No. 21	-11198)   American Spo	orting Goods Corp. (Case No. 21-11203)	☐ SBG Universe Brands, LLC (Case No. 21-1120
	☐ LNT Brands,	LLC (Case No. 21-11204)	☐ GBT Promotions LLC (Case No. 21-11210)
The Debtor has listed your claim		Schedule F (E/F Part 2) as a General U	nsecured claim. If you believe that you have a
Sec:-!-! = 140	, (		
Official Form 410			
Proof of Claim			04/19
Read the instructions before filling	a out this form. This form is f	or making a claim for nayment in a	bankruptcy case. Other than a claim under
1 U.S.C. § 503(b)(9), this form sh	ould not be used to make a cl	aim for an administrative expense	arising after the commencement of the case
locuments that support the claim, s	uch as promissory notes, purch:	ase orders, invoices, itemized stateme	cuments. Attach redacted copies or any ents of running accounts, contracts, judgments, anning. If the documents are not available,
person who files a fraudulent clai	n could be fined up to \$500,000	, imprisoned for up to 5 years, or both	. 18 U.S.C. §§ 152, 157, and 3571.
ill in all the information about the	claim as of the date the case	was filed.	
Port 4: Islambificable Claims			
Part 1: Identify the Claim			NameID: 1502009
1. Who is the current Ben	Arie		
creditor?	of the current creditor (the person or	entity to be paid for this claim)	
Other	names the creditor used with the deb	tor	
2. Has this claim been acquired from	No		
someone else?	Yes. From whom?		
3. Where should Whe	re should notices to the credito	or be sent? Where sho	ould payments to the creditor be sent? (if
	Arie	different)	,
payments to the	and I Vania Tan		

	creditor?	Name of the current creditor (the person or entity to be paid for this of Other names the creditor used with the debtor	claim)		
2.	Has this claim been acquired from someone else?	No Yes. From whom?			
3.	Where should notices and payments to the creditor be sent? Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure (FRBP) 2002(g)	Where should notices to the creditor be sent? Ben Arie Michael J. Kapin, Esq. Kapin PLLC	Where should payments to the creditor be sent? (if different)		
		1133 Broadway Suite 10001- Suite 1001 New York, NY 10010	Name Number Street		
a site contribute of synthesis			City State ZIP Code		
		Address	Country		
		Contact phone	Contact phone		
		Contact email	Contact email		
- Company of the Comp		Uniform claim identifier for electronic payments in chapter 13 (if you			
4.	Does this claim amend one already filed?	No Yes. Claim number on court claims registry (if know	n) Filed on MM / DD / YYYY		
5.	Do you know if anyone else has filed a proof of claim for this claim?	No Yes. Who made the earlier filling?			

Official Form 410



12. Is all or part of the claim entitled to priority under 11 U.S.C. § 507(a)?	X No		
	L Yes.	Check all that apply.	Amount entitled to priority
A claim may be partly priority and partly nonpriority. For example,		Domestic support obligations (including alimony and child support) under 11 U.S.C. § 507(a)(1)(A) or (a)(1)(B).	\$
in some categories, the law limits the amount entitled to priority.		Up to \$3,025* of deposits toward purchase, lease, or rental of property or services for personal, family, or household use. 11 U.S.C. § 507(a)(7).	\$
	{	Wages, salaries, or commissions (up to \$13,650*) earned within 180 days before the bankruptcy petition is filed or the debtor's business ends, whichever is earlier. 11 U.S.C. § 507(a)(4).	\$
		Taxes or penalties owed to governmental units. 11 U.S.C. § 507(a)(8).	\$
		Contributions to an employee benefit plan. 11 U.S.C. § 507(a)(5).	\$
		Other. Specify subsection of 11 U.S.C. § 507(a)() that applies.	\$
		nounts are subject to adjustment on 4/01/22 and every 3 years after that for cases begun	on or after the date of adjustment.
13. Is all or part of the claim	<b>X</b> No		
pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 503(b)(9)?	days	Indicate the amount of your claim arising from the value of any goods reces before the date of commencement of the above case, in which the goods ordinary course of such Debtor's business. Attach documentation supportin	have been sold to the Debtor in
	\$		×.
	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~		
Part 3: Sign Below			
The person completing	Check the a	ppropriate box:	
this proof of claim must sign and date it.	☐ I am the creditor.		
FRBP 9011(b).			
If you file this claim electronically, FRBP	Second Collins	e creditor's attorney or authorized agent.	
5005(a)(2) authorizes courts to establish local rules	generates.	e trustee, or the debtor, or their authorized agent. Bankruptcy Rule 3004. guarantor, surety, endorser, or other codebtor. Bankruptcy Rule 3005.	
specifying what a signature is.			
A person who files a	the amount	that an authorized signature on this <i>Proof of Claim</i> serves as an acknowledge of the claim, the creditor gave the debtor credit for any payments received tow	pement that when calculating vard the debt.
fraudulent claim could be fined up to \$500,000,	I have exam	ined the information in this Proof of Claim and have reasonable belief that the	e information is true and correct.
imprisoned for up to 5 years, or both.	I declare und	der penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.	
18 U.S.C. §§ 152, 157, and 3571.	Executed on	date 11/29/2021	***
	Signature	I'm I'm	
		me of the person who is completing and signing this claim:	
	i init tito na		
	Name	Michael J. Kapin	
		First name Last n. Attorney At Law	ame
	Title	Tittofficy Tit Law	
	Company	KAPIN PLLC	
	www.iquatiy	Identify the corporate servicer as the company if the authorized agent is a servicer.	
	Address	1133 Broadway, Suite 1001	
	- verse series	Number Street New York, New York 10010	USA
		City State ZIP Code	e Country
	Contact phone	212-513-0500 Email mik	kekapin@gmail.com

Mr. Ben Arie's personal injury claim is supported by his medical records which have been omitted for purposes of filing this claim, but can be provided by contacting his counsel:

KAPIN PLLC

1133 Broadway, Suite 1001 New York, NY 10010 mikekapin@gmail.com

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 706856/2021

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 03/24/2021

#### To the above named Defendant:

You are hereby summoned to answer the complaint in this action, and to serve a copy of your answer, or, if the complaint is not served with this summons, to serve a notice of appearance on the Plaintiff's attorneys within twenty days after the service of this summons, exclusive of the day of service, where service is made by delivery upon you personally within the state, or, within 30 days after completion or service where service is made in any other manner. In case of your failure to appear or answer, judgment will be taken against you by default for the relief demanded in the complaint.

Dated: March 24, 2021

New York, New York

Yours, etc.,

KAPIN PLLC

Attorneys for Plaintiff

MICHAEL J. KAPIN, ESQ. 1133 Broadway, Suite 1001

New York, NY 10010

(212) 513-0500

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 706856/2021

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 03/24/2021

SUPREME COURT OF THE STA COUNTY OF QUEENS			
BEN ARIE,		:	Index No.
	Plaintiff,	:	
- against -		:	COMPLAINT
SEQUENTIAL BRANDS GROU	P, INC.,	:	
	Defendant.	:	
		X	

Plaintiff Ben Arie ("Plaintiff" or "Arie"), by and through his attorneys, KAPIN PLLC, as and for his Complaint alleges upon knowledge as to himself and his own actions and upon information and belief as to all other matters alleged below as follows:

#### **BACKGROUND**

- 1. Plaintiff resides in the County of Queens, State of New York.
- 2. That at all times hereinafter mentioned the Defendant SEQUENTIAL BRANDS GROUP, INC. ("Sequential" or "Defendant") is and was a domestic business corporation licensed in the State of Delaware with a principal place of business at 1407 Broadway, 38th Floor, New York, New York 10018.
- 3. Upon information and belief, Sequential, since its formation, has been engaged in and has conducted business in New York State.
- 4. Upon information and belief and at all times relevant to this action, Sequential transacted business and/or sold, marketed, supplied and distributed fitness and weightlifting equipment including but not limited to rubber resistance bands within the State of New York.
- 5. Upon information and belief, Sequential sold, marketed, and supplied exercise equipment using the brand name 'SPRI'.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 706856/2021

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 03/24/2021

6. Upon information and belief and at all times relevant to this action, Sequential manufactured, marketed, supplied, distributed and/or sold exercise equipment on a regular basis.

- 7. Upon information and belief and at all times relevant to this action, Sequential either directly or through a subsidiary and/or related entity engineered, designed, manufactured, sold, marketed and/or distributed exercise equipment including but not limited to rubber resistance bands hereinafter "resistance bands").
- 8. Upon information and belief and at all times relevant to this action, Defendant either directly or through a subsidiary and/or related entity engineered, designed, manufactured, sold, marketed and/or distributed the resistance band involved in the accident described below (hereinafter "the product").
- 9. Upon information and belief and at all times relevant to this action, Sequential contracted to design, inspect, test, market, manufacture, build, ship, sell, distribute and/or supply exercise equipment including but not limited to resistance bands and/or provided related services and/or provided customer support to customers and end users within the State of New York.
- 10. Upon information and belief and at all times relevant to this action, Sequential engaged in a regular course of business which involved the design, manufacturing, building, shipping, selling, distribution and/or supplying of exercise equipment including but not limited to resistance bands sold to purchasers within the State of New York.
- 11. Upon information and belief and at all times relevant to this action, Sequential regularly did and/or solicited business in the State of New York and otherwise engaged in a persistent course of conduct and/or derived substantial revenue from marketing, distributing and/or selling exercise equipment including but not limited to resistance bands in interstate commerce and/or within the State of New York.

COUNTY CLERK 03/24/2021 NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 706856/2021

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 03/24/2021

Sequential committed a tortious act within the State of New York which 12. proximately caused the accident described below and caused Plaintiff's injuries as described below.

At all times relevant to this action, Sequential expected or should have reasonably 13. expected its tortious actions as more fully set forth below to have consequences within the State of New York.

#### THE ACCIDENT

- On or about January 31, 2018, Plaintiff was exercising with Defendant's band when 14. the product suddenly and unexpectedly failed, and struck him in the eyes and face (hereafter "the accident").
- In the moments before the accident, Plaintiff was using the product in reasonable, 15. prudent, careful, appropriate and foreseeable manner and for the purpose for which it was designed, engineered, tested, marketed, manufactured, built, assembled, shipped, sold, distributed, and/or intended.
- As a result of the accident, Plaintiff suffered serious, grave, disabling and 16. permanent injuries including but not limited to eye damage which has required surgery, permanent vision problems, headaches, nausea and a slew of related injuries.
- Plaintiff's claims herein fall within one or more of the exceptions set forth in Article 17. 16 of the CPLR.

## AS AND FOR A FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION (Strict Product Liability - Design Defect)

Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges all prior paragraphs above as though fully set forth 18. herein at length.

COUNTY CLERK 03/24/2021

NYSCEF DOC. NO.

INDEX NO. 706856/2021

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 03/24/2021

At all times relevant to this action, the product was dangerous, defective, did not 19. function as intended, was not reasonably safe and was otherwise unfit for its intended purpose and/or for use as a resistance band.

- At the times relevant to this action, Defendant designed, engineered, tested, 20. inspected, marketed, manufactured, built, assembled, shipped, sold and/or distributed the product.
  - The product was defectively designed. 21.
- At the times relevant to this action, Defendant designed, engineered, tested, 22. inspected, marketed, manufactured, built, assembled, shipped, sold and/or distributed the product, Defendant knew or in the exercise of reasonable care should have known of the product's potential for causing injury.
- At the times relevant to this action, Defendant designed, engineered, tested, inspected, marketed, manufactured, built, assembled, shipped, sold and/or distributed the product, Defendant knew or in the exercise of reasonable care should have known that the product should not have been designed, marketed, manufactured, built, shipped, sold, distributed in that condition.
- At the times relevant to this action, Defendant designed, engineered, tested, 24. inspected, marketed, manufactured, built, assembled, shipped, sold and/or distributed the product, Defendant knew or in the exercise of reasonable care should have known that there were feasible, cost effective and safer alternative designs.
- At all times relevant to this action, the risks, shortcomings, limitations and safety 25. issues inherent in the design of the product significantly outweighed the benefits of such design.
- At the time the product was designed, engineered, tested, inspected, marketed, 26. manufactured, built, assembled, shipped, sold and/or distributed, safer alternative designs existed that would have prevented the accident and/or significantly reduced and/or eliminated the

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 706856/2021

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 03/24/2021

product's risks, limitations, shortcomings and safety issues without substantially impairing the product's utility and intended function as a resistance band. Safer alternative designs were also economically and technologically feasible at the time the product left the control of Defendant.

- 27. The defective design of the product was a proximate cause of the accident without any comparative negligence on the part of Plaintiff contributing thereto.
- 28. Defendant is therefore liable to Plaintiff under the doctrine of strict product liability due to the defective design of the product.
- 29. As a result of the foregoing and as a result of the accident, Plaintiff suffered serious, grave, disabling and permanent injuries including but not limited to severe eye injuries and permanent vision damage.
- 30. As a result of the accident, as a result of the defective design of the product and as a result of the negligence, recklessness and carelessness of Defendant, Plaintiff suffered and will continue to suffer damages in an amount which exceeds the jurisdictional limitations of all courts lower than New York State Supreme Court.

# AS AND FOR A SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION (Strict Product Liability - Manufacturing Defect)

- 31. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges all prior paragraphs above as though fully set forth herein at length.
- 32. At all times relevant to this action, the product was dangerous, defective, did not function as intended, was not reasonably safe and was otherwise unfit for use as a resistance band.
- 33. Due to a manufacturing defect, the product was not reasonably safe when it left the care, custody and control of Defendant.

COUNTY CLERK 03/24/2021

NYSCEF DOC. NO.

INDEX NO. 706856/2021

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 03/24/2021

The product was defectively manufactured by Defendant and as a result, the product 34. was not reasonably safe when it left the care, custody and control of Defendant.

- At the time Defendant manufactured, built, assembled, supplied, shipped, sold 35. and/or distributed the product, the product was defective and/or the product did not and/or would not perform as intended.
- At the time Defendant manufactured, built, assembled, supplied, shipped, sold 36. and/or distributed the product, Defendant knew or in the exercise of reasonable care should have known of the product's potential for failing and/or causing injury.
- At the time Defendant manufactured, built, assembled, supplied, shipped, sold 37. and/or distributed the product, Defendant knew or in the exercise of reasonable care should have known that the product should not have been manufactured, built, assembled, supplied, shipped, sold and/or distributed in that condition and/or knew or in the exercise of reasonable care should have known that the product did not and/or would not perform as intended.
- The product's manufacturing defects was/were a proximate cause of the accident 38. without any comparative negligence on the part of Plaintiff contributing thereto.
- Defendant is therefore liable to Plaintiff under the doctrine of strict product liability 39. due to manufacturing defects.
- As a result of the foregoing, and as a result of the accident, Plaintiff suffered serious, 40. grave, disabling and permanent injuries including but not limited to eye injuries and permanent vision problems.
- As a result of the accident, as a result of manufacturing defects in the product and 41. as a result of the negligence, recklessness and carelessness of Defendant, Plaintiff suffered and

COUNTY CLERK 03/24/2021

NYSCEF DOC. NO.

INDEX NO. 706856/2021

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 03/24/2021

will continue to suffer damages in an amount which exceeds the jurisdictional limitations of all courts lower than New York State Supreme Court.

## AS AND FOR A THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION (Strict Product Liability - Failure to Warn)

- Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges all prior paragraphs above as though fully set forth 42. herein at length.
- At all times relevant to this action, the product was dangerous, defective, did not 43. function as intended, was not reasonably safe and was otherwise unfit for use as a resistance band
- The product did not have, did not contain, did not come with and/or did not bear 44. adequate and necessary warnings, labeling, and/or instructions with respect to usage, assembly, installation, known and/or reasonably foreseeable limitations, safety problems, design problems, defects, shortcomings, failure modes and/or risks.
- At all times relevant to this action, Defendant had a continuing duty to warn 45. customers, the public and/or end users of resistance bands and/or warn purchasers and/or end users of the product (including but not limited to Plaintiff) of the known and/or reasonably foreseeable risks, limitations, shortcomings, failure modes, defects, dangers and hazards associated with the design, manufacturing and use of the product.
- Defendant knew or in the exercise of reasonable care should have known that the 46. product would be used without testing and/or inspection for design and/or manufacturing defects and/or knew or in the exercise of reasonable care should have known that end users (including but not limited to Plaintiff) would not ordinarily discover the product's design defects, manufacturing defects, risks, limitations, safety problems, design problems, shortcomings, failure models, dangers, hazards and potential for causing injury.

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 03/24/2021

The absence of adequate and/or any warnings, labeling and/or instructions 47. regarding assembly, use and/or installation of the product, the product's design defects. manufacturing defects, reasonably foreseeable risks, limitations, safety problems, design problems, shortcomings, failure modes, dangers, hazards and/or potential for causing injury was a proximate cause of the accident without any comparative negligence on the part of Plaintiff contributing thereto.

- Defendant is therefore liable to Plaintiff under the doctrine of strict product liability 48. for failure to warn.
- As a result of the foregoing and as a result of the accident, Plaintiff suffered serious, 49. grave, disabling and permanent injuries including but not limited to eye injuries and permanent vision damage.
- As a result of the accident, as a result of Defendant's failure to warn as described 50. above and as a result of the negligence, recklessness and carelessness of Defendant, Plaintiff suffered and will continue to suffer damages in an amount which exceeds the jurisdictional limitations of all courts lower than New York State Supreme Court.

## AS AND FOR A FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION (Strict Product Liability - Breach of Continuing Duty to Warn)

- Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges all prior paragraphs above as though fully set forth 51. herein at length.
- At all times relevant to this action, the product was dangerous, defective, did not 52. function as intended, was not reasonably safe and was otherwise unfit for use as a resistance band.
- At all times relevant herein and subsequent to the date of manufacture and sale  $\phi f$ 53. the product, Defendant knew or in the exercise of reasonable care should have known that the product was likely to be used by consumers and end users as a resistance band.

COUNTY CLERK 03/24/2021

NYSCEF DOC. NO.

INDEX NO. 706856/2021

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 03/24/2021

Defendant failed to discharge and/or failed to timely discharge its continuing duty 54. to warn, publish, inform and/or report known, reasonably foreseeable, discovered and/or reported problems, risks, safety hazards, design defects, manufacturing defects, shortcomings, failure modes and limitations concerning resistance bands and/or the product.

- Defendant's failure to discharge its continuing duty to warn as described above was 55. a proximate cause of the accident without any comparative negligence on the part of Plaintiff contributing thereto.
- Defendant is therefore liable to Plaintiff under the theory of strict product liability 56. for breach of a continuing duty to warn.
- As a result of the foregoing and as a result of the accident, Plaintiff suffered serious, 57. grave, disabling and permanent injuries including but not limited to eye injuries and permanent vision damage.
- As a result of the accident, as a result of Defendant's failure to discharge its continuing duty to warn and as a result of the negligence, recklessness and carelessness of Defendant, Plaintiff suffered and will continue to suffer damages in an amount which exceeds the jurisdictional limitations of all courts lower than New York State Supreme Court,

## AS AND FOR A FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION (Breach of Express Warranty and/or Implied Warranties of Merchantability and Fitness for Particular Purpose)

- Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges all prior paragraphs above as though fully set forth 59. herein at length.
- At the time of the accident, the product was defective, was not of merchantable 60. quality and was not safe and suitable for the particular use for which it was intended, designed,

INDEX NO. 706856/2021

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 03/24/2021

engineered, tested, inspected, marketed, manufactured, built, assembled, shipped, sold and/or distributed by Defendant - namely a resistance band.

- At all times relevant to this action, Defendant expressly and/or impliedly warranted 61. and/or represented to the general public and Plaintiff that the product was of merchantable quality and was reasonably safe and suitable for the particular use for which it was intended, designed, engineered, tested, inspected, marketed, manufactured, built, assembled, shipped, sold and/or distributed by Defendant - namely a resistance band.
- Plaintiff justifiably relied upon the skill, representations, judgment and reputation 62. of Defendant when he used the product prior to and at the time of the accident.
- Prior to and at the time of the accident, Plaintiff was not, in the exercise of 63. reasonable care, able to discover Defendant's breach of said warranties and was not able to realize and/or appreciate the product's design defects, manufacturing defects, risks, limitations, safety problems, design problems, shortcomings, failure modes, dangers, hazards and potential for causing injury.
- The defective design, defective manufacture and inadequate warnings, labeling and 64. instructions as alleged above constituted a breach of an express warranty and/or breach of an implied warranty of merchantability and/or breach of an implied warranty of fitness for a particular purpose by Defendant in connection with the design, marketing, manufacturing, assembly, shipping, sale and distribution of the product.
- Defendant's breach of an express warranty, breach of the implied warranty of 65. merchantability and/or breach of an implied warranty of fitness for a particular purpose were a proximate cause of the accident without any comparative negligence on the part of Plaintiff contributing thereto.

COUNTY CLERK 03/24/2021

NYSCEF DOC. NO.

INDEX NO. 706856/2021 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 03/24/2021

Defendant is therefore liable to Plaintiff as a result thereof. 66.

As a result of the foregoing and as a result of the accident, Plaintiff suffered serious, 67. grave, disabling and permanent injuries including but not limited to eye injuries and permanent vision damage.

As a result of the accident, as a result of Defendant's Breach of Express Warranty and/or 68. Implied Warranties of Merchantability and Fitness for Particular Purpose and as a result of the negligence, recklessness and carelessness of Defendant, Plaintiff suffered and will continue to suffer damages in an amount which exceeds the jurisdictional limitations of all courts lower than New York State Supreme Court.

## AS AND FOR A SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION (Negligence)

- Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges all prior paragraphs above as though fully set forth 69. herein at length.
- At all times relevant to this action, the product was dangerous, defective, did not 70. function as intended, was not reasonably safe and was otherwise unfit for use as a resistance band
- Defendant failed to use reasonable care in the design, labeling, engineering, 71. inspection, testing, marketing, manufacture, building, assembly, shipping, sale and/or distribution of the product.
- Defendant failed to ensure that the product was and/or continued to be reasonably 72. safe and suitable for its intended and/or foreseeable purpose namely a resistance band.
- Defendant was careless, negligent, grossly negligent and/or reckless in the design, 73. engineering, labeling, inspection, testing, marketing, manufacture, building, assembly, shipping, sale and/or distribution of the product.

COUNTY CLERK 03/24/2021

NYSCEF DOC. NO.

INDEX NO. 706856/2021 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 03/24/2021

Defendant failed to take reasonable precautions in the design, labeling, engineering, 74. inspection, testing, marketing, manufacture, building, shipping, sale and/or distribution of the product to prevent and/or reduce the possibility of accidents and end user injuries when using the product as intended.

- Defendant failed to utilize appropriate materials and/or components in the design, 75. labeling, engineering, inspection, testing, marketing, manufacture, assembly, building, shipping, sale and/or distribution of the product.
- Defendant negligently designed, negligently manufactured and/or negligently 76. labeled and/or negligently failed to label the product.
- Defendant utilized insufficient, inadequate, unsafe, inappropriate and/or defective 77. materials and/or component parts in the design, labeling, manufacture, fabrication, production and assembly of the product.
- Defendant failed to advise and/or warn Plaintiff and other end users of the product 78. of the known and/or reasonably foreseeable defects, shortcomings, failure modes, risks, safety hazards, limitations and potential for causing injury.
- Defendant failed to conduct and/or failed to timely conduct adequate tests. 79. inspections, investigations and reviews to ensure that the product and similar resistance bands were reasonably safe for their intended and reasonably foreseeable use and/or to discover the defects in the product and similar resistance bands and/or, upon such testing, inspection and investigation, negligently failed to report, warn, advise and instruct end users of the product including but not limited to Plaintiff with respect to such testing results and otherwise failed to take appropriate remedial and/or post sale actions to prevent and/or reduce the possibility of accidents and end user injuries when using the product as intended.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 1

INDEX NO. 706856/2021

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 03/24/2021

80. As a result of the foregoing and as a result of the accident, Plaintiff suffered serious, grave, disabling and permanent injuries including but not limited to eye injuries and permanent vision damage.

81. As a result of the accident and as a result of the negligence, recklessness and carelessness of Defendant, Plaintiff suffered and will continue to suffer damages in an amount which exceeds the jurisdictional limitations of all courts lower than New York State Supreme Court.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Ben Arie demands judgment against the Defendant Sequential Brand Groups, Inc. in the amount in excess of this Court's jurisdictional limits, together with interest, as well as costs and disbursements.

Dated: March 24, 2021

New York, New York

Yours, etc.,

KAPIN PLLC

Attorneys for Plaintiff

MICHAEL J. KAPIN, FSQ. 1133 Broadway, Suite 1001

New York, NY 10010

(212) 513-0500

FILED: QUEENS COUNTY CLERK 05/12/2021 09:51 PM

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 3

INDEX NO. 706856/2021

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/12/2021

Answering Defendant, SEQUENTIAL BRANDS GROUP, INC., by their attorneys, Rebar Kelly, as and for its Verified Answer to Plaintiff's March 24, 2021 Verified Complaint, respectfully states and alleges as follows:

#### **AS TO BACKGROUND**

- 1. Answering Defendant denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of each and every allegation contained in the instant paragraph of Plaintiff's Verified Complaint.
- 2. Answering Defendant denies the truth of each and every allegation contained in the instant paragraph of Plaintiff's Verified Complaint, except admits that SEQURNTIAL BRANDS GROUP, INC., was and is a foreign cooptation incorporated in the State of Delaware with offices at 1407 Broadway, 38th Floor, New York, New York 10018.
- 3. Answering Defendant denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of each and every allegation contained in the instant paragraph of Plaintiff's Verified Complaint, and respectfully refers all questions of law to this Honorable Court.
  - 4. Deny.

## FILED: QUEENS COUNTY CLERK 05/12/2021 09:51 PM

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 3

INDEX NO. 706856/2021

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/12/2021

- 5. Deny.
- 6. Deny.
- 7. Deny.
- 8. Deny.
- 9. Deny.
- 10. Deny.
- 11. Deny.
- 12. Deny.
- 13. Deny.

#### AS TO THE ACCIDENT

- 14. Answering Defendant denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of each and every allegation contained in the instant paragraph of Plaintiff's Verified Complaint.
- 15. Answering Defendant denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of each and every allegation contained in the instant paragraph of Plaintiff's Verified Complaint.
- 16. Answering Defendant denies knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of each and every allegation contained in the instant paragraph of Plaintiff's Verified Complaint
- 17. Answering Defendant denies the truth of each and every allegation contained in the instant paragraph of Plaintiff's Verified Complaint, and respectfully refers all questions of law to this Honorable Court.

## **ANSWERING THE FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION**

COUNTY CLERK

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 3

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/12/2021

INDEX NO. 706856/2021

18. Answering Defendant repeats and reiterates each and every answer to paragraphs

"1" through "17" of Plaintiff's Complaint, as set forth above, as if fully set forth herein.

- 19. Deny.
- 20. Deny.
- 21. Deny.
- 22. Deny.
- 23. Deny.
- 24. Deny.
- 25. Deny.
- 26. Denied to the extent that the allegations contained in paragraph 26 of Plaintiff's Verified Complaint are directed at Answering Defendant, and respectfully refers all questions of law to this Honorable Court.
- 27. Denied to the extent that the allegations contained in paragraph 27 of Plaintiff's Verified Complaint are directed at Answering Defendant, and respectfully refers all questions of law to this Honorable Court.
- 28. Denied to the extent that the allegations contained in paragraph 28 of Plaintiff's Verified Complaint are directed at Answering Defendant, and respectfully refers all questions of law to this Honorable Court.
- Denied to the extent that the allegations contained in paragraph 29 of Plaintiff's 29. Verified Complaint are directed at Answering Defendant, and respectfully refers all questions of law to this Honorable Court.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 3

INDEX NO. 706856/2021 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/12/2021

30. Denied to the extent that the allegations contained in paragraph 30 of Plaintiff's

Verified Complaint are directed at Answering Defendant, and respectfully refers all questions of

law to this Honorable Court.

**ANSWERING THE SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION** 

31. Answering Defendant repeats and reiterates each and every answer to paragraphs

"1" through "30" of Plaintiff's Complaint, as set forth above, as if fully set forth herein.

- 32. Deny.
- 33. Deny.
- 34. Deny.
- 35. Deny.
- 36. Deny.
- 37. Deny.

38. Denied to the extent that the allegations contained in paragraph 38 of Plaintiff's

Verified Complaint are directed at Answering Defendant, and respectfully refers all questions of

law to this Honorable Court.

39. Denied to the extent that the allegations contained in paragraph 39 of Plaintiff's

Verified Complaint are directed at Answering Defendant, and respectfully refers all questions of

law to this Honorable Court.

40. Denied to the extent that the allegations contained in paragraph 40 of Plaintiff's

Verified Complaint are directed at Answering Defendant.

41. Denied to the extent that the allegations contained in paragraph 41 of Plaintiff's

Verified Complaint are directed at Answering Defendant, and respectfully refers all questions of

law to this Honorable Court.

4

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/12/2021

INDEX NO. 706856/2021

**ANSWERING THE THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION** 

42. Answering Defendant repeats and reiterates each and every answer to paragraphs

"1" through "42" of Plaintiff's Complaint, as set forth above, as if fully set forth herein.

43. Deny.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 3

44. Deny.

45. Deny.

46. Deny.

47. Denied to the extent that the allegations contained in paragraph 47 of Plaintiff's

Verified Complaint are directed at Answering Defendant, and respectfully refers all questions of

law to this Honorable Court.

48. Answering Defendant denies the truth of each and every allegation contained in the

instant paragraph of Plaintiff's Verified Complaint and respectfully refers all questions of law to

this Honorable Court.

49. Denied to the extent that the allegations contained in paragraph 49 of Plaintiff's

Verified Complaint are directed at Answering Defendant.

50. Answering Defendant denies the truth of each and every allegation contained in the

instant paragraph of Plaintiff's Verified Complaint and respectfully refers all questions of law to

this Honorable Court.

ANSWERING THE FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

51. Answering Defendant repeats and reiterates each and every answer to paragraphs

"1" through "50" of Plaintiff's Complaint, as set forth above, as if fully set forth herein.

52. Deny.

53. Deny.

5

5 of 16

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/12/2021

INDEX NO. 706856/2021

54. Deny.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 3

55. Answering Defendant denies the truth of each and every allegation contained in the

instant paragraph of Plaintiff's Verified Complaint, and respectfully refers all questions of law to

this Honorable Court.

56. Answering Defendant denies the truth of each and every allegation contained in the

instant paragraph of Plaintiff's Verified Complaint, and respectfully refers all questions of law to

this Honorable Court.

57. Denied to the extent that the allegations contained in paragraph 57 of Plaintiff's

Verified Complaint are directed at Answering Defendant.

58. Answering Defendant denies the truth of each and every allegation contained in the

instant paragraph of Plaintiff's Verified Complaint, and respectfully refers all questions of law to

this Honorable Court.

**ANSWERING THE FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION** 

59. Answering Defendant repeats and reiterates each and every answer to paragraphs

"1" through "58" of Plaintiff's Complaint, as set forth above, as if fully set forth herein.

60. Deny.

Answering Defendant denies the truth of each and every allegation contained in the 61.

instant paragraph of Plaintiff's Verified Complaint, and respectfully refers all questions of law to

this Honorable Court.

62. Answering Defendant denies the truth of each and every allegation contained in the

instant paragraph of Plaintiff's Verified Complaint, and respectfully refers all questions of law to

this Honorable Court.

6

6 of 16

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/12/2021

INDEX NO. 706856/2021

63. Answering Defendant denies the truth of each and every allegation contained in the

instant paragraph of Plaintiff's Verified Complaint, and respectfully refers all questions of law to

this Honorable Court.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 3

64. Answering Defendant denies the truth of each and every allegation contained in the

instant paragraph of Plaintiff's Verified Complaint, and respectfully refers all questions of law to

this Honorable Court.

65. Answering Defendant denies the truth of each and every allegation contained in the

instant paragraph of Plaintiff's Verified Complaint, and respectfully refers all questions of law to

this Honorable Court.

66. Deny.

67. Denied to the extent that the allegations contained in paragraph 38 of Plaintiff's

Verified Complaint are directed at Answering Defendant.

68. Answering Defendant denies the truth of each and every allegation contained in the

instant paragraph of Plaintiff's Verified Complaint, and respectfully refers all questions of law to

this Honorable Court.

**ANSWERING THE SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION** 

69. Answering Defendant repeats and reiterates each and every answer to paragraphs

"1" through "68" of Plaintiff's Complaint, as set forth above, as if fully set forth herein.

70. Deny.

71. Deny.

72. Deny.

7

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/12/2021

INDEX NO. 706856/2021

73. Answering Defendant denies the truth of each and every allegation contained in the instant paragraph of Plaintiff's Verified Complaint, and respectfully refers all questions of law to this Honorable Court.

- 74. Deny.
- 75. Deny.
- 76. Deny.
- 77. Deny.
- 78. Deny.
- 79. Deny.
- 80. Denied to the extent that the allegations contained in paragraph 80 of Plaintiff's Verified Complaint are directed at Answering Defendant.
- 81. Answering Defendant denies the truth of each and every allegation contained in the instant paragraph of Plaintiff's Verified Complaint, and respectfully refers all questions of law to this Honorable Court.

#### AS AND FOR A FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

82. The Complaint, in whole or part, fails to state a claim or cause of action against the Answering Defendant upon which relief can be granted.

#### AS AND FOR A SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

83. Applicable statutes of limitations, statutes of repose, and the doctrine of laches bar Plaintiff's claims in whole or in part.

#### <u>AS AND FOR A THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE</u>

84. The doctrine of spoliation and the failure to properly preserve evidence necessary to the determination of the alleged claims bar claims against this Answering Defendant.

INDEX NO. 706856/2021

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/12/2021

AS AND FOR A FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

If Plaintiff sustained the injuries alleged in the Complaint, such injuries were the 85.

proximate result of Plaintiff's misuse or abuse of the product at issue herein.

AS AND FOR A FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

86. Plaintiff knowingly and intentionally assumed any and all risks inherent in the

use of the product at issue herein, which is a complete bar to any recovery.

AS AND FOR A SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

87. That upon information and belief, the methods, standards, and techniques of

designing, manufacturing, selling, and distributing the product at issue herein was determined

by and applied in conformity with the generally recognized state of the art existing at the time

that the product was designed, manufactured, sold, and distributed.

AS AND FOR AN SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

88. Any alleged negligent or culpable conduct of this Answering Defendant, none being

admitted, was so insubstantial as to be insufficient to be a proximate or substantial contributing

cause of Plaintiff's alleged injuries.

<u>AS AND FOR A EIGTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE</u>

89. If Plaintiff's sustained any damages or injuries, which this Answering Defendant

denies, then such damages and injuries were caused or contributed to by the acts, omissions or

fault of Plaintiff's, including contributory negligence, contributory fault, comparative fault,

assumption of the risk, or failure to mitigate damages.

<u>AS AND FOR A NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE</u>

9

9 of 16

INDEX NO. 706856/2021

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/12/2021

90. Plaintiff's claims are barred, in whole or in part, as upon information and belief the product at issue was designed, manufactured, marketed and labeled with proper warnings, information, cautions and instructions, in accordance with the state of the art and the state of scientific and technological knowledge.

#### AS AND FOR A TENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

91. Upon information and belief the product at issue herein was not unreasonably dangerous, it was suitable for the purposes for which it was intended, and it was sold and distributed with adequate warnings and/or instructions.

### <u>AS AND FOR AN ELEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE</u>

92. Plaintiff's claims are barred, in whole or in part, because Plaintiff's injuries, if any, were the result of conduct of Plaintiffs, independent third parties, and/or events that were extraordinary under the circumstances, not foreseeable in the normal course of events, and/or independent, intervening and superseding causes of the alleged injuries, including but not limited to Plaintiff's pre-existing medical conditions.

#### AS AND FOR A TWELFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

93. If Plaintiff suffered injuries or damages as alleged, which is denied, such injuries or damages resulted from acts or omissions of persons or entities for which the Answering Defendant is neither liable nor responsible or resulted from causes that are not related or connected with any product sold, distributed, or manufactured by this Answering Defendant. Such acts or omissions on the part of other persons or entities constitute an independent, intervening and sole proximate cause of Plaintiff's alleged injury or damages.

#### AS AND FOR A THIRTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

INDEX NO. 706856/2021

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/12/2021

94. Answering Defendant states that if Plaintiff sustained any damages or injuries, which is specifically denied, such damages or injuries were caused by the acts, omissions or fault of Plaintiff or others, for whose conduct this Answering Defendant is not responsible; accordingly, it is entitled to an assessment of the relative degree of fault for all such persons and entities.

#### AS AND FOR A FOURTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

95. Plaintiff's Complaint must be dismissed because Plaintiff failed to name as defendants indispensable parties without whom this action should not proceed.

#### AS AND FOR A FIFTEENH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

96. Answering Defendant has no legal relationship or privity with Plaintiff and owes no duty to Plaintiff by which liability could be attributed to them.

#### <u>AS AND FOR A SIXTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE</u>

97. Answering Defendant made no warranties of any kind, express or implied, or any representations of any nature whatsoever to Plaintiff. If any such warranties were made, whether express or implied, which Answering Defendant specifically denies, then Plaintiff failed to give notice of any breach thereof. Moreover, Answering Defendant effectively and fully disclaimed any warranty, express or implied, in the sale of any product for which they are responsible in this matter.

#### AS AND FOR A SEVENTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

98. Upon information and belief, Answering Defendant is not strictly liable to Plaintiffs because the product at issue herein was not defective when it was manufactured or at any other relevant time.

#### AS AND FOR A EIGHTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

INDEX NO. 706856/2021
RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/12/2021

99. Plaintiff's medical condition or injuries, if any, were not caused by the negligence or wrongful acts or omissions of Answering Defendant.

#### AS AND FOR A NINTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

100. Answering Defendant hereby invokes the provisions of Article 16 of the CPLR and requests that the jury be charged accordingly.

#### **AS AND FOR A TWENTY AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

101. Answering Defendant hereby invokes the provisions of CPLR § 4545(c) and requests that a damage award in Plaintiffs' favor, if any, be reduced accordingly.

## AS AND FOR A TWENTY-FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

102. Answering Defendant hereby invokes the provisions of Article 50-B of the CPLR.

## AS AND FOR A TWENTY-SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

103. Any judgment, verdict or award which Plaintiff may obtain against this Answering Defendant must be reduced in accordance with General Obligations Law 15-108(a).

#### AS AND FOR A TWENTY-THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

104. If Plaintiff has been injured or damaged, no injury or damages being admitted, such injuries were not caused by a product designed, manufacture or sold by this Answering Defendant.

#### AS AND FOR A TWENTY-FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

105. Prior to and at the time of the occurrence of which Plaintiff complains, Plaintiff was not using the product at issue herein in a safe or proper manner or in a manner in which such product was intended to be used.

INDEX NO. 706856/2021

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/12/2021

AS AND FOR A TWENTY-FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

106. Prior to and at the time of the occurrence of which Plaintiff complains, the product

at issue herein was not in the same condition it was in at the time it was manufactured, such

product having been independently and materially changed, altered, and/or modified by others

over whom Answering Defendant has no control and with whom Answering Defendant has no

legal relationship.

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 3

AS AND FOR A TWENTY-SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

107. Answering Defendant is not strictly liable to Plaintiffs because the product at

issue herein was not designed, manufactured, tested, inspected, distributed, or sold by the

Answering Defendant.

<u>AS AND FOR A TWENTY-SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE</u>

108. Answering Defendant hereby gives notice that they intend to rely upon such

other defenses as may become available or apparent during the course of discovery and thus

reserve their right to amend this Answer to assert such defenses.

AS AND FOR A TWENTY-EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

109. Plaintiff's injuries, if any, were caused by pre-existing and/or unrelated medical,

environmental, and other conditions, diseases or illness of the Plaintiff.

AS AND FOR A TWENTY-NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

110. Any injuries and/or damages sustained by Plaintiff, as alleged in the Verified

Complaint herein, were caused in whole or in part by the contributory negligence and/or culpable

conduct of said Plaintiff.

13

13 of 16

INDEX NO. 706856/2021

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/12/2021

AS AND FOR A THIRTIETH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

111. To the extent the damages set forth in the Complaint could have been wholly or in

part avoided by reasonable effort of the Plaintiff, her agents, servants and/or employers and

without undue burden, risk or expense, said damages were the result of Plaintiff's failure to

mitigate and may not be recovered from this Answering Defendant.

AS AND FOR AN THIRTY-FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

112. The liability of this Answering Defendant, if any, is limited to the percentage of

culpability found against it by virtue of the fault of the other parties (unnamed at this time) and in

accordance with the laws of the State of New York.

AS AND FOR A THIRTY-SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

113. The liability of Answering Defendant, if any, to the Plaintiff for non-economic loss

is limited to its equitable share, determined in accordance with the relative culpability of all persons

or entities contributing to the total liability for non-economic loss, including others over whom

Plaintiffs could have obtained personal jurisdiction with due diligence.

AS AND FOR A THIRTY-THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

114. Some or all of Plaintiff's alleged economic loss was or will be replaced or

indemnified from collateral sources and, therefore, any award for economic loss should be reduced

thereby, pursuant to CPLR 4545.

AS AND FOR A THIRTY-FOUTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

14

14 of 16

COUNTY CLERK

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 3

INDEX NO. 706856/2021

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/12/2021

In the event of any judgment or verdict on behalf of Plaintiff, this Answering Defendant is entitled to a set-off or verdict with respect to the amount of any payments made to

the Plaintiffs for medical and other expenses prior thereto.

**RESERVATION OF DEFENSES** 

The Answering Defendant hereby reserves the right to assert any of the defenses, 116.

objections and/or claims that are, or may in the future be, warranted against any and all parties

presently named and/or in the future to be named in this action.

WHEREFORE, this Answering Defendant, SEQUENTIAL BRANDS GROUP, INC.,

hereby demands judgment dismissing the Plaintiff's Verified Complaint in the entirety, together

with the costs, disbursements, and attorneys' fees incurred in this action, and such other and further

relief as this Court deems just, equitable and proper.

Dated: New York, New York,

May 12, 2021

Cathleen Kelly Rebar, Esq.

Wesley C. Glass, Esq.

**REBAR KELLY** 

Attorneys for Defendant

800 Third Avenue – 28<sup>th</sup> Floor

New York, NY 10022

Phone: (212) 858-9970

Facsimile: (212) 858-9971

Email: crebar@rebarkelly.com

TO:

KAPIN PLLC

Attorneys for Plaintiff

1133 Broadway, Suite 1001

New York, New York 10010

(212) 513-0500

15

FILED: QUEENS COUNTY CLERK 05/12/2021 09:51 PM

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 3

INDEX NO. 706856/2021

RECEIVED NYSCEF: 05/12/2021

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF QUEENS

------X

BEN ARIE, Index No.: 706856/2021

Plaintiff,

**VERIFICATION** 

-against-

SEQUENTIAL BRANDS GROUP, INC.,

Defendant.

------X

Cathleen Rebar Kelly, Esq., an attorney duly licensed to practice law before the courts of this state affirms the following under the penalty of perjury:

- 1. I am Partner at Rebar Kelly, attorney for the Defendant in this action.
- 2. I have read the foregoing Answer to Plaintiff's Verified Complaint and know the contents thereof; that the same is true to affirmant's own knowledge, except as to the matters therein stated to be alleged on information and belief, and that as to those matters affirmant believes them to be true; based upon file materials, investigation and records contained in the file developed in the action.
- 3. This verification is made by affirmant instead of the Defendant because the Defendant is not within the county where affirmant maintains her office.

Dated: New York, New York May 12, 2021

Cathleen Kelly Rebar, Esq.