1	SHEPPARD, MULLIN, RICHTER & HAMPTO A Limited Liability Partnership	ON LLP
2	Including Professional Corporations THEODORE A. COHEN, Cal Bar No. 151427	JEANNIE KIM, Cal Bar No. 270713
3	CAROLINE R. SISCHO, Cal Bar No. 346962 333 South Hope Street, 43 rd Floor	Four Embarcadero Center, 17 th Floor San Francisco, California 94111-4109
4	Los Angeles, California 90071-1422 Telephone: 213.620.1780	Telephone: 415.434.9100 Facsimile: 415.434.3947
5	Facsimile: 213.620.1398 E mail tcohen@sheppardmullin.com	E mail jekim@sheppardmullin.com
6	csischo@sheppardmullin.com	
7	Attorneys for Secured Creditor Socotra Capital,	
8	UNITED STATES B	ANKRUPTCY COURT
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CAL	FORNIA, SANTA ROSA DIVISION
10	In re	Case No. 24-10545 (CN)
11	LEFEVER MATTSON, a California corporation, et al.,	(Joint Administration Requested)
12	Debtor. 1	Chapter 11
13	Deolor.	OMNIBUS LIMITED OBJECTION AND RESERVATION OF RIGHTS OF SOCOTRA
14		CAPITAL, INC. TO MOTIONS OF DEBTORS FOR: (1) INTERIM AND FINAL
15		ORDERS AUTHORIZING DEBTORS TO
16		USE CASH COLLATERAL; AND (2) INTERIM AND FINAL ORDERS (I)
17		APPROVING CONTINUED USE OF THE DEBTORS' CASH MANAGEMENT SYSTEM
18		AND BANK ACCOUNTS; (II) AUTHORIZING THE DEBTORS TO OPEN
19		AND CLOSE BANK ACCOUNTS; AND (III) AUTHORIZING BANKS TO HONOR
20		CERTAIN PREPETITION TRANSFERS
21		Supporting Declaration of Adham Sbeih Filed Concurrently Herewith
22		Hearing to Be Held on Shortened Time:
23		Judge: Hon. Charles Novack Date: September 18, 2024
24		Time: 2:00 p.m. Dept.: Courtroom 215
25		1300 Clay Street Oakland, CA 94162
26		
27	¹ The last four digits of LeFever Mattson's tax identification entities in these Chapter 11 Cases, a complete list of the E	on number are 7537. Due to the large number of debtor Debtors and the last four digits of their federal tax at list of such information may be obtained on the website of

identification numbers is not provided herein. A complete list of such information may be obtained on the website of the Debtors' proposed claims and noticing agent at https://veritaglobal.net/LM. The address for service on the Debtors is 6359 Auburn Blvd., Suite B, Citrus Heights, CA 95621. 24-10545 Doc SMRH:4888-0474-1861.3 Doc# 31 Filed: 09/17/24 Entered: 09/17/ 5910510240918000000000001

SOCOTRA'S OMNIBUS LIMITED OBJECTION AND RESERVATION OF RIGHTS

respectfully submits this limited objection and reservation of rights (the "Limited Objection")² to certain motions (collectively, the "First Day Motions") filed by LeFever Mattson, a California corporation ("LeFever Mattson"), a debtor and debtor in possession in the above-captioned chapter 11 bankruptcy cases (the "Chapter 11 Cases"), and its affiliated debtors and debtors in possession (collectively with LeFever Mattson, the "Debtors"). Specifically, Socotra objects, on a limited basis, to those First Day Motions seeking interim relief in the form of orders authorizing Debtors to, among other things: (1) use the Cash Collateral of the Accepting Lenders for Property Level Expenses as stated in the Property Budgets, including, among other Secured Lenders, Socotra, for Property Level Expenses of the properties against which Socotra has recorded first priority deeds of trust (the "Socotra Properties") (as requested under the "Cash Collateral Motion" [ECF No. 17]); and (2) continue using their current Cash Management System, Bank Accounts, and Business Forms and related relief, including, but not limited to, the maintenance of Debtors' current Bank Accounts, without the need to close them and open new debtor in possession accounts (as requested under the "Cash Management Motion" [ECF No. 13]). In support of this Limited Objection, Socotra represents:

Secured creditor Socotra Capital, Inc. ("Socotra"), through its undersigned counsel,

17

18

19

20

21

22

16

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

I.

Socotra has concerns regarding some of the requested relief under two of the First Day Motions - - Cash Collateral and Cash Management. As to the Cash Collateral Motion, Socotra should receive typical adequate protection to the extent Debtors wish to use Socotra's Cash Collateral. With respect to Cash Management Motion, Socotra has no objection to Debtors

23

24

25

27

² By that Motion of Debtors for Entry of an Order (I) Directing the joint Administration of the Debtors' Chapter 11 Cases and (II) Granting Certain Related Relief [ECF No. 6], the Debtors seek joint administration of, among other Chapter 11 Cases, the pending chapter 11 case of Windscape Apartments, LLC (Case No. 24-10417 (CN)), which case commenced on August 6, 2024 (the "<u>Windscape Case</u>"). Socotra has filed in the Windscape Case an omnibus limited objection and reservation of rights (the "<u>Windscape Limited Objection</u>"), which currently is pending. *See* Windscape Case, at ECF No. 42. Socotra renews and reiterates those arguments presented in the Windscape Limited 26 Objection and incorporates herein by this reference the Windscape Limited Objection as if fully set forth in this Limited Objection.

³ Capitalized terms not otherwise defined in this Limited Objection shall have the same meanings ascribed to them in the Windscape Limited Objection, the concurrently filed Declaration of Adham Sbeih, and the applicable First Day Motion.

maintaining their current cash management system so long as *after* Debtors collect rents from their tenants on Socotra Properties (so as not to disrupt Debtor's operations), Debtors segregate such rents as required by Bankruptcy Code Section 363(c)(4) by transferring them into one bank account that holds all of Socotra's Cash Collateral and only Socotra's Cash Collateral.

Socotra holds first priority deeds of trust against certain commercial (including office and mixed-use) and residential real properties, as well as vacant land, that Debtors hold or hold and lease to third parties to generate rental income to fund their operations. These deeds of trust secure certain commercial loans that Socotra made (collectively, the "Socotra Loans") to KS Mattson Partners L.P. ("KSM"), and debtors Buckeye Tree LP and Red Spruce Tree LP. All the Socotra Properties that were owned by KSM are currently owned by Debtors, having been transferred subject to Socotra's liens without Socotra's knowledge or consent. The Socotra Loans and deeds of trust provide that, the rents, issues, revenues, income, proceeds, profits, and other benefits to which the borrowers or record title owner of the Socotra Properties comprise Socotra's Cash Collateral ("Socotra Cash Collateral").

Socotra understands that many of the Socotra Properties generate rental income for Debtors. Socotra does not object to Debtors' use of Cash Collateral generated by the Socotra Properties to preserve and maximize the value of the Socotra Properties, including to pay for Property Level Expenses that include payment of (a) Insurance Obligations related to policies that insure the Socotra Properties and (b) Taxes and Assessments related to the Socotra Properties. But if Debtors seek to use Socotra Cash Collateral to fund Property Level Expenses, including insurance premiums and Taxes and Assessments related to Properties against which Socotra does not hold a lien, Socotra objects.

Socotra understands from the Cash Collateral Motion and the Budgets (defined below) that (i) Debtors propose to pay Socotra monthly payments of \$11,000 per month with respect to the Fulton Property, which proposal Socotra accepts, and (ii) because the Budgets provide for no adequate protection payments to Socotra on any Socotra Properties other than the Fulton Property, other than with Socotra's express prior consent, Debtors are not under the pending Cash Collateral

Motion seeking to use Socotra's Cash Collateral generated from any Socotra Properties except the Fulton Property. If Socotra's understanding is incorrect, Socotra requests that the Debtors explain.

Further, Debtors' current Cash Management System results in the inappropriate commingling of Socotra Cash Collateral with the Cash Collateral of other Secured Lenders. Socotra recognizes that directing tenants on Socotra Properties to remit payments to a different bank account could be disruptive and slow down the collections process. However, transferring rent payments once received into a separate account would not be disruptive or interfere at all. Socotra simply requests that the Court require Debtors to segregate Socotra Cash Collateral *after* Debtors collect rental income from their third-party tenants, as described in greater detail below.

II.

BACKGROUND

Over the years, Socotra has made certain loans to KSM, the principal of which (Kenneth Mattson ("Mattson")) currently is a business partner of Timothy LeFever, and until earlier this year, was the CEO of Debtor LeFever Mattson. *See* Sbeih Decl. at ¶ 6. Prepetition, Socotra also made one loan each to debtors Buckeye Tree LP and Red Spruce Tree LP. *Id.* at ¶ 5.

Debtors represent that based on transfers that Mattson caused KSM to make to certain Debtors, certain of the Debtors currently hold properties obtained through the Mattson Property Sales. As set forth on the Property Budgets attached to the Sharp Declaration and similar budgets (the "Windscape Budgets")⁴ appended to the Declaration of Bradley D. Sharp in Support of Chapter 11 Petition and First Day Motions filed in the Windscape Case at ECF No. 25 (the "Sharp Windscape Declaration"), the Debtors currently hold at least 57 separate Properties (collectively, the "Socotra Properties") against which Socotra made at least 37 loans to KSM and one loan each to Buckeye Tree LP and Red Spruce Tree LP (collectively, the "Socotra Loans"). See Sharp Windscape Decl., at Ex. A, pp. 2 of 18, 10-18 of 18; and Sharp Decl., at Ex. 4, pp. 3-4 of 76, and 41-66 of 76.

Case

⁴ Collectively, the Property Budgets and the Windscape Budgets shall be referenced in this Limited Objection as the "Budgets."

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Trust and Assignment of Leases and Rents, Fixture Filings, and Security Agreements securing Socotra's interests in the Socotra Properties with the Sonoma County Clerk-Recorder-Assessor or the Placer County Clerk-Recorder. *Id.* at ¶ 9.

LIMITED OBJECTION TO DEBTORS' PROPOSED USE OF CASH COLLATERAL AND DEMAND FOR ALTERNATE TERMS

III.

The Socotra Loans and the indebtedness thereunder are evidenced by those certain

Promissory Notes Secured by Deed of Trust and Deeds of Trust, and Assignments of Leases and

Rents, Fixture Filings, and Security Agreements (collectively, the "Socotra Loan Documents").⁵

See Sbeih Decl., ¶ 8. Under the Socotra Loan Documents, the Socotra Properties, and any rents

"Socotra Collateral"). In the ordinary course of Socotra's business, Socotra recorded the Deeds of

generated therefrom (i.e., Socotra's Cash Collateral) are Socotra's collateral (collectively, the

A. <u>Debtors' Proposed Use of Cash Collateral</u>

Socotra does not object in concept to Debtors' use of Cash Collateral for payment of ordinary, reasonable and necessary operating expenses, particularly if, with respect to the proposed use of Socotra Cash Collateral, such use is to preserve and maximize the value of Socotra Properties and approved in advance by Socotra. Specifically, Socotra would consent, and indeed requests that Debtors commit to using Socotra Cash Collateral to fund Taxes and Assessments and Insurance Obligations, subject to the provision, by Debtors, of additional information and detail than the line items currently set forth in the Budgets and Socotra's express written consent. Notwithstanding the foregoing, Socotra does not, under any circumstance, consent to Debtors' use of Socotra Cash Collateral for Property Level Expenses or and other amounts, including debt service payments, operating expenses, overhead expenses, or other expenses, for Properties against which Socotra does not have first priority liens (i.e., Properties where other Secured Lenders hold first priority or other liens).

26

27

28

Case

⁵ Socotra has not attached to the Sbeih Declaration copies of the Socotra Loan Documents due to their voluminous nature and in the interests of time. Socotra will provide true and correct copies of the Socotra Loan Documents to parties that request them.

1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |

Further, to the extent that footnote 5 of the Cash Collateral Motion means that, for Socotra Properties, Debtors propose to pay to Socotra monthly adequate protection payments of \$11,000 per month (the "Fulton Adequate Protection Payment") in connection with that certain Socotra Property at 1319 -1362 Fulton Avenue, Sonoma, California (the "Fulton Property"), Socotra does not object to this proposed use of Socotra Cash Collateral. To ensure that Debtors and Socotra fully understand each other, however, Socotra further requests that the Debtors confirm their intent to pay to Socotra the Fulton Adequate Protection Payment as well as their intent to deem Socotra a Nonaccepting Lender as to all other Socotra Properties and not to make any other or additional adequate protection payments to Socotra under the pending Cash Collateral Motion without Socotra's prior express written consent.

B. <u>Lack of Sufficient Detail in Budgets</u>

Socotra requests that the Court require Debtors to supplement the Budgets submitted to date with additional specific and sufficient detail regarding the Property Level Expenses listed therein. As noted in the Windscape Limited Objection and repeated here, the Budgets list line-item expenses for some of the Socotra Properties described as "Administrative" without any explanation of what "Administrative" expenses are. Do the Debtors intend to pay overhead, rather than Property specific costs under this line item? Similarly, line items described as "Maintenance" and "Replacement" are insufficient and do not give Socotra, or any other Secured Lender, visibility into the specific Property Level Expenses Debtors intend to pay using Cash Collateral, subject to consent from a Secured Lender. Additionally, Socotra requests that Debtors distinguish between "Taxes" and "Insurance" as line-item expenses, rather having one line item that covers both, especially given Debtors seek separate authority and relief to pay Taxes and Assessments and Insurance Obligations under the First Day Motions.

More specifically, with respect to the twelve (12) properties on Badger Lane and Quail Court aka Pinyon Creek II, *see* Sharp Declaration, at Exhibit 4, page 4 of 76, there is one aggregate budget, *see id.*, at page 66 of 76. Socotra needs more information regarding Pinyon

^{27 |}

⁶ Presumably, Maintenance and Replacement expenses are not "Operating Expenses," for which there are often separate line items for operating expenses.

Creek II. Socotra understands that the 12 homes are rented at \$6,000 per month each, which is \$72,000 per month. Yet the Budget shows only \$38,850 per month in rent income. Socotra needs more information and suggests that Debtors should prepare a budget for each home. Socotra also needs more time to do additional research regarding the Budgets, which it may be able to do in connection with a final hearing on cash collateral. Socotra will work with Debtors regarding additional information Socotra requires to reach a mutually agreeable protocol.

Socotra further notes that the Budgets and the concept of Accepting Lenders versus Nonaccepting Lenders reflect Debtors' intent to make debt service payments to certain Secured Lenders on account of certain Properties (including Socotra with respect to the Fulton Property), but not others. Moreover, certain Secured Lenders will receive debt service payments even though the related Properties do not generate positive net cash flow over the 13-week period reflected in the Budgets that would cover the amount of proposed debt payment. See, e.g., Sharp Decl., at Ex. 4, pp. 6, 13, 30, 31, 34, 35, 36, 38 and 40. In some instances, Debtors propose making monthly adequate protection payments where the subject Property does not generate any income whatsoever, and the Budgets show no source of receipts from which the monthly adequate protection payments will be made. Without additional information and detail regarding each Property Budget, or a global, comprehensive budget that discloses the cash flow projections for Debtors' entire enterprise, Socotra cannot ascertain the source of adequate protection payments to be made to lenders with insufficient cash collateral or no cash collateral at all to fund the adequate protection payments. It certainly is possible that Debtors propose to use Cash Collateral of one lender to fund adequate protection payments to another lender. Debtors' Budgets should be comprehensive to include all re inflows and outflows.

C. Socotra Is Entitled to Adequate Protection

Adequate Protection Payments.

Socotra is entitled to monthly adequate protection payments equal to no less than the interest due to Socotra each month under the Loan Documents. Under the Cash Collateral Motion, as supported by the Budgets, Debtors propose to make adequate protection payments to Socotra

28

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

14 15

16

18 19

20 21

22

23 24 25

27 28

26

only on account of the Fulton Property. As to the other Socotra Properties, Debtors appear to deem Socotra a Nonaccepting Lender that will not receive any adequate protection payments and therefore Debtors will not use Socotra's Cash Collateral except as to the Fulton Property. Socotra requests confirmation that its understanding is correct.

In any case, Debtors reserve the right to seek to use Cash Collateral generated from other Socotra Properties. Debtors also indicate that no adequate protection payments would be necessary because the Socotra Properties have sufficient equity cushions. Debtors have not met their burden.

Debtors assert that "an equity cushion, monthly payments, or other forms of protection contemplated" under the Bankruptcy Code adequately protects or adequately will protect Nonaccepting Lenders. See Cash Collateral Mot. at 6:1-2; and Sharp Decl. ¶ 75. In arguing against adequate protection payments for Non-Accepting Lenders, Debtors allege various estimated property value and equity cushion amounts for the Properties, including each Socotra Property. However, Debtor provides no evidence supporting such alleged values and equity cushions. Debtor merely has a column in the Budgets alleging values of each Socotra Property at the time that such Properties were acquired (typically over four years ago).

Bankruptcy Code Section 363(p)(1) provides that debtors bear the burden of proof on adequate protection. Thus, a column in the Budgets asserting "Acquisition Values" (in the case of the Windscape Budgets) or "Estimated Values" based on "Acquisition Price" (in the case of the Property Budgets) is woefully insufficient to meet Debtor's burden on adequate protection. What is clear, however, is that based on the monthly accrual of interest and legal fees, Socotra is suffering a diminution in the value of its interest in the Socotra Properties.

Prohibition on Using Socotra Cash Collateral for Expenses of Other Properties. The Court should prohibit Debtors from using Socotra Cash Collateral to make any payments related to Property Level Expenses or any other payments other than those specifically related to the Socotra Properties. That is, Debtors should not use Socotra Cash Collateral to pay expenses of Properties

on which another Secured Lender asserts a lien, senior in priority to Socotra or otherwise, against that Property.

Replacement Liens and Superpriority Administrative Status. Socotra should be granted replacement liens on the Socotra Collateral, as well as superpriority administrative status, to cover any diminution in value of the Socotra Collateral or Socotra Cash Collateral from Debtors' use of Socotra Cash Collateral.

Other Protections. Furthermore, Socotra requests as additional protection for use of the Socotra Cash Collateral, the following reporting on a bi-weekly basis: (1) a budget vs. actual variance report, (2) a leasing activity report that includes any changes in the tenancy at the subject Properties, (3) a copy of a current bank statement for Debtors' (single) separate debtor in possession bank account into which Socotra Cash Collateral is swept and/or transferred and maintained on a segregated basis (see below objection to the Cash Management Motion); and (4) an expenditure report that includes details for historical (going back at least 3 years) and projected (going forward at least one year) expenditures related to the Socotra Properties.

D. <u>Specific Additional Terms to Be Included in Any Order Authorizing Debtors' Use of Cash Collateral</u>

In addition to the adequate protection noted above, Socotra requests that the following provisions be included in any order approving use of the Socotra Cash Collateral:⁷

- 1. Debtors' interim use of cash shall only be permitted for a very limited period of time sufficient for the Court to hear a noticed motion in mid-October 2024, and to enter a more comprehensive final order or approve a more comprehensive longer-term agreement or stipulation;
- 2. Debtors shall not sell any of the Socotra Collateral or its unencumbered assets, if any, without Socotra's consent or Court approval;
- 3. The terms of any interim or final order on Cash Collateral shall survive and be binding in the event of the appointment of a trustee or conversion of the Chapter 11 Case;
- 4. The Socotra Loan Documents shall remain in full force and effect;

⁷ The following terms relate only to interim use of Cash Collateral. Socotra will request, and is entitled to, additional protections in exchange for final use of Cash Collateral. This Limited Objection is without prejudice to Socotra's right to request additional protections in any final order on Debtors' use of Cash Collateral.

- 5. The following shall constitute events of default resulting in the termination of Debtors' right to use the Socotra Cash Collateral: (i) conversion or dismissal of the Chapter 11 Cases, (ii) appointment of a trustee or examiner, (iii) entry of any order granting relief from stay to any party other than Socotra to proceed against the Socotra Collateral or any unencumbered assets of Debtors, (iv) sale or abandonment by Debtors of any of the Socotra Collateral without Socotra's consent or Court approval, or (v) Debtors failure to: (a) maintain the insurance coverage required under the Socotra Loan Documents, or (b) pay any taxes related to the Socotra Properties when due, (c) prevent priming of any of Socotra's liens, (d) make any required adequate protection payments to Socotra, or (e) comply with any provisions of the Cash Collateral order; and
- 6. There shall be no admission by Socotra that the protections provided in exchange for use of the Socotra Cash Collateral are "adequate protection" under the Bankruptcy Code, and Socotra shall expressly reserve and not waive any rights on this issue, the value of its collateral, and all other issues.

IV.

LIMITED OBJECTION TO DEBTORS' CONTINUED USE OF THEIR CASH MANAGEMENT SYSTEM, BANK ACCOUNTS, AND BUSINESS FORMS

Socotra does not disagree that continued use of Debtors' Cash Management System, including to some extent, the continued use of their Bank Accounts at authorized depositories, will ensure receipt of Rents from their third-party tenants without disruption. Neither does Socotra object to the Debtors' proposed closure of Unauthorized Depository Accounts in compliance with the requirements of debtors in possession under the Bankruptcy Code and guidelines promulgated by the Office of the United States Trustee (the "OUST"), or Debtors' request to continue using their Business Forms without a "debtor in possession" designation.

Nevertheless, Socotra does object to Debtors not segregating Socotra's Cash Collateral after receipt of Rents. While Socotra understands and appreciates the need to maintain the Cash Management System and Bank Accounts to ensure seamless collection of Rents from tenants and other sources of income (if any) for the benefit of Debtors' estates and creditors, Socotra does not consent to the continued use of a cash management system that commingles Socotra Cash Collateral with the Cash Collateral of other Secured Lender and unencumbered receipts, if any. The current Cash Management System violates Bankruptcy Code Section 363(c)(4), which requires that a debtor account for and segregate cash collateral. If Debtors do not segregate

rather one bank account for Socotra Cash Collateral derived from all Socotra Properties.

28

⁸ Notably, Socotra does not request that the Debtors open a separate new bank account for each Socotra Property, but

Case: 24-10545 Doc# 31 Filed: 09/17/24 Friered: 09/17/24 17:43:39 Case: 24-10545 (CN)
SMRH:4888-0474-1861.3 Socotra's Omnibus Limited Objection and Reservation of Rights

	I and the second			
1	SHEPPARD, MULLIN, RICHTER & HAMPTON LLP A Limited Liability Partnership			
2		JEANNIE KIM, Cal Bar No. 270713		
3	CAROLINE R. SISCHO, Cal Bar No. 346962 333 South Hope Street, 43 rd Floor	Four Embarcadero Center, 17 th Floor San Francisco, California 94111-4109		
4	Los Angeles, California 90071-1422 Telephone: 213.620.1780	Telephone: 415.434.9100 Facsimile: 415.434.3947		
56	Facsimile: 213.620.1398 E mail tcohen@sheppardmullin.com csischo@sheppardmullin.com	E mail jekim@sheppardmullin.com		
7	Attorneys for Secured Creditor Socotra Capital, I	nc.		
8				
9	UNITED STATES BA	ANKRUPTCY COURT		
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIF	FORNIA, SANTA ROSA DIVISION		
11	In re	Case No. 24-10545 (CN)		
12	LEFEVER MATTSON, a California corporation, et al.,	(Joint Administration Requested)		
13	Debtor. 1	Chapter 11		
14		DECLARATION OF ADHAM SBEIH IN SUPPORT OF SECURED CREDITOR		
15 16		SOCOTRA CAPITAL, INC.'S OMNIBUS LIMITED OBJECTION AND RESERVATION OF RIGHTS TO MOTIONS OF DEBTORS FOR:		
17		1. INTERIM AND FINAL ORDERS		
18		AUTHORIZING DEBTORS TO USE CASH COLLATERAL; AND		
19		2. INTERIM AND FINAL ORDERS (I) APPROVING CONTINUED USE OF		
20		THE DEBTORS' CASH MANAGEMENT SYSTEM AND		
21		BANK ACCOUNTS; (II) AUTHORIZING THE DEBTORS TO		
22		OPEN AND CLOSE BANK ACCOUNTS; AND (III)		
23		AUTHORIZING BANKS TO HONOR CERTAIN PREPETITION		
24		TRANSFERS		
25	The last four digits of LeFever Mattson's tax ide	entification number are 7537. Due to the large		
26	number of debtor entities in these Chapter 11 Cas	ses, a complete list of the Debtors and the last		

Case: 24-10545 Doc# 31-1 Filed: 09/17/24 Entered: 09/17/24 17:43:39^{Casp} 10545 (CN)
SMRH:4855-3694-4613.6 Spein Declaration in Support of Socotra's Limited Objection

27

The last four digits of LeFever Mattson's tax identification number are 7537. Due to the large number of debtor entities in these Chapter 11 Cases, a complete list of the Debtors and the last four digits of their federal tax identification numbers is not provided herein. A complete list of such information may be obtained on the website of the Debtors' proposed claims and noticing agent at https://veritaglobal.net/LM. The address for service on the Debtors is 6359 Auburn Blvd., Suite B, Citrus Heights, CA 95621.

Judge: Hon. Charles Novack
Date: September 18, 2024
Time: 2:00 p.m.
Dept.: Courtroom 215
1300 Clay Street

4 5

I, Adham Sbeih, declare as follows:

INTRODUCTION

1. I am the Chief Executive Officer ("CEO") of Socotra Capital, Inc. ("Socotra"), a secured creditor in the above-captioned chapter 11 bankruptcy cases and am authorized to make this declaration in this capacity.

Oakland, CA 94162

- 2. I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth herein, which are known by me to be true and correct, or knowledge of the facts set forth herein based on Socotra's books and records maintained in the ordinary course of business, and if called as a witness, I could and would competently testify thereto. As to the following facts, I know them to be true of my own knowledge, or have gained knowledge from business records of Socotra, which were made at or about the time of the events recorded and which are maintained in the ordinary course of Socotra's business at or near the time of the acts, conditions or events to which they relate. Socotra employs systems and procedures in the ordinary course of its business to accurately record transactions and events related to the Socotra Loan Documents (defined below) at or about the time of such transactions or events. Socotra employees review such records to confirm their accuracy and Socotra maintains such records. I know from my experience with Socotra that these records are accurate and trustworthy.
- 3. This declaration ("Declaration") is submitted in support of Socotra's Omnibus Limited Objection and Reservation of Rights to Motions of Debtor for: (1) Interim and Final Orders Authorizing Debtors to Use Cash Collateral; and (2) Interim and Final Orders (I) Approving Continued Use of the Debtors' Cash Management System and Bank Accounts; (II)

8

9

10

11

13

14 15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

27 28 Authorizing the Debtors to Open and Close Bank Accounts; and (III) Authorizing Banks to Honor Certain Prepetition Transfers (the "Limited Objection").²

By this reference to my declaration (the "First Sbeih Declaration") in support of Socotra's limited objection and reservation of rights to certain "first day motions" (the "Windscape Limited Objection") filed by debtor Windscape Apartments, LLC ("Windscape"), I incorporate into this Declaration as if fully stated each of the statements I made in the First Sbeih Declaration. Both the First Sbeih Declaration and this Declaration, as well as the Windscape Limited Objection and this Limited Objection, apply to both Windscape and all the debtors who filed for bankruptcy protection on September 12, 2024.

SOCOTRA PROPERTIES

- 5. Beginning in 2010 through 2023, Socotra made certain loans to KS Mattson Partners L.P. ("KSM"). Socotra also made one loan each to Buckeye Tree LP and Red Spruce Tree LP.
- 6. I am informed and, on that basis, believe that Kenneth Mattson ("Mattson") (i) currently is a business partner of Timothy LeFever, and (ii) was until earlier this year the CEO of LeFever Mattson, a debtor in possession ("Debtor")³ in the Chapter 11 Cases. I understand Debtors represent, among other things, that based on transfers that Mattson caused KSM to make to multiple Debtors, ⁴ certain Debtors came to own certain real properties (collectively with those certain real properties transferred or otherwise caused to be owned by Windscape and the properties securing the loans by Socotra to Buckeye Tree LP and Red Spruce Tree LP, the "Socotra Properties"), in which the borrowers granted to Socotra security interests to secure loans made by Socotra (collectively, the "Socotra Loans"). The Debtors have identified the Socotra Properties on (a) pages 3 to 4 of 76 and 41 to 66 of 76 of Exhibit 4 (collectively, the "Budget") to

² Capitalized terms not otherwise defined in this declaration shall have the same meanings ascribed to them in the Limited Objection.

³ "Debtor," individually, and "Debtors," collectively, shall mean those debtors and debtors in possession in the above-captioned chapter 11 bankruptcy cases (the "Chapter 11 Cases"), for which joint administration has been requested, including Windscape.

4 Socotra takes the position that each of the transfers by KSM to a Debtor was a breach of the

Socotra Loan Documents (defined below) entered into by and between Socotra and KSM.

7. I have reviewed the Sharp Declarations and the Budgets. Between the Socotra Properties held by Windscape and the Socotra Properties that are held by the rest of the Debtors, the Budgets reflect that 12 Socotra Properties securing the Socotra Loans do not generate rents. These Socotra Properties are: (a) 789 Cordilleras Drive; (b) 16721 Hwy 12; (c) 596 3rd Street East; (d) 1870 Thornsberry Road; (e)141-145 E. Napa Street; (f) 921 Broadway; (g) 171 W. Spain Street; (h) 302, 304, 310 1st Street East; (i) 18701 Gehricke Road; (j) 1025 Napa Road; (k) 24120 Arnold Drive; and (l) 24160 Turkey Road/24737 Arnold Drive. The remainder of the Socotra Properties generate rents.

SOCOTRA LOANS

- 8. The Socotra Loans and the indebtedness thereunder are evidenced by those certain Promissory Notes Secured by Deed of Trust, Deeds of Trust, and Assignments of Leases and Rents, Fixture Filings, and Security Agreements (collectively, the "Socotra Loan Documents"). Due to the voluminous nature of the Socotra Loan Documents, and in the interest of time, I have not attached the Socotra Loan Documents to this Declaration. Socotra is willing to provide true and correct copies of the Socotra Loan Documents to parties that request them.
- 9. Under the Socotra Loan Documents, the Socotra Properties, and any rents generated therefrom (i.e., Socotra's Cash Collateral), serve as Socotra's collateral (collectively, the "Socotra Collateral"). In the ordinary course of Socotra's business, Socotra recorded the Deeds of Trust and Assignments of Leases and Rents, Fixture Filings, and Security Agreements securing Socotra's interests in the Socotra Properties with the Sonoma County Clerk-Recorder-Assessor or the Placer County Clerk-Recorder. In fact, each of the Deeds of Trust and Assignments of Leases and Rents, Fixture Filings, and Security Agreements were so recorded.

10. The addresses of the Socotra Properties are as set forth on the relevant pages of the Budgets cited in paragraph 6 of this declaration.

- 11. Due to the emergency nature of the Debtors' requests for "first day" relief, Socotra has not yet been able to gather the total amount outstanding under the Socotra Loans. Socotra reserves all rights to continue its investigation of the Socotra Loans secured by the Socotra Properties and to supplement its Limited Objection and this Declaration with amounts outstanding, including, but not limited to, fees and costs due and owing under the Socotra Loan Documents.
- 12. The Socotra Loan Documents require, at a minimum, monthly interest payments. Since approximately May 2024, Socotra has not received payments on account of multiple Socotra Loans, if not all of them. The payments required under the Socotra Loan Documents include, but are not limited to, monthly interest, late charges, and default interest due and owing to Socotra (for each Socotra Loan that is in default even if Socotra has not yet recorded notices of default under the Socotra Loan Documents). Thirty-four of the Socotra Loans secured by real property held by the Debtors (including nine held by Windscape) have matured by their terms and remain unpaid.

CASH COLLATERAL

- 13. For each Socotra Loan, Socotra is entitled to monthly adequate protection payments equal to no less than the interest due to Socotra each month under the Socotra Loan Documents. I believe that without such monthly interest payments, the value of the Socotra Collateral will deteriorate as the balance due under the Socotra Loans, each of which continues to accrue interest, will increase.
- 14. Socotra accepts Debtors' proposal to pay to Socotra monthly adequate protection payments in the amount of \$11,000 per month in connection with the Socotra Loan secured by that certain Socotra Property located at 1319-1362 Fulton Ave, Sonoma, California (the "Fulton Property").
- 15. Based on the Budgets, which provide for no adequate protection payments to Socotra on any Socotra Properties except the Fulton Property, Socotra understands that Debtors are not under the pending motion seeking to use Socotra's Cash Collateral generated from any Socotra Properties except the Fulton Property.

- 16. Socotra objects to the use of its Cash Collateral for Debtors' Properties against which Socotra does not have liens (i.e., Properties where other Secured Lenders hold first priority or other liens) or non-property specific expenses. Without limiting the foregoing, Socotra objects to Debtors using Socotra's Cash Collateral for any of the following to the extent not for Socotra Properties: debt service payments, operating expenses, overhead expenses, or other expenses. Further without limiting the foregoing, while Socotra consents to Debtors using Socotra's Cash Collateral to pay pre and post petition property taxes and insurance for the Socotra Properties, Socotra objects, and does not consent, to the use of Socotra Cash Collateral to pay any property taxes or insurance for Properties other than Socotra Properties.
- 17. Socotra is entitled to replacement liens on the Socotra Collateral to compensate Socotra for any diminution in value of the Socotra Collateral.

CASH MANAGEMENT

- 18. I understand Debtors seek to continue using its current Cash Management System, Bank Accounts, and Business Forms. Under Debtors' current cash management system, Socotra's Cash Collateral is commingled with the Cash Collateral of the other Secured Lenders.
- 19. Socotra objects to Debtor's continued use of the Bank Accounts and current Cash Management System without reasonable safeguards to protect Socotra and its Collateral, including its Cash Collateral. Socotra understands Debtors' concern that directing tenants to make payments to new Bank Accounts could be disruptive and slow down Debtors' collections. Socotra is willing to consent to Debtors keeping their Bank Accounts and Cash Management System in place so long as Debtors segregate Socotra's Cash Collateral *after* receipt by Debtors from their tenants by establishing one new bank account into which Debtors, on a daily basis, transfer Rents and any other receipts derived from the Socotra Properties and received by Debtors (and no rents and other receipts from other Properties). This should be required for all Socotra Cash Collateral irrespective of whether and if so to what extent Debtors are using Socotra's Cash Collateral. Socotra is not requesting a separate bank account for each Socotra Property. Socotra is requesting only one bank account for Cash Collateral from all Socotra Properties.

THE BUDGETS AND REPORTING

- 20. The Budgets generally contain some vague categories - for example, "Administrative", "Maintenance", "Replacement", "CAM" and "Non-CAM". Moreover, taxes and insurance are a combined entry. Debtors should be required to provide more specificity.
- 21. More specifically, with respect to the twelve (12) properties on Badger Lane and Quail Court aka Pinyon Creek II (see Sharp Declaration, Exhibit 4, page 4 of 76), there is one aggregate budget (*Id.*, page 66 of 76). Socotra needs more information with respect to Pinyon Creek II. I understand that the 12 homes are rented at \$6,000 per month each, which is \$72,000 per month. Yet the Budget shows only \$38,850 per month in rent income. Socotra needs more information, and suggests that Debtors should prepare a budget for each home.
- 22. Moreover, Socotra needs more time to do additional research regarding the Budgets, which it may be able to do in connection with a final hearing on cash collateral. Socotra is willing to work with the Debtors regarding additional information Socotra requires to reach a mutually agreeable protocol.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on this 17th day of September, 2024, at Sacramento, California.

Adham Sbeih

63EF870C65804AF...

12 | 1 13 | i 14 | i 15 | 16 | i 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 |

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Cas

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1 2 3 4 5 6	SHEPPARD, MULLIN, RICHTER & HAMPT A Limited Liability Partnership Including Professional Corporations THEODORE A. COHEN, Cal Bar No. 151427 CAROLINE SISCHO, Cal Bar No. 346962 333 South Hope Street, 43rd Floor Los Angeles, CA 90071 Telephone: 213.620.1780 Facsimile: 213.620.1398 E mail: tcohen@sheppardmullin.com csischo@sheppardmullin.com	ON LLP	
7	JEANNIE KIM, Cal Bar No. 270713 Four Embarcadero Center, 17 th Floor		
8	San Francisco, California 94111-4109 Telephone: 415.434.9100 Facsimile: 415.434.3947		
10	Email: jekim@sheppardmullin.com		
11	Attorneys for Creditor SOCOTRA CAPITAL, INC.		
12	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT		
13	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SANTA ROSA DIVISION		
14	In re	Case No. 24-10545 (CN)	
15	LEFEVER MATTSON, a California corporation, et al.,	(Joint Administration Requested)	
16	Debtor. 1	Chapter 11	
17	Debtor.	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE	
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27	¹ The last four digits of LeFever Mattson's tax identification number are 7537. Due to the large number of debtor entities in these Chapter 11 Cases, a complete list of the Debtors and the last four digits of their federal tax identification numbers is not provided herein. A complete list of such information may be obtained on the website of the Debtors' proposed claims and noticing agent at https://veritaglobal.net/LM. The address for service on the Debtors is 6359 Auburn Blvd., Suite B, Citrus Heights, CA 95621.		
28			

Case \$型學1052453-05秒oc# 31-2 Filed: 09/17/24 目ntered: 09/17/24 17:43:39 Page 1 of 3

- 1				
1 2	At the time of service, I was over 18 years of age and not a party to this action . I am employed in the County of San Francisco, State of California. My business address is Four Embarcadero Center, 17th Floor, San Francisco, CA 94111-4109.			
3	On September 17, 2024, I served true copies of the following document(s) described as:			
4	OMNIBUS LIMITED OBJECTION AND RESERVATION OF RIGHTS OF SOCOTRA CAPITAL, INC. TO MOTIONS OF DEBTORS FOR: (1) INTERIM AND FINAL ORDERS			
5	AUTHORIZING DEBTORS TO USE CASH COLLATERAL; AND (2) INTERIM AND FINAL ORDERS (I) APPROVING CONTINUED USE OF THE DEBTORS' CASH			
6	MANAGEMENT SYSTEM AND BANK ACCOUNTS; (II) AUTHORIZING THE DEBTORS TO OPEN AND CLOSE BANK ACCOUNTS; AND (III) AUTHORIZING			
7	BANKS TO HONOR CERTAIN PREPETITION TRANSFERS			
DECLARATION OF ADHAM SBEIH IN SUPPORT OF SECURED CREDS SOCOTRA CAPITAL, INC.'S OMNIBUS LIMITED OBJECTION AND RESERVATION OF RIGHTS TO MOTIONS OF DEBTORS FOR: 1. INTERIM AND FINAL OF				
AUTHORIZING DEBTORS TO USE CASH COLLATERAL; AND 2. INTERIFICATION OF THE DEBTORS'	AUTHORIZING DEBTORS TO USE CASH COLLATERAL; AND 2. INTERIM AND FINAL ORDERS (I) APPROVING CONTINUED USE OF THE DEBTORS' CASH			
11	MANAGEMENT SYSTEM AND BANK ACCOUNTS; (II) AUTHORIZING THE DEBTORS TO OPEN AND CLOSE BANK ACCOUNTS; AND (III) AUTHORIZING			
12	BANKS TO HONOR CERTAIN PREPETITION TRANSFERS			
13	on the interested parties in this action as follows:			
14	SEE ATTACHED SERVICE LIST			
15 16 17 18	persons at the addresses listed in the Service List and placed the envelope for collection and mailing, following our ordinary business practices. I am readily familiar with the firm's practice for collecting and processing correspondence for mailing. On the same day that correspondence is placed for collection and mailing, it is deposited in the ordinary course of business with the United States Postal Service, in a sealed envelope with postage fully prepaid. I am a resident or employed			
19 20 21	BY CM/ECF NOTICE OF ELECTRONIC FILING: I electronically filed the document(s) with the Clerk of the Court by using the CM/ECF system. Participants in the case who are registered CM/ECF users will be served by the CM/ECF system. Participants in the case who are not registered CM/ECF users will be served by mail or by other means permitted by the court rules.			
22	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.			
23 24	Executed on September 17, 2024, at San Francisco, California.			
25				
26	/s/ Jeannie Kim Jeannie Kim			
27				
28				
- 1				

1	Registered Electronic Participants			
2 3	Theodore A. Cohen TCohen@sheppardmullin.com, mtzeng@sheppardmullin.com			
4	• Office of the U.S. Trustee / SR USTPRegion17.SF.ECF@usdoj.gov			
5	• Thomas B. Rupp <u>trupp@kbkllp.com</u>			
6	Bennett G. Young byoung@jmbm.com, jb8@jmbm.com			
7				
8	Request for Service			
9	Attn: Phillip J. Shine			
1 2	Duggan's Mission Chapel c/o Bennett G. Young Jeffer Mangels Butler & Mitchell LLP			
3	Two Embarcadero Center, 5 th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111-3824			
14 15 16	Office of the United States Trustee Attn: Jared A. Day C. Clifton Young Federal Building 300 Booth Street, Room 3009 Reno, NV 89509			
17 18 19	Office of the United States Trustee Attn: Deanna K. Hazelton 2500 Tulare Street, Suite 1401 Fresno, CA 93721			
20 21	Ally Bank Lease Trust – Assignor to Vehicle Asset Universal Leasing Trust Ally Bank, c/o AIS Portfolio Services, LLC 4515 N. Santa Fe Avenue, Dept. APS Oklahoma City, OK 73118			
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				