DENTONS US LLP

Lauren Macksoud (admitted)

101 JFK Parkway

Short Hills, NJ 07078 Telephone: (973) 912-7100

Facsimile: (973) 912-7199

Email: lauren.macksoud@dentons.com

Tania M. Moyron (pro hac vice pending)

Van C. Durrer, II (pro hac vice pending)

601 S. Figueroa Street #2500 Los Angeles, CA 90017

Telephone: (213) 623-9300 Facsimile: (213) 623-9924

Email: <u>tania.moyron@dentons.com</u> van.durrer@dentons.com

John D. Beck (pro hac vice pending)

Sarah M. Schrag (*pro hac vice* pending) 1221 Avenue of the Americas

New York, NY 10020-1089 Telephone: (212) 768-6700

Facsimile: (212) 768-6800 Email: john.beck@dentons.com

sarah.schrag@dentons.com

Proposed Counsel for Debtors and Debtors in Possession

TOGUT, SEGAL & SEGAL LLP

Frank A. Oswald (admitted)

550 Broad Street

Suite 1508

Newark, NJ 07102

Telephone: (212) 594-5000 Facsimile: (212) 967-4258

Email: frankoswald@teamtogut.com

Albert Togut (admitted *pro hac vice*)

Amanda C. Glaubach (admitted pro hac vice)

Eitan Blander (admitted pro hac vice)

One Penn Plaza, Suite 3335 New York, New York 10119 Telephone: (212) 594-5000 Facsimile: (212) 967-4258

Email: <u>altogut@teamtogut.com</u> <u>aglaubach@teamtogut.com</u> eblander@teamtogut.com

Proposed Counsel for Debtors and

Debtors in Possession

IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

In re:

POWIN, LLC, et al., 1

Debtors.

Chapter 11

Case No. 25-16137 (MBK)

(Jointly Administered)

¹ The Debtors in these Chapter 11 Cases, along with the last four digits of each Debtor's federal tax identification number, are: (i) Powin Project LLC [1583]; (ii) Powin, LLC [0504]; (iii) PEOS Holdings, LLC [5476]; (iv) Powin China Holdings 1, LLC [1422]; (v) Powin China Holdings 2, LLC [9713]; (vi) Charger Holdings, LLC [5241]; (vii) Powin Energy Operating Storage, LLC [8348]; (viii) Powin Energy Operating Holdings, LLC [2495]; and (ix) Powin Energy Operating, LLC [6487]. The Debtors' mailing address is 20550 SW 115th Avenue Tualatin, OR 97062.



MOTION OF THE DEBTORS PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. §§ 105(a) AND 366 AND FED. R. BANKR. P. 6003 AND 6004 FOR ENTRY OF AN ORDER (I) APPROVING DEBTORS' PROPOSED FORM OF ADEQUATE ASSURANCE OF PAYMENT TO UTILITY COMPANIES, (II) ESTABLISHING PROCEDURES FOR RESOLVING OBJECTIONS BY UTILITY COMPANIES, (III) PROHIBITING UTILITY COMPANIES FROM ALTERING, REFUSING, OR DISCONTINUING SERVICE, AND (IV) GRANTING RELATED RELIEF

Powin, LLC and the above-referenced affiliated debtors and debtors in possession (collectively, the "Debtors")² under chapter 11 of title 11 of the United States Code, §§ 101 *et seq.* (the "Bankruptcy Code")³ in these chapter 11 cases (the "Chapter 11 Cases"), by and through the undersigned counsel, hereby move (the "Motion"), pursuant to §§ 105(a) and 366 and Bankruptcy Rules 6003 and 6004, for entry of an order (substantially in the form attached hereto as Exhibit A, the "Order"): (i) approving the Debtors' proposed form of adequate assurance of payment to the Utility Companies (as defined below); (ii) establishing procedures for resolving objections by Utility Companies relating to the adequacy of the proposed adequate assurance provided by the Debtors; (iii) prohibiting the Utility Companies from altering, refusing, or discontinuing service to, or discriminating against, the Debtors on the basis of the commencement of these Chapter 11 Cases and/or any outstanding prepetition debts; (iv) scheduling a hearing; and (v) granting related relief.

In further support of the Motion, the Debtors respectfully state as follows:

I. <u>PRELIMINARY STATEMENT</u>

1. The Debtors receive essential utility services from several Utility Companies. A list of the Utility Companies and, where available, the Debtors' account number with each Utility

² Capitalized terms not otherwise defined herein shall have the meaning ascribed to such terms in the First Day Declaration (defined below).

³ All references to "§" or "section" herein are to sections of the Bankruptcy Code. All references to "Bankruptcy Rules" are to provisions of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure. All references to "Local Rules" are to provisions of the Local Bankruptcy Rules of the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of New Jersey (the "Court").

Company is attached hereto as **Exhibit B**. By this Motion, the Debtors seek to minimize disruption of utility services to their operations and ensure a smooth transition into chapter 11. Specifically, the Debtors request approval of the form of adequate assurance of payment they will provide to their utility providers, a deposit, and procedures to resolve any dispute that may arise relating to the adequate assurance. The Debtors also request that the Court prohibit their utility providers from discontinuing or otherwise affecting the Debtors' services, subject to compliance with the proposed procedures. These measures will ensure that the Debtors maintain essential utility services to their facilities at this critical juncture in their Chapter 11 Cases, prevent irreparable harm to the Debtors' estates, and, ultimately, provide the Debtors with an opportunity to reorganize or sell their business as a going concern.

2. In order to maintain ongoing business operations, it is imperative the Debtors are able to rely on a consistent supply of these services. For these reasons, and as more fully explained below, the Debtors request that this Court grant the relief requested herein.

II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 3. This Court has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334 and the *Standing Order of Reference* from the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey dated as of September 18, 2012. The Debtors confirm their consent, pursuant to rule 7008 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure (the "Bankruptcy Rules"), to the entry of an order by the Court in connection with this Motion to the extent that it is later determined that the Court, absent consent of the parties, cannot enter final orders or judgments in connection herewith consistent with Article III of the United States Constitution.
 - 4. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409.

5. The statutory bases for the relief requested herein are §§ 105(a) and 366, Bankruptcy Rules 2002, 6003, and 6004, and rules 9013-1 and 9013-5 of the Local Rules of Bankruptcy Practice and Procedure in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of New Jersey (the "Local Rules").

III. <u>BACKGROUND</u>

A. The Chapter 11 Cases

- 6. On the date hereof (the "<u>Petition Date</u>"), the Debtors each commenced voluntary cases for relief under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code. The Debtors are authorized to continue operating their businesses and managing their properties as debtors in possession pursuant to §§ 1107(a) and 1108. No trustee, examiner, or statutory committee has been appointed in the Chapter 11 Cases.
- 7. Additional information regarding the Debtors, including their business and the events leading to the commencement of these Chapter 11 Cases, is set forth in the *Declaration of Gerard Uzzi in Support of Emergency First Day Motions of the Debtors* (the "<u>First Day Declaration</u>") [Docket No. 13], which the Debtors incorporate herein by reference.

B. The Debtors' Utility Companies and Utility Services

- 8. In the ordinary course of business, the Debtors obtain various essential utility services (collectively, the "<u>Utility Services</u>"), including electricity, water, and network/internet, from a number of utility companies (collectively, the "<u>Utility Companies</u>"). A nonexclusive list of the Utility Companies is attached hereto as <u>Exhibit B</u> (the "<u>Utility Services List</u>").
- 9. In locations with Utility Services, the Debtors rely on the Utility Companies to provide necessary support to their employees, vendors, and customers. Preserving the Utility Services on an uninterrupted basis is essential to the Debtors' ongoing operations, and even a brief

Case 25-16137-MBK Doc 112 Filed 06/20/25 Entered 06/20/25 21:16:12 Desc Main Document Page 5 of 19

alteration or discontinuation of service would likely cause severe disruption to the Debtors' business.

10. The Debtors are past due on certain of their utility accounts, including accounts with Portland General Electric and other Utility Companies. Prior to the Petition Date, the Debtors spent an average of approximately \$27,508.00 each month for Utility Services. Based on historical averages for Utility Services, the Debtors estimate that their cost of Utility Services for the next thirty days will be approximately \$27,508.00.

C. Proposed Adequate Assurance of Payment

- 11. The Debtors intend to pay all post-petition obligations owed to the Utility Companies in a timely manner. To provide the Utility Companies with adequate assurance pursuant to § 366, the Debtors propose to deposit cash in an amount equal to two weeks' cost of Utility Services, calculated using the historical average for such payments during the twelve (12) months prior to the Petition Date (the "<u>Utility Deposit</u>") into a newly created, segregated account for the benefit of the Utility Companies (the "<u>Utility Deposit Account</u>"). As of the Petition Date, the Debtors estimate that the total amount of the Utility Deposit will be approximately \$13,734.00.
- 12. The Debtors will transfer funds for the Utility Deposit into the Utility Deposit Account within twenty days after the Petition Date, which the Debtors will hold in the Utility Deposit Account for the benefit of the Utility Companies on the Utility Services List during the pendency of these Chapter 11 Cases.
- 13. The Debtors may adjust the Utility Deposit if the Debtors (i) terminate any of the Utility Services provided by a Utility Company, (ii) make other arrangements with certain Utility Companies for adequate assurance of payment, (iii) determine that an entity listed on the Utility

Services List is not a utility company as defined by § 366, or (iv) supplement the Utility Services List to include additional Utility Companies.

- 14. The Debtors further request that the Utility Deposit be automatically available to the Debtors, without further Court order, upon the earlier of the effective date of a chapter 11 plan and closure of the Chapter 11 Cases. Additionally, if the Debtors terminate any of the Utility Services provided by a Utility Company, the Debtors request that they be permitted to reduce the Utility Deposit to reflect the termination of that Utility Company.
- 15. The Debtors submit that the Utility Deposit, in conjunction with the Debtors' ability to pay for future Utility Services in the ordinary course of business (together, the "Proposed Adequate Assurance"), constitutes sufficient adequate assurance to the Utility Companies in full satisfaction of § 366.

D. Proposed Adequate Assurance Procedures

- Assurance for itself and the harm to the Debtors' businesses that would result from any interruption in services provided by the Utility Companies, the Debtors propose the following adequate assurance procedures (the "Adequate Assurance Procedures") in the event that any Utility Company is not satisfied with the Proposed Adequate Assurance:
 - a) The Debtors will serve a copy of this Motion and the Order on the Utility Companies on the Utility Services List within three (3) business days after entry of the Order.
 - b) Subject to entry of the Order, the Debtors will deposit the Utility Deposit, in the aggregate amount of \$13,734.00, in the Utility Deposit Account within twenty (20) days after the Petition Date.
 - c) The portion of the Utility Deposit attributable to each Utility Company will be returned to the Debtors on the earlier of (i) reconciliation and payment by Debtors of the Utility Company's final invoice in accordance with applicable nonbankruptcy law following the Debtors' termination of Utility Services from such Utility Company and (ii) the earlier of (a) the

- effective date of any chapter 11 plan confirmed in these Chapter 11 Cases and (b) the closure of these Chapter 11 Cases; provided that there are no outstanding disputes related to post-petition payments due to the affected Utility Companies.
- d) Any Utility Company desiring additional assurances of payment in the form of deposits, prepayments, or otherwise must serve a request for additional assurance (an "Additional Assurance Request") on the following parties: (i) proposed counsel to the Debtors, (w) Dentons US LLP, 601 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2500, Los Angeles, California 90017-5704, Attn: Tania M. Moyron (tania.moyron@dentons.com) and Van C. Durrer, II (van.durrer@dentons.com); (x) Dentons US LLP, 1221 Avenue of the Americas, New York. NY 10020-1089, Attn: John D. Beck (john.beck@dentons.com) and Sarah M. Schrag (sarah.schrag@dentons.com); (y) Togut, Segal, & Segal LLP, 550 Broad Street, Suite 1508, Newark, NJ 07102, Attn: Frank A. Oswald (frankoswald@teamtogut.com); and (z) Togut, Segal & Segal LLP, One Penn Plaza, Suite 3335, New York, New York 10119, Attn: Albert Togut (altogut@teamtogut.com), Amanda C. Glaubach (aglaubach@teamtogut.com), Eitan and Blander (eblander@teamtogut.com); and (ii) counsel for any official committee of unsecured creditors appointed in these Chapter 11 Cases.
- e) The Additional Assurance Request must: (i) be made in writing; (ii) set forth the location(s) for which Utility Services are provided, the account number(s) for those location(s), and the outstanding balance for each account; (iii) explain why the Utility Company believes the Utility Deposit is not adequate assurance of payment; and (iv) certify that the Utility Company does not already hold a deposit equal to or greater than two weeks of Utility Services provided by such Utility Company.
- f) An Additional Assurance Request may be made at any time. If a Utility Company does not serve an Additional Assurance Request, the Utility Company will be (i) deemed to have received "satisfactory" adequate assurance of payment in compliance with § 366, and (ii) forbidden from discontinuing, altering, or refusing Utility Services to, or discriminating against, the Debtors on account of any unpaid prepetition charges or requiring additional assurance of payment other than the Proposed Adequate Assurance.
- g) Upon the Debtors' receipt of an Additional Assurance Request, the Debtors will negotiate with the Utility Company to resolve the Utility Company's Additional Assurance Request.
- h) The Debtors may, without further order from the Court, resolve an Additional Assurance Request by mutual agreement with a Utility Company, and the Debtors may, in connection with any such agreement,

- provide a Utility Company with additional adequate assurance of payment, including cash deposits, payments of any outstanding prepetition balance due to the Utility Company, prepayments, or other forms of security if the Debtors believe that such adequate assurance is reasonable.
- i) If the Debtors and the Utility Company are not able to reach an alternative resolution within thirty (30) days of receipt of the Additional Assurance Request, the Debtors will request a hearing before the Court at the next regularly scheduled omnibus hearing to determine the adequacy of assurance of payment with respect to the particular Utility Company (the "Determination Hearing") pursuant to § 366(c)(3), unless the Debtors and the Utility Company agree in writing to extend the period.
- j) Pending resolution of the Additional Assurance Request and Determination Hearing, the Utility Company making the Additional Assurance Request will be prohibited from altering, refusing, or discontinuing Utility Services to the Debtors on account of unpaid charges for prepetition services or on account of any objections to the Proposed Adequate Assurance.
- k) Notwithstanding anything in these procedures to the contrary, the Debtors will request a hearing (the "Final Adequate Assurance Hearing") to take place no later than thirty (30) days following the Petition Date to resolve outstanding objections to these procedures in the event any are timely filed.

E. Subsequent Modification of Utility Services List

17. Although the Debtors have made an extensive and good faith effort to identify all of the Utility Companies and include them on the Utility Services List, certain Utility Companies may not be listed therein. To the extent the Debtors identify additional Utility Companies, the Debtors shall promptly file amendments to the Utility Services List and serve copies of the Order, as applicable, granting this Motion on any newly identified Utility Companies. In addition, the Debtors will increase the amount of the Utility Deposit to account for any newly identified Utility Companies. The Debtors request that the Order bind all Utility Companies, regardless of when the Utility Companies are added to the Utility Services List.

IV. <u>RELIEF REQUESTED</u>

18. Pursuant to §§ 105(a) and 366, and Bankruptcy Rules 6003 and 6004, the Debtors respectfully request the entry of the Order: (i) approving the Debtors' proposed form of adequate assurance of payment to the Utility Companies; (ii) establishing procedures for resolving objections by Utility Companies relating to the adequacy of the proposed adequate assurance provided by the Debtors; (iii) prohibiting the Utility Companies from altering, refusing, or discontinuing service to, or discriminating against, the Debtors on the basis of the commencement of these Chapter 11 Cases and/or any outstanding prepetition debts; and (iv) granting related relief.

V. <u>BASIS FOR RELIEF</u>

19. The relief requested in this Motion will ensure the continuation of the Debtors' business at this critical juncture as they transition into chapter 11. The relief requested also provides the Utility Companies with a fair and orderly procedure for determining requests for additional adequate assurance, without which the Debtors could be forced to address multiple requests by Utility Companies in a disorganized manner when the Debtors' efforts should be more productively focused on continuing to operate their businesses for the benefit of all parties in interest.

A. The Proposed Adequate Assurance Is More Than Adequate

20. Pursuant to § 366, a utility company may not alter, refuse, or discontinue service to, or discriminate against, a debtor *solely* on the basis of the commencement of a chapter 11 case or unpaid prepetition amounts. 11 U.S.C. § 366(a). However, a utility company may alter, refuse, or discontinue service if, during the first twenty days of a bankruptcy case, the debtor does not provide adequate assurance of payment for postpetition utility services; or if, during the first thirty

Case 25-16137-MBK Doc 112 Filed 06/20/25 Entered 06/20/25 21:16:12 Desc Main Document Page 10 of 19

days of a chapter 11 case, the debtor does not provide adequate assurance of payment for postpetition utility services in a form satisfactory the utility company. 11 U.S.C. § 366(b), (c)(2).

- 21. The policy underlying § 366 is to protect debtors from utility service cutoffs upon the filing of a bankruptcy case and provide utility companies⁴ with adequate assurance that debtors will in fact pay for postpetition services. *See* H.R. Rep. No. 595, 95th Cong., 1st Sess. 350 (1978), reprinted in 1978 U.S.C.C.A.N. 5963, 6306; *see also In re Jones*, 369 B.R. 745, 748 (B.A.P. 1st Cir. 2007) ("The purpose of § 366 is 'to prevent the threat of termination from being used to collect pre-petition debts while not forcing the utility to provide services for which it may never be paid."") (quoting *Begley v. Philadelphia Elec. Co. (In re Begley)*, 760 F.2d 46, 49 (3d Cir. 1985)). As set forth herein, the Proposed Adequate Assurance requested in this Motion is consistent with these policy goals.
- 22. Section 366(c)(1)(A) defines "assurance of payment" to mean several enumerated forms of security (e.g., a cash deposit, letter of credit, certificate of deposit, surety bond, prepayment of utility consumption, or other mutually agreed upon security), while § 366(c)(1)(B) expressly excludes from such definition an administrative expense priority for a utility's claim. 11 U.S.C. § 366(c). The Bankruptcy Code does not otherwise define what makes an assurance of payment "adequate," thereby placing such determination within the reasonable discretion of the bankruptcy court. *See* 11 U.S.C. §§ 366(b) ("On request of a party in interest and after notice and a hearing, the court may order reasonable modification of the amount of the deposit or other security necessary to provide adequate assurance of payment."), 366(c)(3)(A) ("On request of a party in interest and after notice and a hearing, the court may order modification of the amount of

⁴ "Utility" is not defined in the Bankruptcy Code. However, bankruptcy courts have generally limited this to entities that have a "special relationship" with debtors, in that they provide the debtors with an essential service, for which the debtors have a need for continued access. *See, e.g., Darby v. Time Warner Cable, Inc. (In re Darby)*, 470 F.3d 573 (5th Cir. 2006).

an assurance of payment under paragraph (2)."); accord In re Pac. Gas & Elec. Co., 271 B.R. 626, 644 (N.D. Cal. 2002) ("The use of the word 'may' in the second sentence [of § 366(b)] clearly contemplates that the decision whether to order security lies within the discretion of the Bankruptcy Court."); In re Steinebach, 303 B.R. 634, 641 (Bankr. D. Az. 2004) ("Bankruptcy courts are afforded reasonable discretion in determining what constitutes adequate assurance") (citation omitted). However, when determining "whether an assurance of payment is adequate," a bankruptcy court may not consider three specific factors: (a) whether the debtors had a prepetition deposit; (b) whether the debtors paid their utility bills on time prepetition; or (c) the administrative expense priority afforded utilities postpetition. 11 U.S.C. § 366(c)(3)(B).

23. Nothing in § 366(c), however, precludes a bankruptcy court from considering other factors that could minimize the amount of the deposit, including (without limitation): (a) the right of the utility to terminate service upon nonpayment (see In re Penn Jersey Corp., 72 B.R. 981, 985 (Bankr. E.D. Pa. 1987) ("We note, in this regard, . . . that the Court of Appeals has stated . . . that a utility is well-protected, after establishment of adequate assurance, by its remedy 'to disconnect service as its remedy for non-payment' without 'recourse to the bankruptcy court,' 'even though the debtor is provided with an additional layer of protection arising from any available state utility commission Regulations limiting a utility's termination rights.") (internal citations omitted)); (b) the chapter 11 estate's liquidity (see In re Agrifos Fertilizer, L.P., No. 01-35220-H2-11, 2002 WL 32054779, at *5 (Bankr. S.D. Tex. Nov. 25, 2002) ("If a debtor demonstrates . . . evidence of post-petition liquidity, a deposit may not be necessary[.]") (citation omitted)); and (c) the estate's net worth and ability to pay their postpetition obligations (see In re Best Prods. Co., 203 B.R. 51, 54 (Bankr. E.D. Va. 1996) ("[T]he court should consider the debtor's . . . net worth, and the debtor's present and future ability to pay post-petition obligations.") (citation omitted)).

Case 25-16137-MBK Doc 112 Filed 06/20/25 Entered 06/20/25 21:16:12 Desc Main Document Page 12 of 19

- 24. Therefore, although § 366(c)(2) allows a utility company to take action if the debtor fails to provide adequate assurance of payment that the utility company deems "satisfactory," the bankruptcy court is the ultimate arbiter of what is "satisfactory" assurance after taking into consideration all the facts of the case, including the relationship between the debtor and the utility company. See, e.g., In re Penn. Cent. Transp. Co., 467 F.2d 100, 103-04 (3d Cir. 1972) (affirming the bankruptcy court's decision that no utility deposit was necessary where such deposits would "jeopardize the continuing operation of the [debtor] merely to give further security to suppliers who already [were] reasonably protected"); see also Heard v. City Water Board (In re Heard), 84 B.R. 454, 459 (Bankr. W.D. Tex. 1987) (holding that because the utility had not had any difficulty with the debtors during 14 years of service, "the utility need[ed] no adequate assurance"). Courts construing § 366(b) have long recognized that adequate assurance of payment does not constitute an absolute guarantee of the debtor's ability to pay. See, e.g., In re Caldor, Inc.—NY, 199 B.R. 1, 3 (S.D.N.Y. 1996) ("Section 366(b) requires the Bankruptcy Court to determine whether the circumstances are sufficient to provide a utility with 'adequate assurance' of payment. The statute does not require an 'absolute guarantee of payment.") (citation omitted), aff'd sub nom. Va. Elec. & Power Co. v. Caldor, Inc.—NY, 117 F.3d 646 (2d Cir. 1997) ("Caldor II"); In re New Rochelle Tel. Corp., 397 B.R. 633, 639 (Bankr. E.D.N.Y. 2008) ("Adequate assurance, however, is not a guarantee of payment; rather, it is intended to guard against the utility assuming an unreasonable risk of non-payment.") (citation omitted); In re Adelphia Bus. Solutions, Inc., 280 B.R. 63, 80 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 2002) ("In determining adequate assurance, a bankruptcy court is not required to give a utility company the equivalent of a guaranty of payment ").
- 25. Thus, there is nothing to prevent a court from deciding, on the facts of the case before it, that the amount required of a debtor to provide adequate assurance of payment to a utility

company should be nominal or even zero. *See, e.g., In re Pac-West Telecomm, Inc.*, No. 07-10562 (BLS) (Bankr. D. Del. May 2, 2007) [Docket No. 39] (approving adequate assurance in the form of a one-time supplemental prepayment to each utility company equal to the prorated amount of one week's charges).⁵ Indeed, courts consider what is "need[ed] of the utility for assurance, and ... require that the debtor supply *no more than that*, since the debtor almost perforce has a conflicting need to conserve scarce financial resources." *Caldor II*, 117 F.3d at 650 (emphasis in original) (quoting *Penn Jersey*, 72 B.R. at 985); *see also Penn Cent.*, 467 F.2d at 103-04; *In re Magnesium Corp. of Am.*, 278 B.R. 698, 714 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 2002).

26. Here, the Proposed Adequate Assurance is reasonable and satisfies the requirements of § 366. As set forth above, the Debtors fully intend to pay all postpetition obligations owed to the Utility Providers. The Debtors have a good historical payment record with the Utility Companies. To the best of the Debtors' knowledge, there are no material defaults or arrearages of any significance for the Debtors' undisputed invoices for prepetition Utility Services, other than payment interruptions that may be caused by the commencement of these Chapter 11 Cases. Additionally, the Utility Companies are protected through the Utility Deposit that will be held in a segregated account, and are afforded flexibility and an opportunity to be heard through the Adequate Assurance Procedures. Accordingly, the Proposed Adequate Assurance is reasonable and satisfies § 366. Moreover, termination of the Utility Services could result in the Debtors' inability to operate their businesses to the detriment of all stakeholders. *See In re Pilgrim's Pride Corp.*, No. 08-45664 (DML), 2009 WL 7313309, at *2 (Bankr. N.D. Tex. Jan 4, 2009) ("The consequences of an unexpected termination of

⁻

⁵ Prior to the enactment of § 366(c), courts frequently made parallel rulings pursuant to § 366(b). *See Penn. Cent.*, 467 F.2d at 103-04 (3d Cir. 1972) (affirming the bankruptcy court's decision that no utility deposit was necessary); *Caldor II*, 117 F.3d at 650 ("Even assuming that 'other security' should be interpreted narrowly, we agree with the appellees that a bankruptcy court's authority to 'modify' the level of the 'deposit or other security,' provided for under § 366(b), includes the power to require no 'deposit or other security' where none is necessary to provide a utility supplier with 'adequate assurance of payment."").

utility service to [the debtors] could be catastrophic."); *In re Monroe Well Serv., Inc.*, 83 B.R. 317, 319, 322 (Bankr. E.D. Pa. 1988) (noting that without utility service the debtors would "cease operation" and that § 366 "was intended to limit the leverage held by utility companies, not increase it.").

Although the Debtors believe that they have a credible argument for a lower deposit than the Utility Deposit proposed herein, they believe that half (1/2) of one (1) month's deposit is more than adequate and should be more than satisfactory under the totality of the facts and circumstances. *See, e.g., Best Prods*, 203 B.R. at 54.6 This Court has granted similar relief in other cases. *See, e.g., In re Sam Ash Corp.*, No. 24-14727 (SLM) (Bankr. D.N.J. June 5, 2024); *In re Thrasio Holdings, Inc.*, No. 24-11840 (CMG) (Bankr. D.N.J. Apr. 4, 2024); *In re Invitae Corp.*, No. 24-11362 (MBK) (Bankr. D.N.J. Mar. 18, 2024); *In re Careismatic Brands, LLC*, No. 24-10561 (VFP) (Bankr. D.N.J. Feb. 29, 2024); and *In re WeWork, Inc.* No. 23-19865 (JKS) (Bankr. D.N.J. Nov. 6, 2023).

B. The Adequate Assurance Procedures Are Reasonable and Appropriate

- 28. The proposed Adequate Assurance Procedures are reasonable because they will ensure that the essential Utility Services continue while providing a streamlined process for Utility Companies to challenge the adequacy of the Proposed Adequate Assurance or seek an alternative form of adequate assurance.
- 29. As explained herein and in the First Day Declaration, continued and uninterrupted utility service is critical to the Debtors' operations and the success of these Chapter 11 Cases. In contrast, pursuant to the Adequate Assurance Procedures proposed herein, the Utility Companies will not be prejudiced by continuing to provide their services to the Debtors postpetition. If a

⁶ The *Best Products* court further permitted the debtor to apply prepetition deposits and prepayments to the postpetition deposits required by the court's ruling. *Id.*, at 54 n.2.

Utility Company does not believe the Proposed Adequate Assurance is "satisfactory," such Utility Company may file an objection or submit an Additional Assurance Request pursuant to the Adequate Assurance Procedures proposed herein.

30. The Court has the power to approve these Adequate Assurance Procedures pursuant to § 105(a), which provides that a bankruptcy court "may issue any order, process, or judgment that is necessary or appropriate to carry out the provisions" of the Bankruptcy Code. 11 U.S.C. § 105(a). The Adequate Assurance Procedures are necessary and appropriate to carry out the provisions of the Bankruptcy Code, as they will ensure that the Utility Services are continued without prejudicing the Utility Companies.

VI. REQUEST FOR HEARING

31. The Debtors request that the Court hold a hearing and enter the Order, and grant this Motion on a final basis.

VII. WAIVER OF BANKRUPTCY RULES 6004(a) AND (h)

32. To implement the foregoing successfully, the Debtors seek a waiver of the notice requirements under Bankruptcy Rule 6004(a) and the fourteen-day stay of an order authorizing the use, sale, or lease of property under Bankruptcy Rule 6004(h).

VIII. WAIVER OF MEMORANDUM OF LAW

33. The Debtors respectfully request that the Court waive the requirement to file a separate memorandum of law pursuant to Local Rule 9013-1(a)(3) because the legal basis upon which the Debtors rely is set forth herein and the Motion does not raise any novel issues of law.

IX. RESERVATION OF RIGHTS

34. Nothing contained in this Motion or any order granting the relief requested in this Motion, and no action taken pursuant to the relief requested or granted (including any payment made in accordance with any such order), is intended as or shall be construed or deemed to be: (a)

an admission as to the amount of, basis for, or validity of any claim against the Debtors under the Bankruptcy Code or other applicable nonbankruptcy law; (b) a waiver of the Debtors' or any other party in interest's right to dispute any claim on any grounds; (c) a promise or requirement to pay any particular claim; (d) an implication, admission or finding that any particular claim is an administrative expense claim, other priority claim or otherwise of a type specified or defined in this Motion or any order granting the relief requested by this Motion; (e) a request or authorization to assume, adopt, or reject any agreement, contract, or lease pursuant to section 365 of the Bankruptcy Code; (f) an admission as to the validity, priority, enforceability or perfection of any lien on, security interest in, or other encumbrance on property of the Debtors' estates; or (g) a waiver or limitation of any claims, causes of action or other rights of the Debtors or any other party in interest against any person or entity under the Bankruptcy Code or any other applicable law.

35. The Debtors further reserve all rights to: (a) supplement the Utilities Services List if it is determined that any Utility Company has been omitted; (b) challenge the status of any entity listed on the Utilities Services List as a "utility" falling within the scope of § 366; and (c) terminate any Utility Services at any time and to seek an immediate refund of any Utility Deposit without giving effect to any right of setoff or recoupment or claim asserted by a Utility Company against the Debtors.

X. NO PRIOR REQUEST

36. No prior request for the relief sought in this motion has been made to this or any other court.

XI. NOTICE

37. The Debtors will serve notice of this Motion on: (i) the Office of the United States

Trustee for the District of New Jersey; (ii) counsel for the Debtors' Prepetition Secured Parties;

Case 25-16137-MBK Doc 112 Filed 06/20/25 Entered 06/20/25 21:16:12 Desc Main Document Page 17 of 19

(iii) the Debtors' fifty largest unsecured creditors on a consolidated basis; (iv) the Utility Providers; and (v) any parties requesting special notice. The Debtors submit that, in light of the nature of the relief requested, no other or further notice need be given.

XII. <u>CONCLUSION</u>

WHEREFORE, the Debtors respectfully request entry of an order (i) granting the relief requested herein; and (ii) granting the Debtors such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

Dated: June 20, 2025 **DENTONS US LLP**

/s/ Lauren Macksoud

Lauren Macksoud (admitted)

101 JFK Parkway

Short Hills, NJ 07078

Telephone: (973) 912-7100

Facsimile: (973) 912-7199

Email: lauren.macksoud@dentons.com

Tania M. Moyron (pro hac vice pending)

Van C. Durrer, II (pro hac vice pending)

601 S. Figueroa Street #2500

Los Angeles, CA 90017

Telephone: (213) 623-9300 Facsimile: (213) 623-9924

Email: tania.moyron@dentons.com

van.durrer@dentons.com

John D. Beck (pro hac vice pending)

Sarah M. Schrag (pro hac vice pending)

1221 Avenue of the Americas

New York, NY 10020-1089

Telephone: (212) 768-6700

Facsimile: (212) 768-6800

Email: john.beck@dentons.com

sarah.schrag@dentons.com

- and -

TOGUT, SEGAL & SEGAL LLP

Frank A. Oswald (admitted)

550 Broad Street

Suite 1508

Newark, NJ 07102

Telephone: (212) 594-5000

Facsimile: (212) 967-4258

Email: frankoswald@teamtogut.com

Albert Togut (admitted *pro hac vice*)

Amanda C. Glaubach (admitted pro hac vice)

Eitan Blander (admitted pro hac vice)

One Penn Plaza, Suite 3335

New York, New York 10119

Telephone: (212) 594-5000

Facsimile: (212) 967-4258

Email: <u>altogut@teamtogut.com</u> <u>aglaubach@teamtogut.com</u> <u>eblander@teamtogut.com</u>

Proposed Counsel for Debtors and Debtors in Possession Case 25-16137-MBK Doc 112-1 Filed 06/20/25 Entered 06/20/25 21:16:12 Desc Exhibit A - Proposed Order Page 1 of 10

EXHIBIT A

(Proposed Order)

IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

In re:	Chapter 11
POWIN, LLC, et al., 1 Debtors.	Case No. 25-16137 (MBK) (Jointly Administered)

ORDER GRANTING MOTION OF THE DEBTORS FOR ENTRY OF AN ORDER (I) APPROVING DEBTORS' PROPOSED FORM OF ADEQUATE ASSURANCE OF PAYMENT TO UTILITY COMPANIES, (II) ESTABLISHING PROCEDURES FOR RESOLVING OBJECTIONS BY UTILITY COMPANIES, (III) PROHIBITING UTILITY COMPANIES FROM ALTERING, REFUSING, OR DISCONTINUING SERVICE, AND (IV) GRANTING RELATED RELIEF

The relief set forth on the following pages, numbered four (4) through nine (9), is **ORDERED**.

_

¹ The Debtors in these Chapter 11 Cases, along with the last four digits of each Debtor's federal tax identification number, are: (i) Powin Project LLC [1583]; (ii) Powin, LLC [0504]; (iii) PEOS Holdings, LLC [5476]; (iv) Powin China Holdings 1, LLC [1422]; (v) Powin China Holdings 2, LLC [9713]; (vi) Charger Holdings, LLC [5241]; (vii) Powin Energy Operating Storage, LLC [8348]; (viii) Powin Energy Operating, LLC [6487]. The Debtors' mailing address is 20550 SW 115th Avenue Tualatin, OR 97062.

Caption in Compliance with D.N.J. LBR 9004-1(b)

DENTONS US LLP

Lauren Macksoud (admitted)

101 JFK Parkway Short Hills, NJ 07078 Telephone: (973) 912-7100

Facsimile: (973) 912-7199

Email: lauren.macksoud@dentons.com

Tania M. Moyron (pro hac vice pending)

Van C. Durrer, II (pro hac vice pending)

601 S. Figueroa Street #2500 Los Angeles, CA 90017 Telephone: (213) 623-9300

Facsimile: (213) 623-9924 Email: tania.moyron@dentons.com

van.durrer@dentons.com

John D. Beck (pro hac vice pending)

Sarah M. Schrag (pro hac vice pending)

1221 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10020-1089 Telephone: (212) 768-6700 Facsimile: (212) 768-6800 Email: john.beck@dentons.com

sarah.schrag@dentons.com

Proposed Counsel for Debtors and Debtors in Possession

TOGUT, SEGAL & SEGAL LLP

Frank A. Oswald (admitted)

550 Broad Street

Suite 1508

Newark, NJ 07102

Telephone: (212) 594-5000 Facsimile: (212) 967-4258

Email: <u>frankoswald@teamtogut.com</u>

Albert Togut (admitted pro hac vice)

Amanda C. Glaubach (admitted pro hac vice)

Eitan Blander (admitted pro hac vice)

One Penn Plaza, Suite 3335 New York, New York 10119 Telephone: (212) 594-5000 Facsimile: (212) 967-4258 Email: altogut@teamtogut.com

aglaubach@teamtogut.com eblander@teamtogut.com

Proposed Counsel for Debtors and Debtors in Possession

Case 25-16137-MBK Doc 112-1 Filed 06/20/25 Entered 06/20/25 21:16:12 Desc Exhibit A - Proposed Order Page 4 of 10

(Page | 3)

Debtors: POWIN, LLC, et al. Case No.: 25-16137 (MBK)

Caption of Order: Order Granting Motion of the Debtors for Entry of Order (I)

Approving Debtors' Proposed Form of Adequate Assurance of Payment to Utility Companies, (II) Establishing Procedures for Resolving Objections by Utility Companies, (III) Prohibiting Utility Companies From Altering, Refusing, or Discontinuing Service, and (IV) Granting Related Relief

Upon consideration of the motion (the "Motion") of the Debtors for entry of an order (this "Order"), pursuant to §§ 105(a) and 366 of the Bankruptcy Code, and Bankruptcy Rules 6003 and 6004: (i) approving the Debtors' proposed form of adequate assurance of payment to the Utility Companies; (ii) establishing procedures for resolving objections by Utility Companies relating to the adequacy of the proposed adequate assurance provided by the Debtors; (iii) prohibiting the Utility Companies from altering, refusing, or discontinuing service to, or discriminating against, the Debtors on the basis of the commencement of these Chapter 11 Cases and/or any outstanding prepetition debts; and (iv) granting related relief, all as more fully set forth in the Motion; and the Court having determined that the remaining relief requested in the Motion is in the best interests of the Debtors, their estates, creditors, and other parties-in-interest; and upon consideration of the First Day Declaration, and the Court having jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334(b) and the Standing Order of Reference from the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey dated as of September 19, 2012; and the Court having found that this is a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(2), that the Debtors consent to entry of a final order under Article III of the United States Constitution, and venue of this case and the Motion in this district is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409; and this Court having found that the Debtors' notice of the Motion and opportunity for

-

¹ Capitalized terms not otherwise defined herein have the meaning ascribed to them in the Motion.

Case 25-16137-MBK Doc 112-1 Filed 06/20/25 Entered 06/20/25 21:16:12 Desc

Exhibit A - Proposed Order Page 5 of 10

(Page | 4)

Debtors: POWIN, LLC, et al. Case No.: 25-16137 (MBK)

Caption of Order: Order Granting Motion of the Debtors for Entry of Order (I)

Approving Debtors' Proposed Form of Adequate Assurance of Payment to Utility Companies, (II) Establishing Procedures for Resolving Objections by Utility Companies, (III) Prohibiting Utility Companies From Altering, Refusing, or Discontinuing Service, and (IV) Granting Related Relief

hearing on the Motion were appropriate under the circumstances and that no other notice be provided; and this Court having determined that the legal and factual bases set forth in the Motion and at the hearing thereon establish just cause for the relief granted herein; and upon all of the proceedings before this Court; and after due deliberation and sufficient cause appearing therefore,

it is **HEREBY ORDERED THAT:**

- 1. The Motion is **GRANTED**, as set forth herein.
- 2. All objections to the Motion or the relief requested therein that have not been withdrawn, waived, or settled, and all reservations of rights included therein, hereby are overruled on the merits.
- 3. The Proposed Adequate Assurance shall constitute adequate assurance of future payment as required by § 366.
- 4. The Debtors shall deposit the Adequate Assurance Deposit in the amount of \$13,734.00 in a newly created, segregated account for the benefit of the Utility Companies within twenty days after the Petition Date.
- 5. Subject to compliance with the procedures set forth in the Motion and this Order, all Utility Companies are prohibited from altering, refusing, or discontinuing Utility Services, or otherwise discriminating against the Debtors, on account of any unpaid prepetition charges or any perceived inadequacy of the Debtors' Proposed Adequate Assurance, and are deemed to have received adequate assurance of payment in accordance with § 366.

Case 25-16137-MBK Doc 112-1 Filed 06/20/25 Entered 06/20/25 21:16:12 Desc Exhibit A - Proposed Order Page 6 of 10

(Page | 5)

Debtors: POWIN, LLC, et al. Case No.: 25-16137 (MBK)

Caption of Order: Order Granting Motion of the Debtors for Entry of Order (I)

- 6. The following Adequate Assurance Procedures are hereby approved:
 - a) The Debtors will serve a copy of the Motion and this Order on the Utility Companies on the Utility Services List, attached to the Motion as Exhibit B, within three (3) business days after entry of this Order.
 - b) The Debtors will deposit the Utility Deposit, in the aggregate amount of \$13,734.00, in the Utility Deposit Account within twenty (20) days after the Petition Date.
 - c) The portion of the Utility Deposit attributable to each Utility Company will be returned to the Debtors on the earlier of (i) reconciliation and payment by Debtors of the Utility Company's final invoice in accordance with applicable nonbankruptcy law following the Debtors' termination of Utility Services from such Utility Company and (ii) the earlier of (a) the effective date of any chapter 11 plan confirmed in these Chapter 11 Cases and (b) the closure of these Chapter 11 Cases; provided that there are no outstanding disputes related to post-petition payments due to the affected Utility Companies.
 - Any Utility Company desiring additional assurances of payment in the d) form of deposits, prepayments, or otherwise must serve a request for additional assurance (an "Additional Assurance Request") on the following parties: (i) proposed counsel to the Debtors, (w) Dentons US LLP, 601 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2500, Los Angeles, California 90017-5704, Attn: Tania M. Moyron (tania.moyron@dentons.com) and Van C. Durrer, II (van.durrer@dentons.com); (x) Dentons US LLP, 1221 Avenue of the Americas, New York, NY 10020-1089, Attn: John D. Beck (john.beck@dentons.com) Sarah and M. Schrag (sarah.schrag@dentons.com); (y) Togut, Segal, & Segal LLP, 550 Broad Street, Suite 1508, Newark, NJ 07102, Attn: Frank A. Oswald (frankoswald@teamtogut.com); and (z) Togut, Segal & Segal LLP, One Penn Plaza, Suite 3335, New York, New York 10119, Attn: Albert Togut C. (altogut@teamtogut.com), Amanda Glaubach (aglaubach@teamtogut.com), and Eitan Blander (eblander@teamtogut.com); and (ii) counsel for any official committee of unsecured creditors appointed in these Chapter 11 Cases.

Case 25-16137-MBK Doc 112-1 Filed 06/20/25 Entered 06/20/25 21:16:12 Desc Exhibit A - Proposed Order Page 7 of 10

(Page | 6)

Debtors: POWIN, LLC, et al. Case No.: 25-16137 (MBK)

Caption of Order: Order Granting Motion of the Debtors for Entry of Order (I)

- e) The Additional Assurance Request must: (i) be made in writing; (ii) set forth the location(s) for which Utility Services are provided, the account number(s) for those location(s), and the outstanding balance for each account; (iii) explain why the Utility Company believes the Utility Deposit is not adequate assurance of payment; and (iv) certify that the Utility Company does not already hold a deposit equal to or greater than two weeks of Utility Services provided by such Utility Company.
- f) An Additional Assurance Request may be made at any time. If a Utility Company does not serve an Additional Assurance Request, the Utility Company will be (i) deemed to have received "satisfactory" adequate assurance of payment in compliance with § 366, and (ii) forbidden from discontinuing, altering, or refusing Utility Services to, or discriminating against, the Debtors on account of any unpaid prepetition charges or requiring additional assurance of payment other than the Proposed Adequate Assurance.
- g) Upon the Debtors' receipt of an Additional Assurance Request, the Debtors will negotiate with the Utility Company to resolve the Utility Company's Additional Assurance Request.
- h) The Debtors may, without further order from the Court, resolve an Additional Assurance Request by mutual agreement with a Utility Company, and the Debtors may, in connection with any such agreement, provide a Utility Company with additional adequate assurance of payment, including cash deposits, payments of any outstanding prepetition balance due to the Utility Company, prepayments, or other forms of security if the Debtors believe that such adequate assurance is reasonable.
- i) If the Debtors and the Utility Company are not able to reach an alternative resolution within thirty (30) days of receipt of the Additional Assurance Request, the Debtors will request a hearing before the Court at the next regularly scheduled omnibus hearing to determine the adequacy of assurance of payment with respect to the particular Utility Company (the "Determination Hearing") pursuant to § 366(c)(3), unless the Debtors and the Utility Company agree in writing to extend the period.

Case 25-16137-MBK Doc 112-1 Filed 06/20/25 Entered 06/20/25 21:16:12 Desc Exhibit A - Proposed Order Page 8 of 10

(Page | 7)

Debtors: POWIN, LLC, et al. Case No.: 25-16137 (MBK)

Caption of Order: Order Granting Motion of the Debtors for Entry of Order (I)

- j) Pending resolution of the Additional Assurance Request and Determination Hearing, the Utility Company making the Additional Assurance Request will be prohibited from altering, refusing, or discontinuing Utility Services to the Debtors on account of unpaid charges for prepetition services or on account of any objections to the Proposed Adequate Assurance.
- 7. The Utility Companies are prohibited from requiring additional adequate assurance of payment other than pursuant to the Adequate Assurance Procedures.
- 8. The inclusion of any entity in, as well as any omission of any entity from, the Utility Services List shall not be deemed an admission by the Debtors that such entity is, or is not, a utility within the meaning of § 366, and the Debtors reserve all rights and defenses with respect thereto.
- 9. The Debtors are authorized to amend the Utility Services List to the extent the Debtors terminate the services of any Utility Company or identify additional Utility Companies and this Order shall apply to any Utility Company that is added to the Utility Services List. The Debtors shall serve a copy of this Order upon any Utility Company added to the Utility Services List.
- 10. The Debtors shall increase the amount of the Adequate Assurance Deposit if an additional Utility Company is added to the Utility Services List by an amount equal to two weeks of Utility Services provided by such additional Utility Company, calculated using the historical average for such payments during the twelve months prior to the Petition Date.

Case 25-16137-MBK Doc 112-1 Filed 06/20/25 Entered 06/20/25 21:16:12 Desc Exhibit A - Proposed Order Page 9 of 10

(Page | 8)

Debtors: POWIN, LLC, et al. Case No.: 25-16137 (MBK)

Caption of Order: Order Granting Motion of the Debtors for Entry of Order (I)

Approving Debtors' Proposed Form of Adequate Assurance of Payment to Utility Companies, (II) Establishing Procedures for Resolving Objections by Utility Companies, (III) Prohibiting Utility Companies From Altering, Refusing, or Discontinuing Service, and (IV) Granting Related Relief

11. The Debtors may terminate the services of any Utility Company and amend the Utility Services List to reflect such termination. The Debtors are authorized to reduce the Utility Deposit by the amount held on account of such terminated Utility Company upon seven days' notice of such reduction and having not received a response thereto by such deadline.

- 12. The relief granted herein is applicable to all Utility Companies providing Utility Services to the Debtors and is not limited to those parties or entities listed on the Utility Services List.
- 13. Nothing contained in the Motion or this Order, nor any payment made pursuant to the authority granted by this Order, is intended to be or shall be construed as (a) an admission as to the validity of any claim against the Debtors, (b) a waiver of the Debtors' or any appropriate party in interest's rights to dispute the amount of, basis for, or validity of any claim against the Debtors, (c) a waiver of any claims or causes of action which may exist against any creditor or interest holder, or (d) an approval, assumption, adoption, or rejection of any agreement, contract, lease, program, or policy between the Debtors and any third party under § 365.
- 14. Under the circumstances of these Chapter 11 Cases, notice of the Motion is adequate under Bankruptcy Rule 6004(a) and the Local Rules.
- 15. Notwithstanding Bankruptcy Rule 6004(h), or any Bankruptcy Rule to the contrary, the terms and conditions of this Order are immediately effective and enforceable upon its entry.

Case 25-16137-MBK Doc 112-1 Filed 06/20/25 Entered 06/20/25 21:16:12 Desc Exhibit A - Proposed Order Page 10 of 10

(Page | 9)

Debtors: POWIN, LLC, et al. Case No.: 25-16137 (MBK)

Caption of Order: Order Granting Motion of the Debtors for Entry of Order (I)

- 16. This Court retains exclusive jurisdiction with respect to all matters arising from or related to the implementation, interpretation, and enforcement of this Order and the Adequate Assurance Procedures.
- 17. The Debtors are authorized to take any and all actions that are necessary to carry out the provisions of this Order.

EXHIBIT B

(Utility Services List)

Name of Provider	Type of Utility	Location of Service	Account #
Portland General Electric	Electric	20550 SW 115th Ave Tualatin, OR	6211210000
Company		97062	
Republic Services	Trash	20550 SW 115th Ave Tualatin, OR	3-0455-0040094
		97062	
Waste Management of	Trash	7524 E Warner Rd Mesa AZ, 85212	29-62009-93001
Arizona, Inc.			
Festival Hydro Inc.	Electric	1 First Canadian Place, Suite 3400	051372-0022603
		Toronto, ON Canada	
SRP	Electric	7524 E Warner Rd Mesa AZ, 85212	855-819-007
City of Mesa	Water	7524 E Warner Rd Mesa AZ, 85212	1109288-342110
Independent Electricity	Power System	1 First Canadian Place, Suite 3400	0690-0458762
System Operator	Management	Toronto, ON Canada	
Northwest Natural	Gas	20550 SW 115th Ave Tualatin, OR	4090347-8
		97062	