HUNTON ANDREWS KURTH LLP

Joseph P. Rovira (admitted *pro hac vice*) Catherine A. Rankin (admitted pro hac vice) 600 Travis Street, Suite 4200 Houston, Texas 77002 Telephone: (713) 220-4200

HUNTON ANDREWS KURTH LLP

Tyler P. Brown (VSB No. 28072) Henry P. (Toby) Long, III (VSB No. 75134) Riverfront Plaza, East Tower 951 East Byrd Street Richmond, Virginia 23219 Telephone: (804) 788-8200

Counsel for Debtor and Debtor in Possession

Debtor.

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA RICHMOND DIVISION

In re: Chapter 11

HOPEMAN BROTHERS, INC., Case No. 24-32428 (KLP)

NOTICE OF MOTION AND NOTICE OF HEARING

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on September 15, 2025, Hopeman Brothers, Inc. (the "Debtor") filed the following motion (the "Motion") with the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Eastern District of Virginia, Richmond Division (the "Court"): Motion of the Debtor for Entry of a Fifth Interim Order Extending the Automatic Stay to Stay Asbestos-Related Actions Against Non-Debtor Defendants.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that a copy of the Motion may be obtained at no charge at https://www.veritaglobal.net/hopeman or for a fee at https://ecf.vaeb.uscourts.gov.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that your rights may be affected. You should read the Motion carefully and discuss it with your attorney, if you have one in the chapter 11 case. If you do not have an attorney, you may wish to consult one.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that pursuant to Rule 1075-1 of the Local Bankruptcy Rules (the "Local Bankruptcy Rules"), the Court has adopted the "Procedures for Complex Chapter 11 Cases in the Eastern District of Virginia" (the "Case Management Procedures"), which prescribe the manner in which objections must be filed and served and when hearings will be conducted. A copy of the Case Management Procedures is available by visiting https://www.vaeb.uscourts.gov/vaeb-local-rules.



PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that if you do not want the Court to grant the relief requested in the Motion, or if you want the Court to consider your views on the Motion, then, by **September 26, 2025** (the "Response Deadline"), you or your attorney must:

File with the Court, either electronically or at the address shown below, a written response to the Motion pursuant to Rule 9013-1(H) of the Local Rules of the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Eastern District of Virginia and the Case Management Procedures. If you mail your written response to the Court for filing, you must mail it early enough so the Court will **receive** it on or before the Response Deadline.

If a response is not properly and timely filed and served, the Court may deem any opposition waived, treat the Motion as conceded and enter appropriate order granting the requested relief without further notice or hearing.

Clerk of the Court United States Bankruptcy Court 701 East Broad Street, Suite 4000 Richmond, Virginia 23219

In accordance with the Case Management Procedures, you must also serve a copy of your written response on the Debtor so that the response is received on or before the Response Deadline.

Attend the hearing before the Honorable Keith L. Phillips, United States Bankruptcy Judge, at 11:00 a.m. (prevailing Eastern Time) on September 29, 2025, in Courtroom 5100 of the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Eastern District of Virginia, Richmond Division, 701 East Broad Street, 5th Floor, Richmond, Virginia 23219.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that you should consult the Case Management Procedures before filing any written response to the Motion.

[REMAINDER OF PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK]

Dated: September 15, 2025 Richmond, Virginia

/s/ Henry P. (Toby) Long, III

Tyler P. Brown (VSB No. 28072)

Henry P. (Toby) Long, III (VSB No. 75134)

HUNTON ANDREWS KURTH LLP

Riverfront Plaza, East Tower

951 East Byrd Street

Richmond, Virginia 23219 Telephone: (804) 788-8200 Facsimile: (804) 788-8218

Email: tpbrown@HuntonAK.com

hlong@HuntonAK.com

- and -

Joseph P. Rovira (admitted *pro hac vice*) Catherine A. Rankin (admitted *pro hac vice*)

HUNTON ANDREWS KURTH LLP

600 Travis Street, Suite 4200

Houston, TX 77002

Telephone: (713) 220-4200 Facsimile: (713) 220-4285

Email: josephrovira@HuntonAK.com

crankin@HuntonAK.com

Counsel for the Debtor and Debtor in Possession

HUNTON ANDREWS KURTH LLP

Joseph P. Rovira (admitted *pro hac vice*) Catherine A. Rankin (admitted *pro hac vice*) 600 Travis Street, Suite 4200 Houston, Texas 77002 Telephone: (713) 220-4200

HUNTON ANDREWS KURTH LLP

Tyler P. Brown (VSB No. 28072) Henry P. (Toby) Long, III (VSB No. 75134) Riverfront Plaza, East Tower 951 East Byrd Street Richmond, Virginia 23219 Telephone: (804) 788-8200

Counsel for Debtor and Debtor in Possession

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA RICHMOND DIVISION

In re: Chapter 11

HOPEMAN BROTHERS, INC., Case No. 24-32428 (KLP)

Debtor.

MOTION OF THE DEBTOR FOR ENTRY OF A FIFTH INTERIM ORDER EXTENDING THE AUTOMATIC STAY TO STAY ASBESTOS-RELATED ACTIONS AGAINST NON-DEBTOR DEFENDANTS

Hopeman Brothers, Inc., the debtor and debtor in possession in the above-captioned chapter 11 case (the "Debtor"), respectfully represents as follows in support of this motion (the "Motion"):

RELIEF REQUESTED¹

1. To continue to protect both estate resources and available insurance coverage during the confirmation process of the proposed Joint 524(g) Plan, the Debtor hereby seeks entry of a fifth interim order, extending the Stay Period from the current Stay Expiration Date, September 29, 2025, until the earlier of entry of a final order by the District Court confirming or affirming the Joint 524(g) Plan or December 19, 2025 (the "Extended Stay Period"), staying parties

Capitalized terms not otherwise defined in the Relief Requested section shall have the meanings set forth below.

from the commencement or continuation, including the issuance or employment of process, of any action related to any asbestos-related claim against insurers (collectively, the "Insurers") on behalf of the Debtor and its now-dissolved former subsidiary, Wayne Manufacturing Corporation ("Wayne"), and against former or current officers and directors of the Debtor and Wayne (collectively, "D&Os"; together with the Insurers, the "Protected Parties"), including, without limitation, the thirty-two (32) lawsuits listed on Exhibit 2 to the Proposed Interim Order (collectively, the "Direct Action Lawsuits") as to any of the Protected Parties. The Protected Parties are identified on Exhibit 1 to the Proposed Interim Order.

2. A proposed form of order granting the relief requested herein is annexed hereto as **Exhibit A** (the "Proposed Interim Order").

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 3. The United States Bankruptcy Court for the Eastern District of Virginia (the "Court") has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1334, and the *Standing Order of Reference from the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia*, dated August 15, 1984. This is a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157, and the Court may enter a final order consistent with Article III of the United States Constitution. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409.
- 4. The bases for the relief requested herein are sections 105(a) and 362(a) of title 11 of the United States Code, 11 U.S.C. §§ 101–1532 (the "Bankruptcy Code").

BACKGROUND

A. The Chapter 11 Case

5. On June 30, 2024 (the "<u>Petition Date</u>"), the Debtor filed a voluntary petition for relief under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code in this Court commencing this chapter 11 case.

Case 24-32428-KLP Doc 1195 Filed 09/15/25 Entered 09/15/25 16:40:29 Desc Main Document Page 6 of 31

The Debtor continues to manage its business as debtor in possession pursuant to sections 1107 and 1108 of the Bankruptcy Code.²

- 6. On July 22, 2024, the Office of the United States Trustee for the Eastern District of Virginia appointed an official committee of unsecured creditors [Docket No. 69] (the "Committee").
- 7. On April 29, the Debtor and Committee filed the *Plan of Reorganization of Hopeman Brothers, Inc. Under Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code* [Docket No. 689] (as amended and modified by the filings at Docket Nos. 766, 1141 and 1185, and as may be further amended, modified or supplemented, the "Joint 524(g) Plan").
- 8. On May 21, 2025, this Court entered an order [Docket No. 782] (the "Solicitation Procedures Order"), among other things, (a) approving the solicitation procedures annexed as Exhibit 1 to the Solicitation Procedures Order, (b) approving the solicitation materials and notices to be distributed in connection with the solicitation of the Plan, (c) authorizing Hopeman to solicit votes on the Plan, and (d) scheduling a hearing for July 1, 2025 at 10:00 a.m. (the "Combined Hearing") to consider Confirmation of the Plan.
- 9. The Combined Hearing subsequently was adjourned by this Court to August 25, 2025, and then was conducted on August 25 and 26, 2025.
- 10. Following the Combined Hearing, and in accordance with the Court's instructions, the parties submitted proposed findings of fact and conclusions of law on September 5, 2025. *See* Docket Nos. 1184, 1187, 1188, and 1189.

3

Additional information regarding the Debtor and the circumstances leading to the commencement of this chapter 11 case is set forth in detail in the *Declaration of Christopher Lascell in Support of Chapter 11 Petition and First Day Pleadings of Hopeman Brothers, Inc.* [Docket No. 8], which is fully incorporated herein by reference.

11. If this Court enters a report and recommendation to confirm the Joint 524(g) Plan, the Joint 524(g) Plan then will require District Court approval under section 524(g) of the Bankruptcy Code. *See* 11 U.S.C. § 524(g).

B. Extended Stay Period

- 12. The Debtor filed this chapter 11 case to maximize its insurance assets for the benefit of all its creditors. Accordingly, to avoid the wasting of estate resources and the depletion of available insurance coverage during the pendency of this case, the Debtor has sought and obtained entry by this Court of four interim orders to enjoin claims against the Protected Parties in asbestos-related lawsuits filed, or to-be-filed, as applicable, in "direct action" states that might otherwise drain coverage available for asbestos claims asserted or to be asserted against the Debtor. *See* Docket Nos. 35, 245, 622, and 900. The fourth interim stay order (the "Stay Period"), unless extended, expires on the earlier of entry of a final order by the District Court confirming or affirming the Joint 524(g) Plan or September 29, 2025 (the "Stay Expiration Date"). *See* Docket No. 900 (the "Fourth Interim Stay Order").
- 13. As explained in the motion seeking entry of the Fourth Interim Stay Order, the Debtor sought the last extension of the stay to extend the Stay Period and continue the "pause" on

This Court entered the Stipulated Order Approving Settlement of Appeal of Insurance Settlement Order and Granting Limited Relief from Third Interim Stay Order [Docket No. 733] (the "Stipulated Order"), granting relief from the third interim stay order to, among other things, allow all parties to four lawsuits involving claimants represented by Roussel & Clement to proceed against Insurers other than the "Certain Settling Insurers" to the extent permitted by applicable non-bankruptcy law. Similarly, the Fourth Interim Order permits all parties to the following two (2) lawsuits to proceed against Insurers other than the Certain Settling Insurers to the extent permitted by applicable non-bankruptcy law:

Ditcharo v. Union Pacific Railroad Company, et al., Case No. 2022-10935 (Civil District Court for the Parish of Orleans, La.)

Simoneaux v. Taylor-Seidenbach, et al., Case No. 2:23-cv-04263 (E.D. La.)

To be clear, the Proposed Interim Order makes clear that the relief sought in this Motion shall not impact the relief granted in the Stipulated Order or the Fourth Interim Stay Order.

the litigation against the Protected Parties to provide sufficient time for District Court review and approval of the Joint 524(g) Plan. When the Debtor sought that extension, the Stay Period was set to expire on June 30, 2025, and the Combined Hearing was scheduled for July 1, 2025. As such the Debtor believed the ninety (90) day extension to September 29 was appropriate at that time.

14. Given the adjournment of the Combined Hearing to August 25, and the parties recent submission of the proposed findings of fact and conclusions of law to this Court concerning the Joint 524(g) Plan, the Debtor believes it is appropriate to file this Motion to extend the Stay Period to prohibit commencement or continuation of asbestos-related claims against the Protected Parties that would diminish property of the estate during the confirmation process.

BASIS FOR RELIEF

15. The facts that supported the Court entering each of the prior interim orders have not changed. The Debtor is still facing mass tort liability, and its primary assets are its liability insurance policies. The key new facts, however, are that (i) this Court conducted the Combined Hearing to consider confirmation of the Joint 524(g) Plan on August 25 and 26, 2025, (ii) the parties submitted proposed findings of fact and conclusions of law on September 5, 2025, and (iii) the Debtor contemplates that this Court next will be filing a report and recommendation that then will require District Court approval.

A. Asbestos-Related Actions Against the Protected Parties Are Stayed Under Sections 362(a)(1) and (3) of the Bankruptcy Code

16. The law in support of why the asbestos-related actions against the Protected Parties are stayed under sections 362(a)(1) and 362(a)(3) is set forth in the *Motion of the Debtor for Entry of Interim and Final Orders Extending the Automatic Stay to Stay Asbestos-Related Actions Against Non-Debtor Defendants* [Docket No. 7] ("Motion to Stay") and *Omnibus Reply in Support of Motion of the Debtor for Entry of Interim and Final Orders Extending the Automatic Stay to*

Case 24-32428-KLP Doc 1195 Filed 09/15/25 Entered 09/15/25 16:40:29 Desc Main Document Page 9 of 31

Stay Asbestos-Related Actions Against Non-Debtor Defendants [Docket No. 157] ("Omnibus Reply") and is fully incorporated herein by reference. See Motion to Stay, pp. 6-12; Omnibus Reply, pp. 10-18.

- 17. There remains an "identity of interest" between the Debtor and Protected Parties given that the Debtor's conduct and products would be at the center of any asbestos-related claims pursued against the Protected Parties, as this Court previously ruled when entering the second interim order, making section 362(a)(1) applicable to these actions.⁴ This would result in the Debtor having to be significantly involved in responding to discovery in these cases, thus depleting funds the Debtor cannot afford in this case.
- 18. Furthermore, asbestos-related actions against Protected Parties would seek to recover from the insurance policies that provide shared coverage to the Debtor, Wayne and the Former D&Os, making section 362(a)(3) applicable as well. Such asbestos-related actions are tantamount to claims against the Debtor itself they will reduce the Debtor's estate to the detriment of all creditors. Absent the relief requested herein, claimants who cannot pursue the claims directly against the Debtor will pursue the Direct Action Lawsuits and asbestos-related actions against the other Protected Parties, reducing shared insurance and undercutting a principal asset of the estate.
- 19. For these reasons, the asbestos-related actions against the Protected Parties relating to the Debtor are stayed under sections 362(a)(1) and (3) and the Court should approve this Motion.
 - B. For Actions against Non-Debtors Not Automatically Stayed by Sections 362(a)(1) or (3), this Court has the Power to Stay Such Actions
- 20. While the Debtor contends it is not necessary for the Debtor to establish each of the factors required for a preliminary injunction because the Debtor properly seeks the requested relief

6

⁴ See 9.10.24 Hr'g Tr. at 166:17-167:19

under sections 362(a)(1) and (3), the preliminary injunction factors also continue to support enjoining the asbestos-related actions against the Protected Parties through use of section 105(a) in conjunction with section 362(a).

- 21. The law in support of why the preliminary injunction factors support enjoining the asbestos-related actions against the Protected Parties through the use of section 105(a) is set forth in the Omnibus Reply and is fully incorporated herein by reference. *See* Omnibus Reply, pp. 18-29.
- 22. Similar to the continued application of the stay discussed above, the facts that supported this Court's conclusion at both the September 10 and March 10 hearings that the Debtor can satisfy the four-pronged test for injunctions also have not changed. *See* 9.10.24 Hr'g Tr. at 167:20-25; 3.10.25 Hr'g Tr. at 41:7-42:10.
 - (1) The relief remains necessary to protect the estate and achieve the goals of the case
- 23. The Debtor filed this bankruptcy case in good faith to pursue an equitable resolution of thousands of unresolved asbestos-claims. As the Court noted in confirming that the Debtor satisfied this factor at the September 10 hearing, "a success in this case would be confirmation of a plan that creates the trust . . . that includes all of the insurance proceeds that are available to the debtor." *See* 9.10.24 Hr'g Tr. at 168:2-7. Enjoining asbestos-related actions against the Protected Parties during the Extended Stay Period is fully consistent with, and necessary for the Debtor to pursue, the ultimate objective of this case.
 - (2) The Debtor will be irreparably harmed absent the requested relief
- 24. Without the relief requested herein, the Debtor and its estate will be irreparably harmed. Absent extension of the Stay Period, there is a legitimate risk that actions against the Protected Parties will diminish or deplete the Debtor's insurance coverage that the Debtor is

Case 24-32428-KLP Doc 1195 Filed 09/15/25 Entered 09/15/25 16:40:29 Desc Main Document Page 11 of 31

seeking to transfer to a trust pursuant to the Joint 524(g) Plan. As such, the Debtor's estate would be reduced to the detriment of all creditors. Furthermore, given that claims against the Protected Parties are tantamount to claims against the Debtor, the estate would be irreparably harmed because the Debtor will be forced to spend time and estate resources participating in such actions, incurring substantial administrative claims addressing discovery on claim and coverage issues. This non-bankruptcy litigation also will undermine the parties' and the Court's ability to confirm a plan that treats all asbestos claimants fairly and equitably.

(3) The Balance of Harms Weighs in Favor of a Preliminary Injunction

- 25. The balance of harms also continues to weigh heavily in favor of extending the Stay Period. As explained above, continued prosecution of asbestos-related actions against the Protected Parties would cause irreparable harm to the Debtor and its estate by, among other means, undermining the very goal of this chapter 11 case, and requiring the Debtor to actively participate in litigation pending throughout the country while simultaneously seeking to address the same claims before this Court. On the other hand, as set forth above, asbestos claimants will not be harmed by entry of the stay relief requested. The stay order merely will preserve the status quo during the Extended Stay Period, not alter any party's substantive rights to pursue the Debtor's Insurers or any of the other Protected Parties after a continued "pause" in the litigation during the Extended Stay Period.
- 26. Plaintiffs in the Direct Action Lawsuits and other asbestos claimants also can continue to pursue their claims against other parties, just not the Debtor and the other Protected Parties during the Extended Stay Period. The asbestos claimants can and will continue to prosecute and collect on their claims against other parties and sources notwithstanding the entry of the relief sought herein.

Case 24-32428-KLP Doc 1195 Filed 09/15/25 Entered 09/15/25 16:40:29 Desc Main Document Page 12 of 31

27. Any prejudice to the asbestos claimants would be quite minimal, especially in comparison to the hardship the Debtor would face if the Stay Period is not extended as requested herein.

(4) Public Interest Supports a Stay Order

28. There remains a strong public interest in the Debtor accomplishing the goal of this chapter 11 case – permitting the Debtor to transfer its remaining insurance coverage and cash to a trust to provide for a process to resolve the thousands of remaining asbestos claims. In the Debtor's chapter 11 case, this result is not possible if piecemeal litigation of the asbestos-claims against Protected Parties in the tort system is allowed to circumvent this bankruptcy process, further deplete the Debtor's insurance policies, and force the Debtor to spend time and money participating in such litigation during the Extended Stay Period. For that reason, a successful bankruptcy case – and extension of the Stay Period that makes such a case possible – serves the public interest by providing an efficient process to maximize the recoveries of claimants.

NOTICE

29. Notice of this Motion will be given pursuant to Bankruptcy Local Rule 1075-1 and the procedures set forth in Article II of the "Procedures for Complex Chapter 11 Cases in the Eastern District of Virginia." The Debtor submits that, in light of the nature of the relief requested, no other or further notice need be given.

[REMAINDER OF PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK]

WHEREFORE, the Debtor respectfully requests that the Court enter the Proposed Interim Order, granting the relief requested in this Motion and such other and further relief as may be just and proper.

Dated: September 15, 2025

Richmond, Virginia

/s/ Henry P. (Toby) Long, III

Tyler P. Brown (VSB No. 28072) Henry P. (Toby) Long, III (VSB No. 75134) **HUNTON ANDREWS KURTH LLP**

Riverfront Plaza, East Tower 951 East Byrd Street Richmond, Virginia 23219

Telephone: (804) 788-8200 Facsimile: (804) 788-8218

Email: tpbrown@HuntonAK.com hlong@HuntonAK.com

- and -

Joseph P. Rovira (admitted *pro hac vice*) Catherine A. Rankin (admitted *pro hac vice*) **HUNTON ANDREWS KURTH LLP**

600 Travis Street, Suite 4200

Houston, TX 77002

Telephone: (713) 220-4200 Facsimile: (713) 220-4285

Email: josephrovira@HuntonAK.com crankin@HuntonAK.com

Counsel for the Debtor and Debtor in Possession

Exhibit A

Proposed Interim Order

HUNTON ANDREWS KURTH LLP

Joseph P. Rovira (admitted *pro hac vice*) Catherine A. Rankin (admitted *pro hac vice*) 600 Travis Street, Suite 4200 Houston, Texas 77002 Telephone: (713) 220-4200

HUNTON ANDREWS KURTH LLP

Tyler P. Brown (VSB No. 28072) Henry P. (Toby) Long, III (VSB No. 75134) Riverfront Plaza, East Tower 951 East Byrd Street Richmond, Virginia 23219 Telephone: (804) 788-8200

Counsel for Debtor and Debtor in Possession

Debtor.

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA RICHMOND DIVISION

In re: Chapter 11

HOPEMAN BROTHERS, INC., Case No. 24-32428 (KLP)

FIFTH INTERIM ORDER EXTENDING THE AUTOMATIC STAY TO ASBESTOS-RELATED ACTIONS AGAINST NON-DEBTOR DEFENDANTS

Upon the motion (the "Motion")¹ of the above-captioned debtor in the above-captioned chapter 11 case (the "Debtor") for entry of this fifth interim order (this "Fifth Interim Order") extending the Stay Period from the current Stay Expiration Date, September 29, 2025, until the earlier of entry of a final order by the District Court confirming or affirming the Joint 524(g) Plan or December 19, 2025 (the "Extended Stay Period"); and the Court having jurisdiction to consider the Motion and the relief requested therein in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 1334 and the Standing Order of Reference from the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia, dated August 15, 1984; and the Court having found that this is a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C.

Capitalized terms used but not otherwise defined herein shall have the meanings ascribed to such terms in the Motion.

§ 157(b)(2) and that the Court may enter an interim order consistent with Article III of the United States Constitution; and the Court having found that venue of this proceeding and the Motion in this district is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409; and it appearing that proper and adequate notice of the Motion has been given and that no other or further notice is necessary; and upon the record herein; and after due deliberation thereon; and the Court having determined that the relief sought in the Motion is in the best interests of the Debtor, its estate and parties in interest; and the Court having determined that there is good and sufficient cause for the relief granted in this Fifth Interim Order extending the stay to the Protected Parties, as set forth herein, under sections 105(a), 362(a)(1) and 362(a)(3) of the Bankruptcy Code, it is hereby

ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED THAT:

- 1. The Motion is granted on an interim basis, as set forth herein, and the Extended Stay Period is granted until the earlier of entry of a final order by the District Court confirming or affirming the Joint 524(g) Plan or December 19, 2025 (the "Extended Stay Expiration Date").
 - 2. The Protected Parties are identified on **Exhibit 1** annexed hereto.
- 3. With the exception of the relief provided in the *Stipulated Order Approving* Settlement of Appeal of Insurance Settlement Order and Granting Limited Relief from Third Interim Stay Order [Docket No. 733] (the "Stipulated Order") and the Fourth Interim Order [Docket No. 900],² this Fifth Interim Order shall operate as a stay, applicable to all entities, of the

This Fifth Interim Order shall have no impact on the relief granted in the (i) Stipulated Order, granting relief from the third interim stay order to, among other things, allow all parties to four lawsuits involving claimants represented by Roussel & Clement to proceed against Insurers other than the "Certain Settling Insurers" to the extent permitted by applicable non-bankruptcy law and (ii) the Fourth Interim Order, permitting all parties to the following two (2) lawsuits to proceed against Insurers other than the Certain Settling Insurers to the extent permitted by applicable non-bankruptcy law:

Ditcharo v. Union Pacific Railroad Company, et al., Case No. 2022-10935 (Civil District Court for the Parish of Orleans, La.)

Simoneaux v. Taylor-Seidenbach, et al., Case No. 2:23-cv-04263 (E.D. La.)

Case 24-32428-KLP Doc 1195 Filed 09/15/25 Entered 09/15/25 16:40:29 Desc Main Document Page 17 of 31

commencement or continuation, including the issuance or employment of process, of any action against a Protected Party related to any asbestos-related claim against the Debtor, Wayne Manufacturing Company, Inc. ("Wayne") and/or a current or former director or officer ("Debtor/Wayne Asbestos Claim") of either during the Extended Stay Period, including but not limited to the Direct Action Lawsuits identified on **Exhibit 2**.

- 4. All acts in violation of the stay are prohibited. This prohibition includes, without limitation: (a) the pursuit of discovery from the Protected Parties or their officers, directors, employees or agents in any action stayed by this Fifth Interim Order, (b) the enforcement of any discovery order against the Protected Parties in any action stayed by this Fifth Interim Order; (c) further motions practice related to the foregoing; and (d) any collection activity on account of an asbestos-related claim involving the Debtor, Wayne and/or a Former D&O. For purposes of clarity, nothing in this paragraph 4 shall prohibit claimants from (i) continuing or commencing actions, including the Direct Action Lawsuits, against any defendant who is not a Protected Party and from pursuing discovery and motions practice in those non-stayed actions, as long as such discovery and motions practice is not undertaken in pursuit of asbestos-related claims against the Protected Parties; or (ii) continuing or commencing actions against any insurer listed on Exhibit 1 hereto on account of any claim unrelated to a Debtor/Wayne Asbestos Claim, including from pursuing discovery or motions practice in such non-stayed actions.
- 5. Notwithstanding anything to the contrary in this Fifth Interim Order, any party asserting any asbestos-related claim related to or against the Debtor, Wayne and/or a current or former director or officer of either, including, without limitation, against any of the Protected Parties, may take reasonable steps during the Stay Period, without leave of the Court, to perpetuate

Case 24-32428-KLP Doc 1195 Filed 09/15/25 Entered 09/15/25 16:40:29 Desc Main Document Page 18 of 31

the testimony of any person subject to this Fifth Interim Order who is not expected to survive the Stay Period or who otherwise is expected to be unable to provide testimony if it is not perpetuated during the Stay Period. If such a need arises, notice shall be provided to the Debtor and the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors ("Committee"; together, the "Notice Parties") by notifying counsel for each Notice Party of the need for perpetuation of such testimony. The Notice Parties shall have the right to object to the notice on any grounds they would have had if they were parties to the underlying proceeding and not subject to the terms of this Fifth Interim Order, and the Notice Parties may raise any such objection with this Court. The use of such testimony in any appropriate jurisdiction shall be subject to the applicable procedural and evidentiary rules of such jurisdiction. All parties reserve and do not waive any and all objections with respect to such testimony.

- 6. Nothing herein (a) alters, amends or otherwise modifies the terms and conditions of any of the Debtor's insurance policies or related agreements, or (b) precludes or limits, in any way, the right of any Insurer to contest and/or litigate the existence, primacy and/or scope of available coverage under any alleged applicable policy or to otherwise assert any defenses to coverage.
- 7. To the extent the Debtor requests that the Court extend the relief granted in this Fifth Interim Order beyond the Extended Stay Period, the Debtor must file a motion with this Court to be considered by the Court on or before the Extended Stay Expiration Date or by such other date as the Court may order.
- 8. Entry of this Fifth Interim Order is without prejudice to the rights of any party to oppose any extension of the Extended Stay Period that the Debtor may seek or to seek to appeal the granting of any such extension without having appealed this Fifth Interim Order.

Case 24-32428-KLP Doc 1195 Filed 09/15/25 Entered 09/15/25 16:40:29 Desc Main Document Page 19 of 31

9. The requirement under Local Rule 9013-1(F) to file a memorandum of law in connection with the Motion is waived.

10. The Debtor is authorized to take all actions necessary or appropriate to implement the relief granted in this Order in accordance with the Motion, including without limitation seeking additional relief from this Court to enforce the terms of this Fifth Interim Order.

11. The Court shall retain jurisdiction with respect to all matters arising from or related to the implementation and/or interpretation of this Order.

Dated:	, 2025	
Richmo	ond, Virginia	
		UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE

WE ASK FOR THIS:

/s/ Henry P. (Toby) Long, III

Tyler P. Brown (VSB No. 28072)

Henry P. (Toby) Long, III (VSB No. 75134)

HUNTON ANDREWS KURTH LLP

Riverfront Plaza, East Tower

951 East Byrd Street

Richmond, Virginia 23219

Telephone: (804) 788-8200 Facsimile: (804) 788-8218

Email: tpbrown@HuntonAK.com

hlong@HuntonAK.com

- and -

Joseph P. Rovira (admitted pro hac vice)

Catherine A. Rankin (admitted pro hac vice)

HUNTON ANDREWS KURTH LLP

600 Travis Street, Suite 4200

Houston, TX 77002

Telephone: (713) 220-4200 Facsimile: (713) 220-4285

Email: josephrovira@HuntonAK.com

crankin@HuntonAK.com

Counsel for the Debtor and Debtor in Possession

CERTIFICATION OF ENDORSEMENT UNDER LOCAL BANKRUPTCY RULE 9022-1(C)

I hereby certify that the foregoing proposed order has been endorsed by or served upon all necessary parties.

/s/ Henry P. (Toby) Long, III

Exhibit 1

Protected Parties

- 1. Insurers Who Provide (or in the case of Liberty Mutual Insurance Company provided) Shared Insurance Coverage to the Debtor, Wayne and Former D&Os:
- a. Liberty Mutual Insurance Company
- b. Century Indemnity Company (as successor to CCI Insurance Company, as successor to Insurance Company of North American)
- c. Westchester Fire Insurance Company
- d. Continental Casualty Company
- e. Fidelity & Casualty Company
- f. Lexington Insurance Company
- g. Granite State Insurance Company
- h. Insurance Company of the State of Pennsylvania
- i. National Union Fire Insurance Company of Pittsburgh, PA
- j. General Reinsurance Corporation
- 2. D&Os of the Debtor and Wayne Who Are Also Covered Under the Debtor's Insurance Policies. The following D&Os are named in pending Direct Action Lawsuits with the Debtor and Wayne and, with the exception of Bertram C. Hopeman, are each deceased:
- a. Albert Arendt Hopeman, Jr. (named defendant in *Lebeouf, Jr. v. Huntington Ingalls Inc.*, 2024-04032 (Civil District Court Parish of Orleans, La.) and *McElwee v. Anco Insulations, Inc. et al.*, 2:23-cv-03137 (E.D. La.))
- b. Bertram C. Hopeman (named defendant in *Lebeouf, Jr. v. Huntington Ingalls Inc.*, 2024-04032 (Civil District Court Parish of Orleans, La.) and *McElwee v. Anco Insulations, Inc. et al.*, 2:23-cv-03137 (E.D. La.))
- c. Charles Johnson (named defendant in *Lebeouf, Jr. v. Huntington Ingalls Inc.*, 2024-04032 (Civil District Court Parish of Orleans, La.) and *McElwee v. Anco Insulations, Inc. et al.*, 2:23-cv-03137 (E.D. La.))
- d. Kenneth Wood (named defendant in *Lebeouf, Jr. v. Huntington Ingalls Inc.*, 2024-04032 (Civil District Court Parish of Orleans, La.) and *McElwee v. Anco Insulations, Inc. et al.*, 2:23-cv-03137 (E.D. La.))

- 3. Current D&Os of the Debtor Who Have the Same Indemnification Rights as Former D&Os:
- a. Christopher Lascell
- b. Daniel Lascell
- c. Carrie Lascell Brown

Exhibit 2

Direct Action Lawsuits

Case 24-32428-KLP Doc 1195 Filed 09/15/25 Entered 09/15/25 16:40:29 Desc Main Document Page 24 of 31 Exhibit 1

	Case Name	Case Number	Court	Claimant	Claimant's Counsel	Counsel to Avondale (Huntington)
1	Allo, III v. Huntington Ingalls, Inc., et. al.	2:23-cv-06006	USDC Eastern District of Louisiana	Charles Allo, III	David Melancon Irwin Fritchie Urquhart & Moore, LLC 400 Poydras St., Suite 2700 New Orleans, LA 70130	Gus A. Fritchie Timothy Farrow Daniels David M. Melancon Alison A. Spindler Kevin Powell Diana J. Masters Connor W. Peth Kelli Murphy Miller Irwin Fritchie Urquhart & Moore, LLC (New Orleans) 400 Poydras St. Suite 2700 New Orleans, LA 70130
2	Becker v. Huntington Ingalls Incorporated, et. al.	2:23-cv-06900	USDC Eastern District of Louisiana	Patricia Becker	Ivan D. Cason The Gori Law Firm 909 Poydras Street, Suite 2195 New Orleans, LA 70112	Gus A. Fritchie Timothy Farrow Daniels David M. Melancon Alison A. Spindler Kevin Powell Diana J. Masters Connor W. Peth Kelli Murphy Miller Irwin Fritchie Urquhart & Moore, LLC (New Orleans) 400 Poydras St. Suite 2700 New Orleans, LA 70130
3	Becnel v. Taylor-Seindenbach, Inc., et. al.	2:23-cv-01124	USDC Eastern District of Louisiana	Darwin Kraemer, Rosanne Pierron, Cheryl Becnel and Wendy Vonlienen	Philip C. Hoffman Dayal S. Reddy 643 Magazine Street, Suite 300A New Orleans, LA 70130	Gus A. Fritchie Timothy Farrow Daniels David M. Melancon Alison A. Spindler Kevin Powell Diana J. Masters Connor W. Peth Kelli Murphy Miller Irwin Fritchie Urquhart & Moore, LLC (New Orleans) 400 Poydras St. Suite 2700 New Orleans, LA 70130

Case 24-32428-KLP Doc 1195 Filed 09/15/25 Entered 09/15/25 16:40:29 Desc Main Document Page 25 of 31 Exhibit 1

	Bourgeois v. Pennsylvania General	2:24-cv-00337	USDC Eastern District of Louisiana	David and Emelda Bourgeois	Erin Bruce Saucier	Brian C. Bossier
4	Insurance Co., et. al.				Didriksen, Saucier and Woods, PLC 3114 Canal Street New Orleans, LA 70119	Edwin A. Ellinghausen, III Christopher T. Grace, III Erin H. Boyd Laura M. Gillen Kimmier L. Paul Blue Williams, L.L.C. 3421 N. Causeway Blvd., Suite 900 Metairie, LA 70002
5	Boutte, Sr. v. Huntington Ingalls Incorporated, et. al.	2:22-cv-03321	USDC Eastern District of Louisiana	Shelton A. Boutte, Sr. and Arlene Boutte	Madeline M. Dixon The Gori Law Firm 909 Poydras Street, Suite 2195 New Orleans, LA 70112	Gus A. Fritchie Timothy Farrow Daniels David M. Melancon Alison A. Spindler Kevin Powell Diana J. Masters Connor W. Peth Kelli Murphy Miller Irwin Fritchie Urquhart & Moore, LLC (New Orleans) 400 Poydras St. Suite 2700 New Orleans, LA 70130
6	Bracy v. ABB, Inc., et. al.	2:23-cv-06937	USDC Eastern District of Louisiana	Horace L. Bracy	Ivan D. Cason The Gori Law Firm 909 Poydras Street, Suite 2195 New Orleans, LA 70112	Brian C. Bossier Edwin A. Ellinghausen, III Christopher T. Grace, III Erin H. Boyd Laura M. Gillen Kimmier L. Paul Blue Williams, L.L.C. 3421 N. Causeway Blvd., Suite 900 Metairie, LA 70002
7	Brignac v. Anco Insulations, Inc., et. al.	2:23-cv-03124	USDC Eastern District of Louisiana	Percy Brignac	Damon R. Pourciau Pouciau Law Firm 8550 United Plaza Blvd., Suite 702 Baton Rouge, LA 70809	Brian C. Bossier Edwin A. Ellinghausen, III Christopher T. Grace, III Erin H. Boyd Laura M. Gillen Kimmier L. Paul Blue Williams, L.L.C. 3421 N. Causeway Blvd., Suite 900 Metairie, LA 70002
8	Chalker v. Taylor-Seidenbach, Inc., et. al.	2023-13770	Civil District Court for the Parish of Orleans, State of Louisiana	Pamela Chalker	Philip C. Hoffman Dayal S. Reddy 643 Magazine Street, Suite 300A New Orleans, LA 70130	N/A

Case 24-32428-KLP Doc 1195 Filed 09/15/25 Entered 09/15/25 16:40:29 Desc Main Document Page 26 of 31 Exhibit 1

	Daigle, III v. Anco Insoluations, Inc., et.	2:22 04 01414	LISDC Eastern District of Louisiana	Dannis Daigle III Kim Lambas	Damon B. Boursiau	Gus A. Fritchie
		2.25-60-01414	OSDC Eastern District of Louisiana	Dennis Daigle, III, Kim Lombas,		
	al.			Michelle Trouilliet, Eric Daigle,		Timothy Farrow Daniels
				=	8550 United Plaza Blvd., Suite 702	David M. Melancon
					Baton Rouge, LA 70809	Alison A. Spindler
						Kevin Powell
						Diana J. Masters
9						Connor W. Peth
						Kelli Murphy Miller
						Irwin Fritchie Urquhart & Moore,
						LLC (New Orleans)
						400 Poydras St.
						Suite 2700
						New Orleans, LA 70130
	Duran, Jr. v. Taylor-Seidenbach, Inc.,	2023-13741	Civil District Court for the Parish of	Gilbert Duran, Jr.	Philip C. Hoffman	Gus A. Fritchie
	et. al.		Orleans, State of Louisiana		Dayal S. Reddy	Timothy Farrow Daniels
					643 Magazine Street, Suite 300A	David M. Melancon
					New Orleans, LA 70130	Alison A. Spindler
						Kevin Powell
						Diana J. Masters
11						Connor W. Peth
						Kelli Murphy Miller
						Irwin Fritchie Urquhart & Moore,
						LLC (New Orleans)
						400 Poydras St.
						Suite 2700
						New Orleans, LA 70130
	Evans v. Taylor-Seidenbach, Inc., et. al.	2:23-cv-04241	USDC Eastern District of Louisiana	Marvin Evans	Philip C. Hoffman	Brian C. Bossier
	,				Dayal S. Reddy	Edwin A. Ellinghausen, III
					643 Magazine Street, Suite 300A	Christopher T. Grace, III
					New Orleans, LA 70130	Erin H. Boyd
					,,	Laura M. Gillen
12						Kimmier L. Paul
						Blue Williams, L.L.C.
						3421 N. Causeway Blvd., Suite 900
						Metairie, LA 70002
						Mictaille, LA 70002
	Gistarve, Sr. v. Huntington Ingalls	2016-05797	Civil District Court for the Parish of	Joseph Gistarve. Sr.	Ron A. Austin	N/A
	Industries, et. al.		Orleans, State of Louisiana		Austin & Associates, L.L.C.	'
13					400 Manhattan Boulevard	
					Harvey, LA 70058	

Case 24-32428-KLP Doc 1195 Filed 09/15/25 Entered 09/15/25 16:40:29 Desc Main Document Page 27 of 31 Exhibit 1

14	Gomez v. Lamons Gasket Company, et. al.				David R. Cannella Christopher C. Colley Kristopher L. Thompson Emily C. LaCerte Baron & Budd, P.C. 2600 CitiPlace Drive, Suite 400 Baton Rouge, LA 70808	Gus A. Fritchie Timothy Farrow Daniels David M. Melancon Alison A. Spindler Kevin Powell Diana J. Masters Connor W. Peth Kelli Murphy Miller Irwin Fritchie Urquhart & Moore, LLC (New Orleans) 400 Poydras St. Suite 2700 New Orleans, LA 70130
15	Hoffman, Jr. v. Huntington Ingalls Inc., et. al.	2022-07111	Civil District Court for the Parish of Orleans, State of Louisiana	Donald M. Hoffman, Jr., Charles S. Somes, and Kathleen Whited	Stephen J. Austin Stephen J. Austin, LLC 1 Galleria Boulevard, Suite 1900 Metairie, LA 70001	N/A
16	Lagrange v. Eagle, Inc., et. al.	2:23-cv-00628	USDC Eastern District of Louisiana	Irma Lee Lagrange	David R. Cannella Christopher C. Colley Kristopher L. Thompson Emily C. LaCerte Baron & Budd, P.C. 2600 CitiPlace Drive, Suite 400 Baton Rouge, LA 70808	Gus A. Fritchie Timothy Farrow Daniels David M. Melancon Alison A. Spindler Kevin Powell Diana J. Masters Connor W. Peth Kelli Murphy Miller Irwin Fritchie Urquhart & Moore, LLC (New Orleans) 400 Poydras St. Suite 2700 New Orleans, LA 70130
17	Leboeuf, Jr. et al v. Huntington Ingalls Inc.	2024-04032	Civil District Court for the Parish of Orleans, State of Louisiana	Nolan J. Leboeuf, Jr.	Landry & Swarr 1100 Poydras St. Energy Centre – Suite 2000 New Orleans, LA 70163 -and- The Cheek Law Firm 650 Poydras Street, Ste 2310 New Orleans, LA 70130	N/A

Case 24-32428-KLP Doc 1195 Filed 09/15/25 Entered 09/15/25 16:40:29 Desc Main Document Page 28 of 31 Exhibit 1

	Louis y Taylor Saidanhach Inc. at -1	2.22 0.00704	LICOC Eastern District of Lavisian	Proupoul outis and Manies	Vovin B. Milana	Prian C. Possiar
	Lewis v. Tayler-Seidenbach, Inc., et. al.	2:23-CV-U6/64	USDC Eastern District of Louisiana	-	Kevin B. Milano	Brian C. Bossier
				Kelly-Lewis	Ivan D. Cason	Edwin A. Ellinghausen, III
					The Gori Law Firm	Christopher T. Grace, III
					909 Poydras Street, Suite 2195	Erin H. Boyd
18					New Orleans, LA 70112	Laura M. Gillen
10						Kimmier L. Paul
						Blue Williams, L.L.C.
						3421 N. Causeway Blvd., Suite 900
						Metairie, LA 70002
		2.24	HCDC Facility of the fathers	No	D. 110 C	C. A. F. W. L.
	Marcella, et. al. v. Huntington Ingalls,	2:24-cv-00780	USDC Eastern District of Louisiana	Norma Marcella, Scott	David R. Cannella	Gus A. Fritchie
	Incorporated et. al.			Marcella, Troy Marcella, and	Christopher C. Colley	Timothy Farrow Daniels
				Toni Herbert, Individually and	Kristopher L. Thompson	David M. Melancon
				as Statutory Heirs of	Emily C. LaCerte	Alison A. Spindler
				Decendent Ronald Marcella	Baron & Budd, P.C.	Kevin Powell
					2600 CitiPlace Drive, Suite 400	Diana J. Masters
19					Baton Rouge, LA 70808	Connor W. Peth
						Kelli Murphy Miller
						Irwin Fritchie Urquhart & Moore,
						LLC (New Orleans)
						400 Poydras St.
						Suite 2700
		2 22 2242=				New Orleans, LA 70130
	McElwee v. Anco Insulations, Inc. et.	2:23-cv-03137	USDC Eastern District of Louisiana	Robert J. McElwee	Frank J. Swarr	Gus A. Fritchie
	al.				Mickey P. Landry	Timothy Farrow Daniels
					Matthew Clark	David M. Melancon
					Landry & Swarr, LLC	Alison A. Spindler
					1100 Poydras Street, Suite 2000	Kevin Powell
					New Orleans, LA 70163	Diana J. Masters
						Connor W. Peth
20					-and-	Kelli Murphy Miller
						Irwin Fritchie Urquhart & Moore,
					Jeffery A. O'Connell	LLC (New Orleans)
					The Nemeroff Law Firm	400 Poydras St.
						•
					Douglas Plaza	Suite 2700
					8226 Douglas Avenue, Suite 740	New Orleans, LA 70130
					Dallas, Texas 75225	
	McIntyre v. Huntington Ingalls	2:23-cv-05048	USDC Eastern District of Louisiana	William McIntyre	Ivan D. Cason	Brian C. Bossier
	Incorporated, et. al.				The Gori Law Firm	Edwin A. Ellinghausen, III
					909 Poydras Street, Suite 2195	Christopher T. Grace, III
					New Orleans, LA 70112	Erin H. Boyd
21						Laura M. Gillen
21						Kimmier L. Paul
						Blue Williams, L.L.C.
						3421 N. Causeway Blvd., Suite 900
						Metairie, LA 70002
<u> </u>		<u> </u>				

Case 24-32428-KLP Doc 1195 Filed 09/15/25 Entered 09/15/25 16:40:29 Desc Main Document Page 29 of 31 Exhibit 1

22	Plaisance, Sr. v. Taylor-Seindenbach, Inc., et. al.	2:23-cv-05426	USDC Eastern District of Louisiana	Corbet J. Plaisance, Sr.	Philip C. Hoffman Dayal S. Reddy 643 Magazine Street, Suite 300A New Orleans, LA 70130	Brian C. Bossier Edwin A. Ellinghausen, III Christopher T. Grace, III Erin H. Boyd Laura M. Gillen Kimmier L. Paul Blue Williams, L.L.C. 3421 N. Causeway Blvd., Suite 900 Metairie, LA 70002
23	Prude v. Fidelity and Casualty Incurance Company of New York, et. al.	2:23-cv-07197	USDC Eastern District of Louisiana	William "Buddy" Prude	Damon R. Pourciau Pouciau Law Firm 8550 United Plaza Blvd., Suite 702 Baton Rouge, LA 70809 -and- Scott M. Galante Stephanie M. Hartman The Galante Litigation Group, LLC 816 Cadiz Street New Orleans, LA 70115	Brian C. Bossier Edwin A. Ellinghausen, III Christopher T. Grace, III Erin H. Boyd Laura M. Gillen Kimmier L. Paul Blue Williams, L.L.C. 3421 N. Causeway Blvd., Suite 900 Metairie, LA 70002
24	Robinson v. Anco Insulations, Inc., et. al.	2020-04867	Civil District Court for the Parish of Orleans, State of Louisiana	Melvin L. Robinson	Damon R. Pourciau Pouciau Law Firm 8550 United Plaza Blvd., Suite 702 Baton Rouge, LA 70809	N/A
25	Rogers v. Taylor-Seidenbach, Inc., et. al.	2:24-cv-01268	USDC Eastern District of Louisiana	John Rogers	Philip C. Hoffman Dayal S. Reddy 643 Magazine Street, Suite 300A New Orleans, LA 70130	Brian C. Bossier Edwin A. Ellinghausen, III Christopher T. Grace, III Erin H. Boyd Laura M. Gillen Kimmier L. Paul Blue Williams, L.L.C. 3421 N. Causeway Blvd., Suite 900 Metairie, LA 70002

Case 24-32428-KLP Doc 1195 Filed 09/15/25 Entered 09/15/25 16:40:29 Desc Main Document Page 30 of 31 Exhibit 1

	Rudolph, et. al. v. Huntington Ingalls,	2019-04164	Civil District Court for the Parish of	Renee LaNasa Rudolnh	Lewis O. Unglesby, Esq.	Brian C. Bossier
	Inc., et. al.	2019-04104		-	Lance C . Unglesby, Esq.	Edwin A. Ellinghausen, III
	inc., et. ai.			Giles Paul LaNasa; on behalf	Jordan L. Bollinger, Esq.	Christopher T. Grace, III
				· ·	UNGLESBY LAW FIRM	
				of Wallace LaNasa, Jr.		Erin H. Boyd
					246 Napoleon St.	Laura M. Gillen
					Baton Rouge, LA 70802	Kimmier L. Paul
						Blue Williams, L.L.C.
					Timothy J. Falcon, Esq.	3421 N. Causeway Blvd., Suite 900
					FALCON LAW FIRM	Metairie, LA 70002
					5044 Lapalco Blvd.	
26					Marrero, LA 70072	
					J. Patrick Connick, Esq.	
					5201 Westbank Expressway, Ste. 100	
					Marrero, LA 70072	
					Wells T. Watson, Esq.	
					Jeffrey T. Gaughan, Esq.	
					B AGGETT, MCCALL, BURGESS, WATSON	
					& GAUGHAN	
					3006 Country Club Rd.	
					Lake Charles, LA 70605	
	Sandifer v. Anco Insulations, Inc., et. al.	2023-10585	Civil District Court for the Parish of	Booker Sandifer	Damon R. Pourciau	Brian C. Bossier
	Sanations, me., et. al.	2023 10303	Orleans, State of Louisiana	Booker Sandiner	Pouciau Law Firm	Edwin A. Ellinghausen, III
			oricans, state or Esaisiana		8550 United Plaza Blvd., Suite 702	Christopher T. Grace, III
					Baton Rouge, LA 70809	Erin H. Boyd
					Baton Rouge, EA 70003	Laura M. Gillen
27						Kimmier L. Paul
						Blue Williams, L.L.C.
						3421 N. Causeway Blvd., Suite 900
						Metairie, LA 70002
						Wetaine, LA 70002
	Sewire v. Anco Insulations, Inc., et. al.	2022-00676	Civil District Court for the Parish of	Patrick Sewire	Damon R. Pourciau	N/A
28			Orleans, State of Louisiana		Pouciau Law Firm	
28					8550 United Plaza Blvd., Suite 702	
					Baton Rouge, LA 70809	
	Thibodeaux et al v. General Electric	2:24-cv-01111	USDC Eastern District of Louisiana	Reed Thibodeaux and Cynthia	Ivan David Cason, Jr.	Timothy Farrow Daniels
	Company, et al			Thibodeaux	Gori Law Firm	Irwin Fritchie Urquhart & Moore,
20					3647 McDonald Ave	LLC (New Orleans)
30					St. Louis, MO 63116	400 Poydras St.
					450 Laurel Street, Suite 1150	Suite 2700
					130 Eddi Ci Sti CCt, Suite 1130	Juil 2700

Case 24-32428-KLP Doc 1195 Filed 09/15/25 Entered 09/15/25 16:40:29 Desc Main Document Page 31 of 31 Exhibit 1

	Thomas v. American Automobile	2022-00352	Civil District Court for the Parish of	Lisha Thomas, Samantha	Philip C. Hoffman	N/A
	Insurance Company, et. al.		Orleans, State of Louisiana	Thomas, and Shaundreika	Dayal S. Reddy	
				Shorty; wrongful death	643 Magazine Street, Suite 300A	
				beneficiaries of Sam Thomas	New Orleans, LA 70130	
				(aka Sam Carter Thomas)		
					-and-	
					Lindsey A. Cheek	
					The Cheek Law Firm, LLC	
31					650 Poydras Street, Suite 2310	
31					New Orleans, LA 70130	
					-and-	
					Spencer R. Doody	
					Scott R. Bickford	
					Larry J. Centola, III	
					Martzell, Bickford & Centola	
					338 Lafayette Street	
					New Orleans, LA 70130	
	Wilson v. Eagle, Inc., et al.	2024-03205	Civil District Court for the Parish of	Kenneth Wilson	Philip C. Hoffman	N/A
32			Orleans, State of Louisiana		Dayal S. Reddy	
32					643 Magazine Street, Suite 300A	
					New Orleans, LA 70130	