1	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT DISTRICT OF DELAWARE					
2	0131	IRICI OF DELAWARE				
3	IN RE:	. Chapter 11				
4	HRI HOLDING CORP., et al.	., . Case No. 19-12415 (MFW)				
5		. (Jointly Administered)				
6		. Courtroom No. 4 . 824 Market Street				
7	Debtors.	. Wilmington, Delaware 19801				
8		. Wednesday, July 6, 2022				
9	10:30 a.m.					
10	TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING  BEFORE THE HONORABLE MARY F. WALRATH  UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE					
11						
12	APPEARANCES:					
13	For the Plan Administrator:	Michael Busenkell, Esquire Ronald Gellert, Esquire				
14		Amy Brown, Esquire				
15		Bradley Lehman, Esquire GELLERT SCALI BUSENKELL & BROWN, LLC				
16		1201 North Orange Street Suite 300				
17		Wilmington, Delaware 19801				
18						
19						
20	Audio Operator:	Lesa Neal, ECRO				
21	Transcription Company:	Reliable The Nemours Building				
22		1007 N. Orange Street, Suite 110 Wilmington, Delaware 19801				
23		Telephone: (302)654-8080 Email: gmatthews@reliable-co.com				
24	Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording,					
25	transcript produced by transcription service.					



1	<u>APPEARANCES (CONTINUED)</u> :		
2	For New York State Department of		
3	Taxation & Finance:	Robert Cook, Esquire NEW YORK STATE DEPARTMENT OF	
4 5		TAXATION & FINANCE Harriman Campus Road Albany, New York 12227	
6	For Ihab Sulaiman:	Garvan McDaniel, Esquire	
7		HOGANMCDANIEL 1311 Delaware Avenue Wilmington, Delaware 19806	
8		WIIMINGCOM, Belaware 19000	
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

Case 13-12-1011 W Due 333 I lieu 03/21/23 I age 3 01 1	Case 19-12415-MFW	Doc 993	Filed 09/21/23	Page 3 of 11
--	-------------------	---------	----------------	--------------

INDEX MOTIONS: PAGE Agenda Item 1: First Omnibus Objection (Non-Substantive) of the Plan Administrator to Certain Proofs of Claim [D.I. 922; Filed 7/6/22] Court's Ruling: 

(Proceedings commenced at 10:30 a.m.)

THE COURT: Good morning. This is Judge Walrath.

We're here in the HRI case. I will turn it over to the plan administrator to get us started.

MS. BROWN: Good morning, Your Honor. Amy Brown of Gellert Scali Busenkell & Brown on behalf of the plan administrator.

We are here today for the plan administrator's first omnibus objection substantive to certain proofs of claim. We had a couple of informal comments received and were able to resolve some of the issues that were presented. We intend to submit a revised proposed form of order under certification of counsel once we get the green light from both of the respondents.

If I could start with the informal comments before I start the substantive, I would appreciate that. Going down to letter (e) the informal comments received from Ace American Insurance Company have been resolved. We plan on putting language similar, if not identical, to the following: the plan administrator's objections to Claim No. 476 filed by Ace American Insurance Company on its own behalf and on behalf of all Ace Companies. Two, Claim No. 478 filed by Federal Insurance Company on its own behalf and behalf of all the Chubb Companies are both withdrawn.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. BROWN: For letter (d) there were informal 1 2 comments received from the New Jersey Department of Labor & Workforce Development. We have also added language to the 3 proposed form of order stating that Claim No. 674 by the New 4 5 Jersey Department of Labor & Workforce Development shall be reduced to \$882.05 as an allowed administrative expense 6 7 claim. 8 We also received informal comments from Mr. Joseph 9 Brancato by letter. I reached out to him. He provided his 10 email address, but I never heard back from him. It just -his letter, basically, stated that he -- I have it right 11 12 here, he would like to claim any payment that is due to him 13 from a settlement. Then it had a picture of his driver's 14 license and a pay stub. So, that is where we are with that 15 one. 16 THE COURT: What tab is he on the -- which exhibit 17 is he? 18 MS. BROWN: Exhibit 3. THE COURT: All right. Well, are those all the 19 20 informal comments that you received? 21 MS. BROWN: Yes. 22 THE COURT: All right. 23 MS. BROWN: The first formal objection was by the New York State Department of Taxation & Finance in opposition 24 25 to the first omnibus objection. Your Honor, we are objecting

to the proof of claim filed by the State of New York on the basis that there was absence from our books and records of any liability, and also insufficient evidence supporting the allowance of the claim.

The claim is just in the form of, it looks like, an invoice and its stamped prepetition proof of claim. It's our position that the claim fails to allege facts that support a finding that the debtor is legally liable.

THE COURT: Well, what evidence do you have that they're not legally liable? There is an invoice attached (indiscernible) and New York believes that an assessment is prima facie valid, doesn't it?

MS. BROWN: Yes. I think the problem with this was the actual proof of claim that was filed itself. It wasn't in a proof of claim form that was sent out with the notices. Again, it's just the proof of claim -- an invoice stamped proof of claim and signed at the bottom.

MR. COOK: Good morning, Your Honor. If I might interject. This is Robert Cook from the New York State Tax Department.

THE COURT: Yes, Mr. Cook.

MR. COOK: Your Honor, although our proof of claim may not be in the form ordinarily submitted by many entities, it does provide all the information that is required under Federal Rules of Bankruptcy 3001. As indicated in our

papers, this proof of claim comes directly from the return filed by the debtor in which they inaccurately computed their tax that the State's annual minimum fixed corporate tax is \$1,500. They stated tax due of 1125. So, I believe that the State has provided sufficient documentation with respect to this claim.

THE COURT: Ms. Brown.

MS. BROWN: That's all I had on that one.

THE COURT: Well, I am gonna overrule the debtor's objection to that claim. I agree that the attachment does contain sufficient, at least, prima facie evidence that the tax is due and the plan administrator has not submitted any - or has the burden of proof now to submit evidence in response to that. Books and records is just not enough. I know that many debtors' books and records are not in the greatest shape by the time they file.

MR. COOK: Thank you, Your Honor.

MS. BROWN: Next up we have the response of Ihab Sulaiman to the debtor's first omnibus objection. This was a -- this was also objected to on the basis that there was no supporting document, the claim is unliquidated, and that there is no basis upon which the claim can be ascertained. I believe Mr. McDaniel has filed a response. I believe he is on the line.

MR. MCDANIEL: Good morning, Your Honor. Garvan

McDaniel. Your Honor, this matter was a motion for relief
consent order. The matter is an active State Court
litigation. Mediation was just concluded, I believe, on 6/17.
The matter was not settled. On 6/15 the mediator filed a
report.

So, Your Honor, our position is we're liquidating the claim in State Court and we simply just want to have the claims objection held in abeyance until the State Court claim is liquidated. Your Honor, recently I had a case, similar scenario, motion for relief. The trust came in and objected. A response was not filed and the insurance company took the position that it res judicata in State Court, that since the claim was dismissed in Bankruptcy Court, you know, there was nothing to litigate in State Court.

So, we are, obviously, trying to avoid that argument here in a similar scenario and just have the claim held in abeyance. It would be liquidated subject to the stay relief order that was entered, you know, that we're only seeking money from the insurance company, but the debtor is still a necessary party in the State Court action.

So, that is our position, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Ms. Brown.

MS. BROWN: Your Honor, to hold the claim in abeyance would be not in the best interest of the plan administrator. You know, litigation can take years. The

respondent has already waived any claim it has against the estate. It's only going after insurance proceeds.

THE COURT: Well, can you put something in the form of order that says that, that the claim is not disallowed, but that the claimant will not be entitled to any distribution from the estate.

MR. MCDANIEL: That's what we were looking for, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right.

MS. BROWN: That's all I have for today.

with the omnibus objection. I think that, again, simply an objection to both Exhibits 1 and 2 are based on the debtor's books and records, and that is not sufficient to overcome the prima facie valid proofs of claim. Most of the claims — the claim in Exhibit 1 does attach receipts. So, it is prima facie valid of evidence of a claim. Exhibit 2, although the plan administrator vehemently objects based on the books and records, again, that is not enough.

I think the declaration or often times the exhibit to the omnibus objection has to provide what evidence there is that the claim is, in fact, not due. The majority of the claims in Exhibit B have evidence attached to them, most are tax claims, which may be prima facie valid when assessed, they contain amounts. So, I think the plan administrator has

1 not met his burden to present evidence sufficient under 2 Exhibit 1 or 2 to overcome the prima facie validity of the claims. I will give the plan administrator an opportunity to 3 submit another declaration that, you know, provides such 4 5 evidence. With respect to Exhibit 3, the objection is that 6 7 they are unliquidated, but many of the claims do list an 8 amount due or no less then an amount due, and attach evidence 9 supporting their claim. So, I am going to continue this 10 omnibus objection and ask the plan administrator to submit another declaration, again, with evidence of why each proof 11 of claim, to which there is an objection, is not entitled to 12 13 prima facie validity. 14 MS. BROWN: Understood. Thank you, Your Honor. 15 THE COURT: All right. That was the only thing we 16 had on for today? 17 MS. BROWN: Yes. 18 THE COURT: We will stand adjourned. Thank you. 19 MS. BROWN: Thank you. 20 (Proceedings concluded at 10:42 a.m.) 21 22 23 24

25

CERTIFICATION I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter to the best of my knowledge and ability. /s/ Mary Zajaczkowski September 19, 2023 Mary Zajaczkowski, CET-531 Certified Court Transcriptionist For Reliable