Case: 24-10545 Doc# 12 Filed: 09/12/24 Entered: 09/12/

Suite B, Citrus Heights, CA 95621.

agent at https://veritaglobal.net/LM. The address for service on the Debtors is 6359 Auburn Blvd.,

2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |

1

1112

10

13 14

15 16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

2425

26

2728

20

LeFever Mattson, a California corporation ("LeFever Mattson"), and certain of its affiliates that are debtors and debtors in possession (the "Debtors") in the above-captioned chapter 11 cases (the "Chapter 11 Cases"), hereby move (the "Motion") this Court, pursuant to sections 105(a) and 366 of title 11 of the United States Code (the "Bankruptey Code"), for entry of interim and final orders in substantially the forms attached hereto as **Exhibit A** and **Exhibit B**, respectively, (i) establishing procedures for addressing any requests that a utility company (collectively, the "Utility Companies" and each, individually, a "Utility Company") may make for adequate assurance of payment; (ii) prohibiting the Utility Companies from altering, refusing or discontinuing services to, or discriminating against the Debtors; and (iii) granting related relief.

The facts and circumstances supporting this Motion are set forth in the *Declaration of Bradley D. Sharp in Support of Chapter 11 Petitions and First Day Motions* (the "Sharp Declaration"), filed contemporaneously herewith and incorporated by reference herein. Capitalized terms used but not defined herein have the meanings given to them in the Sharp Declaration.

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

I. <u>JURISDICTION AND VENUE</u>

This Court has jurisdiction to consider this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334, the *Order Referring Bankruptcy Cases and Proceedings to Bankruptcy Judges*, General Order 24 (N.D. Cal.), and Rule 5011-1(a) of the Bankruptcy Local Rules for the United States District Court for the Northern District of California. This is a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b). Venue is proper before this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409.

II. BACKGROUND

A. General Background

On the date hereof (the "<u>Petition Date</u>"), LeFever Mattson and the other Debtors filed voluntary petitions for relief under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code. The Debtors continue to operate their businesses and manage their properties as debtors in possession pursuant to sections 1107(a) and 1108 of the Bankruptcy Code. No trustee, examiner, or official committee of unsecured creditors has been appointed in any case of the Debtors.

Case: 24-10545 Doc# 12 Filed: 09/12/24 Entered: 09/12/24 23:35:30 Page 2 of 10

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

B. **LeFever Mattson**

LeFever Mattson manages a large real estate portfolio. Timothy LeFever and Kenneth W. Mattson each own 50% of the equity in LeFever Mattson.

LeFever Mattson directly or indirectly controls or has ownership interests in 50 limited partnerships (collectively, the "LPs") and eight limited liability companies (collectively, the "LLCs"), almost all of which are Debtors.² LeFever Mattson invests in real estate primarily through the LLCs and the LPs. LeFever Mattson also owns a small number of properties directly. This structure has allowed LeFever Mattson to pool capital by selling limited partnership or membership interests to outside investors, while typically reserving an ownership interest for itself as general partner or managing member.

LeFever Mattson also has ownership interests in four California corporations: Debtor Home Tax Service of America, Inc., dba LeFever Mattson Property Management, which provides property management services, including to those properties owned by the LPs and the LLCs; Debtor California Investment Properties, a California corporation (a real estate brokerage), and non-debtors Pineapple Bear, a California corporation (which offers hospitality and catering services), and Harrow Cellars, a California corporation (which operates a winery and related businesses).

As of the Petition Date, Bradley D. Sharp has been appointed the Chief Restructuring Officer of the Debtors. Since Mr. Sharp's engagement on July 18, 2024, he has worked closely with the Debtors in their efforts to maximize enterprise value in the wake of what, in retrospect and on information and belief, was a decade or more of financial misconduct by Mr. Mattson.

C. **Utility Services**

The Debtors rely on utility services, including, but not limited to, telephone, internet, gas and electric, and waste removal services (collectively, the "Utility Services") provided by the Utility Companies, including those identified on Exhibit C attached hereto (the "Utility Service"

² Two LPs have not yet filed chapter 11 petitions.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

List"), to operate their businesses.³ As discussed above, the Debtors own and operate a variety of investment properties, including residential, multifamily, mixed-use, office, commercial, industrial, and agricultural, and rely critically on the Utility Services for their operations. Many of the underlying accounts involve very small monthly charges which have been paid consistently on a timely basis. Moreover, given the nature of the Debtors' business, providing uninterrupted service from the Utility Companies is essential to maintaining value and administering these Chapter 11 Cases. As discussed below, the Debtors are prepared to provide additional deposits as necessary to maintain the continuity of the Utility Services. In the meantime, any temporary or permanent discontinuation of Utility Services could irreparably disrupt the orderly administration of these cases, damage the Debtors' assets, and, as a result, diminish recoveries to the Debtors' stakeholders.

III. RELIEF REQUESTED

included on the Utility Service List.

The Debtors propose that, upon the request of any Utility Company pursuant to the procedures set forth below, the Debtors provide an adequate assurance deposit in the amount of the average of two weeks' worth of fees over the year prior to the request by the Utility Company (the "Proposed Adequate Assurance") and that requests and deposits be accounted for each account used by the Debtors. The Debtors propose to adopt and follow the following procedures (the "Adequate Assurance Procedures") to address the right of any Utility Company under section 366(c)(3) of the Bankruptcy Code to request the Proposed Adequate Assurance or to modify the proposed amount of adequate assurance:

ADEQUATE ASSURANCE PROCEDURES

The Debtors will mail or otherwise expeditiously cause a copy of this Motion and the order granting, on an interim basis, the relief requested herein (the "Interim Order"), which shall include the proposed Adequate Assurance

any entity on, or any omission of any entity from, Exhibit C is not an admission by the Debtors that such entity is or is not a utility within the meaning of section 366 of the Bankruptcy Code, and

the Debtors reserve their rights with respect thereto. In addition, the Debtors are requesting that this Motion apply to all of their Utility Companies, whether or not any given Utility Company is

For each Utility Company, Exhibit C identifies, to the extent known: (i) the name and type

Case:

of service of the Utility Company and (ii) the address for the Utility Company. The inclusion of

²⁶ 27

Procedures, to be served on each company on the Utility Service List within two (2) business days after entry of the Interim Order.

- (b) Any Utility Company desiring assurance of future payment for utility service must serve a request (an "Adequate Assurance Request") at the following addresses for the Debtors: (i) LeFever Mattson, 6359 Auburn Blvd., Suite B, Citrus Heights, CA 95621 (Attn: Mark Bennett), (ii) DSI Consulting, 333 South Grand Avenue, Los Angeles, CA 90071 (Attn: Bradley D. Sharp), and (iii) Keller Benvenutti Kim LLP, 425 Market Street, 26th Floor, San Francisco, CA 94105 (Attn: Thomas B. Rupp) (collectively, the "Notice Parties").
- (c) Any Adequate Assurance Request must: (i) be made in writing; (ii) specify the amount and nature of assurance of payment that would be satisfactory to the Utility Company; (iii) set forth the locations for which utility services are provided and the relevant account number(s); (iv) describe any deposits, prepayments or other security currently held by the requesting Utility Company; (v) describe any payment delinquency or irregularity by the Debtors for the postpetition period, if any; and (vi) if not requesting only the Proposed Adequate Assurance, explain why the requesting Utility Company believes the Proposed Adequate Assurance is not sufficient adequate assurance of future payment.
- (d) If a Utility Company fails to serve on the Notice Parties an Adequate Assurance Request, such Utility Company shall be (i) deemed to have waived its right to receive adequate assurance of payment "satisfactory" to such Utility Company pursuant to section 366 of the Bankruptcy Code, and (ii) prohibited from discontinuing, altering, or refusing service to, or discriminating against, the Debtors on account of the commencement of the Debtors' Chapter 11 Cases or any unpaid prepetition charges and from requiring additional assurance.
- (e) If an Adequate Assurance Request is delivered upon the Notice Parties, the Debtors shall have the greater of (i) twenty-one (21) days from receipt of such Adequate Assurance Request or (ii) thirty-five (35) days from the Petition Date (such greater period, the "Resolution Period") to negotiate with the requesting Utility Company to resolve its Adequate Assurance Request. The Resolution Period may be extended by agreement of the Debtors and the applicable Utility Company without application to or approval of the Court.
- (f) The Debtors are authorized to resolve, in their discretion, any Adequate Assurance Request by mutual agreement with the requesting Utility Company without further order of the Court and, in connection with any such agreement and in their discretion, may provide the requesting Utility Company with alternative adequate assurance of payment including cash deposits, prepayments, or other forms of security.
- (g) If the parties are not able to resolve an Adequate Assurance Request during the Resolution Period, the Debtors will request a hearing before the Court to determine the adequacy of assurances of payment made to the requesting Utility Company (the "<u>Determination Hearing</u>"), pursuant to section 366(c)(3)(A) of the Bankruptcy Code.
- (h) Pending the resolution of the Adequate Assurance Request at a Determination Hearing, the Utility Company making such a request may not discontinue, alter, or refuse service to the Debtors on account of unpaid charges for prepetition services or an alleged lack of adequate assurance of payment.
- (i) Absent compliance with the Adequate Assurance Procedures and the terms of the order granting the relief requested herein, the Debtors' Utility Companies are

Case: 24-10545 Doc# 12 Filed: 09/12/24 Entered: 09/12/24 23:35:30 Page 5 of 10

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

prohibited from altering, refusing, or discontinuing service on account of the commencement of these Chapter 11 Cases and/or any unpaid charges for prepetition services provided to any of the Debtors and are deemed to have received adequate assurance of payment in accordance with section 366 of the Bankruptcy Code.

- If the Debtors identify additional Utility Companies—or determine that a (i) company was improperly designated as a Utility Company—the Debtors seek authority, in their discretion, to add or remove parties from the Utility Service List. The Debtors promptly will serve on any Utility Company that is subsequently added to the Utility Service List (a "Subsequently Added Utility") a copy of the Court's order regarding Utility Services, including the Adequate Assurance Procedures. The terms of these Adequate Assurance Procedures shall apply to any Subsequently Added Utility.
- Upon receipt of an Adequate Assurance Request, the Debtors shall then have the Resolution Period to resolve any Subsequently Added Utility's Additional Assurance Request by mutual agreement without further order of this Court, or to schedule a Determination Hearing with this Court.
- If any utility account with a Utility Company is closed or terminated during the course of these Chapter 11 Cases, without the need for further order of this Court or notice to any parties except as otherwise provided herein, the Utility Company shall be authorized and directed to immediately return any deposits made by the Debtors pursuant to the Adequate Assurance Procedures.

IV. AUTHORITY FOR RELIEF REQUESTED

Α. The Court Should Approve the Proposed Adequate Assurance and the Adequate Assurance Procedures Under Section 366.

Prior to the enactment of the Bankruptcy Abuse Prevention and Consumer Protection Act of 2005 (the "2005 Amendments"), it was well established by courts, commentators, and legislative history that section 366 of the Bankruptcy Code did not require, as a matter of course, that the debtor provide a deposit or other security to its utilities as adequate assurance of payment. For example, in Virginia Electric & Power Co. v. Caldor, Inc., the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit affirmed the bankruptcy court's ruling that the debtor's prepetition payment history and postpetition liquidity, as well as the administrative expense priority afforded to postpetition invoices, constituted adequate assurance of future performance. See 117 F.3d 646, 647 (2d Cir. 1997). The Court rejected the argument that section 366(b) nevertheless required a "deposit or other security," holding that "a bankruptcy court's authority to 'modify' the level of the 'deposit or other security,' provided for under section 366(b), includes the power to require no

Case: 24-10545 Doc# 12 Filed: 09/12/24 Entered: 09/12/24 23:35:30 Page 6 of 10

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Case:

'deposit or other security' where none is necessary to provide a utility supplier with 'adequate assurance of payment." Id. at 650.

Amendments to the Bankruptcy Code did not abrogate the Bankruptcy Court's ability to determine the amount of adequate assurance necessary or change the fundamental requirement that assurance of payment simply must be "adequate." Thus, while section 366(c) of the Bankruptcy Code limits the factors a court may consider when determining whether a debtor has provided adequate assurance of payment, it does not limit the court's ability to determine the amount of payment necessary, if any, to provide adequate assurance. Section 366(c) of the Bankruptcy Code gives courts the same discretion in determining the amount of payment necessary for adequate assurance that they previously had. Cf. 11 U.S.C. § 366(b) ("On request of a party in interest and after notice and a hearing, the court may order reasonable modification of the amount of the deposit or other security necessary to provide adequate assurance of payment.") with 11 U.S.C. § 366(c)(3)(A) ("On request of a party in interest and after notice and a hearing, the court may order modification of the amount of an assurance payment under paragraph (2).").

In addition, it is well established that section 366(b) of the Bankruptcy Code permits a court to find that no adequate assurance payment at all is necessary to provide a utility with adequate assurance of payment. See Va. Elec. & Power Co. v. Caldor Inc., 117 F.3d 646, 650 (2d Cir. 1997) ("Even assuming that 'other security' should be interpreted narrowly, . . . a bankruptcy court's authority to 'modify' the level of the 'deposit or other security' provided for under § 366(b), includes the power to require 'no deposit or other security' where none is necessary to provide a utility supplier with 'adequate assurance of payment.""); In re Steinebach, 303 B.R. 634, 641 (Bankr. D. Ariz. 2004) ("Courts have exercised that discretion to determine, that a number of factors including prepetition payment history and lack of any past requirement for a deposit may relieve a debtor from having to make any adequate assurance deposit post-petition.") This principle may be applicable in cases where the debtor has made prepetition deposits or prepayments for services that utility providers ultimately will render postpetition. See 11 U.S.C. § 366(c)(1)(A)(v) (recognizing a prepayment for postpetition services as adequate assurance).

Filed: 09/12/24 Entered: 09/12/24 23:35:30 Page 7 of 10 Doc# 12 24-10545

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Further, section 366(c) only requires that a utility's assurance of payment be "adequate." Courts recognize that adequate assurance of performance does not constitute an absolute guarantee of a debtor's ability to pay. See, e.g., In re Steinebach, 303 B.R. at 641 ("Adequate assurance of payment is not, however, absolute assurance . . . 'a Bankruptcy Court is not required to give a utility company the equivalent of a guarantee of payment, but must only determine that the utility is not subject to any unreasonable risk of non-payment for post-petition services.") (quoting *In re* Adelphia Bus. Solutions, Inc., 280 B.R. 63, 80 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y. 2002)); see also In re Caldor, Inc., 199 B.R. 1, 3 (S.D.N.Y. 1996) (section 366(b) "does not require an 'absolute guarantee of payment") (citation omitted), aff'd sub nom. Va. Elec. & Power Co., v. Caldor, Inc., 117 F.3d 646 (2d Cir. 1997).

Courts also have recognized that, in determining the requisite level of adequate assurance, bankruptcy courts should "focus 'upon the need of the utility for assurance, and to require that the debtor supply no more than that, since the debtor almost perforce has a conflicting need to conserve scarce financial resources." Va. Elec. & Power Co., 117 F.3d at 650 (emphasis in original); see also In re Penn. Cent. Transp. Co., 467 F.2d 100, 103-04 (3d Cir. 1972) (affirming bankruptcy court's ruling that utility deposits were not necessary where such deposits likely would "jeopardize the continuing operation of the [debtor] merely to give further security to suppliers who already are reasonably protected").

Here, the Debtors submit that no further deposit is categorically required for all Utility Services. However, in accordance with the proposed Adequate Assurance Procedures, it is prepared to address the demands of Utility Companies as and when made.

В. The Proposed Adequate Assurance and Adequate Assurance Procedures Are Necessary, Essential, and Appropriate for the Continued Operations of the Debtors' Businesses and the Preservation of Its Estates.

The Court may also rely on its equitable powers to grant the relief requested in this Motion. Section 105(a) of the Bankruptcy Code, which codifies the inherent equitable powers of the bankruptcy court, empowers the Court to "issue any order, process, or judgment that is necessary or appropriate to carry out the provisions of this title." 11 U.S.C. § 105(a). Accordingly, the Court may authorize payment of the Proposed Adequate Assurance under the procedures set forth herein

Filed: 09/12/24 Entered: 09/12/24 23:35:30 Page 8 of 10 Case: 24-10545 Doc# 12

because such relief is necessary for the Debtors to carry out their fiduciary duties under sections 1107(a) of the Bankruptcy Code. Under section 1107(a) of the Bankruptcy Code, "the debtor in possession has the same fiduciary duties and liabilities as a Trustee. When the debtor is a corporation, corporate officers and directors are considered to be fiduciaries both to the corporate debtor in possession and to the creditors." *In re Anchorage Nautical Tours, Inc.*, 145 B.R. 637, 643 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 1992); *see also In re Curry & Sorensen, Inc.*, 57 B.R. 824, 828 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 1986) ("[T]he debtor's directors bear essentially the same fiduciary obligation to creditors and shareholders as would a trustee for a debtor out of possession"). As set forth above, the Debtors intend to pay and have the ability to pay all valid postpetition obligations for Utility Services in a timely manner. Additionally, the Utility Companies will be protected through the Proposed Adequate Assurance if they request a deposit.

Uninterrupted utility services are essential to the administration of the Debtors' Chapter 11 Cases. The Debtors own and operate real estate properties, and the smooth functioning of these properties relies on uninterrupted Utility Services. These services play a crucial role in maintaining the real properties. Any interruption in service could detrimentally impact the Debtors' ability to conduct their businesses and thereby generate revenue. Accordingly, the relief requested herein should be approved as necessary and appropriate and in the best interests of the Debtors' estates and all parties in interest.

V. NOTICE

Notice of this Motion will be provided to (i) the United States Trustee; (ii) the Secured Lenders; (iii) the parties listed on the Debtors' consolidated *List of Creditors Who Have the 30 Largest Unsecured Claims and Are Not Insiders*; (iv) the Utility Companies listed in **Exhibit C**; and (v) those persons who have formally appeared in these Chapter 11 Cases and requested service pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 2002. Based on the urgency of the circumstances surrounding this Motion and the nature of the relief requested herein, the Debtors respectfully submit that no further notice is required.

27 || / / /

28 | | / /

Case: 24-10545 Doc# 12 Filed: 09/12/24 Entered: 09/12/24 23:35:30 Page 9 of 10

KELLER BENVENUTTI KIM LLP 425 MARKET STREET, 26TH FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94105 WHEREFORE, the Debtors respectfully request that the Court enter interim and final orders, substantially in the form attached hereto as **Exhibit A** and **Exhibit B**, granting the relief requested herein.

Dated: September 12, 2024

KELLER BENVENUTTI KIM LLP

By: <u>/s/ Thomas B. Rupp</u> Thomas B. Rupp

Proposed Attorneys for the Debtors and Debtors in Possession

Case: 24-10545 Doc# 12 Filed: 09/12/24 Entered: 09/12/24 23:35:30 Page 10 of

KELLER BENVENUTTI KIM LLP

425 MARKET STREET, 26TH FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94105

Exhibit A

(Proposed Interim Order)

Case 24-10545 Doc# 12-1 Filed: 09/12/24 Entered: 09/12/24 23:35:30 Page 1 of

1	KELLER BENVENUTTI KIM LLP TOBIAS S. KELLER (Cal. Bar No. 151445	5)		
2	(tkeller@kbkllp.com) DAVID A. TAYLOR (Cal. Bar No. 247433)			
3	(dtaylor@kbkllp.com) THOMAS B. RUPP (Cal. Bar No. 278041)			
4	(trupp@kbkllp.com) 425 Market Street, 26th Floor			
5	San Francisco, California 94105 Telephone: (415) 496-6723			
6	Facsimile: (650) 636-9251			
7	Proposed Attorneys for the Debtors and Debtors in Possession			
8				
9	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT			
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
11	SANTA ROSA DIVISION			
12		Lead Case No. 24(CN)		
13	In re:	(Jointly Administered)		
14	LEFEVER MATTSON, a California corporation, <i>et al.</i> , ¹	Chapter 11		
15	Debtors.	[PROPOSED] INTERIM ORDER		
16	Deotors.	ESTABLISHING ADEQUATE ASSURANCE PROCEDURES WITH		
17		RESPECT TO THE DEBTORS' UTILITY PROVIDERS		
18				
19 20		Date: TBD Time: TBD		
20		Place: United States Bankruptcy Court 1300 Clay Street, Courtroom 215		
22		Oakland, CA 94612		
23				
24				
25				
26	The last four digits of LeFever Mattson's tax identification number are 7537. Due to the large number of debtor entities in these Chapter 11 Cases, a complete list of the Debtors and the last four digits of their federal tax identification numbers is not provided herein. A complete list of such information may be obtained on the website of the Debtors' claims and noticing agent at https://veritaglobal.net/LM. The address for service on the Debtors is 6359 Auburn Blvd., Suite B, Citrus Heights, CA 95621.			
27				
28				

Doc# 12-1 Filed: 09/12/24 6 Entered: 09/12/24 23:35:30 Page 2 of

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Upon consideration of the Motion of Debtors for Interim and Final Orders Establishing Adequate Assurance Procedures with Respect to the Debtors' Utility Providers (the "Motion"),² filed by the above-captioned debtors and debtors in possession (the "Debtors"); the Court having reviewed the Motion and the Sharp Declaration and having considered the statements of counsel and the evidence adduced with respect to the Motion at a hearing before the Court (the "Hearing"); and the Court having found that (i) the Court has jurisdiction to consider the Motion and the relief requested therein pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334, and the Order Referring Bankruptcy Cases and Proceedings to Bankruptcy Judges, General Order 24 and Rule 5011-1(a) of the Bankruptcy Local Rules for the United States District Court for the Northern District of California; (ii) venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409; (iii) this is a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b); and (iv) notice of the Motion and the Hearing was sufficient under the circumstances; and after due deliberation the Court having determined that the relief requested in the Motion is in the best interests of the Debtors, their estates, and their creditors and necessary to prevent immediate and irreparable harm to the Debtors and their estate; and good and sufficient cause having been shown;

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

- 1. The Motion is granted on an interim basis.
- 2. Subject to the procedures described below, no Utility Company, including any Subsequently Added Utility, may (a) alter, refuse, terminate, or discontinue utility services to, and/or discriminate against, the Debtors on the basis of the commencement of the Chapter 11 Cases or on account of outstanding prepetition invoices or (b) require additional assurance of payment, other than the Proposed Adequate Assurance, as a condition to the Debtors receiving such utility services.
- 3. A deposit equal to two weeks of fees based on the average over the prior year for each account shall constitute adequate assurance if so requested by the applicable Utility Company (the "Proposed Adequate Assurance").

Doc# 12-1 Filed: 09/12/24 Entered: 09/12/24 23:35:30 Page 3 of 24-10545

Capitalized terms not otherwise defined herein shall have the meanings given to them in the Motion.

- 4. Subject to the entry of the final order and the Adequate Assurance Procedures set forth below, the Proposed Adequate Assurance constitutes sufficient adequate assurance of future payment to the Utility Companies to satisfy the requirements of section 366 of the Bankruptcy Code.
 - 5. The following Adequate Assurance Procedures are approved in all respects:

ADEQUATE ASSURANCE PROCEDURES

- (a) The Debtors will mail or otherwise expeditiously cause a copy of this Motion and the order granting, on an interim basis, the relief requested herein (the "<u>Interim Order</u>"), which shall include the proposed Adequate Assurance Procedures, to be served on each company on the Utility Service List within two (2) business days after entry of the Interim Order.
- (b) Any Utility Company desiring assurance of future payment for utility service must serve a request (an "Adequate Assurance Request") at the following addresses for the Debtors: (i) LeFever Mattson, 6359 Auburn Blvd., Suite B, Citrus Heights, CA 95621 (Attn: Mark Bennett), (ii) DSI Consulting, 333 South Grand Avenue, Los Angeles, CA 90071 (Attn: Bradley D. Sharp), and (iii) Keller Benvenutti Kim LLP, 425 Market Street, 26th Floor, San Francisco, CA 94105 (Attn: Thomas B. Rupp) (collectively, the "Notice Parties").
- (c) Any Adequate Assurance Request must: (i) be made in writing; (ii) specify the amount and nature of assurance of payment that would be satisfactory to the Utility Company; (iii) set forth the locations for which utility services are provided and the relevant account number(s); (iv) describe any deposits, prepayments or other security currently held by the requesting Utility Company; (v) describe any payment delinquency or irregularity by the Debtors for the postpetition period, if any; and (vi) if not requesting only the Proposed Adequate Assurance, explain why the requesting Utility Company believes the Proposed Adequate Assurance is not sufficient adequate assurance of future payment.
- (d) If a Utility Company fails to serve on the Notice Parties an Adequate Assurance Request, such Utility Company shall be (i) deemed to have waived its right to receive adequate assurance of payment "satisfactory" to such Utility Company pursuant to section 366 of the Bankruptcy Code, and (ii) prohibited from discontinuing, altering, or refusing service to, or discriminating against, the Debtors on account of the commencement of the Debtors' Chapter 11 Cases or any unpaid prepetition charges and from requiring additional assurance.
- (e) If an Adequate Assurance Request is delivered upon the Notice Parties, the Debtors shall have the greater of (i) twenty-one (21) days from receipt of such Adequate Assurance Request or (ii) thirty-five (35) days from the Petition Date (such greater period, the "Resolution Period") to negotiate with the requesting Utility Company to resolve its Adequate Assurance Request. The Resolution Period may be extended by agreement of the Debtors and the applicable Utility Company without application to or approval of the Court.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- The Debtors are authorized to resolve, in their discretion, any Adequate (f) Assurance Request by mutual agreement with the requesting Utility Company without further order of the Court and, in connection with any such agreement and in their discretion, may provide the requesting Utility Company with alternative adequate assurance of payment including cash deposits, prepayments, or other forms of security.
- If the parties are not able to resolve an Adequate Assurance Request during the Resolution Period, the Debtors will request a hearing before the Court to determine the adequacy of assurances of payment made to the requesting Utility Company (the "Determination Hearing"), pursuant to section 366(c)(3)(A) of the Bankruptcy Code.
- Pending the resolution of the Adequate Assurance Request at a Determination Hearing, the Utility Company making such a request may not discontinue, alter, or refuse service to the Debtors on account of unpaid charges for prepetition services or an alleged lack of adequate assurance of payment.
- Absent compliance with the Adequate Assurance Procedures and the terms of the order granting the relief requested herein, the Debtors' Utility Companies are prohibited from altering, refusing, or discontinuing service on account of the commencement of these Chapter 11 Cases and/or any unpaid charges for prepetition services provided to any of the Debtors and are deemed to have received adequate assurance of payment in accordance with section 366 of the Bankruptcy Code.
- If the Debtors identify additional Utility Companies—or determine that a company was improperly designated as a Utility Company—the Debtors seek authority, in their discretion, to add or remove parties from the Utility Service List. The Debtors promptly will serve on any Utility Company that is subsequently added to the Utility Service List (a "Subsequently Added Utility") a copy of the Court's order regarding Utility Services, including the Adequate Assurance Procedures. The terms of these Adequate Assurance Procedures shall apply to any Subsequently Added Utility.
- Upon receipt of an Adequate Assurance Request, the Debtors shall then have the Resolution Period to resolve any Subsequently Added Utility's Additional Assurance Request by mutual agreement without further order of this Court, or to schedule a Determination Hearing with this Court.
- If any utility account with a Utility Company is closed or terminated during (1) the course of these Chapter 11 Cases, without the need for further order of this Court or notice to any parties except as otherwise provided herein, the Utility Company shall be authorized and directed to immediately return any deposits made by the Debtors pursuant to the Adequate Assurance Procedures.
- 6. All Utility Companies shall be deemed to have adequate assurance of payment under section 366 of the Bankruptcy Code unless and until: (a) the Debtors, in their discretion, agree to provide the Utility Company with (i) the Proposed Adequate Assurance or (ii) an alternative assurance of payment with the Utility Company during the Resolution Period; or

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

(b) this Court enters an order at any Determination Hearing requiring that additional adequate assurance of payment be provided.

- 7. To the extent that the procedures set forth herein are not in technical compliance with certain time periods set forth in section 366 of the Bankruptcy Code, the Debtors have demonstrated good cause for the extension of the thirty-day and twenty-day protective time periods under sections 366(c)(2) and 366(b) of the Bankruptcy Code, respectively.
- 8. Nothing herein constitutes a finding that any entity is or is not a Utility Company hereunder or under section 366 of the Bankruptcy Code, whether or not such entity is listed on the Utility Service List.
- 9. The relief granted herein is for all Utility Companies providing Utility Services to the Debtors and is not limited to those parties or entities listed on the Utility Service List.
- 10. Nothing contained in the Motion or this Order is intended to be or shall be construed as (i) an admission as to the validity of any claim against the Debtors; (ii) a waiver of the Debtors' or any appropriate party in interest's rights to dispute the amount of, basis for, or validity of any claim against the Debtors; (iii) a waiver of any claims or causes of action that may exist against any creditor or interest holder; or (iv) an approval, assumption, adoption, or rejection of any agreement, contract, lease, program, or policy between the Debtors and any third party under section 365 of the Bankruptcy Code.
- 11. The Debtors are authorized to take all steps necessary or appropriate to carry out this Order.
- 12. A hearing to consider the relief requested in the Motion on a final basis is set for], 2024, at [] (Pacific Time). Any objections to granting the relief requested on a final basis must be filed with the Court and served on counsel for the Debtors by [2024.
- 13. The Court retains exclusive jurisdiction with respect to all matters arising from or related to the implementation, interpretation, and enforcement of this Order.

** END OF ORDER **

Case | 24-10545 Doc# 12-1 Filed: 09/12/24 Entered: 09/12/24 23:35:30 Page 6 of

KELLER BENVENUTTI KIM LLP

425 MARKET STREET, 26TH FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94105

Exhibit B

(Proposed Final Order)

Case: 24-10545 Doc# 12-2 Filed: 09/12/24 Entered: 09/12/24 23:35:30 Page 1 of

1	KELLER BENVENUTTI KIM LLP	5)		
2	TOBIAS S. KELLER (Cal. Bar No. 151445) (tkeller@kbkllp.com) DAVID A. TAVI OR (Cal. Bar No. 247433)			
3	DAVID A. TAYLOR (Cal. Bar No. 247433) (dtaylor@kbkllp.com) THOMAS B. RUPP (Cal. Bar No. 278041)			
4	(trupp@kbkllp.com) 425 Market Street, 26th Floor			
5	San Francisco, California 94105 Telephone: (415) 496-6723			
6	Facsimile: (650) 636-9251			
7	Proposed Attorneys for the Debtors and Debtors in Possession			
8				
9	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT			
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
11	SANTA ROSA DIVISION			
12		Lead Case No. 24(CN)		
13	In re:	(Jointly Administered)		
14	LEFEVER MATTSON, a California corporation, <i>et al.</i> , ¹	Chapter 11		
15 16	Debtors.	[PROPOSED] FINAL ORDER		
17		ESTABLISHING ADEQUATE ASSURANCE PROCEDURES WITH		
18		RESPECT TO THE DEBTORS' UTILITY PROVIDERS		
19				
20		Date: TBD Time: TBD		
21		Place: United States Bankruptcy Court 1300 Clay Street, Courtroom 215		
22		Oakland, CA 94612		
23				
24				
25				
26	The last four digits of LeFever Mattson's tax identification number are 7537. Due to the large number of debtor entities in these Chapter 11 Cases, a complete list of the Debtors and the last four digits of their federal tax identification numbers is not provided herein. A complete list of such information may be obtained on the website of the Debtors' claims and noticing agent at https://veritaglobal.net/LM. The address for service on the Debtors is 6359 Auburn Blvd., Suite B, Citrus Heights, CA 95621.			
27				
28				

Doc# 12-2 Filed: 09/12/24 6 Entered: 09/12/24 23:35:30 Page 2 of

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Upon consideration of the Motion of Debtors for Interim and Final Orders Establishing Adequate Assurance Procedures with Respect to the Debtors' Utility Providers (the "Motion"),² filed by the above-captioned debtors and debtors in possession (the "<u>Debtors</u>"); the Court having reviewed the Motion and the Sharp Declaration and having considered the statements of counsel and the evidence adduced with respect to the Motion at a hearing before the Court (the "Hearing"); and the Court having found that (i) the Court has jurisdiction to consider the Motion and the relief requested therein pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334, and the Order Referring Bankruptcy Cases and Proceedings to Bankruptcy Judges, General Order 24 and Rule 5011-1(a) of the Bankruptcy Local Rules for the United States District Court for the Northern District of California; (ii) venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409; (iii) this is a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b); and (iv) notice of the Motion and the Hearing was sufficient under the circumstances; and after due deliberation the Court having determined that the relief requested in the Motion is in the best interests of the Debtors, their estates, and their creditors; and good and sufficient cause having been shown;

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

- The Motion is granted on a final basis.
- 2. Subject to the procedures described below, no Utility Company, including any Subsequently Added Utility, may (a) alter, refuse, terminate, or discontinue utility services to, and/or discriminate against, the Debtors on the basis of the commencement of the Chapter 11 Cases or on account of outstanding prepetition invoices or (b) require additional assurance of payment, other than the Proposed Adequate Assurance, as a condition to the Debtors receiving such utility services.
- 3. A deposit equal to two weeks of fees based on the average over the prior year for each account shall constitute adequate assurance if so requested by the applicable Utility Company (the "Proposed Adequate Assurance").

Doc# 12-2 Filed: 09/12/24 Entered: 09/12/24 23:35:30 Page 3 of 24-10545

Capitalized terms not otherwise defined herein shall have the meanings given to them in the Motion.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Case:

- 4. Subject to the Adequate Assurance Procedures set forth below, the Proposed Adequate Assurance constitutes sufficient adequate assurance of future payment to the Utility Companies to satisfy the requirements of section 366 of the Bankruptcy Code.
 - 5. The following Adequate Assurance Procedures are approved in all respects:

ADEQUATE ASSURANCE PROCEDURES

- The Debtors will mail or otherwise expeditiously cause a copy of this (a) Motion and the order granting, on an interim basis, the relief requested herein (the "Interim Order"), which shall include the proposed Adequate Assurance Procedures, to be served on each company on the Utility Service List within two (2) business days after entry of the Interim Order.
- Any Utility Company desiring assurance of future payment for utility service must serve a request (an "Adequate Assurance Request") at the following addresses for the Debtors: (i) LeFever Mattson, 6359 Auburn Blvd., Suite B, Citrus Heights, CA 95621 (Attn: Mark Bennett), (ii) DSI Consulting, 333 South Grand Avenue, Los Angeles, CA 90071 (Attn: Bradley D. Sharp), and (iii) Keller Benvenutti Kim LLP, 425 Market Street, 26th Floor, San Francisco, CA 94105 (Attn: Thomas B. Rupp) (collectively, the "Notice Parties").
- Any Adequate Assurance Request must: (i) be made in writing; (ii) specify the amount and nature of assurance of payment that would be satisfactory to the Utility Company; (iii) set forth the locations for which utility services are provided and the relevant account number(s); (iv) describe any deposits, prepayments or other security currently held by the requesting Utility Company; (v) describe any payment delinquency or irregularity by the Debtors for the postpetition period, if any; and (vi) if not requesting only the Proposed Adequate Assurance, explain why the requesting Utility Company believes the Proposed Adequate Assurance is not sufficient adequate assurance of future payment.
- If a Utility Company fails to serve on the Notice Parties an Adequate Assurance Request, such Utility Company shall be (i) deemed to have waived its right to receive adequate assurance of payment "satisfactory" to such Utility Company pursuant to section 366 of the Bankruptcy Code, and (ii) prohibited from discontinuing, altering, or refusing service to, or discriminating against, the Debtors on account of the commencement of the Debtors' Chapter 11 Cases or any unpaid prepetition charges and from requiring additional assurance.
- (e) If an Adequate Assurance Request is delivered upon the Notice Parties, the Debtors shall have the greater of (i) twenty-one (21) days from receipt of such Adequate Assurance Request or (ii) thirty-five (35) days from the Petition Date (such greater period, the "Resolution Period") to negotiate with the requesting Utility Company to resolve its Adequate Assurance Request. The Resolution Period may be extended by agreement of the Debtors and the applicable Utility Company without application to or approval of the Court.
- The Debtors are authorized to resolve, in their discretion, any Adequate Assurance Request by mutual agreement with the requesting Utility Company without further order of the Court and, in connection with any such agreement and in their discretion, may provide the requesting Utility Company with alternative adequate assurance of payment including cash deposits, prepayments, or other forms of security.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- If the parties are not able to resolve an Adequate Assurance Request during the Resolution Period, the Debtors will request a hearing before the Court to determine the adequacy of assurances of payment made to the requesting Utility Company (the "<u>Determination Hearing</u>"), pursuant to section 366(c)(3)(A) of the Bankruptcy Code.
- Pending the resolution of the Adequate Assurance Request at a Determination Hearing, the Utility Company making such a request may not discontinue, alter, or refuse service to the Debtors on account of unpaid charges for prepetition services or an alleged lack of adequate assurance of payment.
- Absent compliance with the Adequate Assurance Procedures and the terms (i) of the order granting the relief requested herein, the Debtors' Utility Companies are prohibited from altering, refusing, or discontinuing service on account of the commencement of these Chapter 11 Cases and/or any unpaid charges for prepetition services provided to any of the Debtors and are deemed to have received adequate assurance of payment in accordance with section 366 of the Bankruptcy Code.
- If the Debtors identify additional Utility Companies—or determine that a company was improperly designated as a Utility Company—the Debtors seek authority, in their discretion, to add or remove parties from the Utility Service List. The Debtors promptly will serve on any Utility Company that is subsequently added to the Utility Service List (a "Subsequently Added Utility") a copy of the Court's order regarding Utility Services, including the Adequate Assurance Procedures. The terms of these Adequate Assurance Procedures shall apply to any Subsequently Added Utility.
- Upon receipt of an Adequate Assurance Request, the Debtors shall then (k) have the Resolution Period to resolve any Subsequently Added Utility's Additional Assurance Request by mutual agreement without further order of this Court, or to schedule a Determination Hearing with this Court.
- (1)If any utility account with a Utility Company is closed or terminated during the course of these Chapter 11 Cases, without the need for further order of this Court or notice to any parties except as otherwise provided herein, the Utility Company shall be authorized and directed to immediately return any deposits made by the Debtors pursuant to the Adequate Assurance Procedures.
- 6. All Utility Companies shall be deemed to have adequate assurance of payment under section 366 of the Bankruptcy Code unless and until: (a) the Debtors, in their discretion, agree to provide the Utility Company with (i) the Proposed Adequate Assurance or (ii) an alternative assurance of payment with the Utility Company during the Resolution Period; or (b) this Court enters an order at any Determination Hearing requiring that additional adequate assurance of payment be provided.
- 7. To the extent that the procedures set forth herein are not in technical compliance with certain time periods set forth in section 366 of the Bankruptcy Code, the Debtors have

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

demonstrated good cause for the extension of the thirty-day and twenty-day protective time periods under sections 366(c)(2) and 366(b) of the Bankruptcy Code, respectively.

- 8. Nothing herein constitutes a finding that any entity is or is not a Utility Company hereunder or under section 366 of the Bankruptcy Code, whether or not such entity is listed on the Utility Service List.
- 9. The relief granted herein is for all Utility Companies providing Utility Services to the Debtors and is not limited to those parties or entities listed on the Utility Service List.
- 10. Nothing contained in the Motion or this Order is intended to be or shall be construed as (i) an admission as to the validity of any claim against the Debtors; (ii) a waiver of the Debtors' or any appropriate party in interest's rights to dispute the amount of, basis for, or validity of any claim against the Debtors; (iii) a waiver of any claims or causes of action that may exist against any creditor or interest holder; or (iv) an approval, assumption, adoption, or rejection of any agreement, contract, lease, program, or policy between the Debtors and any third party under section 365 of the Bankruptcy Code.
- 11. Notwithstanding entry of this Order, nothing herein shall create, nor is intended to create, any rights in favor of or enhance the status of any claim held by any party.
- 12. The Debtors are authorized to take all steps necessary or appropriate to carry out this Order.
- 13. The Court retains exclusive jurisdiction with respect to all matters arising from or related to the implementation, interpretation, and enforcement of this Order.

** END OF ORDER **

KELLER BENVENUTTI KIM LLP

425 MARKET STREET, 26TH FLOOR SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94105

Exhibit C

(Utility Service List)

Case: 24-10545 Doc# 12-3 Filed: 09/12/24 2 Entered: 09/12/24 23:35:30 Page 1 of

Provider Name	Type of Service	Address
AT&T	Telephone	PO Box 5025, Carol Stream, IL 60197- 5025
California American Water	Water	P.O. Box 7150, Pasadena, CA 91109-7150
Citrus Heights Water District	Water	Dept. LA 23168, Pasadena, CA 91185-3168
Comcast	Internet	P.O. Box 60533, City of Industry, CA 91716-0533
Consolidated Communications	Telephone	PO Box 66523, Saint Louis, MO 63166-6523
Contra Costa Water District	Water	1331 Concord Ave., PO Box H20, Concord, CA 94524-2099
Fairfield Municipal Utilities	Water Sewer	1000 Webster Street, Fairfield, CA 94533
City of Folsom	Sewer	PO Box 51046, Los Angeles, CA 90051-5346
City of Fresno	Water	Utilities Billing Collection, PO Box 2069, Fresno, CA 93718-2069
Granite Telecommunications, LLC	Telephone	PO Box 830103, Philadelphia, PA 19182-0103
Mt Diablo Resource Recovery - Concord	Trash	PO Box 5397, Concord, CA 94524-0397
Napa Valley Petroleum, Inc	Propane	PO Box 2670, Napa, CA 94558
Pacific Gas & Electric	Gas & Electricity	P.O. Box 997300, Sacramento, CA 95899-7300
Recology Vacaville Solano	Trash	PO BOX 848870, Los Angeles, CA 90084-8870
Republic Services	Trash	PO Box 78829, Phoenix, AZ 85062-8829
City of Roseville	Electricity	P.O. Box 619136, Roseville, CA 95661-9136
Sacramento County Utilities	Water Sewer Garbage	PO Box 1804, Sacramento, CA 95812
SMUD	Electricity	P.O. Box 15555, Sacramento, CA 95852- 1555
City of Sonoma	Water Sewer	PO Box 1150, Suisun City, CA 94585- 1150
Sonoma Garbage Collectors, Inc	Trash	1180 Fremont Dr., Sonoma, CA 95476
Touchbase Communications	Telephone	PO Box 1949, Newark, NJ 07101-1949
City of Vacaville	Water Sewer	PO Box 6180, Vacaville, CA 95696-6180
WM Corporate Services, Inc	Trash	AS PAYMENT AGENT, PO Box 541065, Los Angeles, CA 90054-1065

Case 24-10545 Doc# 12-3 Filed: 09/12/24 2 Entered: 09/12/24 23:35:30 Page 2 of