PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP Jeffrey N. Pomerantz (CA Bar No.143717) (*admitted pro hac vice*) John A. Morris (NY Bar No. 2405397) (*admitted pro hac vice*) Gregory V. Demo (NY Bar No. 5371992) (*admitted pro hac vice*) Hayley R. Winograd (NY Bar No. 5612569) (*admitted pro hac vice*) 10100 Santa Monica Blvd., 13th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90067 Telephone: (310) 277-6910 Facsimile: (310) 201-0760

HAYWARD PLLC Melissa S. Hayward Texas Bar No. 24044908 MHayward@HaywardFirm.com Zachery Z. Annable Texas Bar No. 24053075 ZAnnable@HaywardFirm.com 10501 N. Central Expy, Ste. 106 Dallas, Texas 75231 Tel: (972) 755-7110 Fax: (972) 755-7110

Counsel for Highland Capital Management, L.P.

In re:	§ § Chapter 11
HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P., <sup>1</sup>	§ § Case No. 19-34054-sgj11
Reorganized Debtor.	\$ \$
HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P.,	§
Plaintiff,	ş
	§ Adversary Proceeding No.
VS.	ş
	§ 21-03082-sgj
HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT FUND	ş
ADVISORS, L.P.,	§
	ş
Defendant.	

### IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS DALLAS DIVISION

193405422050200000000002

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Reorganized Debtor's last four digits of its taxpayer identification number are (6725). The headquarters and service address for the above-captioned Reorganized Debtor is 100 Cresce

## HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT L.P.'S SECOND AMENDED NOTICE OF SUBPOENA TO JAMES DONDERO

**PLEASE TAKE NOTICE** that, pursuant to Rule 45 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, made applicable herein by Rule 9016 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure, Highland Capital Management, L.P., the reorganized debtor ("<u>Highland</u>" or the "<u>Reorganized</u> <u>Debtor</u>") in the above-captioned chapter 11 case (the "<u>Bankruptcy Case</u>") and plaintiff in the above-captioned adversary proceeding (the "<u>Adversary Proceeding</u>"), by and through its undersigned counsel, caused the *Second Amended Subpoena to Testify at a Deposition in a Bankruptcy Case (or Adversary Proceeding)* (the "<u>Subpoena</u>"), a copy of which is attached hereto as <u>Exhibit A</u>, to be served upon James Dondero for a virtual appearance at a deposition on **May 5**, **2022** commencing at 10:00 a.m. Central Time, or at such other day and time as Highland may agree in writing. The deposition will be taken under oath before a notary public or other person authorized by law to administer oaths and may be visually recorded by video or otherwise.

The deposition will be taken remotely via an online platform due to the coronavirus pandemic such that no one will need to be in the same location as anyone else in order to participate in the deposition. Parties who wish to participate in the deposition should contact John A. Morris, Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones LLP, at jmorris@pszjlaw.com no fewer than 72 hours before the start of the deposition for more information regarding participating in this deposition remotely.

[Remainder of Page Intentionally Left Blank]

Dated: May 2, 2022.

## PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP

Jeffrey N. Pomerantz (CA Bar No. 143717) John A. Morris (NY Bar No. 266326) Gregory V. Demo (NY Bar No. 5371992) Hayley R. Winograd (NY Bar No. 5612569) 10100 Santa Monica Blvd., 13th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90067 Telephone: (310) 277-6910 Facsimile: (310) 201-0760 Email: jpomerantz@pszjlaw.com jmorris@pszjlaw.com gdemo@pszjlaw.com

-and-

## HAYWARD PLLC

/s/Zachery Z. Annable Melissa S. Hayward Texas Bar No. 24044908 MHayward@HaywardFirm.com Zachery Z. Annable Texas Bar No. 24053075 ZAnnable@HaywardFirm.com 10501 N. Central Expy, Ste. 106 Dallas, Texas 75231 Tel: (972) 755-7100 Fax: (972) 755-7110

Counsel for Highland Capital Management, L.P.

# EXHIBIT A

# UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT

NORTHERN District of TEXAS

# In re HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P.

Debtor

(Complete if issued in an adversary proceeding)

Highland Capital Management, L.P.,

Plaintiff

v.

Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors, L.P.,

Defendant

Case No. <u>19-34054-sgj11</u>

Chapter <u>11</u>

Adv. Proc. No. 21-3082-sgj

# SECOND AMENDED SUBPOENA TO TESTIFY AT A DEPOSITION IN A BANKRUPTCY CASE (OR ADVERSARY PROCEEDING)

To: James Dondero, c/o Deborah Deitsch-Perez, Stinson LLP, 3102 Oak Lawn Avenue, Suite 777, Dallas, TX

75219

(Name of person to whom the subpoena is directed)

Testimony: YOU ARE COMMANDED to appear at the time, date, and place set forth below to testify at a deposition to be taken in this bankruptcy case (or adversary proceeding). If you are an organization, you must designate one or more officers, directors, or managing agents, or designate other persons who consent to testify on your behalf about the following matters: the claims and affirmative defenses asserted in the above-referenced adversary proceeding.

PLACE	DATE AND TIME
Deposition will be conducted virtually through Zoom	May 5, 2022 at 10:00 a.m. (CT)

The deposition will take place before a court reporter and will be recorded by stenographic means, may be videotaped, and shall continue from day to day until it has been completed.

Production: YOU ARE COMMANDED to produce and permit inspection and copying of the following documents or object at the place, date and time specified below: All Documents and Communications
Concerning the Alleged Agreement described in paragraph 41 of Defendant's Original Answer [Docket No. 5] filed on December 10, 2021.

The following provisions of Fed. R. Civ. P. 45, made applicable in bankruptcy cases by Fed. R. Bankr. P. 9016, are attached – Rule 45(c), relating to the place of compliance; Rule 45(d), relating to your protection as a person subject to a subpoena; and Rule 45(e) and 45(g), relating to your duty to respond to this subpoena and the potential consequences of not doing so.

Date: May 2, 2022

CLERK OF COURT

OR

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk/s/ John A. MorrisAttorney's signatureJohn A. Morris, Esq.

The name, address, email address, and telephone number of the attorney representing (*name of party*) Highland Capital Management, L.P. , who issues or requests this subpoena, are:

John A. Morris, Esq., Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones LLP, 780 Third Ave., 34th Fl, New York, NY 10017

Notice to the person who issues or requests this subpoena

If this subpoena commands the production of documents, electronically stored information, or tangible things, or the inspection of premises before trial, a notice and a copy of this subpoena must be served on each party before it is served on the person to whom it is directed. Fed. R. Civ. P. 45(a)(4).



PROOF OF S (This section should not be filed with the cour	
I received this subpoena for ( <i>name of individual and title</i> , <i>if any</i> ): on ( <i>date</i> )	
I served the subpoena by delivering a copy to the named person	n as follows:
James Dondero, c/o Deborah Deitsch-Perez, Stinson LLP, 3	102 Oak Lawn Avenue, Suite 777, Dallas, TX 75219
on ( <i>date</i> )	; or
I returned the subpoena unexecuted because:	
Unless the subpoena was issued on behalf of the United States, or witness the fees for one day's attendance, and the mileage allowed My fees are \$ for travel and \$ for services. I declare under penalty of perjury that this information is	d by law, in the amount of \$, for a total of \$
Date:	
_	Server's signature
_	Printed name and title
_	Server's address
Additional information concerning attempted service, etc.:	

# Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 45(c), (d), (e), and (g) (Effective 12/1/13) (made applicable in bankruptcy cases by Rule 9016, Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure)

### (c) Place of compliance.

(1) For a Trial, Hearing, or Deposition. A subpoena may command a person to attend a trial, hearing, or deposition only as follows:

(A) within 100 miles of where the person resides, is employed, or regularly transacts business in person; or

(B) within the state where the person resides, is employed, or regularly transacts business in person, if the person

(i) is a party or a party's officer; or

(ii) is commanded to attend a trial and would not incur substantial expense.

### (2)For Other Discovery. A subpoena may command:

(A) production of documents, or electronically stored information, or things at a place within 100 miles of where the person resides, is employed, or regularly transacts business in person; and

(B) inspection of premises, at the premises to be inspected.

### (d) Protecting a Person Subject to a Subpoena; Enforcement.

(1) Avoiding Undue Burden or Expense; Sanctions. A party or attorney responsible for issuing and serving a subpoena must take reasonable steps to avoid imposing undue burden or expense on a person subject to the subpoena. The court for the district where compliance is required must enforce this duty and impose an appropriate sanction which may include lost earnings and reasonable attorney's fees — on a party or attorney who fails to comply.

### (2) Command to Produce Materials or Permit Inspection.

(A) Appearance Not Required. A person commanded to produce documents, electronically stored information, or tangible things, or to permit the inspection of premises, need not appear in person at the place of production or inspection unless also commanded to appear for a deposition, hearing, or trial.

(*B*) *Objections*. A person commanded to produce documents or tangible things or to permit inspection may serve on the party or attorney designated in the subpoena a written objection to inspecting, copying, testing or sampling any or all of the materials or to inspecting the premises — or to producing electronically stored information in the form or forms requested. The objection must be served before the earlier of the time specified for compliance or 14 days after the subpoena is served. If an objection is made, the following rules apply:

(i) At any time, on notice to the commanded person, the serving party may move the court for the district where compliance is required for an order compelling production or inspection.

(ii) These acts may be required only as directed in the order, and the order must protect a person who is neither a party nor a party's officer from significant expense resulting from compliance.

### (3) Quashing or Modifying a Subpoena.

(A) When Required. On timely motion, the court for the district where compliance is required must quash or modify a subpoena that:

(i) fails to allow a reasonable time to comply;

(ii) requires a person to comply beyond the geographical limits specified in Rule 45(c);

(iii) requires disclosure of privileged or other protected matter, if no exception or waiver applies; or

(iv) subjects a person to undue burden.

(B) When Permitted. To protect a person subject to or affected by a subpoena, the court for the district where compliance is required may, on motion, quash or modify the subpoena if it requires:

(i) disclosing a trade secret or other confidential research, development, or commercial information; or

(ii) disclosing an unretained expert's opinion or information that does not describe specific occurrences in dispute and results from the expert's study that was not requested by a party.

(C) Specifying Conditions as an Alternative. In the circumstances described in Rule 45(d)(3)(B), the court may, instead of quashing or modifying a subpoena, order appearance or production under specified conditions if the serving party:

(i) shows a substantial need for the testimony or material that cannot be otherwise met without undue hardship; and

(ii) ensures that the subpoenaed person will be reasonably compensated.

### (e) Duties in Responding to a Subpoena.

(1) Producing Documents or Electronically Stored Information. These procedures apply to producing documents or electronically stored information:

(A) Documents. A person responding to a subpoena to produce documents must produce them as they are kept in the ordinary course of business or must organize and label them to correspond to the categories in the demand.

(B) Form for Producing Electronically Stored Information Not Specified. If a subpoena does not specify a form for producing electronically stored information, the person responding must produce it in a form or forms in which it is ordinarily maintained or in a reasonably usable form or forms.

(C) Electronically Stored Information Produced in Only One Form. The person responding need not produce the same electronically stored information in more than one form.

(D) Inaccessible Electronically Stored Information. The person responding need not provide discovery of electronically stored information from sources that the person identifies as not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost. On motion to compel discovery or for a protective order, the person responding must show that the information is not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost. If that showing is made, the court may nonetheless order discovery from such sources if the requesting party shows good cause, considering the limitations of Rule 26(b)(2)(C). The court may specify conditions for the discovery.

#### (2) Claiming Privilege or Protection.

(A) Information Withheld. A person withholding subpoenaed information under a claim that it is privileged or subject to protection as trial-preparation material must:

(i) expressly make the claim; and

(ii) describe the nature of the withheld documents, communications, or tangible things in a manner that, without revealing information itself privileged or protected, will enable the parties to assess the claim.

(B)Information Produced. If information produced in response to a subpoena is subject to a claim of privilege or of protection as trialpreparation material, the person making the claim may notify any party that received the information of the claim and the basis for it. After being notified, a party must promptly return, sequester, or destroy the specified information and any copies it has; must not use or disclose the information until the claim is resolved; must take reasonable steps to retrieve the information if the party disclosed it before being notified; and may promptly present the information under seal to the court for the district where compliance is required for a determination of the claim. The person who produced the information must preserve the information until the claim is resolved.

(g) Contempt. The court for the district where compliance is required – and also, after a motion is transferred, the issuing court – may hold in contempt a person who, having been served, fails without adequate excuse to obey the subpoena or an order related to it.

For access to subpoena materials, see Fed. R. Civ. P. 45(a) Committee Note (2013)