## IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS HOUSTON DIVISION

In re

WESCO AIRCRAFT HOLDINGS, INC.<sup>1</sup>

Reorganized Debtor.

Case No. 23-90611 (MI) Chapter 11 (Jointly Administered)

# CERTIFICATE OF NO RESPONSE REGARDING DEBTORS' OBJECTION TO PROOF OF CLAIM #2069

(RELATED TO DOCKET NO. 2769)

<sup>1</sup> The captioned Reorganized Debtor is Incora Intermediate II LLC, the successor by merger to Wesco Aircraft Holdings, Inc. Its employer identification number is 33-2921953. Its principal office address and service address in this case is 2601 Meacham Blvd., Ste. 400, Fort Worth, TX 76137.



### Case 23-90611 Document 2878 Filed in TXSB on 06/03/25 Page 2 of 5

1. Pursuant to the *Procedures for Complex Chapter 11 Cases in the Southern District* of *Texas*, the undersigned counsel for the above-captioned reorganized debtor (the "*Reorganized Debtor*"<sup>2</sup> or "*Incora*" and, together with its affiliated former debtors and their successors, as applicable, the "*Reorganized Debtors*") certify as follows:

2. On April 4, 2025, the Reorganized Debtors filed the *Reorganized Debtors' Objection to Proof of Claim #2069* [Docket No. 2769] (the "*Objection*")<sup>3</sup> which set forth the grounds for the Objection in addition to the supporting evidence in the Declaration of Christopher Kelly, Managing Director with Alvarez & Marsal North America, LLC, filed at Docket No. 2769-1. Attached to the Objection at Docket Number 2769-2 was a proposed form of order sustaining the Objection (the "*Proposed Order*").

3. On April 4, 2025, the Reorganized Debtors' Claims and Noticing Agent, Kurtzman Carson Consultants LLC d/b/a Verita Global ("*Verita*") served Objection via electronic mail on the parties registered to receive notice through the Court's ECF system and electronic and First-Class mail on the affected claimant whose claim is the subject of the Objection. On May 6, 2025, Verita filed the certificate of service on the Objection [Docket No. 2817] (the "*Certificate of Service*") reflecting the aforementioned service efforts.

4. On April 30, 2025, Verita served the Objection on the claimant via First-Class mail including two additional known addresses. On May 5, 2025, Verita filed the supplemental certificate of service on the Objection [Docket No. 2816] (the "*Supplemental Certificate of Service*") reflecting the aforementioned service efforts.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> A detailed description of the now-Reorganized Debtors and their businesses is set forth in the *Declaration of Raymond Carney in Support of Chapter 11 Petitions and First Day Motions* (the "*First Day Declaration*") [Docket No. 13], filed with the Debtors' voluntary petitions for relief filed under title 11 of the United States Code (the "*Bankruptcy Code*"), on June 1, 2023 (the "*Petition Date*").

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Capitalized terms used here but not otherwise defined shall have the meaning ascribed to them in the Objection.

### Case 23-90611 Document 2878 Filed in TXSB on 06/03/25 Page 3 of 5

5. Pursuant to paragraph two of the Court's *Order Approving Claim Objection and Settlement Procedures* [Docket No. 1354], responses were required to be filed on or prior to May 30, 2025 (the "*Response Deadline*").<sup>4</sup>

6. In accordance with paragraph 44 of the Complex Case Procedures, the undersigned counsel files this Certificate of No Response and represents to the Court that: (a) the Response Deadline has passed; (b) the undersigned counsel is unaware of any unresolved response to the Objection; and (c) the undersigned counsel has reviewed the Court's docket and no response to the Objection appears thereon.

7. The Debtors respectfully request entry of the Proposed Order attached hereto and initially filed at Docket No. 2769-2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 9006(f), the Response Deadline for Parties served via first class mail was June 2, 2025. Such deadline has passed. The Reorganized Debtors and their counsel have confirmed, upon review of the official docket in these Chapter 11 Cases, that there is no response to the Objection as of the date hereof.

Dated: June 3, 2025

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Charles A. Beckham, Jr.

Charles A. Beckham, Jr. (TX Bar No. 02016600) Patrick L. Hughes (TX Bar No. 10227300) Martha Wyrick (TX Bar No. 24101606) Re'Necia Sherald (TX Bar No. 24121543) HAYNES AND BOONE, LLP 1221 McKinney Street, Suite 4000 Houston, TX 77010 Telephone: 1 (713) 547-2000 Email: Charles.Beckham@HaynesBoone.com Patrick.Hughes@HaynesBoone.com Martha.Wyrick@HaynesBoone.com

- and -

Dennis F. Dunne (admitted *pro hac vice*) Samuel A. Khalil (admitted *pro hac vice*) Benjamin M. Schak (admitted *pro hac vice*) MILBANK LLP 55 Hudson Yards New York, NY 10001 Telephone: 1 (212) 530-5000 Email: DDunne@Milbank.com SKhalil@Milbank.com BSchak@Milbank.com

Counsel to the Reorganized Debtors

### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I certify that, on June 3, 2025, a true and correct copy of the foregoing document was served through the Electronic Case Filing system of the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of Texas and will be served as set forth in the Affidavit of Service to be filed by the Reorganized Debtors' noticing agent.

<u>/s/ Charles A. Beckham, Jr.</u> Charles A. Beckham, Jr.

## IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS HOUSTON DIVISION

In re

WESCO AIRCRAFT HOLDINGS, INC.<sup>1</sup>

Reorganized Debtor.

Case No. 23-90611 (MI) Chapter 11 (Jointly Administered)

# ORDER SUSTAINING THE REORGANIZED DEBTORS' OBJECTION TO PROOF OF CLAIM #2069 FILED BY DEPARTMENT OF TREASURY - INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The captioned Reorganized Debtor is Incora Intermediate II LLC, the successor by merger to Wesco Aircraft Holdings, Inc. Its employer identification number is 33-2921953. Its principal office address and service address in this case is 2601 Meacham Blvd., Ste. 400, Fort Worth, TX 76137.

#### Case 23-90611 Document 2878-1 Filed in TXSB on 06/03/25 Page 2 of 3

Upon the *Reorganized Debtors' Objection to Proof of Claim #2069 Filed by Department of Treasury - Internal Revenue Service* (the "*Objection*");<sup>2</sup> and the Court having jurisdiction to decide the Objection and to enter this Order pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1334; and consideration of the Objection being a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b); and venue being proper in the Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409; and due and proper notice of the Objection having been provided, such notice being adequate and appropriate under the circumstances; and after notice and a hearing, as defined in section 102 of the Bankruptcy Code; and the Court having determined that the legal and factual bases set forth in the Motion and in the record establish just cause for entry of this Order; and it appearing that entry of this Order is in the best interests of the Reorganized Debtors' estates; it is hereby **ORDERED** that:

1. The claim filed as proof of claim number 2069 (the "*Amended Claim*") filed by the IRS, and any amendments thereto, is disallowed in its entirety for all purposes in these chapter 11 cases.

2. Notwithstanding any provision of the Bankruptcy Rules or Local Rules, the terms of this Order shall be immediately effective and enforceable upon its entry.

3. The Reorganized Debtors and their agents are authorized to take all steps necessary or appropriate to carry out this Order, which shall include an update of the claims register to reflect the relief granted by this Order.

4. Except as provided in this Order, nothing in this Order shall be deemed (a) a finding as to the validity of any claim against any of the Reorganized Debtors, (b) a waiver of the right of the Reorganized Debtors to dispute any claim against any of the Reorganized Debtors on any grounds whatsoever at a later date, (c) a requirement for any of the Reorganized Debtors to pay any claim, or (d) a waiver of any rights of the Reorganized Debtors under the Bankruptcy Code or other applicable law.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Capitalized terms used but not defined in this Order have the meanings ascribed to them in the Objection.

### Case 23-90611 Document 2878-1 Filed in TXSB on 06/03/25 Page 3 of 3

5. The Court retains exclusive jurisdiction over all matters arising from or related to the implementation, interpretation or enforcement of this Order.

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_ Houston, Texas

> Marvin Isgur United States Bankruptcy Judge