1	IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT					
2	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA ATLANTA DIVISION					
3	In Re:					
4	LAVIE CARE CENTERS, LLC, et al., Docket No. 24-55507-pmb					
5	Debtors.					
6	Atlanta, GA					
7	9:30 AM					
8						
9						
10	TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING					
11	BEFORE THE HONORABLE PAUL M. BAISIER UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT					
12						
13						
14						
15						
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21	Transcription Services: eScribers, LLC 7227 N. 16th Street					
22	Suite #207					
23	Phoenix, AZ 85020 (800) 257-0885					
24	PROCEEDINGS RECORDED BY ELECTRONIC SOUND RECORDING.					
25	TRANSCRIPT PRODUCED BY TRANSCRIPTION SERVICE					

	Depicors	IlisuLai	ice moc	.1011	at do	SVEC	munik	er ro	,	
2										
3	Debtors'	taxes r	motion	at	docket	num	ber 1	1		
4										
5	Debtors'	cash ma	anageme	ent	motion	at	docke	t num	nber	14
6										
7										
8										
9										
10										
11										
12										
13										
14										
15										
16										
17										
18										
19										
20										
21										
22										
23										
24										
25	Transcri	oed by:	River	· Wo	lfe					



1	APPEARANCES:	
2	Proposed counsel for the Debtors, LaVie Care Centers, LLC, et al.:	DANIEL M. SIMON, ESQ. (ZOOM)
3		EMILY C. KEIL, ESQ. (ZOOM) MCDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP
4		1180 Peachtree Street, Northeast
5		Suite 3350 Atlanta, GA 30309
6	For the United States:	VIVIEON K. JONES, ESQ.
7	Tot one onless beaces.	U.S. ATTORNEY'S OFFICE
8		75 Ted Turner Drive, Southwest Suite 600
9		Atlanta, GA 30303
10	For OHI Mezz Lender, LLC, OHI DIP Lender, LLC, and Various Other	MATTHEW W. LEVIN, ESQ. (ZOOM)
11	Entities:	SCROGGINS & WILLIAMSON, P.C.
12		4401 Northside Parkway Suite 450
13		Atlanta, GA 30327
14		ROBERT J. LEMONS, ESQ. (ZOOM)
15		GOODWIN PROCTER LLP
16		620 Eighth Avenue New York, NY 10018
17		LEIGHTON AIKEN, ESQ. (ZOOM)
18		FERGUSON BRASWELL FRASER
19		KUBASTA PC 2500 Dallas Parkway
20		Plano, TX 75093
21	Proposed counsel for Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors:	DEBORAH KOVSKY-APAP, ESQ.
22	in the state of th	TROUTMAN PEPPER HAMILTON SANDERS LLP
८ ८		875 Third Avenue
23		New York, NY 10022
24		



1	For MidCap Financial Trust and MidCap Funding IV Trust:	BRYAN E. BATES, ESQ. (ZOOM)
2	macap randing iv rease.	PARKER HUDSON RAINER & DOBBS LLP
3		303 Peachtree Street,
4		Northeast Suite 3600
5		Atlanta, GA 30308
6		DYLAN MARKER, ESQ. (ZOOM)
7		PROSKAUER ROSE LLP 11 Times Square
8		New York, NY 10036
9	For Jacksonville Nursing Home, Ltd.:	KATHLEEN G. FURR, ESQ. (ZOOM)
10		BAKER DONELSON 3414 Peachtree Road, NE
11		Suite 1500, Monarch Plaza Atlanta, GA 30326
12	For Office of the U.S. Trustee:	JONATHAN S. ADAMS, ESQ.
13	Tor orrice or the o.s. frageet.	(ZOOM) U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
14		75 Ted Turner Drive, Southwest
15		Atlanta, GA 30303
16	For Welltower NNN Group, LLC:	MICHAEL G. FARAG, ESQ. (ZOOM)
		GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER
17		LLP 333 South Grand Avenue
18		Los Angeles, CA 90071
19	For Healthcare Negligence Settlement Recovery Corp. LLC:	JOHN ANTHONY, ESQ. (ZOOM)
20	bettlement Necovery corp. nnc.	
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		



1		I N D E X		
2				
3				
4	RULINGS:		PAGE	LINE
5	Debtors'	insurance motion is granted taxes motion is granted	21 21	6
6	Debtors' granted	cash management motion is	21	6
7				
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				



- 1 THE CLERK: Good morning, parties. Today is July
- 2 10th, 2024. The time is now 9:30 a.m. We are here for the
- 3 specially set hybrid hearing for the consolidated case of 24-
- 4 55507, LaVie Care Centers, LLC, et al.
- 5 At this time, we're going to take official
- 6 appearances. We'll begin with those parties in the courtroom,
- 7 please.
- 8 MS. JONES: Good morning. Vivieon Jones for the
- 9 United States on behalf of the Internal Revenue Service.
- 10 THE CLERK: Thank you.
- Okay. Ms. Jones is our only participant in the
- 12 courtroom this morning, so now we're going to move on to those
- parties that are joining us virtually, please.
- MR. SIMON: Good morning. This is Dan Simon,
- 15 McDermott Will & Emery, as proposed counsel to the debtors.
- 16 I'm joined by my colleague Emily Keil virtually.
- 17 THE CLERK: Thank you.
- 18 MR. LEMONS: Good morning. It's Robert Lemons from
- 19 Goodwin Procter on behalf of the Omega entities.
- THE CLERK: Thank you.
- 21 MR. LEVIN: Matthew Levin on behalf of the Omega
- 22 entities.
- THE CLERK: Thank you.
- MR. AIKEN: Good morning. Leighton Aiken on behalf
- of the Omega entities.



4			_, ,	
	THE	CLERK:	Thank	77011
⊥	1111		IIIaiik	vou.

- MS. KOVSKY: Good morning. Deb Kovsky, Troutman
- 3 Pepper, proposed counsel for the committee.
- 4 THE CLERK: Thank you.
- 5 MR. BATES: Good morning. Bryan Bates with Parker
- 6 Hudson for MidCap, joined by Dylan Marker of Proskauer.
- 7 THE CLERK: Thank you.
- 8 MS. FURR: Good morning. Katie Furr on behalf of
- 9 Jacksonville Nursing Home, Ltd.
- 10 THE CLERK: Thank you.
- 11 MR. ADAMS: Good morning. Jonathan Adams on behalf
- of the United States Trustee.
- 13 THE CLERK: Thank you.
- MR. FARAG: Good morning. Michael Farag, Gibson,
- Dunn & Crutcher, on behalf of Welltower NNN Group, LLC.
- 16 THE CLERK: Give me just one moment to write that
- 17 down. And you said that you're counsel for Welltower NNN
- 18 Group, LLC, correct?
- 19 MR. FARAG: Correct.
- THE CLERK: Thank you.
- MR. FARAG: Thank you.
- MR. ANTHONY: Morning. Johnny (ph.) Anthony for
- 23 Healthcare Negligence Settlement Recovery Corp.
- 24 THE CLERK: Thank you.
- Okay. Are there any other parties that are in the



- 1 Zoom room that would like to make an appearance at this time?
- Okay. Parties, thank you. If you'll please mute
- 3 yourselves and turn your cameras on, I'll let His Honor know
- 4 that we're ready to begin momentarily. Thank you.
- 5 (Recess from 9:33 a.m. until 9:40 a.m.)
- 6 THE CLERK: The court will come to order.
- 7 THE COURT: Please be seated.
- 8 THE CLERK: Good morning, Your Honor. Today, July
- 9 10th, 2024, and the time is now 9:40 a.m.
- 10 We are here for the specially set hybrid hearing for
- 11 consolidated case 24-55507, LaVie Care Centers, LLC, et al.
- 12 Pursuant to the amended agenda, the following matters are
- 13 uncontested: The insurance motion at docket number 10, the
- 14 taxes motion at docket number 11, and the cash management
- 15 motion at docket number 14.
- 16 Debtors' counsel, is this your understanding?
- 17 MR. SIMON: It is.
- 18 THE COURT: Excellent. Good morning, everyone.
- 19 Morning, Mr. Simon.
- 20 MR. SIMON: Good morning. Good morning, Your Honor.
- 21 THE COURT: I understand we have an eventful morning
- 22 ahead.
- MR. SIMON: It should be uneventful, Your Honor, and
- 24 certainly want to thank your court and Your Honor for
- 25 flexibility and allowing us to appear virtually today, which



- 1 should be a relatively short and uneventful hearing.
- 2 THE COURT: Excellent. So we have the three motions
- 3 on. The agenda indicates that they are all uncontested.
- I guess I should confirm that are there any
- 5 objections to any of the three motions by anyone in attendance
- 6 today?
- 7 MR. SIMON: Your Honor, if I may, what I'll do is
- 8 I'll summarize some of the changes --
- 9 THE COURT: Sure.
- 10 MR. SIMON: -- that we filed last evening that we
- 11 believe resolve all of the open issues with respect to these.
- 12 THE COURT: That'd be fine.
- MR. SIMON: Is that okay?
- 14 THE COURT: Yes, and --
- MR. SIMON: Okay. Thank you.
- 16 THE COURT: -- I'll note you uploaded proposed orders
- 17 that I assume take all those matters into account.
- 18 MR. SIMON: Correct. Thank you, Your Honor. So
- 19 these are essentially the spillover first day motions that, if
- 20 you recall when we were before you on June 28th, we reached
- 21 agreement with the creditors committee, including a consensual
- 22 final DIP order. And as part of those negotiations, we agreed
- 23 to continue these three matters to today. That's insurance,
- 24 taxes, and cash management. And the principal concern of the
- 25 committee was to further discuss these issues to better



1 understand what monies were being expended with respect to

2 those facilities that were previously divested prior to the

3 petition date. So at dockets 232, 234, and 235, we filed

4 amended or actually revised forms of order for those. So I'll

5 just go through each of those in turn.

6 Docket 232 is the final form of insurance order. The

7 blackline of that order really only shows cleanup changes

8 requested at the request of Chubb to resolve any informal

9 issues there.

In addition, I want to note for the record that

11 Synergy handles the debtors' insurance policies. And they

12 purchase insurance on behalf of both debtors and nondebtors to

13 reduce the cost of insurance across the group. And the

debtors only pay their own share of insurance and will not be

15 paying for insurance for nondebtors. So I wanted to make that

16 point, which was important to the committee. That's all the

17 changes on the insurance order at docket 232.

18 Next up is docket 234. That's the final form of

order for taxes. The only change to that order was at the

20 request of the official committee of unsecured creditors at

21 paragraph 2. And it relates solely to the provision regarding

22 certain real property taxes that are owed under the debtors'

leases. And we've added a provision with respect to real

24 property taxes relating to previously divested facilities and

25 that if we are to pay them, they are on a -- they are on in

- 1 the DIP budget, but if we are to pay them, we would provide
- 2 ten days' notice to the committee. And in the event the
- 3 committee disagrees, they can seek expedited judicial review
- 4 before the Court prior to them being paid. And that is the
- 5 only change to that order that we believe resolves the issues
- 6 with the committee.
- 7 And then lastly, with respect to cash management,
- 8 that's a revised form of order at docket 235, the changes here
- 9 fall into three primary buckets. And let me know if you need
- 10 a moment to find that blackline.
- 11 THE COURT: Nope, I have --
- MR. SIMON: Or we can --
- 13 THE COURT: -- it, and I've looked at it so --
- MR. SIMON: Great. So the first bucket is really
- 15 paragraph 6 and 7. That's to resolve any remaining concerns
- 16 from the Office of the United States Trustee with respect to
- 17 five accounts that are not held at authorized depositories and
- 18 technically don't comply with Section 345 of the Bankruptcy
- 19 Code. So it's effectively ensuring that those five accounts
- 20 never hold more than 25,000 dollars at any given time. And I
- 21 believe with those changes, we've resolved all remaining
- issues with the Office of the United States Trustee.
- The second bucket is to address concerns with the
- committee, and there is a notwithstanding proviso in paragraph
- 25 12 that effectively says that if we seek to make payments not

1 approved by the Court or not in the corrected budget in excess 2 of a certain threshold, with respect to previously divested 3 facilities, we kind of given the same rights to the committee, 4 where we give them ten days' advance notice, and to the extent 5 the committee wants to seek an expedited judicial review of 6 those expenditures, that they can do so. And with that 7 change, I think we've resolved all of the open issues on cash 8 management with respect to the committee. 9 And then lastly, there is some language in paragraph 10 17 that relates to -- just to ensure that we can -- it's 11 effective effectively to address any concerns amongst our 12 banks, primarily Wells Fargo and CIBC, where money doesn't get 13 trapped in some of the intermediary accounts and can kind of 14 flow back to the main operating account. We found that there 15 was some issue post-petition in Wells Fargo and CIBC. 16 wanted some more explicit authority to make sure that they 17 weren't running afoul of the orders and allowing the money to 18 flow back to the main operating account. 19 So those are the three principal changes in the cash 20 management order to address the U.S. Trustee concerns, to 21 address the official committee of unsecured creditor concerns, 22 and then just to clean up some of the sweep issues with MidCap 23 and Wells and CIBC. 24 So with those changes, that's the agenda. And we 25 believe there are no remaining objections from any party on

Colloguv

	Colloquy
1	these three orders. So with that, I'll take any questions
2	from Your Honor.
3	THE COURT: Okay. I guess maybe we'll confirm.
4	Does anybody disagree with what Mr. Simon just said,
5	that there are no remaining objections given the revised
6	language?
7	MS. KOVSKY: Good morning, Your Honor. Deb Kovsky,
8	proposed counsel for the committee. The committee does not
9	object to these orders as revised. But we did want to make a
10	couple of comments, if Your Honor would indulge us.
11	THE COURT: Absolutely.
12	MS. KOVSKY: So first, I want to state that the
13	committee appreciates the debtors' cooperation and
14	responsiveness in getting that to the documents and
15	information we needed to really drill down into the payments
16	that were proposed to be made. However, the fact remains
17	there are 280 debtors in these cases, the majority of which
18	are nonoperating or divested facilities. And unless and until
19	the Court determines that substantive consolidation is
20	appropriate, the committee remains, as Mr. Simon indicated,
21	very concerned about essentially operating debtors subsidizing
22	nonoperating debtors and divestcos in a manner that perhaps
23	might not be able to be repaid.
24	The committee continues to look at and evaluate

closely whether these nonoperating entities and divestcos

Colloguy

1 should remain in Chapter 11. We've been very careful to go 2 through the various payments to the divestcos. Our 3 understanding, based on information that the debtors have 4 provided over the last couple of weeks, is that in addition to 5 the TSA, there's about 2.8 million dollars total in the 6 budget, some of which has already been approved, some of which 7 is being dealt with through the orders before Your Honor 8 today, that would be going to the divestcos. 9 And the committee was able to get comfortable with 10 these orders, with the proposed changes based on certain --I'm not going to call them representations. Let's call them 11 12 understandings that have been reached between the debtors and 13 the committee. And we've gone back and forth with Mr. Simon 14 on the language of those understandings. So I'm actually 15 going to rely on my notes here to make sure that I'm not 16 misstating anything and that I'm accurately capturing what is 17 understood between the debtors and the committee. 18 So first, we understand that the agreement between 19 Synergy and CMC III by which Synergy provides services to the 20 debtors, as well as the Synergy TSA, transition services 21 agreement, by which Synergy provides services to the 22 divestcos, are billed at no margin to Synergy, which operates 23 as a revenue neutral pass-through entity. However, the 24 committee reserves the right to review the CMC III and Synergy

relationships and any claims that might exist in connection

1 therewith. 2 In addition, under the various support services 3 agreements, or SSAs, between CMC III and the various facility 4 debtors, CMC III is only receiving five percent of adjusted 5 gross revenue for its consulting fee as defined in the SSAs, 6 and if there's any decision to increase that consulting fee, 7 the debtors will first inform the committee and provide 8 sufficient time for an expedited review by the Court if a 9 challenge is filed before they go ahead and make such a 10 change. And then finally, prior to the debtor's payment of 11 12 any further pre-petition bed taxes, or provider taxes, the 13 debtors and the committee will confer to determine whether 14 such payment is appropriate. If there's a disagreement as to 15 the timing of paying such provider taxes, the debtors will 16 provide advance notice to the committee of their intent to pay 17 such amounts, and the committee will have ten days from the 18 receipt of such notice to seek an expedited judicial review of 19 such payments before any such payments are made. 20 So those are the understandings based on which the 21 committee was able to get comfortable and move forward in a 22 consensual manner on these various orders that are before the 23 Court. So I'll pause there if the Court has any questions or 24 if Mr. Simon disagrees with my recitation of what we is our

25

agreed understanding.

- 1 THE COURT: All right. I guess maybe I'll ask Mr.
- 2 Simon to respond first.
- 3 MR. SIMON: Yeah. I quess my only response, Your
- 4 Honor, is that as Ms. Kovsky noted we continue to engage
- 5 extensively with the committee. We provided significant
- 6 diligence items. We continue to do so on an expedited basis.
- 7 We hold numerous calls with their lawyers and their financial
- 8 advisors.
- 9 I would just note for the record, and we've made this
- 10 clear to Ms. Kovsky, that while we provided them all of the
- diligence necessary regarding the Synergy relationship as well
- 12 as the transition services agreement, they are not relevant
- 13 for the motions at issue. I understand that they have -- that
- 14 those representations or understandings by the committee have
- 15 been important to get them to a consensual place today. But
- 16 nothing in Your Honor's orders today really relate to payments
- 17 to Synergy or payments under the transition services
- 18 agreement.
- 19 We certainly understand the committee's concerns, and
- 20 their rights are reserved. But they're not impacted by the
- 21 relief proposed in any of the three orders today. And that's
- 22 what I'll note.
- 23 THE COURT: Okay. I certainly appreciate the
- 24 perspective provided by the committee and certainly rely on
- 25 its -- well, appreciate and rely on its diligence in reviewing

- 1 matters like the ones Ms. Kovsky mentioned. So thank you for
- 2 that update on sort of where you are and the understandings
- 3 that you have with regard to the debtors.
- 4 Anybody else?
- 5 MR. ADAMS: Good morning, Your Honor. Very briefly.
- 6 Jonathan Adams on behalf of the United States Trustee.
- 7 As Mr. Simon mentioned, the changes that were in the
- 8 cash management motion -- or the cash management order to
- 9 resolve the United States Trustee's issues. We do appreciate
- 10 debtors' counsel working with us to get the language that we
- 11 needed in those. We have no opposition to the entry of the
- 12 orders.
- 13 THE COURT: Very good.
- 14 All right. Anyone else?
- 15 So I only had one question for myself, and that had
- to do with the very last thing you mentioned about the Wells
- 17 Fargo and CIBC paragraph, I'll call it. And so I take it that
- 18 that paragraph is to provide the bank's comfort on the way
- 19 they're going to operate those accounts. It seems to me that
- 20 to the extent there's a party that's affected by the things,
- 21 it's MidCap and OHI mezz lender, I guess referred to as the
- 22 agents in that paragraph, whose, among other things, deposit
- 23 control agreements might be overridden by the paragraph. And
- so I believe they're both represented here, and I assume they
- 25 are okay with the language in the orders as well.



- 1 MR. SIMON: I don't want to speak for them, but I
- 2 will. The answer is we've obviously circulated this language
- 3 to MidCap as well as the DIP lenders, and their concern was
- 4 the same as ours, that cash would get trapped at these
- 5 accounts. And they have been supportive in helping us with
- 6 language to get around that. And I'll let them speak if I
- 7 misstated the record.
- 8 MR. LEVIN: Matthew Levin on behalf of OHI mezz
- 9 lender. We have no objection to the language in the order.
- 10 That was consistent with the prior discussions between the
- 11 parties.
- 12 THE COURT: Okay. Very good.
- MR. BATES: Bryan Bates for MidCap. Same for us.
- 14 THE COURT: Very good. All right. Well, as I said
- 15 that was the only real issue I had with any of the -- any of
- 16 the language. Just wanted to confirm that the parties
- 17 affected were also okay with it since that wasn't necessarily
- 18 the main thrust of the motions. But I'm happy to include the
- 19 language in the order if it makes life easier for everyone.
- 20 All right. Anything else we need to hear or discuss
- 21 with regard to the pending motions?
- MR. SIMON: Nothing from the debtors, Your Honor.
- THE COURT: Okay. Very good. Well, I think we've
- 24 managed to --
- MS. KOVSKY: Your Honor, Deb --



	1 2
1	THE COURT: Uh-oh.
2	MS. KOVSKY: Kovsky for the proposed counsel
3	THE COURT: Sure.
4	MS. KOVSKY: for the committee. Not with respect
5	to the pending motions, just a housekeeping matter
6	THE COURT: Sure.
7	MS. KOVSKY: on the bar date order, which Your
8	Honor has already entered. The debtors, at the committee's
9	request, did include a provision specifying that separate
10	proofs of claim may be filed with respect to each debtor,
11	consistent with the committee's concern. Of course, these
12	things all need to be kept separate until there's a
13	determination otherwise.
14	We just wanted to clarify, and I think our
15	understanding is the same as the debtors', that creditors
16	don't necessarily need to send in a separate piece of paper
17	for each separate debtor. As long as they are delineating
18	within their proof of claim which debtor, which amount, we
19	would deem that to be separate proofs of claim. And I
20	understand that the debtors are of the same position. We just
21	wanted to put that on the record.
22	THE COURT: Okay. So if a creditor were to comply
23	with that, would it matter which case they filed a proof of
24	claim in, or they file the same proof of claim in each case?
25	What are you what are you thinking about that?



- 1 MS. KOVSKY: From the committee's perspective, it
- doesn't really matter which case they filed it in.
- 3 Presumably, many people file in the main case. As long as
- 4 they're specifying debtor amount so that we can keep track of
- 5 who thinks who owes what, then we think that the bar date
- 6 order is satisfied. And my understanding is that the debtors
- 7 have the same position.
- MR. SIMON: We agree with that, Your Honor.
- 9 THE COURT: Okay.
- 10 MR. SIMON: We're all about efficiencies and would
- 11 certainly prefer one piece of paper that delineates what
- 12 claims they may have in each of the cases. So we would be
- 13 fine with that approach.
- 14 THE COURT: Okay. And yeah, probably the main case,
- 15 to the extent folks get word out, probably the simplest place
- 16 to put it all. But wherever they file it, they file it.
- 17 MR. SIMON: Yes, and we do have procedures to allow
- 18 those parties to file it electronically so it doesn't have to
- 19 go on the docket.
- THE COURT: Right.
- 21 MR. SIMON: And as you know, KCC is the debtors'
- 22 claims and noticing agent and is assisting with these matters.
- 23 THE COURT: Right. Okay. Has vast experience in
- 24 dealing with lots of proofs of claims so --
- MR. SIMON: They do.



1	THE COURT: we trust it'll all turn out well.
2	All right. Anything else we ought to discuss?
3	Otherwise, we can call this hearing to a close and allow you
4	all to go do more productive things with the rest of your day.
5	MR. SIMON: We appreciate it, Your Honor. Thank you.
6	THE COURT: All right. Hearing none, we'll grant all
7	three motions and enter the orders in the forms proposed,
8	which I understand have been uploaded.
9	MR. SIMON: Have a great day, Your Honor. Thank you.
10	THE COURT: All right. You, too.
11	THE CLERK: Okay. All rise.
12	(Whereupon these proceedings were concluded at 9:59 AM)
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	CERTIFI	CATION
2		
3	I, River Wolfe, the cou	urt-approved transcriber, do
4	hereby certify the foregoing is	a true and correct transcript
5	from the official electronic sou	and recording of the
6	proceedings in the above-entitle	ed matter.
7		
8	2 (1)	
9	- Og	July 15, 2024
10	RIVER WOLFE	DATE
11	TTA-Certified Digital Legal Tran	nscriber, CDLT-265
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

