Case 21088023sg10910c 2100cumilent:11345124 PEnterly 1101482/Filahk 119/09/20174sc Main
Case 3:21-cv-00881-X Documilent 2010en - Inducaye աշաւտ բայա 1 014 Fayer / 10049

## United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit United State

Certified as a true copy and issued as the mandate on Nov 05, 2024

Attest: Jule W. Cayca Clerk, U.S. Court of Appeals, Fifth Circuit \_\_\_\_\_

United States Court of Appeals Fifth Circuit

FILED September 16, 2024

No. 23-10911

Lyle W. Cayce Clerk

\_\_\_\_

IN THE MATTER OF HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P.

Debtor,

HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT,,

Appellee,

versus

NEXPOINT ASSET MANAGEMENT, L.P., formerly known as HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT FUND ADVISORS, L.P.; NEXPOINT ADVISORS, L.P.; NEXPOINT REAL ESTATE PARTNERS, L.L.C., formerly known as HCRE PARTNERS L.L.C.; HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT SERVICES, INCORPORATED; JAMES DONDERO,

Appellants,

IN THE MATTER OF HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P.

Debtor,



19340542411250000000000002

J	AMES	D.	Do	ND	ΕR	ο;

Appellant,

versus

HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P.,

Appellee,

CONSOLIDATED WITH

\_\_\_\_

No. 23-10921

IN THE MATTER OF HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P.

Debtor,

HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT,,

Appellee,

versus

NEXPOINT ASSET MANAGEMENT, L.P., formerly known as Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors, L.P.,

Appellant.

c/w No. 23-10911

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Northern District of Texas

USDC No. 3:21-CV-881 USDC No. 3:21-CV-1010 USDC No. 3:21-CV-1378 USDC No. 3:21-CV-1379 USDC No. 3:21-CV-3160 USDC No. 3:21-CV-3162 USDC No. 3:21-CV-3179 USDC No. 3:21-CV-3179 USDC No. 3:21-CV-3207 USDC No. 3:22-CV-789

Before WIENER, ELROD, and WILSON, Circuit Judges.

## JUDGMENT

This cause was considered on the record on appeal and was argued by counsel.

IT IS ORDERED and ADJUDGED that the judgment of the District Court is AFFIRMED.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Appellants pay to Appellee the costs on appeal to be taxed by the Clerk of this Court.

The judgment or mandate of this court shall issue 7 days after the time to file a petition for rehearing expires, or 7 days after entry of an order denying a timely petition for panel rehearing, petition for rehearing en banc, or motion for stay of mandate, whichever is later. See Fed. R. App. P. 41(b). The court may shorten or extend the time by order. See 5th Cir. R. 41 I.O.P.

## United States Court of Appeals

FIFTH CIRCUIT OFFICE OF THE CLERK

LYLE W. CAYCE CLERK

TEL. 504-310-7700 600 S. MAESTRI PLACE, Suite 115 NEW ORLEANS, LA 70130

November 05, 2024

Ms. Karen S. Mitchell Northern District of Texas, Dallas United States District Court 1100 Commerce Street Earle Cabell Federal Building Room 1452 Dallas, TX 75242

No. 23-10911 Highland Capital v. NexPoint Asset
USDC No. 3:21-CV-881
USDC No. 3:21-CV-1010
USDC No. 3:21-CV-1378
USDC No. 3:21-CV-1379
USDC No. 3:21-CV-3160
USDC No. 3:21-CV-3162
USDC No. 3:21-CV-3179
USDC No. 3:21-CV-3179
USDC No. 3:21-CV-3207
USDC No. 3:22-CV-789

Dear Ms. Mitchell,

Enclosed is a copy of the judgment issued as the mandate and a copy of the court's opinion.

Sincerely,

LYLE W. CAYCE, Clerk

By:

Casey A. Sullivan, Deputy Clerk 504-310-7642

cc:

Mr. Michael Philip Aigen

Mr. Zachery Z. Annable

Ms. Deborah Rose Deitsch-Perez

Mr. Gregory Vincent Demo

Ms. Melissa Sue Hayward

Mr. John A. Morris

Mr. Jeffrey N. Pomerantz

Mr. Davor Rukavina

Mr. Julian Preston Vasek

Ms. Hayley R. Winograd