

LATHAM & WATKINS LLP
Andrew Clubok (*pro hac vice*)
Sarah Tomkowiak (*pro hac vice*)
555 Eleventh Street, NW, Suite 1000
Washington, District of Columbia 20004
Telephone: (202) 637-2200

BUTLER SNOW LLP
Martin Sosland (TX Bar No. 18855645)
Candice Carson (TX Bar No. 24074006)
2911 Turtle Creek Blvd., Suite 1400
Dallas, Texas 75219
Telephone: (469) 680-5502

Jeffrey E. Bjork (*pro hac vice*)
Kimberly A. Posin (*pro hac vice*)
355 South Grand Avenue, Suite 100
Los Angeles, CA 90071
Telephone: (213) 485-1234

Kathryn George (*pro hac vice*)
330 North Wabash Avenue, Suite 2800
Chicago, IL 60611
Telephone: (312) 876-7700

*Counsel for UBS Securities LLC and
UBS AG London Branch*

**IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
DALLAS DIVISION**

In re	§	Chapter 11
	§	
HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P., ¹	§	Case No. 19-34054-sgj11
	§	
Debtor.	§	
-----	§	
UBS SECURITIES LLC AND UBS AG	§	Adversary Proceeding
LONDON BRANCH,	§	
	§	No. 21-03020-sgj
Plaintiffs,	§	
	§	
vs.	§	
	§	
HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P.,	§	
	§	
Defendant.	§	

¹ The Debtor's last four digits of its taxpayer identification number are (6725). The headquarters and service address for the above-captioned Debtor is 300 Crescent Court, Suite 700, Dallas, TX 75201.



NOTICE OF SUBPOENA TO TESTIFY AT RULE 30(b)(6)

DEPOSITION TO BEECHER CARLSON INSURANCE SERVICES, LLC

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that, pursuant to Rule 30(b)(6) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, made applicable to this proceeding by Rule 7030 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure, and Rule 45 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, made applicable to this proceeding by Rule 9016 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure, Plaintiffs UBS Securities LLC and UBS AG London Branch (together, “UBS”) shall take the deposition of Beecher Carlson Insurance Services, LLC (“Beecher”) in connection with the above-captioned adversary proceeding against Highland Capital Management, L.P. (the “Debtor”) by the person(s) most qualified to testify on Beecher’s behalf with respect to the topics described in **Exhibit A** hereto on **April 12, 2022** beginning at **9:00 am** Eastern Time, or at such other day and time as counsel for UBS, counsel for the Debtor, and counsel for Beecher agree. The deposition questioning on April 12, 2022 will take place in person at the offices of Brown & Brown, 156 College St., Suite 301, Burlington, Vermont 05401. The deposition will be videotaped and made available for concurrent remote participation via an online platform.

DATED this 28th day of March 2022.

BUTLER SNOW LLP

By /s/ Candice M. Carson

Martin Sosland (TX Bar No. 18855645)
Candice M. Carson (TX Bar No. 24074006)
2911 Turtle Creek Boulevard, Suite 1400
Dallas, Texas 75219
Telephone: (469) 680-5502
E-mail: martin.sosland@butlersnow.com
candice.carson@butlersnow.com

LATHAM & WATKINS LLP

Andrew Clubok (*pro hac vice*)
Sarah Tomkowiak (*pro hac vice*)
555 Eleventh Street, NW, Suite 1000
Washington, District of Columbia
Telephone: (202) 637-2200
Email: andrew.clubok@lw.com
sarah.tomkowiak@lw.com

and

Jeffrey E. Bjork (*pro hac vice*)
Kimberly A. Posin (*pro hac vice*)
355 South Grand Avenue, Suite 100
Los Angeles, CA 90071
Telephone: (213) 485-1234
Email: jeff.bjork@lw.com
kim.posin@lw.com

*Counsel for UBS Securities LLC and UBS
AG, London Branch*

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Candice Carson, certify that this *Notice of Subpoena to Testify at Rule 30(b)(6) Deposition to Beecher Carlson Insurance Services, LLC* was filed electronically through the Court's ECF system, which provides notice to all parties in interest.

Dated: March 28, 2022.

/s/ Candice M. Carson
CANDICE M. CARSON

Exhibit A

B2560 (Form 2560 – Subpoena to Testify at a Deposition in a Bankruptcy Case or Adversary Proceeding) (12/15)

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT

NORTHERN

District of TEXAS

In re HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P., Debtor

(Complete if issued in an adversary proceeding)

Case No. 19-34054-sgj11

Chapter 11

UBS SECURITIES LLC AND UBS AG LONDON BRANCH, Plaintiff

Adv. Proc. No. 21-03020-sgj

v. HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P., Defendant

SUBPOENA TO TESTIFY AT A DEPOSITION IN A BANKRUPTCY CASE (OR ADVERSARY PROCEEDING)

To: Beecher Carlson Insurance Services, LLC (Name of person to whom the subpoena is directed)

Testimony: YOU ARE COMMANDED to appear at the time, date, and place set forth below to testify at a deposition to be taken in this bankruptcy case (or adversary proceeding). If you are an organization, you must designate one or more officers, directors, or managing agents, or designate other persons who consent to testify on your behalf about the following matters, or those set forth in an attachment: See Attachment A.

Table with 2 columns: PLACE (Offices of Brown & Brown, 156 College St., Suite 301, Burlington, VT 05401) and DATE AND TIME (April 12, 2022 at 9:00 am EST (or at a date and time mutually agreed upon))

The deposition will be recorded by this method:

Video, audio, and stenographic means

Production: You, or your representatives, must also bring with you to the deposition the following documents, electronically stored information, or objects, and must permit inspection, copying, testing, or sampling of the material:

The following provisions of Fed. R. Civ. P. 45, made applicable in bankruptcy cases by Fed. R. Bankr. P. 9016, are attached – Rule 45(c), relating to the place of compliance; Rule 45(d), relating to your protection as a person subject to a subpoena; and Rule 45(e) and 45(g), relating to your duty to respond to this subpoena and the potential consequences of not doing so.

Date: February 22, 2022

CLERK OF COURT

OR

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

/s/ Candice M. Carson Attorney's signature

The name, address, email address, and telephone number of the attorney representing (name of party) UBS Securities LLC and UBS AG London Branch, who issues or requests this subpoena, are:

Candice Carson, Butler Snow LLP, 2911 Turtle Creek Blvd., Suite 1400, Dallas, Texas 75219, Telephone: (469) 680-5502, Email: candice.carson@butlersnow.com

Notice to the person who issues or requests this subpoena

If this subpoena commands the production of documents, electronically stored information, or tangible things, or the inspection of premises before trial, a notice and a copy of this subpoena must be served on each party before it is served on the person to whom it is directed. Fed. R. Civ. P. 45(a)(4).

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 45.)

I received this subpoena for *(name of individual and title, if any)*: _____
on *(date)* _____.

I served the subpoena by delivering a copy to the named person as follows: _____
_____ on *(date)* _____ ; or

I returned the subpoena unexecuted because: _____

Unless the subpoena was issued on behalf of the United States, or one of its officers or agents, I have also tendered to the witness the fees for one day’s attendance, and the mileage allowed by law, in the amount of \$ _____.

My fees are \$ _____ for travel and \$ _____ for services, for a total of \$ _____.

I declare under penalty of perjury that this information is true and correct.

Date: _____

Server's signature

Printed name and title

Server's address

Additional information concerning attempted service, etc.:

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 45(c), (d), (e), and (g) (Effective 12/1/13)
(made applicable in bankruptcy cases by Rule 9016, Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure)

(c) Place of compliance.

(1) *For a Trial, Hearing, or Deposition.* A subpoena may command a person to attend a trial, hearing, or deposition only as follows:

- (A) within 100 miles of where the person resides, is employed, or regularly transacts business in person; or
- (B) within the state where the person resides, is employed, or regularly transacts business in person, if the person
 - (i) is a party or a party's officer; or
 - (ii) is commanded to attend a trial and would not incur substantial expense.

(2) *For Other Discovery.* A subpoena may command:

- (A) production of documents, or electronically stored information, or things at a place within 100 miles of where the person resides, is employed, or regularly transacts business in person; and
- (B) inspection of premises, at the premises to be inspected.

(d) Protecting a Person Subject to a Subpoena; Enforcement.

(1) *Avoiding Undue Burden or Expense; Sanctions.* A party or attorney responsible for issuing and serving a subpoena must take reasonable steps to avoid imposing undue burden or expense on a person subject to the subpoena. The court for the district where compliance is required must enforce this duty and impose an appropriate sanction — which may include lost earnings and reasonable attorney's fees — on a party or attorney who fails to comply.

(2) *Command to Produce Materials or Permit Inspection.*

(A) *Appearance Not Required.* A person commanded to produce documents, electronically stored information, or tangible things, or to permit the inspection of premises, need not appear in person at the place of production or inspection unless also commanded to appear for a deposition, hearing, or trial.

(B) *Objections.* A person commanded to produce documents or tangible things or to permit inspection may serve on the party or attorney designated in the subpoena a written objection to inspecting, copying, testing or sampling any or all of the materials or to inspecting the premises — or to producing electronically stored information in the form or forms requested. The objection must be served before the earlier of the time specified for compliance or 14 days after the subpoena is served. If an objection is made, the following rules apply:

- (i) At any time, on notice to the commanded person, the serving party may move the court for the district where compliance is required for an order compelling production or inspection.
- (ii) These acts may be required only as directed in the order, and the order must protect a person who is neither a party nor a party's officer from significant expense resulting from compliance.

(3) *Quashing or Modifying a Subpoena.*

(A) *When Required.* On timely motion, the court for the district where compliance is required must quash or modify a subpoena that:

- (i) fails to allow a reasonable time to comply;
- (ii) requires a person to comply beyond the geographical limits specified in Rule 45(c);
- (iii) requires disclosure of privileged or other protected matter, if no exception or waiver applies; or
- (iv) subjects a person to undue burden.

(B) *When Permitted.* To protect a person subject to or affected by a subpoena, the court for the district where compliance is required may, on motion, quash or modify the subpoena if it requires:

- (i) disclosing a trade secret or other confidential research, development, or commercial information; or

(ii) disclosing an unretained expert's opinion or information that does not describe specific occurrences in dispute and results from the expert's study that was not requested by a party.

(C) *Specifying Conditions as an Alternative.* In the circumstances described in Rule 45(d)(3)(B), the court may, instead of quashing or modifying a subpoena, order appearance or production under specified conditions if the serving party:

- (i) shows a substantial need for the testimony or material that cannot be otherwise met without undue hardship; and
- (ii) ensures that the subpoenaed person will be reasonably compensated.

(e) Duties in Responding to a Subpoena.

(1) *Producing Documents or Electronically Stored Information.* These procedures apply to producing documents or electronically stored information:

(A) *Documents.* A person responding to a subpoena to produce documents must produce them as they are kept in the ordinary course of business or must organize and label them to correspond to the categories in the demand.

(B) *Form for Producing Electronically Stored Information Not Specified.* If a subpoena does not specify a form for producing electronically stored information, the person responding must produce it in a form or forms in which it is ordinarily maintained or in a reasonably usable form or forms.

(C) *Electronically Stored Information Produced in Only One Form.* The person responding need not produce the same electronically stored information in more than one form.

(D) *Inaccessible Electronically Stored Information.* The person responding need not provide discovery of electronically stored information from sources that the person identifies as not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost. On motion to compel discovery or for a protective order, the person responding must show that the information is not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost. If that showing is made, the court may nonetheless order discovery from such sources if the requesting party shows good cause, considering the limitations of Rule 26(b)(2)(C). The court may specify conditions for the discovery.

(2) *Claiming Privilege or Protection.*

(A) *Information Withheld.* A person withholding subpoenaed information under a claim that it is privileged or subject to protection as trial-preparation material must:

- (i) expressly make the claim; and
- (ii) describe the nature of the withheld documents, communications, or tangible things in a manner that, without revealing information itself privileged or protected, will enable the parties to assess the claim.

(B) *Information Produced.* If information produced in response to a subpoena is subject to a claim of privilege or of protection as trial-preparation material, the person making the claim may notify any party that received the information of the claim and the basis for it. After being notified, a party must promptly return, sequester, or destroy the specified information and any copies it has; must not use or disclose the information until the claim is resolved; must take reasonable steps to retrieve the information if the party disclosed it before being notified; and may promptly present the information under seal to the court for the district where compliance is required for a determination of the claim. The person who produced the information must preserve the information until the claim is resolved.

...
(g) Contempt. The court for the district where compliance is required — and also, after a motion is transferred, the issuing court — may hold in contempt a person who, having been served, fails without adequate excuse to obey the subpoena or an order related to it.

ATTACHMENT A

1. Beecher Carlson's relationship with Sentinel Reinsurance, Ltd. ("Sentinel") and any related or affiliated entities (including predecessors), including, without limitation, the following entities: Sentinel Re Holdings, Ltd., SS Holdings, Ltd., SAS Holdings SPV Ltd., ITA Global Trust Ltd., SAS Litigation Management, L.P., SAS Loan Services Ltd., SAS Asset Management, and Sebastian Clarke, Ltd. (together with Sentinel, the "Sentinel Entities").
2. The organizational structures, directors, and officers of the Sentinel Entities.
3. The direct and indirect shareholders and beneficial owners of the Sentinel Entities, as well as any dividends or distributions made over time to those shareholders or owners.
4. Sentinel's funding, including the source(s) and circumstances surrounding such funding.
5. Sentinel's board meetings from 2015 through the present, including meeting materials and minutes, participants, and the nature of topics that were discussed.
6. The Legal Liability Insurance Policy dated August 1, 2017, between Sentinel as Insurer and Highland CDO Opportunity Master Fund, LP, Highland CDO Holding Company, and Highland Special Opportunities Holding Company as Insureds (the "Insurance Policy"), including without limitation (i) the purpose and terms of the Insurance Policy; (ii) any amendment or endorsement thereto; (iii) board minutes or resolutions concerning the Insurance Policy; (iv) claims made on the Insurance Policy; (v) any fees or expenses paid pursuant to the Insurance Policy; (vi) communications with the IRS about the Insurance Policy; and (vii) any similar agreements.
7. Any other actual or contemplated policies issued by the Sentinel Entities, including After-The-Event policies or Directors and Officers ("D&O") liability policies.

8. The Purchase Agreement dated August 7, 2017, between Sentinel as Purchaser and each of Highland CDO Opportunity Master Fund, L.P., Highland CDO Holding Company, and Highland Special Opportunities Holding Company as Sellers (the "Purchase Agreement"), including without limitation (i) the purpose and terms of the Purchase Agreement; (ii) any amendment thereto; (iii) the transfer of assets pursuant to the Purchase Agreement; (iv) board minutes or resolutions concerning the Purchase Agreement; (v) communications with the IRS about any assets transferred pursuant to the Purchase Agreement; and (vi) any similar agreements.
9. Assets transferred from Highland Capital Management, L.P., Highland CDO Opportunity Master Fund, L.P., Highland CDO Opportunity Fund, Ltd., Highland Special Opportunities Holding Company, Highland CDO Holding Company, Highland Financial Corp., and/or Highland Financial Partners, L.P. to Sentinel and/or Sentinel Re Holdings, Ltd., including without limitation (i) the assets transferred pursuant to the Insurance Policy or Purchase Agreement; (ii) the value of any such transferred assets; (iii) any subsequent transfer or dissipation of such assets; (iv) the request to redeem the value of any such assets, including from Highland Multi Strategy Credit Fund, L.P., (f/k/a Highland Credit Opportunities CDO, L.P.); and (v) the accounts used to transfer or receive any such assets.
10. Any transfers of assets between or among the Sentinel Entities and/or their beneficial owners, including but not limited to transfers of assets, capital contributions, and dividend payments, from 2015 through the present.
11. Sentinel's process for monitoring and valuing assets, including its engagement of Valuation Research Corporation, RSM Cayman Ltd., and RSM US LLP.

12. Communications and meetings with the Cayman Island Monetary Authority relating to Sentinel.
13. Annual audits or accountings of Sentinel, including by KPMG, Crowe LLP (f/k/a Crowe Horwath Cayman Ltd.), RSM Cayman Ltd., and RSM US LLP.
14. Sentinel's investment in SeaOne.
15. The Sentinel Entities' relationship with (i) Highland CDO Opportunity Master Fund, L.P.; (ii) Highland CDO Holding Company; (iii) Highland Special Opportunities Holding Company; (iv) Highland Capital Management, L.P.; (v) James Dondero; (vi) Scott Ellington; (vii) Jean Paul Sevilla; (viii) Matthew DiOrio; (ix) Isaac Leventon; (x) Mary Kathryn Irving; and (xi) Stephanie Vitiello, including any commitment by the Sentinel Entities to indemnify, reimburse, or compensate the foregoing individuals.
16. Sentinel's awareness, knowledge, analysis, or monitoring of this case and *UBS v. Highland Capital Management, L.P.*, Index No. 650097/2009 (N.Y. Sup. Ct.), the conduct animating UBS's claims in both cases, and the liability of Highland CDO Opportunity Master Fund, LP, Highland CDO Holding Company, and Highland Special Opportunities Holding Company to UBS.