

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

-oOo-

In Re: ) Case No. 24-10715  
KS MATTSON PARTNERS, LP ) Chapter 11  
Debtor. )  
In Re: )  
LEFEVER MATTSON, A CALIFORNIA ) Case No. 24-10545  
CORPORATION, ET AL., ) Oakland, California  
Debtor. ) Friday, July 25, 2025  
12:30 PM

1. MOTION OF THE OFFICIAL  
COMMITTEE OF UNSECURED

CREDITORS FOR SUBSTANTIVE  
CONSOLIDATION OF DEBTOR  
LEFEVER MATTSON (24-10545)  
AND KS MATTSON PARTNERS, LP  
(24-10715) AND FOR RELATED  
RELIEF. [157]  
CONT'D FR 7/18/25

2. MOTION OF DEBTOR FOR ENTRY  
OF AN ORDER (I) EXTENDING  
TIME TO FILE SCHEDULES OF  
ASSETS AND LIABILITIES,  
STATEMENTS OF FINANCIAL  
AFFAIRS AND LIST OF EQUITY  
SECURITY HOLDERS, AND (II)  
SUSPENDING THE  
NONGOVERNMENTAL BAR DATE.  
[149]  
CONT'D FR 6/24/25, 7/18/25

3. STATUS CONFERENCE. [200]

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS  
BEFORE THE HONORABLE CHARLES NOVACK  
UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE

APPEARANCES:

For LeFever Mattson, a THOMAS B. JINDA, FJO



1 California corporation: DARA L. SILVEIRA, ESQ.  
2 Keller Benvenutti Kim LLP  
3 425 Market Street  
4 26th Floor  
5 San Francisco, CA 94105  
6 (415)496-6723  
7 For LeFever Mattson DEBRA GRASSGREEN, ESQ.  
8 Creditors Committee: JASON ROSELL, ESQ.  
9 Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones LLP  
10 One Sansome Street  
11 Suite 3430  
12 San Francisco, CA 94104  
13 (415)263-7000  
14 STEVEN W. GOLDEN, ESQ.  
15 1700 Broadway  
16 36th Floor  
17 New York, NY 10019  
18 (212)561-7700  
19 For Timothy LeFever: GREGG M. FICKS, ESQ.  
20 Coblenz Patch Duffy & Bass LLP  
21 1 Montgomery Street  
22 Suite 3000  
23 San Francisco, CA 94104  
24 (415)391-4800  
25 For Opposing Creditors: ISAAC M. GRADMAN, ESQ. (VIA ZOOM)  
Perry, Johnson, Anderson, Miller &  
Moskowitz LLP  
438 1st Street  
4th Floor  
Santa Rosa, CA 95401  
(707)525-8800  
For Socotra Capital, Inc.: THEODORE A. COHEN, ESQ.  
Sheppard, Mullin, Richter &  
Hampton LLP  
333 South Hope Street  
43rd Floor  
Los Angeles, CA 90071  
(213)620-1780  
JEANNIE KIM, ESQ.  
Sheppard, Mullin, Richter &  
Hampton LLP  
Four Embarcadero Center  
17th Floor  
San Francisco, CA 94111

1 (415)434-9100

2

3

4 For Samuel and Sheridan RENO FERNANDEZ, ESQ. (VIA ZOOM)  
Haley: MEERA BALASUBRAMANIAN, ESQ. (VIA  
5 ZOOM)  
6 Binder Malter Harris & Rome-Banks  
7 LLP  
2775 Park Avenue,  
8 Santa Clara, CA 95050  
(408)295-1700

8

9 For Umpqua Bank: ROBERT B. KAPLAN, ESQ. (VIA ZOOM)  
10 Jeffer Mangels Butler & Mitchell  
11 LLP  
Two Embarcadero Center  
12 5th Floor  
San Francisco, CA 94111  
(415)398-8080

12

13 For Monley Hamlin, Inc. MIKAYLA E. KUTSURIS, ESQ. (VIA  
14 ZOOM)  
Felderstein Fitzgerald Willoughby  
15 Pascuzzi & Rios LLP  
500 Capitol Mall  
Suite 2250  
16 Sacramento, CA 95814  
(916)329-7400

16

17 For Chase 1992 Family DANIEL L. EGAN, ESQ. (VIA ZOOM)  
18 Trust: Wilke Fleury LLP  
621 Capitol Mall  
Suite 900  
19 Sacramento, CA 95814  
(916)441-2430

20

21 For Ken Mattson: MARK S. BOSTICK, ESQ. (VIA ZOOM)  
Fennemore Craig, P.C.  
1111 Broadway  
22 24th Floor  
Oakland, CA 94607  
23 (510)834-6600

23

24 For Andrews and Burgess THOMAS P. KELLY, III, ESQ. (VIA  
Trusts: ZOOM)  
25 Law Offices of Thomas P. Kelly III

1 P.C.  
2 50 Old Courthouse Square  
3 Suite 609  
4 Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
5 (707)545-8700

6 For Office of the U.S. JARED A. DAY, ESQ. (VIA ZOOM)  
7 Trustee: U.S. Department of Justice  
8 300 Booth Street  
9 Suite 3009  
10 Reno, NV 89509  
11 (775)784-5530

12 For KS Mattson Partners, ERIN N. BRADY, ESQ. (VIA ZOOM)  
13 LP: EDWARD MCNEILLY, ESQ. (VIA ZOOM)  
14 Hogan Lovells US LLP  
15 1999 Avenue of the Stars  
16 Suite 1400  
17 Los Angeles, CA 90067  
18 (310)785-4600

19 Also Present: Robbin Itkin (Via Zoom)  
20 Responsible Individual for KSMP

21 Court Recorder: ELECTRONIC RECORDING  
22 United States Bankruptcy Court  
23 1300 Clay Street  
24 Oakland, CA 94612

25 Transcriber: RIVER WOLFE  
eScribers, LLC  
7227 N. 16th Street  
Suite #207  
Phoenix, AZ 85020  
(800) 257-0885

Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording;  
transcript provided by transcription service.

OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA, FRIDAY, JULY 25, 2025, 1:05 PM

-oOo-

(Call to order of the Court.)

THE CLERK: Your Honor, this is your specially set hearing for the 12:30 calendar for LeFever Mattson, a California corporation. Your Honor, do you want also to call the KSMP matters or --

THE COURT: Yeah.

THE CLERK: Okay, Your Honor. Thank you.

Line item number 2, Your Honor. KS Mattson Partners, LP.

THE COURT: What is going on here? Give me a second. Okay. Let's first appearances in the LeFever Mattson matter.

MS. SILVEIRA: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Dara Silveira, Keller Benvenutti Kim, on behalf of the debtors.

MR. RUPP: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Thomas Rupp of Keller Benvenutti Kim on behalf of the debtors.

MS. GRASSGREEN: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Debra Grassgreen, Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones, on behalf of the LeFever Mattson creditors committee.

MR. ROSELL: Good morning, Your Honor -- or afternoon, Your Honor. Jason Rosell, Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones, on behalf of the committee.

MR. GOLDEN: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Steve Golden, Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones, also on behalf of the

1 committee.

2 MR. FICKS: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Gregg Ficks,  
3 Coblentz Patch Duffy & bass, for Timothy LeFever.

4 MR. GRADMAN: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Isaac  
5 Gradman from the Perry Johnson law firm on behalf of opposing  
6 creditors to the motion.

7 THE COURT: Mr. Cohen, go ahead.

8 MR. COHEN: Thank you, Your Honor. Ted Cohen and also  
9 Jeannie Kim of Sheppard Mullin for creditor Socotra Capital.

10 MR. FERNANDEZ: Good morning, Your Honor. Reno  
11 Fernandez and Mirabella Subramanian of Bender Malta Harris &  
12 Rome-Banks for Sher and Sam Haley, creditors.

13 MR. KAPLAN: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Robert  
14 Kaplan for Umpqua Bank.

15 MS. KUTSURIS: Good morning, Your Honor. Mikayla  
16 Kutsuris for Monley Hamlin, Inc.

17 MR. EGAN: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Daniel Eagan  
18 appearing on behalf of the Chase 1992 Family Trust.

19 MR. BOSTICK: Good afternoon. Mark Bostick, appearing  
20 on behalf of Ken Matson.

21 MR. KELLY: Good afternoon, Your Honor.

22 THE COURT: Mr. Day, you make an appearance?

23 I'm sorry. Go ahead.

24 MR. KELLY: Yeah. Thomas Kelly for the Burgess and  
25 Andrew trusts, Your Honor.

1 MR. DAY: Jared Day for the United States Trustee.

2 THE COURT: Anyone else?

3 MS. BRADY: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Aaron Brady  
4 appearing for KSMP. And I'm with Robbin Itkin, who's the  
5 responsible individual for KSMP.

6 THE COURT: Okay. Now, anyone making an appearance in  
7 the KSMP case that hasn't?

8 MR. MCNEILLY: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Edward  
9 McNeilly from Hogan Lovells. I'm with Ms. Brady also on behalf  
10 of KSMP in both matters.

11 THE COURT: Yeah.

12 MR. MCNEILLY: Thank you, Your Honor.

13 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Rosell, thank you for the  
14 agenda. It was helpful.

15 MR. ROSELL: Your Honor, Your Honor.

16 THE COURT: Let's try and deal with, I guess, the more  
17 straightforward matters. So today was a -- so I've got a  
18 withdrawal of the interdebtor lending motion, correct?

19 MR. ROSELL: Correct, Your Honor.

20 THE COURT: I have a briefing schedule for the Kelly  
21 Benvenutti fee application. And again, the agenda notice lays  
22 out a timeline.

23 Is that correct, Mr. Day?

24 MR. DAY: That is correct, Your Honor.

25 THE COURT: Okay. And I can adopt that timeline, Mr.

1 Rosell?

2 MR. ROSELL: No opposition from the committee, Your  
3 Honor.

4 THE COURT: Okay. I just want to remind everyone  
5 that, again, I don't think the U.S. Trustee objected to your  
6 fees; is that correct?

7 MR. RUPP: Your Honor, we filed a notice of a  
8 voluntary reduction --

9 THE COURT: Voluntary reduction. Right.

10 MR. RUPP: -- of -- of a small amount, but we did not  
11 file an opposition.

12 THE COURT: Yeah. Yeah, right. I was the one who --  
13 I think the -- I think was the Court that raised objections.  
14 And obviously, the briefs have got to address that. And again,  
15 I'll make the observation, that, again, if I haven't made it  
16 before, that a significant portion of the work done by Keller  
17 Benvenuti, I don't think there's much, again, was done on  
18 behalf of the collective whole.

19 The sales, I mean, the -- I mean, what appears to be.  
20 Again, the sales -- I mean, all -- my concern was where -- and  
21 again, I just see what I see. Again, my concern was where we  
22 were -- where the firm was venturing over the line, that being  
23 where actual conflicts seemed to emerge. And most apparent one  
24 was in the interdebtor lending motion. And secondarily, I  
25 guess the Live Oak motions, where it's difficult to wear two



1 hats at the same time.

2 But I understand, and I appreciate the case law that  
3 the debtor, I think -- that the debtor provided me with regard  
4 to what happens in cases like this, where you have single  
5 counsel. But again, even those cases acknowledge that you've  
6 got to look at matters on a individual-issue basis. And I've  
7 raised at least two that gave me concern.

8 Okay. I just want to again remind everyone that that  
9 was my concern. At least, those are the things that, from my  
10 perspective, need to be addressed. Okay. So I guess I'll look  
11 forward to those briefs.

12 MS. SILVEIRA: Your Honor, if I may, would you like us  
13 to notice the continued hearing, or we'll simply adopt the  
14 schedule laid out and file a brief on the 15th?

15 THE COURT: Well, again, we'll adopt the schedule.  
16 Again, August 15th, Keller Benvenutti's supplemental brief.  
17 August 29th, the United States Trustee's response. Reply by  
18 the firm on the 5th. And I'll hear it on the 12th.

19 MS. SILVEIRA: Thank you, Your Honor.

20 THE COURT: Okay. Okay, which leaves us with the  
21 motion for substantive consolidation; is that correct?

22 MS. GRASSGREEN: There's also a KSMP status conference  
23 on calendar.

24 THE COURT: Oh, right. Right. Right. Right. Well,  
25 okay. My understanding, I guess, Ms. Brady, are you going to

1 handle that? Okay. And my understanding --

2 MS. BRADY: Yes, I am, Your Honor.

3 THE COURT: Right. And my understanding is, again,  
4 and part of that is tucked into the motion for substantive  
5 consolidation that there's an August 8th deadline for filing  
6 the documents required under Section 521, the schedule,  
7 statement of financial affairs, et cetera.

8 MS. BRADY: Correct.

9 THE COURT: Ms. Brady, I'm going to ask a loaded  
10 question. You can not answer it if you wish. Is KSMP going to  
11 be able to file schedules that it can proudly present to the  
12 Court as somewhat accurate? I mean, I'll use the word  
13 "accurate" loose -- I mean, and again, I appreciate everyone's  
14 honesty here and your client's candor. Is KSMP going to be  
15 able to file schedules?

16 MS. BRADY: Your Honor, we will file schedules on  
17 August 8th. We will file them to the best of the knowledge and  
18 information that we have available to them. Will they be what  
19 I would think are the greatest schedules ever filed or even  
20 close to that, probably not because we just don't have access  
21 to the information. So --

22 THE COURT: Appreciate it.

23 MS. BRADY: Yeah, that's the answer I can give you,  
24 Your Honor.

25 THE COURT: No, no, again, I said loaded question. I

1 appreciate the response because I mean, to a certain extent,  
2 and I know I've received -- I mean, that's a important -- I  
3 think everyone can see that's important factor in the motion.  
4 But again, the motion's going to be resolved. Again, I guess  
5 I'll deal with that and when I see a plan, perhaps. Okay.  
6 Again, I appreciate the candor.

7 Okay. Anything else I need to know? Remind me, has a  
8 meeting -- what other dates have been set for -- I know the  
9 parties want me to set a date for a claims bar date and things  
10 like that, but what other dates have been set in your case?

11 MS. BRADY: Yes, Your Honor. So we had a initial  
12 meeting of creditors last Thursday the 17th --

13 THE COURT: Okay.

14 MS. BRADY: -- which was short and continued, given  
15 that there were no schedules filed. That has been continued to  
16 August 22nd. And then we also, of course, in advance of the  
17 meeting of creditors, sent out a notice of bankruptcy. On that  
18 notice of bankruptcy, it indicated that there would be a  
19 further party order --

20 THE COURT: Yeah, the Court was stuck on that one. We  
21 had to issue it. We knew that the deadline was -- I mean, the  
22 notice was short. And thank you for the U.S. Trustee and the  
23 debtor continuing the hearing.

24 MS. BRADY: Yes. And then we will file a motion in  
25 pretty short order seeking a bar date kind of as previewed in

1 the papers that we filed on the substantive consolidation  
2 piece. And our thought there is that we'll file that hopefully  
3 on an expedited notice so that we can get it in front of Your  
4 Honor and get the form out to folks. It'll be a customized  
5 form. We've been working with the committee, and we've even  
6 spoken to some investors about what would be easiest for them  
7 to understand.

8 And then once we have that out, our thought is Msl.  
9 Itkin will hold a investor town hall. Kind of introduce  
10 herself. Let the investors and creditors know what has been  
11 going on. And then we'll also take some time on that to walk  
12 through the claim form to try to eliminate confusion because  
13 some people have already filed claims and they think they filed  
14 them in KSMP, and we want to make sure that they understand how  
15 the two forms interplay.

16 THE COURT: Mr. Rupp or Ms. Silveira, tell me, did we  
17 use a customized form in the LeFever Mattson?

18 MR. RUPP: We did, Your Honor. It was very  
19 specialized --

20 THE COURT: Right.

21 MR. RUPP: -- for the investor claims.

22 THE COURT: Right. Okay. Okay. Sorry to interrupt,  
23 Ms. Brady. Anything else?

24 MS. BRADY: No, Your Honor. That was all on the bar  
25 date.

1           THE COURT: So we now turn to the motion for  
2 substantive consolidation? Is that about right? Okay. I've  
3 read everyone's papers, motion, objection, and I did read the  
4 KSMP's, I guess, response, which includes some suggestions as  
5 to how this should proceed. And then I did see, I guess, the  
6 committee's reply. Okay.

7           MS. GRASSGREEN: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Again,  
8 for the record, Debra Grassgreen, Pachulski Stang Ziehl &  
9 Jones, on behalf of the LeFever Mattson creditors committee and  
10 the movant. First of all, Your Honor, I haven't been before  
11 you in this matter, so it's nice to be here, and it's nice to  
12 be here locally in person. So good to see you today.

13           Your Honor, today was scheduled to be a preliminary  
14 scheduling motion, not a substantive motion. We did receive a  
15 number of responses and objections to the motion. We conferred  
16 with those parties, with the Office of the United States  
17 Trustee, with KSMP, and with Lefever Mattson, who both filed  
18 responses. The LeFever Mattson debtors have joined in the  
19 motion. And as you saw in our reply, our proposal is to  
20 actually continue the substantive response -- substantive  
21 motion so that the joint plan, which is embodied in the plan  
22 term sheet, which right now is a joint plan among all of the  
23 Lefever Mattson entities --

24           THE COURT: And I'm going to -- and the one thing I  
25 noted was, again, the point here is that we're going to deal

1 with the motion for substantive consolidation between the KSMP  
2 debtor and LeFever Mattson in the plan. But what your term  
3 sheet also says is it's going to be -- you're also going to  
4 deal with the substantive consolidation of the sixty-odd what I  
5 call LeFever Mattson cases all in the plan.

6 MS. GRASSGREEN: Correct.

7 THE COURT: That is going to be one heck of a  
8 disclosure statement. Yeah. That's --

9 MS. GRASSGREEN: Well, Your Honor, there's quite a bit  
10 of documentary evidence that was in connection with our motion,  
11 but we are going to do our best to have the disclosure  
12 statement be in as much plain English, and as I --

13 THE COURT: No, no. Yeah, no --

14 MS. GRASSGREEN: -- go through it.

15 THE COURT: -- I'll appreciate it. But again, I  
16 noticed the --

17 MS. GRASSGREEN: Yes. But the plan is essentially --

18 THE COURT: But I just remind us, again, that's  
19 what --

20 MS. GRASSGREEN: Yeah.

21 THE COURT: Again, the plan is going to seek to  
22 consolidate everybody.

23 MS. GRASSGREEN: Yes, Your Honor.

24 THE COURT: Right. Okay.

25 MS. GRASSGREEN: Yes. So Your Honor, the idea is

1 that, as you read and I won't put words in Ms. Itkin's mouth  
2 in, but in their response is that everything they've seen so  
3 far leads to the fact that substantive consolidation makes  
4 sense. But they need a little more time. And we want for  
5 creditors to have a voice, as we did with the consolidation of  
6 the existing LeFever Mattson related entities.

7 So the idea is that, hopefully, we can get KSMP on  
8 board. And we will take the plan term sheet, and the plan you  
9 see will not just be a plan for the LeFever Mattson related  
10 entities but also with KSMP. One joint plan for the whole  
11 related --

12 THE COURT: Kit and caboodle, as my mother would say.

13 MS. GRASSGREEN: The whole thing. The whole kit and  
14 caboodle.

15 THE COURT: Yeah.

16 MS. GRASSGREEN: That's absolutely correct, Your  
17 Honor. And then we -- and then in -- and in connection with  
18 that, we can address substantive consolidation issues. If, by  
19 chance, things don't go well and KSMP doesn't join in the plan  
20 and it's not a joint plan, then we're going to need to come  
21 back and deal with the substance and deal with the objections.  
22 And so we have a --

23 THE COURT: Again, the same is true with regard to the  
24 motion to substantive consolidate all of what I call the  
25 LeFever Mattson entities.

1 MS. GRASSGREEN: Yes.

2 THE COURT: Right.

3 MS. GRASSGREEN: Yes. Although, you've read the plan,  
4 so you can see that is in the context of a plan where you will  
5 have creditors voting. And in the context of a global  
6 settlements --

7 THE COURT: Um-hum.

8 MS. GRASSGREEN: -- the analysis may be somewhat  
9 different and hopefully simpler in the context of a settlement,  
10 which makes a lot of sense in order to -- everyone's goal here  
11 is the same, to keep the cost at a minimum in a very complex  
12 situation so that the harm to investors can be minimized.

13 THE COURT: No, I appreciate it.

14 MS. GRASSGREEN: We don't want them to be revictimized  
15 by this process.

16 So we prepared a fairly simple form of order. We  
17 tried to follow the prior joint administration order for the  
18 other sixty-one LeFever Mattson debtors. We circulated it to  
19 all the parties. The U.S. Trustee weighed in on it. And I  
20 think out of -- we got comments and sign off from nine of the  
21 parties. And I think, I believe, the Haley parties are on  
22 board, but they should confirm. And we did not hear back from  
23 the Chase 1992 Family Trust.

24 We made a couple of changes, Your Honor, to the order  
25 from some of the comments between the version that was filed



1 with our reply and the version we have here this morning. I  
2 have a redline I can approach and share it with you, or I could  
3 go through the comments, whatever you prefer.

4 THE COURT: Could you hand a copy to my courtroom  
5 deputy?

6 MS. GRASSGREEN: Certainly.

7 THE COURT: Thanks. I try not to focus on the order  
8 when I have such a -- because I never know which way the  
9 motion's going to go. So it's --

10 MS. GRASSGREEN: And we have a clean, if you'd like,  
11 Your Honor --

12 THE COURT: No, it's okay.

13 MS. GRASSGREEN: -- without the redline.

14 THE COURT: Yeah, I did look at it. Okay. Again,  
15 yeah. So again, the bridge order, basically where there's  
16 going to be an administrative consolidation of all cases.

17 MS. GRASSGREEN: Essentially, Your Honor.

18 THE COURT: Right, and I understand.

19 MS. GRASSGREEN: But we do deal with a couple of  
20 things that were important to various of the parties to make it  
21 very clear that Ms. Itkin is remaining in place. That this is  
22 not substantive consolidation. The assets are staying  
23 separate.

24 THE COURT: Right. Right.

25 MS. GRASSGREEN: We had some comments with respect to

1 how the claims agent fees will be accounted for, and they have  
2 confirmed that they will separately account for --

3 THE COURT: Okay.

4 MS. GRASSGREEN: -- specific mailings for KSMP and the  
5 claims work for KSMP, which was already in the order. But  
6 other than that, Your Honor, it is really joint administration,  
7 which will enable quite a few efficiencies and costs --

8 THE COURT: Right.

9 MS. GRASSGREEN: -- and continuation of the motion at  
10 this time.

11 THE COURT: Okay. Let me give you some comments on  
12 what the committee and KSMP -- again, what the stipulation  
13 between the parties is, that we're staying the prosecution of  
14 the motion, and it's going to be heard in conjunction with  
15 planned confirmation, again, after approval of disclosure  
16 statement.

17 MS. GRASSGREEN: And just on that point, Your Honor --

18 THE COURT: Yeah.

19 MS. GRASSGREEN: -- just in the interest of clarity,  
20 if by chance, for example, KSMP doesn't join in the joint plan  
21 or something else happens and we're not going down the joint  
22 plan path, then we would come back. And we agreed with parties  
23 on fourteen days' notice and reset the scheduling and move  
24 forward --

25 THE COURT: Right.

1 MS. GRASSGREEN: -- with the motion. So we're not  
2 withdrawing it. We're just holding it in abeyance --

3 THE COURT: Right.

4 MS. GRASSGREEN: -- hoping that we have global peace,  
5 but if we don't --

6 THE COURT: Correct. No, I understand. KSMP's going  
7 to move for an expedited bar date, again, using a revised proof  
8 of claim form. Again, I look forward to that motion. Again,  
9 there's going to be an administrative consolidation of KSMP  
10 with the LeFever Mattson cases. Again, it's pretty straight  
11 forward. I guess PwC will perform a -- will perform a claims  
12 analysis of KSMP investor claims.

13 Now, the way I read that, and just, again, you're  
14 going to have to help me out here, is Pricewaterhouse is going  
15 to essentially say, the claims analysis is going to be this is  
16 what's going to happen in a substantive consolidation. This is  
17 what happen if we don't substantively consolidate. Is that  
18 what they're going to do?

19 MS. GRASSGREEN: Not exactly, Your Honor --

20 THE COURT: Right. That's why I need some help.

21 MS. GRASSGREEN: -- and let me just clarify. I think  
22 what you read in the KSMP papers and where we are with the  
23 Office of the United States Trustee, depending on what happens  
24 in the cases, we may need to come back and clarify exactly  
25 PwC's role because we don't want them doing work that everyone

1 isn't clear about that they can get paid to do.

2 THE COURT: Um-hum.

3 MS. GRASSGREEN: But essentially, the idea in  
4 connection with the plan, the joint plan, to have the least  
5 amount of burden as possible on the investors is that the  
6 investors will receive in connection with their ballot the  
7 calculation of what their netted claim would be. That's the  
8 work that they need to do. And in reviewing the claims,  
9 there's a tremendous amount of overlap between KSMP and LeFever  
10 Mattson. You can't just say, I'm just going to look at what  
11 the LeFever Mattson claims are because money was rolled between  
12 back and forth.

13 THE COURT: No, I get it.

14 MS. GRASSGREEN: So everyone agrees. And I believe, I  
15 won't put words in Ms. Brady's mouth, but I believe from  
16 talking to the Hogan Lovells team and Ms. Itkin that -- and PwC  
17 has made a presentation to KSMP and to LeFever Mattson about  
18 everything that they've been working on, that the most  
19 efficient thing is to have them do that work for everybody for  
20 the whole enterprise.

21 THE COURT: No, I agree. I agree.

22 MS. GRASSGREEN: So depending on what -- right now,  
23 they're employed for the committee and the LeFever Mattson  
24 debtors. We believe, as a committee, that they be -- in a  
25 joint plan, they could be the designated party to do it for

1 everybody, if we -- but they may want some clarification. We'd  
2 file a supplemental declaration. There's other --

3 THE COURT: If needed, an amended order in terms of  
4 how they get paid or who's paying them.

5 MS. GRASSGREEN: Right. Right.

6 THE COURT: Again, should the substantive  
7 consolidation fall through, then think about that.

8 MS. GRASSGREEN: Right. Yeah, that's what we most  
9 likely will need to do.

10 THE COURT: Let's talk about --

11 MS. GRASSGREEN: There are circumstances where it may  
12 not be necessary.

13 THE COURT: Okay.

14 MS. GRASSGREEN: The U.S. Trustee has said they're  
15 considering appointing the committee in all of the cases. We  
16 have --

17 THE COURT: Yeah.

18 MS. GRASSGREEN: -- seven out of eight of our  
19 committee members have KSMP claims. So if that happens, that  
20 changes --

21 THE COURT: Right.

22 MS. GRASSGREEN: -- it as well. So --

23 THE COURT: Okay.

24 MS. GRASSGREEN: -- we're a little fluid, but everyone  
25 agrees to the concept, which is --

1 THE COURT: Right.

2 MS. GRASSGREEN: -- PwC should do this work. We don't  
3 need to double up on somebody else.

4 THE COURT: The bug you may want to put in PwC's ear  
5 is I read somewhere that their fees are about two million now  
6 or --

7 MS. GRASSGREEN: I think that the KSMP papers  
8 reflected that that was the cost of doing the claims  
9 analysis --

10 THE COURT: Okay.

11 MS. GRASSGREEN: -- that they've done. Yes.

12 THE COURT: I'd rather see a fee application for them  
13 sooner rather than later --

14 MS. GRASSGREEN: Okay.

15 THE COURT: -- because I'd much rather look at a two-  
16 million-dollar fee app than a three-million-dollar fee app,  
17 again, only because as you know, I take these things  
18 seriously --

19 MS. GRASSGREEN: Yeah.

20 THE COURT: -- and it's not easy to go through a  
21 three-million-dollar fee app.

22 MS. GRASSGREEN: We understand.

23 THE COURT: Not easy to go through a two-million-  
24 dollar fee app, but it's easier to go through two, rather than  
25 three. So again, if they're thinking about filing one, tell

1    them sooner is better than later. But I'll leave that up to  
2    them.

3               MS. GRASSGREEN: Understood, Your Honor.

4               THE COURT: Then we get to the mediator, correct?

5               MS. GRASSGREEN: The suggestion of the mediator was a  
6    very good one that was raised by the KSMP professionals and the  
7    other -- and we have been discussing it, frankly, among the  
8    various parties in the LeFever Mattson case as we get to this  
9    critical point in the case, where there is substantive  
10   objections. But I think the idea is there'll be a separate  
11   motion for mediation procedures.

12              THE COURT: Okay. Here's my comments on that.  
13   Obviously, there is a dispute with regard to the substantive  
14   consolidation. And so I've got a dispute. If you believe a  
15   mediator would help, Judge Newsome is certainly well qualified  
16   to do that. And the same -- again, so again, the language in  
17   the pleading was you're seeking to appoint Judge Newsome as a  
18   mediator to assist in resolving any disputes among the parties  
19   to substantive consolidation, again, there's a dispute there,  
20   so a mediator makes sense. Plan confirmation, again, if you  
21   need -- if he wants to act on -- if he wants to facilitate a  
22   plan, akin to a subchapter V trustee, that makes sense. Or  
23   other case issues and disputes. It's that last language that I  
24   had some concern with, and it's less a concern -- so --

25              MS. GRASSGREEN: You may be reading from --

1 THE COURT: -- and this description --

2 MS. GRASSGREEN: the KSMP reply, I guess.

3 THE COURT: It could be. You're right.

4 MS. GRASSGREEN: Yeah. Yeah.

5 THE COURT: It's someone. It's KSMP.

6 MS. GRASSGREEN: Right. And we did say --

7 THE COURT: Wait. Wait. Wait.

8 MS. GRASSGREEN: -- we anticipate filing a motion with  
9 the procedures for you.

10 THE COURT: But just let me --

11 MS. GRASSGREEN: Yeah. Apologies.

12 THE COURT: -- tell you what my concern is with regard  
13 to "or other case issues and disputes". That description of  
14 his duties sounds suspiciously like a request to appoint a  
15 special master under Rule 53. There is no Rule 53 in the  
16 Bankruptcy Rules. So I don't have the authority or the  
17 inclination to do that. If you need Judge Newsome to assist  
18 you on matters that -- on disputes, fine. But he can't serve  
19 as a special master. You're stuck with me. That's all I can  
20 tell you.

21 MS. GRASSGREEN: That was not -- that certainly wasn't  
22 our intention, and I don't believe --

23 THE COURT: I understand. I'm just --

24 MS. GRASSGREEN: -- that was KSMP's intention. I  
25 think the --



1 THE COURT: I appreciate it.

2 MS. GRASSGREEN: -- idea would be, Your Honor,  
3 especially because we're dealing with a lot of individual  
4 investors, I think, and we haven't worked out the details of  
5 what we would propose.

6 THE COURT: Right.

7 MS. GRASSGREEN: But the idea would be that perhaps,  
8 rather than requiring people to present a formal objection or a  
9 formal issue, if there's a way for them to raise an informal  
10 dispute, and we can avoid the costs of a formal proceeding on  
11 certain issues.

12 THE COURT: Yeah, but he's not --

13 MS. GRASSGREEN: We've seen that in PG&E, for example.

14 THE COURT: The thing is, I understand, but he's not a  
15 judicial officer anymore.

16 MS. GRASSGREEN: Right.

17 THE COURT: I mean, he can't render opinions. That's  
18 not his job. I don't think he --

19 MS. GRASSGREEN: Right.

20 THE COURT: Again, he knows. I mean --

21 MS. GRASSGREEN: Right.

22 THE COURT: -- I don't have to tell him, and I'm not  
23 going to tell him. But he knows full well what he can and  
24 can't do.

25 MS. GRASSGREEN: Right. Of course, Your Honor.

1 THE COURT: And so it's one thing to -- it's one thing  
2 to set up something like that post-confirmation. It's another  
3 thing to try and do it pre-confirmation.

4 MS. GRASSGREEN: I mean, I haven't really had the time  
5 at the moment to brainstorm --

6 THE COURT: Okay. So --

7 MS. GRASSGREEN: -- this with everyone, but I mean,  
8 what we were thinking was something along the line of some sort  
9 of confirmation mediation procedures, where if someone has an  
10 informal objection, they could raise it before they have to  
11 file and everyone has to respond because there's a lot of  
12 people involved here.

13 THE COURT: Yeah, I know. And Judge Newsome  
14 doesn't --

15 MS. GRASSGREEN: Every piece of paper that gets  
16 filed --

17 THE COURT: -- want to start giving out legal advice.  
18 Well, I'll plug this. Let me --

19 MS. GRASSGREEN: Right. Then we can mediate an  
20 informal -- like, informally --

21 THE COURT: I know.

22 MS. GRASSGREEN: -- go to mediation before we --

23 THE COURT: Yeah.

24 MS. GRASSGREEN: -- bring a formal dispute to you.  
25 That type of thing.

1 THE COURT: Yeah, but again, he's -- again, it's not  
2 like -- you're creating a Stern v. Marshal situation, where --

3 MS. GRASSGREEN: Again, it would be a voluntary  
4 mediation.,

5 THE COURT: Here is my suggestion. If that's what you  
6 think you need, create a quick response. I mean, we can create  
7 a procedure in this Court. Again, I'll give you an example.  
8 Again, I don't know. I'll give you an example. Discovery  
9 disputes. I handle discovery disputes not by form of motion  
10 but by letters. Each party files a letter. I read it. I have  
11 a quick telephonic hearing. So again, I mean, if you think  
12 there's a need for a process like that, let me know. So at  
13 least --

14 MS. GRASSGREEN: Okay.

15 THE COURT: -- they won't have to have Judge Newsome,  
16 and he'll be charging his hourly rate, while I'm free. Pretty  
17 good deal.

18 MS. GRASSGREEN: Well, we appreciate that, Your Honor.  
19 And we will --

20 THE COURT: Yeah.

21 MS. GRASSGREEN: -- put our heads together and try to  
22 figure out the best way. But again --

23 THE COURT: Okay.

24 MS. GRASSGREEN: -- the goal was is there a way to  
25 avoid a lot of litigation, which we know as we get to this

1 confirmation phase could be expensive.

2 THE COURT: Right.

3 MS. GRASSGREEN: Burdensome on the investors. That's  
4 our goal. That's everyone's goal.

5 THE COURT: Okay. Okay.

6 MS. GRASSGREEN: So Your Honor, I don't have anything  
7 further on the continuation of the sub con motion and the joint  
8 admin. I don't know if you have any questions about the order.

9 THE COURT: Who is going to be -- no, I don't -- it's  
10 just, who's going to be at the mediation table? I mean, you've  
11 got -- or each one of the -- I mean, I guess you're going to  
12 try and resolve some of the -- I mean, I've got eight parties  
13 objecting? Are all eight going to be at the mediation table?

14 MS. GRASSGREEN: well, we haven't quite worked out all  
15 the --

16 THE COURT: Right.

17 MS. GRASSGREEN: You mean at once or invited to  
18 mediate? We'd like to try to mediate and resolve as many of  
19 those objections and any other confirmation objections as  
20 possible.

21 THE COURT: Okay.

22 MS. GRASSGREEN: Yeah.

23 THE COURT: Because I mean, I don't want anyone to  
24 think that they're being left out of the cold and their  
25 objection is they've got to renew this objection to

1 confirmation.

2 MS. GRASSGREEN: No, we've acknowledged the -- well,  
3 the sub con motion is -- there may be other issues they need to  
4 raise in connection with confirmation because again,  
5 confirmation is a global settlement.

6 THE COURT: No, no, but I'm --

7 MS. GRASSGREEN: Right. Right.

8 THE COURT: No, I understand but --

9 MS. GRASSGREEN: But we have made it very clear that  
10 all the parties that have objected, to the extent we reset the  
11 motion and we actually are going forward on the motion, that  
12 they have a voice in the scheduling, et cetera, so that's  
13 all --

14 THE COURT: Right, but not a voice at the table.

15 MS. GRASSGREEN: Oh, you mean in terms of mediating  
16 the --

17 THE COURT: Yeah.

18 MS. GRASSGREEN: We absolutely would want to mediate  
19 their disputes.

20 THE COURT: Okay. Okay.

21 MS. GRASSGREEN: One hundred percent, Your Honor.

22 THE COURT: Okay. I just want to make sure of it.  
23 Okay.

24 What else I got to do today? Is that it?

25 MS. GRASSGREEN: We don't have anything further, Your

1 Honor.

2 THE COURT: Okay.

3 MS. GRASSGREEN: Anyone else? No?

4 THE COURT: Anything from, I guess, the committee?

5 MR. RUPP: I know you've worked through your lunch  
6 hour, so we thank you.

7 MR. ROSELL: Your Honor, just --

8 THE COURT: Mr. Rosell.

9 MR. ROSELL: I may have missed it, Your Honor. I  
10 think on agenda 6, on our proposed agenda, there was the KSMP  
11 motion to extend the deadline to file schedules.

12 THE COURT: I think it's through August 8th. Right,  
13 Ms. Brady?

14 MS. BRADY: Yes, Your Honor. I think that had been  
15 carried over. Just placed their --

16 THE COURT: Okay. You're right. You're right.

17 MS. BRADY: Yeah.

18 THE COURT: But right now, it's August 8th. And you  
19 think you can meet that deadline?

20 MS. BRADY: We will meet it.

21 THE COURT: I understand. Okay.

22 Anything else from the committee?

23 MS. GRASSGREEN: No, Your Honor.

24 MR. ROSELL: No, Your Honor.

25 MS. GRASSGREEN: Thank you.

1 THE COURT: Anything from the LeFever Mattson --

2 MS. SILVEIRA: No, Your Honor. Thank you.

3 THE COURT: Ms. Brady, anything else?

4 MS. BRADY: Nothing, Your Honor. Thank you.

5 THE COURT: Does anyone else have anything they want  
6 to add? Any of the parties who object to the --

7 MR. FERNANDEZ: Your Honor, I just want to confirm, as  
8 Ms. Brady mentioned, she had not heard back from us. I'm happy  
9 to say now, the Haleys do support the proposed order, just for  
10 the record.

11 THE COURT: Okay. Anyone else?

12 MS. KUTSURIS: Your Honor, Mikayla Kutsuris for Monley  
13 Hamlin, Inc.

14 THE COURT: Yeah.

15 MS. KUTSURIS: We had met and conferred with the  
16 committee counsel, and we requested clarification that the  
17 proposed order, in terms of reservation of all rights, apply to  
18 all parties and not just parties to this stipulated bridge  
19 order. The B paragraphs --

20 THE COURT: Well, I think --

21 MS. KUTSURIS: Well, then --

22 THE COURT: -- but your client wanted language stating  
23 that consolidation would not affect its secured claim. Is  
24 there any --

25 MS. KUTSURIS: Yes, Your Honor.

1 THE COURT: Right.

2 MS. GRASSGREEN: Your Honor, we provided language that  
3 those that objected to the substantive consolidation, that the  
4 reservation applies to them.

5 THE COURT: Okay. Okay.

6 MS. KUTSURIS: Yes, Your Honor. That's correct.

7 THE COURT: Okay. Anyone else? Any comments? Okay.

8 MR. EGAN: Your Honor, just --

9 THE COURT: Go ahead. I'm sorry.

10 MR. EGAN: Your Honor, this is Daniel Egan on behalf  
11 of the 1992 Chase Family Trust. Just very briefly, I was a  
12 little surprised by Ms. Grassgreen's comment because we  
13 actually have been talking with the debtor. Maybe not the  
14 committee, but we have been talking to the debtor. It's not as  
15 if we ignored this motion. If everyone else thinks that  
16 administrative consolidation is going to promote judicial  
17 efficiency, then I guess we're fine with that. I'm skeptical,  
18 but everybody else seems comfortable.

19 I did want to just highlight something for the future,  
20 which is there was been some discussion about allocation of the  
21 fees of Verita, the common claims agent. But I'm not sure all  
22 of the fees have been allocated or has been figured out. And  
23 going forward, allocations have been a real pet peeve for us of  
24 the fees and costs --

25 THE COURT: Right. I understand.



1 MR. EGAN: -- case. I just want to --

2 THE COURT: No, and again, that's one of the  
3 unresolved issues that -- didn't Mr. Kelly refile his motion to  
4 appoint a Chapter 11 trustee in Live Oak? That's also coming  
5 my way?

6 MR. ROSELL: That's correct, Your Honor. And also, to  
7 address Mr. Egan, we have specifically created a separate  
8 matter number for Live Oak so we can allocate the professional  
9 fees involved in engaging with this Chapter 11 trustee motion  
10 to allocate that to the Live Oak estate.

11 THE COURT: Right. Right. Yeah, I mean, I understand  
12 the fees are -- absent a motion for substantive consolidation,  
13 the fees are -- it's a nettlesome issue, but the parties will  
14 work through it.

15 MR. EGAN: If I might just respond, just so that we're  
16 not under a misapprehension. My client has numerous claims  
17 against numerous LeFever Mattson entities and numerous KS  
18 Mattson entities. We're not a one-entity creditor here. Live  
19 Oak is kind of our main issue right now, but please don't be  
20 left under the impression that my client just has one single  
21 investment in Live Oak.

22 THE COURT: Again, I don't -- again, all I know is  
23 what I read, Mr. Egan. And again, I mean, your client is for  
24 the moment focusing on Live Oak, and so that's what I focus on  
25 when asked but --

1 MR. EGAN: Thank you.

2 THE COURT: Yeah. I'm not limiting -- I mean, I'm not  
3 limiting your client in any way, shape, or form.

4 Anything else?

5 MR. KELLY: If I may be heard, Your Honor. Mr.  
6 Kelly --

7 THE COURT: Yes, Mr. Kelly.

8 MR. KELLY: -- yeah, for the Andrew and Burgess  
9 trusts. We oppose consolidation of Live Oak. It has no -- it  
10 has several million dollars on deposit. The counsel that  
11 you're hearing from right now are the same ones that took  
12 millions of dollars out of Live Oak mere days --

13 THE COURT: No. No. No.

14 MR. EGAN: -- before the filing of fifty-nine --

15 THE COURT: Well, hang on. Let me stop. First, I've  
16 got the committee before me, not Mr. Sharp.

17 MR. EGAN: Well --

18 THE COURT: Second. Second, this motion, I just want  
19 to remind everyone because someone's on the -- again, I started  
20 out -- some of you are under the apprehension that this motion  
21 is going to substantively consolidate the constellation, the  
22 universe of the LeFever Mattson entities, the sixty-three  
23 Chapter 11 debtors. And that's not what this motion is. It's  
24 just the LeFever Mattson Chapter 11 debtor and the KSMP Chapter  
25 11 debtor.

1           And I understand the arguments that were made by Mr.  
2   LeFever as to what he thinks the impact of that will be. I get  
3   it. I understand. He thinks people are trying to bootstrap  
4   their way into a Ponzi scheme. Presumption. But again, the  
5   motion's been put into abeyance, and the parties will go  
6   negotiate. See what happens.

7           MS. GRASSGREEN: And Your Honor, I apologize to Mr.  
8   Egan. My notes were as of last evening, and we hadn't heard  
9   back. Or at least that's what I had heard.

10          THE COURT: Right. No, I appreciate it.

11          MS. GRASSGREEN: So I apologize if he had provided  
12   comments to the order and I stated otherwise.

13          THE COURT: Okay. Okay.

14          MR. KELLY: Judge, if I may continue.

15          THE COURT: Go ahead, Mr. Kelly, but --

16          MR. KELLY: Okay.

17          THE COURT: -- again, we're not dealing with --

18          MR. KELLY: I understand.

19          THE COURT: Okay. So yeah.

20          MR. KELLY: Yeah. Just that I wanted to state for the  
21   record that we oppose any consolidation of Live Oak until at  
22   least until after the motion to appoint a trustee for Live Oak  
23   alone is heard on August 22nd.

24          THE COURT: Right. Right. And again, the motion for  
25   substantive consolidation between Live Oak and any other entity

1 is not on the table.

2 MS. GRASSGREEN: Correct, Your Honor.

3 THE COURT: Right now.

4 MR. KELLY: Okay. Yeah. Nothing further, Your Honor.  
5 Thank you.

6 THE COURT: Okay. Okay. I appreciate it.

7 So what am I going to -- what do I have to set dates  
8 for?

9 MS. GRASSGREEN: Well, we can upload the order, Your  
10 Honor --

11 THE COURT: Right.

12 MS. GRASSGREEN: -- on the bridge order on joint  
13 administration.

14 THE COURT: Right. What about the KSMP status  
15 conference? Do I need to set a continued hearing date on that,  
16 just so it doesn't fall through the cracks?

17 MS. BRADY: Yes, Your Honor.

18 THE COURT: When do you want that -- when would you  
19 want to schedule that for? Pick a Friday.

20 MS. BRADY: How about maybe the 29th?

21 THE COURT: Of?

22 MS. BRADY: Of August. Your Honor --

23 THE COURT: That's fine.

24 MS. BRADY: -- is that too soon, you think?

25 THE COURT: Wait. Hang on. I may be --

1 THE CLERK: You're out, Your Honor.

2 THE COURT: -- I may be out that --

3 MS. BRADY: Oh, you're out of -- you're out of the --  
4 you're right.

5 THE COURT: Yeah. How about the --

6 MS. BRADY: We could do it when you're back. Maybe  
7 that first Friday after you're back?

8 THE CLERK: The 12th.

9 THE COURT: I don't know when I'm going to be -- how  
10 about September 12th?

11 THE CLERK: Yes, Your Honor.

12 THE COURT: I am coming back. September 12th at 11?

13 MS. BRADY: That works, Your Honor.

14 THE COURT: Any problem, anyone else, of that?

15 MR. RUPP: Your Honor.

16 THE COURT: Yes, Mr. --

17 MR. RUPP: I believe today is also a LeFever Mattson  
18 status conference. So we can continue that to the same date.

19 THE COURT: Okay.

20 MR. RUPP: And no problems there.

21 THE COURT: Okay. We'll also continue all the LeFever  
22 Mattson cases to September 12th at 11. See how things go.

23 Okay. Thank you, all. I appreciate it.

24 (Whereupon these proceedings were concluded at 1:41 PM)

25

## C E R T I F I C A T I O N

I, River Wolfe, certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.



---

/s/ RIVER WOLFE, CDLT-265

eScribers

7227 N. 16th Street, Suite #207

Phoenix, AZ 85020

Date: July 29, 2025

A	18:12,15;19:7,8,8,10, 13:21:6;22:17,25; 23:16,16,19,20;25:20; 27:1,1,3,7,8,11,22; 29:4;33:2,22,22,23; 34:19;35:4,17,24	6:18,19;7:4 <b>appears (1)</b> 8:19 <b>application (2)</b> 7:21;22:12 <b>applies (1)</b> 32:4 <b>apply (1)</b> 31:17 <b>appoint (4)</b> 23:17;24:14;33:4; 35:22 <b>appointing (1)</b> 21:15 <b>appreciate (12)</b> 9:2;10:13,22;11:1, 6:14;15:16;13:25:1; 27:18;35:10;36:6; 37:23 <b>apprehension (1)</b> 34:20 <b>approach (1)</b> 17:2 <b>approval (1)</b> 18:15 <b>arguments (1)</b> 35:1 <b>assets (1)</b> 17:22 <b>assist (2)</b> 23:18;24:17 <b>August (9)</b> 9:16,17;10:5,17; 11:16;30:12,18; 35:23;36:22 <b>authority (1)</b> 24:16 <b>available (1)</b> 10:18 <b>avoid (2)</b> 25:10;27:25	5:15,17,19,23,25; 6:5,18,20;7:9;8:18; 13:9;32:10 <b>Bender (1)</b> 6:11 <b>Benvenutti (4)</b> 5:15,17;7:21;8:17 <b>Benvenutti's (1)</b> 9:16 <b>best (3)</b> 10:17;14:11;27:22 <b>better (1)</b> 23:1 <b>bit (1)</b> 14:9 <b>board (2)</b> 15:8;16:22 <b>bootstrap (1)</b> 35:3 <b>Bostick (2)</b> 6:19,19 <b>both (2)</b> 7:10;13:17 <b>Brady (28)</b> 7:3,3,9;9:25;10:2,8, 9,16,23;11:11,14,24; 12:23,24;30:13,14,17, 20;31:3,4,8;36:17,20, 22,24;37:3,6,13 <b>Brady's (1)</b> 20:15 <b>brainstorm (1)</b> 26:5 <b>bridge (3)</b> 17:15;31:18;36:12 <b>brief (2)</b> 9:14,16 <b>briefing (1)</b> 7:20 <b>briefly (1)</b> 32:11 <b>briefs (2)</b> 8:14;9:11 <b>bring (1)</b> 26:24 <b>bug (1)</b> 22:4 <b>burden (1)</b> 20:5 <b>Burdensome (1)</b> 28:3 <b>Burgess (2)</b> 6:24;34:8	5:1,6 <b>Call (4)</b> 5:3,6;14:5;15:24 <b>can (21)</b> 7:25;10:10,11,23; 11:3;12:3;15:7,18; 16:4,12;17:2;20:1; 24:19;25:10,23; 26:19;27:6;30:19; 33:8;36:9;37:18 <b>candor (2)</b> 10:14;11:6 <b>Capital (1)</b> 6:9 <b>carried (1)</b> 30:15 <b>case (8)</b> 7:7;9:2;11:10;23:8, 9,23;24:13;33:1 <b>cases (8)</b> 9:4,5;14:5;17:16; 19:10,24;21:15;37:22 <b>certain (2)</b> 11:1;25:11 <b>Certainly (3)</b> 17:6;23:15;24:21 <b>cetera (2)</b> 10:7;29:12 <b>chance (2)</b> 15:19;18:20 <b>changes (2)</b> 16:24;21:20 <b>Chapter (5)</b> 33:4,9;34:23,24,24 <b>charging (1)</b> 27:16 <b>Chase (3)</b> 6:18;16:23;32:11 <b>circulated (1)</b> 16:18 <b>circumstances (1)</b> 21:11 <b>claim (4)</b> 12:12;19:8;20:7; 31:23 <b>claims (14)</b> 11:9;12:13,21;18:1, 5;19:11,12,15;20:8, 11;21:19;22:8;32:21; 33:16 <b>clarification (2)</b> 21:1;31:16 <b>clarify (2)</b> 19:21,24 <b>clarity (1)</b> 18:19 <b>clean (1)</b> 17:10 <b>clear (3)</b> 17:21;20:1;29:9 <b>CLERK (5)</b> 5:4,9;37:1,8,11 <b>client (5)</b>
	<b>against (1)</b> 33:17 <b>agenda (4)</b> 7:14,21;30:10,10 <b>agent (2)</b> 18:1;32:21 <b>agree (2)</b> 20:21,21 <b>agreed (1)</b> 18:22 <b>agrees (2)</b> 20:14;21:25 <b>ahead (4)</b> 6:7,23;32:9;35:15 <b>akin (1)</b> 23:22 <b>allocate (2)</b> 33:8,10 <b>allocated (1)</b> 32:22 <b>allocation (1)</b> 32:20 <b>allocations (1)</b> 32:23 <b>alone (1)</b> 35:23 <b>along (1)</b> 26:8 <b>Although (1)</b> 16:3 <b>amended (1)</b> 21:3 <b>among (3)</b> 13:22;23:7,18 <b>amount (3)</b> 8:10;20:5,9 <b>analysis (4)</b> 16:8;19:12,15;22:9 <b>Andrew (2)</b> 6:25;34:8 <b>anticipate (1)</b> 24:8 <b>anymore (1)</b> 25:15 <b>Apologies (1)</b> 24:11 <b>apologize (2)</b> 35:7,11 <b>app (4)</b> 22:16,16,21,24 <b>apparent (1)</b> 8:23 <b>appearance (2)</b> 6:22;7:6 <b>appearances (1)</b> 5:13 <b>appearing (3)</b>	<b>B</b> <b>back (10)</b> 15:21;16:22;18:22; 19:24;20:12;31:8; 35:9;37:6,7,12 <b>ballot (1)</b> 20:6 <b>Bank (1)</b> 6:14 <b>bankruptcy (3)</b> 11:17,18;24:16 <b>bar (4)</b> 11:9,25;12:24;19:7 <b>basically (1)</b> 17:15 <b>basis (1)</b> 9:6 <b>bass (1)</b> 6:3 <b>behalf (12)</b>	<b>C</b> <b>caboodle (2)</b> 15:12,14 <b>calculation (1)</b> 20:7 <b>calendar (2)</b> 5:5;9:23 <b>CALIFORNIA (2)</b>	

31:22;33:16,20,23; 34:3 <b>client's (1)</b> 10:14 <b>close (1)</b> 10:20 <b>Coblentz (1)</b> 6:3 <b>Cohen (3)</b> 6:7,8,8 <b>cold (1)</b> 28:24 <b>collective (1)</b> 8:18 <b>comfortable (1)</b> 32:18 <b>coming (2)</b> 33:4;37:12 <b>comment (1)</b> 32:12 <b>comments (8)</b> 16:20,25;17:3,25; 18:11;23:12;32:7; 35:12 <b>committee (16)</b> 5:20,23;6:1;8:2; 12:5;13:9;18:12; 20:23,24;21:15,19; 30:4,22;31:16;32:14; 34:16 <b>committee's (1)</b> 13:6 <b>common (1)</b> 32:21 <b>complex (1)</b> 16:11 <b>con (2)</b> 28:7;29:3 <b>concept (1)</b> 21:25 <b>concern (7)</b> 8:20,21;9:7,9; 23:24,24;24:12 <b>concluded (1)</b> 37:24 <b>conference (3)</b> 9:22;36:15;37:18 <b>conferred (2)</b> 13:15;31:15 <b>confirm (2)</b> 16:22;31:7 <b>confirmation (8)</b> 18:15;23:20;26:9; 28:1,19;29:1,4,5 <b>confirmed (1)</b> 18:2 <b>conflicts (1)</b> 8:23 <b>confusion (1)</b> 12:12 <b>conjunction (1)</b> 18:14 <b>connection (5)</b>	14:10;15:17;20:4,6; 29:4 <b>considering (1)</b> 21:15 <b>consolidate (4)</b> 14:22;15:24;19:17; 34:21 <b>consolidation (23)</b> 9:21;10:5;12:1; 13:2;14:1,4;15:3,5, 18;17:16,22;19:9,16; 21:7;23:14,19;31:23; 32:3,16;33:12;34:9; 35:21,25 <b>constellation (1)</b> 34:21 <b>context (3)</b> 16:4,5,9 <b>continuation (2)</b> 18:9;28:7 <b>continue (4)</b> 13:20;35:14;37:18, 21 <b>continued (4)</b> 9:13;11:14,15; 36:15 <b>continuing (1)</b> 11:23 <b>copy (1)</b> 17:4 <b>corporation (1)</b> 5:6 <b>cost (2)</b> 16:11;22:8 <b>costs (3)</b> 18:7;25:10;32:24 <b>counsel (3)</b> 9:5;31:16;34:10 <b>couple (2)</b> 16:24;17:19 <b>course (2)</b> 11:16;25:25 <b>Court (164)</b> 5:3,8,12;6:7,22;7:2, 6,11,13,16,20,25;8:4, 9,12,13;9:15,20,24; 10:3,9,12,22,25; 11:13,20,20;12:16,20, 22;13:1,24;14:7,13, 15,18,21,24;15:12,15, 23;16:2,7,13;17:4,7, 12,14,18,24;18:3,8, 11,18,25;19:3,6,20; 20:2,13,21;21:3,6,10, 13,17,21,23;22:1,4, 10,12,15,20,23;23:4, 12;24:1,3,5,7,10,12, 23;25:1,6,12,14,17, 20,22;26:1,6,13,17, 21,23;27:1,5,7,15,20, 23;28:2,5,9,16,21,23; 29:6,8,14,17,20,22; 30:2,4,8,12,16,18,21;	31:1,3,5,11,14,20,22; 32:1,5,7,9,25;33:2,11, 22;34:2,7,13,15,18; 35:10,13,15,17,19,24; 36:3,6,11,14,18,21,23, 25;37:2,5,9,12,14,16, 19,21 <b>courtroom (1)</b> 17:4 <b>cracks (1)</b> 36:16 <b>create (2)</b> 27:6,6 <b>created (1)</b> 33:7 <b>creating (1)</b> 27:2 <b>creditor (2)</b> 6:9;33:18 <b>creditors (9)</b> 5:20;6:6,12;11:12, 17;12:10;13:9;15:5; 16:5 <b>critical (1)</b> 23:9 <b>customized (2)</b> 12:4,17  <b>D</b>  <b>Daniel (2)</b> 6:17;32:10 <b>Dara (1)</b> 5:14 <b>date (7)</b> 11:9,9,25;12:25; 19:7;36:15;37:18 <b>dates (3)</b> 11:8,10;36:7 <b>Day (5)</b> 6:22;7:1,1,23,24 <b>days (1)</b> 34:12 <b>days' (1)</b> 18:23 <b>deadline (4)</b> 10:5;11:21;30:11, 19 <b>deal (8)</b> 7:16;11:5;13:25; 14:4;15:21,21;17:19; 27:17 <b>dealing (2)</b> 25:3;35:17 <b>Debra (2)</b> 5:18;13:8 <b>debtor (8)</b> 9:3,3;11:23;14:2; 32:13,14;34:24,25 <b>debtors (6)</b> 5:15,17;13:18; 16:18;20:24;34:23 <b>declaration (1)</b>	21:2 <b>depending (2)</b> 19:23;20:22 <b>deposit (1)</b> 34:10 <b>deputy (1)</b> 17:5 <b>description (2)</b> 24:1,13 <b>designated (1)</b> 20:25 <b>details (1)</b> 25:4 <b>different (1)</b> 16:9 <b>difficult (1)</b> 8:25 <b>disclosure (3)</b> 14:8,11;18:15 <b>Discovery (2)</b> 27:8,9 <b>discussing (1)</b> 23:7 <b>discussion (1)</b> 32:20 <b>dispute (5)</b> 23:13,14,19;25:10; 26:24 <b>disputes (7)</b> 23:18,23;24:13,18; 27:9,9;29:19 <b>documentary (1)</b> 14:10 <b>documents (1)</b> 10:6 <b>dollar (1)</b> 22:24 <b>dollars (2)</b> 34:10,12 <b>done (3)</b> 8:16,17;22:11 <b>double (1)</b> 22:3 <b>down (1)</b> 18:21 <b>Duffy (1)</b> 6:3 <b>duties (1)</b> 24:14  <b>E</b>  <b>Eagan (1)</b> 6:17 <b>ear (1)</b> 22:4 <b>easier (1)</b> 22:24 <b>easiest (1)</b> 12:6 <b>easy (2)</b> 22:20,23 <b>Edward (1)</b>	7:8 <b>efficiencies (1)</b> 18:7 <b>efficiency (1)</b> 32:17 <b>efficient (1)</b> 20:19 <b>EGAN (12)</b> 6:17;32:8,10,10; 33:1,7,15,23;34:1,14, 17;35:8 <b>eight (3)</b> 21:18;28:12,13 <b>eliminate (1)</b> 12:12 <b>else (16)</b> 7:2;11:7;12:23; 18:21;22:3;29:24; 30:3,22;31:3,5,11; 32:7,15,18;34:4; 37:14 <b>embodied (1)</b> 13:21 <b>emerge (1)</b> 8:23 <b>employed (1)</b> 20:23 <b>enable (1)</b> 18:7 <b>engaging (1)</b> 33:9 <b>English (1)</b> 14:12 <b>enterprise (1)</b> 20:20 <b>entities (7)</b> 13:23;15:6,10,25; 33:17,18;34:22 <b>entity (1)</b> 35:25 <b>especially (1)</b> 25:3 <b>essentially (4)</b> 14:17;17:17;19:15; 20:3 <b>estate (1)</b> 33:10 <b>et (2)</b> 10:7;29:12 <b>even (3)</b> 9:5;10:19;12:5 <b>evening (1)</b> 35:8 <b>everybody (4)</b> 14:22;20:19;21:1; 32:18 <b>everyone (10)</b> 8:4;9:8;11:3;19:25; 20:14;21:24;26:7,11; 32:15;34:19 <b>everyone's (4)</b> 10:13;13:3;16:10; 28:4
---	---	--	--	--



<b>evidence (1)</b> 14:10 <b>exactly (2)</b> 19:19,24 <b>example (4)</b> 18:20;25:13;27:7,8 <b>existing (1)</b> 15:6 <b>expedited (2)</b> 12:3;19:7 <b>expensive (1)</b> 28:1 <b>extend (1)</b> 30:11 <b>extent (2)</b> 11:1;29:10	10:5;22:25;24:8; 34:14 <b>financial (1)</b> 10:7 <b>fine (3)</b> 24:18;32:17;36:23 <b>firm (3)</b> 6:5;8:22;9:18 <b>first (4)</b> 5:13;13:10;34:15; 37:7 <b>fluid (1)</b> 21:24 <b>focus (2)</b> 17:7;33:24 <b>focusing (1)</b> 33:24 <b>folks (1)</b> 12:4 <b>follow (1)</b> 16:17 <b>form (8)</b> 12:4,5,12,17;16:16; 19:8;27:9;34:3 <b>formal (4)</b> 25:8,9,10;26:24 <b>forms (1)</b> 12:15 <b>forth (1)</b> 20:12 <b>forward (6)</b> 9:11;18:24;19:8,11; 29:11;32:23 <b>fourteen (1)</b> 18:23 <b>frankly (1)</b> 23:7 <b>free (1)</b> 27:16 <b>FRIDAY (3)</b> 5:1;36:19;37:7 <b>front (1)</b> 12:3 <b>full (1)</b> 25:23 <b>further (4)</b> 11:19;28:7;29:25; 36:4 <b>future (1)</b> 32:19	<b>goal (4)</b> 16:10;27:24;28:4,4 <b>GOLDEN (2)</b> 5:24,25 <b>Good (19)</b> 5:14,16,18,21,24; 6:2,4,10,13,15,17,19, 21;7:3,8;13:7,12; 23:6;27:17 <b>GRADMAN (2)</b> 6:4,5 <b>GRASSGREEN (97)</b> 5:18,19;9:22;13:7, 8;14:6,9,14,17,20,23, 25;15:13,16;16:1,3,8, 14;17:6,10,13,17,19, 25;18:4,9,17,19;19:1, 4,19,21;20:3,14,22; 21:5,8,11,14,18,22, 24;22:2,7,11,14,19, 22;23:3,5,25;24:2,4,6, 8,11,21,24;25:2,7,13, 16,19,21,25;26:4,7, 15,19,22,24;27:3,14, 18,21,24;28:3,6,14, 17,22;29:2,7,9,15,18, 21,25;30:3,23,25; 32:2;35:7,11;36:2,9, 12 <b>Grassgreen's (1)</b> 32:12 <b>greatest (1)</b> 10:19 <b>Gregg (1)</b> 6:2 <b>guess (12)</b> 7:16;8:25;9:10,25; 11:4;13:4,5;19:11; 24:2;28:11;30:4; 32:17	31:8 <b>harm (1)</b> 16:12 <b>Harris (1)</b> 6:11 <b>hats (1)</b> 9:1 <b>heads (1)</b> 27:21 <b>hear (2)</b> 9:18;16:22 <b>heard (6)</b> 18:14;31:8;34:5; 35:8,9,23 <b>hearing (6)</b> 5:5;9:13;11:23; 27:11;34:11;36:15 <b>heck (1)</b> 14:7 <b>help (3)</b> 19:14,20;23:15 <b>helpful (1)</b> 7:14 <b>Here's (1)</b> 23:12 <b>herself (1)</b> 12:10 <b>highlight (1)</b> 32:19 <b>Hogan (2)</b> 7:9;20:16 <b>hold (1)</b> 12:9 <b>holding (1)</b> 19:2 <b>honesty (1)</b> 10:14 <b>Honor (83)</b> 5:4,6,9,10,14,16,18, 21,22,24;6:2,4,8,10, 13,15,17,21,25;7:3,8, 12,15,15,19,24;8:3,7; 9:12,19;10:2,16,24; 11:11;12:4,18,24; 13:7,10,13;14:9,23, 25;15:17;16:24; 17:11,17;18:6,17; 19:19;23:3;25:2,25; 27:18;28:6;29:21; 30:1,7,9,14,23,24; 31:2,4,7,12,25;32:2,6, 8,10;33:6;34:5;35:7; 36:2,4,10,17,22;37:1, 11,13,15 <b>hopefully (3)</b> 12:2;15:7;16:9 <b>hoping (1)</b> 19:4 <b>hour (1)</b> 30:6 <b>hourly (1)</b> 27:16 <b>hundred (1)</b>	29:21  <b>I</b>  <b>idea (6)</b> 14:25;15:7;20:3; 23:10;25:2,7 <b>ignored (1)</b> 32:15 <b>impact (1)</b> 35:2 <b>important (3)</b> 11:2,3;17:20 <b>impression (1)</b> 33:20 <b>Inc (2)</b> 6:16;31:13 <b>inclination (1)</b> 24:17 <b>includes (1)</b> 13:4 <b>indicated (1)</b> 11:18 <b>individual (2)</b> 7:5;25:3 <b>individual-issue (1)</b> 9:6 <b>informal (3)</b> 25:9;26:10,20 <b>informally (1)</b> 26:20 <b>information (2)</b> 10:18,21 <b>initial (1)</b> 11:11 <b>intention (2)</b> 24:22,24 <b>interdebtor (2)</b> 7:18;8:24 <b>interest (1)</b> 18:19 <b>interplay (1)</b> 12:15 <b>interrupt (1)</b> 12:22 <b>into (3)</b> 10:4;35:4,5 <b>introduce (1)</b> 12:9 <b>investment (1)</b> 33:21 <b>investor (3)</b> 12:9,21;19:12 <b>investors (7)</b> 12:6,10;16:12;20:5, 6;25:4;28:3 <b>invited (1)</b> 28:17 <b>involved (2)</b> 26:12;33:9 <b>Isaac (1)</b> 6:4 <b>issue (4)</b>
<b>F</b>				
<b>facilitate (1)</b> 23:21 <b>fact (1)</b> 15:3 <b>factor (1)</b> 11:3 <b>fairly (1)</b> 16:16 <b>fall (2)</b> 21:7;36:16 <b>Family (3)</b> 6:18;16:23;32:11 <b>far (1)</b> 15:3 <b>fee (6)</b> 7:21;22:12,16,16, 21,24 <b>fees (9)</b> 8:6;18:1;22:5; 32:21,22,24;33:9,12, 13 <b>FERNANDEZ (3)</b> 6:10,11;31:7 <b>few (1)</b> 18:7 <b>FICKS (2)</b> 6:2,2 <b>fifty-nine (1)</b> 34:14 <b>figure (1)</b> 27:22 <b>figured (1)</b> 32:22 <b>file (11)</b> 8:11;9:14;10:11,15, 16,17;11:24;12:2; 21:2;26:11;30:11 <b>filed (9)</b> 8:7;10:19;11:15; 12:1,13,13;13:17; 16:25;26:16 <b>files (1)</b> 27:10 <b>filing (4)</b>	<b>G</b>	<b>H</b>		
	<b>gave (1)</b> 9:7 <b>gets (1)</b> 26:15 <b>given (1)</b> 11:14 <b>giving (1)</b> 26:17 <b>global (3)</b> 16:5;19:4;29:5	<b>Haley (2)</b> 6:12;16:21 <b>Haleys (1)</b> 31:9 <b>hall (1)</b> 12:9 <b>Hamlin (2)</b> 6:16;31:13 <b>hand (1)</b> 17:4 <b>handle (2)</b> 10:1;27:9 <b>hang (2)</b> 34:15;36:25 <b>happen (2)</b> 19:16,17 <b>happens (5)</b> 9:4;18:21;19:23; 21:19;35:6 <b>happy (1)</b>		

11:21;25:9;33:13, 19 <b>issues (6)</b> 15:18;23:23;24:13; 25:11;29:3;33:3 <b>item (1)</b> 5:10 <b>Itkin (4)</b> 7:4;12:9;17:21; 20:16 <b>Itkin's (1)</b> 15:1	<b>knew (1)</b> 11:21 <b>knowledge (1)</b> 10:17 <b>knows (2)</b> 25:20,23 <b>KS (2)</b> 5:10;33:17 <b>KSMP (31)</b> 5:7;7:4,5,7,10;9:22; 10:10,14;12:14; 13:17;14:1;15:7,10, 19;18:4,5,12,20;19:9, 12,22;20:9,17;21:19; 22:7;23:6;24:2,5; 30:10;34:24;36:14 <b>KSMP's (3)</b> 13:4;19:6;24:24 <b>KUTSURIS (8)</b> 6:15,16;31:12,12, 15,21,25;32:6	27:10 <b>letters (1)</b> 27:10 <b>likely (1)</b> 21:9 <b>limiting (2)</b> 34:2,3 <b>Line (3)</b> 5:10;8:22;26:8 <b>litigation (1)</b> 27:25 <b>little (3)</b> 15:4;21:24;32:12 <b>Live (12)</b> 8:25;33:4,8,10,18, 21,24;34:9,12;35:21, 22,25 <b>loaded (2)</b> 10:9,25 <b>locally (1)</b> 13:12 <b>look (6)</b> 9:6,10;17:14;19:8; 20:10;22:15 <b>loose (1)</b> 10:13 <b>lot (4)</b> 16:10;25:3;26:11; 27:25 <b>Lovells (2)</b> 7:9;20:16 <b>LP (1)</b> 5:11 <b>lunch (1)</b> 30:5	24:18 <b>Mattson (28)</b> 5:5,10,13,20;12:17; 13:9,17,18,23;14:2,5; 15:6,9,25;16:18; 19:10;20:10,11,17,23; 23:8;31:1;33:17,18; 34:22,24;37:17,22 <b>may (13)</b> 9:12;16:8;19:24; 21:1,11;22:4;23:25; 29:3;30:9;34:5;35:14; 36:25;37:2 <b>Maybe (3)</b> 32:13;36:20;37:6 <b>MCNEILLY (3)</b> 7:8,9,12 <b>mean (23)</b> 8:19,19,20;10:12, 13;11:1,2,21;25:17, 20;26:4,7;27:6,11; 28:10,11,12,17,23; 29:15;33:11,23;34:2 <b>mediate (4)</b> 26:19;28:18,18; 29:18 <b>mediating (1)</b> 29:15 <b>mediation (6)</b> 23:11;26:9,22;27:4; 28:10,13 <b>mediator (5)</b> 23:4,5,15,18,20 <b>meet (2)</b> 30:19,20 <b>meeting (3)</b> 11:8,12,17 <b>members (1)</b> 21:19 <b>mentioned (1)</b> 31:8 <b>mere (1)</b> 34:12 <b>met (1)</b> 31:15 <b>might (1)</b> 33:15 <b>Mikayla (2)</b> 6:15;31:12 <b>million (2)</b> 22:5;34:10 <b>million-dollar (1)</b> 22:16 <b>millions (1)</b> 34:12 <b>minimized (1)</b> 16:12 <b>minimum (1)</b> 16:11 <b>Mirabella (1)</b> 6:11 <b>misapprehension (1)</b> 33:16	<b>missed (1)</b> 30:9 <b>moment (2)</b> 26:5;33:24 <b>money (1)</b> 20:11 <b>Monley (2)</b> 6:16;31:12 <b>more (2)</b> 7:16;15:4 <b>morning (4)</b> 5:21;6:10,15;17:1 <b>most (3)</b> 8:23;20:18;21:8 <b>mother (1)</b> 15:12 <b>motion (38)</b> 6:6;7:18;8:24;9:21; 10:4;11:3,24;13:1,3, 14,14,15,19,21;14:1, 10;15:24;18:9,14; 19:1,8;23:11;24:8; 27:9;28:7;29:3,11,11; 30:11;32:15;33:3,9, 12;34:18,20,23;35:22, 24 <b>motions (1)</b> 8:25 <b>motion's (3)</b> 11:4;17:9;35:5 <b>mouth (2)</b> 15:1;20:15 <b>movant (1)</b> 13:10 <b>move (2)</b> 18:23;19:7 <b>Msl (1)</b> 12:8 <b>much (3)</b> 8:17;14:12;22:15 <b>Mullin (1)</b> 6:9
<b>J</b>		<b>L</b>		
<b>Jared (1)</b> 7:1 <b>Jason (1)</b> 5:22 <b>Jeannie (1)</b> 6:9 <b>job (1)</b> 25:18 <b>Johnson (1)</b> 6:5 <b>join (2)</b> 15:19;18:20 <b>joined (1)</b> 13:18 <b>joint (12)</b> 13:21,22;15:10,20; 16:17;18:6,20,21; 20:4,25;28:7;36:12 <b>Jones (4)</b> 5:19,22,25;13:9 <b>Judge (6)</b> 23:15,17;24:17; 26:13;27:15;35:14 <b>judicial (2)</b> 25:15;32:16 <b>JULY (1)</b> 5:1				
<b>K</b>			<b>M</b>	
<b>KAPLAN (2)</b> 6:13,14 <b>keep (1)</b> 16:11 <b>Keller (4)</b> 5:15,17;8:16;9:16 <b>KELLY (15)</b> 6:21,24,24;7:20; 33:3;34:5,6,7,8;35:14, 15,16,18,20;36:4 <b>Ken (1)</b> 6:20 <b>Kim (3)</b> 5:15,17;6:9 <b>kind (3)</b> 11:25;12:9;33:19 <b>Kit (2)</b> 15:12,13	<b>laid (1)</b> 9:14 <b>language (4)</b> 23:16,23;31:22; 32:2 <b>last (3)</b> 11:12;23:23;35:8 <b>later (2)</b> 22:13;23:1 <b>law (2)</b> 6:5;9:2 <b>lays (1)</b> 7:21 <b>leads (1)</b> 15:3 <b>least (6)</b> 9:7,9;20:4;27:13; 35:9,22 <b>leave (1)</b> 23:1 <b>leaves (1)</b> 9:20 <b>LeFever (28)</b> 5:5,13,20;6:3; 12:17;13:9,17,18,23; 14:2,5;15:6,9,25; 16:18;19:10;20:9,11, 17,23;23:8;31:1; 33:17;34:22,24;35:2; 37:17,21 <b>left (2)</b> 28:24;33:20 <b>legal (1)</b> 26:17 <b>lending (2)</b> 7:18;8:24 <b>less (1)</b> 23:24 <b>letter (1)</b>	<b>mailings (1)</b> 18:4 <b>main (1)</b> 33:19 <b>makes (4)</b> 15:3;16:10;23:20, 22 <b>making (1)</b> 7:6 <b>Malta (1)</b> 6:11 <b>many (1)</b> 28:18 <b>Mark (1)</b> 6:19 <b>Marshal (1)</b> 27:2 <b>master (2)</b> 24:15,19 <b>Matson (1)</b> 6:20 <b>matter (3)</b> 5:13;13:11;33:8 <b>matters (5)</b> 5:7;7:10,17;9:6;		
				<b>N</b>
				<b>necessary (1)</b> 21:12 <b>need (15)</b> 9:10;11:7;15:4,20; 19:20,24;20:8;21:9; 22:3;23:21;24:17; 27:6,12;29:3;36:15 <b>needed (1)</b> 21:3 <b>negotiate (1)</b> 35:6 <b>netted (1)</b> 20:7 <b>nettlesome (1)</b> 33:13 <b>Newsome (5)</b> 23:15,17;24:17; 26:13;27:15

<p><b>nice (2)</b> 13:11,11</p> <p><b>nine (1)</b> 16:20</p> <p><b>noted (1)</b> 13:25</p> <p><b>notes (1)</b> 35:8</p> <p><b>notice (8)</b> 7:21;8:7;9:13; 11:17,18,22;12:3; 18:23</p> <p><b>noticed (1)</b> 14:16</p> <p><b>number (3)</b> 5:10;13:15;33:8</p> <p><b>numerous (3)</b> 33:16,17,17</p>	<p>5:2</p> <p><b>opinions (1)</b> 25:17</p> <p><b>oppose (2)</b> 34:9;35:21</p> <p><b>opposing (1)</b> 6:5</p> <p><b>opposition (2)</b> 8:2,11</p> <p><b>order (18)</b> 5:3;11:19,25;16:10, 16,17,24;17:7,15; 18:5;21:3;28:8;31:9, 17,19;35:12;36:9,12</p> <p><b>otherwise (1)</b> 35:12</p> <p><b>out (20)</b> 7:22;9:14;11:17; 12:4,8;16:20;19:14; 21:18;25:4;26:17; 27:22;28:14,24; 32:22;34:12,20;37:1, 2,3,3</p> <p><b>over (2)</b> 8:22;30:15</p> <p><b>overlap (1)</b> 20:9</p>	<p><b>people (4)</b> 12:13;25:8;26:12; 35:3</p> <p><b>percent (1)</b> 29:21</p> <p><b>perform (2)</b> 19:11,11</p> <p><b>perhaps (2)</b> 11:5;25:7</p> <p><b>Perry (1)</b> 6:5</p> <p><b>person (1)</b> 13:12</p> <p><b>perspective (1)</b> 9:10</p> <p><b>pet (1)</b> 32:23</p> <p><b>PG&amp;E (1)</b> 25:13</p> <p><b>phase (1)</b> 28:1</p> <p><b>Pick (1)</b> 36:19</p> <p><b>piece (2)</b> 12:2;26:15</p> <p><b>place (1)</b> 17:21</p> <p><b>placed (1)</b> 30:15</p> <p><b>plain (1)</b> 14:12</p> <p><b>plan (23)</b> 11:5;13:21,21,22; 14:2,5,17,21;15:8,8,9, 10,19,20;16:3,4; 18:20,22;20:4,4,25; 23:20,22</p> <p><b>planned (1)</b> 18:15</p> <p><b>pleading (1)</b> 23:17</p> <p><b>please (1)</b> 33:19</p> <p><b>plug (1)</b> 26:18</p> <p><b>PM (2)</b> 5:1;37:24</p> <p><b>point (3)</b> 13:25;18:17;23:9</p> <p><b>Ponzi (1)</b> 35:4</p> <p><b>portion (1)</b> 8:16</p> <p><b>possible (2)</b> 20:5;28:20</p> <p><b>post-confirmation (1)</b> 26:2</p> <p><b>pre-confirmation (1)</b> 26:3</p> <p><b>prefer (1)</b> 17:3</p> <p><b>preliminary (1)</b> 13:13</p>	<p><b>prepared (1)</b> 16:16</p> <p><b>present (2)</b> 10:11;25:8</p> <p><b>presentation (1)</b> 20:17</p> <p><b>Presumption (1)</b> 35:4</p> <p><b>pretty (3)</b> 11:25;19:10;27:16</p> <p><b>previewed (1)</b> 11:25</p> <p><b>Pricewaterhouse (1)</b> 19:14</p> <p><b>prior (1)</b> 16:17</p> <p><b>probably (1)</b> 10:20</p> <p><b>problem (1)</b> 37:14</p> <p><b>problems (1)</b> 37:20</p> <p><b>procedure (1)</b> 27:7</p> <p><b>procedures (3)</b> 23:11;24:9;26:9</p> <p><b>proceed (1)</b> 13:5</p> <p><b>proceeding (1)</b> 25:10</p> <p><b>proceedings (1)</b> 37:24</p> <p><b>process (2)</b> 16:15;27:12</p> <p><b>professional (1)</b> 33:8</p> <p><b>professionals (1)</b> 23:6</p> <p><b>promote (1)</b> 32:16</p> <p><b>proof (1)</b> 19:7</p> <p><b>proposal (1)</b> 13:19</p> <p><b>propose (1)</b> 25:5</p> <p><b>proposed (3)</b> 30:10;31:9,17</p> <p><b>prosecution (1)</b> 18:13</p> <p><b>proudly (1)</b> 10:11</p> <p><b>provided (3)</b> 9:3;32:2;35:11</p> <p><b>put (5)</b> 15:1;20:15;22:4; 27:21;35:5</p> <p><b>PwC (3)</b> 19:11;20:16;22:2</p> <p><b>PwC's (2)</b> 19:25;22:4</p>	<p><b>Q</b></p> <p><b>qualified (1)</b> 23:15</p> <p><b>quick (2)</b> 27:6,11</p> <p><b>quite (3)</b> 14:9;18:7;28:14</p> <p><b>R</b></p> <p><b>raise (3)</b> 25:9;26:10;29:4</p> <p><b>raised (3)</b> 8:13;9:7;23:6</p> <p><b>rate (1)</b> 27:16</p> <p><b>rather (5)</b> 22:12,13,15,24; 25:8</p> <p><b>read (9)</b> 13:3,3;15:1;16:3; 19:13,22;22:5;27:10; 33:23</p> <p><b>reading (1)</b> 23:25</p> <p><b>real (1)</b> 32:23</p> <p><b>really (2)</b> 18:6;26:4</p> <p><b>receive (2)</b> 13:14;20:6</p> <p><b>received (1)</b> 11:2</p> <p><b>record (3)</b> 13:8;31:10;35:21</p> <p><b>redline (2)</b> 17:2,13</p> <p><b>reduction (2)</b> 8:8,9</p> <p><b>refile (1)</b> 33:3</p> <p><b>reflected (1)</b> 22:8</p> <p><b>regard (4)</b> 9:3;15:23;23:13; 24:12</p> <p><b>related (3)</b> 15:6,9,11</p> <p><b>remaining (1)</b> 17:21</p> <p><b>remind (5)</b> 8:4;9:8;11:7;14:18; 34:19</p> <p><b>render (1)</b> 25:17</p> <p><b>renew (1)</b> 28:25</p> <p><b>Reno (1)</b> 6:10</p> <p><b>Reply (5)</b> 9:17;13:6,19;17:1;</p>
<p><b>O</b></p> <p><b>Oak (12)</b> 8:25;33:4,8,10,19, 21,24;34:9,12;35:21, 22,25</p> <p><b>OAKLAND (1)</b> 5:1</p> <p><b>object (1)</b> 31:6</p> <p><b>objected (3)</b> 8:5;29:10;32:3</p> <p><b>objecting (1)</b> 28:13</p> <p><b>objection (5)</b> 13:3;25:8;26:10; 28:25,25</p> <p><b>objections (6)</b> 8:13;13:15;15:21; 23:10;28:19,19</p> <p><b>observation (1)</b> 8:15</p> <p><b>obviously (2)</b> 8:14;23:13</p> <p><b>off (1)</b> 16:20</p> <p><b>Office (2)</b> 13:16;19:23</p> <p><b>officer (1)</b> 25:15</p> <p><b>once (2)</b> 12:8;28:17</p> <p><b>one (14)</b> 8:12,23;11:20; 13:24;14:7;15:10; 22:25;23:6;26:1,1; 28:11;29:21;33:2,20</p> <p><b>one-entity (1)</b> 33:18</p> <p><b>ones (1)</b> 34:11</p> <p><b>only (1)</b> 22:17</p> <p><b>oOo- (1)</b></p>	<p><b>P</b></p> <p><b>Pachulski (4)</b> 5:19,22,25;13:8</p> <p><b>paid (2)</b> 20:1;21:4</p> <p><b>paper (1)</b> 26:15</p> <p><b>papers (4)</b> 12:1;13:3;19:22; 22:7</p> <p><b>paragraphs (1)</b> 31:19</p> <p><b>part (1)</b> 10:4</p> <p><b>parties (17)</b> 11:9;13:16;16:19, 21,21;17:20;18:13, 22;23:8,18;28:12; 29:10;31:6,18,18; 33:13;35:5</p> <p><b>Partners (1)</b> 5:10</p> <p><b>party (3)</b> 11:19;20:25;27:10</p> <p><b>Patch (1)</b> 6:3</p> <p><b>path (1)</b> 18:22</p> <p><b>paying (1)</b> 21:4</p> <p><b>peace (1)</b> 19:4</p> <p><b>peeve (1)</b> 32:23</p>			

24:2 <b>request (1)</b> 24:14 <b>requested (1)</b> 31:16 <b>required (1)</b> 10:6 <b>requiring (1)</b> 25:8 <b>reservation (2)</b> 31:17;32:4 <b>reset (2)</b> 18:23;29:10 <b>resolve (2)</b> 28:12,18 <b>resolved (1)</b> 11:4 <b>resolving (1)</b> 23:18 <b>respect (1)</b> 17:25 <b>respond (2)</b> 26:11;33:15 <b>response (6)</b> 9:17;11:1;13:4,20; 15:2;27:6 <b>responses (2)</b> 13:15,18 <b>responsible (1)</b> 7:5 <b>revictimized (1)</b> 16:14 <b>reviewing (1)</b> 20:8 <b>revised (1)</b> 19:7 <b>Right (56)</b> 8:9,12;9:24,24,24, 24;10:3;12:20,22; 13:2,22;14:24;16:2; 17:18,24,24;18:8,25; 19:3,20;20:22;21:5,5, 8,21;22:1;24:3,6; 25:6,16,19,21,25; 26:19;28:2,16;29:7,7, 14;30:12,16,16,18; 32:1,25;33:11,11,19; 34:11;35:10,24,24; 36:3,11,14;37:4 <b>rights (1)</b> 31:17 <b>Robbin (1)</b> 7:4 <b>Robert (1)</b> 6:13 <b>role (1)</b> 19:25 <b>rolled (1)</b> 20:11 <b>Rome-Banks (1)</b> 6:12 <b>ROSELL (12)</b> 5:21,22;7:13,15,19;	8:1,2;30:7,8,9,24;33:6 <b>Rule (2)</b> 24:15,15 <b>Rules (1)</b> 24:16 <b>Rupp (11)</b> 5:16,16;8:7,10; 12:16,18,21;30:5; 37:15,17,20  <b>S</b>  <b>sales (2)</b> 8:19,20 <b>Sam (1)</b> 6:12 <b>same (6)</b> 9:1;15:23;16:11; 23:16;34:11;37:18 <b>saw (1)</b> 13:19 <b>schedule (5)</b> 7:20;9:14,15;10:6; 36:19 <b>scheduled (1)</b> 13:13 <b>schedules (6)</b> 10:11,15,16,19; 11:15;30:11 <b>scheduling (3)</b> 13:14;18:23;29:12 <b>scheme (1)</b> 35:4 <b>second (3)</b> 5:12;34:18,18 <b>secondarily (1)</b> 8:24 <b>Section (1)</b> 10:6 <b>secured (1)</b> 31:23 <b>seek (1)</b> 14:21 <b>seeking (2)</b> 11:25;23:17 <b>seemed (1)</b> 8:23 <b>seems (1)</b> 32:18 <b>sense (4)</b> 15:4;16:10;23:20, 22 <b>sent (1)</b> 11:17 <b>separate (3)</b> 17:23;23:10;33:7 <b>separately (1)</b> 18:2 <b>September (3)</b> 37:10,12,22 <b>seriously (1)</b> 22:18 <b>serve (1)</b>	24:18 <b>set (7)</b> 5:4;11:8,9,10;26:2; 36:7,15 <b>settlement (2)</b> 16:9;29:5 <b>settlements (1)</b> 16:6 <b>seven (1)</b> 21:18 <b>several (1)</b> 34:10 <b>shape (1)</b> 34:3 <b>share (1)</b> 17:2 <b>Sharp (1)</b> 34:16 <b>sheet (3)</b> 13:22;14:3;15:8 <b>Sheppard (1)</b> 6:9 <b>Sher (1)</b> 6:12 <b>short (3)</b> 11:14,22,25 <b>sign (1)</b> 16:20 <b>significant (1)</b> 8:16 <b>SILVEIRA (6)</b> 5:14,15;9:12,19; 12:16;31:2 <b>simple (1)</b> 16:16 <b>simpler (1)</b> 16:9 <b>simply (1)</b> 9:13 <b>single (2)</b> 9:4;33:20 <b>situation (2)</b> 16:12;27:2 <b>sixty-odd (1)</b> 14:4 <b>sixty-one (1)</b> 16:18 <b>sixty-three (1)</b> 34:22 <b>skeptical (1)</b> 32:17 <b>small (1)</b> 8:10 <b>Socotra (1)</b> 6:9 <b>somebody (1)</b> 22:3 <b>someone (2)</b> 24:5;26:9 <b>someone's (1)</b> 34:19 <b>somewhat (2)</b> 10:12;16:8	<b>somewhere (1)</b> 22:5 <b>soon (1)</b> 36:24 <b>sooner (2)</b> 22:13;23:1 <b>sorry (3)</b> 6:23;12:22;32:9 <b>sort (1)</b> 26:8 <b>sounds (1)</b> 24:14 <b>special (2)</b> 24:15,19 <b>specialized (1)</b> 12:19 <b>specially (1)</b> 5:4 <b>specific (1)</b> 18:4 <b>specifically (1)</b> 33:7 <b>spoken (1)</b> 12:6 <b>Stang (4)</b> 5:19,22,25;13:8 <b>start (1)</b> 26:17 <b>started (1)</b> 34:19 <b>state (1)</b> 35:20 <b>stated (1)</b> 35:12 <b>statement (4)</b> 10:7;14:8,12;18:16 <b>States (4)</b> 7:1;9:17;13:16; 19:23 <b>stating (1)</b> 31:22 <b>status (3)</b> 9:22;36:14;37:18 <b>staying (2)</b> 17:22;18:13 <b>Stern (1)</b> 27:2 <b>Steve (1)</b> 5:24 <b>stipulated (1)</b> 31:18 <b>stipulation (1)</b> 18:12 <b>stop (1)</b> 34:15 <b>straight (1)</b> 19:10 <b>straightforward (1)</b> 7:17 <b>stuck (2)</b> 11:20;24:19 <b>sub (2)</b> 28:7;29:3	<b>subchapter (1)</b> 23:22 <b>Subramanian (1)</b> 6:11 <b>substance (1)</b> 15:21 <b>substantive (21)</b> 9:21;10:4;12:1; 13:2,14,20,20;14:1,4; 15:3,18,24;17:22; 19:16;21:6;23:9,13, 19;32:3;33:12;35:25 <b>substantively (2)</b> 19:17;34:21 <b>suggestion (2)</b> 23:5;27:5 <b>suggestions (1)</b> 13:4 <b>supplemental (2)</b> 9:16;21:2 <b>support (1)</b> 31:9 <b>sure (3)</b> 12:14;29:22;32:21 <b>surprised (1)</b> 32:12 <b>suspiciously (1)</b> 24:14  <b>T</b>  <b>table (4)</b> 28:10,13;29:14; 36:1 <b>talk (1)</b> 21:10 <b>talking (3)</b> 20:16;32:13,14 <b>team (1)</b> 20:16 <b>Ted (1)</b> 6:8 <b>telephonic (1)</b> 27:11 <b>term (3)</b> 13:22;14:2;15:8 <b>terms (3)</b> 21:3;29:15;31:17 <b>Thanks (1)</b> 17:7 <b>there'll (1)</b> 23:10 <b>thinking (2)</b> 22:25;26:8 <b>Thomas (2)</b> 5:16;6:24 <b>thought (2)</b> 12:2,8 <b>three (1)</b> 22:25 <b>three-million-dollar (2)</b> 22:16,21 <b>Thursday (1)</b>
--	--	---	---	--

11:12 <b>timeline (2)</b> 7:22,25 <b>Timothy (1)</b> 6:3 <b>today (5)</b> 7:17;13:12,13; 29:24;37:17 <b>together (1)</b> 27:21 <b>took (1)</b> 34:11 <b>town (1)</b> 12:9 <b>tremendous (1)</b> 20:9 <b>tried (1)</b> 16:17 <b>true (1)</b> 15:23 <b>Trust (3)</b> 6:18;16:23;32:11 <b>Trustee (11)</b> 7:1;8:5;11:22; 13:17;16:19;19:23; 21:14;23:22;33:4,9; 35:22 <b>Trustee's (1)</b> 9:17 <b>trusts (2)</b> 6:25;34:9 <b>try (7)</b> 7:16;12:12;17:7; 26:3;27:21;28:12,18 <b>trying (1)</b> 35:3 <b>tucked (1)</b> 10:4 <b>turn (1)</b> 13:1 <b>two (5)</b> 8:25;9:7;12:15; 22:5,24 <b>two- (1)</b> 22:15 <b>two-million- (1)</b> 22:23 <b>type (1)</b> 26:25	19:23 <b>universe (1)</b> 34:22 <b>unresolved (1)</b> 33:3 <b>up (3)</b> 22:3;23:1;26:2 <b>upload (1)</b> 36:9 <b>use (2)</b> 10:12;12:17 <b>using (1)</b> 19:7	17:13 <b>word (1)</b> 10:12 <b>words (2)</b> 15:1;20:15 <b>work (7)</b> 8:16;18:5;19:25; 20:8,19;22:2;33:14 <b>worked (3)</b> 25:4;28:14;30:5 <b>working (2)</b> 12:5;20:18 <b>works (1)</b> 37:13		
	<b>V</b>	<b>Z</b>	<b>6</b>	
	<b>various (2)</b> 17:20;23:8 <b>venturing (1)</b> 8:22 <b>Verita (1)</b> 32:21 <b>version (2)</b> 16:25;17:1 <b>voice (3)</b> 15:5;29:12,14 <b>voluntary (3)</b> 8:8,9;27:3 <b>voting (1)</b> 16:5	<b>Ziehl (4)</b> 5:19,22,25;13:8	<b>6 (1)</b> 30:10	
	<b>W</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>8</b>	
	<b>Wait (4)</b> 24:7,7,7;36:25 <b>walk (1)</b> 12:11 <b>wants (2)</b> 23:21,21 <b>way (8)</b> 17:8;19:13;25:9; 27:22,24;33:5;34:3; 35:4 <b>wear (1)</b> 8:25 <b>weighed (1)</b> 16:19 <b>what's (1)</b> 19:16 <b>Whereupon (1)</b> 37:24 <b>whole (5)</b> 8:18;15:10,13,13; 20:20 <b>who's (3)</b> 7:4;21:4;28:10 <b>wish (1)</b> 10:10 <b>withdrawal (1)</b> 7:18 <b>withdrawing (1)</b> 19:2 <b>without (1)</b>	<b>1:05 (1)</b> 5:1 <b>1:41 (1)</b> 37:24 <b>11 (7)</b> 33:4,9;34:23,24,25; 37:12,22 <b>12:30 (1)</b> 5:5 <b>12th (5)</b> 9:18;37:8,10,12,22 <b>15th (2)</b> 9:14,16 <b>17th (1)</b> 11:12 <b>1992 (3)</b> 6:18;16:23;32:11	<b>8th (4)</b> 10:5,17;30:12,18	
<b>U</b>		<b>2</b>		
<b>Um-hum (2)</b> 16:7;20:2 <b>Umpqua (1)</b> 6:14 <b>under (5)</b> 10:6;24:15;33:16, 20;34:20 <b>Understood (1)</b> 23:3 <b>United (4)</b> 7:1;9:17;13:16;		<b>2 (1)</b> 5:10 <b>2025 (1)</b> 5:1 <b>22nd (2)</b> 11:16;35:23 <b>25 (1)</b> 5:1 <b>29th (2)</b> 9:17;36:20		
		<b>5</b>		
		<b>521 (1)</b> 10:6 <b>53 (2)</b> 24:15,15 <b>5th (1)</b> 9:18		

## Notice Recipients

District/Off: 0971-1  
Case: 24-10715

User: admin  
Form ID: TRANSC

Date Created: 7/30/2025  
Total: 16

### Recipients of Notice of Electronic Filing:

aty      Dara Levinson Silveira      dsilveira@kblp.com

TOTAL: 1

### Recipients submitted to the BNC (Bankruptcy Noticing Center):

DARA L. SILVEIRA, ESQ.	Keller Benvenuti Kim LLP	425 Market Street	26th Floor	San Francisco, CA 94105
DEBRA GRASSGREEN, ESQ.	JASON ROSELL, ESQ.	Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones LLP	One Sansome Street	Suite 3430 San Francisco, CA 94104
STEVEN W. GOLDEN, ESQ.		1700 Broadway	36th Floor	New York, NY 10019
GREGG M. FICKS, ESQ.	Coblentz Patch Duffy & Bass LLP	1 Montgomery Street	Suite 3000	San Francisco, CA 94104
ISAAC M. GRADMAN, ESQ. (VIA ZOOM)	Perry, Johnson, Anderson, Miller & LLP	438 1st Street, 4th Fl		Santa Rosa, CA 95401
THEODORE A. COHEN, ESQ.	Sheppard, Mullin, Richter & Hampton LLP	333 South Hope Street	43rd Fl	Los Angeles, CA 90071
JEANNIE KIM, ESQ.	Sheppard, Mullin, Richter & Hampton LLP	Four Embarcadero Center,	17th Fl	San Francisco, CA 94111
RENO FERNANDEZ, ESQ.	MEERA BALASUBRAMANIAN, ESQ.	Binder Malter Harris & Rome-Banks LLP	2775 Park Avenue	Santa Clara, CA 95050
ROBERT B. KAPLAN, ESQ.	Jeffer Mangels Butler & Mitchell LLP	Two Embarcadero Center	5th Fl	San Francisco, CA 94111
MIKAYLA E. KUTSURIS, ESQ.	Felderstein Fitzgerald Willoughby	Pascuzzi & Rios LLP	500 Capitol Mall	Suite 2250 Sacramento, CA 95814
DANIEL L. EGAN, ESQ.	Wilke Fleury LLP	621 Capitol Mall	Suite 900	Sacramento, CA 95814
MARK S. BOSTICK, ESQ.	Fennemore Craig, P.C.	1111 Broadway	24th Floor	Oakland, CA 94607
THOMAS P. KELLY, III, ESQ.	Law Offices of Thomas P. Kelly III P.C.	50 Old Courthouse Square	Suite 609	Santa Rosa, CA 95404
JARED A. DAY, ESQ.	U.S. Department of Justice	300 Booth Street	Suite 3009	Reno, NV 89509
ERIN N. BRADY, ESQ.	EDWARD MCNEILLY, ESQ.	Hogan Lovells US LLP	1999 Avenue of the Stars	Suite 1400 Los Angeles, CA 90067

TOTAL: 15



## Notice Recipients

District/Off: 0971-1  
Case: 24-10715

User: admin  
Form ID: TRANSC

Date Created: 7/30/2025  
Total: 16

### Recipients of Notice of Electronic Filing:

aty      Dara Levinson Silveira      dsilveira@kblp.com

TOTAL: 1

### Recipients submitted to the BNC (Bankruptcy Noticing Center):

DARA L. SILVEIRA, ESQ.	Keller Benvenuti Kim LLP	425 Market Street	26th Floor	San Francisco, CA 94105
DEBRA GRASSGREEN, ESQ.	JASON ROSELL, ESQ.	Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones LLP	One Sansome Street	Suite 3430 San Francisco, CA 94104
STEVEN W. GOLDEN, ESQ.		1700 Broadway	36th Floor	New York, NY 10019
GREGG M. FICKS, ESQ.	Coblentz Patch Duffy & Bass LLP	1 Montgomery Street	Suite 3000	San Francisco, CA 94104
ISAAC M. GRADMAN, ESQ. (VIA ZOOM)	Perry, Johnson, Anderson, Miller & LLP	438 1st Street, 4th Fl		Santa Rosa, CA 95401
THEODORE A. COHEN, ESQ.	Sheppard, Mullin, Richter & Hampton LLP	333 South Hope Street	43rd Fl	Los Angeles, CA 90071
JEANNIE KIM, ESQ.	Sheppard, Mullin, Richter & Hampton LLP	Four Embarcadero Center,	17th Fl	San Francisco, CA 94111
RENO FERNANDEZ, ESQ.	MEERA BALASUBRAMANIAN, ESQ.	Binder Malter Harris & Rome-Banks LLP	2775 Park Avenue	Santa Clara, CA 95050
ROBERT B. KAPLAN, ESQ.	Jeffer Mangels Butler & Mitchell LLP	Two Embarcadero Center	5th Fl	San Francisco, CA 94111
MIKAYLA E. KUTSURIS, ESQ.	Felderstein Fitzgerald Willoughby	Pascuzzi & Rios LLP	500 Capitol Mall	Suite 2250 Sacramento, CA 95814
DANIEL L. EGAN, ESQ.	Wilke Fleury LLP	621 Capitol Mall	Suite 900	Sacramento, CA 95814
MARK S. BOSTICK, ESQ.	Fennemore Craig, P.C.	1111 Broadway	24th Floor	Oakland, CA 94607
THOMAS P. KELLY, III, ESQ.	Law Offices of Thomas P. Kelly III P.C.	50 Old Courthouse Square	Suite 609	Santa Rosa, CA 95404
JARED A. DAY, ESQ.	U.S. Department of Justice	300 Booth Street	Suite 3009	Reno, NV 89509
ERIN N. BRADY, ESQ.	EDWARD MCNEILLY, ESQ.	Hogan Lovells US LLP	1999 Avenue of the Stars	Suite 1400 Los Angeles, CA 90067

TOTAL: 15