Davor Rukavina Julian P. Vasek MUNSCH HARDT KOPF & HARR, P.C. 500 N. Akard Street, Suite 3800 Dallas, Texas 75202-2790 (214) 855-7500 telephone (214) 978-4375 facsimile Email: drukavina@munsch.com

ATTORNEYS FOR NEXPOINT ADVISORS, L.P.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS DALLAS DIVISION

In re:	§	
	§	Chapter 11
HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P.,	§	
	§	Case No. 19-34054-sgj11
Debtor.	§	
	§	
HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P.,	§	
	§	
Plaintiff,	§	Adv. No. 21-03005-sgj
	§	
vs.	§	Civ. Act. No. 3:21-cv-00880-C
	§	
NEXPOINT ADVISORS, L.P., JAMES	§	
DONDERO, NANCY DONDERO, AND THE	§	
DUGABOY INVESTMENT TRUST,	§	
	§	
Defendants.	§	

NOTICE OF OBJECTION OF NEXPOINT ADVISORS, L.P. TO ORDER DENYING MOTIONS TO EXTEND EXPERT DISCLOSURE AND DISCOVERY DEADLINES

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that, on January 5, 2022, NexPoint Advisors, L.P. ("NexPoint")

filed the following documents in the District Court under Civ. Act. No. 3:21-cv-00880-C, copies

of which are attached hereto:

- Objection of NexPoint Advisors, L.P. to Order Denying Motions to Extend Expert Disclosure and Discovery Deadlines (Dist. Ct. Dkt. 21);
- Brief in Support of Objection of NexPoint Advisors, L.P. to Order Denying Motions

to Extend Expert Disclosure and Discovery Deadlines (Dist. Ct. Dkt. 22); and



• Appendix in Support of Objection of NexPoint Advisors, L.P. to Order Denying Motions to Extend Expert Disclosure and Discovery Deadlines (Dist. Ct. Dkt. 23).

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this the 5th day of January, 2022.

MUNSCH HARDT KOPF & HARR, P.C.

By: <u>/s/ Davor Rukavina</u>

Davor Rukavina State Bar No. 24030781 Julian P. Vasek. State Bar No. 24070790 500 N. Akard Street, Suite 3800 Dallas, Texas 75202-2790 Telephone: (214) 855-7500 Facsimile: (214) 978-4375 Email: drukavina@munsch.com Email: jvasek@munsch.com

ATTORNEYS FOR NEXPOINT ADVISORS, L.P.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that, on January 5, 2022, a true and correct copy of the foregoing document, including any exhibit(s) thereto, was served on the following recipients via the Court's CM/ECF system:

Zachery Z. Annable on behalf of Plaintiff Highland Capital Management, L.P. <u>zannable@haywardfirm.com</u>

Bryan C. Assink on behalf of Defendant James Dondero bryan.assink@bondsellis.com

Greta M. Brouphy on behalf of Defendant The Dugaboy Investment Trust <u>gbrouphy@hellerdraper.com</u>, <u>dhepting@hellerdraper.com</u>;vgamble@hellerdraper.com

Leslie A. Collins on behalf of Defendant The Dugaboy Investment Trust <u>lcollins@hellerdraper.com</u>

Deborah Rose Deitsch-Perez on behalf of Defendant The Dugaboy Investment Trust deborah.deitschperez@stinson.com, patricia.tomasky@stinson.com;kinga.mccoy@stinson.com

Deborah Rose Deitsch-Perez on behalf of Defendant James Dondero deborah.deitschperez@stinson.com, patricia.tomasky@stinson.com;kinga.mccoy@stinson.com

Deborah Rose Deitsch-Perez on behalf of Defendant Nancy Dondero deborah.deitschperez@stinson.com, patricia.tomasky@stinson.com;kinga.mccoy@stinson.com

Douglas S. Draper on behalf of Defendant The Dugaboy Investment Trust <u>ddraper@hellerdraper.com</u>, <u>dhepting@hellerdraper.com;vgamble@hellerdraper.com;mlandis@hellerdraper.com;gbrouphy@hellerdrap</u> er.com

Melissa S. Hayward on behalf of Plaintiff Highland Capital Management, L.P. <u>MHayward@HaywardFirm.com</u>, <u>mholmes@HaywardFirm.com</u>

Juliana Hoffman on behalf of Creditor Committee Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors jhoffman@sidley.com, txefilingnotice@sidley.com;julianna-hoffman-8287@ecf.pacerpro.com

Paige Holden Montgomery on behalf of Creditor Committee Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors pmontgomery@sidley.com, txefilingnotice@sidley.com;paige-montgomery-7756@ecf.pacerpro.com;crognes@sidley.com;ebromagen@sidley.com;efilingnotice@sidley.com

Davor Rukavina on behalf of Defendant NexPoint Advisors, L.P. <u>drukavina@munsch.com</u>

Julian Preston Vasek on behalf of Defendant NexPoint Advisors, L.P. jvasek@munsch.com

<u>/s/ Davor Rukavina</u> Davor Rukavina Davor Rukavina Julian P. Vasek MUNSCH HARDT KOPF & HARR, P.C. 500 N. Akard Street, Suite 3800 Dallas, Texas 75202-2790 (214) 855-7500 telephone (214) 978-4375 facsimile Email: drukavina@munsch.com

ATTORNEYS FOR NEXPOINT ADVISORS, L.P.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS DALLAS DIVISION

In re:	§	
	§	Chapter 11
HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P.,	§	
	§	Case No. 19-34054-sgj11
Debtor.	§	
	§	
HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P.,	§	
	§	
Plaintiff,	§	Adv. No. 21-03005-sgj
	§	
vs.	§	Civ. Act. No. 3:21-cv-00880-C
	§	
NEXPOINT ADVISORS, L.P., JAMES	§	
DONDERO, NANCY DONDERO, AND THE	§	
DUGABOY INVESTMENT TRUST,	§	
	§	
Defendants.	§	

OBJECTION OF NEXPOINT ADVISORS, L.P. TO ORDER DENYING MOTIONS TO EXTEND EXPERT DISCLOSURE AND DISCOVERY DEADLINES

COMES NOW NexPoint Advisors, L.P. ("NexPoint"), one of the defendants in the above

styled and numbered Adversary Proceeding initiated by Highland Capital Management, L.P. as

the plaintiff (the "Debtor"), and files this its Objection to Order Denying Motions to Extend Expert

Disclosure and Discovery Deadlines (the "Objection"), respectfully stating as follows:

Pursuant to Rules 54(b), 72(a) and Local Civil Rule 72.1, NexPoint seeks the District

Court's review of the Bankruptcy Court's Order Denying Motions to Extend Expert Disclosure

and Discovery Deadlines (the "Order"). For the reasons set forth in its brief, filed

contemporaneously with this Objection and incorporated herein by reference, NexPoint submits that, in denying NexPoint leave to extend the expert disclosure and discovery deadlines, the Order is clearly erroneous and contrary to law and should, therefore, be reconsidered and reversed by the District Court.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this the 5th day of January, 2022.

MUNSCH HARDT KOPF & HARR, P.C.

By: <u>/s/ Davor Rukavina</u>

Davor Rukavina State Bar No. 24030781 Julian P. Vasek. State Bar No. 24070790 500 N. Akard Street, Suite 3800 Dallas, Texas 75202-2790 Telephone: (214) 855-7500 Facsimile: (214) 978-4375 Email: drukavina@munsch.com Email: jvasek@munsch.com

ATTORNEYS FOR NEXPOINT ADVISORS, L.P.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that, on January 5, 2022, a true and correct copy of the foregoing document, including any exhibit(s) thereto, was served on the following recipients via the Court's CM/ECF system:

Case Admin Sup <u>txnb_appeals@txnb.uscourts.gov</u> Bryan Christopher Assink <u>bryan.assink@bondsellis.com</u> Clay M Taylor <u>clay.taylor@bondsellis.com</u> Daniel P Elms <u>elmsd@gtlaw.com</u>, <u>guerrak@gtlaw.com</u> Davor Rukavina <u>drukavina@munsch.com</u> Deborah Rose Deitsch-Perez <u>deborah.deitsch-perez@stinson.com</u>, <u>kinga.mccoy@stinson.com</u>, <u>patricia.tomasky@stinson.com</u> Douglas Draper <u>ddraper@hellerdraper.com</u>, <u>dhepting@hellerdraper.com</u>, <u>gbrouphy@hellerdraper.com</u>, <u>vgamble@hellerdraper.com</u> Gregory V Demo <u>gdemo@pszjlaw.com</u>, <u>hwinograd@pszjlaw.com</u>, <u>jfried@pszjlaw.com</u>, <u>lsc@pszjlaw.com</u>

John A Morris jmorris@pszjlaw.com, hwinograd@pszjlaw.com, lsc@pszjlaw.com

Julian Preston Vasek jvasek@munsch.com

Leslie A Collins <u>lcollins@hellerdraper.com</u>, <u>dhepting@hellerdraper.com</u>

Michael P Aigen <u>michael.aigen@stinson.com</u>, <u>stephanie.gratt@stinson.com</u>

Stacey G Jernigan <u>sgj_settings@txnb.uscourts.gov</u>, <u>anna_saucier@txnb.uscourts.gov</u>

Zachery Z. Annable <u>zannable@haywardfirm.com</u>, <u>zannable@franklinhayward.com</u>

<u>/s/ Davor Rukavina</u> Davor Rukavina Davor Rukavina Julian P. Vasek MUNSCH HARDT KOPF & HARR, P.C. 500 N. Akard Street, Suite 3800 Dallas, Texas 75202-2790 (214) 855-7500 telephone (214) 978-4375 facsimile Email: drukavina@munsch.com

ATTORNEYS FOR NEXPOINT ADVISORS, L.P.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS DALLAS DIVISION

In re:	§	
	§	Chapter 11
HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P.,	§	
	§	Case No. 19-34054-sgj11
Debtor.	§	
	§	
HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P.,	§	
	§	
Plaintiff,	§	Adv. No. 21-03005-sgj
	§	
VS.	§	Civ. Act. No. 3:21-cv-00880-C
	§	
NEXPOINT ADVISORS, L.P., JAMES	§	
DONDERO, NANCY DONDERO, AND THE	§	
DUGABOY INVESTMENT TRUST,	§	
	§	
Defendants.	§	

BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF OBJECTION OF NEXPOINT ADVISORS, L.P. TO ORDER DENYING MOTIONS TO EXTEND EXPERT DISCLOSURE AND DISCOVERY DEADLINES

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABL	E OF A	UTHO	RITIES iii				
I.	RELIEF REQUESTED1						
II.	BACKGROUND1						
	A.	THE A	DVERSARY PROCEEDING1				
	B.	NEXPOINT'S AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE					
	C.	THE EXPERT WITNESS DEADLINES					
	D.	THE MOTION AND THE ORDER					
	E.	NEXP	OINT'S RETENTION OF STEVE PULLY AND HIS EXPERT REPORT				
III.	ARGUMENTS AND AUTHORITIES						
	A.	RECONSIDERATION OF ORDER AS ORDER OF MAGISTRATE JUDGE6					
	B.	STANI	DARD APPLICABLE TO THE MOTION				
		1.	Explanation for Failure to Timely Designate Expert7				
		2.	The Importance of the Expert10				
		3.	No Potential Prejudice to the Debtor				
		4.	Availability of Continuance to Avoid Prejudice				
	C.	THE B	ANKRUPTCY COURT CLEARLY ERRED IN ENTERING THE ORDER13				
IV.	CONC	CLUSIC	DN16				
CERT	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE						

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

<u>Cases</u>

Adams v. Medtronics Inc., 2021 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 47246 (E.D. Tex. 2021)	13
Baylor Health Care Sys. v. Equitable Plan Servs., 955 F. Supp. 2 678 (N.D. Tex. 2013)	7
Geiserman v. MacDonald, 893 F.2d 787 (5th Cir. 1990)	10
Guzman v. Hacienda Records & Recording Studio Inc., 808 F.3d 1031 (5th Cir. 2015)	6-7
In re Geert Duizenstraal, 1997 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 16506 (N.D. Tex. 1997)	6
In re Schooler, 725 F.3d 498 (5th Cir. 2013)	8
Marathon Fin. Ins. Inc. RRG v. Ford Motor Co., 591 F.3d 458 (5th Cir. 2009)	7
Quijano v. United States, 325 F.3d 564 (5th Cir. 2003)1	0, 15
S&W Enters. v. Southtrust Bank of Ala., 315 F.3d 533 (5th Cir. 2003)	7
Streber v. Hunter, 221 F.3d 701 (5th Cir. 2000)1	0, 15

<u>Other</u>

Fed. R. Civ. P. 16	7
Fed. R. Civ. P. 54	
Fed. R. Civ. P. 72	1, 6, 14
Local Civ. R. 72.1	1

COMES NOW NexPoint Advisors, L.P. ("<u>NexPoint</u>"), one of the defendants in the above styled and numbered Adversary Proceeding initiated by Highland Capital Management, L.P. as the plaintiff (the "<u>Debtor</u>"), and files this its *Brief* (the "<u>Brief</u>") in support of its *Objection to Order Denying Motions to Extend Expert Disclosure and Discovery Deadlines* (the "<u>Objection</u>"), respectfully stating as follows:

I. <u>RELIEF REQUESTED</u>

1. By the Objection, and pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 72(a) and Local Civil Rule 72.1, NexPoint seeks the District Court's review of the Bankruptcy Court's *Order Denying Motions to Extend Expert Disclosure and Discovery Deadlines* (the "Order"). NexPoint submits that, in denying NexPoint leave to extend the expert disclosure and discovery deadlines, the Order is clearly erroneous and contrary to law and should, therefore, be reconsidered and reversed by the District Court.

II. <u>BACKGROUND</u>

A. <u>THE ADVERSARY PROCEEDING</u>

2. The Debtor was a debtor and is now a reorganized debtor in a Chapter 11 case pending before the Bankruptcy Court.

3. The Debtor initiated this Adversary Proceeding with the filing of its original complaint against NexPoint on January 22, 2021.

4. By this Adversary Proceeding, the Debtor seeks to collect on a promissory note issued by NexPoint to the Debtor on May 31, 2017 in the original principal amount of 30,746,812.33 (the "<u>Note</u>"). APP 008 ¶ 21.¹ The Note is a 30-year note and provides for an

¹ "APP" refers to the Appendix in Support of Objection of NexPoint Advisors, L.P. to Order Denying Motions to Extend Expert Disclosure and Discovery Deadlines, which is being filed contemporaneously herewith.

annual payment of principal and interest. APP 008 \P 22. After prior payments, the Debtor asserts that \$23,071,195.03 remains due and owing on the Note. APP 010 \P 31.

5. On July 28, 2021, the District Court entered an order adopting this Court's report and recommendation and ordering that the reference for this Adversary Proceeding will be withdrawn once the Bankruptcy Court certifies this Adversary Proceeding as being trial ready. APP 002. As part of the same, the District Court necessarily agreed and ordered that NexPoint has a right to a trial by jury of this Adversary Proceeding.

6. Although not clear, it appears that the Bankruptcy Court is acting in the capacity of a magistrate judge, as the Bankruptcy Court itself appears to have concluded, although there is no order so specifying. APP 775 n.1; APP 776 n.5 Accordingly, in order not to waive its rights, NexPoint filed the Objection.

B. <u>NEXPOINT'S AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE</u>

7. The Debtor alleges that the Note required NexPoint to make a payment of principal and interest on December 31, 2020, and that NexPoint failed to make this payment. APP 009 \P 26. Thus, in January 2021, the Debtor sent notice that the Note had been accelerated, and the Debtor demanded full and immediate payment. APP 009 \P 27.

8. One of NexPoint's affirmative defenses in this Adversary Proceeding concerns that certain *Amended and Restated Shared Services Agreement* (the "<u>Shared Services Agreement</u>") between the Debtor and NexPoint dated January 1, 2018. APP 086 ¶ 80; APP 105. The Shared Services Agreement was in place as of December 31, 2020, although the Debtor terminated it later, in 2021. APP 462 at 2–9. Under the Shared Services Agreement, the Debtor provided various services to NexPoint, including so-called "back office" services, including treasury, accounting, and payables services. APP 462 at 13–APP 464 at 9. NexPoint has alleged that, pursuant to the

Shared Services Agreement, the Debtor was responsible for ensuring that NexPoint made the allegedly required December 31, 2020 payment, although such payment would be made from NexPoint's funds. Indeed, Waterhouse (defined below) testified that it was "reasonable for NexPoint to rely on the debtors' employees to inform NexPoint of an upcoming payment due on the \$30 million promissory note." APP 473 at 22–APP 474 at 8.

9. NexPoint asserts that the Debtor failed to do so and, therefore, caused the alleged default, which it now seeks to exploit, and that, but for the Debtor's negligence, the Note would remain in place, unaccelerated. NexPoint has always asserted this as an affirmative defense. *See* Defendant's Original Answer, Docket No. 6. NexPoint's defense, however, was based on its belief that the Debtor and its employees, including Waterhouse, did nothing to facilitate or ensure the payment, as opposed to a conscious decision not to make the payment. In other words, until NexPoint deposed Mr. Waterhouse, NexPoint believed that the Debtor simply forgot to facilitate the December 31, 2020 payment of the Note as it had done in the past and as NexPoint was relying on the Debtor to do again (using NexPoint's funds of course).

10. On October 19, 2021, the Debtor deposed Frank Waterhouse ("<u>Waterhouse</u>"), as did NexPoint, in connection with this Adversary Proceeding. Waterhouse was the Debtor's chief financial officer in December, 2020, and either the treasurer or chief financial officer (either way an officer) of NexPoint in December, 2020. APP 155 at 3–6; APP 463 at 3–8; APP 549 ¶ 25. To be clear, Waterhouse was the Debtor's employee, although he provided services to NexPoint as well pursuant to the Shared Services Agreement. Among other things, at this deposition, Waterhouse testified that, in early December, 2020, James Dondero ("Dondero"), who at that time controlled NexPoint but did not control the Debtor, instructed Waterhouse not to cause NexPoint

to pay any more funds to the Debtor, including, expressly on the Note. APP 526 at 4–APP 528 at 17.

11. This changed the potential facts as NexPoint understood them from a situation where the Debtor simply failed utterly to facilitate the payment, as it has always done, to one where the Debtor intentionally, allegedly upon the instructions of Dondero, decided not to facilitate the payment. Assuming the Dondero instruction to be true, this raises the question of whether the Debtor thereafter had any affirmative duty with respect to the alleged instruction.

C. <u>The Expert Witness Deadlines</u>

12. NexPoint did not know that Waterhouse would provide this testimony. APP 791 ¶ 12. NexPoint understood that Dondero instructed Waterhouse to make no further payments on the Shared Services Agreement, because Dondero believed that NexPoint had overpaid by millions of dollars on the Shared Services Agreement. But NexPoint did not understand that Waterhouse would testify that Dondero instructed him also not to pay the Note.

13. If Dondero told Waterhouse in early December 2020 not to pay on the Note, then the question becomes whether Waterhouse or the Debtor thereafter "put their heads in the sand" in violation of any affirmative duty or obligation they may have had regarding the matter, such as: to ask Dondero whether they correctly understood him; to ask Dondero whether he meant NexPoint and the Note; to inform Dondero of the potential consequences of a default by potentially accelerating a 30-year promissory note; or to try to dissuade him from his decision. After all, the Debtor was responsible to facilitate the payment, the Debtor had various duties under the Shared Services Agreement, and it was in the Debtor's interest that NexPoint would default, thus creating a conflict of interest. 14. At that time, NexPoint determined that it was appropriate, and would assist the finder of fact, to retain an expert on the "standard of care" provided for in the Shared Services Agreement. This is especially important because this will be a jury trial in the District Court. NexPoint did not believe that it would need to retain such an expert, and it had no reasonable grounds to suspect that it would need such an expert, prior to these depositions.

15. On September 6, 2021, the Bankruptcy Court entered a scheduling order that provided for a deadline of October 29, 2021 for the parties to designate experts and provide expert disclosures.

D. <u>THE MOTION AND THE ORDER</u>

16. On October 29, 2021, NexPoint filed its *Motion to Extend Expert Disclosure and Discovery Deadlines* (the "<u>Motion</u>"). APP 089. The Debtor objected, APP 534, and NexPoint filed a reply brief. APP 786.

17. The Bankruptcy Court held a hearing on the Motion on December 13, 2021. At the conclusion of the hearing, the Bankruptcy Court orally denied the Motion and stated its reasons for such denial on the record. APP 889–891.

18. The Bankruptcy Court entered the Order on December 21, 2021. APP 894.

E. <u>NEXPOINT'S RETENTION OF STEVE PULLY AND HIS EXPERT REPORT</u>

19. NexPoint filed its Motion as soon as it could after learning of Waterhouse's testimony, and it filed its Motion before the deadline to designate an expert expired. However, NexPoint's expert was not able to prepare his report before that deadline expired. Nevertheless, to demonstrate NexPoint's speed and that there would be no delays to this Adversary Proceeding, NexPoint filed on the docket of this Adversary Proceeding its designation of Steve Pully as its

expert, together with Mr. Pully's completed expert report, on December 10, 2021. APP 830. Thus, by the time of the hearing on the Motion, NexPoint's expert had already completed his report.

III. ARGUMENTS AND AUTHORITIES

A. <u>Reconsideration of Order as Order of Magistrate Judge</u>

20. The Bankruptcy Court is not a magistrate judge. The District Court's order on NexPoint's motion for the withdrawal of the reference provides that the Bankruptcy Court shall consider all pretrial matters, but does not state that the Bankruptcy Court shall do so as a magistrate subject to the rules applicable to magistrate judges. NexPoint therefore submits that the District Court may reconsider, modify, or reverse the Order and grant the Motion *de novo* as it could do with respect to any of its own pretrial orders under Rule 54(b), and NexPoint hereby seeks such review and reconsideration.

21. However, because the issue is uncertain, and because the Bankruptcy Court appears to understand that it is acting in the capacity of a magistrate judge, NexPoint also seeks the District Court's review of the Order under Rule 72(a). In this respect, the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure do not incorporate Rule 72, even though they incorporate most of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure into an adversary proceeding such as this one. If Rule 72(a) applies, then the standard for reconsidering the Order is that the Order is "clearly erroneous or is contrary to law." FED. R. CIV. P. 72(a).

22. Because the Order is not dispositive, the "clearly erroneous" standard applies. *See, e.g., In re Geert Duizenstraal*, 1997 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 16506 a *3-*4 (N.D. Tex. 1997). Under this standard, an order is clearly erroneous "when although there is evidence to support it, the reviewing court on the entire evidence is left with the definite and firm conviction that a mistake has been committed." *Guzman v. Hacienda Records & Recording Studio Inc.*, 808 F.3d 1031,

1036 (5th Cir. 2015). Accord Baylor Health Care Sys. v. Equitable Plan Servs., 955 F. Supp. 2 678, 689 (N.D. Tex. 2013).

B. <u>STANDARD APPLICABLE TO THE MOTION</u>

23. Rule 16(b) provides that a deadline in a scheduling order may be modified "for good cause," although there is some uncertainty as to whether this standard applies only after a deadline has passed (which is not the case here). *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(b)(4); *Marathon Fin. Ins. Inc. RRG v. Ford Motor Co.*, 591 F.3d 458, 470 (5th Cir. 2009) ("Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 16(b) governs amendment of pleadings after a scheduling order's deadline to amend has expired").

24. When the issue concerns an "untimely submission of expert reports," the Fifth Circuit has specified the following four factors as guiding the decision: "(1) the explanation for the failure to timely move for leave to amend; (2) the importance of the amendment; (3) potential prejudice in allowing the amendment; and (4) the availability of a continuance to cure such prejudice." *S&W Enters. v. Southtrust Bank of Ala.*, 315 F.3d 533, 536 (5th Cir. 2003). Again, this test applies to a deadline which has already expired. Logically, therefore, a lesser standard should apply when a party seeks relief prior to the expiration of a deadline, as NexPoint does here.

1. <u>Explanation for Failure to Timely Designate Expert</u>

25. The explanation for the requested extension of the expert deadlines was simply that the parties deposed Waterhouse on October 19, 2021, and it was not until that deposition that NexPoint knew or could reasonably have known that Waterhouse would testify that he was affirmatively instructed not to make the December 31, 2020 payment on the Note. APP 092 ¶ 12; APP 791 ¶ 11. This testimony directly contradicted Dondero's recollection that he made no such instruction. Since NexPoint relied on Dondero's recollection and had no reasonable grounds to believe that Waterhouse would testify differently, there was no reason for NexPoint to retain an expert prior to the October 19, 2021 deposition of Waterhouse. In this respect, discovery worked as it should have and NexPoint should not be penalized for the natural evolution of this lawsuit.

26. Prior to this deposition, NexPoint believed that the Debtor had utterly failed to discharge its duties under the Shared Services Agreement by not facilitating the payment on the Note. This did not call for an expert. But, once Waterhouse testified that Dondero instructed him not to make the payment, the issue fundamentally changed. This important distinction has been aptly explained by the Fifth Circuit in a case where the issue was whether a trustee breached his duties:

Finders of fact are supposed to reach their conclusions on the basis of common sense, common understanding and fair beliefs, grounded on evidence consisting of direct statements by witnesses or proof of circumstances from which inferences can fairly be drawn. Accordingly, we have explained that, as a general rule, expert testimony is not needed in many if not most cases. Moreover, although expert testimony may be necessary in a professional negligence case to establish the standard of care for the industry, an exception applies in instances of negligence that are a matter of common knowledge comprehensible to laymen.

Although Liberty Mutual contends that expert testimony was required in this case, Lamesa suggests that inasmuch as the Trustee failed to act in the face of obvious danger posed by Mrs. Schooler's ready access to the bankruptcy estate's assets, and in the face of repeated warnings and inquiries by a concerned creditor, a layperson could discern that the standard of care was not met in this case.

We agree with Lamesa that, under the facts of this case, expert testimony was not required to establish that the Trustee breached her duties. While the precise course of action the Trustee should have taken may be subject to reasonable debate, it requires no technical or expert knowledge to recognize that she affirmatively should have undertaken *some* form of action to acquire for the bankruptcy estate the assets to which it was entitled. As the bankruptcy court explained, by doing nothing, the Trustee ignored basic human nature.

In re Schooler, 725 F.3d 498, 514-15 (5th Cir. 2013) (internal citations and quotations omitted)

27. Thus, it was only after the Waterhouse deposition that NexPoint learned that, if the

jury believes Waterhouse, the issue of the Debtor's standard of care under the Shared Services

Agreement becomes much more complicated, thereby necessitating an expert on that standard of

care. Nor is the Debtor free of blame with respect to the timing of when NexPoint learned of

Waterhouse's testimony. On May 11, 2021, the Debtor served its amended responses to

NexPoint's discovery. APP 813. In those, the Debtor answered the following interrogatory:

INTERROGATORY NO. 2:

If the Debtor contends that it was not responsible for causing payments to be made under the Note on NexPoint's behalf pursuant to the Shared Services Agreement, explain the legal and factual basis for such contention. **RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 2:**

The Debtor objects to Interrogatory No. 2 on the ground that it seeks a legal conclusion or legal analysis. Subject to its objection, the Shared Services Agreement did not provide that the Debtor was responsible for causing payments to be made under the Note. The Debtor further states that after the Debtor sent NexPoint the Default Letters, NexPoint did not contend that the Debtor was required to make payments under the Note on NexPoint's behalf. The Debtor's personnel caused the January Payment to be processed upon instruction from NexPoint.

APP 819.

28. Even though NexPoint asked the Debtor to explain, factually, why the Debtor was

not responsible for causing payments to be made, rather than including in its answer that Dondero

gave Waterhouse the alleged instruction, the Debtor merely answered that the contract did not

impose this responsibility on the Debtor. Yet, the Debtor's answer to the following request for

production strongly suggests that the Debtor knew of the alleged instruction, which it did not

include it in the interrogatory answer:

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 1:

All Communications pursuant to which any director, officer, or employee of the Debtor was advised or instructed not to make the December Payment or to cause the December Payment to be made.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 1:

Subject to the General Objections, the Debtor is unaware of any documents responsive to Request for Production No. 1. <u>Any Communications responsive to Request for Production No. 1 were verbal</u>.

APP 822 (emphasis added).

29. The Debtor could and should have stated what these verbal communications were in May, 2021. Instead, NexPoint was forced to wait until Waterhouse's deposition to learn of the alleged verbal communication. Alternatively, the Debtor too did not know ahead of time how Mr. Waterhouse would answer, but then it can hardly accuse NexPoint of any delay.

2. <u>The Importance of the Expert</u>

30. This is a jury trial where the issues concern the Debtor's standard of care under the Shared Services Agreement. "Expert testimony is generally <u>required</u> to prove the applicable standard of care." *Quijano v. United States*, 325 F.3d 564, 567 (5th Cir. 2003) (emphasis added); *Streber v. Hunter*, 221 F.3d 701, 724 (5th Cir. 2000) ("Breach of the standard of care must generally be proven by expert testimony"). [E]xpert testimony is <u>necessary</u> to establish the standard of care ... Similarly, breach of a fiduciary duty or a conflict of interest <u>requires</u> proof of expert testimony." *Geiserman v. MacDonald*, 893 F.2d 787, 793-94 (5th Cir. 1990) (internal quotations removed) (emphasis added).

31. The Shared Services Agreement, in place during November and December, 2020 (when Dondero allegedly gave Waterhouse the instruction), provides as follows:

Section 6.01. <u>Standard of Care</u>. Except as otherwise expressly provided herein, each Covered Person shall discharge its duties under this Agreement with the care, skill, prudence and diligence under the circumstances then prevailing that a prudent person acting in a like capacity and familiar with such matters would use in the conduct of an enterprise of a like character and with like aims. To the extent not inconsistent with the foregoing, each Covered Person shall follow its customary standards, policies, and procedures in performing its duties hereunder.

APP 115.

32. "Covered Person" includes the "Staff and Services Provider," *i.e.* the Debtor, and its managers, directors, officers, and shareholders. APP 106. There can be no dispute that section 6.01 applied to the Debtor itself, and to Waterhouse.

33. The Shared Services Agreement identifies at least three services that the Debtor was required to provide that are directly on point:

(a) *Back- and Middle Office*. Assistance and advice with respect to back- and middle-office functions including, but not limited to . . . finance and accounting, payments, operation, book keeping, cash management . . . accounts payable . . .

(k) *Ancillary Services*. Assistance and advice on all things ancillary or incidental to the foregoing.

(1) *Other*. Assistance and advice relating to such other back- and middle-office services in connection with the day-to-day business of [NexPoint] as [NexPoint] and [the Debtor] may from time to time agree.

APP 107–109 (emphasis added).

34. The Shared Services Agreement also sets forth the standard of care that the Debtor

was to provide to NexPoint in discharging the Debtor's duties under the Shared Services

Agreement:

the care, skill, prudence and diligence under the circumstances then prevailing that a prudent person acting in a like capacity and familiar with such matters would use in the conduct of an enterprise of a like character and with like aims.

APP 115 § 6.01

35. Assistance and advice—again, *advice*—with respect to "payments" is expressly included. And, should there be any doubt, the Debtor's own Chief Financial Officer at the time confirmed that it was "reasonable for NexPoint to rely on the debtors' employees to inform NexPoint of an upcoming payment due on the \$30 million promissory note." APP 473 at 22–APP 474 at 8. The question therefore is, assuming that Dondero instructed Waterhouse not to

make the payment on the Note, whether Waterhouse thereafter doing nothing to clarify and ensure that he correctly understood Dondero, or to advise Dondero of the potential consequences of non-payment, or to seek to dissuade Dondero from his instruction, comported with "the care, skill, prudence and diligence under the circumstances then prevailing that a prudent person acting in a like capacity and familiar with such matters would use in the conduct of an enterprise of a like character and with like aims."

36. This is appropriate for an expert to review because a lay juror is not likely to resolve these questions from personal experience or common sense. Thus, extending the expert discovery deadline is important for NexPoint to fully present its defense and for the jury to be able to discharge its duties in resolving the defense.

3. <u>No Potential Prejudice to the Debtor</u>

37. Granting the Motion would not work any prejudice on the Debtor. NexPoint filed its expert report on December 10, 2021, and only a short additional time would be needed for the Debtor to depose that expert and potentially retain a rebuttal expert. Trial of this Adversary Proceeding is not set and, on December 17, 2021, the Debtor filed its motion for summary judgment, the hearing on which will not be until March 8, 2022. The Bankruptcy Court will then provide a report and recommendation on that motion, subject to *de novo* review by the District Court, which will likely take weeks if not months to resolve. Thus, granting the Motion would not have delayed the ultimate trial of this Adversary Proceeding at all.

38. With respect to the Debtor's argument that it will be prejudiced by potentially having to expend funds to retain a rebuttal expert, NexPoint's first response is that, if the Debtor prevails on its claims under the Note, it will seek to recover its fees and costs from NexPoint. Second, and perhaps more importantly, having to incur costs for an expert in a \$24 million lawsuit,

where the Debtor has always known of NexPoint's affirmative defense and very likely knew of Waterhouse's testimony before (as there were two other employees, David Klos and Kristin Hendrix, who are still employees of the Debtor, who testified at deposition that Waterhouse told them of Dondero's alleged instruction at approximately the same time the instruction was allegedly given), is not legally cognizable prejudice any more than it would be in any case:

any additional costs incurred from an extension would not be unreasonable. Here, Plaintiffs seek an extension so they can offer an expert witness for their products liability claims. Defendants have been aware of these claims since this case's inception. Because expert witnesses are crucial for Plaintiffs' prima facie case, Defendants have known they would need to prepare rebuttal evidence since this case began on October 14, 2019. These facts do not present an instance in which a party adds an additional claim, or introduces an eleventh-hour witness, to foist additional litigation costs without warning.

Adams v. Medtronics Inc., 2021 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 47246 at *12 (E.D. Tex. 2021).

4. Availability of Continuance to Avoid Prejudice

39. As this Adversary Proceeding is not set for trial, as it will not be set for trial until it is certified as trial ready by the Bankruptcy Court, and as it will not be certified as trial ready until after the Debtor's motion for summary judgment is adjudicated, there is no need for a continuance and this factor is not relevant to the ultimate issue. As discussed above, granting the Motion would not cause any delay in the ultimate adjudication of this Adversary Proceeding.

C. <u>THE BANKRUPTCY COURT CLEARLY ERRED IN ENTERING THE ORDER</u>

40. As noted, NexPoint submits that the District Court can and should review the Motion and Order under Rule 54(b) *de novo* by considering the underlying evidence, arguments, and opposition. This is especially the case because the District Court will try this Adversary Proceeding to a jury, and the District Court should control what evidence is presented to the jury.

41. If, however, the District Court applies Rule 72(a), then NexPoint submits that the District Court should reconsider the Order and grant the Motion because the Bankruptcy Court's denial of the Motion was clearly erroneous.

42. In this respect, the Bankruptcy Court concluded that, because NexPoint had always asserted its affirmative defense that the Debtor caused the alleged default:

I do not think the sudden statement of Frank Waterhouse suddenly is a gamechanger that creates some new need for an expert. So, therefore, looking at the factors, I don't think the explanation here to extend the deadlines has merit.

APP 890 at 19–23.

43. This was clearly erroneous. The only evidence submitted was that NexPoint did not learn of Waterhouse's testimony until he was deposed, and that NexPoint did not have a reasonable ability to learn of that testimony beforehand. No evidence to the contrary was presented. And, the Bankruptcy Court failed to take into account the Debtor's failure to fully answer NexPoint's interrogatory, which asked for any facts as to why the Debtor did not facilitate or make the payment on the Note.

44. The Bankruptcy Court also concluded that there was no importance to the amended schedule:

And I do not think an expert can testify about contractual duties and attempt to interpret its provisions. That is the job of the Court, and I think it is improper subject matter for an expert.

I don't buy into any notion that this is terribly unique territory or exotic. I mean, it was a contract. Shared services agreements are not all that unique, shall we say? It's not a device that is used solely in the investment advisor fund world. It's in the corporate world generally. Courts see these in all kinds of cases. So, again, I don't think contract interpretation needs an expert here or should have an expert here.

And just because experts are sometimes -- often, I should say -- appropriate in legal malpractice or medical malpractice or other kinds of tort cases where duties might be needing of elaboration, here, the contract spells out the duties, and I just don't think any of those cases argued are applicable.

APP 891 at 3–19.

45. Here, the Bankruptcy Court not only clearly erred; it erred as a matter of law. NexPoint's expert was not being asked to interpret the contract or tell the jury what the contract says. Rather, he was only being asked to opine on whether the Debtor's failure comported with the standard of care actually set forth in the contract:

the care, skill, prudence and diligence under the circumstances then prevailing that a prudent person acting in a like capacity and familiar with such matters would use in the conduct of an enterprise of a like character and with like aims.

APP 115 § 6.01.

46. Opining on whether the Debtor acted with the care, skill, prudence, and diligence under the circumstances as a prudent person in a like situation would have is a question of fact. It is not a legal interpretation of a contract. Again, the Fifth Circuit holds that "[e]xpert testimony is generally <u>required</u> to prove the applicable standard of care." *Quijano*, 325 F.3d at 567) (emphasis added); *Streber*, 221 F.3d at 724 ("Breach of the standard of care must generally be proven by expert testimony"). Clearly, therefore, as expert testimony on this issue is potentially required, it cannot invade the province of the Court to determine what the applicable standard of care is.

47. The Bankruptcy Court also found prejudice in denying the Motion:

Prejudice, I do think there is potential prejudice in allowing an extension of this deadline. It will be costly, add a layer of expense and delay to this litigation, when I don't think it would be admissible at trial ultimately.

APP 891 at 20–23.

48. This finding is likewise clearly erroneous and erroneous as a matter of law. The Bankruptcy Court based its finding of prejudice on its view that the expert testimony may not be admissible. As noted above, this is wrong: *Dabuert* issues aside, expert testimony on whether a standard of care has been complied with is absolutely admissible and may be required. The Bankruptcy Court's overall finding of prejudice cannot be separated from this wrong sub-finding.

And, on the issue of cost, as noted above the Debtor will have a claim for any increased fees and expenses if it prevails at trial and such increased fees and expenses—ordinary incidents of high-stakes litigation—are not by themselves legally cognizable prejudice any more than they are in any case.

IV. <u>CONCLUSION</u>

49. The District Court will conduct the jury trial in this case, and the District Court should control what evidence the jury will weigh. In the end, the trial here will be about the truth, the facts, and the equities. A scheduling order should not be used to prevent that result. Here, the delay is not the fault of NexPoint, since NexPoint did not know what Waterhouse would say until he was deposed. Any delay is the fault of the Debtor in not fully answering NexPoint's discovery. The expert is important because, if the jury believes Waterhouse, then NexPoint should have the ability to demonstrate that the Debtor nevertheless breached its duties to NexPoint in causing the alleged default on the Note; something on which expert testimony is certainly appropriate, if not required. And, there is no real prejudice to the Debtor, certainly not by way of any delay in the ultimate adjudication of this case. In the end, while schedules and scheduling orders are important, they should not be used to prejudice an otherwise viable issue or tactically benefit a litigant. NexPoint therefore prays that the District Court reverse the Order and grant the Motion.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this the 5th day of January, 2022.

MUNSCH HARDT KOPF & HARR, P.C.

By: <u>/s/ Davor Rukavina</u>

Davor Rukavina State Bar No. 24030781 Julian P. Vasek. State Bar No. 24070790 500 N. Akard Street, Suite 3800 Dallas, Texas 75202-2790 Telephone: (214) 855-7500 Facsimile: (214) 978-4375 Email: drukavina@munsch.com Email: jvasek@munsch.com

ATTORNEYS FOR NEXPOINT ADVISORS, L.P.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that, on January 5, 2022, a true and correct copy of the foregoing document, including any exhibit(s) thereto, was served on the following recipients via the Court's CM/ECF system:

Case Admin Sup <u>txnb_appeals@txnb.uscourts.gov</u> Bryan Christopher Assink <u>bryan.assink@bondsellis.com</u> Clay M Taylor <u>clay.taylor@bondsellis.com</u> Daniel P Elms <u>elmsd@gtlaw.com, guerrak@gtlaw.com</u> Davor Rukavina <u>drukavina@munsch.com</u> Deborah Rose Deitsch-Perez <u>deborah.deitsch-perez@stinson.com, kinga.mccoy@stinson.com, patricia.tomasky@stinson.com</u> Douglas Draper <u>ddraper@hellerdraper.com, dhepting@hellerdraper.com, gbrouphy@hellerdraper.com, vgamble@hellerdraper.com</u> Gregory V Demo <u>gdemo@pszjlaw.com, hwinograd@pszjlaw.com, jfried@pszjlaw.com, lsc@pszjlaw.com</u> Jeffrey N Pomerantz jpomerantz@pszjlaw.com

John A Morris jmorris@pszjlaw.com, hwinograd@pszjlaw.com, lsc@pszjlaw.com

Julian Preston Vasek jvasek@munsch.com

Leslie A Collins <u>lcollins@hellerdraper.com</u>, <u>dhepting@hellerdraper.com</u>

Michael P Aigen <u>michael.aigen@stinson.com</u>, <u>stephanie.gratt@stinson.com</u>

Stacey G Jernigan <u>sgj_settings@txnb.uscourts.gov</u>, <u>anna_saucier@txnb.uscourts.gov</u>

Zachery Z. Annable <u>zannable@haywardfirm.com</u>, <u>zannable@franklinhayward.com</u>

<u>/s/ Davor Rukavina</u> Davor Rukavina Davor Rukavina Julian P. Vasek MUNSCH HARDT KOPF & HARR, P.C. 500 N. Akard Street, Suite 3800 Dallas, Texas 75202-2790 (214) 855-7500 telephone (214) 978-4375 facsimile Email: drukavina@munsch.com

ATTORNEYS FOR NEXPOINT ADVISORS, L.P.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS DALLAS DIVISION

In re:	§	
	§	Chapter 11
HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P.,	§	
	§	Case No. 19-34054-sgj11
Debtor.	§	
	§	
HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P.,	§	
	§	
Plaintiff,	§	Adv. No. 21-03005-sgj
	§	
VS.	§	Civ. Act. No. 3:21-cv-00880-C
	§	
NEXPOINT ADVISORS, L.P., JAMES	§	
DONDERO, NANCY DONDERO, AND THE	§	
DUGABOY INVESTMENT TRUST,	§	
	§	
Defendants.	§	

APPENDIX IN SUPPORT OF OBJECTION OF NEXPOINT ADVISORS, L.P. TO ORDER DENYING MOTIONS TO EXTEND EXPERT DISCLOSURE AND DISCOVERY DEADLINES

Docket	Document	<u>App.</u>
<u>No.</u>	District Const Onlyn (all office Deplementary Const? a new set on 1	Page
CA 10	District Court Order (adopting Bankruptcy Court's report and	001
1.7.62	recommendation)	
AP 63	Amended Complaint for (I) Breach of Contract, (II) Turnover of Property,	003
	(III) Fraudulent Transfer, and (IV) Breach of Fiduciary Duty	
AP 64	Defendant NexPoint Advisors, L.P.'s Answer to Amended Complaint	076
AP 86	Motion of Defendant NexPoint Advisors, L.P. to Extend Expert Disclosure	089
	and Discovery Deadlines	
AP 104	Highland's Objection to Motion of Defendant NexPoint Advisors, L.P. to	534
	Extend Expert Disclosure and Discovery Deadlines	
AP 105	Highland's Memorandum of Law in Support of Objection to Motion of	538
	Defendant NexPoint Advisors, L.P. to Extend Expert Disclosure and	
	Discovery Deadlines	
AP 106	Declaration of John A. Morris in Support of Highland's Objection to Motion	561
	of Defendant NexPoint Advisors, L.P. to Extend Expert Disclosure and	
	Discovery Deadlines	
AP 109	Memorandum Opinion and Order Denying Arbitration Request and Related	774
	Relief	
AP 115	Reply of Defendant NexPoint Advisors, L.P. in Support of Motion to	786
	Extend Expert Disclosure and Discovery Deadlines	
AP 120	Notice of Expert Report of Steven J. Pully	830
AP 124	Transcript of Hearing Held on December 13, 2021	856
AP 138	Order Denying Motions to Extend Expert Disclosure and Discovery	894
	Deadlines	

APPENDIX

U.S. Bankruptcy Court, Adversary Proceeding No. 21-03005 ("<u>AP</u>") U.S. District Court, Civil Action No. 3:21-cv-0880-C ("<u>CA</u>")

Case 21-03005-sgj Doc 148-3 Filed 01/05/22 Entered 01/05/22 18:18:43 Page 3 of 899 Case 3:21-cv-00880-& Document 10 Filed 07/28/21 Page 1 of 2 PageID 463

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS DALLAS DIVISION

In re:)	
HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P.,)	Case No. 19-34054-SGJ-11
Debtor(s).))	
*	* *	
HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P.,)	
Plaintiff(s),))	
v.))))	A harrow Nr. 21 02005 SCI
)	Adversary No. 21-03005-SGJ
NEXPOINT ADVISORS, L.P.,)	
Defendant(s).))	Civil Action No. 3:21-CV-0880-C

<u>ORDER</u>

CAME BEFORE THIS COURT FOR CONSIDERATION the Report and

Recommendation, signed by the Honorable Stacey G. C. Jernigan, United States Bankruptcy Judge, therein recommending that the District Court: (1) grant Defendant's Motion to Withdraw the Reference at such time as the Bankruptcy Court certifies that litigation is trial-ready; and (2) defer to the Bankruptcy Court the handling of all pretrial matters.¹

After due consideration and having conducted a *de novo* review, the Court finds that Defendant's limited objections should be **OVERRULED**. Furthermore, after reviewing the thorough and well-reasoned Report and Recommendation, the Court is of the opinion that the

¹ On July 22, 2021, Defendant Nexpoint Advisors, L.P. filed limited objections to the Report and Recommendation.

Case 21-03005-sgj Doc 148-3 Filed 01/05/22 Entered 01/05/22 18:18:43 Page 4 of 899 Case 3:21-cv-00880-& Document 10 Filed 07/28/21 Page 2 of 2 PageID 462

Report and Recommendation entered by the Bankruptcy Court should be **ADOPTED** as the findings and conclusions of this Court.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Defendant's Motion to Withdraw Reference shall

be granted, but only at such time as the Bankruptcy Court certifies to this Court that the litigation is trial-ready.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Bankruptcy Court shall handle all pretrial

matters, including discovery and the filing of reports and recommendations on dispositive motions, which shall in turn be considered by the undersigned Senior United States District Judge.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this civil action be **STAYED** pending further Order of the Court.²

SO ORDERED.

Dated July <u>28</u>, 2021.

MMINGS SAM R C SÉNIOR UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

² The stay imposed in this civil action shall be lifted upon the filing of a subsequent report and recommendation or at such time as the Bankruptcy Court certifies to this Court that the litigation is trial-ready.

PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP Jeffrey N. Pomerantz (CA Bar No.143717) (admitted pro hac vice) Ira D. Kharasch (CA Bar No. 109084) (admitted pro hac vice) John A. Morris (NY Bar No. 2405397) (admitted pro hac vice) Gregory V. Demo (NY Bar No. 5371992) (admitted pro hac vice) Hayley R. Winograd (NY Bar No. 5612569) (admitted pro hac vice) 10100 Santa Monica Blvd., 13th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90067 Telephone: (310) 277-6910 Facsimile: (310) 201-0760

HAYWARD PLLC Melissa S. Hayward Texas Bar No. 24044908 MHayward@HaywardFirm.com Zachery Z. Annable Texas Bar No. 24053075 ZAnnable@HaywardFirm.com 10501 N. Central Expy, Ste. 106 Dallas, Texas 75231 Tel: (972) 755-7100 Fax: (972) 755-7110

Counsel for Highland Capital Management, L.P.

FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS DALLAS DIVISION				
In re:	§ §	Chapter 11		
HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P., ¹	§ §	Case No. 19-34054-sgj11		
Debtor.	§ §			
HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P.,	§ s			
Plaintiff,	8 §	Adversary Proceeding No.		
VS.	\$ \$ \$	21-03005		
NEXPOINT ADVISORS, L.P., JAMES	§			
DONDERO, NANCY DONDERO, AND THE	§			
DUGABOY INVESTMENT TRUST,	§			
Defendants.				

IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT

¹ The Debtor's last four digits of its taxpayer identification number are (6725). The headquarters and service address for the above-captioned Debtor is 300 Crescent Court, Suite 700, Dallas, TX 75201.

AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR (I) BREACH OF CONTRACT, (II) TURNOVER OF PROPERTY, (III) FRAUDULENT TRANSFER, AND (IV) BREACH OF FIDUCIARY DUTY

Plaintiff, Highland Capital Management, L.P., the above-captioned debtor and debtor-in-possession (the "<u>Debtor</u>") in the above captioned chapter 11 case (the "<u>Bankruptcy</u> <u>Case</u>"), and the plaintiff (the "<u>Plaintiff</u>") in the above-captioned adversary proceeding (the "<u>Adversary Proceeding</u>") by its undersigned counsel, as and for its amended complaint (the "<u>Complaint</u>") against defendants NexPoint Advisors, L.P. ("<u>NPA</u>"), James Dondero ("<u>Mr. Dondero</u>"), Nancy Dondero ("<u>Ms. Dondero</u>"), and The Dugaboy Investment Trust ("<u>Dugaboy</u>" and together with NPA, Mr. Dondero, and Ms. Dondero, the "<u>Defendants</u>"), alleges upon knowledge of its own actions and upon information and belief as to other matters as follows:

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

1. The Debtor brings this action against Defendants in connection with NPA's default under a promissory note executed by NPA in favor of the Debtor in the original principal amount of \$30,746,812.33, and payable in annual installments. NPA has failed to pay amounts when due under the Note (as defined below), the Note is in default, and the amounts due under the Note have been accelerated pursuant to the terms of the Note.

2. In paragraph 42 of NPA's *First Amended Answer* [Docket No. 34-3], NPA contends that the Debtor orally agreed to relieve it of the obligations under the notes upon fulfillment of "conditions subsequent" (the "<u>Alleged Agreement</u>"). NPA further contends that the Alleged Agreement was entered into between James Dondero, acting on behalf of NPA, and his sister, Nancy Dondero, as representative of a majority of the Class A shareholders of the Plaintiff, including Dugaboy (the "<u>Representative</u>"), acting on behalf of the Debtor. At the time Mr.

Dondero entered into the Alleged Agreement on behalf of NPA, he controlled both NPA and the Debtor and was the lifetime beneficiary of Dugaboy.

3. Based on its books and records, discovery to date, and other facts, the Debtor believes that the Alleged Agreement is a fiction created after the commencement of this Adversary Proceeding for the purpose of avoiding or at least delaying paying the obligations due under the Note.

4. Nevertheless, the Debtor amends its Complaint to add certain claims and name additional parties who would be liable to the Debtor if the Alleged Agreement were determined to exist and be enforceable. Specifically, in addition to pursuing claims against NPA for breach of its obligations under the Note and for turnover, the Debtor adds alternative claims (a) against NPA for actual fraudulent transfer and aiding and abetting Dugaboy in its breach of fiduciary duty, (b) against Dugaboy for declaratory relief and for breach of fiduciary duty, and (c) against Nancy Dondero for aiding and abetting Dugaboy in the breach of his fiduciary duties.

5. As remedies, the Debtor seeks (a) damages from NPA in an amount equal to (i) the outstanding principal due under the Note (as defined below), plus (ii) all accrued and unpaid interest thereon until the date of payment, plus (iii) an amount equal to the Debtor's costs of collection (including all court costs and reasonable attorneys' fees and expenses, as provided for in the Note), for NPA's breach of its obligations under the Note, (b) turnover by NPA to the Debtor of the foregoing amounts; (c) avoidance of the Alleged Agreement and the transfers thereunder and recovery of the funds transferred from the Plaintiff to, or for the benefit of, NPA pursuant to the Note; (d) declaratory relief, and (e) damages arising from the Defendants' breach of fiduciary duties or aiding and abetting thereof.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

6. This adversary proceeding arises in and relates to the Debtor's case pending before the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Northern District of Texas, Dallas Division (the "<u>Court</u>") under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code.

7. The Court has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334.

8. This matter is a core proceeding within the meaning of 28 U.S.C. § 157(b), and, pursuant to Rule 7008 of the Bankruptcy Rules, the Debtor consents to the entry of a final order by the Court in the event that it is later determined that the Court, absent consent of the parties, cannot enter final orders or judgments consistent with Article III of the United States Constitution.

9. Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409.

THE PARTIES

10. The Debtor is a limited liability partnership formed under the laws of Delaware with a business address at 300 Crescent Court, Suite 700, Dallas, Texas 75201.

11. Upon information and belief, NPA is a limited partnership with offices located in Dallas, Texas, and organized under the laws of the state of Delaware.

12. Upon information and belief, Mr. Dondero is an individual residing in Dallas, Texas. He is the co-founder of the Debtor and was the Debtor's President and Chief Executive Officer until his resignation on January 9, 2020. At all relevant times, Mr. Dondero controlled NPA; Mr. Dondero also controlled the Debtor until January 9, 2020.

13. Upon information and belief, Dugaboy is (a) a limited partner of the Debtor,and (b) one of Mr. Dondero's family investment trusts for which is he a lifetime beneficiary.

14. Upon information and belief, Nancy Dondero is an individual residing in the state of Florida and who is Mr. Dondero's sister, and a trustee of Dugaboy.

CASE BACKGROUND

15. On October 16, 2019, the Debtor filed a voluntary petition for relief under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware (the "<u>Delaware Court</u>"), Case No. 19-12239 (CSS) (the "<u>Highland Bankruptcy Case</u>").

16. On October 29, 2019, the U.S. Trustee in the Delaware Court appointed an Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors (the "<u>Committee</u>") with the following members: (a) Redeemer Committee of Highland Crusader Fund ("<u>Redeemer</u>"), (b) Meta-e Discovery, (c) UBS Securities LLC and UBS AG London Branch, and (d) Acis Capital Management, L.P. and Acis Capital Management GP LLC (collectively, "<u>Acis</u>").

17. On June 25, 2021, the U.S. Trustee in this Court filed that certain *Notice of Amended Unsecured Creditors' Committee* [Docket No. 2485] notifying the Court that Acis and Redeemer had resigned from the Committee.

18. On December 4, 2019, the Delaware Court entered an order transferring venue of the Highland Bankruptcy Case to this Court [Docket No. 186].²

19. The Debtor has continued in the possession of its property and has continued to operate and manage its business as a debtor-in-possession pursuant to sections 1107(a) and 1108 of the Bankruptcy Code. No trustee or examiner has been appointed in this chapter 11 case.

² All docket numbers refer to the main docket for the Debtor's Case maintained by this Court.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

A. <u>The NPA Note</u>

- 20. NPA is the maker under a promissory note in favor of the Debtor.
- 21. Specifically, on May 31, 2017, NPA executed a promissory note in favor

of the Debtor, as payee, in the original principal amount of \$30,746, 812.33 (the "Note"). A true

and correct copy of the Note is attached hereto as **Exhibit 1**.

22. Section 2 of the Note provides: "Payment of Principal and Interest.

Principal and interest under this Note shall be due and payable as follows:

2.1 <u>Annual Payment Dates</u>. During the term of this Note, Borrower shall pay the outstanding principal amount of the Note (and all unpaid accrued interest through the date of each such payment) in thirty (30) equal annual payments (the "<u>Annual Installment</u>") until the Note is paid in full. Borrower shall pay the Annual Installment on the 31st day of December of each calendar year during the term of this Note, commencing on the first such date to occur after the date of execution of this note.

2.2 <u>Final Payment Date</u>. The final payment in the aggregate amount of the then outstanding and unpaid Note, together with all accrued and unpaid interest thereon, shall become immediately due and payable in full on December 31, 2047 (the "<u>Maturity Date</u>").

23. Section 3 of the Note provides:

<u>**Prepayment Allowed: Renegotiation Discretionary**</u>. Maker may prepay in whole or in part the unpaid principal or accrued interest of this Note. Any payments on this Note shall be applied first to unpaid accrued interest hereon, and then to unpaid principal hereof.

24. Section 4 of the Note provides:

<u>Acceleration Upon Default</u>. Failure to pay this Note or any installment hereunder as it becomes due shall, at the election of the holder hereof, without notice, demand, presentment, notice of intent to accelerate, notice of acceleration, or any other notice of any kind which are hereby waived, mature the principal of this Note and all interest then accrued, if any, and the same shall at once become due and payable and subject to those remedies of the holder hereof. No failure or delay on the part of the Payee in exercising any right, power, or privilege hereunder shall operate as a waiver hereof. 25. Section 6 of the Note provides:

<u>Attorneys' Fees</u>. If this Note is not paid at maturity (whether by acceleration or otherwise) and is placed in the hands of an attorney for collection, or if it is collected through a bankruptcy court or any other court after maturity, the Maker shall pay, in addition to all other amounts owing hereunder, all actual expenses of collection, all court costs and reasonable attorneys' fees and expenses incurred by the holder hereof.

B. <u>NPA's Default Under the Note</u>

26. NPA failed to make the payment due under the Note on December 31,

2020 in the amount of \$1,406,111.92.

27. By letter dated January 7, 2021, the Debtor made demand on NPA for

immediate payment under the Note (the "Demand Letter"). A true and correct copy of the Demand

Letter is attached hereto as **Exhibit 2**. The Demand Letter provides:

Because of Maker's failure to pay, the Note is in default. Pursuant to Section 4 of the Note, all principal, interest, and any other amounts due on the Note are immediately due and payable. The amount due and payable on the Note as of January 8, 2021 is \$24,471,804.98; however, interest continues to accrue under the Note.

The Note is in default, and payment is due *immediately*.

Demand Letter (emphasis in the original).

28. On January 14, 2021, in an apparent attempt to cure its default, NPA paid

the Debtor the \$1,406,111.92 that was due on December 31, 2020 (the "Partial Payment").

29. The Note does not contain a cure provision. Therefore, the Partial Payment

did not cure NPA's default. Accordingly, on January 15, 2021, the Debtor sent NPA a follow-up

letter to its Demand Letter (the "Second Demand Letter"), a true and correct copy of which is

attached hereto as **Exhibit 3**, stating:

[T]he Partial Payment will be applied as payment against the amounts due under the Note in accordance with Section 3 thereof. The Note remains in default, and all amounts due thereunder are due <u>immediately</u>. After adjusting for the Partial Payment and the continued accrual of interest, the amount due under the Note as of January 15, 2021, is \$23,071,195.03 (which amount does not include expenses incurred to date in collecting the Note).

Second Demand Letter (emphasis in original).

30. Despite the Debtor's demands, NPA did not pay the amount demanded by the Debtor on January 7, 2021, or at any time thereafter.

31. As of January 15, 2021, the total outstanding principal and accrued but unpaid interest due under the Note was \$23,071,195.03

32. Pursuant to Section 4 of the Note, the Note is in default, and is currently due and payable.

C. <u>The Debtor Files the Original Complaint</u>

33. On January 22, 2021, the Debtor filed the *Complaint for (I) Breach of*

Contract and (II) Turnover of Property of the Debtor's Estate [Docket No. 1] (the "<u>Original</u> <u>Complaint</u>"). In the Original Complaint, the Debtor brought claims for (i) breach of contract for NPA's breach of its obligations under the Note and (ii) turnover by NPA for the outstanding amounts under the Note, plus all accrued and unpaid interest until the date of payment plus the Debtor's costs of collection and reasonable attorney's fees.

D. <u>NPA's Affirmative Defenses</u>

34. On March 1, 2021, NPA filed *Defendant's Original Answer* [Docket No. 6] (the "<u>Original Answer</u>"). In its Original Answer, NPA asserted three affirmative defenses: (i) the claims are barred because the Plaintiff caused NPA to default, (ii) the claims are barred because the Plaintiff caused NPA to default, and (iii) waiver and estoppel. *See id.* ¶¶39-41.

35. On June 9, 2021, NPA filed *Defendant's First Amended Answer* [Docket No. 35-3] (the "<u>Amended Answer</u>"), that asserted a new affirmative defense; namely, that the

Debtor previously agreed that it would not collect on the Notes "upon fulfillment of conditions subsequent" (*i.e.*, the Alleged Agreement) *id.* ¶42.

36. According to NPA, the Alleged Agreement was orally entered into "sometime between December of the year each note was made and February of the following year."

37. According to NPA, Mr. Dondero, acting on its behalf, entered into the Alleged Agreement with his sister, Nancy Dondero, acting as the Representative.

38. Mr. Dondero controlled both NPA and the Debtor at the time he entered into the Alleged Agreement on behalf of NPA.

39. Upon information and belief, the Debtor's books and records do not reflect the Alleged Agreement.

E. Dugaboy Lacked Authority to Act on Behalf of the Debtor

40. Under section 4.2 of the Fourth Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of Highland Capital Management, L.P. (the "Limited Partnership <u>Agreement</u>"), and attached hereto as <u>Exhibit 4</u>, Dugaboy was not authorized to enter into the Alleged Agreement on behalf of the Partnership, or otherwise bind the Partnership (as "Partnership" is defined in the Limited Partnership Agreement).

41. Section 4.2(b) of the Limited Partnership Agreement states:

<u>Management of Business</u>. No Limited Partner shall take part in the control (within the meaning of the Delaware Act) of the Partnership's business, transact any business in the Partnership's name, or have the power to sign documents for or otherwise bind the Partnership other than as specifically set forth in this Agreement.

<u>Exhibit 4</u>, § 4.2(b).

42. No provision in the Limited Partnership Agreement authorizes any of the Partnership's limited partners to bind the Partnership.

43. Nancy Dondero also lacked authority to enter into the Alleged Agreement or to otherwise bind the Debtor

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF (Against NPA)

(For Breach of Contract)

44. The Debtor repeats and re-alleges the allegations in each of the foregoing paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.

45. The Note is a binding and enforceable contract.

46. NPA breached the Note by failing to pay all amounts due to the Debtor upon NPA's default and acceleration.

47. Pursuant to the Note, the Debtor is entitled to damages from NPA in an amount equal to (i) the aggregate outstanding principal due under the Note, plus (ii) all accrued and unpaid interest thereon until the date of payment, plus (iii) an amount equal to the Debtor's costs of collection (including all court costs and reasonable attorneys' fees and expenses), for NPA's breach of its obligations under the Note.

48. As a direct and proximate cause of NPA's breach of the Note, the Debtor has suffered damages in the amount of at least \$23,071,195.03, as of January 15, 2021, plus an amount equal to all accrued buy unpaid interest from that date, plus the Debtor's cost of collection.

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF (Against NPA) (Turnover by NPA Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 542(b))

49. The Debtor repeats and re-alleges the allegations in each of the foregoing paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.

50. NPA owes the Debtor an amount equal to (i) the aggregate outstanding principal due under the Note, plus (ii) all accrued and unpaid interest thereon until the date of

payment, plus (iii) an amount equal to the Debtor's costs of collection (including all court costs and reasonable attorneys' fees and expenses), for NPA's breach of its obligations under the Note.

51. The Note is property of the Debtor's estate that is matured and payable upon default and acceleration.

52. NPA has not paid the amount due under the Note to the Debtor.

53. The Debtor has made demand for the turnover of the amount due under the

Note.

54. As of the date of filing of this Complaint, NPA has not turned over the amount due under the Note.

55. The Debtor is entitled to the amount due under the Note.

THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Against NPA)

(Avoidance and Recovery of Actual Fraudulent Transfer Under 11 U.S.C. §§ 548(a)(1)(A) and 550)

56. The Debtor repeats and re-alleges the allegations in each of the foregoing paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.

57. The Debtor made the transfer pursuant to the Alleged Agreement within

two years of the Petition Date.

58. Mr. Dondero entered into the Alleged Agreement on behalf of NPA with

actual intent to hinder, delay, or defraud a present or future creditor, demonstrated by, *inter alia*:

- (a) The transfer was made to, or for the benefit of, NPA, an insider of the Debtor.
- (b) Mr. Dondero entered into the Alleged Agreement on behalf of NPA with his sister, Nancy Dondero.
- (c) Mr. Dondero did not inform the Debtor's CFO or outside auditors about the Alleged Agreement.

- (d) The Debtor's books and record do not reflect the Alleged Agreement.
- (e) The Alleged Agreement was not subject to negotiation.
- (f) The value of the consideration received by the Debtor for the transfer was not reasonably equivalent in value.
- 59. The pattern of conduct, series of transactions, and general chronology of

events under inquiry in connection with the debt NPA incurred under the Note demonstrates a scheme of fraud.

60. Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 550, the Debtor is entitled to recover for the benefit

of the Debtor's estates the transfer made pursuant to the Alleged Agreement from NPA.

61. Accordingly, the Debtor is entitled to a judgement: (i) avoiding the Alleged Agreement and the transfer made thereunder, and (ii) recovering from NPA an amount equal to all obligations remaining under the Note.

<u>FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF</u> (Against NPA) (Avoidance and Recovery of Actual Fraudulent Transfer Under 11 U.S.C. §§ 544(b) and 550, and Tex. Bus. & C. Code § 24.005(a)(1))

62. The Debtor repeats and re-alleges the allegations in each of the foregoing

paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.

63. The Debtor made the transfers pursuant to the Alleged Agreement after, or

within a reasonable time before, creditors' claims arose.

64. Mr. Dondero entered into the Alleged Agreement on behalf of NPA with

actual intent to hinder, delay, or defraud a present or future creditor of the Debtor, demonstrated

by, *inter alia*:

(g) The transfer was made to, or for the benefit of, NPA, an insider of the Debtor.

- (h) Mr. Dondero entered into the Alleged Agreement on behalf of NPA with his sister, Nancy Dondero.
- (i) Mr. Dondero did not inform the Debtor's CFO or outside auditor's about the Alleged Agreement.
- (j) Upon information and belief, the Debtor's books and record do not reflect the Alleged Agreement.
- (k) The Alleged Agreement was not subject to negotiation.
- The value of the consideration received by the Debtor for the transfer was not reasonably equivalent in value.
- 65. Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 550, the Debtor is entitled to recover for the benefit

of the Debtor's estates the transfers made in exchange for the Alleged Agreement from NPA.

66. Accordingly, the Debtor is entitled to a judgement: (i) avoiding the Alleged

Agreement and the transfer made thereunder, and (ii) recovering from NPA an amount equal to all obligations remaining under the Notes.

FIFTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF (Against Dugaboy and Ms. Dondero) (For Declaratory Relief: -- 11 U.S.C. § 105(a) and Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7001)

67. The Debtor repeats and re-alleges the allegations in each of the foregoing paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.

68. A bona fide, actual, present dispute exists between the Debtor, on the one hand, and Dugaboy and Ms. Dondero on the other hand, concerning whether Dugaboy and/or Ms. Dondero, acting as the Representative, were authorized to enter into the Alleged Agreement on the Debtor's behalf. 69. A judgment declaring the parties' respective rights and obligations will resolve their dispute.

70. Pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 7001, the Debtor specifically seeks declarations that:

- (a) limited partners, including but not limited to Dugaboy, have no right or authority to take part in the control (within the meaning of the Delaware Act) of the Partnership's business, transact any business in the Partnership's name, or have the power to sign documents for or otherwise bind the Partnership other than as specifically provided in the Limited Partnership Agreement,
- (b) neither Dugaboy nor Ms. Dondero (whether individually or as Representative) was authorized under the Limited Partnership Agreement to enter into the Alleged Agreement on behalf of the Partnership,
- (c) neither Dugaboy nor Ms. Dondero (whether individually or as Representative) otherwise had any right or authority to enter into the Alleged Agreement on behalf of the Partnership, and
- (d) the Alleged Agreement is null and void.

SIXTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF (Against Dugaboy and Ms. Dondero) (Breach of Fiduciary Duty)

71. The Debtor repeats and re-alleges the allegations in each of the foregoing paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.

72. If Dugaboy, as a limited partner, or Ms. Dondero, as Representative, had the authority to enter into the Alleged Agreement on behalf of the Debtor, then Dugaboy and/or Ms. Dondero would owe the Debtor a fiduciary duty.

73. If Dugaboy or Ms. Dondero (as Representative) had the authority to enter into the Alleged Agreement on behalf of the Debtor, then Dugaboy and/or Ms. Dondero breached their fiduciary duty of care to the Debtor by entering into and authorizing the purported Alleged Agreement on behalf of the Debtor.

74. Accordingly, the Debtor is entitled to recover from Dugaboy and Ms. Dondero (a) actual damages that the Debtor suffered as a result of their breach of fiduciary duty, and (b) for punitive and exemplary damages.

SEVENTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF (Against James Dondero and Nancy Dondero) (Aiding and Abetting a Breach of Fiduciary Duty)

75. The Debtor repeats and re-alleges the allegations in each of the foregoing paragraphs as though fully set forth herein.

76. James Dondero and Nancy Dondero (together, the "<u>Donderos</u>") were aware that Dugaboy would have fiduciary duties to the Debtor if it acted to bind the Debtor.

77. The Donderos aided and abetted Dugaboy's breach of its fiduciary duties to the Debtor by knowingly participating in the authorization of the purported Alleged Agreement.

78. The Donderos aided and abetted Dugaboy's breach of its fiduciary duty to

the Debtor by knowingly participating in the authorization of the purported Alleged Agreement.

79. Accordingly, the Donderos are jointly and severally liable (a) for the actual damages that the Debtor suffered as a result of aiding and abetting Dondero's breaches of fiduciary duties, and (b) for punitive and exemplary damages

WHEREFORE, the Debtor prays for judgment as follows:

(i) On its First Claim for Relief, damages in an amount to be determined at trial but includes (a) the outstanding principal due under the Note, plus (b) all accrued and unpaid interest thereon until the date of payment, plus (c) an amount equal to

the Debtor's costs of collection (including all court costs and reasonable attorneys' fees and expenses);

(ii) On its Second Claim for Relief, ordering turnover by NPA to the Debtor of an amount equal to (a) the outstanding principal due under the Note, plus (b) all accrued and unpaid interest thereon until the date of payment, plus (c) an amount equal to the Debtor's costs of collection (including all court costs and reasonable attorneys' fees and expenses);

(iii) On its Third Claim for Relief, avoidance of the Alleged Agreement and the transfers thereunder pursuant to the Alleged Agreement arising from actual fraudulent transfer under section 548 of the Bankruptcy Code;

(iv) On its Fourth Claim for Relief, avoidance of the Alleged Agreement and the transfers thereunder pursuant to the Alleged Agreement of funds arising from actual fraudulent transfer under Tex. Bus. & C. Code § 24.005(a)(1);

(v) On its Fifth Claim for Relief, a declaration that: (a) limited partners, including but not limited to Dugaboy, have no right or authority to take part in the control (within the meaning of the Delaware Act) of the Partnership's business, transact any business in the Partnership's name, or have the power to sign documents for or otherwise bind the Partnership other than as specifically provided in the Limited Partnership Agreement, (b) neither Dugaboy nor Ms. Dondero (whether individually or as Representative) was authorized under the Limited Partnership Agreement to enter into the Alleged Agreement on behalf of the Partnership, (c) neither Dugaboy nor Ms. Dondero (whether individually or as Representative) otherwise had any right or authority to enter into the Alleged

Agreement on behalf of the Partnership, and (d) the Alleged Agreement is null and void;

(vi) On its Sixth Claim for Relief, actual damages from Dugaboy and Ms.Dondero, in an amount to be determined at trial, that Debtor suffered as a result of their breach of fiduciary duty, and for punitive and exemplary damages;

(vii) On its Seventh Claim for Relief, actual damages from the Donderos, jointly and severally, in an amount to be determined at trial, that Debtor suffered as a result of aiding and abetting Dugaboy's breaches of fiduciary duty, and for punitive and exemplary damages and

(iii) Such other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper.

Dated: As of July 13, 2021

PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP Jeffrey N. Pomerantz (CA Bar No.143717) Ira D. Kharasch (CA Bar No. 109084) John A. Morris (NY Bar No. 2405397) Gregory V. Demo (NY Bar No. 5371992) Hayley R. Winograd (NY Bar No. 5612569) 10100 Santa Monica Blvd., 13th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90067 Telephone: (310) 277-6910 Facsimile: (310) 201-0760 E-mail: jpomerantz@pszjlaw.com ikharasch@pszjlaw.com jmorris@pszjlaw.com gdemo@pszjlaw.com hwinograd@pszjlaw.com

-and-

/s/ Zachery Z. Annable

HAYWARD PLLC Melissa S. Hayward Texas Bar No. 24044908 MHayward@HaywardFirm.com Zachery Z. Annable Texas Bar No. 24053075 ZAnnable@HaywardFirm.com 10501 N. Central Expy, Ste. 106 Dallas, Texas 75231 Tel: (972) 755-7110 Fax: (972) 755-7110

Counsel for Highland Capital Management, L.P.

EXHIBIT 1

PROMISSORY NOTE

\$30,746,812.33

May 31, 2017

THIS PROMISSORY NOTE (this "<u>Note</u>") is in substitution for and supersedes in their entirety each of those certain promissory notes described in <u>Exhibit A</u> hereto, from NexPoint Advisors, L.P., as Maker, and Highland Capital Management, L.P. as Payee (collectively, the "<u>Prior Notes</u>"), together with the aggregate outstanding principal and accrued and unpaid interested represented thereby.

FOR VALUE RECEIVED, NEXPOINT ADVISORS, L.P. ("<u>Maker</u>") promises to pay to the order of HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P. ("<u>Payee</u>"), in legal and lawful tender of the United States of America, the principal sum of THIRTY MILLION, SEVEN HUNDRED FORTY SIX THOUSAND, EIGHT HUNDRED TWELVE AND 33/100 DOLLARS (\$30,746,812.33), together with interest, on the terms set forth below. All sums hereunder are payable to Payee at 300 Crescent Court, Suite 700, Dallas, Texas 75201, or such other address as Payee may specify to Maker in writing from time to time.

1. <u>Interest Rate</u>. The unpaid principal balance of this Note from time to time outstanding shall bear interest at the rate of six percent (6.00%) per annum from the date hereof until Maturity Date (hereinafter defined), compounded annually on the anniversary of the date of this Note. Interest shall be calculated at a daily rate equal to 1/365th (1/366 in a leap year) of the rate per annum, shall be charged and collected on the actual number of days elapsed, and shall be payable annually.

2. <u>Payment of Principal and Interest</u>. Principal and interest under this Note shall be payable as follows:

2.1 <u>Annual Payment Dates</u>. During the term of this Note, Borrower shall pay the outstanding principal amount of the Note (and all unpaid accrued interest through the date of each such payment) in thirty (30) equal annual payments (the "<u>Annual Installment</u>") until the Note is paid in full. Borrower shall pay the Annual Installment on the 31st day of December of each calendar year during the term of this Note, commencing on the first such date to occur after the date of execution of this Note.

2.2 <u>Final Payment Date</u>. The final payment in the aggregate amount of the then outstanding and unpaid Note, together with all accrued and unpaid interest thereon, shall become immediately due and payable in full on December 31, 2047 (the "<u>Maturity Date</u>").

3. <u>Prepayment Allowed; Renegotiation Discretionary</u>. Maker may prepay in whole or in part the unpaid principal or accrued interest of this Note. Any payments on this Note shall be applied first to unpaid accrued interest hereon, and then to unpaid principal hereof.

4. <u>Acceleration Upon Default</u>. Failure to pay this Note or any installment hereunder as it becomes due shall, at the election of the holder hereof, without notice, demand, presentment, notice of intent to accelerate, notice of acceleration, or any other notice of any kind which are hereby waived, mature the principal of this Note and all interest then accrued, if any, and the same shall at once become due and payable and subject to those remedies of the holder hereof. No failure or delay on the part of Payee in exercising any right, power or privilege hereunder shall operate as a waiver thereof.

5. <u>Waiver</u>. Maker hereby waives grace, demand, presentment for payment, notice of nonpayment, protest, notice of protest, notice of intent to accelerate, notice of acceleration and all other notices of any kind hereunder.

6. <u>Attorneys' Fees</u>. If this Note is not paid at maturity (whether by acceleration or otherwise) and is placed in the hands of an attorney for collection, or if it is collected through a bankruptcy court or any other court after maturity, the Maker shall pay, in addition to all other amounts owing hereunder, all actual expenses of collection, all court costs and reasonable attorneys' fees and expenses incurred by the holder hereof.

7. <u>Limitation on Agreements</u>. All agreements between Maker and Payee, whether now existing or hereafter arising, are hereby limited so that in no event shall the amount paid, or agreed to be paid to Payee for the use, forbearance, or detention of money or for the payment or performance of any covenant or obligation contained herein or in any other document evidencing, securing or pertaining to this Note, exceed the maximum interest rate allowed by law. The terms and provisions of this paragraph shall control and supersede every other provision of all agreements between Payee and Maker in conflict herewith.

8. <u>Governing Law</u>. This Note and the rights and obligations of the parties hereunder shall be governed by the laws of the United States of America and by the laws of the State of Texas, and is performable in Dallas County, Texas.

9. <u>Prior Notes</u>. The original of each of the Prior Notes superseded hereby shall be marked "VOID" by Payee.

MAKER:

NEXPOINT ADVISORS, L.P. By: NexPoint Advisor GP, LLC, its general partner

By:

Name: Title:

EXHIBIT A

PRIOR NOTES

Loan Date	Initial Note Amount	Interest Rate Outsta		
8/21/14	\$4,000,000	6.00%	\$4,616,739.73	
10/1/14	\$6,000,000	6.00%	\$6,959,671.23	
11/14/14	\$2,500,000	6.00%	\$2,881,780.82	
1/29/15	\$3,100,000	6.00%	\$3,534,679.45	
7/22/15	\$12,075,000	6.00%	\$12,753,941.10	
	\$27,675,000		\$30,746,812.33	

EXHIBIT 2

HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P.

January 7, 2021

NexPoint Advisors, L.P. 300 Crescent Court, Suite 700 Dallas, Texas 75201 Attention: James Dondero

Re: Demand on Promissory Note

Dear Mr. Dondero,

On May 31, 2017, NexPoint Advisors, L.P, entered into that certain promissory note in the original principal amount of \$30,746,812.33 (the "<u>Note</u>") in favor of Highland Capital Management, L.P. ("<u>Payee</u>").

As set forth in Section 2 of the Note, accrued interest and principal on the Note is due and payable in thirty equal annual payments with each payment due on December 31 of each calendar year. Maker failed to make the payment due on December 31, 2020.

Because of Maker's failure to pay, the Note is in default. Pursuant to Section 4 of the Note, all principal, interest, and any other amounts due on the Note are immediately due and payable. The amount due and payable on the Note as of January 8, 2021 is \$24,471,804.98; however, interest continues to accrue under the Note.

The Note is in default, and payment is due <u>immediately</u>. Payments on the Note must be made in immediately available funds. Payee's wire information is attached hereto as **Appendix A**.

Nothing contained herein constitutes a waiver of any rights or remedies of Payee under the Note or otherwise and all such rights and remedies, whether at law, equity, contract, or otherwise, are expressly reserved. Interest, including default interest if applicable, on the Note will continue to accrue until the Note is paid in full. Any such interest will remain the obligation of Maker.

Sincerely,

/s/ James P. Seery, Jr.

James P. Seery, Jr. Highland Capital Management, L.P. Chief Executive Officer/Chief Restructuring Officer cc: Fred Caruso James Romey Jeffrey Pomerantz Ira Kharasch Gregory Demo DC Sauter

Appendix A

ABA #:322070381Bank Name:East West BankAccount Name:Highland Capital Management, LPAccount #:5500014686

EXHIBIT 3

HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P.

January 15, 2021

NexPoint Advisors, L.P. 300 Crescent Court, Suite 700 Dallas, Texas 75201 Attention: James Dondero

Re: Partial Payment on Promissory Note

Dear Mr. Dondero,

On May 31, 2017, NexPoint Advisors, L.P. ("<u>Maker</u>"), entered into that certain promissory note in the original principal amount of \$30,746,812.33 (the "<u>Note</u>") in favor of Highland Capital Management, L.P. ("<u>Payee</u>"). A copy of the Note is attached hereto as **Appendix A**.

On January 7, 2021, Payee notified you that because of Maker's failure to make the payment due on December 31, 2020 (the "<u>Default</u>"), the Note was in default and that all principal, interest, and any other amounts due on the Note were immediately due and payable. The amount due and payable on the Note as of January 8, 2021, was \$24,471,804.98; however, interest continues to accrue under the Note.

On January 14, 2021, Payee received a wire from Maker in the amount of \$1,406,111.92 (the "<u>Partial Payment</u>"). To reiterate, the amount due under the Note as of January 8, 2021, was \$24,471,804.98. The Partial Payment will be applied as payment against the amounts due under the Note pursuant to Section 3 thereof. **The Note remains in default, and all amounts due thereunder are due <u>immediately</u>.**

After adjusting for the Partial Payment and the continued accrual of interest, the amount due under the Note as of January 15, 2021, is \$23,071,195.03 (which amount does not include expenses incurred to date in collecting the Note). Payment of such amount is due immediately. Payments on the Note must be made in immediately available funds. Payee's wire information is attached hereto as **Appendix B**.

Nothing contained herein constitutes a waiver of any rights or remedies of Payee under the Note or otherwise and all such rights and remedies, whether at law, equity, contract, or otherwise, are expressly reserved, including the right to recover Payee's expenses incurred in collecting the Note. Interest, including default interest if applicable, on the Note will continue to accrue until the Note is paid in full. Any such interest will remain the obligation of Maker.

Sincerely,

/s/ James P. Seery, Jr.

James P. Seery, Jr. Highland Capital Management, L.P. Chief Executive Officer/Chief Restructuring Officer cc: Fred Caruso James Romey Jeffrey Pomerantz Ira Kharasch Gregory Demo DC Sauter A. Lee Hogewood III

Appendix A

PROMISSORY NOTE

\$30,746,812.33

May 31, 2017

THIS PROMISSORY NOTE (this "<u>Note</u>") is in substitution for and supersedes in their entirety each of those certain promissory notes described in <u>Exhibit A</u> hereto, from NexPoint Advisors, L.P., as Maker, and Highland Capital Management, L.P. as Payee (collectively, the "<u>Prior Notes</u>"), together with the aggregate outstanding principal and accrued and unpaid interested represented thereby.

FOR VALUE RECEIVED, NEXPOINT ADVISORS, L.P. ("<u>Maker</u>") promises to pay to the order of HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P. ("<u>Payee</u>"), in legal and lawful tender of the United States of America, the principal sum of THIRTY MILLION, SEVEN HUNDRED FORTY SIX THOUSAND, EIGHT HUNDRED TWELVE AND 33/100 DOLLARS (\$30,746,812.33), together with interest, on the terms set forth below. All sums hereunder are payable to Payee at 300 Crescent Court, Suite 700, Dallas, Texas 75201, or such other address as Payee may specify to Maker in writing from time to time.

1. <u>Interest Rate</u>. The unpaid principal balance of this Note from time to time outstanding shall bear interest at the rate of six percent (6.00%) per annum from the date hereof until Maturity Date (hereinafter defined), compounded annually on the anniversary of the date of this Note. Interest shall be calculated at a daily rate equal to 1/365th (1/366 in a leap year) of the rate per annum, shall be charged and collected on the actual number of days elapsed, and shall be payable annually.

2. <u>Payment of Principal and Interest</u>. Principal and interest under this Note shall be payable as follows:

2.1 <u>Annual Payment Dates</u>. During the term of this Note, Borrower shall pay the outstanding principal amount of the Note (and all unpaid accrued interest through the date of each such payment) in thirty (30) equal annual payments (the "<u>Annual Installment</u>") until the Note is paid in full. Borrower shall pay the Annual Installment on the 31st day of December of each calendar year during the term of this Note, commencing on the first such date to occur after the date of execution of this Note.

2.2 <u>Final Payment Date</u>. The final payment in the aggregate amount of the then outstanding and unpaid Note, together with all accrued and unpaid interest thereon, shall become immediately due and payable in full on December 31, 2047 (the "<u>Maturity Date</u>").

3. <u>Prepayment Allowed; Renegotiation Discretionary</u>. Maker may prepay in whole or in part the unpaid principal or accrued interest of this Note. Any payments on this Note shall be applied first to unpaid accrued interest hereon, and then to unpaid principal hereof.

4. <u>Acceleration Upon Default</u>. Failure to pay this Note or any installment hereunder as it becomes due shall, at the election of the holder hereof, without notice, demand, presentment, notice of intent to accelerate, notice of acceleration, or any other notice of any kind which are hereby waived, mature the principal of this Note and all interest then accrued, if any, and the same shall at once become due and payable and subject to those remedies of the holder hereof. No failure or delay on the part of Payee in exercising any right, power or privilege hereunder shall operate as a waiver thereof.

5. <u>Waiver</u>. Maker hereby waives grace, demand, presentment for payment, notice of nonpayment, protest, notice of protest, notice of intent to accelerate, notice of acceleration and all other notices of any kind hereunder.

6. <u>Attorneys' Fees</u>. If this Note is not paid at maturity (whether by acceleration or otherwise) and is placed in the hands of an attorney for collection, or if it is collected through a bankruptcy court or any other court after maturity, the Maker shall pay, in addition to all other amounts owing hereunder, all actual expenses of collection, all court costs and reasonable attorneys' fees and expenses incurred by the holder hereof.

7. <u>Limitation on Agreements</u>. All agreements between Maker and Payee, whether now existing or hereafter arising, are hereby limited so that in no event shall the amount paid, or agreed to be paid to Payee for the use, forbearance, or detention of money or for the payment or performance of any covenant or obligation contained herein or in any other document evidencing, securing or pertaining to this Note, exceed the maximum interest rate allowed by law. The terms and provisions of this paragraph shall control and supersede every other provision of all agreements between Payee and Maker in conflict herewith.

8. <u>Governing Law</u>. This Note and the rights and obligations of the parties hereunder shall be governed by the laws of the United States of America and by the laws of the State of Texas, and is performable in Dallas County, Texas.

9. <u>Prior Notes</u>. The original of each of the Prior Notes superseded hereby shall be marked "VOID" by Payee.

MAKER:

NEXPOINT ADVISORS, L.P. By: NexPoint Advisors GP, LLC, its general partner

By:

Name: Title:

EXHIBIT A

PRIOR NOTES

Loan Date	Initial Note Amount	Interest Rate Outsta		
8/21/14	\$4,000,000	6.00%	\$4,616,739.73	
10/1/14	\$6,000,000	6.00%	\$6,959,671.23	
11/14/14	\$2,500,000	6.00%	\$2,881,780.82	
1/29/15	\$3,100,000	6.00%	\$3,534,679.45	
7/22/15	\$12,075,000	6.00%	\$12,753,941.10	
	\$27,675,000		\$30,746,812.33	

Appendix B

ABA #:322070381Bank Name:East West BankAccount Name:Highland Capital Management, LPAccount #:5500014686

EXHIBIT 4

FOURTH AMENDED AND RESTATED

AGREEMENT OF LIMITED PARTNERSHIP

OF

HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P.

THE PARTNERSHIP INTERESTS REPRESENTED BY THIS LIMITED PARTNERSHIP AGREEMENT HAVE NOT BEEN REGISTERED UNDER THE SECURITIES ACT OP 1933 OR UNDER ANY STATE SECURITIES ACTS IN RELIANCE UPON EXEMPTIONS UNDER THOSE ACTS. THE SALE OR OTHER DISPOSITION OF THE PARTNERSHIP INTERESTS IS PROHIBITED UNLESS THAT SALE OR DISPOSITION IS MADE IN COMPLIANCE WITH ALL SUCH APPLICABLE ACTS. ADDITIONAL RESTRICTIONS ON TRANSFER OF THE PARTNERSHIP INTERESTS ARE SET FORTH IN THIS AGREEMENT.

FOURTH AMENDED AND RESTATED AGREEMENT OF LIMITED PARTNERSHIP OF HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ARTICLE 1	GENERAL	. 1
1.1.	Continuation	. 1
1.2.	Name	. 1
1.3.	Purpose	. 1
1.4.	Term	. 1
1.5.	Partnership Offices; Addresses of Partners	. 1
ARTICLE 2	DEFINITIONS	2
2.1.	Definitions	.2
2.2.	Other Definitions	6
ARTICLE 3	FINANCIAL MATTERS	
3.1.	Capital Contributions	
3.2.	Allocations of Profits and Losses	8
3.3.	Allocations on Transfers	9
3.4.	Special Allocations	9
3.5.	Curative Allocations1	0
3.6.	Code Section 704(c) Allocations1	0
3.7.	Capital Accounts 1	1
3.8.	Distributive Share for Tax Purpose1	2
3.9.	Distributions	2
3.10.	Compensation and Reimbursement of General Partner1	4
3.11.	Books, Records, Accounting, and Reports	
3.12.	Tax Matters	
ARTICLE 4	RIGHTS AND OBLIGATIONS OF PARTNERS1	
4.1.	Rights and Obligations of the General Partner1	
4.2.	Rights and Obligations of Limited Partners1	
4.3.	Transfer of Partnership Interests1	
4.4.	Issuances of Partnership Interests to New and Existing Partners2	1
4.5.	Withdrawal of General Partner	1
4.6.	Admission of Substitute Limited Partners and Successor General Partner2	1
ARTICLE 5	DISSOLUTION AND WINDING UP	
5.1.	Dissolution	
5.2.	Continuation of the Partnership	
5.3.	Liquidation	
5.4.	Distribution in Kind	
5.5.	Cancellation of Certificate of Limited Partnership	
5.6.	Return of Capital	
5.7.	Waiver of Partition	4
ADTICLE	GENERAL PROVISIONS2	Λ
ARTICLE 6		
6.1.	Amendments to Agreement	4

i

6.2.	Addresses and Notices	25
6.3.	Titles and Captions	25
6.4.	Pronouns and Plurals	25
6.5.	Further Action	25
6.6.	Binding Effect	
6.7.	Integration	
6.8.	Creditors	25
6.9.	Waiver	25
6.10.	Counterparts	25
6.11.	Applicable Law	25
6.12.	Invalidity of Provisions	25
6.13.	Mandatory Arbitration	26

FOURTH AMENDED AND RESTATED AGREEMENT OF LIMITED PARTNERSHIP OF HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P.

THIS FOURTH AMENDED AND RESTATED AGREEMENT OF LIMITED PARTNERSHIP is entered into on this 24th day of December, 2015, to be effective as of December 24, 2015, by and among Strand Advisors, Inc., a Delaware corporation (*'Strand'*), as General Partner, the Limited Partners party hereto, and any Person hereinafter admitted as a Limited Partner.

Certain terms used in this Agreement are defined in Article 2.

ARTICLE 1

GENERAL

1.1. Continuation. Subject to the provisions of this Agreement, the Partners hereby continue the Partnership as a limited partnership pursuant to the provisions of the Delaware Act. Except as expressly provided herein, the rights and obligations of the Partners and the administration and termination of the Partnership shall be governed by the Delaware Act.

1.2. Name. The name of the Partnership shall be, and the business of the Partnership shall be conducted under the name of Highland Capital Management, L.P. The General Partner, in its sole and unfettered discretion, may change the name of the Partnership at any time and from time to time and shall provide Limited Partners with written notice of such name change within twenty (20) days after such name change.

1.3. Purpose. The purpose and business of the Partnership shall be the conduct of any business or activity that may lawfully be conducted by a limited partnership organized pursuant to the Delaware Act. Any or all of the foregoing activities may be conducted directly by the Partnership or indirectly through another partnership, joint venture, or other arrangement.

1.4. Term. The Partnership was formed as a limited partnership on July 7, 1997, and shall continue until terminated pursuant to this Agreement.

1.5. Partnership Offices; Addresses of Partners.

(a) <u>Partnership Offices</u>. The registered office of the Partnership in the State of Delaware shall be 1013 Centre Road, Wilmington, Delaware 19805-1297, and its registered agent for service of process on the Partnership at that registered office shall be Corporation Service Company, or such other registered office or registered agent as the General Partner may from time to time designate. The principal office of the Partnership shall be 300 Crescent Court, Suite 700, Dallas, Texas 75201, or such other place as the General Partner may from time to time designate. The Partnership may maintain offices at such other place or places as the General Partner deems advisable.

(b) <u>Addresses of Partners</u>. The address of the General Partner is 300 Crescent Court, Suite 700, Dallas, Texas 75201. The address of each Limited Partner shall be the address of that Limited Partner appearing on the books and records of the Partnership. Each Limited Partner agrees to provide the General Partner with prompt written notice of any change in his/her/its address.

ARTICLE 2

DEFINITIONS

2.1. Definitions. The following definitions shall apply to the terms used in this Agreement, unless otherwise clearly indicated to the contrary in this Agreement:

"Additional Capital Contribution" has the meaning set forth in <u>Section 3.1(b)</u> of this Agreement.

"Adjusted Capital Account Deficit" means, with respect to any Partner, the deficit balance, if any, in the Capital Account of that Partner as of the end of the relevant Fiscal Year, or other relevant period, giving effect to all adjustments previously made thereto pursuant to <u>Section 3.7</u> and further adjusted as follows: (i) credit to that Capital Account, any amounts which that Partner is obligated or deemed obligated to restore pursuant to any provision of this Agreement or pursuant to Treasury Regulations Section 1.704-1(b)(2)(ii)(c); (ii) debit to that Capital Account, the items described in Treasury Regulations Sections 1.704-1(b)(2)(ii)(d)(4), (5) and (6); and (iii) to the extent required under the Treasury Regulations, credit to that Capital Account (A) that Partner's share of "minimum gain" and (B) that Partner's share of "partner nonrecourse debt minimum gain." (Each Partner's share of the minimum gain and partner nonrecourse debt minimum gain shall be determined under Treasury Regulations Sections 1.704-2(g) and 1.704-2(i)(5), respectively.)

"Affiliate" means any Person that directly or indirectly controls, is controlled by, or is under common control with the Person in question. As used in this definition, the term *"control"* means the possession, directly or indirectly, of the power to direct or cause the direction of the management and policies of a Person, whether through ownership of voting Securities, by contract or otherwise.

"Agreement" means this Fourth Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership, as it may be amended, supplemented, or restated from time to time.

"Business Day" means Monday through Friday of each week, except that a legal holiday recognized as such by the government of the United States or the State of Texas shall not be regarded as a Business Day.

"Capital Account" means the capital account maintained for a Partner pursuant to Section 3.7(a).

"Capital Contribution" means, with respect to any Partner, the amount of money or property contributed to the Partnership with respect to the interest in the Partnership held by that Person.

"Certificate of Limited Partnership" means the Certificate of Limited Partnership filed with the Secretary of State of Delaware by the General Partner, as that Certificate may be amended, supplemented or restated from time to time.

"Class A Limited Partners" means those Partners holding a Class A Limited Partnership Interest, as shown on Exhibit A.

"Class A Limited Partnership Interest" means a Partnership Interest held by a Partner in its capacity as a Class A Limited Partner."

"Class B Limited Partner" means those Partners holding a Class B Limited Partnership Interest, as shown on Exhibit A.

"Class B Limited Partnership Interest" means a Partnership Interest held by a Partner in its capacity as a Class B Limited Partner."

"Class B NAV Ratio Trigger Period" means any period during which the Class B Limited Partner's aggregate capital contributions, including the original principal balance of the Contribution Note, and reduced by the aggregate amount of distributions to the Class B Limited Partner, exceed 75 percent of the product of the Class B Limited Partner's Percentage Interest multiplied by the total book value of the Partnership; provided, however, that the General Partner shall only be required to test for a Class B NAV Ratio Trigger Period annually, as of the last day of each calendar year; provided further the General Partner must complete the testing within 180 days of the end of each calendar year; provided further that if the test results in a Class B NAV Ratio Trigger Period, the General Partner may, at its own election, retest at any time to determine the end date of the Class B NAV Ratio Trigger Period.

"Class C Limited Partner" means those Partners holding a Class C Limited Partnership Interest, as shown on Exhibit A.

"Class C Limited Partnership Interest" means a Partnership Interest held by a Partner in its capacity as a Class C Limited Partner."

"Class C NAV Ratio Trigger Period" means any period during which an amount equal to \$93,000,000.00 reduced by the aggregate amount of distributions to the Class C Limited Partner after the Effective Date exceeds 75 percent of the product of the Class C Limited Partner's Percentage Interest multiplied by the total book value of the Partnership; provided, however, that the General Partner shall only be required to test for a Class C NAV Ratio Trigger Period annually, as of the last day of each calendar year; provided further the General Partner must complete the testing within 180 days of the end of each calendar year; provided further that if the test results in a Class C NAV Ratio Trigger Period, the General Partner may, at its own election, retest at any time to determine the end date of the Class C NAV Ratio Trigger Period.

"Code" means the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended and in effect from time to

time.

"Contribution Note" means that certain Secured Promissory Note dated December 21, 2015 by and among Hunter Mountain Investment Trust, as maker, and the Partnership as Payee.

"Default Loan" has the meaning set forth in Section 3.1(c)(i).

"Defaulting Partner" has the meaning set forth in Section 3.1(c).

"*Delaware Act*" means the Delaware Revised Uniform Limited Partnership Act, Part IV, Title C, Chapter 17 of the Delaware Corporation Law Annotated, as it may be amended, supplemented or restated from time to time, and any successor to that Act.

"Effective Date" means the date first recited above.

"Fiscal Year" has the meaning set forth in <u>Section 3.11(b)</u>.

"Founding Partner Group" means, all partners holding partnership interests in the Partnership immediately before the Effective Date.

"General Partner" means any Person who (i) is referred to as such in the first paragraph of this Agreement, or has become a General Partner pursuant to the terms of this Agreement; and (ii) has not ceased to be a General Partner pursuant to the terms of this Agreement.

"Limited Partner" means any Person who (i) is referred to as such in the first paragraph of this Agreement, or has become a Limited Partner pursuant to the terms of this Agreement, and (ii) has not ceased to be a Limited Partner pursuant to the terms of this Agreement.

"Liquidator" has the meaning set forth in Section 5.3.

"Losses" means, for each Fiscal Year, the losses and deductions of the Partnership determined in accordance with accounting principles consistently applied from year to year employed under the Partnership's method of accounting and as reported, separately or in the aggregate, as appropriate, on the Partnership's information tax return filed for federal income tax purposes, plus any expenditures described in Code Section 705(a)(2)(B).

"Majority Interest" means the owners of more than fifty percent (50%) of the Percentage Interests of Class A Limited Partners.

"NAV Ratio Trigger Period" means a Class B NAV Ratio Trigger Period or a Class C NAV Ratio Trigger Period.

"Net Increase in Working Capital Accounts" means the excess of (i) Restricted Cash plus Management and Incentive Fees Receivable plus Other Assets plus Deferred Incentive Fees Receivable less Accounts Payable less Accrued and Other Liabilities as of the end of the period being measured over (ii) Restricted Cash plus Management and Incentive Fees Receivable plus Other Assets plus Deferred Incentive Fees Receivable less Accounts Payable less Accounts Payable less Accrued and Other Liabilities as of the beginning of the period being measured; <u>provided</u>, <u>however</u>, that amounts within each of the aforementioned categories shall be excluded from the calculation to the extent they are specifically identified as being derived from investing or financing activities. Each of the capitalized terms in this definition shall have the meaning given them in the books and records of the Partnership and appropriate adjustments may be made to the extent the Partnership adds new ledger accounts to its books and records that are current assets or current liabilities.

"New Issues" means Securities that are considered to be "new issues," as defined in the Conduct Rules of the National Association of Securities Dealers, Inc.

"Nonrecourse Deduction" has the meaning set forth in Treasury Regulations Section 1.704-2(b)(1), as computed under Treasury Regulations Section 1.704-2(c).

"Nonrecourse Liability" has the meaning set forth in Treasury Regulations Section 1.704-2(b)(3).

"Operating Cash Flow" means Total Revenue less Total Operating Expenses plus Depreciation & Amortization less Net Increase in Working Capital Accounts year over year. Each of the capitalized terms in this definition shall have the meaning given them in the books and records of the Partnership.

"Partner" means a General Partner or a Limited Partner.

"*Partner Nonrecourse Debt*" has the meaning set forth in Treasury Regulations Section 1.704-2(b)(4).

"Partner Nonrecourse Deductions" has the meaning set forth in Treasury Regulations Section 1.704-2(i)(2).

"Partner Nonrecourse Debt Minimum Gain" has the meaning set forth in Treasury Regulations Section 1.704-2(i)(5).

"*Partnership*" means Highland Capital Management, L.P., the Delaware limited partnership established pursuant to this Agreement.

"Partnership Capital" means, as of any relevant date, the net book value of the Partnership's assets.

"*Partnership Interest*" means the interest acquired by a Partner in the Partnership including, without limitation, that Partner's right: (a) to an allocable share of the Profits, Losses, deductions, and credits of the Partnership; (b) to a distributive share of the assets of the Partnership; (c) if a Limited Partner, to vote on those matters described in this Agreement; and (d) if the General Partner, to manage and operate the Partnership.

"Partnership Minimum Gain" has the meaning set forth in Treasury Regulations Section 1.704-2(d).

"Percentage Interest" means the percentage set forth opposite each Partner's name on Exhibit A as such Exhibit may be amended from time to time in accordance with this Agreement.

"*Person*" means an individual or a corporation, partnership, trust, estate, unincorporated organization, association, or other entity.

"Priority Distributions" has the meaning set forth in Section 3.9(b).

"Profits" means, for each Fiscal Year, the income and gains of the Partnership determined in accordance with accounting principles consistently applied from year to year employed under the Partnership's method of accounting and as reported, separately or in the aggregate, as appropriate, on the Partnership's information tax return filed for federal income tax purposes, plus any income described in Code Section 705(a)(1)(B).

"Profits Interest Partner" means any Person who is issued a Partnership Interest that is treated as a "profits interest" for federal income tax purposes.

"Purchase Notes" means those certain Secured Promissory Notes of even date herewith by and among Hunter Mountain Investment Trust, as maker, and The Dugaboy Investment Trust, The Mark K. Okada, The Mark and Pamela Okada Family Trust – Exempt Trust #1, and The Mark K. Okada, The Mark and Pamela Okada Family Trust – Exempt Trust #2, each as Payees of the respective Secured Promissory Notes.

"Record Date" means the date established by the General Partner for determining the identity of Limited Partners entitled to vote or give consent to Partnership action or entitled to exercise rights in respect of any other lawful action of Limited Partners.

"Second Amended Buy-Sell and Redemption Agreement" means that certain Second Amended and Restated Buy-Sell and Redemption Agreement, dated December 21, 2015, to be effective as of December 21, 2015 by and between the Partnership and its Partners, as may be amended, supplemented, or restated from time to time.

"Securities" means the following: (i) securities of any kind (including, without limitation, "securities" as that term is defined in Section 2(a)(1) of the Securities Act; (ii) commodities of any kind (as that term is defined by the U.S. Securities Laws and the rules and regulations promulgated thereunder); (iii) any contracts for future or forward delivery of any security, commodity or currency; (iv) any contracts based on any securities or group of securities, commodities or currencies; (v) any options on any contracts referred to in clauses (iii) or (iv); or (vi) any evidences of indebtedness (including participations in or assignments of bank loans or trade credit claims). The items set forth in clauses (i) through (vi) herein include, but are not limited to, capital stock, common stock, preferred stock, convertible securities, reorganization certificates, subscriptions, warrants, rights, options, puts, calls, bonds, mutual fund interests, debentures, notes, certificates of deposit, letters of credit, bankers acceptances, trust receipts and other securities of any corporation or other entity, whether readily marketable or not, rights and options, whether granted or written by the Partnership or by others, treasury bills, bonds and notes, any securities or obligations issued or guaranteed by the United States or any foreign country or any state or possession of the United States or any foreign country or any political subdivision or agency or instrumentality of any of the foregoing, and derivatives of any of the foregoing.

"Securities Act" means the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and any successor to

such statute.

"Substitute Limited Partner" has the meaning set forth in Section 4.6(a).

"Transfer" or derivations thereof, of a Partnership Interest means, as a noun, the transfer, sale, assignment, exchange, pledge, hypothecation or other disposition of a Partnership Interest, or any part thereof, directly or indirectly, and as a verb, voluntarily or involuntarily to transfer, sell, assign, exchange, pledge, hypothecate or otherwise dispose of.

"Treasury Regulations" means the Department of Treasury Regulations promulgated under the Code, as amended and in effect (including corresponding provisions of succeeding regulations).

Other Definitions. All terms used in this Agreement that are not defined in this Article 2 2.2. have the meanings contained elsewhere in this Agreement.

ARTICLE 3

FINANCIAL MATTERS

3.1. **Capital Contributions.**

Initial Capital Contributions. The initial Capital Contribution of each Partner (a) shall be set forth in the books and records of the Partnership.

> Additional Capital Contributions. (b)

(i) The General Partner, in its reasonable discretion and for a *bona fide* business purpose, may request in writing that the Founding Partner Group make additional Capital Contributions in proportion to their Percentage Interests (each, an "*Additional Capital Contribution*").

(ii) Any failure by a Partner to make an Additional Capital Contribution requested under Section 3.1(b)(i) on or before the date on which that Additional Capital Contribution was due shall result in the Partner being in default.

(c) <u>Consequences to Defaulting Partners</u>. In the event a Partner is in default under <u>Section 3.1(b)</u> (a "*Defaulting Partner*"), the Defaulting Partner, in its sole and unfettered discretion, may elect to take either one of the option set forth below.

Default Loans. If the Defaulting Partner so elects, the General Partner (i) shall make a loan to the Defaulting Partner in an amount equal to that Defaulting Partner's additional capital contribution (a "Default Loan"). A Default Loan shall be deemed advanced on the date actually advanced. Default Loans shall earn interest on the outstanding principal amount thereof at a rate equal to the Applicable Federal Mid-Term Rate (determined by the Internal Revenue Service for the month in which the loan is deemed made) from the date actually advanced until the same is repaid in full. The term of any Default Loan shall be six (6) months, unless otherwise extended by the General Partner in its sole and unfettered discretion. If the General Partner makes a Default Loan, the Defaulting Partner shall not receive any distributions pursuant to Section 3.9(a) or Section 5.3 or any proceeds from the Transfer of all or any part of its Partnership Interest while the Default Loan remains unpaid. Instead, the Defaulting Partner's share of distributions or such other proceeds shall (until all Default Loans and interest thereon shall have been repaid in full) first be paid to the General Partner. Such payments shall be applied first to the payment of interest on such Default Loans and then to the repayment of the principal amounts thereof, but shall be considered, for all other purposes of this Agreement, to have been distributed to the Defaulting Partner. The Defaulting Partner shall be liable for the reasonable fees and expenses incurred by the General Partner (including, without limitation, reasonable attorneys' fees and disbursements) in connection with any enforcement or foreclosure upon any Default Loan and such costs shall, to the extent enforceable under applicable law, be added to the principal amount of the applicable Default Loan. In addition, at any time during the term of such Default Loan, the Defaulting Partner shall have the right to repay, in full, the Default Loan (including interest and any other charges). If the General Partner makes a Default Loan, the Defaulting Partner shall be deemed to have pledged to the General Partner and granted to the General Partner a continuing first priority security interest in, all of the Defaulting Partner's Partnership Interest to secure the payment of the principal of, and interest on, such Default Loan in accordance with the provisions hereof, and for such purpose this Agreement shall constitute a security agreement. The Defaulting Partner shall promptly execute, acknowledge and deliver such financing statements, continuation statements or other documents and take such other actions as the General Partner shall request in writing in order to perfect or continue the perfection of such security interest; and, if the Defaulting Partner shall fail to do so within seven (7) days after the Defaulting Partner's receipt of a notice making demand therefor, the General Partner is hereby appointed the attorney-in-fact of, and is hereby authorized on behalf of, the Defaulting Partner, to execute, acknowledge and deliver all such documents and take all such other actions as may be required to perfect such security interest. Such appointment and authorization are coupled with an interest and shall be irrevocable. The General Partner shall, prior to exercising any right or remedy (whether at law, in equity or pursuant to the terms hereof) available to it in connection with such security interest, provide to the Defaulting Partner a notice, in reasonable detail, of the right or remedy to be exercised and the intended timing of such exercise which shall not be less than five (5) days following the date of such notice.

(ii) <u>Reduction of Percentage Interest</u>. If the Defaulting Partner does not elect to obtain a Default Loan pursuant to <u>Section 3.1(c)(i)</u>, the General Partner shall reduce the Defaulting Partner's Percentage Interest in accordance with the following formula:

The Defaulting Partner's new Percentage Interest shall equal the product of (1) the Defaulting Partner's current Percentage Interest, multiplied by (2) the quotient of (a) the current Capital Account of the Defaulting Partner (with such Capital Account determined after taking into account a revaluation of the Capital Accounts immediately prior to such determination), divided by (b) the sum of (i) the current Capital Account of the Defaulting Partner (with such Capital Account of the Defaulting Partner (with such Capital Account determined after taking into account a revaluation of the Capital Account determined after taking into account a revaluation of the Capital Account determined after taking into account a revaluation of the Capital Accounts immediately prior to such determination), plus (ii) the amount of the additional capital contribution that such Defaulting Partner failed to make when due.

To the extent any downward adjustment is made to the Percentage Interest of a Partner pursuant to this <u>Section 3.1(c)(ii)</u>, any resulting benefit shall accrue to the Partners (other than the Defaulting Partner) in proportion to their respective Percentage Interests.

3.2. Allocations of Profits and Losses.

(a) <u>Allocations of Profits</u>. Except as provided in <u>Sections 3.4</u>, <u>3.5</u>, and <u>3.6</u>, Profits for any Fiscal Year will be allocated to the Partners as follows:

(i) <u>First</u>, to the Partners until cumulative Profits allocated under this <u>Section</u> <u>3.2(a)(i)</u> for all prior periods equal the cumulative Losses allocated to the Partners under <u>Section</u> <u>3.2(b)(iii)</u> for all prior periods in the inverse order in which such Losses were allocated; and

(ii) <u>Next</u>, to the Partners until cumulative Profits allocated under this <u>Section</u> <u>3.2(a)(ii)</u> for all prior periods equal the cumulative Losses allocated to the Partners under <u>Section</u> <u>3.2(b)(ii)</u> for all prior periods in the inverse order in which such Losses were allocated; and

Interests.

(iii) Then, to all Partners in proportion to their respective Percentage

(b) <u>Allocations of Losses</u>. Except as provided in <u>Sections 3.4</u>, <u>3.5</u>, and <u>3.6</u>, Losses for any Fiscal Year will be will be allocated as follows:

(i) <u>First</u>, to the Partners until cumulative Losses allocated under this <u>Section</u> <u>3.2(b)(i)</u> for all prior periods equal the cumulative Profits allocated to the Partners under <u>Section</u> <u>3.2(a)(iii)</u> for all prior periods in the inverse order in which such Profits were allocated; and

(ii) <u>Next</u>, to the Partners in proportion to their respective positive Capital Account balances until the aggregate Capital Account balances of the Partners (excluding any negative Capital Account balances) equal zero; *provided, however*, losses shall first be allocated to reduce amounts that were last allocated to the Capital Accounts of the Partners; and

(iii) <u>Then</u>, to all Partners in proportion to their respective Percentage

Interests.

(c) <u>Limitation on Loss Allocations</u>. If any allocation of Losses would cause a Limited Partner to have an Adjusted Capital Account Deficit, those Losses instead shall be allocated to the General Partner.

3.3. Allocations on Transfers. Taxable items of the Partnership attributable to a Partnership Interest that has been Transferred (including the simultaneous decrease in the Partnership Interest of existing Partners resulting from the admission of a new Partner) shall be allocated in accordance with Section 4.3(d).

3.4. Special Allocations. If the requisite stated conditions or facts are present, the following special allocations shall be made in the following order:

(a) <u>Partnership Minimum Gain Chargeback</u>. Notwithstanding any other provision of this <u>Article 3</u>, if there is a net decrease in Partnership Minimum Gain during any taxable year or other period for which allocations are made, prior to any other allocation under this Agreement, each Partner shall be specially allocated items of Partnership income and gain for that period (and, if necessary, subsequent periods) in proportion to, and to the extent of, an amount equal to that Partner's share of the net decrease in Partnership Minimum Gain during that year determined in accordance with Treasury Regulations Section 1.704-2(g)(2). The items to be allocated shall be determined in accordance with Treasury Regulations Section 1.704-2(g). This <u>Section 3.4(a)</u> is intended to comply with the partnership minimum gain chargeback requirements of the Treasury Regulations and shall be subject to all exceptions provided therein.

(b) Partner Nonrecourse Debt Minimum Gain Chargeback. Notwithstanding any other provision of this Article 3 (other than Section 3.4(a)), if there is a net decrease in Partner Nonrecourse Debt Minimum Gain with respect to a Partner Nonrecourse Debt during any taxable year or other period for which allocations are made, any Partner with a share of such Partner Nonrecourse Debt Minimum Gain as of the beginning of the year shall be specially allocated items of Partnership income and gain for that period (and, if necessary, subsequent periods in an amount equal to that Partner's share of the net decrease in the Partner Nonrecourse Debt Minimum Gain during that year determined in accordance with Treasury Regulations Section 1.704-2(g)(2). The items to be so allocated shall be determined in accordance with Treasury Regulations Section 1.704-2(g). This Section 3.4(b) is intended to comply with the partner nonrecourse debt minimum gain chargeback requirements of the Treasury Regulations, shall be interpreted consistently with the Treasury Regulations and shall be subject to all exceptions provided therein.

(c) <u>Qualified Income Offset</u>. If a Partner unexpectedly receives any adjustments, allocations or distributions described in Treasury Regulations Sections 1.704-1(b)(2)(ii)(d)(4), (d)(5) or (d)(6), then items of Partnership income and gain shall be specially allocated to each such Partner in an amount and manner sufficient to eliminate, to the extent required by the Treasury Regulations, the Adjusted Capital Account Deficit of the Partner as quickly as possible; *provided, however*, an allocation pursuant to this <u>Section 3.4(c)</u> shall be made if and only to the extent that the Partner would have an Adjusted Capital Account Deficit after all other allocations provided for in this <u>Article 3</u> have been tentatively made without considering this <u>Section 3.4(c)</u>.

(d) <u>Gross Income Allocation</u>. If a Partner has a deficit Capital Account at the end of any Fiscal Year of the Partnership that exceeds the sum of (i) the amount the Partner is obligated to restore, and (ii) the amount the Partner is deemed to be obligated to restore pursuant to the penultimate sentences of Treasury Regulations Sections 1.704-2(g)(1) and 1.704-2(i)(5), then each such Partner shall be specially allocated items of income and gain of the Partnership in the amount of the excess as quickly as possible; *provided, however*, an allocation pursuant to this <u>Section 3.4(d)</u> shall be made if and only to

the extent that the Partner would have a deficit Capital Account in excess of that sum after all other allocations provided for in this <u>Article 3</u> have been tentatively made without considering <u>Section 3.4(c)</u> or 3.4(d).

(e) <u>Nonrecourse Deductions</u>. Nonrecourse Deductions for any taxable year or other period for which allocations are made shall he allocated among the Partners in accordance with their Percentage interests.

(f) <u>Partner Nonrecourse Deductions</u>. Notwithstanding anything to the contrary in this Agreement, any Partner Nonrecourse Deductions for any taxable year or other period for which allocations are made will be allocated to the Partner who bears the economic risk of loss with respect to the Partner Nonrecourse Debt to which the Partner Nonrecourse Deductions are attributable in accordance with Treasury Regulations Section 1.704-2(i).

(g) Section 754 Adjustments. To the extent an adjustment to the adjusted tax basis of any asset of the Partnership under Code Section 734(b) or Code Section 743(b) is required, pursuant to Treasury Regulations Section 1.704-1(b)(2)(iv)(m), to be taken into account in determining Capital Accounts, the amount of the adjustment to the Capital Accounts shall be treated as an item of gain (if the adjustment increases the basis of the asset) or loss (if the adjustment decreases the basis of the asset) and that gain or loss shall be specially allocated to the Partners in a manner consistent with the manner in which their Capital Accounts are required to be adjusted pursuant to that Section of the Treasury Regulations.

(h) <u>Section 481 Adjustments</u>. Any allocable items of income, gain, expense, deduction or credit required to be made by Section 481 of the Code as the result of the sale, transfer, exchange or issuance of a Partnership Interest will be specially allocated to the Partner receiving said Partnership Interest whether such items are positive or negative in amount.

3.5. Curative Allocations. The "*Basic Regulatory Allocations*" consist of (i) the allocations pursuant to <u>Section 3.2(c)</u>, and (ii) the allocations pursuant to <u>Sections 3.4</u>. Notwithstanding any other provision of this Agreement, the Basic Regulatory Allocations shall be taken into account in allocating items of income, gain, loss and deduction among the Partners so that, to the extent possible, the net amount of the allocations of other items and the Basic Regulatory Allocations to each Partner shall be equal to the net amount that would have been allocated to each such Partner if the Basic Regulatory Allocations had not occurred. For purposes of applying the foregoing sentence, allocations pursuant to this <u>Section 3.5</u> shall be made with respect to allocations pursuant to <u>Section 3.4 (g) and (h)</u> only to the extent that it is reasonably determined that those allocations will otherwise be inconsistent with the economic agreement among the Partners. To the extent that a special allocation under Section 3.4 is determined not to comply with applicable Treasury Regulations, then the Partners intend that the items shall be allocated in accordance with the Partners' varying Percentage Interests throughout each tax year during which such items are recognized for tax purposes.

3.6. Code Section 704(c) Allocations. In accordance with Code Section 704(c) and the Treasury Regulations thereunder, income, gain, loss and deduction with respect to property contributed to the capital of the Partnership shall, solely for tax purposes, be allocated among the Partners so as to take account of any variation at the time of the contribution between the tax basis of the property to the Partnership and the fair market value of that property. Except as otherwise provided herein, any elections or other decisions relating to those allocations shall be made by the General Partner in any manner that reasonably reflects the purpose and intent of this Agreement. Allocations of income, gain, loss and deduction pursuant to this Section 3.6 are solely for purposes of federal, state and local taxes and shall not affect, or in any way be taken into account in computing, the Capital Account of any Partner or the share

of Profits, Losses, other tax items or distributions of any Partner pursuant to any provision of this Agreement.

3.7. Capital Accounts.

(a) <u>Maintenance of Capital Accounts</u>. The Partnership shall establish and maintain a separate capital account *("Capital Account")* for each Partner in accordance with the rules of Treasury Regulations Section 1.704-1(b)(2)(iv), subject to and in accordance with the provisions set forth in this <u>Section 3.7</u>.

(i) The Capital Account balance of each Partner shall be credited (increased) by (A) the amount of cash contributed by that Partner to the capital of the Partnership, (B) the fair market value of property contributed by that Partner to the capital of the Partnership (net of liabilities secured by that contributed property that the Partnership assumes or takes subject to under Code Section 752), and (C) that Partner's allocable share of Profits and any items in the nature of income or gain which are specially allocated pursuant to Sections 3.4 and 3.5; and

(ii) The Capital Account balance of each Partner shall be debited (decreased) by (A) the amount of cash distributed to that Partner by the Partnership, (B) the fair market value of property distributed to that Partner by the Partnership (net of liabilities secured by that distributed property that such Partner assumes or takes subject to under Code Section 752), (C) that Partner's allocable share of expenditures of the Partnership described in Code Section 705(a)(2)(B), and (D) that Partner's allocable share of Losses and any items in the nature of expenses or losses which are specially allocated pursuant to Sections 3.2, 3.4 and 3.5.

The provisions of this <u>Section 3.7</u> and the other provisions of this Agreement relating to the maintenance of Capital Accounts have been included in this Agreement to comply with Code Section 704(b) and the Treasury Regulations promulgated thereunder and will be interpreted and applied in a manner consistent with those provisions. The General Partner may modify the manner in which the Capital Accounts are maintained under this <u>Section 3.7</u> in order to comply with those provisions, as well as upon the occurrence of events that might otherwise cause this Agreement not to comply with those provisions.

(b) <u>Negative Capital Accounts</u>. If any Partner has a deficit balance in its Capital Account, that Partner shall have no obligation to restore that negative balance or to make any Capital Contribution by reason thereof, and that negative balance shall not be considered an asset of the Partnership or of any Partner.

(c) <u>Interest</u>. No interest shall be paid by the Partnership on Capital Contributions or on balances in Capital Accounts.

(d) <u>No Withdrawal</u>. No Partner shall be entitled to withdraw any part of his/her/its Capital Contribution or his/her/its Capital Account or to receive any distribution from the Partnership, except as provided in <u>Section 3.9</u> and <u>Article 5</u>.

(e) <u>Loans From Partners</u>. Loans by a Partner to the Partnership shall not be considered Capital Contributions.

(f) <u>Revaluations</u>. The Capital Accounts of the Partners shall not be "booked-up" or "booked-down" to their fair market values under Treasury Regulations Section 1.704(c)-1(b)(2)(iv)(f) or otherwise.

Distributive Share for Tax Purpose. All items of income, deduction, gain, loss or 3.8. credit that are recognized for federal income tax purposes will be allocated among the Partners in accordance with the allocations of Profits and Losses hereunder as determined by the General Partner in its sole and unfettered discretion. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the General Partner may (i) as to each New Issue, specially allocate to the Partners who were allocated New Issue Profit from that New Issue any short-term capital gains realized during the Fiscal Year upon the disposition of such New Issue during that Fiscal Year, and (ii) specially allocate items of gain (or loss) to Partners who withdraw capital during any Fiscal Year in a manner designed to ensure that each withdrawing Partner is allocated gain (or loss) in an amount equal to the difference between that Partner's Capital Account balance (or portion thereof being withdrawn) at the time of the withdrawal and the tax basis for his/her/ its Partnership Interest at that time (or proportionate amount thereof); provided, however, that the General Partner may, without the consent of any other Partner, (a) alter the allocation of any item of taxable income, gain, loss, deduction or credit in any specific instance where the General Partner, in its sole and unfettered discretion, determines such alteration to be necessary or appropriate to avoid a materially inequitable result (e.g., where the allocation would create an inappropriate tax liability); and/or (b) adopt whatever other method of allocating tax items as the General Partner determines is necessary or appropriate in order to be consistent with the spirit and intent of the Treasury Regulations under Code Sections 704(b) and 704(c).

3.9. Distributions.

The General Partner may make such pro rata or non-pro rata (a) General. distributions as it may determine in its sole and unfettered discretion, without being limited to current or accumulated income or gains, but no such distribution shall be made out of funds required to make current payments on Partnership indebtedness; provided, however, that the General Partner may not make non-pro rata distributions under this Section 3.9(a) during an NAV Ratio Trigger Period without the consent of the Class B Limited Partner (in the case of a Class B NAV Ratio Trigger Period) and/or the Class C Limited Partner (in the case of a Class C NAV Ratio Trigger Period); provided, further this provision should not be interpreted to limit in any way the General Partner's ability to make non-pro rata tax distributions under Section 3.9(c) and Section 3.9(f). The Partnership has entered into one or more credit facilities with financial institutions that may limit the amount and timing of distributions to the Partners. Thus, the Partners acknowledge that distributions from the Partnership may be limited. Any distributions made to the Class B Limited Partner or the Class C Limited Partner pursuant to Section 3.9(b) shall reduce distributions otherwise allocable to such Partners under this Section 3.9(a) until such aggregate reductions are equal to the aggregate distributions made to the Class B Partners and the Class C Partners under Section 3.9(b).

(b) <u>Priority Distributions</u>. Prior to the distribution of any amounts to Partners pursuant to Section 3.9(a), and notwithstanding any other provision in this Agreement to the contrary, the Partnership shall make the following distributions ("*Priority Distributions*") pro-rata among the Class B Limited Partner and the Class C Limited Partner in accordance with their relative Percentage Interests:

(i) No later than March 31st of each calendar year, commencing March 31, 2017, an amount equal to \$1,600,000.00;

(ii) No later than March 31st of each year, commencing March 31, 2017, an amount equal to three percent (3%) of the Partnership's investment gain for the prior year, as reflected in the Partnership's books and records within ledger account number 90100 plus three percent (3%) of the gross realized investment gains for the prior year of Highland Select Equity Fund, as reflected in its books and records;

(iii) No later than March 31st of each year, commencing March 31, 2017, an amount equal to ten percent (10%) of the Partnership's Operating Cash Flow for the prior year; and

(iv) No later than December 24th of each year, commencing December 24, 2016, an amount equal to the aggregate annual principal and interest payments on the Purchase Notes for the then current year.

(c) <u>Tax Distributions</u>. The General Partner may, in its sole discretion, declare and make cash distributions pursuant hereto to the Partners to allow the federal and state income tax attributable to the Partnership's taxable income that is passed through the Partnership to the Partners to be paid by such Partners (a "*Tax Distribution*"). The General Partner may, in its discretion, make Tax Distributions to the Founding Partner Group without also making Tax Distributions to other Partners; provided, however, that if the General Partner makes Tax Distributions to the Founding Partner Group, Tax Distributions must also be made the Class B Limited Partner to the extent the Class B Limited Partner provides the Partnership with documentation showing it is subject to an entity-level federal income tax obligation. Notwithstanding anything else in this Agreement, the General Partner may declare and pay Tax Distributions even if such Tax Distributions cause the Partnership to be unable to make Priority Distributions under <u>Section 3.9(b)</u>.

(d) <u>Payments Not Deemed Distributions</u>. Any amounts paid pursuant to <u>Sections 4.1(e)</u> or <u>4.1(h)</u> shall not be deemed to be distributions for purposes of this Agreement.

Withheld Amounts. Notwithstanding any other provision of this Section 3.9 to (e) the contrary, each Partner hereby authorizes the Partnership to withhold and to pay over, or otherwise pay, any withholding or other taxes payable by the Partnership with respect to that Partner as a result of that Partner's participation in the Partnership. If and to the extent that the Partnership shall be required to withhold or pay any such taxes, that Partner shall be deemed for all purposes of this Agreement to have received a payment from the Partnership as of the time that withholding or tax is paid, which payment shall be deemed to be a distribution with respect to that Partner's Partnership Interest to the extent that the Partner (or any successor to that Partner's Partnership Interest) is then entitled to receive a distribution. To the extent that the aggregate of such payments to a Partner for any period exceeds the distributions to which that Partner is entitled for that period, the amount of such excess shall be considered a loan from the Partnership to that Partner. Such loan shall bear interest (which interest shall be treated as an item of income to the Partnership) at the "Applicable Federal Rate" (as defined in the Code), as determined hereunder from time to time, until discharged by that Partner by repayment, which may be made in the sole and unfettered discretion of the General Partner out of distributions to which that Partner would otherwise be subsequently entitled. Any withholdings authorized by this Section 3.9(d) shall be made at the maximum applicable statutory rate under the applicable tax law unless the General Partner shall have received an opinion of counsel or other evidence satisfactory to the General Partner to the effect that a lower rate is applicable, or that no withholding is applicable.

(f) <u>Special Tax Distributions</u>. The Partnership shall, upon request of such Founding Partner, make distributions to the Founding Partners (or loans, at the election of the General Partner) in an amount necessary for each of them to pay their respective federal income tax obligations incurred through the effective date of the Third Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of Highland Capital Management, L.P., the predecessor to this Agreement.

(g) <u>Tolling of Priority Distributions</u>. In the event of a "Honis Trigger Event," as defined in the Second Amended Buy-Sell and Redemption Agreement, the Partnership shall not make any distributions, including priority distributions under <u>Section 3.9(b)</u>, to the Class B Limited Partner or the Class C Limited Partner until such time as a replacement trust administrator, manager and general partner,

as applicable, acceptable to the Partnership in its sole discretion, as indicated by an affirmative vote of consent by a Majority Interest, shall be appointed to the Class B Limited Partner/Class C Limited Partner and any of its direct or indirect owners that have governing documents directly affected by a Honis Trigger Event.

3.10. Compensation and Reimbursement of General Partner.

(a) <u>Compensation</u>. The General Partner and any Affiliate of the General Partner shall receive no compensation from the Partnership for services rendered pursuant to this Agreement or any other agreements unless approved by a Majority Interest; provided, however, that no compensation above five million dollars per year may be approved, even by a Majority Interest, during a NAV Ratio Trigger Period.

(b) <u>Reimbursement for Expenses</u>. In addition to amounts paid under other Sections of this Agreement, the General Partner and its Affiliates shall be reimbursed for all expenses, disbursements, and advances incurred or made, and all fees, deposits, and other sums paid in connection with the organization and operation of the Partnership, the qualification of the Partnership to do business, and all related matters.

3.11. Books, Records, Accounting, and Reports.

(a) <u>Records and Accounting</u>. The General Partner shall keep or cause to be kept appropriate books and records with respect to the Partnership's business, which shall at all times be kept at the principal office of the Partnership or such other office as the General Partner may designate for such purpose. The books of the Partnership shall be maintained for financial reporting purposes on the accrual basis or on a cash basis, as the General Partner shall determine in its sole and unfettered discretion, in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles and applicable law. Upon reasonable request, the Class B Limited Partner or the Class C Limited Partner may inspect the books and records of the Partnership.

(b) <u>Fiscal Year</u>. The fiscal year of the Partnership shall be the calendar year unless otherwise determined by the General Partner in its sole and unfettered discretion.

(c) <u>Other Information</u>. The General Partner may release information concerning the operations of the Partnership to any financial institution or other Person that has loaned or may loan funds to the Partnership or the General Partner or any of its Affiliates, and may release such information to any other Person for reasons reasonably related to the business and operations of the Partnership or as required by law or regulation of any regulatory body.

(d) <u>Distribution Reporting to Class B Limited Partner and Class C Limited Partner</u>. Upon request, the Partnership shall provide the Class B Limited Partner and/or the Class C Limited Partner information on any non-pro rata distributions made under <u>Section 3.9</u> to Partners other than the Partner requesting the information.

3.12. Tax Matters.

(a) <u>Tax Returns</u>. The General Partner shall arrange for the preparation and timely filing of all returns of Partnership income, gain, loss, deduction, credit and other items necessary for federal, state and local income tax purposes. The General Partner shall deliver to each Partner as copy of his/her/its IRS Form K-1 as soon as practicable after the end of the Fiscal Year, but in no event later than October 1. The classification, realization, and recognition of income, gain, loss, deduction, credit and

other items shall be on the cash or accrual method of accounting for federal income tax purposes, as the General Partner shall determine in its sole and unfettered discretion. The General Partner in its sole and unfettered discretion may pay state and local income taxes attributable to operations of the Partnership and treat such taxes as an expense of the Partnership.

(b) <u>Tax Elections</u>. Except as otherwise provided herein, the General Partner shall, in its sole and unfettered discretion, determine whether to make any available tax election.

(c) <u>Tax Controversies</u>. Subject to the provisions hereof, the General Partner is designated the Tax Matters Partner (as defined in Code Section 6231), and is authorized and required to represent the Partnership, at the Partnership's expense, in connection with all examinations of the Partnership's affairs by tax authorities, including resulting administrative and judicial proceedings, and to expend Partnership funds for professional services and costs associated therewith. Each Partner agrees to cooperate with the General Partner in connection with such proceedings.

(d) <u>Taxation as a Partnership</u>. No election shall be made by the Partnership or any Partner for the Partnership to be excluded from the application of any of the provisions of Subchapter K, Chapter 1 of Subtitle A of the Code or from any similar provisions of any state tax laws.

ARTICLE 4

RIGHTS AND OBLIGATIONS OF PARTNERS

4.1. Rights and Obligations of the General Partner. In addition to the rights and obligations set forth elsewhere in this Agreement, the General Partner shall have the following rights and obligations:

Management. The General Partner shall conduct, direct, and exercise full control (a) of over all activities of the Partnership. Except as otherwise expressly provided in this Agreement, all management powers over the business and affairs of the Partnership shall be exclusively vested in the General Partner, and Limited Partners shall have no right of control over the business and affairs of the Partnership. In addition to the powers now or hereafter granted to a general partner of a limited partnership under applicable law or that are granted to the General Partner under any provision of this Agreement, the General Partner shall have full power and authority to do all things deemed necessary or desirable by it to conduct the business of the Partnership, including, without limitation: (i) the determination of the activities in which the Partnership will participate; (ii) the performance of any and all acts necessary or appropriate to the operation of any business of the Partnership (including, without limitation, purchasing and selling any asset, any debt instruments, any equity interests, any commercial paper, any note receivables and any other obligations); (iii) the procuring and maintaining of such insurance as may be available in such amounts and covering such risks as are deemed appropriate by the General Partner; (iv) the acquisition, disposition, sale, mortgage, pledge, encumbrance, hyphothecation, of exchange of any or all of the assets of the Partnership; (v) the execution and delivery on behalf of, and in the name of the Partnership, deeds, deeds of trust, notes, leases, subleases, mortgages, bills of sale and any and all other contracts or instruments necessary or incidental to the conduct of the Partnership's business; (vi) the making of any expenditures, the borrowing of money, the guaranteeing of indebtedness and other liabilities, the issuance of evidences of indebtedness, and the incurrence of any obligations it deems necessary or advisable for the conduct of the activities of the Partnership, including, without limitation, the payment of compensation and reimbursement to the General Partner and its Affiliates pursuant to Section 3.10; (vii) the use of the assets of the Partnership (including, without limitation, cash on hand) for any Partnership purpose on any terms it sees fit, including, without limitation, the financing of operations of the Partnership, the lending of funds to other Persons, and the repayment of obligations

of the Partnership; (viii) the negotiation, execution, and performance of any contracts that it considers desirable, useful, or necessary to the conduct of the business or operations of the Partnership or the implementation of the General Partner's powers under this Agreement; (ix) the distribution of Partnership cash or other assets; (x) the selection, hiring and dismissal of employees, attorneys, accountants, consultants, contractors, agents and representatives and the determination of their compensation and other teens of employment or hiring; (xi) the formation of any further limited or general partnerships, joint ventures, or other relationships that it deems desirable and the contribution to such partnerships, ventures, or relationships of assets and properties of the Partnership; and (xii) the control of any matters affecting the rights and obligations of the Partnership, including, without limitation, the conduct of any litigation, the incurring of legal expenses, and the settlement of claims and suits.

(b) <u>Certificate of Limited Partnership</u>. The General Partner caused the Certificate of Limited Partnership of the Partnership to be filed with the Secretary of State of Delaware as required by the Delaware Act and shall cause to be filed such other certificates or documents (including, without limitation, copies, amendments, or restatements of this Agreement) as may be determined by the General Partner to be reasonable and necessary or appropriate for the formation, qualification, or registration and operation of a limited partnership (or a partnership in which Limited Partners have limited liability) in the State of Delaware and in any other state where the Partnership may elect to do business.

Reliance by Third Parties. Notwithstanding any other provision of this (c)Agreement to the contrary, no lender or purchaser or other Person, including any purchaser of property from the Partnership or any other Person dealing with the Partnership, shall be required to verify any representation by the General Partner as to its authority to encumber, sell, or otherwise use any assess or properties of the Partnership, and any such lender, purchaser, or other Person shall be entitled to rely exclusively on such representations and shall be entitled to deal with the General Partner as if it were the sole party in interest therein, both legally and beneficially. Each Limited Partner hereby waives any and all defenses or other remedies that may be available against any such lender, purchaser, or other Person to contest, negate, or disaffirm any action of the General Partner in connection with any such sale or financing. In no event shall any Person dealing with the General Partner or the General Partner's representative with respect to any business or property of the Partnership be obligated to ascertain that the terms of this Agreement have been complied with, and each such Person shall be entitled to rely on the assumptions that the Partnership has been duly formed and is validly in existence. In no event shall any such Person be obligated to inquire into the necessity or expedience of any act or action of the General Partner or the General Partner's representative, and every contract, agreement, deed, mortgage, security agreement, promissory note, or other instrument or document executed by the General Partner or the General Partner's representative with respect to any business or property of the Partnership shall be conclusive evidence in favor of any and every Person relying thereon or claiming thereunder that (i), at the time of the execution and delivery thereof, this Agreement was in full force and effect; (ii) such instrument or document was duly executed in accordance with the terms and provisions of this Agreement and is binding upon the Partnership; and (iii) the General Partner or the General Partner's representative was duly authorized and empowered to execute and deliver any and every such instrument or document for and on behalf of the Partnership.

(d) <u>Partnership Funds</u>. The funds of the Partnership shall be deposited in such account or accounts as are designated by the General Partner. The General Partner may, in its sole and unfettered discretion, deposit funds of the Partnership in a central disbursing account maintained by or in the name of the General Partner, the Partnership, or any other Person into which funds of the General Partner, the Partnership, on other Persons are also deposited; *provided, however,* at all times books of account are maintained that show the amount of funds of the Partnership on deposit in such account and interest accrued with respect to such funds as credited to the Partnership. The General Partner may use the funds of the Partnership as compensating balances for its benefit; *provided, however,* such funds do

not directly or indirectly secure, and are not otherwise at risk on account of, any indebtedness or other obligation of the General Partner or any director, officer, employee, agent, representative, or Affiliate thereof. Nothing in this Section 4.1(d) shall be deemed to prohibit or limit in any manner the right of the Partnership to lend funds to the General Partner or any Affiliate thereof pursuant to Section 4.1(e)(i). All withdrawals from or charges against such accounts shall be made by the General Partner or by its representatives. Funds of the Partnership may be invested as determined by the General Partner in accordance with the terms and provisions of this Agreement.

(e) Loans to or from General Partner: Contracts with Affiliates; Joint Ventures.

(i) The General Partner or any Affiliate of the General Partner may lend to the Partnership funds needed by the Partnership for such periods of time as the General Partner may determine; *provided, however*, the General Partner or its Affiliate may not charge the Partnership interest at a rate greater than the rate (including points or other financing charges or fees) that would be charged the Partnership (without reference to the General Partner's financial abilities or guaranties) by unrelated lenders on comparable loans. The Partnership shall reimburse the General Partner or its Affiliate, as the case may be, for any costs incurred by the General Partner or that Affiliate in connection with the borrowing of funds obtained by the General Partner or that Affiliate and loaned to the Partnership. The Partnership may loan funds to the General Partner and any member of the Founding Partner Group at the General Partner's sole and exclusive discretion.

(ii) The General Partner or any of its Affiliates may enter into an agreement with the Partnership to render services, including management services, for the Partnership. Any service rendered for the Partnership by the General Partner or any Affiliate thereof shall be on terms that are fair and reasonable to the Partnership.

(iii) The Partnership may Transfer any assets to joint ventures or other partnerships in which it is or thereby becomes a participant upon terms and subject to such conditions consistent with applicable law as the General Partner deems appropriate; provided, however, that the Partnership may not transfer any asset to the General Partner or one of its Affiliates during any NAV Ratio Trigger Period for consideration less than such asset's fair market value.

(f) <u>Outside Activities' Conflicts of Interest</u>. The General Partner or any Affiliate thereof and any director, officer, employee, agent, or representative of the General Partner or any Affiliate thereof shall be entitled to and may have business interests and engage in business activities in addition to those relating to the Partnership, including, without limitation, business interests and activities in direct competition with the Partnership. Neither the Partnership nor any of the Partners shall have any rights by virtue of this Agreement or the partnership relationship created hereby in any business ventures of the General Partner, any Affiliate thereof, or any director, officer, employee, agent, or representative of either the General Partner or any Affiliate thereof.

(g) <u>Resolution of Conflicts of Interest</u>. Unless otherwise expressly provided in this Agreement or any other agreement contemplated herein, whenever a conflict of interest exists or arises between the General Partner or any of its Affiliates, on the one hand, and the Partnership or any Limited Partner, on the other hand, any action taken by the General Partner, in the absence of bad faith by the General Partner, shall not constitute a breach of this Agreement or any other agreement contemplated herein or a breach of any standard of care or duty imposed herein or therein or under the Delaware Act or any other applicable law, rule, or regulation.

(h) <u>Indemnification</u>. The Partnership shall indemnify and hold harmless the General Partner and any director, officer, employee, agent, or representative of the General Partner (collectively,

the "GP Party"), against all liabilities, losses, and damages incurred by any of them by reason of any act performed or omitted to be performed in the name of or on behalf of the Partnership, or in connection with the Partnership's business, including, without limitation, attorneys' fees and any amounts expended in the settlement of any claims or liabilities, losses, or damages, to the fullest extent permitted by the Delaware Act; provided, however, the Partnership shall have no obligation to indemnify and hold harmless a GP Party for any action or inaction that constitutes gross negligence or willful or wanton misconduct. The Partnership, in the sole and unfettered discretion of the General Partner, may indemnify and hold harmless any Limited Partner, employee, agent, or representative of the Partnership, any Person who is or was serving at the request of the Partnership acting through the General Partner as a director, officer, partner, trustee, employee, agent, or representative of another corporation, partnership, joint venture, trust, or other enterprise, and any other Person to the extent determined by the General Partner in its sole and unfettered discretion, but in no event shall such indemnification exceed the indemnification permitted by the Delaware Act. Notwithstanding anything to the contrary in this Section 4.1(h) or elsewhere in this Agreement, no amendment to the Delaware Act after the date of this Agreement shall reduce or limit in any manner the indemnification provided for or permitted by this Section 4.1(h) unless such reduction or limitation is mandated by such amendment for limited partnerships formed prior to the enactment of such amendment. In no event shall Limited Partners be subject to personal liability by reason of the indemnification provisions of this Agreement.

(i) Liability of General Partner.

(i) Neither the General Partner nor its directors, officers, employees, agents, or representatives shall be liable to the Partnership or any Limited Partner for errors in judgment or for any acts or omissions that do not constitute gross negligence or willful or wanton misconduct.

(ii) The General Partner may exercise any of the powers granted to it by this Agreement and perform any of the duties imposed upon it hereunder either directly or by or through its directors, officers, employees, agents, or representatives, and the General Partner shall not be responsible for any misconduct or negligence on the part of any agent or representative appointed by the General Partner.

(j) <u>Reliance by General Partner</u>.

(i) The General Partner may rely and shall be protected in acting or refraining from acting upon any resolution, certificate, statement, instrument, opinion, report, notice, request, consent, order, bond, debenture, or other paper or document believed by it to be genuine and to have been signed or presented by the proper party or parties.

(ii) The General Partner may consult with legal counsel, accountants, appraisers, management consultants, investment bankers, and other consultants and advisers selected by it, and any opinion of any such Person as to matters which the General Partner believes to be within such Person's professional or expert competence shall be full and complete authorization and protection in respect of any action taken or suffered or omitted by the General Partner hereunder in good faith and in accordance with such opinion.

(k) The General Partner may, from time to time, designate one or more Persons to be officers of the Partnership. No officer need be a Partner. Any officers so designated shall have such authority and perform such duties as the General Partner may, from time to time, delegate to them. The General Partner may assign titles to particular officers, including, without limitation, president, vice president, secretary, assistant secretary, treasurer and assistant treasurer. Each officer shall hold office until such Person's successor shall be duly designated and shall qualify or until such Person's death or

until such Person shall resign or shall have been removed in the manner hereinafter provided. Any number of offices may be held by the same Person. The salaries or other compensation, if any, of the officers and agents of the Partnership shall be fixed from time to time by the General Partner. Any officer may be removed as such, either with or without cause, by the General Partner whenever in the General Partner's judgment the best interests of the Partnership will be served thereby. Any vacancy occurring in any office of the Partnership may be filled by the General Partner.

4.2. Rights and Obligations of Limited Partners. In addition to the rights and obligations of Limited Partners set forth elsewhere in this Agreement, Limited Partners shall have the following rights and obligations:

(a) <u>Limitation of Liability</u>. Limited Partners shall have no liability under this Agreement except as provided herein or under the Delaware Act.

(b) <u>Management of Business</u>. No Limited Partner shall take part in the control (within the meaning of the Delaware Act) of the Partnership's business, transact any business in the Partnership's name, or have the power to sign documents for or otherwise bind the Partnership other than as specifically set forth in this Agreement.

(c) <u>Return of Capital</u>. No Limited Partner shall be entitled to the withdrawal or return of its Capital Contribution except to the extent, if any, that distributions made pursuant to this Agreement or upon termination of the Partnership may be considered as such by law and then only to the extent provided for in this Agreement.

(d) <u>Second Amended Buy-Sell and Redemption Agreement</u>. Each Limited Partner shall comply with the terms and conditions of the Second Amended Buy-Sell and Redemption Agreement.

(e) <u>Default on Priority Distributions</u>. If the Partnership fails to timely pay Priority Distributions pursuant to Section 3.9(b), and the Partnership does not subsequently make such Priority Distribution within ninety days of its due date, the Class B Limited Partner or the Class C Limited Partner may require the Partnership to liquidate publicly traded securities held by the Partnership or Highland Select Equity Master Fund, L.P., a Delaware limited partnership controlled by the Partnership; <u>provided</u>, <u>however</u>, that the General Partner may in its sole discretion elect instead to liquidate other non-publicly traded securities owned by the Partnership in order to satisfy the Partnership's obligations under <u>Section</u> 3.9(b) and this <u>Section 4.2(e)</u>. In either case, Affiliates of the General Partner shall have the right of first offer to purchase any securities liquidated under this <u>Section 4.2(e)</u>.

4.3. Transfer of Partnership Interests.

(a) <u>Transfer</u>. No Partnership Interest shall be Transferred, in whole or in part, except in accordance with the terms and conditions set forth in this <u>Section 4.3</u> and the Second Amended Buy-Sell and Redemption Agreement. Any Transfer or purported Transfer of any Partnership Interest not made in accordance with this <u>Section 4.3</u> and the Second Amended Buy-Sell and Redemption Agreement shall be null and void. An alleged transferee shall have no right to require any information or account of the Partnership's transactions or to inspect the Partnership's books. The Partnership shall be entitled to treat the alleged transferee for distributions to the Partner owning that Partnership Interest of record or for allocations of Profits, Losses, deductions or credits or for transmittal of reports and notices required to be given to holders of Partnership Interests.

(b) <u>Transfers by General Partner</u>. The General Partner may Transfer all, but not less than all, of its Partnership Interest to any Person only with the approval of a Majority Interest; provided, however, that the General Partner may not Transfer its Partnership Interest during any NAV Ratio Trigger Period except to the extent such Transfers are for estate planning purposes or resulting from the death of the individual owner of the General Partner. Any Transfer by the General Partner of its Partnership Interest under this <u>Section 4.3(b)</u> to an Affiliate of the General Partner or any other Person shall not constitute a withdrawal of the General Partner under <u>Section 4.5(a)</u>, <u>Section 5.1(b)</u>, or any other provision of this Agreement. If any such Transfer is deemed to constitute a withdrawal under such provisions or otherwise and results in the dissolution of the Partnership under this Agreement or the laws of any jurisdiction to which the Partnership of this Agreement is subject, the Partners hereby unanimously consent to the reconstitution and continuation of the Partnership immediately following such dissolution, pursuant to <u>Section 5.2</u>.

(c) <u>Transfers by Limited Partners</u>. The Partnership Interest of a Limited Partner may not be Transferred without the consent of the General Partner (which consent may be withheld in the sole and unfettered discretion of the General Partner), and in accordance with the Second Amended Buy-Sell and Redemption Agreement.

Distributions and Allocations in Respect of Transferred Partnership Interests. If (d)any Partnership Interest is Transferred during any Fiscal Year in compliance with the provisions of Article 4 and the Second Amended Buy-Sell and Redemption Agreement, Profits, Losses, and all other items attributable to the transferred interest for that period shall be divided and allocated between the transferor and the transferee by taking into account their varying interests during the period in accordance with Code Section 706(d), using any conventions permitted by law and selected by the General Partner; provided that no allocations shall be made under this Section 4.3(d) that would affect any special allocations made under Section 3.4. All distributions declared on or before the date of that Transfer shall be made to the transferor. Solely for purposes of making such allocations and distributions, the Partnership shall recognize that Transfer not later than the end of the calendar month during which it is given notice of that Transfer; *provided*, *however*, if the Partnership does not receive a notice stating the date that Partnership Interest was Transferred and such other information as the General Partner may reasonably require within thirty (30) days after the end of the Fiscal Year during which the Transfer occurs, then all of such items shall be allocated, and all distributions shall be made, to the person who, according to the books and records of the Partnership, on the last day of the Fiscal Year during which the Transfer occurs, was the owner of the Partnership Interest. Neither the Partnership nor any Partner shall incur any liability for making allocations and distributions in accordance with the provisions of this Section 4.3(d), whether or not any Partner or the Partnership has knowledge of any Transfer of ownership of any Partnership Interest.

(e) <u>Forfeiture of Partnership Interests Pursuant to the Contribution Note</u>. In the event any Class B Limited Partnership Interests are forfeited in favor of the Partnership as a result of any default on the Contribution Note, the Capital Accounts and Percentage Interests associated with such Class B Limited Partnership Interests shall be allocated pro rata among the Class A Partners. The Priority Distributions in Section 3.9(b) made after the date of such forfeiture shall each be reduced by an amount equal to the ratio of the Percentage Interest associated with the Class B Limited Partnership Interest transferred pursuant to this <u>Section 4.3(e)</u> over the aggregate Percentage Interests of all Class B Limited Partnership Interests and Class C Limited Partnership Interests, calculated immediately prior to any forfeiture of such Class B Limited Partnership Interest.

(f) <u>Transfers of Partnership Interests Pursuant to the Purchase Notes</u>. Notwithstanding any other provision in this Agreement, the Partnership shall respect, and the General Partner hereby provides automatic consent for, any transfers (in whole or transfers of partial interests) of the Class C Limited Partnership Interests, or a portion thereof, if such transfer occurs as a result of a default on the Purchase Notes. Upon the transfer of any Class C Limited Partnership Interest to any member of the Founding Partner Group (or their assigns), such Class C Limited Partnership Interest shall automatically convert to a Class A Partnership Interest. The Priority Distributions in Section 3.9(b) shall each be reduced by an amount equal to the ratio of the Percentage Interest associated with the transferred Class C Limited Partnership Interest over the aggregate Percentage Interests of all Class B Limited Partnership Interests and Class C Limited Partnership Interests, calculated immediately prior to any transfer of such Class C Limited Partnership Interest.

4.4. Issuances of Partnership Interests to New and Existing Partners.

(a) <u>Issuance of Partnership Interests to New Limited Partners</u>. The General Partner may admit one or more additional Persons as Limited Partners ("Additional Limited Partners") to the Partnership at such times and upon such terms as it deems appropriate in its sole and unfettered discretion; provided, however, that the General Partner may only admit additional Persons as Limited Partners in relation to the issuance of equity incentives to key employees of the Partnership; provided, further that the General Partner may not issue such equity incentives to the extent they entitle the holders, in the aggregate, to a Percentage Interest in excess of twenty percent without the consent of the Class B Limited Partner and the Class C Limited Partner. All Class A Limited Partners, the Class B Limited Partner and the Class C Limited Partner shall be diluted proportionately by the issuance of such limited partnership interests. No Person may be admitted to the Partnership as a Limited Partner until he/she/it executes an Addendum to this Agreement in the form attached as Exhibit B (which may be modified by the General Partner in its sole and unfettered discretion) and an addendum to the Second Amended Buy-Sell and Redemption Agreement.

(b) <u>Issuance of an Additional Partnership Interest to an Existing Partner</u>. The General Partner may issue an additional Partnership Interest to any existing Partner at such times and upon such terms as it deems appropriate in its sole and unfettered discretion. Upon the issuance of an additional Partnership Interest to an existing Partner, the Percentage Interests of the members of the Founding Partner Group shall be diluted proportionately. Any additional Partnership Interest shall be subject to all the terms and conditions of this Agreement and the Second Amended Buy-Sell and Redemption Agreement.

4.5. Withdrawal of General Partner

(a) <u>Option</u>. In the event of the withdrawal of the General Partner from the Partnership, the departing General Partner (the "*Departing Partner*") shall, at the option of its successor (if any) exercisable prior to the effective date of the departure of that Departing Partner, promptly receive from its successor in exchange for its Partnership Interest as the General Partner, an amount in cash equal to its Capital Account balance, determined as of the effective date of its departure.

(b) <u>Conversion</u>. If the successor to a Departing Partner does not exercise the option described in <u>Section 4.5(a)</u>, the Partnership Interest of the Departing Partner as the General Partner of the Partnership shall be converted into a Partnership Interest as a Limited Partner.

4.6. Admission of Substitute Limited Partners and Successor General Partner.

(a) <u>Admission of Substitute Limited Partners</u>. A transferee (which may be the heir or legatee of a Limited Partner) or assignee of a Limited Partner's Partnership Interest shall be entitled to receive only the distributive share of the Partnership's Profits, Losses, deductions, and credits attributable to that Partnership Interest. To become a substitute Limited Partner (a "*Substitute Limited Partner*"),

that transferee or assignee shall (1) obtain the consent of the General Partner (which consent may be withheld in the sole and unfettered discretion of the General Partner), (ii) comply with all the requirements of this Agreement and the Second Amended Buy-Sell and Redemption Agreement with respect to the Transfer of the Partnership Interest at issue, and (iii) execute an Addendum to this Agreement in the form attached as <u>Exhibit B</u> (which may be modified by the General Partner in its sole and unfettered discretion) and an addendum to the Second Amended Buy-Sell and Redemption Agreement. Upon admission of a Substitute Limited Partner, that Limited Partner shall be subject to all of the restrictions applicable to, shall assume all of the obligations of, and shall attain the status of a Limited Partner under and pursuant to this Agreement with respect to the Partnership Interest held by that Limited Partner.

(b) <u>Admission of Successor General Partner</u>. A successor General Partner selected pursuant to <u>Section 5.2</u> or the transferee of or successor to all of the Partnership Interest of the General Partner pursuant to <u>Section 4.3(b)</u> shall be admitted to the Partnership as the General Partner, effective as of the date of the withdrawal or removal of the predecessor General Partner or the date of Transfer of that predecessor's Partnership Interest.

(c) <u>Action by General Partner</u>. In connection with the admission of any substitute Limited Partner or successor General Partner or any additional Limited Partner, the General Partner shall have the authority to take all such actions as it deems necessary or advisable in connection therewith, including the amendment of <u>Exhibit A</u> and the execution and filing with appropriate authorities of any necessary documentation.

ARTICLE 5

DISSOLUTION AND WINDING UP

5.1. **Dissolution.** The Partnership shall be dissolved upon:

(a) The withdrawal, bankruptcy, or dissolution of the General Partner, or any other event that results in its ceasing to be the General Partner (other than by reason of a Transfer pursuant to <u>Section 4.3(b)</u>);

(b) An election to dissolve the Partnership by the General Partner that is approved by the affirmative vote of a Majority Interest; *provided, however*, the General Partner may dissolve the Partnership without the approval of the Limited Partners in order to comply with Section 14 of the Second Amended Buy-Sell and Redemption Agreement; or

(c) Any other event that, under the Delaware Act, would cause its dissolution.

For purposes of this <u>Section 5.1</u>, the bankruptcy of the General Partner shall be deemed to have occurred when the General Partner: (i) makes a general assignment for the benefit of creditors; (ii) files a voluntary bankruptcy petition; (iii) becomes the subject of an order for relief or is declared insolvent in any federal or state bankruptcy or insolvency proceeding: (iv) files a petition or answer seeking a reorganization, arrangement, composition, readjustment, liquidation, dissolution, or similar relief under any law; (v) files an answer or other pleading admitting or failing to contest the material allegations of a petition filed against the General Partner in a proceeding of the type described in clauses (i) through (iv) of this paragraph; (vi) seeks, consents to, or acquiesces in the appointment of a trustee, receiver, or liquidator of the General Partner or of all or any substantial part of the General Partner's properties; or (vii) one hundred twenty (120) days expire after the date of the commencement of a proceeding against the General Partner seeking reorganization, arrangement, composition, arrangement, composition, readjustment, composition, readjustment, or bar of the General Partner's properties; or (vii) one hundred twenty (120) days expire after the date of the commencement of a proceeding against the General Partner seeking reorganization, arrangement, composition, readjustment, liquidation, dissolution, or

similar relief under any law if the proceeding has not been previously dismissed, or ninety (90) days expire after the date of the appointment, without the General Partner's consent or acquiescence, of a trustee, receiver, or liquidator of the General Partner or of all or any substantial part of the General Partner's properties if the appointment has not previously been vacated or stayed, or ninety (90) days expire after the date of expiration of a stay, if the appointment has not previously been vacated.

5.2. Continuation of the Partnership. Upon the occurrence of an event described in Section 5.1(a), the Partnership shall be deemed to be dissolved and reconstituted if a Majority Interest elect to continue the Partnership within ninety (90) days of that event. If no election to continue the Partnership is made within ninety (90) days of that event, the Partnership shall conduct only activities necessary to wind up its affairs. If an election to continue the Partnership is made upon the occurrence of an event described in Section 5.1(a), then:

(a) Within that ninety (90)-day period a successor General Partner shall be selected by a Majority Interest;

(b) The Partnership shall be deemed to be reconstituted and shall continue until the end of the term for which it is formed unless earlier dissolved in accordance with this <u>Article 5</u>;

(c) The interest of the former General Partner shall be converted to an interest as a Limited Partner; and

(d) All necessary steps shall be taken to amend or restate this Agreement and the Certificate of Limited Partnership, and the successor General Partner may for this purpose amend this Agreement and the Certificate of Limited Partnership, as appropriate, without the consent of any Partner.

Liquidation. Upon dissolution of the Partnership, unless the Partnership is continued 5.3. under Section 5.2, the General Partner or, in the event the General Partner has been dissolved, becomes bankrupt (as defined in Section 5.1), or withdraws from the Partnership, a liquidator or liquidating committee selected by a Majority Interest, shall be the Liquidator. The Liquidator (if other than the General Partner) shall be entitled to receive such compensation for its services as may be approved by a Majority Interest. The Liquidator shall agree not to resign at any time without fifteen (15) days' prior written notice and (if other than the General Partner) may be removed at any time, with or without cause, by notice of removal approved by a Majority Interest. Upon dissolution, removal, or resignation of the Liquidator, a successor and substitute Liquidator (who shall have and succeed to all rights, powers, and duties of the original Liquidator) shall within thirty (30) days thereafter be selected by a Majority Interest. The right to appoint a successor or substitute Liquidator in the manner provided herein shall be recurring and continuing for so long as the functions and services of the Liquidator are authorized to continue under the provisions hereof, and every reference herein to the Liquidator shall be deemed to refer also to any such successor or substitute Liquidator appointed in the manner provided herein. Except as expressly provided in this Article 5, the Liquidator appointed in the manner provided herein shall have and may exercise, without further authorization or consent of any of the parties hereto, all of the powers conferred upon the General Partner under the terms of this Agreement (but subject to all of the applicable limitations, contractual and otherwise, upon the exercise of such powers) to the extent necessary or desirable in the good faith judgment of the Liquidator to carry out the duties and functions of the Liquidator hereunder for and during such period of time as shall be reasonably required in the good faith judgment of the Liquidator to complete the winding up and liquidation of the Partnership as provided herein. The Liquidator shall liquidate the assets of the Partnership and apply and distribute the proceeds of such liquidation in the following order of priority, unless otherwise required by mandatory provisions of applicable law:

(a) To the payment of the expenses of the terminating transactions including, without limitation, brokerage commission, legal fees, accounting fees and closing costs;

(b) To the payment of creditors of the Partnership, including Partners, in order of priority provided by law;

(c) To the Partners and assignees to the extent of, and in proportion to, the positive balances in their respective Capital Accounts as provided in Treasury Regulations Section 1.704-1(b)(2)(ii)(b)(2); *provided, however*, the Liquidator may place in escrow a reserve of cash or other assets of the Partnership for contingent liabilities in an amount determined by the Liquidator to be appropriate for such purposes; and

(d) To the Partners in proportion to their respective Percentage Interests.

5.4. Distribution in Kind. Notwithstanding the provisions of <u>Section 5.3</u> that require the liquidation of the assets of the Partnership, but subject to the order of priorities set forth therein, if on dissolution of the Partnership the Liquidator determines that an immediate sale of part or all of the Partnership's assets would be impractical or would cause undue loss to the Partners and assignees, the Liquidator may defer for a reasonable time the liquidation of any assets except those necessary to satisfy liabilities of the Partnership (other than those to Partners) and/or may distribute to the Partners and assignees, in lieu of cash, as tenants in common and in accordance with the provisions of <u>Section 5.3</u>, undivided interests in such Partnership assets as the Liquidator deems not suitable for liquidation. Any such distributions in kind shall be subject to such conditions relating to the disposition and management of such properties as the Liquidator deems reasonable and equitable and to any joint operating agreements or other agreements governing the operation of such properties at such time. The Liquidator shall determine the fair market value of any property distributed in kind using such reasonable method of valuation as it may adopt.

5.5. Cancellation of Certificate of Limited Partnership. Upon the completion of the distribution of Partnership property as provided in <u>Sections 5.3</u> and <u>5.4</u>, the Partnership shall be terminated, and the Liquidator (or the General Partner and Limited Partners if necessary) shall cause the cancellation of the Certificate of Limited Partnership in the State of Delaware and of all qualifications and registrations of the Partnership as a foreign limited partnership in jurisdictions other than the State of Delaware and shall take such other actions as may be necessary to terminate the Partnership.

5.6. Return of Capital. The General Partner shall not be personally liable for the return of the Capital Contributions of Limited Partners, or any portion thereof, it being expressly understood that any such return shall be made solely from Partnership assets.

5.7. Waiver of Partition. Each Partner hereby waives any rights to partition of the Partnership property.

ARTICLE 6

GENERAL PROVISIONS

6.1. Amendments to Agreement. The General Partner may amend this Agreement without the consent of any Partner if the General Partner reasonably determines that such amendment is necessary and appropriate; *provided, however, any* action taken by the General Partner shall be subject to its fiduciary duties to the Limited Partners under the Delaware Act; provided further that any amendments

that adversely affect the Class B Limited Partner or the Class C Limited Partner may only be made with the consent of such Partner adversely affected.

6.2. Addresses and Notices. Any notice, demand, request, or report required or permitted to be given or made to a Partner under this Agreement shall be in writing and shall be deemed given or made when delivered in person or when sent by United States registered or certified mail to the Partner at his/her/its address as shown on the records of the Partnership, regardless of any claim of any Person who may have an interest in any Partnership Interest by reason of an assignment or otherwise.

6.3. Titles and Captions. All article and section titles and captions in the Agreement are for convenience only, shall not be deemed part of this Agreement, and in no way shall define, limit, extend, or describe the scope or intent of any provisions hereof. Except as specifically provided otherwise, references to "Articles," "Sections" and "Exhibits" are to "Articles," "Sections" and "Exhibits" of this Agreement. All Exhibits hereto are incorporated herein by reference.

6.4. Pronouns and Plurals. Whenever the context may require, any pronoun used in this Agreement shall include the corresponding masculine, feminine, or neuter forms, and the singular form of nouns, pronouns, and verbs shall include the plural and vice versa.

6.5. Further Action. The parties shall execute all documents, provide all information, and take or refrain from taking all actions as may be necessary or appropriate to achieve the purposes of this Agreement.

6.6. Binding Effect. This Agreement shall be binding upon and inure to the benefit of the parties hereto and their heirs, executors, administrators, successors, legal representatives, and permitted assigns.

6.7. Integration. This Agreement constitutes the entire agreement among the parties hereto pertaining to the subject matter hereof and supersedes all prior agreements and understandings pertaining thereto.

6.8. Creditors. None of the provisions of this Agreement shall be for the benefit of or enforceable by any creditors of the Partnership.

6.9. Waiver. No failure by any party to insist upon the strict performance of any covenant, duty, agreement, or condition of this Agreement or to exercise any right or remedy consequent upon a breach thereof shall constitute waiver of any such breach or any other covenant, duty, agreement, or condition.

6.10. Counterparts. This agreement may be executed in counterparts, all of which together shall constitute one agreement binding on all the parties hereto, notwithstanding that all such parties are not signatories to the original or the same counterpart.

6.11. Applicable Law. This Agreement shall be construed in accordance with and governed by the laws of the State of Delaware, without regard to the principles of conflicts of law.

6.12. Invalidity of Provisions. If any provision of this Agreement is declared or found to be illegal, unenforceable, or void, in whole or in part, then the parties shall be relieved of all obligations arising under that provision, but only to the extent that it is illegal, unenforceable, or void, it being the intent and agreement of the parties that this Agreement shall be deemed amended by modifying that provision to the extent necessary to make it legal and enforceable while preserving its intent or, if that is

not possible, by substituting therefor another provision that is legal and enforceable and achieves the same objectives.

6.13. General Partner Discretion. Whenever the General Partner may use its sole discretion, the General Partner may consider any items it deems relevant, including its own interest and that of its affiliates.

Mandatory Arbitration. In the event there is an unresolved legal dispute between the 6.14. parties and/or any of their respective officers, directors, partners, employees, agents, affiliates or other representatives that involves legal rights or remedies arising from this Agreement, the parties agree to submit their dispute to binding arbitration under the authority of the Federal Arbitration Act; provided, however, that the Partnership or such applicable affiliate thereof may pursue a temporary restraining order and /or preliminary injunctive relief in connection with any confidentiality covenants or agreements binding on the other party, with related expedited discovery for the parties, in a court of law, and thereafter, require arbitration of all issues of final relief. The arbitration will be conducted by the American Arbitration Association, or another mutually agreeable arbitration service. A panel of three arbitrators will preside over the arbitration and will together deliberate, decide and issue the final award. The arbitrators shall be duly licensed to practice law in the state of Texas. The discovery process shall be limited to the following: Each side shall be permitted no more than (i) two party depositions of six hours each, each deposition to be taken pursuant to the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure; (ii) one non-party deposition of six hours; (iii) twenty-five interrogatories; (iv) twenty-five requests for admissions; (v) ten request for production (in response, the producing party shall not be obligated to produce in excess of 5,000 total pages of documents, including electronic documents); and (vi) one request for disclosure pursuant to the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure. Any discovery not specifically provided for in this paragraph, whether to parties or non-parties, shall not be permitted. The arbitrators shall be required to state in a written opinion all facts and conclusions of law relied upon to support any decision rendered. The arbitrators will not have the authority to render a decision that contains an outcome based on error of state or federal law or to fashion a cause of action or remedy not otherwise provided for under applicable state or federal law. Any dispute over whether the arbitrators have failed to comply with the foregoing will be resolved by summary judgment in a court of law. In all other respects, the arbitration process will be conducted in accordance with the American Arbitration Association's dispute resolution rules or other mutually agreeable arbitration services rules. All proceedings shall be conducted in Dallas, Texas or another mutually agreeable site. Each party shall bear its own attorneys fees, costs and expenses, including any costs of experts, witnesses and /or travel, subject to a final arbitration award on who should bear costs and fees. The duty to arbitrate described above shall survive the termination of this Agreement. Except as otherwise provided above, the parties hereby waive trial in a court of law or by jury. All other rights, remedies, statutes of limitation and defenses applicable to claims asserted in a court of law will apply in the arbitration.

Remainder of Page intentionally Left Blank. Signature Page Follows. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties hereto have entered into this Agreement as of the date and year first written above.

GENERAL PARTNER:

STRAND ADVISORS, INC., a Delaware corporation

By:

James D. Dondero, President

LIMITED PARTNERS:

THE DUGABOY INVESTMENT TRUST

M. Do ances eno By:

Name: Nancy M. Dondero Its: Trustee

THE MARK AND PAMELA OKADA FAMILY TRUST – EXEMPT TRUST #1

By: Name: Lawrence Tonomura Its: Trustee

THE MARK AND PAMELA OKADA FAMILY TRUST – EXEMPT TRUST #2

By:

Name: Lawrence Tonomura Its: Trustee

MARK K. Ok

Mark K. Okada

Signature Page to Fourth Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership

.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties hereto have entered into this Agreement as of the date and year first written above.

GENERAL PARTNER:

STRAND ADVISORS, INC., a Delaware corporation

By:

James D. Dondero, President

LIMITED PARTNERS:

THE DUGABOY INVESTMENT TRUST

By: Name: Nancy M. Dondero Its: Trustee

THE MARK AND PAMELA OKADA FAMILY TRUST – EXEMPT TRUST #1

By: Narie: Lawrence Tonomura Trustee Its

THE MARK AND PAMELA OKADA FAMILY TRUST – EXEMPT TRUST #2

By: Nan e: Lav rence Tonomi ra Its: Trastee

MARK K. OKADA

Mark K. Okada

Signature Page to Fourth Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership

HUNTER MOUNTAIN/INVESTMENT TRUST By: Beacon Mountain 1/LC, Administrator By: Name: John Hon Its: Presid

Signature Page to Fourth Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership

EXHIBIT A

	Percentage Interest	
CLASS A PARTNERS	By Class	Effective %
GENERAL PARTNER:		
Strand Advisors	0.5573%	0.2508%
LIMITED PARTNERS:		
The Dugaboy Investment Trust	74.4426%	0.1866%
Mark K. Okada	19.4268%	0.0487%
The Mark and Pamela Okada Family Trust - Exempt Trust #1	3.9013%	0.0098%
The Mark and Pamela Okada Family Trust - Exempt Trust #2	1.6720%	0.0042%
Total Class A Percentage Interest	100.0000%	0.500%
CLASS B LIMITED PARTNERS		
Hunter Mountain Investment Trust	100.0000%	55.0000%
CLASS C LIMITED PARTNERS		
Hunter Mountain Investment Trust	100.0000%	44.500%
PROFIT AND LOSS AMONG CLASSES		
Class A Partners	0.5000%	
Class B Partners	55.0000%	
Class C Partners	44.5000%	

EXHIBIT B

ADDENDUM TO THE FOURTH AMENDED AND RESTATED AGREEMENT OF LIMITED PARTNERSHIP OF HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P.

THIS ADDENDUM (this "Addendum") to that certain Fourth Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of Highland Capital Management, L.P., dated December 24, 2015, to be effective as of December 24, 2015, as amended from time to time (the "Agreement"), is made and entered into as of the _____ day of _____, 20_, by and between Strand Advisors, Inc., as the sole General Partner (the "General Partner") of Highland Capital Management, L.P. (the "Partnership") and ______ ("_____") (except as otherwise provided herein, all capitalized terms used herein shall have the meanings set forth in the Agreement).

RECITALS:

WHEREAS, the General Partner, in its sole and unfettered discretion, and without the consent of any Limited Partner, has the authority under (i) Section 4.4 of the Agreement to admit Additional Limited Partners, (ii) Section 4.6 of the Agreement to admit Substitute Limited Partners and (iii) Section 6.1 of the Agreement to amend the Agreement;

WHEREAS, the General Partner desires to admit ______ as a Class __ Limited Partner holding a % Percentage Interest in the Partnership as of the date hereof;

WHEREAS, ______desires to become a Class __ Limited Partner and be bound by the terms and conditions of the Agreement; and

WHEREAS, the General Partner desires to amend the Agreement to add ______ as a party thereto.

AGREEMENT:

RESOLVED, as a condition to receiving a Partnership Interest in the Partnership, ________ acknowledges and agrees that he/she/it (i) has received and read a copy of the Agreement, (ii) shall be bound by the terms and conditions of the Agreement; and (iii) shall promptly execute an addendum to the Second Amended Buy-Sell and Redemption Agreement; and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, the General Partner hereby amends the Agreement to add as a Limited Partner, and the General Partner shall attach this Addendum to the Agreement and make it a part thereof; and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, this Addendum may be executed in any number of counterparts, all of which together shall constitute one Addendum binding on all the parties hereto, notwithstanding that all such parties are not signatories to the original or the same counterpart.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the undersigned have executed this Addendum as of the day and year above written.

GENERAL PARTNER:

STRAND ADVISORS, INC.

By:

Name: ______ Title: _____

NEW LIMITED PARTNER:

[_____]

AGREED AND ACCEPTED:

In consideration of the terms of this Addendum and the Agreement, in consideration of the Partnership's allowing the above signed Person to become a Limited Partner of the Partnership, and for other good and valuable consideration receipt of which is hereby acknowledged, the undersigned shall be bound by the terms and conditions of the Agreement as though a party thereto.

SPOUSE OF NEW LIMITED PARTNER:

[_____]

B1040 (FORM 1040) (12/15)

ADVERSARY PROCEEDING COVER SHEI (Instructions on Reverse)		DVERSARY PROCEEDING NUMBER Court Use Only)		
PLAINTIFFS Highland Capital Management, L.P.	DEFENDANTS NexPoint Advisors, L.P., James Dondero, Nancy Dondero, and The Dugaboy Investment Trust			
ATTORNEYS (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone No.) Hayward PLLC 10501 N. Central Expressway, Suite 106 Dallas, Texas 75231 Tel.: (972) 755-7100	ATTORNEYS (If Known) Munsch Hardt Kopf & Harr, P.C. (for NexPoint); Stinson LLP (for Nancy Dondero); Heller, Draper, & Horn, L.L.C. (for The Dugaboy Investment Trust			
PARTY (Check One Box Only) ✓ Debtor □ U.S. Trustee/Bankruptcy Admin □ Creditor □ Other □ Trustee □ Other	PARTY (Ch Debtor Creditor Trustee	eck One Box Only) □ U.S. Trustee/Bankruptcy Admin ☑ Other		
CAUSE OF ACTION (WRITE A BRIEF STATEMENT OF CAUSE OF ACTION, INCLUDING ALL U.S. STATUTES INVOLVED) Breach of Contract; Turnover Pursuant to 11 USC 542(b); Avoidance and Recovery of Actual Fraudulent Transfer under 11 USC 548(a)(1)(A) and 550; Avoidance and Recovery of Actual Fraudulent Transfer under 11 USC 544(b) and 550 and Tex. Bus. & C. Code 24.005(a)(1); Declaratory Relief; Breach of Fiduciary Duty; Aiding & Abetting Breach of Fiduciary Duty				
NATURE OF SUIT (Number up to five (5) boxes starting with lead cause of action as 1, first alternative cause as 2, second alternative cause as 3, etc.)				
FRBP 7001(1) – Recovery of Money/Property □ 11-Recovery of money/property - §542 turnover of property □ 12-Recovery of money/property - §547 preference □ 13-Recovery of money/property - §548 fraudulent transfer □ 14-Recovery of money/property - §548 fraudulent transfer □ 14-Recovery of money/property - other FRBP 7001(2) – Validity, Priority or Extent of Lien 21-Validity, priority or extent of lien or other interest in property FRBP 7001(3) – Approval of Sale of Property 31-Approval of sale of property of estate and of a co-owner - §363(h) FRBP 7001(4) – Objection/Revocation of Discharge 14 the data of the	 ☐ 61-Discharg ☐ 68-Discharg ☐ 63-Discharg ☐ 64-Discharg ☐ 64-Discharg (other that a 65-Discharg FRBP 7001(7) - ☐ 71-Injunctive ☐ 72-Injunctive 	Dischargeability (continued) eability - §523(a)(5), domestic support eability - §523(a)(6), willful and malicious injury eability - §523(a)(8), student loan eability - §523(a)(15), divorce or separation obligation n domestic support) eability - other Injunctive Relief re relief – imposition of stay re relief – other ubordination of Claim or Interest		
 ↓ 41-Objection / revocation of discharge - §727(c),(d),(e) FRBP 7001(5) – Revocation of Confirmation ↓ 51-Revocation of confirmation 		action of claim or interest Declaratory Judgment		
 FRBP 7001(6) – Dischargeability 66-Dischargeability - §523(a)(1),(14),(14A) priority tax claims 62-Dischargeability - §523(a)(2), false pretenses, false representation, actual fraud 67-Dischargeability - §523(a)(4), fraud as fiduciary, embezzlement, larceny 	FRBP 7001(10) 01-Determin Other SS-SIPA Ca	Determination of Removed Action nation of removed claim or cause use – 15 U.S.C. §§78aaa <i>et.seq.</i> g. other actions that would have been brought in state court		
(continued next column)	if unrela	ted to bankruptcy case)		
Check if this case involves a substantive issue of state law		is is asserted to be a class action under FRCP 23		
□ Check if a jury trial is demanded in complaint Demand \$ Damages in an amount to be determined at trial Other Relief Sought Turnover of amounts due under note, avoidance of transfers to defendants, declaratory relief, punitive and exemplary damages, costs, attorneys' fees				

CaSe Sel 203006059505000046335FHeld 008027221 Elettered 008027221187129433 Plage 72 of 299

B1040 (FORM 1040) (12/15)

BANKRUPTCY CASE IN WHICH THIS ADVERSARY PROCEEDING ARISES					
NAME OF DEBTOR Highland Capital Management, L.P.		BANKRUPTCY CASE NO. 19-34054-sgj11			
DISTRICT IN WHICH CASE IS PENDING Northern District of Texas		DIVISION OFFICE Dallas	NAME OF JUDGE Stacey G. C. Jernigan		
RELATED ADVERSARY PROCEEDING (IF ANY)					
PLAINTIFF	DEFENDAN	Γ	ADVERSARY PROCEEDING NO.		
DISTRICT IN WHICH ADVERSARY IS PENDIN	١G	DIVISION OFFICE	NAME OF JUDGE		
SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY (OR PLAINTIFF)					
DATE August 27, 2021		PRINT NAME OF ATTORNE Zachery Z. Annable	EY (OR PLAINTIFF)		

INSTRUCTIONS

The filing of a bankruptcy case creates an "estate" under the jurisdiction of the bankruptcy court which consists of all of the property of the debtor, wherever that property is located. Because the bankruptcy estate is so extensive and the jurisdiction of the court so broad, there may be lawsuits over the property or property rights of the estate. There also may be lawsuits concerning the debtor's discharge. If such a lawsuit is filed in a bankruptcy court, it is called an adversary proceeding.

A party filing an adversary proceeding must also must complete and file Form 1040, the Adversary Proceeding Cover Sheet, unless the party files the adversary proceeding electronically through the court's Case Management/Electronic Case Filing system (CM/ECF). (CM/ECF captures the information on Form 1040 as part of the filing process.) When completed, the cover sheet summarizes basic information on the adversary proceeding. The clerk of court needs the information to process the adversary proceeding and prepare required statistical reports on court activity.

The cover sheet and the information contained on it do not replace or supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, the Bankruptcy Rules, or the local rules of court. The cover sheet, which is largely selfexplanatory, must be completed by the plaintiff's attorney (or by the plaintiff if the plaintiff is not represented by an attorney). A separate cover sheet must be submitted to the clerk for each complaint filed.

Plaintiffs and Defendants. Give the names of the plaintiffs and defendants exactly as they appear on the complaint.

Attorneys. Give the names and addresses of the attorneys, if known.

Party. Check the most appropriate box in the first column for the plaintiffs and the second column for the defendants.

Demand. Enter the dollar amount being demanded in the complaint.

Signature. This cover sheet must be signed by the attorney of record in the box on the second page of the form. If the plaintiff is represented by a law firm, a member of the firm must sign. If the plaintiff is pro se, that is, not represented by an attorney, the plaintiff must sign.

Davor Rukavina, Esq. Texas Bar No. 24030781 Julian P. Vasek, Esq. Texas Bar No. 24070790 MUNSCH HARDT KOPF & HARR, P.C. 500 N. Akard Street, Suite 3800 Dallas, Texas 75202-2790 Telephone: (214) 855-7500 Facsimile: (214) 978-4375

Counsel for Defendant NexPoint Advisors, L.P.

IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT						
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS						
DALLAS DIVISION						
In re:	§	Case No. 19-34054-SGJ-11				
	§					
HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P.,	Ş	Chapter 11				
	Ş					
Debtor.	§					
	§					
HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P.,	Ş					
	Ş					
Plaintiff.	§					
	§					
V.	§					
	§	Adversary No.: 21-03005-sgj				
NEXPOINT ADVISORS, L.P., JAMES	§					
DONDERO, NANCY DONDERO, AND	§					
DUGABOY INVESTMENT TRUST,	§					
	§					
Defendants.	§					

DEFENDANT NEXPOINT ADVISORS, L.P.'S ANSWER TO AMENDED COMPLAINT

Defendant NexPoint Advisors, L.P. ("<u>NexPoint</u>"), a defendant in the above-styled and numbered adversary proceeding (the "<u>Adversary Proceeding</u>") filed by Highland Capital Management, L.P. (the "<u>Plaintiff</u>"), hereby files this Answer (the "<u>Answer</u>") responding to the *Amended Complaint for (I) Breach of Contract and (II) Turnover of Property (III) Fraudulent Transfer, and (IV) Breach of Fiduciary Duty* [Adv. Dkt. 73] (the "<u>Amended Complaint</u>"). Where an allegation in the Amended Complaint is not expressly admitted in this Answer, it is denied.

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

1. The first sentence of paragraph 1 of the Amended Complaint sets forth the Plaintiff's objective in bringing the Amended Complaint and does not require a response. To the extent it contains factual allegations, they are denied. The second sentence contains a legal conclusion that does not require a response. To the extent it contains factual allegations, they are denied.

Defendant NexPoint admits that NPA's First Amended Answer speaks for itself.
 To the extent paragraph 2 contradicts the First Amended Answer, it is denied.

3. Defendant NexPoint denies the allegations in paragraph 3 of the Amended Complaint.

4. Paragraph 4 of the Amended Complaint sets forth the Plaintiff's objective in bringing the Amended Complaint and does not require a response. To the extent it contains factual allegations, they are denied.

5. Paragraph 5 of the Amended Complaint contains a summary of the relief the Plaintiff seeks and does not require a response. To the extent it contains factual allegations, they are denied.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

6. Defendant NexPoint admits that this Adversary Proceeding relates to the Plaintiff's bankruptcy case but denies any implication that this fact confers Constitutional authority on the Bankruptcy Court to adjudicate this dispute. Any allegations in paragraph 6 not expressly admitted are denied.

7. Defendant NexPoint admits that the Court has statutory (but not Constitutional) jurisdiction to hear this Adversary Proceeding. Any allegations in paragraph 7 not expressly admitted are denied.

8. Defendant NexPoint denies the allegations contained in paragraph 8 of the Amended Complaint. Defendant NexPoint does not consent to any trial before, or final order entered by, the Bankruptcy Court. Defendant NexPoint demands a trial by jury of all issues so triable.

9. Defendant NexPoint admits the allegations in paragraph 9 of the Amended Complaint.

THE PARTIES

10. Defendant NexPoint admits the allegations in paragraph 10 of the Amended Complaint.

 Defendant NexPoint admits the allegations in paragraph 11 of the Amended Complaint.

12. Defendant NexPoint admits the allegations in paragraph 12 of the Amended Complaint.

13. Defendant NexPoint lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the allegations in paragraph 13 of the Amended Complaint and therefore denies the same.

14. Defendant NexPoint lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the allegations in paragraph 14 of the Amended Complaint and therefore denies the same.

CASE BACKGROUND

15. Defendant NexPoint admits the allegations in paragraph 15 of the Amended Complaint.

16. Defendant NexPoint admits the allegations in paragraph 16 of the Amended Complaint.

17. Defendant NexPoint admits the allegations in paragraph 17 of the Amended Complaint.

 Defendant NexPoint admits the allegations in paragraph 18 of the Amended Complaint.

19. Defendant NexPoint admits the allegations in paragraph 19 of the Amended Complaint.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

20. Defendant NexPoint admits that it has executed at least one promissory note under which the Debtor is a payee. Any allegations in paragraph 20 note expressly admitted are denied.

21. Defendant NexPoint admits the allegations in paragraph 21 of the Amended Complaint.

22. Defendant NexPoint denies paragraph 22 of the Complaint. The document speaks for itself and the quote set forth in paragraph 22 is not verbatim.

23. Defendant NexPoint admits the allegations in paragraph 23 of the Amended Complaint.

24. Defendant NexPoint denies paragraph 24 of the Complaint. The document speaks for itself and the quote set forth in paragraph 24 is not verbatim.

25. Defendant NexPoint admits the allegations in paragraph 25 of the Amended Complaint.

26. Defendant NexPoint admits that it did not make a payment under the Note on December 31, 2020. Defendant NexPoint denies that any payment was due under the Note on December 31, 2020. To the extent not expressly admitted, paragraph 26 of the Amended Complaint is denied.

27. Defendant NexPoint admits that Exhibit 2 to the Amended Complaint (the "<u>Demand Letter</u>") is a true and correct copy of what it purports to be and that the document speaks for itself. To the extent paragraph 27 of the Amended Complaint asserts a legal conclusion, no response is required, and it is denied. To the extent not expressly admitted, paragraph 27 of the Amended Complaint is denied.

28. Defendant NexPoint admits that it paid the Debtor \$1,406,111.92 on January 14, 2021, but denies that any payment was due on December 31, 2020 or that this was an attempt to cure a default. To the extent not expressly admitted, paragraph 28 of the Amended Complaint is denied.

29. Defendant NexPoint admits that Exhibit 3 to the Amended Complaint (the "<u>Second Demand Letter</u>") is a true and correct copy of what it purports to be and that the document speaks for itself. To the extent paragraph 29 of the Amended Complaint asserts a legal conclusion, no response is required, and it is denied. To the extent not expressly admitted, paragraph 29 of the Amended Complaint is denied.

30. To the extent paragraph 30 of the Amended Complaint asserts a legal conclusion, no response is necessary, and it is denied. The Defendant otherwise admits paragraph 30 of the Amended Complaint.

31. Defendant NexPoint lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the allegations in paragraph 31 of the Amended Complaint and therefore denies the same.

32. Defendant NexPoint denies the allegations in paragraph 32 of the Amended Complaint.

33. Defendant NexPoint admits that the Debtor filed the Original Complaint in this action on January 22, 2021, as alleged in the first sentence of paragraph 33 of the Amended

Complaint. Defendant NexPoint denies it is liable for the relief requested in the Original Complaint. To the extent not expressly admitted, paragraph 33 of the Amended Complaint is denied.

34. Defendant NexPoint admits the allegations in paragraph 34 of the Amended Complaint.

35. Defendant NexPoint admits the allegations in paragraph 35 of the Amended Complaint.

36. Defendant NexPoint admits that NexPoint's First Amended Answer speaks for itself. To the extent paragraph 36 contradicts the First Amended Answer, it is denied.

37. Defendant NexPoint admits that NexPoint's First Amended Answer speaks for itself. To the extent paragraph 37 contradicts the First Amended Answer, it is denied.

38. Paragraph 38 of the Amended Complaint asserts a legal conclusion to which no answer is required. To the extent of any factual allegation, Defendant NexPoint admits that Mr. Dondero controlled NPA and denies that he controlled the Debtor at the time of the Alleged Agreement.

39. Defendant NexPoint lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the allegations in paragraph 39 of the Amended Complaint and therefore denies the same.

40. Defendant NexPoint denies the allegations in paragraph 40 of the Amended Complaint.

41. Defendant NexPoint admits that Exhibit 4 to the Amended Complaint is a true and correct copy of what it purports to be and that the document speaks for itself. To the extent paragraph 41 of the Amended Complaint asserts a legal conclusion, no response is required, and

it is denied. To the extent not expressly admitted, paragraph 41 of the Amended Complaint is denied.

42. Paragraph 42 of the Amended Complaint states a legal conclusion that does not require a response and is therefore denied.

43. Paragraph 43 of the Amended Complaint states a legal conclusion that does not require a response and is therefore denied.

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF (against NexPoint) (for Breach of Contract)

44. Paragraph 44 of the Amended Complaint is a sentence of incorporation that does not require a response. All prior responses are incorporated herein by reference.

45. Paragraph 45 of the Amended Complaint states a legal conclusion that does not require a response and is therefore denied. To the extent of any factual allegation, it is denied.

46. Paragraph 46 of the Amended Complaint states a legal conclusion that does not require a response and is therefore denied. To the extent of any factual allegation, it is denied.

47. Paragraph 47 of the Amended Complaint states a legal conclusion that does not require a response and is therefore denied. To the extent of any factual allegation, it is denied.

48. Paragraph 48 of the Amended Complaint states a legal conclusion that does not require a response and is therefore denied. To the extent of any factual allegation, it is denied.

<u>SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF</u> (against NexPoint) (Turnover by NexPoint Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 542(b))

49. Paragraph 49 of the Amended Complaint is a sentence of incorporation that does not require a response and is therefore denied. All prior responses are incorporated herein by reference.

50. Paragraph 50 of the Amended Complaint states a legal conclusion that does not require a response and is therefore denied. To the extent of any factual allegation, it is denied.

51. Paragraph 51 of the Amended Complaint states a legal conclusion that does not require a response and is therefore denied. To the extent of any factual allegation, it is denied.

52. Paragraph 52 of the Amended Complaint states a legal conclusion that does not require a response and is therefore denied. To the extent of any factual allegation, it is denied.

53. Paragraph 53 of the Amended Complaint states a legal conclusion that does not require a response and is therefore denied. Defendant NexPoint admits that the Plaintiff transmitted the Demand Letter and the Second Demand Letter, and those documents speak for themselves.

54. Paragraph 54 of the Amended Complaint states a legal conclusion that does not require a response and is therefore denied. To the extent of any factual allegation, it is denied.

55. Paragraph 55 of the Amended Complaint states a legal conclusion that does not require a response and is therefore denied. To the extent of any factual allegation, it is denied.

THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Against NexPoint)

(Avoidance and Recovery of Actual Fraudulent Transfer under 11 U.S.C. §§ 548(a)(1)(A) and 550)

56. Paragraph 56 of the Amended Complaint is a sentence of incorporation that does not require a response. All prior responses are incorporated herein by reference.

57. Paragraph 57 of the Amended Complaint states a legal conclusion that does not require a response and is therefore denied.

58. Paragraph 58 of the Amended Complaint states a legal conclusion that does not require a response and is therefore denied. To the extent of any factual allegation, it is denied.

59. Paragraph 59 of the Amended Complaint states a legal conclusion that does not require a response and is therefore denied. To the extent of any factual allegation, it is denied.

60. Paragraph 60 of the Amended Complaint states a legal conclusion that does not require a response and is therefore denied. To the extent of any factual allegation, it is denied.

61. Paragraph 61 of the Amended Complaint states a legal conclusion that does not require a response and is therefore denied. To the extent of any factual allegation, it is denied.

FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Against NexPoint)

(Avoidance and Recovery of Actual Fraudulent Transfer Under 11 U.S.C. § 544(b) and 550, and Tex. Bus. & C. Code § 24.005(a)(1))

62. Paragraph 62 of the Amended Complaint is a sentence of incorporation that does not require a response. All prior responses are incorporated herein by reference.

63. Paragraph 63 of the Amended Complaint states a legal conclusion that does not require a response and is therefore denied.

64. Paragraph 64 of the Amended Complaint states a legal conclusion that does not require a response and is therefore denied. To the extent of any factual allegation, it is denied.

65. Paragraph 65 of the Amended Complaint states a legal conclusion that does not

require a response and is therefore denied. To the extent of any factual allegation, it is denied.

66. Paragraph 66 of the Amended Complaint states a legal conclusion that does not require a response and is therefore denied. To the extent of any factual allegation, it is denied.

FIFTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Against Dugaboy Investment Trust and Nancy Dondero) (For Declaratory Relief: -- 11 U.S.C. § 105(a) and Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7001)

67. Paragraph 67 of the Amended Complaint is a sentence of incorporation that does not require a response. All prior responses are incorporated herein by reference.

68. This claim is only asserted against Defendants Dugaboy Investment Trust and

Nancy Dondero. Therefore, Defendant NexPoint is not required to respond to this claim.

69. This claim is only asserted against Defendants Dugaboy Investment Trust and Nancy Dondero. Therefore, Defendant NexPoint is not required to respond to this claim.

70. Paragraph 70 of the Amended Complaint states a legal conclusion that does not require a response and is therefore denied.

SIXTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF (Against Dugaboy Investment Trust and Nancy Dondero) (Breach of Fiduciary Duty)

71. Paragraph 71 of the Amended Complaint is a sentence of incorporation that does not require a response. All prior responses are incorporated herein by reference.

72. This claim is only asserted against Defendants Dugaboy Investment Trust and Nancy Dondero. Therefore, Defendant NexPoint is not required to respond to this claim.

73. This claim is only asserted against Defendants Dugaboy Investment Trust and

Nancy Dondero. Therefore, Defendant NexPoint is not required to respond to this claim.

74. This claim is only asserted against Defendants Dugaboy Investment Trust and

Nancy Dondero. Therefore, Defendant NexPoint is not required to respond to this claim.

SEVENTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF (Against James Dondero and Nancy Dondero) (Aiding and Abetting a Breach of Fiduciary Duty)

75. Paragraph 75 of the Amended Complaint is a sentence of incorporation that does not require a response. All prior responses are incorporated herein by reference.

76. This claim is only asserted against Defendants James Dondero and Nancy Dondero. Therefore, Defendant NexPoint is not required to respond to this claim.

77. This claim is only asserted against Defendants James Dondero and Nancy Dondero. Therefore, Defendant NexPoint is not required to respond to this claim.

78. This claim is only asserted against Defendants James Dondero and Nancy Dondero. Therefore, Defendant NexPoint is not required to respond to this claim.

79. This claim is only asserted against Defendants James Dondero and Nancy Dondero. Therefore, Defendant NexPoint is not required to respond to this claim.

Defendant NexPoint denies that the Plaintiff is entitled to the relief requested in the prayer, including as to parts (i), (ii), (iii), (iv), (v), (vi), (vii) and (iii) [sic].

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

80. Pursuant to that certain Shared Services Agreement, the Plaintiff was responsible for making payments on behalf of the Defendant under the note. Any alleged default under the note was the result of the Plaintiff's own negligence, misconduct, breach of contract, etc.

81. Delay in the performance of a contract is excused when the party who seeks to enforce the contract caused the delay. It was therefore inappropriate for the Plaintiff to accelerate the note when the brief delay in payment was the Plaintiff's own fault.

82. Furthermore, the Plaintiff has waived the right to accelerate the note and /or the Plaintiff is estopped to enforce the alleged acceleration by accepting payment after the same.

83. Furthermore, the Plaintiff's claims are barred in whole or in part because, prior to any alleged breach or acceleration, the Plaintiff agreed that it would not collect on the note upon fulfilment of certain conditions subsequent. Specifically, sometime between December of the year in which each Note was made and February of the following year, Defendant Nancy Dondero, as representative for a majority of the Class A shareholders of Plaintiff agreed that Plaintiff would forgive the Notes if certain portfolio companies were sold for greater than cost or on a basis outside of Defendant James Dondero's control. This agreement setting forth the conditions subsequent to demands for payment on the Notes was an oral agreement; however, Defendant NexPoint believes there may be testimony or email correspondence that discusses the

CaSase120.3086995g5j0jd20124843HH #eld091005222 Hintereld091005222118528343 Hagge1290611899

existence of this agreement that may be uncovered through discovery in this Adversary Proceeding.

84. Defendant NexPoint asserts that any fraudulent transfer claim is barred because NexPoint acted in good faith, without knowledge of any alleged avoidability, and because reasonably equivalent value was provided for any alleged transfer or obligation.

85. Defendant NexPoint asserts that any fraudulent transfer claim is barred because no transferor or transferee, or obligor or obligee, was insolvent.

86. To the extent of any avoidance, NexPoint asserts a lien under 11 U.S.C. § 548(c) to the extent that NexPoint gave value, and a similar preference lien under any applicable provision of the Texas Uniform Fraudulent Transfer Act.

JURY DEMAND

87. Defendant NexPoint demands a trial by jury of all issues so triable pursuant to Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and Rule 9015 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure.

88. Defendant NexPoint does <u>not</u> consent to the Bankruptcy Court conducting a jury trial and therefore demands a jury trial in the District Court.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, Defendant NexPoint respectfully requests that, following a trial on the merits, the Court enter a judgment that the Plaintiff take nothing on the Amended Complaint and provide Defendant NexPoint such other relief to which it is entitled. RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 1st day of September, 2021.

MUNSCH HARDT KOPF & HARR, P.C.

By: /s/ Davor Rukavina

Davor Rukavina, Esq. Texas Bar No. 24030781 Julian P. Vasek, Esq. Texas Bar No. 24070790 3800 Ross Tower 500 N. Akard Street Dallas, Texas 75201-6659 Telephone: (214) 855-7500 Facsimile: (214) 855-7584 Email: drukavina@munsch.com

COUNSEL FOR NEXPOINT ADVISORS, L.P.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that, on September 1, 2021, a true and correct copy of this document was served via the Court's CM/ECF system on counsel for the Plaintiff.

<u>/s/ Davor Rukavina</u> Davor Rukavina Davor Rukavina Julian P. Vasek MUNSCH HARDT KOPF & HARR, P.C. 500 N. Akard Street, Suite 3800 Dallas, Texas 75202-2790 (214) 855-7500 telephone (214) 978-4375 facsimile Email: drukavina@munsch.com

ATTORNEYS FOR NEXPOINT ADVISORS, L.P.

IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS DALLAS DIVISION

In re:	8	
	§	Chapter 11
HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P.,	§	1
	§	Case No. 19-34054-sgj11
Debtor.	§	
	§	
HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P.,	§	
	§	
Plaintiff,	§	Adversary Proceeding No.
	§	
VS.	§	21-03005-sgj
	§	
NEXPOINT ADVISORS, L.P., JAMES	§	
DONDERO, NANCY DONDERO, AND THE	§	
DUGABOY INVESTMENT TRUST,	§	
	§	
Defendants.	§	

MOTION OF DEFENDANT NEXPOINT ADVISORS, L.P. TO EXTEND EXPERT DISCLOSURE AND DISCOVERY DEADLINES

TO THE HONORABLE STACEY G.C. JERNIGAN, U.S. BANKRUPTCY JUDGE:

COMES NOW NexPoint Advisors, L.P. ("NexPoint"), one of the defendants in the above

styled and numbered Adversary Proceeding initiated by Highland Capital Management, L.P. as

the plaintiff (the "Debtor"), and files this its Motion to Extend Expert Disclosure and Discovery

Deadlines (the "Motion"), respectfully stating as follows:

I. <u>RELIEF REQUESTED</u>

1. By this Motion, NexPoint requests that the Court extend the deadline, in its *Order Approving Stipulation and Agreed Order Governing Discovery and Other Pre-Trial Issues* [docket no. 70] (the "<u>Scheduling Order</u>"), for the designation of experts and service of expert reports, through December 13, 2021, with a corresponding extension of expert discovery. Specifically, NexPoint finds it appropriate and advisable to designate a testifying expert on the standards and duties of care under the parties' Shared Services Agreement (defined below) with respect to Highland's role in NexPoint's alleged failure to make a December 21, 2020 payment on the Note (defined below); specifically, that Highland was responsible for ensuring that NexPoint made this payment. This request is necessitated by recent deposition testimony of key individuals on October 19 and 21, 2021, prior to which NexPoint did not know or reasonably believe that expert testimony on the duties of care would be advisable.

II. PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND

2. The Debtor initiated this Adversary Proceeding with the filing of its original complaint against NexPoint on January 22, 2021.

3. By this Adversary Proceeding, the Debtor seeks to collect on a promissory note issued by NexPoint to the Debtor on May 31, 2017 in the original principal amount of \$30,746,812.33 (the "<u>Note</u>"). The Note is a 30-year note and provides for an annual payment of principal and interest. After prior payments, the Debtor asserts that \$23,071,195.03 remains due and owing on the Note.

4. NexPoint has asserted various defenses and affirmative defenses to the Debtor's allegations and causes of action. This Motion concerns one such affirmative defense only, to the effect that the Debtor, through its employees, caused the alleged underlying default.

5. On July 28, 2021, the District Court entered an order adopting this Court's report and recommendation and ordering that the reference for this Adversary Proceeding will be withdrawn once this Court certifies this Adversary Proceeding as being trial ready. As part of the same, the District Court necessarily agreed and ordered that NexPoint has a right to a trial by jury of this Adversary Proceeding.

III. <u>FACTS</u>

6. This Motion is supported by the Declaration of Davor Rukavina, attached hereto as incorporated herein (the "Declaration").

7. The Debtor alleges that the Note required NexPoint to make a payment of principal and interest on December 31, 2020, and that NexPoint failed to make this payment. Thus, in January, 2021, the Debtor sent notice that the Note had been accelerated, and the Debtor demanded full and immediate payment.

8. One of NexPoint's affirmative defenses in this Adversary Proceeding concerns that certain *Amended and Restated Shared Services Agreement* (the "Shared Services Agreement") between the Debtor and NexPoint dated January 1, 2018. The Agreement was in place as of December 31, 2020, although the Debtor terminated it later, in 2021. Under the Agreement, the Debtor provided various services to NexPoint, including so-called "back office" services, including treasury, accounting, and payables services. NexPoint has alleged that, pursuant to the Shared Services Agreement, the Debtor was responsible for ensuring that NexPoint made the allegedly required December 31, 2020 payment, although such payment would be made from NexPoint's funds. Indeed, Waterhouse (defined below) testified that it was "reasonable for NexPoint to rely on the debtors' employees to inform NexPoint of an upcoming payment due on the \$30 million promissory note." *See* Declaration at Exhibit C, 337:22-338:8.

9. NexPoint asserts that the Debtor failed to do so and, therefore, caused the alleged default, which it now seeks to exploit, and that, but for the Debtor's negligence, the Note would remain in place. NexPoint has always asserted this as an affirmative defense. *See* Docket No. 6. NexPoint's defense, however, was based on its belief that the Debtor and its employees, including Waterhouse, did nothing to facilitate or ensure the payment, as opposed to a conscious decision not to make the payment.

10. On October 19, 2021, the Debtor deposed Frank Waterhouse ("<u>Waterhouse</u>"), as did NexPoint, in connection with this Adversary Proceeding. Waterhouse was the Debtor's chief financial officer in December, 2020, and either the treasurer or chief financial officer (either way an officer) of NexPoint in December, 2020. To be clear, Waterhouse was the Debtor's employee, although he provided services to NexPoint as well pursuant to the Shared Services Agreement. Among other things, at this deposition, Waterhouse testified that, in early December, 2020, James Dondero ("<u>Dondero</u>"), who at that time controlled NexPoint but did not control the Debtor, instructed Waterhouse not to cause NexPoint to pay any more funds to the Debtor, including, expressly on the Note.

11. This changed the potential facts as NexPoint understood them to be from ones where the Debtor simply failed utterly to facilitate the payment, as it has always done, to one where the Debtor intentionally, allegedly upon the instructions of Dondero, decided not to facilitate the payment. Assuming the Dondero instruction to be true, this raises the question of whether the Debtor thereafter had any affirmative duty with respect to the alleged instruction.

12. NexPoint did not know that Waterhouse would provide this testimony. NexPoint understood that Dondero instructed Waterhouse to make no further payments on the Shared Services Agreement, because Dondero believed that NexPoint had overpaid by millions of dollars

on the Shared Services Agreement. But NexPoint did not understand that Waterhouse would testify that Dondero instructed him also not to pay the Note.

13. If Dondero told Waterhouse in early December, 2020 not to pay on the Note, then the question becomes whether Waterhouse or the Debtor thereafter "put their heads in the sand" in violation of any affirmative duty or obligation they may have had regarding the matter, such as: to ask Dondero whether they correctly understood him; to ask Dondero whether he meant NexPoint and the Note; to inform Dondero of the potential consequences of a default by potentially accelerating a 30-year promissory note; or to try to dissuade him from his decision. After all, the Debtor was responsible to facilitate the payment, the Debtor had various duties under the Shared Services Agreement, and it was in the Debtor's interest that NexPoint would default, thus creating a conflict of interest.

14. Accordingly, on October 19, 2021, when NexPoint deposed James Seery, NexPoint asked Mr. Seery about section 6.01 of the Shared Services Agreement, labeled "standard of care," which provides that the Debtor and Waterhouse "shall discharge its duties under this Agreement with the care, skill, prudence, and diligence under the circumstances then prevailing that a prudent person acting in a like capacity and familiar with like aims." Mr. Seery testified that he did not believe that this provision of the Shared Services Agreement obligated the Debtor or Waterhouse to do anything further after Dondero allegedly instructed Waterhouse not to pay on the Note.

15. At that time, NexPoint determined that it was appropriate, and would assist the finder of fact, to retain an expert on the "standard of care" provided for in the Shared Services Agreement. This is especially important because this will be a jury trial in the District Court. NexPoint did not believe that it would need to retain such an expert, and it had no reasonable grounds to suspect that it would need such an expert, prior to these depositions.

16. NexPoint moved as promptly as it could thereafter. NexPoint decided to retain an expert on October 22, 2021 and began searching for one on that day. NexPoint located a potential expert, Steven J. Pully, on October 26, 2021, and after conflicts were cleared and terms agreed to, Mr. Pully agreed to serve as NexPoint's expert on October 28, 2021. NexPoint files this motion just one day later, and less than two weeks after Waterhouse's deposition triggered the issue.

17. It goes without saying that neither Pully nor any reasonable expert can possibly review the issues, formulate an opinion, and prepare a report one day after they are retained. Among other things, Pully needs to review all underlying documents and deposition transcripts, some of which have yet to be returned by the court reporters. Accordingly, NexPoint believes that approximately six (6) weeks will be sufficient for Pully to prepare a report. NexPoint submits that the Debtor should have a period of time to then designate a potential rebuttal expert, and a period of time for expert discovery. Such a procedure would be fair for all involved and would constitute a minimal delay to what has already been a rapidly advanced case.

IV. ARGUMENT AND AUTHORITIES

18. It is appropriate for an expert to consider the issue of Waterhouse's and the Debtor's duties under the Shared Services Agreement—*i.e.*, "duties under this Agreement with the care, skill, prudence, and diligence under the circumstances then prevailing that a prudent person acting in a like capacity and familiar with like aims,"—as issues such as "prudent person" and "like capacity and familiar with like aims" are appropriate for expert analysis and will assist the finder of fact, especially a jury.

19. Rule 16(b) provides that a deadline in a scheduling order may be modified "for good cause," although there is some uncertainty as to whether this standard applies only after a deadline has passed (which is not the case here). *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 16(b)(4); *Marathon Fin. Ins.*

Inc. RRG v. Ford Motor Co., 591 F.3d 458, 470 (5th Cir. 2009) ("Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 16(b) governs amendment of pleadings after a scheduling order's deadline to amend has expired").

20. When the issue concerns an "untimely submission of expert reports," the Fifth Circuit has specified the following for factors as guiding the decision: "(1) the explanation for the failure to timely move for leave to amend; (2) the importance of the amendment; (3) potential prejudice in allowing the amendment; and (4) the availability of a continuance to cure such prejudice." *S&W Enters. v. Southtrust Bank of Ala.*, 315 F.3d 533, 536 (5th Cir. 2003). Again, this test applies to a deadline which has already expired. Logically, therefore, a lesser standard should apply when a party seeks relief prior to the expiration of a deadline, as NexPoint does here.

- 21. Applying these or any factors:
- (i) this Adversary Proceeding is only some nine (9) months old and the parties have moved very quickly, with all discovery almost over;
- (ii) if this Motion is granted, all discovery in this Adversary Proceeding will have been completed by the end of 2021, still less than one (1) year after filing;
- (iii) the reason for the need to extend the deadline is the most logical reason that most frequently appears—that discovery has necessitated some previously unexpected action—which is one of the purposes of discovery;
- (iv) NexPoint's failure to previously designate an expert was due solely to not having the benefit of Waterhouse's and Seery's recent deposition testimony, and is not the result of any delay or lack of diligence, as evidenced by the fact that NexPoint did already and timely designate two other experts on other issues (*i.e.* NexPoint did not sit on its responsibility to consider retaining experts);
- (v) the matter is important because the duties of care as specified in the Shared Services Agreement are terms of art necessitating an expert analysis, especially before a jury, and the matter goes to the heart of NexPoint's affirmative defense, and is necessitated by Waterhouse's testimony and not any prior action or inaction of NexPoint;
- (vi) there is no prejudice to the Debtor, which will have sufficient time to retain a rebuttal expert and take expert discovery (*i.e.* no witnesses or documents have been lost); and

(vii) a continuance is easily available to avoid any prejudice to the Debtor—indeed, there is no need for a continuance even as the Adversary Proceeding has yet to be certified as trial ready and it is likely that the District Court will not schedule the Adversary Proceeding for trial for some time.

22. NexPoint submits that this Motion cannot come as a surprise to the Debtor. NexPoint has asserted its affirmative defense since the beginning. The only difference now is that, instead of a wholesale disregard of any duty to facilitate the Note payment, the issue has evolved to whether the Debtor or Waterhouse had any affirmative duty to act after the alleged instruction from Dondero. As it can be presumed that Waterhouse previously informed the Debtor or its counsel of this alleged instruction (as he apparently informed other employees at the Debtor), the Debtor likely knew what Waterhouse's testimony would be well before NexPoint learned of that testimony. It is reasonable to conclude that the Debtor knew or should have known that the "standard of care" under the Shared Services Agreement would then become a material issue.

23. Accordingly, "good cause" to amend the Scheduling Order exists, if that higher standard even applies, and approving such amendment will not prejudice the Debtor and will instead serve the interests of justice.

V. <u>PRAYER</u>

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, NexPoint respectfully requests that the Court enter an order: (i) granting this Motion; (ii) modifying the Scheduling Order to extend the deadline to designate experts and serve expert reports through December 13, 2021; (iii) modifying the Scheduling Order accordingly for the potential designation of rebuttal experts and service of rebuttal expert reports, and extending expert discovery; and (iv) granting NexPoint such other and further relief as may be proper. RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 29th day of October, 2021.

MUNSCH HARDT KOPF & HARR, P.C.

By: <u>/s/ Davor Rukavina</u>

Davor Rukavina State Bar No. 24030781 Julian P. Vasek. State Bar No. 24070790 500 N. Akard Street, Suite 3800 Dallas, Texas 75202-2790 Telephone: (214) 855-7500 Facsimile: (214) 978-4375 Email: drukavina@munsch.com Email: jvasek@munsch.com

ATTORNEYS FOR NEXPOINT ADVISORS, L.P.

CERTIFICATE OF CONFERENCE

The undersigned hereby certifies that, on October 28, 2021, he conferred with counsel for the Debtor, John Morris, and the Debtor opposes the relief requested herein.

/s/ Davor Rukavina Davor Rukavina

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that, on October 29, 2021, a true and correct copy of the foregoing document, including the exhibit thereto, was served on the following recipients via the Court's CM/ECF system:

Zachery Z. Annable on behalf of Plaintiff Highland Capital Management, L.P. <u>zannable@haywardfirm.com</u>

Bryan C. Assink on behalf of Defendant James Dondero bryan.assink@bondsellis.com

Greta M. Brouphy on behalf of Defendant The Dugaboy Investment Trust gbrouphy@hellerdraper.com, dhepting@hellerdraper.com;vgamble@hellerdraper.com

Leslie A. Collins on behalf of Defendant The Dugaboy Investment Trust locality.com

Deborah Rose Deitsch-Perez on behalf of Defendant James Dondero deborah.deitschperez@stinson.com, patricia.tomasky@stinson.com;kinga.mccoy@stinson.com

Deborah Rose Deitsch-Perez on behalf of Defendant Nancy Dondero deborah.deitschperez@stinson.com, patricia.tomasky@stinson.com;kinga.mccoy@stinson.com

Douglas S. Draper on behalf of Defendant The Dugaboy Investment Trust <u>ddraper@hellerdraper.com</u>, <u>dhepting@hellerdraper.com;vgamble@hellerdraper.com;mlandis@hellerdraper.com;gbrouphy@hellerdraper.com</u>

Melissa S. Hayward on behalf of Plaintiff Highland Capital Management, L.P. <u>MHayward@HaywardFirm.com</u>, <u>mholmes@HaywardFirm.com</u>

Juliana Hoffman on behalf of Creditor Committee Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors jhoffman@sidley.com, txefilingnotice@sidley.com;julianna-hoffman-8287@ecf.pacerpro.com

Paige Holden Montgomery on behalf of Creditor Committee Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors pmontgomery@sidley.com, txefilingnotice@sidley.com;paige-montgomery-7756@ecf.pacerpro.com;crognes@sidley.com;ebromagen@sidley.com;efilingnotice@sidley.com

> <u>/s/ Davor Rukavina</u> Davor Rukavina

IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
DALLAS DIVISION

In re:	§	
	§	Chapter 11
HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P.,	§	-
	§	Case No. 19-34054-sgj11
Debtor.	§	
	§	
HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P.,	ş	
	§	
Plaintiff,	ş	Adversary Proceeding No.
	§	
VS.	§	21-03005-sgj
	§	
NEXPOINT ADVISORS, L.P., JAMES	§	
DONDERO, NANCY DONDERO, AND THE	§	
DUGABOY INVESTMENT TRUST,	§	
	§	
Defendants.	8	

DECLARATION OF DAVOR RUKAVINA

STATE OF TEXAS

COUNTY OF DALLAS

I, Davor Rukavina, hereby state and testify to the following as being true and correct and under penalty of perjury pursuant to the laws of the United States of America:

1. My name is Davor Rukavina. I am over the age of 21, have never been convicted of a felony or crime of moral turpitude, and am otherwise competent to execute this Declaration.

2. I am an attorney duly licensed to practice law in the State of Texas. I am a shareholder at Munsch Hardt Kopf & Harr, P.C. I am the lead attorney for NexPoint Advisors, L.P. ("<u>NexPoint</u>"), one of the defendants in this Adversary Proceeding.

3. At issue in this Adversary Proceeding is a 30-year promissory note executed by NexPoint in the original principal amount of \$30,746,812.33 (the "<u>Note</u>"), although the Note had been paid down significantly by the time of the filing of this Adversary Proceeding.

4. Highland Capital Management, L.P. (the "<u>Debtor</u>") alleges that the Note required NexPoint to make a payment of principal and interest on December 31, 2020, and that NexPoint failed to make this payment. Thus, in January, 2021, the Debtor sent notice that the Note had been accelerated and the Debtor demanded full and immediate payment.

5. The parties agreed by written stipulation that they would disclose experts and produce expert reports on or before October 29, 2021, and the Court's scheduling order so requires. NexPoint requests an extension of this deadline. The following is the reason why.

6. One of NexPoint's affirmative defenses in this Adversary Proceeding concerns that certain *Amended and Restated Shared Services Agreement* (the "<u>Agreement</u>") between the Debtor and NexPoint dated January 1, 2018, a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit "A." The Agreement was in place as of December 31, 2020, although the Debtor terminated it later in 2021. NexPoint alleges that, under the Agreement, the Debtor provided various services to NexPoint, including so-called "back office" services, including treasury, accounting, and payables services. NexPoint has alleged that, pursuant to the Agreement, the Debtor was responsible for ensuring that NexPoint made the allegedly required December 31, 2020 payment, although such payment would be made from NexPoint's funds. NexPoint therefore asserts that the Debtor failed to do so and, therefore, caused the alleged default, which it now seeks to exploit, and that, but for the Debtor's negligence, the Note would remain in place.

7. The foregoing has always been an affirmative defense of NexPoint in this Adversary Proceeding, including in its amended answer filed on September 1, 2021, a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit "B."

8. On October 19, 2021, the Debtor deposed Frank Waterhouse ("<u>Waterhouse</u>"), as did I, in connection with this Adversary Proceeding. Waterhouse was the Debtor's chief financial

officer in December, 2020, and either the treasurer or chief financial officer (either way an officer) of NexPoint in December, 2020.

9. Among other things, at this deposition, Waterhouse testified that, in early December, 2020, James Dondero ("<u>Dondero</u>"), who at that time controlled NexPoint but did not control the Debtor, instructed Waterhouse not to cause NexPoint to pay any more funds to the Debtor, including, expressly on the Note. A copy of this deposition transcript is attached as Exhibit "C."

10. This testimony was not expected by me or by NexPoint. I had understood that Dondero instructed Waterhouse to make no further payments on the Agreement, because Dondero believed that NexPoint had overpaid by millions of dollars on the Agreement and because that was what Dondero and Waterhouse had been discussing. I had not understood that Waterhouse would testify that Dondero instructed him to also not pay the Note specifically.

11. Prior to that deposition, I had never spoken to Waterhouse. Waterhouse presently serves as an officer of NexPoint; however, and unlike every other case I have been involved with, I have not been permitted to discuss with Waterhouse litigation matters. This is because Waterhouse is in litigation with the Debtor on other matters and has separate and independent counsel, Debra Dandeneau and Frances Smith, who would not permit me to speak directly to Waterhouse, which I understood to be a logical and appropriate instruction to protect their client. I did discuss with Ms. Dandeneau what Waterhouse may know about the litigation between the Debtor and my clients, but that primarily focused on defenses that another client of mine, Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors, L.P., has. And I did discuss with Ms. Dandeneau that Dondero told Waterhouse to not make payments, but I understood that to be limited to the Agreement and to not include the Note, since the topic under discussion (as it was told to me)

between Dondero and Waterhouse was the Agreement and overpayments on the Agreement, and not the Note.

12. In sum, prior to October 19, 2021, I did not know that Waterhouse would testify that Dondero told him to not pay on the Note, and I had no reasonable reason to suspect the same. My surprise is evident from the transcript of that deposition, where I asked Waterhouse multiple times whether he was sure that Dondero told him this—so much so that opposing counsel objected multiple times as "asked and answered," and even objected as having been asked and answered "four time." Exhibit "C" at 390-392.

13. Assuming that Waterhouse's testimony on this issue will be accepted by a trier of fact, the question is whether, from NexPoint's perspective, Waterhouse had no further duties to review, confirm, investigate, or to discuss the issue with Dondero. In that respect, section 6.01 of the Agreement, labeled "standard of care," states that the Debtor and Waterhouse "shall discharge its duties under this Agreement with the care, skill, prudence, and diligence under the circumstances then prevailing that a prudent person acting in a like capacity and familiar with such matters would use in the conduct of an enterprise of a like character and with like aims."

14. I deposed Jim Seery on October 21, 2021, and asked him various questions about this provision of the Agreement. Mr. Seery testified to the effect that he did not believe that the Agreement obligated the Debtor or Waterhouse to do anything further after Dondero told Waterhouse to not pay the Note (again, assuming that this was true). I do not have a copy of Mr. Seer's deposition yet.

15. With Mr. Seery testifying that he did not believe that the Agreement required the Debtor or Waterhouse to do anything further if Dondero in fact gave the instruction Waterhouse testified that he did, NexPoint concluded that it needed to retain an expert to review whether the "standard of care" specified in the Agreement compelled the Debtor or Waterhouse to do anything

further after Dondero gave the alleged instruction, such as checking with him to see if they understood him correctly, advising him of the potential serious consequences of a default, trying to dissuade him, or at least asking him once again prior to December 31, 2020 whether the payment should be made.

16. On October 22, 2021, I began searching for a potential expert. On October 26, 2021, I contacted Steven J. Pully about the potential engagement. After clearing conflicts and coming to an agreement, Mr. Pully agreed to the engagement on October 28, 2021. The engagement letter has yet to be finalized and executed, but I have every confidence that it will and the urgency of the matter necessitates this Declaration at this time. I have been extremely diligent in searching for an finding an expert once NexPoint determined that the retention of an expert was appropriate, which did not occur until the Seery deposition on October 21, 2021.

17. Even though NexPoint has retained Mr. Pully as of October 28, 2021, it is not possible for Mr. Pully to formulate an opinion and prepare a report by October 29, 2021. Among other things, various deposition transcripts of important witnesses have yet to be received and reviewed by Mr. Pully, and Mr. Pully has yet to review the underlying documents. Assuming no undue delays with respect to deposition transcripts, Mr. Pully should be able to prepare a report by December 13, 2021.

18. NexPoint therefore seeks an extension of the expert designation and report deadline through December 13, 2021, in order that justice may be done and not for delay or any improper purpose, NexPoint not having designated an expert before due solely to the lack of knowledge that Waterhouse would testify as he did on October 19, 2021 and that Mr. Seery would testify as to his view that the Agreement did not require Waterhouse to do anything thereafter.

I hereby swear under oath and penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. Case22-230030-05j-sop_004-8-6-EiFeided 11/05/2221 Entertene d 11/05/22218:1224-38 Pagage 06 of 6899

DAVOR RUKAVINA

AMENDED AND RESTATED SHARED SERVICES AGREEMENT

This Amended and Restated Shared Services Agreement (as amended, modified, waived, supplemented or restated from time to time in accordance with the terms hereof, this "<u>Agreement</u>"), dated effective as of January 1, 2018, is entered into by and between NexPoint Advisors, L.P., a Delaware limited partnership, as the management company hereunder (in such capacity, the "<u>Management Company</u>"), and Highland Capital Management, L.P., a Delaware limited partnership as the staff and services provider hereunder (in such capacity, the "<u>Staff and Services Provider</u>" and together with the Management Company, the "<u>Parties</u>").

RECITALS

WHEREAS, the Staff and Services Provider is a registered investment adviser under the Investment Advisers Act of 1940, as amended (the "Advisers Act");

WHEREAS, the Staff and Services Provider and the Management Company are engaged in the business of providing investment management services;

WHEREAS, the Parties entered into that certain Shared Services Agreement, dated effective as of January 1, 2013 (the "Original Agreement");

WHEREAS, the Parties desire to amend and restated the Original Agreement and the Staff and Services Provider is hereby being retained to provide certain back- and middle-office services and administrative, infrastructure and other services to assist the Management Company in conducting its business, and the Staff and Services Provider is willing to make such services available to the Management Company, in each case, on the terms and conditions hereof;

WHEREAS, the Management Company may employ certain individuals to perform portfolio selection and asset management functions for the Management Company, and certain of these individuals may also be employed simultaneously by the Staff and Services Provider during their employment with the Management Company; and

WHEREAS, each Person employed by both the Management Company and the Staff and Services Provider as described above (each, a "<u>Shared Employee</u>"), if any, is and shall be identified on the books and records of each of the Management Company and the Staff and Services Provider (as amended, modified, supplemented or restated from time to time).

NOW, THEREFORE, for good and valuable consideration, the receipt and sufficiency of which is hereby acknowledged, the Parties hereby agree, and the Original Agreement is hereby amended, restated and replaced in its entirety as follows.

ARTICLE I

DEFINITIONS

Section 1.01 <u>Certain Defined Terms</u>. As used in this Agreement, the following terms shall have the following meanings:

Exhibit A

"<u>Affiliate</u>" shall mean with respect to a Person, any other Person that directly, or indirectly through one or more intermediaries, controls, is controlled by, or is under common control with the first Person. The term "control" means (i) the legal or beneficial ownership of securities representing a majority of the voting power of any person or (ii) the possession, directly or indirectly, of the power to direct or cause the direction of the management and policies of a person, whether by contract or otherwise.

"Applicable Asset Criteria and Concentrations" means any applicable eligibility criteria, portfolio concentration limits and other similar criteria or limits which the Management Company instructs in writing to the Staff and Services Provider in respect of the Portfolio or one or more Accounts, as such criteria or limits may be modified, amended or supplemented from time to time in writing by the Management Company;

"<u>Applicable Law</u>" shall mean, with respect to any Person or property of such Person, any action, code, consent decree, constitution, decree, directive, enactment, finding, guideline, law, injunction, interpretation, judgment, order, ordinance, policy statement, proclamation, formal guidance, promulgation, regulation, requirement, rule, rule of law, rule of public policy, settlement agreement, statute, writ, or any particular section, part or provision thereof of any Governmental Authority to which the Person in question is subject or by which it or any of its property is bound.

"<u>Client or Account</u>" shall mean any fund, client or account advised by the Management Company, as applicable.

"<u>Covered Person</u>" shall mean the Staff and Services Provider, any of its Affiliates, and any of their respective managers, members, principals, partners, directors, officers, shareholders, employees and agents (but shall not include the Management Company, its subsidiaries or member(s) and any managers, members, principals, partners, directors, officers, shareholders, employees and agents of the Management Company or its subsidiaries or member(s) (in their capacity as such)).

"<u>Governmental Authority</u>" shall mean (i) any government or quasi-governmental authority or political subdivision thereof, whether national, state, county, municipal or regional, whether U.S. or non-U.S.; (ii) any agency, regulator, arbitrator, board, body, branch, bureau, commission, corporation, department, master, mediator, panel, referee, system or instrumentality of any such government, political subdivision or other government or quasi-government entity, whether non-U.S. or U.S.; and (iii) any court, whether U.S. or non-U.S.

"Indebtedness" shall mean: (a) all indebtedness for borrowed money and all other obligations, contingent or otherwise, with respect to surety bonds, guarantees of borrowed money, letters of credit and bankers' acceptances whether or not matured, and hedges and other derivative contracts and financial instruments; (b) all obligations evidenced by notes, bonds, debentures, or similar instruments, or incurred under bank guaranty or letter of credit facilities or credit agreements; (c) all indebtedness created or arising under any conditional sale or other title retention agreement with respect to any property of the Management Company or any subsidiary; (d) all capital lease obligations; (e) all indebtedness guaranteed by such Person or any of its subsidiaries; and (f) all indebtedness guaranteed by such Person or any of its subsidiaries.

"<u>Operating Guidelines</u>" means any operating guidelines attached to any portfolio management agreement, investment management agreement or similar agreement entered into between the Management Company and a Client or Account.

"<u>Portfolio</u>" means the portfolio of securities and other assets, including without limitation, financial instruments, equity investments, collateral loan obligations, debt securities, preferred return notes and other similar obligations held directly or indirectly by, or on behalf of, Clients and Accounts from time to time;

"Securities Act" shall mean the Securities Act of 1933, as amended.

Section 1.02 Interpretation. The following rules apply to the use of defined terms and the interpretation of this Agreement: (i) the singular includes the plural and the plural includes the singular; (ii) "or" is not exclusive (unless preceded by "either") and "include" and "including" are not limiting; (iii) unless the context otherwise requires, references to agreements shall be deemed to mean and include such agreements as the same may be amended, supplemented, waived and otherwise modified from time to time; (iv) a reference to a law includes any amendment or modification to such law and any rules or regulations issued thereunder or any law enacted in substitution or replacement therefor; (v) a reference to a Person includes its successors and assigns; (vi) a reference to a Section without further reference is to the relevant Section of this Agreement; (vii) the headings of the Sections and subsections are for convenience and shall not affect the meaning of this Agreement; (viii) "writing", "written" and comparable terms refer to printing, typing, lithography and other shall mean of reproducing words in a visible form (including telefacsimile and electronic mail); (ix) "hereof", "herein", "hereunder" and comparable terms refer to the entire instrument in which such terms are used and not to any particular article, section or other subdivision thereof or attachment thereto; and (x) references to any gender include any other gender, masculine, feminine or neuter, as the context requires.

ARTICLE II

SERVICES

Section 2.01 <u>General Authority</u>. Highland is hereby appointed as Staff and Services Provider for the purpose of providing such services and assistance as the Management Company may request from time to time to, and if applicable, to make available the Shared Employees to, the Management Company in accordance with and subject to the provisions of this Agreement and the Staff and Services Provider hereby accepts such appointment. The Staff and Services Provider hereby agrees to such engagement during the term hereof and to render the services described herein for the compensation provided herein, subject to the limitations contained herein.

Section 2.02 <u>Provision of Services</u>. Without limiting the generality of Section 2.01 and subject to Section 2.04 (Applicable Asset Criteria and Concentrations) below, the Staff and Services Provider hereby agrees, from the date hereof, to provide the following back- and middle-office services and administrative, infrastructure and other services to the Management Company.

(a) Back- and Middle-Office: Assistance and advice with respect to back- and middle-office functions including, but not limited to, investment research, trade desk services,

including trade execution and settlement, finance and accounting, payments, operations, book keeping, cash management, cash forecasting, accounts payable, accounts receivable, expense reimbursement, vendor management, and information technology (including, without limitation, general support and maintenance (OMS, development, support), telecom (cellphones, telephones and broadband) and WSO);

(b) Legal/Compliance/Risk Analysis. Assistance and advice with respect to legal issues, litigation support, management of outside counsel, compliance support and implementation and general risk analysis;

(c) Tax. Assistance and advice with respect to tax audit support, tax planning and tax preparation and filing.

(d) Management of Clients and Accounts. Assistance and advice with respect to (i) the adherence to Operating Guidelines by the Management Company, and (ii) performing any obligations of the Management Company under or in connection with any back- and middle-office function set forth in any portfolio management agreement, investment management agreement or similar agreement in effect between the Management Company and any Client or Account from time to time.

(e) Valuation. Advice relating to the appointment of suitable third parties to provide valuations on assets comprising the Portfolio and including, but not limited to, such valuations required to facilitate the preparation of financial statements by the Management Company or the provision of valuations in connection with, or preparation of reports otherwise relating to, a Client or Account for which the Management Company serves as portfolio manager or investment manager or in a similar capacity;

(f) *Execution and Documentation.* Assistance relating to the negotiation of the terms of, and the execution and delivery by the Management Company of, any and all documents which the Management Company considers to be necessary in connection with the acquisition and disposition of an asset in the Portfolio by the Management Company or a Client or Account managed by the Management Company, transactions involving the Management Company or a Client or Account managed by the Management Company, and any other rights and obligations of the Management Company or a Client or Account managed by the Management Company or a Client or Account managed by the Management Company, and any other rights and obligations of the Management Company or a Client or Account managed by the Management Company.

(g) *Marketing*. Provide access to marketing team representatives to assist with the marketing of the Management Company and any specified Clients or Accounts managed by the Management Company conditional on the Management Company's agreement that any incentive compensation related to such marketing shall be borne by the Management Company;

(h) *Reporting*. Assistance relating to any reporting the Management Company is required to make in relation to the Portfolio or any Client or Account, including reports relating to (i) credit facility reporting and purchases, sales, liquidations, acquisitions, disposals, substitutions and exchanges of assets in the Portfolio, (ii) the requirements of an applicable regulator, or (iii) other type of reporting which the Management Company and Staff and Services Provider may agree from time to time;

(i) Administrative Services. The provision of office space, information technology services and equipment, infrastructure, rent and parking and other related services requested or utilized by the Management Company from time to time;

(j) Shared Employees. To the extent applicable, the provision of Shared Employees and such additional human capital as may be mutually agreed by the Management Company and the Staff and Services Provider in accordance with the provisions of <u>Section 2.03</u> hereof;

(k) Ancillary Services. Assistance and advice on all things ancillary or incidental to the foregoing; and

(1) Other. Assistance and advice relating to such other back- and middle-office services in connection with the day-to-day business of the Management Company as the Management Company and the Staff and Services Provider may from time to time agree.

For the avoidance of doubt, none of the services contemplated hereunder shall constitute investment advisory services, and the Staff & Services Provider shall not provide any advice to the Management Company or perform any duties on behalf of the Management Company, other than the back- and middle-office services contemplated herein, with respect to (a) the general management of the Management Company, its business or activities, (b) the initiation or structuring of any Client or Account or similar securitization, (c) the substantive investment management decisions with respect to any Client or Account or any related collateral obligations or securitization, (d) the actual selection of any collateral obligation or assets by the Management Company, (e) binding recommendations as to any disposal of or amendment to any Collateral Obligation or (f) any similar functions.

Section 2.03 Shared Employees.

The Staff and Services Provider hereby agrees and consents that each (a) Shared Employee, if any, shall be employed by the Management Company, and the Management Company hereby agrees and consents that each Shared Employee shall be employed by the Staff and Services Provider. Except as may otherwise separately be agreed in writing between the applicable Shared Employee and the Management Company and/or the Staff and Services Provider, in each of their discretion, each Shared Employee is an at-will employee and no guaranteed employment or other employment arrangement is agreed or implied by this Agreement. with respect to any Shared Employee, and for avoidance of doubt this Agreement shall not amend, limit, constrain or modify in any way the employment arrangements as between any Shared Employee and the Staff and Services Provider or as between any Shared Employee and the Management Company, it being understood that the Management Company may enter into a shortform employment agreement with any Shared Employee memorializing such Shared Employee's status as an employee of the Management Company. To the extent applicable, the Staff and Services Provider shall ensure that the Management Company has sufficient access to the Shared Employees so that the Shared Employees spend adequate time to provide the services required hereunder. The Staff and Services Provider may also employ the services of persons other than the Specified Persons as it deems fit in its sole discretion

(b) Notwithstanding that the Shared Employees, if any, shall be employed by both the Staff and Services Provider and the Management Company, the Parties acknowledge and agree that any and all salary and benefits of each Shared Employee shall be paid exclusively by the Staff and Services Provider and shall not be paid or borne by the Management Company and no additional amounts in connection therewith shall be due from the Management Company to the Staff and Services Provider.

(c) To the extent that a Shared Employee participates in the rendering of services to the Management Company's clients, the Shared Employee shall be subject to the oversight and control of the Management Company and such services shall be provided by the Shared Employee exclusively in his or her capacity as a "supervised person" of, or "person associated with", the Management Company (as such terms are defined in Sections 202(a)(25) and 202(a)(17), respectively, of the Advisers Act).

(d) Each Party may continue to oversee, supervise and manage the services of each Shared Employee in order to (1) ensure compliance with the Party's compliance policies and procedures, (2) ensure compliance with regulations applicable to the Party and (3) protect the interests of the Party and its clients; *provided* that Staff and Services Provider shall (A) cooperate with the Management Company's supervisory efforts and (B) make periodic reports to the Management Company regarding the adherence of Shared Employees to Applicable Law, including but not limited to the 1940 Act, the Advisers Act and the United States Commodity Exchange Act of 1936, as amended, in performing the services hereunder.

(e) Where a Shared Employee provides services hereunder through both Parties, the Parties shall cooperate to ensure that all such services are performed consistently with Applicable Law and relevant compliance controls and procedures designed to prevent, among other things, breaches in information security or the communication of confidential, proprietary or material non-public information.

(f) The Staff and Services Provider shall ensure that each Shared Employee has any registrations, qualifications and/or licenses necessary to provide the services hereunder.

(g) The Parties will cooperate to ensure that information about the Shared Employees is adequately and appropriately disclosed to clients, investors (and potential investors), investment banks operating as initial purchaser or placement agent with respect to any Client or Account, and regulators, as applicable. To facilitate such disclosure, the Staff and Services Provider agrees to provide, or cause to be provided, to the Management Company such information as is deemed by the Management Company to be necessary or appropriate with respect to the Staff and Services Provider and the Shared Employees (including, but not limited to, biographical information about each Shared Employee).

(h) The Parties shall cooperate to ensure that, when so required, each has adopted a Code of Ethics meeting the requirements of the Advisers Act ("<u>Code of Ethics</u>") that is consistent with applicable law and which is substantially similar to the other Party's Code of Ethics.

(i) The Staff and Services Provider shall make reasonably available for use by the Management Company, including through Shared Employees providing services pursuant to this Agreement, any relevant intellectual property and systems necessary for the provision of the services hereunder.

(j) The Staff and Services Provider shall require that each Shared Employee:

(i) certify that he or she is subject to, and has been provided with, a copy of each Party's Code of Ethics and will make such reports, and seek prior clearance for such actions and activities, as may be required under the Codes of Ethics;

(ii) be subject to the supervision and oversight of each Party's officers and directors, including without limitation its Chief Compliance Officer ("<u>CCO</u>"), which CCO may be the same Person, with respect to the services provided to that Party or its clients;

(iii) provide services hereunder and take actions hereunder only as approved by the Management Company;

(iv) provide any information requested by a Party, as necessary to comply with applicable disclosure or regulatory obligations;

(v) to the extent authorized to transact on behalf of the Management Company or a Client or Account, take reasonable steps to ensure that any such transaction is consistent with any policies and procedures that may be established by the Parties and all Applicable Asset Criteria and Concentrations; and

(vi) act, at all times, in a manner consistent with the fiduciary duties and standard of care owed by the Management Company to its members and direct or indirect investors or to a Client or Account as well as clients of Staff and Services Provider by seeking to ensure that, among other things, information about any investment advisory or trading activity applicable to a particular client or group of clients is not used to benefit the Shared Employee, any Party or any other client or group of clients in contravention of such fiduciary duties or standard of care.

(k) Unless specifically authorized to do so, or appointed as an officer or authorized person of the Management Company with such authority, no Shared Employee may contract on behalf or in the name of the Management Company, acting as principal.

Section 2.04 <u>Applicable Asset Criteria and Concentrations</u>. The Management Company will promptly inform the Staff and Services Provider in writing of any Applicable Asset Criteria and Concentrations to which it agrees from time to time and the Staff and Services Provider shall take such Applicable Asset Criteria and Concentrations into account when providing assistance and advice in accordance with <u>Section 2.02</u> above and any other assistance or advice provided in accordance with this Agreement.

Section 2.05 <u>Compliance with Management Company Policies and Procedures</u>. The Management Company will from time to time provide the Staff and Services Provider and the

Shared Employees, if any, with any policy and procedure documentation which it establishes internally and to which it is bound to adhere in conducting its business pursuant to regulation, contract or otherwise. Subject to any other limitations in this Agreement, the Staff and Services Provider will use reasonable efforts to ensure any services it and the Shared Employees provide pursuant to this Agreement complies with or takes account of such internal policies and procedures.

Section 2.06 <u>Authority</u>. The Staff and Services Provider's scope of assistance and advice hereunder is limited to the services specifically provided for in this Agreement. The Staff and Services Provider shall not assume or be deemed to assume any rights or obligations of the Management Company under any other document or agreement to which the Management Company is a party. Notwithstanding any other express or implied provision to the contrary in this Agreement, the activities of the Staff and Services Provider pursuant to this Agreement shall be subject to the overall policies of the Management Company, as notified to the Staff and Services Provider from time to time. The Staff and Services Provider shall not have any duties or obligations to the Management Company unless those duties and obligations are specifically provided for in this Agreement (or in any amendment, modification or novation hereto or hereof to which the Staff and Services Provider is a party).

Section 2.07 Third Parties.

(a) The Staff and Services Provider may employ third parties, including its affiliates, to render advice, provide assistance and to perform any of its duties under this Agreement; *provided* that notwithstanding the employment of third parties for any such purpose, the Staff and Services Provider shall not be relieved of any of its obligations or liabilities under this Agreement.

(b) In providing services hereunder, the Staff and Services Provider may rely in good faith upon and will incur no liability for relying upon advice of nationally recognized counsel (which may be counsel for the Management Company, a Client or Account or any Affiliate of the foregoing), accountants or other advisers as the Staff and Services Provider determines, in its sole discretion, is reasonably appropriate in connection with the services provided by the Staff and Services Provider under this Agreement.

Section 2.08 <u>Management Company to Cooperate with the Staff and Services Provider</u>. In furtherance of the Staff and Services Provider's obligations under this Agreement the Management Company shall cooperate with, provide to, and fully inform the Staff and Services Provider of, any and all documents and information the Staff and Services Provider reasonably requires to perform its obligations under this Agreement.

Section 2.09 <u>Power of Attorney</u>. If the Management Company considers it necessary for the provision by the Staff and Services Provider of the assistance and advice under this Agreement (after consultation with the Staff and Services Provider), it may appoint the Staff and Services Provider as its true and lawful agent and attorney, with full power and authority in its name to sign, execute, certify, swear to, acknowledge, deliver, file, receive and record any and all documents that the Staff and Services Provider reasonably deems appropriate or necessary in connection with the execution and settlement of acquisitions of assets as directed by the Management Company and the Staff and Services Provider's powers and duties hereunder (which for the avoidance of doubt shall in no way involve the discretion and/or authority of the Management Company with respect to investments). Any such power shall be revocable in the sole discretion of the Management Company.

ARTICLE III

CONSIDERATION AND EXPENSES

Section 3.01 <u>Consideration</u>. As compensation for its performance of its obligations as Staff and Services Provider under this Agreement, the Staff and Services Provider will be entitled to receive a flat fee of \$168,000 per month (the "<u>Staff and Services Fee</u>"), payable monthly in advance on the first business day of each month.

Section 3.02 <u>Costs and Expenses</u>. Each party shall bear its own expenses; *provided* that the Management Company shall reimburse the Staff and Services Provider for any and all costs and expenses that may be borne properly by the Management Company.

Section 3.03 <u>Deferral</u>. Notwithstanding anything to the contrary contained herein, if on any date the Management Company determines that it would not have sufficient funds available to it to make a payment of Indebtedness, it shall have the right to defer any all and amounts payable to the Staff and Services Provider pursuant to this Agreement, including any fees and expenses; *provided* that the Management Company shall promptly pay all such amounts on the first date thereafter that sufficient amounts exist to make payment thereof.

ARTICLE IV

REPRESENTATIONS AND COVENANTS

Section 4.01 <u>Representations</u>. Each of the Parties hereto represents and warrants that:

(a) It has full power and authority to execute and deliver, and to perform its obligations under, this Agreement;

(b) this Agreement has been duly authorized, executed and delivered by it and constitutes its valid and binding, obligation, enforceable in accordance with its terms except as the enforceability hereof may be subject to (i) bankruptcy, insolvency, reorganization moratorium, receivership, conservatorship or other similar laws now or hereafter in effect relating to creditors' rights and (ii) general principles of equity (regardless of whether such enforcement is considered in a proceeding, in equity or at law);

(c) no consent, approval, authorization or order of or declaration or filing with any Governmental Authority is required for the execution of this Agreement or the performance by it of its duties hereunder, except such as have been duly made or obtained; and

(d) neither the execution and delivery of this Agreement nor the fulfillment of the terms hereof conflicts with or results in a breach or violation of any of the terms or provisions of, or constitutes a default under, (i) its constituting and organizational documents; or (ii) the terms

of any material indenture, contract, lease, mortgage, deed of trust, note, agreement or other evidence of indebtedness or other material agreement, obligation, condition, covenant or instrument to which it is a party or by which it is bound.

ARTICLE V

COVENANTS

Section 5.01 Compliance: Advisory Restrictions.

(a) The Staff and Services Provider shall reasonably cooperate with the Management Company in connection with the Management Company's compliance with its policies and procedures relating to oversight of the Staff and Services Provider. Specifically, the Staff and Services Provider agrees that it will provide the Management Company with reasonable access to information relating to the performance of Staff and Services Provider's obligations under this Agreement.

(b) This Agreement is not intended to and shall not constitute an assignment, pledge or transfer of any portfolio management agreement or any part thereof. It is the express intention of the parties hereto that this Agreement and all services performed hereunder comply in all respects with all (a) applicable contractual provisions and restrictions contained in each portfolio management agreement, investment management agreement or similar agreement and each document contemplated thereby; and (b) Applicable Laws (collectively, the "<u>Advisory Restrictions</u>"). If any provision of this Agreement is determined to be in violation of any Advisory Restriction, then the services to be provided under this Agreement shall automatically be limited without action by any person or entity, reduced or modified to the extent necessary and appropriate to be enforceable to the maximum extent permitted by such Advisory Restriction.

Section 5.02 Records: Confidentiality.

The Staff and Services Provider shall maintain or cause to be maintained appropriate books of account and records relating to its services performed hereunder, and such books of account and records shall be accessible for inspection by representatives of the Management Company and its accountants and other agents at any time during normal business hours and upon not less than three (3) Business Days' prior notice; *provided* that the Staff and Services Provider shall not be obligated to provide access to any non-public information if it in good faith determines that the disclosure of such information would violate any applicable law, regulation or contractual arrangement.

The Staff and Services Provider shall follow its customary procedures to keep confidential any and all information obtained in connection with the services rendered hereunder that is either (a) of a type that would ordinarily be considered proprietary or confidential, such as information concerning the composition of assets, rates of return, credit quality, structure or ownership of securities, or (b) designated as confidential obtained in connection with the services rendered by the Staff and Services Provider hereunder and shall not disclose any such information to non-affiliated third parties, except (i) with the prior written consent of the Management Company, (ii) such information as a rating agency shall reasonably request in connection with its

rating of notes issued by a CLO or supplying credit estimates on any obligation included in the Portfolio, (iii) in connection with establishing trading or investment accounts or otherwise in connection with effecting transactions on behalf of the Management Company or any Client or Account for which the Management Company serves as portfolio manager or investment manager or in a similar capacity, (iv) as required by (A) Applicable Law or (B) the rules or regulations of any self-regulating organization, body or official having jurisdiction over the Staff and Services Provider or any of its Affiliates, (v) to its professional advisors (including, without limitation, legal, tax and accounting advisors), (vi) such information as shall have been publicly disclosed other than in known violation of this Agreement or shall have been obtained by the Staff and Services Provider on a non-confidential basis, (vii) such information as is necessary or appropriate to disclose so that the Staff and Services Provider may perform its duties hereunder, (viii) as expressly permitted in the final offering memorandum or any definitive transaction documents relating to any Client or Account, (ix) information relating to performance of the Portfolio as may be used by the Staff and Services Provider in the ordinary course of its business or (xx) such information as is routinely disclosed to the trustee, custodian or collateral administrator of any Client or Account in connection with such trustee's, custodian's or collateral administrator's performance of its obligations under the transaction documents related to such Client or Account. Notwithstanding the foregoing, it is agreed that the Staff and Services Provider may disclose without the consent of any Person (1) that it is serving as staff and services provider to the Management Company, (2) the nature, aggregate principal amount and overall performance of the Portfolio, (3) the amount of earnings on the Portfolio, (4) such other information about the Management Company, the Portfolio and the Clients or Accounts as is customarily disclosed by staff and services providers to management vehicles similar to the Management Company, and (5) the United States federal income tax treatment and United States federal income tax structure of the transactions contemplated by this Agreement and the related documents and all materials of any kind (including opinions and other tax analyses) that are provided to them relating to such United States federal income tax treatment and United States income tax structure. This authorization to disclose the U.S. tax treatment and tax structure does not permit disclosure of information identifying the Staff and Services Provider, the Clients or Accounts or any other party to the transactions contemplated by this Agreement (except to the extent such information is relevant to U.S. tax structure or tax treatment of such transactions).

ARTICLE VI

EXCULPATION AND INDEMNIFICATION

Section 6.01 <u>Standard of Care</u>. Except as otherwise expressly provided herein, each Covered Person shall discharge its duties under this Agreement with the care, skill, prudence and diligence under the circumstances then prevailing that a prudent person acting in a like capacity and familiar with such matters would use in the conduct of an enterprise of a like character and with like aims. To the extent not inconsistent with the foregoing, each Covered Person shall follow its customary standards, policies and procedures in performing its duties hereunder. No Covered Person shall deal with the income or assets of the Management Company in such Covered Person's own interest or for its own account. Each Covered Person in its respective sole and absolute discretion may separately engage or invest in any other business ventures, including those that may be in competition with the Management Company, and the Management Company will not have any rights in or to such ventures or the income or profits derived therefrom

Section 6.02 Exculpation. To the fullest extent permitted by law, no Covered Person will be liable to the Management Company, any Member, or any shareholder, partner or member thereof, for (i) any acts or omissions by such Covered Person arising out of or in connection with the conduct of the business of the Management Company or its General Partner, or any investment made or held by the Management Company or its General Partner, unless it is determined ultimately by a court of competent jurisdiction, in a final nonappealable judgment, to be the result of gross negligence or to constitute fraud or willful misconduct (as interpreted under the laws of the State of Delaware) (each, a "Disabling Conduct") on the part of such Covered Person, (ii) any act or omission of any Investor, (iii) any mistake, gross negligence, misconduct or bad faith of any employee, broker, administrator or other agent or representative of such Covered Person, provided that such employee, broker, administrator or agent was selected, engaged or retained by or on behalf of such Covered Person with reasonable care, or (iv) any consequential (including loss of profit), indirect, special or punitive damages. To the extent that, at law or in equity, any Covered Person has duties (including fiduciary duties) and liabilities relating thereto to the Management Company or any Member, no Covered Person acting under this Agreement shall be liable to the Management Company or to any such Member for its good-faith reliance on the provisions of this Agreement. The exculpations set forth in this Section 6.02 shall exculpate any Covered Person regardless of such Covered Person's sole, comparative, joint, concurrent, or subsequent negligence.

To the fullest extent permitted by law, no Covered Person shall have any personal liability to the Management Company or any Member solely by reason of any change in U.S. federal, state or local or foreign income tax laws, or in interpretations thereof, as they apply to the Management Company or the Members, whether the change occurs through legislative, judicial or administrative action.

Any Covered Person in its sole and absolute discretion may consult legal counsel, accountants or other advisers selected by it, and any act or omission taken, or made in good faith by such Person on behalf of the Management Company or in furtherance of the business of the Management Company in good-faith reliance on and in accordance with the advice of such counsel, accountants or other advisers shall be full justification for the act or omission, and to the fullest extent permitted by applicable law, no Covered Person shall be liable to the Management Company or any Member in so acting or omitting to act if such counsel, accountants or other advisers were selected, engaged or retained with reasonable care.

Section 6.03 Indemnification by the Management Company. The Management Company shall and hereby does, to the fullest extent permitted by applicable law, indemnify and hold harmless any Covered Person from and against any and all claims, causes of action (including, but not limited to, strict liability, negligence, statutory violation, regulatory violation, breach of contract, and all other torts and claims arising under common law), demands, liabilities, costs, expenses, damages, losses, suits, proceedings, judgments, assessments, actions and other liabilities, whether judicial, administrative, investigative or otherwise, of whatever nature, known or unknown, liquidated or unliquidated ("Claims"), that may accrue to or be incurred by any Covered Person, or in which any Covered Person may become involved, as a party or otherwise, or with which any Covered Person may be threatened, relating to or arising out of the investment or other activities of the Management Company or its General Partner, or activities undertaken in connection with the Management Company or its General Partner, or otherwise relating to or

arising out of this Agreement, including amounts paid in satisfaction of judgments, in compromise or as fines or penalties, and attorneys' fees and expenses incurred in connection with the preparation for or defense or disposition of any investigation, action, suit, arbitration or other proceeding (a "<u>Proceeding</u>"), whether civil or criminal (all of such Claims, amounts and expenses referred to therein are referred to collectively as "<u>Damages</u>"), except to the extent that it shall have been determined ultimately by a court of competent jurisdiction, in a final nonappealable judgment, that such Damages arose primarily from Disabling Conduct of such Covered Person. The termination of any Proceeding by settlement, judgment, order, conviction or upon a plea of nolo contendere or its equivalent shall not, of itself, create a presumption that any Damages relating to such settlement, judgment, order, conviction or plea of nolo contendere or its equivalent or otherwise relating to such Proceeding arose primarily from Disabling Conduct of any Covered Persons. Any Covered Person shall be indemnified under the terms of this Section 6.03 regardless of such Covered Person's sole, comparative, joint, concurrent, or subsequent negligence.

Expenses (including attorneys' fees) incurred by a Covered Person in defense or settlement of any Claim that may be subject to a right of indemnification hereunder shall be advanced by the Management Company prior to the final disposition thereof upon receipt of a written undertaking by or on behalf of the Covered Person to repay the amount advanced to the extent that it shall be determined ultimately by a court of competent jurisdiction that the Covered Person is not entitled to be indemnified hereunder. The right of any Covered Persons to the indemnification provided herein shall be cumulative of, and in addition to, any and all rights to which the Covered Person may otherwise be entitled by contract or as a matter of law or equity and shall be extended to the Covered Person's successors, assigns and legal representatives. Any judgments against the Management Company and/or any Covered Persons in respect of which such Covered Person is entitled to indemnification shall first be satisfied from the assets of the Management Company, including Drawdowns, before such Covered Person is responsible therefor.

Notwithstanding any provision of this Agreement to the contrary, the provisions of this <u>Section 6.03</u> shall not be construed so as to provide for the indemnification of any Covered Person for any liability (including liability under Federal securities laws which, under certain circumstances, impose liability even on persons that act in good faith), to the extent (but only to the extent) that such indemnification would be in violation of applicable law, but shall be construed so as to effectuate the provisions of this <u>Section 6.03</u> to the fullest extent permitted by law.

Section 6.04 <u>Other Sources of Recovery etc.</u> The indemnification rights set forth in <u>Section 6.03</u> are in addition to, and shall not exclude, limit or otherwise adversely affect, any other indemnification or similar rights to which any Covered Person may be entitled. If and to the extent that other sources of recovery (including proceeds of any applicable policies of insurance or indemnification from any Person in which any of the Clients or Accounts has an investment) are available to any Covered Person, such Covered Person shall use reasonable efforts to obtain recovery from such other sources before the Company shall be required to make any payment in respect of its indemnification obligations hereunder; *provided* that, if such other recovery is not available without delay, the Covered Person shall be entitled to such payment by the Management Company and the Management Company shall be entitled to reimbursement out of such other recovery when and if obtained.

Section 6.05 <u>Rights of Heirs, Successors and Assigns</u>. The indemnification rights provided by <u>Section 6.03</u> shall inure to the benefit of the heirs, executors, administrators, successors and assigns of each Covered Person.

Section 6.06 <u>Reliance</u>. A Covered Person shall incur no liability to the Management Company or any Member in acting upon any signature or writing reasonably believed by him, her or it to be genuine, and may rely in good faith on a certificate signed by an officer of any Person in order to ascertain any fact with respect to such Person or within such Person's knowledge. Each Covered Person may act directly or through his, her or its agents or attorneys.

ARTICLE VII

TERMINATION

Section 7.01 <u>Termination</u>. Either Party may terminate this Agreement at any time upon at least thirty (30) days' written notice to the other.

ARTICLE VIII

MISCELLANEOUS

Section 8.01 <u>Amendments</u>. This Agreement may not be amended or modified except by an instrument in writing signed by each Party.

Section 8.02 Assignment and Delegation.

(a) Neither Party may assign, pledge, grant or otherwise encumber or transfer all or any part of its rights or responsibilities under this Agreement, in whole or in part, except (i) as provided in clauses (b) and (c) of this <u>Section 8.02</u>, without the prior written consent of the other Party and (ii) in accordance with Applicable Law.

(b) Except as otherwise provided in this <u>Section 8.02</u>, the Staff and Services Provider may not assign its rights or responsibilities under this Agreement unless (i) the Management Company consents in writing thereto and (ii) such assignment is made in accordance with Applicable Law.

(c) The Staff and Services Provider may, without satisfying any of the conditions of <u>Section 8.02(a)</u> other than clause (ii) thereof, (1) assign any of its rights or obligations under this Agreement to an Affiliate; *provided* that such Affiliate (i) has demonstrated ability, whether as an entity or by its principals and employees, to professionally and competently perform duties similar to those imposed upon the Staff and Services Provider pursuant to this Agreement and (ii) has the legal right and capacity to act as Staff and Services Provider under this Agreement, or (2) enter into (or have its parent enter into) any consolidation or amalgamation with, or merger with or into, or transfer of all or substantially all of its assets to, another entity; *provided* that, at the time of such consolidation, merger, amalgamation or transfer the resulting, surviving or transferee entity assumes all the obligations of the Staff and Services Provider under this Agreement this Agreement generally (whether by operation of law or by contract) and the other entity is a continuation of the Staff and Services Provider in another corporate or similar form and has

substantially the same staff; *provided further* that the Staff and Services Provider shall deliver ten (10) Business Days' prior notice to the Management Company of any assignment or combination made pursuant to this sentence. Upon the execution and delivery of any such assignment by the assignee, the Staff and Services Provider will be released from further obligations pursuant to this Agreement except to the extent expressly provided herein.

Section 8.03 Non-Recourse; Non-Petition.

(a) The Staff and Services Provider agrees that the payment of all amounts to which it is entitled pursuant to this Agreement shall be payable by the Management Company only to the extent of assets held in the Portfolio.

(b) Notwithstanding anything to the contrary contained herein, the liability of the Management Company to the Staff and Services Provider hereunder is limited in recourse to the Portfolio, and if the proceeds of the Portfolio following the liquidation thereof are insufficient to meet the obligations of the Management Company hereunder in full, the Management Company shall have no further liability in respect of any such outstanding obligations, and such obligations and all claims of the Staff and Services Provider or any other Person against the Management Company hereunder shall thereupon extinguish and not thereafter revive. The Staff and Services Provider accepts that the obligations of the Management Company hereunder are the corporate obligations of the Management Company and are not the obligations of any employee, member, officer, director or administrator of the Management Company and no action may be taken against any such Person in relation to the obligations of the Management Company hereunder.

(c) Notwithstanding anything to the contrary contained herein, any Staff and Services Provider agrees not to institute against, or join any other Person in instituting against, the Management Company any bankruptcy, reorganization, arrangement, insolvency, moratorium or liquidation proceedings, or other proceedings under United States federal or state bankruptcy laws, or similar laws until at least one year and one day (or, if longer, the then applicable preference period plus one day) after the payment in full all amounts payable in respect of any Indebtedness incurred to finance any portion of the Portfolio; *provided* that nothing in this provision shall preclude, or be deemed to stop, the Staff and Services Provider from taking any action prior to the expiration of the aforementioned one year and one day period (or, if longer, the applicable preference period then in effect plus one day) in (i) any case or proceeding voluntarily filed or commenced by the Management Company, or (ii) any involuntary insolvency proceeding filed or commenced against the Management Company by a Person other than the Staff and Services Provider.

(d) The Management Company hereby acknowledges and agrees that the Staff and Services Provider's obligations hereunder shall be solely the corporate obligations of the Staff and Services Provider, and are not the obligations of any employee, member, officer, director or administrator of the Staff and Services Provider and no action may be taken against any such Person in relation to the obligations of the Staff and Services Provider hereunder.

(c) The provisions of this <u>Section 8.03</u> shall survive termination of this Agreement for any reason whatsoever.

Section 8.04 Governing Law.

(a) This Agreement shall be governed by, and construed in accordance with, the laws of the State of Texas. The Parties unconditionally and irrevocably consent to the exclusive jurisdiction of the courts located in the State of Texas and waive any objection with respect thereto, for the purpose of any action, suit or proceeding arising out of or relating to this Agreement or the transactions contemplated hereby.

(b) The Parties irrevocably agree for the benefit of each other that the courts of the State of Texas and the United States District Court located in the Northern District of Texas in Dallas are to have exclusive jurisdiction to settle any disputes (whether contractual or noncontractual) which may arise out of or in connection with this Agreement and that accordingly any action arising out of or in connection therewith (together referred to as "<u>Proceedings</u>") may be brought in such courts. The Parties irrevocably submit to the jurisdiction of such courts and waive any objection which they may have now or hereafter to the laying of the venue of any Proceedings in any such court and any claim that any Proceedings have been brought in an inconvenient forum and further irrevocably agree that a judgment in any Proceedings brought in such courts shall be conclusive and binding upon the Parties and may be enforced in the courts of any other jurisdiction.

Section 8.05 WAIVER OF JURY TRIAL. EACH OF THE PARTIES HERETO HEREBY KNOWINGLY, VOLUNTARILY AND INTENTIONALLY WAIVES ANY RIGHTS IT MAY HAVE TO A TRIAL BY JURY IN RESPECT OF ANY LITIGATION BASED HEREON, OR ARISING OUT OF, UNDER, OR IN CONNECTION WITH, THIS AGREEMENT. EACH PARTY HERETO ACKNOWLEDGES AND AGREES THAT IT HAS RECEIVED FULL AND SUFFICIENT CONSIDERATION FOR THIS PROVISION AND THAT THIS PROVISION IS A MATERIAL INDUCEMENT FOR ITS ENTERING INTO THIS AGREEMENT.

Section 8.06 <u>Severability</u>. The provisions of this Agreement are independent of and severable from each other, and no provision shall be affected or rendered invalid or unenforceable by virtue of the fact that for any reason any other or others of them may be invalid or unenforceable in whole or in part. Upon such determination that any term or other provision is invalid, illegal or incapable of being enforced, the Parties shall negotiate in good faith to modify this Agreement so as to effect the original intent of the Parties.

Section 8.07 <u>No Waiver</u>. The performance of any condition or obligation imposed upon any Party may be waived only upon the written consent of the Parties. Such waiver shall be limited to the terms thereof and shall not constitute a waiver of any other condition or obligation of the other Party. Any failure by any Party to enforce any provision shall not constitute a waiver of that or any other provision or this Agreement.

Section 8.08 <u>Counterparts</u>. This Agreement may be executed in any number of counterparts by facsimile or other written or electronic form of communication, each of which shall be deemed to be an original as against any Party whose signature appears thereon, and all of which shall together constitute one and the same instrument. This Agreement shall become binding when one or more counterparts hereof, individually or taken together, shall bear the signatures of all of the Parties reflected hereon as the signatories.

Section 8.09 <u>Third Party Beneficiaries</u>. This Agreement is for the sole benefit of the Parties hereto and their permitted assigns and nothing herein express or implied shall give or be construed to give to any Person, other than the Parties hereto and such permitted assigns, any legal or equitable rights hereunder. For avoidance of doubt, this Agreement is not for the benefit or and is not enforceable by any Shared Employee, Client or Account or any investor (directly or indirectly) in the Management Company.

Section 8.10 <u>No Partnership or Joint Venture</u>. Nothing set forth in this Agreement shall constitute, or be construed to create, an employment relationship, a partnership or a joint venture between the Parties. Except as expressly provided herein or in any other written agreement between the Parties, no Party has any authority, express or implied, to bind or to incur liabilities on behalf of, or in the name of, any other Party.

Section 8.11 <u>Independent Contractor</u>. Notwithstanding anything to the contrary, the Staff and Services Provider shall be deemed to be an independent contractor and, except as expressly provided or authorized herein, shall have no authority to act for or represent the Management Company or any Client or Account in which the Management Company acts as portfolio manager or investment manager or in a similar capacity in any manner or otherwise be deemed an agent of the Management Company or any Client or Account in which the Management Company acts as portfolio manager or investment manager or any Client or Account in which the Management Company acts as portfolio manager or investment manager or in a similar capacity.

Section 8.12 <u>Written Disclosure Statement</u>. The Management Company acknowledges receipt of Part 2 of the Staff and Services Provider's Form ADV, as required by Rule 204-3 under the Advisers Act, on or before the date of execution of this Agreement.

Section 8.13 <u>Headings</u>. The descriptive headings contained in this Agreement are for convenience of reference only and shall not affect in any way the meaning or interpretation of this Agreement.

Section 8.14 <u>Entire Agreement</u>. This Agreement constitutes the entire agreement of the Parties with respect to the subject matter hereof and supersedes all prior agreements and undertakings, both written and oral, between the Parties with respect to such subject matter.

Section 8.15 <u>Notices</u>. Any notice or demand to any Party to be given, made or served for any purposes under this Agreement shall be given, made or served by sending the same by overnight mail or email transmission or by delivering it by hand as follows:

(a) If to the Management Company:

NexPoint Advisors, L.P. 200 Crescent Court Suite 700 Dallas, TX 75201 (b) If to the Staff and Services Provider:

Highland Capital Management, L.P. 300 Crescent Court Suite 700 Dallas, TX 75201

or to such other address or email address as shall have been notified to the other Parties.

[The remainder of this page intentionally left blank.]

1

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, each Party has caused this Agreement to be executed as of the date hereof by its duly authorized representative.

NEXPOINT ADVISORS, L.P.

By: NexPoint Advisors GP, LLC, its General Partner

By:

Name: Frank Waterhouse Title: Treasurer

HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P.

By: Strand Advisors, Inc., its General Partner,

By: <

Name: Frank Waterhouse Title: Treasurer

Davor Rukavina, Esq. Texas Bar No. 24030781 Julian P. Vasek, Esq. Texas Bar No. 24070790 MUNSCH HARDT KOPF & HARR, P.C. 500 N. Akard Street, Suite 3800 Dallas, Texas 75202-2790 Telephone: (214) 855-7500 Facsimile: (214) 978-4375

Counsel for Defendant NexPoint Advisors, L.P.

IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT					
	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS				
DALLAS D	IVISION				
In re:	§	Case No. 19-34054-SGJ-11			
	Ş				
HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P.,	§	Chapter 11			
	§	-			
Debtor.	§				
	§				
HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P.,	§				
	§				
Plaintiff.	Ş				
	Ş				
V.	Ş				
	§	Adversary No.: 21-03005-sgj			
NEXPOINT ADVISORS, L.P., JAMES	§				
DONDERO, NANCY DONDERO, AND	§				
DUGABOY INVESTMENT TRUST,	§				
	§				
Defendants.	§				

DEFENDANT NEXPOINT ADVISORS, L.P.'S ANSWER TO AMENDED COMPLAINT

Defendant NexPoint Advisors, L.P. ("<u>NexPoint</u>"), a defendant in the above-styled and numbered adversary proceeding (the "<u>Adversary Proceeding</u>") filed by Highland Capital Management, L.P. (the "<u>Plaintiff</u>"), hereby files this Answer (the "<u>Answer</u>") responding to the *Amended Complaint for (I) Breach of Contract and (II) Turnover of Property (III) Fraudulent Transfer, and (IV) Breach of Fiduciary Duty* [Adv. Dkt. 73] (the "<u>Amended Complaint</u>"). Where an allegation in the Amended Complaint is not expressly admitted in this Answer, it is denied.

Exhibit B

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

1. The first sentence of paragraph 1 of the Amended Complaint sets forth the Plaintiff's objective in bringing the Amended Complaint and does not require a response. To the extent it contains factual allegations, they are denied. The second sentence contains a legal conclusion that does not require a response. To the extent it contains factual allegations, they are denied.

Defendant NexPoint admits that NPA's First Amended Answer speaks for itself.
 To the extent paragraph 2 contradicts the First Amended Answer, it is denied.

3. Defendant NexPoint denies the allegations in paragraph 3 of the Amended Complaint.

4. Paragraph 4 of the Amended Complaint sets forth the Plaintiff's objective in bringing the Amended Complaint and does not require a response. To the extent it contains factual allegations, they are denied.

5. Paragraph 5 of the Amended Complaint contains a summary of the relief the Plaintiff seeks and does not require a response. To the extent it contains factual allegations, they are denied.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

6. Defendant NexPoint admits that this Adversary Proceeding relates to the Plaintiff's bankruptcy case but denies any implication that this fact confers Constitutional authority on the Bankruptcy Court to adjudicate this dispute. Any allegations in paragraph 6 not expressly admitted are denied.

7. Defendant NexPoint admits that the Court has statutory (but not Constitutional) jurisdiction to hear this Adversary Proceeding. Any allegations in paragraph 7 not expressly admitted are denied.

8. Defendant NexPoint denies the allegations contained in paragraph 8 of the Amended Complaint. Defendant NexPoint does not consent to any trial before, or final order entered by, the Bankruptcy Court. Defendant NexPoint demands a trial by jury of all issues so triable.

9. Defendant NexPoint admits the allegations in paragraph 9 of the Amended Complaint.

THE PARTIES

10. Defendant NexPoint admits the allegations in paragraph 10 of the Amended Complaint.

 Defendant NexPoint admits the allegations in paragraph 11 of the Amended Complaint.

12. Defendant NexPoint admits the allegations in paragraph 12 of the Amended Complaint.

13. Defendant NexPoint lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the allegations in paragraph 13 of the Amended Complaint and therefore denies the same.

14. Defendant NexPoint lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the allegations in paragraph 14 of the Amended Complaint and therefore denies the same.

CASE BACKGROUND

15. Defendant NexPoint admits the allegations in paragraph 15 of the Amended Complaint.

 Defendant NexPoint admits the allegations in paragraph 16 of the Amended Complaint. 17. Defendant NexPoint admits the allegations in paragraph 17 of the Amended Complaint.

 Defendant NexPoint admits the allegations in paragraph 18 of the Amended Complaint.

19. Defendant NexPoint admits the allegations in paragraph 19 of the Amended Complaint.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

20. Defendant NexPoint admits that it has executed at least one promissory note under which the Debtor is a payee. Any allegations in paragraph 20 note expressly admitted are denied.

21. Defendant NexPoint admits the allegations in paragraph 21 of the Amended Complaint.

22. Defendant NexPoint denies paragraph 22 of the Complaint. The document speaks for itself and the quote set forth in paragraph 22 is not verbatim.

23. Defendant NexPoint admits the allegations in paragraph 23 of the Amended Complaint.

24. Defendant NexPoint denies paragraph 24 of the Complaint. The document speaks for itself and the quote set forth in paragraph 24 is not verbatim.

25. Defendant NexPoint admits the allegations in paragraph 25 of the Amended Complaint.

26. Defendant NexPoint admits that it did not make a payment under the Note on December 31, 2020. Defendant NexPoint denies that any payment was due under the Note on December 31, 2020. To the extent not expressly admitted, paragraph 26 of the Amended Complaint is denied.

27. Defendant NexPoint admits that Exhibit 2 to the Amended Complaint (the "<u>Demand Letter</u>") is a true and correct copy of what it purports to be and that the document speaks for itself. To the extent paragraph 27 of the Amended Complaint asserts a legal conclusion, no response is required, and it is denied. To the extent not expressly admitted, paragraph 27 of the Amended Complaint is denied.

28. Defendant NexPoint admits that it paid the Debtor \$1,406,111.92 on January 14, 2021, but denies that any payment was due on December 31, 2020 or that this was an attempt to cure a default. To the extent not expressly admitted, paragraph 28 of the Amended Complaint is denied.

29. Defendant NexPoint admits that Exhibit 3 to the Amended Complaint (the "<u>Second Demand Letter</u>") is a true and correct copy of what it purports to be and that the document speaks for itself. To the extent paragraph 29 of the Amended Complaint asserts a legal conclusion, no response is required, and it is denied. To the extent not expressly admitted, paragraph 29 of the Amended Complaint is denied.

30. To the extent paragraph 30 of the Amended Complaint asserts a legal conclusion, no response is necessary, and it is denied. The Defendant otherwise admits paragraph 30 of the Amended Complaint.

31. Defendant NexPoint lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the allegations in paragraph 31 of the Amended Complaint and therefore denies the same.

32. Defendant NexPoint denies the allegations in paragraph 32 of the Amended Complaint.

33. Defendant NexPoint admits that the Debtor filed the Original Complaint in this action on January 22, 2021, as alleged in the first sentence of paragraph 33 of the Amended

Complaint. Defendant NexPoint denies it is liable for the relief requested in the Original Complaint. To the extent not expressly admitted, paragraph 33 of the Amended Complaint is denied.

34. Defendant NexPoint admits the allegations in paragraph 34 of the Amended Complaint.

35. Defendant NexPoint admits the allegations in paragraph 35 of the Amended Complaint.

36. Defendant NexPoint admits that NexPoint's First Amended Answer speaks for itself. To the extent paragraph 36 contradicts the First Amended Answer, it is denied.

37. Defendant NexPoint admits that NexPoint's First Amended Answer speaks for itself. To the extent paragraph 37 contradicts the First Amended Answer, it is denied.

38. Paragraph 38 of the Amended Complaint asserts a legal conclusion to which no answer is required. To the extent of any factual allegation, Defendant NexPoint admits that Mr. Dondero controlled NPA and denies that he controlled the Debtor at the time of the Alleged Agreement.

39. Defendant NexPoint lacks knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief about the truth of the allegations in paragraph 39 of the Amended Complaint and therefore denies the same.

40. Defendant NexPoint denies the allegations in paragraph 40 of the Amended Complaint.

41. Defendant NexPoint admits that Exhibit 4 to the Amended Complaint is a true and correct copy of what it purports to be and that the document speaks for itself. To the extent paragraph 41 of the Amended Complaint asserts a legal conclusion, no response is required, and

it is denied. To the extent not expressly admitted, paragraph 41 of the Amended Complaint is denied.

42. Paragraph 42 of the Amended Complaint states a legal conclusion that does not require a response and is therefore denied.

43. Paragraph 43 of the Amended Complaint states a legal conclusion that does not require a response and is therefore denied.

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF (against NexPoint) (for Breach of Contract)

44. Paragraph 44 of the Amended Complaint is a sentence of incorporation that does not require a response. All prior responses are incorporated herein by reference.

45. Paragraph 45 of the Amended Complaint states a legal conclusion that does not require a response and is therefore denied. To the extent of any factual allegation, it is denied.

46. Paragraph 46 of the Amended Complaint states a legal conclusion that does not require a response and is therefore denied. To the extent of any factual allegation, it is denied.

47. Paragraph 47 of the Amended Complaint states a legal conclusion that does not require a response and is therefore denied. To the extent of any factual allegation, it is denied.

48. Paragraph 48 of the Amended Complaint states a legal conclusion that does not require a response and is therefore denied. To the extent of any factual allegation, it is denied.

<u>SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF</u> (against NexPoint) (Turnover by NexPoint Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 542(b))

49. Paragraph 49 of the Amended Complaint is a sentence of incorporation that does not require a response and is therefore denied. All prior responses are incorporated herein by reference.

Casasse223.0050555559002048643Filledc090020221 Einteredc090020221187522388 Plage-8836618899

50. Paragraph 50 of the Amended Complaint states a legal conclusion that does not require a response and is therefore denied. To the extent of any factual allegation, it is denied.

51. Paragraph 51 of the Amended Complaint states a legal conclusion that does not require a response and is therefore denied. To the extent of any factual allegation, it is denied.

52. Paragraph 52 of the Amended Complaint states a legal conclusion that does not require a response and is therefore denied. To the extent of any factual allegation, it is denied.

53. Paragraph 53 of the Amended Complaint states a legal conclusion that does not require a response and is therefore denied. Defendant NexPoint admits that the Plaintiff transmitted the Demand Letter and the Second Demand Letter, and those documents speak for themselves.

54. Paragraph 54 of the Amended Complaint states a legal conclusion that does not require a response and is therefore denied. To the extent of any factual allegation, it is denied.

55. Paragraph 55 of the Amended Complaint states a legal conclusion that does not require a response and is therefore denied. To the extent of any factual allegation, it is denied.

THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Against NexPoint)

(Avoidance and Recovery of Actual Fraudulent Transfer under 11 U.S.C. §§ 548(a)(1)(A) and 550)

56. Paragraph 56 of the Amended Complaint is a sentence of incorporation that does not require a response. All prior responses are incorporated herein by reference.

57. Paragraph 57 of the Amended Complaint states a legal conclusion that does not require a response and is therefore denied.

58. Paragraph 58 of the Amended Complaint states a legal conclusion that does not require a response and is therefore denied. To the extent of any factual allegation, it is denied.

59. Paragraph 59 of the Amended Complaint states a legal conclusion that does not require a response and is therefore denied. To the extent of any factual allegation, it is denied.

60. Paragraph 60 of the Amended Complaint states a legal conclusion that does not require a response and is therefore denied. To the extent of any factual allegation, it is denied.

61. Paragraph 61 of the Amended Complaint states a legal conclusion that does not require a response and is therefore denied. To the extent of any factual allegation, it is denied.

FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Against NexPoint)

(Avoidance and Recovery of Actual Fraudulent Transfer Under 11 U.S.C. § 544(b) and 550, and Tex. Bus. & C. Code § 24.005(a)(1))

62. Paragraph 62 of the Amended Complaint is a sentence of incorporation that does not require a response. All prior responses are incorporated herein by reference.

63. Paragraph 63 of the Amended Complaint states a legal conclusion that does not require a response and is therefore denied.

64. Paragraph 64 of the Amended Complaint states a legal conclusion that does not require a response and is therefore denied. To the extent of any factual allegation, it is denied.

65. Paragraph 65 of the Amended Complaint states a legal conclusion that does not

require a response and is therefore denied. To the extent of any factual allegation, it is denied.

66. Paragraph 66 of the Amended Complaint states a legal conclusion that does not require a response and is therefore denied. To the extent of any factual allegation, it is denied.

FIFTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Against Dugaboy Investment Trust and Nancy Dondero) (For Declaratory Relief: -- 11 U.S.C. § 105(a) and Fed. R. Bankr. P. 7001)

67. Paragraph 67 of the Amended Complaint is a sentence of incorporation that does not require a response. All prior responses are incorporated herein by reference.

68. This claim is only asserted against Defendants Dugaboy Investment Trust and

Nancy Dondero. Therefore, Defendant NexPoint is not required to respond to this claim.

69. This claim is only asserted against Defendants Dugaboy Investment Trust and Nancy Dondero. Therefore, Defendant NexPoint is not required to respond to this claim.

70. Paragraph 70 of the Amended Complaint states a legal conclusion that does not require a response and is therefore denied.

SIXTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF (Against Dugaboy Investment Trust and Nancy Dondero) (Breach of Fiduciary Duty)

71. Paragraph 71 of the Amended Complaint is a sentence of incorporation that does not require a response. All prior responses are incorporated herein by reference.

72. This claim is only asserted against Defendants Dugaboy Investment Trust and Nancy Dondero. Therefore, Defendant NexPoint is not required to respond to this claim.

73. This claim is only asserted against Defendants Dugaboy Investment Trust and

Nancy Dondero. Therefore, Defendant NexPoint is not required to respond to this claim.

74. This claim is only asserted against Defendants Dugaboy Investment Trust and

Nancy Dondero. Therefore, Defendant NexPoint is not required to respond to this claim.

SEVENTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF (Against James Dondero and Nancy Dondero) (Aiding and Abetting a Breach of Fiduciary Duty)

75. Paragraph 75 of the Amended Complaint is a sentence of incorporation that does not require a response. All prior responses are incorporated herein by reference.

76. This claim is only asserted against Defendants James Dondero and Nancy Dondero. Therefore, Defendant NexPoint is not required to respond to this claim.

77. This claim is only asserted against Defendants James Dondero and Nancy Dondero. Therefore, Defendant NexPoint is not required to respond to this claim.

78. This claim is only asserted against Defendants James Dondero and Nancy Dondero. Therefore, Defendant NexPoint is not required to respond to this claim.

79. This claim is only asserted against Defendants James Dondero and Nancy Dondero. Therefore, Defendant NexPoint is not required to respond to this claim.

Defendant NexPoint denies that the Plaintiff is entitled to the relief requested in the prayer, including as to parts (i), (ii), (iii), (iv), (v), (vi), (vii) and (iii) [sic].

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

80. Pursuant to that certain Shared Services Agreement, the Plaintiff was responsible for making payments on behalf of the Defendant under the note. Any alleged default under the note was the result of the Plaintiff's own negligence, misconduct, breach of contract, etc.

81. Delay in the performance of a contract is excused when the party who seeks to enforce the contract caused the delay. It was therefore inappropriate for the Plaintiff to accelerate the note when the brief delay in payment was the Plaintiff's own fault.

82. Furthermore, the Plaintiff has waived the right to accelerate the note and /or the Plaintiff is estopped to enforce the alleged acceleration by accepting payment after the same.

83. Furthermore, the Plaintiff's claims are barred in whole or in part because, prior to any alleged breach or acceleration, the Plaintiff agreed that it would not collect on the note upon fulfilment of certain conditions subsequent. Specifically, sometime between December of the year in which each Note was made and February of the following year, Defendant Nancy Dondero, as representative for a majority of the Class A shareholders of Plaintiff agreed that Plaintiff would forgive the Notes if certain portfolio companies were sold for greater than cost or on a basis outside of Defendant James Dondero's control. This agreement setting forth the conditions subsequent to demands for payment on the Notes was an oral agreement; however, Defendant NexPoint believes there may be testimony or email correspondence that discusses the existence of this agreement that may be uncovered through discovery in this Adversary Proceeding.

84. Defendant NexPoint asserts that any fraudulent transfer claim is barred because NexPoint acted in good faith, without knowledge of any alleged avoidability, and because reasonably equivalent value was provided for any alleged transfer or obligation.

85. Defendant NexPoint asserts that any fraudulent transfer claim is barred because no transferor or transferee, or obligor or obligee, was insolvent.

86. To the extent of any avoidance, NexPoint asserts a lien under 11 U.S.C. § 548(c) to the extent that NexPoint gave value, and a similar preference lien under any applicable provision of the Texas Uniform Fraudulent Transfer Act.

JURY DEMAND

87. Defendant NexPoint demands a trial by jury of all issues so triable pursuant to Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and Rule 9015 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure.

88. Defendant NexPoint does <u>not</u> consent to the Bankruptcy Court conducting a jury trial and therefore demands a jury trial in the District Court.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, Defendant NexPoint respectfully requests that, following a trial on the merits, the Court enter a judgment that the Plaintiff take nothing on the Amended Complaint and provide Defendant NexPoint such other relief to which it is entitled. RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 1st day of September, 2021.

MUNSCH HARDT KOPF & HARR, P.C.

By: /s/ Davor Rukavina

Davor Rukavina, Esq. Texas Bar No. 24030781 Julian P. Vasek, Esq. Texas Bar No. 24070790 3800 Ross Tower 500 N. Akard Street Dallas, Texas 75201-6659 Telephone: (214) 855-7500 Facsimile: (214) 855-7584 Email: drukavina@munsch.com

COUNSEL FOR NEXPOINT ADVISORS, L.P.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that, on September 1, 2021, a true and correct copy of this document was served via the Court's CM/ECF system on counsel for the Plaintiff.

<u>/s/ Davor Rukavina</u> Davor Rukavina

Page 1

Exhibit C

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	
2	IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS	
3	DALLAS DIVISION	
4	IN RE:	
5	Chapter 11 HIGHLAND CAPITAL	
6	MANAGEMENT, L.P., CASE NO. 19-34054-SGI11	
7		
8	Debtor.	
9	HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P.,	
	Plaintiff,	
10	vs. Adversary Proceeding No.	
11	HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT 21-03000-SGI FUND ADVISORS, L.P.; NEXPOINT	
12	ADVISORS, L.P.; HIGHLAND	
13	INCOME FUND; NEXPOINT STRATEGIC OPPORTUNITIES FUND;	
14	NEXPOINT CAPITAL, INC.; and CLO HOLDCO, LTD.,	
15	Defendants.	
16		
-		
17	REMOTE VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF	
18	FRANK WATERHOUSE	
19	October 19, 2021	
20		
21		
22		
23		
24	Reported by: Susan S. Klinger, RMR-CRR, CSR	
25	Job No: 201195	

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 2
2		
3		
4	October 19, 2021	
5	9:30 a.m.	
6		
7		
8		
9	Remote Deposition of FRANK WATERHOUSE,	
10	held before Susan S. Klinger, a Registered	
11	Merit Reporter and Certified Realtime Reporter	
12	of the State of Texas.	
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page
2	APPEARANCES:	
3	(All appearances via Zoom.)	
4	Attorneys for the Reorganized Highland Capital	
5	Management:	
6	John Morris, Esq.	
7	Hayley Winograd, Esq.	
8	PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES	
9	780 Third Avenue	
10	New York, New York 10017	
11	Attorneys for the Witness:	
12	Debra Dandeneau, Esq.	
13	Michelle Hartmann, Esq.	
14	BAKER MCKENZIE	
15	1900 North Pearl Street	
16	Dallas, Texas 75201	
17	Attorneys for NexPoint Advisors, LP and	
18	Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors,	
19	L.P.:	
20	Davor Rukavina, Esq.	
21	An Nguyen, Esq.	
22	MUNSCH HARDT KOPF & HARDD	
23	500 North Akard Street	
24	Dallas, Texas 75201-6659	
25		

3

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 4
2	Attorneys for Jim Dondero, Nancy Dondero, HCRA,	
3	and HCMS:	
4	Deborah Deitsch-Perez, Esq.	
5	Michael Aigen, Esq.	
6	STINSON	
7	3102 Oak Lawn Avenue	
8	Dallas, Texas 75219	
9		
10	Attorneys for Dugaboy Investment Trust:	
11	Warren Horn, Esq.	
12	HELLER, DRAPER & HORN	
13	650 Poydras Street	
14	New Orleans, Louisiana 70130	
15		
16	Attorneys for Marc Kirschner as the trustee for	
17	the litigation SunTrust:	
18	Deborah Newman, Esq.	
19	QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN	
20	51 Madison Avenue	
21	New York, New York 10010	
22		
23	Also Present:	
24	Ms. La Asia Canty	
25		

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21		Page 5
2	I N D E X		
3			
4	WITNESS	PAGE	
5	FRANK WATERHOUSE		
6	EXAMINATION BY MR. MORRIS	10	
7	EXAMINATION BY MR. RUKAVINA	256	
8	EXAMINATION BY MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ	352	
9	EXAMINATION BY MR. MORRIS	377	
10	EXAMINATION BY MR. RUKAVINA	387	
11	EXAMINATION BY MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ	393	
12			
13	EXHIBITS		
14	No.	Page	
15	Exhibit 2 NPA et al Amended Complaint	142	
16	Exhibit 33 6/3/19 Management	91	
17	Representation		
18	Exhibit 34 HCMLP Consolidated Financial	94	
19	Statements		
20	Exhibit 35 HCMFA Incumbency Certificate	151	
21	Exhibit 36 Email string re 15(c)	170	
22	Exhibit 39 HCMLP Operating Results 2/18	226	
23	Exhibit 40 Summary of Assets and	236	
24	Liabilities		
25	Exhibit 41 12/19 Monthly Operating Report	258	

			Page 6
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21		rage o
2	Exhibit 45 HCMFA Consolidated Financial	135	
3	Statements		
4	Exhibit 46 NexPoint 2019 Audited	218	
5	Financials		
6			
7	Exhibit Al Emails 11/25	328	
8	Exhibit A2 Emails 12/31	338	
9	Exhibit A6 Emails 1/12	341	
10	Exhibit A7 Promissory Notes	297	
11	Exhibit A9 Email, 8/31	307	
12	Exhibit A10 Acknowledgment from HCMLP	302	
13	Exhibit All HCMLP Schedule 71A	309	
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 7
2	PROCEEDINGS	
3	VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning,	
4	Counselors. My name is Scott Hatch. I'm a	
5	certified legal videographer in association	
6	with TSG Reporting, Inc.	
7	Due to the severity of COVID-19 and	
8	following the practice of social	
9	distancing, I will not be in the same room	
10	with the witness. Instead, I will record	
11	this videotaped deposition remotely. The	
12	reporter, Susan Klinger, also will not be	
13	in the same room and will swear the witness	
14	remotely.	
15	Do all parties stipulate to the	
16	validity of this video recording and remote	
17	swearing, and that it will be admissible in	
18	the courtroom as if it had been taken	
19	following Rule 30 of the Federal Rules of	
20	Civil Procedures and the state's rules	
21	where this case is pending?	
22	MR. HORN: Yes.	
23	MS. DANDENEAU: Yes.	
24	MR. MORRIS: Yes. John Morris. I	
25	would just try to do a negative notice	

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21
2	here, as we did yesterday. If anybody has
3	a problem with what was just stated, can
4	you state your objection now?
5	Okay. No response, so everybody
6	accepts the stipulation and the instruction
7	that was just given.
8	VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you. This is
9	the start of media labeled Number 1 of the
10	video recorded deposition of Frank
11	Waterhouse In Re: Highland Capital
12	Management, L.P., in the United States
13	Bankruptcy Court for the Northern District
14	of Texas, Dallas Division, Case Number
15	21-03000-SGI.
16	This deposition is being held via
17	video conference with participants
18	appearing remotely due to COVID-19
19	restrictions on Tuesday, October 19th, 2021
20	at approximately 9:32 a.m. My name is
21	Scott Hatch, legal video specialist with
22	TSG Reporting, Inc. headquartered at 228
23	East 45th Street, New York, New York. The
24	court reporter is Susan Klinger in
25	association with TSG Reporting.
1	

Page 8

		Page 9
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	rage 9
2	Counsel, please introduce	
3	yourselves.	
4	MR. MORRIS: John Morris, Pachulski	
5	Stang Ziehl & Jones for the reorganized	
6	Highland Capital Management, L.P., the	
7	plaintiff in these actions.	
8	MS. DANDENEAU: Deborah Dandeneau	
9	from Baker McKenzie. My partner, Michelle	
10	Hartmann, is also in the room with me,	
11	representing Frank Waterhouse individually.	
12	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Deborah	
13	Deitsch-Perez from Stinson, LLP,	
14	representing Jim Dondero, Nancy Dondero,	
15	HCRA, and HCMS.	
16	MR. HORN: Warren Horn with Heller,	
17	Draper & Horn in New Orleans representing	
18	Dugaboy Investment Trust.	
19	MR. RUKAVINA: Davor Rukavina with	
20	Munsch Hardt Kopf & Harr in Dallas	
21	representing NexPoint Advisors, LP and	
22	Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors,	
23	L.P.	
24	MR. AIGEN: Michael Aigen from	
25	Stinson, and I represent the same parties	
1		I

Page 10 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 as Deborah Deitsch-Perez. 3 MS. NEWMAN: This is Deborah Newman from Quinn Emanuel. We represent the 4 5 litigation -- Marc Kirschner as the trustee for the litigation SunTrust. 6 7 MR. MORRIS: I think that is everybody. 8 9 VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you. Will the 10 court reporter please swear in the witness. 11 FRANK WATERHOUSE, 12 having been first duly sworn, testified as follows: 13 14 EXAMINATION 15 BY MR. MORRIS: 16 0. Please state your name for the 17 record. 18 My name is Frank Waterhouse. Α. Good morning, Mr. Waterhouse. 19 0. I'm 20 John Morris, as you know, from Pachulski Stang 21 Ziehl & Jones. You understand that my firm and 22 I represent Highland Capital Management, L.P.; 23 is that right? 24 Α. Yes. 25 Okay. And do you understand that Q.

Page 11 1 WATERHOUSE -10-19-212 we're here today for your deposition in your 3 individual capacity? 4 Α. Yes. Did you review and -- did you 5 Ο. receive and review a subpoena that Highland б 7 Capital Management, L.P., served upon you? Α. Yes. 8 You have been deposed before; right? 9 Q. 10 Yes. Α. 11 How many times have you been Q. 12 deposed? 13 Α. About three or four times. 14 Q. Okay. And I defended you in one 15 deposition; isn't that right? 16 That is correct. Α. 17 So the general ground rules for this 0. deposition are largely the same as the 18 depositions you have given before. And that is 19 20 I will ask you a series of questions, and it is 21 important that you allow me to finish my 22 question before you begin your answer; is that 23 fair? 24 Α. Yes. 25 And it is important that I allow you Q.

1 WATERHOUSE -10-19-212 to finish your answers before I begin a 3 question, but if I fail to do that, will you let me know? 4 5 I can certainly do that. Α. 6 0. Okay. Do you understand that this 7 deposition is being videotaped? Α. Yes. 8 9 Q. You understand that I may seek to 10 use portions of the videotape in a court of 11 law? 12 I did not know that, until you just Α. 13 said that. 14 0. Okay. And you are aware of that now 15 before the deposition begins substantively; is 16 that right? 17 Α. Yes. So unlike I think the other 18 Q. 19 depositions that you have given, this one is 20 being given remotely. So that presents some 21 unique challenges, at least as compared to a 22 deposition that is taken in-person. 23 From time to time we're going to put 24 documents up on the screen, Mr. Waterhouse. 25 And it is important that I give you the

Page 12

1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 opportunity to review any portion of the 3 document that you think you need in order to 4 fully and completely answer the question. 5 So I would ask you to let me know if б there is a portion of a document that you need 7 to see in order to fully and completely answer the question. Can you do that for me? 8 9 Α. Yes. 10 MS. DANDENEAU: Mr. Morris, I would 11 just note that we do have hard copies of 12 the documents that you sent, so if you can 13 just refer to the exhibit number as 14 reflected in the documents that you sent, 15 Mr. Waterhouse will be able to look at the 16 hard copies of those documents. 17 MR. MORRIS: I appreciate that, 18 and -- and I will encourage him to do so. 19 There will be other documents that we did 20 not send to you that we'll be using today 21 though. 22 Okay. With that as background, if Ο. there is anything that I ask you, sir, that you 23 24 don't understand, will you let me know? 25 Α. Yes.

Page 13

1		WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 14
2	Q.	Okay. Are you currently employed?	
3	Α.	Yes.	
4	Q.	By whom?	
5	Α.	The Skyview Group.	
6	Q.	When did you become employed by the	
7	Skyview G	roup?	
8	Α.	I believe March 1st of 2021.	
9	Q.	Do you have a title at Skyview?	
10	Α.	Yes.	
11	Q.	What is your title?	
12	Α.	My title is chief financial officer.	
13	Q.	Do you report to anybody in your	
14	role as CI	FO?	
15	Α.	I don't, no.	
16	Q.	No. Is there a president or a CEO	
17	of Skyview	N?	
18	Α.	Yes.	
19	Q.	Who is that?	
20	Α.	That is Scott Ellington.	
21	Q.	But you don't report to	
22	Mr. Elling	gton; is that right?	
23	Α.	I don't think so.	
24	Q.	Does Skyview Group	
25		MS. DANDENEAU: Excuse me, we	

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 15
2	A. II-Imight. I just I	
3	don't recall.	
4	Q. Okay. Does Skyview Group provide	
5	any services to any entity directly or	
6	indirectly owned or controlled by Jim Dondero?	
7	A. Yes.	
8	Q. Can you name is that pursuant to	
9	written contracts?	
10	A. Yes.	
11	Q. And do you know how many contracts	
12	exist?	
13	A. Approximately six or so.	
14	Q. And is the Skyview Group made up of	
15	individuals who were formerly employees of	
16	Highland Capital Management, L.P.?	
17	A. No.	
18	Q. Do you know how many how many	
19	how many employees does Skyview have?	
20	A. Approximately 35.	
21	Q. And can you tell me how many of	
22	those 35 are former officers, directors, or	
23	employees of Highland Capital Management, L.P.?	
24	A. I don't know the exact number.	
25	Q. Is it more than 20?	

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 16
2	A. Yes.	
3	Q. Is it more than 30?	
4	A. I don't know.	
5	Q. Can you tell me what portion of	
6	Skyview Skyview's revenue is derived from	
7	entities that are directly or indirectly owned	
8	or controlled by Jim Dondero?	
9	MS. DANDENEAU: Mr. Morris, I mean,	
10	you called Mr. Waterhouse here individually	
11	for purposes of his testimony in connection	
12	with the noticed litigation. I have given	
13	you some leeway to ask him some background	
14	information about Skyview Group, but this	
15	is not a substitute for a deposition in	
16	connection with any other pending disputes	
17	that exist. And and we agreed to accept	
18	the subpoena on the basis of he this is	
19	testimony that he is giving in connection	
20	with the noticed litigation.	
21	I really think that you are now	
22	going a little bit far afield from the	
23	purpose of this deposition.	
24	MR. MORRIS: Okay. It is I'm not	
25	intending to use these the answers to	

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 17
2	these questions for any purpose other than	
3	this litigation. I think you understand	
4	fully why I'm asking the questions, and I	
5	just have a couple more, if you will bear	
6	with me.	
7	MS. DANDENEAU: Okay.	
8	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Can we have an	
9	agreement that an objection by one is an	
10	objection for any other party here?	
11	MR. MORRIS: Sure. I would I	
12	would encourage that, sure.	
13	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Thank you.	
14	MR. MORRIS: It can't be sustained	
15	or overruled more than one time, so	
16	Q. Mr. Waterhouse, can you answer my	
17	question, please.	
18	MS. DANDENEAU: Do you want to	
19	repeat it, Mr. Morris, for his benefit?	
20	MR. MORRIS: Sure.	
21	Q. Can you can you tell me the	
22	approximate portion of Skyview's revenue that	
23	is derived from entities that are directly or	
24	indirectly owned or controlled by Mr. Dondero?	
25	A. I don't know the exact number.	

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 1	8
2	Q. Is it more than 75 percer	ıt?	
3	A. Yes.		
4	Q. Is it more than 90 percer	ıt?	
5	A. I don't know.		
6	Q. Okay. Can I refer to Hig	Jhland	
7	Capital Management, L.P., as Highlar	ıd?	
8	A. Yes.		
9	Q. All right. And you preve	lously	
10	served as Highland's CFO; correct?		
11	A. Yes.		
12	Q. When did you join Highlar	ıd?	
13	A. I don't recall the exact	date.	
14	Q. Can you tell me what year	??	
15	A. 2006.		
16	Q. When did you in what y	year did you	
17	become Highland's CFO?		
18	A. I don't recall the exact	date.	
19	Q. I'm not asking you for th	1e exact	
20	date. I'm asking you if you recall	the year in	
21	which you were appointed CFO.		
22	A. I don't recall the exact	year.	
23	Q. Can you tell me which yea	ars it is	
24	possible that you were appointed to	CFO of	
25	Highland?		
1			

Caase 21000005 gg Dood 86-3 Filed 00/09/22 Entered 00/09/22 18:28:38 Page 197058999

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 19
2	A. 2011 or 2012.	
3	Q. Did you serve as Highland's CFO on a	
4	continuous basis from in or around 2011 or 2012	
5	until early 2021?	
6	A. Yes.	
7	Q. During that entire time you reported	
8	directly to Jim Dondero; correct?	
9	A. I I don't know.	
10	Q. Is there anybody else you reported	
11	to withdrawn.	
12	Did you report to Mr. Dondero for	
13	some portion of the time that you served as	
14	CFO?	
15	A. Yes.	
16	Q. Is there a portion of time that you	
17	don't recall who you reported to?	
18	A. Yes.	
19	Q. What portion of time do you have in	
20	your mind when you can't recall who you	
21	reported to?	
22	A. From the 2011 to for	
23	approximately a year or two.	
24	Q. Okay. So is it fair to say that you	
25	reported to Mr. Dondero in your capacity as CFO	

Page 20 1 WATERHOUSE -10-19-212 from at least 2014 until the time you left 3 Highland? Objection to form. 4 MS. DANDENEAU: I don't want to speculate the exact 5 Α. б or what year that changed or -- so I would like 7 to stick with my testimony. Can you recall when you began 8 Ο. 9 reporting to Mr. Dondero? 10 Α. I don't recall. 11 Can you -- can you give me an Ο. 12 estimate of what year you think you might have 13 began reporting to Mr. Dondero? 14 Α. I will go back to my prior 15 testimony. 16 Okay. There is no -- you have no Ο. ability to tell me when you began reporting to 17 18 Mr. Dondero. 19 Do I have that right? 20 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 21 I don't recall. Α. 22 Okay. Do you recall who you might 0. 23 have reported to before you began reporting to 24 Mr. Dondero? 25 Α. Yes.

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 21
2	Q. Who might you have reported to in	
3	your capacity as CFO before you started	
4	reporting to Mr. Dondero?	
5	A. That would have been Patrick Boyce.	
6	Q. Are you aware that Highland filed	
7	for bankruptcy on October 19th, 2019?	
8	A. Yes.	
9	Q. And we refer to that as the petition	
10	date?	
11	A. Yes.	
12	Q. Okay. Do you hold any professional	
13	licenses, sir?	
14	A. Yes.	
15	Q. Can you tell me what professional	
16	licenses you hold?	
17	A. I'm a certified public accountant.	
18	Q. Okay. Anything else?	
19	A. No.	
20	Q. Do you have any other professional	
21	licenses or certificates?	
22	A. When you say "professional license,"	
23	that is not education?	
24	Q. Tell me sure. Anything other	
25	than a driver's license.	
1		

1 WATERHOUSE -10-19-212 Do you have any other license or 3 certificate or certification? Are you asking, like, where I went 4 Α. to school and the --5 6 0. I am not. I am not. I didn't say 7 education. I didn't ask about degrees. Do you know what a license is? 8 9 Α. Well, yeah, I mean, a license is 10 something you get after you receive a certain level of proficiency. 11 12 Do you have any licenses or Ο. 13 certifications other than your CPA? 14 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection, form. 15 I assume you mean professional 16 licenses, Mr. Morris; correct? 17 Can you answer my question, sir? Q. Mr. Morris, I'm thinking. I 18 Α. don't -- I don't think I have any others. 19 20 Are you familiar with an entity 0. called Highland Capital Management Fund 21 Advisors? 22 23 Α. Yes. 24 Were you ever -- can we refer to 0. 25 that entity as HCMFA?

Page 22

		Page 23
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	
2	A. Yes.	
3	Q. Were you ever employed by HCMFA?	
4	A. Not that I recall.	
5	Q. Were you ever did you ever hold	
6	the title of an officer or director of HCMFA?	
7	A. Yes.	
8	Q. What title did you hold?	
9	A. Treasurer.	
10	Q. When did you become the treasurer of	
11	HCMFA?	
12	A. I don't recall.	
13	Q. Can you tell me the year?	
14	A. I don't I don't know the year.	
15	Q. Can you approximate the year in	
16	which you became the treasurer of HCMFA?	
17	A. I don't know.	
18	Q. Can you tell me if it was before or	
19	after 2016?	
20	A. I don't recall.	
21	Q. Are you still the do you know if	
22	you're still the treasurer of HCMFA today?	
23	A. Today, I am the acting treasurer for	
24	HCMFA.	
25	Q. Is there a distinction between	

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 24
2	treasurer and acting treasurer?	
3	A. I said "acting treasurer" as I am an	
4	employee of Skyview, as you previously	
5	stated or asked.	
6	Q. But you are the treasurer of HCMFA	
7	today; correct?	
8	A. I am I am the acting treasurer	
9	for HCMFA.	
10	Q. How did you become the treasurer of	
11	HCMFA?	
12	A. Are you asking how I became the	
13	treasurer of HCMFA today?	
14	Q. How did you become appointed to	
15	serve as the treasurer of HCMFA?	
16	A. Well, in in in what time	
17	capacity?	
18	Q. The first time that you were	
19	appointed.	
20	A. First time. I believe I was asked	
21	to serve as treasurer for HCMFA the first time.	
22	Q. By who? Who asked you to do that?	
23	A. I don't recall.	
24	Q. Is there anything that would refresh	
25	your recollection as to who appointed you as	

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 25
2	the treasurer of CF HCMFA for the first	
3	time?	
4	A. I don't I mean, there would be	
5	some documents, some legal documents. I don't	
6	know where those are.	
7	Q. How many times have you been	
8	appointed the treasurer of HCMFA?	
9	A. I don't know.	
10	Q. Was it more than once?	
11	A. I don't know.	
12	Q. Can you tell me any period of time	
13	since 2016 that you did not hold the title of	
14	treasurer of HCMFA?	
15	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	
16	A. I don't recall.	
17	Q. What are your duties and	
18	responsibilities as the treasurer of HCMFA?	
19	A. My duties are to do the best job	
20	that I can as the as an accountant and	
21	finance guy.	
22	Q. What specific duties and	
23	responsibilities do you have as the treasurer	
24	of HCMFA?	
25	A. My duties are to do the best job	

Page 26 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 that I can as the accounting and finance person 3 for HCMFA. As the accounting and finance person 4 0. for HCMFA, do you have any particular areas of 5 б responsibility? 7 Yeah, it is to manage the accounting Α. and finance function for HCMFA. 8 9 Q. Would that include -- do you have 10 responsibility for overseeing HCMFA's annual 11 audit? 12 Can I please elaborate on my prior Α. question? 13 14 Ο. Of course. You -- you are giving 15 I'm asking questions. answers. 16 Okay. Yes, so the -- it -- like I Α. said, it is to manage the accounting finance 17 aspect, but I am, as we discussed, the 18 19 treasurer. That is -- being treasurer is what 20 gives me that -- that management function. 21 Does anybody report to you in your 0. 22 capacity as treasurer of HCMFA? 23 I don't believe so. Α. Does HCMFA have a chief financial 24 Ο. 25 officer?

Page 27 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 Α. I don't -- I don't know. You don't know? 3 Q. You're the treasurer of HCMFA but 4 you don't know if HCMFA has a chief financial 5 officer. 6 7 Do I have that right? Α. That's right. 8 9 Okay. Have you heard of a company Q. 10 called NexPoint Advisors? 11 Α. Yes. 12 Q. We will refer to that as NexPoint. 13 Okay? 14 Α. Okay. 15 Q. Were you ever employed by NexPoint? 16 I don't recall. Α. 17 Did you ever hold any title with Q. respect to the entity known as NexPoint? 18 19 Α. Yes. 20 Q. What titles have you held in 21 relation to NexPoint? 22 Treasurer. I think it was only Α. 23 treasurer. 24 Ο. Can you tell me the approximate year you became the treasurer of NexPoint? 25

Page 28 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 I don't know. Α. 3 Are you still the treasurer of 0. 4 NexPoint today? I am the acting treasurer for 5 Α. NexPoint. 6 7 When did your title change from Ο. treasurer to acting treasurer? 8 9 Α. I don't know. 10 Did your duties and responsibilities Ο. change at all when your title was changed from 11 12 treasurer to acting treasurer? 13 Α. I don't -- I don't believe so. 14 Q. Why did --15 I still manage the finance and Α. accounting function for NexPoint. 16 17 Why did your title change from 0. treasurer to acting treasurer? 18 19 Α. I don't -- I'm using the term 20 "acting treasurer" as I'm a Skyview employee. I don't -- I don't know -- again, I am a -- as 21 22 I am the Skyview employee. 23 Q. Okay. And we -- we provide officer 24 Α. 25 services.

Page 29 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 0. And you serve as an officer of 3 HCMFA; correct? 4 I think we went over that with my Α. 5 testimony. Yes, I'm the acting treasurer for 6 HCMFA. 7 And you are an officer of NexPoint; Ο. correct? 8 9 Α. I think -- I am the acting treasurer 10 for NexPoint Advisors. And -- and who appointed you acting 11 Ο. 12 treasurer of NexPoint Advisors? 13 Α. I don't recall specifically. Do you have any recollection of who 14 Q. 15 might have appointed you the treasurer of NexPoint? 16 17 I mean, it -- it -- I don't recall Α. exactly who it was. 18 19 Who were the possibilities? 0. 20 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 21 form. 22 0. You can answer. 23 Someone in the legal group for Α. 24 NexPoint. The other officers as well. 25 Have you heard of a company called Q.

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 30
2	Highland Capital Management Services, Inc.?	
3	A. Yes.	
4	Q. We will refer to that as HCMS.	
5	Okay?	
6	A. HCMS. Okay.	
7	Q. Were you ever employed by HCMS?	
8	A. No.	
9	Q. Have you ever held any titles in	
10	relation to HCMF I apologize HCMS?	
11	A. Yes.	
12	Q. What titles have you held in	
13	relation to HCMS?	
14	A. Treasurer and acting treasurer.	
15	Q. When did you first become treasurer	
16	or acting treasurer of HCMS?	
17	A. I don't recall the exact dates.	
18	Q. Can you recall can you	
19	approximate the year that you became the	
20	treasurer of HCMS?	
21	A. I don't I don't know.	
22	Q. Are you still the treasurer of HCMS	
23	today?	
24	A. I am the acting treasurer for HCMS.	
25	Q. And are your duties and	

-		
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 31
2	responsibilities as the acting treasurer for	
3	HCMS and the acting treasurer for NexPoint the	
4	same as your duties and responsibilities in	
5	your role as the acting treasurer of HCMFA?	
6	A. More or less.	
7	Q. Have you ever heard of a company	
8	called HCRE Partners, LLC?	
9	A. Yes.	
10	Q. And do you understand that that	
11	entity is now known today as NexPoint Real	
12	Estate Partners?	
13	A. I did not know that.	
14	Q. All right. Can we refer to HCRE	
15	Partners as HCRE?	
16	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	
17	Did you mean NexPoint Real Estate	
18	Partners, Mr. Morris?	
19	MR. MORRIS: No.	
20	MS. DANDENEAU: Oh.	
21	MR. MORRIS: He said he wasn't	
22	familiar that it was succeeded by that	
23	entity. So	
24	MS. DANDENEAU: Okay.	
25	MR. MORRIS: let's go with what	

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 32
2	the witness knows.	
3	Q. You're familiar with an entity	
4	called HCRE Partners, LLC; correct?	
5	A. Yes.	
6	Q. Okay. So that is the entity that we	
7	will refer to as HCRE. If you're aware of any	
8	successor, that is great. If not, let's just	
9	define it as such.	
10	Have you ever been employed by HCRE	
11	or any entity that you know to have succeeded	
12	HCRE?	
13	A. No.	
14	Q. Did you ever serve as an officer or	
15	director of HCRE or any successor?	
16	A. Not that I recall.	
17	Q. Okay. Can we refer to NexPoint and	
18	HCMFA as the advisors?	
19	A. Yes.	
20	Q. In general, the advisors provided	
21	investment advisory services to certain retail	
22	funds; correct?	
23	A. Yes.	
24	Q. And we will refer to the retail	
25	funds that are served by the advisors	

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 33
2	collectively as the retail funds; is that okay?	
3	A. Okay.	
4	Q. Each of the retail funds is governed	
5	by a board; correct?	
6	A. Yes.	
7	Q. And do you know the people who serve	
8	on the boards of the retail funds?	
9	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	
10	A. I don't know all of them.	
11	Q. Do you know whether the same people	
12	serve on the board of each of the retail funds	
13	as we've defined that term?	
14	A. Which so when you say "retail	
15	funds" again, I want to be what retail	
16	funds are you referring to, because there are	
17	there are several distinctions?	
18	What retail funds are you using when	
19	you refer to them?	
20	Q. That is why that is why I tried	
21	to define the terms. So let me do it again.	
22	Retail funds for the purposes of	
23	this deposition means any retail fund to which	
24	either of the advisors provides advisory	
25	services. Okay?	
1		

			Page 34
1		WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	
2	Α.	Okay.	
3	Q.	Okay. So do you know whether the	
4	same people	e serve on the board of each of the	
5	retail fund	ds?	
6	Α.	I don't know.	
7	Q.	Were you ever employed by any of the	
8	retail fund	ds?	
9	Α.	No.	
10	Q.	No?	
11	Α.	No.	
12	Q.	Okay. Do you have any title with	
13	respect to	any of the retail funds?	
14	Α.	Yes.	
15	Q.	What titles do you hold	
16	withdrawn.		
17		Do you have the same titles with	
18	respect to	all of the retail funds or do	
19	they or	just something else?	
20		MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	
21	Q.	Withdrawn.	
22		Do you have the same title with	
23	respect to	each of the retail funds?	
24	Α.	No.	
25	Q.	Tell me which title you have with	

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page (
2	respect to each retail fund.	
3	Actually, let's do it a different	
4	way. I withdraw the question.	
5	Can you give me one title you have	
6	in relation to any retail fund?	
7	A. Yes.	
8	Q. What title what title can you	
9	give me?	
10	A. Principal executive officer.	
11	Q. Do you serve as principal executive	
12	officer for each of the retail funds?	
13	A. No.	
14	Q. Can you identify for me the retail	
15	funds in which you serve as the principal	
16	executive officer?	
17	A. Yes. Highland Funds 1, Highland	
18	Funds 2, Highland Income Fund, Highland Global	
19	Allocation Fund.	
20	Q. I'm sorry, you said "Global	
21	Allocation Fund"?	
22	A. Yes.	
23	VIDEOGRAPHER: Excuse me,	
24	Mr. Morris. This is the videographer. I'm	
25	concerned about the lighting in the	

35

Caase 21000005 sgp Dod 86-3 Filed 00/09/22 Entered 00/09/22 18:28:38 Page 364068999

Page 36 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 witness' camera. 3 Do you want to go off the record and make some adjustments? 4 5 MR. MORRIS: Sure, but just for this 6 purpose. I don't want to take a break. We 7 just started. MS. DANDENEAU: Yeah, that is fine. 8 9 That is fine. We're going to put you on 10 mute. 11 MR. MORRIS: All right. 12 MS. DANDENEAU: I'm going to try to 13 open up some of the shades. 14 VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the 15 record at 10:08 a.m. 16 (Recess taken 10:08 a.m. to 10:11 a.m.) 17 VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record at 10:11 a.m. 18 Mr. Waterhouse, when did you become 19 0. 20 the principal executive officer of the four retail funds that you just identified? 21 22 I don't recall. Α. 23 Do you recall the approximate year Q. 24 that you became the principal executive officer of the four funds? 25

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 37
2	A. 2021.	
3	Q. Did you ever hold any title with	
4	respect to any of the four funds you have just	
5	identified other than principal executive	
6	officer?	
7	A. I don't recall.	
8	Q. Is it possible that you held a	
9	position or a title with the four funds you	
10	just identified prior to 2021?	
11	A. Yes.	
12	Q. But you don't recall if you did or	
13	not; do I have that right?	
14	A. No. You I thought you asked, did	
15	I hold other titles.	
16	Q. Did you hold any title at the four	
17	retail funds for which you now serve as	
18	principal executive officer at any time prior	
19	to 2021?	
20	A. Yes.	
21	Q. What titles did you hold?	
22	A. I don't recall all the titles.	
23	Q. Do you recall any of the titles?	
24	A. Yes.	
25	Q. What titles do you recall holding at	

Page 38 1 WATERHOUSE -10-19-21those four retail funds before 2021? 2 3 Α. Principal executive officer. Were you the principal executive 4 Ο. officer of the four retail funds that you have 5 identified? 6 7 Sorry, could you repeat the Α. question? 8 Were you the principal executive 9 Q. 10 officer for each of the four retail funds that you have identified? 11 12 Α. Yes. 13 0. When did you become the principal executive -- withdrawn. 14 15 Can you give me the approximate year that you became the principal executive officer 16 for each of the four retail funds you've 17 18 identified? 19 I don't recall. Α. What are your duties and 20 Q. responsibilities as the principal executive 21 22 officer of these four retail funds? 23 It is to manage the finance and Α. 24 accounting positions. 25 So at the same time you serve as the Q.

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21
2	treasurer of the advisors, you also serve as
3	the principal executive officer of these four
4	retail funds; correct?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. Did you ever hold any title with
7	respect to any other retail fund?
8	A. Not that I recall.
9	Q. During the period that you served as
10	Highland's CFO, from time to time Highland
11	loaned money to certain of its officers and
12	employees; correct?
13	A. Yes.
14	Q. During the period that you served as
15	Highland's CFO, from time to time Highland
16	loaned money to certain
17	A. Let me let me retract that,
18	sorry, that you asked during the time I was
19	CFO, Highland loaned moneys to employees. I
20	don't I don't recall that during my tenure
21	of CFO.
22	Q. You have no recollection during the
23	time that you were the CFO of Highland of
24	Highland ever loaning any money to any officer
25	or director of Highland?

Page 39

1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 I don't recall during my tenure of Α. 3 Highland or my -- as CFO of Highland -- yeah, 4 if there are any loans as CFO of Highland. 5 I'm just talking about officers and 0. б employees right now. You have no recollection 7 of Highland ever making a loan to any of its officers or employees during the time that you 8 9 served as CFO. Do I have that right? 10 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 11 So I thought you were saying Α. officers and employees as CFO, right, so there 12 13 were -- I mean, okay, yes. I would ask you to listen carefully 14 Q. 15 to my question. If I -- if I'm not clear, let me know, but I'm really trying to be as clear 16 17 as I can. 18 I'm listening as carefully as I can, Α. 19 and you are asking very specific questions in a 20 timeline. And I'm trying to answer your 21 questions as specifically as I can, and I 22 apologize if -- if I'm going back. I am -- you 23 are asking very specific questions. Thank you. 24 During the period that you served as Ο. 25 Highland's CFO, from time to time Highland

Page 40

Page 41 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 loaned money to certain corporate affiliates; 3 correct? 4 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 5 Α. What are corporate affiliates? How about the ones that are in 6 Ο. 7 Highland's audited financial statements under the section entitled Loans to Affiliates. Why 8 9 don't we start with those. Do you have any 10 understanding of what the phrase "affiliates" 11 means? 12 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 13 Α. I understand what affiliates are, yet affiliates can have different meanings in 14 15 different contexts, so... 16 Why don't you -- why don't you tell Ο. me what your understanding of the term 17 "affiliate" is in relation to Highland Capital 18 Management, L.P. 19 20 Α. Is that a -- it depends on the 21 context. 22 How about the context of making 0. 23 loans? 24 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 25 I didn't make the determination of Α.

Page 42 1 WATERHOUSE -10-19-212 who an affiliate was or is at the time those --I didn't -- that wasn't my job to make a 3 determination of who an affiliate is. 4 5 All right. So as the CFO of Ο. б Highland, do you have any ability right now to 7 tell me which companies that were directly or indirectly owned and/or controlled by 8 9 Mr. Dondero in whole or in part received loans 10 from Highland Capital Management, L.P.? 11 Objection to form. MS. DANDENEAU: 12 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection, form. 13 Α. Yes. 14 Q. Okay. Identify every entity that 15 you can think of that was directly or indirectly owned and/or controlled by 16 Mr. Dondero in whole or in part that received a 17 18 loan from Highland Capital Management, L.P. 19 MR. RUKAVINA: Objection, legal 20 conclusion. 21 NexPoint Advisors, Highland Capital Α. 22 Management Fund Advisors, HCM Services, 23 Dugaboy. Sorry, I don't think -- Dugaboy 24 doesn't fit that definition. You said owned 25 and controlled. I don't think that that

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 43
2	definition	
3	Q. I said owned and/or controlled.	
4	A. I don't again, I'm not I'm not	
5	the legal expert. I don't think it controls	
6	he controls Dugaboy, so again, I'm not the	
7	legal person.	
8	Q. I'm not asking you for a legal	
9	conclusion, sir. I'm asking you for your	
10	knowledge, okay, as the CFO the former CFO	
11	of Highland Capital Management, other than	
12	NexPoint, HCMFA, and HCMF HCMS, can you	
13	think of any other entities that were owned	
14	and/or controlled directly or indirectly in	
15	whole or in part by Jim Dondero who received a	
16	loan from Highland Capital Management, L.P.?	
17	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	
18	A. HCRE.	
19	Q. Any others?	
20	A. That is that is all I can think	
21	of.	
22	Q. And you're aware that from time to	
23	time while you were the CFO, Highland loaned	
24	money to Jim Dondero; correct?	
25	A. Yes.	
1		

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 44
2	Q. Okay. Can we refer to the four	
3	entities that you just named and Mr. Dondero as	
4	the affiliates?	
5	A. So that would be Jim Dondero,	
6	NexPoint Advisors, Highland Capital Management	
7	Fund Advisors, and HCRE.	
8	Q. And HCMS?	
9	A. And HCMS, okay.	
10	Q. And can we refer to the loans that	
11	were given to each of those affiliates as the	
12	affiliate loans?	
13	A. Yes.	
14	Q. And is it fair to say that each of	
15	the affiliates were the borrowers under the	
16	affiliate loans as we're defining the term?	
17	MR. RUKAVINA: Objection, legal	
18	conclusion.	
19	A. The borrowers are whoever were on	
20	the notes. I don't I don't know. I'm not	
21	the legal person.	
22	Q. But you	
23	A. I don't know.	
24	Q. You do know, as Highland's former	
25	CFO, that each of the affiliates that you have	

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 45
2	identified tendered notes to Highland; correct?	
3	MR. RUKAVINA: Hey, John, will you	
4	just give me a running objection to legal	
5	conclusion to HCM	
6	MR. MORRIS: No. No, if you want to	
7	object	
8	MR. RUKAVINA: I will object every	
9	time. Object to legal conclusion.	
10	MR. MORRIS: That is fine.	
11	A. Sorry, can you repeat the question?	
12	Q. Are you aware that each of the	
13	that each of the affiliates, as we have defined	
14	the term, gave to Highland a promissory note in	
15	exchange for the loans?	
16	MR. RUKAVINA: Objection to the	
17	extent that calls for a legal conclusion.	
18	A. I don't.	
19	Q. No, you don't know that?	
20	A. No, they didn't you said they	
21	exchanged a promissory note for a loan. I	
22	don't I don't understand that question, so I	
23	said no.	
24	Q. At the time of the bankruptcy	
25	filing, did Highland have in its possession	
1		

Page 46 1 WATERHOUSE -10-19-212 promissory notes that were signed by each of 3 the affiliates? 4 Α. Yes. 5 To the best of your knowledge, 0. б during the time that you served as Highland's 7 CFO, did Highland disclose to its outside auditors all of the loans that were made to 8 affiliates? 9 10 MR. RUKAVINA: Objection, that calls 11 for a legal conclusion. 12 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: I also couldn't 13 hear you, John, because there was some 14 garbling on -- on the -- on the call. 15 MR. MORRIS: Folks, I've got to tell 16 you this is not going well, and I'm 17 reserving my right --18 MS. DANDENEAU: John, it was just 19 the end of that question. It was just the 20 end of that question. I couldn't hear it 21 either. Sorry, if you could repeat it, 22 please. 23 That is less than an MR. MORRIS: 24 hour into this, but folks are trying to run out the clock, and so I'm just going to 25

Page 47 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 state that now. 3 MS. DANDENEAU: You know, and, 4 Mr. Morris, I really object to that. Ι 5 mean --6 MR. MORRIS: Okay. 7 MS. DANDENEAU: -- Mr. Waterhouse just told you he's trying to listen to your 8 9 questions and answer them carefully, and 10 you have no basis for saying that. 11 MR. MORRIS: Okay. 12 MS. DANDENEAU: This does not --13 this is not an experienced witness, so he's 14 trying to do the best he can. 15 Mr. Waterhouse, during the time that Q. you served as Highland's CFO, did Highland 16 disclose to its outside auditors all of the 17 loans that it made to each of the affiliates 18 19 that you have identified? 20 MR. RUKAVINA: Objection, legal 21 conclusion. 22 Α. Yes. 23 To the best of your knowledge, while Q. 24 you were Highland's CFO, were all of the 25 affiliate loans described in Highland's audited

Page 48 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 financial statements? 3 MR. RUKAVINA: Objection, legal conclusion. 4 5 When an audit was performed, any Α. 6 loans that were made by Highland to the 7 affiliates were disclosed to auditors. Are you aware of any loan that was 8 0. 9 made to any affiliate that was not disclosed to 10 the auditors? 11 Α. I'm not aware. 12 To the best of your knowledge, did Ο. each of the affiliates who were --13 14 (inaudible) -- loaned from Highland execute a 15 promissory note in connection with that loan? 16 MR. RUKAVINA: Objection, legal 17 conclusion. 18 Sorry, you -- halfway through the Α. 19 question it got muffled. 20 Can you repeat that again? 21 To the best of your knowledge, did Ο. 22 every affiliate execute a promissory note in connection with each loan that it obtained from 23 24 Highland? 25 MR. RUKAVINA: Objection, legal

Caase 21000005 sgp Dod 88-3 Filed 00/09/22 Entered 00/09/22 18:28:38 Page 497058999

Page 49 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 conclusion. 3 Α. Yes. 4 You are not aware of any loan that 0. 5 any affiliate ever obtained from Highland where the affiliate did not give a promissory note in 6 return; is that fair? 7 Α. Yes, I'm not aware. 8 9 Q. And to the best of your knowledge, 10 did Highland loan to each affiliate an amount of money equal to the principal amount of each 11 12 promissory note? 13 MR. RUKAVINA: Objection, legal conclusion. 14 15 Α. Yes. 16 During the time that you served as Ο. CFO, did Highland ever loan money to 17 18 Mark Okada? 19 I -- I don't recall. Α. 20 Q. Did you ever see any promissory 21 notes executed by Mark Okada? 22 I don't recall. Α. 23 Do you know if Highland ever forgave Q. 24 any loan that it ever made to Mr. Okada? 25 I don't recall. Α.

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 50
2	Q. Do you recall if Mr. Okada paid back	
3	all principal and interest due and owing under	
4	any loan he obtained from Highland?	
5	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection to	
6	form.	
7	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	
8	A. I don't recall.	
9	Q. Do you recall whether during your	
10	time as CFO, whether Highland ever loaned money	
11	to Jim Dondero?	
12	A. Yes.	
13	Q. To the best of your knowledge, did	
14	Mr. Dondero sign and deliver to Highland a	
15	promissory note in connection with each loan	
16	that he obtained from Highland?	
17	A. If you are referring to the	
18	promissory notes that, you know, part of	
19	Highland's records, yes.	
20	Q. Okay. You're not aware of any loan	
21	that Mr. Dondero took from Highland that wasn't	
22	backed up by by a promissory note with a	
23	face with a principal amount equal to the	
24	amount of the loan; correct?	
25	A. Am I aware that Jim Dondero took a	
1		

Page 51 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 loan? 2 3 Without giving a -- let me ask a 0. 4 better question. I'm sorry, Mr. Waterhouse. 5 Are you aware of any loan that б Mr. Dondero obtained from Highland where he 7 didn't give a promissory note in return? Α. I'm not aware. 8 9 During the time that you served as Q. 10 Highland's CFO, did Highland ever forgive any loans, in whole or in part, that it made to 11 12 Mr. Dondero? 13 Α. Not that I'm aware. 14 Ο. At the time that you served as 15 Highland's CFO, did Highland ever forgive any 16 loan, in whole or in part, that it made to any affiliate as we've defined the term today? 17 18 Α. Not that I'm aware. 19 During the time that you served as 0. 20 Highland's CFO, did Highland ever forgive, in whole or in part, any loan that it ever made to 21 22 any officer or employee? 23 Highland forgave loans to officers Α. 24 and employees. It may not have been at the 25 time when my title was CFO.

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21
2	Q. Okay. And so I appreciate the
3	distinction.
4	Is it fair to say that, to the best
5	of your knowledge, Highland did not forgive a
6	loan that it made to an officer or employee
7	after 2013?
8	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.
9	A. I don't recall.
10	Q. To the best of your knowledge, did
11	Highland disclose to its auditors every
12	instance where it forgave, in whole or in part,
13	a loan that it had made to one of its officers
14	or employees?
15	A. No.
16	Q. Can you think of can you can
17	you identify any loan to an officer or employee
18	that was forgiven by Highland, in whole or in
19	part, that was not disclosed to Highland's
20	outside auditors?
21	A. Look, I don't recall all of the
22	loans and the loan forgiveness. I just know as
23	part of the audit process there is a
24	materiality concept.
25	So if there were loans to employees

Caase 21000005 sgp Dod 86-3 Filed 00/09/22 Entered 00/09/22 18:28:38 Page 591058999

Page 53 1 WATERHOUSE -10-19-21that were of -- you know, that were deemed 2 3 immaterial, those items may not have been 4 disclosed by the team to the auditors. 5 I appreciate that. 0. 6 Do you have an understanding as to 7 what the level of materiality was? I don't recall. Α. 8 9 As the CFO of Highland, to the best Q. 10 of your knowledge, did Highland disclose to its outside auditors every loan that was forgiven, 11 in whole or in part, that was material as that 12 13 term was defined by the outside auditors? 14 Α. Yes. 15 And do you recall where -- do you Q. recall where the definition of materiality can 16 be found for -- for this particular purpose? 17 18 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. You -- I don't determine 19 No. Α. 20 materiality. 21 Okay. I'm just asking you if you Ο. 22 can help me understand where it is, but I think we will find it in a few minutes. 23 24 You are aware that Highland has 25 commenced lawsuits against each of the

Page 54 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 affiliates, as we've defined the term, to 3 collect under certain promissory notes; is that 4 right? 5 Α. Yes. And are you familiar with the notes 6 Ο. 7 that are issue -- at issue in the lawsuits? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 8 9 Α. Generally familiar. 10 Can we refer to the lawsuits that Ο. Highland has commenced against the affiliates 11 12 collectively as the lawsuits? 13 Α. Yes. And, again, the affiliates are 14 NexPoint, HCMFA, HCMS, and HCRE. 15 Q. And Mr. Dondero? 16 Okay. See, that is a new -- and now Α. Mr. Dondero is included in your affiliate 17 18 definition. 19 I just --0. 20 I thought affiliates -- I thought Α. affiliates were just the four prior entities, 21 22 so I just want to be clear. 23 I appreciate that. So let's --Q. 24 let's keep them separate and let's refer to the 25 four corporate entities as the affiliates, and

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 55
2	Mr. Dondero we will call Mr. Dondero. Okay?	
3	A. Okay. Thank you. As you can see,	
4	Mr. Morris, there is a lot of entities a lot	
5	here. I just want to be clear.	
6	Q. Okay. Now, the affiliates of	
7	Mr. Dondero signed promissory notes that are	
8	not subject to the lawsuit.	
9	Do you understand that?	
10	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	
11	A. The affiliates and Mr. Dondero	
12	signed	
13	Q. You know what? I will skip it.	
14	That is okay. Okay.	
15	From time to time while you were	
16	Highland's CFO, payments were applied against	
17	principal and interests that were due under the	
18	notes that were tendered by the affiliates and	
19	Mr. Dondero; correct?	
20	MR. RUKAVINA: Objection to the	
21	extent that calls for a legal conclusion.	
22	A. Yes.	
23	Q. Did Highland have a process where	
24	whereby payments would be applied against	
25	principal and interest against the notes that	

Page 56 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 were given by the affiliates and Mr. Dondero? 3 Α. Yes. Can you describe the process for me? 4 Ο. 5 The process, payment should be Α. б applied as laid out in the -- in the promissory 7 note. From time to time were payments made 8 0. 9 that were not required under the promissory 10 notes? 11 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 12 Α. Yes. 13 Who was responsible for deciding Q. 14 when and how much the payments would be made 15 with respect to each of the notes that were 16 issued by the affiliates and Mr. Dondero? 17 Who was responsible for deciding how Α. much was paid prior to the due date? 18 19 Q. Yes. 20 Α. I don't know. 21 Did you approve of each payment that Q. 22 was made against principal and interest on the notes that were given by the affiliates and 23 24 Mr. Dondero? 25 Objection to form. MS. DANDENEAU:

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 57
2	A. Did I approve the payments? I	
3	approve I approve if there was cash if	
4	there was cash being repaid on a note payment,	
5	yes, I approved in the general sense of being	
6	made aware of the payment and the amount.	
7	Q. And are you the person who	
8	authorized Highland's employees to effectuate	
9	those payments?	
10	A. Yes.	
11	Q. When you gave the instruction to	
12	effectuate the payment, did you obtain	
13	Mr. Dondero's prior approval?	
14	A. I mean, it I mean, it it	
15	depends.	
16	Q. Can you think of any instance where	
17	you directed Highland's employees to make a	
18	payment of principal or interest against any	
19	note that was tendered by an affiliate or	
20	Mr. Dondero that Mr. Dondero did not approve of	
21	in advance?	
22	A. I can't recall specifically.	
23	Q. Can you identify withdrawn.	
24	Did Mr. Dondero ever tell you that a	
25	payment that was made against principal and	

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21
2	interest due under one of the notes that was
3	tendered by an affiliate or himself should not
4	have been made?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. Can you identify the payment for me?
7	A. It would be for for NexPoint
8	Advisors.
9	Q. Okay. And when did Mr. Dondero tell
10	you that a payment that you had initiated on
11	behalf of NexPoint should not have been made?
12	A. I wasn't initiating payment. It was
13	in the context of the I think you used this
14	term, "the advisors," so NexPoint Advisors and
15	Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors had
16	overpaid on certain agreements with Highland
17	Capital Management, L.P. And as a part of that
18	process, the advisors what I was told at the
19	time were in talks and negotiations and
20	discussions with Highland Capital Management,
21	L.P., on offsets in relation to those
22	overpayments.
23	Q. When did this conversation take
24	place?
25	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.

		Page 59
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	
2	A. I don't recall specifically.	
3	Q. Do you recall what year it was?	
4	A. Yes.	
5	Q. What year did the conversation with	
6	Mr. Dondero take place that you just described?	
7	A. 2020.	
8	Q. Okay. Do you remember if it was	
9	December 2020?	
10	A. It it I don't I don't	
11	recall what month specifically, but it would	
12	have been November or December.	
13	Q. And we're talking here about a	
14	payment of principal and/or interest that was	
15	due withdrawn.	
16	We're talking here about a payment	
17	of principal and interest that was applied	
18	against NexPoint's note; correct?	
19	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	
20	A. I don't recall what that payment	
21	consisted of.	
22	Q. Is it possible that the payment you	
23	have in mind related to the shared services	
24	agreement?	
25	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	

Page 60 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 Α. No. 3 Are you certain that the payment --0. 4 that the payment that you have in mind related to the promissory note that NexPoint issued in 5 6 favor of Highland? 7 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. Α. 8 Yes. 9 Okay. Other than that one payment, Q. 10 can you identify any other instance where Mr. Dondero told you that a payment should not 11 have been applied against principal and 12 13 interest under any promissory note tendered by 14 any affiliate or Mr. Dondero? 15 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 16 Objection to MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: 17 form. 18 Not that I recall. Α. 19 Thank you very much. Q. Do you know if Mr. Dondero approved 20 21 in advance of each loan made to each affiliate and himself during the time that you were the 22 23 CFO? 24 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 25 form.

Page 61 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 Α. Yes, generally. 3 Can you identify any loan that was 0. 4 ever made to an affiliate or to Mr. Dondero that Mr. Dondero did not approve of in advance? 5 6 Α. Other than the ones that are in 7 dispute, I'm not aware. Do you believe that Mr. Dondero did 8 Ο. 9 not approve of each of the loans that are in 10 dispute in advance of the time that the loan was made? 11 12 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 13 Α. Given what is in the dispute, you 14 know, and -- and -- and the way things might --15 yeah, I mean... 16 I am not asking about the dispute, 0. and it was probably my mistake to follow you 17 18 there. 19 Were you aware of every loan made by 20 Highland to each of its affiliates and Mr. Dondero while you were the CFO at the time 21 22 each loan was made? Was I aware of every loan, yes. 23 Α. 24 Okay. And if you put yourself back 0. 25 in time, do you recall that any of the loans

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21
2	that were made to one of the affiliates or
3	Mr. Dondero during the time that you were the
4	CFO was made without Mr. Dondero's prior
5	knowledge and approval?
6	A. Not that I recall.
7	Q. Thank you. In fact, do you as
8	the CFO, would you have allowed Highland to
9	loan money to an affiliate or to Mr. Dondero
10	without obtaining Mr. Dondero's prior approval?
11	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.
12	A. I can't there was so many times
13	over the years, I can't speak for every single
14	one, but generally, yes, I I spoke to him.
15	Q. You you never you never
16	withdrawn. I will just take that.
17	Can you recall any payment that was
18	ever made against principal and interest on a
19	note that was issued in favor of Highland by an
20	affiliate or Mr. Dondero that you personally
21	did not know about in advance?
22	A. There are so many through the years,
23	I don't I don't I don't recall every
24	single one.
25	Q. Okay. Can you identify any payment

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 63
2	that was made against principal and interest on	
3	any note tendered by any affiliate or	
4	Mr. Dondero that you didn't know about in	
5	advance?	
6	A. I don't recall.	
7	Q. Other than Mr. Dondero withdrawn.	
8	Did anybody at Highland have the	
9	authority to make a payment against principal	
10	and interest due under a loan given to the	
11	affiliates and Mr. Dondero without your	
12	knowledge and approval?	
13	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	
14	A. Sorry, there was to make a	
15	payment on an affiliate loan, what you are	
16	saying would it require my knowledge and	
17	approval, yes.	
18	Q. Okay. I appreciate that. Thank	
19	you.	
20	Did anybody at Highland have the	
21	authority, to the best of your knowledge, to	
22	effectuate a loan to an affiliate without	
23	Mr. Dondero's prior knowledge and approval?	
24	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	
25	A. I can't speak for all, but	
1		

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 64
2	generally, yes.	
3	Q. Did you personally communicate with	
4	Mr. Dondero to let him know each time a payment	
5	of principal or interest was being made against	
6	any note that was tendered by an affiliate or	
7	Mr. Dondero to Highland?	
8	A. I don't are you saying, did I let	
9	Mr. Dondero know if a payment was made on any	
10	affiliate or loan to Mr. Dondero? I mean,	
11	not not every no.	
12	Q. Let me ask it this way: Did you	
13	have a practice of informing Mr. Dondero when	
14	payments were made against principal and	
15	interest on any note that was tendered by an	
16	affiliate or Mr. Dondero?	
17	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection to	
18	form.	
19	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	
20	A. No, I did not.	
21	Q. Did Mr. Dondero ever tell you that a	
22	payment of principal or interest had been made	
23	against a note that was tendered by an	
24	affiliate or himself that he had been unaware	
25	of?	

Page 65 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Not that I recall. 2 Α. Are you aware that Mr. Dondero and 3 0. 4 the affiliates -- withdrawn. 5 Are you aware that Mr. Dondero б NexPoint, HCRE, and HCMS all contend that they 7 do not have to pay on any of the notes they issued because they are subject to an oral 8 9 agreement between Mr. Dondero and Nancy 10 Dondero, in her capacity as the trustee of the Dugaboy Investment Trust? 11 12 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 13 Α. I didn't -- I didn't -- I didn't 14 know that it was all notes. 15 Okay. Are you -- did you ever learn Q. that there was an oral agreement between Jim 16 Dondero and Nancy Dondero pertaining to any 17 notes issued by any affiliate or Mr. Dondero? 18 19 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 20 form. 21 Yes. Α. 22 Do you have any understanding as to Ο. 23 the terms of that agreement? 24 Α. Yes. 25 What is your understanding of the Q.

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 66
2	terms of the agreement?	
3	A. That there were certain milestones	
4	that had to be reached.	
5	Q. Do you have any understanding of the	
6	terms of the agreement between Mr. Dondero and	
7	Nancy Dondero concerning any of the notes	
8	issued by the affiliates or Mr. Dondero other	
9	than that there have to be milestones reached?	
10	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	
11	form.	
12	A. There are milestones, I found out	
13	yesterday, or there was some	
14	MS. DANDENEAU: Okay. I'm just	
15	going to object to the extent that you	
16	learned anything in conversations with	
17	counsel, please don't reveal that is	
18	privileged, and don't reveal any privileged	
19	communications.	
20	THE WITNESS: Okay.	
21	A. So I'm not aware of anything else.	
22	Q. Do you know what the milestones	
23	were?	
24	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	
25	A. I don't.	

-		
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 67
2	Q. Do you know anything about do you	
3	know what promissory notes the agreement	
4	covered?	
5	A. I don't.	
6	Q. Do you know if if Jim and Nancy	
7	Dondero entered into one agreement or more than	
8	one agreement?	
9	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	
10	form.	
11	A. I don't know.	
12	Q. Do you know if the agreement is in	
13	writing?	
14	A. I don't know.	
15	Q. How did you learn of the existence	
16	of the agreement?	
17	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	
18	Again	
19	A. I don't I don't recall who told	
20	me.	
21	Q. You have no recollection of who told	
22	you about this agreement between Jim and Nancy	
23	Dondero?	
24	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	
25	form.	

r		
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page
2	A. I don't recall.	
3	Q. Do you recall how you learned of the	
4	agreement?	
5	Was it in a meeting? Was it in a	
6	phone call? Was it in an email?	
7	A. I don't recall.	
8	Q. Do you recall when you learned of	
9	the agreement?	
10	A. Not specifically.	
11	Q. Do you recall what year you learned	
12	of the agreement?	
13	A. In look, I mean, there are so	
14	many notes. I may be getting I believe it	
15	was 2020.	
16	Q. All right. I'm not asking about	
17	notes, sir. I'm asking about the agreement	
18	that you testified you knew about between Jim	
19	and Don Nancy Dondero. Okay.	
20	Do you understand my question now?	
21	Should I ask my question again?	
22	A. Yeah, sure. Go ahead.	
23	Q. I'm going to use the word	
24	"agreement" to refer to the agreement that	
25	Mr. Dondero and Nancy Dondero entered into	
1		

68

Caase 21000005 sgp Dod 86-3 Filed 00/09/22 Entered 00/09/22 18:28:38 Page 007058999

Page 69 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 where you understood that certain milestones 3 had to be reached. Okay? 4 Α. Uh-huh. 5 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection. 6 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 7 form. 8 MR. MORRIS: Just defining a term, 9 what is the objection. 10 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: The objection --11 MR. MORRIS: I will move on. I will 12 move on. 13 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: John --14 Q. Sir, are you okay with that 15 definition of agreement? 16 Α. Okay. 17 Okay. So you don't recall who --0. who informed you of the existence of the 18 19 agreement; is that right? 20 Α. I don't recall. 21 You don't recall who told you the 0. 22 terms of the agreement. 23 Do I have that right? 24 Α. Correct. 25 And you don't recall if you learned Q.

1 WATERHOUSE -10-19-212 about the agreement in a meeting, through an 3 email, or through a phone call. 4 Do I have that right? 5 I don't recall. Α. 6 Ο. Can you tell me when you learned of 7 the agreement? I don't -- I don't -- I don't Α. 8 9 remember specifically. 10 Can you tell me if you learned of Ο. the agreement before or after the petition 11 12 date? 13 Α. It would have been -- it would have been after. 14 Can you tell me if you learned of 15 Ο. the agreement before or after January 9th, 16 17 2020? 18 It would have been after. Α. Can you tell me if you learned of 19 0. 20 the agreement before or after you left Highland 21 Capital Management in February of 2021? 22 I don't -- I don't -- I don't know. Α. 23 It is possible that you learned of Q. it while you were a Highland employee. 24 25 Do I have that right?

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page
2	A. I don't remember the I mean, it	
3	was sometime in 2021. I don't remember when.	
4	Q. All right. So to the best of your	
5	recollection, it was in 2021 but you don't	
6	recall if it was before or after you ceased to	
7	be a Highland employee.	
8	Do I have that right?	
9	A. Yeah, I mean, it was it was	
10	likely after I was after I left Highland	
11	because, if I put myself back into the last	
12	days of of 2021, it was you know, the	
13	communications with Mr. Dondero were were	
14	were there weren't as many communications	
15	because of the circumstances.	
16	Q. And so based on that you believe	
17	that it is most likely that you learned of this	
18	agreement sometime after you left Highland	
19	employment?	
20	A. I wouldn't use the term "most	
21	likely." I don't recall specifically. I don't	
22	recall.	
23	Q. Do you recall ever telling Jim Seery	
24	about this agreement?	
25	A. No, I don't I didn't tell	

71

Page 72 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 Jim Seery. 3 Did you tell anybody at DSI about Ο. 4 this agreement? 5 Α. No. Did you tell any of Highland's 6 Ο. 7 independent directors about this agreement? Α. 8 No. Did you tell anybody at Pachulski 9 Q. Stang Ziehl & Jones about this agreement? 10 11 Α. No. 12 Did you tell any employee of Q. 13 Highland about this agreement? 14 Α. No. 15 MS. DANDENEAU: Mr. Morris, it has 16 been an hour and a half. Is this a good 17 time for a break? 18 MR. MORRIS: Sure. 19 Mr. Waterhouse, I will just remind 0. 20 you that during the break please don't speak 21 with anybody about the deposition, the 22 substance of your testimony or anything else 23 concerning the deposition. Okay? 24 Α. Yes. 25 MR. MORRIS: So it is 11:02. We're

Caase 21000005 sgp Dod 86-3 Filed 00/09/22 Entered 00/09/22 18:28:38 Page 23:068999

Page 73 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 at 11:02 your time. Let's come back, I 3 quess, at 15 -- at 11:15 your time. 4 VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the 5 record at 11:02 a.m. 6 (Recess taken 11:02 a.m. to 11:20 a.m.) 7 VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record at 11:20 a.m. 8 9 Mr. Waterhouse, did you speak with Q. 10 anybody during the break about this deposition? Α. 11 No. 12 MS. DANDENEAU: Other than -- other 13 than his counsel. Did you speak to your counsel about 14 Q. 15 the substance of your deposition today? 16 No, I didn't bring it up. Α. I didn't ask you if you brought it 17 0. I asked you if you had any conversation 18 up. with your lawyer about the substance of your 19 20 deposition. 21 MS. DANDENEAU: Yes, he did. 22 Can you tell me what the -- you 0. discussed? 23 24 MS. DANDENEAU: No, I object to 25 that. He's not going to answer. That is a

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 74
2	privileged conversation.	
3	MR. MORRIS: So I just want to make	
4	sure that I understand. During the break	
5	you spoke with your client about the	
б	substance of this deposition; is that	
7	right?	
8	MS. DANDENEAU: Yes, John.	
9	MR. MORRIS: And you refuse you	
10	refuse to let your client tell me what was	
11	discussed; is that right?	
12	MS. DANDENEAU: That's correct.	
13	MR. MORRIS: You know, I had given	
14	the instruction prior to the break not to	
15	speak with counsel. I would have	
16	appreciated	
17	MS. DANDENEAU: No, you didn't	
18	actually, that is not true, Mr. Morris.	
19	You said not to speak with anyone. We	
20	never have interpreted that to mean	
21	conversations with counsel. That's never	
22	been I have never, ever heard that	
23	instruction.	
24	MR. MORRIS: Okay. We will we	
25	will we will deal with it when and if we	

Page 75 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 have to. Mr. Waterhouse, after learning about 3 0. 4 the agreement, did you ask anybody if the 5 agreement was reflected in a writing? 6 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 7 Α. No. Did you ask anybody if the terms of 8 Q. 9 the agreement were memorialized anywhere? 10 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 11 MR. MORRIS: What is the --12 Α. No. 13 MS. DANDENEAU: Well, because you 14 keep talking about this agreement and I --15 I -- I think, Mr. Morris, that is really not clear what you mean by "the agreement." 16 17 And maybe you can just go back and restate 18 what that is. 19 MR. MORRIS: Okay. Your client has 20 agreed with me twice on the definition, but 21 I will try one more time. 22 Mr. Waterhouse, do you understand 0. 23 that when I use the term "agreement," I'm 24 referring to the agreement between Jim and 25 Nancy Dondero concerning certain promissory

Page 76 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 notes where you learned that one of the terms 2 3 of the agreement was milestones reached? 4 Α. Okay. 5 0. And did you understand that that was б the -- the agreement that we were referring to 7 every time we used the word "agreement" in this deposition? 8 I don't know anything about this 9 Α. 10 agreement. So, look, I do -- it -- I don't 11 know whether --12 Let's -- let's try this again. Ο. 13 Α. Yeah. Look, I don't know what this 14 agreement relates. 15 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: John, John --16 Q. Let me try --17 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: John, please let 18 the witness finish. 19 MR. MORRIS: Please stop. Please 20 stop. Please stop talking. 21 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: No, you stop. 22 Let the witness --23 MR. MORRIS: Stop talking. 24 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: -- finish -- you 25 interrupted him.

1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 MR. MORRIS: You know what, you 2 3 quys, this is really wrong. It is really, 4 really wrong. Okay? 5 I had the witness agree not once, but twice to the definition of agreement. 6 7 Okay? I'm going to try and do it a third time. 8 9 MS. DANDENEAU: No, but, please, 10 John, really --11 MR. MORRIS: No, please stop 12 talking. Please. It is my deposition. 13 Object to questions. 14 MS. DANDENEAU: No, but also you 15 instructed him that -- that if you were 16 going -- if you were interrupting him, that 17 he should remind you that you're 18 interrupting him and -- and --MR. MORRIS: Let him do that. 19 Let 20 him do that. 21 MS. DANDENEAU: Okay. Well, you --22 MR. MORRIS: Please stop talking. 23 Okay. I don't know any of the Α. 24 details of these agreements. I don't know 25 anything about them. I heard -- someone -- I

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 78
2	don't know who, I don't know when, as you	
3	asked, sometime in '21, someone told me about	
4	this or I don't honestly know I don't	
5	even recall exactly how I was made aware of	
6	this, but I was. I don't know I don't know	
7	any of these details, and I'm getting again,	
8	there is, you know, I I I had a passing	
9	conversation with with Jim at some point	
10	on on some on the executive comp, and I'm	
11	getting confused of what is what, because	
12	again, I don't know any of these details.	
13	Q. Okay. Let me try again,	
14	Mr. Waterhouse, and I apologize.	
15	Are you aware of any agreement	
16	between Jim Dondero and Nancy Dondero	
17	concerning any promissory note that was given	
18	to Highland by any affiliate or Mr. Dondero?	
19	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	
20	form.	
21	A. I've heard of an agreement. That	
22	is that is I mean, if you are using aware	
23	as heard, sure.	
24	Q. And you understand that one of the	
25	terms of the agreement is that it was based on	

1 WATERHOUSE -10-19-212 milestones that had to be reached; is that 3 right? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 4 5 That was one of the words that was Α. б used when I heard about it, yes. 7 And when you heard about this 0. agreement that had a term in it concerning 8 milestones reached, did you ask the person who 9 10 was telling you about the agreement whether or not it was in writing? 11 12 I did not. Α. 13 Q. Did you ask any questions at all? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 14 15 Α. Not that I recall. But do you understand that going 16 Q. forward, we're going to refer to the agreement 17 as the agreement that you just described that 18 19 you were --20 MS. DANDENEAU: Object to the form. 21 Α. Yes. 22 Okay. You don't have any personal 0. 23 knowledge concerning the terms of the 24 agreement; correct? 25 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 80
2	form.	
3	Q. You can answer.	
4	A. I don't I heard about the	
5	agreement. I don't know anything I heard	
6	there was an agreement. That is again, as I	
7	testified before I said before, heard about	
8	it, don't know the details. I believe it was	
9	sometime this year.	
10	Q. Do you have any personal knowledge	
11	about the terms of the agreement, sir?	
12	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	
13	A. Other than what I have previously	
14	discussed, I don't I don't know.	
15	Q. Did did Mr. Dondero tell you	
16	about the existence of the agreement?	
17	A. I don't recall.	
18	Q. Do you recall the source of your	
19	information when you learned about the	
20	agreement?	
21	A. No, I don't I don't recall. I	
22	don't remember. I just I heard about it	
23	generally. I don't remember I don't	
24	remember who, how, if, how. I don't remember.	
25	Q. You know, Mr. Waterhouse, I just	

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 81
2	want to be clear that I never would have asked	
3	you to appear at this deposition if your name	
4	hadn't been included in responses to discovery	
5	as to somebody with knowledge about the who	
6	was told about the existence of the agreement.	
7	That is what prompted me do this,	
8	and I really do feel compelled to tell you that	
9	I otherwise would never have called you as a	
10	witness. So I regret that you're being put	
11	through this today. I had no intention of	
12	burdening you or taking your time, but that is	
13	the reason that we issued the subpoena is	
14	because certain of the defendants identified	
15	you as somebody	
16	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Mr. Morris, you	
17	are here to ask questions, not to have	
18	MR. MORRIS: I feel badly for the	
19	guy. I really do.	
20	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: I'm sure you do.	
21	MR. MORRIS: I do. Stop.	
22	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: You stop.	
23	MR. MORRIS: I'm allowed.	
24	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: No, you're not	
25	allowed to have a chat with the witness.	

Page 82 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 Okay. Well, I hope that you 0. appreciate what I'm saying here, 3 4 Mr. Waterhouse. 5 MS. DANDENEAU: All right. Let's go 6 ahead and ask questions, and again, you're 7 entitled to probe his -- his knowledge of -- whatever knowledge he has about 8 9 this -- this agreement and --10 MR. MORRIS: That is what I'm doing. 11 MS. DANDENEAU: -- he will answer 12 the questions to the best that he can. 13 MR. MORRIS: That is what I'm doing. 14 Q. Mr. Waterhouse, I take it you do not know which promissory notes issued by which 15 affiliates or Mr. Dondero are the subject of 16 this agreement; do I have that right? 17 18 Yes, I don't -- I don't know. Α. 19 Do you know of any way to determine 0. 20 which promissory notes issued by the affiliates and Mr. Dondero are the subject of this 21 22 agreement other than asking Jim or Nancy 23 Dondero? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 24 25 I don't know. Α.

1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 Ο. Did you ever make --3 I don't know anything about these Α. 4 agreements. 5 Did you ever make any effort to Ο. б determine which promissory notes are subject to 7 this agreement? Α. No. 8 9 Did you ever ask anybody which Q. 10 promissory notes are subject to this agreement? 11 Α. No. 12 Do you know if there is a list Ο. 13 anywhere of the promissory notes that are 14 subject to this agreement? 15 Α. I'm not aware. Have you ever seen the terms of the 16 0. 17 agreement written down anywhere? 18 Α. No. 19 Have you ever asked anybody whether 0. 20 the terms of the agreement were written down 21 anywhere? 22 Α. I have not. 23 Did learning about the agreement 0. 24 cause you to do anything in response? 25 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.

		Page 84
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	
2	A. No.	
3	Q. Did anybody ever describe to you the	
4	nature of the milestones that you referred to	
5	earlier?	
6	A. No, I don't I don't have any	
7	details of this.	
8	Q. That is fine.	
9	PricewaterhouseCoopers served as	
10	Highland's outside auditors prior to the	
11	petition date; correct?	
12	A. Yes.	
13	Q. You refer to PricewaterhouseCoopers	
14	as PwC?	
15	A. Yes.	
16	Q. PricewaterhouseCoopers audited	
17	Highland's financial statements on an annual	
18	basis; correct?	
19	A. During my during my time as as	
20	CFO, yes, PricewaterhouseCoopers was the	
21	auditor.	
22	Q. Do you know why Highland had its	
23	annual financial statements audited each year?	
24	A. Generally.	
25	Q. Tell me your general understanding	

1 WATERHOUSE -10-19-21as to the reason why Highland had its annual 2 3 financial statements audited each year. From -- from time to time, they were 4 Α. used -- or asked for, as part of diligence or 5 б transactions or -- or things of that nature. 7 And were they given to third parties 0. for purposes of diligence or transactions from 8 time to time? 9 10 As far as I'm aware, yes. Α. And was it your understanding as the 11 Q. CFO that the third parties who received the 12 financial statements in diligence or 13 transactions was going to rely on those? 14 15 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 16 I don't know -- I don't know gen --Α. I don't know specifically what they were going 17 to rely on. You know, we would get requests 18 for audited financial statements. I don't know 19 20 what they were relying on. 21 And --Q. 22 Α. You would have to ask them. 23 Did you personally play a role in Q. 24 PwC's annual audit and the conduct of the audit? 25

1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 3 Α. During my tenure as CFO, I played a 4 very minimal role. 5 What was the minimal role that you 0. 6 played? 7 You know, again, it was -- it was to Α. check in with the team, to make sure that, you 8 9 know, audit -- the deadlines were being hit, 10 information was being presented to the auditors in a -- in a timely fashion, but, you know, 11 other than that, it was a very capable team 12 13 that are still current employees of Highland 14 and, you know, they -- they conducted 99 15 percent of -- look, I don't want to give percentages. I mean, this is -- but I -- I --16 I played a minimal role towards the end. 17 18 Before during my earlier years as 19 CFO, I did more, and then as time went on, I 20 did less in it. 21 Ο. Okay. Was there a person at 22 Highland who was responsible for overseeing 23 Highland's participation in PwC's audit during 24 the time that you were the CFO? 25 I mean, there was -- there Α. Yeah.

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 87
2	was a there was a point it varies. It	
3	varies by year, in function, in time and, you	
4	know, depending on the request, but yes, I	
5	mean, there is there is there is	
6	generally a point person of communication.	
7	Q. And who was the point person from	
8	2016 until the time you left Highland?	
9	A. I don't I don't know	
10	specifically, but it would have been, you	
11	know you know, someone on the corporate	
12	accounting team.	
13	Q. And was there a head of the	
14	corporate accounting team?	
15	A. Yes, so yes.	
16	Q. Who was the head of corporate	
17	accounting for the five years prior to the time	
18	you left Highland?	
19	A. I don't if you're asking from	
20	2016 on, I don't it was Dave Klos, but,	
21	again, there was there was changes to the	
22	team and the reporting structure. I don't	
23	remember exactly when that happened during	
24	you know, over the last since 2016.	
25	Q. Did the folks who participated and	

Caase210000055ggD0od186-3 Filed 00/09/22 Entered 00/09/22 18:28:38 Page 280058999

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page
2	ran the audit all report to you, directly or	
3	indirectly?	
4	A. Yes.	
5	Q. And did you have any responsibility	
6	for making sure that the audit report was	
7	accurate before it was finalized?	
8	A. Yeah. I mean, you know, that	
9	that is my responsibility to the auditors	
10	was again, is and the CFO is to we are	
11	providing accurate financial statements; right?	
12	And and and as part of any	
13	audit, we disclose all relevant information as	
14	part of any audit.	
15	Q. Okay. And as the CFO, did you take	
16	steps to make sure that the audit report was	
17	accurate?	
18	A. I mean, I would say in a general	
19	sense, yes. But, again, I mean, I had a	
20	very I had a very capable and competent	
21	team. I wasn't managing them.	
22	You know, part of what I do is I let	
23	the team I want managers to grow. I want	
24	managers to have rope. And that is you	
25	know, I'm not a stand-behind-you type of guy.	
1		

88

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 89
2	If you if you talk to my team members, I'm	
3	not micromanaging people. I want people to	
4	learn and grow in their function so they can go	
5	on and do bigger and better things with their	
6	careers.	
7	And so, yes, generally I was	
8	responsible for it, but I wanted the team to	
9	learn and grow and be responsible for the bulk	
10	of the audit.	
11	Q. Did you personally review each audit	
12	report before it was finalized to satisfy	
13	yourself that it was accurate?	
14	A. I don't I don't recall, you know,	
15	for every single we're talking 2016, there	
16	would have been three years, 2016 to '17, '18.	
17	I don't we're we're going back	
18	five years-plus. I don't you know, I don't	
19	recall.	
20	Q. Did you have a practice that you	
21	employed to make sure that you were satisfied	
22	that Highland's audit reports were true and	
23	accurate to the best of your knowledge?	
24	A. I mean, our the practice was set	
25	up with our the the practice to put	

1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 together accurate audited or accurate financial statements is to your control environment. 3 So, you know, the -- so the practice 4 was to maintain a stable control environment 5 б which then the output is -- is accurate 7 financial statements. So -- so, you know, if I was 8 9 comfortable that the control environment was 10 operating, then, you know, that would dictate how I would -- you know, what I might or might 11 not do in a given year. 12 13 Okay. Do you recall ever being 0. 14 uncomfortable with the control environment 15 during the period that you served as CFO? 16 Yeah. I mean, look, yes, there are Α. times -- you know, nothing is perfect. So 17 there were -- there were times when, yes, you 18 know -- there are times I learned I was 19 20 uncomfortable with the control environment, and 21 that is part of the management of the process 22 and having, you know -- and -- and working 23 through whatever obstacles present themselves. 24 Okay. Were you ever uncomfortable 0. 25 with the control process as it related to

1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 reporting and disclosures of loans to 3 affiliates and Mr. Dondero? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 4 5 I don't -- I don't recall --Α. 6 0. So you don't recall --7 -- the --Α. MS. DANDENEAU: Mr. Morris --8 9 Α. I don't recall being uncomfortable. 10 But, again, we're going back several years. Ι don't -- you know, the practice in an audit is 11 to disclose all information to the auditors. 12 13 And I don't -- I don't recall. As part of the process of the audit, 14 0. 15 did you sign what is sometimes referred to as a 16 management representation letter? 17 Α. Yes. 18 MR. MORRIS: Can we put up on the 19 screen a document that we have premarked as 20 Exhibit 33. 21 (Exhibit 33 marked.) 22 MS. DANDENEAU: Mr. Morris, that is 23 not in the binder; correct? 24 MR. MORRIS: Correct. 25 So you will see, Mr. Waterhouse, Q.

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21
2	this is a letter dated June 3rd. And if we
3	could go to the signature page.
4	And do you see that you and
5	Mr. Dondero signed this document?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. That is your signature; right?
8	A. Yes.
9	MR. MORRIS: Okay. Can you go back
10	to the top.
11	MS. DANDENEAU: Mr. Morris, can you
12	have somebody post this in the chat so that
13	we have can have a copy of this, please.
14	MR. MORRIS: Yeah, sure. Asia, can
15	you do that, please.
16	Q. Okay. Do you see at the bottom of
17	the second paragraph there is a reference to
18	materiality?
19	A. Yes.
20	Q. Okay. It says, Materiality used for
21	purposes of these representations is
22	\$1.7 million.
23	Do you see that?
24	A. I do.
25	Q. And did PwC set that level of

		Page 93
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	
2	materiality?	
3	A. Yes.	
4	Q. And for purposes of the audit, did	
5	PwC set the level of materiality each year?	
6	A. Yes.	
7	Q. Did that number change over time?	
8	A. I'm not aware of what materiality is	
9	every single year, so but, you know, this	
10	number would likely fluctuate.	
11	Q. Okay. I'm going to go back to a	
12	question I asked you earlier today. And that	
13	is in connection this letter is issued in	
14	connection with the audit for the period ending	
15	12/31/2018; correct?	
16	A. Yes.	
17	Q. Okay. And is it fair to say that if	
18	any actually, withdrawn. I'm going to take	
19	it outside of this.	
20	If Highland ever forgave the loan to	
21	any affiliate or any of its officers or	
22	employees, in whole or in part, to the best of	
23	your knowledge, would that forgiveness have	
24	been disclosed in the audited financial	
25	statements if it exceeded the level of	

Caase 21000005 sgp Dod 86-3 Filed 00/09/22 Entered 00/09/22 18:28:38 Page 23268999

Page 94 1 WATERHOUSE -10-19-212 materiality that PwC established? 3 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. So, again, during my tenure as CFO, 4 Α. and -- Highland -- it was -- it is required to 5 б disclose any affiliate loans that are in excess 7 of materiality. Now, the forgiveness of those loans 8 9 may or may not -- I mean, since materiality 10 fluctuates every year, a -- you know, if a loan was forgiven, it may or may not, you know --11 and, look, I would want to consult the guidance 12 13 around this. It is not something we do -- you 14 15 know, it is not -- you know, GAAP can be and 16 disclosures can be very specialized so, again, we want to consult the guidance. But we would 17 see if and what would need to be disclosed if 18 it were deemed immaterial. 19 20 Did you and Mr. Dondero sign 0. 21 management representation letters of this type 22 in each year in which you served as Highland's 23 CFO? 24 I -- I -- I will speak for myself. Α. 25 I signed them. There may have been others that

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21
2	signed as well. I don't I don't recall.
3	Q. But to the best of your knowledge,
4	you, personally, signed a management
5	representation letter in connection with
6	Highland's audit each year that you served as
7	the CFO; correct?
8	A. I would say generally speaking,
9	Mr. Morris. I don't recall for every single
10	year, you know, generally, but I would want to
11	refer to all the rep letters and see who signed
12	them.
13	Q. Do you recall Highland having its
14	financial statements audited in any year during
15	the period that you were a CFO where you didn't
16	sign the management representation letter?
17	A. I don't recall. But, John, we're
18	going back five, six, seven, eight, nine,
19	decade. I don't I don't remember.
20	Q. I don't want to go back that many
21	decades, but I'm just asking you if you recall
22	that there was you didn't sign it?
23	A. I I I don't, but my memory
24	is again, I I I can't tell you what I
25	did in 2012. I mean, I think generally, yes,
1	

Caase 21000005 gg Dod 88-3 Filed 00/09/22 Entered 00/09/22 18:28:38 Page 264058999

1 WATERHOUSE -10-19-212 but I don't -- I don't know for sure, and I 3 would want to rely on the document. Let me ask the question a little bit 4 0. 5 differently then. 6 Do you have any reason to believe 7 that Highland had its annual financial audit and you did not sign a management 8 representation letter in connection with that 9 10 audit? 11 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 12 I don't believe it would, but, Α. 13 again, I would want to -- I don't recall and I 14 would want to confirm it to -- to make, you 15 know, an affirmative -- to give an affirmative 16 answer. 17 Do you know whether PwC required Ο. management to sign management representation 18 19 letters? 20 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 21 I mean, it -- management Α. Yes. 22 representation letters are signed by 23 management. Okay. And do you know -- do you 24 0. 25 have any understanding as to why PwC requires

1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 management to sign management representation 3 letters? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 4 5 form. 6 Α. I don't know why PwC's -- what PwC's 7 specific practice is. I know generally what management representation letters are. 8 9 Q. Okay. Do you personally -- I'm not 10 asking about PwC. I'm asking for you -- I'm asking about you, do you have an understanding 11 as to why the auditor asks for management 12 13 representation letters? 14 Α. Okay. So you're asking me in my 15 personal capacity, yes, I have a general 16 understanding of why. 17 Can you give me the general 0. 18 understanding that you have as to why 19 management representation letters are required? 20 Α. They are -- they are required to --21 they are -- they are one of the items required 22 in an audit to help verify completeness. 23 Ο. Do you have any -- any other 24 understanding as to why management 25 representation letters are required?

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 98
2	A. That is that is other than	
3	what I said, it is it is it is required	
4	so to ensure that the you know, there	
5	is there is completeness in what is being	
6	audited.	
7	Q. Did you did you have a practice	
8	whereby you and Mr. Dondero conferred about the	
9	management representation letters before you	
10	signed them?	
11	A. No.	
12	Q. Did you have a practice	
13	withdrawn.	
14	Do you see just the next sentence	
15	after the materiality, there is a sentence that	
16	states: We confirm, to the best of our	
17	knowledge and belief, as of June 3rd, 2019, the	
18	date of your report, the following	
19	representations made to you during your audit.	
20	Do you see that sentence?	
21	A. Yes.	
22	Q. Okay. Did you understand when you	
23	signed this letter that you were confirming the	
24	representations that followed?	
25	A. When I signed this management	

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 99
2	letter representation letter, yes.	
3	Q. Okay. Did you discuss this letter	
4	with Mr. Dondero before you signed it?	
5	A. I don't recall.	
6	Q. Do you recall if Mr. Dondero asked	
7	you any questions before he signed the letter?	
8	A. I don't recall.	
9	Q. Do you recall if you asked	
10	Mr. Dondero any questions before you signed	
11	this letter?	
12	A. I don't recall.	
13	Q. Is it fair to say that Mr. Dondero	
14	did not disclose to you the existence of the	
15	agreement that we have as we've defined that	
16	term prior to the time you signed this letter?	
17	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	
18	A. I don't think I understand the	
19	question. So, again, you are saying, did	
20	Mr. Dondero not disclose to me the existence of	
21	this letter?	
22	Q. No, I apologize.	
23	Did Mr. Dondero disclose to you the	
24	existence of the agreement prior to the time	
25	you signed this letter on June 3rd, 2019?	

		- 100
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 100
2	A. The agreement the agreement that	
3	we talked about earlier?	
4	Q. Correct.	
5	A. Look, as I said earlier, the first	
6	time I heard of this agreement was sometime	
7	this year.	
8	Q. Okay. Can we turn let's just	
9	look at a couple of items on the list. If we	
10	can go to page 33416. Do you see in Number 35	
11	it talks about the proper recording or	
12	disclosure in the financial statements of ND	
13	relationships and transactions with related	
14	parties.	
15	Do you see that?	
16	A. I do.	
17	Q. As the CFO, do you have any	
18	understanding as to whether Dugaboy is a	
19	related party?	
20	A. I don't recall.	
21	Q. Do you know whether any of the	
22	affiliates are related parties?	
23	A. If if it was NexPoint, HCMFA,	
24	HCMS, HCRE, yeah, if if that is the	
25	affiliate definition, and there. In ASC 850	
1		

		- 101
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 101
2	again, I mean, I haven't looked at ASC 850 in	
3	quite some time, but, you know, if if there	
4	is a control language, you know, ASC 850, would	
5	that that section in GAAP would would	
6	pick up and define what are related parties.	
7	So, you know, like I said, if one	
8	of the four entities I just described, if if	
9	they are in that control definition of ASC 850,	
10	they would be picked up in 35D.	
11	Q. Do you do you have any reason to	
12	believe that they would be picked up in that	
13	definition, based on your knowledge and	
14	experience?	
15	A. I I believe that entities	
16	controlled under GAAP are are affiliates.	
17	Q. Okay. Would Mr. Dondero also	
18	qualify as a related party for purposes of	
19	Section 35D, to the best of your knowledge?	
20	A. Yeah, I don't I don't know. I	
21	would think I would have to read the code	
22	section to see if someone personally is it	
23	talking about related parties. So, look, if	
24	your own in control, yeah, I mean, I would have	
25	to read the section.	
1		

Casse 211-0330055-sqjj Dooc 1848-43 FFiled 100/2057222 Entered 100/2057222 1178 228 363 Prage 12420 off 33979

Page 102 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 To the best of your knowledge, was Q. 3 the existence of the agreement ever disclosed 4 to PwC? 5 Α. I'm not -- I'm not aware. 6 Ο. Do you recall if the agreement was 7 ever disclosed in Highland's audited financial statements? 8 9 Α. I don't -- I don't remember if it 10 was in every Highland's audited financial statements during my tenure. We would have to 11 read the financial statements to see what was 12 13 disclosed, but I'm not -- I mean, as I sit here 14 today, I'm not aware. 15 Q. That is all I'm asking for. 16 Α. I'm not aware. 17 0. Can we go to the next page, please, and look at 36. 36 says, we have disclosed to 18 19 you the identity of the partnership's related 20 party relationships and all the related party relationships and transactions of which we are 21 22 aware. 23 Do you see that? 24 Α. Yes. 25 To the best of your knowledge, as of Q.

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 103
2	June 3rd, 2019, did Highland disclose to PwC	
3	the identity of the partnership's related	
4	parties and all the related party relationships	
5	and transactions of which it was aware?	
6	A. I mean, I can speak for myself as	
7	signer of this representation letter. I	
8	disclosed what what, you know, what	
9	what what I knew. Sorry, look, yes, so I	
10	I disclosed what I knew.	
11	Q. Okay. Can we go to page 419. Do	
12	you see at the end there is a reference to	
13	events that occurred since the end of the	
14	fiscal year and the date of the letter?	
15	A. Yes.	
16	Q. And were you aware of that of	
17	that provision of the management representation	
18	letter before you signed the document?	
19	A. Yes.	
20	Q. Do you have an understanding as to	
21	why PwC asked for that confirmation of that	
22	particular part of the management	
23	representation letter?	
24	A. It is it is it is just it	
25	is a typical audit request.	
1		

Page 104 1 WATERHOUSE -10-19-212 0. And do you understand -- do you have 3 an understanding that PwC wanted to know that 4 as of the date of the audit whether any 5 material changes had occurred since the end of the fiscal year, using the definition of б 7 materiality that is in this particular management representation letter? 8 9 Α. It -- it is -- it is -- it is a --10 it is as described. It is just a poorly worded question, so it is hard for me to say yes. 11 12 If I asked you this, I apologize, 0. 13 but did you ever learn when the agreement was 14 entered into? I don't -- I don't -- like I said 15 Α. before, I don't know or have any details of the 16 agreement. 17 18 Ο. Okay. Did you ever ask anybody when 19 the agreement was entered into? 20 Α. I did not. 21 Let's look at the audited financial 0. 22 statements. We will put up on the screen a 23 document that has been premarked as Exhibit 34. 24 (Exhibit 34 marked.) 25 MS. DANDENEAU: And again, if Ms. La

Page 105 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Canty could please put that in the chat 2 3 room, that would be great. 4 MR. MORRIS: I will assure you we 5 will put every document in the chat room. 6 Ο. Now, I'm just going to ask you 7 questions that are related to the provisions of this report that concern the affiliate loans, 8 9 but again, Mr. Waterhouse, if there is any part 10 of the document that you need to see or that you think you might need to see in order to 11 refresh your recollection to answer any of my 12 13 questions, will you let me know that? 14 Α. Yes. 15 Because this is a pretty lengthy Q. document, but do you see that the cover page 16 here is the Highland consolidated financial 17 statements for the period ending December 31st, 18 19 2018? 20 Α. Yes. 21 If we can go to -- I think it is the Q. 22 next one, looking for PwC's signature line. 23 I'm sorry, John, did you MS. CANTY: 24 say something? 25 MR. MORRIS: Yes, can we turn the

Page 106 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 I think it is 215. Yes, stop right page. 3 there, just above -- I'm sorry, I want to 4 see just the date of the report. 5 Okay. Do you see at the bottom of 0. б that page there, Mr. Waterhouse, 7 PricewaterhouseCoopers has signed this audit 8 report? 9 Α. Yes, I see their signature. 10 Okay. And it is the dated same day 0. as your management representation letter; is 11 12 that right? 13 Α. It is -- yes, it is the same day. 14 Q. Was that the practice to sign the 15 management representation letter on the same 16 day that the audit report was signed? 17 Yes, that is typical in every audit. Α. Can we just scroll down to the 18 Q. 19 balance sheet on the next page. 20 Do you see that there is a line 21 there that says, Notes and Other Amounts Due 22 from Affiliates? 23 Α. Yes. 24 Does that line, to the best of your Ο. 25 knowledge, include the amounts that were due

Casse 211-0330055-sqjj Donc 1968-43 FFileed 100/2055/222 Entereed 100/2055/222 1178 2128 363 Prayee 1245 off 33939

Page 107 1 WATERHOUSE -10-19-21under the affiliate under the notes signed by 2 3 the affiliates and Mr. Dondero? MR. RUKAVINA: Objection to the 4 extent that calls for a legal conclusion. 5 6 Α. I mean, I would want to see the 7 detail and the build to this \$173,398,000, but, yes, I mean, if -- if -- given what we 8 9 discussed before, you know, it -- it should 10 capture that. And -- and while you were the CFO of 11 Ο. Highland, were all notes held by Highland that 12 13 were issued by an affiliate or Mr. Dondero 14 carried as assets on Highland's balance sheets? 15 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 16 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to form. 17 I don't -- I don't know how else Α. they would be carried. 18 19 Okay. Can you think of any -- are Ο. 20 you aware of any promissory note issued by an affiliate or Mr. Dondero that was not carried 21 22 on Highland's audited financial balance sheets? 23 I'm -- I'm -- I'm not aware. Α. 24 Okay. Are you aware of any category 0. 25 of asset on Highland's balance sheet in which

Casse 211-0330055-sqjj Donc 1968-43 FFileed 100/2055/222 Entereed 100/2055/222 1178 2128 363 FPaque 1246 off 33939

Page 108 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 any of the promissory notes issued by an 3 affiliate or Mr. Dondero would have been 4 included? 5 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 6 Α. Sorry, am I aware of any asset of an 7 affiliate being included --That -- let me -- let me try again. 8 Q. 9 Do you see there is a number of 10 different assets that are described on this 11 balance sheet? 12 Α. Yes. 13 Ο. One of the assets that is described 14 is Notes and Other Amounts Due from Affiliates; 15 right? 16 Α. Yes. 17 And it is reasonable to conclude 0. that the notes from the affiliates and 18 Mr. Dondero are included in that line item; 19 20 right? 21 Yes, based on this description. Α. Again, I would want to see a build of this to 22 23 100 percent confirm, but based on the 24 description, the asset description, it is -- it 25 is likely.

1 WATERHOUSE -10-19-212 Now, does that mean absolute? Т 3 don't know. 4 Do you have any reason to believe 0. 5 that the promissory notes would have been б carried on the balance sheet in a category 7 other than Notes and Other Amounts Due from Affiliates? 8 9 If they were deemed -- no. If they Α. 10 were deemed an affiliate, you know, under GAAP, they should be carried in that line. 11 12 Otherwise, it would go into another line. Okay. And do you see the total 13 0. asset base as of December 31st, 2018, was 14 15 approximately \$1.04 billion? 16 Α. Yes. 17 Is my math correct that the Notes 0. and Other Amounts Due from Affiliates 18 19 constituted approximately 17 percent of 20 Highland's assets as of the end of 2018? 21 Well, so how are you defining Α. 22 Highland? 23 Highland Capital Management, L.P., 0. 24 the entity that this audit is subject to -- or 25 the subject of.

		Page 110
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	rage rro
2	A. On a consolidated or unconsolidated	
3	basis?	
4	Q. I'm looking at the balance sheet.	
5	It is a consolidated balance sheet. Okay?	
6	Does the Notes and Other Amounts Due	
7	from Affiliates constitute approximately	
8	17 percent of the total assets of Highland	
9	Capital Management, L.P., on a consolidated	
10	basis?	
11	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	
12	A. I don't have a calculator in front	
13	of me but I will take your math, if you are	
14	taking the 173 divided by the billion.	
15	Q. Okay.	
16	A. If that is accurate, yes. But,	
17	again, on a consolidated basis.	
18	Q. And on an unconsolidated basis the	
19	percentage would be higher; correct?	
20	A. I no. I don't know.	
21	Q. Well, okay. That is fair.	
22	MR. MORRIS: Can we turn to	
23	page 241, please.	
24	Q. Do you see that this is a section of	
25	the audit report that is entitled Notes and	

Casse 211-0330055-550 j Door 1868-43 FFiled 101/295/222 Entered 101/295/222 11/8 21/8 3433 Prage 12/49 off 33979

		Page 111
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Lage III
2	Other Amounts Due from Affiliates?	
3	A. Sorry, I can't see the the	
4	Q. It is at the top.	
5	A. Notes and Other Amounts Due from	
6	Affiliates, yes, I see that. I don't I	
7	don't have a page number, but I'm on a page	
8	that says at the top: Notes and Other Amounts	
9	Due from Affiliates.	
10	Q. Okay. And that is the same title of	
11	the line item on the balance sheet that we just	
12	looked at; right? Notes and Other Amounts Due	
13	from Affiliates?	
14	A. Yes.	
15	Q. And is it your understanding, based	
16	on your experience and knowledge as the CFO,	
17	that this is the section of the narrative that	
18	ties into the line item that we just looked at?	
19	A. Yes.	
20	Q. And is this section of the audit	
21	report intended to describe and disclose all of	
22	the material facts concerning the Notes and	
23	Other Amounts Due from Affiliates?	
24	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection, form.	
25	A. This these notes these notes	

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page
2	of the financial statements are the purpose	
3	is to disclose any material items in relation	
4	to that balance sheet line item.	
5	Q. Okay. And all of the information,	
6	to the best of your knowledge, that is set	
7	forth in this section of the audit report was	
8	provided by Highland; correct?	
9	A. Yes, it would have been provided by	
10	the corporate accounting team.	
11	Q. Okay. And the corporate accounting	
12	team, did that team report to you in the	
13	organizational structure?	
14	A. Yes.	
15	Q. And did you have any concerns about	
16	the controls that were in place to make sure	
17	that the information provided with respect to	
18	Notes and Other Amounts Due from Affiliates was	
19	accurate and complete?	
20	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	
21	A. Not that I recall.	
22	Q. Okay. Do you recall ever being	
23	concerned that any portion of the Notes and	
24	Other Amounts Due from Affiliates in any audit	
25	report was inaccurate, incomplete, or not	
1		

112

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 113
2	reliable?	
3	A. I didn't I had concerns about,	
4	you know, like I talked about before, of there	
5	were there were potentially issues in the	
6	control environment. But as far as it relates	
7	to the audited financial statements, any the	
8	team would work with the auditors to disclose	
9	all all notes in Highland's possession.	
10	And any any notes that were	
11	deemed material by the auditor, right, these	
12	were disclosed in these in this section, you	
13	know, in in the notes to the consolidated	
14	financial statements as you presented.	
15	Q. Do you recall ever having a	
16	conversation with anybody at any time	
17	concerning the accuracy of the section of audit	
18	reports that relates to Notes and Other Amounts	
19	Due from Affiliates?	
20	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	
21	A. You know, as as I didn't have	
22	direct conversations with	
23	PricewaterhouseCoopers as I had, you know	
24	I I had the team that managed this.	
25	Again, I wasn't anywhere chose to	

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 114
2	being the point person of this audit. And I	
3	can't recall, you know, when you know, I	
4	don't even know if I was ever the point person	
5	during my tenure as CFO.	
6	I don't know if PwC had any concerns	
7	when they were performing those audit	
8	procedures. They may have and they may have	
9	and it may not have been communicated to me. I	
10	don't know.	
11	MR. MORRIS: All right. I move to	
12	strike.	
13	Q. And I'm going to ask you to listen	
14	carefully to my question.	
15	Did you do you recall ever having	
16	a conversation with anybody at any time	
17	concerning the accuracy of the reporting	
18	provided in the audited financial statement on	
19	the topic of Notes and Other Amounts Due?	
20	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	
21	A. I don't recall for this, but that	
22	doesn't mean that it didn't exist.	
23	Q. Okay. But you have no reason to	
24	believe, as you sit here right now, that you	
25	ever discussed with anybody concerns over the	

Casse 211-0330055-550 j Door 1868-43 FFiled 100/295/222 Entered 100/295/222 11/8 21/8 3433 Prage 12553 off 33939

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 115
2	accuracy of the section of the audit reports	
3	called Notes and Other Amounts Due from	
4	Affiliates; correct?	
5	MS. DANDENEAU: Object to the form.	
6	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection to	
7	form.	
8	A. I don't recall having any	
9	conversations. But, again, I mean, this is	
10	this is two years ago.	
11	Q. I'm just asking for your	
12	recollection, sir.	
13	A. Yes.	
14	Q. If you don't recall, this will	
15	A. Yeah.	
16	Q. (Overspeak) if you don't	
17	recall	
18	A. Yeah, I don't I don't recall.	
19	Q. Do you know who was responsible for	
20	drafting the audit report?	
21	A. Are you asking the actual Highland	
22	employee responsible? I mean, it was	
23	Highland's responsibility, so, I mean, that	
24	is	
25	Q. Right.	
1		

r		
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 116
2	A Highland's responsibility.	
3	Highland's responsibility.	
4	Q. Who, at Highland, was responsible	
5	for drafting this section of the audit report?	
6	A. I I don't know the answer to	
7	that. Again, there was a team who worked on	
8	this. And I don't know, you know, whether it	
9	was the staff or the manager.	
10	Again, this is where I let the teams	
11	manage. And, you know, there may be a	
12	corporate accountant who worked on this. I	
13	just you know, I wasn't part of that process	
14	to give that person experience. I don't know.	
15	Q. Do you recall having any	
16	communications with anybody at any time	
17	concerning this section of the report?	
18	A. Yeah, I don't recall.	
19	Q. Do you recall whether you ever told	
20	anybody at any time that any aspect of this	
21	section of the report was inaccurate or	
22	incomplete?	
23	A. I don't recall.	
24	Q. As you sit here today, do you have	
25	any reason to believe that this section of the	

Casse 211-0330055-sqj Dooc 1848-43 FFiled 100/2055222 Entered 100/2055222 1178 228 383 Praye 1255 off 33939

Page 117 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 audit report is incomplete or inaccurate in any 3 way? 4 And I'm happy to give you a moment to -- to look at it, if you would like. 5 6 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 7 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Same. I mean, I would have to look at -- I 8 Α. 9 would have to look at the bill to the note 10 schedule to make sure I know you presented me with materiality, but again, there might be a 11 note as of 12/31/18 that somehow was -- was 12 13 under materiality not disclosed. I don't -- I I would need more information. 14 don't know. 15 Okay. But without more information, Ο. 16 you have no reason to believe anything this section is inaccurate; correct? 17 18 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 19 I don't. I mean, you know, this was Α. 20 part of the audit. 21 Thank you. Now, you will see if we Ο. 22 could scroll just a little bit more that each 23 of the first five paragraphs concerns 24 specifically the four affiliates that we've 25 been discussing and Mr. Dondero.

1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 MR. MORRIS: If we could go the 3 other way, La Asia. We don't need Okada. 4 We're going to have to thread the needle. 5 Okay. Good, perfect. 6 Ο. Do you see those five paragraphs 7 certain the four affiliates and Mr. Dondero as we've been referring to today? 8 9 Α. Yes. 10 Okay. And do you see at the end of 0. every paragraph it states, quote: A fair value 11 of a partnership's outstanding notes receivable 12 13 approximates the carrying value of the notes 14 receivable? 15 Α. Yes, I see that. Do you have an understanding of what 16 0. 17 that means? 18 Α. Yes. What is your understanding of that 19 0. 20 sentence? 21 It is the -- again, the -- the fair Α. 22 value, right, which is -- which is what the --23 what Highland could sell that asset for. This 24 statement is comparing the fair value of the 25 notes to the carrying value, so the carrying

Casse 211-0330055-550 j Door 1868-43 FFiled 101/295/222 Entered 101/295/222 11/8 21/8 3433 Prage 12/597 off 33999

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 119
2	value is the line item that you showed me	
3	earlier that is in Notes and Other Amounts Due	
4	from Affiliates.	
5	Q. Okay. Is another way to say this is	
6	that the fair market value of the notes equals	
7	the principal amount and withdrawn.	
8	Is the fair way to interpret this	
9	that the fair market value of the notes equals	
10	all remaining unpaid principal and interest due	
11	under the notes?	
12	MS. DANDENEAU: Object to the form.	
13	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection, form.	
14	A. I don't know the answer to that,	
15	because I don't recall where where any	
16	where in what line item was the interest	
17	component reported.	
18	Q. All right. Well, if we look in this	
19	audit report, you will see in the middle of the	
20	first paragraph, for example, it states that as	
21	of December 31st, 2018, total interest and	
22	principal due on outstanding promissory notes	
23	was approximately \$5.3 million.	
24	Do you see that?	
25	A. I do.	

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page
2	Q. Is that the carrying value or the	
3	fair value?	
4	A. That would be the carrying value	
5	Q. And is the last	
6	A in my opinion.	
7	Q. Okay. And it is in your opinion as	
8	the chief financial officer of Highland during	
9	the period of time that you described; right?	
10	It is an educated opinion?	
11	A. I'm reading this at face value. I'm	
12	taking that as that is carrying value.	
13	Q. Okay. And does the last sentence	
14	say that the carrying value is roughly	
15	approximate to the fair market value?	
16	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	
17	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection, form.	
18	A. Again, this note to the financial	
19	statement is specific to notes and other	
20	amounts due from affiliates.	
21	Q. Correct.	
22	A. If the interest component is	
23	reported elsewhere on the balance sheet, you	
24	know, it it it could be off. Again, I	
25	don't have the detail. I don't know, but yes,	
1		

120

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21
2	look, I mean, if you I mean, if you are
3	saying the 5.3 million is in the notes and
4	other amounts due from affiliates, then the
5	last statement is saying the fair value
6	approximates 5.3 million. That is what that
7	last sentence is saying.
8	Q. Do you see in the middle of the
9	first paragraph not in the middle, the next
10	to last sentence there is a statement that the
11	partnership will not demand payment on amounts
12	that exceed HCMFA's excess cash availability
13	prior to May 31st, 2021.
14	Do you see that?
15	A. I do.
16	Q. Do you know when Highland agreed not
17	to demand payment as described in that
18	sentence?
19	A. I don't know specifically.
20	Q. Do you know why Highland agreed not
21	to demand payment on HCMFA's notes until May
22	2021?
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. Why was that decision made?
25	A. You know, well, it it that
1	

-		
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 122
2	decision was made as to not put HCMFA into a	
3	position where it didn't have sufficient assets	
4	to pay for the demand note.	
5	Q. And at the time the agreement was	
6	entered into, pursuant to which the partnership	
7	wouldn't demand payment, did HCMFA have	
8	insufficient assets to satisfy the notes if a	
9	demand had been made?	
10	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	
11	A. I don't have HCMFA's financial	
12	statements in front of me as of 12/31/18.	
13	Q. Was there a concern that HCMFA would	
14	be unable to satisfy its demands under the	
15	notes if demand was made?	
16	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	
17	A. Well, there is I don't recall	
18	I mean, there is something, right, in place to	
19	basically not demand payment until May 31, 2021	
20	as detailed here.	
21	Q. And who made the decision to enter	
22	into who made the decision on behalf of	
23	Highland not to demand payment until May 31st,	
24	2021?	
25	A. I'm trying to remember. I don't	

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 123
2	remember exactly I don't remember if it was	
3	myself or or Jim Dondero who who there	
4	was there was something signed, from what I	
5	recall, that that that backed up this	
6	line item in the in the notes I'm look,	
7	I'm, I'm	
8	Q. We will get to that.	
9	A. You	
10	Q. I'm just	
11	A. You have I mean	
12	Q. We're going to give that to you.	
13	I'm going to give that to you.	
14	A. You you you have all the	
15	documents. I don't have the documents, and	
16	that is what makes it so hard. I don't have	
17	any documents to prepare for this deposition;	
18	right? You have all I don't I don't I	
19	don't remember, but, you know, again, it would	
20	probably be myself or Jim.	
21	Q. Do you know if Highland received	
22	anything in return for its agreement not to	
23	make a demand for two years?	
24	A. I don't I don't think it referred	
25	anything.	

Casse 211-0330055-550 j Door 1868-43 FFiled 101/295/222 Entered 101/295/222 11/8 21/8 3433 Prage 126/2 off 33979

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 124
2	Q. And did you and Mr. Dondero discuss	
3	HCMFA's ability to satisfy the notes if a	
4	demand was made at the time this agreement was	
5	entered into?	
6	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	
7	A. I don't I don't I don't recall	
8	having a specific conversation, if I did, or	
9	or David Klos.	
10	Q. Okay. I'm just asking if you recall	
11	any conversations that you had.	
12	A. I don't recall.	
13	Q. Okay. Do you know why Highland	
14	loaned the money to HCMFA that is the subject	
15	of the notes described in this paragraph?	
16	A. I don't remember specifically why	
17	5.3 million was loaned. I mean, I it would	
18	have to be put in the context.	
19	Q. Do you have any recollection at all	
20	as to why Highland ever loaned any money to	
21	HCMFA?	
22	A. Yes.	
23	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	
24	Q. What do you remember about that?	
25	A. There was a Highland Global	

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 125
2	Allocation Fund, which was a a fund managed	
3	by Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors.	
4	There was a we I'm just telling you,	
5	there was there was there was a a	
6	ultimately a NAV error found in this fund while	
7	it was an open-ended fund and, you know, there	
8	were amounts owed by the advisor in in	
9	relation to that NAV error.	
10	There were also, for the same fund,	
11	that same fund was ongoing an	
12	open-end-to-close-end conversion, and as part	
13	of that proposal, shareholders who voted for	
14	the conversion received compensation from the	
15	advisor.	
16	Q. All right. Now, the events that	
17	you're describing occurred in the spring of	
18	2019; right?	
19	A. These started back I think, I	
20	mean	
21	Q. I apologize.	
22	A that I mean, the answer to	
23	that is no.	
24	Q. I apologize, the loans that were	
25	made in connection with the events that you're	
1		

Page 126 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 describing occurred in May 2019; right? 3 MR. RUKAVINA: Objection to the extent that calls for a legal conclusion. 4 5 I don't recall specifically what Α. б amounts of money were moved when, for what 7 purpose. Okay. Fair enough. Going to the 8 0. 9 next paragraph, do you recall that NexPoint 10 Advisors had obtained a number of loans from Highland, and they rolled up those loans into 11 12 one note in approximately 2017? This is for NexPoint Advisors? 13 Α. 14 Q. Yes. 15 I -- I mean, I don't -- I don't Α. recall the NexPoint Advisors loan being a 16 roll-up loan, but --17 18 0. Do you know why? But, look, if you have documents 19 Α. 20 that show -- I mean, look, I just don't recall. 21 Okay. That is fair. Do you know Ο. 22 why -- do you have any recollection as to why 23 Highland loaned money to NexPoint? 24 Α. Yes. 25 Why did High -- why do you recall --Q.

1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 what is the reason you recall Highland lending 3 money to NexPoint? 4 I mean, I was just -- I just -- I Α. just recall. I mean, I just -- I don't 5 б remember why. 7 I understand. And I'm asking you if 0. you recall --8 9 Oh, why -- I thought you say --Α. 10 NexPoint Advisors was launching a fund which is -- I believe that the legal name is NexPoint 11 Capital, Inc. And it -- it provided a 12 co-invest into that fund. 13 14 And, from what I remember, the --15 the -- that NexPoint borrowed money from 16 Highland at the time to make that co-invest. 17 So this was an investment that 0. 18 NexPoint was required to make; is that right? 19 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 20 Α. I don't know if it was required to 21 make, I don't recall that, or if it just made 22 it. 23 Okay. But your recollection is that 0. 24 NexPoint made an investment and they borrowed 25 money from Highland to finance the investment.

Page 128 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 Do I have that right? 3 Α. Yes. 4 How about HCRE? Do you know why Ο. 5 HCRE borrowed money from Highland? I don't remember specifically. 6 Α. 7 Do you remember generally? Ο. Generally, yeah -- I mean, yes. 8 Α. 9 Can you tell me your general Q. 10 recollection as to why Highland loaned money to 11 HCRE? 12 For -- for -- for investment Α. 13 purposes. 14 Ο. So HCRE made the investment and it 15 obtained a loan, or loans, from Highland in 16 order to finance that investment or those 17 investments. 18 Do I have that right? 19 I mean, I -- you know, generally. Α. 20 Okay. How about Highland Management Q. Services, Inc.? 21 22 Do you have any recollection as to 23 why HCMS borrowed money from Highland? 24 Α. Generally. 25 What is your general recollection as Q.

1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 to why HCMS borrowed money from Highland? 3 Α. For -- for investment purposes. So it is the same thing, HCMS wanted 4 Ο. 5 to make investments and it borrowed money from б Highland in order to finance those investments; 7 is that right? I mean, yes, generally. I mean, I 8 Α. 9 can't -- I don't -- on the services, there --10 there are several loans in these schedules. You know, I can't remember why every single one 11 of these were made, but I would say, yeah, I 12 13 mean, generally. 14 Q. Okay. I appreciate that. 15 MR. MORRIS: Let's go to the page 16 with Bates No. 251. La Asia, are you 17 there? 18 MS. CANTY: Sorry, John. It went 19 out for a minute. Can you say that again. 20 I don't know what is going on. 21 MR. MORRIS: The page with Bates 22 No. 251, can we go to that. 23 MS. CANTY: Yes, sorry. 24 MR. MORRIS: Keep going to the 25 bottom. Yeah, there you go.

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 130
2	Q. Do you see, Mr. Waterhouse, that	
3	there is a section there called Subsequent	
4	Events?	
5	A. I do.	
6	Q. And does this relate to the last	
7	sentence above the signature line on the	
8	management representation letter that we talked	
9	about earlier where you made the representation	
10	that you disclosed subsequent events?	
11	A. I mean, it relates to it, but not in	
12	its entirety.	
13	Q. Okay.	
14	MR. MORRIS: If we can scroll up to	
15	capture the entirety of this section right	
16	here.	
17	Q. And what do you mean by that, sir?	
18	MR. MORRIS: Yeah, right there.	
19	Perfect.	
20	A. There are there are different	
21	subsequent events in under GAAP. So there	
22	are and and so what we see in the	
23	notes to the financial statements are one type	
24	of subevent.	
25	Q. Okay. And and would the type of	

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 131
2	subsequent event relating to affiliate loans be	
3	captured in this section if they were if	
4	they were made after the end of the fiscal year	
5	and prior to the issuance of the audit report?	
6	A. Yes, if they were deemed material or	
7	disclosable.	
8	Q. Okay. I appreciate that.	
9	Do you see the next to the last	
10	entry there? It says, Over the course of 2019	
11	through the report date, HCMFA issued	
12	promissory notes to the partnership in the	
13	aggregate amount of \$7.4 million?	
14	A. Yes.	
15	Q. And does that refresh your	
16	recollection that those are the notes that	
17	related to the NAV error that you mentioned	
18	earlier?	
19	A. I don't I don't remember the	
20	exact. Again, there are I mentioned two	
21	line items; right?	
22	Q. Yes.	
23	A. I mean, it was the GAAP conversion	
24	process plus the the NAV error. I don't	
25	have the details. I don't recall specifically	
1		

Page 132 1 WATERHOUSE -10-19-212 if -- you know, what -- if that 7.4 million was 3 solely attributable to the NAV error. 4 Okay. But there is no question that Ο. 5 Highland told PricewaterhouseCoopers that over б the course of 2019 HCMFA issued promissory 7 notes to the partnership in the aggregate amount of \$7.4 million; correct? 8 9 Α. In the course of the audit, we would 10 have produced all promissory notes in our possession, including the ones that are 11 12 detailed here. 13 0. Do you recall that you signed the 14 two promissory notes that are referenced in 15 that provision? 16 Objection to form. MS. DANDENEAU: 17 I didn't recall initially but I've Α. 18 been reminded. 19 Okay. And -- and do you recall that Ο. 20 those notes are dated May 2nd and May 3rd, 21 2019? 22 Α. Yes. 23 So that was just a month before the 0. 24 audit was completed; correct? 25 I think we had a June 3rd Α. Yes.

Page 133 1 WATERHOUSE -10-19-212 date, right, if -- if my memory serves me 3 right. 4 Yes, I will represent to you that Ο. 5 your memory is accurate in that regard. 6 Did anybody ever instruct you as the 7 CFO to correct this statement that we're looking at in subsequent events? 8 9 Α. So let me understand. You're saying 10 when I was CFO at Highland Capital did anyone ever ask me to correct the -- over the course 11 of 2019 through the report date HCMFA issued 12 promissory notes, this statement? 13 14 Q. Right. 15 Α. Not that I'm aware. 16 While you were the CFO of Highland, 0. did anybody ever tell you that that sentence 17 18 was wrong? 19 Α. Not that I'm aware. 20 Highland -- withdrawn. Q. 21 HCMFA disclosed these notes in its 22 own audited financial statements; right? 23 Objection, form. MR. RUKAVINA: 24 Α. I assume that these would be 25 material -- if these are material financial

Page 134 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 statements, yes, they -- they -- they should be 3 and they were likely disclosed. 4 Now, there is no statement 0. concerning the 2019 notes about the forbearance 5 that we looked at in the affiliated note б 7 section of the report; right? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 8 9 Q. I'll withdraw. That was bad. 10 Do you recall when we were looking at the paragraph concerning HCMFA earlier it 11 12 had that disclosure about the agreement whereby 13 Highland wouldn't ask for demand on the -- on 14 the HCMFA notes? 15 Α. Yes. 16 That forbearance disclosure is not 0. made with respect to the 2019 notes; right? 17 Not -- look, not that I can recall, 18 Α. unless -- unless it was done at a subsequent 19 20 day. 21 Right. And it is not in the Ο. 22 subsequent event section that we're looking at 23 right now where the 2019 notes are described; 24 right? 25 Right. But this is through Α.

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 135
2	June 3rd. It could have been done on June 4th.	
3	I don't I don't I don't recall.	
4	Q. Okay.	
5	MR. MORRIS: Can we put up on the	
6	screen the HCMFA audit report. And while	
7	we're	
8	MS. DANDENEAU: What exhibit is	
9	this?	
10	MR. MORRIS: La Asia, what number is	
11	that?	
12	MS. CANTY: 45.	
13	MR. MORRIS: So this will be marked	
14	as Exhibit 45.	
15	(Exhibit 45 marked.)	
16	MS. CANTY: Yeah, and I will put it	
17	in the chat.	
18	MS. DANDENEAU: Thank you.	
19	Q. Okay. All right. Do you see that	
20	this is the consolidated financial statements	
21	for HCMFA for the period ending 12/31/18?	
22	A. Yes.	
23	Q. As the treasurer of HCMFA at the	
24	time, did you have to sign a management	
25	representation letter similar to the one that	

		Page 136
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 136
2	we looked at earlier for Highland?	
3	A. I would imagine I would have been	
4	asked to. I don't recall if I did.	
5	Q. Do you recall ever being asked by an	
6	auditor to sign a management representation	
7	letter and then not doing it?	
8	A. No.	
9	MR. MORRIS: Can we just scroll down	
10	again. I just want to see the date of the	
11	document.	
12	A. I mean, let me you know, there	
13	are different versions to management	
14	representation letters I will qualify.	
15	Yes, there are certain from time	
16	to time auditors can make representations	
17	that in the rep letter that is being	
18	proposed that are inaccurate or out of scope or	
19	things like that and they've asked for	
20	signature.	
21	In that context, yes. I mean, you	
22	know I mean, if I have been asked to sign	
23	and make those representations and those	
24	representations are invalid, yes, I would not,	
25	I mean, I I wouldn't sign that.	

Casse 211-0330055-550 j Door 1868-43 FFiled 101/295/222 Entered 101/295/222 11/8 21/8 3433 Prage 12375 off 33939

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page
2	Q. Okay. PricewaterhouseCoopers served	
3	as HCMFA's outside auditors as well; correct?	
4	A. Yes.	
5	Q. Do you see that this audit report is	
6	signed on June 3rd, 2019, just like the	
7	Highland audit report?	
8	A. That is correct.	
9	Q. And did the process of of	
10	preparing HCMFA's audit report, was that the	
11	same process that Highland followed when it did	
12	its audit report at this time?	
13	A. I mean, it is a different entity.	
14	There are different assets. You know, it	
15	it it is as you saw, Highland's	
16	financials are on a consolidated basis. This	
17	is different, so it is under the same control	
18	environment and team.	
19	Q. Okay. I appreciate that. So the	
20	same control environment and team participated	
21	in the preparation of the audit for Highland	
22	and for HCMFA at around the same time; correct?	
23	A. Yes.	
24	MR. MORRIS: Can we go to page 17 of	
25	the report. I don't have the Bates number.	

137

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21
2	Q. Okay. Do you see that just like
3	Highland's audited financial report, HCMFA's
4	audited financial report also has a section
5	related to subsequent events?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. And am I reading this correctly that
8	just as Highland had done, HCMFA disclosed in
9	its audited financial report a subsequent event
10	that related to the issuance of promissory
11	notes to Highland in the aggregate amount of
12	\$7.4 million in 2019?
13	A. That is what I see in the report.
14	Q. And you were the treasurer of HCMFA
15	at the time; right?
16	A. Yes, to the best of my knowledge.
17	Q. And did anybody ever tell you prior
18	to the time of the issuance of this audit
19	report that that sentence relating to HCMFA's
20	2019 notes was inaccurate or wrong in any way?
21	A. Not that I recall.
22	Q. As you sit here right now, has
23	anybody ever told you that that sentence is
24	inaccurate or wrong in any way?
25	A. Not that I recall.

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 139
2	Q. I apologize if I asked you this	
3	already, but has anybody ever told you at any	
4	time that you are not authorized to sign the	
5	promissory notes that are the subject of the	
6	sentence we're looking at?	
7	A. Not that I recall.	
8	Q. Did anybody ever tell you at any	
9	time that you had made a mistake when you	
10	signed the promissory notes that are the	
11	subject of this sentence?	
12	A. Say that again. Did anyone ever say	
13	that I made a mistake?	
14	Q. Let me ask the question again.	
15	Did anybody ever tell you at any	
16	time that you made a mistake when you signed	
17	the two promissory notes in Highland's favor on	
18	behalf of HCMFA in 2019?	
19	A. Not that I recall.	
20	MR. MORRIS: Let's just look at the	
21	promissory notes quickly. Can we please	
22	put up Document Number 1, and so this is in	
23	the pile that y'all have. We'll just go	
24	for a few more minutes and we can take our	
25	lunch break.	
1		

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 140
2	Q. All right. So I don't know if you	
3	have seen this before, sir. Do you see that	
4	this is a complaint against HCMFA?	
5	A. Yes, I am looking at it on the	
6	screen.	
7	Q. Okay. And have you ever seen this	
8	document before?	
9	A. I went through some of these	
10	documents with my counsel here yesterday.	
11	MR. MORRIS: All right. Can we go	
12	to Exhibit 1 of this document.	
13	Q. Do you see Exhibit 1 is a	
14	\$2.4 million promissory note back in 2019?	
15	A. Yeah, I found it in the book. Yes,	
16	I have it here in front of me.	
17	Q. And this is a demand note, right, if	
18	you look at Paragraph 2?	
19	A. Yes.	
20	Q. And this is a note where the maker	
21	is HCMFA, and Highland is the payee; right?	
22	A. Yes.	
23	MR. MORRIS: And if we can scroll	
24	down, can we just see Mr. Waterhouse's	
25	signature.	

Page 141 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 Is that your signature, sir? Ο. 3 Yes, it is. Α. And did you sign this document on or 4 0. around May 2nd, 2019? 5 I don't recall specifically signing 6 Α. 7 this, but this is my signature. Okay. And do you recall that 8 Ο. 9 Highland transferred \$2.4 million to HCMFA at 10 or around the time you signed this document? I don't recall specifically. 11 Α. Ι would want to, as I sit here today, go back and 12 13 confirm that, but again, presumably that -that -- that did happen. 14 15 You wouldn't have signed this 0. document if you didn't believe that HCMFA 16 either received or was going to receive 17 \$2.4 million from Highland; is that fair? 18 I mean, it -- if -- if -- if there 19 Α. 20 wasn't a transfer of value, yeah, I mean, you 21 know, I would have no reason to -- to sign a 22 note. 23 And -- and Highland wouldn't have 0. 24 given this note to PricewaterhouseCoopers if --25 withdrawn.

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 142
2	HCMFA wouldn't have given this note	
3	to PricewaterhouseCoopers if it hadn't received	
4	the principal value of of the note in the	
5	form of a loan; correct?	
6	MR. RUKAVINA: Objection, legal	
7	conclusion, speculation and form.	
8	A. Again, we what we provided to PwC	
9	were, as part of the audit, any promissory	
10	notes executed and outstanding. You know, as a	
11	part of the audit, they, you know, they they	
12	have copies of all the bank statements,	
13	things things of that sort.	
14	MR. MORRIS: Okay. Can we go to	
15	Exhibit 2.	
16	(Exhibit 2 marked.)	
17	Q. Do you see that this is a promissory	
18	note dated May 3rd, 2019 in the amount of	
19	\$5 million?	
20	A. Yes.	
21	Q. Do you believe this is also a demand	
22	note if you look at Paragraph 2?	
23	A. Yes.	
24	Q. And do you see that HCMFA is the	
25	maker, and Highland is the payee?	

		Page 143
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	
2	A. Yes.	
3	Q. And if we go to the bottom, can we	
4	just confirm that that is your signature?	
5	A. Yes.	
6	Q. And together these notes are the	
7	notes that are referred to both in Highland and	
8	HCMFA's audited financial reports in the	
9	subsequent event sections; correct?	
10	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	
11	A. They they they totaled	
12	\$7.4 million, so presumably, yes.	
13	Q. Okay. And you were authorized to	
14	sign these two notes; correct?	
15	MR. RUKAVINA: Objection, legal	
16	conclusion.	
17	A. Yeah. I mean, I'm I was the	
18	officer of of HCMFA. You know, I I'm not	
19	the legal expert on on what that what	
20	that confers to me or what it doesn't. I mean,	
21	that is my signature on the notes.	
22	Q. And you believed you were authorized	
23	to sign the notes; is that fair?	
24	A. I signed a lot of documents in my	
25	capacity, just because it is operational in	

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21
2	nature. So, you know, to me this was just
3	another document, to be perfectly honest.
4	Q. Sir, would you have signed
5	promissory notes with the principal amount of
6	\$7.4 million if you didn't believe you were
7	authorized to do so?
8	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.
9	Q. Are you frozen?
10	A. No. I'm just you know, it is
11	you know, again, I typically don't sign
12	promissory notes, and I don't recall why I
13	signed these, but you know, but I did.
14	Q. All right. So listen carefully to
15	my question. Would you have ever signed
16	promissory notes with a face amount of
17	\$7.4 million without believing that you were
18	authorized to do so?
19	A. No. I mean, I'm I'm putting my
20	signature on there, so no.
21	Q. Okay. And would you have signed two
22	promissory notes obligating HCMFA to pay
23	Highland \$7.4 million without Mr. Dondero's
24	prior knowledge and approval?
25	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the
1	

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 145
2	form.	
3	A. You know, from from what I recall	
4	around these notes, you know, I don't recall	
5	specifically Mr Mr. Dondero saying to to	
6	make this a loan.	
7	So my conversation with Mr. Dondero	
8	around the culmination of the NAV error as	
9	related to TerreStar which was a a I	
10	think it was a year and a half process. I	
11	don't know, it was a multi-month process, very	
12	laborious, very difficult.	
13	When we got to the end, I had a	
14	conversation with Mr. Dondero on where to, you	
15	know, basically get the funds to reimburse the	
16	fund, and I recall him saying, get the money	
17	from Highland.	
18	Q. And so he told you to get the money	
19	from Highland; is that right?	
20	A. That is what I recall in my	
21	conversation with him, that is that is what	
22	I can recall.	
23	Q. Do you know who drafted these notes?	
24	A. I don't.	
25	Q. Did you ask somebody to draft the	

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 146
2	notes?	
3	A. I didn't ask I don't specifically	
4	ask people to draft notes really. I mean,	
5	again, you know, the legal group at Highland is	
б	responsible and has always been responsible for	
7	drafting promissory notes.	
8	Q. So based on your based on the	
9	practice, you believe that somebody from the	
10	Highland's legal department would have drafted	
11	these notes. Do I have that right?	
12	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	
13	form. John, I also asked you for the Word	
14	versions of these notes so we could look at	
15	the properties, and you have not provided	
16	them. Are you intending to?	
17	MR. MORRIS: No.	
18	Q. Can you answer my question, sir?	
19	A. Again, I	
20	MS. DANDENEAU: Do you want him to	
21	repeat it?	
22	A. Yeah, why don't you repeat it?	
23	Q. Sure. Mr. Waterhouse, based on the	
24	practice that you have described in your	
25	understanding, do you believe that these notes	

Page 147 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 would have been drafted by somebody in the 2 3 legal department? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 4 5 form. 6 Α. Yes. 7 Okay. And do you know who would 0. have instructed -- do you have any knowledge as 8 9 to who would have instructed the legal 10 department to draft these notes? 11 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 12 form. 13 Α. It was whoever was working -- I 14 mean, it was likely someone on the team. I 15 mean, I don't remember exactly on every note or every document, but, again, a lot of these 16 things of this nature -- they're operational in 17 nature -- were handled by the team. 18 19 The team knows to -- I mean, we 20 don't draft documents. We're not lawyers. 21 We're not attorneys. It is not what I do or 22 accountants do. 23 So they are always instructed to go 24 and -- and go to the legal team to get documents like this drafted. Also, when you go 25

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 148
2	to the legal team, the you know, we always	
3	loop in compliance. And compliance when you	
4	go to the legal team, compliance is part of	
5	legal team. They're made aware of of of	
6	these types of transactions.	
7	Q. And do you believe that you had	
8	the withdrawn.	
9	Did you ever tell Mr. Dondero	
10	(inaudible) did you see those?	
11	A. Sorry.	
12	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: I did not hear	
13	the end of that question.	
14	Q. Did you ever tell Mr. Dondero that	
15	you signed these two notes?	
16	A. I don't recall ever no, I don't	
17	recall having a conversation with him.	
18	Q. Did you ever discuss these two notes	
19	with him at any time?	
20	A. The conversation, I recall, was what	
21	I described earlier. And that is the only time	
22	I recall ever discussing this.	
23	Q. Okay. But the corporate accounting	
24	group had a copy of this of these two notes.	
25	And pursuant to the audit process, the	
1		

Casse 211-0330055-sqjj Dooc 1968-43 FFileed 100/2055/222 Entereed 100/2055/222 1178 2128 363 Prage 12497 off 33999

Page 149 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 corporate accounting group gave the two notes 3 to PricewaterhouseCoopers in connection with 4 the audit; correct? 5 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 6 Α. Yes. I mean, that is -- yeah, I 7 mean, they -- unless the legal team can also retain copies of items like this. I mean, I 8 9 don't know everything that they would retain as 10 well. 11 The legal team would also, if they had documents as part of audits, turn that over 12 to the auditors as well. So it could have been 13 14 the corporate accounting team. It could be 15 someone on the legal team. 16 0. All right. So you didn't -- you didn't draft this note; right? 17 18 I -- I -- I did not. Α. 19 But somebody at Highland did; is 0. 20 that fair? 21 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 22 form. I don't know. I mean, we can go to 23 Α. 24 the legal team. I don't -- I'm not sitting 25 behind someone in legal. Maybe they went to

Page 150 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 outside counsel. I have no idea. 3 Did you have any reason to believe Ο. 4 you weren't authorized to sign this note, either of these two notes? 5 6 Α. I think I have already answered that 7 question. Okay. You didn't give these notes 8 Ο. 9 to PricewaterhouseCoopers; correct? 10 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 11 Α. I don't recall giving these to 12 PricewaterhouseCoopers. 13 0. And in the practice that you have 14 described, somebody in the corporate accounting 15 group would have given these two notes to 16 PricewaterhouseCoopers; correct? 17 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. I think I've answered that. 18 Α. I said 19 either the corporate accounting team or maybe 20 the legal team. 21 MR. MORRIS: Okay. Why don't we 22 take our lunch break here. 23 VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the 24 record at 1:04 p.m. 25 (Recess taken 1:04 p.m. to 1:49 p.m.)

Page 151 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the 2 3 record at 1:49 p.m. Mr. Waterhouse, did you speak with 4 0. 5 anybody during the break about the substance of this deposition? б 7 I spoke to -- to Deb and Michelle. Α. About the substance of the 8 0. deposition? 9 10 Α. Yes. 11 Can you tell me what you talked Q. 12 about? 13 MS. DANDENEAU: No. We object on the basis of privilege. 14 15 Okay. You are going to follow your Q. 16 counsel's objection here? 17 Α. Yes. 18 Q. Okay. 19 MR. MORRIS: Can we put up on the 20 screen Exhibit 35. 21 (Exhibit 35 marked.) 22 Are you able to see that document, Ο. sir? 23 24 Α. Yes. 25 Have you ever seen an incumbency Q.

		Page 152
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	
2	certificate before?	
3	A. I have.	
4	Q. Do you have a general understanding	
5	of what an incumbency certificate is?	
6	A. I have a general understanding.	
7	Q. What is your general understanding?	
8	A. You know, those my general	
9	understanding is that the incumbency	
10	certificate basically lists folks that can	
11	are like authorized signers.	
12	Q. Okay. And do you see that this is	
13	an incumbency certificate for Highland Capital	
14	Management Fund Advisors, L.P.?	
15	A. Yes.	
16	Q. Okay. And if we could scroll down	
17	just a little bit, do you see that it's dated	
18	effective as of April 11th, 2019?	
19	A. Yes, I see that.	
20	Q. Okay. And is that your signature in	
21	the middle of the signature block?	
22	A. Yes, it is.	
23	Q. And by signing it, did you accept	
24	appointment as the treasurer of HCMFA effective	
25	as of April 11th, 2019?	
1		

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page
2	A. Again, I'm not the legal I don't	
3	know if this makes me the treasurer or the	
4	appointment. I don't know I don't know	
5	that, so I don't I don't know if that	
6	document again, I think again, I'm not	
7	the legal expert. I think isn't there	
8	aren't there other legal documents that detail	
9	who the officers are that could be incorporated	
10	or things like that? Again, I don't want to	
11	play armchair attorney here.	
12	Q. I'm not asking you for a legal	
13	conclusion. I'm asking you for your knowledge	
14	and understanding. When you signed this	
15	document, did you understand that you were	
16	accepting an appointment as the treasurer of	
17	HCMFA?	
18	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	
19	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection, form.	
20	A. Again, I don't think this that	
21	wasn't my understanding. I don't think this	
22	makes this document makes me the treasurer.	
23	Q. What do you think this document	
24	why did you sign this document?	
25	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection to	

153

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 154
2	form.	
3	MR. MORRIS: You're objecting to the	
4	form of the question when I asked him why	
5	did you sign the document? What is the	
6	basis for the objection?	
7	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Because, John, I	
8	think that it does call for a legal	
9	conclusion other than with him saying	
10	because somebody told me to sign this	
11	document. But if you want to go there,	
12	that is fine.	
13	MR. MORRIS: Okay.	
14	MS. DANDENEAU: I don't think	
15	he's already said he's not a lawyer.	
16	MR. MORRIS: I'll allow the witness	
17	to answer this question.	
18	Q. Why did you sign this document, sir?	
19	A. I mean, our our legal group would	
20	bring by these incumbency certificates from	
21	time to time. I have no idea why they're being	
22	updated, and I was asked to sign.	
23	Q. Did you ask anybody, what is this	
24	document?	
25	A. No.	

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 155
2	Q. Did anybody tell you why they needed	
3	you to sign the document?	
4	A. Not that I can recall.	
5	Q. You testified earlier that you	
6	understood that you served as the acting	
7	treasurer for HCMFA; correct?	
8	A. Yes.	
9	Q. How did you become the acting	
10	treasurer of HCMFA?	
11	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	
12	A. I don't I don't know the legal	
13	I don't know the legal mechanic of how I became	
14	the acting treasurer.	
15	Q. I'm not asking for the legal	
16	mechanic. I'm asking you as the person who	
17	is	
18	MS. DANDENEAU: John, you said	
19	MR. MORRIS: Stop.	
20	MS. DANDENEAU: how did you	
21	become the treasurer. That is	
22	MR. MORRIS: Please stop.	
23	MS. DANDENEAU: That is a legal	
24	question.	
25	MR. MORRIS: I am not asking any	

		Page 156
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	rage 190
2	legal questions, to be clear. I'm asking	
3	for this witness' understanding as to how	
4	he became the acting treasurer of HCMFA.	
5	If he doesn't know, he can say he doesn't	
6	know, but this legal stuff is nonsense, and	
7	I really object to it.	
8	Q. Sir, I'm asking you a very simple	
9	question.	
10	MS. DANDENEAU: Argumentative.	
11	Q. You testified you testified that	
12	you became the acting treasurer of HCM	
13	HCMFA; correct?	
14	A. Yes.	
15	Q. How did that happen?	
16	MS. DANDENEAU: Again, object to	
17	form.	
18	MR. MORRIS: I can't wait to do this	
19	in a courtroom. Good God.	
20	Q. Go ahead, sir.	
21	A. I don't know the exact process of	
22	how that happened.	
23	Q. Do you have any idea whether signing	
24	this document was part of the process?	
25	MR. MORRIS: You know what	

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 157
2	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection.	
3	MR. MORRIS: withdrawn. You guys	
4	want to do this, I can't wait. I can't	
5	wait. This is the craziest stuff ever.	
6	MS. DANDENEAU: John, he said he's	
7	not a lawyer, and you are asking him for a	
8	legal conclusion, and he says he doesn't	
9	know, and you persist.	
10	MR. MORRIS: Okay.	
11	MS. DANDENEAU: So you can ask these	
12	questions	
13	MR. MORRIS: Did anyone please	
14	stop talking.	
15	MS. DANDENEAU: at another	
16	point no, no, no, I'm entitled to talk,	
17	too; right? If you're going to make these	
18	accusations as if we're trying to stonewall	
19	you, this is not the witness to ask that	
20	question.	
21	MR. MORRIS: I can't I can't	
22	wait I can't wait to do this in a	
23	courtroom. I will just leave it at that.	
24	MS. DANDENEAU: That's right, I'm	
25	sure you can't.	

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 158
2	Q. Did anyone ever tell you, sir, that	
3	even though you were the acting treasurer of	
4	HCMFA, that you were not authorized to sign the	
5	two promissory notes that we looked at before	
6	lunch?	
7	A. I'm not sure I understand the	
8	question. I wasn't I mean, I'm I'm the	
9	current acting treasurer.	
10	Q. Did anybody ever tell you at any	
11	time that even though you were the acting	
12	treasurer of HCMFA, that you were not	
13	authorized to sign the two promissory notes	
14	that we looked at before lunch?	
15	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	
16	A. Not that I recall.	
17	Q. Did anybody ever tell you at any	
18	time that you were not authorized to sign the	
19	two promissory notes that we looked at before	
20	lunch?	
21	A. Not that I recall.	
22	Q. Did anybody ever tell you at any	
23	time that you should not have signed the two	
24	promissory notes that we looked at before	
25	lunch?	

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 159
2	A. Not that I recall.	
3	Q. Did you ever tell anybody at any	
4	time that you weren't authorized to sign the	
5	two promissory notes that we looked at before	
6	lunch?	
7	A. Not that I recall.	
8	Q. Did you ever tell anybody at any	
9	time that you made a mistake when you signed	
10	the two promissory notes that we looked at	
11	before lunch?	
12	A. Not that I recall.	
13	Q. As you sit here right now, do you	
14	have any reason to believe that you were not	
15	authorized to sign the two documents that we	
16	looked at before lunch?	
17	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	
18	A. If if this is the the valid	
19	incumbency certificate, I mean, this does	
20	this does detail who the signers are.	
21	Q. Okay. And looking at that document,	
22	does that give you comfort that you were	
23	authorized to sign the two promissory notes	
24	that we looked at before lunch?	
25	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	
1		

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 160
2	form.	
3	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection, form.	
4	A. Yes.	
5	Q. As of October 20th withdrawn.	
6	I'm trying to take your mind back to	
7	a year ago, October 2020. Do you recall at	
8	that time that the boards of the retail funds	
9	were making inquiries about obligations that	
10	were owed by the advisors to Highland in	
11	connection with their 15(c) review?	
12	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	
13	A. I don't I don't recall.	
14	Q. As of October 2020, you had no	
15	reason to believe you weren't authorized to	
16	sign the two promissory notes that we just	
17	looked at; correct?	
18	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection, form.	
19	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection to	
20	form.	
21	A. I didn't think about it in October	
22	of 2020, but I mean	
23	Q. Did you have any reason to believe	
24	at that time that you weren't authorized to	
25	sign the two notes that we just looked at?	

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 161
2	A. Not that I'm aware, no.	
3	Q. Did you have any reason to believe a	
4	year ago that you made a mistake when you	
5	signed those two notes?	
6	A. Not that I'm aware.	
7	Q. A year ago you believed that HCMFA	
8	owed Highland the unpaid principal amounts that	
9	were due under those two notes; correct?	
10	A. They're they're promissory notes	
11	that were as you presented, that were	
12	that were executed. Whether they're valid or	
13	if there's other reasons, I didn't I don't	
14	know.	
15	Q. I'm not asking you whether they're	
16	valid or not. I'm asking you for your state of	
17	mind. A year ago you believed that HCMFA	
18	was was obligated to pay the unpaid	
19	principal amount under the two notes that you	
20	signed; correct?	
21	A. Yeah, I'm I'm yes.	
22	Q. Thank you. Are you aware you're	
23	aware that that in 2017, NexPoint issued a	
24	note in favor of Highland in the approximate	
25	amount of \$30 million; correct?	

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 162
2	A. I'm I'm I'm generally aware.	
3	Q. Okay. And are you generally aware	
4	that from time to time, after the note was	
5	issued by NexPoint, that moneys were applied to	
6	principal and interest that were due under the	
7	NexPoint note?	
8	A. Yes, I'm generally aware.	
9	Q. Okay. And did anybody ever tell you	
10	that the payments that were made against the	
11	NexPoint notes were made by mistake?	
12	A. Yes.	
13	Q. And is it the one payment that we	
14	talked about earlier today?	
15	A. We talked about a lot of things	
16	today. What payment are we talking about?	
17	Q. Okay. Who told you that any payment	
18	made against the NexPoint note was made by	
19	mistake?	
20	A. D.C. Sauter.	
21	Q. When did Mr. Sauter tell you that?	
22	A. I don't I don't remember	
23	specifically.	
24	Q. Do you remember what payments	
25	A. Sometime sometime this year.	

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 163
2	Q. Sometime in 2021?	
3	A. Yes.	
4	Q. Do you remember what payment he was	
5	referring to?	
6	A. It was the the payment made in	
7	January of 2021 or yeah, January of of	
8	this January of 2021.	
9	Q. Okay. So did anybody ever tell you	
10	at any time that any payment that was made	
11	against principal	
12	A. And and and hold on, and it	
13	may have been other again, it may have been	
14	that payment or or there may have been what	
15	he was explaining, a misapplication of prior	
16	payments as well.	
17	Q. Can you can you give me any	
18	specificity withdrawn.	
19	Withdrawn. Can you tell me	
20	everything that Mr. Sauter told you about	
21	about errors in relation to payments made	
22	against principal and interest due under the	
23	NexPoint note?	
24	MS. DANDENEAU: Can I just	
25	MR. RUKAVINA: Hold on. Hold on.	
1		

Page 164 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 I'm going to object here, and I'm going to 3 instruct the witness not to answer depending on the discussion that you had --4 5 Mr. Waterhouse, I'm the lawyer for 6 NexPoint, and as everyone here knows, D.C. 7 Sauter is in-house counsel. So if you and Mr. Sauter were having 8 9 a factual discussion and him preparing his 10 affidavit, et cetera, then go ahead and 11 answer that. But if you were having a 12 discussion as to our legal strategy in this 13 lawsuit, or anything having to do with 14 that, then do not answer that. 15 And if you need to talk to either 16 your counsel or me about that, then we need 17 to have that discussion now. Okay. Yeah, I don't -- I don't 18 Α. 19 really know how to make that distinction, so 20 maybe I need to talk to counsel before I 21 answer, or if I can answer. 22 Let me just ask you this question: 0. Did -- did you have any conversation with 23 24 Mr. Sauter about any payment of principal and interest prior to the time that you left 25

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 165
2	Highland's employment, or did it happen after	
3	you left Highland's employment?	
4	A. I don't I don't recall if I	
5	don't recall. I mean, it was sometime in 2021.	
6	I don't remember if it was before or after I	
7	was let go from Highland.	
8	Q. Okay. So so nobody told you	
9	prior to 2021 that any error or mistake was	
10	made in the application of payments against	
11	principal and interest due on the NexPoint	
12	note. Do I have that right?	
13	A. Yeah, I don't I don't recall this	
14	being in 2020.	
15	Q. Okay. And it didn't happen in 2019;	
16	correct?	
17	A. I don't recall that happened.	
18	Q. And it didn't happen in 2018;	
19	correct?	
20	A. I don't I don't recall that	
21	happening.	
22	Q. And it didn't happen in 2017;	
23	correct?	
24	A. I don't recall.	
25	Q. But but you believe the	

Page 166 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 conversation took place in 2021. You just 3 don't remember if it was before or after you 4 left Highland's employment. Do I have that 5 right? 6 Α. It was sometime this year. Ι 7 don't -- I don't remember. Okay. Did you report this 8 0. 9 conversation to Mr. Seery at any point? 10 Α. I don't believe so. 11 Did you report this conversation to Q. 12 anybody at DSI at any time? 13 Α. I don't recall. 14 Q. Do you have -- you don't have a 15 recollection of ever doing that; correct? Yeah, that's right. I don't recall 16 Α. 17 doing that. 18 Do you recall telling anybody at 0. 19 Pachulski Stang about the conversation you recall with Mr. Sauter? 20 21 No, I don't -- I don't recall. Α. 22 Did you tell any of the independent 0. 23 board members about your conversation with 24 Mr. Sauter? 25 I don't recall. Α.

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 167
2	Q. Did you tell any of the employees at	
3	Highland before you left Highland's employment	
4	about this call that you had with Mr. Sauter?	
5	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	
6	A. No, I don't no, I don't recall.	
7	Q. NexPoint to the best of your	
8	knowledge, did NexPoint ever file a proof of	
9	claim against Highland to try to recover moneys	
10	that were mistakenly paid against the principal	
11	and interest due under the note?	
12	A. Okay. Hold on. You are saying did	
13	NexPoint Advisors file a proof of claim to	
14	Highland for errors related to payments under	
15	the NexPoint note to Highland?	
16	Q. Correct.	
17	A. I'm I'm I'm not I'm not	
18	aware.	
19	Q. Are you aware	
20	A. I'm not the legal person here, I	
21	don't know.	
22	Q. I'm just asking for your knowledge,	
23	sir.	
24	A. Yeah, I don't know. I'm not aware.	
25	Q. Are you aware of any claim of any	

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 168
2	kind that NexPoint has ever made to try to	
3	recover the amounts that it contends were or	
4	that Mr. Sauter contend were mistakenly applied	
5	against principal and interest due under the	
6	NexPoint note?	
7	A. I'm not aware.	
8	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	
9	Q. Okay. The advisors' agreements with	
10	the retail funds are subject to annual renewal;	
11	correct?	
12	A. Yes.	
13	Q. And do you participate in the	
14	renewal process each year?	
15	A. Yes.	
16	Q. What role do you play in the renewal	
17	process?	
18	A. I'm I'm asked by the retail board	
19	to walk-through the advisors financials.	
20	Q. And do you do that in the context of	
21	a board meeting?	
22	A. Yes, it is yes, it is typically	
23	done in a board meeting.	
24	Q. And do you recall the time	
25	does does the renewal process happen around	
1		

Page 169 WATERHOUSE -10-19-211 2 the same time each year? 3 Yes, it is -- it is around the same Α. 4 time every year. 5 And what -- what time period of the 0. б year does the renewal process occur? 7 Approximately the September Α. timeframe. 8 9 During that process, in your Q. 10 experience, does the board typically conduct its own diligence and ask for information? 11 12 Does the board ask for lots of -- I Α. 13 mean, just -- I mean, lots of information as a 14 part of that -- that -- as part of that board 15 meeting and that process. 16 Okay. And do you recall that the 0. process in 2020 spilled into October? 17 18 Yes. Yes. Α. 19 Okay. And as part of the process in 0. 20 2020, the retail board asked -- asked what are referred to as 15(c) questions; right? 21 22 I guess I don't want to be -- they Α. asked 15(c) -- are you saying they asked 15(c)23 24 questions and this is why it went into October 25 or --

		Page 170
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	
2	Q. No, I apologize.	
3	Do you have an understanding of	
4	what of what 15(c) refers to in the context	
5	of the annual renewal process?	
6	A. Yes, generally.	
7	Q. All right. What is your general	
8	understanding of the term "15(c)" in the	
9	context of the annual renewal process?	
10	A. I I think 15(c) is the section	
11	that that you know, that that the	
12	board has to evaluate every year, the retail	
13	board. They have to, you know, go through,	
14	evaluate, and go through that approval process	
15	on a yearly basis.	
16	Q. Okay.	
17	MR. MORRIS: Can we put up on the	
18	screen Exhibit 36, please.	
19	(Exhibit 36 marked.)	
20	MR. MORRIS: I guess let's just	
21	start at the bottom so Mr. Waterhouse can	
22	see what is here.	
23	Q. You see this begins with an email	
24	from Blank Rome to a number of people.	
25	MR. MORRIS: And if we can scroll	

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 171
2	up keep going just a little bit.	
3	Q. You will see that there is an email	
4	from Lauren Thedford to Thomas Surgent and	
5	others where she reports that she was attaching	
6	and reproducing below additional 15(c)	
7	follow-up questions from the board.	
8	Do you see that?	
9	A. Yes.	
10	Q. And do you see Question No. 2 asks	
11	whether there are any material outstanding	
12	amounts currently payable or due in the future	
13	(e.g., notes) to HCMLP by HCMFA or NexPoint	
14	Advisors or any other affiliate that provides	
15	services to the funds?	
16	Do you see that?	
17	A. Yes.	
18	Q. And and did you do you recall	
19	that in in October of 2020 the retail boards	
20	were asking for that information?	
21	A. I don't recall it, but there	
22	they're obviously asking in this email.	
23	Q. Okay.	
24	MR. MORRIS: Can we scroll up a	
25	little bit, please.	

Casse 211-0330055-550 j Door 1868-43 FFiled 101/295/222 Entered 101/295/222 11/8 21/8 3433 Prage 13/12 off 33999

		Page 172
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	
2	Q. And then do you see that	
3	Ms. Thedford includes you on the email string	
4	on Tuesday, October 6th, at 5:52?	
5	A. Yes.	
6	Q. And she asks you and Dave Klos and	
7	Kristin Hendrix for advice on that particular	
8	Request No. 2 that I have just read; right?	
9	A. Yes.	
10	Q. Okay. Can you tell me who	
11	Ms. Thedford is?	
12	A. She was an attorney that was in the	
13	legal group.	
14	Q. At Highland Capital Management,	
15	L.P.?	
16	A. I'm I'm I'm I don't	
17	remember if she was an employee of Highland or	
18	any of the advisors.	
19	Q. Okay. Do you know if she served as	
20	the corporate secretary for both HCMFA and	
21	NexPoint?	
22	A. Yes.	
23	Q. And okay.	
24	Do you know whether Ms. Thedford	
25	held any positions in relation to the retail	
L		

Page 173 1 WATERHOUSE -10-19-21funds as we defined that term? 2 3 Α. Yes. What is your understanding of the 4 Ο. positions that Ms. Thedford held at the retail 5 funds? 6 7 I -- I recall her being an officer. Α. I don't recall her title. 8 Okay. Is she still an officer at 9 Q. 10 any of the retail funds today? 11 Α. No. 12 Do you know when she ceased to be an 0. officer of the retail funds? 13 Approximately. 14 Α. 15 Q. And when did she approximately cease to be an officer of the retail funds? 16 17 It was in -- it was in early of Α. 18 2021. 19 Okay. Do you know when she became 0. an officer of the retail funds? 20 21 I don't recall. Α. 22 To the best of your recollection, 0. was she an officer of the retail funds in 23 24 October of 2020? 25 I believe so. Α.

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 174
2	Q. Okay. Do you know what title she	
3	held in her capacity as an officer, if any?	
4	A. I told you I don't remember.	
5	Q. Okay. So she sends this email to	
6	you at 5:52 p.m. on October 6th.	
7	And if we can scroll up to the	
8	response, you responded a minute later with a	
9	one-word answer: Yes.	
10	Do you see that?	
11	A. Yes.	
12	Q. And and yes is yes was in	
13	response to the retail board's Question No. 2,	
14	right, whether there are any material	
15	outstanding amounts currently payable or due in	
16	the future?	
17	A. Yes.	
18	MR. MORRIS: And can we scroll up to	
19	see what happened next.	
20	Q. So Ms. Thedford writes back to you a	
21	few minutes later and she asks whether you	
22	could provide the amounts.	
23	Do you see that?	
24	A. Yes.	
25	Q. And then you respond further and you	

Page 175 1 WATERHOUSE -10-19-212 refer her to the balance sheet that was 3 provided to the board as part of the 15(c) 4 materials. 5 Do you see that? 6 Α. Yes. 7 And -- and did the advisors provide 0. to the board certain balance sheets in 2020 in 8 connection with the 15(c) review? 9 10 Α. Yes, they did. 11 Okay. And were the amounts that Q. were outstanding or that were to be due in the 12 13 future by the advisors to Highland included in 14 the liability section of the balance sheet that 15 was given to the retail board? Notes would be reflected as 16 Α. Yes. 17 liabilities. Okay. And --18 Ο. If I'm understanding your question 19 Α. 20 correctly. 21 You are. And -- and -- and those 0. 22 liabilities you -- you were -- you believed 23 were responsive to the retail board's question; 24 correct? 25 Α. Yes.

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 176
2	Q. Okay. And then if we can scroll up,	
3	you see Ms. Thedford responds to you	
4	nine minutes later with a draft response.	
5	Do you see that?	
6	A. Yes.	
7	Q. And she says that she is taking from	
8	the 6/30 financials certain information about	
9	amounts that were due to HCMLP and affiliates	
10	as of June 30th, 2020.	
11	Do you see that?	
12	A. I do.	
13	Q. Okay. And did you believe, as the	
14	treasurer of NexPoint and HCMFA and as the CFO	
15	of Highland, that the information that	
16	Ms. Thedford obtained from the 6/30 financials	
17	was accurate and responsive in relation to the	
18	retail fund board's question?	
19	A. I just want to make sure I	
20	understand the question.	
21	Are you saying that the financial	
22	information provided to the retail board as	
23	part of the 15(c) process, which included	
24	financial statements as of June 30th of 2021,	
25	did I feel like those were responsive to their	

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 177
2	questions?	
3	Q. Yes.	
4	A. Yes.	
5	Q. Thank you.	
6	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: John, it is not	
7	in the chat yet. Can you just make sure it	
8	gets put in there.	
9	MR. MORRIS: Sure.	
10	MS. CANTY: I put it in there. I	
11	think maybe I just sent it directly, so let	
12	me make sure it says to everyone. But I	
13	did put it in there. I will try again.	
14	MR. MORRIS: Thank you, La Asia.	
15	MS. DANDENEAU: What number is it.	
16	MR. MORRIS: What, the Bates number?	
17	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: No, the	
18	this yeah, 36 is not in the chat.	
19	MR. MORRIS: Okay. We'll get it.	
20	MS. DANDENEAU: I think that	
21	Ms. Canty just sent it to me originally.	
22	Sorry.	
23	MR. MORRIS: Okay. We will get it	
24	there.	
25	MS. CANTY: Okay. It is there now	

Casse 211-0330055-sqjj Douc 1968-43 FFileed 100/2055/222 Entereed 100/2055/222 1178 2128 363 FPaque 13766 off 33939

Page 178 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 for everyone. 3 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Got it. Thank 4 you. 5 Do you recall if the proposed 0. б response that Ms. Thedford crafted was 7 delivered to the retail board with the -- with the yellow dates having been completed? 8 9 Α. I don't know. 10 MR. MORRIS: Davor, I'm going to ask 11 that the advisors and -- the advisors of 12 both HCMFA and NexPoint produce to me any 13 report that was given to the retail board 14 concerning the promissory notes at issue, 15 including the obligations under the notes. Do you know -- do you know if 16 Ο. ultimately NexPoint informed the retail board 17 in response to its question that NexPoint owed 18 19 Highland approximately 23 or \$24 million? 20 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to the 21 form. 22 Sorry, are you asking, did NexPoint Α. 23 tell the retail board that it owed Highland? 24 Let me ask a better question, Ο. 25 Mr. Waterhouse.

Casse 211-0330055-sqjj Donc 1968-43 FFileed 100/2056222 Enterned 100/2056222 11/8 2128 363 Pragge 13/29 off 33999

Page 179 1 WATERHOUSE -10-19-212 Did -- do you know if anybody ever 3 answered the retail board's question that was 4 Number 2? 5 Α. I don't -- I can't say for sure. 6 Ο. Okay. Do you recall -- I think you 7 testified earlier that you walked through the advisors' financials with the retail board; 8 9 correct? 10 Α. Yes. 11 And as part of that process, did you Q. 12 disclose to the retail board the obligations 13 that NexPoint and HCMFA had to Highland under 14 promissory notes? 15 Α. The retail board, as I stated earlier, receives financial information, 16 balance sheet, income statement information 17 from the advisors. That information is 18 provided to the retail board in connection with 19 20 the 15(c) process. 21 So any notes between the advisors 22 and the Highland would be -- anything would be detailed in those financial statements. 23 24 Do you recall in 2020 ever speaking 0. with the retail board about the advisors' 25

Casse 211-0330055-sqjj Dooc 1848-43 FFiled 100/2057222 Entered 100/2057222 1178 228 363 Prage 13308 off 33979

Page 180 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 obligations under the notes to Highland? 3 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 4 5 form. 6 Α. I don't recall specifically. 7 Do you have any general recollection 0. of discussing with the retail board the 8 9 advisors' obligations to Highland under the 10 notes that they issued? 11 MS. DANDENEAU: Object to the form. 12 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 13 form. 14 Α. I just recall generally just -- it 15 is just -- I present the financial statements, and if they have questions, I answer their 16 questions and walk them through. 17 18 I don't recall what they asked. Ι don't recall where the discussion went. 19 Т 20 don't recall anything of that nature. 21 Okay. Do you know if anybody on Ο. 22 behalf of HCMF -- HCMFA ever told the retail 23 board that HCMFA had no obligations under the two 2019 notes that you signed? Withdrawn. 24 25 Do you know whether anybody on

Casse 211-0330055-550 j Door 1868-43 FFiled 101/295/222 Entered 101/295/222 11/8 21/8 3433 Prage 13319 off 33939

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page
2	behalf of HCMFA ever told the retail boards	
3	that you weren't authorized to sign either of	
4	the two 2019 notes?	
5	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	
6	A. I'm not aware.	
7	Q. Are you aware of anybody on behalf	
8	of HCMFA ever telling the retail boards that	
9	your execution of the two 2019 notes was a	
10	mistake?	
11	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	
12	A. I'm not aware.	
13	Q. Are you aware of anybody on behalf	
14	of HCMFA ever telling the retail boards that	
15	HCMFA did not have to pay the amounts reflected	
16	in the two notes that you signed in 2019?	
17	A. I'm not aware.	
18	Q. Do you know whether anybody ever	
19	told the retail boards withdrawn.	
20	Do you know whether anybody ever	
21	told the retail boards that Highland has	
22	commenced a lawsuit to recover on the two notes	
23	that you signed in 2019?	
24	A. I'm not aware.	
25	Q. Are you aware of anybody informing	

181

1 WATERHOUSE -10-19-212 the retail boards that Highland has sued to 3 recover on the NexPoint note? 4 I'm not aware. Α. 5 Do you know whether anybody ever Ο. б told the retail board that Highland had 7 declared a default with respect to the two HCMFA notes that you signed in 2019? 8 9 Α. I'm not aware. 10 Are you aware of anybody ever Q. informing the retail boards that Highland had 11 declared a default under the NexPoint note? 12 13 Α. I'm not aware. 14 Q. Are you aware of anybody telling the 15 retail board that Highland made a demand for 16 payment under the 2019 notes that you signed on behalf of HCMFA? 17 18 Α. I'm not aware. Let's -- let's see if there is a 19 0. 20 response to Ms. Thedford's email, if we can 21 scroll up. 22 Do you see you responded to 23 Ms. Thedford five minutes after she provided 24 the draft response to you? 25 Α. Yes.

Page 182

Page 183 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 Ο. Okay. And do you see that Dustin Norris is copied on this email? 3 4 Yes, he is. Α. 5 Great. Do you know whether 0. б Mr. Norris held any positions at either of the 7 advisors as of October 6, 2020? I will go back to -- I'm not the 8 Α. 9 legal expert of what appoints you or how or 10 why, but you did see Dustin's name on the incumbency certificate that you produced 11 12 earlier. 13 0. Do you know what his title was in 14 October of 2020? 15 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 16 I don't -- I don't recall. Α. Was he -- did he have a title with 17 0. each of the advisors, to the best of your 18 recollection? 19 20 Α. I don't recall. 21 Do you know why he is included on Ο. 22 this email string? I didn't add Dustin. It looks like 23 Α. 24 Lauren did. I don't know why she added him or 25 not. You would have to ask her.

1 WATERHOUSE -10-19-212 Ο. Does Mr. Norris play a role in 3 formulating the advisors' responses to the 4 questions asked by the retail board in 5 connection with the 15(c) annual review? 6 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. He -- Dustin Norris is there in the 7 Α. board meetings. But -- so he has a role, yes. 8 9 Q. Okay. And does Mr. Norris hold any 10 positions, to the best of your knowledge, in relation to any of the retail funds? 11 12 I don't -- I don't believe he does. Α. How about Mr. Post, do you know 13 Ο. 14 whether Mr. Post holds any position in either 15 of the advisors? 16 Α. I mean, he -- he -- yes. 17 What is your understanding of the 0. positions that Mr. Post holds in relation to 18 the advisors? 19 20 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 21 He is an employee of NexPoint Α. He is also the chief compliance 22 Advisors. officer for -- for NexPoint. 23 24 Who is the chief compliance officer 0. 25 for HCMFA, if you know?

Page 184

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 185
2	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	
3	A. That would be Jason as well.	
4	Q. Okay. Now, looking at your	
5	response, you noted initially that nothing was	
6	owed under shared services. Do I have that	
7	right in substance?	
8	A. Yeah. I think I'm being responsive	
9	to Lauren's question here, whether any of the	
10	shared service invoices are outstanding.	
11	Q. Right.	
12	A. Yes.	
13	Q. And that is because and that is	
14	because the retail the retail board has asked	
15	for the disclosure of all material obligations	
16	that were owed to HCMLP either then or in the	
17	future; isn't that right?	
18	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	
19	Q. We can go back down and look.	
20	A. Look, I don't know if that's a	
21	material item, I mean, again, but sure.	
22	Q. Okay. But there were no shared	
23	services outstanding; correct?	
24	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	
25	A. That is what this email seems to	

Page 186 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 indicate. 3 And you wouldn't have written it if 0. 4 you didn't believe it to be true at the time; 5 correct? 6 Α. Correct. 7 And when you referred to shared 0. services outstanding, what you meant there was 8 9 that neither NexPoint nor HCMFA owed Highland 10 any money under the shared services agreements that they had with Highland as of October 6th, 11 12 2020; right? 13 Α. I don't know if it is as of October 14 6, 2020 or if it was from -- like through the 15 financials -- through the date of the 16 financials as of June 30. 17 Okay. And then you noted that Ο. HCMA -- the HCMFA note is a demand note; right? 18 19 Α. Yes. 20 And then you referred Ms. Thedford Q. to Kristin Hendrix for the term of the NexPoint 21 22 note. Do I have that right? 23 Α. Yes. 24 And then you refer to that agreement 0. that is referenced in the 2018 audited 25

		Page 187
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 107
2	financials about Highland's agreement not to	
3	make demand upon HCMFA until May 2021; correct?	
4	A. Correct.	
5	Q. And then and then the next thing	
6	you write is that the attorneys think that BK	
7	doesn't change that, but don't know for sure at	
8	the end of the day.	
9	Do you see that sentence?	
10	A. Yes.	
11	Q. Which attorneys were you referring	
12	to?	
13	A. I don't remember.	
14	Q. Did you have a conversation with	
15	attorneys concerning whether the bankruptcy	
16	would change or alter in any way the agreement	
17	not to make a demand under the HCMFA note?	
18	A. Look, yeah, I mean, I don't	
19	specifically remember, but generally, I mean,	
20	it is in this email. I don't I don't I	
21	don't I don't remember who I talked to or,	
22	you know, was it inside counsel, outside	
23	counsel, but obviously I talked to somebody.	
24	Q. Do you have any recollection	
25	A. Well, I don't even know if it's	

Casse 211-0330055-sqj Dooc 1848-43 Fileed 100/2055222 Entereed 100/2055222 1178 228 383 Frage 13326 off 33939

Page 188 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 actually, it may not even have been me. I say 2 the attorneys in, you know, a lot of -- like I 3 talked about the team. 4 5 It could have been someone on the б team, like, hey, we need to run this down, and maybe they talked to attorneys again and 7 relayed that information to me. 8 9 So I really don't know if I spoke or 10 someone else did or -- or, I mean, and maybe it wasn't even from corporate accounting. Maybe 11 it was, you know, other -- I'm kind of 12 13 summarizing, you know, again, so I don't really 14 know -- I can't really say for sure. I don't 15 remember how I came about of this knowledge. 16 0. I appreciate your efforts, Mr. Waterhouse, but I will just tell you that 17 if I ask a question and you don't know the 18 19 answer or you don't recall, I'm happy to accept 20 that. I don't -- I don't want you to speculate, so I want to be clear about that. 21 22 So I appreciate it. Let me just ask you simply: Do you 23 24 know what attorneys -- can you identify any of 25 the attorneys who thought that the bankruptcy

Page 189 1 WATERHOUSE -10-19-212 process didn't change the agreement? I don't recall. 3 Α. Okay. Perfect. 4 Ο. 5 And then let's look at the last б sentence. It says, quote: The response should 7 include, as I covered in the board meeting, that both entities have the full faith and 8 9 backing from Jim Dondero, and to my knowledge 10 that hasn't changed. 11 Do you see that? 12 Α. Yes. 13 Q. Okay. Prior to October 6th, 2020, 14 had you told the retail board that HCMFA and 15 NexPoint have the full faith and backing from 16 Jim Dondero? 17 Α. Yes. Do you remember in the context in 18 Q. which you told the retail board that? 19 20 Α. I mean, generally, yes. 21 Tell me what you recall. Q. 22 So we were walking through the Α. 23 financials from the advisors; right? So as I 24 described to you, you have got HCMFA and NPA. 25 And these -- the financials, you know, show

Page 190 1 WATERHOUSE -10-19-212 they have liabilities on them that exceed 3 assets. 4 So the retail board has asked, okay, you know, how -- you know, if -- if these 5 б liabilities come due or they're payable, you 7 know, how does that come about? And, you know, the response is, 8 9 well, the advisors have the -- the full faith 10 and backing from -- from Jim Dondero. And how did you know that the 11 Ο. advisors had the full faith and backing from 12 13 Jim Dondero? What was the basis for that 14 statement that you made to the retail board? 15 Α. I talked to Jim about it at some 16 point in the past. 17 And did you tell Mr. Dondero that 0. you were going to inform the retail board that 18 the advisors had his full faith and backing 19 20 before you actually told that to the retail 21 board? 22 I don't recall having that Α. 23 conversation. Do you recall if you ever informed 24 0. 25 Mr. Dondero that you had disclosed or told the

Casse 211-0330055-sqj Dooc 1848-43 FFiled 100/205/222 Entered 100/205/222 11/8 228 363 Praye 13219 off 33979

Page 191 1 WATERHOUSE -10-19-212 retail board that the advisors had the full faith and backing of Mr. -- Mr. Dondero? 3 4 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 5 form. 6 Α. I don't recall discussing that with 7 him at the time. When you told this to the board, was 8 Ο. 9 Mr. Dondero participating in the discussion? 10 Α. Not that I recall. 11 Withdrawn. Was it not -- withdrawn. Q. 12 Do you recall whether -- when you 13 covered this issue with the board, was that in 14 a -- a Zoom call or a Webex call? Was it a 15 telephone call? Was it in-person? Like where were you physically in relation to the board? 16 17 I believe I was at home. Α. 18 Q. Okay. Can you identify every person 19 that you recall who was present for this 20 disclosure other than -- other than the board 21 members themselves? 22 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 23 form. 24 I don't recall everyone on the call. Α. Can you identify anybody who was on 25 Q.

Page 192 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 the call? 3 Α. Other than the board members? 4 Ο. Yes. Lauren Thedford. I mean, there 5 Α. б are -- there are many -- my section is just one of many sections that are just -- you know, as 7 you can appreciate, this is a long board 8 9 meeting. 10 I can't recall specifically, really even generally, or who was on when this was 11 12 discussed. But Lauren was typically on for the 13 entire time. I apologize if I asked you this, but 14 0. 15 do either of Mr. Norris or Mr. Post hold any positions relative to the retail funds? 16 17 I think you asked me this already, Α. 18 John. Okay. I just don't recall. Can you 19 0. 20 just refresh my recollection if I did, in fact, ask you the question? 21 22 Α. I don't believe -- if we can go I don't believe Mr. Norris has a title 23 back. 24 at the retail funds. Mr. -- and Mr. Post is 25 the CCO of the advisor, the advisors.

Casse 211-0330055-550 j Door 1868-43 FFiled 101/295/222 Entered 101/295/222 11/8 21/8 3433 Prage 13331 off 33939

WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 193
Q. Okay. Do you know if either of them	
have a position with the retail board with	
the retail funds?	
A. I don't believe Mr. Norris has a	
position with the retail funds.	
Q. All right. What about Mr. Post?	
A. Mr. Post is the CCO of the advisors.	
Q. Okay. Does he hold any position	
A. I don't believe so.	
Q with the retail funds?	
A. I don't believe so.	
Q. Okay.	
A. I don't know if being the CCO for	
the advisor conveys something for the retail	
funds. Again, I am not that is the legal	
compliance part of it. I don't know.	
Q. Why did you tell the retail board	
that the advisors have the full faith and	
backing from Mr. Dondero?	
MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	
A. It is it is it is what has	
been discussed with them prior.	
Q. And were you were you trying to	
give them comfort that even though the	
	 Q. Okay. Do you know if either of them have a position with the retail board with the retail funds? A. I don't believe Mr. Norris has a position with the retail funds. Q. All right. What about Mr. Post? A. Mr. Post is the CCO of the advisors. Q. Okay. Does he hold any position A. I don't believe so. Q with the retail funds? A. I don't believe so. Q. Okay. A. I don't know if being the CCO for the advisor conveys something for the retail funds. Again, I am not that is the legal compliance part of it. I don't know. Q. Why did you tell the retail board that the advisors have the full faith and backing from Mr. Dondero? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. It is it is it is what has been discussed with them prior. Q. And were you were you trying to

Page 194 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 liabilities exceeded the assets that the advisors would still be able to meet their 3 4 obligations as they become due? 5 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 6 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object form. 7 I -- I can't -- I don't remember Α. specifically the conversation, but generally --8 9 you know, generally, yes. And that is why --10 but, you know, again, in this email saying, you know, I am sure I qualified it with the retail 11 board, you know, as I said I like -- you know, 12 13 to my knowledge, that hasn't changed. But, 14 again, generally -- generally that is what I 15 remember. 16 Okay. Do you recall if in the 0. advisors' response to the retail board's 17 question if the response included any statement 18 concerning Mr. Dondero and -- and the full 19 20 faith and backing that he was giving to the 21 advisors? 22 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 23 form. 24 I don't -- I don't remember Α. 25 specifically what was provided.

Casse 211-0330055-550 j Door 1868-43 FFiled 101/295/222 Entered 101/295/222 11/8 21/8 3433 Prage 13953 off 33939

		Page 195
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	
2	Q. Okay.	
3	A. And I don't really I don't really	
4	remember generally either.	
5	Q. Okay.	
6	MR. MORRIS: So so, again, I'm	
7	just going to ask Mr. Rukavina if your	
8	clients can produce as soon as possible the	
9	15(c) response, the written response that	
10	the advisors made, if any, to the board's	
11	Question No. 2.	
12	I'm not looking for the whole	
13	response, but I certainly want the response	
14	to Question No. 2.	
15	Q. Do you have a general understanding	
16	as to the amount by which withdrawn.	
17	Did did the assets of	
18	withdrawn.	
19	Did the liabilities of HCMFA exceed	
20	its assets in 2020?	
21	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	
22	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection, form.	
23	A. I believe I have already answered	
24	that question earlier, I think. I believe I	
25	said yes.	

		Page 196
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	
2	Q. Okay. And did the liabilities of	
3	NexPoint exceed its assets in 2020?	
4	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection to	
5	form.	
6	A. I don't believe so.	
7	Q. Okay. So so it was only one of	
8	the two advisors who had liabilities that	
9	exceeded the value of the assets.	
10	Do I have that right?	
11	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection to	
12	form.	
13	MS. DANDENEAU: Form.	
14	A. Yes.	
15	Q. And do you know, ballpark, the	
16	amount by which the value of HCMFA's	
17	liabilities exceeded their assets in 2020?	
18	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	
19	A. I don't I don't recall.	
20	MR. MORRIS: I had specifically	
21	requested in discovery the audited	
22	financial reports for both advisors and	
23	NexPoint. I think I may have gotten one	
24	for NexPoint but I'm still waiting for the	
25	balance. And I'm going to renew my request	

Casse 211-0330055-550 j Door 1868-43 FFiled 101/295/222 Entered 101/295/222 11/8 21/8 3433 Prage 1325 of 13299

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 197
2	for those documents too.	
3	Q. Let's go to the next exhibit, which	
4	is Number 10. So I think it is in your stack,	
5	Mr. Waterhouse.	
6	MR. MORRIS: And we can take the one	
7	down from the screen and put up Number 10	
8	for everybody.	
9	(Exhibit 10 marked.)	
10	Q. And I don't know if you have ever	
11	seen this before, but I'm really putting it up	
12	on the screen for purposes of turning to the	
13	very last page of the document.	
14	So this is a document that we have	
15	been that we premarked as Exhibit 10. And	
16	we're turning to the last page of the document,	
17	which is a document that was filed in the	
18	adversary proceeding 21-3004. And no, I	
19	apologize, I think we right there. Perfect.	
20	And it is page 31 of 31.	
21	MR. MORRIS: I think there may have	
22	been some something erroneously stapled to	
23	the hard copy that I gave you folks, but	
24	I'm looking for page 31 of 31 in the	
25	document that begins with the first page of	

Casse 211-0330055-sqjj Dooc 1848-43 FFiled 100/2057222 Entered 100/2057222 1178 228 363 Prayee 13366 off 33979

Page 198 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 Exhibit 10. 3 Do you have that, Mr. Waterhouse? Q. 4 I don't have it yet. I'm looking. Α. 5 All right. If you look at the top 0. б right-hand corner, you will see it says page 7 hopefully something of 31? Α. Yes, I've got it now. 8 9 Okay. You have got 31 of 31. You Q. 10 can take a moment to read that, if you would 11 like. 12 (Reviewing document.) Okay. Α. 13 Q. Have you ever seen this before? 14 Α. I don't know if I have seen this specific document, but, you know, I've --15 I'm -- I'm aware of it. 16 17 And is this the document that you 0. had in mind when you sent that email to 18 Ms. Thedford that we just looked at where you 19 20 said that Highland had agreed not to make a 21 demand upon HCMFA until May 2021? 22 Honestly, I don't -- it wasn't this Α. I mean, it's something like this, 23 document. 24 yes. I mean, yes. 25 Well --Q.

Casse 211-0330055-550 j Door 1868-43 FFiled 101/295/222 Entered 101/295/222 11/8 21/8 3433 Prage 13997 of 13999

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 199
2	A. It is something like this, but I	
3	don't think it was this specific document.	
4	Q. Well, but this document does say in	
5	the last sentence that Highland agreed not to	
6	seek not to demand payment from HCMFA prior	
7	to May 31, 2021; right?	
8	A. Yes.	
9	Q. And are you aware of any other	
10	document that was ever created pursuant to	
11	which Highland agreed not to demand payment on	
12	amounts owed by HCMFA before May 31, 2021?	
13	A. Hold on. Are you asking, am I aware	
14	of a document that by HCMFA that basically says	
15	otherwise?	
16	Q. No. Let me try again.	
17	Are you aware of any other document	
18	pursuant to which pursuant to which Highland	
19	agreed not to make a demand on HCMFA until May	
20	31st, 2021?	
21	A. I'm I think there was something	
22	in connection with with the with the	
23	audit that basically says the same thing.	
24	Q. Okay. And do you think that the	
25	audit is referring to this particular document?	

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21
2	A. I don't know.
3	Q. All right. This document is dated
4	April 15, 2019. Do you see that?
5	A. I do.
6	Q. And do you remember that the audit
7	was completed on June 3rd, 2019?
8	A. Yes.
9	Q. And do you recall that the audited
10	financials and I'm happy to pull them up if
11	you would like, but do you recall that the
12	audited financials included a reference to the
13	agreement pursuant to which Highland agreed not
14	to make a demand until May 31st, 2021?
15	A. Yes, I remember.
16	Q. And as part of the process, would
17	you have expected the corporate accounting team
18	to have provided a copy of this document to
19	PwC?
20	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.
21	A. Yes, I would have expected something
22	like this, or again, you know, some document
23	that basically states states the deferral
24	till May 31 of 2020.
25	Q. Okay.

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 201
2	A. May 31 of 2021, excuse me.	
3	Q. And this document states the	
4	deferral that you just described; correct?	
5	A. It does.	
6	Q. And this document states the	
7	deferral that was described in the audited	
8	financial statements that we looked at before;	
9	correct?	
10	A. It does.	
11	MR. MORRIS: Okay. Can we scroll	
12	down just a little bit to see who signed on	
13	behalf of the acknowledgment there.	
14	Q. Okay. So Mr. Dondero signed this	
15	document on behalf of both HCMFA and Highland;	
16	do you see that?	
17	A. I do.	
18	Q. Okay. Did you discuss this document	
19	or the withdrawn.	
20	Did you discuss the concept of the	
21	deferral with Mr. Dondero in the spring of	
22	2019?	
23	A. I think I testified I don't recall.	
24	Q. Okay. Do you know whose idea it was	
25	to issue the acknowledgment in this form?	
1		

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 202
2	A. I don't recall.	
3	MR. MORRIS: Can we scroll back up	
4	to the document, please.	
5	Q. Do you see in the beginning it says,	
6	reference is made to certain outstanding	
7	amounts loaned from Highland to HCMFA for	
8	funding ongoing operations.	
9	Do you see that?	
10	A. Yes.	
11	Q. And were you aware as the CFO of	
12	Highland and as the treasurer of HCMFA that as	
13	of April 15, 2019, Highland had made certain	
14	loans to HCMFA to fund HCMFA's ongoing	
15	operations?	
16	A. Yes.	
17	Q. And were you aware that those loans	
18	were payable on demand and remained outstanding	
19	as of December 31st, 2018?	
20	A. Yes.	
21	Q. And were you aware that those	
22	amounts were payable on demand, and they	
23	remained outstanding as of April 15, 2019?	
24	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	
25	form.	

Casse 211-0330055-550 j Door 1868-43 FFiled 101/295/222 Entered 101/295/222 11/8 21/8 3433 Prage 23/43 off 33999

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 203
2	A. Well, this this document dated	
3	April 15, 2019 says they have been deferred to	
4	May 31, 2021.	
5	Q. Right. But I'm just sticking to the	
6	first paragraph where they refer to the	
7	outstanding amounts. And in the end it says	
8	the it remained outstanding on December	
9	31st, 2018, and I think you told me that you	
10	understood that, and then I'm just trying to	
11	capture the last piece of it.	
12	Did you understand that there were	
13	amounts outstanding from the loan that Highland	
14	made to HCMFA to fund ongoing operations as of	
15	April 15th, 2019?	
16	A. Yes.	
17	Q. Thank you. Let's look at the next	
18	sentence. HCMFA expects that it may be unable	
19	to repay such amounts should they become due	
20	for the period commencing today and continuing	
21	through May 31st, 2021.	
22	Do you see that?	
23	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	
24	A. I do.	
25	Q. As the CFO withdrawn.	

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21
2	As the treasurer of HCMFA, did you
3	believe that do you believe that statement
4	was true and accurate at the time it was
5	rendered?
6	A. I mean, it it the answer to
7	that is I really didn't have any I didn't
8	have an opinion really.
9	Q. Did you do anything to educate
10	yourself in April of 2019 on the issue of
11	whether HCMFA could repay the amounts that it
12	owed to Highland should they become due?
13	A. I don't believe so.
14	Q. Did you at any time form any
15	opinions as to HCMFA's ability to repay all
16	amounts due to Highland should they become due?
17	A. Not really. I guess I don't
18	Q. Well, you told the retail board that
19	HCMFA's liabilities exceeded their assets in
20	2020; correct?
21	A. Yes.
22	Q. Based on the work that you did to
23	prepare for the retail board, did you form any
24	view as to whether HCMFA would be unable to
25	repay the amounts that it owed to Highland

		Page 205
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	
2	should they become due?	
3	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	
4	A. I mean, I when you look at that,	
5	to answer you, completely, you know, again,	
6	if the response I gave the retail board was,	
7	you know, the the advice HCMFA advisors	
8	have the have the full faith and backing of	
9	Jim Dondero. So I didn't form an opinion of	
10	whether the advisor could pay it or not.	
11	Q. Did you form any view as to whether	
12	the advisors could repay the amounts that it	
13	owed to Highland should they become due without	
14	the full faith and backing of Mr. Dondero?	
15	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	
16	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Form.	
17	A. I mean, if you if you if you	
18	take that last statement out, I mean, it would	
19	be difficult for HCMFA to pay back demand notes	
20	at that time.	
21	Q. And it was precisely for that reason	
22	that you told the retail board that that the	
23	retail that the advisors had the full faith	
24	and backing of Mr. Dondero; correct?	
25	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	

Casse 211-0330055-550 j Door 1868-43 FFiled 101/295/222 Entered 101/295/222 11/8 21/8 3433 Prage 23/64 off 33999

		Page 206
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	
2	A. I mean, yes, as the mouthpiece, I	
3	was relaying information.	
4	Q. Okay. And you relayed that	
5	information with the knowledge and approval of	
6	Mr. Dondero; correct?	
7	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	
8	form.	
9	A. As I stated in the email, I don't	
10	believe, and I think I testified I don't	
11	believe I had conversations with Mr. Dondero at	
12	the time of that board meeting.	
13	Q. Did you tell the retail board that	
14	the advisors had the full faith and backing of	
15	Mr. Dondero without Mr. Dondero's prior	
16	approval?	
17	A. Yeah, I I yes, I'm like I	
18	said, I think I testified earlier, I'm sure I	
19	qualified it as well.	
20	Q. What do you mean by that?	
21	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	
22	A. Again again, like I said in the	
23	email, it has the full faith and backing of Jim	
24	Dondero unless that has changed.	
25	Q. Actually that is not what you said,	

Page 207 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 so let's put the email back up. Α. It is -- it is -- it is in the 3 4 email. 5 Let's put the email back up. You 0. didn't say unless it has changed. You said you б 7 believe it hasn't changed; right? Α. Okay. And to my knowledge that 8 9 hasn't changed, that is what it says. 10 That's right. Q. But, again, I mean, that is -- I 11 Α. don't know everything. And I'm not in every 12 13 conversation. I'm not -- to presume that I am, 14 is -- and you have to put myself -- as you 15 started this out, Mr. Morris, I was at home in 16 October of 2020 with COVID -- or, you know, under these COVID times that we described is 17 very difficult. 18 19 We have all been working at home for 20 really the first time ever, undergoing processes, procedures, control environments 21 22 that have been untested, and there is poor 23 communication. 24 So I am relaying, as I'm telling you 25 now, what is in the email. And unless

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 2
2	something has changed to my knowledge, it	
3	hasn't changed, but it could have changed.	
4	Q. When you say that the advisors have	
5	the full faith and backing from Mr. Dondero,	
6	did you intend to convey that, to the extent	
7	the advisors were unable to satisfy their	
8	obligations as they become due, Mr. Dondero	
9	would do it for them?	
10	MS. DANDENEAU: Object to the form.	
11	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	
12	form.	
13	And, John, we have given you a lot	
14	of leeway here but this does not seem	
15	relevant to this case. You seem sort of	
16	taking a complete sort of diversion into	
17	the allegations and the complaint just	
18	filed on Friday, and so I would ask you to	
19	move on because	
20	MR. MORRIS: And I will tell you	
21	I will tell you that I have never read that	
22	complaint cover-to-cover. I have nothing	
23	to do with the prosecution of those claims.	
24	And this issue that we're talking about	
25	right now is related solely to the	

208

Casse 211-0330055-sqjj Donc 1968-43 FFileed 100/2056222 Enterned 100/2056222 1178 2128 363 Pragge 23497 off 35999

Page 209 1 WATERHOUSE -10-19-212 promissory notes that your clients refuse 3 to pay. So I'm going to continue to ask my 4 5 questions, and I would ask the court 6 reporter to read back my last question. 7 (Record read.) MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: And then I 8 9 believe there were objections to form. 10 You can answer the question. Q. 11 Α. Yes. 12 Thank you very much, sir. Q. 13 MR. MORRIS: Can we go back to the 14 other document, please? 15 Mr. Waterhouse, do you know if this Q. document was ever shared with the retail board? 16 17 I don't recall. Α. 18 Did you ever share it with the Q. retail board? 19 20 Α. I don't recall. 21 Did you ever tell the retail board Q. 22 about the substance of this document? 23 I don't recall. Α. 24 Ο. Did you ever tell the retail board that Highland had agreed not to make a demand 25

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 210
2	against HCMFA until May 2021?	
3	A. I don't recall.	
4	Q. Do you know whether anybody on	
5	behalf of the advisors ever informed the retail	
6	board that Highland had agreed on April 15,	
7	2019, not to make a demand against HCMFA under	
8	the promissory notes?	
9	A. I don't recall.	
10	Q. Did you instruct Ms. Thedford or	
11	anybody else responding to the retail board's	
12	15(c) inquiry to disclose this document?	
13	A. Did I instruct Ms. Thedford or	
14	anyone else to to to produce this, to	
15	disclose this document? Is that what you I	
16	just want to make sure.	
17	Q. Uh-huh.	
18	A. Yeah, I don't I don't recall.	
19	Q. Did you instruct anybody to inform	
20	the retail board, in response to their question	
21	as part of the 15(c) process, to to tell the	
22	retail board about Highland's agreement not to	
23	make a demand until 2021?	
24	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	
25	A. I don't recall.	

Page 211 1 WATERHOUSE -10-19-212 Did you ever inform PwC that HCMFA's 0. 3 liabilities exceeded its assets? 4 MS. DANDENEAU: Object to the form. 5 I don't -- I don't think I told Α. б them. I mean, they -- they audited the 7 financial statements. Did -- do you know if anybody on 8 0. 9 behalf of Highland ever informed 10 PricewaterhouseCoopers that HCMFA may be unable to repay amounts owing to Highland, should they 11 12 become due? 13 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. Again, I think I testified 14 Α. Yes. 15 earlier that -- that this was communicated to 16 the auditors. 17 Ideally --0. I don't know who exactly did that. 18 Α. I don't recall doing it, but, yeah, it was --19 20 it was communicated. And that is why -- I mean, there is a disclosure in the financial 21 22 statements; right? 23 There is, and that disclosure Ο. relates to the last sentence of this document; 24 25 correct?

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 212
2	A. Yes.	
3	Q. Do you recall looking in the	
4	document and seeing anything that was disclosed	
5	with respect to the sentence above that?	
6	A. No.	
7	Q. Do you know whether anybody on	
8	behalf of Highland ever informed	
9	PricewaterhouseCoopers that HCMFA expects that	
10	it may be unable to repay amounts due and owing	
11	to Highland should they become due?	
12	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	
13	form. I think that is the third time.	
14	A. I don't recall. Again, as I said,	
15	we all of this was given to the auditors.	
16	Q. Do you know if Highland received	
17	anything of value in exchange for its agreement	
18	not to demand payment on amounts owed by HCMFA	
19	prior to May 31st, 2021?	
20	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	
21	form. That is the second time.	
22	MS. DANDENEAU: Object to the form.	
23	A. I have answered this question.	
24	MR. RUKAVINA: Hold on. Object to	
25	legal conclusion. Go ahead.	

		Dama 010
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 213
2	A. I have answered this question	
3	before.	
4	Q. And the answer was no?	
5	A. I'm not aware.	
6	Q. Now, this acknowledgment can't	
7	possibly apply to the two notes that you signed	
8	on behalf of HCMFA because those notes were	
9	signed on May 2nd and May 3rd, 2019; is that	
10	right?	
11	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	
12	A. Unless there is a drafting error.	
13	Q. Okay. Are you aware of a drafting	
14	error?	
15	A. I'm not aware. I didn't I wasn't	
16	part of I didn't sign this note or this	
17	acknowledgment. I didn't draft it.	
18	Q. But you do see it is dated April 15,	
19	2019; right?	
20	A. Yes.	
21	Q. And this was a document that was	
22	actually included by the advisors in a pleading	
23	they filed with the Court; right?	
24	MR. RUKAVINA: Well, I don't know	
25	that so I object to form.	

Page 214 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 0. Okay. Let's go to the first page of 3 the document and just confirm that. 4 MR. AIGEN: Mr. Morris, I just note 5 that you already said there was some error 6 with the document that is listed as 7 exhibit --8 MR. MORRIS: No. No, no, no. 9 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Oh, okay. 10 MR. MORRIS: What I said is that there is a few pages that were mistakenly 11 12 stapled to the end of the document. 13 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Okay. 14 MR. MORRIS: There is no problem 15 with this document. 16 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: And just so 17 we're clear that the document -- the pages 18 that start with defendant's amended answer 19 are not intended to be part of this 20 document? 21 MR. MORRIS: That's correct. 22 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: And that the --23 but it is your representation that the rest of the document is -- is -- is correct 24 25 because we don't -- we don't have any way

Page 215 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 of verifying that, we're just --2 3 MR. MORRIS: You do, actually. You 4 could just go to Docket No. 21-3004. 5 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: If you want to 6 stop this deposition so we can go and pull 7 that document up, we're happy to do it. So I am just asking you for your 8 9 representation. 10 Sure. I gave that. MR. MORRIS: 11 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Okay. 12 So do you see that this is a Q. 13 document that was actually filed with the Court by Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors? 14 15 Α. No. I get with the first page in the section. Maybe I'm looking at the wrong 16 It says, Highland Capital Management. 17 thing. Don't worry about it. Don't worry 18 Q. about it. 19 20 Α. Maybe I went back -- okay. 21 MR. MORRIS: All right. Can we put 22 up on the screen Exhibit 2. 23 (Exhibit 2 marked.) 24 MR. MORRIS: I think it is 25 Exhibit 1.

1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 MS. DANDENEAU: I'm sorry, John, did 3 you say Exhibit 2 or Exhibit 1? MR. MORRIS: It is Exhibit 2 in the 4 5 binders so it is premarked Exhibit 2. And now I'm asking -- right there -- going to 6 7 Exhibit 1 to the document that was marked as Exhibit 2. 8 9 MS. DANDENEAU: Got it. In the 10 binder there is no --11 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: There is no 12 Exhibit 1. 13 MR. MORRIS: All right. So look at the one on the screen. 14 15 Do you see, Mr. Waterhouse, that Q. this is a promissory note dated May 31st, 2017, 16 in the approximate amount of \$30.7 million? 17 18 Α. Yes. 19 And do you see that the maker of the 0. 20 note is NexPoint? 21 Α. Yes. 22 And that Highland is the payee; is 0. 23 that right? 24 Α. Yes. 25 Okay. And do you see in Paragraph 2 Q.

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 217
2	this is an annual installment note?	
3	A. Can you scroll down.	
4	Q. Sure.	
5	MR. MORRIS: Can we scroll down	
6	yeah, there you go.	
7	A. Right there, yeah. Yes.	
8	MR. MORRIS: And can we scroll down	
9	to the signature line.	
10	Q. And do you recognize that as	
11	Mr. Dondero's signature?	
12	A. Yes.	
13	Q. And is this the promissory note that	
14	we talked about earlier where NexPoint had made	
15	certain payments in the aggregate amount of	
16	about 6 to \$7 million against principal and	
17	interest?	
18	A. I don't recall discussing the	
19	aggregate principal amounts of 6 to \$7 million,	
20	but so I don't I don't recall that prior	
21	discussion with those amounts.	
22	Q. All right. Let's take a look.	
23	NexPoint always included this promissory note	
24	as a liability on its audited financial	
25	statements; right?	

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 218
2	A. Yes.	
3	Q. And NexPoint had its financial	
4	statements audited; isn't that correct?	
5	A. Yes.	
6	Q. And was the process of NexPoint's	
7	audit similar to the process you described	
8	earlier for Highland and HCMFA?	
9	A. Yes, it is similar.	
10	Q. Okay.	
11	MR. MORRIS: Can we put up	
12	NexPoint's audited financials and let	
13	everybody know what exhibit number it is,	
14	La Asia?	
15	MS. CANTY: It is going to be	
16	Exhibit 46.	
17	(Exhibit 46 marked.)	
18	Q. And do you see, sir, that we've put	
19	up NexPoint Advisors' consolidated financial	
20	statements and supplemental information for the	
21	period ending December 31st, 2019?	
22	A. Yes.	
23	Q. Did you participate in the process	
24	whereby these audited financial statements were	
25	issued?	
1		

Casse 211-0330055-550 j Door 1868-43 FFiled 101/295/222 Entered 101/295/222 11/8 21/8 3433 Prage 23/597 off 33999

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 219
2	A. I didn't participate directly, as	
3	I've described before, about the the team	
4	performing the audit.	
5	Q. Do you recall when the audit of	
6	NexPoint's financial statements for the period	
7	ending December 31st, 2019 was completed?	
8	A. Yes.	
9	Q. And when do you recall it being	
10	completed?	
11	A. In January of 2021.	
12	Q. Do you know why the 2019 audit	
13	report wasn't completed until January of 2021?	
14	A. Yes.	
15	Q. Why was the NexPoint audit report	
16	for the period ending $12/31/19$ not completed	
17	until January 2021?	
18	A. Because we had to deal with working	
19	from home from with COVID, and on top of all	
20	of our daily responsibilities and job duties	
21	at at providing at Highland providing	
22	services to NexPoint, we had to do all of this	
23	extra work for a bankruptcy that was filed in	
24	October of 2019.	
25	MR. MORRIS: Can we go to the	

Page 220 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 balance sheet on page 3? Okay. Stop right 3 there. 4 Do you see under the liabilities Ο. section, the last item is note payable to 5 affiliate? 6 7 Α. Yes. And is that the note that we just 8 Ο. looked at? 9 10 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 11 Q. Withdrawn. 12 Is that the approximately 13 \$30 million note that we just looked at that 14 was dated from 2017? 15 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 16 I believe no. Α. 17 Okay. You're not aware of any other 0. note that was outstanding from NexPoint to 18 Highland as of the end of the year 2019, other 19 20 than that one \$30 million note; right? 21 I don't recall. Α. 22 And as of the end of 2019, the 0. principal amount that was due on the note was 23 24 approximately \$23 million; right? 25 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 221
2	form.	
3	A. Approximately.	
4	Q. And does that refresh your	
5	recollection that between the time the note was	
б	executed and the end of 2019, that NexPoint had	
7	paid down approximately \$7 million?	
8	A. Yes. If we are just doing the math,	
9	yes.	
10	Q. Okay. Did NexPoint complete its	
11	audit from 2020?	
12	A. Sorry, you kind of broke up. Do	
13	NexPoint complete?	
14	Q. The audit of its financial	
15	statements for the period ending December 31st,	
16	2020?	
17	A. No.	
18	Q. No, it's not complete?	
19	A. No, it is not complete.	
20	Q. Did HCMFA complete its audit for the	
21	year ending December 31st, 2020?	
22	A. No.	
23	MR. MORRIS: Can we go to page 15,	
24	please, the paragraph at the bottom.	
25	Q. Do you see that NexPoint has	

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 222
2	included under notes payable to Highland a	
3	reference to the amounts that were outstanding	
4	as of the year-end 2019 under the note that we	
5	looked at just a moment ago?	
6	A. Yes. Are you talking about the	
7	second paragraph?	
8	Q. I'm actually talking about first	
9	paragraph. Do you understand that the first	
10	paragraph is a reference to the 2017 note, and	
11	the amounts that were the principal amount	
12	that was outstanding as of the end of 2019?	
13	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	
14	John, do you mean the first paragraph of	
15	that page?	
16	MR. MORRIS: No, the first paragraph	
17	under notes payable to Highland.	
18	A. Yeah, I see the paragraph, and	
19	again, this is what I answered earlier. I	
20	believe so, just because I don't again, this	
21	is a number in a balance sheet, and without	
22	matching it up and seeing the detail with the	
23	schedule like I kind of talked about for	
24	Highland's financial statements, it is a little	
25	bit more difficult to tie everything in	
1		

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 223
2	perfectly together.	
3	Q. Okay. But you're not aware of any	
4	note that was outstanding at the end of 2019	
5	from NexPoint to Highland other than whatever	
6	principal was still due and owing under the	
7	\$30 million note issued in 2017; correct?	
8	A. Well, it I don't there is	
9	reference in the second paragraph. I don't	
10	I don't I don't recall what that is	
11	referring to, so I don't I don't know.	
12	Q. Well, if you listen carefully to my	
13	question, right, I'm asking about notes that	
14	were outstanding at the end of 2019, and if we	
15	look at the paragraph you just referred to, it	
16	says that during the year there were new notes	
17	issued totaling \$1.5 million, but by the end of	
18	the year, no principal or interest was	
19	outstanding on the notes.	
20	Do you see that?	
21	A. Oh, I do, yes.	
22	Q. So does that refresh your	
23	recollection that there were no notes	
24	outstanding from NexPoint to Highland other	
25	than the principal remaining under the original	

1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 \$30 million 2017 note that we looked at a 3 moment ago? 4 Well, we're at the bottom of the Α. 5 page. Is there anything on page 16? 6 0. That is a fair question, sure. That 7 is it. Okay. So it appears that that is 8 Α. 9 the only note that is detailed in the notes in 10 the financial statement. And you don't have any memory of any 11 Ο. other note other than the 2017 note, right, 12 13 being outstanding as of the end of the year? 14 I deal with thousands of Α. 15 transactions every year. I don't really have a 16 very specific memory for what exactly was outstanding. 17 18 MR. MORRIS: Why don't we take a 19 break now. We've been going for a little while. It's 3:26. Let's come back at 20 21 3:40. 22 VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the 23 record at 3:26 p.m. 24 (Recess taken 3:26 p.m. to 3:39 p.m.) 25 VIDEOGRAPHER: We are going back on

Casse 211-0330055-sqjj Donc 1968-43 FFileed 100/2055/222 Entereed 100/2055/222 1178 2128 363 Prayee 23653 off 33939

Page 225 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 the record at 3:39 p.m. All right. Mr. Waterhouse, we -- I 3 Ο. don't think we have a lot more here. 4 5 To the best of your knowledge and recollection, were all affiliate loans and all б 7 loans made to Mr. Dondero recorded on Highland's books and records as assets of 8 9 Highland? 10 MS. DANDENEAU: Object to the form, 11 asked and answered. 12 To my knowledge, yes. Α. Okay. Can you recall any loan to 13 Q. any affiliate or Mr. Dondero that was not 14 15 recorded on Highland's books and records as an 16 asset? 17 Like during my time as CFO? I don't Α. 18 recall. How about after the time that you 19 0. 20 were CFO? Did you recall that there was a loan by Highland to an affiliate or to Mr. Dondero 21 22 that hadn't been previously recorded on 23 Highland's books as an asset? 24 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 25 I guess I don't understand the Α.

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21
2	question. I left Highland as of I'm not
3	aware of I left Highland in February
4	probably the last day of February of 2021.
5	Q. Okay.
6	A. I'm not I'm not aware of any
7	I'm not aware of anything past that date.
8	Q. Okay. While you were the CFO at
9	Highland, did Highland prepare in the ordinary
10	course of business a document that reported
11	operating results on a monthly basis?
12	A. Yes.
13	Q. And are you generally familiar with
14	the monthly operating reports?
15	A. Yeah. You are referring to the
16	reports that we filed to the Court every month?
17	Q. I apologize, I'm not. I'm taking
18	you back to the pre-petition period. There was
19	a report that I have seen that I'm going to
20	show you, but I'm just asking for your
21	knowledge.
22	MR. MORRIS: Let's put it up on the
23	screen, Exhibit 39.
24	(Exhibit 39 marked.)
25	Q. Do you see this is a document that

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 227
2	is called operating results?	
3	A. Yeah, that's the title of it.	
4	Q. Okay. And was a report of operating	
5	results prepared by Highland on a monthly basis	
6	during the time that you served as CFO?	
7	A. No.	
8	Q. Are you familiar with a document of	
9	this type? And we can certainly look at the	
10	next page or two to refresh your recollection.	
11	A. I'm just looking at the title. I	
12	don't really again, as I discussed before, I	
13	don't have any records or documents or emails	
14	or appointments or anything that I was able to	
15	use prior to prior to this deposition, so	
16	I'm doing the best I can.	
17	Q. Okay. You don't need to apologize.	
18	I'm just asking you if you are familiar with	
19	the document called Operating Results that was	
20	prepared on a monthly basis at Highland?	
21	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	
22	form.	
23	Q. If you're not, you're not.	
24	A. I don't believe this was prepared on	
25	a monthly basis.	

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 228
2	Q. Okay. Do you see that this one	
3	is is dated February 2018?	
4	A. Yes.	
5	Q. Do you have do you believe	
6	have you ever seen a document that was	
7	purporting to report operating results for	
8	Highland?	
9	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	
10	A. Yes.	
11	Q. Okay. And when you say that you	
12	don't believe it was produced on a monthly	
13	basis, was it produced on any periodic bases to	
14	the best of your recollection?	
15	A. I believe it was it was prepared	
16	on an annual basis.	
17	Q. Okay.	
18	MR. MORRIS: Can we look at the next	
19	page.	
20	Q. Do you see that there is a statement	
21	here called: Significant items impacting	
22	HCMLP's balance sheet?	
23	And it is dated February 2018.	
24	A. Yes.	
25	Q. Do you recall that there was a	

1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 report that Highland prepared that identified 2 3 significant items impacting the balance sheet? A report that was prepared. 4 Α. 5 Ο. Let me ask a better question: Did б Highland prepare reports to the best of your 7 recollection that identified significant items that impacted its balance sheet? 8 9 Α. Well, so Highland prepared a -- a 10 monthly close package. And maybe I'm getting -- and -- and maybe change names at one 11 time or maybe I'm just -- again, just 12 13 misremembering -- but in that, yes, there is a 14 page that would detail just changes in -- you 15 know, just changes month over month on the 16 balance sheet. 17 Okay. And maybe it is my fault. 0. Maybe I didn't know the proper name for it. 18 19 But let's use the phrase "monthly close 20 package." 21 Did Highland prepare a monthly close 22 package in the ordinary course of business 23 during the time that you served as CFO? 24 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 25 Α. Yes.

Casse 211-0330055-550 j Door 1868-43 FFiled 101/295/222 Entered 101/295/222 11/8 21/8 343 Praye 23338 off 33999

		D 000
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 230
2	Q. And did the monthly close package	
3	that Highland prepared include information	
4	concerning significant items that impacted	
5	Highland's balance sheet?	
6	A. Yes, it had a page like that is	
7	that is on the screen that detailed items	
8	like of that nature.	
9	Q. And do you know who was there	
10	anybody at Highland who was responsible for	
11	overseeing the preparation of the monthly	
12	reporting package?	
13	A. That would have been again, it	
14	varies over time during my tenure as CFO.	
15	It it varied over over time, but but	
16	typically a a corporate accounting manager.	
17	Q. And who were the corporate	
18	accounting managers during your tenure as CFO?	
19	A. It would have been Dave Klos and	
20	Kristin Hendrix.	
21	Q. And did the corporate accounting	
22	manager deliver to you drafts of the monthly	
23	close package before it was finalized?	
24	A. Sometimes.	
25	Q. Was that the practice even if there	

Page 231 1 WATERHOUSE -10-19-212 were exceptions to the practice? 3 The practice meaning that they Α. 4 sometimes lured them to me? 5 That that was the expectation even 0. б if circumstances prevented that from happening 7 from time to time. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 8 form. 9 10 I -- I would say it started out that Α. way but over the years it -- it was not 11 12 enforced. 13 Ο. Okay. So you were -- you reviewed 14 and approved monthly -- monthly reporting 15 packages for a certain period of time and then over time you stopped doing that. 16 17 Do I have that right? 18 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. Yes, I mean, if you're talking about 19 Α. 20 a formal meeting where we sit down and go 21 through and approve it. I would say that was 22 standard practice a decade -- you know, early 23 And as time went on that -- that -- that on. 24 practice wasn't followed. 25 Okay. Q.

Casse 211-0330055-550 j Door 1868-43 FFiled 101/295/222 Entered 101/295/222 11/8 21/8 3433 Prage 233/20 off 33999

WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 232
A. And, quite frankly, I don't even	
know if these were these were sent to me	
even in any capacity.	
Q. What was the purpose of preparing	
the monthly reporting package withdrawn.	
What was the purpose of preparing	
the monthly close package?	
MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	
form.	
A. The the original purpose was so	
that it would just it would be a report that	
was reviewed monthly with senior management.	
Q. Who was included in the idea of	
senior management?	
A. You know, I think originally when	
this was conceived that would have been like	
Jim Dondero and Mark Okada.	
Q. Were monthly reporting withdrawn.	
Were monthly close packages prepared	
to the best of your knowledge until the time	
you left Highland?	
A. To my knowledge I don't know,	
actually. I mean, to my knowledge, I believe	
it was being that was still being done. I	
	 A. And, quite frankly, I don't even know if these were these were sent to me even in any capacity. Q. What was the purpose of preparing the monthly reporting package withdrawn. What was the purpose of preparing the monthly close package? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. The the original purpose was so that it would just it would be a report that was reviewed monthly with senior management. Q. Who was included in the idea of senior management? A. You know, I think originally when this was conceived that would have been like Jim Dondero and Mark Okada. Q. Were monthly reporting withdrawn. Mere monthly close packages prepared to the best of your knowledge until the time you left Highland? A. To my knowledge I don't know, actually. I mean, to my knowledge, I believe

		Page 233
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	
2	don't know because, again, I wasn't reviewing	
3	them. I hadn't reviewed a close package for	
4	for a long time. But I believe the standard	
5	practice that was still being carried out.	
6	Q. Did you ever have any discussions	
7	with the debtor's independent board concerning	
8	any promissory notes that were issued by any of	
9	the affiliates or Mr. Dondero?	
10	A. I can't I can't I can't recall	
11	specifically.	
12	Q. Did you speak with the independent	
13	board from time to time?	
14	A. Yes, from from from time to	
15	time I had discussions with the independent	
16	board members, you know, either either, you	
17	know, by themselves or wholly, you know, as	
18	as a as a combined work.	
19	Q. Okay. Before we talk about	
20	Mr. Seery, do you recall ever having a	
21	conversation with Mr. Nelms or Mr. Dubel	
22	concerning any promissory note that was	
23	rendered by one of the affiliates or	
24	Mr. Dondero to Highland?	
25	A. I don't recall any conversations	

Page 234 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 specifically. 3 Do you know if the topic was ever 0. discussed, even if you don't remember it 4 5 specifically? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 6 7 Α. It -- it -- it may have. I don't know. I don't recall. 8 9 Do you recall ever discussing any Q. 10 promissory note issued by any of the affiliates or Mr. Dondero with James Seery? 11 12 Α. I don't -- I don't recall 13 specifically. 14 Ο. Do you recall generally ever 15 discussing the topic of promissory notes issued 16 by any of the affiliates or Mr. Dondero to Highland with Mr. Seery? 17 18 Nothing -- nothing is really jumping Α. 19 out at me. 20 Do you recall if you ever told 0. 21 Mr. Seery that any of the affiliates or 22 Mr. Dondero didn't have an obligation to pay all amounts due and owing under their notes? 23 24 Α. I don't recall having that 25 conversation.

		Page 235
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	
2	Q. Did you ever tell Mr. Seery that you	
3	had any reason to believe that the amounts	
4	reflected in the notes issued by the affiliates	
5	and Mr. Dondero were invalid for any reason?	
6	A. I don't I don't recall.	
7	Q. Did you tell Mr. Dondero did you	
8	tell Mr. Seery that you thought the promissory	
9	notes issued by the advisors and Mr. Dondero	
10	that were outstanding as of the petition date	
11	were assets of the estate?	
12	A. I don't recall having a specific	
13	conversation about those you know, those	
14	notes outstanding as as of the petition date	
15	being assets on the estate. I mean, we put	
16	together you know, they're in the books and	
17	records of the financial statements. I don't	
18	recall having a specific conversation.	
19	Q. Did you ever prepare any documents	
20	that were delivered to Mr. Seery that concerned	
21	the promissory notes issued by any of the	
22	affiliates or Mr. Dondero?	
23	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	
24	A. Did I produce any that concerned	
25	you mean did I just did I give Mr. Seery	

Page 236 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 anything that -- that said I have concerns over 3 these notes? 4 No. Let me try again. Maybe it was Ο. 5 my question. 6 Did you ever give Mr. Seery any 7 information concerning any of the notes that were issued by any of the affiliates or 8 Mr. Dondero? 9 10 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 11 I don't recall if I did or not. Α. Ι don't -- I don't remember. I mean, you have my 12 13 emails. You may have asked. Again, I don't --I don't know. 14 15 MR. MORRIS: Can we put up the 16 document that has been premarked as Exhibit 17 39? 18 MS. DANDENEAU: John, that is this 19 document, isn't it? 20 MR. MORRIS: Oh, yeah, it might be, 21 as a matter of fact. Let's go to Number 22 40. 23 (Exhibit 40 marked.) 24 During the bankruptcy, 0. 25 Mr. Waterhouse, did you prepare documents that

Casse 211-0330055-sqjj Door 1968-43 FFileed 100/2055/222 Entereed 100/2055/222 1178 2128 363 Prayee 23375 off 33939

Page 237 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 were filed with the bankruptcy court? Α. I didn't -- I didn't prepare them 3 4 personally. 5 Did people prepare them under your Ο. direction? 6 7 There were members of the team Α. Yes. that prepared them, and they worked in -- you 8 9 know, there were members of DSI that were 10 involved in the process as well. To the best of your knowledge, did 11 0. DSI rely on the employees of Highland for the 12 13 information that they used to prepare the bankruptcy filings? 14 15 Α. Yes. The books and records were with the Highland personnel. 16 17 Okay. And do you see on the screen 0. here, there is a document that we have marked 18 as Exhibit 40 that is -- that is titled Summary 19 20 of Assets and Liabilities? 21 Uh-huh. Α. 22 Okay. And do you recall reviewing Ο. any summary of assets and liabilities before it 23 24 was filed with the bankruptcy court? 25 Yes, I recall reviewing this at a Α.

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 238
2	high level.	
3	Q. And did you believe that it was	
4	accurate at the time it was filed?	
5	A. I didn't have any other reason to	
6	believe otherwise.	
7	Q. Okay. Do you see that the total	
8	value of all properties listed in Part 1 is	
9	approximately \$410 million?	
10	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection to	
11	form.	
12	A. Yes, it is in 1c.	
13	Q. Yes.	
14	A. Yes, I see that.	
15	Q. Okay. If we go to the second page,	
16	now I think I may just have excerpts here, just	
17	so everybody is clear, but if we scroll down to	
18	the second page, you will see that there is	
19	a a little further. There you go. You will	
20	see there is a reference to Item 71, notes	
21	receivable.	
22	Do you see that?	
23	A. I do.	
24	Q. And that was a reference to the	
25	notes receivable from the affiliates and	

1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 Mr. Dondero, among others; is that right? 3 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. Α. The affiliate notes and the 4 Yes. 5 Dondero notes were in this amount, but they б weren't -- again, like you said, and among 7 others. Okay. We will look at the 8 0. 9 specificity because I'm not playing gaming 10 here, but do you know if the \$150 million of notes receivable was included within the 11 \$410 million of total value of the debtor's 12 13 assets? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 14 15 Α. I -- I -- I believe so. 16 0. Right. And so is it fair to say that as of the date this document was prepared, 17 the notes receivable were more than one-third 18 of the value of the debtor's assets? 19 20 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 21 form. 22 MS. DANDENEAU: Object to the form. 23 Again, if you are just taking the Α. 24 math, 150 divided by whatever the \$400 million 25 number is above, then yes, you get there.

		Page 240
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	_
2	Q. Okay.	
3	A. You know, but as of the time of this	
4	filing, that is what was put in this filing,	
5	right, but, you know, I mean, numbers	
6	numbers change, facts and circumstances change.	
7	Q. But as the CFO of Highland, the	
8	debtor in bankruptcy, did you believe that this	
9	number accurately reflected the total amount	
10	due under the notes receivable?	
11	A. That is what we had in our books and	
12	records.	
13	Q. Okay. And did you believe as the	
14	CFO that the books and records accurately	
15	reported the then value of the debtor's assets?	
16	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	
17	A. We didn't as part of this filing,	
18	there was no fair value measurement or	
19	anything. These were just accounting entries	
20	for the promissory notes. There is no analysis	
21	for impairment or fair market value adjustments	
22	or anything of that nature. This is purely	
23	taking numbers and putting them in our form.	
24	Q. Did you do any impairment analysis	
25	at any time while you were employed by	

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 241
2	Highland?	
3	A. Yes, we did do impairment analysis	
4	on on assets.	
5	Q. Okay. Did you ever do an impairment	
6	analysis on any of the promissory notes that	
7	were given to Highland by any of the affiliates	
8	or Mr. Dondero?	
9	A. Not that I recall.	
10	Q. Under what circumstances do you	
11	prepare impairment analyses?	
12	A. As as if you're preparing	
13	financials in accordance with GAAP, generally	
14	accepted accounting principles, if you're	
15	preparing full GAAP financials, you should be	
16	preparing you should be undergoing on a	
17	periodic basis any fair market value	
18	adjustments to assets.	
19	As I was instructed at the time of	
20	the petition date, we weren't producing GAAP	
21	financials. So this wasn't something I was	
22	worried about nor concerned about.	
23	Q. Okay. Were NexPoint and HCMFA and	
24	Highland's audited financial statements	
25	prepared in accordance with GAAP?	

Casse 211-0330055-sqjj Donc 1848-43 FFileed 100/2055/222 Entereed 100/2055/222 1178 2128 343 Prayee 23420 off 33399

1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 The audited financials -- yes, Α. 3 audited financial statements are prepared in accordance with GAAP. 4 5 Do you recall whether any of Ο. б Highland or HCMFA or NexPoint ever made a fair 7 market value adjustment to any of the notes issued by any of the affiliates or Mr. Dondero 8 9 to Highland? 10 I do not recall that happening, but Α. the -- it is because under -- under GAAP, 11 the -- the treatment of liabilities is 12 13 different than assets. 14 Ο. Okay. So then let's just focus on 15 Highland's audited financial statements. 16 The last audited financial statements were for the period ending December 17 31st, 2018; correct? 18 19 Α. That is my understanding. 20 And you had -- you had an obligation Q. to disclose anything to PricewaterhouseCoopers 21 22 concerning any subsequent events between the end of 2018 and June 3rd, 2019; correct? 23 24 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 25 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Form.

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 243
2	A. Correct.	
3	Q. Okay. To the best of your	
4	knowledge, as Highland's CFO, did Highland ever	
5	make any fair market value adjustments to any	
6	of the promissory notes that were carried on	
7	its balance sheet and that were issued by any	
8	of the affiliates or Mr. Dondero?	
9	A. I think I answered that question	
10	earlier. I don't recall doing that for any of	
11	the those those notes. So it would have	
12	included the audit for the for the 2018	
13	period.	
14	Q. Okay.	
15	MR. MORRIS: Can we go to the next	
16	page.	
17	Q. Do you see this is a note a list of	
18	notes receivable? Do you see that?	
19	A. Yes, I do.	
20	Q. And do you see that this ties into	
21	the page that we were just looking?	
22	A. I'm sorry, can we go back to the	
23	prior page? I mean, it was at 150,331,222. It	
24	was on the prior page. Next page. Yes, it	
25	agrees.	
1		

		Page 244
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	
2	Q. Okay. So now let's look at that	
3	schedule. So this was the face amount of all	
4	of the promissory notes that Highland held at	
5	the time this document was filed with the	
6	bankruptcy court; right?	
7	A. Yes.	
8	Q. There is a footnote there that says,	
9	doubtful or uncollectible accounts are	
10	evaluated at year-end.	
11	Do you see that?	
12	A. I do.	
13	Q. Okay. And is it fair to say that as	
14	of the year-end 2018, the year before this,	
15	that to the extent any of these notes were	
16	outstanding at that time, they weren't deemed	
17	to be doubtful or uncollectible?	
18	A. Yeah. For the 2018 audit, there	
19	weren't any there weren't any adjustments to	
20	fair value.	
21	Q. Okay. And during the bankruptcy, do	
22	you recall that Highland subsequently reserved	
23	for the Hunter Mountain Investment Trust note?	
24	A. Yes.	
25	Q. Why did Highland were you	

1 WATERHOUSE -10-19-212 involved in the decision to reserve the Hunter 3 Mountain Investment Trust note? 4 I was not. Α. Do you know why Highland decided to 5 Ο. 6 reserve for the Hunter Mountain Investment 7 Trust note? I don't know yet decision was made. 8 Α. 9 I believe it was made by someone at DSI. 10 Okay. I'm just asking if you know Q. why. 11 12 Did you ever ask anyone why they 13 reserved for that particular note? 14 I don't recall. Α. 15 Do you know whether the debtor Ο. reserved for any other note on this list during 16 the bankruptcy? 17 Again, I don't recall. I wasn't 18 Α. 19 part of any process of -- again, like any fair 20 value adjustments or anything to that degree. Like I said, a lot of that was done by DSI and 21 it was kind of out of our court. 22 23 Okay. Do you know if any note Ο. 24 receivable on this list was ever deemed by the debtor to be doubtful or uncollectible? 25

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 246
2	A. I don't I don't have a	
3	recollection of every filing, so I don't know.	
4	Q. Did you ever have a discussion with	
5	anybody at any time about whether any of the	
6	notes receivable on this list should be deemed	
7	to be doubtful or uncollectible?	
8	A. No. As I previously stated, we were	
9	told we didn't have to keep GAAP financials.	
10	We weren't having you know, there is no	
11	underlying audits being performed, so I mean,	
12	it wasn't something I worried about.	
13	MR. MORRIS: I move to strike.	
14	Q. Did you ever have a conversation	
15	with anybody about any of the notes receivable	
16	and whether they should be deemed to be	
17	doubtful or uncollectible? Did you have the	
18	conversation, yes or no?	
19	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	
20	A. I don't recall.	
21	Q. Do you recall ever telling anybody	
22	that you believed any of the notes receivable	
23	on this list should be doubtful should be	
24	deemed to be doubtful or uncollectible?	
25	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 247
2	A. I don't recall. I mean, it may have	
3	happened, you know, again, when we initially	
4	getting DSI up to speed and going through	
5	financials, it may have happened, but I don't	
6	recall specifically.	
7	Q. While you were the CFO of Highland	
8	during the time that the company was in	
9	bankruptcy, did you have any reason to believe	
10	that any of the notes receivable on this list	
11	other than Hunter Mountain Investment Trust	
12	should have been characterized as doubtful or	
13	uncollectible?	
14	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	
15	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Form.	
16	A. I didn't know. I didn't form an	
17	opinion. Bankruptcy was new to me. It still	
18	is new to me, even after going through this.	
19	So I really didn't know what to expect nor	
20	really you know, I didn't know.	
21	MR. MORRIS: I move to strike.	
22	Q. During the period of Highland's	
23	bankruptcy when you were serving as CFO, did	
24	you have any reason to believe any of the notes	
25	on this list were doubtful or uncollectible?	

Page 248 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: This is like the 2 3 fifth time you've asked it. Object to the 4 form. 5 MR. MORRIS: I'm moving to strike, 6 if you haven't noticed, because he's not 7 answering the question. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: He was answering 8 9 the question, you just didn't like it, like 10 the answer. 11 MR. MORRIS: Good Lord. 12 Go ahead, Mr. Waterhouse. Ο. 13 Α. Again, I don't -- we brought up a 14 myriad of issues at the start of the bankruptcy 15 I don't recall if this was one of them, case. 16 but, again, there are a lot of things we couldn't change. Even, you know, I was told 17 status quo, blah, blah, blah, right, there is a 18 19 stay, you can't -- you know, I don't recall 20 specifically, but that doesn't mean it didn't 21 happen. 22 MR. MORRIS: I move to strike. 23 During the time that Highland was in 0. 24 bankruptcy and you served as CFO, did you have 25 any reason to believe that any of the notes

Page 249 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 receivable on this list were doubtful or 2 3 uncollectible? 4 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 5 form. 6 Α. Potentially. 7 Did you ever tell anybody that? 0. As I just stated like five times, 8 Α. 9 yes, we -- at the beginning after filing and we 10 were getting DSI and others up to speed, you know, we had a myriad of discussions of a lot 11 of things and this was likely one of them. I 12 13 don't -- but I don't recall specifically we 14 talked --15 0. I don't want to know -- I don't want to know what was --16 17 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Wait, wait. Excuse me. Mr. Morris, you did not let him 18 finish his answer. 19 20 I spoke -- we had -- we were Α. 21 bringing Fred Karesa and Brad Sharp (phonetic) 22 up to speed on all of these items, contracts, and investments and going through -- we had 23 24 hours and hours and hours of discussion. And then not only do I have to repeat this not 25

Page 250 1 WATERHOUSE -10-19-212 once, twice, three, four times with -- you know, I mean, we -- I don't -- I don't remember 3 the sum culmination of all these discussions. 4 They all kind of blend together. 5 MR. MORRIS: Okay. I move to strike 6 7 and I will try one more time. Did you ever tell anybody at DSI 8 Ο. 9 that you believed any of the notes receivable 10 on this list were doubtful or uncollectible? 11 MS. DANDENEAU: Object to form. 12 Α. Potentially. 13 Potentially you told them or Q. 14 potentially they were doubtful or 15 uncollectible? 16 Potentially I told them that we Α. needed to look at the value of these -- of 17 these assets. 18 19 Okay. Did you -- okay. It is 0. 20 potential that you told them and it is potentially that you didn't; right? 21 22 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 23 I've gone through that. I don't Α. recall specifically. 24 So you should just -- I don't want 25 Q.

1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 to tell what you to do. Do you have --3 MS. DANDENEAU: Good. 0. Other than -- other than telling 4 5 them that they should look at the values, do б you have any recollection whatsoever of ever 7 having told anybody at DSI that any of the notes receivable on this page were doubtful or 8 uncollectible? 9 10 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 11 form. 12 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection. 13 Α. I recall having general discussions 14 about everything on our balance sheet which 15 would have included these -- these notes 16 receivable. 17 0. Okay. I don't recall specifically where 18 Α. those discussions delved into. 19 20 Do you recall any discussion at all 0. 21 on the topic of whether any of these notes on 22 this list were doubtful or uncollectible? 23 MR. AIGEN: Mr. Morris, how on earth 24 is that question different from the 25 question that you just asked for the last

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 252
2	five times? I mean, really I thought you	
3	were (overspeak.)	
4	MR. MORRIS: Because he never	
5	answered it.	
6	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Are you	
7	listening to him?	
8	MR. MORRIS: You know	
9	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: He basically	
10	said that he had a conversation with DSI	
11	that went over all of this stuff and that	
12	conversation could have included the notes	
13	but he doesn't recall specifically.	
14	What more do you want him to ask	
15	of him?	
16	MR. MORRIS: I want him I would	
17	love him to say I would like him to	
18	testify to the truth, and that is he has no	
19	recollection.	
20	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Well, the truth	
21	as you would like to see it, but but he	
22	is testifying truthfully. And I and, by	
23	the way, I move to strike that comment	
24	MR. MORRIS: Okay.	
25	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: because it	

1 WATERHOUSE -10-19-212 suggests that he has not testified 3 truthfully. 4 MR. MORRIS: I will ask my question 5 again. And if at any time you want to 6 direct him not to answer, that is your 7 prerogative. Mr. Waterhouse, do you have any 8 0. 9 recollection at all of ever telling anybody 10 from DSI that any of these notes were doubtful or uncollectible? 11 12 MS. DANDENEAU: Object to form. 13 Α. I don't remember specifically. 14 Do you remember generally that Q. 15 specific topic? 16 We generally talked about assets, Α. values. If -- we had discussions of that and 17 collectability in nature. I mean, of Highland, 18 the funds, the CLOs, the entire complex. We 19 20 had discussions like that, which is, you know, 21 as you look at a billion dollar consolidated 22 balance sheet. 23 So I generally remember -- this is 24 billions of dollars, including these assets --25 having discussions of this -- of this type.

Q. Do you believe that an affiliate Joan on this list was doubtful or uncollectible? Would you have told that to DSI? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to form. A. If we had, like again, if we if if we weren't preparing financial Statements in accordance with GAAP, and you
4 uncollectible? Would you have told that to 5 DSI? 6 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 7 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to form. 8 A. If we had, like again, if we 9 if if we weren't preparing financial
5 DSI? 6 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 7 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to form. 8 A. If we had, like again, if we 9 if if we weren't preparing financial
6 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 7 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to form. 8 A. If we had, like again, if we 9 if if we weren't preparing financial
7 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to form. 8 A. If we had, like again, if we 9 if if we weren't preparing financial
8 A. If we had, like again, if we 9 if if we weren't preparing financial
9 if if we weren't preparing financial
10 statements in accordance with GAAP, and you
11 know, if DSI at that point they were
12 again, I was new to bankruptcy.
13 The CRO is we are delegating
14 everything to the CRO. All the decisionmaking.
15 Remember remember when you and I went into
16 Delaware Court and we were saying DSI basically
17 does everything, remember this, Mr. Morris?
18 You were my counsel at the time, and
19 basically we're running everything through DSI.
20 That was what this was like in the early part.
21 Everything was communicated through
22 DSI. So DSI says this. DSI says that. That
23 is what we're doing, and we're pointing out
24 things to them.
25 Now, they decide what direction this

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 255
2	goes.	
3	Q. Did you point out that any of	
4	these	
5	A. I don't recall specifically.	
6	Q. Okay. At any time that you served	
7	as Highland's CFO, did you ever point out to	
8	DSI that any of these loans were doubtful or	
9	uncollectible?	
10	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	
11	form.	
12	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection.	
13	A. If you're asking me if I had a	
14	conversation with DSI, if any of these loans	
15	were doubtful or uncollectible, I don't recall	
16	specifically.	
17	Q. Do you recall that the debtor filed	
18	on the docket monthly operating reports?	
19	A. Yes.	
20	Q. You prepared those personally,	
21	didn't you?	
22	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection to	
23	form.	
24	A. I didn't personally prepare them,	
25	the team did with DSI.	

	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 256
	Q. But you signed them; correct?	
	A. My signature is on the MORs.	
4	Q. And you signed them as the preparer	
5	of the document; correct?	
6	A. Yes, I did this pursuant to DSI's	
-	instructions.	
8	Q. Okay. You wouldn't have signed the	
9	document if you didn't believe it to be	
10) accurate; correct?	
11	A. If I had reason to believe it	
12	2 wasn't, presumably I wouldn't have signed it.	
13	Q. Okay. And do you have any reason to	
14	believe right now that any monthly operating	
15	5 report that has your signature on it was	
16	5 inaccurate in any way?	
11	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	
18	3 form.	
19	A. My understanding of the monthly	
20) operating reports is we were filing them in	
2	accordance with the standards set by the Court.	
22	2 It wasn't you know, again, I don't you	
23	8 know, it wasn't GAAP. It wasn't these other	
24	standards, so I testified I didn't have	
25	experience in this. The CRO was running the	
1		

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 257
2	show. I followed their advice.	
3	Q. But you assured yourself that	
4	everything in the report was accurate before	
5	you signed them; correct?	
6	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	
7	A. I trusted the guidance from the CRO	
8	and their team and their experience and their	
9	guidance for doing this for many, many, many	
10	years to to to categorize and put things	
11	in ways on the form.	
12	You know, my team had had not	
13	filled out these forms before and needed all of	
14	this guidance. I'm not an expert in this. I	
15	have oversight of it. I signed the form. DSI	
16	told me to.	
17	Q. And you and your team are the source	
18	of the information that DSI used to create the	
19	reports; correct?	
20	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	
21	A. The books and records reside with	
22	the with with the corporate accounting	
23	team.	
24	Q. Okay. And the corporate accounting	
25	team was the corporate accounting team that was	

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 258
2	under your direction; correct?	
3	A. Yes.	
4	Q. So so your team was responsible	
5	for maintaining Highland's books and records;	
6	correct?	
7	A. I'm sorry, my team was responsible?	
8	Q. Correct.	
9	A. Yes. They they they were	
10	the the the general ledger of Highland,	
11	that responsibility was with the corporate	
12	accounting team.	
13	Q. The corporate accounting group	
14	reported to you; correct?	
15	A. Yes.	
16	MR. MORRIS: Can we put up 41,	
17	please.	
18	(Exhibit 41 marked.)	
19	Q. All right. You will see that this	
20	is a report that is dated January 31st, 2020,	
21	but it is for the month ending December 2019.	
22	Do you see that?	
23	A. I do.	
24	Q. And you signed this report in your	
25	capacity as the chief financial officer of	
1		

1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 Highland; correct? 3 Α. Yes. And you're the preparer -- you're 4 Ο. 5 identified as the preparer of the report; 6 correct? 7 Α. That is correct. Do you recall participating in the 8 Q. 9 preparation of monthly operating reports? 10 Α. As I testified earlier, it was put together, you know, with the team. The team 11 worked with DSI to put these monthly operating 12 13 reports together. We had no experience at this 14 time of the monthly operating reports or things 15 of this nature. 16 MR. MORRIS: Can you turn to the 17 next page, please. Do you see a line item under assets 18 Q. due from affiliates? 19 20 Α. Yes, I do. 21 Okay. And to the best of your Q. 22 knowledge and understanding, as the person who 23 is identified as the preparer of this report, 24 does that line item include the affiliate loans 25 that we've been talking about?

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 260
2	A. Again, I would have to see, just	
3	like we did with the financial statements of	
4	Highland and NexPoint, I would have to see a	
5	detailed build, but, you know, if you look at	
6	the other line items, you know, the only other	
7	place it could be would be in in other	
8	assets.	
9	Q. Okay. And as a matter of	
10	arithmetic, is it fair to say that is the value	
11	of the assets due from affiliates was more than	
12	25 percent of the value of Highland's total	
13	assets as of 12/31/2019?	
14	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	
15	A. I'm really not doing the mental math	
16	right now, so I've been going at this depo for	
17	hours, so I'm really not you know	
18	Q. All right. No problem.	
19	A these are millions of dollars.	
20	Q. Let's look at the Footnote 1,	
21	please. Do you see there is a reference to the	
22	Hunter Mountain note?	
23	A. Yes, I see that in Footnote 1.	
24	Q. Okay. And that's the reserve that	
25	was taken against that note?	

Casse 211-0330055-sqjj Door 1968-43 FFileed 100/2055/222 Entereed 100/2055/222 1178 2128 363 Prage 23599 off 33999

Page 261 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 Α. Yes, that is what this indicates. Okay. And were you aware that the 3 Ο. 4 reserve was being taken on that it was? 5 Α. I was -- I was aware, yeah, at some point, yes. 6 7 Okay. And are you aware of any 0. reserve being taken with respect to any other 8 9 note that was issued in favor of Highland? 10 Again, as I testified, we didn't qo Α. through an analysis on -- on -- on the other 11 12 notes. 13 0. Can we turn --I believe -- I believe it says that 14 Α. 15 in Footnote 1, fair value has not been 16 determined with respect to any of the notes. 17 So this footnote -- footnotes, look, there has been no determination. 18 19 Okay. The determination was made in 0. 20 the audited financial statements just six 21 months earlier; right? We saw that earlier? 22 Α. That was as of 12/31/18. I mean, 23 things -- circumstances -- there's a bank --24 circumstances change, things change -- things 25 change over time, you know, facts and

Page 262 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 circumstances change. Again, you have to do an 3 analysis. 4 Okay. And you do recall that in Ο. 5 Highland's 2018 financial statement, all of the notes issued by affiliates and Mr. Dondero that б 7 were due at year-end had a fair value equal to the carrying value; correct? We looked at 8 9 that? 10 That was in the -- in the Α. Yes. disclosure for the -- for the affiliate notes, 11 12 yes. 13 0. And -- and you were obligated to 14 share with PwC any subsequent events between 15 the end of 2018 and the date that you signed 16 your management representation letter on June 3rd, 2019; correct? 17 18 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 19 form. 20 Yes. I -- I -- I signed the Α. 21 management, you know, my signature is in the 22 management representation letter -- I hope I'm 23 answering your question -- that is dated in 24 June with the representations made in that 25 management representation letter.

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 263
2	Q. Okay. And there was nothing that	
3	caused PricewaterhouseCoopers to include in	
4	subsequent events any adjustment to the	
5	conclusion that the fair value of the affiliate	
6	notes and the notes issued by Mr. Dondero	
7	equaled the carrying value; correct?	
8	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to the	
9	form.	
10	A. That is correct. That is what was	
11	in the in the in the footnotes.	
12	Q. Okay. So are you aware of anything	
13	that occurred between June 3rd, 2019 and	
14	December 31st, 2019 that would have caused the	
15	fair value of the notes to differ from the	
16	carrying value?	
17	A. Yeah. Highland filed for	
18	bankruptcy, things changed I mean, there was	
19	a bankruptcy filed in October of of of	
20	2019, right, the petition date that we've	
21	described earlier.	
22	I mean, I had a I guess looking	
23	back naively, I thought we were going to get an	
24	audit from PwC for year-ended 2019, and when we	
25	had discussions with PwC, they were like, are	

Page 264 1 WATERHOUSE -10-19-212 you crazy, we're not auditing this. Values 3 change, all these things change, bankruptcy changes the entire scenario. I mean -- and 4 5 they're like, we're not -- we're not touching this. 6 7 And so, you know, I was like, okay, sorry, I get it, okay, no an audit. 8 9 I mean, it is -- you know, and -you know, and we weren't preparing GAAP 10 financial statements. 11 12 Again, I didn't know what we were 13 doing in relation to our financial statements, but these were the discussions I was having at 14 15 the time. And yeah, I mean, filing bankruptcy from what I got from outside auditors and 16 others involved changed things dramatically. 17 Okay. Highland wasn't the obligor 18 Ο. 19 under any of the notes that we're talking 20 about; correct? 21 Α. No. 22 0. So --23 Α. That's right. 24 So can you identify any fact that 0. would cause the fair value to deviate from the 25

Page 265 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 carrying value during the seven-month period 3 between June 3rd and the end of the year, 2019? 4 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 5 I mean, I'm putting myself back Α. No. б at that time, right. Hindsight is 2020, but we didn't do an analysis, but we would have done a 7 fulsome analysis and looked at all of the facts 8 9 and circumstances at the time, but asset values 10 change. You know, there could have been a market crash in hindsight in 2020, which --11 12 which affected entities' abilities. 13 There could have been all of these things, right, that -- that happen. It is --14 15 it is easy to look back in hindsight, but when you are looking at this in -- in realtime, the 16 analysis is different, and again, we didn't do 17 an analysis. 18 19 Okay. You didn't do an analysis. Q. 20 Do I have that right? 21 I don't -- I don't recall doing one Α. 22 or maybe -- you know, I don't recall doing one. 23 MR. MORRIS: Okay. I'm going to 24 take a break. I may be done, so the time 25 now is -- is 4:30 your time. Let's just

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 266
2	take a short break until 4:40 your time.	
3	MS. DANDENEAU: Okay.	
4	VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the	
5	record, 4:31 p.m.	
6	(Recess taken 4:31 p.m. to 4:43 p.m.)	
7	VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the	
8	record at 4:43 p.m.	
9	MR. MORRIS: I have no further	
10	questions.	
11	MR. RUKAVINA: Okay.	
12	Mr. Waterhouse, I will go next.	
13	EXAMINATION	
14	BY MR. RUKAVINA:	
15	Q. Sir, my name is Davor Rukavina. I'm	
16	the lawyer for	
17	MR. MORRIS: Hey, Davor, just before	
18	you begin, I just want to put on the record	
19	Highland's objection to documents that were	
20	produced to me 10 minutes before the	
21	deposition began.	
22	MR. RUKAVINA: What the basis of	
23	your objection?	
24	MR. MORRIS: That they were due	
25	quite some time ago, and the fact that you	

Page 267 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 had -- I just think it's appropriate to --3 to dump documents on somebody 10 minutes before the deposition. I just think 4 5 that's --MR. RUKAVINA: Well, these are 6 7 documents Highland produced. I'm not aware of any rule I have to give you advance 8 documents when I know for the record that 9 10 other than the exhibits that you sent to us last week, most of the exhibits you used 11 12 today you did not provide to me prior to 13 this deposition. 14 MR. MORRIS: No, but the documents 15 were produced by me in -- in litigation, 16 right? 17 MR. RUKAVINA: I'm going to use primarily, John, the documents that you 18 produced to me today, but you may. 19 20 MR. MORRIS: Primarily. I've got --21 I've got my objection. You have got your 22 response. Proceed. 23 Mr. Waterhouse, again, I represent Q. 24 the advisors, HCMFA and NexPoint Advisors. 25 Do you understand that?

Casse 211-0330055-550 j Door 1868-43 FFiled 101/295/222 Entered 101/295/222 11/8 21/8 343 Praye 240/8 off 3399

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 268
2	A. Yes.	
3	Q. You and I have never met or talked	
4	before today, have we?	
5	A. No, I have I have heard your	
6	voice on calls before.	
7	Q. Okay.	
8	MR. RUKAVINA: Madam Court Reporter,	
9	I will use a few exhibits today. My	
10	associate, Mr. Nguyen, will find some way	
11	to get them to you. I don't know how to do	
12	that, but it looks like you guys do.	
13	I am going to use numbers as well.	
14	But to differentiate them from Mr. Morris	
15	we're going to mark mine with the prefix A	
16	for advisors.	
17	Do you understand?	
18	COURT REPORTER: Yes.	
19	MR. RUKAVINA: Okay. Perfect.	
20	Q. Okay. So, Mr. Waterhouse, let's	
21	start with those two HCMFA notes that you were	
22	asked about, one for 5 million and one for	
23	2.4 million.	
24	Do you recall those notes?	
25	A. Yes.	

Page 269 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 0. Were you ever the CFO of HCMFA? 3 I don't recall. Α. So to the best of your recollection, 4 0. you were still an officer of HCMFA in 2019, 5 б just that your title was treasurer? 7 MR. MORRIS: Object to the form of the question. There is no leading here. 8 9 He works for your client. 10 MS. DANDENEAU: That is not -- that 11 is not true. 12 MR. MORRIS: He's the treasurer --13 he is the treasurer of your client. I 14 don't -- I'm going to object every time you 15 try to lead, so... 16 MR. RUKAVINA: Totally fine to 17 object. 18 MR. MORRIS: Okay. 19 Please answer my question, 0. 20 Mr. Waterhouse. 21 I'm sorry, could you repeat? There Α. 22 was... Yes. You were -- you testified 23 Ο. earlier that in 2019 you were an officer of 24 HCMFA; correct? 25

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 270
2	A. Yes, I testified that I was the	
3	treasurer and I didn't know if that incumbency	
4	certificate, you know, was one that appointed	
5	me as a treasurer, but yes.	
6	Q. I'm just trying to confirm that	
7	sitting here today, to the best of your	
8	recollection, at that time you were your	
9	title was treasurer. It was not chief	
10	financial officer.	
11	A. I don't recall that being my title.	
12	Q. Okay. And in May of 2019, however,	
13	I think you testified you were the chief	
14	financial officer of the debtor; correct?	
15	MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	
16	of the question.	
17	A. Yes, I was yes.	
18	Q. Okay. As such, in May of 2019, did	
19	you have the authority, to your understanding,	
20	to unilaterally loan \$5 million or \$2.4 million	
21	to anyone on behalf of the debtor?	
22	MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	
23	of the question.	
24	A. Sorry, can you repeat that?	
25	Q. Yes. So in your capacity as the	

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 271
2	chief financial officer of the debtor, Highland	
3	Capital Management, L.P., in May of 2019, did	
4	you believe that you unilaterally, just Frank	
5	Waterhouse, had the authority to loan on behalf	
6	of the debtor to anyone \$5 million and	
7	\$2.4 million?	
8	MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	
9	of the question.	
10	A. No.	
11	Q. Is it because loans of that amount	
12	would have had to be approved by someone else?	
13	A. Yes.	
14	Q. Who in '20 in May of 2019, if	
15	Highland wanted to loan 5 million or	
16	\$2.4 million to someone, what would have been	
17	the internal approval procedure?	
18	MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	
19	of the question.	
20	A. If if we had loans of that nature	
21	that needed to be made due to their size, we	
22	would have gotten approval from the the	
23	president of Highland.	
24	Q. And who that was individual?	
25	A. It was James Dondero.	

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 272
2	Q. Okay. Now, I'm going to ask you a	
3	similar question but for a different entity.	
4	In May of 2019, as the treasurer of	
5	HCMFA, did you believe that you unilaterally	
6	had the ability to cause HCMFA to become the	
7	borrower of a \$5 million loan and a	
8	\$2.4 million loan?	
9	MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	
10	of the question.	
11	A. No.	
12	Q. What would what would the	
13	approval have taken place strike that.	
14	What would the approval process have	
15	been like in May of 2019 at HCMFA for HCMFA to	
16	take out a \$7.4 million loan?	
17	MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	
18	of the question.	
19	A. The process would have been similar	
20	to what we just discussed on for Highland to	
21	make a loan to others. So, again, you know,	
22	we we would have either myself or someone	
23	on the team would have discussed this with	
24	the the president and owner of of HCMFA.	
25	Q. And who was that individual?	

		Derie 072
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 273
2	A. That was James Jim Dondero.	
3	Q. So do I understand that in May of	
4	2019, on behalf of both the lender, Highland,	
5	and the borrower, HCMFA, Mr. Dondero would have	
6	had to approve \$7.4 million in loans?	
7	MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	
8	of the question.	
9	A. Yes.	
10	Q. You mentioned when Mr. Morris was	
11	asking you the NAV error, N-A-V error, with	
12	respect to TerreStar, without writing us a	
13	novel, unless you feel like you have to, can	
14	you summarize what that NAV error was? What	
15	happened?	
16	A. There was a in the Highland	
17	Global Allocation Fund, it owned at the time an	
18	equity interest in a company called TerreStar.	
19	And TerreStar is at the time was a private	
20	company, and it may still be today. Again, I'm	
21	putting myself back then as a private company.	
22	We had sorry, I don't mean we	
23	the fund and the advisor used Houlihan Lokey	
24	to to value that investment. And during	
25	that time there was some trades that were	

1 WATERHOUSE -10-19-21executed at market levels that were much lower 2 than the Houlihan Lokey model. 3 And based on information and 4 5 discussions with the portfolio managers and, б you know, principals that were very familiar with TerreStar, it was determined that those 7 trades were non-orderly and they were not 8 considered in the valuation as consulted with 9 10 Houlihan Lokey and PricewaterhouseCoopers at 11 the time. 12 Subsequent to a -- I can't remember 13 the exact circumstances of why the SEC got 14 involved. I think it was due to this -- this 15 investment became a material position in the It triggered an SEC, kind of, inquiry. 16 fund. And as part of that inquiry, they questioned 17 the valuation methodology. "They" meaning the 18 SEC. 19 And at the culmination of that 20 process -- this is all summarized -- the value 21 22 that was -- that ultimately had to be used in 23 the fund's NAV was different than -- materially 24 different than what the original valuation at 25 Houlihan Lokey provided.

_		Page 275
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	
2	And given that there was this fund	
3	was, as we discussed I don't know if we	
4	discussed it, but it was an open-ended fund	
5	that was going that was converting to a	
6	close-end fund.	
7	Due to the fact that it was an	
8	open-ended fund, you had to recalculate NAV and	
9	see what the impact was on people on	
10	investors coming in and out of the fund and if	
11	there is a detrimental impact and to calculate	
12	what that what that impact was and if there	
13	was any amounts owed to the fund pursuant to	
14	the error.	
15	Q. Were you personally involved	
16	internally at either Highland or HCMFA with	
17	these investigations and discussions with the	
18	SEC?	
19	A. I was.	
20	Q. Which other key people or senior	
21	people at Highland were involved, to your	
22	recollection?	
23	A. Myself, Thomas Surgent, David Klos,	
24	Lauren Thedford, Jason Post.	
25	Q. Mr. Dondero, was he	

r		
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 276
2	A. I believe Cliff Stoops. I'm trying	
3	to think. And maybe that is that is that	
4	is that is all kind I can recall at the	
5	moment.	
6	Q. Do you recall whether it was	
7	determined that the fund suffered losses as a	
8	result of this error?	
9	A. The the fund the the	
10	because the open-ended nature of the fund,	
11	there were losses that were attributable to	
12	investors. Meaning they they would have	
13	redeemed and got a less money or or they	
14	subscribed in and maybe because they didn't get	
15	enough shares and then they later sold and then	
16	they were harmed in that fashion.	
17	And there is there is there	
18	were very there were very detailed	
19	calculations and, you know, all these different	
20	scenarios that we had to I'm sorry, I keep	
21	saying "we" that the individuals involved	
22	had to calculate and quantify.	
23	Q. Well, do you recall whether HCMFA	
24	admitted certain fault and liability for this	
25	error?	

		Page 277
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	
2	A. I don't recall specifically.	
3	Q. Do you recall whether HCMFA caused	
4	any funds to be paid to the investors and the	
5	fund the subject of the NAV error?	
6	A. Yes.	
7	Q. Do you recall the approximate amount	
8	of funds, moneys paid to the investors and the	
9	fund?	
10	A. It was it was approximately	
11	\$7 million.	
12	Q. If I was to suggest 7.8 million,	
13	would that ring more true or are you sticking	
14	with your original answer?	
15	A. It was it was approximately 7	
16	7 to \$8 million. Again, I don't remember the	
17	exact number, but it was in that ballpark.	
18	Q. So regardless of whether HCMFA	
19	accepted fault or liability, it caused some	
20	\$7 million or more to be paid out to affected	
21	investors in the fund?	
22	MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	
23	of the question.	
24	A. And I want to make sure I'm	
25	understanding your question because there is a	

Casse 211-0330055-sqj Dooc 1848-43 FFiled 100/205/222 Entered 100/205/222 11/8 228 363 Prayee 24766 off 35979

Page 278 1 WATERHOUSE -10-19-212 lot of different entities that are going on to my head. 3 4 I think what you are saying is based on this error, shareholders were harmed by this 5 approximately \$7.8 million -- by approximately б 7 \$7.8 million. Is that what you are asking? Yes, sir. 8 Ο. 9 Yes, that was -- again, I don't have Α. 10 the exact numbers. If I take -- it was -- it was in that ballpark, and there is a detail 11 calculation and write-up that could, that --12 13 that exists someplace. Now, at that time, at the time that 14 Ο. 15 the NAV error occurred, was there a contract in 16 place between HCMFA and the debtor pursuant to which the debtor was providing services to 17 18 HCMFA? 19 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 20 of the question. 21 Yes. Α. 22 Was that contract generally called a 0. shared services agreement? 23 24 It was generally called that, but Α. there were -- there were -- I mean, it -- it --25

Page 279 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 it depends on who you talk to, but yes, generally, there were -- there are multiple 3 4 agreements. 5 0. Pursuant to one or more of those б agreements, was the debtor providing certain 7 services to HCMFA? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 8 9 of the question. 10 Α. Yes. 11 And can you at a very high level Q. 12 summarize in 2018 and 2019 what those services 13 were? 14 Α. Yes, there was a -- yes. 15 Okay. Please -- please go -- go Q. 16 through a short summary. 17 There was a -- a cost reimbursement Α. 18 agreement between Highland Capital Management 19 Fund Advisors and Highland Capital Management, 20 L.P. That agreement was for what we referred 21 to as front office services, so investment 22 management, things of that nature. 23 There was I think what most people 24 refer to as the shared services agreement that 25 was -- that agreement was between Highland

Casse 211-0330055-sqjj Dooc 1848-43 FFiled 100/2057222 Entered 100/2057222 1178 228 363 Prage 2808 off 33979

Page 280 1 WATERHOUSE -10-19-212 Capital Management Fund Advisors and Highland Capital Management for back office services. 3 And can you summarize what you mean 4 Ο. by back office services? 5 6 Α. Those services were for accounting, 7 finance, tax, valuation, HR, IT, you know, legal compliance, things of -- things of those 8 9 nature -- or things of that nature, excuse me. 10 So in the spring of 2019, do you Ο. recall whether HCMFA took the position that it 11 was actually Highland that caused the NAV error 12 13 to occur pursuant to the valuation services 14 that Highland was providing? 15 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 16 of the question. 17 I do not recall. Α. Did you ever have any discussions 18 Q. 19 with anyone, Jim Dondero or anyone in the first 20 half of 2019 as to whether Highland, the debtor, that is, had any liability to HCMFA 21 22 related to the NAV error? 23 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 24 of the question. 25 I do not recall. Α.

Casse 211-0330055-550 j Door 1868-43 FFiled 101/295/222 Entered 101/295/222 11/8 21/8 343 Prage 2819 of 3399

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21
2	Q. And then you mentioned that the fund
3	was being closed and some compensation related
4	to that. Can you can you elaborate? What
5	were you referring to?
6	A. Right. So the advisor, pursuant to
7	board approval, put a proposal in front of the
8	shareholders of the Highland Global Allocation
9	Fund to convert it from an open-ended fund to a
10	closed-end fund.
11	So an open-ended fund, when
12	shareholders subscribe to the fund or redeem
13	into the fund, they do it at NAV.
14	When it is when you have a
15	closed-end fund, closed-end funds are are
16	publicly-traded, like on the New York Stock
17	Exchange, exchanges like that, and and
18	shareholders or investors, they're not
19	they're they're not subscribing and
20	redeeming with the fund. They are like shares
21	of Apple.
22	Those shares of the Highland Global
23	Allocation Fund trade on an exchange, and that
24	is how you, you know, that is how, you know,
25	you become an equity owner in the fund or you

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 282
2	sell your shares and you are no longer an	
3	equity owner.	
4	As part of that proposal, the	
5	advisor told shareholders if you if you vote	
6	for this proposal to to convert it from an	
7	open-ended fund to a closed-end fund, we will	
8	pay you some amounts of money. I forgot a	
9	certain number of points. I think it was	
10	like it was like two to three points or	
11	something something like that.	
12	Q. Okay. You mentioned when Mr. Morris	
13	was asking you, going back to those two	
14	promissory notes, you will recall the 5 million	
15	and 2.4 million, you mentioned something to the	
16	effect that Mr. Dondero told told you to pay	
17	some moneys out of Highland. Do you remember	
18	that discussion with Mr. Morris?	
19	A. I do.	
20	Q. So, to the best of your	
21	recollection, did you have a discussion with	
22	Mr. Dondero about making some payments in May	
23	of 2019 out of Highland?	
24	A. I recall, as I testified earlier,	
25	that I had a conversation with Mr. Dondero	
1		

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 2
2	for for these amounts attributable to it	
3	was either the error you know, the error,	
4	and in that conversation he said, go get the	
5	money from Highland. I believe that is what I	
6	testified earlier, and that that is my	
7	recollection.	
8	Q. Do you recall if that was an	
9	in-person meeting or some other mode for the	
10	meeting?	
	A. I I I recall that being	
12	in-person.	
13	Q. Do you recall if anyone else was	
14	present, or was it just you and Mr. Dondero?	
15	A. I recall just he and I.	
16	Q. And the moneys that he told you to	
17	find from or get from Highland, was that in	
18	the amount of \$5 million and \$2.4 million?	
19	MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	
20	of the question.	
21	A. I believe so, but I would have to go	
22	back and look and see when those moneys were	
23	actually paid into the into the fund and,	
24	you know, when those transfers were done. If	
25	they were all done around that same time, then	

283

1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 yes, I would say it was -- it was all related 2 3 to that. Did Mr. Dondero tell you that those 4 0. 5 funds would be a loan from Highland to HCMFA? I don't recall. 6 Α. 7 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. 8 9 Now, and forgive me, I'm probably Q. 10 the only non-American born here, but I speak reasonably well in English. I don't recall, 11 does that mean you don't remember or does that 12 13 mean it didn't happen? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 14 15 of the question. It -- it means I don't -- I don't 16 Α. 17 remember. 18 Did Mr. Dondero tell you to have 0. 19 those two promissory notes prepared? 20 Α. I don't recall. 21 When you -- again, when you say, I Ο. 22 don't recall today, that means that sitting here today, you just don't remember one way or 23 24 the other. Is that accurate? 25 Α. Yes.

Casse 211-0330055-sqj Dooc 1848-43 FFiled 100/205/222 Entered 100/205/222 11/8 228 363 Praye 2823 off 33979

Page 285 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 0. Is it possible that you, having 3 heard what Mr. Dondero said and seeing funds 4 being transferred, assumed that that would be a loan without him actually telling you that 5 would be a loan? 6 7 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. 8 9 Sorry, I want to make sure -- did I Α. 10 ask the amounts that were transferred that I -that -- that I assumed that that was a loan? 11 12 Well, let me -- let me take -- let Q. 13 me try again. 14 So you have established already that 15 there were quite a number of promissory notes back and forth -- I'm sorry, quite a number of 16 promissory notes with affiliated companies and 17 individuals owing Highland money; right? 18 19 Α. Yes. 20 And you have established that there Q. were many transactions and transfers going back 21 22 and forth over the years; right? Objection to form. 23 MS. DANDENEAU: 24 In -- yes, in my capacity as CFO and Α. 25 my employment, yes, that is -- yes.

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 286
2	Q. And that's part of the reason why	
3	you just can't remember some of the details	
4	today because this this happened years ago,	
5	and there were a number of transactions. Is	
6	that accurate?	
7	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to the	
8	form.	
9	MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	
10	of the question.	
11	A. I mean, I deal with thousands of	
12	of of of transactions, you know, whether	
13	it has the processing of transactions, you	
14	know, if it has got, you know, more more	
15	zeros, you know, behind it than others.	
16	When you look at thousands of	
17	transactions over the years for funds and	
18	advisors and and, you know, financial	
19	statements, I mean, it is it is very hard	
20	going back in in in my you know,	
21	14-ish year career at at Highland to	
22	remember a lot of those details, especially	
23	when I don't have any records or books or	
24	anything like that, and and going back many	
25	years.	
1		

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page
2	Q. And that is fine. That that	
3	that is why I asked the question.	
4	Is it possible in May of 2019 when	
5	Mr. Dondero told you to transfer the funds from	
б	Highland, you just assumed on your own that	
7	those would be loans without him actually	
8	telling you that those would be loans?	
9	MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	
10	of the question.	
11	A. I don't know.	
12	Q. I'm sorry, you	
13	A. I said I don't know.	
14	Q. Okay. Well, as the as the CFO	
15	for Highland, if you saw \$7.4 million going	
16	out, you would feel some responsibility to	
17	account for that, wouldn't you?	
18	MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	
19	of the question.	
20	A. Yes.	
21	Q. Is it fair to say that those would	
22	be in the range large enough to rise up to your	
23	level?	
24	MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	
25	of the question.	

287

Page 288 1 WATERHOUSE -10-19-212 If -- I don't know if I understand Α. your question. Those amounts would arise to my 3 level where I would be involved or... 4 You would want to know what a 5 0. б transfer for that amount, \$7.4 million, was all 7 about, as the CFO of Highland, wouldn't you? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 8 9 of the question. 10 Yes, I make it -- I mean, I -- I Α. review all sorts of payments, I mean, even 11 smaller dollar payments on a periodic basis, 12 13 you know, to -- to -- to understand and to make 14 sure that we are paying things in a -- you 15 know, in -- in -- in an informed way. And, you 16 know -- and we're -- and we're paying things pursuant to vendor contracts and things like 17 18 that. So as part of that, is it possible 19 0. 20 that seeing \$7.4 million go out you would have promissory notes made in order to keep a paper 21 22 trail, assuming that those were loans, when

23 perhaps they were never intended to be loans by

24 Mr. Dondero?

25

MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form

Casse 211-0330055-550 j Door 1868-43 FFiled 101/295/222 Entered 101/295/222 11/8 21/8 343 Prage 2497 of 33979

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 289
2	of the question.	
3	A. I don't know. As I testified	
4	earlier, I had conversations with Mr. Dondero	
5	about about the the the moneys that	
6	were needed for the NAV error. And I recall	
7	him saying go get it from Highland or get it	
8	from Highland.	
9	Q. Well, why did you sign those	
10	promissory notes and why didn't you have him	
11	sign them?	
12	MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	
13	of the question.	
14	A. I don't know. I don't know.	
15	Q. You mentioned earlier that you	
16	typically don't sign promissory notes. Am I	
17	remembering your testimony correctly?	
18	I mean, promissory notes on behalf	
19	of the entities. Not yourself, obviously.	
20	A. Yes, that is what I said earlier.	
21	Q. Do you recall any other promissory	
22	notes in the million-plus range that you had	
23	ever signed before on behalf of any entity?	
24	A. There is there has been a lot of	
25	transactions over the years. I don't I	

Casse 211-0330055-sqij Dooc 1848-43 FFiled 100/2055/222 Entered 100/2055/222 1178 2128 343 Prayee 2428 off 35979

Page 290 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 don't -- I don't recall generally. I don't --I don't recall. 3 So -- but to the best of your 4 0. 5 recollection, it was on your initiative, б following your discussion with Mr. Dondero, 7 that you had someone draft those two promissory notes; is that correct? 8 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 9 10 of the question. Yes, we would have -- the team, as I 11 Α. stated earlier, we don't draft promissory 12 13 notes. "The team" meaning the accounting and finance team. 14 15 So the team would have worked with the legal group at Highland to draft any notes. 16 17 Do you believe or do you have any 0. recollection as to whether you would have done 18 19 that pursuant to an email or telephone call or 20 in-person meeting? 21 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 22 of the question. 23 Are you asking if I would have -- if Α. 24 those notes would have been drafted pursuant to 25 an email or phone call?

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 291
2	Q. Strike that.	
3	Do you recall whether you sent an	
4	email to anyone asking them to draft those two	
5	promissory notes?	
6	A. I don't recall because, again,	
7	once I would have instructed likely	
8	instructed the team to to work with the	
9	legal group to draft these documents.	
10	I I I yeah, I didn't I	
11	mean, that is more an operational-type	
12	procedure. So, you know, a manager or a	
13	controller or working with legal. You know,	
14	they they can certainly handle that task to	
15	get that you know, to request that from	
16	legal.	
17	Q. And who on your team do you think	
18	you would have asked to do that?	
19	MR. MORRIS: Objection	
20	Q. Who would have been the logical	
21	person or people, if you don't remember their	
22	name today?	
23	MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	
24	of the question.	
25	A. It it there is only two	

Casse 211-0330055-sqj Dooc 1848-43 Frided 100/205/222 Enterred 100/205/222 11/8 228 343 Fragge 24320 off 33979

Page 292 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 managers of the group. That would have been 3 Dave Klos or Kristin Hendrix. Dave was the -- one of his duties 4 5 was managing the valuation team, and so he was б intimately involved with this process. So, you 7 know... 8 Ο. Okay. 9 I don't recall specifically but, I Α. 10 mean, my general -- you know, I -- I -- I likely would have talked to Dave first about it 11 versus someone like Kristin who hadn't been 12 13 intimately involved. 14 And -- and do you have a view as to Ο. 15 whether it is most likely that you would have done that by email or in-person or how would 16 you believe you would have communicated that to 17 Mr. Klos? 18 19 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 20 of the question. 21 I likely would have done that in Α. 22 person. Again, if things of this nature 23 that -- again, you have to put ourselves back 24 to, we have been working on this very stressful 25 project for many, many months. And once the

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21
2	go-ahead was to you know, we see the light
3	at the end of the tunnel with wrapping this up
4	and making shareholders whole sorry to say
5	"we" you know, the so the folks that are
6	involved in it.
7	I like to talk to people
8	face-to-face and and and go to and go
9	to their desk, because that shows if I'm going
10	to their desk that that is something that I
11	want done, you know.
12	Q. And do you remember, Mr. Waterhouse,
13	getting those two promissory notes in paper
14	format or by email before they were executed?
15	MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form
16	of the question.
17	A. I don't recall.
18	Q. For whatever was the ordinary course
19	back then in May 2019, would you expect to have
20	received them only on paper or would you have
21	expected to have received them in Word document
22	or PDF document by email?
23	MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form
24	of the question.
25	A. I I didn't sign I signed very

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 294
2	few documents via email. I can't say that it	
3	never happened, but people either stopped by my	
4	office and physically walked in documents for	
5	signature that we discussed face-to-face.	
6	Or documents were if if	
7	if if let's say I wasn't there or I	
8	wasn't available, documents were dropped off.	
9	I had I had some in- and outboxes in front	
10	of my my office there at the Crescent.	
11	Documents would be dropped off for	
12	signature. There would be a cover sheet that	
13	would be have been applied to those	
14	documents detailing, you know, who dropped it	
15	off, the purpose, why, what time.	
16	And then, you know, as I stated, I	
17	don't draft documents and I always go to the	
18	legal group and the compliance group to make	
19	sure that they're in the loop. And there is	
20	a a box or section that says, Has legal	
21	reviewed or approved, or something to that	
22	nature.	
23	Again, I don't I don't have	
24	access to that cover sheet anymore, but it	
25	was it was something to that effect.	
1		

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 295
2	And my assistant, you know, if she	
3	was there, she would review that you know,	
4	whatever was being dropped off. And if that	
5	has legal, you know, reviewed or reviewed or	
6	approved it, if that wasn't if that stuff	
7	hadn't been done, it was like she would just	
8	tell them like, go go go to the legal	
9	group, because	
10	Q. Let me let me pause	
11	MS. DANDENEAU: Let him finish.	
12	MR. MORRIS: Thank you. Go ahead.	
13	A. I take go to the legal group	
14	because that that was my you know, I	
15	didn't I didn't review anything that that	
16	they weren't you know, or there wasn't some	
17	representation made to me that they had	
18	reviewed, approved in some capacity.	
19	Again, my my my goal, as CFO,	
20	is to provide transparency and make sure that	
21	groups like compliance and other things and	
22	the other group in legal are are in you	
23	know, their they're made aware of	
24	transactions of you know, that are crossing	
25	my desk.	

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 296
2	Because I'm not in every	
3	conversation. They're not in every	
4	conversation meaning legal compliance and	
5	I just want to make sure that that everyone	
6	is in sync to, you know, to to the extent	
7	possible.	
8	Q. So if we summarize, you don't	
9	specifically remember signing these two notes,	
10	but most likely it would have been that they	
11	would have presented been presented to you	
12	physically on paper?	
13	MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	
14	of the question.	
15	A. They would they would have been	
16	presented physically on paper most likely or	
17	someone would have left it. But, I mean,	
18	again, I don't I don't recall.	
19	Q. I understand. Understand.	
20	When you signed when you signed	
21	documents, when you personally signed	
22	documents, did you typically use a ink pen or	
23	did you use a stamp?	
24	A. No, I I I use a an an	
25	ink pen.	

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 297
2	Q. Do you know was there a file at	
3	Highland kept anywhere with ink-signed	
4	originals of a promissory notes in general or	
5	these two promissory notes specifically?	
6	MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	
7	of the question.	
8	A. Sorry, I just want to make sure I	
9	understand your question. Are you saying is	
10	there a file somewhere that has ink-signed	
11	originals of these two promissory notes?	
12	Q. Yes.	
13	A. I would I would assume they're	
14	some place. I mean	
15	Q. Well, was there a was there a	
16	place where Highland generally kept originals	
17	of promissory notes owed to it?	
18	A. I wouldn't no.	
19	MR. RUKAVINA: Mr. Nguyen, would you	
20	please pull up my A7, alpha 7.	
21	Q. These are the two promissory notes,	
22	Mr. Waterhouse.	
23	(Exhibit A7 marked.)	
24	Q. And please Mr. Waterhouse, please	
25	command my associate to scroll down as you need	

Casse 211-0330055-550 j Door 1868-43 FFiled 101/295/222 Entered 101/295/222 11/8 21/8 343 Prage 2936 off 33939

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 298
2	to, but I want you to take a very close look at	
3	your two signatures here and tell me whether	
4	you believe, in fact, that you ink signed them	
5	or whether you	
6	MS. DANDENEAU: Mr. Rukavina,	
7	Mr. Waterhouse has the copies.	
8	MR. RUKAVINA: Perfect. Then you	
9	can take this down, Mr. Nguyen.	
10	A. These these these signatures	
11	are identical, now that I stare at them, and I	
12	mean, they are so close I mean, they're	
13	identical that, I mean, even with my chicken	
14	scratch signature, I don't know if I can you	
15	know, I do this 100 times, could I do that	
16	as as precisely as I see between the two	
17	notes.	
18	Q. Well, that is why I ask.	
19	Mr. Waterhouse, now that you have examined	
20	them, does it seem like it is more likely that	
21	you actually electronically signed these?	
22	MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	
23	of the question.	
24	A. Is I don't I don't recall	
25	specifically. As I said before, my assistant	

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21
2	did have a an electronic signature, and that
3	was used from time to time. It wasn't as
4	common practice back in 2019. It definitely
5	was more common practice when we had to work
6	from home and remotely for COVID because it
7	that made it almost impossible to, right,
8	provide wet signatures since we're all working
9	from home remotely.
10	Q. Well, going just for these two
11	promissory notes, Mr. Waterhouse, in light of
12	your inability to remember any details, are you
13	sure you actually signed either or both of
14	those notes?
15	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.
16	A. I don't recall specifically
17	signing actually physically signing these
18	notes. As I said before, I don't recall doing
19	that. This this looks like my signature,
20	but yet these two signatures are identical.
21	Q. So you don't recall physically
22	signing them, and I take it you don't recall
23	electronically signing them either?
24	A. I don't recall. You know, Highland
25	has all my emails. If that occurred, you know,

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 300
2	you know, I don't have any of these records is	
3	what I'm saying. I don't have any of those	
4	records.	
5	Q. That is why I'm asking you these	
6	questions in great detail because I don't have	
7	those emails. I'm trying to I'm hoping that	
8	you will give me some names or some details so	
9	I can go look for more emails, but again, you	
10	don't remember any any individual, other	
11	than Mr. Dondero that we've discussed, you	
12	don't remember any individual with whom you	
13	discussed these promissory notes prior to their	
14	execution?	
15	MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	
16	of the question.	
17	A. I don't recall discussing it with	
18	anybody else.	
19	Q. Okay.	
20	A. I mean, prior	
21	Q. I understand.	
22	A. You know, there was no one else	
23	there was no one else in that meeting that I	
24	recall with Mr. Dondero.	
25	Q. Now, when you established that by	

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 301
2	May of 2019	
3	A. And and from what I recall, and	
4	the reason why I was by myself is is, you	
5	know, I don't I don't want to speculate, I'm	
6	sorry.	
7	Q. Okay. We have established that by	
8	May of 2019, in your view, the liabilities of	
9	HCMFA exceeded its assets; correct?	
10	A. Yeah. I mean, again, I don't have	
11	financial statements in front of me, but I	
12	think, if I recall, we'd have to go through the	
13	testimony with Mr. Morris, I believe that was	
14	the case.	
15	Q. In fact, you will recall that in	
16	April of 2019, Mr. Dondero signed a document	
17	that extended the demand feature of two prior	
18	notes to May 31, 2019. Do you recall that?	
19	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: I think you	
20	might maybe have the court reporter read	
21	that back. You might have misspoke.	
22	(Record read.)	
23	MR. RUKAVINA: And I did misspeak.	
24	Q. I meant to say to May 31, 2021. Do	
25	you recall that, sir?	
1		

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page
2	MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	
3	of the question.	
4	A. Yes.	
5	MR. RUKAVINA: And, Mr. Nguyen, just	
6	so that the record is clear, will you please	
7	pull up my Exhibit Alpha 10, A10.	
8	(Exhibit Al0 marked.)	
9	Q. You don't have this one in front of	
10	you, Mr. Waterhouse? This is the one that	
11	Mr. Morris used earlier. Do you see that	
12	document, sir?	
13	A. Yes, I do.	
14	Q. And this is what you were testifying	
15	about before when Mr. Morris was asking you.	
16	Do you remember that?	
17	A. Yes.	
18	Q. So here is my question for you,	
19	Mr. Waterhouse: As the chief financial officer	
20	of Highland, was it prudent for Highland less	
21	than three weeks later to be lending	
22	\$7.2 million to an insolvent entity that	
23	couldn't even then pay its debts back to	
24	Highland?	
25	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	

302

1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 3 of the question. 4 Sorry, I just want to make sure --Α. are you asking me, did you say, was it prudent 5 for Highland to loan \$7.4 million to HCMFA a б 7 few weeks after this document was executed? Yes, and at a time when HCMFA's 8 0. liabilities exceeded its assets. 9 10 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 11 of the question. 12 I don't -- it is odd. I don't know. Α. 13 MR. RUKAVINA: You can take this 14 exhibit down, Mr. Nguyen. 15 Ο. Do you recall asking anyone, 16 Mr. Dondero or -- or anyone outside as to whether Highland ought to be lending 17 \$7.4 million to HCMF regarding HCMF's 18 creditworthiness? 19 20 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 21 of the question. 22 I don't recall. Α. 23 Did you receive personally any of Q. 24 that \$7.4 million? 25 Α. No.

Page 304 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 0. Did you even --MR. MORRIS: I didn't hear that 3 4 question, sir. MR. RUKAVINA: The one that he 5 6 answered, John, or my new one? 7 MR. MORRIS: No, no, your question, 8 Davor. MR. RUKAVINA: I had asked him 9 10 whether he received any of the 11 \$7.4 million. He said no. 12 MR. MORRIS: Yeah. I thought there was a question after that. Maybe I was 13 mistaken. I apologize. 14 15 MR. RUKAVINA: I had started a new question, so here, let me start the new 16 17 question again. Did you personally receive any 18 0. direct benefit from those two notes for 19 \$7.4 million? 20 21 Α. No. 22 Did you ever personally consider 0. yourself obligated to repay either or both of 23 24 those notes? 25 Α. No.

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21
2	MR. RUKAVINA: Pull up those notes
3	again, Mr. Nguyen.
4	Q. You can have them in front of you,
5	Exhibit 7, Mr. Waterhouse, whatever is easier
6	for you. If you go to your signature page, my
7	question to you is, why did you not include
8	your title as treasurer by your name, Frank
9	Waterhouse?
10	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.
11	A. I didn't I didn't draft this
12	document.
13	Q. So you relied on whoever drafted it
14	to draft it correctly?
15	A. Yes.
16	Q. Okay. But back then when you signed
17	this, did it ever cross your mind that you were
18	the maker on these notes?
19	A. No.
20	Q. Back then when you signed this
21	document, did it ever cross your mind that you
22	could be a co-obligor on these notes?
23	A. No. I didn't receive \$7.4 million,
24	I mean
25	Q. But can you say that HCMFA received

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 306
2	\$7.4 million?	
3	A. I would have to go back and look and	
4	check in, you know, the the financial	
5	records and the bank statements.	
6	MR. RUKAVINA: You can take this	
7	exhibit down, Mr. Nguyen.	
8	Q. Mr. Waterhouse, I'm not trying to be	
9	a smart-ass, but if the law says that because	
10	of the way that you signed this promissory	
11	note, if that is what the law says, that that	
12	made you personally personally liable, then	
13	you would agree with me that that was never	
14	your intent?	
15	MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	
16	of the question.	
17	A. That was never I wouldn't sign a	
18	note and not get consideration in return.	
19	Q. So putting all other issues aside,	
20	if the law if the law says that you were	
21	liable for those notes because of how you	
22	signed them, then would you agree with me that	
23	these notes are a mistake?	
24	MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	
25	of the question.	

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 307
2	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to the	
3	form.	
4	A. Yes.	
5	Q. So do you agree with me that it's	
6	odd I think that is the word you used	
7	that Highland would be loaning \$7.4 million a	
8	few weeks after that extension to an entity	
9	whose liabilities exceeded its assets, and you	
10	would agree with me that it was never your	
11	intention to be in any way liable for these two	
12	promissory notes; correct?	
13	MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	
14	of the question.	
15	A. Sorry, you you asked a lot there.	
16	MR. RUKAVINA: I will strike it and	
17	I will move on.	
18	Let's go to pull up Exhibit 9,	
19	please Mr. Nguyen Alpha 9, I'm sorry, Alpha	
20	9, A9.	
21	(Exhibit A9 marked.)	
22	Q. Sir, take a moment to look at this,	
23	but this is an email, and you will see attached	
24	July 31, 2020 affiliate notes.	
25	Do you see that attachment?	

		Page 308
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	rage 500
2	A. Yes.	
3	Q. Okay. And do you see an entry for	
4	Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors?	
5	MR. MORRIS: I'm sorry, hold on.	
6	Where are you looking?	
7	MR. RUKAVINA: Last page, John.	
8	MR. MORRIS: Is it the page on the	
9	screen?	
10	MR. RUKAVINA: Oh, I'm sorry.	
11	Mr. Nguyen just did it. Yes, the last page	
12	there.	
13	MR. MORRIS: Thank you.	
14	Q. Do you see an entry there for HCMFA?	
15	A. Yes.	
16	Q. About \$10.5 million.	
17	Do you see that?	
18	A. I do.	
19	Q. And, now, do you have any	
20	explanation for why if HCMFA owed \$7.4 million,	
21	plus the 5.3 million that had been extended,	
22	why that amount was only 10.5 million?	
23	A. I don't know. Okay.	
24	MR. RUKAVINA: Close this one and	
25	pull up, Mr. Nguyen, the schedules,	

Page 309 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 schedule of assets. What exhibit is this 3 of ours, Mr. Nquyen? This is All. 4 MR. NGUYEN: 5 MR. RUKAVINA: Oh, this will be All. (Exhibit All marked.) 6 7 You don't have this in front of you, Ο. Mr. Waterhouse? 8 9 Α. Okay. 10 This is what Mr. Morris used 0. earlier. Do you remember looking at this with 11 12 Mr. Morris? 13 Α. Yes. 14 MR. RUKAVINA: You might have to zoom in a little. Okay. 15 16 0. Now, I see Affiliate Note A, B, and 17 С. 18 Do you have any recollection as to why the names of the affiliates are omitted? 19 20 Α. I don't. I testified earlier that, you know, the team worked with DSI in providing 21 22 these. I -- I don't -- I don't know. 23 Can we deduce -- is it logical to Ο. 24 deduce that Affiliate Note A would be NexPoint 25 given its size of \$24.5 million?

Page 310 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 3 of the question. I mean, it -- it is a -- it is -- it 4 Α. 5 is approximate. 6 Ο. Well, can we -- can we deduce -- or, 7 I'm sorry, strike that. Can you, sitting here today, 8 9 logically conclude that Affiliate Note B or C 10 represents HCMFA? 11 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 12 of the question. 13 Α. I don't know. I don't know. Ι 14 can't. Okay. As of the petition date, we 15 Ο. have established that HCMFA, under promissory 16 notes, owed \$7.4 million and \$5.3 million to 17 18 the debtor; correct? 19 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 20 of the question. 21 Α. Yes. 22 Okay. And by my reckoning, that 0. would be somewhere approaching \$13 million. 23 24 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 25 of the question.

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 311
2	Q. It would be \$12.7 million. Is that	
3	generally correct?	
4	A. Sorry, the amounts were 7.4, 5.3.	
5	Q. Yes.	
6	A. Okay. Yeah, that that I can	
7	do that math, yes.	
8	Q. Do you have any explanation or any	
9	understanding of why there is no similar entry	
10	listed here on the schedule of assets filed	
11	with the bankruptcy court?	
12	MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	
13	of the question.	
14	A. I don't know. We have to look at	
15	the supporting schedules, like I talked about	
16	other presumably there is there is a	
17	build to the schedule that would provide the	
18	detail.	
19	Q. Well, that was going to be my next	
20	question. You anticipated it.	
21	MR. RUKAVINA: You can you can	
22	take this down, Mr. Nguyen.	
23	Q. Do you believe that whenever you and	
24	your team provided the underlying data to the	
25	financial advisor that the actual names of the	
1		

		D 210
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 312
2	affiliates for Affiliate Note A, B, and C would	
3	have been listed there?	
4	A. Are you asking we provided the names	
5	to the financial advisor? I don't I don't	
6	understand who the financial advisor is.	
7	Q. I'm sorry, DSI.	
8	Let me ask the question this way,	
9	Mr. Waterhouse.	
10	Whenever you provided information	
11	about the affiliate notes to DSI, do you	
12	believe that you would have included the actual	
13	names of the affiliates, you or your team, or	
14	that you would have done the Affiliate Note A,	
15	Note B, Note C?	
16	MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	
17	of the question.	
18	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to the	
19	form.	
20	A. We like I testified earlier, when	
21	we were we gave everything to to DSI. We	
22	were giving all of our records, all of our	
23	files, everything to DSI. We weren't redacting	
24	information or saying, hey, here is a note,	
25	here is Affiliate Note A or B.	
1		

		D 111
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 313
2	I mean, it was our job and our	
3	focus and I testified in court back in 2019;	
4	right was was to be transparent and, you	
5	know, get DSI up to speed on on the matters	
6	at Highland. So I can't see us redacting at	
7	that point.	
8	MR. RUKAVINA: Mr. Nguyen, will you	
9	please pull up Mr. Morris' Exhibit 36.	
10	Just the very first page, the very top	
11	email. You might zoom in a little bit.	
12	Q. Now, you recall being asked about	
13	this by Mr. Morris?	
14	A. Yes, I do.	
15	Q. And you wrote: The HCMFA note is a	
16	demand note.	
17	You wrote that; right?	
18	A. Yes.	
19	Q. And, in fact, weren't there by that	
20	point in time several notes?	
21	A. Yes, there were. Again, I don't	
22	I don't remember everything specifically. I	
23	mean	
24	Q. I understand. I understand.	
25	So this is an example where where	

Page 314 1 WATERHOUSE -10-19-212 you might have made a mistake by referring to a 3 singular instead of a plural; right? 4 Α. Yes. 5 Ο. Okay. And you -- you wrote -- a б couple of sentences later, you wrote: There was an agreement between HCMLP and HCMFA the 7 earliest they could demand is May 2021. 8 9 You wrote that; right? 10 Α. Yes. But I think you -- you agreed with 11 Q. Mr. Morris that that can't possibly apply to 12 13 the May 2019 notes, can it? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 14 15 of the question. That is not what he 16 testified to. 17 Let me ask -- let me ask a different Ο. question. 18 19 Sitting here today -- or if you can 20 answer me from your memory on October 6, 2020 -- did the April acknowledgment that 21 22 extended the maturity date apply to the 23 May 2019 notes also? 24 I don't recall specifically. Α. 25 Well, you recall that the notes that Q.

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 315
2	you signed were demand notes; right?	
3	A. Yes.	
4	Q. Do you find it logical, based on	
5	your experience, that had they intended to have	
6	a different or a set maturity date, you would	
7	have instructed that that set maturity date be	
8	included instead of a demand feature?	
9	MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	
10	of the question.	
11	A. Sorry, just want to make sure I	
12	understand. You are saying that that the	
13	\$5 million note, the \$2.4 million note, if	
14	those were supposed to be a term note, that I	
15	would have made sure that those were a term	
16	note?	
17	Q. I'm saying I'm saying,	
18	Mr. Waterhouse, that on May the 2nd and May the	
19	3rd, 2019, if you intended that those two	
20	promissory notes could not be called until May	
21	2021, would you have included such language in	
22	those two promissory notes?	
23	MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	
24	of the question.	
25	A. I guess I'm sorry, I don't recall	

Page 316 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 putting language in those May notes. I don't 3 remember what language you are referring to. 4 Well, let's read this again. 0. 5 There was an agreement between HCMLP б and HCMFA the earliest they could demand is May 7 2021. 8 Do you recall that agreement? 9 Yes, that was the agreement we Α. 10 looked at earlier; correct? 11 Okay. Yes. Q. 12 Do you -- do you understand now that 13 that agreement that we looked at earlier also applied to the May 2019 notes that you signed? 14 15 Α. I don't -- I don't know. 16 But as of October 6, 2020, you're 0. writing that there is one demand note and 17 you're categorizing that demand note as not 18 19 being demandable on May 2021; correct? 20 Α. Yes. 21 And you know now that you made at Q. 22 least one mistake in this email; correct? 23 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 24 of the question. 25 Α. Yes.

1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 MR. RUKAVINA: You can pull this 3 down, Mr. Nguyen. 4 So, Mr. Waterhouse, you don't 0. 5 remember Mr. Dondero telling you to make these 6 loans or not. HCMLP was loaning \$7.4 million 7 to someone that their assets were less than their liabilities. 8 9 We don't see on the July list of 10 notes, where there is \$12.7 million of notes, we don't see that on the bankruptcy schedules, 11 and we have this Exhibit 36 where you are 12 13 confused. 14 Are you prepared to tell me, sir, 15 today that you might have made a mistake in executing those two promissory notes? 16 17 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 18 of the question. I -- I don't know. 19 Α. 20 And if it turns out that you're Q. personally liable for those promissory notes, 21 22 it would certainly be a mistake, wouldn't it? 23 Objection to the MS. DANDENEAU: 24 form. 25 Join. MR. MORRIS:

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 318
2	A. Yes.	
3	Q. If Mr. Dondero testifies that he	
4	never told you to make these loans, would you	
5	disagree with his testimony?	
6	MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	
7	of the question.	
8	A. Like I testified earlier with my	
9	conversation with Mr. Dondero, all I recall is	
10	he said, get the money from Highland.	
11	Q. And if Mr. Dondero testifies that	
12	he, in consultation with other senior personnel	
13	at Highland, decided that Highland needed to	
14	pay HCMFA \$7.4 million as compensation for the	
15	NAV error and not a loan, would you have any	
16	reason to disagree with Mr. Dondero?	
17	MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	
18	of the question.	
19	A. If that was if that was his	
20	intent, yes, it would I would	
21	Q. Do you have any reason to disagree	
22	with him?	
23	MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	
24	of the question.	
25	A. If that was his intent, I don't	

		Page 319
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	
2	know. I don't know how I disagree with that.	
3	Q. And just to confirm, you don't	
4	remember ever asking Mr. Dondero whether you	
5	should have two promissory notes prepared?	
6	A. No.	
7	Q. And you don't remember discussing	
8	with Mr. Dondero what the terms of those two	
9	promissory notes should be?	
10	A. I don't recall I testified all I	
11	recall is he said, get the money from Highland.	
12	I don't the the terms of the note, I	
13	don't recall ever having a discussion around	
14	the terms of the note, but since I don't draft	
15	the notes, that there could have been a	
16	conversation with other people later.	
17	Q. Do you have any memory of whether	
18	after the notes were drafted, but before you	
19	signed them, that you communicated with	
20	Mr. Dondero in any way to just confirm or or	
21	get his blessing or ratification to signing	
22	those notes?	
23	MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	
24	of the question.	
25	A. I don't recall.	

Casse 211-0330055-550 j Door 1868-43 FFiled 101/295/222 Entered 101/295/222 11/8 21/8 3433 Praye 3438 off 33999

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 320
2	Q. Again, the only thing you remember,	
3	sitting here today, was Mr. Dondero said, get	
4	the money from Highland, and that is it, that	
5	is all you remember?	
6	MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	
7	of the question.	
8	A. I testified to that several times.	
9	This was over two years ago. A lot has	
10	happened. That is all I recall.	
11	Q. And help me here. I'm not very	
12	technologically astute. When you and I I	
13	recognize that you do it rarely, but when you	
14	sign a document electronically, do you believe	
15	that there is an electronic record of you	
16	having authorized or signed a document	
17	electronically?	
18	MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	
19	of the question.	
20	A. I I don't know the tech answer to	
21	that, but, you know, since I don't have I	
22	don't ever attach my signature block	
23	electronically, my assistant would have done	
24	that, and if that is done over email like we	
25	did several times you know, multiple,	

Casse 211-0330055-sqj Door 1848-43 FFiled 100/2055/222 Entered 100/2055/222 1178 2128 343 FPaque 34519 off 33939

Page 321 1 WATERHOUSE -10-19-212 multiple times over COVID, she would attach my 3 signature block and then email it out to whatever party. 4 5 0. What was your assistant's name in 6 May 2019? 7 It was Naomi Chisum. Α. Is she the only one? I'm sorry, was 8 0. 9 she your only assistant that would have maybe 10 facilitated logistically something like you just described? 11 12 You know, she was out on maternity Α. 13 leave at some point. I don't -- I don't recall 14 those dates where she was out for maternity 15 leave. There was -- there were folks backing 16 her up. I don't recall specifically who those -- who those, you know, administrative 17 assistants were, and I don't recall 18 19 specifically if she was out during this time on 20 maternity leave. 21 I do know that that she was out for 22 a period of time, or who knows, or she could 23 have been on vacation that day or, you know, I 24 don't know. 25 Switching gears now, the two Q.

Page 322 1 WATERHOUSE -10-19-212 complaints that have been filed that is against 3 HCMFA and NexPoint, did you see any drafts of 4 those complaints before they were filed? 5 Objection to the form MR. MORRIS: 6 of the question, and to the extent that you 7 had any communications with counsel or you were shown drafts of the complaints by 8 9 counsel while you were employed by 10 Highland, I direct you not to answer. I -- I reviewed documents yesterday 11 Α. with counsel here. I believe that is the first 12 13 time I have ever seen those. Okay. Did you ever discuss with 14 Ο. 15 Mr. Seery these two lawsuits before or after they were filed? 16 17 I don't recall. Α. Were you ever interviewed by legal 18 Q. 19 counsel, to your knowledge, about these 20 promissory notes before the complaints were Without going into what was said, were 21 filed? 22 you ever interviewed by legal counsel? 23 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 24 of the question. I don't recall. 25 Α.

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 323
2	Q. Obviously with COVID, it changed,	
3	but but before COVID, did you used to meet	
4	with Mr. Seery from time to time in-person?	
5	A. Yeah, I mean, so before COVID so	
6	we're talking kind of late March, early April,	
7	right, there was about I don't remember the	
8	specific date when the board for Highland was	
9	appointed. I believe it was around February of	
10	2020, so maybe there was a month-and-a-half,	
11	two-month window where we were meeting	
12	in-person or, you know, like we were actually	
13	in the office, excuse me, we were in the	
14	office.	
15	And, you know, when they were first	
16	appointed, the board members and Mr. Seery	
17	were were definitely down here more	
18	in-person.	
19	Q. Did you ever see Mr. Seery taking	
20	written notes of of his meetings with you or	
21	others?	
22	A. I don't recall.	
23	Q. Do you recall on any Zoom or video	
24	conference with Mr. Seery, seeing him take	
25	notes, written notes?	

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page
2	A. The Zoom calls we had, I don't	
3	recall having seen video or, you know, or if it	
4	was on Zoom, I just remember it being well,	
5	no, you know what, there were some you know,	
6	I take that back.	
7	So there were there were some	
8	times that I did remember seeing Mr. Seery	
9	on on some of the Zoom calls.	
10	Q. Well, let me	
11	A. I don't sorry, I'm thinking. I'm	
12	thinking I'm going back. I'm trying to	
13	process this.	
14	Q. I can make it much quicker,	
15	Mr. Waterhouse. I have heard I have heard	
16	that Mr. Seery is a copious note taker.	
17	Do you have any knowledge about	
18	that?	
19	A. No.	
20	Q. Okay. Switching gears yet again,	
21	and this will be last theme. Do you need a	
22	restroom break, or are you good to go for	
23	another half an hour?	
24	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: I need a	
25	restroom break.	
1		

324

Page 325 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 MR. RUKAVINA: Can we make it five 3 minutes? THE WITNESS: Five minutes would be 4 5 great. 6 VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the 7 record at 5:53 p.m. (Recess taken 5:53 p.m. to 5:59 p.m.) 8 VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the 9 10 record at 5:59 p.m. 11 Mr. Waterhouse, I had asked you Ο. 12 earlier about contracts between HCMFA and the 13 debtor, and now I'm going to talk about contracts between the debtor and NexPoint 14 15 Advisors. Okay? 16 Α. Okay. 17 Now, were there contracts similar to 0. the ones with HCMFA that NexPoint had in the 18 19 nature of employee reimbursement and shared services? 20 21 Yes, they -- NexPoint Advisors and Α. Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors had 22 cost reimbursement and shared services 23 24 agreements with Highland Capital Management, 25 L.P.

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 326
2	Q. And was that shared services	
3	agreement, to the best of your understanding,	
4	in place as of December 31, 2020?	
5	A. It was it was terminated at some	
6	point, and I remember the contracts had	
7	different termination dates, but I think the	
8	the date of termination was January 31st of	
9	2021, after the termination was put in.	
10	So yeah, it would be in place at the	
11	end of the year of December it would be in	
12	place at December 31st, 2020.	
13	Q. And pursuant to that agreement as of	
14	December 31st, 2020, was the debtor providing	
15	what you would describe as back office services	
16	to NexPoint?	
17	A. Yes.	
18	Q. Would those have included accounting	
19	services?	
20	A. Yes.	
21	Q. And as part of those accounting	
22	services, would the debtor have assisted	
23	NexPoint with paying its bills?	
24	MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	
25	of the question.	

Page 327 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 Α. Yes. 3 So let's break that up. You were a 0. treasurer of NexPoint as well in December of 4 5 2020? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 6 7 of the question. Α. Yes. 8 9 Okay. And in December of 2020, did Q. 10 NexPoint have its own bank accounts? 11 Α. Yes. 12 0. And did it use those bank accounts 13 to pay various of its obligations? 14 Α. Yes. 15 Q. Did employees of the debtor have the ability to cause transfers to be made from 16 17 those bank accounts on behalf of NexPoint? 18 Α. Yes. 19 And is that one of services that the 0. 20 debtor provided NexPoint, basically ensuring 21 that accounts payable and other obligations 22 would be paid? 23 Α. Yes. 24 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 25 of the question.

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21
2	Q. You answered yes?
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. And the payments, though, whose
5	funds would they be made from?
6	A. From the bank account of NexPoint
7	Advisors. If they were NexPoint advisor
8	obligations, it would be made from NexPoint
9	Advisors' bank account.
10	Q. So let's pull up Exhibit Alpha 1.
11	You should have that it is my Tab 1 or my
12	Exhibit 1.
13	(Exhibit A1 marked.)
14	Q. So this is a this is a series of
15	emails, Mr. Waterhouse. Let's look at the
16	first page here, November 25, 2020, between
17	Kristin Hendrix and yourself.
18	Do you see that, sir?
19	A. I do.
20	Q. And do you see where Ms. Hendrix
21	writes: NPA.
22	Do you know what NPA stood for?
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. And what does it stand for?
25	A. NexPoint Advisors.

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 329
2	Q. And was that how you-all internally	
3	at Highland refer to NexPoint Advisors, L.P.?	
4	A. I mean, yes, amongst other things.	
5	Q. And she writes at the bottom of her	
6	email: Okay to release?	
7	Do you see that?	
8	A. Yes, I do.	
9	Q. So what	
10	MR. MORRIS: Hold on one second.	
11	Okay. Go ahead.	
12	MR. RUKAVINA: Yeah.	
13	Q. So what is what is Ms. Hendrix	
14	here on November 25 asking of you?	
15	A. She is asking me so she these	
16	are these are payments typically we would	
17	do an accounts payable run every week at the	
18	end of every Friday. But looking at this date,	
19	it is Wednesday, November 25th, which means, to	
20	me, it is likely Thanksgiving weekend.	
21	So this is the day before	
22	Thanksgiving, so this is the last kind of	
23	kind of day before the holidays and vacation	
24	and things of that nature. So it is	
25	effectively the Friday of that week.	

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 330
2	So she is she is putting in all	
3	the payments for the week because we batch	
4	payments weekly. And these are the payments	
5	that go out that week, and she is informing me	
6	of the payments and you know, again, at the	
7	bottom of the email, she is asking for my okay	
8	to to release these payments in the wire	
9	system.	
10	Q. So these would be accounts payable	
11	of NexPoint?	
12	A. I mean, it would be accounts payable	
13	for all of these entities listed on this email.	
14	Q. And who was Ms. Hendrix employed by	
15	in November and December of 2020?	
16	A. Highland Capital Management.	
17	Q. Okay. So so part of the services	
18	that NexPoint had contracted with was for	
19	Highland to ensure that NexPoint timely paid	
20	its accounts payable; is that accurate?	
21	MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	
22	of the question. You have got to be	
23	kidding me.	
24	Q. Is that accurate?	
25	A. Yes.	
1		

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 331
2	Q. And did NexPoint rely on employees	
3	of the debtor to ensure that NexPoint's	
4	accounts payable were timely paid?	
5	MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	
6	of the question.	
7	A. Yes.	
8	MR. RUKAVINA: Let's flip to the	
9	next page, Mr. Nguyen, if you will please	
10	scroll to the next page.	
11	Q. So this is an email similar to the	
12	prior one, November 30th.	
13	Do you see where it says, NPA HCMFA,	
14	USD \$325,000 one-day loan?	
15	Do you see that, sir?	
16	A. I do.	
17	Q. Do you have any memory of what that	
18	was?	
19	A. I don't recall what that what	
20	that payment was for.	
21	Q. Did it sometimes occur that one	
22	advisor would, on very short-terms, make loans	
23	to another advisor?	
24	A. Yes. This this this occurred	
25	from from from time to time. It actually	
1		

		Page 332
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	rage 552
2	looking at I'm I'm looking at the date of	
3	this email. It is November 30th. It is the	
4	last day of the month.	
5	HCMFA has obligations it needs to	
6	pay to its broker-dealer, which is HCFD. And	
7	it likely was short funds to make those	
8	obligations under that under its agreement,	
9	and so it provided a one-day loan because on	
10	the next business day on 12/1 or the next	
11	business day in December, it would receive	
12	management fees from the underlying funds that	
13	it managed and it would be able to pay back	
14	that loan to NexPoint Advisors.	
15	Q. So so here Ms. Hendrix was	
16	seeking your approval to transfer \$325,000 from	
17	NexPoint to HCMFA for a one-day loan; is that	
18	correct?	
19	A. That is correct.	
20	Q. Let's flip to the next page, sir.	
21	MR. RUKAVINA: And, Mr. Nguyen, if	
22	you will please scroll down.	
23	Q. Now we have as an entry for	
24	\$325,000, 11/30 loan payment.	
25	Do you see that, sir?	

Casse 211-0330055-550 j Door 1868-43 FFiled 101/295/222 Entered 101/295/222 11/8 21/8 3433 Prage 35/31 off 33999

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 333
2	A. Yes.	
3	Q. And that is probably the loan that	
4	was approved on the prior page?	
5	A. Yes, most likely.	
6	Q. So is it also true, sir, that in	
7	addition to accounts payable debtor employees	
8	would be assisting NexPoint with respect to	
9	paying back its debt?	
10	MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	
11	of the question.	
12	A. I mean, yes, for loans of this	
13	nature, yes.	
14	Q. Well, what about long term loans?	
15	Was it reasonable for NexPoint to expect debtor	
16	employees to ensure that NexPoint timely paid	
17	its obligations under long-term notes?	
18	MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	
19	of the question.	
20	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	
21	A. I mean, that is one of the things	
22	that the Highland personnel did provide to the	
23	advisors. Yes, we would we would over	
24	the years, yes, we we we we did do	
25	that generally. Again, I don't remember	

Page 334 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 specifically but, yes, generally we -- you 2 3 know, we did do that. 4 So do you recall -- and we can pull 0. it up, if need be -- that under the NexPoint 5 б note that Mr. Morris asked you about earlier, the one for more than \$30 million, that 7 NexPoint was obligated to make an annual 8 9 payment of principal and interest? 10 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. 11 Yes, it was -- yes, it -- it was an 12 Α. amortizing note. It was -- you know, from what 13 we reviewed earlier, it was payable by 14 15 December 31st of each year. So -- but are --16 are you asking me --I'm just asking you, sir, if you 17 0. recall the note. 18 19 Yes, the \$30 million note, yes, we Α. 20 reviewed it earlier, yes. And do you recall Mr. Morris had you 21 Ο. 22 go through the fact that NexPoint had made payments in years prior to 2020 on that note? 23 24 Α. I do. 25 And do you believe that employees of Q.

Casse 211-0330055-sqjj Dooc 1848-43 FFiled 100/2057222 Entered 100/2057222 1178 228 383 Prayee 3853 off 33979

1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 the debtor would have played any role in 2 NexPoint having made those prior payments? 3 Objection to the form 4 MR. MORRIS: of the question. 5 6 Α. Yes. 7 And what role in years prior to 2020 0. would employees of the debtor have had with 8 9 respect to NexPoint making that annual payment? 10 We -- we -- we would have -- I keep Α. saying "we." The team would have calculated 11 any amounts due under that loan and other 12 loans, as -- as standard course. 13 14 We would -- since we provided 15 treasury services to the advisors, we would inform the -- the -- the -- we informed 16 Mr. Dondero of any cash obligations that are 17 forthcoming, whether we do cash projections. 18 19 If, you know, any of these payments would have -- or, you know, the sum total of 20 all of these payments, including any note 21 22 payments, if there were any cash shortfalls, we 23 would have informed Mr. Dondero of any cash 24 shortfalls. We could adequately plan, you 25 know, in instances like that.

Casse 211-0330055-sqj Dooc 1848-43 FFiled 100/205/222 Entered 100/205/222 11/8 228 383 Praye 38764 off 33979

Page 336 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 Or, sorry, we -- I say "we" -- I 3 keep saying "we" -- I keep wearing my -- again, 4 my -- my treasurer hat. 5 But, yes, it is to -- it is to б inform Mr. Dondero of the obligations of the 7 advisors in terms of cash and obligations that are -- are upcoming and that -- and that are --8 9 are scheduled to be paid. 10 And would those obligations that are Ο. upcoming and scheduled to be paid prior to 2020 11 have incurred the annual payment on that 12 13 NexPoint \$30 million note? 14 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 15 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Davor, I think you misspoke. You might want to just 16 17 repeat the question. 18 Q. Okay. Let me repeat the question, sir. 19 20 Prior to 2020, those services that you just described, would that -- on behalf of 21 22 the debtor, would that have included NexPoint's payments on the \$30 million note? 23 24 Α. Yes. 25 So someone at the debtor in treasury Q.

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 337
2	or accounting would have sent some schedule or	
3	a reminder that a payment would be coming due	
4	in the future. Is that generally the practice?	
5	A. Yes, we would you know, again, I	
6	didn't I didn't micromanage the teams, but	
7	we had a a corporate accounting calendar	
8	that we use as kind of a tickler file to keep	
9	track of payments.	
10	I actually, you know, don't know how	
11	actively they're using that in in prior to	
12	2020, but it was actively used at some point.	
13	We did look at NexPoint cash	
14	periodically and cash for the other advisors as	
15	well and payments. You know, we payments	
16	like this would have appeared in our cash	
17	projections, in the advisor's cash projections.	
18	And, again, as like I said earlier,	
19	they would have appeared there, so there would	
20	be time to plan for making any of these	
21	payments.	
22	Q. And based on your experience, would	
23	it have been reasonable for NexPoint to rely on	
24	the debtors' employees to inform NexPoint of an	
25	upcoming payment due on the \$30 million	

Page 338 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 promissory note? 3 MR. MORRIS: Objection to form of the question. 4 5 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. Yes. Yes, they did. I mean, but I 6 Α. 7 mean, but I don't think these -- these notes were any secret to anybody. 8 9 I understand, and I'm not suggesting Q. 10 otherwise. 11 MR. RUKAVINA: Please pull up Alpha 2, Mr. Nguyen. 12 13 (Exhibit A2 marked.) 14 Ο. Now, this document is similar to the 15 ones we've seen before as of December 31, 2020, and I don't see under NTA anything there for 16 paying the promissory note to Highland. 17 18 Do you see anything like that? 19 Α. I do not. 20 MR. RUKAVINA: You can pull that --21 that exhibit down, Mr. Nguyen. 22 You are aware, of course, by now 0. that, in fact, NexPoint failed to make the 23 24 payment due December 31, 2020, are you not? 25 I am aware, and yes, I do understand Α.

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 339
2	it.	
3	Q. Were you aware that Highland	
4	accelerated that \$30 million promissory note?	
5	A. I am aware.	
6	Q. Were you aware of that acceleration	
7	at the time that it occurred?	
8	A. I don't remember specifically.	
9	Q. Do you recall whether anyone asked	
10	you prior to the acceleration, anyone asked	
11	you at Highland, what Highland should do with	
12	respect to the missed payment?	
13	A. Did anyone ask me what Highland	
14	should do about the missed payment?	
15	Q. Yes, before acceleration.	
16	MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	
17	of the question.	
18	A. I mean, what what I recall is	
19	there was the sorry, are you asking me	
20	MS. DANDENEAU: Why don't you just	
21	repeat the question, Mr. Rukavina.	
22	Q. Let me try again, Mr. Waterhouse,	
23	let me try again.	
24	I am saying you're the CFO of	
25	someone, in this case, Highland, and the	

Page 340 1 WATERHOUSE -10-19-212 borrower failed to make the required payment. 3 Are you with me so far? 4 Α. I am. 5 Did anyone then ask you, what should 0. we do with respect to our rights against the б 7 borrower that missed the payment? Not that I recall. Α. 8 Did you play a role in the decision 9 Q. 10 to accelerate that \$30 million promissory note? 11 Α. I did not. 12 Do you recall whether Mr. Seery ever 0. 13 asked you before the acceleration as to whether 14 he should accelerate the note? 15 Α. I don't recall. 16 And you don't recall when you 0. 17 learned of the acceleration itself? 18 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 19 of that question. 20 Α. It was -- it was sometime in 21 early -- in early 2021. I don't remember 22 specifically. 23 But do you recall whether it was 0. 24 after the acceleration had already been transmitted? 25

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 341
2	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to the	
3	form of the question.	
4	A. I don't recall.	
5	Q. Do you recall in early to mid	
6	January of 2021, after the default, discussing	
7	the default with Mr. Dondero?	
8	A. I do recall discussing with	
9	Mr. Dondero after December 31, 2020?	
10	Q. Yes, the fact of the default.	
11	A. I don't recall.	
12	MR. RUKAVINA: Let's pull up my	
13	Exhibit 6, Alpha 6.	
14	(Exhibit A6 marked.)	
15	MR. RUKAVINA: And, Mr. Nguyen, if	
16	you will please scroll down.	
17	Q. This email chain begins with you	
18	writing to Ms. Hendrix on January the 12th:	
19	NexPoint note to HCMLP.	
20	Do you see that, sir?	
21	A. I do.	
22	Q. Were you discussing this same	
23	\$30 million note we're talking about right now	
24	with Ms. Hendrix?	
25	A. Yes.	

Casse 211-0330055-sqj Dooc 1848-43 FFiled 100/205/222 Entered 100/205/222 11/8 228 363 FPaque 3482 off 35979

Page 342 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 0. Okay. Do you recall what prompted you to send that email to her? 3 Yes, I had -- I had a conversation 4 Α. 5 with Jim. 6 Ο. Okay. And what -- what did you 7 discuss with Jim that led to this email chain? He -- he called me and he said he Α. 8 9 wanted to make payment on the NexPoint note, 10 and I didn't -- I didn't know the -- the amount offhand, so I reached out to Kristin and got 11 12 the details and relayed that to him. 13 0. And you see you sent that email to 14 her at 11:15 a.m. Does that help you remember 15 when you had this discussion with Mr. Dondero? In other words, was it that morning or the day 16 before, or can you -- can you --17 18 No, it was -- it was that morning. Α. 19 And do you recall how you had that 0. conversation with him? 20 21 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 22 of the question. 23 By telephone, by email, in-person? Q. 24 Yeah, he -- he called me. I was at Α. 25 home. We were working from home here in

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 343
2	December of 2020. He called me from home. He	
3	said he was in court. He wanted to he asked	
4	about, you know, making payment on the note and	
5	the amount, and so I didn't have those numbers	
6	in front of me, so I said I would get back to	
7	him. I wanted all the details, so here is	
8	this so I reached out to Kristin.	
9	Q. And then she gave you that	
10	\$1,406,000 figure?	
11	MR. RUKAVINA: Mr. Nguyen, if you	
12	will scroll up, please.	
13	A. Yes. Yeah, she the \$1,406,112.	
14	Q. And do you recall whether you	
15	conveyed that amount to Mr. Dondero?	
16	A. Yes. I I called him back and	
17	gave him gave him this amount.	
18	Q. Are you aware of whether NexPoint,	
19	in fact, then made that 1 million 406 and	
20	change payment?	
21	A. Yes, they did.	
22	Q. Did you discuss with Mr. Dondero at	
23	that time, either the first conference or the	
24	second conference that day strike that.	
25	When you conveyed the number to	

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 344
2	Mr. Dondero, was was it also on January	
3	12th?	
4	A. Sorry, when I conveyed the	
5	\$1.4 million number?	
6	Q. Yes.	
7	A. Yes, yes, it was that it was	
8	Q. So you had	
9	A. It was that point.	
10	Q. Well, to the best of your	
11	recollection, you had a conference with	
12	Mr. Dondero by the telephone in the morning,	
13	and then another conference with him by	
14	telephone after 11:40 a.m. that morning?	
15	A. Yeah, I can't remember yeah, it	
16	was either that morning or it could have been,	
17	you know, early afternoon, but again, I	
18	remember calling him back, relaying this	
19	information to him, and he said, okay, pay	
20	you know, make make this payment.	
21	Q. And during either of those two	
22	calls, did you tell Mr. Dondero anything to the	
23	effect that making those I'm sorry, making	
24	that payment would not de-accelerate the	
25	promissory note?	

Casse 211-0330055-550 j Door 1868-43 FFiled 101/295/222 Entered 101/295/222 11/8 21/8 3433 Praye 3453 off 33979

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 345
2	A. No.	
3	Q. Did you tell him anything to the	
4	effect that making that payment would not cure	
5	the default?	
6	A. No.	
7	Q. Did you discuss that in any way with	
8	him?	
9	A. No, I did not.	
10	Q. Did he say why he wanted to have	
11	that \$1.4 million payment made?	
12	MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	
13	of the question.	
14	A. He he he didn't go into	
15	specifics.	
16	Q. Did he say anything to you to the	
17	effect that if NexPoint makes that payment,	
18	then the note will be de-accelerated?	
19	MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	
20	of the question.	
21	A. I don't recall.	
22	MR. RUKAVINA: You can put this one	
23	down, Mr. Nguyen.	
24	Q. And, again, when you say you don't	
25	recall, you mean you don't remember right now	

Casse 211-0330055-sqjj Dooc 1848-43 FFiled 100/2057222 Entered 100/2057222 1178 228 363 Prayee 3464 off 33979

Page 346 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 either way; correct? 3 Α. Yeah, I don't remember. I don't 4 remember us discussing that. 5 Now -- and we're almost done, I Ο. б promise. I'm just going to -- I don't know how 7 to ask this question, so I'm just going to try to do my best. 8 9 Prior to the default on December 31, 10 2020, did Mr. Seery ever tell you any words to the effect that you or someone at Highland 11 12 should ensure that NexPoint doesn't make its 13 payment? 14 Α. No. 15 Q. Did you have any hint or any belief that anyone at NexPoint -- I'm sorry, strike 16 17 that. 18 Did you have any reason to believe 19 that anyone with Highland was actively trying 20 to get NexPoint to make that default by not 21 paying on December 31? 22 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 23 of the question. 24 Are you asking, did any Highland Α. 25 employees actively work to make -- to

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 347
2	somehow	
3	Q. Yes. Let me take a step back. Let	
4	me take a step back.	
5	So you are aware now that as a	
6	result of that default, what was still some	
7	25-year note was accelerated and became	
8	immediately due. You are aware of that now;	
9	right?	
10	A. Yes.	
11	Q. And can you see how someone at	
12	Highland might actually have been pleased with	
13	that development?	
14	MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form.	
15	Q. Not that they were not that they	
16	were pleased, but you can see how someone at	
17	Highland might have been pleased with that	
18	development?	
19	MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	
20	of the question.	
21	MS. DANDENEAU: Object to form.	
22	A. I don't know how they would have	
23	reacted to that.	
24	Q. Okay. But you're not you're not	
25	aware of any instructions or any actions being	

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 348
2	given or taken at Highland by Mr. Seery, the	
3	independent board, DSI, that that would have	
4	basically led Highland to ensure that NexPoint	
5	would fail to make that payment?	
6	A. I'm not aware.	
7	Q. In other words, there wasn't a trick	
8	or a settlement; right?	
9	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection to	
10	form.	
11	MS. DANDENEAU: Object to form.	
12	MR. MORRIS: Object to form.	
13	A. I'm not aware.	
14	Look, I'm not aware. I'm not in	
15	every conversation. I mean, and I'm just	
16	again, I'm sitting at home. It is the end of	
17	the year. Again, I'm not aware.	
18	Q. That is a perfectly legitimate	
19	answer. I don't know why why you think	
20	otherwise.	
21	Okay. Just give me one second to	
22	compose my thoughts.	
23	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: While you're	
24	taking your one second, why don't we take	
25	three minutes. I will be right back.	

Page 349 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 VIDEOGRAPHER: Do we want to go off 3 the record? 4 MR. RUKAVINA: Yes. 5 VIDEOGRAPHER: All right. We're 6 going off the record at 6:27 p.m. 7 (Recess taken 6:27 p.m. to 6:30 p.m.) VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the 8 9 record at 6:30 p.m. 10 MR. HORN: Is Deb back? 11 MS. DANDENEAU: Are you asking about I'm here. 12 me? 13 MR. HORN: Oh, okay. I don't see 14 you, sorry. 15 Actually, yeah, Mr. Waterhouse, so Q. 16 when you had --17 MS. DANDENEAU: Are you asking about 18 Deb Dandeneau or Deborah? I mean, there 19 are a lot -- as we talked about, a lot of 20 Debs. I'm here. 21 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: I'm here. 22 MR. HORN: Yes, I was asking about 23 DDP. 24 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Oh, DDP is here. 25 MR. HORN: Okay. Here we go. I'm

Page 350 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 going back on mute. 3 MS. DANDENEAU: Get the right 4 nomenclature. 5 Mr. Waterhouse, on January 12th, 0. б 2021, when you had those talks with Mr. Dondero 7 about the \$1.4 million payment, did you have a communication or a conversation with Mr. Seery 8 9 about that payment after January 12th, 2021? 10 Α. I don't recall. 11 Well, in response to Mr. Dondero Q. reaching out to you, do you recall on that day, 12 13 January 12th, talking to Mr. Seery or anyone at 14 Highland other than the email chain we just saw 15 about Mr. Dondero's call with you? 16 Did I talk to -- I spoke with Α. Kristin -- I don't know if I spoke to her. 17 Ι likely spoke to Kristin Hendrix because we had 18 19 to get the wire on NexPoint's behalf to make 20 the payment to Highland. 21 So it is true, then, that -- that Ο. 22 employees of the debtor did actually cause that 23 payment to be made when it was made after 24 January 12th? 25 Α. Yes, I mean, we -- we -- as I

		D 251
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 351
2	testified earlier, we provided that accounting	
3	finance treasury function as under the	
4	shared services agreement. And so once I	
5	got the I talked to Jim, got the approval to	
6	make this payment, we have to then make the	
7	payment, or the team does, and so the payment	
8	was made.	
9	Q. Okay. But okay. And and	
10	sitting here right now, after Jim called you,	
11	you don't remember talking to anyone other than	
12	the the couple of people you mentioned,	
13	talking to anyone about something to the effect	
14	that, hey, Jim wants to make this payment now?	
15	MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	
16	of the question.	
17	A. I don't I don't recall.	
18	Q. And does that include legal counsel?	
19	Without going into any detail, on	
20	January 12th or before that payment was made,	
21	did you consult with legal counsel about	
22	anything having to do with the \$1.4 million	
23	payment?	
24	A. I don't recall.	
25	Q. Okay. Thank you, sir, for your	

Page 352 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 time. 3 MR. RUKAVINA: Pass the witness. 4 MR. MORRIS: I just have a few questions, if I may. 5 6 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Don't you go at 7 the end? 8 MR. MORRIS: Oh, I apologize. He is 9 your witness. I'm surprised you want to 10 ask him questions, but go right ahead. 11 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Just have a 12 couple of things. 13 MR. RUKAVINA: And I will just 14 object to that, that he's our witness. 15 That's not --16 MR. MORRIS: I'm not talking to you. 17 I'm not talking to you. MS. DANDENEAU: Also, Mr. Morris, it 18 19 is -- it is --20 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: He is not my 21 witness. He's been subpoenaed by you. 22 Okay? 23 That is no offense, Mr. Waterhouse, 24 I'm -- I'm not -- okay. Anyway. 25 EXAMINATION

Casse 211-0330055-550 j Door 1868-43 FFiled 101/295/222 Entered 101/295/222 11/8 21/8 3433 Prage 35321 off 33939

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 35	3
2	BY MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ:		
3	Q. Good evening. I'm very sorry to be		
4	going last and I know you have had a long and		
5	taxing day, so I thank you for indulging me.		
6	The kinds of services that you		
7	describe that the that Highland provided for		
8	NexPoint, did Highland also provide similar		
9	services to that to HCRE and HCMS?		
10	A. Yes.		
11	MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form		
12	of the question.		
13	Q. What kind of services did Highland		
14	provide to HCRE and HCMS?		
15	MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form		
16	of the question.		
17	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: What is your		
18	objection, John?		
19	MR. MORRIS: It is vague and		
20	ambiguous. Unlike the advisors and		
21	NexPoint, they actually had shared services		
22	agreements.		
23	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: I got I		
24	understand your objection. That is fine.		
25	Q. Let's take them one at a time.		

1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 What kinds of services did Highland 3 provide to HCRE? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 4 5 of the question. 6 Α. HCMS, Highland employees provided 7 accounting services, treasury management services, potentially legal services. 8 Ι 9 don't -- but I wouldn't have been directly 10 involved in that. But as far as the teams that I manage, it was accounting, treasury, things 11 12 of that nature. 13 0. Okay. And that was for HCM, LLP --And -- and, sorry, it would also be 14 Α. 15 any asset valuation if needed as well. Okay. We went back and forth on 16 0. each other and I apologize, so just to clarify. 17 You were talking about the services 18 19 that Highland Capital Management provided to 20 HCMS; is that right? 21 HCMS. So, again, yes. Α. And 22 accounting, treasury, valuation, and also tax 23 services too. 24 0. Okay. 25 Tax services. Look, I'm expanding Α.

Page 354

Page 355 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 this, their HR services as well. 3 Q. Okay. And did that include bill 4 paying? 5 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. 6 7 Did the services that HCM provided 0. to HCMS include bill paying? 8 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 9 10 of the question. 11 Α. Yes. 12 And did the services that HCMLP Q. 13 provided to HCMS include scheduling upcoming bills? 14 15 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 16 of the question. 17 Yes. Α. And did HCMLP regularly pay -- cause 18 Q. to be paid the payments on loans HCMS had from 19 20 HCMLP? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 21 22 of the question. 23 Α. Yes. 24 0. Typically -- if there is a 25 typically, how far in advance of due dates did

Page 356 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 HCMLP cause HCMS to pay its bills? 3 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. 4 5 I mean, it -- it -- it depend -- it Α. б depended on the nature of the payment and the 7 vendor, but, you know, if there were -- if there were larger scheduled payments, you know, 8 9 I would like to give at least 30 days notice. 10 And that is -- that is kind of my rule of thumb so no one is surprised. 11 12 Okay. And was it generally HCMLP's Q. 13 practice to timely pay HCMS' bills? 14 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 15 of the question. 16 It -- it -- it -- that depended on Α. the nature of the payment. 17 Okay. And can you explain what you 18 Ο. 19 mean by that? 20 Α. Yeah, I mean if -- if it was -- I 21 mean -- if there was some professional fees 22 that weren't -- you know, they were due but 23 they weren't urgent, those fees may not be paid 24 as timely as others that have a due date or --25 or things like that.

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21
2	Q. Okay. Are loan payments the kinds
3	of thing that HCMLP would pay on time because
4	of potential consequences of not paying on
5	time?
6	MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form
7	of the question.
8	A. Yes. As I testified earlier, we
9	would want to give, you know, notice on on
10	on larger payments and and things of that
11	nature so we didn't miss due dates.
12	Q. Okay. And over the course of time,
13	did HCMLP generally pay HCMS' loan payments in
14	a timely fashion?
15	MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form
16	of the question.
17	A. I can't remember specifically, but
18	generally, yes.
19	Q. Okay. Now, did HCMLP provide
20	similar services to HCRE that you have
21	described it provided to HCMS?
22	MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form
23	of the question.
24	A. Yes, but I don't think it it
25	provided I don't think it provided HR

Page 357

Page 358 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 services. 3 Can you describe the accounting and 0. 4 treasury services that HCMLP provided for HCRE? 5 Yeah, it -- it would provide Α. б bookkeeping services on a -- on a periodic 7 It would make payments, you know, as basis. needed. 8 Okay. So did it provide --9 Q. 10 And -- and I believe it -- it -- it Α. provided tax services as well. 11 12 Okay. And so did it provide the Q. 13 same kind of bill -- did HCMLP provide the same 14 kind of bill-paying services for HCRE that it 15 provided for HCMS and NexPoint? 16 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 17 of the question. 18 Α. Yes. 19 And over the course of time, did 0. 20 HCMLP generally cause to be made the loan payments that HCRE owed to HCMLP? 21 22 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 23 of the question. 24 Yes. Α. Did HCMLP make loan payment -- the 25 Q.

Page 359 1 WATERHOUSE -10-19-212 loan payment that was due from HCMS to HCMLP in 3 December of 2020? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 4 5 of the question. 6 Α. I don't believe that payment --7 payment was made. Okay. And when HCMLP caused HCMS in 8 Ο. 9 the past to make loan payments, whose money did 10 it use to make those payments? 11 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 12 of the question. It was the -- the money in HCMS's 13 Α. 14 operating account would be made to that --15 those moneys would be used to make payment to Highland Capital Management. 16 17 Okay. And Highland -- is it correct 0. that Highland Capital Management personnel had 18 19 the access to HCMS's accounts to be able to 20 cause such payments to be made? 21 Yes, Highland personnel had access Α. 22 to those accounts. 23 Q. Okay. And so now for HCRE, whose 24 money was used when HCMLP caused HCRE 25 payments -- loan payments to Highland to be

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 360
2	made?	
3	MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	
4	of the question.	
5	A. It was it was cash in HCRE's bank	
6	account that would be used to make payments to	
7	Highland Capital Management.	
8	Q. Okay. And so did Highland Capital	
9	Management have access to HCRE's funds in order	
10	to be able to make such payments?	
11	MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	
12	of the question.	
13	A. Personnel at Highland Capital	
14	Management had access to HCRE's bank account to	
15	effectuate the payments.	
16	Q. Okay. And was the payment due from	
17	HCRE to HCMLP due in December of 2020 made?	
18	A. It	
19	Q. In December of 2020.	
20	A. It was not.	
21	Q. Okay. And was there money in HCRE's	
22	account that would have enabled the payment to	
23	be made had HCM personnel attempted to make the	
24	payment?	
25	MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	

1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 of the question. 3 Α. I -- I don't recall. 4 Do you have any reason to believe 0. 5 that either HCRE or HCMS simply didn't have the funds on hand to make the December 2020 6 7 payments? Α. I don't know. 8 9 I guess I'm asking, do you have any Q. 10 reason to believe that they didn't have the 11 funds? 12 We managed cash for so many Α. 13 different entities and funds, and I don't 14 recall, you know, where the cash position was 15 for HCRE and HCMS at 12/31/2020. 16 Q. Okay. 17 I just don't recall, and I don't --Α. and I don't remember what the loan payment 18 19 obligations were from HCRE to Highland, and 20 from HCMS to Highland. I don't recall. Ι 21 don't recall, I mean... 22 Let me come at it a different way. 0. 23 Were the -- were the payments that would 24 otherwise have been due in December of 2020 25 made in January of 2021 for HCMS and HCRE?

Page 361

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 362
2	A. I believe the HCRE payment was made	
3	in January of 2021. I don't recall any	
4	payments being made from HCMS to Highland.	
5	Q. If it how is it the HCRE payment	
6	came to be made? Why did you make it why	
7	did HCM make the payment in January of 2021?	
8	A. Jim Jim called me and instructed	
9	me to to make the payment on behalf of HCRE,	
10	Jim Dondero Jim Dondero.	
11	Q. Did he seem upset that that the	
12	payment had not been made?	
13	A. Yeah. On the note that was, you	
14	know, that was the term note, yes, he he was	
15	displeased that the that the payment had not	
16	been made by year-end.	
17	Q. Okay. And did you make the cause	
18	the payment to be made as as requested?	
19	A. Yes.	
20	Q. And did anyone else from HCM	
21	participate with you in causing the payment to	
22	be made to on the HCRE loan?	
23	A. Yes. It would have been Kristin	
24	Hendrix. I again, I don't as I testified	
25	earlier, I'm not an officer of HCRE. I don't	

1 WATERHOUSE -10-19-212 believe I'm an authorized signer. So I 3 can't -- other personnel have to make payment 4 from HCRE to -- to -- to -- to Highland. 5 Okay. And in the conversation Ο. б that -- that you had with Mr. Dondero when he requested the payment to be made, did you say 7 to him words to the effect, Jim, this loan is 8 going to stay in default, what are you making 9 10 the payment for, anything like that? 11 Α. No. 12 In fact, did you have the impression 0. 13 from him that he thought that the loan would be -- the default would be cured by making the 14 15 payment? 16 Objection to the form MR. MORRIS: 17 of the question. Did I get the impression from Jim 18 Α. Dondero that the loan would be cured if the 19 20 payment from HCRE --21 Yeah, if that is what he thought. Q. 22 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 23 of the question. 24 I didn't get any impression from him Α. 25 on that at the time.

Page 363

Casse 211-0330055-550 j Door 1868-43 FFiled 101/295/222 Entered 101/295/222 11/8 21/8 3433 Prage 356/2 off 33999

_		Page 364
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	
2	Q. Do you know whether there was an	
3	HCMS term loan that had a payment due in	
4	December of 2020?	
5	A. I don't recall.	
6	Q. Okay. And so the reason you don't	
7	recall whether or not there was a payment in	
8	January of 2021 is because you just don't	
9	remember whether there was such a loan at all?	
10	MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	
11	of the question.	
12	A. I don't remember. There is there	
13	is so many notes, and I mean, demands, and I	
14	don't I don't remember. It's a lot to keep	
15	track in your head.	
16	Q. I understand, and and I hear your	
17	frustration when you have explained that the	
18	debtor has your documents and you don't, and so	
19	I fully appreciate it, and this is no knock on	
20	you. It's a knock on somebody else on this	
21	call.	
22	MR. MORRIS: I move to strike. That	
23	was pretty obnoxious, but go ahead.	
24	Q. Okay. But so, Mr. Waterhouse, if	
25	if a payment on the HCMS loan was made in	

		Page 365
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	
2	January of 2021, do you think it was part of	
3	the same conversation where Jim Dondero said,	
4	hey, why didn't that get paid, please make	
5	that get that payment done?	
6	MR. MORRIS: I object to the form of	
7	the question.	
8	A. Yes. Likely it would have been I	
9	mean, again, I don't recall a payment being	
10	made, but, you know, again, I don't remember	
11	everything.	
12	Q. Okay. Did at the time you were	
13	communicating with Kristin Hendrix about the	
14	payment being made, whichever payments were	
15	made in January, did she say anything to you	
16	about the payments not curing the loan	
17	defaults?	
18	A. No.	
19	Q. Okay. All right. So I'm going to	
20	take you back to very early in the deposition	
21	when Mr. Morris was asking you about the	
22	the the the agreement with respect to	
23	the the forgiveness element of the loans, so	
24	that is just to orient you.	
25	Do you remember that there was a	

		Page 366
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	5
2	time that you and Mr. Dondero were	
3	communicating about potential means of	
4	resolving the Highland bankruptcy by what was	
5	colloquially referred to as a pot plan?	
6	A. Yes.	
7	Q. Okay. And can you tell me generally	
8	when that was?	
9	A. Like mid mid 2020, sometime in	
10	2020, mid 2020.	
11	Q. Okay. And did the process of trying	
12	to figure out what the numbers should be	
13	involve looking at what one should pay for the	
14	Highland assets?	
15	MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	
16	of the question.	
17	A. Yes.	
18	Q. Okay. And did there come a time	
19	when you were proposing some potential numbers	
20	and Mr. Dondero said something to you like,	
21	well, why are you including payment for the	
22	related party notes, those, you know, were	
23	likely to be forgiven as part of my deferred	
24	executive compensation?	
25	MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	

Casse 211-0330055-sqjj Donc 1968-43 FFileed 100/2055/222 Entereed 100/2055/222 1178 2128 363 Prayee 3505 off 33939

Page 367 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 of the question. 3 Yes, we did have that conversation. Α. 4 Okay. Was that conversation in Ο. 5 connection with trying to figure out the right б numbers for a pot plan? 7 I mean, it was -- it was -- I Α. Yeah. mean, Jim -- Jim would ask for, you know, 8 9 most -- most recent asset values, you know, for 10 Highland, and -- and myself and the team provided those to him, so it was in that 11 12 context. 13 Ο. Okay. And does that refresh your 14 recollection that these communications were in 15 2020 rather than 2021? 16 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 17 of the question. The -- the -- the executive 18 Α. 19 compensation discussions were definitely in 20 2020. 21 Okay. Now, did you ever make Ο. 22 proposals that took into account Jim's comment 23 that the notes were likely to end up forgiven 24 as part of his compensation? 25 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form

		Page 368
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	rage 500
2	of the question.	
3	A. Yes, we the team and myself put	
4	together, you know, asset summaries of Highland	
5	at various times for all the assets of	
6	Highland, and not including the notes.	
7	Q. Okay. And were those presentations	
8	communicated to to Mr. Seery?	
9	A. No. Well, look, I didn't tell I	
10	didn't tell Mr. Seery. I don't know what	
11	Mr. Dondero did with the information.	
12	Q. Okay.	
13	A. I did not have conversations with	
14	Mr. Seery.	
15	Q. Okay. Do you know who saw the	
16	presentations that you put together that didn't	
17	include the value of the related party notes?	
18	A. We're talking presentations these	
19	are these are Excel spreadsheets?	
20	Q. Uh-huh.	
21	A. I don't know who these were given	
22	to to Jim Dondero. I don't know what was	
23	done with them after that.	
24	Q. Okay. You also mentioned earlier	
25	that sometime during your tenure at Highland	
1		

Casse 211-0330055-550 j Door 1868-43 FFiled 101/295/222 Entered 101/295/222 11/8 21/8 3433 Prage 35097 of 33999

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page
2	you knew of the practice of giving forgivable	
3	loans to executives.	
4	MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	
5	of the question.	
6	Q. Can you can you tell me what you	
7	recall about that practice?	
8	MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	
9	of the question.	
10	A. Yes, so there were there were	
11	during my tenure at Highland, there were loans	
12	or given to employees that were later	
13	forgiven at a future date and time.	
14	Q. Okay. And when the loans were	
15	given, did the notes, to your recollection, say	
16	anything about the potential forgiveness term?	
17	MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	
18	of the question.	
19	A. When you say "did the notes," did	
20	the promissory notes detail the forgiveness?	
21	Q. Yes.	
22	A. Not that I recall.	
23	Q. And until such time as whatever was	
24	to trigger the forgiveness occurred, were the	
25	notes bona fide notes as far as you were	
1		

369

Page 370 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 concerned? 3 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. 4 5 Α. Yes, similar to -- yes. 6 Ο. Okay. You were going to say similar 7 to what? Α. Mr. Morris earlier today showed 8 notes of the financial statements about various 9 10 affiliate loans. I -- I -- I do recall these notes because I -- at that time personally 11 12 worked on the -- the financial statements of 13 Highland. That was, you know, in my role as a 14 corporate accountant. 15 And there were -- those loans 16 were -- to the partners were detailed in the notes to the financial statements, similar to 17 what we went through earlier today in the prior 18 19 testimony about what we saw with Highland 20 and -- and -- and the -- and HCMFA. 21 Is it fair to say that on Highland's 0. 22 balance sheet there were any number of assets 23 that the value of which could be affected by 24 subsequent events? 25 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form

Casse 211-0330055-sqjj Dooc 1848-43 FFiled 100/2057222 Entered 100/2057222 1178 228 363 Prayee 3509 off 33979

Page 371 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 of the question. Yes. I mean, yes, that -- there 3 Α. are. And that is -- yes. 4 Okay. And is it typical accounting 5 0. 6 practice that until there is some certainty about those potential future events, that asset 7 value listed on -- on the books doesn't take 8 9 into account those potential future events? 10 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. 11 12 Yeah, if those -- yes. If -- if Α. 13 those future events, you know, at the time of 14 issuance are not known or knowable, like I 15 discussed earlier with, like, market practice, asset dislocation, or, you know, I mean, things 16 like that, you -- I mean, it -- it could affect 17 its fair value --18 19 0. Okay. 20 Α. -- in the future. 21 And am I correct you wouldn't feel Q. compelled to footnote in every possible change 22 23 in -- in an asset when those possibilities are 24 still remote? 25 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form

Casse 211-0330055-sqjj Dooc 1848-43 FFiled 100/2057222 Entered 100/2057222 1178 2128 343 FPaque 35720 off 33979

Page 372 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 of the question. The accounting standard is you have 3 Α. 4 to estimate to the best -- you know, to -- to the best of your ability, the fair value of an 5 asset as of the balance sheet date under -б 7 under GAAP. Did -- strike that. 8 0. 9 Okay. Give me a minute. I'm 10 close -- I'm close to done. Let me just go off and look at my notes for a second. So take two 11 12 minutes. 13 VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the 14 record at 7:02 p.m. 15 (Recess taken 7:02 p.m. to 7:03 p.m.) 16 VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the 17 record at 7:03 p.m. Mr. Waterhouse, is it generally your 18 Q. 19 understanding that people you work with now 20 have been asking the debtor for full and 21 unfetterred access to their own former files? 22 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 23 of the question. 24 Yes, I am -- I am generally aware. Α. 25 Okay. And do you think you could Q.

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page
2	have been better prepared for this deposition	
3	if the debtor had complied with those requests?	
4	MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	
5	of the question.	
6	A. I I I most certainly yes.	
7	I mean, again, these are multiple years,	
8	multiple years ago, lots and lots of	
9	transactions.	
10	You know, we asked about NAV errors	
11	and, you know, things like that and these	
12	are it would make this process a lot more	
13	a lot easier and if we had if we had access	
14	to that.	
15	Q. Okay. And has the debtor is the	
16	debtor suing you right now?	
17	A. Yes.	
18	Q. And is the debtor trying to renege	
19	on deals that it had previously made with you?	
20	MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	
21	of the question.	
22	A. Sorry, I need to it is my	
23	understanding that the litigation trust is	
24	suing me. And not being a lawyer, I don't	
25	know is that the debtor?	
1		

373

Casse 211-0330055-550 j Door 1868-43 FFiled 101/295/222 Entered 101/295/222 11/8 21/8 343 Praye 35/42 off 3399

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 374
2	Is that I don't know the	
3	relationship. So, again, I'm not the lawyers.	
4	I've said many times. But my understanding is	
5	the litigation trust is suing me. I could be	
6	wrong there. I don't know.	
7	Q. Okay. I understand.	
8	Someone with some connection to the	
9	Highland debtor has brought a claim against	
10	you; is that fair?	
11	MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	
12	of the question.	
13	A. Yes.	
14	Q. Okay. And is there also some motion	
15	practice in the bankruptcy where the debtor or	
16	someone associated with the debtor is	
17	attempting to undo something that was	
18	previously resolved with you?	
19	A. Yes.	
20	Q. And so in one action somebody is	
21	associated with the debtors trying to	
22	threatening you with trying to take money from	
23	you, and then in the other and trying to	
24	and in the other they are threatening not to	
25	pay you things that had previously been agreed;	
1		

Page 375 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 is that correct? 3 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. 4 5 Α. I want to be -- yes, I -- there б is -- I'm being sued, again, on -- on something 7 that was agreed to with Mr. Seery and myself. I don't -- I don't -- I don't own that claim. 8 9 Q. Okay. 10 To be transparent, I don't own that Α. claim. So it is not my personal property. 11 12 Q. Okay. 13 Α. And -- and being the nonlawyer, I 14 don't know how I can get sued for something 15 that I don't owe or, like, I don't own anything. I'm not the lawyer. But, I mean, if 16 that is -- if I'm understanding the facts 17 18 correctly. 19 Okay. And the lawsuit that was 0. 20 filed that names you, that was just filed 21 this -- this past week; is that right? 22 MS. DANDENEAU: Ms. Deitsch-Perez, I 23 do want to interrupt at this point because 24 just as I told Mr. Morris, that this is a 25 deposition about the noticed litigation.

Page 376 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 I really don't want to go -- go 3 afield --4 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Yeah. 5 MS. DANDENEAU: -- and open up a whole new line of inquiry about the lawsuit 6 7 or the -- the motion and the bankruptcy court. We will be here all night. 8 9 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: And I 10 understand. My -- my point is: Do you feel 11 Ο. like -- like there is some effort by these 12 parties related to the debtor to intimidate 13 you -- not that you -- I'm not saying you are 14 15 or you aren't. 16 But do you feel like there is some effort to intimidate you and maybe an effort to 17 deter you from being as prepared as you might 18 19 be in this deposition? 20 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 21 of the question. 22 I was -- I was surprised by the Α. lawsuit, by me being named, because, again, I 23 24 don't own the asset and things like that. 25 Yeah, I just -- I want to move forward with my

1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 life at Skyview. 3 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Thank you. 4 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 5 FURTHER EXAMINATION 6 BY MR. MORRIS: If I may, I just have a few 7 Ο. questions. 8 9 Mr. Waterhouse, we saw a number of 10 documents that Mr. Rukavina put up on the screen where Ms. Hendrix would send you a 11 schedule of payments that were due on behalf of 12 13 certain Highland affiliates. 14 Do you remember that? 15 Α. Yes. 16 And in each instance she asked for 0. your approval to make the payments; is that 17 18 right? 19 Yes, she did. Α. And was that the -- was that the 20 Q. practice in the second half of 2020 whereby 21 22 Ms. Hendrix would prepare a list of payments 23 that were due on behalf of Highland associates 24 and ask for approval? 25 Α. Yes.

Page 377

Page 378 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 And I think you said that there was 0. 3 a -- a --It was -- I think I testified to 4 Α. 5 this earlier when we talked about procedures б and policy, you know, again, I want to be 7 informed of -- of -- of -- of any payments that are going out. I want to be made 8 9 aware of these payments, and that was just a 10 general policy, not just for 2020. 11 Okay. So it went beyond 2020? Q. 12 Α. Yes. 13 Q. Is that right? 14 Α. Yes. 15 Okay. And the corporate accounting Q. group would prepare a calendar that would set 16 forth all of the payments that were anticipated 17 in the -- in the three weeks ahead; is that 18 19 right? 20 I -- like I testified earlier, we Α. 21 had a corporate calendar that was set up, you 22 know, to -- to provide reminders or, you know, 23 of anything of any nature, whether it is 24 payments or -- or financial statements or, you 25 know, whatever it is, you know, to meet

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 379
2	deadlines.	
3	I don't know how, as I testified	
4	earlier, how much they were using that	
5	calendar.	
6	Q. Okay. But but you did get notice	
7	and a request to approve the payments that were	
8	coming due on behalf of Highland's affiliates.	
9	Do I have that right?	
10	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	
11	A. I mean, generally, yes. I mean, you	
12	know, as we saw with these emails, generally, I	
13	mean, did that encompass everything, no.	
14	Q. Okay. Do you know why the	
15	payment do you know why there was no payment	
16	made by NexPoint at the end of 2020?	
17	A. Yes. There was there was we	
18	talked about these agreements between the	
19	advisors and Highland, the shared services and	
20	the cost reimbursement agreement.	
21	And in late 2020, there were	
22	overpayments, large overpayments that had been	
23	made over the years on these agreements, and it	
24	was my understanding that the advisors were	
25	were talking with like Jim Seery and others	

Casse 211-0330055-550 j Door 1868-43 FFiled 100/295/222 Entered 100/295/222 11/8 21/8 3433 Prage 35308 off 33939

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 380
2	to offset any obligations that the advisors	
3	owed to Highland as offset to the overpayments	
4	on these agreements.	
5	Q. Okay. Did you participate in any of	
6	those conversations?	
7	A. I did not.	
8	Q. Okay. Do you know do you recall	
9	that the at the end of November, the debtor	
10	did notice to the advisors of their intent to	
11	terminate the shared services agreements?	
12	A. Like I testified earlier, there	
13	was the agreements weren't identical, from	
14	what I recall, and there is one that had a	
15	longer notice period, which I think had a	
16	60-day notice period. I don't recall which one	
17	that was, so not all of them were notice	
18	hadn't been given as of November 30th, for all	
19	of the agreements.	
20	Q. Upon the receipt of the the	
21	termination notices that you recall, do you	
22	know if the advisors decided at that point not	
23	to make any further payments of any kind to	
24	Highland?	
25	MR. RUKAVINA: Objection, form.	

Casse 211-0330055-550 j Door 1868-43 FFiled 101/295/222 Entered 101/295/222 11/8 21/8 3433 Prage 35119 of f 33979

1		Page 381
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	
2	A. No. The advisors the advisors	
3	had stopped making payments prior to that	
4	notice.	
5	Q. Okay. And how do you know that the	
6	advisors stopped making making payments	
7	prior to the notice?	
8	A. I had I had a conversation	
9	with with Jim Dondero.	
10	Q. And did Mr. Dondero tell you that	
11	the advisors would no longer make payments to	
12	Highland?	
13	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	
14	form.	
15	A. Yes, he he again, he said	
16	they they the advisors have overpaid on	
17	these agreements, to not make any future	
18	payments, and that there needs to be offsets,	
19	and they're working on getting offsets to these	
20	overpayment.	
21	Q. Do you know if anybody ever	
22	instructed Highland's employees to make the	
23	payment that was due by NexPoint at the end of	
24	the year?	
25	A. Did anyone instruct Highland's	

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21
2	employees to make that payment?
3	Q. Correct.
4	A. Anyone not that I'm aware.
5	Q. Were any of Highland's employees
6	authorized to make the payments on behalf of
7	its affiliates withdrawn.
8	Was any of Highland's employees
9	authorized to effectuate the payment on behalf
10	of NexPoint that was due at the end of the year
11	without getting approval from either you or
12	Mr. Dondero?
13	A. They had the they had the ability
14	to make the payment, but they didn't you
15	know, that that payment needed to be
16	approved.
17	Q. Okay. And it needed to be approved
18	by you or Mr. Dondero; is that right?
19	A. I mean, I'm not going to make the
20	unilateral decision.
21	Q. Is that a decision that you
22	understood had to be made by Mr. Dondero?
23	A. Yes. Sitting back in December of
24	2020, the that there was this off
25	offset negotiation that that was happening,

Page 382

1 WATERHOUSE -10-19-21so I mean, until those negotiations were 2 resolved, you know, there wasn't any 3 payments -- there weren't any payments. 4 5 And -- and there were no payments Ο. б until the negotiations were resolved because that was the directive that you received from 7 Mr. Dondero; correct? 8 9 I don't think he said -- I mean, I Α. 10 think -- yeah, I mean -- I'm trying to recall the conversation. It was -- you know, there 11 is -- there is these negotiations. There's --12 13 there needs to be these offsets. They're 14 talking with the debtor. So, you know, until this is resolved, right, I mean, depending on 15 16 how, whatever that resolution was, were we to take any action. 17 18 0. Okay. How about with respect to 19 HCMS, did HCMS have a term payment due at the 20 end of the year? 21 Again, I don't -- I don't recall. Α. 22 Okay. You discussed briefly two 0. payments that were made in January of 2021, one 23 24 on behalf of NexPoint, and one on behalf of 25 HCMS. Do I have that right?

Page 383

Casse 211-0330055-550 j Door 1868-43 FFiled 101/295/222 Entered 101/295/222 11/8 21/8 3433 Prage 35322 off 33939

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 384
2	A. No. The two payments I recall were	
3	NexPoint and HCRE.	
4	Q. Okay. And those two payments	
5	thank you for the correction. And those two	
6	payments were made because Mr. Dondero	
7	authorized those payments to be made; correct?	
8	A. Yes.	
9	Q. And they hadn't been made before	
10	that because Mr. Dondero had not authorized	
11	them to be made?	
12	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	
13	form.	
14	A. Yes, because of these negotiations.	
15	Q. Okay. Just a couple of more	
16	questions.	
17	Did anybody, to the best of your	
18	knowledge, on behalf of HCMFA, ever tell the	
19	SEC that HCMLP was responsible for the mistakes	
20	that were made on the TerreStar valuation?	
21	A. Did anyone from Highland on HCMFA's	
22	behalf tell the SEC that Highland that	
23	Highland was responsible for there I just	
24	want to make sure	
25	Q. It was a little bit different, so	

Casse 211-0330055-550 j Door 1868-43 FFiled 101/295/222 Entered 101/295/222 11/8 21/8 3433 Prage 3523 off 33999

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 385
2	let me try again.	
3	A. These are very long questions, John.	
4	I'm not trying to be	
5	Q. That is good. Do you know whether	
6	anybody do you know whether anybody on	
7	behalf of HCMS HCMFA ever told the SEC that	
8	Highland was the responsible party for the	
9	TerreStar valuation error?	
10	A. Not that I'm aware.	
11	Q. Okay. Did anybody on behalf of	
12	the on behalf of HCMFA ever tell the retail	
13	board that Highland was responsible for the	
14	TerreStar valuation error?	
15	A. Not that I'm aware.	
16	Q. Do you know if HCMFA made an	
17	insurance claim with respect to the damages	
18	that were incurred in relation to the TerreStar	
19	valuation error?	
20	A. Yes.	
21	Q. And do you know why they made that	
22	insurance claim?	
23	A. Because there was an error. I	
24	mean	
25	Q. Was the insured's claim made was	

Page 386 1 WATERHOUSE -10-19-212 the insurance claim made under HCMFA's policy? 3 Α. Yes. Did HCMFA at any time prior to the 4 Ο. 5 petition date -- withdrawn. You were asked a couple of questions 6 7 where -- where you said that Mr. Dondero told you that he was ascribing zero value to the 8 9 notes as part of a pot plan because he believed 10 that the notes were part of executive compensation. 11 12 Do I have that right? 13 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 14 form. 15 Α. Yes. 16 Okay. Have you ever heard that 0. before the time that Mr. Dondero told you that 17 in the conversation about the pot plan? 18 19 Had I heard that prior to my Α. conversation with Mr. Dondero? 20 21 Q. Yes. 22 No, I had not heard that prior. Α. 23 Okay. And that was in the context Q. 24 of his formulation of the settlement proposal; 25 is that right?

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 387
2	A. I mean, generally, yes. You know,	
3		
	we were asked to provide asset values, right,	
4	and he was having settlement discussions.	
5	Again, I don't know who those went to	
6	ultimately. I don't recall.	
7	MR. MORRIS: I have no further	
8	questions. Thank you very much for your	
9	patience. I apologize for the late hour.	
10	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: John, you stay	
11	on about your email when	
12	MR. RUKAVINA: Hold on, I'm not	
13	done.	
14	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Oh, okay. Davor	
15	still has questions. Sorry. I was going	
16	to say both John and Davor, could you stay	
17	on afterwards just to talk about the	
18	requests.	
19	FURTHER EXAMINATION	
20	BY MR. RUKAVINA:	
21	Q. Mr. Waterhouse, you were just now	
22	testifying about a discussion you had with	
23	Mr. Dondero where he said something like no	
24	more payments.	
25	Do you remember that testimony?	

Casse 211-0330055-550 j Door 1868-43 FFiled 101/295/222 Entered 101/295/222 11/8 21/8 3433 Prage 35326 off 33939

Casse 211-0330055-550 j Door 1868-43 FFiled 101/295/222 Entered 101/295/222 11/8 21/8 3433 Prage 35297 of 13999

		Page 389
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	5
2	talked to Mr. Dondero, had your office or you	
3	done any estimate of what the alleged	
4	overpayments were?	
5	MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	
6	of the question.	
7	A. Yes, we had there was a there	
8	was a detailed analysis that was put together	
9	by David Klos at the time.	
10	Q. And do you recall just generally	
11	what the total amount for both advisors of the	
12	overpayments was?	
13	A. It was in excess of \$10 million.	
14	Q. Was it in excess of \$14 million?	
15	MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	
16	of the question.	
17	A. I I remember it was an	
18	eight-figure number. I don't remember	
19	specifically.	
20	Q. Okay. And did you convey that	
21	number to Mr. Dondero when you had that	
22	conversation?	
23	A. Yes.	
24	Q. What was his reaction?	
25	A. I mean, he wasn't happy.	

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 390
2	Q. Is it fair to say he was upset?	
3	A. Yes.	
4	Q. Did Mr. Dondero ever expressly tell	
5	you to not have NexPoint make the required	
6	December 31, 2020, payment?	
7	A. Yes, I recall him saying don't make	
8	the payment because it was being negotiated, as	
9	I discussed with Mr. Morris, this offset	
10	concept. So there were obligations due by the	
11	advisors to Highland, they should be offset	
12	that you know, those obligations should be	
13	offset by this by this overpayment.	
14	Q. And when did he tell you that?	
15	A. I would say I would say around	
16	probably December December-ish.	
17	Q. Early December, late December?	
18	A. I don't recall with as much	
19	specificity as as as as stopping the	
20	shared services payments, because we had	
21	actually made one shared services payment in	
22	November. So that is why I need to remember	
23	that one more clearly. I don't remember where	
24	exactly in December that conversation occurred.	
25	Q. Did Mr. Dondero expressly use the	

Page 391 1 WATERHOUSE -10-19-212 word "NexPoint" when he was saying don't make 3 these payments? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 4 5 of the question, asked and answered. 6 Α. Yeah, we were -- we were discussing 7 advisor obligations. So it was -- you know, it was just obligations from the advisors. 8 9 And -- and he specifically talked 10 about the NexPoint payment as well. Okay. And it is your testimony that 11 Ο. he expressly told you not to make that NexPoint 12 13 December 31 payment? 14 MR. MORRIS: Objection, asked and 15 answered twice. Yes, he -- he did, during that 16 Α. conversation. 17 And did you ever follow up with him 18 0. after that about whether NexPoint should or 19 20 shouldn't make that payment? 21 I did not. Α. 22 Did you ever, on or about 0. December 31, 2020, remind him and say, hey, 23 24 this payment is due, what shall I -- what should I do? 25

Casse 211-0330055-sqjj Dooc 1848-43 FFiled 100/2057222 Entered 100/2057222 1178 228 363 FPaque 3520 off 35979

Page 392 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 I did not. Α. 3 So sitting here today, you -- you 0. 4 remember distinctly that Dondero in December of 2020 expressly told you not to have NexPoint 5 б make that payment? 7 MR. MORRIS: Objection, asked and answered three times. 8 9 Α. Yes. 10 Can you say categorically it wasn't Q. just some general discussion where he told you 11 not to make payments? 12 13 MR. MORRIS: Objection, asked and answer four times. 14 15 MR. HORN: Four times now. Go for 16 five. 17 Α. Yes. 18 Did you tell Mr. Seery that? Q. I don't believe I did. I don't 19 Α. 20 recall. 21 And was this an in-person discussion Q. 22 or telephone or email? Do you remember? 23 This was a phone -- a phone Α. 24 conversation. 25 Okay. Would you have a record of --Q.

1 WATERHOUSE -10-19-212 on your cell phone of when that conversation 3 might have taken place? I'm sorry, strike that. 4 5 Was that by cell phone? 6 Α. I believe -- yes, because we -- I 7 was at home. I mean, I don't have a landline. All I have is my cell phone. 8 9 Do you know whether your cell phone Q. still has records of conversations from 10 December 2020 on it? 11 12 My call log doesn't go back that Α. 13 far. 14 Q. Okay. Thank you. MR. RUKAVINA: I will pass the 15 witness. 16 17 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Just a couple quick questions. 18 FURTHER EXAMINATION 19 BY MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: 20 21 With respect to HCRE and HCMS, am I 0. correct there was -- there was no direction not 22 to pay those loan payments? 23 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 24 25 of the question.

Page 393

Casse 211-0330055-sqjj Dooc 1848-43 FFiled 100/2057222 Entered 100/2057222 1178 228 363 Prage 3582 off 35979

Page 394 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 Α. Yes, I don't recall having 3 conversations about, you know, those -- those 4 entities. 5 And, in fact, what was the tone that Ο. Mr. Dondero had when he talked to you about the б 7 fact that HCRE and HCMS payments hadn't been made when he found out that they hadn't been 8 9 paid? 10 Objection to form. MS. DANDENEAU: 11 MR. MORRIS: Objection to form. 12 What was the tone he took with you? Q. Oh, it was -- it was -- it was -- it 13 Α. 14 was very negative. I mean, I think he cursed 15 at me and he doesn't usually curse. 16 Okay. And in your mind, is that Ο. consistent with the fact that he was surprised 17 that those payments hadn't been made? 18 19 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 20 of the question. 21 Α. Yes. 22 Okay. Thank you. Q. 23 I have nothing further. MR. MORRIS: 24 Thank you so much, Mr. Waterhouse. 25 MR. HORN: I have no questions.

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 395
2	Thank you, Mr. Waterhouse. We appreciate	
3	your time. I am logging off the discussion	
4	and I will talk to y'all tomorrow.	
5	MR. MORRIS: Super.	
6	VIDEOGRAPHER: If there are no	
7	further questions, this ends the	
8	deposition excuse me. This ends the	
9	deposition, and we are going off the record	
10	at 7:30 p.m.	
11	(Deposition concluded at 7:30 p.m.)	
12	(Deposition concluded at 7.50 p.m.)	
13		
14		
15	FRANK WATERHOUSE	
	Cubernibed and more to before we	
16	Subscribed and sworn to before me	
17	this day of 2021.	
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

Casse 211-0330055-550 j Door 1868-43 FFiled 101/295/222 Entered 101/295/222 11/8 21/8 343 Prage 3384 off 33979

		D 200
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 396
2	CERTIFICATE	
3		
4	I, SUSAN S. KLINGER, a certified shorthand	
5	reporter within and for the State of Texas, do	
6	hereby certify:	
7	That FRANK WATERHOUSE, the witness whose	
8	deposition is hereinbefore set forth, was duly	
9	sworn by me and that such deposition is a true	
10	record of the testimony given by such witness.	
11	I further certify that I am not related to	
12	any of the parties to this action by blood or	
13	marriage; and that I am in no way interested in	
14	the outcome of this matter.	
15	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my	
16	hand this 19th of October, 2021.	
17	Jusan & Klinger	
18		
19	Susan S. Klinger, RMR-CRR, CSR	
20	Texas CSR# 6531	
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 397
2	NAME OF CASE: In re: Highland Capital	
3	DATE OF DEPOSITION: October 19, 2021	
4	NAME OF WITNESS: Frank Waterhouse	
5	Reason Codes:	
б	1. To clarify the record.	
7	2. To conform to the facts.	
8	3. To correct transcription errors.	
9	PageLineReason	
10	Fromto	
11	PageLineReason	
12	Fromto	
13	PageLineReason	
14	Fromto	
15	PageLineReason	
16	Fromto	
17	PageLineReason	
18	Fromto	
19	PageLineReason	
20	Fromto	
21	PageLineReason	
22	Fromto	
23	PageLineReason	
24	Fromto	
25		

PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP Jeffrey N. Pomerantz (CA Bar No. 143717) (admitted pro hac vice) John A. Morris (NY Bar No. 2405397) (admitted pro hac vice) Gregory V. Demo (NY Bar No. 5371992) (admitted pro hac vice) Hayley R. Winograd (NY Bar No. 5612569) (admitted pro hac vice) 10100 Santa Monica Blvd., 13th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90067 Telephone: (310) 277-6910 Facsimile: (310) 201-0760

HAYWARD PLLC Melissa S. Hayward (Texas Bar No. 24044908) Zachery Z. Annable (Texas Bar No. 24053075) 10501 N. Central Expy, Ste. 106 Dallas, Texas 75231 Telephone: (972) 755-7100 Facsimile: (972) 755-7110

Counsel for Highland Capital Management, L.P.

IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS DALLAS DIVISION

In re:	§ § Chapter 11
HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P., ¹	§ § Case No. 19-34054-sgj11
Reorganized Debtor.	\$ \$
HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P.,	§
Plaintiff,	§ § Adversary Proceeding No.
VS.	8 § 21-03005-sgj 8
NEXPOINT ADVISORS, L.P., JAMES	5 §
DONDERO, NANCY DONDERO AND THE	§
DUGABOY INVESTMENT TRUST,	§
	\$
Defendants.	8
HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P.,	§
Plaintiff,	§ § Adversary Proceeding No. 8
VS.	8 § 21-03006-sgj §

¹ The Reorganized Debtor's last four digits of its taxpayer identification number are (6725). The headquarters and service address for the above-captioned Reorganized Debtor is 100 Crescent Court, Suite 1850, Dallas, TX 75201.

HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT	- §	
SERVICES, INC., JAMES DONDERO, NANCY	ş	
DONDERO, AND THE DUGABOY	§	
INVESTMENT TRUST,	§	
	Š	
Defendants.	§	
HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P.,	§	
	§	
Plaintiff,	§	Adversary Proceeding No.
	§	
VS.	§	21-03007-sgj
	§	
HCRE PARTNERS, LLC (N/K/A NEXPOINT	§	
REAL ESTATE PARTNERS, LLC), JAMES	§	
DONDERO, NANCY DONDERO, AND THE	§	
DUGABOY INVESTMENT TRUST,	§	
<i>,</i>	§	
Defendants.	§	

HIGHLAND'S OBJECTION TO MOTION OF DEFENDANT NEXPOINT ADVISORS, L.P. TO EXTEND EXPERT DISCLOSURE AND DISCOVERY DEADLINES

Highland Capital Management, L.P., the reorganized debtor ("<u>Highland</u>") in the abovecaptioned chapter 11 case (the "<u>Bankruptcy Case</u>") and the plaintiff in the above-captioned adversary proceeding (the "<u>Adversary Proceeding</u>"), hereby objects (the "<u>Objection</u>") to the *Motion of NexPoint Advisors, L.P. to Extend Expert Disclosure and Discovery Deadlines* [AP Docket No. 86]² (the "<u>Motion</u>") filed by defendant NexPoint Advisors, L.P. ("<u>NexPoint</u>") and joined by certain defendants in other related adversary proceedings. Highland fully incorporates by reference its contemporaneously filed brief (the "<u>Brief</u>")³ in opposition to the Motions and would show unto the Court as follows:

² Unless specified otherwise, references to "AP Docket No. ___" are to the docket entries in NexPoint's Adversary Proceeding, 21-03005.

³ Capitalized terms used but not defined herein shall take on the meaning scribed thereto in the Brief.

RELIEF REQUESTED

1. By this Objection, Highland respectfully requests that the Court enter an order denying the Motions seeking to extend the expert disclosure and discovery deadlines set forth in the Scheduling Order.

2. Pursuant to Rules 7.1(d) and (h) of the *Local Bankruptcy Rules of the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Northern District of Texas* (the "Local Rules"), the Brief is being filed contemporaneously with this Objection and is incorporated by reference.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, Highland respectfully requests that the Court enter an order (i) denying in whole the relief requested in the Motions, and (ii) granting Highland such further and additional relief as the Court deems just and proper. Dated: December 1, 2021.

PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP

Jeffrey N. Pomerantz (CA Bar No. 143717) John A. Morris (NY Bar No. 2405397) Gregory V. Demo (NY Bar No. 5371992) Hayley R. Winograd (NY Bar No. 5612569) 10100 Santa Monica Blvd., 13th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90067 Telephone: (310) 277-6910 Facsimile: (310) 201-0760 E-mail: jpomerantz@pszjlaw.com jmorris@pszjlaw.com gdemo@pszjlaw.com

-and-

HAYWARD PLLC

/s/ Zachery Z. Annable

Melissa S. Hayward (Texas Bar No. 24044908) Zachery Z. Annable (Texas Bar No. 24053075) 10501 N. Central Expy, Ste. 106 Dallas, Texas 75231 Telephone: (972) 755-7100 Facsimile: (972) 755-7110 E-mail: MHayward@HaywardFirm.com ZAnnable@HaywardFirm.com

Counsel for Highland Capital Management, L.P.

PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP Jeffrey N. Pomerantz (CA Bar No. 143717) (admitted pro hac vice) John A. Morris (NY Bar No. 2405397) (admitted pro hac vice) Gregory V. Demo (NY Bar No. 5371992) (admitted pro hac vice) Hayley R. Winograd (NY Bar No. 5612569) (admitted pro hac vice) 10100 Santa Monica Blvd., 13th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90067 Telephone: (310) 277-6910 Facsimile: (310) 201-0760

HAYWARD PLLC Melissa S. Hayward (Texas Bar No. 24044908) Zachery Z. Annable (Texas Bar No. 24053075) 10501 N. Central Expy, Ste. 106 Dallas, Texas 75231 Telephone: (972) 755-7100 Facsimile: (972) 755-7110

Counsel for Highland Capital Management, L.P.

IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS DALLAS DIVISION

In re:	§ §	Chapter 11
HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P., ¹	§ §	Case No. 19-34054-sgj11
Reorganized Debtor.	§ §	
HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P.,	§	
Plaintiff,	§ §	Adversary Proceeding No.
vs.	§ §	21-03005-sgj
NEXPOINT ADVISORS, L.P., JAMES	§ 8	
DONDERO, NANCY DONDERO AND THE	§ 8	
DUGABOY INVESTMENT TRUST,	§ §	
Deember investment incest,	8 8	
Defendants.	8 8	
HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P.,	ş	
	ş	
Plaintiff,	ş	Adversary Proceeding No.
,	ş	
VS.	ş	21-03006-sgj
	§	

¹ The Reorganized Debtor's last four digits of its taxpayer identification number are (6725). The headquarters and service address for the above-captioned Reorganized Debtor is 100 Crescent Court, Suite 1850, Dallas, TX 75201.

HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT	§	
SERVICES, INC., JAMES DONDERO, NANCY	§	
DONDERO, AND THE DUGABOY	§	
INVESTMENT TRUST,	§	
	§	
Defendants.	§	
HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P.,	§	
	§	
Plaintiff,	§	Adversary Proceeding No.
	§	
VS.	§	21-03007-sgj
	§	
HCRE PARTNERS, LLC (N/K/A NEXPOINT	§	
REAL ESTATE PARTNERS, LLC), JAMES	§	
DONDERO, NANCY DONDERO, AND THE	§	
DUGABOY INVESTMENT TRUST,	§	
	§	
Defendants.	§	

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

I.	PRELIMINARY STATEMENT	. 1
II.	STATEMENT OF FACTS	. 4
A.	The Note	.4
B.	NexPoint Defaults under the Note and Highland Sues to Collect	. 5
C.	NexPoint Blames Highland for Its Default	. 6
D.	The Court Enters the Scheduling Order	. 6
E.	Mr. Waterhouse Testifies that Mr. Dondero Instructed Him Not to Make Any Payments to Highland	.7
F.	Highland's Obligations under the Shared Services Agreement Were Limited to Those "Specifically" Identified Therein	. 8
G.	The Instant Motion	.9
III.	ARGUMENT	.9
A.	NexPoint's Suggested "Expert Testimony" Is Improper as a Matter of Law	.9
В.	NexPoint Fails to Establish that Good Cause Exists to Modify the Scheduling Order	10
	 NexPoint's Explanation for Failing to Timely Designate an Expert Is Deficient 	12
	2. NexPoint's Suggested "Expert" Testimony Is Irrelevant	13
	3. Allowing the Testimony Would Prejudice Highland	15
C.	HCRE's and HCMS's Joinders Have Even Less Merit than the Motion and Should Be Denied	17
CON	CLUSION	17

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases

Askanase v. Fatjo, 130 F.3d 657 (5th Cir. 1997)
Binh Hoa Le v. Exeter Fin. Corp., 3:15-CV-3839-L, 2019 WL 1436375 (N.D. Tex. Mar. 31, 2019) 12, 13, 17, 18
<i>Charalambopoulos v. Grammer</i> , 3:14-CV-2424-D, 2017 WL 930819 (N.D. Tex. Mar. 8, 2017)
<i>Flax v. Quitman County Hosp.,</i> <i>LLC</i> , 2:09-CV-101-M-D, 2011 WL 3585870 (N.D. Miss. Aug. 16, 2011)
Geiserman v. MacDonald, 893 F.2d 787 (5th Cir.1990) passim
<i>Grand Time Corp. v. Watch Factory, Inc.,</i> 3:08-CV-1770-K, 2009 WL 10678210 (N.D. Tex. Nov. 18, 2009)
Hanspard v. Otis Elevator Co., CIV.A. 05-1292, 2007 WL 839994 (W.D. La. Jan. 12, 2007)
<i>Henderson v. Atmos Energy</i> , 496 F. Supp. 3d 1011 (E.D. La. 2020)
Nola Ventures, LLC v. Upshaw Ins. Agency, Inc., CV 12-1026, 2014 WL 12721924 (E.D. La. Nov. 7, 2014)
Panhandle Advert., LLC v. United Rentals Realty, LLC, 2:19-CV-189-Z-BR, 2021 WL 1112901 (N.D. Tex. Feb. 12, 2021)
Pipitone v. Biomatrix, Inc., 288 F.3d 239 (5th Cir. 2002) 14
<i>Reliance Ins. Co. v. Louisiana Land & Expl. Co.</i> , 110 F.3d 253 (5th Cir. 1997)
<i>Rolls-Royce Corp. v. Heros, Inc.,</i> CIV.A. 307-CV-0739-D, 2010 WL 184313 (N.D. Tex. Jan. 14, 2010)
<i>S&W Enters., L.L.C. v. SouthTrust Bank of Alabama, NA,</i> 315 F.3d 533 (5th Cir. 2003) 12, 17
Taylor Pipeline Const., Inc. v. Directional Rd. Boring, Inc.,438 F. Supp. 2d 696 (E.D. Tex. 2006)

<u>Rules</u>

HIGHLAND'S MEMORANDUM OF LAW IN SUPPORT OF OBJECTION TO MOTION OF DEFENDANT NEXPOINT ADVISORS, L.P. TO EXTEND EXPERT <u>DISCLOSURE AND DISCOVERY DEADLINES</u>

Highland Capital Management, L.P., the reorganized debtor ("<u>Highland</u>") in the abovecaptioned chapter 11 case (the "<u>Bankruptcy Case</u>") and the plaintiff in the above-captioned adversary proceeding (the "<u>Adversary Proceeding</u>"), hereby objects (the "<u>Objection</u>") to the *Motion of NexPoint Advisors, L.P. to Extend Expert Disclosure and Discovery Deadlines* [AP Docket No. 86]² (the "<u>Motion</u>") filed by defendant NexPoint Advisors, L.P. ("<u>NexPoint</u>") and joined by certain defendants in other related adversary proceedings.³ In support of its Objection, Highland respectfully states as follows:

I. <u>PRELIMINARY STATEMENT⁴</u>

1. NexPoint's Motion to modify the Scheduling Order is without merit and should be denied.

2. This Adversary Proceeding arises from NexPoint's default under its Note in the original principal amount of \$30.7 million. The Note required NexPoint to make Annual Installment payments to Highland on December 31 of each year.

3. NexPoint blames Highland for its failure to timely make the Annual Installment payment. Initially, NexPoint contended that Highland breached its obligations by negligently failing to make the payment on NexPoint's behalf. Then, Frank Waterhouse, an officer of NexPoint, a current employee of Skyview (the entity that services numerous of Mr. Dondero's

² Unless specified otherwise, references to "AP Docket No. ___" are to the docket entries in NexPoint's Adversary Proceeding, 21-03005.

³ See Motion of Highland Capital Management Services, Inc. to Extend Expert Disclosure and Discovery Deadlines, filed at Docket No. 91 in Adversary Proceeding 21-03006 ("<u>HCMS's Joinder</u>") (incorporating NexPoint's Motion), and *Motion of HCRE Partners, LLC to Extend Expert Disclosure and Discovery Deadlines*, filed at Docket No. 86 in Adversary Proceeding 21-03007 ("<u>HCRE's Joinder</u>", and together with HCMS's Joinder, the "Joinders," and collectively with the Motion, the "<u>Motions</u>") (incorporating NexPoint's Motion).

⁴ Capitalized terms used but not defined in this Preliminary Statement shall have the meanings ascribed thereto below.

businesses), and Highland's former Chief Financial Officer, testified in his deposition that NexPoint failed to make the Annual Installment payment because Mr. Dondero instructed him in December 2020 not to make *any* payments to Highland from *any* of the entities that Mr. Dondero controlled.

4. NexPoint contends that, in light of this testimony, an expert is necessary to testify regarding whether Highland violated an "affirmative duty or obligation" it owed to NexPoint under Section 6.01 of the Shared Services Agreement to effectuate the payment on behalf of NexPoint, despite Mr. Dondero's instructions to the contrary. According to NexPoint:

[T]he question becomes whether Waterhouse or the Debtor 'put their head in the sand' in violation of any affirmative duty or obligation they may have had regarding the matter, such as; to ask Dondero whether they correctly understood him; to ask Dondero whether he meant NexPoint or the Note; to inform Dondero of the potential consequences of a default by potentially accelerating a 30-year promissory note; or to try to dissuade him from his decision.

Motion ¶ 13.

5. NexPoint's Motion to extend the expert disclosure and discovery deadlines in order to retain a testifying expert on Highland's duties of care under the Shared Services Agreement is without merit.

6. NexPoint's suggested expert testimony is improper because it concerns "the standards and duties of care under the parties' Shared Services Agreement" and otherwise seeks to interpret that Agreement for the Court. It is black-letter law that the determination of the existence and scope of contractual and other legal duties are improper subjects of expert opinion because they constitute legal conclusions that fall within the exclusive province of the Court.

7. Even if that were not the case (and it is), NexPoint fails to satisfy its burden of demonstrating "good cause" to modify the Scheduling Order under Rule 16(b) for three independent reasons. *First*, as set forth below, the Motion is untimely. *Second*, the suggested expert testimony is irrelevant because it would not assist a factfinder in determining any technical

or complex issues in this case. By its plain terms, the Shared Services Agreement does not impose an affirmative duty on—or even authorize—Highland to effectuate payments on behalf of NexPoint without authorization from a NexPoint Representative. NexPoint's reliance on Section 6.01 as the source of Highland's alleged duties is thus misguided, as that provision applies only to duties specifically set forth under the Agreement.⁵ *Finally*, allowance of the expert testimony at this late juncture would substantially prejudice Highland, with such prejudice being exacerbated (and not cured) by a continuance. If the Motion is granted, Highland will be forced to expend significant resources addressing NexPoint's latest theories of its defense, including through additional discovery and motion practice. It will also cause a further delay of the trial on the merits, thereby impeding Highland's ultimate recovery under the Note, all at the expense of Highland's creditors.

8. Separately, as ill-conceived as the Motion is, the Joinders raise considerable questions of good faith, because neither Highland Management Services, Inc. ("<u>HCMS</u>") nor HCRE Partners, LLC ("<u>HCRE</u>") even alleges that it is a party to a shared services agreement (let alone the Shared Services Agreement submitted with the Motion), nor can it. The Motion seeks to "designate a testifying expert on the standards and duties of care under the parties' Shared Services Agreement," but the Joinders offer no explanation for why such expert testimony would have any relevance to them since they are not parties to *any* shared services agreement.

9. For the reasons set forth herein, Highland respectfully requests that the Court deny the Motion in all respects.

⁵ NexPoint offers no explanation for why Highland's alleged obligations under the Shared Services Agreement supersede Mr. Waterhouse's fiduciary duties to NexPoint. If anyone had a duty to ask Mr. Dondero "Are you sure?" or "Do you know what you're doing" (an absurd concept on its own), it was surely Mr. Waterhouse—not in his capacity as a Highland employee—but in his capacity as an officer of, and a fiduciary to, NexPoint.

II. <u>STATEMENT OF FACTS</u>

A. <u>The Note</u>

10. On May 31, 2017, James Dondero ("Mr. Dondero") signed a 30-year term note on

behalf of NexPoint and in favor of Highland (the "Note"). Morris Dec.⁶ Exhibit 1.

11. The Note consolidated NexPoint's obligations under five Prior Notes (as that term

is defined in the Note) and was for an original principal amount of \$30,746,812.33. See Morris

Dec. Exhibit 1, Ex. A. Highland received no consideration for consolidating the five demand notes

into a single 30-year term note.

12. NexPoint and Mr. Dondero knew that NexPoint was required to pay Highland in

Annual Installments, because it was spelled out plainly in the Note:

2.1 <u>Annual Payment Dates</u>. During the term of this Note, [NexPoint] shall pay the outstanding principal amount of the Note (and all unpaid accrued interest through the date of each payment) in thirty (30) equal annual payments (the "Annual Installments") until the Note is paid in full. [NexPoint] shall pay the Annual Installment on the 31st day of December of each calendar year during the term of this Note, commencing on the first such date to occur after the date of execution of this Note.

Morris Dec. Exhibit 1 § 2.1 (emphasis added).

13. NexPoint and Mr. Dondero also knew the consequences of failing to timely make

the required Annual Installment payments, because they were also spelled out plainly in the Note:

4. <u>Acceleration Upon Default</u>. *Failure to pay this Note or any installment hereunder as it becomes due shall, at the election of the holder hereof [i.e., Highland]*, without notice, demand presentment, notice of intent to accelerate, notice of acceleration, or any other notice of any kind which are hereby waived, *mature the principal of this Note and all interest then accrued, if any, and the same shall at once become due and payable* and subject to those remedies of the holder hereof [i.e., Highland].

Id. § 4 (emphases added).

⁶ References to "Morris Dec. ___" are to the *Declaration of John Morris in Support of Objection to Motion of Defendant NexPoint Advisors, L.P. to Extend Expert Disclosures and Discovery Deadlines* being filed concurrently herewith.

14. Finally, Mr. Dondero expressly agreed on behalf of NexPoint to waive any notice of default or acceleration:

5. <u>Waiver</u>. [NexPoint] hereby waives grace, demand, presentment for payment, notice of nonpayment, protest, notice of protest, notice of intent to accelerate, notice of acceleration, and all other notices of any kind hereunder.

Id. § 5.

15. Thus, based on the plain terms of the Note executed by Mr. Dondero on NexPoint's behalf at a time when Mr. Dondero indisputably controlled both entities, NexPoint agreed (a) to make Annual Installment payments to Highland on December 31 of each year; (b) that Highland would have the unilateral right upon a default to accelerate all unpaid principal and interest due under the Note without notice or demand; and (c) to waive, among other things, a grace period, notice of nonpayment, notice of intent to accelerate, and "all other notices of any kind hereunder."

B. <u>NexPoint Defaults under the Note and Highland Sues to Collect</u>

16. NexPoint does not dispute that it failed to make the Annual Installment payment due under the Note on December 31, 2020 in the amount of \$1,406,111.92.

17. By letter dated January 7, 2021, in an exercise of its unambiguous and unconditional rights under the Note, Highland demanded that NexPoint immediately pay all unpaid principal and interest then due under the Note (the "<u>Demand Letter</u>"). Morris Dec. Exhibit 2. The Demand Letter stated:

Because of Maker's failure to pay, the Note is in default. Pursuant to Section 4 of the Note, all principal, interest, and any other amounts due on the Note are immediately due and payable. The amount due and payable on the Note as of January 8, 2021 is \$24,471,804.98; however, interest continues to accrue under the Note.

The Note is in default, and payment is due immediately.

Id.

18. On January 22, 2021, after NexPoint failed to meet its obligations under the Note,Highland commenced this Adversary Proceeding. [AP Docket No. 1].

C. <u>NexPoint Blames Highland for Its Default</u>

19. On March 1, 2021, NexPoint filed its *Original Answer* asserting, among other things, that "[p]ursuant to that certain Shared Services Agreement, [Highland] was responsible for making payments on behalf of [NexPoint] under the note" such that any "alleged default" was caused by Highland's own negligence and breach of contract (the "<u>Original Defense</u>"). *Defendant's Original Answer* [AP Docket No. 6] (the "<u>Original Answer</u>") ¶¶ 39-41.

20. On August 9, 2021, NexPoint filed its *First Amended Answer*, which did not substantively alter its Original Defense. [AP Docket No. 50] (the "<u>Amended Answer</u>") ¶¶ 39-41.

21. On September 1, 2021, after Highland amended its Complaint, NexPoint filed its *Answer to Amended Complaint* [AP Docket No. 64] (the "<u>Final Answer</u>"). The Final Answer did not substantively alter NexPoint's Original Defense. *See id.* ¶¶ 80-82.

22. Thus, at all times prior to filing the Motion, NexPoint contended that its failure to timely make the Annual Installment due on December 31, 2020 was caused by Highland's own alleged negligence and breach of the Shared Services Agreement.

D. <u>The Court Enters the Scheduling Order</u>

23. On September 6, 2021, the Court entered the Order Approving Stipulation and Agreed Order Governing Discovery and Other Pre-Trial Issues [AP Docket No. 70] (the "Scheduling Order").

24. The Scheduling Order provides, in pertinent part, that "expert designations and disclosures of all opinions, and the bases therefor, will be made by October 29, 2021, and experts will be deposed between October 29, 2021 and November 8, 2021." Scheduling Order \P 3.

E. <u>Mr. Waterhouse Testifies that Mr. Dondero Instructed Him Not to</u> <u>Make Any Payments to Highland</u>

25. In December 2020, Frank Waterhouse ("<u>Mr. Waterhouse</u>") wore multiple hats that Mr. Dondero gave to him, including: (a) Chief Financial Officer of Highland; (b) Treasurer of NexPoint; (c) Treasurer of HCMS; (d) Treasurer of Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors, L.P. ("<u>HCMFA</u>", and together with NexPoint, the "<u>Advisors</u>"); and (e) Principal Executive Officer of certain funds managed by the Advisors. *See* Morris Dec. Exhibit 3 at 24:2-25; 35:8-22; 120:7-12; 327:3-8.

26. At a recent deposition, Mr. Waterhouse testified that NexPoint did not make the Annual Installment payment due on December 31, 2020 because Mr. Dondero had instructed him in December 2020 not to cause any payments to be made to Highland. Mr. Waterhouse also testified that he never followed up with Mr. Dondero or reminded him that the payment was coming due at the end of the month. *See* Morris Dec. Exhibit 3 at 390:4-392:17.

27. Mr. Dondero testified that he was unaware of anyone ever instructing or authorizing Highland to make the Annual Installment payment due under the Note on NexPoint's behalf. Morris Dec. Exhibit 4 at 462:16-463:9. Mr. Waterhouse concurred and confirmed that Highland's employees were not authorized to make the Annual Installment payment due at the end of the year without prior approval:

Q: Do you know if anybody ever instructed Highland's employees to make the payment that was due by NexPoint at the end of the year?

A: Did anyone instruct Highland's employees to make that payment?

Q: Correct.

A: Anyone – not that I'm aware.

Q: ... [Were] any of Highland's employees authorized to effectuate the payment on behalf of NexPoint that was due at the end of the year without getting approval from either you or Mr. Dondero?

A: They had the – they had the ability to make the payment, but they didn't – you know, that – that payment needed to be approved.

Morris Dec. Exhibit 3 at 381:21-382:16.

F. <u>Highland's Obligations under the Shared Services Agreement Were</u> Limited to Those "Specifically" Identified Therein

28. NexPoint and Highland entered into that certain *Amended and Restated Shared Services Agreement* effective as of January 1, 2018 (the "<u>SSA</u>"). Rukavina Dec., Exhibit A.⁷

29. Article II of the SSA required Highland to provide "assistance and advice" with respect to certain specified services. Highland is unaware of any provision in the SSA—and NexPoint cites to none—that authorized Highland to control NexPoint's bank accounts or required Highland to effectuate payments on behalf of NexPoint without receiving instruction or direction from an authorized representative of NexPoint.

30. In fact, Article II of the SSA expressly provided that "for the avoidance of doubt . . . [Highland] shall *not* provide any advice to [NexPoint] or perform any duties on behalf of [NexPoint], other than the back- and middle office services contemplated herein, with respect to (a) the general management of [NexPoint], its business or activities" SSA at § 2.02 (emphasis added).

31. To emphasize the point further, the SSA expressly curtailed Highland's authority to act on NexPoint's behalf:

Section 2.06 <u>Authority</u>. [Highland's] scope of assistance and advice hereunder is *limited to the services specifically provided for in this Agreement. [Highland] shall not assume or be deemed to assume any rights or obligations of [NexPoint] under any other document or agreement to which NexPoint is a party*. . . . [Highland] shall not have any duties or obligations to [NexPoint] unless those duties and obligations are specifically provided for in this Agreement (or in any amendment, modification or novation hereto or hereof to which [NexPoint] is a party.

⁷ References to "Rukavina Dec. ___" are to the *Declaration of Davor Rukavina* [AP Docket No. 86-1] attached to the Motion.

Id. § 2.06 (emphasis added).

32. There can be no credible dispute that (a) the Note is a "document or agreement to which NexPoint is a party," and that (b) the making of the Annual Installment payments were "obligations of" NexPoint under the Note.

G. <u>The Instant Motion</u>

33. Apparently stunned by Mr. Waterhouse's testimony, NexPoint now seeks to extend the expert disclosure and discovery deadlines set forth in the Scheduling Order so it can obtain expert testimony regarding Highland's legal duties under Section 6.01 of the Shared Services Agreement. Specifically, NexPoint proposes to retain an expert to testify "on the standards and duties of care under the parties' Shared Services Agreement . . . with respect to Highland's role in NexPoint's alleged failure to make a December 21, 2020 payment on the Note (defined below); specifically, that Highland was responsible for ensuring that NexPoint made this payment." Motion ¶ 1.

III. <u>ARGUMENT</u>

A. <u>NexPoint's Suggested "Expert Testimony" Is Improper as a Matter of Law</u>

34. NexPoint's suggested expert testimony is improper as a matter of law because it amounts to a legal conclusion.

35. A party may not offer an expert opinion on the scope of a party's "legal duty" because such testimony amounts to a legal conclusion. *See Panhandle Adver., LLC v. United Rentals Realty, LLC,* 2:19-CV-189-Z-BR, 2021 WL 1112901, at *5 (N.D. Tex. Feb. 12, 2021); *Flax v. Quitman County Hosp., LLC,* 2:09-CV-101-M-D, 2011 WL 3585870, at *5 (N.D. Miss. Aug. 16, 2011).

36. NexPoint's suggested expert testimony relates to Highland's "duties of care under the parties' [SSA]" and, specifically, whether "Highland was responsible" under the SSA for

"ensuring that NexPoint made" its Annual Installment payment under its Note. Motion ¶¶ 1, 18. This is precisely the type of expert testimony that courts preclude because it constitutes a legal conclusion. *See Panhandle*, 2021 WL 1112901 at *5 (granting plaintiff's motion to exclude expert testimony "as to his opinions regarding the legal duties Defendant owed Plaintiff under the lease at issue" because "opinions on the duties owed by the defendants and whether they fulfilled those duties were legal conclusions and not the proper subject for expert testimony"); *Flax*, 2011 WL 3585870 at *5 (prohibiting expert testimony "on the issue of *law* of whether a duty of care was owed") (emphasis in original); *Hanspard v. Otis Elevator Co.*, CIV.A. 05-1292, 2007 WL 839994, at *2 (W.D. La. Jan. 12, 2007) (granting plaintiff's motion *in limine* to exclude expert testimony where "an opinion as to the scope of [party's] contractual duties" constitutes a legal conclusion); *Taylor Pipeline Const., Inc. v. Directional Rd. Boring, Inc.*, 438 F. Supp. 2d 696, 706 (E.D. Tex. 2006) (finding expert testimony improper where it "opines as to the duties" owed by parties because "they amount to conclusions of law").

37. The question of whether Highland owed or breached any legal duties is an issue for the trier of fact to decide. *See Askanase v. Fatjo*, 130 F.3d 657, 673 (5th Cir. 1997) (affirming lower court's preclusion of expert testimony regarding whether officers and directors "fulfilled their fiduciary duties to the Company ... is a legal opinion and inadmissible. Whether the officers and directors breached their fiduciary duties is an issue for the trier of fact to decide. It is not for [the expert] to tell the trier of fact what to decide").

38. Accordingly, NexPoint's suggested expert testimony on Highland's duties under the SSA is improper as a matter of law, and the Motion should be denied on this basis alone.

B. <u>NexPoint Fails to Establish that Good Cause Exists to Modify the</u> <u>Scheduling Order</u>

39. NexPoint fails to satisfy its burden of demonstrating good cause to modify the Scheduling Order.

40. Under Rule 16(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, a scheduling order may be modified only for "good cause." FED. R. CIV. P. 16(b)(4). Courts consider four factors in determining whether "good cause" is shown: "(1) the explanation for the failure to identify the witness; (2) the importance of the testimony; (3) potential prejudice in allowing the testimony; and (4) the availability of a continuance to cure such prejudice." *Geiserman v. MacDonald*, 893 F.2d 787, 791 (5th Cir.1990). These are the same four factors used to determine whether to exclude expert testimony under Rule 37(c)(1) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. *See Grand Time Corp. v. Watch Factory, Inc.*, 3:08-CV-1770-K, 2009 WL 10678210, at *2 (N.D. Tex. Nov. 18, 2009). Ultimately, "the good cause standard requires the 'party seeking relief to show that the deadlines [could not] reasonably [have been] met despite the diligence of the party needing the extension." *Binh Hoa Le v. Exeter Fin. Corp.*, 3:15-CV-3839-L, 2019 WL 1436375, at *14 (N.D. Tex. Mar. 31, 2019) (quoting *S&W Enters., L.L.C. v. SouthTrust Bank of Ala., NA*, 315 F.3d 533, 535 (5th Cir. 2003)).

41. "Under Rule 16(b), the movant has the burden of showing good cause to modify a scheduling order." *Grand Time*, 2009 WL 10678210 at *3. Whether to modify a scheduling order is within the court's broad discretion. *See Geiserman*, 893 F.2d at 790 ("[O]ur court gives the trial court broad discretion to preserve the integrity and purpose of the pretrial order") (internal quotations omitted); *Reliance Ins. Co. v. La. Land & Expl. Co.*, 110 F.3d 253, 257 (5th Cir. 1997). Moreover, "a trial court's decision to exclude evidence as a means of enforcing a pretrial order must not be disturbed absent a clear abuse of discretion." *Geiserman*, 893 F.2d at 790.

42. Each of the four factors weighs in favor of denying modification of the Scheduling Order.

1. <u>NexPoint's Explanation for Failing to Timely Designate an Expert Is</u> <u>Deficient</u>

43. NexPoint's explanation for its failure to timely designate an expert is disingenuous. NexPoint contends that, *inter alia*, its failure to previously designate an expert was "due solely to not having the benefit of Waterhouse's and Seery's recent deposition testimony," and that expert testimony is now "necessitated by Waterhouse's testimony and not any prior action or inaction of NexPoint Motion." Motion ¶ 21. NexPoint seeks to modify the Scheduling Order simply because the deposition of one of its witnesses did not go well. This is plainly improper under Rule 16(b). *See Reliance*, 110 F.3d at 257 (affirming lower court's denial of party's request to supplement expert report where "[movant] asked for an opportunity to avoid the deadline for its expert report merely because the deposition of its expert witness did not go well," noting that "[d]istrict judges have the power to control their dockets by refusing to give ineffective litigants a second chance to develop their case").

44. Moreover, NexPoint filed its Original Answer nine (9) months ago and its Original Defense was expressly based on the SSA. [AP Docket No. 6 ¶¶ 39-41]. Given the testimony of Mr. Dondero (which could not have been unexpected) and Mr. Waterhouse that NexPoint never authorized or instructed Highland to make the Annual Installment payment due on December 31, 2020, *see* Section II.E, *supra*, NexPoint has always had the burden of proving that Highland owed a duty under the SSA, yet it never offered expert opinions on the topic. If NexPoint wanted to offer "expert testimony" concerning Highland's duties under the SSA, it had nine months to do so, and Mr. Waterhouse's testimony, expected or not, does nothing to change that. *See Geiserman*, 893 F.2d at 792 (finding party failed to provide a "valid reason that would justify excusing him from the deadlines imposed by the lower court," noting "[t]he claimed importance of expert testimony underscores the need for [party] to have timely designated his expert witness," and "[t]he importance of such proposed testimony cannot singularly override the enforcement of local rules

12

and scheduling orders"). NexPoint's conclusory statements regarding the need for expert testimony are insufficient under Rule 16(b). *See Binh Hoa*, 2019 WL 1436375 at *20 (finding "vague and conclusory statements regarding the need for additional information or facts do not adequately explain [party's] failure to meet the expert deadline in the Scheduling Order").

45. Accordingly, the first factor strongly favors denial of the Motion.

2. <u>NexPoint's Suggested "Expert" Testimony Is Irrelevant</u>

46. The second factor—the importance of the suggested expert testimony— weighs heavily in favor of denying modification of the Scheduling Order.

47. In addition to being improper, the suggested expert testimony is also irrelevant. To be relevant, "expert testimony [must] 'assist the trier of fact to understand the evidence or to determine a fact in issue." *Charalambopoulos v. Grammer*, 3:14-CV-2424-D, 2017 WL 930819, at *9 (N.D. Tex. Mar. 8, 2017) (quoting *Pipitone v. Biomatrix, Inc.*, 288 F.3d 239, 245 (5th Cir. 2002)).

48. NexPoint contends that its suggested expert testimony is "important because the duties of care as specified in the [SSA] are terms of art necessitating an expert analysis." Motion ¶ 21. NexPoint's reliance on Section 6.01 in support of its Motion is misplaced.

49. By its express terms, Section 6.01 does not impose a duty on Highland to make or effectuate Annual Installment payments on NexPoint's behalf without authorization from a representative of NexPoint. Rather, Section 6.01 sets forth a "standard of care" that applies *only* with respect to the discharge of "duties under this Agreement."⁸ In fact, to remove all doubt, the

⁸ Notably, and notwithstanding the "standard of care" set forth in Section 6.01, the SSA provides Highland with considerable exculpation and indemnification protections that alone defeat NexPoint's Original Defense. For example, NexPoint agreed not to hold Highland liable for any acts or omissions unless it is determined by a court of competent jurisdiction to "be the result of gross negligence or to constitute fraud or willful misconduct." Rukavina Dec., Exhibit A § 6.02. NexPoint also agreed to indemnify Highland "from and against any and all claims and causes of action" for, among other things, "negligence." *Id.* § 6.03.

SSA emphasizes multiple times that Highland had *no* duties or obligations except with respect to those "specifically" identified therein. *See* Rukavina Dec., Exhibit A §§ 2.02, 2.06. NexPoint does not and cannot identify any provision in the SSA that imposes a duty on Highland to make Annual Installment payments on NexPoint's behalf without direction from an authorized NexPoint representative. *See* Original Answer ¶¶ 39-41 (no SSA provision cited); Amended Answer ¶¶ 39-41 (no SSA provision cited); Final Answer ¶¶ 80-82 (no SSA provision cited); Motion, generally (citing only to Section 6.01).

50. Thus, based on the plain terms of the SSA and NexPoint's own pleadings, expert testimony regarding Highland's alleged "duties" is irrelevant. *See Geiserman*, 893 F.2d at 791 (affirming lower court's refusal to modify scheduling order, noting that expert testimony "is not critical" if the issue at hand is "obvious to a layperson or established as a matter of law"); *Rolls-Royce Corp. v. Heros, Inc.*, CIV.A. 307-CV-0739-D, 2010 WL 184313, at *6 (N.D. Tex. Jan. 14, 2010) ("Testimony is irrelevant [] when an expert offers a conclusion based on assumptions unsupported by the facts of the case").

51. Moreover, the suggested expert testimony will not help the factfinder understand a complex fact in issue. Contrary to NexPoint's representations, this Adversary Proceeding does not involve complicated or technical issues. The issues in this Adversary proceeding are whether NexPoint defaulted on its Note and whether NexPoint can prove that Highland's alleged "negligence" or "breach of contract" caused such default. Final Answer ¶¶ 80-82. These issues are well within a fact-finder's understanding and are not the type which would necessitate an expert. *See Nola Ventures, LLC v. Upshaw Ins. Agency, Inc.*, CV 12-1026, 2014 WL 12721924, at *10 (E.D. La. Nov. 7, 2014), *on reconsideration*, CIV.A. 12-1026, 2014 WL 6090584 (E.D. La. Nov. 13, 2014) (excluding expert testimony where, "[d]espite Plaintiffs' arguments to the contrary, this case is not about the complicated inner workings of the insurance industry. It is about whether

an insurance agent misrepresented the type of coverage that Plaintiffs believed they were purchasing, and whether Defendants owed a heightened duty of care to Plaintiffs. Nothing in [expert's] report or proposed testimony will help the jury to understand a fact in issue that is not within the common understanding of a lay juror"); *Henderson v. Atmos Energy*, 496 F. Supp. 3d 1011, 1017 (E.D. La. 2020) (excluding expert testimony as irrelevant and unnecessary where "it is one based in common sense").

52. At all relevant times, Mr. Waterhouse was an officer and a fiduciary of NexPoint, serving as its Treasurer. If anyone had an obligation to ask Mr. Dondero if he wanted to reconsider his instructions, it was Mr. Waterhouse in the first instance—not in his capacity as an employee of Highland, but as an officer and fiduciary of the obligor, NexPoint. Whether Mr. Dondero or Mr. Waterhouse is telling the truth is an interesting issue, but the Court need not resolve their dispute because it would only be relevant if the SSA imposed a duty on Highland to effectuate the Annual Installment payment without ever receiving any direction or instruction from a duly authorized representative of NexPoint. And, as Mr. Waterhouse testified, the SSA imposes no such duty.

53. Accordingly, the suggested expert testimony is irrelevant, and the Motion should be denied on this basis.

3. Allowing the Testimony Would Prejudice Highland

54. The third and fourth factors also weigh in favor of denying the Motion.

55. Allowing the suggested expert testimony would prejudice Highland because Highland would need to expend additional resources responding to NexPoint's latest theory of its defense by way of: (i) retaining a rebuttal expert; (ii) deposing NexPoint's expert; or (iii) moving to strike the expert testimony. *See Geiserman*, 893 F.2d at 791 (affirming lower court's striking of untimely witness designation and preclusion of expert testimony where delay of "a couple weeks in designating the expert witness" would have "disrupted the court's discovery scheduling and the opponent's preparation," and resulted in "expense that would result from an extended discovery schedule for [movant's] failure to adhere to deadlines," noting that "the trial court has latitude to control discovery abuses and cure prejudice by excluding improperly designated evidence"); *Binh Hoa*, 2019 WL 1436375 at *20 ("It would [] be patently unfair to allow Plaintiff to supplement and amend his expert report this late in the case without: (1) allowing Defendants to amend their expert designations and provide an expert report to address the matters in Plaintiff's amended and supplemental expert reports, (2) giving Defendants an opportunity to depose Plaintiff's expert regarding his most recent opinion . . .").

56. A continuance would not cure this prejudice because the trial on the merits of the underlying action would be unnecessarily delayed. This would ultimately delay Highland's potential recovery under the Note and distributions to creditors under Highland's Plan. See S&W Enters., 315 F.3d at 537 (affirming lower court's denial of untimely submission of expert report where defendant would be forced to conduct additional discovery in response to movant's new materials, noting that "while a continuance could be granted for additional discovery . . . a continuance would unnecessarily delay the trial"); Reliance, 110 F.3d at 257-58 (affirming lower court's denial to modify scheduling order to add expert testimony where court found "[t]o allow plaintiff to add more material now and create essentially a new report would prejudice the defendants, who would then have to get an expert to address these last-minute conclusions, and thus disrupt the trial date in this case") (internal quotations omitted); Geiserman, 893 F.2d at 791 (finding that while attorney "could have conducted new discovery and redeposed witnesses under a continuance in response to the untimely designation, this would have resulted in additional delay and increased the expense of defending the lawsuit"); Binh Hoa, 2019 WL 1436375 at *20 ("Ordering another continuance would only serve to reward Plaintiff for his dilatory conduct and

failure to comply with court-ordered deadlines and this district's Local Civil Rules and result in additional delay and expense. Regardless, it is not incumbent on the court to award litigants for failing to develop their cases"). A simple collection action like the Adversary Proceeding should not be continually extended simply because the defendant is unsatisfied with its defenses and the evidence adduced in discovery.

57. For these additional reasons, NexPoint fails to demonstrate good cause to excuse it from the deadlines set forth in the Scheduling Order. Accordingly, the Motion should be denied.

C. <u>HCRE's and HCMS's Joinders Have Even Less Merit than the</u> <u>Motion and Should Be Denied</u>

58. The Joinders are even more frivolous than the Motion. In addition to the reasons set forth above, neither HCMS nor HCRE was ever a party to any shared services agreement with Highland, let alone the SSA that is the foundation of the Motion. Accordingly, the Joinders are without merit and should be summarily denied by the Court.

CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, Highland respectfully requests that the Court (i) deny the Motions and (ii) grant such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

Dated: December 1, 2021.

PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP

Jeffrey N. Pomerantz (CA Bar No. 143717) John A. Morris (NY Bar No. 2405397) Gregory V. Demo (NY Bar No. 5371992) Hayley R. Winograd (NY Bar No. 5612569) 10100 Santa Monica Blvd., 13th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90067 Telephone: (310) 277-6910 Facsimile: (310) 201-0760 E-mail: jpomerantz@pszjlaw.com jmorris@pszjlaw.com gdemo@pszjlaw.com

-and-

HAYWARD PLLC

/s/ Zachery Z. Annable

Melissa S. Hayward (Texas Bar No. 24044908) Zachery Z. Annable (Texas Bar No. 24053075) 10501 N. Central Expy, Ste. 106 Dallas, Texas 75231 Telephone: (972) 755-7100 Facsimile: (972) 755-7110 E-mail: MHayward@HaywardFirm.com ZAnnable@HaywardFirm.com

Counsel for Highland Capital Management, L.P.

PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP Jeffrey N. Pomerantz (CA Bar No. 143717) (admitted pro hac vice) John A. Morris (NY Bar No. 2405397) (admitted pro hac vice) Gregory V. Demo (NY Bar No. 5371992) (admitted pro hac vice) Hayley R. Winograd (NY Bar No. 5612569) (admitted pro hac vice) 10100 Santa Monica Blvd., 13th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90067 Telephone: (310) 277-6910 Facsimile: (310) 201-0760

HAYWARD PLLC Melissa S. Hayward (Texas Bar No. 24044908) Zachery Z. Annable (Texas Bar No. 24053075) 10501 N. Central Expy, Ste. 106 Dallas, Texas 75231 Telephone: (972) 755-7100 Facsimile: (972) 755-7110

Counsel for Highland Capital Management, L.P.

IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS DALLAS DIVISION

In re:	§ §	Chapter 11
HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P., ¹	§ §	Case No. 19-34054-sgj11
Reorganized Debtor.	§ §	
HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P.,	§	
Plaintiff,	§ §	Adversary Proceeding No.
VS.	8 § 8	21-03005-sgj
NEXPOINT ADVISORS, L.P., JAMES	8 8	
DONDERO, NANCY DONDERO AND THE	§	
DUGABOY INVESTMENT TRUST,	§	
	§	
Defendants.	§	
HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P.,	§	
	§	
Plaintiff,	§	Adversary Proceeding No.
VS.	§ § §	21-03006-sgj

¹ The Reorganized Debtor's last four digits of its taxpayer identification number are (6725). The headquarters and service address for the above-captioned Reorganized Debtor is 100 Crescent Court, Suite 1850, Dallas, TX 75201.

HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT	ş	
SERVICES, INC., JAMES DONDERO, NANCY	§	
DONDERO, AND THE DUGABOY	§	
INVESTMENT TRUST,	§	
	§	
Defendants.	§	
HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P.,	§	
	§	
Plaintiff,	§	Adversary Proceeding No.
	§	
VS.	§	21-03007-sgj
	§	
HCRE PARTNERS, LLC (N/K/A NEXPOINT	§	
REAL ESTATE PARTNERS, LLC), JAMES	§	
DONDERO, NANCY DONDERO, AND THE	§	
DUGABOY INVESTMENT TRUST,	§	
	§	
Defendants.	§	

DECLARATION OF JOHN A. MORRIS IN SUPPORT OF HIGHLAND'S OBJECTION TO MOTION OF DEFENDANT NEXPOINT ADVISORS, L.P. TO EXTEND EXPERT DISCLOSURE AND DISCOVERY DEADLINES

I, John A. Morris, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746(a) and under penalty of perjury, declare as follows:

1. I am an attorney in the law firm of Pachulski, Stang, Ziehl & Jones LLP, counsel to the above-referenced Reorganized Debtor, and I submit this Declaration in support of *Highland's Objection to Motion of Defendant NexPoint Advisors, L.P. to Extend Expert Disclosure and Discovery Deadlines* (the "Objection") being filed concurrently with this Declaration. I submit this Declaration based on my personal knowledge and review of the documents listed below.

2. Attached as <u>Exhibit 1</u> is a true and correct copy of a 30-year term note on behalf of NexPoint Advisors, L.P. and in favor of Highland Capital Management, L.P. for an original principal amount of \$30,746,812.33, dated May 31, 2017.

3. Attached as **Exhibit 2** is a true and correct copy of a Demand Letter dated January

7, 2021.

4. Attached as <u>Exhibit 3</u> is a true and correct copy of the October 19, 2021 deposition transcript of Frank Waterhouse.

5. Attached as <u>Exhibit 4</u> is a true and correct copy of the October 29, 2021 deposition transcript of James Dondero.

Dated: December 1, 2021.

/s/ John A. Morris John A. Morris

EXHIBIT 1

PROMISSORY NOTE

\$30,746,812.33

May 31, 2017

THIS PROMISSORY NOTE (this "<u>Note</u>") is in substitution for and supersedes in their entirety each of those certain promissory notes described in <u>Exhibit A</u> hereto, from NexPoint Advisors, L.P., as Maker, and Highland Capital Management, L.P. as Payee (collectively, the "<u>Prior Notes</u>"), together with the aggregate outstanding principal and accrued and unpaid interested represented thereby.

FOR VALUE RECEIVED, NEXPOINT ADVISORS, L.P. ("<u>Maker</u>") promises to pay to the order of HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P. ("<u>Payee</u>"), in legal and lawful tender of the United States of America, the principal sum of THIRTY MILLION, SEVEN HUNDRED FORTY SIX THOUSAND, EIGHT HUNDRED TWELVE AND 33/100 DOLLARS (\$30,746,812.33), together with interest, on the terms set forth below. All sums hereunder are payable to Payee at 300 Crescent Court, Suite 700, Dallas, Texas 75201, or such other address as Payee may specify to Maker in writing from time to time.

1. <u>Interest Rate</u>. The unpaid principal balance of this Note from time to time outstanding shall bear interest at the rate of six percent (6.00%) per annum from the date hereof until Maturity Date (hereinafter defined), compounded annually on the anniversary of the date of this Note. Interest shall be calculated at a daily rate equal to 1/365th (1/366 in a leap year) of the rate per annum, shall be charged and collected on the actual number of days elapsed, and shall be payable annually.

2. <u>Payment of Principal and Interest</u>. Principal and interest under this Note shall be payable as follows:

2.1 <u>Annual Payment Dates</u>. During the term of this Note, Borrower shall pay the outstanding principal amount of the Note (and all unpaid accrued interest through the date of each such payment) in thirty (30) equal annual payments (the "<u>Annual Installment</u>") until the Note is paid in full. Borrower shall pay the Annual Installment on the 31st day of December of each calendar year during the term of this Note, commencing on the first such date to occur after the date of execution of this Note.

2.2 <u>Final Payment Date</u>. The final payment in the aggregate amount of the then outstanding and unpaid Note, together with all accrued and unpaid interest thereon, shall become immediately due and payable in full on December 31, 2047 (the "<u>Maturity Date</u>").

3. <u>Prepayment Allowed; Renegotiation Discretionary</u>. Maker may prepay in whole or in part the unpaid principal or accrued interest of this Note. Any payments on this Note shall be applied first to unpaid accrued interest hereon, and then to unpaid principal hereof.

4. <u>Acceleration Upon Default</u>. Failure to pay this Note or any installment hereunder as it becomes due shall, at the election of the holder hereof, without notice, demand, presentment, notice of intent to accelerate, notice of acceleration, or any other notice of any kind which are hereby waived, mature the principal of this Note and all interest then accrued, if any, and the same

Case \$21,203006055950job 0.04806 Filled e01,1025/2221 Entented e01,1025/2221811/8:447342 Page 0568 of 899

shall at once become due and payable and subject to those remedies of the holder hereof. No failure or delay on the part of Payee in exercising any right, power or privilege hereunder shall operate as a waiver thereof.

5. <u>Waiver</u>. Maker hereby waives grace, demand, presentment for payment, notice of nonpayment, protest, notice of protest, notice of intent to accelerate, notice of acceleration and all other notices of any kind hereunder.

6. <u>Attorneys' Fees</u>. If this Note is not paid at maturity (whether by acceleration or otherwise) and is placed in the hands of an attorney for collection, or if it is collected through a bankruptcy court or any other court after maturity, the Maker shall pay, in addition to all other amounts owing hereunder, all actual expenses of collection, all court costs and reasonable attorneys' fees and expenses incurred by the holder hereof.

7. <u>Limitation on Agreements</u>. All agreements between Maker and Payee, whether now existing or hereafter arising, are hereby limited so that in no event shall the amount paid, or agreed to be paid to Payee for the use, forbearance, or detention of money or for the payment or performance of any covenant or obligation contained herein or in any other document evidencing, securing or pertaining to this Note, exceed the maximum interest rate allowed by law. The terms and provisions of this paragraph shall control and supersede every other provision of all agreements between Payee and Maker in conflict herewith.

8. <u>Governing Law</u>. This Note and the rights and obligations of the parties hereunder shall be governed by the laws of the United States of America and by the laws of the State of Texas, and is performable in Dallas County, Texas.

9. <u>Prior Notes</u>. The original of each of the Prior Notes superseded hereby shall be marked "VOID" by Payee.

MAKER:

NEXPOINT ADVISORS, L.P. By: NexPoint Advisors GP, LLC, its general partner

By:

Name: Title:

EXHIBIT A

PRIOR NOTES

Loan Date	Initial Note Amount	Interest Rate	Principal and Interest Outstanding as of May 31, 2017
8/21/14	\$4,000,000	6.00%	\$4,616,739.73
10/1/14	\$6,000,000	6.00%	\$6,959,671.23
11/14/14	\$2,500,000	6.00%	\$2,881,780.82
1/29/15	\$3,100,000	6.00%	\$3,534,679.45
7/22/15	\$12,075,000	6.00%	\$12,753,941.10
	\$27,675,000		\$30,746,812.33

EXHIBIT 2

HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P.

January 7, 2021

NexPoint Advisors, L.P. 300 Crescent Court, Suite 700 Dallas, Texas 75201 Attention: James Dondero

Re: Demand on Promissory Note

Dear Mr. Dondero,

On May 31, 2017, NexPoint Advisors, L.P, entered into that certain promissory note in the original principal amount of \$30,746,812.33 (the "<u>Note</u>") in favor of Highland Capital Management, L.P. ("<u>Payee</u>").

As set forth in Section 2 of the Note, accrued interest and principal on the Note is due and payable in thirty equal annual payments with each payment due on December 31 of each calendar year. Maker failed to make the payment due on December 31, 2020.

Because of Maker's failure to pay, the Note is in default. Pursuant to Section 4 of the Note, all principal, interest, and any other amounts due on the Note are immediately due and payable. The amount due and payable on the Note as of January 8, 2021 is \$24,471,804.98; however, interest continues to accrue under the Note.

The Note is in default, and payment is due <u>immediately</u>. Payments on the Note must be made in immediately available funds. Payee's wire information is attached hereto as **Appendix A**.

Nothing contained herein constitutes a waiver of any rights or remedies of Payee under the Note or otherwise and all such rights and remedies, whether at law, equity, contract, or otherwise, are expressly reserved. Interest, including default interest if applicable, on the Note will continue to accrue until the Note is paid in full. Any such interest will remain the obligation of Maker.

Sincerely,

/s/ James P. Seery, Jr.

James P. Seery, Jr. Highland Capital Management, L.P. Chief Executive Officer/Chief Restructuring Officer cc: Fred Caruso James Romey Jeffrey Pomerantz Ira Kharasch Gregory Demo DC Sauter

Appendix A

ABA #:322070381Bank Name:East West BankAccount Name:Highland Capital Management, LPAccount #:5500014686

EXHIBIT 3

1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 1
2	IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS	
3	DALLAS DIVISION	
4	IN RE:	
5	Chapter 11 HIGHLAND CAPITAL	
6	MANAGEMENT, L.P., CASE NO. 19-34054-SGI11	
7	Debtor.	
8	 HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P.,	
9	Plaintiff,	
10	vs. Adversary	
11	Proceeding No. HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT 21-03000-SGI FUND ADVISORS, L.P.; NEXPOINT	
12	ADVISORS, L.P.; HIGHLAND	
13	INCOME FUND; NEXPOINT STRATEGIC OPPORTUNITIES FUND;	
14	NEXPOINT CAPITAL, INC.; and CLO HOLDCO, LTD.,	
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	REMOTE VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF	
18	FRANK WATERHOUSE	
19	October 19, 2021	
20		
21		
22		
23		
24	Reported by: Susan S. Klinger, RMR-CRR, CSR	
25	Job No: 201195	

Caae 2106005 sgj Dood 483 Filied 01206 221 Elatered 01206 22184 87432 Page 536 b1899

	Page 2			Page 3
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Fage 5
2		2	APPEARANCES:	
3				
Ι.	Optober 10, 2021	3	(All appearances via Zoom.)	
4	October 19, 2021	4	Attorneys for the Reorganized Highland Capital	
5	9:30 a.m.	5	Management:	
6		6	John Morris, Esq.	
7		7	Hayley Winograd, Esq.	
8		8	PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES	
9	Remote Deposition of FRANK WATERHOUSE,	9	780 Third Avenue	
10	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	10		
		-	New York, New York 10017	
	Merit Reporter and Certified Realtime Reporter	11	Attorneys for the Witness:	
12	of the State of Texas.	12	Debra Dandeneau, Esq.	
13		13	Michelle Hartmann, Esq.	
14		14	BAKER McKENZIE	
15		15	1900 North Pearl Street	
16		16	Dallas, Texas 75201	
17		17	Attorneys for NexPoint Advisors, LP and	
18			-	
1		18	Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors,	
19		19		
20		20	Davor Rukavina, Esq.	
21		21	An Nguyen, Esq.	
22		22	MUNSCH HARDT KOPF & HARDD	
23		23	500 North Akard Street	
24		24	Dallas, Texas 75201-6659	
25		25		
		20		
	Page 4			Page 5
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	
2	Attorneys for Jim Dondero, Nancy Dondero, HCRA,	2	INDEX	
3				
10	and HCMS:	3		
4				
4	Deborah Deitsch-Perez, Esq.	3	WITNESS PAGE	
4 5	Deborah Deitsch-Perez, Esq. Michael Aigen, Esq.	3	WITNESS PAGE FRANK WATERHOUSE	
4 5 6	Deborah Deitsch-Perez, Esq. Michael Aigen, Esq. STINSON	3 4 5 6	WITNESS PAGE FRANK WATERHOUSE EXAMINATION BY MR. MORRIS 10	
4 5 6 7	Deborah Deitsch-Perez, Esq. Michael Aigen, Esq. STINSON 3102 Oak Lawn Avenue	3 4 5 6 7	WITNESS PAGE FRANK WATERHOUSE EXAMINATION BY MR. MORRIS 10 EXAMINATION BY MR. RUKAVINA 256	
4 5 6 7 8	Deborah Deitsch-Perez, Esq. Michael Aigen, Esq. STINSON	3 4 5 6 7 8	WITNESSPAGEFRANK WATERHOUSEEXAMINATION BY MR. MORRIS10EXAMINATION BY MR. RUKAVINA256EXAMINATION BY MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ352	
4 5 6 7 8 9	Deborah Deitsch-Perez, Esq. Michael Aigen, Esq. STINSON 3102 Oak Lawn Avenue Dallas, Texas 75219	3 4 5 6 7	WITNESSPAGEFRANK WATERHOUSE10EXAMINATION BY MR. MORRIS10EXAMINATION BY MR. RUKAVINA256EXAMINATION BY MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ352EXAMINATION BY MR. MORRIS377	
4 5 6 7 8	Deborah Deitsch-Perez, Esq. Michael Aigen, Esq. STINSON 3102 Oak Lawn Avenue	3 4 5 6 7 8	WITNESSPAGEFRANK WATERHOUSEEXAMINATION BY MR. MORRIS10EXAMINATION BY MR. RUKAVINA256EXAMINATION BY MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ352	
4 5 6 7 8 9	Deborah Deitsch-Perez, Esq. Michael Aigen, Esq. STINSON 3102 Oak Lawn Avenue Dallas, Texas 75219	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	WITNESSPAGEFRANK WATERHOUSE10EXAMINATION BY MR. MORRIS10EXAMINATION BY MR. RUKAVINA256EXAMINATION BY MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ352EXAMINATION BY MR. MORRIS377	
4 5 6 7 8 9	Deborah Deitsch-Perez, Esq. Michael Aigen, Esq. STINSON 3102 Oak Lawn Avenue Dallas, Texas 75219 Attorneys for Dugaboy Investment Trust:	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	WITNESSPAGEFRANK WATERHOUSEEXAMINATION BY MR. MORRIS10EXAMINATION BY MR. RUKAVINA256EXAMINATION BY MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ352EXAMINATION BY MR. MORRIS377EXAMINATION BY MR. RUKAVINA387	
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	Deborah Deitsch-Perez, Esq. Michael Aigen, Esq. STINSON 3102 Oak Lawn Avenue Dallas, Texas 75219 Attorneys for Dugaboy Investment Trust: Warren Hom, Esq. HELLER, DRAPER & HORN	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	WITNESSPAGEFRANK WATERHOUSE10EXAMINATION BY MR. MORRIS10EXAMINATION BY MR. RUKAVINA256EXAMINATION BY MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ352EXAMINATION BY MR. MORRIS377EXAMINATION BY MR. RUKAVINA387EXAMINATION BY MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ393	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Deborah Deitsch-Perez, Esq. Michael Aigen, Esq. STINSON 3102 Oak Lawn Avenue Dallas, Texas 75219 Attorneys for Dugaboy Investment Trust: Warren Horn, Esq. HELLER, DRAPER & HORN 650 Poydras Street	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	WITNESSPAGEFRANK WATERHOUSEEXAMINATION BY MR. MORRIS10EXAMINATION BY MR. RUKAVINA256EXAMINATION BY MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ352EXAMINATION BY MR. MORRIS377EXAMINATION BY MR. RUKAVINA387EXAMINATION BY MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ393EXAMINATION BY MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ393	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Deborah Deitsch-Perez, Esq. Michael Aigen, Esq. STINSON 3102 Oak Lawn Avenue Dallas, Texas 75219 Attorneys for Dugaboy Investment Trust: Warren Hom, Esq. HELLER, DRAPER & HORN	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	WITNESSPAGEFRANK WATERHOUSEEXAMINATION BY MR. MORRIS10EXAMINATION BY MR. RUKAVINA256EXAMINATION BY MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ352EXAMINATION BY MR. MORRIS377EXAMINATION BY MR. RUKAVINA387EXAMINATION BY MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ393EXAMINATION BY MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ393	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Deborah Deitsch-Perez, Esq. Michael Aigen, Esq. STINSON 3102 Oak Lawn Avenue Dallas, Texas 75219 Attomeys for Dugaboy Investment Trust: Warren Hom, Esq. HELLER, DRAPER & HORN 650 Poydras Street New Orleans, Louisiana 70130	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	WITNESSPAGEFRANK WATERHOUSEEXAMINATION BY MR. MORRIS10EXAMINATION BY MR. RUKAVINA256EXAMINATION BY MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ352EXAMINATION BY MR. MORRIS377EXAMINATION BY MR. RUKAVINA387EXAMINATION BY MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ393EXAMINATION BY MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ393EXAMINATION BY MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ393	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Deborah Deitsch-Perez, Esq. Michael Aigen, Esq. STINSON 3102 Oak Lawn Avenue Dallas, Texas 75219 Attorneys for Dugaboy Investment Trust: Warren Horn, Esq. HELLER, DRAPER & HORN 650 Poydras Street New Orleans, Louisiana 70130 Attorneys for Marc Kirschner as the trustee for	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	WITNESSPAGEFRANK WATERHOUSEEXAMINATION BY MR. MORRIS10EXAMINATION BY MR. RUKAVINA256EXAMINATION BY MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ352EXAMINATION BY MR. MORRIS377EXAMINATION BY MR. RUKAVINA387EXAMINATION BY MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ393EXAMINATION BY MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ393EXAMINATION BY MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ393EXAMINATION BY MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ393EXAMINATION BY MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ393EXHIBITSNo.PageExhibit 2 NPA et al Amended Complaint142Exhibit 33 6/3/19 Management91Y	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Deborah Deitsch-Perez, Esq. Michael Aigen, Esq. STINSON 3102 Oak Lawn Avenue Dallas, Texas 75219 Attorneys for Dugaboy Investment Trust: Warren Horn, Esq. HELLER, DRAPER & HORN 650 Poydras Street New Orleans, Louisiana 70130 Attorneys for Marc Kirschner as the trustee for the litigation SunTrust:	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	WITNESSPAGEFRANK WATERHOUSEEXAMINATION BY MR. MORRIS10EXAMINATION BY MR. RUKAVINA256EXAMINATION BY MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ352EXAMINATION BY MR. MORRIS377EXAMINATION BY MR. RUKAVINA387EXAMINATION BY MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ393EXAMINATION BY MR. RUKAVINA387EXAMINATION BY MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ393EXAMINATION BY MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ393EXAMINATION BY MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ393EXHIBITSNo.PageExhibit 2 NPA et al Amended Complaint142Exhibit 33 6/3/19 Management91Representation91	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Deborah Deitsch-Perez, Esq. Michael Aigen, Esq. STINSON 3102 Oak Lawn Avenue Dallas, Texas 75219 Attorneys for Dugaboy Investment Trust: Warren Hom, Esq. HELLER, DRAPER & HORN 650 Poydras Street New Orleans, Louisiana 70130 Attorneys for Marc Kirschner as the trustee for the litigation SunTrust: Deborah Newman, Esq.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	WITNESSPAGEFRANK WATERHOUSEEXAMINATION BY MR. MORRIS10EXAMINATION BY MR. RUKAVINA256EXAMINATION BY MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ352EXAMINATION BY MR. MORRIS377EXAMINATION BY MR. RUKAVINA387EXAMINATION BY MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ393EXAMINATION BY MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ393EXAMINATION BY MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ393EXAMINATION BY MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ393EXAMINATION BY MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ393EXHIBITSNo.PageExhibit 2 NPA et al Amended Complaint142Exhibit 33 6/3/19 Management91Y	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Deborah Deitsch-Perez, Esq. Michael Aigen, Esq. STINSON 3102 Oak Lawn Avenue Dallas, Texas 75219 Attorneys for Dugaboy Investment Trust: Warren Horn, Esq. HELLER, DRAPER & HORN 650 Poydras Street New Orleans, Louisiana 70130 Attorneys for Marc Kirschner as the trustee for the litigation SunTrust:	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	WITNESSPAGEFRANK WATERHOUSEEXAMINATION BY MR. MORRIS10EXAMINATION BY MR. RUKAVINA256EXAMINATION BY MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ352EXAMINATION BY MR. MORRIS377EXAMINATION BY MR. RUKAVINA387EXAMINATION BY MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ393EXAMINATION BY MR. RUKAVINA387EXAMINATION BY MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ393EXAMINATION BY MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ393EXAMINATION BY MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ393EXHIBITSNo.PageExhibit 2 NPA et al Amended Complaint142Exhibit 33 6/3/19 Management91Representation91	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Deborah Deitsch-Perez, Esq. Michael Aigen, Esq. STINSON 3102 Oak Lawn Avenue Dallas, Texas 75219 Attorneys for Dugaboy Investment Trust: Warren Hom, Esq. HELLER, DRAPER & HORN 650 Poydras Street New Orleans, Louisiana 70130 Attorneys for Marc Kirschner as the trustee for the litigation SunTrust: Deborah Newman, Esq.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	WITNESSPAGEFRANK WATERHOUSEEXAMINATION BY MR. MORRIS10EXAMINATION BY MR. RUKAVINA256EXAMINATION BY MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ352EXAMINATION BY MR. MORRIS377EXAMINATION BY MR. RUKAVINA387EXAMINATION BY MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ393EXAMINATION BY MR. RUKAVINA387EXAMINATION BY MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ393EXAMINATION BY MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ393EXAMINATION BY MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ393EXHIBITSNo.PageExhibit 2 NPA et al Amended Complaint142Exhibit 33 6/3/19 Management91Representation94	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Deborah Deitsch-Perez, Esq. Michael Aigen, Esq. STINSON 3102 Oak Lawn Avenue Dallas, Texas 75219 Attorneys for Dugaboy Investment Trust: Warren Horn, Esq. HELLER, DRAPER & HORN 650 Poydras Street New Orleans, Louisiana 70130 Attorneys for Marc Kirschner as the trustee for the litigation SunTrust: Deborah Newman, Esq. QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	WITNESSPAGEFRANK WATERHOUSEEXAMINATION BY MR. MORRIS10EXAMINATION BY MR. RUKAVINA256EXAMINATION BY MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ352EXAMINATION BY MR. MORRIS377EXAMINATION BY MR. RUKAVINA387EXAMINATION BY MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ393EXAMINATION BY MR. RUKAVINA387EXAMINATION BY MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ393EXAMINATION BY MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ393EXAMINATION BY MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ393EXHIBITSNo.PageExhibit 2 NPA et al Amended Complaint142Exhibit 33 6/3/19 Management91Representation142Exhibit 34 HCMLP Consolidated Financial94Statements151	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Deborah Deitsch-Perez, Esq. Michael Aigen, Esq. STINSON 3102 Oak Lawn Avenue Dallas, Texas 75219 Attorneys for Dugaboy Investment Trust: Warren Horn, Esq. HELLER, DRAPER & HORN 650 Poydras Street New Orleans, Louisiana 70130 Attorneys for Marc Kirschner as the trustee for the litigation SunTrust: Deborah Newman, Esq. QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN 51 Madison Avenue	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	WITNESSPAGEFRANK WATERHOUSEEXAMINATION BY MR. MORRIS10EXAMINATION BY MR. RUKAVINA256EXAMINATION BY MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ352EXAMINATION BY MR. MORRIS377EXAMINATION BY MR. MORRIS377EXAMINATION BY MR. MORRIS387EXAMINATION BY MR. RUKAVINA387EXAMINATION BY MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ393Image: Stranspace of the	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Deborah Deitsch-Perez, Esq. Michael Aigen, Esq. STINSON 3102 Oak Lawn Avenue Dallas, Texas 75219 Attorneys for Dugaboy Investment Trust: Warren Hom, Esq. HELLER, DRAPER & HORN 650 Poydras Street New Orleans, Louisiana 70130 Attorneys for Marc Kirschner as the trustee for the litigation SunTrust: Deborah Newman, Esq. QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN 51 Madison Avenue New York, New York 10010	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	WITNESSPAGEFRANK WATERHOUSEEXAMINATION BY MR. MORRIS10EXAMINATION BY MR. RUKAVINA256EXAMINATION BY MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ352EXAMINATION BY MR. MORRIS377EXAMINATION BY MR. MORRIS377EXAMINATION BY MR. MORRIS387EXAMINATION BY MR. BUKAVINA387EXAMINATION BY MR. DEITSCH-PEREZ393FLAMINATION BY MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ393STAMINATION BY MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ393FLAIBITS142Schlibt 2 NPA et al Amended Complaint142Exhibit 33 6/3/19 Management91Representation94Statements94Statements151Exhibit 35 HCMFA Incumbency Certificate151Exhibit 36 Email string re 15(c)170Exhibit 39 HCMLP Operating Results 2/18226	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Deborah Deitsch-Perez, Esq. Michael Aigen, Esq. STINSON 3102 Oak Lawn Avenue Dallas, Texas 75219 Attorneys for Dugaboy Investment Trust: Warren Horn, Esq. HELLER, DRAPER & HORN 650 Poydras Street New Orleans, Louisiana 70130 Attorneys for Marc Kirschner as the trustee for the litigation SunTrust: Deborah Newman, Esq. QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN 51 Madison Avenue New York, New York 10010 Also Present:	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	WITNESSPAGEFRANK WATERHOUSEEXAMINATION BY MR. MORRIS10EXAMINATION BY MR. RUKAVINA256EXAMINATION BY MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ352EXAMINATION BY MR. MORRIS377EXAMINATION BY MR. MORRIS377EXAMINATION BY MR. RUKAVINA387EXAMINATION BY MR. RUKAVINA387EXAMINATION BY MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ393ISAMINATION BY MS. DEITSCH-PEREZNO.PageExhibit 2 NPA et al Amended Complaint142Exhibit 33 6/3/19 Management91Representation142Exhibit 34 HCMLP Consolidated Financial94Statements51Exhibit 35 HCMFA Incumbency Certificate151Exhibit 36 Email string re 15(c)170Exhibit 39 HCMLP Operating Results 2/18226Exhibit 40 Summary of Assets and236	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Deborah Deitsch-Perez, Esq. Michael Aigen, Esq. STINSON 3102 Oak Lawn Avenue Dallas, Texas 75219 Attorneys for Dugaboy Investment Trust: Warren Hom, Esq. HELLER, DRAPER & HORN 650 Poydras Street New Orleans, Louisiana 70130 Attorneys for Marc Kirschner as the trustee for the litigation SunTrust: Deborah Newman, Esq. QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN 51 Madison Avenue New York, New York 10010	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	WITNESSPAGEFRANK WATERHOUSE10EXAMINATION BY MR. MORRIS10EXAMINATION BY MR. RUKAVINA256EXAMINATION BY MR. RUKAVINA352EXAMINATION BY MR. MORRIS377EXAMINATION BY MR. MORRIS377EXAMINATION BY MR. RUKAVINA387EXAMINATION BY MR. RUKAVINA387EXAMINATION BY MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ393Image: Statements312Statements142Exhibit 33 6/3/19 Management91Representation94Statements151Exhibit 35 HCMFA Incumbency Certificate151Exhibit 36 Email string re 15(c)170Exhibit 39 HCMLP Operating Results 2/18226Exhibit 40 Summary of Assets and236Exhibit 40 Summary of Assets and236Exhibit 40 Summary of Assets and236	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Deborah Deitsch-Perez, Esq. Michael Aigen, Esq. STINSON 3102 Oak Lawn Avenue Dallas, Texas 75219 Attorneys for Dugaboy Investment Trust: Warren Horn, Esq. HELLER, DRAPER & HORN 650 Poydras Street New Orleans, Louisiana 70130 Attorneys for Marc Kirschner as the trustee for the litigation SunTrust: Deborah Newman, Esq. QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN 51 Madison Avenue New York, New York 10010 Also Present:	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	WITNESSPAGEFRANK WATERHOUSEEXAMINATION BY MR. MORRIS10EXAMINATION BY MR. RUKAVINA256EXAMINATION BY MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ352EXAMINATION BY MR. MORRIS377EXAMINATION BY MR. MORRIS377EXAMINATION BY MR. RUKAVINA387EXAMINATION BY MR. RUKAVINA387EXAMINATION BY MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ393ISAMINATION BY MS. DEITSCH-PEREZNO.PageExhibit 2 NPA et al Amended Complaint142Exhibit 33 6/3/19 Management91Representation142Exhibit 34 HCMLP Consolidated Financial94Statements51Exhibit 35 HCMFA Incumbency Certificate151Exhibit 36 Email string re 15(c)170Exhibit 39 HCMLP Operating Results 2/18226Exhibit 40 Summary of Assets and236	

Case 21960065599000148637ffed 012061221 Elatered 012061221184187432 Page 547061899

1	Pa	ge 6			Page 7
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21		1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Ū.
2	Exhibit 45 HCMFA Consolidated Financial 135		2	PROCEEDINGS	
3	Statements		3	VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning,	
4	Exhibit 46 NexPoint 2019 Audited 218		4	Counselors. My name is Scott Hatch. I'm a	
5	Financials		5	certified legal videographer in association	
6			6	with TSG Reporting, Inc.	
7	Exhibit A1 Emails 11/25 328		7	Due to the severity of COVID-19 and	
8	Exhibit A2 Emails 12/31 338		8	following the practice of social	
9	Exhibit A6 Emails 1/12 341		9	distancing, I will not be in the same room	
10	Exhibit A7 Promissory Notes 297		10	with the witness. Instead, I will record	
11	Exhibit A9 Email, 8/31 307		11	this videotaped deposition remotely. The	
12	Exhibit A10 Acknowledgment from HCMLP 302		12	reporter, Susan Klinger, also will not be	
13	Exhibit A11 HCMLP Schedule 71A 309		13	in the same room and will swear the witness	
14			14	remotely.	
15			15	Do all parties stipulate to the	
16			16	validity of this video recording and remote	
17			17	swearing, and that it will be admissible in	
18			18	the courtroom as if it had been taken	
19			19	following Rule 30 of the Federal Rules of	
20			20	Civil Procedures and the state's rules	
20			20	where this case is pending?	
1			21		
22				MR. HORN: Yes.	
23			23	MS. DANDENEAU: Yes.	
24			24	MR. MORRIS: Yes. John Morris. I	
25			25	would just try to do a negative notice	
1		ge 8	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 9
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	ge 8	1 2	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Counsel please introduce	Page 9
1 2 3	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 here, as we did yesterday. If anybody has	ge 8	2	Counsel, please introduce	Page 9
23	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 here, as we did yesterday. If anybody has a problem with what was just stated, can	ge 8	2 3	Counsel, please introduce yourselves.	Page 9
2 3 4	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 here, as we did yesterday. If anybody has a problem with what was just stated, can you state your objection now?	ge 8	2 3 4	Counsel, please introduce yourselves. MR. MORRIS: John Morris, Pachulski	Page 9
2 3 4 5	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 here, as we did yesterday. If anybody has a problem with what was just stated, can you state your objection now? Okay. No response, so everybody	ge 8	2 3 4 5	Counsel, please introduce yourselves. MR. MORRIS: John Morris, Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones for the reorganized	Page 9
2 3 4	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 here, as we did yesterday. If anybody has a problem with what was just stated, can you state your objection now? Okay. No response, so everybody accepts the stipulation and the instruction	ge 8	2 3 4 5 6	Counsel, please introduce yourselves. MR. MORRIS: John Morris, Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones for the reorganized Highland Capital Management, L.P., the	Page 9
2 3 4 5 6 7	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 here, as we did yesterday. If anybody has a problem with what was just stated, can you state your objection now? Okay. No response, so everybody accepts the stipulation and the instruction that was just given.	ge 8	2 3 4 5 6 7	Counsel, please introduce yourselves. MR. MORRIS: John Morris, Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones for the reorganized Highland Capital Management, L.P., the plaintiff in these actions.	Page 9
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 here, as we did yesterday. If anybody has a problem with what was just stated, can you state your objection now? Okay. No response, so everybody accepts the stipulation and the instruction that was just given. VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you. This is	ge 8	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Counsel, please introduce yourselves. MR. MORRIS: John Morris, Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones for the reorganized Highland Capital Management, L.P., the plaintiff in these actions. MS. DANDENEAU: Deborah Dandeneau	Page 9
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 here, as we did yesterday. If anybody has a problem with what was just stated, can you state your objection now? Okay. No response, so everybody accepts the stipulation and the instruction that was just given. VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you. This is the start of media labeled Number 1 of the	ge 8	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Counsel, please introduce yourselves. MR. MORRIS: John Morris, Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones for the reorganized Highland Capital Management, L.P., the plaintiff in these actions. MS. DANDENEAU: Deborah Dandeneau from Baker McKenzie. My partner, Michelle	Page 9
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 here, as we did yesterday. If anybody has a problem with what was just stated, can you state your objection now? Okay. No response, so everybody accepts the stipulation and the instruction that was just given. VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you. This is the start of media labeled Number 1 of the video recorded deposition of Frank	ge 8	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Counsel, please introduce yourselves. MR. MORRIS: John Morris, Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones for the reorganized Highland Capital Management, L.P., the plaintiff in these actions. MS. DANDENEAU: Deborah Dandeneau from Baker McKenzie. My partner, Michelle Hartmann, is also in the room with me,	Page 9
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 here, as we did yesterday. If anybody has a problem with what was just stated, can you state your objection now? Okay. No response, so everybody accepts the stipulation and the instruction that was just given. VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you. This is the start of media labeled Number 1 of the video recorded deposition of Frank Waterhouse In Re: Highland Capital	ge 8	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Counsel, please introduce yourselves. MR. MORRIS: John Morris, Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones for the reorganized Highland Capital Management, L.P., the plaintiff in these actions. MS. DANDENEAU: Deborah Dandeneau from Baker McKenzie. My partner, Michelle Hartmann, is also in the room with me, representing Frank Waterhouse individually.	Page 9
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 here, as we did yesterday. If anybody has a problem with what was just stated, can you state your objection now? Okay. No response, so everybody accepts the stipulation and the instruction that was just given. VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you. This is the start of media labeled Number 1 of the video recorded deposition of Frank Waterhouse In Re: Highland Capital Management, L.P., in the United States	ge 8	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Counsel, please introduce yourselves. MR. MORRIS: John Morris, Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones for the reorganized Highland Capital Management, L.P., the plaintiff in these actions. MS. DANDENEAU: Deborah Dandeneau from Baker McKenzie. My partner, Michelle Hartmann, is also in the room with me, representing Frank Waterhouse individually. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Deborah	Page 9
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 here, as we did yesterday. If anybody has a problem with what was just stated, can you state your objection now? Okay. No response, so everybody accepts the stipulation and the instruction that was just given. VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you. This is the start of media labeled Number 1 of the video recorded deposition of Frank Waterhouse In Re: Highland Capital Management, L.P., in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Northern District	ge 8	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Counsel, please introduce yourselves. MR. MORRIS: John Morris, Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones for the reorganized Highland Capital Management, L.P., the plaintiff in these actions. MS. DANDENEAU: Deborah Dandeneau from Baker McKenzie. My partner, Michelle Hartmann, is also in the room with me, representing Frank Waterhouse individually. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Deborah Deitsch-Perez from Stinson, LLP,	Page 9
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 here, as we did yesterday. If anybody has a problem with what was just stated, can you state your objection now? Okay. No response, so everybody accepts the stipulation and the instruction that was just given. VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you. This is the start of media labeled Number 1 of the video recorded deposition of Frank Waterhouse In Re: Highland Capital Management, L.P., in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Northern District of Texas, Dallas Division, Case Number	ge 8	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Counsel, please introduce yourselves. MR. MORRIS: John Morris, Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones for the reorganized Highland Capital Management, L.P., the plaintiff in these actions. MS. DANDENEAU: Deborah Dandeneau from Baker McKenzie. My partner, Michelle Hartmann, is also in the room with me, representing Frank Waterhouse individually. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Deborah Deitsch-Perez from Stinson, LLP, representing Jim Dondero, Nancy Dondero,	Page 9
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 here, as we did yesterday. If anybody has a problem with what was just stated, can you state your objection now? Okay. No response, so everybody accepts the stipulation and the instruction that was just given. VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you. This is the start of media labeled Number 1 of the video recorded deposition of Frank Waterhouse In Re: Highland Capital Management, L.P., in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Northern District of Texas, Dallas Division, Case Number 21-03000-SGI.	ge 8	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Counsel, please introduce yourselves. MR. MORRIS: John Morris, Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones for the reorganized Highland Capital Management, L.P., the plaintiff in these actions. MS. DANDENEAU: Deborah Dandeneau from Baker McKenzie. My partner, Michelle Hartmann, is also in the room with me, representing Frank Waterhouse individually. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Deborah Deitsch-Perez from Stinson, LLP, representing Jim Dondero, Nancy Dondero, HCRA, and HCMS.	Page 9
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 here, as we did yesterday. If anybody has a problem with what was just stated, can you state your objection now? Okay. No response, so everybody accepts the stipulation and the instruction that was just given. VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you. This is the start of media labeled Number 1 of the video recorded deposition of Frank Waterhouse In Re: Highland Capital Management, L.P., in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Northern District of Texas, Dallas Division, Case Number 21-03000-SGI. This deposition is being held via	ge 8	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Counsel, please introduce yourselves. MR. MORRIS: John Morris, Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones for the reorganized Highland Capital Management, L.P., the plaintiff in these actions. MS. DANDENEAU: Deborah Dandeneau from Baker McKenzie. My partner, Michelle Hartmann, is also in the room with me, representing Frank Waterhouse individually. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Deborah Deitsch-Perez from Stinson, LLP, representing Jim Dondero, Nancy Dondero, HCRA, and HCMS. MR. HORN: Warren Horn with Heller,	Page 9
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 here, as we did yesterday. If anybody has a problem with what was just stated, can you state your objection now? Okay. No response, so everybody accepts the stipulation and the instruction that was just given. VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you. This is the start of media labeled Number 1 of the video recorded deposition of Frank Waterhouse In Re: Highland Capital Management, L.P., in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Northern District of Texas, Dallas Division, Case Number 21-03000-SGI. This deposition is being held via video conference with participants	ge 8	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Counsel, please introduce yourselves. MR. MORRIS: John Morris, Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones for the reorganized Highland Capital Management, L.P., the plaintiff in these actions. MS. DANDENEAU: Deborah Dandeneau from Baker McKenzie. My partner, Michelle Hartmann, is also in the room with me, representing Frank Waterhouse individually. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Deborah Deitsch-Perez from Stinson, LLP, representing Jim Dondero, Nancy Dondero, HCRA, and HCMS. MR. HORN: Warren Horn with Heller, Draper & Horn in New Orleans representing	Page 9
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 here, as we did yesterday. If anybody has a problem with what was just stated, can you state your objection now? Okay. No response, so everybody accepts the stipulation and the instruction that was just given. VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you. This is the start of media labeled Number 1 of the video recorded deposition of Frank Waterhouse In Re: Highland Capital Management, L.P., in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Northern District of Texas, Dallas Division, Case Number 21-03000-SGI. This deposition is being held via video conference with participants appearing remotely due to COVID-19	ge 8	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Counsel, please introduce yourselves. MR. MORRIS: John Morris, Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones for the reorganized Highland Capital Management, L.P., the plaintiff in these actions. MS. DANDENEAU: Deborah Dandeneau from Baker McKenzie. My partner, Michelle Hartmann, is also in the room with me, representing Frank Waterhouse individually. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Deborah Deitsch-Perez from Stinson, LLP, representing Jim Dondero, Nancy Dondero, HCRA, and HCMS. MR. HORN: Warren Horn with Heller, Draper & Horn in New Orleans representing Dugaboy Investment Trust.	Page 9
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 here, as we did yesterday. If anybody has a problem with what was just stated, can you state your objection now? Okay. No response, so everybody accepts the stipulation and the instruction that was just given. VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you. This is the start of media labeled Number 1 of the video recorded deposition of Frank Waterhouse In Re: Highland Capital Management, L.P., in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Northern District of Texas, Dallas Division, Case Number 21-03000-SGI. This deposition is being held via video conference with participants appearing remotely due to COVID-19 restrictions on Tuesday, October 19th, 2021	ge 8	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	Counsel, please introduce yourselves. MR. MORRIS: John Morris, Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones for the reorganized Highland Capital Management, L.P., the plaintiff in these actions. MS. DANDENEAU: Deborah Dandeneau from Baker McKenzie. My partner, Michelle Hartmann, is also in the room with me, representing Frank Waterhouse individually. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Deborah Deitsch-Perez from Stinson, LLP, representing Jim Dondero, Nancy Dondero, HCRA, and HCMS. MR. HORN: Warren Horn with Heller, Draper & Horn in New Orleans representing Dugaboy Investment Trust. MR. RUKAVINA: Davor Rukavina with	Page 9
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 here, as we did yesterday. If anybody has a problem with what was just stated, can you state your objection now? Okay. No response, so everybody accepts the stipulation and the instruction that was just given. VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you. This is the start of media labeled Number 1 of the video recorded deposition of Frank Waterhouse In Re: Highland Capital Management, L.P., in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Northern District of Texas, Dallas Division, Case Number 21-03000-SGI. This deposition is being held via video conference with participants appearing remotely due to COVID-19 restrictions on Tuesday, October 19th, 2021 at approximately 9:32 a.m. My name is		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 20	Counsel, please introduce yourselves. MR. MORRIS: John Morris, Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones for the reorganized Highland Capital Management, L.P., the plaintiff in these actions. MS. DANDENEAU: Deborah Dandeneau from Baker McKenzie. My partner, Michelle Hartmann, is also in the room with me, representing Frank Waterhouse individually. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Deborah Deitsch-Perez from Stinson, LLP, representing Jim Dondero, Nancy Dondero, HCRA, and HCMS. MR. HORN: Warren Horn with Heller, Draper & Horn in New Orleans representing Dugaboy Investment Trust. MR. RUKAVINA: Davor Rukavina with Munsch Hardt Kopf & Harr in Dallas	Page 9
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 here, as we did yesterday. If anybody has a problem with what was just stated, can you state your objection now? Okay. No response, so everybody accepts the stipulation and the instruction that was just given. VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you. This is the start of media labeled Number 1 of the video recorded deposition of Frank Waterhouse In Re: Highland Capital Management, L.P., in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Northern District of Texas, Dallas Division, Case Number 21-03000-SGI. This deposition is being held via video conference with participants appearing remotely due to COVID-19 restrictions on Tuesday, October 19th, 2021 at approximately 9:32 a.m. My name is Scott Hatch, legal video specialist with		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 23 14 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 23 14 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 23 14 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 23 14 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 23 14 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 23 14 5 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	Counsel, please introduce yourselves. MR. MORRIS: John Morris, Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones for the reorganized Highland Capital Management, L.P., the plaintiff in these actions. MS. DANDENEAU: Deborah Dandeneau from Baker McKenzie. My partner, Michelle Hartmann, is also in the room with me, representing Frank Waterhouse individually. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Deborah Deitsch-Perez from Stinson, LLP, representing Jim Dondero, Nancy Dondero, HCRA, and HCMS. MR. HORN: Warren Horn with Heller, Draper & Horn in New Orleans representing Dugaboy Investment Trust. MR. RUKAVINA: Davor Rukavina with Munsch Hardt Kopf & Harr in Dallas representing NexPoint Advisors, LP and	Page 9
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 here, as we did yesterday. If anybody has a problem with what was just stated, can you state your objection now? Okay. No response, so everybody accepts the stipulation and the instruction that was just given. VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you. This is the start of media labeled Number 1 of the video recorded deposition of Frank Waterhouse In Re: Highland Capital Management, L.P., in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Northern District of Texas, Dallas Division, Case Number 21-03000-SGI. This deposition is being held via video conference with participants appearing remotely due to COVID-19 restrictions on Tuesday, October 19th, 2021 at approximately 9:32 a.m. My name is Scott Hatch, legal video specialist with TSG Reporting, Inc. headquartered at 228		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 3 14 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 3 14 15 16 7 8 9 10 11 2 3 14 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 3 14 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 3 14 5 16 7 8 9 10 11 10 11 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	Counsel, please introduce yourselves. MR. MORRIS: John Morris, Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones for the reorganized Highland Capital Management, L.P., the plaintiff in these actions. MS. DANDENEAU: Deborah Dandeneau from Baker McKenzie. My partner, Michelle Hartmann, is also in the room with me, representing Frank Waterhouse individually. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Deborah Deitsch-Perez from Stinson, LLP, representing Jim Dondero, Nancy Dondero, HCRA, and HCMS. MR. HORN: Warren Horn with Heller, Draper & Horn in New Orleans representing Dugaboy Investment Trust. MR. RUKAVINA: Davor Rukavina with Munsch Hardt Kopf & Harr in Dallas representing NexPoint Advisors, LP and Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors,	Page 9
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 here, as we did yesterday. If anybody has a problem with what was just stated, can you state your objection now? Okay. No response, so everybody accepts the stipulation and the instruction that was just given. VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you. This is the start of media labeled Number 1 of the video recorded deposition of Frank Waterhouse In Re: Highland Capital Management, L.P., in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Northern District of Texas, Dallas Division, Case Number 21-03000-SGI. This deposition is being held via video conference with participants appearing remotely due to COVID-19 restrictions on Tuesday, October 19th, 2021 at approximately 9:32 a.m. My name is Scott Hatch, legal video specialist with TSG Reporting, Inc. headquartered at 228 East 45th Street, New York, New York. The		$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Counsel, please introduce yourselves. MR. MORRIS: John Morris, Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones for the reorganized Highland Capital Management, L.P., the plaintiff in these actions. MS. DANDENEAU: Deborah Dandeneau from Baker McKenzie. My partner, Michelle Hartmann, is also in the room with me, representing Frank Waterhouse individually. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Deborah Deitsch-Perez from Stinson, LLP, representing Jim Dondero, Nancy Dondero, HCRA, and HCMS. MR. HORN: Warren Horn with Heller, Draper & Horn in New Orleans representing Dugaboy Investment Trust. MR. RUKAVINA: Davor Rukavina with Munsch Hardt Kopf & Harr in Dallas representing NexPoint Advisors, LP and Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors, L.P.	Page 9
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 here, as we did yesterday. If anybody has a problem with what was just stated, can you state your objection now? Okay. No response, so everybody accepts the stipulation and the instruction that was just given. VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you. This is the start of media labeled Number 1 of the video recorded deposition of Frank Waterhouse In Re: Highland Capital Management, L.P., in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Northern District of Texas, Dallas Division, Case Number 21-03000-SGI. This deposition is being held via video conference with participants appearing remotely due to COVID-19 restrictions on Tuesday, October 19th, 2021 at approximately 9:32 a.m. My name is Scott Hatch, legal video specialist with TSG Reporting, Inc. headquartered at 228 East 45th Street, New York, New York. The court reporter is Susan Klinger in		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 3 14 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 3 14 15 16 7 8 9 10 11 2 3 14 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 3 14 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 3 14 5 16 7 8 9 10 11 10 11 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	Counsel, please introduce yourselves. MR. MORRIS: John Morris, Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones for the reorganized Highland Capital Management, L.P., the plaintiff in these actions. MS. DANDENEAU: Deborah Dandeneau from Baker McKenzie. My partner, Michelle Hartmann, is also in the room with me, representing Frank Waterhouse individually. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Deborah Deitsch-Perez from Stinson, LLP, representing Jim Dondero, Nancy Dondero, HCRA, and HCMS. MR. HORN: Warren Horn with Heller, Draper & Horn in New Orleans representing Dugaboy Investment Trust. MR. RUKAVINA: Davor Rukavina with Munsch Hardt Kopf & Harr in Dallas representing NexPoint Advisors, LP and Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors, L.P. MR. AIGEN: Michael Aigen from	Page 9
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 here, as we did yesterday. If anybody has a problem with what was just stated, can you state your objection now? Okay. No response, so everybody accepts the stipulation and the instruction that was just given. VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you. This is the start of media labeled Number 1 of the video recorded deposition of Frank Waterhouse In Re: Highland Capital Management, L.P., in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Northern District of Texas, Dallas Division, Case Number 21-03000-SGI. This deposition is being held via video conference with participants appearing remotely due to COVID-19 restrictions on Tuesday, October 19th, 2021 at approximately 9:32 a.m. My name is Scott Hatch, legal video specialist with TSG Reporting, Inc. headquartered at 228 East 45th Street, New York, New York. The		$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Counsel, please introduce yourselves. MR. MORRIS: John Morris, Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones for the reorganized Highland Capital Management, L.P., the plaintiff in these actions. MS. DANDENEAU: Deborah Dandeneau from Baker McKenzie. My partner, Michelle Hartmann, is also in the room with me, representing Frank Waterhouse individually. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Deborah Deitsch-Perez from Stinson, LLP, representing Jim Dondero, Nancy Dondero, HCRA, and HCMS. MR. HORN: Warren Horn with Heller, Draper & Horn in New Orleans representing Dugaboy Investment Trust. MR. RUKAVINA: Davor Rukavina with Munsch Hardt Kopf & Harr in Dallas representing NexPoint Advisors, LP and Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors, L.P.	Page 9

Case 21960065599D00148637ffed 012061221 Elatered 012061221184187432 Page 53801899

Page 10	Page 11
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21
2 as Deborah Deitsch-Perez.	2 we're here today for your deposition in your
3 MS. NEWMAN: This is Deborah Newman	3 individual capacity?
4 from Quinn Emanuel. We represent the	4 A. Yes.
5 litigation – Marc Kirschner as the trustee	5 Q. Did you review and – did you
6 for the litigation SunTrust.	6 receive and review a subpoena that Highland
7 MR. MORRIS: I think that is	7 Capital Management, L.P., served upon you?
8 everybody.	8 A. Yes.
9 VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you. Will the	9 Q. You have been deposed before; right?
10 court reporter please swear in the witness.	10 A. Yes.
11 FRANK WATERHOUSE,	11 Q. How many times have you been
12 having been first duly sworn, testified as	12 deposed?
13 follows:	13 A. About three or four times.
14 EXAMINATION	14 Q. Okay. And I defended you in one
15 BY MR. MORRIS:	15 deposition; isn't that right?
16 Q. Please state your name for the	16 A. That is correct.
17 record.	17 Q. So the general ground rules for this
18 A. My name is Frank Waterhouse.	18 deposition are largely the same as the
19 Q. Good morning, Mr. Waterhouse. I'm	19 depositions you have given before. And that is
20 John Morris, as you know, from Pachulski Stang	20 I will ask you a series of questions, and it is
21 Ziehl & Jones. You understand that my firm and	21 important that you allow me to finish my
22 I represent Highland Capital Management, L.P.;	22 question before you begin your answer; is that
23 is that right?	23 fair?
24 A. Yes.	24 A. Yes.
25 Q. Okay. And do you understand that	25 Q. And it is important that I allow you
Page 12 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 13 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21
2 to finish your answers before I begin a	2 opportunity to review any portion of the
3 question, but if I fail to do that, will you	3 document that you think you need in order to
4 let me know?	4 fully and completely answer the question.
5 A. I can certainly do that.	5 So I would ask you to let me know if
6 Q. Okay. Do you understand that this	6 there is a portion of a document that you need
7 deposition is being videotaped?	7 to see in order to fully and completely answer
8 A. Yes.	8 the question. Can you do that for me?
9 Q. You understand that I may seek to	9 A. Yes.
10 use portions of the videotape in a court of	10 MS. DANDENEAU: Mr. Morris, I would
11 law?	11 just note that we do have hard copies of
12 A. I did not know that, until you just	12 the documents that you sent, so if you can
13 said that.	13 just refer to the exhibit number as
14 Q. Okay. And you are aware of that now	14 reflected in the documents that you sent,
15 before the deposition begins substantively; is	15 Mr. Waterhouse will be able to look at the
16 that right?	16 hard copies of those documents.
17 A. Yes.	17 MR. MORRIS: I appreciate that,
18 Q. So unlike I think the other	18 and and I will encourage him to do so.
18 Q. So unlike I think the other	 18 and – and I will encourage him to do so. 19 There will be other documents that we did
18 Q. So unlike I think the other19 depositions that you have given, this one is	19 There will be other documents that we did
18 Q. So unlike I think the other19 depositions that you have given, this one is	19 There will be other documents that we did
 Q. So unlike I think the other depositions that you have given, this one is being given remotely. So that presents some 	19 There will be other documents that we did20 not send to you that we'll be using today
 Q. So unlike I think the other depositions that you have given, this one is being given remotely. So that presents some unique challenges, at least as compared to a 	19 There will be other documents that we did20 not send to you that we'll be using today21 though.
 Q. So unlike I think the other depositions that you have given, this one is being given remotely. So that presents some unique challenges, at least as compared to a deposition that is taken in-person. 	 There will be other documents that we did not send to you that we'll be using today though. Q. Okay. With that as background, if
 Q. So unlike I think the other depositions that you have given, this one is being given remotely. So that presents some unique challenges, at least as compared to a deposition that is taken in-person. From time to time we're going to put 	 There will be other documents that we did not send to you that we'll be using today though. Q. Okay. With that as background, if there is anything that I ask you, sir, that you

Case 21960065599000148637ffed 012061221 Elatered 012061221184187432 Page 569061899

	Page 14			Page 15
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	0
2	Q. Okay. Are you currently employed?	2	A. I I I might. I just I	
3	A. Yes.	3	don't recall.	
4	Q. By whom?	4	Q. Okay. Does Skyview Group provide	
5	A. The Skyview Group.	5	any services to any entity directly or	
6	Q. When did you become employed by the	6	indirectly owned or controlled by Jim Dondero?	
7	Skyview Group?	7	A. Yes.	
8	A. I believe March 1st of 2021.	8	Q. Can you name is that pursuant to	
9	Q. Do you have a title at Skyview?	9	written contracts?	
10	A. Yes.	10	A. Yes.	
11	Q. What is your title?	11	Q. And do you know how many contracts	
12	A. My title is chief financial officer.	12	exist?	
13	Q. Do you report to anybody in your	13	A. Approximately six or so.	
14	role as CFO?	14	Q. And is the Skyview Group made up of	
15	A. I don't, no.	15	individuals who were formerly employees of	
16	Q. No. Is there a president or a CEO	16	Highland Capital Management, L.P.?	
17	of Skyview?	17	A. No.	
18	A. Yes.	18	Q. Do you know how many – how many –	
19	Q. Who is that?	19	how many employees does Skyview have?	
20	A. That is Scott Ellington.	20	A. Approximately 35.	
21	Q. But you don't report to	21	Q. And can you tell me how many of	
22	Mr. Ellington; is that right?	22	those 35 are former officers, directors, or	
23	A. I don't think so.	23	employees of Highland Capital Management, L.P.?	
24	Q. Does Skyview Group –	24	A. I don't know the exact number.	
25	MS. DANDENEAU: Excuse me, we	25	Q. Is it more than 20?	
	Page 16 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 17
1		1		
2		2	these questions for any purpose other than	
		4	this litigation. I think you understand fully why I'm asking the questions, and I	
5		5	just have a couple more, if you will bear	
6	Q. Can you tell me what portion of Skyview – Skyview's revenue is derived from	6	with me.	
7	entities that are directly or indirectly owned	7	MS. DANDENEAU: Okay.	
1	or controlled by Jim Dondero?		MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Can we have an	
8	MS. DANDENEAU: Mr. Morris, I mean,	8 0		
9	you called Mr. Waterhouse here individually	9 10	agreement that an objection by one is an objection for any other party here?	
11		11	MR. MORRIS: Sure. I would – I	
12	for purposes of his testimony in connection with the noticed litigation. I have given	12		
12	you some leeway to ask him some background	12	would encourage that, sure.	
13			MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Thank you. MR. MORRIS: It can't be sustained	
1	information about Skyview Group, but this	14 15		
15 16	is not a substitute for a deposition in connection with any other pending disputes	15	or overruled more than one time, so Q. Mr. Waterhouse, can you answer my	
10				
11/	that exist. And – and we agreed to accept	17 18	question, please.	
1	the subpoena on the basis of he – this is		MS. DANDENEAU: Do you want to	
19	testimony that he is giving in connection	19	repeat it, Mr. Morris, for his benefit?	
20	with the noticed litigation.	20	MR. MORRIS: Sure.	
21	I really think that you are now	21	Q. Can you – can you tell me the	
22	going a little bit far afield from the	22	approximate portion of Skyview's revenue that	
23	purpose of this deposition.	23	is derived from entities that are directly or	
24 25	MR. MORRIS: Okay. It is – I'm not intending to use these – the answers to	24 25	indirectly owned or controlled by Mr. Dondero? A. I don't know the exact number.	
20	יי וגביושויש נט שביע ווביש איז	20		

Case 21960065599000148637ffed 012061221 Elatered 012061221184187432 Page 580061899

1	Page 18 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 19
2	Q. Is it more than 75 percent?	2	A. 2011 or 2012.	
3	A. Yes.	3	Q. Did you serve as Highland's CFO on a	
4	Q. Is it more than 90 percent?	4	continuous basis from in or around 2011 or 2012	
5	A. I don't know.	5	until early 2021?	
6	Q. Okay. Can I refer to Highland	6	A. Yes.	
7	Capital Management, L.P., as Highland?	7	Q. During that entire time you reported	
8	A. Yes.	8	directly to Jim Dondero; correct?	
9	Q. All right. And you previously	9	A. I – I don't know.	
10		10	Q. Is there anybody else you reported	
11	A. Yes.	11	to – withdrawn.	
12		12	Did you report to Mr. Dondero for	
13		13	some portion of the time that you served as	
14	Q. Can you tell me what year?	14	CFO?	
15		15	A. Yes.	
16		16	Q. Is there a portion of time that you	
17	become Highland's CFO?	17	don't recall who you reported to?	
18		18	A. Yes.	
19		19	Q. What portion of time do you have in	
20	date. I'm asking you if you recall the year in	20	your mind when you can't recall who you	
21	which you were appointed CFO.	21	reported to?	
22	A. I don't recall the exact year.	22	A. From the 2011 to – for	
23	Q. Can you tell me which years it is	23	approximately a year or two.	
24	possible that you were appointed to CFO of	24	Q. Okay. So is it fair to say that you	
25		25		
	-		······································	
1	Page 20 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 21
2	from at least 2014 until the time you left	2	Q. Who might you have reported to in	
3	Highland?	3	your capacity as CFO before you started	
4	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	4	reporting to Mr. Dondero?	
5	A. I don't want to speculate the exact	5	A. That would have been Patrick Boyce.	
6	or what year that changed or – so I would like	6	Q. Are you aware that Highland filed	
7	to stick with my testimony.	7	for bankruptcy on October 19th, 2019?	
8	Q. Can you recall when you began	8	A. Yes.	
9	reporting to Mr. Dondero?	9	Q. And we refer to that as the petition	
10		10	date?	
11	Q. Can you – can you give me an	11	A. Yes.	
12		12	Q. Okay. Do you hold any professional	
13		13	licenses, sir?	
14		14	A. Yes.	
15		15	Q. Can you tell me what professional	
16	-	16	licenses you hold?	
17		17	A. I'm a certified public accountant.	
18		18	Q. Okay. Anything else?	
19		19	A. No.	
20	0	20	Q. Do you have any other professional	
21	A. I don't recall.	21	licenses or certificates?	
22		22	A. When you say "professional license,"	
23		23	that is not education?	
24	Mr. Dondero?	24	Q. Tell me – sure. Anything other	
25		25	than a driver's license.	

Caae 2106006559jpDod 1863 Filid d0 1206 221 Elatered d0 1206 22184187432 Page 58 106 1899

Page 22		Page 23
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 25
2 Do you have any other license or	2 A. Yes.	
3 certificate or certification?	3 Q. Were you ever employed by HCMFA?	
4 A. Are you asking, like, where I went	4 A. Not that I recall.	
5 to school and the –	5 Q. Were you ever did you ever hold	
6 Q. Iam not. Iam not. I didn't say	6 the title of an officer or director of HCMFA?	
7 education. I didn't ask about degrees.	7 A. Yes.	
8 Do you know what a license is?	8 Q. What title did you hold?	
9 A. Well, yeah, I mean, a license is	9 A. Treasurer.	
10 something you get after you receive a certain	10 Q. When did you become the treasurer of	
11 level of proficiency.	11 HCMFA?	
12 Q. Do you have any licenses or	12 A. I don't recall.	
13 certifications other than your CPA?	13 Q. Can you tell me the year?	
14 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection, form.	14 A. I don't I don't know the year.	
15 I assume you mean professional	15 Q. Can you approximate the year in	
16 licenses, Mr. Morris; correct?	16 which you became the treasurer of HCMFA?	
17 Q. Can you answer my question, sir?	17 A. I don't know.	
18 A. Mr. Morris, I'm thinking. I	18 Q. Can you tell me if it was before or	
19 don't – I don't think I have any others.	19 after 2016?	
20 Q. Are you familiar with an entity	20 A. I don't recall.	
21 called Highland Capital Management Fund	21 Q. Are you still the – do you know if	
22 Advisors?	22 you're still the treasurer of HCMFA today?	
23 A. Yes.	23 A. Today, I am the acting treasurer for	
24 Q. Were you ever – can we refer to	24 HCMFA.	
25 that entity as HCMFA?	25 Q. Is there a distinction between	
Page 24 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 25
2 treasurer and acting treasurer?	2 the treasurer of CF HCMFA for the first	
3 A. I said "acting treasurer" as I am an	3 time?	
4 employee of Skyview, as you previously	4 A. I don't – I mean, there would be	
5 stated – or asked.	5 some documents, some legal documents. I don't	
6 Q. But you are the treasurer of HCMFA	6 know where those are.	
7 today; correct?	7 Q. How many times have you been	
8 A. I am – I am the acting treasurer	8 appointed the treasurer of HCMFA?	
9 for HCMFA.	9 A. I don't know.	
10 Q. How did you become the treasurer of	10 Q. Was it more than once?	
11 HCMFA?	11 A. I don't know.	
12 A. Are you asking how I became the	12 Q. Can you tell me any period of time	
13 treasurer of HCMFA today?	13 since 2016 that you did not hold the title of	
14 Q. How did you become appointed to	14 treasurer of HCMFA?	
15 serve as the treasurer of HCMFA?	15 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	
16 A. Well, in – in – in what time	16 A. I don't recall.	
17 capacity?	17 Q. What are your duties and	
18 Q. The first time that you were	18 responsibilities as the treasurer of HCMFA?	
19 appointed.	19 A. My duties are to do the best job	
20 A. First time. I believe I was asked	20 that I can as the – as an accountant and	
21 to serve as treasurer for HCMFA the first time.	21 finance guy.	
22 Q. By who? Who asked you to do that?	22 Q. What specific duties and	
23 A. I don't recall.	23 responsibilities do you have as the treasurer	
24 Q. Is there anything that would refresh	24 of HCMFA?	
25 your recollection as to who appointed you as	25 A. My duties are to do the best job	

Caae 2106005 sgj Dood 4863 Filied 01206 221 Elatered 01206 22184 87432 Page 592 b1899

Page 26	Page 27
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21
2 that I can as the accounting and finance person	2 A. I don't – I don't know.
3 for HCMFA.	3 Q. You don't know?
4 Q. As the accounting and finance person	4 You're the treasurer of HCMFA but
5 for HCMFA, do you have any particular areas of	5 you don't know if HCMFA has a chief financial
6 responsibility?	6 officer.
7 A. Yeah, it is to manage the accounting	7 Do I have that right?
8 and finance function for HCMFA.	8 A. That's right.
9 Q. Would that include – do you have	9 Q. Okay. Have you heard of a company
10 responsibility for overseeing HCMFA's annual	10 called NexPoint Advisors?
11 audit?	11 A. Yes.
12 A. Can I please elaborate on my prior	12 Q. We will refer to that as NexPoint.
13 question?	13 Okay?
14 Q. Of course. You – you are giving	14 A. Okay.
15 answers. I'm asking questions.	15 Q. Were you ever employed by NexPoint?
16 A. Okay. Yes, so the – it – like l	16 A. I don't recall.
17 said, it is to manage the accounting finance	17 Q. Did you ever hold any title with
18 aspect, but I am, as we discussed, the	18 respect to the entity known as NexPoint?
19 treasurer. That is – being treasurer is what	19 A. Yes.
20 gives me that – that management function.	20 Q. What titles have you held in
21 Q. Does anybody report to you in your	21 relation to NexPoint?
22 capacity as treasurer of HCMFA?	22 A. Treasurer. I think it was only
23 A. I don't believe so.	23 treasurer.
24 Q. Does HCMFA have a chief financial	24 Q. Can you tell me the approximate year
25 officer?	25 you became the treasurer of NexPoint?
Page 28	Page 29
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21
2 A. I don't know.	2 Q. And you serve as an officer of
3 Q. Are you still the treasurer of	3 HCMFA; correct?
4 NexPoint today?	4 A. I think we went over that with my
5 A. I am the acting treasurer for	5 testimony. Yes, I'm the acting treasurer for
6 NexPoint.	6 HCMFA.
7 Q. When did your title change from	7 Q. And you are an officer of NexPoint;
8 treasurer to acting treasurer?	8 correct?
9 A. I don't know.	9 A. I think – I am the acting treasurer
10 Q. Did your duties and responsibilities	10 for NexPoint Advisors.
11 change at all when your title was changed from	11 Q. And – and who appointed you acting
12 treasurer to acting treasurer?	12 treasurer of NexPoint Advisors?
13 A. I don't – I don't believe so.	13 A. I don't recall specifically.
14 Q. Why did –	14 Q. Do you have any recollection of who
15 A. I still manage the finance and	15 might have appointed you the treasurer of
16 accounting function for NexPoint.	16 NexPoint?
17 Q. Why did your title change from	17 A. I mean, it – it – I don't recall
17 Q. Why did your title change from18 treasurer to acting treasurer?	 A. I mean, it – it – I don't recall 18 exactly who it was.
 Q. Why did your title change from treasurer to acting treasurer? A. I don't – I'm using the term 	 17 A. I mean, it – it – I don't recall 18 exactly who it was. 19 Q. Who were the possibilities?
 Q. Why did your title change from treasurer to acting treasurer? A. I don't – I'm using the term "acting treasurer" as I'm a Skyview employee. 	 A. I mean, it – it – I don't recall exactly who it was. Q. Who were the possibilities? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the
 Q. Why did your title change from treasurer to acting treasurer? A. I don't – I'm using the term "acting treasurer" as I'm a Skyview employee. I don't – I don't know – again, I am a – as 	 A. I mean, it – it – I don't recall exactly who it was. Q. Who were the possibilities? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form.
 Q. Why did your title change from treasurer to acting treasurer? A. I don't – I'm using the term "acting treasurer" as I'm a Skyview employee. I don't – I don't know – again, I am a – as I am the Skyview employee. 	 A. I mean, it – it – I don't recall exactly who it was. Q. Who were the possibilities? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. Q. You can answer.
 Q. Why did your title change from treasurer to acting treasurer? A. I don't – I'm using the term "acting treasurer" as I'm a Skyview employee. I don't – I don't know – again, I am a – as I am the Skyview employee. Q. Okay. 	 A. I mean, it – it – I don't recall exactly who it was. Q. Who were the possibilities? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. Q. You can answer. A. Someone in the legal group for
 Q. Why did your title change from treasurer to acting treasurer? A. I don't – I'm using the term "acting treasurer" as I'm a Skyview employee. I don't – I don't know – again, I am a – as I am the Skyview employee. Q. Okay. A. And we – we provide officer 	 A. I mean, it – it – I don't recall exactly who it was. Q. Who were the possibilities? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. Q. You can answer. A. Someone in the legal group for NexPoint. The other officers as well.
 Q. Why did your title change from treasurer to acting treasurer? A. I don't – I'm using the term "acting treasurer" as I'm a Skyview employee. I don't – I don't know – again, I am a – as I am the Skyview employee. Q. Okay. 	 A. I mean, it – it – I don't recall exactly who it was. Q. Who were the possibilities? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. Q. You can answer. A. Someone in the legal group for

Page 30	Page 31
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21
2 Highland Capital Management Services, Inc.?	2 responsibilities as the acting treasurer for
3 A. Yes.	3 HCMS and the acting treasurer for NexPoint the
4 Q. We will refer to that as HCMS.	4 same as your duties and responsibilities in
5 Okay?	5 your role as the acting treasurer of HCMFA?
6 A. HCMS. Okay.	6 A. More or less.
7 Q. Were you ever employed by HCMS?	7 Q. Have you ever heard of a company
8 A. No.	8 called HCRE Partners, LLC?
9 Q. Have you ever held any titles in	9 A. Yes.
10 relation to HCMF – I apologize – HCMS?	10 Q. And do you understand that that
11 A. Yes.	11 entity is now known today as NexPoint Real
12 Q. What titles have you held in	12 Estate Partners?
13 relation to HCMS?	13 A. I did not know that.
14 A. Treasurer and acting treasurer.	14 Q. All right. Can we refer to HCRE
15 Q. When did you first become treasurer	15 Partners as HCRE?
16 or acting treasurer of HCMS?	16 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.
17 A. I don't recall the exact dates.	17 Did you mean NexPoint Real Estate
18 Q. Can you recall – can you	18 Partners, Mr. Morris?
19 approximate the year that you became the	19 MR. MORRIS: No.
20 treasurer of HCMS?	20 MS. DANDENEAU: Oh.
21 A. I don't I don't know.	21 MR. MORRIS: He said he wasn't
22 Q. Are you still the treasurer of HCMS	22 familiar that it was succeeded by that
23 today?	23 entity. So –
A. I am the acting treasurer for HCMS.	24 MS. DANDENEAU: Okay.
25 Q. And are your duties and	25 MR. MORRIS: – let's go with what
Page 32	Page 33
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21
2 the witness knows.	2 collectively as the retail funds; is that okay?
3 Q. You're familiar with an entity	3 A. Okay.
4 called HCRE Partners, LLC; correct?	4 Q. Each of the retail funds is governed
5 A. Yes.	5 by a board; correct?
6 Q. Okay. So that is the entity that we	6 A. Yes.
7 will actente as LIODE. If while any set and	
7 will refer to as HCRE. If you're aware of any	7 Q. And do you know the people who serve
8 successor, that is great. If not, let's just	8 on the boards of the retail funds?
8 successor, that is great. If not, let's just	8 on the boards of the retail funds?
8 successor, that is great. If not, let's just9 define it as such.	8 on the boards of the retail funds?9 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.
 8 successor, that is great. If not, let's just 9 define it as such. 10 Have you ever been employed by HCRE 	 8 on the boards of the retail funds? 9 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 10 A. I don't know all of them.
 8 successor, that is great. If not, let's just 9 define it as such. 10 Have you ever been employed by HCRE 11 or any entity that you know to have succeeded 	 8 on the boards of the retail funds? 9 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 10 A. I don't know all of them. 11 Q. Do you know whether the same people
 8 successor, that is great. If not, let's just 9 define it as such. 10 Have you ever been employed by HCRE 11 or any entity that you know to have succeeded 12 HCRE? 	 8 on the boards of the retail funds? 9 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 10 A. I don't know all of them. 11 Q. Do you know whether the same people 12 serve on the board of each of the retail funds
 8 successor, that is great. If not, let's just 9 define it as such. 10 Have you ever been employed by HCRE 11 or any entity that you know to have succeeded 12 HCRE? 13 A. No. 	 8 on the boards of the retail funds? 9 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 10 A. I don't know all of them. 11 Q. Do you know whether the same people 12 serve on the board of each of the retail funds 13 as we've defined that term?
 8 successor, that is great. If not, let's just 9 define it as such. 10 Have you ever been employed by HCRE 11 or any entity that you know to have succeeded 12 HCRE? 13 A. No. 14 Q. Did you ever serve as an officer or 	 8 on the boards of the retail funds? 9 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 10 A. I don't know all of them. 11 Q. Do you know whether the same people 12 serve on the board of each of the retail funds 13 as we've defined that term? 14 A. Which – so when you say "retail
 8 successor, that is great. If not, let's just 9 define it as such. 10 Have you ever been employed by HCRE 11 or any entity that you know to have succeeded 12 HCRE? 13 A. No. 14 Q. Did you ever serve as an officer or 15 director of HCRE or any successor? 	 8 on the boards of the retail funds? 9 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 10 A. I don't know all of them. 11 Q. Do you know whether the same people 12 serve on the board of each of the retail funds 13 as we've defined that term? 14 A. Which – so when you say "retail 15 funds" – again, I want to be – what retail
 8 successor, that is great. If not, let's just 9 define it as such. 10 Have you ever been employed by HCRE 11 or any entity that you know to have succeeded 12 HCRE? 13 A. No. 14 Q. Did you ever serve as an officer or 15 director of HCRE or any successor? 16 A. Not that I recall. 	 8 on the boards of the retail funds? 9 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 10 A. I don't know all of them. 11 Q. Do you know whether the same people 12 serve on the board of each of the retail funds 13 as we've defined that term? 14 A. Which – so when you say "retail 15 funds" – again, I want to be – what retail 16 funds are you referring to, because there are
 8 successor, that is great. If not, let's just 9 define it as such. 10 Have you ever been employed by HCRE 11 or any entity that you know to have succeeded 12 HCRE? 13 A. No. 14 Q. Did you ever serve as an officer or 15 director of HCRE or any successor? 16 A. Not that I recall. 17 Q. Okay. Can we refer to NexPoint and 	 8 on the boards of the retail funds? 9 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 10 A. I don't know all of them. 11 Q. Do you know whether the same people 12 serve on the board of each of the retail funds 13 as we've defined that term? 14 A. Which – so when you say "retail 15 funds" – again, I want to be – what retail 16 funds are you referring to, because there are 17 – there are several distinctions?
 8 successor, that is great. If not, let's just 9 define it as such. 10 Have you ever been employed by HCRE 11 or any entity that you know to have succeeded 12 HCRE? 13 A. No. 14 Q. Did you ever serve as an officer or 15 director of HCRE or any successor? 16 A. Not that I recall. 17 Q. Okay. Can we refer to NexPoint and 18 HCMFA as the advisors? 	 8 on the boards of the retail funds? 9 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 10 A. I don't know all of them. 11 Q. Do you know whether the same people 12 serve on the board of each of the retail funds 13 as we've defined that term? 14 A. Which – so when you say "retail 15 funds" – again, I want to be – what retail 16 funds are you referring to, because there are 17 – there are several distinctions? 18 What retail funds are you using when
 8 successor, that is great. If not, let's just 9 define it as such. 10 Have you ever been employed by HCRE 11 or any entity that you know to have succeeded 12 HCRE? 13 A. No. 14 Q. Did you ever serve as an officer or 15 director of HCRE or any successor? 16 A. Not that I recall. 17 Q. Okay. Can we refer to NexPoint and 18 HCMFA as the advisors? 19 A. Yes. 	 8 on the boards of the retail funds? 9 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 10 A. I don't know all of them. 11 Q. Do you know whether the same people 12 serve on the board of each of the retail funds 13 as we've defined that term? 14 A. Which – so when you say "retail 15 funds" – again, I want to be – what retail 16 funds are you referring to, because there are 17 – there are several distinctions? 18 What retail funds are you using when 19 you refer to them?
 8 successor, that is great. If not, let's just 9 define it as such. 10 Have you ever been employed by HCRE 11 or any entity that you know to have succeeded 12 HCRE? 13 A. No. 14 Q. Did you ever serve as an officer or 15 director of HCRE or any successor? 16 A. Not that I recall. 17 Q. Okay. Can we refer to NexPoint and 18 HCMFA as the advisors? 19 A. Yes. 20 Q. In general, the advisors provided 	 8 on the boards of the retail funds? 9 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 10 A. I don't know all of them. 11 Q. Do you know whether the same people 12 serve on the board of each of the retail funds 13 as we've defined that term? 14 A. Which – so when you say "retail 15 funds" – again, I want to be – what retail 16 funds are you referring to, because there are 17 – there are several distinctions? 18 What retail funds are you using when 19 you refer to them? 20 Q. That is why – that is why I tried
 8 successor, that is great. If not, let's just 9 define it as such. 10 Have you ever been employed by HCRE 11 or any entity that you know to have succeeded 12 HCRE? 13 A. No. 14 Q. Did you ever serve as an officer or 15 director of HCRE or any successor? 16 A. Not that I recall. 17 Q. Okay. Can we refer to NexPoint and 18 HCMFA as the advisors? 19 A. Yes. 20 Q. In general, the advisors provided 21 investment advisory services to certain retail 	 8 on the boards of the retail funds? 9 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 10 A. I don't know all of them. 11 Q. Do you know whether the same people 12 serve on the board of each of the retail funds 13 as we've defined that term? 14 A. Which – so when you say "retail 15 funds" – again, I want to be – what retail 16 funds are you referring to, because there are 17 – there are several distinctions? 18 What retail funds are you using when 19 you refer to them? 20 Q. That is why – that is why I tried 21 to define the terms. So let me do it again.
 8 successor, that is great. If not, let's just 9 define it as such. 10 Have you ever been employed by HCRE 11 or any entity that you know to have succeeded 12 HCRE? 13 A. No. 14 Q. Did you ever serve as an officer or 15 director of HCRE or any successor? 16 A. Not that I recall. 17 Q. Okay. Can we refer to NexPoint and 18 HCMFA as the advisors? 19 A. Yes. 20 Q. In general, the advisors provided 21 investment advisory services to certain retail 22 funds; correct? 	 8 on the boards of the retail funds? 9 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 10 A. I don't know all of them. 11 Q. Do you know whether the same people 12 serve on the board of each of the retail funds 13 as we've defined that term? 14 A. Which – so when you say "retail 15 funds" – again, I want to be – what retail 16 funds are you referring to, because there are 17 – there are several distinctions? 18 What retail funds are you using when 19 you refer to them? 20 Q. That is why – that is why I tried 21 to define the terms. So let me do it again. 22 Retail funds for the purposes of
 8 successor, that is great. If not, let's just 9 define it as such. 10 Have you ever been employed by HCRE 11 or any entity that you know to have succeeded 12 HCRE? 13 A. No. 14 Q. Did you ever serve as an officer or 15 director of HCRE or any successor? 16 A. Not that I recall. 17 Q. Okay. Can we refer to NexPoint and 18 HCMFA as the advisors? 19 A. Yes. 20 Q. In general, the advisors provided 21 investment advisory services to certain retail 22 funds; correct? 23 A. Yes. 	 8 on the boards of the retail funds? 9 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 10 A. I don't know all of them. 11 Q. Do you know whether the same people 12 serve on the board of each of the retail funds 13 as we've defined that term? 14 A. Which – so when you say "retail 15 funds" – again, I want to be – what retail 16 funds are you referring to, because there are 17 – there are several distinctions? 18 What retail funds are you using when 19 you refer to them? 20 Q. That is why – that is why I tried 21 to define the terms. So let me do it again. 22 Retail funds for the purposes of 23 this deposition means any retail fund to which

1		Page 34			Page 35
	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	. ugo o i	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	. age co
2	A. Okay.		2	respect to each retail fund.	
3	Q. Okay. So do you know whether the		3	Actually, let's do it a different	
4	same people serve on the board of each of the		4	way. I withdraw the question.	
5	retail funds?		5	Can you give me one title you have	
6	A. I don't know.		6	in relation to any retail fund?	
7	Q. Were you ever employed by any of the		7	A. Yes.	
8	retail funds?		8	Q. What title – what title can you	
9	A. No.		9	give me?	
10	Q. No?		10	A. Principal executive officer.	
11	A. No.		11	Q. Do you serve as principal executive	
12	Q. Okay. Do you have any title with		12	officer for each of the retail funds?	
13	respect to any of the retail funds?		13	A. No.	
14	A. Yes.		14	Q. Can you identify for me the retail	
15	Q. What titles do you hold		15	funds in which you serve as the principal	
16	withdrawn.		16	executive officer?	
17	Do you have the same titles with		17	A. Yes. Highland Funds 1, Highland	
18	respect to all of the retail funds or do		18	Funds 2, Highland Income Fund, Highland Global	
19	they – or just something else?		19	Allocation Fund.	
20	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.		20	Q. I'm sorry, you said "Global	
21	Q. Withdrawn.		21	Allocation Fund"?	
22	Do you have the same title with		22	A. Yes.	
23	respect to each of the retail funds?		23	VIDEOGRAPHER: Excuse me,	
24	A. No.		24	Mr. Morris. This is the videographer. I'm	
25	Q. Tell me which title you have with		25	concerned about the lighting in the	
		Page 36			Page 37
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21		1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	
2	witness' camera.		2	A. 2021.	
3	Do you want to go off the record and		3	Q. Did you ever hold any title with	
4	make some adjustments?		0		
5			4	respect to any of the four funds you have just	
1	MR. MORRIS: Sure, but just for this		4 5	respect to any of the four funds you have just identified other than principal executive	
6	purpose. I don't want to take a break. We		4 5	respect to any of the four funds you have just identified other than principal executive officer?	
6 7	purpose. I don't want to take a break. We just started.		4 5	respect to any of the four funds you have just identified other than principal executive officer? A. I don't recall.	
6	purpose. I don't want to take a break. We just started. MS. DANDENEAU: Yeah, that is fine.		4 5 6	respect to any of the four funds you have just identified other than principal executive officer? A. I don't recall. Q. Is it possible that you held a	
6 7	purpose. I don't want to take a break. We just started.		4 5 6 7	respect to any of the four funds you have just identified other than principal executive officer? A. I don't recall. Q. Is it possible that you held a position or a title with the four funds you	
6 7 8 9 10	purpose. I don't want to take a break. We just started. MS. DANDENEAU: Yeah, that is fine. That is fine. We're going to put you on mute.		4 5 6 7 8	respect to any of the four funds you have just identified other than principal executive officer? A. I don't recall. Q. Is it possible that you held a position or a title with the four funds you just identified prior to 2021?	
6 7 8 9 10 11	purpose. I don't want to take a break. We just started. MS. DANDENEAU: Yeah, that is fine. That is fine. We're going to put you on		4 5 7 8 9 10	respect to any of the four funds you have just identified other than principal executive officer? A. I don't recall. Q. Is it possible that you held a position or a title with the four funds you	
6 7 8 9 10 11 12	purpose. I don't want to take a break. We just started. MS. DANDENEAU: Yeah, that is fine. That is fine. We're going to put you on mute. MR. MORRIS: All right. MS. DANDENEAU: I'm going to try to		4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	respect to any of the four funds you have just identified other than principal executive officer? A. I don't recall. Q. Is it possible that you held a position or a title with the four funds you just identified prior to 2021? A. Yes. Q. But you don't recall if you did or	
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	purpose. I don't want to take a break. We just started. MS. DANDENEAU: Yeah, that is fine. That is fine. We're going to put you on mute. MR. MORRIS: All right. MS. DANDENEAU: I'm going to try to open up some of the shades.		4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	respect to any of the four funds you have just identified other than principal executive officer? A. I don't recall. Q. Is it possible that you held a position or a title with the four funds you just identified prior to 2021? A. Yes. Q. But you don't recall if you did or not; do I have that right?	
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	purpose. I don't want to take a break. We just started. MS. DANDENEAU: Yeah, that is fine. That is fine. We're going to put you on mute. MR. MORRIS: All right. MS. DANDENEAU: I'm going to try to open up some of the shades. VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the		4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	respect to any of the four funds you have just identified other than principal executive officer? A. I don't recall. Q. Is it possible that you held a position or a title with the four funds you just identified prior to 2021? A. Yes. Q. But you don't recall if you did or not; do I have that right? A. No. You – I thought you asked, did	
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	purpose. I don't want to take a break. We just started. MS. DANDENEAU: Yeah, that is fine. That is fine. We're going to put you on mute. MR. MORRIS: All right. MS. DANDENEAU: I'm going to try to open up some of the shades. VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the record at 10:08 a.m.		4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	respect to any of the four funds you have just identified other than principal executive officer? A. I don't recall. Q. Is it possible that you held a position or a title with the four funds you just identified prior to 2021? A. Yes. Q. But you don't recall if you did or not; do I have that right? A. No. You – I thought you asked, did I hold other titles.	
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	purpose. I don't want to take a break. We just started. MS. DANDENEAU: Yeah, that is fine. That is fine. We're going to put you on mute. MR. MORRIS: All right. MS. DANDENEAU: I'm going to try to open up some of the shades. VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the record at 10:08 a.m. (Recess taken 10:08 a.m. to 10:11 a.m.)		4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	respect to any of the four funds you have just identified other than principal executive officer? A. I don't recall. Q. Is it possible that you held a position or a title with the four funds you just identified prior to 2021? A. Yes. Q. But you don't recall if you did or not; do I have that right? A. No. You – I thought you asked, did I hold other titles. Q. Did you hold any title at the four	
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	purpose. I don't want to take a break. We just started. MS. DANDENEAU: Yeah, that is fine. That is fine. We're going to put you on mute. MR. MORRIS: All right. MS. DANDENEAU: I'm going to try to open up some of the shades. VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the record at 10:08 a.m. (Recess taken 10:08 a.m. to 10:11 a.m.) VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the		4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	respect to any of the four funds you have just identified other than principal executive officer? A. I don't recall. Q. Is it possible that you held a position or a title with the four funds you just identified prior to 2021? A. Yes. Q. But you don't recall if you did or not; do I have that right? A. No. You – I thought you asked, did I hold other titles. Q. Did you hold any title at the four retail funds for which you now serve as	
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	purpose. I don't want to take a break. We just started. MS. DANDENEAU: Yeah, that is fine. That is fine. We're going to put you on mute. MR. MORRIS: All right. MS. DANDENEAU: I'm going to try to open up some of the shades. VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the record at 10:08 a.m. (Recess taken 10:08 a.m. to 10:11 a.m.) VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record at 10:11 a.m.		4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	respect to any of the four funds you have just identified other than principal executive officer? A. I don't recall. Q. Is it possible that you held a position or a title with the four funds you just identified prior to 2021? A. Yes. Q. But you don't recall if you did or not; do I have that right? A. No. You – I thought you asked, did I hold other titles. Q. Did you hold any title at the four retail funds for which you now serve as principal executive officer at any time prior	
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 purpose. I don't want to take a break. We just started. MS. DANDENEAU: Yeah, that is fine. That is fine. We're going to put you on mute. MR. MORRIS: All right. MS. DANDENEAU: I'm going to try to open up some of the shades. VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the record at 10:08 a.m. (Recess taken 10:08 a.m. to 10:11 a.m.) VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record at 10:11 a.m. Q. Mr. Waterhouse, when did you become 		4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	respect to any of the four funds you have just identified other than principal executive officer? A. I don't recall. Q. Is it possible that you held a position or a title with the four funds you just identified prior to 2021? A. Yes. Q. But you don't recall if you did or not; do I have that right? A. No. You – I thought you asked, did I hold other titles. Q. Did you hold any title at the four retail funds for which you now serve as principal executive officer at any time prior to 2021?	
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	purpose. I don't want to take a break. We just started. MS. DANDENEAU: Yeah, that is fine. That is fine. We're going to put you on mute. MR. MORRIS: All right. MS. DANDENEAU: I'm going to try to open up some of the shades. VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the record at 10:08 a.m. (Recess taken 10:08 a.m. to 10:11 a.m.) VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record at 10:11 a.m. Q. Mr. Waterhouse, when did you become the principal executive officer of the four		4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	respect to any of the four funds you have just identified other than principal executive officer? A. I don't recall. Q. Is it possible that you held a position or a title with the four funds you just identified prior to 2021? A. Yes. Q. But you don't recall if you did or not; do I have that right? A. No. You – I thought you asked, did I hold other titles. Q. Did you hold any title at the four retail funds for which you now serve as principal executive officer at any time prior to 2021? A. Yes.	
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	purpose. I don't want to take a break. We just started. MS. DANDENEAU: Yeah, that is fine. That is fine. We're going to put you on mute. MR. MORRIS: All right. MS. DANDENEAU: I'm going to try to open up some of the shades. VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the record at 10:08 a.m. (Recess taken 10:08 a.m. to 10:11 a.m.) VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record at 10:11 a.m. Q. Mr. Waterhouse, when did you become the principal executive officer of the four retail funds that you just identified?		4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	respect to any of the four funds you have just identified other than principal executive officer? A. I don't recall. Q. Is it possible that you held a position or a title with the four funds you just identified prior to 2021? A. Yes. Q. But you don't recall if you did or not; do I have that right? A. No. You – I thought you asked, did I hold other titles. Q. Did you hold any title at the four retail funds for which you now serve as principal executive officer at any time prior to 2021? A. Yes. Q. What titles did you hold?	
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 purpose. I don't want to take a break. We just started. MS. DANDENEAU: Yeah, that is fine. That is fine. We're going to put you on mute. MR. MORRIS: All right. MS. DANDENEAU: I'm going to try to open up some of the shades. VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the record at 10:08 a.m. (Recess taken 10:08 a.m. to 10:11 a.m.) VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record at 10:11 a.m. Q. Mr. Waterhouse, when did you become the principal executive officer of the four retail funds that you just identified? A. I don't recall. 		4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	respect to any of the four funds you have just identified other than principal executive officer? A. I don't recall. Q. Is it possible that you held a position or a title with the four funds you just identified prior to 2021? A. Yes. Q. But you don't recall if you did or not; do I have that right? A. No. You – I thought you asked, did I hold other titles. Q. Did you hold any title at the four retail funds for which you now serve as principal executive officer at any time prior to 2021? A. Yes. Q. What titles did you hold? A. I don't recall all the titles.	
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 purpose. I don't want to take a break. We just started. MS. DANDENEAU: Yeah, that is fine. That is fine. We're going to put you on mute. MR. MORRIS: All right. MS. DANDENEAU: I'm going to try to open up some of the shades. VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the record at 10:08 a.m. (Recess taken 10:08 a.m. to 10:11 a.m.) VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record at 10:11 a.m. Q. Mr. Waterhouse, when did you become the principal executive officer of the four retail funds that you just identified? A. I don't recall. Q. Do you recall the approximate year 		4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	respect to any of the four funds you have just identified other than principal executive officer? A. I don't recall. Q. Is it possible that you held a position or a title with the four funds you just identified prior to 2021? A. Yes. Q. But you don't recall if you did or not; do I have that right? A. No. You – I thought you asked, did I hold other titles. Q. Did you hold any title at the four retail funds for which you now serve as principal executive officer at any time prior to 2021? A. Yes. Q. What titles did you hold? A. I don't recall all the titles. Q. Do you recall any of the titles?	
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 purpose. I don't want to take a break. We just started. MS. DANDENEAU: Yeah, that is fine. That is fine. We're going to put you on mute. MR. MORRIS: All right. MS. DANDENEAU: I'm going to try to open up some of the shades. VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the record at 10:08 a.m. (Recess taken 10:08 a.m. to 10:11 a.m.) VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record at 10:11 a.m. Q. Mr. Waterhouse, when did you become the principal executive officer of the four retail funds that you just identified? A. I don't recall. Q. Do you recall the approximate year that you became the principal executive officer 		4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	respect to any of the four funds you have just identified other than principal executive officer? A. I don't recall. Q. Is it possible that you held a position or a title with the four funds you just identified prior to 2021? A. Yes. Q. But you don't recall if you did or not; do I have that right? A. No. You – I thought you asked, did I hold other titles. Q. Did you hold any title at the four retail funds for which you now serve as principal executive officer at any time prior to 2021? A. Yes. Q. What titles did you hold? A. I don't recall all the titles. Q. Do you recall any of the titles? A. Yes.	
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 purpose. I don't want to take a break. We just started. MS. DANDENEAU: Yeah, that is fine. That is fine. We're going to put you on mute. MR. MORRIS: All right. MS. DANDENEAU: I'm going to try to open up some of the shades. VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the record at 10:08 a.m. (Recess taken 10:08 a.m. to 10:11 a.m.) VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record at 10:11 a.m. Q. Mr. Waterhouse, when did you become the principal executive officer of the four retail funds that you just identified? A. I don't recall. Q. Do you recall the approximate year 		4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	respect to any of the four funds you have just identified other than principal executive officer? A. I don't recall. Q. Is it possible that you held a position or a title with the four funds you just identified prior to 2021? A. Yes. Q. But you don't recall if you did or not; do I have that right? A. No. You – I thought you asked, did I hold other titles. Q. Did you hold any title at the four retail funds for which you now serve as principal executive officer at any time prior to 2021? A. Yes. Q. What titles did you hold? A. I don't recall all the titles. Q. Do you recall any of the titles?	

	Page 38			Page 39
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Ū
2	those four retail funds before 2021?	2	treasurer of the advisors, you also serve as	
3	A. Principal executive officer.	3	the principal executive officer of these four	
4	Q. Were you the principal executive	4	retail funds; correct?	
5	officer of the four retail funds that you have	5	A. Yes.	
6	identified?	6	Q. Did you ever hold any title with	
7	A. Sorry, could you repeat the	7	respect to any other retail fund?	
8	question?	8	A. Not that I recall.	
9	Q. Were you the principal executive	9	Q. During the period that you served as	
10	officer for each of the four retail funds that	10	Highland's CFO, from time to time Highland	
11	you have identified?	11	loaned money to certain of its officers and	
12	A. Yes.	12	employees; correct?	
13	Q. When did you become the principal	13	A. Yes.	
14	executive – withdrawn.	14	Q. During the period that you served as	
15	Can you give me the approximate year	15	Highland's CFO, from time to time Highland	
16	that you became the principal executive officer	16	loaned money to certain –	
17	for each of the four retail funds you've	17	A. Let me – let me retract that,	
18	identified?	18	sorry, that – you asked during the time I was	
19	A. I don't recall.	19	CFO, Highland loaned moneys to employees. I	
20	Q. What are your duties and	20	don't – I don't recall that during my tenure	
21	responsibilities as the principal executive	21	of CFO.	
22	officer of these four retail funds?	22	Q. You have no recollection during the	
23	A. It is to manage the finance and	23	time that you were the CFO of Highland of	
24	accounting positions.	24	Highland ever loaning any money to any officer	
25	Q. So at the same time you serve as the	25	or director of Highland?	
	Page 40			Page 41
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	
2	A. I don't recall during my tenure of	2	loaned money to certain corporate affiliates;	
3	Highland or my – as CFO of Highland – yeah,	3	correct?	
4	if there are any loans as CFO of Highland.	4	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	
5	Q. I'm just talking about officers and	5	A. What are corporate affiliates?	
6	employees right now. You have no recollection	6	Q. How about the ones that are in	
	of Highland ever making a loan to any of its	1	Highland's audited financial statements under	
8	officers or employees during the time that you	8	the section entitled Loans to Affiliates. Why	
9	served as CFO. Do I have that right?	9	don't we start with those. Do you have any	
10	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	10	understanding of what the phrase "affiliates"	
11	A. So I thought you were saying	11	means?	
12	officers and employees as CFO, right, so there	12	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	
13	were – I mean, okay, yes.	13	A. I understand what affiliates are,	
14	Q. I would ask you to listen carefully	14	yet affiliates can have different meanings in	
15	to my question. If I – if I'm not clear, let	15	different contexts, so	
16	me know, but I'm really trying to be as clear	16	Q. Why don't you – why don't you tell	
17		17	me what your understanding of the term	
18	A. I'm listening as carefully as I can,	18	"affiliate" is in relation to Highland Capital	
1		19	Management, L.P.	
19	and you are asking very specific questions in a			
19 20	timeline. And I'm trying to answer your	20	A. Is that a – it depends on the	
19 20 21	timeline. And I'm trying to answer your questions as specifically as I can, and I	20 21	context.	
19 20 21 22	timeline. And I'm trying to answer your questions as specifically as I can, and I apologize if – if I'm going back. I am – you	20 21 22	Context. Q. How about the context of making	
19 20 21 22 23	timeline. And I'm trying to answer your questions as specifically as I can, and I apologize if – if I'm going back. I am – you are asking very specific questions. Thank you.	20 21 22 23	context. Q. How about the context of making loans?	
19 20 21 22	timeline. And I'm trying to answer your questions as specifically as I can, and I apologize if – if I'm going back. I am – you are asking very specific questions. Thank you. Q. During the period that you served as	20 21 22	Context. Q. How about the context of making	

	Page 42			Page 43
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Fage 45
2	who an affiliate was or is at the time those -	2	definition	
3	l didn't that wasn't my job to make a	3	Q. I said owned and/or controlled.	
4	determination of who an affiliate is.	4	A. I don't – again, I'm not – I'm not	
5	Q. All right. So as the CFO of	5	the legal expert. I don't think it controls –	
6	Highland, do you have any ability right now to	6	he controls Dugaboy, so again, I'm not the	
7	tell me which companies that were directly or	7	legal person.	
8	indirectly owned and/or controlled by	8	Q. I'm not asking you for a legal	
9	Mr. Dondero in whole or in part received loans	9	conclusion, sir. I'm asking you for your	
10	from Highland Capital Management, L.P.?	10	knowledge, okay, as the CFO the former CFO	
11	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	11	of Highland Capital Management, other than	
12	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection, form.	12	NexPoint, HCMFA, and HCMF HCMS, can you	
13	A. Yes.	13	think of any other entities that were owned	
14	Q. Okay. Identify every entity that	14	and/or controlled directly or indirectly in	
15	you can think of that was directly or	15	whole or in part by Jim Dondero who received a	
16	indirectly owned and/or controlled by	16	loan from Highland Capital Management, L.P.?	
17	Mr. Dondero in whole or in part that received a	17	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	
18	loan from Highland Capital Management, L.P.	18	A. HCRE.	
19	MR. RUKAVINA: Objection, legal	19	Q. Any others?	
20	conclusion.	20	A. That is that is all I can think	
21	A. NexPoint Advisors, Highland Capital	21	of.	
22	Management Fund Advisors, HCM Services,	22	Q. And you're aware that from time to	
23	Dugaboy. Sorry, I don't think Dugaboy	23	time while you were the CFO, Highland loaned	
24	doesn't fit that definition. You said owned	24	money to Jim Dondero; correct?	
25	and controlled. I don't think that that	25	A. Yes.	
	Page 44			Page 45
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 45
1 2	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. Okay. Can we refer to the four	2	identified tendered notes to Highland; correct?	Page 45
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. Okay. Can we refer to the four entities that you just named and Mr. Dondero as	2 3	identified tendered notes to Highland; correct? MR. RUKAVINA: Hey, John, will you	Page 45
2 3 4	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. Okay. Can we refer to the four entities that you just named and Mr. Dondero as the affiliates?	2 3 4	identified tendered notes to Highland; correct? MR. RUKAVINA: Hey, John, will you just give me a running objection to legal	Page 45
23	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. Okay. Can we refer to the four entities that you just named and Mr. Dondero as the affiliates? A. So that would be Jim Dondero,	2 3 4 5	identified tendered notes to Highland; correct? MR. RUKAVINA: Hey, John, will you just give me a running objection to legal conclusion to HCM	Page 45
2 3 4	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. Okay. Can we refer to the four entities that you just named and Mr. Dondero as the affiliates? A. So that would be Jim Dondero, NexPoint Advisors, Highland Capital Management	2 3 4 5 6	identified tendered notes to Highland; correct? MR. RUKAVINA: Hey, John, will you just give me a running objection to legal conclusion to HCM MR. MORRIS: No. No, if you want to	Page 45
2 3 4 5 6 7	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. Okay. Can we refer to the four entities that you just named and Mr. Dondero as the affiliates? A. So that would be Jim Dondero, NexPoint Advisors, Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors, and HCRE.	2 3 4 5 6 7	identified tendered notes to Highland; correct? MR. RUKAVINA: Hey, John, will you just give me a running objection to legal conclusion to HCM – MR. MORRIS: No. No, if you want to object –	Page 45
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. Okay. Can we refer to the four entities that you just named and Mr. Dondero as the affiliates? A. So that would be Jim Dondero, NexPoint Advisors, Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors, and HCRE. Q. And HCMS?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	identified tendered notes to Highland; correct? MR. RUKAVINA: Hey, John, will you just give me a running objection to legal conclusion to HCM MR. MORRIS: No. No, if you want to object MR. RUKAVINA: I will object every	Page 45
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. Okay. Can we refer to the four entities that you just named and Mr. Dondero as the affiliates? A. So that would be Jim Dondero, NexPoint Advisors, Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors, and HCRE. Q. And HCMS? A. And HCMS, okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	identified tendered notes to Highland; correct? MR. RUKAVINA: Hey, John, will you just give me a running objection to legal conclusion to HCM – MR. MORRIS: No. No, if you want to object – MR. RUKAVINA: I will object every time. Object to legal conclusion.	Page 45
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. Okay. Can we refer to the four entities that you just named and Mr. Dondero as the affiliates? A. So that would be Jim Dondero, NexPoint Advisors, Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors, and HCRE. Q. And HCMS? A. And HCMS, okay. Q. And can we refer to the loans that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	identified tendered notes to Highland; correct? MR. RUKAVINA: Hey, John, will you just give me a running objection to legal conclusion to HCM – MR. MORRIS: No. No, if you want to object – MR. RUKAVINA: I will object every time. Object to legal conclusion. MR. MORRIS: That is fine.	Page 45
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. Okay. Can we refer to the four entities that you just named and Mr. Dondero as the affiliates? A. So that would be Jim Dondero, NexPoint Advisors, Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors, and HCRE. Q. And HCMS? A. And HCMS, okay. Q. And can we refer to the loans that were given to each of those affiliates as the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	identified tendered notes to Highland; correct? MR. RUKAVINA: Hey, John, will you just give me a running objection to legal conclusion to HCM MR. MORRIS: No. No, if you want to object MR. RUKAVINA: I will object every time. Object to legal conclusion. MR. MORRIS: That is fine. A. Sorry, can you repeat the question?	Page 45
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. Okay. Can we refer to the four entities that you just named and Mr. Dondero as the affiliates? A. So that would be Jim Dondero, NexPoint Advisors, Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors, and HCRE. Q. And HCMS? A. And HCMS, okay. Q. And can we refer to the loans that were given to each of those affiliates as the affiliate loans?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	identified tendered notes to Highland; correct? MR. RUKAVINA: Hey, John, will you just give me a running objection to legal conclusion to HCM – MR. MORRIS: No. No, if you want to object – MR. RUKAVINA: I will object every time. Object to legal conclusion. MR. MORRIS: That is fine. A. Sorry, can you repeat the question? Q. Are you aware that each of the –	Page 45
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. Okay. Can we refer to the four entities that you just named and Mr. Dondero as the affiliates? A. So that would be Jim Dondero, NexPoint Advisors, Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors, and HCRE. Q. And HCMS? A. And HCMS, okay. Q. And can we refer to the loans that were given to each of those affiliates as the affiliate loans? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	identified tendered notes to Highland; correct? MR. RUKAVINA: Hey, John, will you just give me a running objection to legal conclusion to HCM MR. MORRIS: No. No, if you want to object MR. RUKAVINA: I will object every time. Object to legal conclusion. MR. MORRIS: That is fine. A. Sorry, can you repeat the question? Q. Are you aware that each of the that each of the affiliates, as we have defined	Page 45
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. Okay. Can we refer to the four entities that you just named and Mr. Dondero as the affiliates? A. So that would be Jim Dondero, NexPoint Advisors, Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors, and HCRE. Q. And HCMS? A. And HCMS, okay. Q. And can we refer to the loans that were given to each of those affiliates as the affiliate loans? A. Yes. Q. And is it fair to say that each of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	identified tendered notes to Highland; correct? MR. RUKAVINA: Hey, John, will you just give me a running objection to legal conclusion to HCM – MR. MORRIS: No. No, if you want to object – MR. RUKAVINA: I will object every time. Object to legal conclusion. MR. MORRIS: That is fine. A. Sorry, can you repeat the question? Q. Are you aware that each of the – that each of the affiliates, as we have defined the term, gave to Highland a promissory note in	Page 45
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. Okay. Can we refer to the four entities that you just named and Mr. Dondero as the affiliates? A. So that would be Jim Dondero, NexPoint Advisors, Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors, and HCRE. Q. And HCMS? A. And HCMS, okay. Q. And can we refer to the loans that were given to each of those affiliates as the affiliate loans? A. Yes. Q. And is it fair to say that each of the affiliates were the borrowers under the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	identified tendered notes to Highland; correct? MR. RUKAVINA: Hey, John, will you just give me a running objection to legal conclusion to HCM – MR. MORRIS: No. No, if you want to object – MR. RUKAVINA: I will object every time. Object to legal conclusion. MR. MORRIS: That is fine. A. Sorry, can you repeat the question? Q. Are you aware that each of the – that each of the affiliates, as we have defined the term, gave to Highland a promissory note in exchange for the loans?	Page 45
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. Okay. Can we refer to the four entities that you just named and Mr. Dondero as the affiliates? A. So that would be Jim Dondero, NexPoint Advisors, Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors, and HCRE. Q. And HCMS? A. And HCMS, okay. Q. And can we refer to the loans that were given to each of those affiliates as the affiliate loans? A. Yes. Q. And is it fair to say that each of the affiliates were the borrowers under the affiliate loans as we're defining the term?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	identified tendered notes to Highland; correct? MR. RUKAVINA: Hey, John, will you just give me a running objection to legal conclusion to HCM MR. MORRIS: No. No, if you want to object - MR. RUKAVINA: I will object every time. Object to legal conclusion. MR. MORRIS: That is fine. A. Sorry, can you repeat the question? Q. Are you aware that each of the that each of the affiliates, as we have defined the term, gave to Highland a promissory note in exchange for the loans? MR. RUKAVINA: Objection to the	Page 45
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. Okay. Can we refer to the four entities that you just named and Mr. Dondero as the affiliates? A. So that would be Jim Dondero, NexPoint Advisors, Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors, and HCRE. Q. And HCMS? A. And HCMS? A. And HCMS, okay. Q. And can we refer to the loans that were given to each of those affiliates as the affiliate loans? A. Yes. Q. And is it fair to say that each of the affiliates were the borrowers under the affiliate loans as we're defining the term? MR. RUKAVINA: Objection, legal	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	identified tendered notes to Highland; correct? MR. RUKAVINA: Hey, John, will you just give me a running objection to legal conclusion to HCM – MR. MORRIS: No. No, if you want to object – MR. RUKAVINA: I will object every time. Object to legal conclusion. MR. MORRIS: That is fine. A. Sorry, can you repeat the question? Q. Are you aware that each of the – that each of the affiliates, as we have defined the term, gave to Highland a promissory note in exchange for the loans? MR. RUKAVINA: Objection to the extent that calls for a legal conclusion.	Page 45
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. Okay. Can we refer to the four entities that you just named and Mr. Dondero as the affiliates? A. So that would be Jim Dondero, NexPoint Advisors, Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors, and HCRE. Q. And HCMS? A. And HCMS, okay. Q. And can we refer to the loans that were given to each of those affiliates as the affiliate loans? A. Yes. Q. And is it fair to say that each of the affiliates were the borrowers under the affiliate loans as we're defining the term? MR. RUKAVINA: Objection, legal conclusion. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 identified tendered notes to Highland; correct? MR. RUKAVINA: Hey, John, will you just give me a running objection to legal conclusion to HCM – MR. MORRIS: No. No, if you want to object – MR. RUKAVINA: I will object every time. Object to legal conclusion. MR. MORRIS: That is fine. A. Sorry, can you repeat the question? Q. Are you aware that each of the – that each of the affiliates, as we have defined the term, gave to Highland a promissory note in exchange for the loans? MR. RUKAVINA: Objection to the extent that calls for a legal conclusion. A. I don't. 	Page 45
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. Okay. Can we refer to the four entities that you just named and Mr. Dondero as the affiliates? A. So that would be Jim Dondero, NexPoint Advisors, Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors, and HCRE. Q. And HCMS? A. And HCMS, okay. Q. And can we refer to the loans that were given to each of those affiliates as the affiliate loans? A. Yes. Q. And is it fair to say that each of the affiliates were the borrowers under the affiliate loans as we're defining the term? MR. RUKAVINA: Objection, legal conclusion. A. The borrowers are whoever were on 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 identified tendered notes to Highland; correct? MR. RUKAVINA: Hey, John, will you just give me a running objection to legal conclusion to HCM – MR. MORRIS: No. No, if you want to object – MR. RUKAVINA: I will object every time. Object to legal conclusion. MR. MORRIS: That is fine. A. Sorry, can you repeat the question? Q. Are you aware that each of the – that each of the affiliates, as we have defined the term, gave to Highland a promissory note in exchange for the loans? MR. RUKAVINA: Objection to the extent that calls for a legal conclusion. A. I don't. Q. No, you don't know that? 	Page 45
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. Okay. Can we refer to the four entities that you just named and Mr. Dondero as the affiliates? A. So that would be Jim Dondero, NexPoint Advisors, Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors, and HCRE. Q. And HCMS? A. And HCMS, okay. Q. And can we refer to the loans that were given to each of those affiliates as the affiliate loans? A. Yes. Q. And is it fair to say that each of the affiliates were the borrowers under the affiliate loans as we're defining the term? MR. RUKAVINA: Objection, legal conclusion. A. The borrowers are whoever were on the notes. I don't – I don't know. I'm not 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 identified tendered notes to Highland; correct? MR. RUKAVINA: Hey, John, will you just give me a running objection to legal conclusion to HCM MR. MORRIS: No. No, if you want to object MR. RUKAVINA: I will object every time. Object to legal conclusion. MR. MORRIS: That is fine. A. Sorry, can you repeat the question? Q. Are you aware that each of the that each of the affiliates, as we have defined the term, gave to Highland a promissory note in exchange for the loans? MR. RUKAVINA: Objection to the extent that calls for a legal conclusion. A. I don't. Q. No, you don't know that? A. No, they didn't - you said they 	Page 45
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. Okay. Can we refer to the four entities that you just named and Mr. Dondero as the affiliates? A. So that would be Jim Dondero, NexPoint Advisors, Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors, and HCRE. Q. And HCMS? A. And HCMS, okay. Q. And can we refer to the loans that were given to each of those affiliates as the affiliate loans? A. Yes. Q. And is it fair to say that each of the affiliates were the borrowers under the affiliate loans as we're defining the term? MR. RUKAVINA: Objection, legal conclusion. A. The borrowers are whoever were on the notes. I don't – I don't know. I'm not the legal person. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 identified tendered notes to Highland; correct? MR. RUKAVINA: Hey, John, will you just give me a running objection to legal conclusion to HCM – MR. MORRIS: No. No, if you want to object – MR. RUKAVINA: I will object every time. Object to legal conclusion. MR. MORRIS: That is fine. A. Sorry, can you repeat the question? Q. Are you aware that each of the – that each of the affiliates, as we have defined the term, gave to Highland a promissory note in exchange for the loans? MR. RUKAVINA: Objection to the extent that calls for a legal conclusion. A. I don't. Q. No, you don't know that? A. No, they didn't – you said they exchanged a promissory note for a loan. I 	Page 45
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. Okay. Can we refer to the four entities that you just named and Mr. Dondero as the affiliates? A. So that would be Jim Dondero, NexPoint Advisors, Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors, and HCRE. Q. And HCMS? A. And HCMS, okay. Q. And can we refer to the loans that were given to each of those affiliates as the affiliate loans? A. Yes. Q. And is it fair to say that each of the affiliates were the borrowers under the affiliate loans as we're defining the term? MR. RUKAVINA: Objection, legal conclusion. A. The borrowers are whoever were on the notes. I don't – I don't know. I'm not the legal person. Q. But you – 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 identified tendered notes to Highland; correct? MR. RUKAVINA: Hey, John, will you just give me a running objection to legal conclusion to HCM – MR. MORRIS: No. No, if you want to object – MR. RUKAVINA: I will object every time. Object to legal conclusion. MR. MORRIS: That is fine. A. Sorry, can you repeat the question? Q. Are you aware that each of the – that each of the affiliates, as we have defined the term, gave to Highland a promissory note in exchange for the loans? MR. RUKAVINA: Objection to the extent that calls for a legal conclusion. A. I don't. Q. No, you don't know that? A. No, they didn't – you said they exchanged a promissory note for a loan. I don't – I don't understand that question, so I 	Page 45
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. Okay. Can we refer to the four entities that you just named and Mr. Dondero as the affiliates? A. So that would be Jim Dondero, NexPoint Advisors, Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors, and HCRE. Q. And HCMS? A. And HCMS, okay. Q. And can we refer to the loans that were given to each of those affiliates as the affiliate loans? A. Yes. Q. And is it fair to say that each of the affiliates were the borrowers under the affiliate loans as we're defining the term? MR. RUKAVINA: Objection, legal conclusion. A. The borrowers are whoever were on the notes. I don't – I don't know. I'm not the legal person. Q. But you – A. I don't know. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 identified tendered notes to Highland; correct? MR. RUKAVINA: Hey, John, will you just give me a running objection to legal conclusion to HCM MR. MORRIS: No. No, if you want to object MR. RUKAVINA: I will object every time. Object to legal conclusion. MR. MORRIS: That is fine. A. Sorry, can you repeat the question? Q. Are you aware that each of the that each of the affiliates, as we have defined the term, gave to Highland a promissory note in exchange for the loans? MR. RUKAVINA: Objection to the extent that calls for a legal conclusion. A. I don't. Q. No, you don't know that? A. No, they didn't you said they exchanged a promissory note for a loan. I don't I don't understand that question, so I said no. 	Page 45
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 3 24	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. Okay. Can we refer to the four entities that you just named and Mr. Dondero as the affiliates? A. So that would be Jim Dondero, NexPoint Advisors, Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors, and HCRE. Q. And HCMS? A. And HCMS, okay. Q. And can we refer to the loans that were given to each of those affiliates as the affiliate loans? A. Yes. Q. And is it fair to say that each of the affiliates were the borrowers under the affiliate loans as we're defining the term? MR. RUKAVINA: Objection, legal conclusion. A. The borrowers are whoever were on the notes. I don't – I don't know. I'm not the legal person. Q. But you – A. I don't know. Q. You do know, as Highland's former 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 identified tendered notes to Highland; correct? MR. RUKAVINA: Hey, John, will you just give me a running objection to legal conclusion to HCM – MR. MORRIS: No. No, if you want to object – MR. RUKAVINA: I will object every time. Object to legal conclusion. MR. MORRIS: That is fine. A. Sorry, can you repeat the question? Q. Are you aware that each of the – that each of the affiliates, as we have defined the term, gave to Highland a promissory note in exchange for the loans? MR. RUKAVINA: Objection to the extent that calls for a legal conclusion. A. I don't. Q. No, you don't know that? A. No, they didn't – you said they exchanged a promissory note for a loan. I don't – I don't understand that question, so I said no. Q. At the time of the bankruptcy 	Page 45
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. Okay. Can we refer to the four entities that you just named and Mr. Dondero as the affiliates? A. So that would be Jim Dondero, NexPoint Advisors, Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors, and HCRE. Q. And HCMS? A. And HCMS, okay. Q. And can we refer to the loans that were given to each of those affiliates as the affiliate loans? A. Yes. Q. And is it fair to say that each of the affiliates were the borrowers under the affiliate loans as we're defining the term? MR. RUKAVINA: Objection, legal conclusion. A. The borrowers are whoever were on the notes. I don't – I don't know. I'm not the legal person. Q. But you – A. I don't know. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 identified tendered notes to Highland; correct? MR. RUKAVINA: Hey, John, will you just give me a running objection to legal conclusion to HCM MR. MORRIS: No. No, if you want to object MR. RUKAVINA: I will object every time. Object to legal conclusion. MR. MORRIS: That is fine. A. Sorry, can you repeat the question? Q. Are you aware that each of the that each of the affiliates, as we have defined the term, gave to Highland a promissory note in exchange for the loans? MR. RUKAVINA: Objection to the extent that calls for a legal conclusion. A. I don't. Q. No, you don't know that? A. No, they didn't you said they exchanged a promissory note for a loan. I don't I don't understand that question, so I said no. 	Page 45

Page 46	Page 47
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21
2 promissory notes that were signed by each of	2 state that now.
3 the affiliates?	3 MS. DANDENEAU: You know, and,
4 A. Yes.	4 Mr. Morris, I really object to that. I
5 Q. To the best of your knowledge,	5 mean –
6 during the time that you served as Highland's	6 MR. MORRIS: Okay.
7 CFO, did Highland disclose to its outside	7 MS. DANDENEAU: – Mr. Waterhouse
8 auditors all of the loans that were made to	8 just told you he's trying to listen to your
9 affiliates?	9 questions and answer them carefully, and
10 MR. RUKAVINA: Objection, that calls	10 you have no basis for saying that.
11 for a legal conclusion.	11 MR. MORRIS: Okay.
12 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: I also couldn't	12 MS. DANDENEAU: This does not
13 hear you, John, because there was some	13 this is not an experienced witness, so he's
14 garbling on on the on the call.	14 trying to do the best he can.
15 MR. MORRIS: Folks, I've got to tell	15 Q. Mr. Waterhouse, during the time that
16 you this is not going well, and I'm	16 you served as Highland's CFO, did Highland
17 reserving my right	17 disclose to its outside auditors all of the
18 MS. DANDENEAU: John, it was just	18 loans that it made to each of the affiliates
19 the end of that question. It was just the	19 that you have identified?
20 end of that question. I couldn't hear it	20 MR. RUKAVINA: Objection, legal
21 either. Sorry, if you could repeat it,	21 conclusion.
22 please.	22 A. Yes.
23 MR. MORRIS: That is less than an	23 Q. To the best of your knowledge, while
24 hour into this, but folks are trying to run	24 you were Highland's CFO, were all of the
25 out the clock, and so I'm just going to	25 affiliate loans described in Highland's audited
Page 48	Page 49
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21
2 financial statements?	2 conclusion.
 financial statements? MR. RUKAVINA: Objection, legal 	
	2 conclusion.
3 MR. RUKAVINA: Objection, legal	 conclusion. A. Yes.
 MR. RUKAVINA: Objection, legal conclusion. 	 conclusion. A. Yes. Q. You are not aware of any loan that
 MR. RUKAVINA: Objection, legal conclusion. A. When an audit was performed, any 	 conclusion. A. Yes. Q. You are not aware of any loan that any affiliate ever obtained from Highland where
 MR. RUKAVINA: Objection, legal conclusion. A. When an audit was performed, any loans that were made by Highland to the 	 2 conclusion. 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. You are not aware of any loan that 5 any affiliate ever obtained from Highland where 6 the affiliate did not give a promissory note in
 MR. RUKAVINA: Objection, legal conclusion. A. When an audit was performed, any loans that were made by Highland to the affiliates were disclosed to auditors. 	 2 conclusion. 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. You are not aware of any loan that 5 any affiliate ever obtained from Highland where 6 the affiliate did not give a promissory note in 7 return; is that fair?
 MR. RUKAVINA: Objection, legal conclusion. A. When an audit was performed, any loans that were made by Highland to the affiliates were disclosed to auditors. Q. Are you aware of any loan that was 	 2 conclusion. 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. You are not aware of any loan that 5 any affiliate ever obtained from Highland where 6 the affiliate did not give a promissory note in 7 return; is that fair? 8 A. Yes, I'm not aware. 9 Q. And to the best of your knowledge, 10 did Highland loan to each affiliate an amount
 MR. RUKAVINA: Objection, legal conclusion. A. When an audit was performed, any loans that were made by Highland to the affiliates were disclosed to auditors. Q. Are you aware of any loan that was made to any affiliate that was not disclosed to the auditors? A. I'm not aware. 	 2 conclusion. 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. You are not aware of any loan that 5 any affiliate ever obtained from Highland where 6 the affiliate did not give a promissory note in 7 return; is that fair? 8 A. Yes, I'm not aware. 9 Q. And to the best of your knowledge, 10 did Highland loan to each affiliate an amount 11 of money equal to the principal amount of each
 MR. RUKAVINA: Objection, legal conclusion. A. When an audit was performed, any loans that were made by Highland to the affiliates were disclosed to auditors. Q. Are you aware of any loan that was made to any affiliate that was not disclosed to the auditors? 	 2 conclusion. 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. You are not aware of any loan that 5 any affiliate ever obtained from Highland where 6 the affiliate did not give a promissory note in 7 return; is that fair? 8 A. Yes, I'm not aware. 9 Q. And to the best of your knowledge, 10 did Highland loan to each affiliate an amount
 MR. RUKAVINA: Objection, legal conclusion. A. When an audit was performed, any loans that were made by Highland to the affiliates were disclosed to auditors. Q. Are you aware of any loan that was made to any affiliate that was not disclosed to the auditors? A. I'm not aware. 	 2 conclusion. 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. You are not aware of any loan that 5 any affiliate ever obtained from Highland where 6 the affiliate did not give a promissory note in 7 return; is that fair? 8 A. Yes, I'm not aware. 9 Q. And to the best of your knowledge, 10 did Highland loan to each affiliate an amount 11 of money equal to the principal amount of each
 MR. RUKAVINA: Objection, legal conclusion. A. When an audit was performed, any loans that were made by Highland to the affiliates were disclosed to auditors. Q. Are you aware of any loan that was made to any affiliate that was not disclosed to the auditors? A. I'm not aware. Q. To the best of your knowledge, did 	 2 conclusion. 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. You are not aware of any loan that 5 any affiliate ever obtained from Highland where 6 the affiliate did not give a promissory note in 7 return; is that fair? 8 A. Yes, I'm not aware. 9 Q. And to the best of your knowledge, 10 did Highland loan to each affiliate an amount 11 of money equal to the principal amount of each 12 promissory note?
 MR. RUKAVINA: Objection, legal conclusion. A. When an audit was performed, any loans that were made by Highland to the affiliates were disclosed to auditors. Q. Are you aware of any loan that was made to any affiliate that was not disclosed to the auditors? A. I'm not aware. Q. To the best of your knowledge, did each of the affiliates who were – (inaudible) – loaned from Highland execute a promissory note in connection with that loan? 	 2 conclusion. 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. You are not aware of any loan that 5 any affiliate ever obtained from Highland where 6 the affiliate did not give a promissory note in 7 return; is that fair? 8 A. Yes, I'm not aware. 9 Q. And to the best of your knowledge, 10 did Highland loan to each affiliate an amount 11 of money equal to the principal amount of each 12 promissory note? 13 MR. RUKAVINA: Objection, legal
 MR. RUKAVINA: Objection, legal conclusion. A. When an audit was performed, any loans that were made by Highland to the affiliates were disclosed to auditors. Q. Are you aware of any loan that was made to any affiliate that was not disclosed to the auditors? A. I'm not aware. Q. To the best of your knowledge, did each of the affiliates who were – (inaudible) – loaned from Highland execute a promissory note in connection with that loan? MR. RUKAVINA: Objection, legal 	 2 conclusion. 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. You are not aware of any loan that 5 any affiliate ever obtained from Highland where 6 the affiliate did not give a promissory note in 7 return; is that fair? 8 A. Yes, I'm not aware. 9 Q. And to the best of your knowledge, 10 did Highland loan to each affiliate an amount 11 of money equal to the principal amount of each 12 promissory note? 13 MR. RUKAVINA: Objection, legal 14 conclusion.
 MR. RUKAVINA: Objection, legal conclusion. A. When an audit was performed, any loans that were made by Highland to the affiliates were disclosed to auditors. Q. Are you aware of any loan that was made to any affiliate that was not disclosed to the auditors? A. I'm not aware. Q. To the best of your knowledge, did each of the affiliates who were – (inaudible) – loaned from Highland execute a promissory note in connection with that loan? 	 conclusion. A. Yes. Q. You are not aware of any loan that any affiliate ever obtained from Highland where the affiliate did not give a promissory note in return; is that fair? A. Yes, I'm not aware. Q. And to the best of your knowledge, did Highland loan to each affiliate an amount of money equal to the principal amount of each promissory note? MR. RUKAVINA: Objection, legal conclusion. A. Yes. Q. During the time that you served as CFO, did Highland ever loan money to
 MR. RUKAVINA: Objection, legal conclusion. A. When an audit was performed, any loans that were made by Highland to the affiliates were disclosed to auditors. Q. Are you aware of any loan that was made to any affiliate that was not disclosed to the auditors? A. I'm not aware. Q. To the best of your knowledge, did each of the affiliates who were – (inaudible) – loaned from Highland execute a promissory note in connection with that loan? MR. RUKAVINA: Objection, legal 	 2 conclusion. 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. You are not aware of any loan that 5 any affiliate ever obtained from Highland where 6 the affiliate did not give a promissory note in 7 return; is that fair? 8 A. Yes, I'm not aware. 9 Q. And to the best of your knowledge, 10 did Highland loan to each affiliate an amount 11 of money equal to the principal amount of each 12 promissory note? 13 MR. RUKAVINA: Objection, legal 14 conclusion. 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. During the time that you served as
 MR. RUKAVINA: Objection, legal conclusion. A. When an audit was performed, any loans that were made by Highland to the affiliates were disclosed to auditors. Q. Are you aware of any loan that was made to any affiliate that was not disclosed to the auditors? A. I'm not aware. Q. To the best of your knowledge, did each of the affiliates who were – (inaudible) – loaned from Highland execute a promissory note in connection with that loan? MR. RUKAVINA: Objection, legal conclusion. A. Sorry, you – halfway through the question it got muffled. 	 2 conclusion. 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. You are not aware of any loan that 5 any affiliate ever obtained from Highland where 6 the affiliate did not give a promissory note in 7 return; is that fair? 8 A. Yes, I'm not aware. 9 Q. And to the best of your knowledge, 10 did Highland loan to each affiliate an amount 11 of money equal to the principal amount of each 12 promissory note? 13 MR. RUKAVINA: Objection, legal 14 conclusion. 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. During the time that you served as 17 CFO, did Highland ever loan money to 18 Mark Okada? 19 A. I – I don't recall.
 MR. RUKAVINA: Objection, legal conclusion. A. When an audit was performed, any loans that were made by Highland to the affiliates were disclosed to auditors. Q. Are you aware of any loan that was made to any affiliate that was not disclosed to the auditors? A. I'm not aware. Q. To the best of your knowledge, did each of the affiliates who were – (inaudible) – loaned from Highland execute a promissory note in connection with that loan? MR. RUKAVINA: Objection, legal conclusion. A. Sorry, you – halfway through the 	 2 conclusion. 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. You are not aware of any loan that 5 any affiliate ever obtained from Highland where 6 the affiliate did not give a promissory note in 7 retum; is that fair? 8 A. Yes, I'm not aware. 9 Q. And to the best of your knowledge, 10 did Highland loan to each affiliate an amount 11 of money equal to the principal amount of each 12 promissory note? 13 MR. RUKAVINA: Objection, legal 14 conclusion. 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. During the time that you served as 17 CFO, did Highland ever loan money to 18 Mark Okada?
 MR. RUKAVINA: Objection, legal conclusion. A. When an audit was performed, any loans that were made by Highland to the affiliates were disclosed to auditors. Q. Are you aware of any loan that was made to any affiliate that was not disclosed to the auditors? A. I'm not aware. Q. To the best of your knowledge, did each of the affiliates who were – (inaudible) – loaned from Highland execute a promissory note in connection with that loan? MR. RUKAVINA: Objection, legal conclusion. A. Sorry, you – halfway through the question it got muffled. Can you repeat that again? Q. To the best of your knowledge, did 	 2 conclusion. 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. You are not aware of any loan that 5 any affiliate ever obtained from Highland where 6 the affiliate did not give a promissory note in 7 return; is that fair? 8 A. Yes, I'm not aware. 9 Q. And to the best of your knowledge, 10 did Highland loan to each affiliate an amount 11 of money equal to the principal amount of each 12 promissory note? 13 MR. RUKAVINA: Objection, legal 14 conclusion. 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. During the time that you served as 17 CFO, did Highland ever loan money to 18 Mark Okada? 19 A. I – I don't recall. 20 Q. Did you ever see any promissory 21 notes executed by Mark Okada?
 MR. RUKAVINA: Objection, legal conclusion. A. When an audit was performed, any loans that were made by Highland to the affiliates were disclosed to auditors. Q. Are you aware of any loan that was made to any affiliate that was not disclosed to the auditors? A. I'm not aware. Q. To the best of your knowledge, did each of the affiliates who were – (inaudible) – loaned from Highland execute a promissory note in connection with that loan? MR. RUKAVINA: Objection, legal conclusion. A. Sorry, you – halfway through the question it got muffled. Can you repeat that again? Q. To the best of your knowledge, did every affiliate execute a promissory note in 	 conclusion. A. Yes. Q. You are not aware of any loan that any affiliate ever obtained from Highland where the affiliate did not give a promissory note in retum; is that fair? A. Yes, I'm not aware. Q. And to the best of your knowledge, did Highland loan to each affiliate an amount of money equal to the principal amount of each promissory note? MR. RUKAVINA: Objection, legal conclusion. A. Yes. Q. During the time that you served as CFO, did Highland ever loan money to Mark Okada? A. I – I don't recall. Q. Did you ever see any promissory notes executed by Mark Okada? A. I don't recall.
 MR. RUKAVINA: Objection, legal conclusion. A. When an audit was performed, any loans that were made by Highland to the affiliates were disclosed to auditors. Q. Are you aware of any loan that was made to any affiliate that was not disclosed to the auditors? A. I'm not aware. Q. To the best of your knowledge, did each of the affiliates who were – (inaudible) – loaned from Highland execute a promissory note in connection with that loan? MR. RUKAVINA: Objection, legal conclusion. A. Sorry, you – halfway through the question it got muffled. Can you repeat that again? Q. To the best of your knowledge, did 	 2 conclusion. 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. You are not aware of any loan that 5 any affiliate ever obtained from Highland where 6 the affiliate did not give a promissory note in 7 retum; is that fair? 8 A. Yes, I'm not aware. 9 Q. And to the best of your knowledge, 10 did Highland loan to each affiliate an amount 11 of money equal to the principal amount of each 12 promissory note? 13 MR. RUKAVINA: Objection, legal 14 conclusion. 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. During the time that you served as 17 CFO, did Highland ever loan money to 18 Mark Okada? 19 A. I – I don't recall. 20 Q. Did you ever see any promissory 21 notes executed by Mark Okada? 22 A. I don't recall. 23 Q. Do you know if Highland ever forgave
 MR. RUKAVINA: Objection, legal conclusion. A. When an audit was performed, any loans that were made by Highland to the affiliates were disclosed to auditors. Q. Are you aware of any loan that was made to any affiliate that was not disclosed to the auditors? A. I'm not aware. Q. To the best of your knowledge, did each of the affiliates who were – (inaudible) – loaned from Highland execute a promissory note in connection with that loan? MR. RUKAVINA: Objection, legal conclusion. A. Sorry, you – halfway through the question it got muffled. Can you repeat that again? Q. To the best of your knowledge, did every affiliate execute a promissory note in connection with each loan that it obtained from Highland? 	 2 conclusion. 3 A. Yes. Q. You are not aware of any loan that any affiliate ever obtained from Highland where 6 the affiliate did not give a promissory note in 7 return; is that fair? 8 A. Yes, I'm not aware. 9 Q. And to the best of your knowledge, 10 did Highland loan to each affiliate an amount 11 of money equal to the principal amount of each 12 promissory note? 13 MR. RUKAVINA: Objection, legal 14 conclusion. 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. During the time that you served as 17 CFO, did Highland ever loan money to 18 Mark Okada? 19 A. I – I don't recall. 20 Q. Did you ever see any promissory 21 notes executed by Mark Okada? 22 A. I don't recall. 23 Q. Do you know if Highland ever forgave 24 any loan that it ever made to Mr. Okada?
 MR. RUKAVINA: Objection, legal conclusion. A. When an audit was performed, any loans that were made by Highland to the affiliates were disclosed to auditors. Q. Are you aware of any loan that was made to any affiliate that was not disclosed to the auditors? A. I'm not aware. Q. To the best of your knowledge, did each of the affiliates who were – (inaudible) – loaned from Highland execute a promissory note in connection with that loan? MR. RUKAVINA: Objection, legal conclusion. A. Sorry, you – halfway through the question it got muffled. Can you repeat that again? Q. To the best of your knowledge, did every affiliate execute a promissory note in connection with each loan that it obtained from 	 2 conclusion. 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. You are not aware of any loan that 5 any affiliate ever obtained from Highland where 6 the affiliate did not give a promissory note in 7 retum; is that fair? 8 A. Yes, I'm not aware. 9 Q. And to the best of your knowledge, 10 did Highland loan to each affiliate an amount 11 of money equal to the principal amount of each 12 promissory note? 13 MR. RUKAVINA: Objection, legal 14 conclusion. 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. During the time that you served as 17 CFO, did Highland ever loan money to 18 Mark Okada? 19 A. I – I don't recall. 20 Q. Did you ever see any promissory 21 notes executed by Mark Okada? 22 A. I don't recall. 23 Q. Do you know if Highland ever forgave

	Page 50			Page 51
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Ū.	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Ū
2 Q. Do you recall if Mr. Okada paid back		2	loan?	
3 all principal and interest due and owing under		3	Q. Without giving a – let me ask a	
4 any loan he obtained from Highland?		4	better question. I'm sorry, Mr. Waterhouse.	
5 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection to		5	Are you aware of any loan that	
6 form.		6	Mr. Dondero obtained from Highland where he	
7 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.		7	didn't give a promissory note in return?	
8 A. I don't recall.		8	A. I'm not aware.	
9 Q. Do you recall whether during your		9	Q. During the time that you served as	
10 time as CFO, whether Highland ever loaned money		10	Highland's CFO, did Highland ever forgive any	
11 to Jim Dondero?		11	loans, in whole or in part, that it made to	
12 A. Yes.		12	Mr. Dondero?	
13 Q. To the best of your knowledge, did		13	A. Not that I'm aware.	
14 Mr. Dondero sign and deliver to Highland a		14	Q. At the time that you served as	
15 promissory note in connection with each loan		15	Highland's CFO, did Highland ever forgive any	
16 that he obtained from Highland?		16	loan, in whole or in part, that it made to any	
17 A. If you are referring to the		17	affiliate as we've defined the term today?	
18 promissory notes that, you know, part of		18	A. Not that I'm aware.	
19 Highland's records, yes.		19	Q. During the time that you served as	
20 Q. Okay. You're not aware of any loan		20	Highland's CFO, did Highland ever forgive, in	
21 that Mr. Dondero took from Highland that wasn't		21	whole or in part, any loan that it ever made to	
22 backed up by – by a promissory note with a		22	any officer or employee?	
23 face – with a principal amount equal to the		23	A. Highland forgave loans to officers	
24 amount of the loan; correct?		24	and employees. It may not have been at the	
25 A. Am I aware that Jim Dondero took a		25	time when my title was CFO.	
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 52	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 53
2 Q. Okay. And so I appreciate the		2	that were of – you know, that were deemed	
3 distinction.		2	immaterial, those items may not have been	
4 Is it fair to say that, to the best		4	disclosed by the team to the auditors.	
5 of your knowledge, Highland did not forgive a		5	Q. I appreciate that.	
6 loan that it made to an officer or employee		6	Do you have an understanding as to	
7 after 2013?			what the level of materiality was?	
8 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.		8	A. I don't recall.	
9 A. I don't recall.		9	Q. As the CFO of Highland, to the best	
10 Q. To the best of your knowledge, did		10	of your knowledge, did Highland disclose to its	
11 Highland disclose to its auditors every		11	outside auditors every loan that was forgiven,	
12 instance where it forgave, in whole or in part,		12	in whole or in part, that was material as that	
13 a loan that it had made to one of its officers		12	term was defined by the outside auditors?	
14 or employees?		14	A. Yes.	
15 A. No.		14	Q. And do you recall where – do you	
16 Q. Can you think of – can you – can		16	recall where the definition of materiality can	
17 you identify any loan to an officer or employee		17	be found for – for this particular purpose?	
18 that was forgiven by Highland, in whole or in		18	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	
19 part, that was not disclosed to Highland's		19	A. No. You – I don't determine	
20 outside auditors?		20	materiality.	
21 A. Look, I don't recall all of the		20	Q. Okay. I'm just asking you if you	
22 loans and the loan forgiveness. I just know as		22	can help me understand where it is, but I think	
23 part of the audit process there is a		22	we will find it in a few minutes.	
24 materiality concept.		23 24	You are aware that Highland has	
25 So if there were loans to employees		24 25	commenced lawsuits against each of the	

Page 54 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 affiliates, as we've defined the term, to 2 Mr. Dondero we will call Mr. Dondero. Okay? 3 collect under certain promissory notes; is that 3 A. Okay. Thank you. As you can see, 4 right? 4 Mr. Morris, there is a lot of entities – a lot 5 A. Yes. 5 here. I just want to be clear. 6 Q. And are you familiar with the notes 6 Q. Okay. Now, the affiliates of 7 that are issue – at issue in the lawsuits? 7 Mr. Dondero signed promissory notes that are 8 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 9 Do you understand that? 9 A. Generally familiar. 9 Do you understand that? 10 Q. Can we refer to the lawsuits that 10 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 11 Highland has commenced against the affiliates 11 A. The affiliates and Mr. Dondero 12 collectively as the lawsuits? 12 signed – 13 A. Yes. And, again, the affiliates are 13 Q. You know what? I will skip it. 14 NexPoint, HCMFA, HCMS, and HCRE. 15 From time to time while you were	Page 55
3 collect under certain promissory notes; is that 3 A. Okay. Thank you. As you can see, 4 right? 4 Mr. Morris, there is a lot of entities – a lot 5 A. Yes. 5 here. I just want to be clear. 6 Q. And are you familiar with the notes 6 Q. Okay. Now, the affiliates of 7 that are issue – at issue in the lawsuits? 7 Mr. Dondero signed promissory notes that are 8 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 9 Do you understand that? 9 A. Generally familiar. 9 Do you understand that? 10 Q. Can we refer to the lawsuits that 10 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 11 Highland has commenced against the affiliates 11 A. The affiliates and Mr. Dondero 12 collectively as the lawsuits? 12 signed – 13 A. Yes. And, again, the affiliates are 13 Q. You know what? I will skip it. 14 NexPoint, HCMFA, HCMS, and HCRE. 14 That is okay. Okay. 15 Q. And Mr. Dondero? 15 From time to time while you were 16 A. Okay. See, that is a new – and now 16 Highland's CFO, payments	
4 right?4 Mr. Morris, there is a lot of entities – a lot5 A. Yes.5 here. I just want to be clear.6 Q. And are you familiar with the notes6 Q. Okay. Now, the affiliates of7 that are issue – at issue in the lawsuits?7 Mr. Dondero signed promissory notes that are8 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.8 not subject to the lawsuit.9 A. Generally familiar.9 Do you understand that?10 Q. Can we refer to the lawsuits that10 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.11 Highland has commenced against the affiliates11 A. The affiliates and Mr. Dondero12 collectively as the lawsuits?12 signed –13 A. Yes. And, again, the affiliates are13 Q. You know what? I will skip it.14 NexPoint, HCMFA, HCMS, and HCRE.14 That is okay. Okay.15 Q. And Mr. Dondero?15 From time to time while you were16 A. Okay. See, that is a new – and now16 Highland's CFO, payments were applied against17 Mr. Dondero is included in your affiliate17 principal and interests that were due under the18 definition.19 Q. I just –19 Mr. Dondero; correct?	
5A. Yes.5here. I just want to be dear.6Q. And are you familiar with the notes6Q. Okay. Now, the affiliates of7that are issue – at issue in the lawsuits?7Mr. Dondero signed promissory notes that are8MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.8not subject to the lawsuit.9A. Generally familiar.9Do you understand that?10Q. Can we refer to the lawsuits that10MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.11Highland has commenced against the affiliates11A. The affiliates and Mr. Dondero12collectively as the lawsuits?12signed –13A. Yes. And, again, the affiliates are13Q. You know what? I will skip it.14NexPoint, HCMFA, HCMS, and HCRE.14That is okay. Okay.15Q. And Mr. Dondero?15From time to time while you were16A. Okay. See, that is a new – and now16Highland's CFO, payments were applied against17Mr. Dondero is included in your affiliate17principal and interests that were due under the18definition.18notes that were tendered by the affiliates and19Q. I just –19Mr. Dondero; correct?	
6Q. And are you familiar with the notes6Q. Okay. Now, the affiliates of7that are issue – at issue in the lawsuits?7Mr. Dondero signed promissory notes that are8MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.8not subject to the lawsuit.9A. Generally familiar.9Do you understand that?10Q. Can we refer to the lawsuits that10MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.11Highland has commenced against the affiliates11A. The affiliates and Mr. Dondero12collectively as the lawsuits?12signed –13A. Yes. And, again, the affiliates are13Q. You know what? I will skip it.14NexPoint, HCMFA, HCMS, and HCRE.14That is okay. Okay.15Q. And Mr. Dondero?15From time to time while you were16A. Okay. See, that is a new – and now16Highland's CFO, payments were applied against17Mr. Dondero is included in your affiliate17principal and interests that were due under the18definition.19Q. I just –19Mr. Dondero; correct?	
7that are issue – at issue in the lawsuits?7Mr. Dondero signed promissory notes that are8MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.8not subject to the lawsuit.9A. Generally familiar.9Do you understand that?10Q. Can we refer to the lawsuits that10MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.11Highland has commenced against the affiliates11A. The affiliates and Mr. Dondero12collectively as the lawsuits?12signed –13A. Yes. And, again, the affiliates are13Q. You know what? I will skip it.14NexPoint, HCMFA, HCMS, and HCRE.14That is okay. Okay.15Q. And Mr. Dondero?15From time to time while you were16A. Okay. See, that is a new – and now16Highland's CFO, payments were applied against17Mr. Dondero is included in your affiliate17principal and interests that were due under the18definition.19Q. I just –19Mr. Dondero; correct?	
8 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 8 not subject to the lawsuit. 9 A. Generally familiar. 9 Do you understand that? 10 Q. Can we refer to the lawsuits that 10 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 11 Highland has commenced against the affiliates 11 A. The affiliates and Mr. Dondero 12 collectively as the lawsuits? 12 signed 13 A. Yes. And, again, the affiliates are 13 Q. You know what? I will skip it. 14 NexPoint, HCMFA, HCMS, and HCRE. 14 That is okay. Okay. 15 Q. And Mr. Dondero? 15 From time to time while you were 16 A. Okay. See, that is a new – and now 16 Highland's CFO, payments were applied against 17 Mr. Dondero is included in your affiliate 17 principal and interests that were due under the 18 definition. 18 notes that were tendered by the affiliates and 19 Q. I just – 19 Mr. Dondero; correct?	
8 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 8 not subject to the lawsuit. 9 A. Generally familiar. 9 Do you understand that? 10 Q. Can we refer to the lawsuits that 10 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 11 Highland has commenced against the affiliates 11 A. The affiliates and Mr. Dondero 12 collectively as the lawsuits? 12 signed – 13 A. Yes. And, again, the affiliates are 13 Q. You know what? I will skip it. 14 NexPoint, HCMFA, HCMS, and HCRE. 14 That is okay. Okay. 15 Q. And Mr. Dondero? 15 From time to time while you were 16 A. Okay. See, that is a new – and now 16 Highland's CFO, payments were applied against 17 Mr. Dondero is included in your affiliate 17 principal and interests that were due under the 18 definition. 18 notes that were tendered by the affiliates and 19 Q. I just – 19 Mr. Dondero; correct?	
 9 A. Generally familiar. 9 Do you understand that? 10 Q. Can we refer to the lawsuits that 11 Highland has commenced against the affiliates 12 collectively as the lawsuits? 13 A. Yes. And, again, the affiliates are 14 NexPoint, HCMFA, HCMS, and HCRE. 15 Q. And Mr. Dondero? 16 A. Okay. See, that is a new – and now 17 Mr. Dondero is included in your affiliate 18 definition. 19 Q. I just – 9 Do you understand that? 10 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 11 A. The affiliates and Mr. Dondero 12 signed – 13 Q. You know what? I will skip it. 14 That is okay. Okay. 15 From time to time while you were 16 Highland's CFO, payments were applied against 17 principal and interests that were due under the 18 notes that were tendered by the affiliates and 19 Q. I just – 14 Weight and interest in the affiliates and 19 Mr. Dondero; correct? 	
10Q. Can we refer to the lawsuits that10MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.11Highland has commenced against the affiliates11A. The affiliates and Mr. Dondero12collectively as the lawsuits?12signed13A. Yes. And, again, the affiliates are13Q. You know what? I will skip it.14NexPoint, HCMFA, HCMS, and HCRE.14That is okay. Okay.15Q. And Mr. Dondero?15From time to time while you were16A. Okay. See, that is a new - and now16Highland's CFO, payments were applied against17Mr. Dondero is included in your affiliate17principal and interests that were due under the18definition.19Q. I just -19Mr. Dondero; correct?	
11Highland has commenced against the affiliates11A. The affiliates and Mr. Dondero12collectively as the lawsuits?12signed –13A. Yes. And, again, the affiliates are13Q. You know what? I will skip it.14NexPoint, HCMFA, HCMS, and HCRE.14That is okay. Okay.15Q. And Mr. Dondero?15From time to time while you were16A. Okay. See, that is a new – and now16Highland's CFO, payments were applied against17Mr. Dondero is included in your affiliate17principal and interests that were due under the18definition.18notes that were tendered by the affiliates and19Q. I just –19Mr. Dondero; correct?	
12collectively as the lawsuits?12signed –13A. Yes. And, again, the affiliates are13Q. You know what? I will skip it.14NexPoint, HCMFA, HCMS, and HCRE.14That is okay. Okay.15Q. And Mr. Dondero?15From time to time while you were16A. Okay. See, that is a new – and now16Highland's CFO, payments were applied against17Mr. Dondero is included in your affiliate17principal and interests that were due under the18definition.18notes that were tendered by the affiliates and19Q. I just –19Mr. Dondero; correct?	
13A. Yes. And, again, the affiliates are13Q. You know what? I will skip it.14NexPoint, HCMFA, HCMS, and HCRE.14That is okay. Okay.15Q. And Mr. Dondero?15From time to time while you were16A. Okay. See, that is a new – and now16Highland's CFO, payments were applied against17Mr. Dondero is included in your affiliate17principal and interests that were due under the18definition.18notes that were tendered by the affiliates and19Q. I just –19Mr. Dondero; correct?	
14NexPoint, HCMFA, HCMS, and HCRE.14That is okay.15Q. And Mr. Dondero?15From time to time while you were16A. Okay. See, that is a new – and now16Highland's CFO, payments were applied against17Mr. Dondero is included in your affiliate17principal and interests that were due under the18definition.18notes that were tendered by the affiliates and19Q.I just –19Mr. Dondero; correct?	
15Q. And Mr. Dondero?15From time to time while you were16A. Okay. See, that is a new – and now16Highland's CFO, payments were applied against17Mr. Dondero is included in your affiliate17principal and interests that were due under the18definition.18notes that were tendered by the affiliates and19Q.I just –19Mr. Dondero; correct?	
16A. Okay. See, that is a new – and now16Highland's CFO, payments were applied against17Mr. Dondero is included in your affiliate17principal and interests that were due under the18definition.18notes that were tendered by the affiliates and19Q.I just –19Mr. Dondero; correct?	
17Mr. Dondero is included in your affiliate17principal and interests that were due under the18definition.18notes that were tendered by the affiliates and19Q.I just –19Mr. Dondero; correct?	
18 definition.18 notes that were tendered by the affiliates and19 Q. I just -19 Mr. Dondero; correct?	
19 Q. I just – 19 Mr. Dondero; correct?	
20 A. I thought affiliates – I thought 20 MR. RUKAVINA: Objection to the	
21 affiliates were just the four prior entities, 21 extent that calls for a legal conclusion.	
22 so I just want to be clear. 22 A. Yes.	
22 30 Figst want to be clear. 23 Q. 1 23 23 Q. 24 23 25 23 26 23 27 23 28 23 29 20 20 23 21 23 22 23 23 23 23 23 24 23 25 24 26 25 27 26 28 27 29 20 20 20 21 21 22 23 23 20 20 20 21 20 22 20 23 20 24 21 25 22 26 23 27 20 28 20 29 20 20 20 20	
24 let's keep them separate and let's refer to the 24 whereby payments would be applied against	
25 four corporate entities as the affiliates, and 25 four corporate entities as the affiliates, and 25 principal and interest against the notes that	
Page 56 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 57
2 were given by the affiliates and Mr. Dondero? 2 A. Did I approve the payments? I	
3 A. Yes. 3 Barrappione and payments in the payments in	
4 Q. Can you describe the process for me? 4 there was cash being repaid on a note payment,	
5 A. The process, payment should be 5 yes, I approved in the general sense of being	
6 applied as laid out in the – in the promissory 6 made aware of the payment and the amount.	
7 note. 7 Q. And are you the person who	
8 Q. From time to time were payments made 8 authorized Highland's employees to effectuate	
9 that were not required under the promissory 9 those payments?	
10 notes? 10 A. Yes.	
11 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 11 Q. When you gave the instruction to	
12 A. Yes. 12 Yes.	
14 when and how much the payments would be made14A. I mean, it – I mean, it – it15 with respect to each of the notes that were15 depends.	
17 A. Who was responsible for deciding how 17 you directed Highland's employees to make a 18 much was paid prior to the due date? 18 payment of principal or interact against any	
18 much was paid prior to the due date? 18 payment of principal or interest against any 10 0 Yes 10 patter that was tendered by an efflicite or	
19 Q. Yes. 19 note that was tendered by an affiliate or 20 A I dep't know 20. Mr. Dependere that Mr. Dependere did not approve of	
20 A. I don't know. 20 Mr. Dondero that Mr. Dondero did not approve of 21 O Did you approve of costs payment that 21	
21 Q. Did you approve of each payment that 22 uses made against principal and interact on the	
22 was made against principal and interest on the 22 A. I can't recall specifically.	
23 notes that were given by the affiliates and 24 Mr. Danders 2 Did Mr. Danders 2	
24 Mr. Dondero? 24 Did Mr. Dondero ever tell you that a 25 MS. DANDENIEALLY, Objection to form	
25 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 25 payment that was made against principal and	

Casse 211-0330005-sogj Door 1/486-3 Frided 012/05/221 Entered 012/05/221 18/1/87.442 Praye 590 of 1899

Page 58	Page 59
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21
2 interest due under one of the notes that was	2 A. I don't recall specifically.
3 tendered by an affiliate or himself should not	3 Q. Do you recall what year it was?
4 have been made?	4 A. Yes.
5 A. Yes.	5 Q. What year did the conversation with
6 Q. Can you identify the payment for me?	6 Mr. Dondero take place that you just described?
7 A. It would be for for NexPoint	7 A. 2020.
8 Advisors.	8 Q. Okay. Do you remember if it was
9 Q. Okay. And when did Mr. Dondero tell	9 December 2020?
10 you that a payment that you had initiated on	10 A. It – it – I don't – I don't
11 behalf of NexPoint should not have been made?	11 recall what month specifically, but it would
12 A. I wasn't initiating payment. It was	12 have been November or December.
13 in the context of the I think you used this	13 Q. And we're talking here about a
14 term, "the advisors," so NexPoint Advisors and	14 payment of principal and/or interest that was
15 Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors had	15 due – withdrawn.
16 overpaid on certain agreements with Highland	16 We're talking here about a payment
17 Capital Management, L.P. And as a part of that	17 of principal and interest that was applied
18 process, the advisors what I was told at the	18 against NexPoint's note; correct?
19 time were in talks and negotiations and	19 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.
20 discussions with Highland Capital Management,	20 A. I don't recall what that payment
21 L.P., on offsets in relation to those	21 consisted of.
22 overpayments.	22 Q. Is it possible that the payment you
23 Q. When did this conversation take	23 have in mind related to the shared services
24 place?	24 agreement?
25 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	25 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.
Page 60	Page 61
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21
2 A. No.	2 A. Yes, generally.
3 Q. Are you certain that the payment	3 Q. Can you identify any loan that was
4 that the payment that you have in mind related	4 ever made to an affiliate or to Mr. Dondero
5 to the promissory note that NexPoint issued in	5 that Mr. Dondero did not approve of in advance?
6 favor of Highland?	6 A. Other than the ones that are in
7 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	7 dispute, I'm not aware.
8 A. Yes.	8 Q. Do you believe that Mr. Dondero did
9 Q. Okay. Other than that one payment,	9 not approve of each of the loans that are in
10 can you identify any other instance where	10 dispute in advance of the time that the loan
11 Mr. Dondero told you that a payment should not	11 was made?
12 have been applied against principal and	12 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.
13 interest under any promissory note tendered by	13 A. Given what is in the dispute, you
14 any affiliate or Mr. Dondero?	14 know, and – and – and the way things might –
15 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	15 yeah, I mean
16 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection to	16 Q. I am not asking about the dispute,
17 form.	17 and it was probably my mistake to follow you
18 A. Not that I recall.	18 there.
19 Q. Thank you very much.	19 Were you aware of every loan made by
20 Do you know if Mr. Dondero approved	20 Highland to each of its affiliates and
21 in advance of each loan made to each affiliate	21 Mr. Dondero while you were the CFO at the time
22 and himself during the time that you were the	22 each loan was made?
22 and himself during the time that you were the	22 each loan was made?
23 CFO?	23 A. Was I aware of every loan, yes.
23 CFO?	23 A. Was I aware of every loan, yes.

1	Page 62			Page 63
	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Tage 00
2	that were made to one of the affiliates or	2	that was made against principal and interest on	
3	Mr. Dondero during the time that you were the	3	any note tendered by any affiliate or	
4	CFO was made without Mr. Dondero's prior	4	Mr. Dondero that you didn't know about in	
5	knowledge and approval?	5	advance?	
6	A. Not that I recall.	6	A. I don't recall.	
7	Q. Thank you. In fact, do you – as	7	Q. Other than Mr. Dondero withdrawn.	
8	the CFO, would you have allowed Highland to	8	Did anybody at Highland have the	
9	loan money to an affiliate or to Mr. Dondero	9	authority to make a payment against principal	
10	without obtaining Mr. Dondero's prior approval?	10	and interest due under a loan given to the	
11	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	11	affiliates and Mr. Dondero without your	
12	A. I can't – there was so many times	12	knowledge and approval?	
13	over the years, I can't speak for every single	13	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	
14	one, but generally, yes, I – I spoke to him.	14	A. Sorry, there was – to make a	
15	Q. You – you never – you never –	15	payment on an affiliate loan, what you are	
16	withdrawn. I will just take that.	16	saying would it require my knowledge and	
17	Can you recall any payment that was	17	approval, yes.	
18	ever made against principal and interest on a	18	Q. Okay. I appreciate that. Thank	
19	note that was issued in favor of Highland by an	19	you.	
20	affiliate or Mr. Dondero that you personally	20	Did anybody at Highland have the	
21	did not know about in advance?	21	authority, to the best of your knowledge, to	
22	A. There are so many through the years,	22	effectuate a loan to an affiliate without	
23	I don't – I don't – I don't recall every	23	Mr. Dondero's prior knowledge and approval?	
24	single one.	23	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	
24	Q. Okay. Can you identify any payment	24	A. I can't speak for all, but	
25	Q. Okay. Carryou identity any payment	25	A. I can't speak lor all, but	
1	Page 64 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 65
2	generally, yes.	2	A. Not that I recall.	
3	Q. Did you personally communicate with	3	Q. Are you aware that Mr. Dondero and	
4	Mr. Dondero to let him know each time a payment	4	the affiliates withdrawn.	
5	of principal or interest was being made against	5	Are you aware that Mr. Dondero	
6	any note that was tendered by an affiliate or	6	NexPoint, HCRE, and HCMS all contend that they	
	Mr. Dondero to Highland?			
8	•		do hot have to have on any of the hotes they	
10	Δ I don't _ are vou seving did Llet		do not have to pay on any of the notes they	
	A. I don't – are you saying, did I let	8	issued because they are subject to an oral	
9	Mr. Dondero know if a payment was made on any	8 9	issued because they are subject to an oral agreement between Mr. Dondero and Nancy	
9 10	Mr. Dondero know if a payment was made on any affiliate or loan to Mr. Dondero? I mean,	8 9 10	issued because they are subject to an oral agreement between Mr. Dondero and Nancy Dondero, in her capacity as the trustee of the	
9 10 11	Mr. Dondero know if a payment was made on any affiliate or loan to Mr. Dondero? I mean, not – not every – no.	8 9 10 11	issued because they are subject to an oral agreement between Mr. Dondero and Nancy Dondero, in her capacity as the trustee of the Dugaboy Investment Trust?	
9 10	Mr. Dondero know if a payment was made on any affiliate or loan to Mr. Dondero? I mean, not not every no. Q. Let me ask it this way: Did you	8 9 10 11 12	issued because they are subject to an oral agreement between Mr. Dondero and Nancy Dondero, in her capacity as the trustee of the Dugaboy Investment Trust? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	
9 10 11 12 13	Mr. Dondero know if a payment was made on any affiliate or loan to Mr. Dondero? I mean, not – not every – no. Q. Let me ask it this way: Did you have a practice of informing Mr. Dondero when	8 9 10 11 12 13	issued because they are subject to an oral agreement between Mr. Dondero and Nancy Dondero, in her capacity as the trustee of the Dugaboy Investment Trust? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I didn't – I didn't – I didn't	
9 10 11 12 13 14	Mr. Dondero know if a payment was made on any affiliate or loan to Mr. Dondero? I mean, not – not every – no. Q. Let me ask it this way: Did you have a practice of informing Mr. Dondero when payments were made against principal and	8 9 10 11 12 13 14	issued because they are subject to an oral agreement between Mr. Dondero and Nancy Dondero, in her capacity as the trustee of the Dugaboy Investment Trust? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I didn't – I didn't – I didn't know that it was all notes.	
9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Mr. Dondero know if a payment was made on any affiliate or loan to Mr. Dondero? I mean, not – not every – no. Q. Let me ask it this way: Did you have a practice of informing Mr. Dondero when payments were made against principal and interest on any note that was tendered by an	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	issued because they are subject to an oral agreement between Mr. Dondero and Nancy Dondero, in her capacity as the trustee of the Dugaboy Investment Trust? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I didn't – I didn't – I didn't know that it was all notes. Q. Okay. Are you – did you ever learn	
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Mr. Dondero know if a payment was made on any affiliate or loan to Mr. Dondero? I mean, not – not every – no. Q. Let me ask it this way: Did you have a practice of informing Mr. Dondero when payments were made against principal and interest on any note that was tendered by an affiliate or Mr. Dondero?	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	issued because they are subject to an oral agreement between Mr. Dondero and Nancy Dondero, in her capacity as the trustee of the Dugaboy Investment Trust? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I didn't – I didn't – I didn't know that it was all notes. Q. Okay. Are you – did you ever learn that there was an oral agreement between Jim	
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Mr. Dondero know if a payment was made on any affiliate or loan to Mr. Dondero? I mean, not – not every – no. Q. Let me ask it this way: Did you have a practice of informing Mr. Dondero when payments were made against principal and interest on any note that was tendered by an affiliate or Mr. Dondero? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection to	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	issued because they are subject to an oral agreement between Mr. Dondero and Nancy Dondero, in her capacity as the trustee of the Dugaboy Investment Trust? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I didn't – I didn't – I didn't know that it was all notes. Q. Okay. Are you – did you ever learn that there was an oral agreement between Jim Dondero and Nancy Dondero pertaining to any	
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Mr. Dondero know if a payment was made on any affiliate or loan to Mr. Dondero? I mean, not – not every – no. Q. Let me ask it this way: Did you have a practice of informing Mr. Dondero when payments were made against principal and interest on any note that was tendered by an affiliate or Mr. Dondero? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection to form.	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	issued because they are subject to an oral agreement between Mr. Dondero and Nancy Dondero, in her capacity as the trustee of the Dugaboy Investment Trust? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I didn't – I didn't – I didn't know that it was all notes. Q. Okay. Are you – did you ever learn that there was an oral agreement between Jim Dondero and Nancy Dondero pertaining to any notes issued by any affiliate or Mr. Dondero?	
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Mr. Dondero know if a payment was made on any affiliate or loan to Mr. Dondero? I mean, not – not every – no. Q. Let me ask it this way: Did you have a practice of informing Mr. Dondero when payments were made against principal and interest on any note that was tendered by an affiliate or Mr. Dondero? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection to form. MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	issued because they are subject to an oral agreement between Mr. Dondero and Nancy Dondero, in her capacity as the trustee of the Dugaboy Investment Trust? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I didn't – I didn't – I didn't know that it was all notes. Q. Okay. Are you – did you ever learn that there was an oral agreement between Jim Dondero and Nancy Dondero pertaining to any notes issued by any affiliate or Mr. Dondero? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Mr. Dondero know if a payment was made on any affiliate or loan to Mr. Dondero? I mean, not – not every – no. Q. Let me ask it this way: Did you have a practice of informing Mr. Dondero when payments were made against principal and interest on any note that was tendered by an affiliate or Mr. Dondero? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection to form. MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. No, I did not.	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	issued because they are subject to an oral agreement between Mr. Dondero and Nancy Dondero, in her capacity as the trustee of the Dugaboy Investment Trust? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I didn't – I didn't – I didn't know that it was all notes. Q. Okay. Are you – did you ever learn that there was an oral agreement between Jim Dondero and Nancy Dondero pertaining to any notes issued by any affiliate or Mr. Dondero? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form.	
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Mr. Dondero know if a payment was made on any affiliate or loan to Mr. Dondero? I mean, not – not every – no. Q. Let me ask it this way: Did you have a practice of informing Mr. Dondero when payments were made against principal and interest on any note that was tendered by an affiliate or Mr. Dondero? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection to form. MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. No, I did not. Q. Did Mr. Dondero ever tell you that a	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 issued because they are subject to an oral agreement between Mr. Dondero and Nancy Dondero, in her capacity as the trustee of the Dugaboy Investment Trust? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I didn't – I didn't – I didn't know that it was all notes. Q. Okay. Are you – did you ever learn that there was an oral agreement between Jim Dondero and Nancy Dondero pertaining to any notes issued by any affiliate or Mr. Dondero? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. Yes. 	
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Mr. Dondero know if a payment was made on any affiliate or loan to Mr. Dondero? I mean, not – not every – no. Q. Let me ask it this way: Did you have a practice of informing Mr. Dondero when payments were made against principal and interest on any note that was tendered by an affiliate or Mr. Dondero? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection to form. MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. No, I did not. Q. Did Mr. Dondero ever tell you that a payment of principal or interest had been made	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	issued because they are subject to an oral agreement between Mr. Dondero and Nancy Dondero, in her capacity as the trustee of the Dugaboy Investment Trust? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I didn't – I didn't – I didn't know that it was all notes. Q. Okay. Are you – did you ever learn that there was an oral agreement between Jim Dondero and Nancy Dondero pertaining to any notes issued by any affiliate or Mr. Dondero? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. Yes. Q. Do you have any understanding as to	
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Mr. Dondero know if a payment was made on any affiliate or loan to Mr. Dondero? I mean, not – not every – no. Q. Let me ask it this way: Did you have a practice of informing Mr. Dondero when payments were made against principal and interest on any note that was tendered by an affiliate or Mr. Dondero? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection to form. MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. No, I did not. Q. Did Mr. Dondero ever tell you that a payment of principal or interest had been made against a note that was tendered by an	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 issued because they are subject to an oral agreement between Mr. Dondero and Nancy Dondero, in her capacity as the trustee of the Dugaboy Investment Trust? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I didn't – I didn't – I didn't know that it was all notes. Q. Okay. Are you – did you ever learn that there was an oral agreement between Jim Dondero and Nancy Dondero pertaining to any notes issued by any affiliate or Mr. Dondero? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. Yes. Q. Do you have any understanding as to the terms of that agreement? 	
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Mr. Dondero know if a payment was made on any affiliate or loan to Mr. Dondero? I mean, not – not every – no. Q. Let me ask it this way: Did you have a practice of informing Mr. Dondero when payments were made against principal and interest on any note that was tendered by an affiliate or Mr. Dondero? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection to form. MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. No, I did not. Q. Did Mr. Dondero ever tell you that a payment of principal or interest had been made against a note that was tendered by an affiliate or himself that he had been unaware	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 issued because they are subject to an oral agreement between Mr. Dondero and Nancy Dondero, in her capacity as the trustee of the Dugaboy Investment Trust? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I didn't – I didn't – I didn't know that it was all notes. Q. Okay. Are you – did you ever learn that there was an oral agreement between Jim Dondero and Nancy Dondero pertaining to any notes issued by any affiliate or Mr. Dondero? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. Yes. Q. Do you have any understanding as to the terms of that agreement? A. Yes. 	
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Mr. Dondero know if a payment was made on any affiliate or loan to Mr. Dondero? I mean, not – not every – no. Q. Let me ask it this way: Did you have a practice of informing Mr. Dondero when payments were made against principal and interest on any note that was tendered by an affiliate or Mr. Dondero? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection to form. MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. No, I did not. Q. Did Mr. Dondero ever tell you that a payment of principal or interest had been made against a note that was tendered by an affiliate or himself that he had been unaware	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 issued because they are subject to an oral agreement between Mr. Dondero and Nancy Dondero, in her capacity as the trustee of the Dugaboy Investment Trust? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I didn't – I didn't – I didn't know that it was all notes. Q. Okay. Are you – did you ever learn that there was an oral agreement between Jim Dondero and Nancy Dondero pertaining to any notes issued by any affiliate or Mr. Dondero? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. Yes. Q. Do you have any understanding as to the terms of that agreement? 	

Page 66		Page 67
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	5
2 terms of the agreement?	2 Q. Do you know anything about – do you	
3 A. That there were certain milestones	3 know what promissory notes the agreement	
4 that had to be reached.	4 covered?	
5 Q. Do you have any understanding of the	5 A. I don't.	
6 terms of the agreement between Mr. Dondero and	6 Q. Do you know if – if Jim and Nancy	
7 Nancy Dondero concerning any of the notes	7 Dondero entered into one agreement or more than	
8 issued by the affiliates or Mr. Dondero other	8 one agreement?	
9 than that there have to be milestones reached?	9 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	
10 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	10 form.	
11 form.	11 A. I don't know.	
12 A. There are milestones, I found out	12 Q. Do you know if the agreement is in	
13 yesterday, or there was some –	13 writing?	
14 MS. DANDENEAU: Okay. I'm just	14 A. I don't know.	
15 going to object to the extent that you	15 Q. How did you learn of the existence	
16 learned anything in conversations with	16 of the agreement?	
17 counsel, please don't reveal – that is	17 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	
18 privileged, and don't reveal any privileged	18 Again –	
19 communications.	19 A. I don't – I don't recall who told	
20 THE WITNESS: Okay.	20 me.	
21 A. So I'm not aware of anything else.	21 Q. You have no recollection of who told	
22 Q. Do you know what the milestones	22 you about this agreement between Jim and Nancy	
23 were?	23 Dondero?	
24 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	24 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	
25 A. I don't.	25 form.	
Page 68 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 69
	2 where you understood that certain milestones3 had to be reached. Okay?	
	3 had to be reached. Okay?4 A. Uh-huh.	
4 agreement? 5 Was it in a meeting? Was it in a	5 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection.	
6 phone call? Was it in an email?	6 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	
7 A. I don't recall.	7 form.	
	3	
9 the agreement? 10 A. Not specifically.	 9 what is the objection. 10 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: The objection – 	
11 Q. Do you recall what year you learned	11 MR. MORRIS: I will move on. I will	
12 of the agreement?	10	
13 A. In – look, I mean, there are so	12 move on. 13 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: John –	
14 many notes. I may be getting – I believe it	14 Q. Sir, are you okay with that	
15 was 2020.	15 definition of agreement?	
16 Q. All right. I'm not asking about	16 A. Okay.	
17 notes, sir. I'm asking about the agreement	17 Q. Okay. So you don't recall who –	
18 that you testified you knew about between Jim	18 who informed you of the existence of the	
19 and Don- – Nancy Dondero. Okay.		
20 Do you understand my question now?	19 agreement; is that right? 20 A. I don't recall.	
21 Should I ask my question again?22 A. Yeah, sure. Go ahead.	Q. You don't recall who told you theterms of the agreement.	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Q. I'm going to use the word"agreement" to refer to the agreement that	23 Do I have that right?24 A. Correct.	
25 Mr. Dondero and Nancy Dondero entered into	24 A. Correct. 25 Q. And you don't recall if you learned	

Casse 211-0330005-sogj Door 1/486-3 Frided 012/05/221 Entered 012/05/221 18/1/87.442 Praye 593 of 1899

Dega 70			Daga 71
Page 70 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 71
2 about the agreement in a meeting, through an	2	A. I don't remember the I mean, it	
3 email, or through a phone call.	3 v	was sometime in 2021. I don't remember when.	
4 Do I have that right?	4	Q. All right. So to the best of your	
5 A. I don't recall.	5 r	recollection, it was in 2021 but you don't	
6 Q. Can you tell me when you learned of	6 r	recall if it was before or after you ceased to	
7 the agreement?	7 t	be a Highland employee.	
8 A. I don't – I don't – I don't	8	Do I have that right?	
9 remember specifically.	9	A. Yeah, I mean, it was – it was	
10 Q. Can you tell me if you learned of	10	likely after I was after I left Highland	
11 the agreement before or after the petition	11	because, if I put myself back into the last	
12 date?	12	days of – of 2021, it was – you know, the	
13 A. It would have been – it would have	13	communications with Mr. Dondero were were	
14 been after.	14	were – there weren't as many communications	
15 Q. Can you tell me if you learned of	15	because of the circumstances.	
16 the agreement before or after January 9th,	16	Q. And so based on that you believe	
17 2020?	17	that it is most likely that you learned of this	
18 A. It would have been after.	18	agreement sometime after you left Highland	
19 Q. Can you tell me if you learned of	19	employment?	
20 the agreement before or after you left Highland	20	A. I wouldn't use the term "most	
21 Capital Management in February of 2021?	21	likely." I don't recall specifically. I don't	
22 A. I don't – I don't – I don't know.	22	recall.	
23 Q. It is possible that you learned of	23	Q. Do you recall ever telling Jim Seery	
24 it while you were a Highland employee.	24	about this agreement?	
25 Do I have that right?	25	A. No, I don't – I didn't tell	
Page 72			Page 73
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 73
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 Jim Seery.	1 2	at 11:02 your time. Let's come back, I	Page 73
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Jim Seery. Q. Did you tell anybody at DSI about 		at 11:02 your time. Let's come back, I guess, at 15 – at 11:15 your time.	Page 73
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 Jim Seery.	2	at 11:02 your time. Let's come back, I	Page 73
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Jim Seery. Q. Did you tell anybody at DSI about this agreement? A. No. 	23	at 11:02 your time. Let's come back, I guess, at 15 – at 11:15 your time.	Page 73
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Jim Seery. Q. Did you tell anybody at DSI about this agreement? 	2 3 4	at 11:02 your time. Let's come back, I guess, at 15 – at 11:15 your time. VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the	Page 73
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Jim Seery. Q. Did you tell anybody at DSI about this agreement? A. No. 	2 3 4 5	at 11:02 your time. Let's come back, I guess, at 15 – at 11:15 your time. VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the record at 11:02 a.m.	Page 73
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Jim Seery. Q. Did you tell anybody at DSI about this agreement? A. No. Q. Did you tell any of Highland's independent directors about this agreement? A. No. 	2 3 4 5 6	at 11:02 your time. Let's come back, I guess, at 15 – at 11:15 your time. VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the record at 11:02 a.m. (Recess taken 11:02 a.m. to 11:20 a.m.)	Page 73
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Jim Seery. Q. Did you tell anybody at DSI about this agreement? A. No. Q. Did you tell any of Highland's rindependent directors about this agreement? 	2 3 4 5 6 7	at 11:02 your time. Let's come back, I guess, at 15 – at 11:15 your time. VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the record at 11:02 a.m. (Recess taken 11:02 a.m. to 11:20 a.m.) VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the	Page 73
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Jim Seery. Q. Did you tell anybody at DSI about this agreement? A. No. Q. Did you tell any of Highland's independent directors about this agreement? A. No. Q. Did you tell anybody at Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones about this agreement? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	at 11:02 your time. Let's come back, I guess, at 15 – at 11:15 your time. VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the record at 11:02 a.m. (Recess taken 11:02 a.m. to 11:20 a.m.) VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record at 11:20 a.m. Q. Mr. Waterhouse, did you speak with anybody during the break about this deposition?	Page 73
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Jim Seery. Q. Did you tell anybody at DSI about this agreement? A. No. Q. Did you tell any of Highland's independent directors about this agreement? A. No. Q. Did you tell anybody at Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones about this agreement? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	at 11:02 your time. Let's come back, I guess, at 15 – at 11:15 your time. VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the record at 11:02 a.m. (Recess taken 11:02 a.m. to 11:20 a.m.) VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record at 11:20 a.m. Q. Mr. Waterhouse, did you speak with anybody during the break about this deposition? A. No.	Page 73
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Jim Seery. Q. Did you tell anybody at DSI about this agreement? A. No. Q. Did you tell any of Highland's rindependent directors about this agreement? A. No. Q. Did you tell anybody at Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones about this agreement? A. No. Q. Did you tell any employee of 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	at 11:02 your time. Let's come back, I guess, at 15 – at 11:15 your time. VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the record at 11:02 a.m. (Recess taken 11:02 a.m. to 11:20 a.m.) VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record at 11:20 a.m. Q. Mr. Waterhouse, did you speak with anybody during the break about this deposition? A. No. MS. DANDENEAU: Other than – other	Page 73
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Jim Seery. Q. Did you tell anybody at DSI about this agreement? A. No. Q. Did you tell any of Highland's rindependent directors about this agreement? A. No. Q. Did you tell anybody at Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones about this agreement? A. No. Q. Did you tell any employee of Highland about this agreement? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	at 11:02 your time. Let's come back, I guess, at 15 – at 11:15 your time. VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the record at 11:02 a.m. (Recess taken 11:02 a.m. to 11:20 a.m.) VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record at 11:20 a.m. Q. Mr. Waterhouse, did you speak with anybody during the break about this deposition? A. No. MS. DANDENEAU: Other than – other than his counsel.	Page 73
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Jim Seery. Q. Did you tell anybody at DSI about this agreement? A. No. Q. Did you tell any of Highland's independent directors about this agreement? A. No. Q. Did you tell anybody at Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones about this agreement? A. No. Q. Did you tell any employee of Highland about this agreement? A. No. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	at 11:02 your time. Let's come back, I guess, at 15 – at 11:15 your time. VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the record at 11:02 a.m. (Recess taken 11:02 a.m. to 11:20 a.m.) VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record at 11:20 a.m. Q. Mr. Waterhouse, did you speak with anybody during the break about this deposition? A. No. MS. DANDENEAU: Other than – other than his counsel. Q. Did you speak to your counsel about	Page 73
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Jim Seery. Q. Did you tell anybody at DSI about this agreement? A. No. Q. Did you tell any of Highland's rindependent directors about this agreement? A. No. Q. Did you tell anybody at Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones about this agreement? A. No. Q. Did you tell any employee of Highland about this agreement? A. No. MS. DANDENEAU: Mr. Morris, it has 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	at 11:02 your time. Let's come back, I guess, at 15 – at 11:15 your time. VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the record at 11:02 a.m. (Recess taken 11:02 a.m. to 11:20 a.m.) VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record at 11:20 a.m. Q. Mr. Waterhouse, did you speak with anybody during the break about this deposition? A. No. MS. DANDENEAU: Other than – other than his counsel. Q. Did you speak to your counsel about the substance of your deposition today?	Page 73
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Jim Seery. Q. Did you tell anybody at DSI about this agreement? A. No. Q. Did you tell any of Highland's rindependent directors about this agreement? A. No. Q. Did you tell anybody at Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones about this agreement? A. No. Q. Did you tell any employee of Highland about this agreement? A. No. MS. DANDENEAU: Mr. Morris, it has been an hour and a half. Is this a good 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	at 11:02 your time. Let's come back, I guess, at 15 – at 11:15 your time. VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the record at 11:02 a.m. (Recess taken 11:02 a.m. to 11:20 a.m.) VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record at 11:20 a.m. Q. Mr. Waterhouse, did you speak with anybody during the break about this deposition? A. No. MS. DANDENEAU: Other than – other than his counsel. Q. Did you speak to your counsel about the substance of your deposition today? A. No, I didn't bring it up.	Page 73
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 Jim Seery. 3 Q. Did you tell anybody at DSI about 4 this agreement? 5 A. No. 6 Q. Did you tell any of Highland's 7 independent directors about this agreement? 8 A. No. 9 Q. Did you tell anybody at Pachulski 10 Stang Ziehl & Jones about this agreement? 11 A. No. 12 Q. Did you tell any employee of 13 Highland about this agreement? 14 A. No. 15 MS. DANDENEAU: Mr. Morris, it has 16 been an hour and a half. Is this a good 17 time for a break?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	at 11:02 your time. Let's come back, I guess, at 15 – at 11:15 your time. VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the record at 11:02 a.m. (Recess taken 11:02 a.m. to 11:20 a.m.) VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record at 11:20 a.m. Q. Mr. Waterhouse, did you speak with anybody during the break about this deposition? A. No. MS. DANDENEAU: Other than – other than his counsel. Q. Did you speak to your counsel about the substance of your deposition today? A. No, I didn't bring it up. Q. I didn't ask you if you brought it	Page 73
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Jim Seery. Q. Did you tell anybody at DSI about this agreement? A. No. Q. Did you tell any of Highland's rindependent directors about this agreement? A. No. Q. Did you tell anybody at Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones about this agreement? A. No. Q. Did you tell any employee of Highland about this agreement? A. No. MS. DANDENEAU: Mr. Morris, it has been an hour and a half. Is this a good time for a break? MR. MORRIS: Sure. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	at 11:02 your time. Let's come back, I guess, at 15 – at 11:15 your time. VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the record at 11:02 a.m. (Recess taken 11:02 a.m. to 11:20 a.m.) VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record at 11:20 a.m. Q. Mr. Waterhouse, did you speak with anybody during the break about this deposition? A. No. MS. DANDENEAU: Other than – other than his counsel. Q. Did you speak to your counsel about the substance of your deposition today? A. No, I didn't bring it up. Q. I didn't ask you if you brought it up. I asked you if you had any conversation	Page 73
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Jim Seery. Q. Did you tell anybody at DSI about this agreement? A. No. Q. Did you tell any of Highland's rindependent directors about this agreement? A. No. Q. Did you tell anybody at Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones about this agreement? A. No. Q. Did you tell any employee of Highland about this agreement? A. No. MS. DANDENEAU: Mr. Morris, it has been an hour and a half. Is this a good time for a break? MR. MORRIS: Sure. Q. Mr. Waterhouse, I will just remind 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	at 11:02 your time. Let's come back, I guess, at 15 – at 11:15 your time. VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the record at 11:02 a.m. (Recess taken 11:02 a.m. to 11:20 a.m.) VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record at 11:20 a.m. Q. Mr. Waterhouse, did you speak with anybody during the break about this deposition? A. No. MS. DANDENEAU: Other than – other than his counsel. Q. Did you speak to your counsel about the substance of your deposition today? A. No, I didn't bring it up. Q. I didn't ask you if you brought it up. I asked you if you had any conversation with your lawyer about the substance of your	Page 73
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Jim Seery. Q. Did you tell anybody at DSI about this agreement? A. No. Q. Did you tell any of Highland's rindependent directors about this agreement? A. No. Q. Did you tell anybody at Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones about this agreement? A. No. Q. Did you tell any employee of Highland about this agreement? A. No. Did you tell any employee of Highland about this agreement? A. No. MS. DANDENEAU: Mr. Morris, it has been an hour and a half. Is this a good time for a break? MR. MORRIS: Sure. Q. Mr. Waterhouse, I will just remind you that during the break please don't speak 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 at 11:02 your time. Let's come back, I guess, at 15 – at 11:15 your time. VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the record at 11:02 a.m. (Recess taken 11:02 a.m. to 11:20 a.m.) VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record at 11:20 a.m. Q. Mr. Waterhouse, did you speak with anybody during the break about this deposition? A. No. MS. DANDENEAU: Other than – other than his counsel. Q. Did you speak to your counsel about the substance of your deposition today? A. No, I didn't bring it up. Q. I didn't ask you if you brought it up. I asked you if you had any conversation with your lawyer about the substance of your deposition. 	Page 73
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Jim Seery. Q. Did you tell anybody at DSI about this agreement? A. No. Q. Did you tell any of Highland's independent directors about this agreement? A. No. Q. Did you tell anybody at Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones about this agreement? A. No. Q. Did you tell any employee of Highland about this agreement? A. No. Did you tell any employee of Highland about this agreement? A. No. MS. DANDENEAU: Mr. Morris, it has been an hour and a half. Is this a good time for a break? MR. MORRIS: Sure. Q. Mr. Waterhouse, I will just remind you that during the break please don't speak with anybody about the deposition, the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	at 11:02 your time. Let's come back, I guess, at 15 – at 11:15 your time. VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the record at 11:02 a.m. (Recess taken 11:02 a.m. to 11:20 a.m.) VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record at 11:20 a.m. Q. Mr. Waterhouse, did you speak with anybody during the break about this deposition? A. No. MS. DANDENEAU: Other than – other than his counsel. Q. Did you speak to your counsel about the substance of your deposition today? A. No, I didn't bring it up. Q. I didn't ask you if you brought it up. I asked you if you had any conversation with your lawyer about the substance of your	Page 73
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 Jim Seery. 3 Q. Did you tell anybody at DSI about 4 this agreement? 5 A. No. 6 Q. Did you tell any of Highland's 7 independent directors about this agreement? 8 A. No. 9 Q. Did you tell anybody at Pachulski 10 Stang Ziehl & Jones about this agreement? 11 A. No. 12 Q. Did you tell any employee of 13 Highland about this agreement? 14 A. No. 15 MS. DANDENEAU: Mr. Morris, it has 16 been an hour and a half. Is this a good 17 time for a break? 18 MR. MORRIS: Sure. 19 Q. Mr. Waterhouse, I will just remind 20 you that during the break please don't speak 21 with anybody about the deposition, the 22 substance of your testimony or anything else	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 at 11:02 your time. Let's come back, I guess, at 15 – at 11:15 your time. VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the record at 11:02 a.m. (Recess taken 11:02 a.m. to 11:20 a.m.) VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record at 11:20 a.m. Q. Mr. Waterhouse, did you speak with anybody during the break about this deposition? A. No. MS. DANDENEAU: Other than – other than his counsel. Q. Did you speak to your counsel about the substance of your deposition today? A. No, I didn't bring it up. Q. I didn't ask you if you brought it up. I asked you if you had any conversation with your lawyer about the substance of your deposition. MS. DANDENEAU: Yes, he did. Q. Can you tell me what the – you 	Page 73
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Jim Seery. Q. Did you tell anybody at DSI about this agreement? A. No. Q. Did you tell any of Highland's rindependent directors about this agreement? A. No. Q. Did you tell anybody at Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones about this agreement? A. No. Q. Did you tell any employee of Highland about this agreement? A. No. Q. Did you tell any employee of Highland about this agreement? A. No. MS. DANDENEAU: Mr. Morris, it has been an hour and a half. Is this a good time for a break? MR. MORRIS: Sure. Q. Mr. Waterhouse, I will just remind you that during the break please don't speak with anybody about the deposition, the substance of your testimony or anything else concerning the deposition. Okay? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	at 11:02 your time. Let's come back, I guess, at 15 – at 11:15 your time. VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the record at 11:02 a.m. (Recess taken 11:02 a.m. to 11:20 a.m.) VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record at 11:20 a.m. Q. Mr. Waterhouse, did you speak with anybody during the break about this deposition? A. No. MS. DANDENEAU: Other than – other than his counsel. Q. Did you speak to your counsel about the substance of your deposition today? A. No, I didn't bring it up. Q. I didn't ask you if you brought it up. I asked you if you had any conversation with your lawyer about the substance of your deposition. MS. DANDENEAU: Yes, he did.	Page 73
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Jim Seery. Q. Did you tell anybody at DSI about this agreement? A. No. Q. Did you tell any of Highland's rindependent directors about this agreement? A. No. Q. Did you tell anybody at Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones about this agreement? A. No. Q. Did you tell any employee of Highland about this agreement? A. No. Q. Did you tell any employee of Highland about this agreement? A. No. MS. DANDENEAU: Mr. Morris, it has been an hour and a half. Is this a good time for a break? MR. MORRIS: Sure. Q. Mr. Waterhouse, I will just remind you that during the break please don't speak with anybody about the deposition, the substance of your testimony or anything else concerning the deposition. Okay? A. Yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	at 11:02 your time. Let's come back, I guess, at 15 – at 11:15 your time. VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the record at 11:02 a.m. (Recess taken 11:02 a.m. to 11:20 a.m.) VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record at 11:20 a.m. Q. Mr. Waterhouse, did you speak with anybody during the break about this deposition? A. No. MS. DANDENEAU: Other than – other than his counsel. Q. Did you speak to your counsel about the substance of your deposition today? A. No, I didn't bring it up. Q. I didn't bring it up. Q. I didn't ask you if you brought it up. I asked you if you had any conversation with your lawyer about the substance of your deposition. MS. DANDENEAU: Yes, he did. Q. Can you tell me what the – you discussed? MS. DANDENEAU: No, I object to	Page 73
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Jim Seery. Q. Did you tell anybody at DSI about this agreement? A. No. Q. Did you tell any of Highland's rindependent directors about this agreement? A. No. Q. Did you tell anybody at Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones about this agreement? A. No. Q. Did you tell any employee of Highland about this agreement? A. No. MS. DANDENEAU: Mr. Morris, it has been an hour and a half. Is this a good time for a break? MR. MORRIS: Sure. Q. Mr. Waterhouse, I will just remind you that during the break please don't speak with anybody about the deposition, the substance of your testimony or anything else concerning the deposition. Okay? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 at 11:02 your time. Let's come back, I guess, at 15 – at 11:15 your time. VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the record at 11:02 a.m. (Recess taken 11:02 a.m. to 11:20 a.m.) VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record at 11:20 a.m. Q. Mr. Waterhouse, did you speak with anybody during the break about this deposition? A. No. MS. DANDENEAU: Other than – other than his counsel. Q. Did you speak to your counsel about the substance of your deposition today? A. No, I didn't bring it up. Q. I didn't ask you if you brought it up. I asked you if you had any conversation with your lawyer about the substance of your deposition. MS. DANDENEAU: Yes, he did. Q. Can you tell me what the – you discussed? 	Page 73

	Page 74			Page 75
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1 490 1 0
2	privileged conversation.	2	have to.	
3	MR. MORRIS: So I just want to make	3	Q. Mr. Waterhouse, after learning about	
4	sure that I understand. During the break	4	the agreement, did you ask anybody if the	
5	you spoke with your client about the	5	agreement was reflected in a writing?	
6	substance of this deposition; is that	6	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	
7	right?	7	A. No.	
8	MS. DANDENEAU: Yes, John.	8	Q. Did you ask anybody if the terms of	
9	MR. MORRIS: And you refuse you	9	the agreement were memorialized anywhere?	
10	refuse to let your client tell me what was	10	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	
11	discussed; is that right?	11	MR. MORRIS: What is the	
12	-	12	A. No.	
13	MR. MORRIS: You know, I had given	13	MS. DANDENEAU: Well, because you	
14		14	keep talking about this agreement and I	
15		15	I – I think, Mr. Morris, that is really	
16		16	not clear what you mean by "the agreement."	
17		17	And maybe you can just go back and restate	
18		18	what that is.	
19		19	MR. MORRIS: Okay. Your client has	
20		20	agreed with me twice on the definition, but	
21	conversations with counsel. That's never	20	I will try one more time.	
22		21	Q. Mr. Waterhouse, do you understand	
1	been – I have never, ever heard that instruction.	22	-	
23			that when I use the term "agreement," I'm	
24	MR. MORRIS: Okay. We will – we	24	referring to the agreement between Jim and	
25	will – we will deal with it when and if we	25	Nancy Dondero concerning certain promissory	
1	Page 76 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 77
2	notes where you learned that one of the terms	2	MR. MORRIS: You know what, you	
3	of the agreement was milestones reached?	3	guys, this is really wrong. It is really,	
4	A. Okay.	4	really wrong. Okay?	
5	Q. And did you understand that that was	5	I had the witness agree not once,	
6	the – the agreement that we were referring to	6	but twice to the definition of agreement.	
7	every time we used the word "agreement" in this	7	Okay? I'm going to try and do it a third	
8	deposition?	8	time.	
9	A. I don't know anything about this	9	MS. DANDENEAU: No, but, please,	
10		10	John, really –	
11	know whether	11	MR. MORRIS: No, please stop	
12			talking. Please. It is my deposition.	
1	, 6	12	o b i	
13		13	Object to questions.	
14	5	14	MS. DANDENEAU: No, but also you	
15		15	instructed him that – that if you were	
16	Q. Let me try –	16 17	going – if you were interrupting him, that	
1 4-7	MS DEITSCH DEDEZ, Jahr Blasse lat		he should remind you that you're	
17				
18	the witness finish.	18	interrupting him and – and –	
18 19	the witness finish. MR. MORRIS: Please stop. Please	18 19	interrupting him and – and – MR. MORRIS: Let him do that. Let	
18 19 20	the witness finish. MR. MORRIS: Please stop. Please stop. Please stop talking.	18 19 20	interrupting him and – and – MR. MORRIS: Let him do that. Let him do that.	
18 19 20 21	the witness finish. MR. MORRIS: Please stop. Please stop. Please stop talking. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: No, you stop.	18 19 20 21	interrupting him and – and – MR. MORRIS: Let him do that. Let him do that. MS. DANDENEAU: Okay. Well, you –	
18 19 20 21 22	the witness finish. MR. MORRIS: Please stop. Please stop. Please stop talking. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: No, you stop. Let the witness –	18 19 20 21 22	interrupting him and – and – MR. MORRIS: Let him do that. Let him do that. MS. DANDENEAU: Okay. Well, you – MR. MORRIS: Please stop talking.	
18 19 20 21 22 23	the witness finish. MR. MORRIS: Please stop. Please stop. Please stop talking. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: No, you stop. Let the witness – MR. MORRIS: Stop talking.	18 19 20 21 22 23	interrupting him and – and – MR. MORRIS: Let him do that. Let him do that. MS. DANDENEAU: Okay. Well, you – MR. MORRIS: Please stop talking. A. Okay. I don't know any of the	
18 19 20 21 22 23 24	the witness finish. MR. MORRIS: Please stop. Please stop. Please stop talking. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: No, you stop. Let the witness – MR. MORRIS: Stop talking. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: – finish – you	18 19 20 21 22 23 24	interrupting him and – and – MR. MORRIS: Let him do that. Let him do that. MS. DANDENEAU: Okay. Well, you – MR. MORRIS: Please stop talking. A. Okay. I don't know any of the details of these agreements. I don't know	
18 19 20 21 22 23	the witness finish. MR. MORRIS: Please stop. Please stop. Please stop talking. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: No, you stop. Let the witness – MR. MORRIS: Stop talking. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: – finish – you	18 19 20 21 22 23	interrupting him and – and – MR. MORRIS: Let him do that. Let him do that. MS. DANDENEAU: Okay. Well, you – MR. MORRIS: Please stop talking. A. Okay. I don't know any of the	

		D 70
Page 78	1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 79
2 don't know who, I don't know when, as you	2 milestones that had to be reached; is that	
3 asked, sometime in '21, someone told me about	3 right?	
4 this – or I don't honestly know – I don't	4 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	
5 even recall exactly how I was made aware of	5 A. That was one of the words that was	
6 this, but I was. I don't know – I don't know	6 used when I heard about it, yes.	
7 any of these details, and I'm getting – again,	7 Q. And when you heard about this	
8 there is, you know, $I - I - I$ had a passing	8 agreement that had a term in it concerning	
9 conversation with – with Jim at some point	9 milestones reached, did you ask the person who	
10 on – on some – on the executive comp, and I'm	10 was telling you about the agreement whether or	
	11 not it was in writing?	
	12 A. I did not.	
12 again, I don't know any of these details.		
13 Q. Okay. Let me try again,	5 51	
14 Mr. Waterhouse, and I apologize.	14 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	
15 Are you aware of any agreement	15 A. Not that I recall.	
16 between Jim Dondero and Nancy Dondero	16 Q. But do you understand that going	
17 concerning any promissory note that was given	17 forward, we're going to refer to the agreement	
18 to Highland by any affiliate or Mr. Dondero?	18 as the agreement that you just described that	
19 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	19 you were	
20 form.	20 MS. DANDENEAU: Object to the form.	
21 A. I've heard of an agreement. That	21 A. Yes.	
22 is – that is – I mean, if you are using aware	22 Q. Okay. You don't have any personal	
23 as heard, sure.	23 knowledge concerning the terms of the	
24 Q. And you understand that one of the	24 agreement; correct?	
25 terms of the agreement is that it was based on	25 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	
Page 80		Page 81
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	-
	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 want to be clear that I never would have asked 	
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21		
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 form.	2 want to be clear that I never would have asked	
1WATERHOUSE - 10-19-212form.3Q. You can answer.	2 want to be clear that I never would have asked3 you to appear at this deposition if your name	
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 form. Q. You can answer. A. I don't – I heard about the 	 want to be clear that I never would have asked you to appear at this deposition if your name hadn't been included in responses to discovery 	
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 form. Q. You can answer. A. I don't I heard about the 5 agreement. I don't know anything I heard 	 2 want to be clear that I never would have asked 3 you to appear at this deposition if your name 4 hadn't been included in responses to discovery 5 as to somebody with knowledge about the – who 	
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 form. Q. You can answer. A. I don't I heard about the agreement. I don't know anything I heard there was an agreement. That is again, as I 	 2 want to be clear that I never would have asked 3 you to appear at this deposition if your name 4 hadn't been included in responses to discovery 5 as to somebody with knowledge about the – who 6 was told about the existence of the agreement. 	-
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 form. Q. You can answer. A. I don't – I heard about the agreement. I don't know anything – I heard there was an agreement. That is – again, as I testified before – I said before, heard about 	 2 want to be clear that I never would have asked 3 you to appear at this deposition if your name 4 hadn't been included in responses to discovery 5 as to somebody with knowledge about the – who 6 was told about the existence of the agreement. 7 That is what prompted me do this, 	
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 form. Q. You can answer. A. I don't – I heard about the agreement. I don't know anything – I heard there was an agreement. That is – again, as I testified before – I said before, heard about it, don't know the details. I believe it was 	 2 want to be clear that I never would have asked 3 you to appear at this deposition if your name 4 hadn't been included in responses to discovery 5 as to somebody with knowledge about the – who 6 was told about the existence of the agreement. 7 That is what prompted me do this, 8 and I really do feel compelled to tell you that 	
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 form. Q. You can answer. A. I don't – I heard about the agreement. I don't know anything – I heard there was an agreement. That is – again, as I testified before – I said before, heard about it, don't know the details. I believe it was sometime this year. 	 2 want to be clear that I never would have asked 3 you to appear at this deposition if your name 4 hadn't been included in responses to discovery 5 as to somebody with knowledge about the – who 6 was told about the existence of the agreement. 7 That is what prompted me do this, 8 and I really do feel compelled to tell you that 9 I otherwise would never have called you as a 	
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 form. Q. You can answer. A. I don't – I heard about the agreement. I don't know anything – I heard there was an agreement. That is – again, as I testified before – I said before, heard about it, don't know the details. I believe it was sometime this year. Q. Do you have any personal knowledge 	 2 want to be clear that I never would have asked 3 you to appear at this deposition if your name 4 hadn't been included in responses to discovery 5 as to somebody with knowledge about the – who 6 was told about the existence of the agreement. 7 That is what prompted me do this, 8 and I really do feel compelled to tell you that 9 I otherwise would never have called you as a 10 witness. So I regret that you're being put 	
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 form. Q. You can answer. A. I don't – I heard about the agreement. I don't know anything – I heard there was an agreement. That is – again, as I testified before – I said before, heard about it, don't know the details. I believe it was sometime this year. Q. Do you have any personal knowledge about the terms of the agreement, sir? 	 2 want to be clear that I never would have asked 3 you to appear at this deposition if your name 4 hadn't been included in responses to discovery 5 as to somebody with knowledge about the who 6 was told about the existence of the agreement. 7 That is what prompted me do this, 8 and I really do feel compelled to tell you that 9 I otherwise would never have called you as a 10 witness. So I regret that you're being put 11 through this today. I had no intention of 	
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 form. Q. You can answer. A. I don't I heard about the agreement. I don't know anything I heard there was an agreement. That is again, as I testified before I said before, heard about it, don't know the details. I believe it was sometime this year. Q. Do you have any personal knowledge about the terms of the agreement, sir? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 	 2 want to be clear that I never would have asked 3 you to appear at this deposition if your name 4 hadn't been included in responses to discovery 5 as to somebody with knowledge about the – who 6 was told about the existence of the agreement. 7 That is what prompted me do this, 8 and I really do feel compelled to tell you that 9 I otherwise would never have called you as a 10 witness. So I regret that you're being put 11 through this today. I had no intention of 12 burdening you or taking your time, but that is 	
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 form. Q. You can answer. A. I don't – I heard about the agreement. I don't know anything – I heard there was an agreement. That is – again, as I testified before – I said before, heard about it, don't know the details. I believe it was sometime this year. Q. Do you have any personal knowledge about the terms of the agreement, sir? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. Other than what I have previously 	 2 want to be clear that I never would have asked 3 you to appear at this deposition if your name 4 hadn't been included in responses to discovery 5 as to somebody with knowledge about the – who 6 was told about the existence of the agreement. 7 That is what prompted me do this, 8 and I really do feel compelled to tell you that 9 I otherwise would never have called you as a 10 witness. So I regret that you're being put 11 through this today. I had no intention of 12 burdening you or taking your time, but that is 13 the reason that we issued the subpoena is 	
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 form. Q. You can answer. A. I don't – I heard about the agreement. I don't know anything – I heard there was an agreement. That is – again, as I testified before – I said before, heard about it, don't know the details. I believe it was sometime this year. Q. Do you have any personal knowledge about the terms of the agreement, sir? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. Other than what I have previously discussed, I don't – I don't know. 	 2 want to be clear that I never would have asked 3 you to appear at this deposition if your name 4 hadn't been included in responses to discovery 5 as to somebody with knowledge about the who 6 was told about the existence of the agreement. 7 That is what prompted me do this, 8 and I really do feel compelled to tell you that 9 I otherwise would never have called you as a 10 witness. So I regret that you're being put 11 through this today. I had no intention of 12 burdening you or taking your time, but that is 13 the reason that we issued the subpoena is 14 because certain of the defendants identified 	
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 form. Q. You can answer. A. I don't – I heard about the agreement. I don't know anything – I heard there was an agreement. That is – again, as I testified before – I said before, heard about it, don't know the details. I believe it was sometime this year. Q. Do you have any personal knowledge about the terms of the agreement, sir? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. Other than what I have previously discussed, I don't – I don't know. Q. Did – did Mr. Dondero tell you 	 2 want to be clear that I never would have asked 3 you to appear at this deposition if your name 4 hadn't been included in responses to discovery 5 as to somebody with knowledge about the – who 6 was told about the existence of the agreement. 7 That is what prompted me do this, 8 and I really do feel compelled to tell you that 9 I otherwise would never have called you as a 10 witness. So I regret that you're being put 11 through this today. I had no intention of 12 burdening you or taking your time, but that is 13 the reason that we issued the subpoena is 14 because certain of the defendants identified 15 you as somebody – 16 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Mr. Morris, you 	
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 form. Q. You can answer. A. I don't – I heard about the agreement. I don't know anything – I heard there was an agreement. That is – again, as I testified before – I said before, heard about it, don't know the details. I believe it was sometime this year. Q. Do you have any personal knowledge about the terms of the agreement, sir? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. Other than what I have previously discussed, I don't – I don't know. Q. Did – did Mr. Dondero tell you about the existence of the agreement? A. I don't recall. 	 2 want to be clear that I never would have asked 3 you to appear at this deposition if your name 4 hadn't been included in responses to discovery 5 as to somebody with knowledge about the – who 6 was told about the existence of the agreement. 7 That is what prompted me do this, 8 and I really do feel compelled to tell you that 9 I otherwise would never have called you as a 10 witness. So I regret that you're being put 11 through this today. I had no intention of 12 burdening you or taking your time, but that is 13 the reason that we issued the subpoena is 14 because certain of the defendants identified 15 you as somebody – 16 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Mr. Morris, you 17 are here to ask questions, not to have – 	
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 form. Q. You can answer. A. I don't – I heard about the agreement. I don't know anything – I heard there was an agreement. That is – again, as I testified before – I said before, heard about it, don't know the details. I believe it was sometime this year. Q. Do you have any personal knowledge about the terms of the agreement, sir? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. Other than what I have previously discussed, I don't – I don't know. Q. Did – did Mr. Dondero tell you about the existence of the agreement? A. I don't recall. Q. Do you recall the source of your 	 2 want to be clear that I never would have asked 3 you to appear at this deposition if your name 4 hadn't been included in responses to discovery 5 as to somebody with knowledge about the – who 6 was told about the existence of the agreement. 7 That is what prompted me do this, 8 and I really do feel compelled to tell you that 9 I otherwise would never have called you as a 10 witness. So I regret that you're being put 11 through this today. I had no intention of 12 burdening you or taking your time, but that is 13 the reason that we issued the subpoena is 14 because certain of the defendants identified 15 you as somebody – 16 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Mr. Morris, you 17 are here to ask questions, not to have – 18 MR. MORRIS: I feel badly for the 	
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 form. Q. You can answer. A. I don't – I heard about the agreement. I don't know anything – I heard there was an agreement. That is – again, as I testified before – I said before, heard about it, don't know the details. I believe it was sometime this year. Q. Do you have any personal knowledge about the terms of the agreement, sir? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. Other than what I have previously discussed, I don't – I don't know. Q. Did – did Mr. Dondero tell you about the existence of the agreement? A. I don't recall. Q. Do you recall the source of your information when you learned about the 	 2 want to be clear that I never would have asked 3 you to appear at this deposition if your name 4 hadn't been included in responses to discovery 5 as to somebody with knowledge about the – who 6 was told about the existence of the agreement. 7 That is what prompted me do this, 8 and I really do feel compelled to tell you that 9 I otherwise would never have called you as a 10 witness. So I regret that you're being put 11 through this today. I had no intention of 12 burdening you or taking your time, but that is 13 the reason that we issued the subpoena is 14 because certain of the defendants identified 15 you as somebody – 16 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Mr. Morris, you 17 are here to ask questions, not to have – MR. MORRIS: I feel badly for the 19 guy. I really do. 	
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 form. Q. You can answer. A. I don't – I heard about the agreement. I don't know anything – I heard there was an agreement. That is – again, as I testified before – I said before, heard about it, don't know the details. I believe it was sometime this year. Q. Do you have any personal knowledge about the terms of the agreement, sir? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. Other than what I have previously discussed, I don't – I don't know. Q. Did – did Mr. Dondero tell you about the existence of the agreement? A. I don't recall. Q. Do you recall the source of your information when you learned about the 	 2 want to be clear that I never would have asked 3 you to appear at this deposition if your name 4 hadn't been included in responses to discovery 5 as to somebody with knowledge about the – who 6 was told about the existence of the agreement. 7 That is what prompted me do this, 8 and I really do feel compelled to tell you that 9 I otherwise would never have called you as a 10 witness. So I regret that you're being put 11 through this today. I had no intention of 12 burdening you or taking your time, but that is 13 the reason that we issued the subpoena is 14 because certain of the defendants identified 15 you as somebody – 16 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Mr. Morris, you 17 are here to ask questions, not to have – 18 MR. MORRIS: I feel badly for the 19 guy. I really do. 20 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: I'm sure you do. 	
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 form. Q. You can answer. A. I don't – I heard about the agreement. I don't know anything – I heard there was an agreement. That is – again, as I testified before – I said before, heard about it, don't know the details. I believe it was sometime this year. Q. Do you have any personal knowledge about the terms of the agreement, sir? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. Other than what I have previously discussed, I don't – I don't know. Q. Did – did Mr. Dondero tell you about the existence of the agreement? A. I don't recall. Q. Do you recall the source of your information when you learned about the agreement? A. No, I don't – I don't recall. I 	 2 want to be clear that I never would have asked 3 you to appear at this deposition if your name 4 hadn't been included in responses to discovery 5 as to somebody with knowledge about the – who 6 was told about the existence of the agreement. 7 That is what prompted me do this, 8 and I really do feel compelled to tell you that 9 I otherwise would never have called you as a 10 witness. So I regret that you're being put 11 through this today. I had no intention of 12 burdening you or taking your time, but that is 13 the reason that we issued the subpoena is 14 because certain of the defendants identified 15 you as somebody – 16 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Mr. Morris, you 17 are here to ask questions, not to have – 18 MR. MORRIS: I feel badly for the 19 guy. I really do. 20 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: I'm sure you do. 21 MR. MORRIS: I do. Stop. 	
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 form. 3 Q. You can answer. 4 A. I don't – I heard about the 5 agreement. I don't know anything – I heard 6 there was an agreement. That is – again, as I 7 testified before – I said before, heard about 8 it, don't know the details. I believe it was 9 sometime this year. 10 Q. Do you have any personal knowledge 11 about the terms of the agreement, sir? 12 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 13 A. Other than what I have previously 14 discussed, I don't – I don't know. 15 Q. Did – did Mr. Dondero tell you 16 about the existence of the agreement? 17 A. I don't recall. 18 Q. Do you recall the source of your 19 information when you learned about the 20 agreement? 21 A. No, I don't – I don't recall. I 22 don't remember. I just – I heard about it	 2 want to be clear that I never would have asked 3 you to appear at this deposition if your name 4 hadn't been included in responses to discovery 5 as to somebody with knowledge about the – who 6 was told about the existence of the agreement. 7 That is what prompted me do this, 8 and I really do feel compelled to tell you that 9 I otherwise would never have called you as a 10 witness. So I regret that you're being put 11 through this today. I had no intention of 12 burdening you or taking your time, but that is 13 the reason that we issued the subpoena is 14 because certain of the defendants identified 15 you as somebody – 16 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Mr. Morris, you 17 are here to ask questions, not to have – MR. MORRIS: I feel badly for the 19 guy. I really do. 20 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: I'm sure you do. 21 MR. MORRIS: I do. Stop. 22 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: You stop. 	
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 form. 3 Q. You can answer. 4 A. I don't – I heard about the 5 agreement. I don't know anything – I heard 6 there was an agreement. That is – again, as I 7 testified before – I said before, heard about 8 it, don't know the details. I believe it was 9 sometime this year. 10 Q. Do you have any personal knowledge 11 about the terms of the agreement, sir? 12 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 13 A. Other than what I have previously 14 discussed, I don't – I don't know. 15 Q. Did – did Mr. Dondero tell you 16 about the existence of the agreement? 17 A. I don't recall. 18 Q. Do you recall the source of your 19 information when you learned about the 20 agreement? 21 A. No, I don't – I don't recall. I 22 don't remember. I just – I heard about it 23 generally. I don't remember – I don't	 2 want to be clear that I never would have asked 3 you to appear at this deposition if your name 4 hadn't been included in responses to discovery 5 as to somebody with knowledge about the – who 6 was told about the existence of the agreement. 7 That is what prompted me do this, 8 and I really do feel compelled to tell you that 9 I otherwise would never have called you as a 10 witness. So I regret that you're being put 11 through this today. I had no intention of 12 burdening you or taking your time, but that is 13 the reason that we issued the subpoena is 14 because certain of the defendants identified 15 you as somebody – 16 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Mr. Morris, you 17 are here to ask questions, not to have – 18 MR. MORRIS: I feel badly for the 19 guy. I really do. 20 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: You stop. 23 MR. MORRIS: I'm allowed. 	
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 form. 3 Q. You can answer. 4 A. I don't – I heard about the 5 agreement. I don't know anything – I heard 6 there was an agreement. That is – again, as I 7 testified before – I said before, heard about 8 it, don't know the details. I believe it was 9 sometime this year. 10 Q. Do you have any personal knowledge 11 about the terms of the agreement, sir? 12 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 13 A. Other than what I have previously 14 discussed, I don't – I don't know. 15 Q. Did – did Mr. Dondero tell you 16 about the existence of the agreement? 17 A. I don't recall. 18 Q. Do you recall the source of your 19 information when you learned about the 20 agreement? 21 A. No, I don't – I don't recall. I 22 don't remember. I just – I heard about it	 2 want to be clear that I never would have asked 3 you to appear at this deposition if your name 4 hadn't been included in responses to discovery 5 as to somebody with knowledge about the – who 6 was told about the existence of the agreement. 7 That is what prompted me do this, 8 and I really do feel compelled to tell you that 9 I otherwise would never have called you as a 10 witness. So I regret that you're being put 11 through this today. I had no intention of 12 burdening you or taking your time, but that is 13 the reason that we issued the subpoena is 14 because certain of the defendants identified 15 you as somebody – 16 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Mr. Morris, you 17 are here to ask questions, not to have – MR. MORRIS: I feel badly for the 19 guy. I really do. 20 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: I'm sure you do. 21 MR. MORRIS: I do. Stop. 22 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: You stop. 	

1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 Q. Cex, Well, Hope they you 2 3 appreciate what I'm saying here, 3 4 M. Watchouse. 4 6 and and ask questions, and again, you're 6 6 and and ask questions, and again, you're 6 7 entitled topic hen - his knowledge 7 8 of - whatever knowledge he has about 8 A 9 disthis agreement and - 9 0. Did you ever ske anybody which 10 MR. MORRIS: That is what I'm doing, 10 promissory notes are subject to this agreement? 11 NS. DANDEHEAU: - he will answer 11 A No. 12 the questions to he best that he can. 12 D. Dud you ever ske anybody which 16 affiates or Mr. Dondero are the subject of 16 A. It mont answer. 16 affiates or Mr. Dondero are the subject of this agreement? 17 agreement within nown anythre? 16 A. It don't Irow way to determine 19 A. It on not answer. 18 16 A. It on't Row way to determine 19 A. It on't Row way anythe?	Page 82		Page 83
3 approxiption what the saying here, 3 A I continuous anything about these 4 Mr. Weterhouse, 4 agreements, 5 0. Did you ever make any effort to 6 ahead and ask questors, and again, you're 6 determine witch promissory notes are subject to 7 entitled to prote his – his knowledge 6 determine witch promissory notes are subject to this agreement? 8 d – whatever knowledge he has about 8 A No. 9 0. Did you ever ask anybody which 10 10 MR. NCRRIS: That is what I'm doing. 11 A No. 11 DA NDENEAU he will arswer 11 A No. 12 the questions to the best that he can. 11 A No. 13 mork which promissory notes issued by which 15 A In mode ware. 13 anywhere of the promissory notes issued by which 15 A In mode ware. 16 dilates of M. Dondero are the subject of this 21 anywhere? 18 A No.			-
4. Weiderhouse 4 agreements. 5 MS. DANDENEAU. Lafs go 5 6 ahead and ask questions, and again, you're 6 7 entitled to probe his - his knowledge 7 8 of			
5 MS_DANDENE-U: Alright_Less go 6 2 Did you ever make any effort to 6 ahead and ask questors, and again, you're 6 determine which promissory notes are subject to 7 of			
6 a head and ask questions, and again, pulline 6 6 determine which promissory notes are subject to 7 entitled to probe his – his knowledge 7 this agreement? 8 A No. 9 0. Did you ever ask anybody which 9 this – his agreement and – 9 Q. Did you ever ask anybody which 11 MR. DANDENEAU; – he will answer 11 A No. 12 the questions to the best that he can. 12 Q. Do you know if there is a list 13 MR. MCRNS: That is what Th doing. 13 anywhere of the pursisory notes that are 14 Q. Mr. Waterhouse, ltake it you do not 14 subject to this agreement? 15 know which promissory notes issued by which 15 A Fm ot aware. 16 affliates to thr. Condero are the subject of 18 A. No. 19 Q. Do you know of any way to determine. 19 Q. He terms of the agreement were written down 21 and Mr. Dondero are the subject of this. 21 anywhere? 23 Q. Did learning about the agreement? 22 appeement ober than asking Jim or Narcy 23 Q. Did learning about the agreement? 24 cause you to do anything in response? <			
7 entitlet to probe the - his knowledge 7 this determined in the interver knowledge here has about 8 A No. 8 ofwhatever knowledge here has about 8 A No. 9 Q. Ddy ou ever ask anybody which 10 promissory notes are subject to this agreement? 11 MR. MORRIS: That is what I'm doing. 11 A No. 13 MR. MORRIS: That is what I'm doing. 13 anywhere of the promissory notes that are 14 Q. Mr. Watchnuse, Liste ity out do not 14 subject to this agreement? 15 know which promissory notes issued by which 15 A I'm not aware. 16 affiliates of Mr. Dondero are the subject of This 7 agreement were written down anywhere? 18 A. Yes, I don't - I don't know. 18 A. No. 19 Q. Do you know of any way to determine 20 which promissory notes issued by the affiliates 20 The terms of the agreement were written down 21 anywhere? 22 A. I. No. 18 A. No. 22 agreement written down anywhere? 23 Queremany the agreement written down 23			
8 A No. 9 this - this agreement and - 9 Q. Did you ever as anybody which 10 MR.MORRIS: That is what fm doing. 10 promissory notes are subject to this agreement? 11 MS. DANDENEAU: - he will answer 11 A. No. 12 the questions to the best that he can. 12 O. Do you know if there is a list 13 MR.MORRIS: That is what fm doing. 13 anywhere of the promissory notes strest at are 14 Q. Mr. Waterhouse, take it you do not 14 subject to this agreement? 15 Kow with promissory notes issued by which 15 A. I'm not aware. 16 affiliass or Mr. Dondero are the subject of 16 Q. Heave you ver saked anybody whether 10 Which promissory notes issued by the affiliates 20 the terms of the agreement were written down 21 and Mr. Dondero are the subject of this 21 anythere? 30 Q. Did learning about the agreement 23 Dondero? 20 A. Ion't hrow. 22 A. Ion't hrow. 24 cause you to do anything in response? 24 A. No. 3 Q. Did anybody ever describe to you the <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>			
9 This – This agreement and – 9 Q. Did you ever ask anybody which 10 MR. MORRIS: That is what I'm doing. 10 promissory notes are subject to this agreement? 11 MS. DANDENEAU. – he will answer 11 A. No. 12 the questions to the best that he can. 12 Q. Do you know if there is a list 13 MR. MORRIS: That is what I'm doing. 13 anywhere of the promissory notes that are 14 Q. Wr. Waterhouse, Itake it you do not 14 subject to this agreement? 15 know which promissory notes issued by which 15 A. I'm not aware. 16 affiliates out know of my way to determine 19 Q. Do you know of my way to determine 19 Q. Do you know any way to determine 12 anywhere? 23 agreement other than asking. Un or Nancy 22 A. I have not. 23 Dondero? 23 Q. Did anybody ever describe to you the 3 24 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 25 M. Idon't how. Page 85 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Page 85 1 A. No. Page 85 2 A. No. 1 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>			
10 MR. MORRIS: That is what moding. 10 promissory notes are subject to this agreement? 11 MS. DANDENEAU: - he will answer 11 A. No. 13 MR. MORRIS: That is what fm doing. 13 anywhere of the promissory notes its at are 14 Q. Mr. Watehouse, Itake ty ou do not 14 subject to this agreement? 15 kow which promissory notes issued by which 15 A. I'mond aware. 16 affilies or Mr. Dendero are the subject of 16 Q. Have you ever seen the terms of the 17 this agreement, do I have that right? 17 agreement written down anywhere? 18 A. Yes, I don't - I don't how. 18 A. No. 19 Q. Doyou know of any way to determine 19 Q. Have you ever asked anybody whether 20 and Mr. Dondero are the subject of this 21 anywhere? 21 and Mr. Dondero are the subject of this 21 anywhere? 22 agreement other than asking Jim or Nancy 22 A. I have not. 23 Dondero? 23 Q. Did learning about the agreement. 24 A. No. 2 as the reason why Highland tha fas annual	8 of – whatever knowledge he has about		
11 MS. DANDENEAU: he will answer 11 A. No. 12 the questions to the best that he can. 12 Q. Do you know if there is a list 13 MR. MCRIS: That is what I'n doing. 13 anywhere of the promissory notes issued by which 15 know which promissory notes issued by which 15 A. I'm not aware. 16 affiliates of MC. Dondro are the subject of 16 Q. Have you ever seen the terms of the 17 this agreement; do I have that right? 17 agreement witten down anywhere? 18 A. Yes, I don't I dom't know. 18 A. No. 19 Q. Doy ou know of any way to determine 19 Q. Have you ever asked anybody whether 20 which promissory notes issued by the affiliates 20 the terms of the agreement were written down 21 and Mr. Dondror are the subject of this 21 anywhere? 23 23 Dondero? 23 Q. Dol anybody ever describe to you the 3 financial statements audited ach year. 3 A. No. 25 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 24 as to the melsiones that you referred to 4 A. No. 11 WATERHOUSE -	9 this – this agreement and –	9 Q. Did you ever ask anybody which	
12 the questions to the best that he can. 12 Q. Do you know if there is a list 13 MR. MORRS, That is what Im doing. 13 anywhere of the promissory professes that are 14 Q. Mr. Vaterbouse, Itake ity ou do not 14 subject to this agreement? 15 know which promissory notes issued by which 15 A. Im not aware. 16 affiliates of Mr. Dondero are the subject of filiates 17 agreement withen down anywhere? 18 A. Yes, I don't – I don't know. 18 A. No. 19 Q. Have you ever asked anybody whether 20 which promissory notes issued by the affiliates 20 the terms of the agreement were written down 21 and Mr. Dondero are the subject of filis 21 anywhere? 23 Out ever solution down anywhere? 23 Dondero? 23 Out ever solution down anywhere? 24 A. I have not. 24 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 24 a loan't horn. 25 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 25 A. No. 2 as to the reason why Highiand hat its annual 3 financial statements audite agreement 2 A. No. 10 WATERHOUS	10 MR. MORRIS: That is what I'm doing.	10 promissory notes are subject to this agreement?	
13 MR. MORRIS. That is what I'm doing. 13 anywhere of the promissory notes that are 14 Q. Mr. Waterhouse, Itake it you do not 14 subject to this agreement? 15 know which promissory notes issued by which 15 A The avare. 16 affiliates or Mr. Dondero are the subject of this 17 arg erement written down anywhere? 18 A Page 10 Have you ever seked anybody whether 20 which promissory notes issued by the affiliates 20 the terms of the agreement written down 21 and Mr. Dondero are the subject of this 21 anywhere? 23 23 agreement other than asking Jm or Nancy 22 A I have not. 23 Dondero? 23 a bonders? 23 0. Did leaming about the agreement 24 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 24 cause you to do any thing in response? 25 3 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Page 84 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Page 85 4 nature of the milestones that you referred to 4 A. From - from time to time, they were 5 earline? 5 e	11 MS. DANDENEAU: – he will answer	11 A. No.	
14 Q. Mr. Waterhouse, Itake it you do not 14 subject to fils agreement? 15 know which promissory notes issued by which 15 A. Imn of aware. 16 affiliates over seen the subject of 16 Q. Have you ever seen the terms of the 17 this agreement, do I have that right? 17 agreement written down anywhere? 18 A. Yes, I don't – I don't know. 18 A. No. 20 you know of any way to determine 19 Q. Doy ou know of any way to determine 19 21 and Mr. Dondero are the subject of this 21 anywhere? 22 agreement other than asking Jim or Nancy 22 A. I have not. 23 Dondero? 23 Q. Did learning about the agreement 24 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 24 cause you to do anything in response? 25 A. I don't know. 25 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 24 A. No. 2 as to the reason why highland had its annual 3 Q. Did anybody ever describe to you the 3 financial statements audited each year. 4 A. No. 2 as to the reason why highland had its annual 3 Q. Did anybody ever describe to you the 3 financial statements audited each year. 4 </td <td>12 the questions to the best that he can.</td> <td>12 Q. Do you know if there is a list</td> <td></td>	12 the questions to the best that he can.	12 Q. Do you know if there is a list	
15 know which promissory notes issued by which 15 Å I'm not aware. 16 affiliates of Mr. Dondero are the subject of 16 Q. Have you ever seen the terms of the 19 Q. Do you know of any way to determine 19 Q. Have you ever asked anybody whether 20 which promissory notes issued by the affiliates 20 the terms of the agreement were written down 21 and Mr. Dondero are the subject of this 21 anywhere? 22 agreement were written asking Jim or Nancy 23 Q. Did learning about the agreement 23 Dondero? 23 Q. Did learning about the agreement 24 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 24 cause you to do anything in response? 25 A. I don't know. 25 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 24 3 Q. Did anybody ever describe to you the 3 financial statements audited each year. 4 nature of the milestones that you referred to 5 sused – or asked for, as part of diligence or 6 A. No. 1 don't – I don't have any 7 Q. And were they given to third parties 7 details of this. 7 Q. And were they given to third parties <td>13 MR. MORRIS: That is what I'm doing.</td> <td>13 anywhere of the promissory notes that are</td> <td></td>	13 MR. MORRIS: That is what I'm doing.	13 anywhere of the promissory notes that are	
16 affiliates or Mr. Dondero are the subject of 16 Q. Have you ever seen the terms of the 17 this agreement, do I have that right? 17 agreement withen down anywhere? 18 A. Yes, I don't – I don't know. 18 A. No. 19 Q. Do you know of any way to determine 19 Q. Have you ever asked anybody whether 20 which promissory notes issued by the affiliates 20 the terms of the agreement were written down 21 and Mr. Dondero are the subject of this 21 anywhere? 23 23 goreement other than asking, Jim or Nancy 23 Q. Did learning about the agreement 24 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 23 Q. Did learning about the agreement 24 24 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 25 M. I don't have not. 25 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 25 A. No. 26 is the reason why Highland had its annual 3 5 nanual 4 A. From – from time to time, they were 5 sea to her reason why Highland had its annual 5 used - or asked for, as part of diligence or 6 the reasochors or or things of that nature. 7 Q. And were they given to third parties	14 Q. Mr. Waterhouse, I take it you do not	14 subject to this agreement?	
17 this agreement, do I have that right? 17 agreement written down anywhere? 18 A Yes, I don't - I don't know. 18 A No. 19 O. Do you know dany way to determine 19 O. Do you know dany way to determine 19 O. Do you know dany way to determine 20 which promissory notes issued by the affiliates 20 the terms of the agreement were written down 21 and Mr. Dondero are the subject of this 21 anywhere? 22 agreement other than asking Jim or Nancy 22 A I have not. 23 Dondero? 23 Q. Did learning about the agreement 24 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 24 cause you to do anything in response? 25 A I don't know. 25 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 2 as to the reason why Highland had its annual 3 financial statements audited each year. 4 nature of the milestones that you refered to 4 A. From - from time to time, they were 5 earlier? 6 transactions or - or things of finantauts. 7 Q. And were they given to thind parties 8	15 know which promissory notes issued by which	15 A. I'm not aware.	
18 A. Yes, I don't – I don't know. 18 A. No. 19 Q. Do you know of any way to determine 19 Q. Have you ever asked anybody whether 20 which promissory notes issued by the affiliates 20 the terms of the agreement were written down 21 and Mr. Dondero are the subject of this 21 anywhere? 22 agreement other than asking Jim or Nancy 22 A. I have not. 23 Dondero? 23 Q. Did learning about the agreement 24 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 24 cause you to do anything in response? 25 A. I don't know. 25 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 24 3 Q. Did anybody ever describe to you the 3 financial statements audited each year. 4 4 nature of the milestones that you referred to 5 ss to the reason why Highland had its annual 3 3 Q. Did anybody ever describe to you the 3 financial statements audited each year. 4 A. From - from time to time, they were 5 earlier? 6 transactions or - or things of the nature. 7 Q. And were they given to thind parties 8 0 <td>16 affiliates or Mr. Dondero are the subject of</td> <td>16 Q. Have you ever seen the terms of the</td> <td></td>	16 affiliates or Mr. Dondero are the subject of	16 Q. Have you ever seen the terms of the	
19 Q. Do you know of any way to determine 19 Q. Have you ever asked anybody whether 20 which promissory notes issued by the affiliates 20 the terms of the agreement were written down 21 and Mr. Dondero are the subject of this 21 anywhere? 22 agreement other than asking Jim or Nancy 23 A. I have not. 23 Dondero? 23 Q. Did learning about the agreement 24 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 24 cause you to do anything in response? 25 A. I don't know. 25 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 24 wATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Page 84 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Page 85 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 as to the reason why highland had its annual 3 financial statements audited each year. 4 A. From – from time to time, they were 5 earlier? 5 used – or asked for, as part of diligence or 6 the targe of diligence or transactions from 9 PricewaterhouseCoopers served as 9 time to time? 10 A. As far as I'm aware, yes. 11 petition date; correct? 11 Q. And weas it your understanding as the <td>17 this agreement; do I have that right?</td> <td>17 agreement written down anywhere?</td> <td></td>	17 this agreement; do I have that right?	17 agreement written down anywhere?	
20 which promissory notes issued by the affiliates 20 the terms of the agreement were written down 21 and Mr. Dondero are the subject of this 21 arywhere? 22 agreement other than asking Jim or Nancy 22 A I have not. 23 Dondero? 23 Q. Did learning about the agreement 24 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 24 cause you to do anything in response? 25 A I don't know. 25 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 24 ause you to do anything in response? 25 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 24 ause you to do anything in response? 25 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 25 A. No. 2 as to the reason why Highland had its annual 3 3 Q. Did anybody ever describe to you the 3 financial statements audited each year. 4 4 nature of the milestones that you referred to 4 A From - from time to time, they were 5 used for, as part of diligence or 6 transactions or - or things of that nature. 7 Q. And were they given to third parties 8 fp transactions from 9 time to time?	18 A. Yes, I don't – I don't know.	18 A. No.	
21 and Mr. Dondero are the subject of this 21 anywhere? 22 agreement other than asking Jim or Nancy 22 A I have not. 23 Dondero? 23 Q. Did learning about the agreement 24 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 24 cause you to do anything in response? 25 A. I don't know. Page 84 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Page 85 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 as to the reason why Highland had its annual 3 financial statements audited each year. 4 nature of the milestones that you referred to 4 A. From – from time to time, they were 5 5 earlier? 5 used – or asked for, as part of diligence or 6 transactions or – or things of that nature. 7 Q. And were they given to third parties 8 Q. That is fine. 9 fine to time? 1 A. As faras I'm aware, yes. 1 Q. For waterhouseCoopers served as 9 1 G. And was it your understanding as the 1 2 CFO that the third parties who received the 1 3 A. Star as I'm aware, yes. 1 1 Lansactions was go	19 Q. Do you know of any way to determine	19 Q. Have you ever asked anybody whether	
22 agreement other than asking Jim or Nancy 22 A. I have not. 23 Dondero? 23 O. Did learning about the agreement 24 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 25 A. I don't know. 25 A. I don't know. 25 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 24 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 25 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 25 A. No. 2 as to the reason why Highland had its annual 3 Q. Did anybody ever describe to you the 3 financial statements audited each year. 4 nature of the milestones that you referred to 4 A. From – from time to time, they were 5 earlier? 5 used – or asked for, as part of diligence or 6 A. No, I don't – I don't have any 7 Q. And were they given to thind parties 8 Q. That is fine. 9 for purposes of diligence or transactions from 9 PricewaterhouseCoopers served as 10 A. As far as I'm aware, yes. 11 petition date; correct? 11 Q. And were they given to thind parties 13 Q. You refer to PricewaterhouseCoopers 13 financial statements in diligence or </td <td>20 which promissory notes issued by the affiliates</td> <td>20 the terms of the agreement were written down</td> <td></td>	20 which promissory notes issued by the affiliates	20 the terms of the agreement were written down	
23 Dondero? 23 Q. Did learning about the agreement 24 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 24 cause you to do anything in response? 25 A. Idon't know. 25 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 as to the reason why Highland had its annual 3 Q. Did anybody ever describe to you the 3 financial statements audited each year. 4 nature of the milestones that you referred to 4 A. From – from time to time, they were 5 earlier? 5 used or - asked for, as part of diligence or 6 A. No, Idon't – I don't have any 6 transactions or – or things of that nature. 7 Q. And were they given to third parties 8 for purposes of diligence or transactions from 9 PricewaterhouseCoopers served as 9 time to time? 1 A. As far as I'm aware, yes. 11 petition date; correct? 11 Q. And were they given to third parties who received the 13 Q. You refer to PricewaterhouseCoopers 13 financial statements in diligence or 14 as PwC? 14 transactions was going to rely on those?	21 and Mr. Dondero are the subject of this	21 anywhere?	
24 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 24 cause you to do anything in response? 25 A I don't know. 25 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 25 A I don't know. 25 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Page 84 Page 85 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 as to the reason why Highland had its annual 3 Q. Did anybody ever describe to you the 3 financial statements audited each year. 4 nature of the milestones that you referred to 4 A. From – from time to time, they were 5 earlief? 5 used – or asked for, as part of diligence or 6 A. No, Idon't – I don't have any 6 transactions or – or things of that nature. 7 Q. And were they given to third parties 8 for purposes of diligence or transactions from 9 PricewaterhouseCoopers served as 9 time to time? 10 10 A. As far as I'm aware, yes. 11 Q. And was it your understanding as the 12 12 A. Yes. 12 CFO that the third parties who received the 13 financial statements in diligence or <td>22 agreement other than asking Jim or Nancy</td> <td>22 A. I have not.</td> <td></td>	22 agreement other than asking Jim or Nancy	22 A. I have not.	
25 A. Idon't know. 25 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Page 84 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Page 85 2 A. No. 2 as to the reason why Highland had its annual 3 financial statements audited each year. 4 4 nature of the milestones that you referred to 4 A. From - from time to time, they were 5 5 earlier? 6 transactions or - or things of that nature. 7 Q. And were they given to third parties 8 Q. That is fine. 9 time to time? 10 A. As far as I'm aware, yes. 10 Highland's outside auditors prior to the 10 A. As far as I'm aware, yes. 11 Q. Oru refer to PricewaterhouseCoopers 13 Q. You refer to PricewaterhouseCoopers 11 Q. Chot and the third parties who received the 13 13 financial statements in diligence or 14 as PwC? 11 Q. Hou were they given to throm. 16 A. Idon't know - Idon't know gen - 17 Highland's financial statements on an annual 17 Idon't know were relying on. 11 14 as PwC? 14 To andited financial stateme	23 Dondero?	23 Q. Did learning about the agreement	
Page 84 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Page 84 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 as to the reason why Highland had its annual 3 Q. Did anybody ever describe to you the 3 financial statements audited each year. 4 nature of the milestones that you referred to 4 A. From – from time to time, they were 5 earlier? 5 used – or asked for, as part of diligence or 6 A. No, I don't – I don't have any 7 Q. And were they given to third parties 8 Q. That is fine. 8 for purposes of diligence or transactions from 9 PricewaterhouseCoopers served as 9 time to time? 10 A. As far as I'm aware, yes. 11 Q. And were they given to third parties 12 A. Yes. 12 CFO that the third parties who received the 13 Q. You refer to PricewaterhouseCoopers 13 financial statements in diligence or 14 as PwC? 14 transactions was going to rely on those? 15 A. Yes. 15 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 16 Q. PricewaterhouseCoopers was the 10 A. I don't know yeer egoing 18 basis; corred? 18	24 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	24 cause you to do anything in response?	
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 A. No. 2 as to the reason why Highland had its annual 3 Q. Did anybody ever describe to you the 3 financial statements audited each year. 4 nature of the milestones that you referred to 4 A. From - from time to time, they were 5 earlier? 5 used - or asked for, as part of diligence or 6 A. No, I don't - I don't have any 6 transactions or - or things of that nature. 7 details of this. 7 Q. And were they given to third parties 8 Q. That is fine. 9 time to time? 9 PricewaterhouseCoopers served as 9 time to time? 10 A. As far as 'I'm aware, yes. 11 Q. And was it your understanding as the 12 A. Yes. 12 CFO that the third parties who received the 13 Q. You refer to PricewaterhouseCoopers 13 financial statements in diligence or 14 as PwC? 14 transactions was going to rely on those? 15 A. Yes. 15 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 16 Q. PricewaterhouseCoopers audited 16 </td <td>25 A. I don't know.</td> <td>25 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.</td> <td></td>	25 A. I don't know.	25 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	
2 A. No. 2 as to the reason why Highland had its annual 3 Q. Did anybody ever describe to you the 3 financial statements audited each year. 4 nature of the milestones that you referred to 4 A. From from time to time, they were 5 earlier? 5 used - or asked for, as part of diligence or 6 A. No, I don't - I don't have any 6 transactions or - or things of that nature. 7 details of this. 7 Q. And were they given to third parties 8 Q. That is fine. 9 time to time? 9 PricewaterhouseCoopers served as 9 time to time? 10 A. Sa far as I'm aware, yes. 11 Q. And was it your understanding as the 12 A. Yes. 12 CFO that the third parties who received the 13 Q. You refer to PricewaterhouseCoopers 13 financial statements in diligence or 14 as PwC? 14 transactions was going to rely on those? 15 A. Yes. 15 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 16 Q. PricewaterhouseCoopers audited 16 A I don't know specifically what they were going	Page 84		Page 85
3 Q. Did anybody ever describe to you the 3 financial statements audited each year. 4 nature of the milestones that you referred to 4 A. From – from time to time, they were 5 earlier? 5 used – or asked for, as part of diligence or 6 A. No, I don't – I don't have any 6 transactions or – or things of that nature. 7 details of this. 7 Q. And were they given to third parties 8 Q. That is fine. 8 for purposes of diligence or transactions from 9 PricewaterhouseCoopers served as 9 time to time? 10 Highland's outside auditors prior to the 10 A. As far as I'm aware, yes. 11 petition date; correct? 11 Q. And was it your understanding as the 12 A. Yes. 12 CFO that the third parties who received the 13 Q. You refer to PricewaterhouseCoopers 13 financial statements in diligence or 14 as PwC? 14 transactions was going to rely on those? 15 A. Yes. 15 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 16 Q. PricewaterhouseCoopers audited 16 A. I don't know specifically what th			
4 nature of the milestones that you referred to 4 A. From – from time to time, they were 5 earlier? 5 used – or asked for, as part of diligence or 6 A. No, I don't – I don't have any 6 transactions or – or things of that nature. 7 details of this. 7 Q. And were they given to third parties 8 Q. That is fine. 8 for purposes of dilgence or transactions from 9 PricewaterhouseCoopers served as 9 time to time? 10 Highland's outside auditors prior to the 10 A. As far as I'm aware, yes. 11 petition date; correct? 11 Q. And was it your understanding as the 12 A. Yes. 12 CFO that the third parties who received the 13 Q. You refer to PricewaterhouseCoopers 13 financial statements in diligence or 14 as PwC? 14 transactions was going to rely on those? 15 A. Yes. 15 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 16 Q. PricewaterhouseCoopers audited 16 A. I don't know yeer going 18 basis; correct? 18 torely on. You know, we would get requests			
5 earlier? 5 used – or asked for, as part of diligence or 6 A. No, I don't – I don't have any 6 transactions or – or things of that nature. 7 details of this. 7 Q. And were they given to third parties 8 Q. That is fine. 8 for purposes of diligence or transactions from 9 PricewaterhouseCoopers served as 9 time to time? 10 A. As far as I'm aware, yes. 11 11 petition date; correct? 11 Q. And was it your understanding as the 12 A. Yes. 12 CFO that the third parties who received the 13 Q. You refer to PricewaterhouseCoopers 13 financial statements in diligence or 14 as PwC? 14 transactions was going to rely on those? 15 A. Yes. 15 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 16 Q. PricewaterhouseCoopers audited 16 A. I don't know yeer going 18 basis; correct? 18 to rely on. You know, we would get requests 19 A. During my – during my time as – as 20 what they were relying on. 20 CFO, yese, PricewaterhouseCoopers			
6 A. No, I don't – I don't have any 6 transactions or – or things of that nature. 7 details of this. 7 Q. And were they given to third parties 8 Q. That is fine. 8 for purposes of diligence or transactions from 9 PricewaterhouseCoopers served as 9 time to time? 10 Highland's outside auditors prior to the 10 A. As far as I'm aware, yes. 11 petition date; correct? 11 Q. And was it your understanding as the 12 A. Yes. 12 CFO that the third parties who received the 13 Q. You refer to PricewaterhouseCoopers 13 financial statements in diligence or 14 as PwC? 14 transactions was going to rely on those? 15 A. Yes. 15 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 16 Q. PricewaterhouseCoopers audited 16 A. I don't know specifically what they were going 18 basis; correct? 18 to rely on. You know, we would get requests 19 A. During my – during my time as – as 19 for raudited financial statements. I don't know 20 CFO, yes, PricewaterhouseCoopers was the 20 <	-	-	
7details of this.7Q. And were they given to third parties8Q. That is fine.8for purposes of diligence or transactions from9PricewaterhouseCoopers served as9time to time?10Highland's outside auditors prior to the10A. As far as I'm aware, yes.11petition date; correct?11Q. And was it your understanding as the12A. Yes.12CFO that the third parties who received the13Q. You refer to PricewaterhouseCoopers13financial statements in diligence or14as PwC?14transactions was going to rely on those?15A. Yes.15MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.16Q. PricewaterhouseCoopers audited16A. I don't know gen –17Highland's financial statements on an annual17I don't know specifically what they were going18basis; correct?18to rely on. You know, we would get requests19A. During my – during my time as – as19for audited financial statements. I don't know20CFO, yes, PricewaterhouseCoopers was the20what they were relying on.21Q. Do you know why Highland had its22A. You would have to ask them.23annual financial statements audited each year?23Q. Did you personally play a role in24A. Generally.24PwC's annual audit and the conduct of the			
8 Q. That is fine. 8 for purposes of diligence or transactions from 9 PricewaterhouseCoopers served as 9 time to time? 10 Highland's outside auditors prior to the 10 A. As far as I'm aware, yes. 11 petition date; correct? 11 Q. And was it your understanding as the 12 A. Yes. 12 CFO that the third parties who received the 13 Q. You refer to PricewaterhouseCoopers 13 financial statements in diligence or 14 as PwC? 14 transactions was going to rely on those? 15 A. Yes. 15 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 16 Q. PricewaterhouseCoopers audited 16 A. I don't know - I don't know gen – 17 Highland's financial statements on an annual 17 I don't know yeer going 18 basis; correct? 18 to rely on. You know, we would get requests 19 A. During my – during my time as – as 19 for audited financial statements. I don't know 20 CFO, yes, PricewaterhouseCoopers was the 20 what they were relying on. 21 Q. And – 22 Q. Do you know why Highland had its </td <td></td> <td>-</td> <td></td>		-	
9PricewaterhouseCoopers served as9time to time?10Highland's outside auditors prior to the10A. As far as I'm aware, yes.11petition date; correct?11Q. And was it your understanding as the12A. Yes.12CFO that the third parties who received the13Q. You refer to PricewaterhouseCoopers13financial statements in diligence or14as PwC?14transactions was going to rely on those?15A. Yes.15MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.16Q. PricewaterhouseCoopers audited16A. I don't know - I don't know gen -17Highland's financial statements on an annual17I don't know specifically what they were going18basis; correct?18to rely on. You know, we would get requests19A. During my - during my time as - as19for audited financial statements. I don't know20CFO, yes, PricewaterhouseCoopers was the20what they were relying on.21Q. Do you know why Highland had its22A. You would have to ask them.23annual financial statements audited each year?23Q. Did you personally play a role in24A. Generally.24PwC's annual audit and the conduct of the			
10Highland's outside auditors prior to the10A. As far as I'm aware, yes.11petition date; correct?11Q. And was it your understanding as the12A. Yes.12CFO that the third parties who received the13Q. You refer to PricewaterhouseCoopers13financial statements in diligence or14as PwC?14transactions was going to rely on those?15A. Yes.15MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.16Q. PricewaterhouseCoopers audited16A. I don't know gen –17Highland's financial statements on an annual17I don't know, we would get requests19A. During my – during my time as – as19for audited financial statements. I don't know20CFO, yes, PricewaterhouseCoopers was the20what they were relying on.21auditor.21Q. And –22Q. Do you know why Highland had its22A. You would have to ask them.23annual financial statements audited each year?23Q. Did you personally play a role in24A. Generally.24PwC's annual audit and the conduct of the			
11petition date; correct?11Q. And was it your understanding as the12A. Yes.12CFO that the third parties who received the13Q. You refer to PricewaterhouseCoopers13financial statements in diligence or14as PwC?14transactions was going to rely on those?15A. Yes.15MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.16Q. PricewaterhouseCoopers audited16A. I don't know - I don't know gen -17Highland's financial statements on an annual17I don't know specifically what they were going18basis; correct?18to rely on. You know, we would get requests19A. During my - during my time as - as19for audited financial statements. I don't know20CFO, yes, PricewaterhouseCoopers was the20what they were relying on.21auditor.21Q. And -22Q. Do you know why Highland had its22A. You would have to ask them.23annual financial statements audited each year?23Q. Did you personally play a role in24A. Generally.24PwC's annual audit and the conduct of the			
12A. Yes.12CFO that the third parties who received the13Q. You refer to PricewaterhouseCoopers13financial statements in diligence or14as PwC?14transactions was going to rely on those?15A. Yes.15MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.16Q. PricewaterhouseCoopers audited16A. I don't know -I don't know gen -17Highland's financial statements on an annual17I don't know specifically what they were going18basis; correct?18to rely on. You know, we would get requests19A. During my - during my time as - as19for audited financial statements. I don't know20CFO, yes, PricewaterhouseCoopers was the20what they were relying on.21auditor.21Q. And -22Q. Do you know why Highland had its22A. You would have to ask them.23annual financial statements audited each year?23Q. Did you personally play a role in24A. Generally.24PwC's annual audit and the conduct of the			
13Q. You refer to PricewaterhouseCoopers13financial statements in diligence or14as PwC?14transactions was going to rely on those?15A. Yes.15MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.16Q. PricewaterhouseCoopers audited16A. I don't know – I don't know gen –17Highland's financial statements on an annual17I don't know specifically what they were going18basis; correct?18to rely on. You know, we would get requests19A. During my – during my time as – as19for audited financial statements. I don't know20CFO, yes, PricewaterhouseCoopers was the20what they were relying on.21auditor.21Q. And –22Q. Do you know why Highland had its22A. You would have to ask them.23annual financial statements audited each year?23Q. Did you personally play a role in24A. Generally.24PwC's annual audit and the conduct of the			
14 as PwC?14 transactions was going to rely on those?15A. Yes.15MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.16Q. PricewaterhouseCoopers audited16A. I don't know – I don't know gen –17Highland's financial statements on an annual17I don't know specifically what they were going18basis; correct?18to rely on. You know, we would get requests19A. During my – during my time as – as19for audited financial statements. I don't know20CFO, yes, PricewaterhouseCoopers was the20what they were relying on.21auditor.21Q. And –22Q. Do you know why Highland had its22A. You would have to ask them.23annual financial statements audited each year?23Q. Did you personally play a role in24A. Generally.24PwC's annual audit and the conduct of the			
15A. Yes.15MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.16Q. PricewaterhouseCoopers audited16A. I don't know - I don't know gen -17Highland's financial statements on an annual17I don't know specifically what they were going18basis; correct?18to rely on. You know, we would get requests19A. During my - during my time as - as19for audited financial statements. I don't know20CFO, yes, PricewaterhouseCoopers was the20what they were relying on.21auditor.21Q. And -22Q. Do you know why Highland had its22A. You would have to ask them.23annual financial statements audited each year?23Q. Did you personally play a role in24A. Generally.24PwC's annual audit and the conduct of the			
16Q. PricewaterhouseCoopers audited16A. I don't know – I don't know gen –17Highland's financial statements on an annual17I don't know specifically what they were going18basis; correct?18to rely on. You know, we would get requests19A. During my – during my time as – as19for audited financial statements. I don't know20CFO, yes, PricewaterhouseCoopers was the20what they were relying on.21auditor.21Q. And –22Q. Do you know why Highland had its22A. You would have to ask them.23annual financial statements audited each year?23Q. Did you personally play a role in24A. Generally.24PwC's annual audit and the conduct of the			
 Highland's financial statements on an annual Highland's financial statements on an annual basis; correct? A. During my – during my time as – as CFO, yes, PricewaterhouseCoopers was the auditor. Q. Do you know why Highland had its annual financial statements audited each year? A. Generally. 			
18basis; correct?18to rely on. You know, we would get requests19A. During my – during my time as – as19for audited financial statements. I don't know20CFO, yes, PricewaterhouseCoopers was the20what they were relying on.21auditor.21Q. And –22Q. Do you know why Highland had its22A. You would have to ask them.23annual financial statements audited each year?23Q. Did you personally play a role in24A. Generally.24PwC's annual audit and the conduct of the	· ·		
19A. During my – during my time as – as19for audited financial statements. I don't know20CFO, yes, PricewaterhouseCoopers was the20what they were relying on.21auditor.21Q. And –22Q. Do you know why Highland had its22A. You would have to ask them.23annual financial statements audited each year?23Q. Did you personally play a role in24A. Generally.24PwC's annual audit and the conduct of the	-		
20CFO, yes, PricewaterhouseCoopers was the20what they were relying on.21auditor.21Q. And22Q. Do you know why Highland had its22A. You would have to ask them.23annual financial statements audited each year?23Q. Did you personally play a role in24A. Generally.24PwC's annual audit and the conduct of the			
21 auditor.21 Q. And -22 Q. Do you know why Highland had its22 A. You would have to ask them.23 annual financial statements audited each year?23 Q. Did you personally play a role in24 A. Generally.24 PwC's annual audit and the conduct of the			
22Q.Do you know why Highland had its22A.You would have to ask them.23annual financial statements audited each year?23Q.Did you personally play a role in24A.Generally.24PwC's annual audit and the conduct of the			
23 annual financial statements audited each year?23Q. Did you personally play a role in24A. Generally.24PwC's annual audit and the conduct of the			
24 A. Generally. 24 PwC's annual audit and the conduct of the			
	-		
25 Q. Tell me your general understanding 25 audit?			
	25 Q. Tell me your general understanding	25 audit?	

	Page 86	1		Daga 97
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 87
2	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	2	was a there was a point it varies. It	
3	A. During my tenure as CFO, I played a	3	varies by year, in function, in time and, you	
4	very minimal role.	4	know, depending on the request, but yes, I	
5	Q. What was the minimal role that you	5	mean, there is – there is – there is	
6	played?	6	generally a point person of communication.	
7	A. You know, again, it was – it was to	7	Q. And who was the point person from	
8	check in with the team, to make sure that, you	8	2016 until the time you left Highland?	
9	know, audit – the deadlines were being hit,	9	A. I don't – I don't know	
10	information was being presented to the auditors	10	specifically, but it would have been, you	
11	in a – in a timely fashion, but, you know,	11	know – you know, someone on the corporate	
12	other than that, it was a very capable team	12	accounting team.	
13	that are still current employees of Highland	13	Q. And was there a head of the	
14	and, you know, they – they conducted 99	14	corporate accounting team?	
15	percent of look, I don't want to give	15	A. Yes, so – yes.	
16	percentages. I mean, this is but I I	16	Q. Who was the head of corporate	
17	I played a minimal role towards the end.	17	accounting for the five years prior to the time	
18	Before during my earlier years as	18	you left Highland?	
19	CFO, I did more, and then as time went on, I	19	A. I don't – if you're asking from	
20	did less in it.	20	2016 on, I don't – it was Dave Klos, but,	
21	Q. Okay. Was there a person at	21	again, there was there was changes to the	
22	Highland who was responsible for overseeing	22	team and the reporting structure. I don't	
23	Highland's participation in PwC's audit during	23	remember exactly when that happened during	
24	the time that you were the CFO?	24	you know, over the last since 2016.	
25	A. Yeah. I mean, there was – there	25	Q. Did the folks who participated and	
	Page 88			Page 89
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	
2	ran the audit all report to you, directly or			
		2	If you – if you talk to my team members, I'm	
3	indirectly?	2 3	not micromanaging people. I want people to	
3	indirectly? A. Yes.		not micromanaging people. I want people to learn and grow in their function so they can go	
	indirectly? A. Yes. Q. And did you have any responsibility	3 4 5	not micromanaging people. I want people to	
4	indirectly? A. Yes. Q. And did you have any responsibility for making sure that the audit report was	3 4 5 6	not micromanaging people. I want people to learn and grow in their function so they can go on and do bigger and better things with their careers.	
4 5 6 7	indirectly? A. Yes. Q. And did you have any responsibility for making sure that the audit report was accurate before it was finalized?	3 4 5 6 7	not micromanaging people. I want people to learn and grow in their function so they can go on and do bigger and better things with their careers. And so, yes, generally I was	
4 5 6 7 8	indirectly? A. Yes. Q. And did you have any responsibility for making sure that the audit report was accurate before it was finalized? A. Yeah. I mean, you know, that –	3 4 5 6 7 8	not micromanaging people. I want people to learn and grow in their function so they can go on and do bigger and better things with their careers. And so, yes, generally I was responsible for it, but I wanted the team to	
4 5 6 7 8 9	indirectly? A. Yes. Q. And did you have any responsibility for making sure that the audit report was accurate before it was finalized? A. Yeah. I mean, you know, that – that is – my responsibility to the auditors	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	not micromanaging people. I want people to learn and grow in their function so they can go on and do bigger and better things with their careers. And so, yes, generally I was responsible for it, but I wanted the team to learn and grow and be responsible for the bulk	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 indirectly? A. Yes. Q. And did you have any responsibility for making sure that the audit report was accurate before it was finalized? A. Yeah. I mean, you know, that – that is – my responsibility to the auditors was – again, is – and the CFO is to – we are 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	not micromanaging people. I want people to learn and grow in their function so they can go on and do bigger and better things with their careers. And so, yes, generally I was responsible for it, but I wanted the team to learn and grow and be responsible for the bulk of the audit.	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 indirectly? A. Yes. Q. And did you have any responsibility for making sure that the audit report was accurate before it was finalized? A. Yeah. I mean, you know, that – that is – my responsibility to the auditors was – again, is – and the CFO is to – we are providing accurate financial statements; right? 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	not micromanaging people. I want people to learn and grow in their function so they can go on and do bigger and better things with their careers. And so, yes, generally I was responsible for it, but I wanted the team to learn and grow and be responsible for the bulk of the audit. Q. Did you personally review each audit	
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	 indirectly? A. Yes. Q. And did you have any responsibility for making sure that the audit report was accurate before it was finalized? A. Yeah. I mean, you know, that – that is – my responsibility to the auditors was – again, is – and the CFO is to – we are providing accurate financial statements; right? And – and – and as part of any 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	not micromanaging people. I want people to learn and grow in their function so they can go on and do bigger and better things with their careers. And so, yes, generally I was responsible for it, but I wanted the team to learn and grow and be responsible for the bulk of the audit. Q. Did you personally review each audit report before it was finalized to satisfy	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 indirectly? A. Yes. Q. And did you have any responsibility for making sure that the audit report was accurate before it was finalized? A. Yeah. I mean, you know, that – that is – my responsibility to the auditors was – again, is – and the CFO is to – we are providing accurate financial statements; right? And – and – and as part of any audit, we disclose all relevant information as 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	not micromanaging people. I want people to learn and grow in their function so they can go on and do bigger and better things with their careers. And so, yes, generally I was responsible for it, but I wanted the team to learn and grow and be responsible for the bulk of the audit. Q. Did you personally review each audit report before it was finalized to satisfy yourself that it was accurate?	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 indirectly? A. Yes. Q. And did you have any responsibility for making sure that the audit report was accurate before it was finalized? A. Yeah. I mean, you know, that – that is – my responsibility to the auditors was – again, is – and the CFO is to – we are providing accurate financial statements; right? And – and – and as part of any audit, we disclose all relevant information as part of any audit. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	not micromanaging people. I want people to learn and grow in their function so they can go on and do bigger and better things with their careers. And so, yes, generally I was responsible for it, but I wanted the team to learn and grow and be responsible for the bulk of the audit. Q. Did you personally review each audit report before it was finalized to satisfy yourself that it was accurate? A. I don't – I don't recall, you know,	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 indirectly? A. Yes. Q. And did you have any responsibility for making sure that the audit report was accurate before it was finalized? A. Yeah. I mean, you know, that – that is – my responsibility to the auditors was – again, is – and the CFO is to – we are providing accurate financial statements; right? And – and – and as part of any audit, we disclose all relevant information as part of any audit. Q. Okay. And as the CFO, did you take 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	not micromanaging people. I want people to learn and grow in their function so they can go on and do bigger and better things with their careers. And so, yes, generally I was responsible for it, but I wanted the team to learn and grow and be responsible for the bulk of the audit. Q. Did you personally review each audit report before it was finalized to satisfy yourself that it was accurate? A. I don't – I don't recall, you know, for every single – we're talking 2016, there	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 indirectly? A. Yes. Q. And did you have any responsibility for making sure that the audit report was accurate before it was finalized? A. Yeah. I mean, you know, that – that is – my responsibility to the auditors was – again, is – and the CFO is to – we are providing accurate financial statements; right? And – and – and as part of any audit, we disclose all relevant information as part of any audit. Q. Okay. And as the CFO, did you take steps to make sure that the audit report was 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	not micromanaging people. I want people to learn and grow in their function so they can go on and do bigger and better things with their careers. And so, yes, generally I was responsible for it, but I wanted the team to learn and grow and be responsible for the bulk of the audit. Q. Did you personally review each audit report before it was finalized to satisfy yourself that it was accurate? A. I don't – I don't recall, you know, for every single – we're talking 2016, there would have been three years, 2016 to '17, '18.	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 indirectly? A. Yes. Q. And did you have any responsibility for making sure that the audit report was accurate before it was finalized? A. Yeah. I mean, you know, that – that is – my responsibility to the auditors was – again, is – and the CFO is to – we are providing accurate financial statements; right? And – and – and as part of any audit, we disclose all relevant information as part of any audit. Q. Okay. And as the CFO, did you take steps to make sure that the audit report was accurate? 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	not micromanaging people. I want people to learn and grow in their function so they can go on and do bigger and better things with their careers. And so, yes, generally I was responsible for it, but I wanted the team to learn and grow and be responsible for the bulk of the audit. Q. Did you personally review each audit report before it was finalized to satisfy yourself that it was accurate? A. I don't – I don't recall, you know, for every single – we're talking 2016, there would have been three years, 2016 to '17, '18. I don't – we're – we're going back	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 indirectly? A. Yes. Q. And did you have any responsibility for making sure that the audit report was accurate before it was finalized? A. Yeah. I mean, you know, that – that is – my responsibility to the auditors was – again, is – and the CFO is to – we are providing accurate financial statements; right? And – and – and as part of any audit, we disclose all relevant information as part of any audit. Q. Okay. And as the CFO, did you take steps to make sure that the audit report was accurate? A. I mean, I would say in a general 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	not micromanaging people. I want people to learn and grow in their function so they can go on and do bigger and better things with their careers. And so, yes, generally I was responsible for it, but I wanted the team to learn and grow and be responsible for the bulk of the audit. Q. Did you personally review each audit report before it was finalized to satisfy yourself that it was accurate? A. I don't – I don't recall, you know, for every single – we're talking 2016, there would have been three years, 2016 to '17, '18. I don't – we're – we're going back five years-plus. I don't – you know, I don't	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 indirectly? A. Yes. Q. And did you have any responsibility for making sure that the audit report was accurate before it was finalized? A. Yeah. I mean, you know, that – that is – my responsibility to the auditors was – again, is – and the CFO is to – we are providing accurate financial statements; right? And – and – and as part of any audit, we disclose all relevant information as part of any audit. Q. Okay. And as the CFO, did you take steps to make sure that the audit report was accurate? A. I mean, I would say in a general sense, yes. But, again, I mean, I had a 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	not micromanaging people. I want people to learn and grow in their function so they can go on and do bigger and better things with their careers. And so, yes, generally I was responsible for it, but I wanted the team to learn and grow and be responsible for the bulk of the audit. Q. Did you personally review each audit report before it was finalized to satisfy yourself that it was accurate? A. I don't – I don't recall, you know, for every single – we're talking 2016, there would have been three years, 2016 to '17, '18. I don't – we're – we're going back five years-plus. I don't – you know, I don't recall.	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 indirectly? A. Yes. Q. And did you have any responsibility for making sure that the audit report was accurate before it was finalized? A. Yeah. I mean, you know, that – that is – my responsibility to the auditors was – again, is – and the CFO is to – we are providing accurate financial statements; right? And – and – and as part of any audit, we disclose all relevant information as part of any audit. Q. Okay. And as the CFO, did you take steps to make sure that the audit report was accurate? A. I mean, I would say in a general sense, yes. But, again, I mean, I had a very – I had a very capable and competent 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	not micromanaging people. I want people to learn and grow in their function so they can go on and do bigger and better things with their careers. And so, yes, generally I was responsible for it, but I wanted the team to learn and grow and be responsible for the bulk of the audit. Q. Did you personally review each audit report before it was finalized to satisfy yourself that it was accurate? A. I don't – I don't recall, you know, for every single – we're talking 2016, there would have been three years, 2016 to '17, '18. I don't – we're – we're going back five years-plus. I don't – you know, I don't recall. Q. Did you have a practice that you	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 indirectly? A. Yes. Q. And did you have any responsibility for making sure that the audit report was accurate before it was finalized? A. Yeah. I mean, you know, that – that is – my responsibility to the auditors was – again, is – and the CFO is to – we are providing accurate financial statements; right? And – and – and as part of any audit, we disclose all relevant information as part of any audit. Q. Okay. And as the CFO, did you take steps to make sure that the audit report was accurate? A. I mean, I would say in a general sense, yes. But, again, I mean, I had a very – I had a very capable and competent team. I wasn't managing them. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	not micromanaging people. I want people to learn and grow in their function so they can go on and do bigger and better things with their careers. And so, yes, generally I was responsible for it, but I wanted the team to learn and grow and be responsible for the bulk of the audit. Q. Did you personally review each audit report before it was finalized to satisfy yourself that it was accurate? A. I don't – I don't recall, you know, for every single – we're talking 2016, there would have been three years, 2016 to '17, '18. I don't – we're – we're going back five years-plus. I don't – you know, I don't recall. Q. Did you have a practice that you employed to make sure that you were satisfied	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 indirectly? A. Yes. Q. And did you have any responsibility for making sure that the audit report was accurate before it was finalized? A. Yeah. I mean, you know, that – that is – my responsibility to the auditors was – again, is – and the CFO is to – we are providing accurate financial statements; right? And – and – and as part of any audit, we disclose all relevant information as part of any audit. Q. Okay. And as the CFO, did you take steps to make sure that the audit report was accurate? A. I mean, I would say in a general sense, yes. But, again, I mean, I had a very – I had a very capable and competent team. I wasn't managing them. You know, part of what I do is I let 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	not micromanaging people. I want people to learn and grow in their function so they can go on and do bigger and better things with their careers. And so, yes, generally I was responsible for it, but I wanted the team to learn and grow and be responsible for the bulk of the audit. Q. Did you personally review each audit report before it was finalized to satisfy yourself that it was accurate? A. I don't – I don't recall, you know, for every single – we're talking 2016, there would have been three years, 2016 to '17, '18. I don't – we're – we're going back five years-plus. I don't – you know, I don't recall. Q. Did you have a practice that you employed to make sure that you were satisfied that Highland's audit reports were true and	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 indirectly? A. Yes. Q. And did you have any responsibility for making sure that the audit report was accurate before it was finalized? A. Yeah. I mean, you know, that – that is – my responsibility to the auditors was – again, is – and the CFO is to – we are providing accurate financial statements; right? And – and – and as part of any audit, we disclose all relevant information as part of any audit. Q. Okay. And as the CFO, did you take steps to make sure that the audit report was accurate? A. I mean, I would say in a general sense, yes. But, again, I mean, I had a very – I had a very capable and competent team. I wasn't managing them. You know, part of what I do is I let the team – I want managers to grow. I want 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	not micromanaging people. I want people to learn and grow in their function so they can go on and do bigger and better things with their careers. And so, yes, generally I was responsible for it, but I wanted the team to learn and grow and be responsible for the bulk of the audit. Q. Did you personally review each audit report before it was finalized to satisfy yourself that it was accurate? A. I don't – I don't recall, you know, for every single – we're talking 2016, there would have been three years, 2016 to '17, '18. I don't – we're – we're going back five years-plus. I don't – you know, I don't recall. Q. Did you have a practice that you employed to make sure that you were satisfied that Highland's audit reports were true and accurate to the best of your knowledge?	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 indirectly? A. Yes. Q. And did you have any responsibility for making sure that the audit report was accurate before it was finalized? A. Yeah. I mean, you know, that – that is – my responsibility to the auditors was – again, is – and the CFO is to – we are providing accurate financial statements; right? And – and – and as part of any audit, we disclose all relevant information as part of any audit. Q. Okay. And as the CFO, did you take steps to make sure that the audit report was accurate? A. I mean, I would say in a general sense, yes. But, again, I mean, I had a very – I had a very capable and competent team. I wasn't managing them. You know, part of what I do is I let the team – I want managers to grow. I want 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	not micromanaging people. I want people to learn and grow in their function so they can go on and do bigger and better things with their careers. And so, yes, generally I was responsible for it, but I wanted the team to learn and grow and be responsible for the bulk of the audit. Q. Did you personally review each audit report before it was finalized to satisfy yourself that it was accurate? A. I don't – I don't recall, you know, for every single – we're talking 2016, there would have been three years, 2016 to '17, '18. I don't – we're – we're going back five years-plus. I don't – you know, I don't recall. Q. Did you have a practice that you employed to make sure that you were satisfied that Highland's audit reports were true and accurate to the best of your knowledge? A. I mean, our – the practice was set	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 indirectly? A. Yes. Q. And did you have any responsibility for making sure that the audit report was accurate before it was finalized? A. Yeah. I mean, you know, that – that is – my responsibility to the auditors was – again, is – and the CFO is to – we are providing accurate financial statements; right? And – and – and as part of any audit, we disclose all relevant information as part of any audit. Q. Okay. And as the CFO, did you take steps to make sure that the audit report was accurate? A. I mean, I would say in a general sense, yes. But, again, I mean, I had a very – I had a very capable and competent team. I wasn't managing them. You know, part of what I do is I let the team – I want managers to grow. I want 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	not micromanaging people. I want people to learn and grow in their function so they can go on and do bigger and better things with their careers. And so, yes, generally I was responsible for it, but I wanted the team to learn and grow and be responsible for the bulk of the audit. Q. Did you personally review each audit report before it was finalized to satisfy yourself that it was accurate? A. I don't – I don't recall, you know, for every single – we're talking 2016, there would have been three years, 2016 to '17, '18. I don't – we're – we're going back five years-plus. I don't – you know, I don't recall. Q. Did you have a practice that you employed to make sure that you were satisfied that Highland's audit reports were true and accurate to the best of your knowledge?	

Casse 211-0330005-sogj Door 1498-3 Frided 012/05/221 Entered 012/05/221 184 1437 4432 Praye 598 of 1899

Page 90		Page 91
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Fage 91
2 together accurate audited or accurate financial	2 reporting and disclosures of loans to	
3 statements is to your control environment.	3 affiliates and Mr. Dondero?	
4 So, you know, the – so the practice	4 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	
5 was to maintain a stable control environment	5 A. I don't – I don't recall –	
6 which then the output is – is accurate	6 Q. So you don't recall	
7 financial statements.	7 A. – the –	
8 So – so, you know, if I was	8 MS. DANDENEAU: Mr. Morris -	
9 comfortable that the control environment was	9 A. I don't recall being uncomfortable.	
10 operating, then, you know, that would dictate	10 But, again, we're going back several years. I	
11 how I would – you know, what I might or might	11 don't – you know, the practice in an audit is	
12 not do in a given year.	12 to disclose all information to the auditors.	
13 Q. Okay. Do you recall ever being	13 And I don't – I don't recall.	
14 uncomfortable with the control environment	14 Q. As part of the process of the audit,	
15 during the period that you served as CFO?	15 did you sign what is sometimes referred to as a	
16 A. Yeah. I mean, look, yes, there are	16 management representation letter?	
17 times – you know, nothing is perfect. So	17 A. Yes.	
18 there were – there were times when, yes, you	18 MR. MORRIS: Can we put up on the	
19 know – there are times I learned I was	19 screen a document that we have premarked as	
20 uncomfortable with the control environment, and	20 Exhibit 33.	
21 that is part of the management of the process	21 (Exhibit 33 marked.)	
	22 MS. DANDENEAU: Mr. Morris, that is	
and having, you know – and – and workingthrough whatever obstacles present themselves.	22 INS. DANDENEAU. INIT. MORIS, tracis23 not in the binder; correct?	
	24 MR. MORRIS: Correct.	
25 with the control process as it related to	25 Q. So you will see, Mr. Waterhouse,	
Page 92		Page 93
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 93
1WATERHOUSE - 10-19-212this is a letter dated June 3rd. And if we	2 materiality?	Page 93
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 this is a letter dated June 3rd. And if we could go to the signature page. 	2 materiality? 3 A. Yes.	Page 93
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 this is a letter dated June 3rd. And if we could go to the signature page. And do you see that you and 	 materiality? A. Yes. Q. And for purposes of the audit, did 	Page 93
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 this is a letter dated June 3rd. And if we could go to the signature page. And do you see that you and Mr. Dondero signed this document? 	 2 materiality? 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. And for purposes of the audit, did 5 PwC set the level of materiality each year? 	Page 93
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 this is a letter dated June 3rd. And if we could go to the signature page. And do you see that you and Mr. Dondero signed this document? A. Yes. 	 2 materiality? 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. And for purposes of the audit, did 5 PwC set the level of materiality each year? 6 A. Yes. 	Page 93
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 this is a letter dated June 3rd. And if we could go to the signature page. And do you see that you and Mr. Dondero signed this document? A. Yes. Q. That is your signature; right? 	 2 materiality? 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. And for purposes of the audit, did 5 PwC set the level of materiality each year? 6 A. Yes. 7 Q. Did that number change over time? 	Page 93
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 this is a letter dated June 3rd. And if we could go to the signature page. And do you see that you and Mr. Dondero signed this document? A. Yes. Q. That is your signature; right? A. Yes. 	 2 materiality? 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. And for purposes of the audit, did 5 PwC set the level of materiality each year? 6 A. Yes. 7 Q. Did that number change over time? 8 A. I'm not aware of what materiality is 	Page 93
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 this is a letter dated June 3rd. And if we could go to the signature page. And do you see that you and Mr. Dondero signed this document? A. Yes. Q. That is your signature; right? A. Yes. MR. MORRIS: Okay. Can you go back 	 2 materiality? 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. And for purposes of the audit, did 5 PwC set the level of materiality each year? 6 A. Yes. 7 Q. Did that number change over time? 8 A. I'm not aware of what materiality is 9 every single year, so – but, you know, this 	Page 93
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 this is a letter dated June 3rd. And if we could go to the signature page. And do you see that you and Mr. Dondero signed this document? A. Yes. Q. That is your signature; right? A. Yes. MR. MORRIS: Okay. Can you go back to the top. 	 2 materiality? 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. And for purposes of the audit, did 5 PwC set the level of materiality each year? 6 A. Yes. 7 Q. Did that number change over time? 8 A. I'm not aware of what materiality is 9 every single year, so – but, you know, this 10 number would likely fluctuate. 	Page 93
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 this is a letter dated June 3rd. And if we could go to the signature page. And do you see that you and Mr. Dondero signed this document? A. Yes. Q. That is your signature; right? A. Yes. MR. MORRIS: Okay. Can you go back to the top. MS. DANDENEAU: Mr. Morris, can you 	 2 materiality? 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. And for purposes of the audit, did 5 PwC set the level of materiality each year? 6 A. Yes. 7 Q. Did that number change over time? 8 A. I'm not aware of what materiality is 9 every single year, so – but, you know, this 10 number would likely fluctuate. 11 Q. Okay. I'm going to go back to a 	Page 93
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 this is a letter dated June 3rd. And if we could go to the signature page. And do you see that you and Mr. Dondero signed this document? A. Yes. Q. That is your signature; right? A. Yes. MR. MORRIS: Okay. Can you go back to the top. MS. DANDENEAU: Mr. Morris, can you have somebody post this in the chat so that 	 2 materiality? 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. And for purposes of the audit, did 5 PwC set the level of materiality each year? 6 A. Yes. 7 Q. Did that number change over time? 8 A. I'm not aware of what materiality is 9 every single year, so - but, you know, this 10 number would likely fluctuate. 11 Q. Okay. I'm going to go back to a 12 question I asked you earlier today. And that 	Page 93
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 this is a letter dated June 3rd. And if we could go to the signature page. And do you see that you and Mr. Dondero signed this document? A. Yes. Q. That is your signature; right? A. Yes. MR. MORRIS: Okay. Can you go back to the top. MS. DANDENEAU: Mr. Morris, can you have somebody post this in the chat so that we have can have a copy of this, please. 	 2 materiality? 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. And for purposes of the audit, did 5 PwC set the level of materiality each year? 6 A. Yes. 7 Q. Did that number change over time? 8 A. I'm not aware of what materiality is 9 every single year, so – but, you know, this 10 number would likely fluctuate. 11 Q. Okay. I'm going to go back to a 12 question I asked you earlier today. And that 13 is in connection – this letter is issued in 	Page 93
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 this is a letter dated June 3rd. And if we could go to the signature page. And do you see that you and Mr. Dondero signed this document? A. Yes. Q. That is your signature; right? A. Yes. MR. MORRIS: Okay. Can you go back to the top. MS. DANDENEAU: Mr. Morris, can you have somebody post this in the chat so that we have can have a copy of this, please. MR. MORRIS: Yeah, sure. Asia, can 	 2 materiality? 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. And for purposes of the audit, did 5 PwC set the level of materiality each year? 6 A. Yes. 7 Q. Did that number change over time? 8 A. I'm not aware of what materiality is 9 every single year, so – but, you know, this 10 number would likely fluctuate. 11 Q. Okay. I'm going to go back to a 12 question I asked you earlier today. And that 13 is in connection – this letter is issued in 14 connection with the audit for the period ending 	Page 93
1WATERHOUSE - 10-19-212this is a letter dated June 3rd. And if we3could go to the signature page.4And do you see that you and5Mr. Dondero signed this document?6A. Yes.7Q. That is your signature; right?8A. Yes.9MR. MORRIS: Okay. Can you go back10to the top.11MS. DANDENEAU: Mr. Morris, can you12have somebody post this in the chat so that13we have can have a copy of this, please.14MR. MORRIS: Yeah, sure. Asia, can15you do that, please.	 materiality? A. Yes. Q. And for purposes of the audit, did PwC set the level of materiality each year? A. Yes. Q. Did that number change over time? A. I'm not aware of what materiality is every single year, so – but, you know, this number would likely fluctuate. Q. Okay. I'm going to go back to a question I asked you earlier today. And that is in connection – this letter is issued in connection with the audit for the period ending 12/31/2018; correct? 	Page 93
1WATERHOUSE - 10-19-212this is a letter dated June 3rd. And if we3could go to the signature page.4And do you see that you and5Mr. Dondero signed this document?6A. Yes.7Q. That is your signature; right?8A. Yes.9MR. MORRIS: Okay. Can you go back10to the top.11MS. DANDENEAU: Mr. Morris, can you12have somebody post this in the chat so that13we have can have a copy of this, please.14MR. MORRIS: Yeah, sure. Asia, can15you do that, please.16Q. Okay. Do you see at the bottom of	 2 materiality? 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. And for purposes of the audit, did 5 PwC set the level of materiality each year? 6 A. Yes. 7 Q. Did that number change over time? 8 A. I'm not aware of what materiality is 9 every single year, so – but, you know, this 10 number would likely fluctuate. 11 Q. Okay. I'm going to go back to a 12 question I asked you earlier today. And that 13 is in connection – this letter is issued in 14 connection with the audit for the period ending 15 12/31/2018; correct? 16 A. Yes. 	Page 93
1WATERHOUSE - 10-19-212this is a letter dated June 3rd. And if we3could go to the signature page.4And do you see that you and5Mr. Dondero signed this document?6A. Yes.7Q. That is your signature; right?8A. Yes.9MR. MORRIS: Okay. Can you go back10to the top.11MS. DANDENEAU: Mr. Morris, can you12have somebody post this in the chat so that13we have can have a copy of this, please.14MR. MORRIS: Yeah, sure. Asia, can15you do that, please.16Q. Okay. Do you see at the bottom of17the second paragraph there is a reference to	 2 materiality? 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. And for purposes of the audit, did 5 PwC set the level of materiality each year? 6 A. Yes. 7 Q. Did that number change over time? 8 A. I'm not aware of what materiality is 9 every single year, so – but, you know, this 10 number would likely fluctuate. 11 Q. Okay. I'm going to go back to a 12 question I asked you earlier today. And that 13 is in connection – this letter is issued in 14 connection with the audit for the period ending 15 12/31/2018; correct? 16 A. Yes. 17 Q. Okay. And is it fair to say that if 	Page 93
1WATERHOUSE - 10-19-212this is a letter dated June 3rd. And if we3could go to the signature page.4And do you see that you and5Mr. Dondero signed this document?6A. Yes.7Q. That is your signature; right?8A. Yes.9MR. MORRIS: Okay. Can you go back10to the top.11MS. DANDENEAU: Mr. Morris, can you12have somebody post this in the chat so that13we have can have a copy of this, please.14MR. MORRIS: Yeah, sure. Asia, can15you do that, please.16Q. Okay. Do you see at the bottom of	 2 materiality? 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. And for purposes of the audit, did 5 PwC set the level of materiality each year? 6 A. Yes. 7 Q. Did that number change over time? 8 A. I'm not aware of what materiality is 9 every single year, so – but, you know, this 10 number would likely fluctuate. 11 Q. Okay. I'm going to go back to a 12 question I asked you earlier today. And that 13 is in connection – this letter is issued in 14 connection with the audit for the period ending 15 12/31/2018; correct? 16 A. Yes. 	Page 93
1WATERHOUSE - 10-19-212this is a letter dated June 3rd. And if we3could go to the signature page.4And do you see that you and5Mr. Dondero signed this document?6A. Yes.7Q. That is your signature; right?8A. Yes.9MR. MORRIS: Okay. Can you go back10to the top.11MS. DANDENEAU: Mr. Morris, can you12have somebody post this in the chat so that13we have can have a copy of this, please.14MR. MORRIS: Yeah, sure. Asia, can15you do that, please.16Q. Okay. Do you see at the bottom of17the second paragraph there is a reference to	 materiality? A. Yes. Q. And for purposes of the audit, did PwC set the level of materiality each year? A. Yes. Q. Did that number change over time? A. I'm not aware of what materiality is every single year, so – but, you know, this number would likely fluctuate. Q. Okay. I'm going to go back to a question I asked you earlier today. And that is in connection – this letter is issued in connection with the audit for the period ending 12/31/2018; correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And is it fair to say that if any – actually, withdrawn. I'm going to take it outside of this. 	Page 93
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 this is a letter dated June 3rd. And if we could go to the signature page. And do you see that you and Mr. Dondero signed this document? A. Yes. Q. That is your signature; right? A. Yes. MR. MORRIS: Okay. Can you go back to the top. MS. DANDENEAU: Mr. Morris, can you have somebody post this in the chat so that we have can have a copy of this, please. MR. MORRIS: Yeah, sure. Asia, can you do that, please. Q. Okay. Do you see at the bottom of the second paragraph there is a reference to materiality? 	 materiality? A. Yes. Q. And for purposes of the audit, did PwC set the level of materiality each year? A. Yes. Q. Did that number change over time? A. I'm not aware of what materiality is every single year, so – but, you know, this number would likely fluctuate. Q. Okay. I'm going to go back to a question I asked you earlier today. And that is in connection – this letter is issued in connection with the audit for the period ending 12/31/2018; correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And is it fair to say that if any – actually, withdrawn. I'm going to take 	Page 93
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 this is a letter dated June 3rd. And if we could go to the signature page. And do you see that you and Mr. Dondero signed this document? A. Yes. Q. That is your signature; right? A. Yes. MR. MORRIS: Okay. Can you go back to the top. MS. DANDENEAU: Mr. Morris, can you have somebody post this in the chat so that we have can have a copy of this, please. MR. MORRIS: Yeah, sure. Asia, can you do that, please. Q. Okay. Do you see at the bottom of the second paragraph there is a reference to materiality? A. Yes. 	 materiality? A. Yes. Q. And for purposes of the audit, did PwC set the level of materiality each year? A. Yes. Q. Did that number change over time? A. I'm not aware of what materiality is every single year, so – but, you know, this number would likely fluctuate. Q. Okay. I'm going to go back to a question I asked you earlier today. And that is in connection – this letter is issued in connection with the audit for the period ending 12/31/2018; correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And is it fair to say that if any – actually, withdrawn. I'm going to take it outside of this. 	Page 93
1WATERHOUSE - 10-19-212this is a letter dated June 3rd. And if we3could go to the signature page.4And do you see that you and5Mr. Dondero signed this document?6A. Yes.7Q. That is your signature; right?8A. Yes.9MR. MORRIS: Okay. Can you go back10to the top.11MS. DANDENEAU: Mr. Morris, can you12have somebody post this in the chat so that13we have can have a copy of this, please.14MR. MORRIS: Yeah, sure. Asia, can15you do that, please.16Q. Okay. Do you see at the bottom of17the second paragraph there is a reference to18materiality?19A. Yes.20Q. Okay. It says, Materiality used for	 materiality? A. Yes. Q. And for purposes of the audit, did PwC set the level of materiality each year? A. Yes. Q. Did that number change over time? A. I'm not aware of what materiality is every single year, so – but, you know, this number would likely fluctuate. Q. Okay. I'm going to go back to a question I asked you earlier today. And that is in connection – this letter is issued in connection with the audit for the period ending 12/31/2018; correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And is it fair to say that if any – actually, withdrawn. I'm going to take it outside of this. If Highland ever forgave the loan to 	Page 93
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 this is a letter dated June 3rd. And if we could go to the signature page. And do you see that you and Mr. Dondero signed this document? A. Yes. Q. That is your signature; right? A. Yes. MR. MORRIS: Okay. Can you go back to the top. MS. DANDENEAU: Mr. Morris, can you have somebody post this in the chat so that we have can have a copy of this, please. MR. MORRIS: Yeah, sure. Asia, can you do that, please. Q. Okay. Do you see at the bottom of the second paragraph there is a reference to materiality? A. Yes. Q. Okay. It says, Materiality used for purposes of these representations is 	 2 materiality? 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. And for purposes of the audit, did 5 PwC set the level of materiality each year? 6 A. Yes. 7 Q. Did that number change over time? 8 A. I'm not aware of what materiality is 9 every single year, so – but, you know, this 10 number would likely fluctuate. 11 Q. Okay. I'm going to go back to a 12 question I asked you earlier today. And that 13 is in connection – this letter is issued in 14 connection with the audit for the period ending 15 12/31/2018; correct? 16 A. Yes. 17 Q. Okay. And is it fair to say that if 18 any – actually, withdrawn. I'm going to take 19 it outside of this. 20 If Highland ever forgave the loan to 21 any affiliate or any of its officers or 	Page 93
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 this is a letter dated June 3rd. And if we 3 could go to the signature page. 4 And do you see that you and 5 Mr. Dondero signed this document? 6 A. Yes. 7 Q. That is your signature; right? 8 A. Yes. 9 MR. MORRIS: Okay. Can you go back 10 to the top. 11 MS. DANDENEAU: Mr. Morris, can you 12 have somebody post this in the chat so that 13 we have can have a copy of this, please. 14 MR. MORRIS: Yeah, sure. Asia, can 15 you do that, please. 16 Q. Okay. Do you see at the bottom of 17 the second paragraph there is a reference to 18 materiality? 19 A. Yes. 20 Q. Okay. It says, Materiality used for 21 purposes of these representations is 22 \$1.7 million.	 materiality? A. Yes. Q. And for purposes of the audit, did PwC set the level of materiality each year? A. Yes. Q. Did that number change over time? A. I'm not aware of what materiality is every single year, so – but, you know, this number would likely fluctuate. Q. Okay. I'm going to go back to a question I asked you earlier today. And that is in connection – this letter is issued in connection with the audit for the period ending 12/31/2018; correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And is it fair to say that if any – actually, withdrawn. I'm going to take it outside of this. If Highland ever forgave the loan to any affiliate or any of its officers or employees, in whole or in part, to the best of 	Page 93
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 this is a letter dated June 3rd. And if we could go to the signature page. And do you see that you and Mr. Dondero signed this document? A. Yes. Q. That is your signature; right? A. Yes. MR. MORRIS: Okay. Can you go back to the top. MS. DANDENEAU: Mr. Morris, can you have somebody post this in the chat so that we have can have a copy of this, please. MR. MORRIS: Yeah, sure. Asia, can you do that, please. Q. Okay. Do you see at the bottom of the second paragraph there is a reference to materiality? A. Yes. Q. Okay. It says, Materiality used for purposes of these representations is \$1.7 million. Do you see that? 	 materiality? A. Yes. Q. And for purposes of the audit, did PwC set the level of materiality each year? A. Yes. Q. Did that number change over time? A. I'm not aware of what materiality is every single year, so – but, you know, this number would likely fluctuate. Q. Okay. I'm going to go back to a question I asked you earlier today. And that is in connection – this letter is issued in connection with the audit for the period ending 12/31/2018; correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And is it fair to say that if any – actually, withdrawn. I'm going to take it outside of this. If Highland ever forgave the loan to any affiliate or any of its officers or employees, in whole or in part, to the best of your knowledge, would that forgiveness have 	Page 93

	Page 94			Page 95
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	5
2	materiality that PwC established?	2	signed as well. I don't – I don't recall.	
3	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	3	Q. But to the best of your knowledge,	
4	A. So, again, during my tenure as CFO,	4	you, personally, signed a management	
5	and – Highland – it was – it is required to	5	representation letter in connection with	
6	disclose any affiliate loans that are in excess	6	Highland's audit each year that you served as	
7	of materiality.	7	the CFO; correct?	
8	Now, the forgiveness of those loans	8	A. I would say generally speaking,	
9	may or may not – I mean, since materiality	9	Mr. Morris. I don't recall for every single	
10	fluctuates every year, a – you know, if a loan	10	year, you know, generally, but I would want to	
11	was forgiven, it may or may not, you know –	11	refer to all the rep letters and see who signed	
12	and, look, I would want to consult the guidance	12	them.	
13	around this.	13	Q. Do you recall Highland having its	
14	It is not something we do – you	14	financial statements audited in any year during	
15	know, it is not – you know, GAAP can be and	15	the period that you were a CFO where you didn't	
	disclosures can be very specialized so, again,	16	sign the management representation letter?	
16	we want to consult the guidance. But we would			
17	see if and what would need to be disclosed if	17	A. I don't recall. But, John, we're	
18		18	going back five, six, seven, eight, nine,	
19	it were deemed immaterial.	19	decade. I don't – I don't remember.	
20	Q. Did you and Mr. Dondero sign	20	Q. I don't want to go back that many	
21	management representation letters of this type	21	decades, but I'm just asking you if you recall	
22	in each year in which you served as Highland's	22	that there was you didn't sign it?	
23	CFO?	23	A. I – I – I don't, but my memory	
24	A. I – I – I will speak for myself.	24	is again, I I I can't tell you what I	
25	I signed them. There may have been others that	25	did in 2012. I mean, I think generally, yes,	
1	Page 96 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 97
2	but I don't – I don't know for sure, and I	2	management to sign management representation	
1	would want to rely on the document.			
3	•	3	letters?	
	Q. Let me ask the question a little bit	4	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	
5	differently then.	5	form.	
6	Do you have any reason to believe	6	A. I don't know why PwC's what PwC's	
	that Highland had its annual financial audit	7	specific practice is. I know generally what	
8	and you did not sign a management	8	management representation letters are.	
9	representation letter in connection with that	9	Q. Okay. Do you personally – I'm not	
10	audit?	10	asking about PwC. I'm asking for you – I'm	
11	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	11	asking about you, do you have an understanding	
12	A. I don't believe it would, but,	12	as to why the auditor asks for management	
13	again, I would want to – I don't recall and I	13	representation letters?	
14	would want to confirm it to – to make, you	14	A. Okay. So you're asking me in my	
15	know, an affirmative – to give an affirmative	15	personal capacity, yes, I have a general	
16	answer.	16	understanding of why.	
17	Q. Do you know whether PwC required	17	Q. Can you give me the general	
18	management to sign management representation	18	understanding that you have as to why	
19	letters?	19	management representation letters are required?	
20	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	20	A. They are – they are required to –	
21	A. Yes. I mean, it – management	21	they are – they are one of the items required	
22	representation letters are signed by	22	in an audit to help verify completeness.	
23	management.	23	Q. Do you have any – any other	
24	Q. Okay. And do you know – do you	24	understanding as to why management	
25		25	representation letters are required?	
1	, <u> </u>		,	

Casse 211-0330005-ssgj Door: 1/486-3 Frided 012/05/221 Entered 012/05/221 18/1/87:442 Prage 600 off 18919

	Dorro 00			Dege 00
1	Page 98 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 99
2	A. That is – that is – other than	2	letter – representation letter, yes.	
3	what I said, it is it is it is required	3	Q. Okay. Did you discuss this letter	
4	so – to ensure that the – you know, there	4	with Mr. Dondero before you signed it?	
5	is – there is completeness in what is being	5	A. I don't recall.	
6	audited.	6	Q. Do you recall if Mr. Dondero asked	
7	Q. Did you did you have a practice	7	you any questions before he signed the letter?	
8	whereby you and Mr. Dondero conferred about the	8	A. I don't recall.	
9	management representation letters before you	9	Q. Do you recall if you asked	
10	signed them?	10	Mr. Dondero any questions before you signed	
11	A. No.	11	this letter?	
12	Q. Did you have a practice	12	A. I don't recall.	
13	withdrawn.	13	Q. Is it fair to say that Mr. Dondero	
14	Do you see just the next sentence	14	did not disclose to you the existence of the	
15	after the materiality, there is a sentence that	15	agreement that we have - as we've defined that	
16	states: We confirm, to the best of our	16	term prior to the time you signed this letter?	
17	knowledge and belief, as of June 3rd, 2019, the	17	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	
18	date of your report, the following	18	A. I don't think I understand the	
19	representations made to you during your audit.	19	question. So, again, you are saying, did	
20	Do you see that sentence?	20	Mr. Dondero not disclose to me the existence of	
21	A. Yes.	21	this letter?	
22	Q. Okay. Did you understand when you	22	Q. No, I apologize.	
23	signed this letter that you were confirming the	23	Did Mr. Dondero disclose to you the	
24	representations that followed?	24	existence of the agreement prior to the time	
25	A. When I signed this management	25	you signed this letter on June 3rd, 2019?	
\vdash	Page 100			Page 101
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	0
2	A The agreement the agreement that			
	A. The agreement the agreement that	2	again, I mean, I haven't looked at ASC 850 in	
3	we talked about earlier?	2 3	again, I mean, I haven't looked at ASC 850 in quite some time, but, you know, if if there	
3			-	
	we talked about earlier?	3	quite some time, but, you know, if if there	
4	we talked about earlier? Q. Correct.	3 4	quite some time, but, you know, if – if there is a control language, you know, ASC 850, would	
45	we talked about earlier? Q. Correct. A. Look, as I said earlier, the first	3 4 5	quite some time, but, you know, if if there is a control language, you know, ASC 850, would that that section in GAAP would would	
4 5 6	we talked about earlier?Q. Correct.A. Look, as I said earlier, the firsttime I heard of this agreement was sometime	3 4 5 6	quite some time, but, you know, if – if there is a control language, you know, ASC 850, would that – that section in GAAP would – would pick up and define what are related parties.	
4 5 6 7	we talked about earlier?Q. Correct.A. Look, as I said earlier, the firsttime I heard of this agreement was sometimethis year.	3 4 5 6 7	quite some time, but, you know, if – if there is a control language, you know, ASC 850, would that – that section in GAAP would – would pick up and define what are related parties. So, you know, like I said, if – one	
4 5 6 7 8	 we talked about earlier? Q. Correct. A. Look, as I said earlier, the first time I heard of this agreement was sometime this year. Q. Okay. Can we turn – let's just look at a couple of items on the list. If we can go to page 33416. Do you see in Number 35 	3 4 5 6 7 8	quite some time, but, you know, if – if there is a control language, you know, ASC 850, would that – that section in GAAP would – would pick up and define what are related parties. So, you know, like I said, if – one of the four entities I just described, if – if	
4 5 6 7 8 9	 we talked about earlier? Q. Correct. A. Look, as I said earlier, the first time I heard of this agreement was sometime this year. Q. Okay. Can we turn – let's just look at a couple of items on the list. If we can go to page 33416. Do you see in Number 35 it talks about the proper recording or 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	quite some time, but, you know, if – if there is a control language, you know, ASC 850, would that – that section in GAAP would – would pick up and define what are related parties. So, you know, like I said, if – one of the four entities I just described, if – if they are in that control definition of ASC 850, they would be picked up in 35D. Q. Do you – do you have any reason to	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 we talked about earlier? Q. Correct. A. Look, as I said earlier, the first time I heard of this agreement was sometime this year. Q. Okay. Can we turn – let's just look at a couple of items on the list. If we can go to page 33416. Do you see in Number 35 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	quite some time, but, you know, if – if there is a control language, you know, ASC 850, would that – that section in GAAP would – would pick up and define what are related parties. So, you know, like I said, if – one of the four entities I just described, if – if they are in that control definition of ASC 850, they would be picked up in 35D. Q. Do you – do you have any reason to believe that they would be picked up in that	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 we talked about earlier? Q. Correct. A. Look, as I said earlier, the first time I heard of this agreement was sometime this year. Q. Okay. Can we turn – let's just look at a couple of items on the list. If we can go to page 33416. Do you see in Number 35 it talks about the proper recording or 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	quite some time, but, you know, if – if there is a control language, you know, ASC 850, would that – that section in GAAP would – would pick up and define what are related parties. So, you know, like I said, if – one of the four entities I just described, if – if they are in that control definition of ASC 850, they would be picked up in 35D. Q. Do you – do you have any reason to	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 we talked about earlier? Q. Correct. A. Look, as I said earlier, the first time I heard of this agreement was sometime this year. Q. Okay. Can we turn let's just look at a couple of items on the list. If we can go to page 33416. Do you see in Number 35 it talks about the proper recording or disclosure in the financial statements of ND 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	quite some time, but, you know, if – if there is a control language, you know, ASC 850, would that – that section in GAAP would – would pick up and define what are related parties. So, you know, like I said, if – one of the four entities I just described, if – if they are in that control definition of ASC 850, they would be picked up in 35D. Q. Do you – do you have any reason to believe that they would be picked up in that	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 we talked about earlier? Q. Correct. A. Look, as I said earlier, the first time I heard of this agreement was sometime this year. Q. Okay. Can we turn – let's just look at a couple of items on the list. If we can go to page 33416. Do you see in Number 35 it talks about the proper recording or disclosure in the financial statements of ND relationships and transactions with related 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	quite some time, but, you know, if – if there is a control language, you know, ASC 850, would that – that section in GAAP would – would pick up and define what are related parties. So, you know, like I said, if – one of the four entities I just described, if – if they are in that control definition of ASC 850, they would be picked up in 35D. Q. Do you – do you have any reason to believe that they would be picked up in that definition, based on your knowledge and	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 we talked about earlier? Q. Correct. A. Look, as I said earlier, the first time I heard of this agreement was sometime this year. Q. Okay. Can we turn – let's just look at a couple of items on the list. If we can go to page 33416. Do you see in Number 35 it talks about the proper recording or disclosure in the financial statements of ND relationships and transactions with related parties. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	quite some time, but, you know, if – if there is a control language, you know, ASC 850, would that – that section in GAAP would – would pick up and define what are related parties. So, you know, like I said, if – one of the four entities I just described, if – if they are in that control definition of ASC 850, they would be picked up in 35D. Q. Do you – do you have any reason to believe that they would be picked up in that definition, based on your knowledge and experience?	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 we talked about earlier? Q. Correct. A. Look, as I said earlier, the first time I heard of this agreement was sometime this year. Q. Okay. Can we turn – let's just look at a couple of items on the list. If we can go to page 33416. Do you see in Number 35 it talks about the proper recording or disclosure in the financial statements of ND relationships and transactions with related parties. Do you see that? A. I do. Q. As the CFO, do you have any 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 quite some time, but, you know, if – if there is a control language, you know, ASC 850, would that – that section in GAAP would – would pick up and define what are related parties. So, you know, like I said, if – one of the four entities I just described, if – if they are in that control definition of ASC 850, they would be picked up in 35D. Q. Do you – do you have any reason to believe that they would be picked up in that definition, based on your knowledge and experience? A. I – I believe that entities controlled under GAAP are – are affiliates. Q. Okay. Would Mr. Dondero also 	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 we talked about earlier? Q. Correct. A. Look, as I said earlier, the first time I heard of this agreement was sometime this year. Q. Okay. Can we turn – let's just look at a couple of items on the list. If we can go to page 33416. Do you see in Number 35 it talks about the proper recording or disclosure in the financial statements of ND relationships and transactions with related parties. Do you see that? A. I do. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 quite some time, but, you know, if – if there is a control language, you know, ASC 850, would that – that section in GAAP would – would pick up and define what are related parties. So, you know, like I said, if – one of the four entities I just described, if – if they are in that control definition of ASC 850, they would be picked up in 35D. Q. Do you – do you have any reason to believe that they would be picked up in that definition, based on your knowledge and experience? A. I – I believe that entities controlled under GAAP are – are affiliates. Q. Okay. Would Mr. Dondero also qualify as a related party for purposes of 	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 we talked about earlier? Q. Correct. A. Look, as I said earlier, the first time I heard of this agreement was sometime this year. Q. Okay. Can we turn – let's just look at a couple of items on the list. If we can go to page 33416. Do you see in Number 35 it talks about the proper recording or disclosure in the financial statements of ND relationships and transactions with related parties. Do you see that? A. I do. Q. As the CFO, do you have any understanding as to whether Dugaboy is a related party? 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 quite some time, but, you know, if – if there is a control language, you know, ASC 850, would that – that section in GAAP would – would pick up and define what are related parties. So, you know, like I said, if – one of the four entities I just described, if – if they are in that control definition of ASC 850, they would be picked up in 35D. Q. Do you – do you have any reason to believe that they would be picked up in that definition, based on your knowledge and experience? A. I – I believe that entities controlled under GAAP are – are affiliates. Q. Okay. Would Mr. Dondero also qualify as a related party for purposes of Section 35D, to the best of your knowledge? 	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 we talked about earlier? Q. Correct. A. Look, as I said earlier, the first time I heard of this agreement was sometime this year. Q. Okay. Can we turn – let's just look at a couple of items on the list. If we can go to page 33416. Do you see in Number 35 it talks about the proper recording or disclosure in the financial statements of ND relationships and transactions with related parties. Do you see that? A. I do. Q. As the CFO, do you have any understanding as to whether Dugaboy is a related party? A. I don't recall. 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 quite some time, but, you know, if – if there is a control language, you know, ASC 850, would that – that section in GAAP would – would pick up and define what are related parties. So, you know, like I said, if – one of the four entities I just described, if – if they are in that control definition of ASC 850, they would be picked up in 35D. Q. Do you – do you have any reason to believe that they would be picked up in that definition, based on your knowledge and experience? A. I – I believe that entities controlled under GAAP are – are affiliates. Q. Okay. Would Mr. Dondero also qualify as a related party for purposes of Section 35D, to the best of your knowledge? A. Yeah, I don't – I don't know. I 	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 we talked about earlier? Q. Correct. A. Look, as I said earlier, the first time I heard of this agreement was sometime this year. Q. Okay. Can we turn – let's just look at a couple of items on the list. If we can go to page 33416. Do you see in Number 35 it talks about the proper recording or disclosure in the financial statements of ND relationships and transactions with related parties. Do you see that? A. I do. Q. As the CFO, do you have any understanding as to whether Dugaboy is a related party? A. I don't recall. Q. Do you know whether any of the 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 quite some time, but, you know, if – if there is a control language, you know, ASC 850, would that – that section in GAAP would – would pick up and define what are related parties. So, you know, like I said, if – one of the four entities I just described, if – if they are in that control definition of ASC 850, they would be picked up in 35D. Q. Do you – do you have any reason to believe that they would be picked up in that definition, based on your knowledge and experience? A. I – I believe that entities controlled under GAAP are – are affiliates. Q. Okay. Would Mr. Dondero also qualify as a related party for purposes of Section 35D, to the best of your knowledge? A. Yeah, I don't – I don't know. I would think – I would have to read the code 	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 we talked about earlier? Q. Correct. A. Look, as I said earlier, the first time I heard of this agreement was sometime this year. Q. Okay. Can we tum – let's just look at a couple of items on the list. If we can go to page 33416. Do you see in Number 35 it talks about the proper recording or disclosure in the financial statements of ND relationships and transactions with related parties. Do you see that? A. I do. Q. As the CFO, do you have any understanding as to whether Dugaboy is a related party? A. I don't recall. Q. Do you know whether any of the affiliates are related parties? 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 quite some time, but, you know, if – if there is a control language, you know, ASC 850, would that – that section in GAAP would – would pick up and define what are related parties. So, you know, like I said, if – one of the four entities I just described, if – if they are in that control definition of ASC 850, they would be picked up in 35D. Q. Do you – do you have any reason to believe that they would be picked up in that definition, based on your knowledge and experience? A. I – I believe that entities controlled under GAAP are – are affiliates. Q. Okay. Would Mr. Dondero also qualify as a related party for purposes of Section 35D, to the best of your knowledge? A. Yeah, I don't – I don't know. I would think – I would have to read the code section to see if someone personally – is it 	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 we talked about earlier? Q. Correct. A. Look, as I said earlier, the first time I heard of this agreement was sometime this year. Q. Okay. Can we turn – let's just look at a couple of items on the list. If we can go to page 33416. Do you see in Number 35 it talks about the proper recording or disclosure in the financial statements of ND relationships and transactions with related parties. Do you see that? A. I do. Q. As the CFO, do you have any understanding as to whether Dugaboy is a related party? A. I don't recall. Q. Do you know whether any of the affiliates are related parties? A. If – if it was NexPoint, HCMFA, 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 quite some time, but, you know, if – if there is a control language, you know, ASC 850, would that – that section in GAAP would – would pick up and define what are related parties. So, you know, like I said, if – one of the four entities I just described, if – if they are in that control definition of ASC 850, they would be picked up in 35D. Q. Do you – do you have any reason to believe that they would be picked up in that definition, based on your knowledge and experience? A. I – I believe that entities controlled under GAAP are – are affiliates. Q. Okay. Would Mr. Dondero also qualify as a related party for purposes of Section 35D, to the best of your knowledge? A. Yeah, I don't – I don't know. I would think – I would have to read the code section to see if someone personally – is it talking about related parties. So, look, if 	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 we talked about earlier? Q. Correct. A. Look, as I said earlier, the first time I heard of this agreement was sometime this year. Q. Okay. Can we turn – let's just look at a couple of items on the list. If we can go to page 33416. Do you see in Number 35 it talks about the proper recording or disclosure in the financial statements of ND relationships and transactions with related parties. Do you see that? A. I do. Q. As the CFO, do you have any understanding as to whether Dugaboy is a related party? A. I don't recall. Q. Do you know whether any of the affiliates are related parties? A. If – if it was NexPoint, HCMFA, HCMS, HCRE, yeah, if – if that is the 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 quite some time, but, you know, if – if there is a control language, you know, ASC 850, would that – that section in GAAP would – would pick up and define what are related parties. So, you know, like I said, if – one of the four entities I just described, if – if they are in that control definition of ASC 850, they would be picked up in 35D. Q. Do you – do you have any reason to believe that they would be picked up in that definition, based on your knowledge and experience? A. 1 – I believe that entities controlled under GAAP are – are affiliates. Q. Okay. Would Mr. Dondero also qualify as a related party for purposes of Section 35D, to the best of your knowledge? A. Yeah, I don't – I don't know. I would think – I would have to read the code section to see if someone personally – is it talking about related parties. So, look, if your own in control, yeah, I mean, I would have 	
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 we talked about earlier? Q. Correct. A. Look, as I said earlier, the first time I heard of this agreement was sometime this year. Q. Okay. Can we turn – let's just look at a couple of items on the list. If we can go to page 33416. Do you see in Number 35 it talks about the proper recording or disclosure in the financial statements of ND relationships and transactions with related parties. Do you see that? A. I do. Q. As the CFO, do you have any understanding as to whether Dugaboy is a related party? A. I don't recall. Q. Do you know whether any of the affiliates are related parties? A. If – if it was NexPoint, HCMFA, 	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 quite some time, but, you know, if – if there is a control language, you know, ASC 850, would that – that section in GAAP would – would pick up and define what are related parties. So, you know, like I said, if – one of the four entities I just described, if – if they are in that control definition of ASC 850, they would be picked up in 35D. Q. Do you – do you have any reason to believe that they would be picked up in that definition, based on your knowledge and experience? A. I – I believe that entities controlled under GAAP are – are affiliates. Q. Okay. Would Mr. Dondero also qualify as a related party for purposes of Section 35D, to the best of your knowledge? A. Yeah, I don't – I don't know. I would think – I would have to read the code section to see if someone personally – is it talking about related parties. So, look, if 	

	Page 102			Page 103
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Fage 105
2	Q. To the best of your knowledge, was	2	June 3rd, 2019, did Highland disclose to PwC	
3	the existence of the agreement ever disclosed	3	the identity of the partnership's related	
4	to PwC?	4	parties and all the related party relationships	
5	A. I'm not – I'm not aware.	5	and transactions of which it was aware?	
6	Q. Do you recall if the agreement was	6	A. I mean, I can speak for myself as	
7	ever disclosed in Highland's audited financial	7	signer of this representation letter. I	
8	statements?	8	disclosed what what, you know, what	
9	A. I don't – I don't remember if it	9	what what I knew. Sorry, look, yes, so I	
10	was in every Highland's audited financial	10	I disclosed what I knew.	
11	statements during my tenure. We would have to	11	Q. Okay. Can we go to page 419. Do	
12	read the financial statements to see what was	12	you see at the end there is a reference to	
13	disclosed, but I'm not – I mean, as I sit here	13	events that occurred since the end of the	
14	today, I'm not aware.	14	fiscal year and the date of the letter?	
15	Q. That is all I'm asking for.	15	A. Yes.	
16	A. I'm not aware.	16	Q. And were you aware of that of	
17	Q. Can we go to the next page, please,	17	that provision of the management representation	
18	and look at 36. 36 says, we have disclosed to	18	letter before you signed the document?	
19	you the identity of the partnership's related	19	A. Yes.	
20	party relationships and all the related party	20	Q. Do you have an understanding as to	
21	relationships and transactions of which we are	21	why PwC asked for that confirmation of that	
22	aware.	22	particular part of the management	
23	Do you see that?	23	representation letter?	
24	A. Yes.	24	A. It is – it is – it is just – it	
25	Q. To the best of your knowledge, as of	25	is a typical audit request.	
	Page 104			Page 105
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21			
	WATER 1000E - 10-13-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	
2	Q. And do you understand – do you have	1 2	Canty could please put that in the chat	
2 3				
1	Q. And do you understand – do you have	2	Canty could please put that in the chat	
3	Q. And do you understand – do you have an understanding that PwC wanted to know that	2 3	Canty could please put that in the chat room, that would be great.	
3	Q. And do you understand – do you have an understanding that PwC wanted to know that as of the date of the audit whether any	2 3 4	Canty could please put that in the chat room, that would be great. MR. MORRIS: I will assure you we	
3 4 5	Q. And do you understand – do you have an understanding that PwC wanted to know that as of the date of the audit whether any material changes had occurred since the end of	2 3 4 5	Canty could please put that in the chat room, that would be great. MR. MORRIS: I will assure you we will put every document in the chat room.	
3 4 5 6	Q. And do you understand – do you have an understanding that PwC wanted to know that as of the date of the audit whether any material changes had occurred since the end of the fiscal year, using the definition of	2 3 4 5 6	Canty could please put that in the chat room, that would be great. MR. MORRIS: I will assure you we will put every document in the chat room. Q. Now, I'm just going to ask you	
3 4 5 6 7	Q. And do you understand – do you have an understanding that PwC wanted to know that as of the date of the audit whether any material changes had occurred since the end of the fiscal year, using the definition of materiality that is in this particular	2 3 4 5 6 7	Canty could please put that in the chat room, that would be great. MR. MORRIS: I will assure you we will put every document in the chat room. Q. Now, I'm just going to ask you questions that are related to the provisions of	
3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. And do you understand – do you have an understanding that PwC wanted to know that as of the date of the audit whether any material changes had occurred since the end of the fiscal year, using the definition of materiality that is in this particular management representation letter?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Canty could please put that in the chat room, that would be great. MR. MORRIS: I will assure you we will put every document in the chat room. Q. Now, I'm just going to ask you questions that are related to the provisions of this report that concern the affiliate loans,	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. And do you understand – do you have an understanding that PwC wanted to know that as of the date of the audit whether any material changes had occurred since the end of the fiscal year, using the definition of materiality that is in this particular management representation letter? A. It – it is – it is – it is – 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Canty could please put that in the chat room, that would be great. MR. MORRIS: I will assure you we will put every document in the chat room. Q. Now, I'm just going to ask you questions that are related to the provisions of this report that concern the affiliate loans, but again, Mr. Waterhouse, if there is any part of the document that you need to see or that you think you might need to see in order to	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. And do you understand – do you have an understanding that PwC wanted to know that as of the date of the audit whether any material changes had occurred since the end of the fiscal year, using the definition of materiality that is in this particular management representation letter? A. It – it is – it is – it is a – it is as described. It is just a poorly worded 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Canty could please put that in the chat room, that would be great. MR. MORRIS: I will assure you we will put every document in the chat room. Q. Now, I'm just going to ask you questions that are related to the provisions of this report that concern the affiliate loans, but again, Mr. Waterhouse, if there is any part of the document that you need to see or that	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. And do you understand – do you have an understanding that PwC wanted to know that as of the date of the audit whether any material changes had occurred since the end of the fiscal year, using the definition of materiality that is in this particular management representation letter? A. It – it is – it is – it is a – it is as described. It is just a poorly worded question, so it is hard for me to say yes. Q. If I asked you this, I apologize, but did you ever learn when the agreement was 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Canty could please put that in the chat room, that would be great. MR. MORRIS: I will assure you we will put every document in the chat room. Q. Now, I'm just going to ask you questions that are related to the provisions of this report that concern the affiliate loans, but again, Mr. Waterhouse, if there is any part of the document that you need to see or that you think you might need to see in order to refresh your recollection to answer any of my questions, will you let me know that?	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q. And do you understand – do you have an understanding that PwC wanted to know that as of the date of the audit whether any material changes had occurred since the end of the fiscal year, using the definition of materiality that is in this particular management representation letter? A. It – it is – it is – it is a – it is as described. It is just a poorly worded question, so it is hard for me to say yes. Q. If I asked you this, I apologize, but did you ever learn when the agreement was entered into? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Canty could please put that in the chat room, that would be great. MR. MORRIS: I will assure you we will put every document in the chat room. Q. Now, I'm just going to ask you questions that are related to the provisions of this report that concern the affiliate loans, but again, Mr. Waterhouse, if there is any part of the document that you need to see or that you think you might need to see in order to refresh your recollection to answer any of my	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. And do you understand – do you have an understanding that PwC wanted to know that as of the date of the audit whether any material changes had occurred since the end of the fiscal year, using the definition of materiality that is in this particular management representation letter? A. It – it is – it is – it is a – it is as described. It is just a poorly worded question, so it is hard for me to say yes. Q. If I asked you this, I apologize, but did you ever learn when the agreement was 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Canty could please put that in the chat room, that would be great. MR. MORRIS: I will assure you we will put every document in the chat room. Q. Now, I'm just going to ask you questions that are related to the provisions of this report that concern the affiliate loans, but again, Mr. Waterhouse, if there is any part of the document that you need to see or that you think you might need to see in order to refresh your recollection to answer any of my questions, will you let me know that?	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. And do you understand – do you have an understanding that PwC wanted to know that as of the date of the audit whether any material changes had occurred since the end of the fiscal year, using the definition of materiality that is in this particular management representation letter? A. It – it is – it is – it is a – it is as described. It is just a poorly worded question, so it is hard for me to say yes. Q. If I asked you this, I apologize, but did you ever learn when the agreement was entered into? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Canty could please put that in the chat room, that would be great. MR. MORRIS: I will assure you we will put every document in the chat room. Q. Now, I'm just going to ask you questions that are related to the provisions of this report that concern the affiliate loans, but again, Mr. Waterhouse, if there is any part of the document that you need to see or that you think you might need to see in order to refresh your recollection to answer any of my questions, will you let me know that? A. Yes.	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. And do you understand – do you have an understanding that PwC wanted to know that as of the date of the audit whether any material changes had occurred since the end of the fiscal year, using the definition of materiality that is in this particular management representation letter? A. It – it is – it is – it is a – it is as described. It is just a poorly worded question, so it is hard for me to say yes. Q. If I asked you this, I apologize, but did you ever learn when the agreement was entered into? A. I don't – I don't – like I said 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Canty could please put that in the chat room, that would be great. MR. MORRIS: I will assure you we will put every document in the chat room. Q. Now, I'm just going to ask you questions that are related to the provisions of this report that concern the affiliate loans, but again, Mr. Waterhouse, if there is any part of the document that you need to see or that you think you might need to see in order to refresh your recollection to answer any of my questions, will you let me know that? A. Yes. Q. Because this is a pretty lengthy	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. And do you understand – do you have an understanding that PwC wanted to know that as of the date of the audit whether any material changes had occurred since the end of the fiscal year, using the definition of materiality that is in this particular management representation letter? A. It – it is – it is – it is a – it is as described. It is just a poorly worded question, so it is hard for me to say yes. Q. If I asked you this, I apologize, but did you ever learn when the agreement was entered into? A. I don't – I don't – like I said before, I don't know or have any details of the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Canty could please put that in the chat room, that would be great. MR. MORRIS: I will assure you we will put every document in the chat room. Q. Now, I'm just going to ask you questions that are related to the provisions of this report that concern the affiliate loans, but again, Mr. Waterhouse, if there is any part of the document that you need to see or that you think you might need to see in order to refresh your recollection to answer any of my questions, will you let me know that? A. Yes. Q. Because this is a pretty lengthy document, but do you see that the cover page	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. And do you understand – do you have an understanding that PwC wanted to know that as of the date of the audit whether any material changes had occurred since the end of the fiscal year, using the definition of materiality that is in this particular management representation letter? A. It – it is – it is – it is a – it is as described. It is just a poorly worded question, so it is hard for me to say yes. Q. If I asked you this, I apologize, but did you ever learn when the agreement was entered into? A. I don't – I don't – like I said before, I don't know or have any details of the agreement. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Canty could please put that in the chat room, that would be great. MR. MORRIS: I will assure you we will put every document in the chat room. Q. Now, I'm just going to ask you questions that are related to the provisions of this report that concern the affiliate loans, but again, Mr. Waterhouse, if there is any part of the document that you need to see or that you think you might need to see in order to refresh your recollection to answer any of my questions, will you let me know that? A. Yes. Q. Because this is a pretty lengthy document, but do you see that the cover page here is the Highland consolidated financial	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. And do you understand – do you have an understanding that PwC wanted to know that as of the date of the audit whether any material changes had occurred since the end of the fiscal year, using the definition of materiality that is in this particular management representation letter? A. It – it is – it is – it is a – it is as described. It is just a poorly worded question, so it is hard for me to say yes. Q. If I asked you this, I apologize, but did you ever learn when the agreement was entered into? A. I don't – I don't – like I said before, I don't know or have any details of the agreement. Q. Okay. Did you ever ask anybody when 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Canty could please put that in the chat room, that would be great. MR. MORRIS: I will assure you we will put every document in the chat room. Q. Now, I'm just going to ask you questions that are related to the provisions of this report that concern the affiliate loans, but again, Mr. Waterhouse, if there is any part of the document that you need to see or that you think you might need to see in order to refresh your recollection to answer any of my questions, will you let me know that? A. Yes. Q. Because this is a pretty lengthy document, but do you see that the cover page here is the Highland consolidated financial statements for the period ending December 31st,	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q. And do you understand – do you have an understanding that PwC wanted to know that as of the date of the audit whether any material changes had occurred since the end of the fiscal year, using the definition of materiality that is in this particular management representation letter? A. It – it is – it is – it is a – it is as described. It is just a poorly worded question, so it is hard for me to say yes. Q. If I asked you this, I apologize, but did you ever learn when the agreement was entered into? A. I don't – I don't – like I said before, I don't know or have any details of the agreement. Q. Okay. Did you ever ask anybody when the agreement was entered into? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Canty could please put that in the chat room, that would be great. MR. MORRIS: I will assure you we will put every document in the chat room. Q. Now, I'm just going to ask you questions that are related to the provisions of this report that concern the affiliate loans, but again, Mr. Waterhouse, if there is any part of the document that you need to see or that you think you might need to see in order to refresh your recollection to answer any of my questions, will you let me know that? A. Yes. Q. Because this is a pretty lengthy document, but do you see that the cover page here is the Highland consolidated financial statements for the period ending December 31st, 2018?	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. And do you understand – do you have an understanding that PwC wanted to know that as of the date of the audit whether any material changes had occurred since the end of the fiscal year, using the definition of materiality that is in this particular management representation letter? A. It – it is – it is – it is a – it is as described. It is just a poorly worded question, so it is hard for me to say yes. Q. If I asked you this, I apologize, but did you ever learn when the agreement was entered into? A. I don't – I don't – like I said before, I don't know or have any details of the agreement. Q. Okay. Did you ever ask anybody when the agreement was entered into? A. I did not. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Canty could please put that in the chat room, that would be great. MR. MORRIS: I will assure you we will put every document in the chat room. Q. Now, I'm just going to ask you questions that are related to the provisions of this report that concern the affiliate loans, but again, Mr. Waterhouse, if there is any part of the document that you need to see or that you think you might need to see in order to refresh your recollection to answer any of my questions, will you let me know that? A. Yes. Q. Because this is a pretty lengthy document, but do you see that the cover page here is the Highland consolidated financial statements for the period ending December 31st, 2018? A. Yes.	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. And do you understand – do you have an understanding that PwC wanted to know that as of the date of the audit whether any material changes had occurred since the end of the fiscal year, using the definition of materiality that is in this particular management representation letter? A. It – it is – it is – it is a – it is as described. It is just a poorly worded question, so it is hard for me to say yes. Q. If I asked you this, I apologize, but did you ever learn when the agreement was entered into? A. I don't – I don't – like I said before, I don't know or have any details of the agreement. Q. Okay. Did you ever ask anybody when the agreement was entered into? A. I did not. Q. Let's look at the audited financial 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Canty could please put that in the chat room, that would be great. MR. MORRIS: I will assure you we will put every document in the chat room. Q. Now, I'm just going to ask you questions that are related to the provisions of this report that concern the affiliate loans, but again, Mr. Waterhouse, if there is any part of the document that you need to see or that you think you might need to see in order to refresh your recollection to answer any of my questions, will you let me know that? A. Yes. Q. Because this is a pretty lengthy document, but do you see that the cover page here is the Highland consolidated financial statements for the period ending December 31st, 2018? A. Yes. Q. If we can go to – I think it is the	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. And do you understand – do you have an understanding that PwC wanted to know that as of the date of the audit whether any material changes had occurred since the end of the fiscal year, using the definition of materiality that is in this particular management representation letter? A. It – it is – it is – it is a – it is as described. It is just a poorly worded question, so it is hard for me to say yes. Q. If I asked you this, I apologize, but did you ever learn when the agreement was entered into? A. I don't – I don't – like I said before, I don't know or have any details of the agreement. Q. Okay. Did you ever ask anybody when the agreement was entered into? A. I did not. Q. Let's look at the audited financial statements. We will put up on the screen a 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Canty could please put that in the chat room, that would be great. MR. MORRIS: I will assure you we will put every document in the chat room. Q. Now, I'm just going to ask you questions that are related to the provisions of this report that concern the affiliate loans, but again, Mr. Waterhouse, if there is any part of the document that you need to see or that you think you might need to see in order to refresh your recollection to answer any of my questions, will you let me know that? A. Yes. Q. Because this is a pretty lengthy document, but do you see that the cover page here is the Highland consolidated financial statements for the period ending December 31st, 2018? A. Yes. Q. If we can go to – I think it is the next one, looking for PwC's signature line.	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. And do you understand – do you have an understanding that PwC wanted to know that as of the date of the audit whether any material changes had occurred since the end of the fiscal year, using the definition of materiality that is in this particular management representation letter? A. It – it is – it is – it is a – it is as described. It is just a poorly worded question, so it is hard for me to say yes. Q. If I asked you this, I apologize, but did you ever learn when the agreement was entered into? A. I don't – I don't – like I said before, I don't know or have any details of the agreement. Q. Okay. Did you ever ask anybody when the agreement was entered into? A. I did not. Q. Let's look at the audited financial statements. We will put up on the screen a document that has been premarked as Exhibit 34. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Canty could please put that in the chat room, that would be great. MR. MORRIS: I will assure you we will put every document in the chat room. Q. Now, I'm just going to ask you questions that are related to the provisions of this report that concern the affiliate loans, but again, Mr. Waterhouse, if there is any part of the document that you need to see or that you think you might need to see in order to refresh your recollection to answer any of my questions, will you let me know that? A. Yes. Q. Because this is a pretty lengthy document, but do you see that the cover page here is the Highland consolidated financial statements for the period ending December 31st, 2018? A. Yes. Q. If we can go to – I think it is the next one, looking for PwC's signature line. MS. CANTY: I'm sory, John, did you	

Dore 106			Dogo 107
Page 106 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 107
2 page. I think it is 215. Yes, stop right	2 u	inder the affiliate under the notes signed by	
3 there, just above – I'm sorry, I want to		he affiliates and Mr. Dondero?	
4 see just the date of the report.	4	MR. RUKAVINA: Objection to the	
5 Q. Okay. Do you see at the bottom of	5	extent that calls for a legal conclusion.	
6 that page there, Mr. Waterhouse,	6	A. I mean, I would want to see the	
7 PricewaterhouseCoopers has signed this audit	7 d	letail and the build to this \$173,398,000, but,	
8 report?		res, I mean, if – if – given what we	
9 A. Yes, I see their signature.	· ·	liscussed before, you know, it – it should	
10 Q. Okay. And it is the dated same day		capture that.	
11 as your management representation letter; is	11	Q. And – and while you were the CFO of	
12 that right?		Highland, were all notes held by Highland that	
13 A. It is – yes, it is the same day.		were issued by an affiliate or Mr. Dondero	
14 Q. Was that the practice to sign the		carried as assets on Highland's balance sheets?	
15 management representation letter on the same	15	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	
16 day that the audit report was signed?	16	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to form.	
17 A. Yes, that is typical in every audit.	17	A. I don't – I don't know how else	
18 Q. Can we just scroll down to the		they would be carried.	
19 balance sheet on the next page.	19	Q. Okay. Can you think of any – are	
20 Do you see that there is a line		you aware of any promissory note issued by an	
21 there that says, Notes and Other Amounts Due	· ·	affiliate or Mr. Dondero that was not carried	
22 from Affiliates?		on Highland's audited financial balance sheets?	
23 A. Yes.	23	A. I'm – I'm – I'm not aware.	
24 Q. Does that line, to the best of your	24	Q. Okay. Are you aware of any category	
25 knowledge, include the amounts that were due		of asset on Highland's balance sheet in which	
Page 108	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 109
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Now. does that mean absolute?	Page 109
1WATERHOUSE - 10-19-212any of the promissory notes issued by an	2	Now, does that mean absolute? I	Page 109
1WATERHOUSE - 10-19-212any of the promissory notes issued by an3affiliate or Mr. Dondero would have been	2	Now, does that mean absolute? I don't know.	Page 109
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 any of the promissory notes issued by an affiliate or Mr. Dondero would have been included? 	2 3 d 4	Now, does that mean absolute? I don't know. Q. Do you have any reason to believe	Page 109
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 any of the promissory notes issued by an affiliate or Mr. Dondero would have been included? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 	2 3 d 4 5 th	Now, does that mean absolute? I don't know. Q. Do you have any reason to believe hat the promissory notes would have been	Page 109
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 any of the promissory notes issued by an affiliate or Mr. Dondero would have been included? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. Sorry, am I aware of any asset of an 	2 3 d 4 5 th 6 c	Now, does that mean absolute? I don't know. Q. Do you have any reason to believe	Page 109
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 any of the promissory notes issued by an affiliate or Mr. Dondero would have been included? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. Sorry, am I aware of any asset of an affiliate being included 	2 3 d 4 5 tf 6 c 7 o	Now, does that mean absolute? I don't know. Q. Do you have any reason to believe hat the promissory notes would have been carried on the balance sheet in a category	Page 109
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 any of the promissory notes issued by an affiliate or Mr. Dondero would have been included? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. Sorry, am I aware of any asset of an affiliate being included – Q. That – let me – let me try again. 	2 3 d 4 5 tf 6 c 7 o	Now, does that mean absolute? I don't know. Q. Do you have any reason to believe hat the promissory notes would have been carried on the balance sheet in a category other than Notes and Other Amounts Due from Affiliates?	Page 109
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 any of the promissory notes issued by an affiliate or Mr. Dondero would have been included? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. Sorry, am I aware of any asset of an affiliate being included Q. That let me let me try again. 	2 3 d 5 tt 6 c 7 o 8 A 9	Now, does that mean absolute? I don't know. Q. Do you have any reason to believe hat the promissory notes would have been carried on the balance sheet in a category other than Notes and Other Amounts Due from	Page 109
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 any of the promissory notes issued by an affiliate or Mr. Dondero would have been included? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. Sorry, am I aware of any asset of an affiliate being included Q. That let me let me try again. Do you see there is a number of 	2 3 d 4 5 th 6 c 7 o 8 A 9 10 v	Now, does that mean absolute? I don't know. Q. Do you have any reason to believe hat the promissory notes would have been carried on the balance sheet in a category other than Notes and Other Amounts Due from Affiliates? A. If they were deemed – no. If they	Page 109
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 any of the promissory notes issued by an affiliate or Mr. Dondero would have been included? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. Sorry, am I aware of any asset of an affiliate being included – Q. That – let me – let me try again. Do you see there is a number of different assets that are described on this 	2 3 d 4 5 tr 6 c 7 o 8 A 9 10 v 11 t	Now, does that mean absolute? I don't know. Q. Do you have any reason to believe hat the promissory notes would have been carried on the balance sheet in a category other than Notes and Other Amounts Due from Affiliates? A. If they were deemed – no. If they were deemed an affiliate, you know, under GAAP, they should be carried in that line.	Page 109
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 any of the promissory notes issued by an affiliate or Mr. Dondero would have been included? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. Sorry, am I aware of any asset of an affiliate being included Q. That let me let me try again. Do you see there is a number of different assets that are described on this balance sheet? 	2 3 d 4 5 tr 6 c 7 o 8 A 9 10 v 11 t	Now, does that mean absolute? I don't know. Q. Do you have any reason to believe hat the promissory notes would have been carried on the balance sheet in a category other than Notes and Other Amounts Due from Affiliates? A. If they were deemed – no. If they were deemed an affiliate, you know, under GAAP, they should be carried in that line. Otherwise, it would go into another line.	Page 109
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 any of the promissory notes issued by an affiliate or Mr. Dondero would have been included? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. Sorry, am I aware of any asset of an affiliate being included Q. That let me let me try again. Do you see there is a number of different assets that are described on this balance sheet? A. Yes. 	2 3 d 4 5 tt 6 c 7 o 8 A 9 10 v 11 t 12 (13	Now, does that mean absolute? I don't know. Q. Do you have any reason to believe hat the promissory notes would have been carried on the balance sheet in a category other than Notes and Other Amounts Due from Affiliates? A. If they were deemed – no. If they were deemed an affiliate, you know, under GAAP, they should be carried in that line. Otherwise, it would go into another line. Q. Okay. And do you see the total	Page 109
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 any of the promissory notes issued by an affiliate or Mr. Dondero would have been included? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. Sorry, am I aware of any asset of an affiliate being included Q. That let me let me try again. Do you see there is a number of different assets that are described on this balance sheet? A. Yes. Q. One of the assets that is described 	2 3 d 4 5 tt 6 c 7 o 8 A 9 10 v 11 t 12 (13 14 a	Now, does that mean absolute? I don't know. Q. Do you have any reason to believe hat the promissory notes would have been carried on the balance sheet in a category other than Notes and Other Amounts Due from Affiliates? A. If they were deemed – no. If they were deemed an affiliate, you know, under GAAP, they should be carried in that line. Otherwise, it would go into another line.	Page 109
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 any of the promissory notes issued by an affiliate or Mr. Dondero would have been included? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. Sorry, am I aware of any asset of an affiliate being included Q. That let me let me try again. Do you see there is a number of different assets that are described on this balance sheet? A. Yes. Q. One of the assets that is described is Notes and Other Amounts Due from Affiliates; 	2 3 d 4 5 tt 6 c 7 o 8 A 9 10 v 11 t 12 (13 14 a	Now, does that mean absolute? I don't know. Q. Do you have any reason to believe hat the promissory notes would have been carried on the balance sheet in a category other than Notes and Other Amounts Due from Affiliates? A. If they were deemed – no. If they were deemed an affiliate, you know, under GAAP, they should be carried in that line. Otherwise, it would go into another line. Q. Okay. And do you see the total asset base as of December 31st, 2018, was	Page 109
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 any of the promissory notes issued by an affiliate or Mr. Dondero would have been included? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. Sorry, am I aware of any asset of an affiliate being included Q. That let me let me try again. Do you see there is a number of different assets that are described on this balance sheet? A. Yes. Q. One of the assets that is described is Notes and Other Amounts Due from Affiliates; right? 	2 3 d 4 5 tt 6 c 7 o 8 A 9 10 v 11 tt 12 (13 14 a 15 a	Now, does that mean absolute? I don't know. Q. Do you have any reason to believe hat the promissory notes would have been carried on the balance sheet in a category other than Notes and Other Amounts Due from Affiliates? A. If they were deemed – no. If they were deemed an affiliate, you know, under GAAP, they should be carried in that line. Otherwise, it would go into another line. Q. Okay. And do you see the total asset base as of December 31st, 2018, was approximately \$1.04 billion?	Page 109
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 any of the promissory notes issued by an affiliate or Mr. Dondero would have been included? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. Sorry, am I aware of any asset of an affiliate being included Q. That let me let me try again. Do you see there is a number of different assets that are described on this balance sheet? A. Yes. Q. One of the assets that is described is Notes and Other Amounts Due from Affiliates; right? A. Yes. 	2 3 d 4 5 tt 6 c 7 o 8 A 9 10 v 11 tt 12 (13 14 a 15 a 16 17	Now, does that mean absolute? I don't know. Q. Do you have any reason to believe hat the promissory notes would have been carried on the balance sheet in a category other than Notes and Other Amounts Due from Affiliates? A. If they were deemed – no. If they were deemed an affiliate, you know, under GAAP, they should be carried in that line. Otherwise, it would go into another line. Q. Okay. And do you see the total asset base as of December 31st, 2018, was approximately \$1.04 billion? A. Yes.	Page 109
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 any of the promissory notes issued by an affiliate or Mr. Dondero would have been included? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. Sorry, am I aware of any asset of an affiliate being included – Q. That – let me – let me try again. Do you see there is a number of different assets that are described on this balance sheet? A. Yes. Q. One of the assets that is described is Notes and Other Amounts Due from Affiliates; right? A. Yes. Q. And it is reasonable to conclude 	2 3 d 4 5 tt 6 c 7 o 8 A 9 10 v 11 tt 12 (13 14 a 15 a 16 17 18 a	Now, does that mean absolute? I don't know. Q. Do you have any reason to believe hat the promissory notes would have been carried on the balance sheet in a category other than Notes and Other Amounts Due from Affiliates? A. If they were deemed – no. If they were deemed an affiliate, you know, under GAAP, they should be carried in that line. Otherwise, it would go into another line. Q. Okay. And do you see the total asset base as of December 31st, 2018, was approximately \$1.04 billion? A. Yes. Q. Is my math correct that the Notes	Page 109
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 any of the promissory notes issued by an affiliate or Mr. Dondero would have been included? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. Sorry, am I aware of any asset of an affiliate being included Q. That let me let me try again. Do you see there is a number of different assets that are described on this balance sheet? A. Yes. Q. One of the assets that is described is Notes and Other Amounts Due from Affiliates; right? A. Yes. Q. And it is reasonable to conclude that the notes from the affiliates and 	2 3 4 5 tt 6 7 0 8 A 9 10 v 11 tt 12 0 13 14 2 15 2 16 17 18 2 19 0 0 19 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	Now, does that mean absolute? I don't know. Q. Do you have any reason to believe hat the promissory notes would have been carried on the balance sheet in a category other than Notes and Other Amounts Due from Affiliates? A. If they were deemed – no. If they were deemed an affiliate, you know, under GAAP, they should be carried in that line. Otherwise, it would go into another line. Q. Okay. And do you see the total asset base as of December 31st, 2018, was approximately \$1.04 billion? A. Yes. Q. Is my math correct that the Notes and Other Amounts Due from Affiliates	Page 109
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 any of the promissory notes issued by an affiliate or Mr. Dondero would have been included? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. Sorry, am I aware of any asset of an affiliate being included Q. That let me let me try again. Do you see there is a number of different assets that are described on this balance sheet? A. Yes. Q. One of the assets that is described is Notes and Other Amounts Due from Affiliates; right? A. Yes. Q. And it is reasonable to conclude that the notes from the affiliates and Mr. Dondero are included in that line item; 	2 3 4 5 tt 6 7 0 8 A 9 10 v 11 tt 12 0 13 14 2 15 2 16 17 18 2 19 0 0 19 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	Now, does that mean absolute? I don't know. Q. Do you have any reason to believe hat the promissory notes would have been carried on the balance sheet in a category other than Notes and Other Amounts Due from Affiliates? A. If they were deemed – no. If they were deemed an affiliate, you know, under GAAP, they should be carried in that line. Otherwise, it would go into another line. Q. Okay. And do you see the total asset base as of December 31st, 2018, was approximately \$1.04 billion? A. Yes. Q. Is my math correct that the Notes and Other Amounts Due from Affiliates constituted approximately 17 percent of	Page 109
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 any of the promissory notes issued by an affiliate or Mr. Dondero would have been included? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. Sorry, am I aware of any asset of an affiliate being included – Q. That – let me – let me try again. Do you see there is a number of different assets that are described on this balance sheet? A. Yes. Q. One of the assets that is described is Notes and Other Amounts Due from Affiliates; right? A. Yes. Q. And it is reasonable to conclude that the notes from the affiliates and Mr. Dondero are included in that line item; right? 	2 3 d 4 5 tt 6 c 7 o 8 A 9 10 v 11 tt 12 (13 14 a 15 a 16 17 18 a 19 c 20 H 21	Now, does that mean absolute? I don't know. Q. Do you have any reason to believe that the promissory notes would have been carried on the balance sheet in a category other than Notes and Other Amounts Due from Affiliates? A. If they were deemed – no. If they were deemed an affiliate, you know, under GAAP, they should be carried in that line. Otherwise, it would go into another line. Q. Okay. And do you see the total asset base as of December 31st, 2018, was approximately \$1.04 billion? A. Yes. Q. Is my math correct that the Notes and Other Amounts Due from Affiliates constituted approximately 17 percent of Highland's assets as of the end of 2018?	Page 109
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 any of the promissory notes issued by an affiliate or Mr. Dondero would have been included? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. Sorry, am I aware of any asset of an affiliate being included – Q. That – let me – let me try again. Do you see there is a number of different assets that are described on this balance sheet? A. Yes. Q. One of the assets that is described is Notes and Other Amounts Due from Affiliates; right? A. Yes. Q. And it is reasonable to conclude that the notes from the affiliates and Mr. Dondero are included in that line item; right? A. Yes, based on this description. 	2 3 d 4 5 tt 6 c 7 o 8 A 9 10 v 11 tt 12 (13 14 a 15 a 16 17 18 a 19 c 20 H 21	Now, does that mean absolute? I don't know. Q. Do you have any reason to believe that the promissory notes would have been carried on the balance sheet in a category other than Notes and Other Amounts Due from Affiliates? A. If they were deemed – no. If they were deemed an affiliate, you know, under GAAP, they should be carried in that line. Otherwise, it would go into another line. Q. Okay. And do you see the total asset base as of December 31st, 2018, was approximately \$1.04 billion? A. Yes. Q. Is my math correct that the Notes and Other Amounts Due from Affiliates constituted approximately 17 percent of Highland's assets as of the end of 2018? A. Well, so how are you defining	Page 109
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 any of the promissory notes issued by an affiliate or Mr. Dondero would have been included? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. Sorry, am I aware of any asset of an affiliate being included – Q. That – let me – let me try again. Do you see there is a number of different assets that are described on this balance sheet? A. Yes. Q. One of the assets that is described is Notes and Other Amounts Due from Affiliates; right? A. Yes. Q. And it is reasonable to conclude that the notes from the affiliates and Mr. Dondero are included in that line item; right? A. Yes, based on this description. Again, I would want to see a build of this to 	2 3 4 5 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 16 17 18 20 H 21 22 H 23	Now, does that mean absolute? I don't know. Q. Do you have any reason to believe that the promissory notes would have been carried on the balance sheet in a category other than Notes and Other Amounts Due from Affiliates? A. If they were deemed – no. If they were deemed an affiliate, you know, under GAAP, they should be carried in that line. Otherwise, it would go into another line. Q. Okay. And do you see the total asset base as of December 31st, 2018, was approximately \$1.04 billion? A. Yes. Q. Is my math correct that the Notes and Other Amounts Due from Affiliates constituted approximately 17 percent of Highland's assets as of the end of 2018? A. Well, so how are you defining Highland?	Page 109
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 any of the promissory notes issued by an affiliate or Mr. Dondero would have been included? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. Sorry, am I aware of any asset of an affiliate being included – Q. That – let me – let me try again. Do you see there is a number of different assets that are described on this balance sheet? A. Yes. Q. One of the assets that is described is Notes and Other Amounts Due from Affiliates; right? A. Yes. Q. And it is reasonable to conclude that the notes from the affiliates and Mr. Dondero are included in that line item; right? A. Yes, based on this description. Again, I would want to see a build of this to 100 percent confirm, but based on the 	2 3 4 5 tf 6 c 7 0 8 A 9 10 v 11 tf 12 0 13 14 a 15 a 16 17 18 a 19 c 20 H 21 22 H 23 24 tf 24 tf 24 24 tf 24 tf 24 tf 24 24 tf 24 24 tf 24 24 tf 24 24 tf 24 24 tf 24 24 tf 24 24 24 24 tf 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24	Now, does that mean absolute? I don't know. Q. Do you have any reason to believe that the promissory notes would have been carried on the balance sheet in a category other than Notes and Other Amounts Due from Affiliates? A. If they were deemed – no. If they were deemed an affiliate, you know, under GAAP, they should be carried in that line. Otherwise, it would go into another line. Q. Okay. And do you see the total asset base as of December 31st, 2018, was approximately \$1.04 billion? A. Yes. Q. Is my math correct that the Notes and Other Amounts Due from Affiliates constituted approximately 17 percent of Highland's assets as of the end of 2018? A. Well, so how are you defining Highland? Q. Highland Capital Management, L.P.,	Page 109

	Page 110			Page 111
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	r ago r r
2	A. On a consolidated or unconsolidated	2	Other Amounts Due from Affiliates?	
3	basis?	3	A. Sorry, I can't see the the	
4	Q. I'm looking at the balance sheet.	4	Q. It is at the top.	
5	It is a consolidated balance sheet. Okay?	5	A. Notes and Other Amounts Due from	
6	Does the Notes and Other Amounts Due	6	Affiliates, yes, I see that. I don't – I	
7	from Affiliates constitute approximately	7	don't have a page number, but I'm on a page	
8	17 percent of the total assets of Highland	8	that says at the top: Notes and Other Amounts	
9	Capital Management, L.P., on a consolidated	9	Due from Affiliates.	
10	basis?	10	Q. Okay. And that is the same title of	
11	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	11	the line item on the balance sheet that we just	
12	A. I don't have a calculator in front	12	looked at; right? Notes and Other Amounts Due	
13	of me but I will take your math, if you are	13	from Affiliates?	
14	taking the 173 divided by the billion.	14	A. Yes.	
15	Q. Okay.	15	Q. And is it your understanding, based	
16	A. If that is accurate, yes. But,	16	on your experience and knowledge as the CFO,	
17	again, on a consolidated basis.	17	that this is the section of the narrative that	
18	Q. And on an unconsolidated basis the	18	ties into the line item that we just looked at?	
19	percentage would be higher; correct?	19	A. Yes.	
20	A. I – no. I don't know.	20	Q. And is this section of the audit	
21	Q. Well, okay. That is fair.	21	report intended to describe and disclose all of	
22	MR. MORRIS: Can we turn to	22	the material facts concerning the Notes and	
23	page 241, please.	23	Other Amounts Due from Affiliates?	
24	Q. Do you see that this is a section of	24	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection, form.	
25	the audit report that is entitled Notes and	25	A. This these notes these notes	
	Page 112			Page 113
				Fage 115
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Fage 115
2	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 of the financial statements are – the purpose	2	reliable?	Fage 113
1 2 3	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 of the financial statements are – the purpose is to disclose any material items in relation		reliable? A. I didn't – I had concerns about,	Fage 113
2 3 4	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 of the financial statements are – the purpose is to disclose any material items in relation to that balance sheet line item.	2	reliable? A. I didn't – I had concerns about, you know, like I talked about before, of there	Fage 113
23	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 of the financial statements are – the purpose is to disclose any material items in relation to that balance sheet line item. Q. Okay. And all of the information,	2 3 4 5	reliable? A. I didn't – I had concerns about, you know, like I talked about before, of there were – there were potentially issues in the	Fage 113
2 3 4	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 of the financial statements are the purpose is to disclose any material items in relation to that balance sheet line item. Q. Okay. And all of the information, to the best of your knowledge, that is set	2 3 4 5 6	reliable? A. I didn't – I had concerns about, you know, like I talked about before, of there were – there were potentially issues in the control environment. But as far as it relates	raye 113
2 3 4 5	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 of the financial statements are – the purpose is to disclose any material items in relation to that balance sheet line item. Q. Okay. And all of the information, to the best of your knowledge, that is set forth in this section of the audit report was	2 3 4 5	reliable? A. I didn't – I had concerns about, you know, like I talked about before, of there were – there were potentially issues in the control environment. But as far as it relates to the audited financial statements, any – the	raye 113
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 of the financial statements are – the purpose is to disclose any material items in relation to that balance sheet line item. Q. Okay. And all of the information, to the best of your knowledge, that is set forth in this section of the audit report was provided by Highland; correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	reliable? A. I didn't – I had concerns about, you know, like I talked about before, of there were – there were potentially issues in the control environment. But as far as it relates to the audited financial statements, any – the team would work with the auditors to disclose	rage 113
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 of the financial statements are the purpose is to disclose any material items in relation to that balance sheet line item. Q. Okay. And all of the information, to the best of your knowledge, that is set forth in this section of the audit report was provided by Highland; correct? A. Yes, it would have been provided by	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	reliable? A. I didn't – I had concerns about, you know, like I talked about before, of there were – there were potentially issues in the control environment. But as far as it relates to the audited financial statements, any – the team would work with the auditors to disclose all – all notes in Highland's possession.	raye IIo
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 of the financial statements are – the purpose is to disclose any material items in relation to that balance sheet line item. Q. Okay. And all of the information, to the best of your knowledge, that is set forth in this section of the audit report was provided by Highland; correct? A. Yes, it would have been provided by the corporate accounting team.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	reliable? A. I didn't – I had concerns about, you know, like I talked about before, of there were – there were potentially issues in the control environment. But as far as it relates to the audited financial statements, any – the team would work with the auditors to disclose all – all notes in Highland's possession. And any – any notes that were	raye 113
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 of the financial statements are – the purpose is to disclose any material items in relation to that balance sheet line item. Q. Okay. And all of the information, to the best of your knowledge, that is set forth in this section of the audit report was provided by Highland; correct? A. Yes, it would have been provided by the corporate accounting team. Q. Okay. And the corporate accounting	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	reliable? A. I didn't – I had concerns about, you know, like I talked about before, of there were – there were potentially issues in the control environment. But as far as it relates to the audited financial statements, any – the team would work with the auditors to disclose all – all notes in Highland's possession. And any – any notes that were deemed material by the auditor, right, these	raye 113
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 of the financial statements are the purpose is to disclose any material items in relation to that balance sheet line item. Q. Okay. And all of the information, to the best of your knowledge, that is set forth in this section of the audit report was provided by Highland; correct? A. Yes, it would have been provided by the corporate accounting team. Q. Okay. And the corporate accounting team, did that team report to you in the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	reliable? A. I didn't – I had concerns about, you know, like I talked about before, of there were – there were potentially issues in the control environment. But as far as it relates to the audited financial statements, any – the team would work with the auditors to disclose all – all notes in Highland's possession. And any – any notes that were deemed material by the auditor, right, these were disclosed in these – in this section, you	raye 113
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 of the financial statements are – the purpose is to disclose any material items in relation to that balance sheet line item. Q. Okay. And all of the information, to the best of your knowledge, that is set forth in this section of the audit report was provided by Highland; correct? A. Yes, it would have been provided by the corporate accounting team. Q. Okay. And the corporate accounting team, did that team report to you in the organizational structure?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	reliable? A. I didn't – I had concerns about, you know, like I talked about before, of there were – there were potentially issues in the control environment. But as far as it relates to the audited financial statements, any – the team would work with the auditors to disclose all – all notes in Highland's possession. And any – any notes that were deemed material by the auditor, right, these were disclosed in these – in this section, you know, in – in the notes to the consolidated	raye 113
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 of the financial statements are – the purpose is to disclose any material items in relation to that balance sheet line item. Q. Okay. And all of the information, to the best of your knowledge, that is set forth in this section of the audit report was provided by Highland; correct? A. Yes, it would have been provided by the corporate accounting team. Q. Okay. And the corporate accounting team, did that team report to you in the organizational structure? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	reliable? A. I didn't – I had concerns about, you know, like I talked about before, of there were – there were potentially issues in the control environment. But as far as it relates to the audited financial statements, any – the team would work with the auditors to disclose all – all notes in Highland's possession. And any – any notes that were deemed material by the auditor, right, these were disclosed in these – in this section, you know, in – in the notes to the consolidated financial statements as you presented.	raye 113
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 of the financial statements are – the purpose is to disclose any material items in relation to that balance sheet line item. Q. Okay. And all of the information, to the best of your knowledge, that is set forth in this section of the audit report was provided by Highland; correct? A. Yes, it would have been provided by the corporate accounting team. Q. Okay. And the corporate accounting team, did that team report to you in the organizational structure? A. Yes. Q. And did you have any concerns about	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	reliable? A. I didn't – I had concerns about, you know, like I talked about before, of there were – there were potentially issues in the control environment. But as far as it relates to the audited financial statements, any – the team would work with the auditors to disclose all – all notes in Highland's possession. And any – any notes that were deemed material by the auditor, right, these were disclosed in these – in this section, you know, in – in the notes to the consolidated financial statements as you presented. Q. Do you recall ever having a	raye 113
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 of the financial statements are – the purpose is to disclose any material items in relation to that balance sheet line item. Q. Okay. And all of the information, to the best of your knowledge, that is set forth in this section of the audit report was provided by Highland; correct? A. Yes, it would have been provided by the corporate accounting team. Q. Okay. And the corporate accounting team, did that team report to you in the organizational structure? A. Yes. Q. And did you have any concerns about the controls that were in place to make sure	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	reliable? A. I didn't – I had concerns about, you know, like I talked about before, of there were – there were potentially issues in the control environment. But as far as it relates to the audited financial statements, any – the team would work with the auditors to disclose all – all notes in Highland's possession. And any – any notes that were deemed material by the auditor, right, these were disclosed in these – in this section, you know, in – in the notes to the consolidated financial statements as you presented. Q. Do you recall ever having a conversation with anybody at any time	raye 113
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 of the financial statements are – the purpose is to disclose any material items in relation to that balance sheet line item. Q. Okay. And all of the information, to the best of your knowledge, that is set forth in this section of the audit report was provided by Highland; correct? A. Yes, it would have been provided by the corporate accounting team. Q. Okay. And the corporate accounting team, did that team report to you in the organizational structure? A. Yes. Q. And did you have any concerns about the controls that were in place to make sure that the information provided with respect to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	reliable? A. I didn't – I had concerns about, you know, like I talked about before, of there were – there were potentially issues in the control environment. But as far as it relates to the audited financial statements, any – the team would work with the auditors to disclose all – all notes in Highland's possession. And any – any notes that were deemed material by the auditor, right, these were disclosed in these – in this section, you know, in – in the notes to the consolidated financial statements as you presented. Q. Do you recall ever having a conversation with anybody at any time concerning the accuracy of the section of audit	raye II3
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 of the financial statements are – the purpose is to disclose any material items in relation to that balance sheet line item. Q. Okay. And all of the information, to the best of your knowledge, that is set forth in this section of the audit report was provided by Highland; correct? A. Yes, it would have been provided by the corporate accounting team. Q. Okay. And the corporate accounting team, did that team report to you in the organizational structure? A. Yes. Q. And did you have any concerns about the controls that were in place to make sure that the information provided with respect to Notes and Other Amounts Due from Affiliates was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	reliable? A. I didn't – I had concerns about, you know, like I talked about before, of there were – there were potentially issues in the control environment. But as far as it relates to the audited financial statements, any – the team would work with the auditors to disclose all – all notes in Highland's possession. And any – any notes that were deemed material by the auditor, right, these were disclosed in these – in this section, you know, in – in the notes to the consolidated financial statements as you presented. Q. Do you recall ever having a conversation with anybody at any time concerning the accuracy of the section of audit reports that relates to Notes and Other Amounts	raye II3
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 of the financial statements are – the purpose is to disclose any material items in relation to that balance sheet line item. Q. Okay. And all of the information, to the best of your knowledge, that is set forth in this section of the audit report was provided by Highland; correct? A. Yes, it would have been provided by the corporate accounting team. Q. Okay. And the corporate accounting team, did that team report to you in the organizational structure? A. Yes. Q. And did you have any concerns about the controls that were in place to make sure that the information provided with respect to Notes and Other Amounts Due from Affiliates was accurate and complete?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	reliable? A. I didn't – I had concerns about, you know, like I talked about before, of there were – there were potentially issues in the control environment. But as far as it relates to the audited financial statements, any – the team would work with the auditors to disclose all – all notes in Highland's possession. And any – any notes that were deemed material by the auditor, right, these were disclosed in these – in this section, you know, in – in the notes to the consolidated financial statements as you presented. Q. Do you recall ever having a conversation with anybody at any time concerning the accuracy of the section of audit reports that relates to Notes and Other Amounts Due from Affiliates?	raye II3
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 of the financial statements are – the purpose is to disclose any material items in relation to that balance sheet line item. Q. Okay. And all of the information, to the best of your knowledge, that is set forth in this section of the audit report was provided by Highland; correct? A. Yes, it would have been provided by the corporate accounting team. Q. Okay. And the corporate accounting team, did that team report to you in the organizational structure? A. Yes. Q. And did you have any concerns about the controls that were in place to make sure that the information provided with respect to Notes and Other Amounts Due from Affiliates was accurate and complete? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	reliable? A. I didn't – I had concerns about, you know, like I talked about before, of there were – there were potentially issues in the control environment. But as far as it relates to the audited financial statements, any – the team would work with the auditors to disclose all – all notes in Highland's possession. And any – any notes that were deemed material by the auditor, right, these were disclosed in these – in this section, you know, in – in the notes to the consolidated financial statements as you presented. Q. Do you recall ever having a conversation with anybody at any time concerning the accuracy of the section of audit reports that relates to Notes and Other Amounts Due from Affiliates? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	rage 113
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 of the financial statements are – the purpose is to disclose any material items in relation to that balance sheet line item. Q. Okay. And all of the information, to the best of your knowledge, that is set forth in this section of the audit report was provided by Highland; correct? A. Yes, it would have been provided by the corporate accounting team. Q. Okay. And the corporate accounting team, did that team report to you in the organizational structure? A. Yes. Q. And did you have any concerns about the controls that were in place to make sure that the information provided with respect to Notes and Other Amounts Due from Affiliates was accurate and complete? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. Not that I recall. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	reliable? A. I didn't – I had concerns about, you know, like I talked about before, of there were – there were potentially issues in the control environment. But as far as it relates to the audited financial statements, any – the team would work with the auditors to disclose all – all notes in Highland's possession. And any – any notes that were deemed material by the auditor, right, these were disclosed in these – in this section, you know, in – in the notes to the consolidated financial statements as you presented. Q. Do you recall ever having a conversation with anybody at any time concerning the accuracy of the section of audit reports that relates to Notes and Other Amounts Due from Affiliates? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. You know, as – as – I didn't have	raye II3
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 of the financial statements are – the purpose is to disclose any material items in relation to that balance sheet line item. Q. Okay. And all of the information, to the best of your knowledge, that is set forth in this section of the audit report was provided by Highland; correct? A. Yes, it would have been provided by the corporate accounting team. Q. Okay. And the corporate accounting team, did that team report to you in the organizational structure? A. Yes. Q. And did you have any concerns about the controls that were in place to make sure that the information provided with respect to Notes and Other Amounts Due from Affiliates was accurate and complete? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. Not that I recall. Q. Okay. Do you recall ever being 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	reliable? A. I didn't – I had concerns about, you know, like I talked about before, of there were – there were potentially issues in the control environment. But as far as it relates to the audited financial statements, any – the team would work with the auditors to disclose all – all notes in Highland's possession. And any – any notes that were deemed material by the auditor, right, these were disclosed in these – in this section, you know, in – in the notes to the consolidated financial statements as you presented. Q. Do you recall ever having a conversation with anybody at any time concerning the accuracy of the section of audit reports that relates to Notes and Other Amounts Due from Affiliates? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. You know, as – as – I didn't have direct conversations with	rage 113
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 of the financial statements are – the purpose is to disclose any material items in relation to that balance sheet line item. Q. Okay. And all of the information, to the best of your knowledge, that is set forth in this section of the audit report was provided by Highland; correct? A. Yes, it would have been provided by the corporate accounting team. Q. Okay. And the corporate accounting team, did that team report to you in the organizational structure? A. Yes. Q. And did you have any concerns about the controls that were in place to make sure that the information provided with respect to Notes and Other Amounts Due from Affiliates was accurate and complete? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. Not that I recall. Q. Okay. Do you recall ever being concerned that any portion of the Notes and 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	reliable? A. I didn't – I had concerns about, you know, like I talked about before, of there were – there were potentially issues in the control environment. But as far as it relates to the audited financial statements, any – the team would work with the auditors to disclose all – all notes in Highland's possession. And any – any notes that were deemed material by the auditor, right, these were disclosed in these – in this section, you know, in – in the notes to the consolidated financial statements as you presented. Q. Do you recall ever having a conversation with anybody at any time concerning the accuracy of the section of audit reports that relates to Notes and Other Amounts Due from Affiliates? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. You know, as – as – I didn't have direct conversations with PricewaterhouseCoopers as I had, you know –	rage 113
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 of the financial statements are – the purpose is to disclose any material items in relation to that balance sheet line item. Q. Okay. And all of the information, to the best of your knowledge, that is set forth in this section of the audit report was provided by Highland; correct? A. Yes, it would have been provided by the corporate accounting team. Q. Okay. And the corporate accounting team, did that team report to you in the organizational structure? A. Yes. Q. And did you have any concerns about the controls that were in place to make sure that the information provided with respect to Notes and Other Amounts Due from Affiliates was accurate and complete? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. Not that I recall. Q. Okay. Do you recall ever being concerned that any portion of the Notes and Other Amounts Due from Affiliates in any audit 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	reliable? A. I didn't – I had concerns about, you know, like I talked about before, of there were – there were potentially issues in the control environment. But as far as it relates to the audited financial statements, any – the team would work with the auditors to disclose all – all notes in Highland's possession. And any – any notes that were deemed material by the auditor, right, these were disclosed in these – in this section, you know, in – in the notes to the consolidated financial statements as you presented. Q. Do you recall ever having a conversation with anybody at any time concerning the accuracy of the section of audit reports that relates to Notes and Other Amounts Due from Affiliates? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. You know, as – as – I didn't have direct conversations with PricewaterhouseCoopers as I had, you know – I – I had the team that managed this.	rage 113
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 of the financial statements are – the purpose is to disclose any material items in relation to that balance sheet line item. Q. Okay. And all of the information, to the best of your knowledge, that is set forth in this section of the audit report was provided by Highland; correct? A. Yes, it would have been provided by the corporate accounting team. Q. Okay. And the corporate accounting team, did that team report to you in the organizational structure? A. Yes. Q. And did you have any concerns about the controls that were in place to make sure that the information provided with respect to Notes and Other Amounts Due from Affiliates was accurate and complete? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. Not that I recall. Q. Okay. Do you recall ever being concerned that any portion of the Notes and 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	reliable? A. I didn't – I had concerns about, you know, like I talked about before, of there were – there were potentially issues in the control environment. But as far as it relates to the audited financial statements, any – the team would work with the auditors to disclose all – all notes in Highland's possession. And any – any notes that were deemed material by the auditor, right, these were disclosed in these – in this section, you know, in – in the notes to the consolidated financial statements as you presented. Q. Do you recall ever having a conversation with anybody at any time concerning the accuracy of the section of audit reports that relates to Notes and Other Amounts Due from Affiliates? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. You know, as – as – I didn't have direct conversations with PricewaterhouseCoopers as I had, you know –	rage 113

_				D 445
1	Page 114 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 115
2	being the point person of this audit. And I	2	accuracy of the section of the audit reports	
3	can't recall, you know, when you know, l	3	called Notes and Other Amounts Due from	
4	don't even know if I was ever the point person	4	Affiliates; correct?	
5	during my tenure as CFO.	5	MS. DANDENEAU: Object to the form.	
6	I don't know if PwC had any concerns	6	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection to	
7	when they were performing those audit	7	form.	
8	procedures. They may have and they may have –	8	A. I don't recall having any	
9	and it may not have been communicated to me. I	9	conversations. But, again, I mean, this is	
10	don't know.	10	this is two years ago.	
11	MR. MORRIS: All right. I move to	11	Q. I'm just asking for your	
12	strike.	12	recollection, sir.	
13	Q. And I'm going to ask you to listen	13	A. Yes.	
14	carefully to my question.	14	Q. If you don't recall, this will	
15	Did you – do you recall ever having	15	A. Yeah.	
16	a conversation with anybody at any time	16	Q. (Overspeak) – if you don't	
17	concerning the accuracy of the reporting	17	recall	
18	provided in the audited financial statement on	18	A. Yeah, I don't – I don't recall.	
19	the topic of Notes and Other Amounts Due?	19	Q. Do you know who was responsible for	
20	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	20	drafting the audit report?	
21	A. I don't recall for this, but that	21	A. Are you asking the actual Highland	
22	doesn't mean that it didn't exist.	22	employee responsible? I mean, it was	
23	Q. Okay. But you have no reason to	23	Highland's responsibility, so, I mean, that	
24	believe, as you sit here right now, that you	24	is –	
25	ever discussed with anybody concerns over the	25	Q. Right.	
		20	Q. rugh.	
1	Page 116 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 117
2	A. – Highland's responsibility.	2	audit report is incomplete or inaccurate in any	
3	Highland's responsibility.	3	way?	
4	Q. Who, at Highland, was responsible	4	And I'm happy to give you a moment	
5	for drafting this section of the audit report?	5	to – to look at it, if you would like.	
6	A. I – I don't know the answer to	6	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	
7	that. Again, there was a team who worked on	7	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Same.	
8	this. And I don't know, you know, whether it	8	A. I mean, I would have to look at – I	
9	was the staff or the manager.	9	would have to look at the bill to the note	
10	Again, this is where I let the teams	10	schedule to make sure I know you presented me	
11	manage. And, you know, there may be a	11	with materiality, but again, there might be a	
12	corporate accountant who worked on this. I	12	note as of 12/31/18 that somehow was – was	
13		12	under materiality not disclosed. I don't – I	
13		13	don't know. I would need more information.	
14		14	Q. Okay. But without more information,	
16		10	you have no reason to believe anything this	
1	concerning this section of the report?	10	section is inaccurate; correct?	
11/	A. Yeah, I don't recall.	17		
1		10	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	
19	Q. Do you recall whether you ever told		A. I don't. I mean, you know, this was	
20	anybody at any time that any aspect of this	20 21	part of the audit.	
21	section of the report was inaccurate or		Q. Thank you. Now, you will see if we	
22	incomplete?	22	could scroll just a little bit more that each	
23	A. I don't recall.	23	of the first five paragraphs concerns	
24	Q. As you sit here today, do you have any reason to believe that this section of the	24	specifically the four affiliates that we've	
20		25	been discussing and Mr. Dondero.	

	D (10)			D 110
1	Page 118 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 119
2	MR. MORRIS: If we could go the	2	value is the line item that you showed me	
3	other way, La Asia. We don't need Okada.	3	earlier that is in Notes and Other Amounts Due	
4	We're going to have to thread the needle.	4	from Affiliates.	
5	Okay. Good, perfect.	5	Q. Okay. Is another way to say this is	
6	Q. Do you see those five paragraphs	6	that the fair market value of the notes equals	
7	certain the four affiliates and Mr. Dondero as	7	the principal amount and – withdrawn.	
8	we've been referring to today?	8	Is the fair way to interpret this	
9	A. Yes.	9	that the fair market value of the notes equals	
10	Q. Okay. And do you see at the end of	10	all remaining unpaid principal and interest due	
11	every paragraph it states, quote: A fair value	11	under the notes?	
12	of a partnership's outstanding notes receivable	12	MS. DANDENEAU: Object to the form.	
13	approximates the carrying value of the notes	13	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection, form.	
14	receivable?	14	A. I don't know the answer to that,	
15	A. Yes, I see that.	15	because I don't recall where - where any -	
16	Q. Do you have an understanding of what	16	where – in what line item was the interest	
17	that means?	17	component reported.	
18	A. Yes.	18	Q. All right. Well, if we look in this	
19	Q. What is your understanding of that	19	audit report, you will see in the middle of the	
20	sentence?	20	first paragraph, for example, it states that as	
21	A. It is the – again, the – the fair	21	of December 31st, 2018, total interest and	
22	value, right, which is – which is what the –	22	principal due on outstanding promissory notes	
23	what Highland could sell that asset for. This	23	was approximately \$5.3 million.	
24	statement is comparing the fair value of the	24	Do you see that?	
25	notes to the carrying value, so the carrying	25	A. Ido.	
				Dogo 101
1	Page 120 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 121
2	Q. Is that the carrying value or the	2	look, I mean, if you – I mean, if you are	
3	fair value?	3	saying the 5.3 million is in the notes and	
4	A. That would be the carrying value	4	other amounts due from affiliates, then the	
5	Q. And is the last –	5	last statement is saying the fair value	
6	A. – in my opinion.	6	approximates 5.3 million. That is what that	
7	Q. Okay. And it is in your opinion as	7	last sentence is saying.	
8	the chief financial officer of Highland during	8	Q. Do you see in the middle of the	
9	the period of time that you described; right?	9	first paragraph – not in the middle, the next	
10	It is an educated opinion?	10	to last sentence there is a statement that the	
11	A. I'm reading this at face value. I'm	11	partnership will not demand payment on amounts	
12	taking that as that is carrying value.	12	that exceed HCMFA's excess cash availability	
13	Q. Okay. And does the last sentence	13	prior to May 31st, 2021.	
14	say that the carrying value is roughly	14	Do you see that?	
15	approximate to the fair market value?	15	A. Ido.	
16	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	16	Q. Do you know when Highland agreed not	
17	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection, form.	17	to demand payment as described in that	
18	A. Again, this note to the financial	18	sentence?	
19	statement is specific to notes and other	19	A. I don't know specifically.	
20	amounts due from affiliates.	20	Q. Do you know why Highland agreed not	
21	Q. Correct.	21	to demand payment on HCMFA's notes until May	
22	A. If the interest component is	22	2021?	
23	reported elsewhere on the balance sheet, you	23	A. Yes.	
24	know, it – it – it could be off. Again, I	24	Q. Why was that decision made?	
25	don't have the detail. I don't know, but yes,	25	A. You know, well, it $-$ it $-$ that	
	. ,			

	1	
Page 122 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 123
2 decision was made as to not put HCMFA into a	2 remember exactly I don't remember if it was	
3 position where it didn't have sufficient assets	3 myself or or Jim Dondero who who there	
4 to pay for the demand note.	4 was – there was something signed, from what I	
5 Q. And at the time the agreement was	5 recall, that – that – that backed up this	
6 entered into, pursuant to which the partnership	6 line item in the – in the notes I'm – look,	
7 wouldn't demand payment, did HCMFA have	7 l'm, l'm –	
8 insufficient assets to satisfy the notes if a	8 Q. We will get to that.	
9 demand had been made?	9 A. You	
10 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	10 Q. I'm just	
11 A. I don't have HCMFA's financial	11 A. You have – I mean –	
12 statements in front of me as of 12/31/18.	12 Q. We're going to give that to you.	
13 Q. Was there a concern that HCMFA would	13 I'm going to give that to you.	
14 be unable to satisfy its demands under the	14 A. You – you – you have all the	
15 notes if demand was made?	15 documents. I don't have the documents, and	
16 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	16 that is what makes it so hard. I don't have	
17 A. Well, there is – I don't recall –	17 any documents to prepare for this deposition;	
18 I mean, there is something, right, in place to	18 right? You have all – I don't – I don't – I	
19 basically not demand payment until May 31, 2021	19 don't remember, but, you know, again, it would	
20 as detailed here.	20 probably be myself or Jim.	
21 Q. And who made the decision to enter	21 Q. Do you know if Highland received	
22 into – who made the decision of behalf of	22 anything in return for its agreement not to	
23 Highland not to demand payment until May 31st,	23 make a demand for two years?	
24 2021?	24 A. I don't – I don't think it referred	
25 A. I'm trying to remember. I don't	25 anything.	
	zo anyamig.	
Page 124	1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 125
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 125
1WATERHOUSE - 10-19-212Q.And did you and Mr. Dondero discuss	2 Allocation Fund, which was a – a fund managed	Page 125
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. And did you and Mr. Dondero discuss HCMFA's ability to satisfy the notes if a 	2 Allocation Fund, which was a – a fund managed3 by Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors.	Page 125
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. And did you and Mr. Dondero discuss HCMFA's ability to satisfy the notes if a demand was made at the time this agreement was 	 Allocation Fund, which was a – a fund managed by Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors. There was a – we – I'm just telling you, 	Page 125
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. And did you and Mr. Dondero discuss HCMFA's ability to satisfy the notes if a demand was made at the time this agreement was entered into? 	 Allocation Fund, which was a – a fund managed by Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors. There was a – we – I'm just telling you, there was – there was a – a 	Page 125
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. And did you and Mr. Dondero discuss HCMFA's ability to satisfy the notes if a demand was made at the time this agreement was entered into? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 	 Allocation Fund, which was a – a fund managed by Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors. There was a – we – I'm just telling you, there was – there was – there was a – a ultimately a NAV error found in this fund while 	Page 125
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. And did you and Mr. Dondero discuss HCMFA's ability to satisfy the notes if a demand was made at the time this agreement was entered into? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I don't – I don't – I don't recall 	 Allocation Fund, which was a – a fund managed by Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors. There was a – we – I'm just telling you, there was – there was – there was a – a ultimately a NAV error found in this fund while it was an open-ended fund and, you know, there 	Page 125
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. And did you and Mr. Dondero discuss HCMFA's ability to satisfy the notes if a demand was made at the time this agreement was entered into? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I don't – I don't recall having a specific conversation, if I did, or – 	 Allocation Fund, which was a – a fund managed by Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors. There was a – we – I'm just telling you, there was – there was a – a ultimately a NAV error found in this fund while it was an open-ended fund and, you know, there were amounts owed by the advisor in – in 	Page 125
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. And did you and Mr. Dondero discuss HCMFA's ability to satisfy the notes if a demand was made at the time this agreement was entered into? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I don't – I don't – I don't recall having a specific conversation, if I did, or – or David Klos. 	 Allocation Fund, which was a – a fund managed by Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors. There was a – we – I'm just telling you, there was – there was – there was a – a ultimately a NAV error found in this fund while it was an open-ended fund and, you know, there were amounts owed by the advisor in – in relation to that NAV error. 	Page 125
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. And did you and Mr. Dondero discuss HCMFA's ability to satisfy the notes if a demand was made at the time this agreement was entered into? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I don't – I don't – I don't recall having a specific conversation, if I did, or – or David Klos. Q. Okay. I'm just asking if you recall 	 Allocation Fund, which was a – a fund managed by Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors. There was a – we – I'm just telling you, there was – there was – there was a – a ultimately a NAV error found in this fund while it was an open-ended fund and, you know, there were amounts owed by the advisor in – in relation to that NAV error. There were also, for the same fund, 	Page 125
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. And did you and Mr. Dondero discuss HCMFA's ability to satisfy the notes if a demand was made at the time this agreement was entered into? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I don't – I don't – I don't recall having a specific conversation, if I did, or – or David Klos. Q. Okay. I'm just asking if you recall any conversations that you had. 	 Allocation Fund, which was a – a fund managed by Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors. There was a – we – I'm just telling you, there was – there was – there was a – a ultimately a NAV error found in this fund while it was an open-ended fund and, you know, there were amounts owed by the advisor in – in relation to that NAV error. There were also, for the same fund, that same fund was ongoing an 	Page 125
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. And did you and Mr. Dondero discuss HCMFA's ability to satisfy the notes if a demand was made at the time this agreement was entered into? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I don't – I don't – I don't recall having a specific conversation, if I did, or – or David Klos. Q. Okay. I'm just asking if you recall any conversations that you had. A. I don't recall. 	 Allocation Fund, which was a – a fund managed by Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors. There was a – we – I'm just telling you, there was – there was – there was a – a ultimately a NAV error found in this fund while it was an open-ended fund and, you know, there were amounts owed by the advisor in – in relation to that NAV error. There were also, for the same fund, that same fund was ongoing an open-end-to-close-end conversion, and as part 	Page 125
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. And did you and Mr. Dondero discuss HCMFA's ability to satisfy the notes if a demand was made at the time this agreement was entered into? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I don't – I don't – I don't recall having a specific conversation, if I did, or – or David Klos. Q. Okay. I'm just asking if you recall any conversations that you had. A. I don't recall. Q. Okay. Do you know why Highland 	 Allocation Fund, which was a – a fund managed by Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors. There was a – we – I'm just telling you, there was – there was – there was a – a ultimately a NAV error found in this fund while it was an open-ended fund and, you know, there were amounts owed by the advisor in – in relation to that NAV error. There were also, for the same fund, that same fund was ongoing an open-end-to-close-end conversion, and as part of that proposal, shareholders who voted for 	Page 125
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. And did you and Mr. Dondero discuss HCMFA's ability to satisfy the notes if a demand was made at the time this agreement was entered into? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I don't – I don't – I don't recall having a specific conversation, if I did, or – or David Klos. Q. Okay. I'm just asking if you recall any conversations that you had. A. I don't recall. Q. Okay. Do you know why Highland loaned the money to HCMFA that is the subject 	 Allocation Fund, which was a – a fund managed by Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors. There was a – we – I'm just telling you, there was – there was – there was a – a ultimately a NAV error found in this fund while it was an open-ended fund and, you know, there were amounts owed by the advisor in – in relation to that NAV error. There were also, for the same fund, that same fund was ongoing an open-end-to-close-end conversion, and as part of that proposal, shareholders who voted for the conversion received compensation from the 	Page 125
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. And did you and Mr. Dondero discuss HCMFA's ability to satisfy the notes if a demand was made at the time this agreement was entered into? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I don't – I don't – I don't recall having a specific conversation, if I did, or – or David Klos. Q. Okay. I'm just asking if you recall any conversations that you had. A. I don't recall. Q. Okay. Do you know why Highland loaned the money to HCMFA that is the subject of the notes described in this paragraph? 	 Allocation Fund, which was a – a fund managed by Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors. There was a – we – I'm just telling you, there was – there was – there was a – a ultimately a NAV error found in this fund while it was an open-ended fund and, you know, there were amounts owed by the advisor in – in relation to that NAV error. There were also, for the same fund, that same fund was ongoing an open-end-to-close-end conversion, and as part of that proposal, shareholders who voted for the conversion received compensation from the advisor. 	Page 125
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. And did you and Mr. Dondero discuss HCMFA's ability to satisfy the notes if a demand was made at the time this agreement was entered into? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I don't – I don't – I don't recall having a specific conversation, if I did, or – or David Klos. Q. Okay. I'm just asking if you recall any conversations that you had. A. I don't recall. Q. Okay. Do you know why Highland loaned the money to HCMFA that is the subject of the notes described in this paragraph? A. I don't remember specifically why 	 Allocation Fund, which was a – a fund managed by Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors. There was a – we – I'm just telling you, there was – there was – there was a – a ultimately a NAV error found in this fund while it was an open-ended fund and, you know, there were amounts owed by the advisor in – in relation to that NAV error. There were also, for the same fund, that same fund was ongoing an open-end-to-close-end conversion, and as part of that proposal, shareholders who voted for the conversion received compensation from the advisor. Q. All right. Now, the events that 	Page 125
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. And did you and Mr. Dondero discuss HCMFA's ability to satisfy the notes if a demand was made at the time this agreement was entered into? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I don't – I don't – I don't recall having a specific conversation, if I did, or – or David Klos. Q. Okay. I'm just asking if you recall any conversations that you had. A. I don't recall. Q. Okay. Do you know why Highland loaned the money to HCMFA that is the subject of the notes described in this paragraph? A. I don't remember specifically why 5.3 million was loaned. I mean, I – it would 	 Allocation Fund, which was a – a fund managed by Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors. There was a – we – I'm just telling you, there was – there was – there was a – a ultimately a NAV error found in this fund while it was an open-ended fund and, you know, there were amounts owed by the advisor in – in relation to that NAV error. There were also, for the same fund, that same fund was ongoing an open-end-to-close-end conversion, and as part of that proposal, shareholders who voted for the conversion received compensation from the advisor. Q. All right. Now, the events that you're describing occurred in the spring of 	Page 125
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. And did you and Mr. Dondero discuss HCMFA's ability to satisfy the notes if a demand was made at the time this agreement was entered into? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I don't – I don't – I don't recall having a specific conversation, if I did, or – or David Klos. Q. Okay. I'm just asking if you recall any conversations that you had. A. I don't recall. Q. Okay. Do you know why Highland loaned the money to HCMFA that is the subject of the notes described in this paragraph? A. I don't remember specifically why 5.3 million was loaned. I mean, I – it would have to be put in the context. 	 Allocation Fund, which was a – a fund managed by Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors. There was a – we – I'm just telling you, there was – there was – there was a – a ultimately a NAV error found in this fund while it was an open-ended fund and, you know, there were amounts owed by the advisor in – in relation to that NAV error. There were also, for the same fund, that same fund was ongoing an open-end-to-close-end conversion, and as part of that proposal, shareholders who voted for the conversion received compensation from the advisor. Q. All right. Now, the events that you're describing occurred in the spring of 2019; right? 	Page 125
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. And did you and Mr. Dondero discuss HCMFA's ability to satisfy the notes if a demand was made at the time this agreement was entered into? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I don't – I don't – I don't recall having a specific conversation, if I did, or – or David Klos. Q. Okay. I'm just asking if you recall any conversations that you had. A. I don't recall. Q. Okay. Do you know why Highland loaned the money to HCMFA that is the subject of the notes described in this paragraph? A. I don't remember specifically why 5.3 million was loaned. I mean, I – it would have to be put in the context. Q. Do you have any recollection at all 	 Allocation Fund, which was a – a fund managed by Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors. There was a – we – I'm just telling you, there was – there was – there was a – a ultimately a NAV error found in this fund while it was an open-ended fund and, you know, there were amounts owed by the advisor in – in relation to that NAV error. There were also, for the same fund, that same fund was ongoing an open-end-to-close-end conversion, and as part of that proposal, shareholders who voted for the conversion received compensation from the advisor. Q. All right. Now, the events that you're describing occurred in the spring of 2019; right? A. These started back – I think, I 	Page 125
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. And did you and Mr. Dondero discuss HCMFA's ability to satisfy the notes if a demand was made at the time this agreement was entered into? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I don't – I don't – I don't recall having a specific conversation, if I did, or – or David Klos. Q. Okay. I'm just asking if you recall any conversations that you had. A. I don't recall. Q. Okay. Do you know why Highland loaned the money to HCMFA that is the subject of the notes described in this paragraph? A. I don't remember specifically why 5.3 million was loaned. I mean, I – it would have to be put in the context. Q. Do you have any recollection at all as to why Highland ever loaned any money to 	 Allocation Fund, which was a – a fund managed by Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors. There was a – we – I'm just telling you, there was – there was – there was a – a ultimately a NAV error found in this fund while it was an open-ended fund and, you know, there were amounts owed by the advisor in – in relation to that NAV error. There were also, for the same fund, that same fund was ongoing an open-end-to-close-end conversion, and as part of that proposal, shareholders who voted for the conversion received compensation from the advisor. Q. All right. Now, the events that you're describing occurred in the spring of 2019; right? A. These started back – I think, I mean – 	Page 125
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. And did you and Mr. Dondero discuss HCMFA's ability to satisfy the notes if a demand was made at the time this agreement was entered into? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I don't – I don't – I don't recall having a specific conversation, if I did, or – or David Klos. Q. Okay. I'm just asking if you recall any conversations that you had. A. I don't recall. Q. Okay. Do you know why Highland loaned the money to HCMFA that is the subject of the notes described in this paragraph? A. I don't remember specifically why 5.3 million was loaned. I mean, I – it would have to be put in the context. Q. Do you have any recollection at all as to why Highland ever loaned any money to HCMFA? 	 Allocation Fund, which was a – a fund managed by Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors. There was a – we – I'm just telling you, there was – there was – there was a – a ultimately a NAV error found in this fund while it was an open-ended fund and, you know, there were amounts owed by the advisor in – in relation to that NAV error. There were also, for the same fund, that same fund was ongoing an open-end-to-close-end conversion, and as part of that proposal, shareholders who voted for the conversion received compensation from the advisor. Q. All right. Now, the events that you're describing occurred in the spring of 2019; right? A. These started back – I think, I mean – Q. I apologize. 	Page 125
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. And did you and Mr. Dondero discuss HCMFA's ability to satisfy the notes if a demand was made at the time this agreement was entered into? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I don't – I don't recall having a specific conversation, if I did, or – or David Klos. Q. Okay. I'm just asking if you recall any conversations that you had. A. I don't recall. Q. Okay. Do you know why Highland loaned the money to HCMFA that is the subject of the notes described in this paragraph? A. I don't remember specifically why 5.3 million was loaned. I mean, I – it would have to be put in the context. Q. Do you have any recollection at all as to why Highland ever loaned any money to HCMFA? A. Yes. 	 Allocation Fund, which was a – a fund managed by Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors. There was a – we – I'm just telling you, there was – there was – there was a – a ultimately a NAV error found in this fund while it was an open-ended fund and, you know, there were amounts owed by the advisor in – in relation to that NAV error. There were also, for the same fund, that same fund was ongoing an open-end-to-close-end conversion, and as part of that proposal, shareholders who voted for the conversion received compensation from the advisor. Q. All right. Now, the events that you're describing occurred in the spring of 2019; right? A. These started back – I think, I mean – Q. I apologize. A. – that – I mean, the answer to 	Page 125
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. And did you and Mr. Dondero discuss HCMFA's ability to satisfy the notes if a demand was made at the time this agreement was entered into? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I don't – I don't – I don't recall having a specific conversation, if I did, or – or David Klos. Q. Okay. I'm just asking if you recall any conversations that you had. A. I don't recall. Q. Okay. Do you know why Highland loaned the money to HCMFA that is the subject of the notes described in this paragraph? A. I don't remember specifically why 5.3 million was loaned. I mean, I – it would have to be put in the context. Q. Do you have any recollection at all as to why Highland ever loaned any money to HCMFA? A. Yes. MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 	 Allocation Fund, which was a – a fund managed by Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors. There was a – we – I'm just telling you, there was – there was – there was a – a ultimately a NAV error found in this fund while it was an open-ended fund and, you know, there were amounts owed by the advisor in – in relation to that NAV error. There were also, for the same fund, that same fund was ongoing an open-end-to-close-end conversion, and as part of that proposal, shareholders who voted for the conversion received compensation from the advisor. Q. All right. Now, the events that you're describing occurred in the spring of 2019; right? A. These started back – I think, I mean – Q. I apologize. A. – that – I mean, the answer to that is no. 	Page 125
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. And did you and Mr. Dondero discuss HCMFA's ability to satisfy the notes if a demand was made at the time this agreement was entered into? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I don't – I don't recall having a specific conversation, if I did, or – or David Klos. Q. Okay. I'm just asking if you recall any conversations that you had. A. I don't recall. Q. Okay. Do you know why Highland loaned the money to HCMFA that is the subject of the notes described in this paragraph? A. I don't remember specifically why 5.3 million was loaned. I mean, I – it would have to be put in the context. Q. Do you have any recollection at all as to why Highland ever loaned any money to HCMFA? A. Yes. 	 Allocation Fund, which was a – a fund managed by Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors. There was a – we – I'm just telling you, there was – there was – there was a – a ultimately a NAV error found in this fund while it was an open-ended fund and, you know, there were amounts owed by the advisor in – in relation to that NAV error. There were also, for the same fund, that same fund was ongoing an open-end-to-close-end conversion, and as part of that proposal, shareholders who voted for the conversion received compensation from the advisor. Q. All right. Now, the events that you're describing occurred in the spring of 2019; right? A. These started back – I think, I mean – Q. I apologize. A. – that – I mean, the answer to 	Page 125

Casse 211-0330005-sogj Door: 1/486-3 Frided 012/05/221 Entered 012/05/221 18/1/87:442 Prage 6647 off 18919

	Page 126			Page 127
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	5
2	describing occurred in May 2019; right?	2	what is the reason you recall Highland lending	
3	MR. RUKAVINA: Objection to the	3	money to NexPoint?	
4	extent that calls for a legal conclusion.	4	A. I mean, I was just – I just – I	
5	A. I don't recall specifically what	5	just recall. I mean, I just – I don't	
6	amounts of money were moved when, for what	6	remember why.	
7	purpose.	7	Q. I understand. And I'm asking you if	
8	Q. Okay. Fair enough. Going to the	8	you recall –	
9	next paragraph, do you recall that NexPoint	9	A. Oh, why – I thought you say –	
10	Advisors had obtained a number of loans from	10	NexPoint Advisors was launching a fund which	
11	Highland, and they rolled up those loans into	11	is – I believe that the legal name is NexPoint	
12	one note in approximately 2017?	12	Capital, Inc. And it – it provided a	
13	A. This is for NexPoint Advisors?	13	co-invest into that fund.	
14	Q. Yes.	14	And, from what I remember, the	
15	A. I – I mean, I don't – I don't	15	the that NexPoint borrowed money from	
16	recall the NexPoint Advisors loan being a	16	Highland at the time to make that co-invest.	
17	roll-up loan, but –	17	Q. So this was an investment that	
18	Q. Do you know why?	18	NexPoint was required to make; is that right?	
19	A. But, look, if you have documents	19	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	
20	that show – I mean, look, I just don't recall.	20	A. I don't know if it was required to	
21	Q. Okay. That is fair. Do you know	21	make, I don't recall that, or if it just made	
1	why – do you have any recollection as to why	22	it.	
1	Highland loaned money to NexPoint?	23	Q. Okay. But your recollection is that	
24	A. Yes.	24	NexPoint made an investment and they borrowed	
25	Q. Why did High – why do you recall –	25	money from Highland to finance the investment.	
1	Page 128 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 129
2	Do I have that right?	2	to why HCMS borrowed money from Highland?	
3	A. Yes.	3	A. For – for investment purposes.	
4	Q. How about HCRE? Do you know why	4	Q. So it is the same thing, HCMS wanted	
1	HCRE borrowed money from Highland?	5	to make investments and it borrowed money from	
6	A. I don't remember specifically.	6	Highland in order to finance those investments;	
7	Q. Do you remember generally?	7	is that right?	
8	A. Generally, yeah – I mean, yes.	8	A. I mean, yes, generally. I mean, I	
9	Q. Can you tell me your general	9	can't – I don't – on the services, there –	
	recollection as to why Highland loaned money to	10	there are several loans in these schedules.	
1	HCRE?	11	You know, I can't remember why every single one	
12	A. For – for – for investment	12	of these were made, but I would say, yeah, I	
1	purposes.	13	mean, generally.	
14	Q. So HCRE made the investment and it	14	Q. Okay. I appreciate that.	
1	obtained a loan, or loans, from Highland in	14	MR. MORRIS: Let's go to the page	
	order to finance that investment or those	16	with Bates No. 251. La Asia, are you	
	investments.	17	there?	
18	Do I have that right?	18	MS. CANTY: Sorry, John. It went	
10	A. I mean, I – you know, generally.	10	out for a minute. Can you say that again.	
20	 A. Thean, I – you know, generally. Q. Okay. How about Highland Management 	20	I don't know what is going on.	
20	Services, Inc.?	20		
21			MR. MORRIS: The page with Bates	
1	Do you have any recollection as to	22	No. 251, can we go to that.	
23 24	why HCMS borrowed money from Highland?	23	MS. CANTY: Yes, sorry.	
24	A. Generally.	24	MR. MORRIS: Keep going to the	
25	Q. What is your general recollection as	25	bottom. Yeah, there you go.	

	D 100			D 101
1	Page 130 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 131
2	Q. Do you see, Mr. Waterhouse, that	2	subsequent event relating to affiliate loans be	
3	there is a section there called Subsequent	3	captured in this section if they were – if	
4	Events?	4	they were made after the end of the fiscal year	
5	A. I do.	5	and prior to the issuance of the audit report?	
6	Q. And does this relate to the last	6	A. Yes, if they were deemed material or	
7	sentence above the signature line on the	7	disclosable.	
8	management representation letter that we talked	8	Q. Okay. I appreciate that.	
9	about earlier where you made the representation	9	Do you see the next to the last	
10	that you disclosed subsequent events?	10	entry there? It says, Over the course of 2019	
11	A. I mean, it relates to it, but not in	11	through the report date, HCMFA issued	
12	its entirety.	12	promissory notes to the partnership in the	
13	Q. Okay.	12	aggregate amount of \$7.4 million?	
13	MR. MORRIS: If we can scroll up to	14	A. Yes.	
1				
15	capture the entirety of this section right	15	Q. And does that refresh your recollection that those are the notes that	
16	here.	16		
17	Q. And what do you mean by that, sir?	17	related to the NAV error that you mentioned	
18	MR. MORRIS: Yeah, right there.	18	earlier?	
19	Perfect.	19	A. I don't – I don't remember the	
20	A. There are – there are different	20	exact. Again, there are – I mentioned two	
21	subsequent events in – under GAAP. So there	21	line items; right?	
22	are – and – and – so what we see in the	22	Q. Yes.	
23	notes to the financial statements are one type	23	A. I mean, it was the GAAP conversion	
24	of subevent.	24	process plus the – the NAV error. I don't	
25	Q. Okay. And – and would the type of	25	have the details. I don't recall specifically	
	Page 132			Page 133
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	
2	if – you know, what – if that 7.4 million was	2	date, right, if – if my memory serves me	
3	solely attributable to the NAV error.	3	right.	
4	Q. Okay. But there is no question that	4	Q. Yes, I will represent to you that	
5	Highland told PricewaterhouseCoopers that over	5	your memory is accurate in that regard.	
6	the course of 2019 HCMFA issued promissory	6	Did anybody ever instruct you as the	
7	notes to the partnership in the aggregate	7	CFO to correct this statement that we're	
8	amount of \$7.4 million; correct?	8	looking at in subsequent events?	
9	A. In the course of the audit, we would	9	A. So let me understand. You're saying	
10	have produced all promissory notes in our	10	when I was CFO at Highland Capital did anyone	
11	possession, including the ones that are	11	ever ask me to correct the over the course	
12	detailed here.	12	of 2019 through the report date HCMFA issued	
13	Q. Do you recall that you signed the	13	promissory notes, this statement?	
14	two promissory notes that are referenced in	14	Q. Right.	
15	that provision?	15	A. Not that I'm aware.	
16	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	16	Q. While you were the CFO of Highland,	
17	A. I didn't recall initially but I've	17	did anybody ever tell you that that sentence	
18	been reminded.	18	was wrong?	
19	Q. Okay. And – and do you recall that	19	A. Not that I'm aware.	
20	those notes are dated May 2nd and May 3rd,	20	Q. Highland withdrawn.	
21	2019?	21	HCMFA disclosed these notes in its	
22	A. Yes.	22	own audited financial statements; right?	
23	Q. So that was just a month before the	23	MR. RUKAVINA: Objection, form.	
24	audit was completed; correct?	24	A. I assume that these would be	
25	A. Yes. I think we had a June 3rd	25	material if these are material financial	

-	
Page 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 134 Page 135
2 statements, yes, they they they should be	2 June 3rd. It could have been done on June 4th.
3 and they were likely disclosed.	3 I don't – I don't – I don't recall.
4 Q. Now, there is no statement	4 Q. Okay.
5 concerning the 2019 notes about the forbearance	5 MR. MORRIS: Can we put up on the
6 that we looked at in the affiliated note	6 screen the HCMFA audit report. And while
7 section of the report; right?	7 we're -
8 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	8 MS. DANDENEAU: What exhibit is
9 Q. I'll withdraw. That was bad.	9 this?
10 Do you recall when we were looking	10 MR. MORRIS: La Asia, what number is
11 at the paragraph concerning HCMFA earlier it	11 that?
12 had that disclosure about the agreement whereby	12 MS. CANTY: 45.
13 Highland wouldn't ask for demand on the – on	13 MR. MORRIS: So this will be marked
14 the HCMFA notes?	14 as Exhibit 45.
-	
15 A. Yes.	15 (Exhibit 45 marked.)
16 Q. That forbearance disclosure is not	16 MS. CANTY: Yeah, and I will put it
17 made with respect to the 2019 notes; right?	17 in the chat.
18 A. Not – look, not that I can recall,	18 MS. DANDENEAU: Thank you.
19 unless – unless it was done at a subsequent	19 Q. Okay. All right. Do you see that
20 day.	20 this is the consolidated financial statements
21 Q. Right. And it is not in the	21 for HCMFA for the period ending 12/31/18?
22 subsequent event section that we're looking at	22 A. Yes.
23 right now where the 2019 notes are described;	23 Q. As the treasurer of HCMFA at the
24 right?	24 time, did you have to sign a management
25 A. Right. But this is through	25 representation letter similar to the one that
Page	
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21
2 we looked at earlier for Highland?	2 Q. Okay. PricewaterhouseCoopers served
3 A. I would imagine I would have been	3 as HCMFA's outside auditors as well; correct?
4 asked to. I don't recall if I did.	4 A. Yes.
5 Q. Do you recall ever being asked by an	5 Q. Do you see that this audit report is
I 6 auditor to cian a management representation	
6 auditor to sign a management representation	6 signed on June 3rd, 2019, just like the
7 letter and then not doing it?	6 signed on June 3rd, 2019, just like the7 Highland audit report?
7 letter and then not doing it?8 A. No.	6 signed on June 3rd, 2019, just like the7 Highland audit report?8 A. That is correct.
 7 letter and then not doing it? 8 A. No. 9 MR. MORRIS: Can we just scroll down 	 6 signed on June 3rd, 2019, just like the 7 Highland audit report? 8 A. That is correct. 9 Q. And did the process of – of
 7 letter and then not doing it? 8 A. No. 9 MR. MORRIS: Can we just scroll down 10 again. I just want to see the date of the 	 6 signed on June 3rd, 2019, just like the 7 Highland audit report? 8 A. That is correct. 9 Q. And did the process of – of 10 preparing HCMFA's audit report, was that the
 7 letter and then not doing it? 8 A. No. 9 MR. MORRIS: Can we just scroll down 10 again. I just want to see the date of the 11 document. 	 6 signed on June 3rd, 2019, just like the 7 Highland audit report? 8 A. That is correct. 9 Q. And did the process of – of 10 preparing HCMFA's audit report, was that the 11 same process that Highland followed when it did
 7 letter and then not doing it? 8 A. No. 9 MR. MORRIS: Can we just scroll down 10 again. I just want to see the date of the 11 document. 12 A. I mean, let me – you know, there 	 6 signed on June 3rd, 2019, just like the 7 Highland audit report? 8 A. That is correct. 9 Q. And did the process of – of 10 preparing HCMFA's audit report, was that the 11 same process that Highland followed when it did 12 its audit report at this time?
 7 letter and then not doing it? 8 A. No. 9 MR. MORRIS: Can we just scroll down 10 again. I just want to see the date of the 11 document. 12 A. I mean, let me – you know, there 13 are different versions to management 	 6 signed on June 3rd, 2019, just like the 7 Highland audit report? 8 A. That is correct. 9 Q. And did the process of – of 10 preparing HCMFA's audit report, was that the 11 same process that Highland followed when it did 12 its audit report at this time? 13 A. I mean, it is a different entity.
 7 letter and then not doing it? 8 A. No. 9 MR. MORRIS: Can we just scroll down 10 again. I just want to see the date of the 11 document. 12 A. I mean, let me – you know, there 13 are different versions to management 14 representation letters I will qualify. 	 6 signed on June 3rd, 2019, just like the 7 Highland audit report? 8 A. That is correct. 9 Q. And did the process of – of 10 preparing HCMFA's audit report, was that the 11 same process that Highland followed when it did 12 its audit report at this time?
 7 letter and then not doing it? 8 A. No. 9 MR. MORRIS: Can we just scroll down 10 again. I just want to see the date of the 11 document. 12 A. I mean, let me you know, there 13 are different versions to management 14 representation letters I will qualify. 15 Yes, there are certain from time 	 6 signed on June 3rd, 2019, just like the 7 Highland audit report? 8 A. That is correct. 9 Q. And did the process of – of 10 preparing HCMFA's audit report, was that the 11 same process that Highland followed when it did 12 its audit report at this time? 13 A. I mean, it is a different entity.
 7 letter and then not doing it? 8 A. No. 9 MR. MORRIS: Can we just scroll down 10 again. I just want to see the date of the 11 document. 12 A. I mean, let me – you know, there 13 are different versions to management 14 representation letters I will qualify. 	 6 signed on June 3rd, 2019, just like the 7 Highland audit report? 8 A. That is correct. 9 Q. And did the process of – of 10 preparing HCMFA's audit report, was that the 11 same process that Highland followed when it did 12 its audit report at this time? 13 A. I mean, it is a different entity. 14 There are different assets. You know, it –
 7 letter and then not doing it? 8 A. No. 9 MR. MORRIS: Can we just scroll down 10 again. I just want to see the date of the 11 document. 12 A. I mean, let me you know, there 13 are different versions to management 14 representation letters I will qualify. 15 Yes, there are certain from time 	 6 signed on June 3rd, 2019, just like the 7 Highland audit report? 8 A. That is correct. 9 Q. And did the process of – of 10 preparing HCMFA's audit report, was that the 11 same process that Highland followed when it did 12 its audit report at this time? 13 A. I mean, it is a different entity. 14 There are different assets. You know, it – 15 it – it is – as you saw, Highland's
 7 letter and then not doing it? 8 A. No. 9 MR. MORRIS: Can we just scroll down 10 again. I just want to see the date of the 11 document. 12 A. I mean, let me – you know, there 13 are different versions to management 14 representation letters I will qualify. 15 Yes, there are certain – from time 16 to time auditors can make representations 	 6 signed on June 3rd, 2019, just like the 7 Highland audit report? 8 A. That is correct. 9 Q. And did the process of – of 10 preparing HCMFA's audit report, was that the 11 same process that Highland followed when it did 12 its audit report at this time? 13 A. I mean, it is a different entity. 14 There are different assets. You know, it – 15 it – it is – as you saw, Highland's 16 financials are on a consolidated basis. This
 7 letter and then not doing it? 8 A. No. 9 MR. MORRIS: Can we just scroll down 10 again. I just want to see the date of the 11 document. 12 A. I mean, let me – you know, there 13 are different versions to management 14 representation letters I will qualify. 15 Yes, there are certain – from time 16 to time auditors can make representations 17 that – in the rep letter that is being 	 6 signed on June 3rd, 2019, just like the 7 Highland audit report? 8 A. That is correct. 9 Q. And did the process of – of 10 preparing HCMFA's audit report, was that the 11 same process that Highland followed when it did 12 its audit report at this time? 13 A. I mean, it is a different entity. 14 There are different assets. You know, it – 15 it – it is – as you saw, Highland's 16 financials are on a consolidated basis. This 17 is different, so it is under the same control
 7 letter and then not doing it? 8 A. No. 9 MR. MORRIS: Can we just scroll down 10 again. I just want to see the date of the 11 document. 12 A. I mean, let me – you know, there 13 are different versions to management 14 representation letters I will qualify. 15 Yes, there are certain – from time 16 to time auditors can make representations 17 that – in the rep letter that is being 18 proposed that are inaccurate or out of scope or 	 6 signed on June 3rd, 2019, just like the 7 Highland audit report? 8 A. That is correct. 9 Q. And did the process of – of 10 preparing HCMFA's audit report, was that the 11 same process that Highland followed when it did 12 its audit report at this time? 13 A. I mean, it is a different entity. 14 There are different assets. You know, it – 15 it – it is – as you saw, Highland's 16 financials are on a consolidated basis. This 17 is different, so it is under the same control 18 environment and team.
 7 letter and then not doing it? 8 A. No. 9 MR. MORRIS: Can we just scroll down 10 again. I just want to see the date of the 11 document. 12 A. I mean, let me you know, there 13 are different versions to management 14 representation letters I will qualify. 15 Yes, there are certain from time 16 to time auditors can make representations 17 that in the rep letter that is being 18 proposed that are inaccurate or out of scope or 19 things like that and they've asked for 	 6 signed on June 3rd, 2019, just like the 7 Highland audit report? 8 A. That is correct. 9 Q. And did the process of – of 10 preparing HCMFA's audit report, was that the 11 same process that Highland followed when it did 12 its audit report at this time? 13 A. I mean, it is a different entity. 14 There are different assets. You know, it – 15 it – it is – as you saw, Highland's 16 financials are on a consolidated basis. This 17 is different, so it is under the same control 18 environment and team. 19 Q. Okay. I appreciate that. So the
 7 letter and then not doing it? 8 A. No. 9 MR. MORRIS: Can we just scroll down 10 again. I just want to see the date of the 11 document. 12 A. I mean, let me – you know, there 13 are different versions to management 14 representation letters I will qualify. 15 Yes, there are certain – from time 16 to time auditors can make representations 17 that – in the rep letter that is being 18 proposed that are inaccurate or out of scope or 19 things like that and they've asked for 20 signature. 	 6 signed on June 3rd, 2019, just like the 7 Highland audit report? 8 A. That is correct. 9 Q. And did the process of – of 10 preparing HCMFA's audit report, was that the 11 same process that Highland followed when it did 12 its audit report at this time? 13 A. I mean, it is a different entity. 14 There are different assets. You know, it – 15 it – it is – as you saw, Highland's 16 financials are on a consolidated basis. This 17 is different, so it is under the same control 18 environment and team. 19 Q. Okay. I appreciate that. So the 20 same control environment and team participated
 7 letter and then not doing it? 8 A. No. 9 MR. MORRIS: Can we just scroll down 10 again. I just want to see the date of the 11 document. 12 A. I mean, let me – you know, there 13 are different versions to management 14 representation letters I will qualify. 15 Yes, there are certain – from time 16 to time auditors can make representations 17 that – in the rep letter that is being 18 proposed that are inaccurate or out of scope or 19 things like that and they've asked for 20 signature. 21 In that context, yes. I mean, you 	 6 signed on June 3rd, 2019, just like the 7 Highland audit report? 8 A. That is correct. 9 Q. And did the process of – of 10 preparing HCMFA's audit report, was that the 11 same process that Highland followed when it did 12 its audit report at this time? 13 A. I mean, it is a different entity. 14 There are different assets. You know, it – 15 it – it is – as you saw, Highland's 16 financials are on a consolidated basis. This 17 is different, so it is under the same control 18 environment and team. 19 Q. Okay. I appreciate that. So the 20 same control environment and team participated 21 in the preparation of the audit for Highland
 7 letter and then not doing it? 8 A. No. 9 MR. MORRIS: Can we just scroll down 10 again. I just want to see the date of the 11 document. 12 A. I mean, let me – you know, there 13 are different versions to management 14 representation letters I will qualify. 15 Yes, there are certain – from time 16 to time auditors can make representations 17 that – in the rep letter that is being 18 proposed that are inaccurate or out of scope or 19 things like that and they've asked for 20 signature. 21 In that context, yes. I mean, you 22 know – I mean, if I have been asked to sign 	 6 signed on June 3rd, 2019, just like the 7 Highland audit report? 8 A. That is correct. 9 Q. And did the process of – of 10 preparing HCMFA's audit report, was that the 11 same process that Highland followed when it did 12 its audit report at this time? 13 A. I mean, it is a different entity. 14 There are different assets. You know, it – 15 it – it is – as you saw, Highland's 16 financials are on a consolidated basis. This 17 is different, so it is under the same control 18 environment and team. 19 Q. Okay. I appreciate that. So the 20 same control environment and team participated 21 in the preparation of the audit for Highland 22 and for HCMFA at around the same time; correct?
 7 letter and then not doing it? 8 A. No. 9 MR. MORRIS: Can we just scroll down 10 again. I just want to see the date of the 11 document. 12 A. I mean, let me – you know, there 13 are different versions to management 14 representation letters I will qualify. 15 Yes, there are certain – from time 16 to time auditors can make representations 17 that – in the rep letter that is being 18 proposed that are inaccurate or out of scope or 19 things like that and they've asked for 20 signature. 21 In that context, yes. I mean, you 22 know – I mean, if I have been asked to sign 23 and make those representations and those 	 6 signed on June 3rd, 2019, just like the 7 Highland audit report? 8 A. That is correct. 9 Q. And did the process of – of 10 preparing HCMFA's audit report, was that the 11 same process that Highland followed when it did 12 its audit report at this time? 13 A. I mean, it is a different entity. 14 There are different assets. You know, it – 15 it – it is – as you saw, Highland's 16 financials are on a consolidated basis. This 17 is different, so it is under the same control 18 environment and tearm. 19 Q. Okay. I appreciate that. So the 20 same control environment and team participated 21 in the preparation of the audit for Highland 22 and for HCMFA at around the same time; correct? 23 A. Yes.

Dere 1		Dama 400
Page 1 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 139
2 Q. Okay. Do you see that just like	2 Q. I apologize if I asked you this	
3 Highland's audited financial report, HCMFA's	3 already, but has anybody ever told you at any	
4 audited financial report also has a section	4 time that you are not authorized to sign the	
5 related to subsequent events?	5 promissory notes that are the subject of the	
6 A. Yes.	6 sentence we're looking at?	
7 Q. And am I reading this correctly that	7 A. Not that I recall.	
8 just as Highland had done, HCMFA disclosed in	8 Q. Did anybody ever tell you at any	
9 its audited financial report a subsequent event	9 time that you had made a mistake when you	
10 that related to the issuance of promissory	10 signed the promissory notes that are the	
11 notes to Highland in the aggregate amount of	11 subject of this sentence?	
12 \$7.4 million in 2019?	12 A. Say that again. Did anyone ever say	
13 A. That is what I see in the report.	13 that I made a mistake?	
14 Q. And you were the treasurer of HCMFA	14 Q. Let me ask the question again.	
15 at the time; right?	15 Did anybody ever tell you at any	
16 A. Yes, to the best of my knowledge.	16 time that you made a mistake when you signed	
17 Q. And did anybody ever tell you prior	17 the two promissory notes in Highland's favor on	
18 to the time of the issuance of this audit	18 behalf of HCMFA in 2019?	
19 report that that sentence relating to HCMFA's	19 A. Not that I recall.	
20 2019 notes was inaccurate or wrong in any way?	20 MR. MORRIS: Let's just look at the	
21 A. Not that I recall.	21 promissory notes quickly. Can we please	
22 Q. As you sit here right now, has	22 put up Document Number 1, and so this is in	
23 anybody ever told you that that sentence is	23 the pile that y'all have. We'll just go	
24 inaccurate or wrong in any way?	24 for a few more minutes and we can take our	
25 A. Not that I recall.	25 lunch break.	
Page 1		Page 141
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	
2 Q. All right. So I don't know if you	2 Q. Is that your signature, sir?	
2 Q. All right. So I don't know if you3 have seen this before, sir. Do you see that	 Q. Is that your signature, sir? A. Yes, it is. 	
2 Q. All right. So I don't know if you3 have seen this before, sir. Do you see that4 this is a complaint against HCMFA?	 Q. Is that your signature, sir? A. Yes, it is. Q. And did you sign this document on or 	
 Q. All right. So I don't know if you have seen this before, sir. Do you see that this is a complaint against HCMFA? A. Yes, I am looking at it on the 	 2 Q. Is that your signature, sir? 3 A. Yes, it is. 4 Q. And did you sign this document on or 5 around May 2nd, 2019? 	
 Q. All right. So I don't know if you have seen this before, sir. Do you see that this is a complaint against HCMFA? A. Yes, I am looking at it on the screen. 	 2 Q. Is that your signature, sir? 3 A. Yes, it is. 4 Q. And did you sign this document on or 5 around May 2nd, 2019? 6 A. I don't recall specifically signing 	
 Q. All right. So I don't know if you have seen this before, sir. Do you see that this is a complaint against HCMFA? A. Yes, I am looking at it on the screen. Q. Okay. And have you ever seen this 	 Q. Is that your signature, sir? A. Yes, it is. Q. And did you sign this document on or around May 2nd, 2019? A. I don't recall specifically signing 7 this, but this is my signature. 	
 Q. All right. So I don't know if you have seen this before, sir. Do you see that this is a complaint against HCMFA? A. Yes, I am looking at it on the screen. Q. Okay. And have you ever seen this document before? 	 2 Q. Is that your signature, sir? 3 A. Yes, it is. 4 Q. And did you sign this document on or 5 around May 2nd, 2019? 6 A. I don't recall specifically signing 7 this, but this is my signature. 8 Q. Okay. And do you recall that 	
 Q. All right. So I don't know if you have seen this before, sir. Do you see that this is a complaint against HCMFA? A. Yes, I am looking at it on the screen. Q. Okay. And have you ever seen this document before? A. I went through some of these 	 Q. Is that your signature, sir? A. Yes, it is. Q. And did you sign this document on or around May 2nd, 2019? A. I don't recall specifically signing this, but this is my signature. Q. Okay. And do you recall that Highland transferred \$2.4 million to HCMFA at 	
 Q. All right. So I don't know if you have seen this before, sir. Do you see that this is a complaint against HCMFA? A. Yes, I am looking at it on the screen. Q. Okay. And have you ever seen this document before? A. I went through some of these documents with my counsel here yesterday. 	 Q. Is that your signature, sir? A. Yes, it is. Q. And did you sign this document on or around May 2nd, 2019? A. I don't recall specifically signing this, but this is my signature. Q. Okay. And do you recall that Highland transferred \$2.4 million to HCMFA at or around the time you signed this document? 	
 Q. All right. So I don't know if you have seen this before, sir. Do you see that this is a complaint against HCMFA? A. Yes, I am looking at it on the screen. Q. Okay. And have you ever seen this document before? A. I went through some of these documents with my counsel here yesterday. MR. MORRIS: All right. Can we go 	 Q. Is that your signature, sir? A. Yes, it is. Q. And did you sign this document on or around May 2nd, 2019? A. I don't recall specifically signing this, but this is my signature. Q. Okay. And do you recall that Highland transferred \$2.4 million to HCMFA at or around the time you signed this document? A. I don't recall specifically. I 	
 Q. All right. So I don't know if you have seen this before, sir. Do you see that this is a complaint against HCMFA? A. Yes, I am looking at it on the screen. Q. Okay. And have you ever seen this document before? A. I went through some of these documents with my counsel here yesterday. MR. MORRIS: All right. Can we go to Exhibit 1 of this document. 	 Q. Is that your signature, sir? A. Yes, it is. Q. And did you sign this document on or around May 2nd, 2019? A. I don't recall specifically signing this, but this is my signature. Q. Okay. And do you recall that Highland transferred \$2.4 million to HCMFA at or around the time you signed this document? A. I don't recall specifically. I would want to, as I sit here today, go back and 	
 Q. All right. So I don't know if you have seen this before, sir. Do you see that this is a complaint against HCMFA? A. Yes, I am looking at it on the screen. Q. Okay. And have you ever seen this document before? A. I went through some of these documents with my counsel here yesterday. MR. MORRIS: All right. Can we go to Exhibit 1 of this document. Q. Do you see Exhibit 1 is a 	 Q. Is that your signature, sir? A. Yes, it is. Q. And did you sign this document on or around May 2nd, 2019? A. I don't recall specifically signing this, but this is my signature. Q. Okay. And do you recall that Highland transferred \$2.4 million to HCMFA at or around the time you signed this document? A. I don't recall specifically. I would want to, as I sit here today, go back and confirm that, but again, presumably that – 	
 Q. All right. So I don't know if you have seen this before, sir. Do you see that this is a complaint against HCMFA? A. Yes, I am looking at it on the screen. Q. Okay. And have you ever seen this document before? A. I went through some of these documents with my counsel here yesterday. MR. MORRIS: All right. Can we go to Exhibit 1 of this document. Q. Do you see Exhibit 1 is a \$2.4 million promissory note back in 2019? 	 Q. Is that your signature, sir? A. Yes, it is. Q. And did you sign this document on or around May 2nd, 2019? A. I don't recall specifically signing this, but this is my signature. Q. Okay. And do you recall that Highland transferred \$2.4 million to HCMFA at or around the time you signed this document? A. I don't recall specifically. I would want to, as I sit here today, go back and confirm that, but again, presumably that that that did happen. 	
 Q. All right. So I don't know if you have seen this before, sir. Do you see that this is a complaint against HCMFA? A. Yes, I am looking at it on the screen. Q. Okay. And have you ever seen this document before? A. I went through some of these documents with my counsel here yesterday. MR. MORRIS: All right. Can we go to Exhibit 1 of this document. Q. Do you see Exhibit 1 is a \$2.4 million promissory note back in 2019? A. Yeah, I found it in the book. Yes, 	 Q. Is that your signature, sir? A. Yes, it is. Q. And did you sign this document on or around May 2nd, 2019? A. I don't recall specifically signing this, but this is my signature. Q. Okay. And do you recall that Highland transferred \$2.4 million to HCMFA at or around the time you signed this document? A. I don't recall specifically. I would want to, as I sit here today, go back and confirm that, but again, presumably that – that – that did happen. Q. You wouldn't have signed this 	
 Q. All right. So I don't know if you have seen this before, sir. Do you see that this is a complaint against HCMFA? A. Yes, I am looking at it on the screen. Q. Okay. And have you ever seen this document before? A. I went through some of these documents with my counsel here yesterday. MR. MORRIS: All right. Can we go to Exhibit 1 of this document. Q. Do you see Exhibit 1 is a \$2.4 million promissory note back in 2019? A. Yeah, I found it in the book. Yes, I have it here in front of me. 	 Q. Is that your signature, sir? A. Yes, it is. Q. And did you sign this document on or around May 2nd, 2019? A. I don't recall specifically signing this, but this is my signature. Q. Okay. And do you recall that Highland transferred \$2.4 million to HCMFA at or around the time you signed this document? A. I don't recall specifically. I would want to, as I sit here today, go back and confirm that, but again, presumably that that that did happen. Q. You wouldn't have signed this document if you didn't believe that HCMFA 	
 Q. All right. So I don't know if you have seen this before, sir. Do you see that this is a complaint against HCMFA? A. Yes, I am looking at it on the screen. Q. Okay. And have you ever seen this document before? A. I went through some of these documents with my counsel here yesterday. MR. MORRIS: All right. Can we go to Exhibit 1 of this document. Q. Do you see Exhibit 1 is a \$2.4 million promissory note back in 2019? A. Yeah, I found it in the book. Yes, I have it here in front of me. Q. And this is a demand note, right, if 	 Q. Is that your signature, sir? A. Yes, it is. Q. And did you sign this document on or around May 2nd, 2019? A. I don't recall specifically signing this, but this is my signature. Q. Okay. And do you recall that Highland transferred \$2.4 million to HCMFA at or around the time you signed this document? A. I don't recall specifically. I would want to, as I sit here today, go back and confirm that, but again, presumably that – that – that did happen. Q. You wouldn't believe that HCMFA either received or was going to receive 	
 Q. All right. So I don't know if you have seen this before, sir. Do you see that this is a complaint against HCMFA? A. Yes, I am looking at it on the screen. Q. Okay. And have you ever seen this document before? A. I went through some of these documents with my counsel here yesterday. MR. MORRIS: All right. Can we go to Exhibit 1 of this document. Q. Do you see Exhibit 1 is a \$2.4 million promissory note back in 2019? A. Yeah, I found it in the book. Yes, I have it here in front of me. Q. And this is a demand note, right, if you look at Paragraph 2? 	 Q. Is that your signature, sir? A. Yes, it is. Q. And did you sign this document on or around May 2nd, 2019? A. I don't recall specifically signing this, but this is my signature. Q. Okay. And do you recall that Highland transferred \$2.4 million to HCMFA at or around the time you signed this document? A. I don't recall specifically. I would want to, as I sit here today, go back and confirm that, but again, presumably that that that did happen. Q. You wouldn't have signed this document if you didn't believe that HCMFA either received or was going to receive \$2.4 million from Highland; is that fair? 	
 Q. All right. So I don't know if you have seen this before, sir. Do you see that this is a complaint against HCMFA? A. Yes, I am looking at it on the screen. Q. Okay. And have you ever seen this document before? A. I went through some of these documents with my counsel here yesterday. MR. MORRIS: All right. Can we go to Exhibit 1 of this document. Q. Do you see Exhibit 1 is a \$2.4 million promissory note back in 2019? A. Yeah, I found it in the book. Yes, I have it here in front of me. Q. And this is a demand note, right, if you look at Paragraph 2? A. Yes. 	 Q. Is that your signature, sir? A. Yes, it is. Q. And did you sign this document on or around May 2nd, 2019? A. I don't recall specifically signing this, but this is my signature. Q. Okay. And do you recall that Highland transferred \$2.4 million to HCMFA at or around the time you signed this document? A. I don't recall specifically. I would want to, as I sit here today, go back and confirm that, but again, presumably that that that did happen. Q. You wouldn't have signed this document if you didn't believe that HCMFA either received or was going to receive \$2.4 million from Highland; is that fair? A. I mean, it if if if there 	
 Q. All right. So I don't know if you have seen this before, sir. Do you see that this is a complaint against HCMFA? A. Yes, I am looking at it on the screen. Q. Okay. And have you ever seen this document before? A. I went through some of these documents with my counsel here yesterday. MR. MORRIS: All right. Can we go to Exhibit 1 of this document. Q. Do you see Exhibit 1 is a \$2.4 million promissory note back in 2019? A. Yeah, I found it in the book. Yes, I have it here in front of me. Q. And this is a demand note, right, if you look at Paragraph 2? A. Yes. Q. And this is a note where the maker 	 Q. Is that your signature, sir? A. Yes, it is. Q. And did you sign this document on or around May 2nd, 2019? A. I don't recall specifically signing this, but this is my signature. Q. Okay. And do you recall that Highland transferred \$2.4 million to HCMFA at or around the time you signed this document? A. I don't recall specifically. I would want to, as I sit here today, go back and confirm that, but again, presumably that – that – that did happen. Q. You wouldn't have signed this document if you didn't believe that HCMFA either received or was going to receive \$2.4 million from Highland; is that fair? A. I mean, it – if – if there wasn't a transfer of value, yeah, I mean, you 	
 Q. All right. So I don't know if you have seen this before, sir. Do you see that this is a complaint against HCMFA? A. Yes, I am looking at it on the screen. Q. Okay. And have you ever seen this document before? A. I went through some of these documents with my counsel here yesterday. MR. MORRIS: All right. Can we go to Exhibit 1 of this document. Q. Do you see Exhibit 1 is a \$2.4 million promissory note back in 2019? A. Yeah, I found it in the book. Yes, I have it here in front of me. Q. And this is a demand note, right, if you look at Paragraph 2? A. Yes. Q. And this is a note where the maker is HCMFA, and Highland is the payee; right? 	 Q. Is that your signature, sir? A. Yes, it is. Q. And did you sign this document on or around May 2nd, 2019? A. I don't recall specifically signing this, but this is my signature. Q. Okay. And do you recall that Highland transferred \$2.4 million to HCMFA at or around the time you signed this document? A. I don't recall specifically. I would want to, as I sit here today, go back and confirm that, but again, presumably that – that – that did happen. Q. You wouldn't believe that HCMFA either received or was going to receive \$2.4 million from Highland; is that fair? A. I mean, it – if – if – if there wasn't a transfer of value, yeah, I mean, you know, I would have no reason to – to sign a 	
 Q. All right. So I don't know if you have seen this before, sir. Do you see that this is a complaint against HCMFA? A. Yes, I am looking at it on the screen. Q. Okay. And have you ever seen this document before? A. I went through some of these documents with my counsel here yesterday. MR. MORRIS: All right. Can we go to Exhibit 1 of this document. Q. Do you see Exhibit 1 is a \$2.4 million promissory note back in 2019? A. Yeah, I found it in the book. Yes, I have it here in front of me. Q. And this is a demand note, right, if you look at Paragraph 2? A. Yes. C. And this is a note where the maker is HCMFA, and Highland is the payee; right? A. Yes. 	 Q. Is that your signature, sir? A. Yes, it is. Q. And did you sign this document on or around May 2nd, 2019? A. I don't recall specifically signing this, but this is my signature. Q. Okay. And do you recall that Highland transferred \$2.4 million to HCMFA at or around the time you signed this document? A. I don't recall specifically. I would want to, as I sit here today, go back and confirm that, but again, presumably that that - that did happen. Q. You wouldn't have signed this document if you didn't believe that HCMFA either received or was going to receive \$2.4 million from Highland; is that fair? A. I mean, it - if - if - if there wasn't a transfer of value, yeah, I mean, you know, I would have no reason to - to sign a note. 	
 Q. All right. So I don't know if you have seen this before, sir. Do you see that this is a complaint against HCMFA? A. Yes, I am looking at it on the screen. Q. Okay. And have you ever seen this document before? A. I went through some of these documents with my counsel here yesterday. MR. MORRIS: All right. Can we go to Exhibit 1 of this document. Q. Do you see Exhibit 1 is a \$2.4 million promissory note back in 2019? A. Yeah, I found it in the book. Yes, I have it here in front of me. Q. And this is a demand note, right, if you look at Paragraph 2? A. Yes. Q. And this is a note where the maker is HCMFA, and Highland is the payee; right? A. Yes. MR. MORRIS: And if we can scroll 	 Q. Is that your signature, sir? A. Yes, it is. Q. And did you sign this document on or around May 2nd, 2019? A. I don't recall specifically signing this, but this is my signature. Q. Okay. And do you recall that Highland transferred \$2.4 million to HCMFA at or around the time you signed this document? A. I don't recall specifically. I would want to, as I sit here today, go back and confirm that, but again, presumably that – that – that did happen. Q. You wouldn't have signed this document if you didn't believe that HCMFA either received or was going to receive \$2.4 million from Highland; is that fair? A. I mean, it – if – if – if there wasn't a transfer of value, yeah, I mean, you know, I would have no reason to – to sign a note. Q. And – and Highland wouldn't have 	
 Q. All right. So I don't know if you have seen this before, sir. Do you see that this is a complaint against HCMFA? A. Yes, I am looking at it on the screen. Q. Okay. And have you ever seen this document before? A. I went through some of these documents with my counsel here yesterday. MR. MORRIS: All right. Can we go to Exhibit 1 of this document. Q. Do you see Exhibit 1 is a \$2.4 million promissory note back in 2019? A. Yeah, I found it in the book. Yes, I have it here in front of me. Q. And this is a demand note, right, if you look at Paragraph 2? A. Yes. Q. And this is a note where the maker is HCMFA, and Highland is the payee; right? A. Yes. 	 Q. Is that your signature, sir? A. Yes, it is. Q. And did you sign this document on or around May 2nd, 2019? A. I don't recall specifically signing this, but this is my signature. Q. Okay. And do you recall that Highland transferred \$2.4 million to HCMFA at or around the time you signed this document? A. I don't recall specifically. I would want to, as I sit here today, go back and confirm that, but again, presumably that that - that did happen. Q. You wouldn't have signed this document if you didn't believe that HCMFA either received or was going to receive \$2.4 million from Highland; is that fair? A. I mean, it - if - if - if there wasn't a transfer of value, yeah, I mean, you know, I would have no reason to - to sign a note. 	

Dear	Page 143
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 142 Page 143
2 HCMFA wouldn't have given this note	2 A. Yes.
3 to PricewaterhouseCoopers if it hadn't received	3 Q. And if we go to the bottom, can we
4 the principal value of – of the note in the	4 just confirm that that is your signature?
5 form of a loan; correct?	5 A. Yes.
6 MR. RUKAVINA: Objection, legal	6 Q. And together these notes are the
7 conclusion, speculation and form.	7 notes that are referred to both in Highland and
8 A. Again, we – what we provided to PwC	8 HCMFA's audited financial reports in the
9 were, as part of the audit, any promissory	9 subsequent event sections; correct?
	10 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.
11 part of the audit, they, you know, they – they	11 A. They – they – they totaled
12 have copies of all the bank statements,	12 \$7.4 million, so presumably, yes.
13 things – things of that sort.	13 Q. Okay. And you were authorized to
14 MR. MORRIS: Okay. Can we go to	14 sign these two notes; correct?
15 Exhibit 2.	15 MR. RUKAVINA: Objection, legal
16 (Exhibit 2 marked.)	16 conclusion.
17 Q. Do you see that this is a promissory	17 A. Yeah. I mean, I'm – I was the
18 note dated May 3rd, 2019 in the amount of	18 officer of – of HCMFA. You know, I – I'm not
19 \$5 million?	19 the legal expert on - on what that - what
20 A. Yes.	20 that confers to me or what it doesn't. I mean,
21 Q. Do you believe this is also a demand	21 that is my signature on the notes.
22 note if you look at Paragraph 2?	22 Q. And you believed you were authorized
23 A. Yes.	23 to sign the notes; is that fair?
24 Q. And do you see that HCMFA is the	A. I signed a lot of documents in my
25 maker, and Highland is the payee?	25 capacity, just because it is operational in
Darie Contraction	Dama 445
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 144 Page 145
2 noture Service know to me this was just	
I Z MALUTE. SO, VOU KNOW, LO ME LINS WAS JUST	2 form.
 2 nature. So, you know, to me this was just 3 another document, to be perfectly honest. 	
3 another document, to be perfectly honest.	3 A. You know, from – from what I recall
3 another document, to be perfectly honest.4 Q. Sir, would you have signed	3 A. You know, from – from what I recall4 around these notes, you know, I don't recall
 another document, to be perfectly honest. Q. Sir, would you have signed promissory notes with the principal amount of 	 A. You know, from – from what I recall around these notes, you know, I don't recall specifically Mr. – Mr. Dondero saying to – to
 another document, to be perfectly honest. Q. Sir, would you have signed promissory notes with the principal amount of \$7.4 million if you didn't believe you were 	 A. You know, from – from what I recall around these notes, you know, I don't recall specifically Mr. – Mr. Dondero saying to – to make this a loan.
 another document, to be perfectly honest. Q. Sir, would you have signed promissory notes with the principal amount of \$7.4 million if you didn't believe you were authorized to do so? 	 A. You know, from – from what I recall around these notes, you know, I don't recall specifically Mr. – Mr. Dondero saying to – to make this a loan. So my conversation with Mr. Dondero
 another document, to be perfectly honest. Q. Sir, would you have signed promissory notes with the principal amount of \$7.4 million if you didn't believe you were authorized to do so? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 	 A. You know, from – from what I recall around these notes, you know, I don't recall specifically Mr. – Mr. Dondero saying to – to make this a loan. So my conversation with Mr. Dondero around the culmination of the NAV error as
 another document, to be perfectly honest. Q. Sir, would you have signed promissory notes with the principal amount of \$7.4 million if you didn't believe you were authorized to do so? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. Q. Are you frozen? 	 A. You know, from – from what I recall around these notes, you know, I don't recall specifically Mr. – Mr. Dondero saying to – to make this a loan. So my conversation with Mr. Dondero around the culmination of the NAV error as related to TerreStar which was a – a – I
 another document, to be perfectly honest. Q. Sir, would you have signed promissory notes with the principal amount of \$7.4 million if you didn't believe you were authorized to do so? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. Q. Are you frozen? A. No. I'm just – you know, it is – 	 A. You know, from – from what I recall around these notes, you know, I don't recall specifically Mr. – Mr. Dondero saying to – to make this a loan. So my conversation with Mr. Dondero around the culmination of the NAV error as related to TerreStar which was a – a – I think it was a year and a half process. I
 another document, to be perfectly honest. Q. Sir, would you have signed promissory notes with the principal amount of \$7.4 million if you didn't believe you were authorized to do so? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. Q. Are you frozen? A. No. I'm just – you know, it is – you know, again, I typically don't sign 	 A. You know, from – from what I recall around these notes, you know, I don't recall specifically Mr. – Mr. Dondero saying to – to make this a loan. So my conversation with Mr. Dondero around the culmination of the NAV error as related to TerreStar which was a – a – I think it was a year and a half process. I don't know, it was a multi-month process, very
 another document, to be perfectly honest. Q. Sir, would you have signed promissory notes with the principal amount of \$7.4 million if you didn't believe you were authorized to do so? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. Q. Are you frozen? A. No. I'm just – you know, it is – you know, again, I typically don't sign promissory notes, and I don't recall why I 	 A. You know, from – from what I recall around these notes, you know, I don't recall specifically Mr. – Mr. Dondero saying to – to make this a loan. So my conversation with Mr. Dondero around the culmination of the NAV error as related to TerreStar which was a – a – 1 think it was a year and a half process. I don't know, it was a multi-month process, very laborious, very difficult.
 another document, to be perfectly honest. Q. Sir, would you have signed promissory notes with the principal amount of \$7.4 million if you didn't believe you were authorized to do so? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. Q. Are you frozen? A. No. I'm just – you know, it is – you know, again, I typically don't sign promissory notes, and I don't recall why I signed these, but – you know, but I did. 	 A. You know, from – from what I recall around these notes, you know, I don't recall specifically Mr. – Mr. Dondero saying to – to make this a loan. So my conversation with Mr. Dondero around the culmination of the NAV error as related to TerreStar which was a – a – I think it was a year and a half process. I don't know, it was a multi-month process, very laborious, very difficult. When we got to the end, I had a
 another document, to be perfectly honest. Q. Sir, would you have signed promissory notes with the principal amount of \$7.4 million if you didn't believe you were authorized to do so? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. Q. Are you frozen? A. No. I'm just – you know, it is – you know, again, I typically don't sign promissory notes, and I don't recall why I signed these, but – you know, but I did. Q. All right. So listen carefully to 	 A. You know, from – from what I recall around these notes, you know, I don't recall specifically Mr. – Mr. Dondero saying to – to make this a loan. So my conversation with Mr. Dondero around the culmination of the NAV error as related to TerreStar which was a – a – 1 think it was a year and a half process. 1 don't know, it was a multi-month process, very laborious, very difficult. When we got to the end, I had a conversation with Mr. Dondero on where to, you
 another document, to be perfectly honest. Q. Sir, would you have signed promissory notes with the principal amount of \$7.4 million if you didn't believe you were authorized to do so? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. Q. Are you frozen? A. No. I'm just – you know, it is – you know, again, I typically don't sign promissory notes, and I don't recall why I signed these, but – you know, but I did. Q. All right. So listen carefully to my question. Would you have ever signed 	 A. You know, from – from what I recall around these notes, you know, I don't recall specifically Mr. – Mr. Dondero saying to – to make this a loan. So my conversation with Mr. Dondero around the culmination of the NAV error as related to TerreStar which was a – a – 1 think it was a year and a half process. I don't know, it was a multi-month process, very laborious, very difficult. When we got to the end, I had a conversation with Mr. Dondero on where to, you know, basically get the funds to reimburse the
 another document, to be perfectly honest. Q. Sir, would you have signed promissory notes with the principal amount of \$7.4 million if you didn't believe you were authorized to do so? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. Q. Are you frozen? A. No. I'm just – you know, it is – you know, again, I typically don't sign promissory notes, and I don't recall why I signed these, but – you know, but I did. Q. All right. So listen carefully to my question. Would you have ever signed promissory notes with a face amount of 	 A. You know, from – from what I recall around these notes, you know, I don't recall specifically Mr. – Mr. Dondero saying to – to make this a loan. So my conversation with Mr. Dondero around the culmination of the NAV error as related to TerreStar which was a – a – I think it was a year and a half process. I don't know, it was a multi-month process, very laborious, very difficult. When we got to the end, I had a conversation with Mr. Dondero on where to, you know, basically get the funds to reimburse the fund, and I recall him saying, get the money
 another document, to be perfectly honest. Q. Sir, would you have signed promissory notes with the principal amount of \$7.4 million if you didn't believe you were authorized to do so? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. Q. Are you frozen? A. No. I'm just – you know, it is – you know, again, I typically don't sign promissory notes, and I don't recall why I signed these, but – you know, but I did. Q. All right. So listen carefully to my question. Would you have ever signed promissory notes with a face amount of \$7.4 million without believing that you were 	 A. You know, from – from what I recall around these notes, you know, I don't recall specifically Mr. – Mr. Dondero saying to – to make this a loan. So my conversation with Mr. Dondero around the culmination of the NAV error as related to TerreStar which was a – a – 1 think it was a year and a half process. 1 don't know, it was a multi-month process, very laborious, very difficult. When we got to the end, I had a conversation with Mr. Dondero on where to, you know, basically get the funds to reimburse the fund, and I recall him saying, get the money from Highland.
 another document, to be perfectly honest. Q. Sir, would you have signed promissory notes with the principal amount of \$7.4 million if you didn't believe you were authorized to do so? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. Q. Are you frozen? A. No. I'm just – you know, it is – you know, again, I typically don't sign promissory notes, and I don't recall why I signed these, but – you know, but I did. Q. All right. So listen carefully to my question. Would you have ever signed promissory notes with a face amount of \$7.4 million without believing that you were authorized to do so? 	 A. You know, from – from what I recall around these notes, you know, I don't recall specifically Mr. – Mr. Dondero saying to – to make this a loan. So my conversation with Mr. Dondero around the culmination of the NAV error as related to TerreStar which was a – a – 1 think it was a year and a half process. I don't know, it was a multi-month process, very laborious, very difficult. When we got to the end, I had a conversation with Mr. Dondero on where to, you know, basically get the funds to reimburse the fund, and I recall him saying, get the money g. And so he told you to get the money
 another document, to be perfectly honest. Q. Sir, would you have signed promissory notes with the principal amount of \$7.4 million if you didn't believe you were authorized to do so? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. Q. Are you frozen? A. No. I'm just – you know, it is – you know, again, I typically don't sign promissory notes, and I don't recall why I signed these, but – you know, but I did. Q. All right. So listen carefully to my question. Would you have ever signed promissory notes with a face amount of \$7.4 million without believing that you were authorized to do so? 	 A. You know, from – from what I recall around these notes, you know, I don't recall specifically Mr. – Mr. Dondero saying to – to make this a loan. So my conversation with Mr. Dondero around the culmination of the NAV error as related to TerreStar which was a – a – 1 think it was a year and a half process. I don't know, it was a multi-month process, very laborious, very difficult. When we got to the end, I had a conversation with Mr. Dondero on where to, you know, basically get the funds to reimburse the fund, and I recall him saying, get the money G. And so he told you to get the money from Highland; is that right?
 another document, to be perfectly honest. Q. Sir, would you have signed promissory notes with the principal amount of \$7.4 million if you didn't believe you were authorized to do so? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. Q. Are you frozen? A. No. I'm just – you know, it is – you know, again, I typically don't sign promissory notes, and I don't recall why I signed these, but – you know, but I did. Q. All right. So listen carefully to my question. Would you have ever signed promissory notes with a face amount of \$7.4 million without believing that you were authorized to do so? 	 A. You know, from – from what I recall around these notes, you know, I don't recall specifically Mr. – Mr. Dondero saying to – to make this a loan. So my conversation with Mr. Dondero around the culmination of the NAV error as related to TerreStar which was a – a – 1 think it was a year and a half process. I don't know, it was a multi-month process, very laborious, very difficult. When we got to the end, I had a conversation with Mr. Dondero on where to, you know, basically get the funds to reimburse the fund, and I recall him saying, get the money from Highland. Q. And so he told you to get the money from Highland; is that right? A. That is what I recall – in my
 another document, to be perfectly honest. Q. Sir, would you have signed promissory notes with the principal amount of \$7.4 million if you didn't believe you were authorized to do so? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. Q. Are you frozen? A. No. I'm just – you know, it is – you know, again, I typically don't sign promissory notes, and I don't recall why I signed these, but – you know, but I did. Q. All right. So listen carefully to my question. Would you have ever signed promissory notes with a face amount of \$7.4 million without believing that you were authorized to do so? A. No. I mean, I'm – I'm putting my 	 A. You know, from – from what I recall around these notes, you know, I don't recall specifically Mr. – Mr. Dondero saying to – to make this a loan. So my conversation with Mr. Dondero around the culmination of the NAV error as related to TerreStar which was a – a – 1 think it was a year and a half process. I don't know, it was a multi-month process, very laborious, very difficult. When we got to the end, I had a conversation with Mr. Dondero on where to, you know, basically get the funds to reimburse the fund, and I recall him saying, get the money G. And so he told you to get the money from Highland; is that right?
 another document, to be perfectly honest. Q. Sir, would you have signed promissory notes with the principal amount of \$7.4 million if you didn't believe you were authorized to do so? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. Q. Are you frozen? A. No. I'm just – you know, it is – you know, again, I typically don't sign promissory notes, and I don't recall why I signed these, but – you know, but I did. Q. All right. So listen carefully to my question. Would you have ever signed promissory notes with a face amount of \$7.4 million without believing that you were authorized to do so? A. No. I mean, I'm – I'm putting my signature on there, so no. 	 A. You know, from – from what I recall around these notes, you know, I don't recall specifically Mr. – Mr. Dondero saying to – to make this a loan. So my conversation with Mr. Dondero around the culmination of the NAV error as related to TerreStar which was a – a – 1 think it was a year and a half process. I don't know, it was a multi-month process, very laborious, very difficult. When we got to the end, I had a conversation with Mr. Dondero on where to, you know, basically get the funds to reimburse the fund, and I recall him saying, get the money from Highland. Q. And so he told you to get the money from Highland; is that right? A. That is what I recall – in my
 another document, to be perfectly honest. Q. Sir, would you have signed promissory notes with the principal amount of \$7.4 million if you didn't believe you were authorized to do so? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. Q. Are you frozen? A. No. I'm just – you know, it is – you know, again, I typically don't sign promissory notes, and I don't recall why I signed these, but – you know, but I did. Q. All right. So listen carefully to my question. Would you have ever signed promissory notes with a face amount of \$7.4 million without believing that you were authorized to do so? A. No. I mean, I'm – I'm putting my signature on there, so no. Q. Okay. And would you have signed two 	 A. You know, from – from what I recall around these notes, you know, I don't recall specifically Mr. – Mr. Dondero saying to – to make this a loan. So my conversation with Mr. Dondero around the culmination of the NAV error as related to TerreStar which was a – a – 1 think it was a year and a half process. I don't know, it was a multi-month process, very laborious, very difficult. When we got to the end, I had a conversation with Mr. Dondero on where to, you know, basically get the funds to reimburse the fund, and I recall him saying, get the money from Highland. Q. And so he told you to get the money from Highland; is that right? A. That is what I recall – in my conversation with him, that is – that is what
 another document, to be perfectly honest. Q. Sir, would you have signed promissory notes with the principal amount of \$7.4 million if you didn't believe you were authorized to do so? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. Q. Are you frozen? A. No. I'm just – you know, it is – you know, again, I typically don't sign promissory notes, and I don't recall why I signed these, but – you know, but I did. Q. All right. So listen carefully to my question. Would you have ever signed promissory notes with a face amount of \$7.4 million without believing that you were authorized to do so? A. No. I mean, I'm – I'm putting my signature on there, so no. Q. Okay. And would you have signed two promissory notes obligating HCMFA to pay 	 A. You know, from – from what I recall around these notes, you know, I don't recall specifically Mr. – Mr. Dondero saying to – to make this a loan. So my conversation with Mr. Dondero around the culmination of the NAV error as related to TerreStar which was a – a – I think it was a year and a half process. I don't know, it was a multi-month process, very laborious, very difficult. When we got to the end, I had a conversation with Mr. Dondero on where to, you know, basically get the funds to reimburse the fund, and I recall him saying, get the money from Highland. Q. And so he told you to get the money from Highland; is that right? A. That is what I recall – in my conversation with him, that is – that is what I can recall.
 another document, to be perfectly honest. Q. Sir, would you have signed promissory notes with the principal amount of \$7.4 million if you didn't believe you were authorized to do so? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. Q. Are you frozen? A. No. I'm just – you know, it is – you know, again, I typically don't sign promissory notes, and I don't recall why I signed these, but – you know, but I did. Q. All right. So listen carefully to my question. Would you have ever signed promissory notes with a face amount of \$7.4 million without believing that you were authorized to do so? A. No. I mean, I'm – I'm putting my signature on there, so no. Q. Okay. And would you have signed two promissory notes obligating HCMFA to pay Highland \$7.4 million without Mr. Dondero's 	 A. You know, from – from what I recall around these notes, you know, I don't recall specifically Mr. – Mr. Dondero saying to – to make this a loan. So my conversation with Mr. Dondero around the culmination of the NAV error as related to TerreStar which was a – a – 1 think it was a year and a half process. I don't know, it was a multi-month process, very laborious, very difficult. When we got to the end, I had a conversation with Mr. Dondero on where to, you know, basically get the funds to reimburse the fund, and I recall him saying, get the money from Highland. Q. And so he told you to get the money from Highland; is that right? A. That is what I recall – in my conversation with him, that is – that is what I can recall. Q. Do you know who drafted these notes?

Page		ge 147
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 146 Pag	ge 147
2 notes?	2 would have been drafted by somebody in the	
3 A. I didn't ask – I don't specifically	3 legal department?	
4 ask people to draft notes really. I mean,	4 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	
5 again, you know, the legal group at Highland is	5 form.	
6 responsible and has always been responsible for	6 A. Yes.	
7 drafting promissory notes.	7 Q. Okay. And do you know who would	
8 Q. So based on your – based on the	8 have instructed – do you have any knowledge as	
9 practice, you believe that somebody from the	9 to who would have instructed the legal	
10 Highland's legal department would have drafted	10 department to draft these notes?	
11 these notes. Do I have that right?	11 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	
12 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	12 form.	
13 form. John, I also asked you for the Word	13 A. It was whoever was working – I	
14 versions of these notes so we could look at	14 mean, it was likely someone on the team. I	
15 the properties, and you have not provided	15 mean, I don't remember exactly on every note or	
16 them. Are you intending to?	16 every document, but, again, a lot of these	
17 MR. MORRIS: No.	17 things of this nature – they're operational in	
18 Q. Can you answer my question, sir?	18 nature – were handled by the team.	
19 A. Again, I –	19 The team knows to – I mean, we	
20 MS. DANDENEAU: Do you want him to	20 don't draft documents. We're not lawyers.	
21 repeat it?	21 We're not attorneys. It is not what I do or	
22 A. Yeah, why don't you repeat it?	22 accountants do.	
23 Q. Sure. Mr. Waterhouse, based on the	23 So they are always instructed to go	
24 practice that you have described in your	24 and – and go to the legal team to get	
25 understanding, do you believe that these notes	25 documents like this drafted. Also, when you go	
25 dilderstanding, do you believe that these hotes	20 documents like this draked. Also, when you go	
Page	Page 148 Pag	ge 149
2 to the legal team, the – you know, we always	2 corporate accounting group gave the two notes	
3 loop in compliance. And compliance – when you	3 to PricewaterhouseCoopers in connection with	
3 loop in compliance. And compliance – when you4 go to the legal team, compliance is part of	3 to PricewaterhouseCoopers in connection with4 the audit; correct?	
 3 loop in compliance. And compliance – when you 4 go to the legal team, compliance is part of 5 legal team. They're made aware of – of – of 	 3 to PricewaterhouseCoopers in connection with 4 the audit; correct? 5 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 	
 3 loop in compliance. And compliance – when you 4 go to the legal team, compliance is part of 5 legal team. They're made aware of – of – of 6 these types of transactions. 	 3 to PricewaterhouseCoopers in connection with 4 the audit; correct? 5 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 6 A. Yes. I mean, that is – yeah, I 	
 3 loop in compliance. And compliance – when you 4 go to the legal team, compliance is part of 5 legal team. They're made aware of – of – of 6 these types of transactions. 7 Q. And do you believe that you had 	 3 to PricewaterhouseCoopers in connection with 4 the audit; correct? 5 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 6 A. Yes. I mean, that is – yeah, I 7 mean, they – unless the legal team can also 	
 3 loop in compliance. And compliance – when you 4 go to the legal team, compliance is part of 5 legal team. They're made aware of – of – of 6 these types of transactions. 7 Q. And do you believe that you had 8 the – withdrawn. 	 3 to PricewaterhouseCoopers in connection with 4 the audit; correct? 5 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 6 A. Yes. I mean, that is – yeah, I 7 mean, they – unless the legal team can also 8 retain copies of items like this. I mean, I 	
 3 loop in compliance. And compliance – when you 4 go to the legal team, compliance is part of 5 legal team. They're made aware of – of – of 6 these types of transactions. 7 Q. And do you believe that you had 8 the – withdrawn. 9 Did you ever tell Mr. Dondero – 	 3 to PricewaterhouseCoopers in connection with 4 the audit; correct? 5 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 6 A. Yes. I mean, that is – yeah, I 7 mean, they – unless the legal team can also 8 retain copies of items like this. I mean, I 9 don't know everything that they would retain as 	
 3 loop in compliance. And compliance – when you 4 go to the legal team, compliance is part of 5 legal team. They're made aware of – of – of 6 these types of transactions. 7 Q. And do you believe that you had 8 the – withdrawn. 9 Did you ever tell Mr. Dondero – 10 (inaudible) – did you see those? 	 3 to PricewaterhouseCoopers in connection with 4 the audit; correct? 5 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 6 A. Yes. I mean, that is – yeah, I 7 mean, they – unless the legal team can also 8 retain copies of items like this. I mean, I 9 don't know everything that they would retain as 10 well. 	
 3 loop in compliance. And compliance – when you 4 go to the legal team, compliance is part of 5 legal team. They're made aware of – of – of 6 these types of transactions. 7 Q. And do you believe that you had 8 the – withdrawn. 9 Did you ever tell Mr. Dondero – 10 (inaudible) – did you see those? 11 A. Sorry. 	 3 to PricewaterhouseCoopers in connection with 4 the audit; correct? 5 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 6 A. Yes. I mean, that is – yeah, I 7 mean, they – unless the legal team can also 8 retain copies of items like this. I mean, I 9 don't know everything that they would retain as 10 well. 11 The legal team would also, if they 	
 3 loop in compliance. And compliance – when you 4 go to the legal team, compliance is part of 5 legal team. They're made aware of – of – of 6 these types of transactions. 7 Q. And do you believe that you had 8 the – withdrawn. 9 Did you ever tell Mr. Dondero – 10 (inaudible) – did you see those? 11 A. Sorry. 12 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: I did not hear 	 3 to PricewaterhouseCoopers in connection with 4 the audit; correct? 5 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 6 A. Yes. I mean, that is – yeah, I 7 mean, they – unless the legal team can also 8 retain copies of items like this. I mean, I 9 don't know everything that they would retain as 10 well. 11 The legal team would also, if they 12 had documents as part of audits, turn that over 	
 3 loop in compliance. And compliance – when you 4 go to the legal team, compliance is part of 5 legal team. They're made aware of – of – of 6 these types of transactions. 7 Q. And do you believe that you had 8 the – withdrawn. 9 Did you ever tell Mr. Dondero – 10 (inaudible) – did you see those? 11 A. Sorry. 12 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: I did not hear 13 the end of that question. 	 3 to PricewaterhouseCoopers in connection with 4 the audit; correct? 5 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 6 A. Yes. I mean, that is – yeah, I 7 mean, they – unless the legal team can also 8 retain copies of items like this. I mean, I 9 don't know everything that they would retain as 10 well. 11 The legal team would also, if they 12 had documents as part of audits, turn that over 13 to the auditors as well. So it could have been 	
 3 loop in compliance. And compliance – when you 4 go to the legal team, compliance is part of 5 legal team. They're made aware of – of – of 6 these types of transactions. 7 Q. And do you believe that you had 8 the – withdrawn. 9 Did you ever tell Mr. Dondero – 10 (inaudible) – did you see those? 11 A. Sorry. 12 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: I did not hear 13 the end of that question. 14 Q. Did you ever tell Mr. Dondero that 	 3 to PricewaterhouseCoopers in connection with 4 the audit; correct? 5 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 6 A. Yes. I mean, that is – yeah, I 7 mean, they – unless the legal team can also 8 retain copies of items like this. I mean, I 9 don't know everything that they would retain as 10 well. 11 The legal team would also, if they 12 had documents as part of audits, turn that over 13 to the auditors as well. So it could have been 14 the corporate accounting team. It could be 	
 3 loop in compliance. And compliance – when you 4 go to the legal team, compliance is part of 5 legal team. They're made aware of – of – of 6 these types of transactions. 7 Q. And do you believe that you had 8 the – withdrawn. 9 Did you ever tell Mr. Dondero – 10 (inaudible) – did you see those? 11 A. Sorry. 12 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: I did not hear 13 the end of that question. 14 Q. Did you ever tell Mr. Dondero that 15 you signed these two notes? 	 3 to PricewaterhouseCoopers in connection with 4 the audit; correct? 5 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 6 A. Yes. I mean, that is – yeah, I 7 mean, they – unless the legal team can also 8 retain copies of items like this. I mean, I 9 don't know everything that they would retain as 10 well. 11 The legal team would also, if they 12 had documents as part of audits, turn that over 13 to the auditors as well. So it could have been 14 the corporate accounting team. It could be 15 someone on the legal team. 	
 3 loop in compliance. And compliance – when you 4 go to the legal team, compliance is part of 5 legal team. They're made aware of – of – of 6 these types of transactions. 7 Q. And do you believe that you had 8 the – withdrawn. 9 Did you ever tell Mr. Dondero – 10 (inaudible) – did you see those? 11 A. Sorry. 12 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: I did not hear 13 the end of that question. 14 Q. Did you ever tell Mr. Dondero that 15 you signed these two notes? 16 A. I don't recall ever – no, I don't 	 3 to PricewaterhouseCoopers in connection with 4 the audit; correct? 5 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 6 A. Yes. I mean, that is – yeah, I 7 mean, they – unless the legal team can also 8 retain copies of items like this. I mean, I 9 don't know everything that they would retain as 10 well. 11 The legal team would also, if they 12 had documents as part of audits, turn that over 13 to the auditors as well. So it could have been 14 the corporate accounting team. It could be 15 someone on the legal team. 16 Q. All right. So you didn't – you 	
 3 loop in compliance. And compliance – when you 4 go to the legal team, compliance is part of 5 legal team. They're made aware of – of – of 6 these types of transactions. 7 Q. And do you believe that you had 8 the – withdrawn. 9 Did you ever tell Mr. Dondero – 10 (inaudible) – did you see those? 11 A. Sorry. 12 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: I did not hear 13 the end of that question. 14 Q. Did you ever tell Mr. Dondero that 15 you signed these two notes? 16 A. I don't recall ever – no, I don't 17 recall having a conversation with him. 	 3 to PricewaterhouseCoopers in connection with 4 the audit; correct? 5 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 6 A. Yes. I mean, that is – yeah, I 7 mean, they – unless the legal team can also 8 retain copies of items like this. I mean, I 9 don't know everything that they would retain as 10 well. 11 The legal team would also, if they 12 had documents as part of audits, turn that over 13 to the auditors as well. So it could have been 14 the corporate accounting team. It could be 15 someone on the legal team. 16 Q. All right. So you didn't – you 17 didn't draft this note; right? 	
 3 loop in compliance. And compliance – when you 4 go to the legal team, compliance is part of 5 legal team. They're made aware of – of – of 6 these types of transactions. 7 Q. And do you believe that you had 8 the – withdrawn. 9 Did you ever tell Mr. Dondero – 10 (inaudible) – did you see those? 11 A. Sorry. 12 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: I did not hear 13 the end of that question. 14 Q. Did you ever tell Mr. Dondero that 15 you signed these two notes? 16 A. I don't recall ever – no, I don't 17 recall having a conversation with him. 18 Q. Did you ever discuss these two notes 	 3 to PricewaterhouseCoopers in connection with 4 the audit; correct? 5 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 6 A. Yes. I mean, that is – yeah, I 7 mean, they – unless the legal team can also 8 retain copies of items like this. I mean, I 9 don't know everything that they would retain as 10 well. 11 The legal team would also, if they 12 had documents as part of audits, turn that over 13 to the auditors as well. So it could have been 14 the corporate accounting team. It could be 15 someone on the legal team. 16 Q. All right. So you didn't – you 17 didn't draft this note; right? 18 A. I–I–I did not. 	
 3 loop in compliance. And compliance – when you 4 go to the legal team, compliance is part of 5 legal team. They're made aware of – of – of 6 these types of transactions. 7 Q. And do you believe that you had 8 the – withdrawn. 9 Did you ever tell Mr. Dondero – 10 (inaudible) – did you see those? 11 A. Sorry. 12 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: I did not hear 13 the end of that question. 14 Q. Did you ever tell Mr. Dondero that 15 you signed these two notes? 16 A. I don't recall ever – no, I don't 17 recall having a conversation with him. 18 Q. Did you ever discuss these two notes 19 with him at any time? 	 3 to PricewaterhouseCoopers in connection with 4 the audit; correct? 5 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 6 A. Yes. I mean, that is – yeah, I 7 mean, they – unless the legal team can also 8 retain copies of items like this. I mean, I 9 don't know everything that they would retain as 10 well. 11 The legal team would also, if they 12 had documents as part of audits, turn that over 13 to the auditors as well. So it could have been 14 the corporate accounting team. It could be 15 someone on the legal team. 16 Q. All right. So you didn't – you 17 didn't draft this note; right? 18 A. I–I–I did not. 19 Q. But somebody at Highland did; is 	
 3 loop in compliance. And compliance – when you 4 go to the legal team, compliance is part of 5 legal team. They're made aware of – of – of 6 these types of transactions. 7 Q. And do you believe that you had 8 the – withdrawn. 9 Did you ever tell Mr. Dondero – 10 (inaudible) – did you see those? 11 A. Sorry. 12 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: I did not hear 13 the end of that question. 14 Q. Did you ever tell Mr. Dondero that 15 you signed these two notes? 16 A. I don't recall ever – no, I don't 17 recall having a conversation with him. 18 Q. Did you ever discuss these two notes 19 with him at any time? 20 A. The conversation, I recall, was what 	 3 to PricewaterhouseCoopers in connection with 4 the audit; correct? 5 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 6 A. Yes. I mean, that is – yeah, I 7 mean, they – unless the legal team can also 8 retain copies of items like this. I mean, I 9 don't know everything that they would retain as 10 well. 11 The legal team would also, if they 12 had documents as part of audits, turn that over 13 to the auditors as well. So it could have been 14 the corporate accounting team. It could be 15 someone on the legal team. 16 Q. All right. So you didn't – you 17 didn't draft this note; right? 18 A. I–I–I did not. 19 Q. But somebody at Highland did; is 20 that fair? 	
 3 loop in compliance. And compliance – when you 4 go to the legal team, compliance is part of 5 legal team. They're made aware of – of – of 6 these types of transactions. 7 Q. And do you believe that you had 8 the – withdrawn. 9 Did you ever tell Mr. Dondero – 10 (inaudible) – did you see those? 11 A. Sorry. 12 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: I did not hear 13 the end of that question. 14 Q. Did you ever tell Mr. Dondero that 15 you signed these two notes? 16 A. I don't recall ever – no, I don't 17 recall having a conversation with him. 18 Q. Did you ever discuss these two notes 19 with him at any time? 20 A. The conversation, I recall, was what 21 I described earlier. And that is the only time 	 3 to PricewaterhouseCoopers in connection with 4 the audit; correct? 5 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 6 A. Yes. I mean, that is – yeah, I 7 mean, they – unless the legal team can also 8 retain copies of items like this. I mean, I 9 don't know everything that they would retain as 10 well. 11 The legal team would also, if they 12 had documents as part of audits, turn that over 13 to the auditors as well. So it could have been 14 the corporate accounting team. It could be 15 someone on the legal team. 16 Q. All right. So you didn't – you 17 didn't draft this note; right? 18 A. I–I–I did not. 19 Q. But somebody at Highland did; is 20 that fair? 21 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 	
 3 loop in compliance. And compliance – when you 4 go to the legal team, compliance is part of 5 legal team. They're made aware of – of – of 6 these types of transactions. 7 Q. And do you believe that you had 8 the – withdrawn. 9 Did you ever tell Mr. Dondero – 10 (inaudible) – did you see those? 11 A. Sorry. 12 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: I did not hear 13 the end of that question. 14 Q. Did you ever tell Mr. Dondero that 15 you signed these two notes? 16 A. I don't recall ever – no, I don't 17 recall having a conversation with him. 18 Q. Did you ever discuss these two notes 19 with him at any time? 20 A. The conversation, I recall, was what 21 I described earlier. And that is the only time 22 I recall ever discussing this. 	 3 to PricewaterhouseCoopers in connection with 4 the audit; correct? 5 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 6 A. Yes. I mean, that is – yeah, I 7 mean, they – unless the legal team can also 8 retain copies of items like this. I mean, I 9 don't know everything that they would retain as 10 well. 11 The legal team would also, if they 12 had documents as part of audits, turn that over 13 to the auditors as well. So it could have been 14 the corporate accounting team. It could be 15 someone on the legal team. 16 Q. All right. So you didn't – you 17 didn't draft this note; right? 18 A. 1–1–1 did not. 19 Q. But somebody at Highland did; is 20 that fair? 21 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 22 form. 	
 3 loop in compliance. And compliance – when you 4 go to the legal team, compliance is part of 5 legal team. They're made aware of – of – of 6 these types of transactions. 7 Q. And do you believe that you had 8 the – withdrawn. 9 Did you ever tell Mr. Dondero – 10 (inaudible) – did you see those? 11 A. Sorry. 12 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: I did not hear 13 the end of that question. 14 Q. Did you ever tell Mr. Dondero that 15 you signed these two notes? 16 A. I don't recall ever – no, I don't 17 recall having a conversation with him. 18 Q. Did you ever discuss these two notes 19 with him at any time? 20 A. The conversation, I recall, was what 21 I described earlier. And that is the only time 22 I recall ever discussing this. 23 Q. Okay. But the corporate accounting 	 3 to PricewaterhouseCoopers in connection with 4 the audit; correct? 5 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 6 A. Yes. I mean, that is – yeah, I 7 mean, they – unless the legal team can also 8 retain copies of items like this. I mean, I 9 don't know everything that they would retain as 10 well. 11 The legal team would also, if they 12 had documents as part of audits, turn that over 13 to the auditors as well. So it could have been 14 the corporate accounting team. It could be 15 someone on the legal team. 16 Q. All right. So you didn't – you 17 didn't draft this note; right? 18 A. I–I–I did not. 19 Q. But somebody at Highland did; is 20 that fair? 21 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 22 form. 23 A. I don't know. I mean, we can go to 	
 3 loop in compliance. And compliance – when you 4 go to the legal team, compliance is part of 5 legal team. They're made aware of – of – of 6 these types of transactions. 7 Q. And do you believe that you had 8 the – withdrawn. 9 Did you ever tell Mr. Dondero – 10 (inaudible) – did you see those? 11 A. Sorry. 12 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: I did not hear 13 the end of that question. 14 Q. Did you ever tell Mr. Dondero that 15 you signed these two notes? 16 A. I don't recall ever – no, I don't 17 recall having a conversation with him. 18 Q. Did you ever discuss these two notes 19 with him at any time? 20 A. The conversation, I recall, was what 21 I described earlier. And that is the only time 22 I recall ever discussing this. 	 3 to PricewaterhouseCoopers in connection with 4 the audit; correct? 5 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 6 A. Yes. I mean, that is – yeah, I 7 mean, they – unless the legal team can also 8 retain copies of items like this. I mean, I 9 don't know everything that they would retain as 10 well. 11 The legal team would also, if they 12 had documents as part of audits, turn that over 13 to the auditors as well. So it could have been 14 the corporate accounting team. It could be 15 someone on the legal team. 16 Q. All right. So you didn't – you 17 didn't draft this note; right? 18 A. 1–1–1 did not. 19 Q. But somebody at Highland did; is 20 that fair? 21 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 22 form. 	

_				De 454
1	Page 150 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 151
2	outside counsel. I have no idea.	2	VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the	
3	Q. Did you have any reason to believe	3	record at 1:49 p.m.	
4	you weren't authorized to sign this note,	4	Q. Mr. Waterhouse, did you speak with	
5	either of these two notes?	5	anybody during the break about the substance of	
6	A. I think I have already answered that	6	this deposition?	
7	question.	7	A. I spoke to – to Deb and Michelle.	
8	Q. Okay. You didn't give these notes	8	Q. About the substance of the	
9	to PricewaterhouseCoopers; correct?	9	deposition?	
10		10	A. Yes.	
11	A. I don't recall giving these to	11	Q. Can you tell me what you talked	
12		12	about?	
13	•	13	MS. DANDENEAU: No. We object on	
14		14	the basis of privilege.	
15		15	Q. Okay. You are going to follow your	
16		16	counsel's objection here?	
17	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	17	A. Yes.	
18	•	18	Q. Okay.	
19		19	MR. MORRIS: Can we put up on the	
20	the legal team.	20	screen Exhibit 35.	
21	MR. MORRIS: Okay. Why don't we	21	(Exhibit 35 marked.)	
22		22	Q. Are you able to see that document,	
23	VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the	23	sir?	
24	record at 1:04 p.m.	24	A. Yes.	
25		25	Q. Have you ever seen an incumbency	
		20	Q. Have you over coortaining insortey	
1	Page 152 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 153
2	certificate before?	2	A. Again, I'm not the legal – I don't	
3	A. I have.	3	know if this makes me the treasurer or the	
4	Q. Do you have a general understanding	4	appointment. I don't know – I don't know	
5	of what an incumbency certificate is?	5	that, so I don't – I don't know if that	
6	A. I have a general understanding.	6	document – again, I think – again, I'm not	
7	Q. What is your general understanding?		the legal expert. I think isn't there –	
8	A. You know, those – my general	8	aren't there other legal documents that detail	
9	understanding is that the incumbency	9	who the officers are that could be incorporated	
10		10	or things like that? Again, I don't want to	
11	are like authorized signers.	11	play armchair attorney here.	
12	-	12	Q. I'm not asking you for a legal	
13		13	conclusion. I'm asking you for your knowledge	
14	Management Fund Advisors, L.P.?	14	and understanding. When you signed this	
15		15	document, did you understand that you were	
16		16	accepting an appointment as the treasurer of	
17		17	HCMFA?	
18		18	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	
19		19	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection, form.	
20		20	A. Again, I don't think this – that	
21	the middle of the signature block?	21	wasn't my understanding. I don't think this	
22	A. Yes, it is.	22	makes – this document makes me the treasurer.	
23	Q. And by signing it, did you accept	23	Q. What do you think this document –	
24		24	why did you sign this document?	
1	as of April 11th, 2019?	25	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection to	
	• •		,	

		Page 154			Page 155
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 154	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 155
2	form.		2	Q. Did anybody tell you why they needed	
3	MR. MORRIS: You're objecting to the		3	you to sign the document?	
4	form of the question when I asked him why		4	A. Not that I can recall.	
5	did you sign the document? What is the		5	Q. You testified earlier that you	
6	basis for the objection?		6	understood that you served as the acting	
7	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Because, John, I		7	treasurer for HCMFA; correct?	
8	think that it does call for a legal		8	A. Yes.	
9	conclusion other than with him saying		9	Q. How did you become the acting	
10	because somebody told me to sign this		10	treasurer of HCMFA?	
11	document. But if you want to go there,		11	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	
12	that is fine.		12	A. I don't – I don't know the legal –	
13	MR. MORRIS: Okay.		13	I don't know the legal mechanic of how I became	
14	MS. DANDENEAU: I don't think		14	the acting treasurer.	
15	he's already said he's not a lawyer.		15	Q. I'm not asking for the legal	
16	MR. MORRIS: I'll allow the witness		16	mechanic. I'm asking you as the person who	
17	to answer this question.		17	is–	
18	Q. Why did you sign this document, sir?		18	MS. DANDENEAU: John, you said –	
19	A. I mean, our – our legal group would		19	MR. MORRIS: Stop.	
20	bring by these incumbency certificates from		20	MS. DANDENEAU: – how did you	
21	time to time. I have no idea why they're being		21	become the treasurer. That is –	
22	updated, and I was asked to sign.		22	MR. MORRIS: Please stop.	
23	Q. Did you ask anybody, what is this		23	MS. DANDENEAU: That is a legal	
24	document?		24	question.	
25	A. No.		25	MR. MORRIS: I am not asking any	
				5 7	
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 156	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 157
2	legal questions, to be clear. I'm asking		2	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection.	
3	for this witness' understanding as to how		3	MR. MORRIS: - withdrawn. You guys	
4	he became the acting treasurer of HCMFA.		4	want to do this, I can't wait. I can't	
5	ine beecane the detailing treated of entreents a		4		
	If he doesn't know, he can say he doesn't				
1	If he doesn't know, he can say he doesn't know, but this legal stuff is nonsense, and		5	wait. This is the craziest stuff ever.	
6	know, but this legal stuff is nonsense, and		5 6	wait. This is the craziest stuff ever. MS. DANDENEAU: John, he said he's	
6 7	know, but this legal stuff is nonsense, and I really object to it.		5 6 7	wait. This is the craziest stuff ever. MS. DANDENEAU: John, he said he's not a lawyer, and you are asking him for a	
6 7 8	know, but this legal stuff is nonsense, and I really object to it. Q. Sir, I'm asking you a very simple		5 6 7 8	wait. This is the craziest stuff ever. MS. DANDENEAU: John, he said he's not a lawyer, and you are asking him for a legal conclusion, and he says he doesn't	
6 7 8	know, but this legal stuff is nonsense, and I really object to it. Q. Sir, I'm asking you a very simple question.		5 6 7 8 9	wait. This is the craziest stuff ever. MS. DANDENEAU: John, he said he's not a lawyer, and you are asking him for a legal conclusion, and he says he doesn't know, and you persist.	
6 7 8 9 10	know, but this legal stuff is nonsense, and I really object to it. Q. Sir, I'm asking you a very simple question. MS. DANDENEAU: Argumentative.		5 6 7 8 9 10	wait. This is the craziest stuff ever. MS. DANDENEAU: John, he said he's not a lawyer, and you are asking him for a legal conclusion, and he says he doesn't know, and you persist. MR. MORRIS: Okay.	
6 7 8 9 10 11	know, but this legal stuff is nonsense, and I really object to it. Q. Sir, I'm asking you a very simple question. MS. DANDENEAU: Argumentative. Q. You testified – you testified that		5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 wait. This is the craziest stuff ever. MS. DANDENEAU: John, he said he's not a lawyer, and you are asking him for a legal conclusion, and he says he doesn't know, and you persist. MR. MORRIS: Okay. MS. DANDENEAU: So you can ask these 	
6 7 8 9 10 11 12	know, but this legal stuff is nonsense, and I really object to it. Q. Sir, I'm asking you a very simple question. MS. DANDENEAU: Argumentative. Q. You testified – you testified that you became the acting treasurer of HCM –		5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 wait. This is the craziest stuff ever. MS. DANDENEAU: John, he said he's not a lawyer, and you are asking him for a legal conclusion, and he says he doesn't know, and you persist. MR. MORRIS: Okay. MS. DANDENEAU: So you can ask these questions – 	
6 7 8 9 10 11	know, but this legal stuff is nonsense, and I really object to it. Q. Sir, I'm asking you a very simple question. MS. DANDENEAU: Argumentative. Q. You testified – you testified that		5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 wait. This is the craziest stuff ever. MS. DANDENEAU: John, he said he's not a lawyer, and you are asking him for a legal conclusion, and he says he doesn't know, and you persist. MR. MORRIS: Okay. MS. DANDENEAU: So you can ask these questions – MR. MORRIS: Did anyone – please 	
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	know, but this legal stuff is nonsense, and I really object to it. Q. Sir, I'm asking you a very simple question. MS. DANDENEAU: Argumentative. Q. You testified – you testified that you became the acting treasurer of HCM – HCMFA; correct? A. Yes.		5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 wait. This is the craziest stuff ever. MS. DANDENEAU: John, he said he's not a lawyer, and you are asking him for a legal conclusion, and he says he doesn't know, and you persist. MR. MORRIS: Okay. MS. DANDENEAU: So you can ask these questions – MR. MORRIS: Did anyone – please stop talking. 	
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	know, but this legal stuff is nonsense, and I really object to it. Q. Sir, I'm asking you a very simple question. MS. DANDENEAU: Argumentative. Q. You testified – you testified that you became the acting treasurer of HCM – HCMFA; correct? A. Yes. Q. How did that happen?		5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 wait. This is the craziest stuff ever. MS. DANDENEAU: John, he said he's not a lawyer, and you are asking him for a legal conclusion, and he says he doesn't know, and you persist. MR. MORRIS: Okay. MS. DANDENEAU: So you can ask these questions – MR. MORRIS: Did anyone – please stop talking. MS. DANDENEAU: – at another 	
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	know, but this legal stuff is nonsense, and I really object to it. Q. Sir, I'm asking you a very simple question. MS. DANDENEAU: Argumentative. Q. You testified – you testified that you became the acting treasurer of HCM – HCMFA; correct? A. Yes. Q. How did that happen? MS. DANDENEAU: Again, object to		5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 wait. This is the craziest stuff ever. MS. DANDENEAU: John, he said he's not a lawyer, and you are asking him for a legal conclusion, and he says he doesn't know, and you persist. MR. MORRIS: Okay. MS. DANDENEAU: So you can ask these questions – MR. MORRIS: Did anyone – please stop talking. MS. DANDENEAU: – at another point – no, no, no, I'm entitled to talk, 	
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	know, but this legal stuff is nonsense, and I really object to it. Q. Sir, I'm asking you a very simple question. MS. DANDENEAU: Argumentative. Q. You testified – you testified that you became the acting treasurer of HCM – HCMFA; correct? A. Yes. Q. How did that happen? MS. DANDENEAU: Again, object to form.		5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 wait. This is the craziest stuff ever. MS. DANDENEAU: John, he said he's not a lawyer, and you are asking him for a legal conclusion, and he says he doesn't know, and you persist. MR. MORRIS: Okay. MS. DANDENEAU: So you can ask these questions – MR. MORRIS: Did anyone – please stop talking. MS. DANDENEAU: – at another point – no, no, no, I'm entitled to talk, too; right? If you're going to make these 	
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	know, but this legal stuff is nonsense, and I really object to it. Q. Sir, I'm asking you a very simple question. MS. DANDENEAU: Argumentative. Q. You testified – you testified that you became the acting treasurer of HCM – HCMFA; correct? A. Yes. Q. How did that happen? MS. DANDENEAU: Again, object to form. MR. MORRIS: I can't wait to do this		5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 wait. This is the craziest stuff ever. MS. DANDENEAU: John, he said he's not a lawyer, and you are asking him for a legal conclusion, and he says he doesn't know, and you persist. MR. MORRIS: Okay. MS. DANDENEAU: So you can ask these questions – MR. MORRIS: Did anyone – please stop talking. MS. DANDENEAU: – at another point – no, no, no, I'm entitled to talk, too; right? If you're going to make these accusations as if we're trying to stonewall 	
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	know, but this legal stuff is nonsense, and I really object to it. Q. Sir, I'm asking you a very simple question. MS. DANDENEAU: Argumentative. Q. You testified – you testified that you became the acting treasurer of HCM – HCMFA; correct? A. Yes. Q. How did that happen? MS. DANDENEAU: Again, object to form. MR. MORRIS: I can't wait to do this in a courtroom. Good God.		5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 wait. This is the craziest stuff ever. MS. DANDENEAU: John, he said he's not a lawyer, and you are asking him for a legal conclusion, and he says he doesn't know, and you persist. MR. MORRIS: Okay. MS. DANDENEAU: So you can ask these questions – MR. MORRIS: Did anyone – please stop talking. MS. DANDENEAU: – at another point – no, no, no, I'm entitled to talk, too; right? If you're going to make these accusations as if we're trying to stonewall you, this is not the witness to ask that 	
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	know, but this legal stuff is nonsense, and I really object to it. Q. Sir, I'm asking you a very simple question. MS. DANDENEAU: Argumentative. Q. You testified – you testified that you became the acting treasurer of HCM – HCMFA; correct? A. Yes. Q. How did that happen? MS. DANDENEAU: Again, object to form. MR. MORRIS: I can't wait to do this in a courtroom. Good God. Q. Go ahead, sir.		5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 wait. This is the craziest stuff ever. MS. DANDENEAU: John, he said he's not a lawyer, and you are asking him for a legal conclusion, and he says he doesn't know, and you persist. MR. MORRIS: Okay. MS. DANDENEAU: So you can ask these questions – MR. MORRIS: Did anyone – please stop talking. MS. DANDENEAU: – at another point – no, no, no, I'm entitled to talk, too; right? If you're going to make these accusations as if we're trying to stonewall you, this is not the witness to ask that question. 	
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	know, but this legal stuff is nonsense, and I really object to it. Q. Sir, I'm asking you a very simple question. MS. DANDENEAU: Argumentative. Q. You testified – you testified that you became the acting treasurer of HCM – HCMFA; correct? A. Yes. Q. How did that happen? MS. DANDENEAU: Again, object to form. MR. MORRIS: I can't wait to do this in a courtroom. Good God. Q. Go ahead, sir. A. I don't know the exact process of		5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 wait. This is the craziest stuff ever. MS. DANDENEAU: John, he said he's not a lawyer, and you are asking him for a legal conclusion, and he says he doesn't know, and you persist. MR. MORRIS: Okay. MS. DANDENEAU: So you can ask these questions - MR. MORRIS: Did anyone - please stop talking. MS. DANDENEAU: - at another point - no, no, no, I'm entitled to talk, too; right? If you're going to make these accusations as if we're trying to stonewall you, this is not the witness to ask that question. MR. MORRIS: I can't - I can't 	
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 know, but this legal stuff is nonsense, and I really object to it. Q. Sir, I'm asking you a very simple question. MS. DANDENEAU: Argumentative. Q. You testified – you testified that you became the acting treasurer of HCM – HCMFA; correct? A. Yes. Q. How did that happen? MS. DANDENEAU: Again, object to form. MR. MORRIS: I can't wait to do this in a courtroom. Good God. Q. Go ahead, sir. A. I don't know the exact process of how that happened. 		5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 wait. This is the craziest stuff ever. MS. DANDENEAU: John, he said he's not a lawyer, and you are asking him for a legal conclusion, and he says he doesn't know, and you persist. MR. MORRIS: Okay. MS. DANDENEAU: So you can ask these questions – MR. MORRIS: Did anyone – please stop talking. MS. DANDENEAU: – at another point – no, no, no, I'm entitled to talk, too; right? If you're going to make these accusations as if we're trying to stonewall you, this is not the witness to ask that question. MR. MORRIS: I can't – I can't wait – I can't wait to do this in a 	
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	know, but this legal stuff is nonsense, and I really object to it. Q. Sir, I'm asking you a very simple question. MS. DANDENEAU: Argumentative. Q. You testified – you testified that you became the acting treasurer of HCM – HCMFA; correct? A. Yes. Q. How did that happen? MS. DANDENEAU: Again, object to form. MR. MORRIS: I can't wait to do this in a courtroom. Good God. Q. Go ahead, sir. A. I don't know the exact process of how that happened. Q. Do you have any idea whether signing		5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 wait. This is the craziest stuff ever. MS. DANDENEAU: John, he said he's not a lawyer, and you are asking him for a legal conclusion, and he says he doesn't know, and you persist. MR. MORRIS: Okay. MS. DANDENEAU: So you can ask these questions – MR. MORRIS: Did anyone – please stop talking. MS. DANDENEAU: – at another point – no, no, no, I'm entitled to talk, too; right? If you're going to make these accusations as if we're trying to stonewall you, this is not the witness to ask that question. MR. MORRIS: I can't – I can't wait – I can't wait to do this in a courtroom. I will just leave it at that. 	
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 know, but this legal stuff is nonsense, and I really object to it. Q. Sir, I'm asking you a very simple question. MS. DANDENEAU: Argumentative. Q. You testified – you testified that you became the acting treasurer of HCM – HCMFA; correct? A. Yes. Q. How did that happen? MS. DANDENEAU: Again, object to form. MR. MORRIS: I can't wait to do this in a courtroom. Good God. Q. Go ahead, sir. A. I don't know the exact process of how that happened. 		5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 wait. This is the craziest stuff ever. MS. DANDENEAU: John, he said he's not a lawyer, and you are asking him for a legal conclusion, and he says he doesn't know, and you persist. MR. MORRIS: Okay. MS. DANDENEAU: So you can ask these questions – MR. MORRIS: Did anyone – please stop talking. MS. DANDENEAU: – at another point – no, no, no, I'm entitled to talk, too; right? If you're going to make these accusations as if we're trying to stonewall you, this is not the witness to ask that question. MR. MORRIS: I can't – I can't wait – I can't wait to do this in a 	

	D 450			D 450
1	Page 158 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 159
2	Q. Did anyone ever tell you, sir, that	2	A. Not that I recall.	
3	even though you were the acting treasurer of	3	Q. Did you ever tell anybody at any	
4	HCMFA, that you were not authorized to sign the	4	time that you weren't authorized to sign the	
5	two promissory notes that we looked at before	5	two promissory notes that we looked at before	
6	lunch?	6	lunch?	
7	A. I'm not sure I understand the	7	A. Not that I recall.	
8	question. I wasn't – I mean, I'm – I'm the	8	Q. Did you ever tell anybody at any	
9	current acting treasurer.	9	time that you made a mistake when you signed	
10	Q. Did anybody ever tell you at any	10	the two promissory notes that we looked at	
11	time that even though you were the acting	11	before lunch?	
12	treasurer of HCMFA, that you were not	12	A. Not that I recall.	
13	authorized to sign the two promissory notes	13	Q. As you sit here right now, do you	
14	that we looked at before lunch?	14	have any reason to believe that you were not	
15	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	15	authorized to sign the two documents that we	
16	A. Not that I recall.	16	looked at before lunch?	
17	Q. Did anybody ever tell you at any	17	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	
18	time that you were not authorized to sign the	18	A. If – if this is the – the valid	
19	two promissory notes that we looked at before	19	incumbency certificate, I mean, this does –	
20	lunch?	20	this does detail who the signers are.	
21	A. Not that I recall.	21	Q. Okay. And looking at that document,	
22	Q. Did anybody ever tell you at any	22	does that give you comfort that you were	
23	time that you should not have signed the two	23	authorized to sign the two promissory notes	
24	promissory notes that we looked at before	24	that we looked at before lunch?	
25	lunch?	25	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	
1				
	Page 160			Page 161
1	Page 160 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 161
1	Page 160 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 form.	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. Not that I'm aware, no.	Page 161
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21			Page 161
2	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 form.	2	A. Not that I'm aware, no.	Page 161
23	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 form. MS. DANDENEAU: Objection, form.	23	A. Not that I'm aware, no.Q. Did you have any reason to believe a	Page 161
2 3 4	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 form. MS. DANDENEAU: Objection, form. A. Yes.	2 3 4	A. Not that I'm aware, no.Q. Did you have any reason to believe a year ago that you made a mistake when you	Page 161
2 3 4 5	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 form. MS. DANDENEAU: Objection, form. A. Yes. Q. As of October 20th – withdrawn.	2 3 4 5	A. Not that I'm aware, no.Q. Did you have any reason to believe a year ago that you made a mistake when you signed those two notes?	Page 161
2 3 4 5	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 form. MS. DANDENEAU: Objection, form. A. Yes. Q. As of October 20th – withdrawn. I'm trying to take your mind back to	2 3 4 5 6	A. Not that I'm aware, no.Q. Did you have any reason to believe a year ago that you made a mistake when you signed those two notes?A. Not that I'm aware.	Page 161
2 3 4 5 6 7	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 form. MS. DANDENEAU: Objection, form. A. Yes. Q. As of October 20th – withdrawn. I'm trying to take your mind back to a year ago, October 2020. Do you recall at	2 3 4 5 6 7	 A. Not that I'm aware, no. Q. Did you have any reason to believe a year ago that you made a mistake when you signed those two notes? A. Not that I'm aware. Q. A year ago you believed that HCMFA 	Page 161
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 form. MS. DANDENEAU: Objection, form. A. Yes. Q. As of October 20th – withdrawn. I'm trying to take your mind back to a year ago, October 2020. Do you recall at that time that the boards of the retail funds	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A. Not that I'm aware, no. Q. Did you have any reason to believe a year ago that you made a mistake when you signed those two notes? A. Not that I'm aware. Q. A year ago you believed that HCMFA owed Highland the unpaid principal amounts that 	Page 161
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 form. MS. DANDENEAU: Objection, form. A. Yes. Q. As of October 20th – withdrawn. I'm trying to take your mind back to a year ago, October 2020. Do you recall at that time that the boards of the retail funds were making inquiries about obligations that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. Not that I'm aware, no. Q. Did you have any reason to believe a year ago that you made a mistake when you signed those two notes? A. Not that I'm aware. Q. A year ago you believed that HCMFA owed Highland the unpaid principal amounts that were due under those two notes; correct? 	Page 161
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 form. MS. DANDENEAU: Objection, form. A. Yes. Q. As of October 20th – withdrawn. I'm trying to take your mind back to a year ago, October 2020. Do you recall at that time that the boards of the retail funds were making inquiries about obligations that were owed by the advisors to Highland in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A. Not that I'm aware, no. Q. Did you have any reason to believe a year ago that you made a mistake when you signed those two notes? A. Not that I'm aware. Q. A year ago you believed that HCMFA owed Highland the unpaid principal amounts that were due under those two notes; correct? A. They're – they're promissory notes 	Page 161
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 form. MS. DANDENEAU: Objection, form. A. Yes. Q. As of October 20th – withdrawn. I'm trying to take your mind back to a year ago, October 2020. Do you recall at that time that the boards of the retail funds were making inquiries about obligations that were owed by the advisors to Highland in connection with their 15(c) review?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A. Not that I'm aware, no. Q. Did you have any reason to believe a year ago that you made a mistake when you signed those two notes? A. Not that I'm aware. Q. A year ago you believed that HCMFA owed Highland the unpaid principal amounts that were due under those two notes; correct? A. They're – they're promissory notes that were – as you presented, that were – 	Page 161
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 form. MS. DANDENEAU: Objection, form. A. Yes. Q. As of October 20th – withdrawn. I'm trying to take your mind back to a year ago, October 2020. Do you recall at that time that the boards of the retail funds were making inquiries about obligations that were owed by the advisors to Highland in connection with their 15(c) review? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A. Not that I'm aware, no. Q. Did you have any reason to believe a year ago that you made a mistake when you signed those two notes? A. Not that I'm aware. Q. A year ago you believed that HCMFA owed Highland the unpaid principal amounts that were due under those two notes; correct? A. They're – they're promissory notes that were – as you presented, that were – that were executed. Whether they're valid or 	Page 161
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 form. MS. DANDENEAU: Objection, form. A. Yes. Q. As of October 20th – withdrawn. I'm trying to take your mind back to a year ago, October 2020. Do you recall at that time that the boards of the retail funds were making inquiries about obligations that were owed by the advisors to Highland in connection with their 15(c) review? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I don't – I don't recall.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. Not that I'm aware, no. Q. Did you have any reason to believe a year ago that you made a mistake when you signed those two notes? A. Not that I'm aware. Q. A year ago you believed that HCMFA owed Highland the unpaid principal amounts that were due under those two notes; correct? A. They're – they're promissory notes that were – as you presented, that were – that were executed. Whether they're valid or if there's other reasons, I didn't – I don't 	Page 161
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 form. MS. DANDENEAU: Objection, form. A. Yes. Q. As of October 20th – withdrawn. I'm trying to take your mind back to a year ago, October 2020. Do you recall at that time that the boards of the retail funds were making inquiries about obligations that were owed by the advisors to Highland in connection with their 15(c) review? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I don't – I don't recall. Q. As of October 2020, you had no	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. Not that I'm aware, no. Q. Did you have any reason to believe a year ago that you made a mistake when you signed those two notes? A. Not that I'm aware. Q. A year ago you believed that HCMFA owed Highland the unpaid principal amounts that were due under those two notes; correct? A. They're – they're promissory notes that were – as you presented, that were – that were executed. Whether they're valid or if there's other reasons, I didn't – I don't know. 	Page 161
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 form. MS. DANDENEAU: Objection, form. A. Yes. Q. As of October 20th – withdrawn. I'm trying to take your mind back to a year ago, October 2020. Do you recall at that time that the boards of the retail funds were making inquiries about obligations that were owed by the advisors to Highland in connection with their 15(c) review? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I don't – I don't recall. Q. As of October 2020, you had no reason to believe you weren't authorized to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. Not that I'm aware, no. Q. Did you have any reason to believe a year ago that you made a mistake when you signed those two notes? A. Not that I'm aware. Q. A year ago you believed that HCMFA owed Highland the unpaid principal amounts that were due under those two notes; correct? A. They're – they're promissory notes that were – as you presented, that were – that were executed. Whether they're valid or if there's other reasons, I didn't – I don't know. Q. I'm not asking you whether they're 	Page 161
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 form. MS. DANDENEAU: Objection, form. A. Yes. Q. As of October 20th – withdrawn. I'm trying to take your mind back to a year ago, October 2020. Do you recall at that time that the boards of the retail funds were making inquiries about obligations that were owed by the advisors to Highland in connection with their 15(c) review? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I don't – I don't recall. Q. As of October 2020, you had no reason to believe you weren't authorized to sign the two promissory notes that we just	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. Not that I'm aware, no. Q. Did you have any reason to believe a year ago that you made a mistake when you signed those two notes? A. Not that I'm aware. Q. A year ago you believed that HCMFA owed Highland the unpaid principal amounts that were due under those two notes; correct? A. They're – they're promissory notes that were – as you presented, that were – that were executed. Whether they're valid or if there's other reasons, I didn't – I don't know. Q. I'm not asking you whether they're valid or if mot asking you for your state of 	Page 161
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 form. MS. DANDENEAU: Objection, form. A. Yes. Q. As of October 20th – withdrawn. I'm trying to take your mind back to a year ago, October 2020. Do you recall at that time that the boards of the retail funds were making inquiries about obligations that were owed by the advisors to Highland in connection with their 15(c) review? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I don't – I don't recall. Q. As of October 2020, you had no reason to believe you weren't authorized to sign the two promissory notes that we just looked at; correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. Not that I'm aware, no. Q. Did you have any reason to believe a year ago that you made a mistake when you signed those two notes? A. Not that I'm aware. Q. A year ago you believed that HCMFA owed Highland the unpaid principal amounts that were due under those two notes; correct? A. They're – they're promissory notes that were – as you presented, that were – that were executed. Whether they're valid or if there's other reasons, I didn't – I don't know. Q. I'm not asking you whether they're valid or not. I'm asking you for your state of mind. A year ago you believed that HCMFA 	Page 161
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 form. MS. DANDENEAU: Objection, form. A. Yes. Q. As of October 20th – withdrawn. I'm trying to take your mind back to a year ago, October 2020. Do you recall at that time that the boards of the retail funds were making inquiries about obligations that were owed by the advisors to Highland in connection with their 15(c) review? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I don't – I don't recall. Q. As of October 2020, you had no reason to believe you weren't authorized to sign the two promissory notes that we just looked at; correct? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection, form.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. Not that I'm aware, no. Q. Did you have any reason to believe a year ago that you made a mistake when you signed those two notes? A. Not that I'm aware. Q. A year ago you believed that HCMFA owed Highland the unpaid principal amounts that were due under those two notes; correct? A. They're – they're promissory notes that were – as you presented, that were – that were executed. Whether they're valid or if there's other reasons, I didn't – I don't know. Q. I'm not asking you whether they're valid or not. I'm asking you for your state of mind. A year ago you believed that HCMFA was – was obligated to pay the unpaid 	Page 161
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 form. MS. DANDENEAU: Objection, form. A. Yes. Q. As of October 20th – withdrawn. I'm trying to take your mind back to a year ago, October 2020. Do you recall at that time that the boards of the retail funds were making inquiries about obligations that were owed by the advisors to Highland in connection with their 15(c) review? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I don't – I don't recall. Q. As of October 2020, you had no reason to believe you weren't authorized to sign the two promissory notes that we just looked at; correct? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection, form. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A. Not that I'm aware, no. Q. Did you have any reason to believe a year ago that you made a mistake when you signed those two notes? A. Not that I'm aware. Q. A year ago you believed that HCMFA owed Highland the unpaid principal amounts that were due under those two notes; correct? A. They're – they're promissory notes that were – as you presented, that were – that were executed. Whether they're valid or if there's other reasons, I didn't – I don't know. Q. I'm not asking you whether they're valid or not. I'm asking you for your state of mind. A year ago you believed that HCMFA was – was obligated to pay the unpaid principal amount under the two notes that you 	Page 161
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 form. MS. DANDENEAU: Objection, form. A. Yes. Q. As of October 20th – withdrawn. I'm trying to take your mind back to a year ago, October 2020. Do you recall at that time that the boards of the retail funds were making inquiries about obligations that were owed by the advisors to Highland in connection with their 15(c) review? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I don't – I don't recall. Q. As of October 2020, you had no reason to believe you weren't authorized to sign the two promissory notes that we just looked at; correct? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection, form. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection to form.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. Not that I'm aware, no. Q. Did you have any reason to believe a year ago that you made a mistake when you signed those two notes? A. Not that I'm aware. Q. A year ago you believed that HCMFA owed Highland the unpaid principal amounts that were due under those two notes; correct? A. They're – they're promissory notes that were – as you presented, that were – that were executed. Whether they're valid or if there's other reasons, I didn't – I don't know. Q. I'm not asking you whether they're valid or not. I'm asking you for your state of mind. A year ago you believed that HCMFA was – was obligated to pay the unpaid principal amount under the two notes that you signed; correct? 	Page 161
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 form. MS. DANDENEAU: Objection, form. A. Yes. Q. As of October 20th – withdrawn. I'm trying to take your mind back to a year ago, October 2020. Do you recall at that time that the boards of the retail funds were making inquiries about obligations that were owed by the advisors to Highland in connection with their 15(c) review? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I don't – I don't recall. Q. As of October 2020, you had no reason to believe you weren't authorized to sign the two promissory notes that we just looked at; correct? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection, form. MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I didn't think about it in October 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. Not that I'm aware, no. Q. Did you have any reason to believe a year ago that you made a mistake when you signed those two notes? A. Not that I'm aware. Q. A year ago you believed that HCMFA owed Highland the unpaid principal amounts that were due under those two notes; correct? A. They're – they're promissory notes that were – as you presented, that were – that were executed. Whether they're valid or if there's other reasons, I didn't – I don't know. Q. I'm not asking you whether they're valid or not. I'm asking you for your state of mind. A year ago you believed that HCMFA was – was obligated to pay the unpaid principal amount under the two notes that you signed; correct? 	Page 161
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 form. MS. DANDENEAU: Objection, form. A. Yes. Q. As of October 20th – withdrawn. I'm trying to take your mind back to a year ago, October 2020. Do you recall at that time that the boards of the retail funds were making inquiries about obligations that were owed by the advisors to Highland in connection with their 15(c) review? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I don't – I don't recall. Q. As of October 2020, you had no reason to believe you weren't authorized to sign the two promissory notes that we just looked at; correct? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection, form. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection to form. A. I didn't think about it in October of 2020, but I mean – Q. Did you have any reason to believe at that time that you weren't authorized to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. Not that I'm aware, no. Q. Did you have any reason to believe a year ago that you made a mistake when you signed those two notes? A. Not that I'm aware. Q. A year ago you believed that HCMFA owed Highland the unpaid principal amounts that were due under those two notes; correct? A. They're – they're promissory notes that were – as you presented, that were – that were executed. Whether they're valid or if there's other reasons, I didn't – I don't know. Q. I'm not asking you whether they're valid or not. I'm asking you for your state of mind. A year ago you believed that HCMFA was – was obligated to pay the unpaid principal amount under the two notes that you signed; correct? A. Yeah, I'm – I'm – yes. Q. Thank you. Are you aware – you're aware that – that in 2017, NexPoint issued a note in favor of Highland in the approximate 	Page 161
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 3	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 form. MS. DANDENEAU: Objection, form. A. Yes. Q. As of October 20th – withdrawn. I'm trying to take your mind back to a year ago, October 2020. Do you recall at that time that the boards of the retail funds were making inquiries about obligations that were owed by the advisors to Highland in connection with their 15(c) review? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I don't – I don't recall. Q. As of October 2020, you had no reason to believe you weren't authorized to sign the two promissory notes that we just looked at; correct? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection, form. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection to form. A. I didn't think about it in October of 2020, but I mean – Q. Did you have any reason to believe	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. Not that I'm aware, no. Q. Did you have any reason to believe a year ago that you made a mistake when you signed those two notes? A. Not that I'm aware. Q. A year ago you believed that HCMFA owed Highland the unpaid principal amounts that were due under those two notes; correct? A. They're – they're promissory notes that were – as you presented, that were – that were executed. Whether they're valid or if there's other reasons, I didn't – I don't know. Q. I'm not asking you whether they're valid or not. I'm asking you for your state of mind. A year ago you believed that HCMFA was – was obligated to pay the unpaid principal amount under the two notes that you signed; correct? A. Yeah, I'm – I'm – yes. Q. Thank you. Are you aware – you're aware that – that in 2017, NexPoint issued a 	Page 161

Casse 211-0330005-ssgj Door: 1/486-3 Frided 012/05/221 Entered 012/05/221 18/1/87:442 Prage 6436 off 18919

Page 162 Page 162 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Page 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 Q. Sometime in 2021? 3 A. Yes. 3 Q. Okay. And are you generally aware. 3 A. Yes. 3 A. Yes. 4 that from time to time, after the note was 5 issued by NexPoint, that moneys were applied to 5 referring to? 6 principal and interest that were due under the 7 January of 2021. 9 Q. Okay. And dia nybody evertell you 9 Q. Okay. So did anybody evertell you 10 that form the made against the 10 at any time that any payment that was made 11 against principal – 12 A. Yes. 12 A. And – and – and – hold on, and it 13 may have been other – again, it may have been what 15 A. We talked about a to fitnigs 15 he was explaining, a misapplication of prior 16 today. Who taly our that any payment 17 Q. Chay. Who taly our that any payment 17 Q. Chay. Who taly our that any payment 17 Q. Chay. Who taly our that any payment 17 Q. Chay ou up that any thave been 14 <th>e 163</th>	e 163
2 A. Im - Im - Im generally aware. 2 Q. Sometime in 2021? 3 A. Yes. 4 that from the to time, after the note was 5 Issued by NexPoint, that moreps were applied to 6 principal and interest that were due under the 7 A. It was the - the payment made in 7 NexPoint note? 8 A. Yes. 4 9 Q. Okay. And did anybody ever tell you 9 Q. Okay. So did anybody ever tell you 10 that the payment brat were made against the 11 against principal - 12 A. Yes. 9 Q. Okay. So did anybody ever tell you 10 that the payment brat were made against the 11 against principal - 12 A. Yes. 12 A. And - and - and - hold on, and it 13 Q. And is it the one payment that we 13 may have been ofter - again, it may have been 14 talked about earlier today? 16 be was explaining, a misapplication of prior 16 today. What payment are we talking about? 16 payments as well. 17 Q. Casuter. 17 Q. Can you - can you give me any 20 A. DC. Sauter. 20 withdrawn.	
3 Q. Okay. And are you generally aware 3 A. Yes. 4 that from time to time, after the note was 5 issued by NexPoint, that moneys were applied to 5 principal and interest that were due under the 6 A. It was the - the payment made in 7 NexPoint note? 7 January of 2021 or - yeah, January of - of 8 A. Yes, I'm generally aware. 9 Q. Okay. And dia mybody ever tell you 10 that the payments that were made against the 10 at any time that any payment that was made 11 NexPoint notes were made by mistake? 12 A. And - and - and - hold on, and it 13 Q. Okay. And dia thot of things 15 he was explaining, a misseplication of prior 14 talked about a lot of things 15 he was explaining, a misseplication of prior 16 today. What taky payment are we talking about? 16 payments as well. 17 Q. Ckay. Who told you that any payment 17 Q. Can you - can you give me any 18 made against the NexPoint note was made by 19 withdrawn. Can you util me 19 withdrawn. 19 Withdrawn. 12 14 <t< td=""><td></td></t<>	
4 that from time to time, after the note was 4 Q. Do you remember what payment he was 5 issued by NexPoint, that moneys were applied to 5 referring to? 6 principal and interest that were due under the 7 January of 2021 or - yeah, January of - of 8 A. Yes, I'm generally aware. 9 Q. Okay. So did anybody ever tell you 10 9 Q. Okay. And did anybody ever tell you 10 at any time that any payment that was made 11 NexPoint notes were made by mistake? 11 against principal - 12 A. Yes. 12 A. And - and - and - hold on, and it 13 Q. And is it the one payment that we 13 may have been other - again, it may have been 14 that payment are we tailing about? 14 that payment are we tailing about? 16 today. What payment are we tailing about? 16 payments as well. 17 Q. Cas, Who told you that any payment 17 Q. Cany you give me any 18 made against the NexPoint note was made by 18 specificity - withdrawn. 19 Witchawn. Can you give me any 18 specificity - withdrawn. 11	
5 issued by NexPoint, that moneys were applied to 5 referring to? 6 principal and interest that were due under the 6 A. It was the - the payment made in 7 NexPoint note? 6 A. It was the - the payment made in 9 Q. Okay. And did anybody ever tell you 9 Q. Okay. So did anybody ever tell you 10 that the payments that were made against the 10 at any time that any payment that was made 11 NexPoint notes were made by mistake? 12 A. And -	
6 principal and interest that were due under the 6 A. It was the – the payment made in 7 NexPoint note? 7 January of 2021 or – yeah, January of 2021 n. 9 O. Okay. And did anybody ever tell you 9 O. Okay. So did anybody ever tell you 10 that the payments that were made against the 10 at any time that any payment that was made 11 NexPoint notes were made by mistake? 12 A. And – and – hold on, and it 13 Q. And is it the one payment that we 13 may have been other – again, it may have been 14 talked about earlier today? 14 that payment or – or there may have been what 15 A. We talked about a lot of things 15 he was explaining, a misapplication of prior 16 today. What payment are we taking about? 17 Q. Okay. Who told you that any payment 17 Q. Can you – can you give me any 18 made against the NexPoint note was made by 18 specificity – withdrawn. 19 19 mistake? 19 Withdrawn. Can you en you give me any 18 20 A. D.C. Sauter. 20 everything that Mr. Sauter told you about – 21 Q. Okay. Uno	
7 NexPoint note? 7 January of 2021 or - yeah, January of - of 8 A. Yes, I'm generally aware. 8 this - January of 2021 or - yeah, January of - of 9 Q. Okay. And did anybody ever tell you 9 Q. Okay. So did anybody ever tell you 10 that the payments that were made against the 11 against principal - 11 NexPoint notes were made by mistake? 12 A. And - and - and - hold on, and it 13 Q. And is is the one payment that we 13 may have been other - again, it may have been 14 talked about earlier today? 14 that payment or, or or there may have been what 15 A. We talked about a lot of things 15 he was explaining, a misapplication of prior 16 today. What payment are we talking about? 16 payments as well. 17 17 Q. Okay. Who told you that any payment 17 Q. Can you - can you give me any 18 mate against the NexPoint note was made by 18 specifical – withdrawn. 19 withdrawn. 17 Q. Can you - can you give me any 18 specifically. 21 about errors in relation to payments made 29	
8 A. Yes, I'm generally aware. 8 this – January of 2021. 9 Q. Okay. And did anybody ever tell you 9 Q. Okay. So did anybody ever tell you 10 that the payments that were made against the 10 at any time that any payment that was made 11 NexPoint notes were made by mistake? 12 A. And – and – and – hold on, and it 13 Q. And is it the one payment that we 13 may have been other – again, it may have been 14 talked about a lot of things 15 he was explaining, a misapplication of prior 16 today. What payment are we talking about? 16 payments as well. 17 Q. Okay. Who told you that any payment 17 Q. Can you – can you give me any 18 made against the NexPoint note was made by 18 specificity – withdrawn. 19 mistake? 19 Withdrawn. Can you uell me 20 A. Dc. Sauter. 21 against principal and interest due under the 23 specificity. 23 NexPoint note? 24 A. Don't – I don't remember 23 NexPoint note? 25 M. RUKAVINA: Hold on. MR 24 MS DAND	
9 Q. Okay. And did anybody ever tell you 9 Q. Okay. So did anybody ever tell you 10 that the payments that were made against the 10 at any time that any payment that was made 11 NexPoint notes were made by mistake? 11 against principal – 12 A. Yes. 12 A. And - and – and – hold on, and it 13 Q. And is it the one payment that we 13 against timicipal – 14 talked about earlier today? 14 that payment or – or there may have been what 15 A. We talked about a lot of things 15 he was explaining, a misapplication of prior 16 today. Who told you that any payment 17 Q. Okay. Who told you that any payment 17 17 Q. Okay. Who told you that any payment 17 Q. Can you – can you give me any 18 19 mistake? 19 Withdrawn. 19 Withdrawn. 19 mistake? 20 everything that Mr. Sauter told you about – 21 about errors in relation to payments made 22 A. I.don't – I.don't remember 23 against principal and interest due under the 23 nestot in the apayment 24	
10 that the payments that were made against the 10 at any time that any payment that was made 11 NexPoint notes were made by mistake? 11 against principal – 12 A And – and – and – and – hold on, and it 13 Q. And is it the one payment that we 13 may have been other – again, it may have been 14 talked about earlier today? 14 that payment or – or there may have been what 15 A. We talked about al ot of things 15 he was explaining, a misapplication of prior 16 today. What payment are we talking about? 16 payments as well. 17 17 Q. Okay. Who told you that any payment 17 Q. Can you – can you give me any 18 made against the NexPoint note was made by 18 specificity – withdrawn. 19 20 A. D.C. Sauter. 20 everything that Mr. Sauter told you about – 21 about errors in relation to payments made 22 A. I don't – I don't remember 22 against principal and interest due under the 23 specifically. 24 MS. DANDENEAU: Can I just – 25 A. Sometime – sometime this year. 24 MS. DANDENEAU: Can I just –	
11 NexPoint notes were made by mistake? 11 against principal – 12 A. Yes. 12 A. And – and – and – hold on, and it 13 Q. And is it the one payment that we 13 may have been other – again, it may have been 14 talked about earlier today? 14 that payment or – or there may have been what 15 A. We talked about a lot of things 15 he was explaining, a misapplication of prior 16 today. What payment are we talking about? 16 payments as well. 17 17 Q. Okay. Who told you that any payment 17 Q. Can you – can you give me any 18 18 made against the NexPoint note was made by 18 specificity – withdrawn. 19 Withdrawn. 19 19 mistake? 19 Withdrawn. 20 everything that Mr. Sauter told you about – 21 about errors in relation to payments made 23 specifically. 21 about errors in relation to payments made 22 against principal and interest due under the 23 NexPoint note? 24 MS. DANDENEAU: Can I just – 25 MR. RUKAVINA: Hold on. Medeant	
12 A. Yes. 12 A. And – and – and – hold on, and it 13 Q. And is it the one payment that we 13 may have been other – again, it may have been 14 talked about a lot of things 14 that payment or – or there may have been what 15 A. We talked about a lot of things 15 he was explaining, a misapplication of prior 16 today. What payment are we talking about? 16 payments as well. 17 Q. Okay. Who told you that any payment 17 Q. Can you – can you give me any 18 made against the NexPoint note was made by 18 specificity – withdrawn. 19 Withdrawn. Can you tell me 20 everything that Mr. Sauter told you about – 21 Q. When did Mr. Sauter tell you that? 21 about errors in relation to payments made 22 A. I don't – I don't remember 22 against principal and interest due under the 23 specifically. 23 NexPoint note? 24 24 MS. DANDENEAU: Can I just – 25 MR. RUKAVINA: Hold on. 25 A. Sometime – sometime this year. 24 MS. DANDENEAU: Can I just – 26 Mr. WatterHOUSE - 10-19	
13 Q. And is it the one payment that we 13 may have been other – again, it may have been 14 talked about earlier today? 14 that payment or – or there may have been what 15 A. We talked about a lot of things 15 he was explaining, a misapplication of prior 16 today. What payment are we talking about? 16 payments as well. 17 Q. Okay. Who told you that any payment 17 Q. Can you – can you give me any 18 made against the NexPoint note was made by 18 specificity – withdrawn. 19 mistake? 19 Withdrawn. Can you tell me 20 A. D.C. Sauter. 20 everything that Mr. Sauter told you about – 21 Q. When did Mr. Sauter tell you that? 21 about errors in relation to payments made 22 A. I don't – I don't remember 22 against principal and interest due under the 23 specifically. 23 NexPoint note? 24 24 D. O you remember what payments – 25 MR. RUKAVINA: Hold on. Page 25 M. Sometime – sometime this year. 25 MR. RUKAVINA: Hold on. Page 2 I'm	
14 talked about earlier today? 14 that payment or – or there may have been what 15 A. We talked about a lot of things 15 he was explaining, a misapplication of prior 16 today. What payment are we talking about? 16 payments as well. 17 Q. Okay. Who told you that any payment 17 Q. Can you – can you give me any 18 made against the NexPoint note was made by 18 specificity – withdrawn. 19 mistake? 19 Withdrawn. Can you uell me 20 A. D.C. Sauter. 20 everything that Mr. Sauter told you about – 21 Q. When did Mr. Sauter tell you that? 21 about errors in relation to payments made 22 A. Idon't – I don't remember 22 against principal and interest due under the 23 specifically. 23 NexPoint note? 24 Q. Do you remember what payments – 24 MS. DANDENEAU: Can I just – 25 A. Sometime – sometime this year. 25 MR. RUKAVINA: Hold on. 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 Highland's employment, or did it happen after 3 instruct the witness not to answer 3 you lef	
15 A. We talked about a lot of things 15 he was explaining, a misapplication of prior 16 today. What payment are we talking about? 16 payments as well. 17 Q. Okay. Who told you that any payment 17 Q. Can you – can you give me any 18 made against the NexPoint note was made by 18 specificity – withdrawn. 19 mistake? 19 Withdrawn. Can you tell me 20 A. D.C. Sauter. 20 everything that Mr. Sauter told you about – 21 Q. When did Mr. Sauter tell you that? 21 about errors in relation to payments made 22 A. I don't – I don't remember 22 against principal and interest due under the 23 specifically. 24 MS. DANDENEAU: Can I just – 25 A. Sometime – sometime this year. 25 MR. RUKAVINA: Hold on. Hold on. 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Page 164 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 I'm going to object here, and I'm going to 3 instruct the witness not to answer 3 3 instruct the witness not to answer 4 A. I don't – I don't recall I – I 5 4 depending o	
16 today. What payment are we talking about? 16 payments as well. 17 Q. Okay. Who told you that any payment 17 Q. Can you – can you give me any 18 made against the NexPoint note was made by 18 specificity – withdrawn. 19 mistake? 19 Withdrawn. Can you tell me 20 A. D.C. Sauter. 20 everything that Mr. Sauter told you about – 21 Q. When did Mr. Sauter tell you that? 21 about errors in relation to payments made 22 A. I don't – I don't remember 22 against principal and interest due under the 23 specifically. 24 MS. DANDENEAU: Can I just – 24 Q. Do you remember what payments – 25 MR. RUKAVINA: Hold on. Hold on. 24 Q. Do you remember what payments – 25 MR. RUKAVINA: Hold on. Hold on. 25 A. Sometime – sometime this year. 25 MR. RUKAVINA: Hold on. Hold on. 2 I'm going to object here, and I'm going to 3 instruct the witness not to answer 3 iosuff tighland's employment, or did it happen after 3 you left Highland's employment? 4 A. I don't – I don't recall if – I	
17 Q. Okay. Who told you that any payment 17 Q. Can you – can you give me any 18 made against the NexPoint note was made by 18 specificity – withdrawn. 19 mistake? 19 Withdrawn. Can you tell me 20 A. D.C. Sauter. 20 everything that Mr. Sauter told you about – 21 Q. When did Mr. Sauter tell you that? 21 about errors in relation to payments made 22 A. I don't – I don't remember 22 against principal and interest due under the 23 specifically. 23 NexPoint note? 24 Q. Do you remember what payments – 25 MR. RUKAVINA: Hold on. 25 A. Sometime – sometime this year. 25 MR. RUKAVINA: Hold on. 26 I'm going to object here, and I'm going to 3 instruct the witness not to answer 3 instruct the witness not to answer 4 A. I don't – I don't recall if – I 3 fort under seconsel. 5 oft under tereal. I mean, it was before or after I 4 Again das everyone here knows, D.C. 7 was let go from Highland. 7 Sauter is in-house counsel. 8 Q. Okay. So – so nobody	
18 made against the NexPoint note was made by 18 specificity – withdrawn. 19 mistake? 19 Withdrawn. Can you tell me 20 A. D.C. Sauter. 20 everything that Mr. Sauter told you about – 21 Q. When did Mr. Sauter tell you that? 21 about errors in relation to payments made 22 A. I don't – I don't remember 22 against principal and interest due under the 23 specifically. 23 NexPoint note? 24 Q. Do you remember what payments – 24 MS. DANDENEAU: Can I just – 25 A. Sometime – sometime this year. 25 MR. RUKAVINA: Hold on. 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 24 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 I'm going to object here, and I'm going to 3 instruct the witness not to answer 3 instruct the witness not to answer 3 you left Highland's employment? 4 depending on the discussion that you had – 5 don't recall. I mean, it was sometime in 2021. 6 NexPoint, and as everyone here knows, D.C. 7 sauter is in-house counsel. 8 Q. Okay. So – so nobody told you 9 a factual discussio	
19 mistake? 19 Withdrawn. Can you tell me 20 A. D.C. Sauter. 20 everything that Mr. Sauter told you about – 21 Q. When did Mr. Sauter tell you that? 21 about errors in relation to payments made 22 A. I don't – I don't remember 22 against principal and interest due under the 23 specifically. 23 NexPoint note? 24 Q. Do you remember what payments – 24 MS. DANDENEAU: Can I just – 25 A. Sometime – sometime this year. 25 MR. RUKAVINA: Hold on. 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 Highland's employment, or did it happen after 3 instruct the witness not to answer 3 you left Highland's employment? 4 depending on the discussion that you had – 5 don't recall. I mean, it was sometime in 2021. 6 NexPoint, and as everyone here knows, D.C. 6 I don't remember if it was before or after I 7 Sauter is in-house counsel. 8 Q. Okay. So – so nobody told you 9 a factual discussion and him preparing his 9 prior to 2021 that any error or mistake was 10 affidavit, et cetera, then go ahead and <td></td>	
20 A. D.C. Sauter. 20 everything that Mr. Sauter told you about – 21 Q. When did Mr. Sauter tell you that? 21 about errors in relation to payments made 22 A. I don't – I don't remember 22 against principal and interest due under the 23 specifically. 23 NexPoint note? 24 Q. Do you remember what payments – 24 MS. DANDENEAU: Can I just – 25 A. Sometime – sometime this year. 25 MR. RUKAVINA: Hold on. 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 24 MS. DANDENEAU: Can I just – 25 I'm going to object here, and I'm going to 2 Highland's employment, or did it happen after 3 instruct the witness not to answer 3 you left Highland's employment? 4 depending on the discussion that you had – 5 don't recall. I mean, it was sometime in 2021. 6 NexPoint, and as everyone here knows, D.C. 6 I don't recall. I mean, it was before or after I 7 Sauter is in-house counsel. 8 Q. Okay. So – so nobody told you 9 a factual discussion and him preparing his 9 prior to 2021 that any error or mistake was 10 affi	
21 Q. When did Mr. Sauter tell you that? 21 about errors in relation to payments made 22 A. I don't – I don't remember 22 against principal and interest due under the 23 specifically. 23 NexPoint note? 24 Q. Do you remember what payments – 24 MS. DANDENEAU: Can I just – 25 A. Sometime – sometime this year. 25 MR. RUKAVINA: Hold on. 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 Highland's employment, or did it happen after 3 instruct the witness not to answer 3 you left Highland's employment? 4 depending on the discussion that you had – 5 don't recall. I mean, it was sometime in 2021. 6 NexPoint, and as everyone here knows, D.C. 6 I don't recall. I mean, it was before or after I 7 Sauter is in-house counsel. 8 Q. Okay. So – so nobody told you 9 a factual discussion and him preparing his 9 prior to 2021 that any error or mistake was 10 affidavit, et cetera, then go ahead and 10 made in the application of payments against	
22 A. I don't – I don't remember 22 against principal and interest due under the 23 specifically. 23 NexPoint note? 24 Q. Do you remember what payments – 24 MS. DANDENEAU: Can I just – 25 A. Sometime – sometime this year. 25 MR. RUKAVINA: Hold on. 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 25 MR. RUKAVINA: Hold on. 2 I'm going to object here, and I'm going to 2 Highland's employment, or did it happen after 3 instruct the witness not to answer 3 you left Highland's employment? 4 depending on the discussion that you had – 5 don't recall. I mean, it was sometime in 2021. 6 NexPoint, and as everyone here knows, D.C. 6 I don't remember if it was before or after I 7 Sauter is in-house counsel. 7 was let go from Highland. 8 So if you and Mr. Sauter were having 9 Q. Okay. So – so nobody told you 9 a factual discussion and him preparing his 9 prior to 2021 that any error or mistake was 10 affidavit, et cetera, then go ahead and 10 made in the application of payments against	
22 A. I don't – I don't remember 22 against principal and interest due under the 23 specifically. 23 NexPoint note? 24 Q. Do you remember what payments – 24 MS. DANDENEAU: Can I just – 25 A. Sometime – sometime this year. 25 MR. RUKAVINA: Hold on. 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 25 MR. RUKAVINA: Hold on. 2 I'm going to object here, and I'm going to 2 Highland's employment, or did it happen after 3 instruct the witness not to answer 3 you left Highland's employment? 4 depending on the discussion that you had – 5 don't recall. I mean, it was sometime in 2021. 6 NexPoint, and as everyone here knows, D.C. 6 I don't remember if it was before or after I 7 Sauter is in-house counsel. 7 was let go from Highland. 8 So if you and Mr. Sauter were having 9 Q. Okay. So – so nobody told you 9 a factual discussion and him preparing his 9 prior to 2021 that any error or mistake was 10 affidavit, et cetera, then go ahead and 10 made in the application of payments against	
23 specifically. 23 NexPoint note? 24 Q. Do you remember what payments – 24 MS. DANDENEAU: Can I just – 25 A. Sometime – sometime this year. 25 MR. RUKAVINA: Hold on. 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 MR. RUKAVINA: Hold on. 2 I'm going to object here, and I'm going to 3 instruct the witness not to answer 3 instruct the witness not to answer 3 you left Highland's employment, or did it happen after 3 you left Highland's employment? 4 A. I don't – I don't recall if – I 5 Mr. Waterhouse, I'm the lawyer for 5 don't recall. I mean, it was sometime in 2021. 6 NexPoint, and as everyone here knows, D.C. 7 was let go from Highland. 8 So if you and Mr. Sauter were having 8 Q. Okay. So – so nobody told you 9 a factual discussion and him preparing his 9 prior to 2021 that any error or mistake was 10 affidavit, et cetera, then go ahead and 10 made in the application of payments against	
24 Q. Do you remember what payments – 24 MS. DANDENEAU: Can I just – 25 A. Sometime – sometime this year. 25 MR. RUKAVINA: Hold on. 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 MS. DANDENEAU: Can I just – 2 I'm going to object here, and I'm going to 3 instruct the witness not to answer 4 3 instruct the witness not to answer 3 you left Highland's employment, or did it happen after 4 depending on the discussion that you had – 4 A. I don't – I don't recall if – I 5 Mr. Waterhouse, I'm the lawyer for 5 don't recall. I mean, it was sometime in 2021. 6 NexPoint, and as everyone here knows, D.C. 7 Sauter is in-house counsel. 7 8 So if you and Mr. Sauter were having 8 Q. Okay. So – so nobody told you 9 a factual discussion and him preparing his 9 prior to 2021 that any error or mistake was 10 affidavit, et cetera, then go ahead and 10 made in the application of payments against	
25 A. Sometime – sometime this year. 25 MR. RUKAVINA: Hold on. Hold on. 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Page 164 Page 164 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 I'm going to object here, and I'm going to 2 Highland's employment, or did it happen after 3 instruct the witness not to answer 3 you left Highland's employment? 4 depending on the discussion that you had – 4 A. I don't – I don't recall if – I 5 Mr. Waterhouse, I'm the lawyer for 5 don't recall. I mean, it was sometime in 2021. 6 NexPoint, and as everyone here knows, D.C. 7 Sauter is in-house counsel. 7 8 So if you and Mr. Sauter were having 9 a factual discussion and him preparing his 9 prior to 2021 that any error or mistake was 10 affidavit, et cetera, then go ahead and 10 made in the application of payments against	
Page 164 Page 164 Page 164 Page 10-19-21	
1WATERHOUSE - 10-19-211WATERHOUSE - 10-19-212I'm going to object here, and I'm going to2Highland's employment, or did it happen after3instruct the witness not to answer3you left Highland's employment?4depending on the discussion that you had –4A. I don't – I don't recall if – I5Mr. Waterhouse, I'm the lawyer for5don't recall. I mean, it was sometime in 2021.6NexPoint, and as everyone here knows, D.C.6I don't remember if it was before or after I7Sauter is in-house counsel.7was let go from Highland.8So if you and Mr. Sauter were having8Q. Okay. So – so nobody told you9a factual discussion and him preparing his9prior to 2021 that any error or mistake was10affidavit, et cetera, then go ahead and10made in the application of payments against	
2I'm going to object here, and I'm going to2Highland's employment, or did it happen after3instruct the witness not to answer3you left Highland's employment?4depending on the discussion that you had –4A. I don't – I don't recall if – I5Mr. Waterhouse, I'm the lawyer for5don't recall. I mean, it was sometime in 2021.6NexPoint, and as everyone here knows, D.C.6I don't remember if it was before or after I7Sauter is in-house counsel.7was let go from Highland.8So if you and Mr. Sauter were having8Q. Okay. So – so nobody told you9a factual discussion and him preparing his9prior to 2021 that any error or mistake was10affidavit, et cetera, then go ahead and10made in the application of payments against	e 165
3 instruct the witness not to answer 3 you left Highland's employment? 4 depending on the discussion that you had – 4 A. I don't – I don't recall if – I 5 Mr. Waterhouse, I'm the lawyer for 5 don't recall. I mean, it was sometime in 2021. 6 NexPoint, and as everyone here knows, D.C. 6 I don't remember if it was before or after I 7 Sauter is in-house counsel. 7 was let go from Highland. 8 So if you and Mr. Sauter were having 8 Q. Okay. So – so nobody told you 9 a factual discussion and him preparing his 9 prior to 2021 that any error or mistake was 10 affidavit, et cetera, then go ahead and 10 made in the application of payments against	
4depending on the discussion that you had –4A. I don't – I don't recall if – I5Mr. Waterhouse, I'm the lawyer for5don't recall. I mean, it was sometime in 2021.6NexPoint, and as everyone here knows, D.C.6I don't remember if it was before or after I7Sauter is in-house counsel.7was let go from Highland.8So if you and Mr. Sauter were having8Q.Okay. So – so nobody told you9a factual discussion and him preparing his9prior to 2021 that any error or mistake was10affidavit, et cetera, then go ahead and10made in the application of payments against	
5Mr. Waterhouse, I'm the lawyer for5don't recall. I mean, it was sometime in 2021.6NexPoint, and as everyone here knows, D.C.6I don't remember if it was before or after I7Sauter is in-house counsel.7was let go from Highland.8So if you and Mr. Sauter were having8Q.Okay. So so nobody told you9a factual discussion and him preparing his9prior to 2021 that any error or mistake was10affidavit, et cetera, then go ahead and10made in the application of payments against	
6NexPoint, and as everyone here knows, D.C.6I don't remember if it was before or after I7Sauter is in-house counsel.7was let go from Highland.8So if you and Mr. Sauter were having8Q.Okay. So so nobody told you9a factual discussion and him preparing his9prior to 2021 that any error or mistake was10affidavit, et cetera, then go ahead and10made in the application of payments against	
7Sauter is in-house counsel.7was let go from Highland.8So if you and Mr. Sauter were having8Q.Okay. So so nobody told you9a factual discussion and him preparing his9prior to 2021 that any error or mistake was10affidavit, et cetera, then go ahead and10made in the application of payments against	
8So if you and Mr. Sauter were having8Q.Okay. So so nobody told you9a factual discussion and him preparing his9prior to 2021 that any error or mistake was10affidavit, et cetera, then go ahead and10made in the application of payments against	
9a factual discussion and him preparing his9prior to 2021 that any error or mistake was10affidavit, et cetera, then go ahead and10made in the application of payments against	
10affidavit, et cetera, then go ahead and10made in the application of payments against	
11 answer that. But if you were having a 11 principal and interest due on the NexPoint	
12 discussion as to our legal strategy in this 12 note. Do I have that right?	
13lawsuit, or anything having to do with13A.Yeah, I don't – I don't recall this	
14that, then do not answer that.14being in 2020.	
15And if you need to talk to either15Q.Okay. And it didn't happen in 2019;	
16 your counsel or me about that, then we need 16 correct?	
17 to have that discussion now. 17 A. I don't recall that happened.	
18 A. Okay. Yeah, I don't – I don't 18 Q. And it didn't happen in 2018;	
19 really know how to make that distinction, so 19 correct?	
20 maybe I need to talk to counsel before I 20 A. I don't – I don't recall that	
21 answer, or if I can answer. 21 happening.	
22 Q. Let me just ask you this question: 22 Q. And it didn't happen in 2017;	
23 Did – did you have any conversation with 23 correct?	
24 Mr. Sauter about any payment of principal and 24 A. I don't recall.	
25 interest prior to the time that you left 25 Q. But – but you believe the	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	

Page 166	Page 167
2 conversation took place in 2021. You just	2 Q. Did you tell any of the employees at
3 don't remember if it was before or after you	3 Highland before you left Highland's employment
4 left Highland's employment. Do I have that	4 about this call that you had with Mr. Sauter?
5 right?	5 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.
6 A. It was sometime this year. I	6 A. No, I don't – no, I don't recall.
7 don't – I don't remember.	7 Q. NexPoint – to the best of your
9 conversation to Mr. Seery at any point?	9 claim against Highland to try to recover moneys
10 A. I don't believe so.	10 that were mistakenly paid against the principal
11 Q. Did you report this conversation to	11 and interest due under the note?
12 anybody at DSI at any time?	12 A. Okay. Hold on. You are saying did
13 A. I don't recall.	13 NexPoint Advisors file a proof of claim to
14 Q. Do you have – you don't have a	14 Highland for errors related to payments under
15 recollection of ever doing that; correct?	15 the NexPoint note to Highland?
16 A. Yeah, that's right. I don't recall	16 Q. Correct.
17 doing that.	17 A. I'm – I'm – I'm not – I'm not
18 Q. Do you recall telling anybody at	18 aware.
19 Pachulski Stang about the conversation you	19 Q. Are you aware –
20 recall with Mr. Sauter?	20 A. I'm not the legal person here, I
21 A. No, I don't – I don't recall.	21 don't know.
22 Q. Did you tell any of the independent	22 Q. I'm just asking for your knowledge,
23 board members about your conversation with	23 sir.
24 Mr. Sauter?	24 A. Yeah, I don't know. I'm not aware.
25 A. I don't recall.	25 Q. Are you aware of any claim of any
Page 168	Page 169
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 kind that NexPoint has ever made to try to	1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 the same time each year?
2 kind that NexPoint has ever made to try to	2 the same time each year?
2 kind that NexPoint has ever made to try to3 recover the amounts that it contends were – or	2 the same time each year?3 A. Yes, it is – it is around the same
 2 kind that NexPoint has ever made to try to 3 recover the amounts that it contends were - or 4 that Mr. Sauter contend were mistakenly applied 	 2 the same time each year? 3 A. Yes, it is – it is around the same 4 time every year.
 2 kind that NexPoint has ever made to try to 3 recover the amounts that it contends were or 4 that Mr. Sauter contend were mistakenly applied 5 against principal and interest due under the 	 2 the same time each year? 3 A. Yes, it is – it is around the same 4 time every year. 5 Q. And what – what time period of the
 2 kind that NexPoint has ever made to try to 3 recover the amounts that it contends were or 4 that Mr. Sauter contend were mistakenly applied 5 against principal and interest due under the 6 NexPoint note? 	 2 the same time each year? 3 A. Yes, it is it is around the same 4 time every year. 5 Q. And what what time period of the 6 year does the renewal process occur?
 2 kind that NexPoint has ever made to try to 3 recover the amounts that it contends were – or 4 that Mr. Sauter contend were mistakenly applied 5 against principal and interest due under the 6 NexPoint note? 7 A. I'm not aware. 	 2 the same time each year? 3 A. Yes, it is – it is around the same 4 time every year. 5 Q. And what – what time period of the 6 year does the renewal process occur? 7 A. Approximately the September
 2 kind that NexPoint has ever made to try to 3 recover the amounts that it contends were - or 4 that Mr. Sauter contend were mistakenly applied 5 against principal and interest due under the 6 NexPoint note? 7 A. I'm not aware. 8 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 	 2 the same time each year? 3 A. Yes, it is – it is around the same 4 time every year. 5 Q. And what – what time period of the 6 year does the renewal process occur? 7 A. Approximately the September 8 timeframe.
 2 kind that NexPoint has ever made to try to 3 recover the amounts that it contends were - or 4 that Mr. Sauter contend were mistakenly applied 5 against principal and interest due under the 6 NexPoint note? 7 A. I'm not aware. 8 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 9 Q. Okay. The advisors' agreements with 	 2 the same time each year? 3 A. Yes, it is – it is around the same 4 time every year. 5 Q. And what – what time period of the 6 year does the renewal process occur? 7 A. Approximately the September 8 timeframe. 9 Q. During that process, in your
 2 kind that NexPoint has ever made to try to 3 recover the amounts that it contends were or 4 that Mr. Sauter contend were mistakenly applied 5 against principal and interest due under the 6 NexPoint note? 7 A. I'm not aware. 8 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 9 Q. Okay. The advisors' agreements with 10 the retail funds are subject to annual renewal; 	 2 the same time each year? 3 A. Yes, it is – it is around the same 4 time every year. 5 Q. And what – what time period of the 6 year does the renewal process occur? 7 A. Approximately the September 8 timeframe. 9 Q. During that process, in your 10 experience, does the board typically conduct
 2 kind that NexPoint has ever made to try to 3 recover the amounts that it contends were – or 4 that Mr. Sauter contend were mistakenly applied 5 against principal and interest due under the 6 NexPoint note? 7 A. I'm not aware. 8 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 9 Q. Okay. The advisors' agreements with 10 the retail funds are subject to annual renewal; 11 correct? 	 2 the same time each year? 3 A. Yes, it is – it is around the same 4 time every year. 5 Q. And what – what time period of the 6 year does the renewal process occur? 7 A. Approximately the September 8 timeframe. 9 Q. During that process, in your 10 experience, does the board typically conduct 11 its own diligence and ask for information?
 2 kind that NexPoint has ever made to try to 3 recover the amounts that it contends were - or 4 that Mr. Sauter contend were mistakenly applied 5 against principal and interest due under the 6 NexPoint note? 7 A. I'm not aware. 8 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 9 Q. Okay. The advisors' agreements with 10 the retail funds are subject to annual renewal; 11 correct? 12 A. Yes. 	 2 the same time each year? 3 A. Yes, it is – it is around the same 4 time every year. 5 Q. And what – what time period of the 6 year does the renewal process occur? 7 A. Approximately the September 8 timeframe. 9 Q. During that process, in your 10 experience, does the board typically conduct 11 its own diligence and ask for information? 12 A. Does the board ask for lots of – I
 2 kind that NexPoint has ever made to try to 3 recover the amounts that it contends were or 4 that Mr. Sauter contend were mistakenly applied 5 against principal and interest due under the 6 NexPoint note? 7 A. I'm not aware. 8 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 9 Q. Okay. The advisors' agreements with 10 the retail funds are subject to annual renewal; 11 correct? 12 A. Yes. 13 Q. And do you participate in the 	 2 the same time each year? 3 A. Yes, it is – it is around the same 4 time every year. 5 Q. And what – what time period of the 6 year does the renewal process occur? 7 A. Approximately the September 8 timeframe. 9 Q. During that process, in your 10 experience, does the board typically conduct 11 its own diligence and ask for information? 12 A. Does the board ask for lots of – I 13 mean, just – I mean, lots of information as a
 2 kind that NexPoint has ever made to try to 3 recover the amounts that it contends were – or 4 that Mr. Sauter contend were mistakenly applied 5 against principal and interest due under the 6 NexPoint note? 7 A. I'm not aware. 8 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 9 Q. Okay. The advisors' agreements with 10 the retail funds are subject to annual renewal; 11 correct? 12 A. Yes. 13 Q. And do you participate in the 14 renewal process each year? 	 2 the same time each year? 3 A. Yes, it is – it is around the same 4 time every year. 5 Q. And what – what time period of the 6 year does the renewal process occur? 7 A. Approximately the September 8 timeframe. 9 Q. During that process, in your 10 experience, does the board typically conduct 11 its own diligence and ask for information? 12 A. Does the board ask for lots of – I 13 mean, just – I mean, lots of information as a 14 part of that – that – as part of that board
 2 kind that NexPoint has ever made to try to 3 recover the amounts that it contends were or 4 that Mr. Sauter contend were mistakenly applied 5 against principal and interest due under the 6 NexPoint note? 7 A. I'm not aware. 8 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 9 Q. Okay. The advisors' agreements with 10 the retail funds are subject to annual renewal; 11 correct? 12 A. Yes. 13 Q. And do you participate in the 	 2 the same time each year? A. Yes, it is – it is around the same 4 time every year. G. And what – what time period of the 6 year does the renewal process occur? A. Approximately the September 8 timeframe. G. During that process, in your 10 experience, does the board typically conduct 11 its own diligence and ask for information? A. Does the board ask for lots of – I 13 mean, just – I mean, lots of information as a 14 part of that – that – as part of that board 15 meeting and that process.
 2 kind that NexPoint has ever made to try to 3 recover the amounts that it contends were – or 4 that Mr. Sauter contend were mistakenly applied 5 against principal and interest due under the 6 NexPoint note? 7 A. I'm not aware. 8 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 9 Q. Okay. The advisors' agreements with 10 the retail funds are subject to annual renewal; 11 correct? 12 A. Yes. 13 Q. And do you participate in the 14 renewal process each year? 	 2 the same time each year? 3 A. Yes, it is – it is around the same 4 time every year. 5 Q. And what – what time period of the 6 year does the renewal process occur? 7 A. Approximately the September 8 timeframe. 9 Q. During that process, in your 10 experience, does the board typically conduct 11 its own diligence and ask for information? 12 A. Does the board ask for lots of – I 13 mean, just – I mean, lots of information as a 14 part of that – that – as part of that board
 2 kind that NexPoint has ever made to try to 3 recover the amounts that it contends were - or 4 that Mr. Sauter contend were mistakenly applied 5 against principal and interest due under the 6 NexPoint note? 7 A. I'm not aware. 8 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 9 Q. Okay. The advisors' agreements with 10 the retail funds are subject to annual renewal; 11 correct? 12 A. Yes. 13 Q. And do you participate in the 14 renewal process each year? 15 A. Yes. 	 2 the same time each year? A. Yes, it is – it is around the same 4 time every year. G. And what – what time period of the 6 year does the renewal process occur? A. Approximately the September 8 timeframe. G. During that process, in your 10 experience, does the board typically conduct 11 its own diligence and ask for information? A. Does the board ask for lots of – I 13 mean, just – I mean, lots of information as a 14 part of that – that – as part of that board 15 meeting and that process.
 2 kind that NexPoint has ever made to try to 3 recover the amounts that it contends were - or 4 that Mr. Sauter contend were mistakenly applied 5 against principal and interest due under the 6 NexPoint note? 7 A. I'm not aware. 8 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 9 Q. Okay. The advisors' agreements with 10 the retail funds are subject to annual renewal; 11 correct? 12 A. Yes. 13 Q. And do you participate in the 14 renewal process each year? 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. What role do you play in the renewal 	 2 the same time each year? 3 A. Yes, it is – it is around the same 4 time every year. 5 Q. And what – what time period of the 6 year does the renewal process occur? 7 A. Approximately the September 8 timeframe. 9 Q. During that process, in your 10 experience, does the board typically conduct 11 its own diligence and ask for information? 12 A. Does the board ask for lots of – I 13 mean, just – I mean, lots of information as a 14 part of that – that – as part of that board 15 meeting and that process. 16 Q. Okay. And do you recall that the
 kind that NexPoint has ever made to try to recover the amounts that it contends were - or that Mr. Sauter contend were mistakenly applied against principal and interest due under the NexPoint note? A. I'm not aware. MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. Q. Okay. The advisors' agreements with the retail funds are subject to annual renewal; correct? A. Yes. Q. And do you participate in the renewal process each year? A. Yes. Q. What role do you play in the renewal process? 	 2 the same time each year? A. Yes, it is – it is around the same 4 time every year. G. And what – what time period of the 6 year does the renewal process occur? A. Approximately the September 8 timeframe. G. During that process, in your 10 experience, does the board typically conduct 11 its own diligence and ask for information? A. Does the board ask for lots of – I 13 mean, just – I mean, lots of information as a 14 part of that – that – as part of that board 15 meeting and that process. Q. Okay. And do you recall that the 17 process in 2020 spilled into October?
 2 kind that NexPoint has ever made to try to 3 recover the amounts that it contends were - or 4 that Mr. Sauter contend were mistakenly applied 5 against principal and interest due under the 6 NexPoint note? 7 A. I'm not aware. 8 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 9 Q. Okay. The advisors' agreements with 10 the retail funds are subject to annual renewal; 11 correct? 12 A. Yes. 13 Q. And do you participate in the 14 renewal process each year? 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. What role do you play in the renewal 17 process? 18 A. I'm - I'm asked by the retail board 	 2 the same time each year? A. Yes, it is – it is around the same 4 time every year. Q. And what – what time period of the 6 year does the renewal process occur? A. Approximately the September 8 timeframe. Q. During that process, in your 10 experience, does the board typically conduct 11 its own diligence and ask for information? 12 A. Does the board ask for lots of – I 13 mean, just – I mean, lots of information as a 14 part of that – that – as part of that board 15 meeting and that process. Q. Okay. And do you recall that the 17 process in 2020 spilled into October? 18 A. Yes. Yes.
 2 kind that NexPoint has ever made to try to 3 recover the amounts that it contends were - or 4 that Mr. Sauter contend were mistakenly applied 5 against principal and interest due under the 6 NexPoint note? 7 A. I'm not aware. 8 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 9 Q. Okay. The advisors' agreements with 10 the retail funds are subject to annual renewal; 11 correct? 12 A. Yes. 13 Q. And do you participate in the 14 renewal process each year? 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. What role do you play in the renewal 17 process? 18 A. I'm - I'm asked by the retail board 19 to walk-through the advisors financials. 	 2 the same time each year? A. Yes, it is – it is around the same 4 time every year. G. And what – what time period of the 6 year does the renewal process occur? A. Approximately the September 8 timeframe. G. During that process, in your 10 experience, does the board typically conduct 11 its own diligence and ask for information? 12 A. Does the board ask for lots of – 1 13 mean, just – I mean, lots of information as a 14 part of that – that – as part of that board 15 meeting and that process. I6 Q. Okay. And do you recall that the 17 process in 2020 spilled into October? I8 A. Yes. Yes. I9 Q. Okay. And as part of the process in
 2 kind that NexPoint has ever made to try to 3 recover the amounts that it contends were - or 4 that Mr. Sauter contend were mistakenly applied 5 against principal and interest due under the 6 NexPoint note? 7 A. I'm not aware. 8 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 9 Q. Okay. The advisors' agreements with 10 the retail funds are subject to annual renewal; 11 correct? 12 A. Yes. 13 Q. And do you participate in the 14 renewal process each year? 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. What role do you play in the renewal 17 process? 18 A. I'm – I'm asked by the retail board 19 to walk-through the advisors financials. 20 Q. And do you do that in the context of 	 2 the same time each year? A. Yes, it is – it is around the same 4 time every year. Q. And what – what time period of the 6 year does the renewal process occur? A. Approximately the September 8 timeframe. Q. During that process, in your 10 experience, does the board typically conduct 11 its own diligence and ask for information? 12 A. Does the board ask for lots of – I 13 mean, just – I mean, lots of information as a 14 part of that – that – as part of that board 15 meeting and that process. I. Q. Okay. And do you recall that the 17 process in 2020 spilled into October? I. A. Yes. Yes. I. Q. Okay. And as part of the process in 2020, the retail board asked – asked what are
 2 kind that NexPoint has ever made to try to 3 recover the amounts that it contends were - or 4 that Mr. Sauter contend were mistakenly applied 5 against principal and interest due under the 6 NexPoint note? 7 A. I'm not aware. 8 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 9 Q. Okay. The advisors' agreements with 10 the retail funds are subject to annual renewal; 11 correct? 12 A. Yes. 13 Q. And do you participate in the 14 renewal process each year? 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. What role do you play in the renewal 17 process? 18 A. I'm - I'm asked by the retail board 19 to walk-through the advisors financials. 20 Q. And do you do that in the context of 21 a board meeting? 	 2 the same time each year? A. Yes, it is – it is around the same 4 time every year. Q. And what – what time period of the 6 year does the renewal process occur? A. Approximately the September 8 timeframe. Q. During that process, in your 10 experience, does the board typically conduct 11 its own diligence and ask for information? 12 A. Does the board ask for lots of – I 13 mean, just – I mean, lots of information as a 14 part of that – that – as part of that board 15 meeting and that process. Q. Okay. And do you recall that the 17 process in 2020 spilled into October? 18 A. Yes. Yes. Q. Okay. And as part of the process in 2020, the retail board asked – asked what are 21 referred to as 15(c) questions; right?
 kind that NexPoint has ever made to try to recover the amounts that it contends were – or that Mr. Sauter contend were mistakenly applied against principal and interest due under the NexPoint note? A. I'm not aware. MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. Q. Okay. The advisors' agreements with the retail funds are subject to annual renewal; correct? A. Yes. Q. And do you participate in the renewal process each year? A. Yes. Q. What role do you play in the renewal process? A. I'm – I'm asked by the retail board to walk-through the advisors financials. Q. And do you do that in the context of a board meeting? A. Yes, it is – yes, it is typically done in a board meeting. 	 2 the same time each year? A. Yes, it is – it is around the same 4 time every year. Q. And what – what time period of the 6 year does the renewal process occur? A. Approximately the September 8 timeframe. Q. During that process, in your 10 experience, does the board typically conduct 11 its own diligence and ask for information? 12 A. Does the board ask for lots of – 1 13 mean, just – I mean, lots of information as a 14 part of that – that – as part of that board 15 meeting and that process. I. Q. Okay. And do you recall that the 17 process in 2020 spilled into October? I. A. Yes. Yes. I. Q. Okay. And as part of the process in 2020, the retail board asked – asked what are 21 referred to as 15(c) questions; right? 22 A. I guess I don't want to be – they 23 asked 15(c) – are you saying they asked 15(c)
 kind that NexPoint has ever made to try to recover the amounts that it contends were – or that Mr. Sauter contend were mistakenly applied against principal and interest due under the NexPoint note? A. I'm not aware. MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. Q. Okay. The advisors' agreements with the retail funds are subject to annual renewal; correct? A. Yes. Q. And do you participate in the renewal process each year? A. Yes. Q. What role do you play in the renewal process? A. I'm – I'm asked by the retail board to walk-through the advisors financials. Q. And do you do that in the context of a board meeting? A. Yes, it is – yes, it is typically done in a board meeting. 	 2 the same time each year? A. Yes, it is – it is around the same 4 time every year. Q. And what – what time period of the 6 year does the renewal process occur? A. Approximately the September 8 timeframe. Q. During that process, in your 10 experience, does the board typically conduct 11 its own diligence and ask for information? 12 A. Does the board ask for lots of – I 13 mean, just – I mean, lots of information as a 14 part of that – that – as part of that board 15 meeting and that process. Q. Okay. And do you recall that the 17 process in 2020 spilled into October? 18 A. Yes. Yes. 19 Q. Okay. And as part of the process in 2020, the retail board asked – asked what are 21 referred to as 15(c) questions; right? 22 A. I guess I don't want to be – they

Page 170 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 171 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21
2 Q. No, I apologize.	2 up – keep going just a little bit.
3 Do you have an understanding of	3 Q. You will see that there is an email
4 what – of what 15(c) refers to in the context	4 from Lauren Thedford to Thomas Surgent and
5 of the annual renewal process?	5 others where she reports that she was attaching
6 A. Yes, generally.	6 and reproducing below additional 15(c)
7 Q. All right. What is your general	7 follow-up questions from the board.
8 understanding of the term "15(c)" in the	8 Do you see that?
9 context of the annual renewal process?	9 A. Yes.
10 A. $I - I$ think 15(c) is the section	10 Q. And do you see Question No. 2 asks
11 that that you know, that that the	11 whether there are any material outstanding
12 board has to evaluate every year, the retail	12 amounts currently payable or due in the future
13 board. They have to, you know, go through,	13 (e.g., notes) to HCMLP by HCMFA or NexPoint
14 evaluate, and go through that approval process	14 Advisors or any other affiliate that provides
15 on a yearly basis.	15 services to the funds?
16 Q. Okay.	16 Do you see that?
17 MR. MORRIS: Can we put up on the	17 A. Yes.
18 screen Exhibit 36, please.	18 Q. And – and did you – do you recall
19 (Exhibit 36 marked.)	19 that in in October of 2020 the retail boards
20 MR. MORRIS: I guess let's just	20 were asking for that information?
21 start at the bottom so Mr. Waterhouse can	21 A. I don't recall it, but there –
22 see what is here.	22 they're obviously asking in this email.
23 Q. You see this begins with an email	23 Q. Okay.
24 from Blank Rome to a number of people.	24 MR. MORRIS: Can we scroll up a
25 MR. MORRIS: And if we can scroll	25 little bit, please.
Page 172 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 173 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21
2 Q. And then do you see that	
3 Ms. Thedford includes you on the email string	3 A. Yes.
4 on Tuesday, October 6th, at 5:52?	4 Q. What is your understanding of the
5 A. Yes.	5 positions that Ms. Thedford held at the retail
6 Q. And she asks you and Dave Klos and	6 funds?
7 Kristin Hendrix for advice on that particular	7 A. I – I recall her being an officer.
8 Request No. 2 that I have just read; right?	8 I don't recall her title.
9 A. Yes.	9 Q. Okay. Is she still an officer at
10 Q. Okay. Can you tell me who	10 any of the retail funds today?
11 Ms. Thedford is?	11 A. No.
12 A. She was an attorney that was in the	12 Q. Do you know when she ceased to be an
13 legal group.	13 officer of the retail funds?
14 Q. At Highland Capital Management,	14 A. Approximately.
15 L.P.?	15 Q. And when did she approximately cease
16 A. I'm – I'm – I'm – I don't	16 to be an officer of the retail funds?
17 remember if she was an employee of Highland or	17 A. It was in it was in early of
18 any of the advisors.	18 2021.
19 Q. Okay. Do you know if she served as	19 Q. Okay. Do you know when she became
20 the corporate secretary for both HCMFA and	20 an officer of the retail funds?
21 NexPoint?	21 A. I don't recall.
	22 Q. To the best of your recollection,
	23 was she an officer of the retail funds in
23 Q. And – okay.	23 was she an officer of the retail funds in24 October of 20202
	23 was she an officer of the retail funds in24 October of 2020?25 A. I believe so.

D 474	
Page 174	Page 175
2 Q. Okay. Do you know what title she	2 refer her to the balance sheet that was
3 held in her capacity as an officer, if any?	3 provided to the board as part of the 15(c)
4 A. I told you I don't remember.	4 materials.
5 Q. Okay. So she sends this email to	5 Do you see that?
6 you at 5:52 p.m. on October 6th.	6 A. Yes.
7 And if we can scroll up to the	7 Q. And – and did the advisors provide
8 response, you responded a minute later with a	8 to the board certain balance sheets in 2020 in
9 one-word answer: Yes.	9 connection with the 15(c) review?
10 Do you see that?	10 A. Yes, they did.
11 A. Yes.	11 Q. Okay. And were the amounts that
12 Q. And – and yes is – yes was in	12 were outstanding or that were to be due in the
13 response to the retail board's Question No. 2,	13 future by the advisors to Highland included in
14 right, whether there are any material	14 the liability section of the balance sheet that
15 outstanding amounts currently payable or due in	15 was given to the retail board?
16 the future?	16 A. Yes. Notes would be reflected as
17 A. Yes.	17 liabilities.
18 MR. MORRIS: And can we scroll up to	18 Q. Okay. And –
19 see what happened next.	19 A. If I'm understanding your question
20 Q. So Ms. Thedford writes back to you a	20 correctly.
21 few minutes later and she asks whether you	21 Q. You are. And – and – and those
22 could provide the amounts.	22 liabilities you – you were – you believed
23 Do you see that?	23 were responsive to the retail board's question;
24 A. Yes.	24 correct?
25 Q. And then you respond further and you	25 A. Yes.
Page 176	Page 177
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21
2 Q. Okay. And then if we can scroll up,	2 questions?
3 you see Ms. Thedford responds to you	3 Q. Yes.
4 nine minutes later with a draft response.	4 A. Yes.
5 Do you see that?	5 Q. Thank you.
6 A. Yes.	6 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: John, it is not
7 Q. And she says that she is taking from	7 in the chat yet. Can you just make sure it
8 the 6/30 financials certain information about	8 gets put in there.
9 amounts that were due to HCMLP and affiliates	9 MR. MORRIS: Sure.
10 as of June 30th, 2020.	10 MS. CANTY: I put it in there. I
11 Do you see that?	11 think maybe I just sent it directly, so let
12 A. I do.	12 me make sure it says to everyone. But I
13 Q. Okay. And did you believe, as the	13 did put it in there. I will try again.
14 treasurer of NexPoint and HCMFA and as the CFO	14 MR. MORRIS: Thank you, La Asia.
15 of Highland, that the information that	15 MS. DANDENEAU: What number is it.
16 Ms. Thedford obtained from the 6/30 financials	16 MR. MORRIS: What, the Bates number?
17 was accurate and responsive in relation to the	17 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: No, the –
18 retail fund board's question?	18 this – yeah, 36 is not in the chat.
19 A. I just want to make sure I	19 MR. MORRIS: Okay. We'll get it.
20 understand the question.	20 MS. DANDENEAU: I think that
21 Are you saying that the financial	21 Ms. Canty just sent it to me originally.
22 information provided to the retail board as	22 Sorry.
23 part of the 15(c) process, which included	23 MR. MORRIS: Okay. We will get it
24 financial statements as of June 30th of 2021,	24 there.
25 did I feel like those were responsive to their	25 MS. CANTY: Okay. It is there now
1	,

1	Page 178 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 179
2	for everyone.	2	Did – do you know if anybody ever	
3	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Got it. Thank	3	answered the retail board's question that was	
4	you.	4	Number 2?	
5	Q. Do you recall if the proposed	5	A. I don't – I can't say for sure.	
6	response that Ms. Thedford crafted was	6	Q. Okay. Do you recall – I think you	
	delivered to the retail board with the with	7	testified earlier that you walked through the	
8	the yellow dates having been completed?	8	advisors' financials with the retail board;	
9	A. I don't know.	9	correct?	
		10	A. Yes.	
10	MR. MORRIS: Davor, I'm going to ask	-		
11	that the advisors and – the advisors of	11	Q. And as part of that process, did you	
12	both HCMFA and NexPoint produce to me any	12	disclose to the retail board the obligations	
13	report that was given to the retail board	13	that NexPoint and HCMFA had to Highland under	
14	concerning the promissory notes at issue,	14	promissory notes?	
15	including the obligations under the notes.	15	A. The retail board, as I stated	
16	Q. Do you know do you know if	16	earlier, receives financial information,	
17	ultimately NexPoint informed the retail board	17	balance sheet, income statement information	
18	in response to its question that NexPoint owed	18	from the advisors. That information is	
19	Highland approximately 23 or \$24 million?	19	provided to the retail board in connection with	
20	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to the	20	the 15(c) process.	
21	form.	21	So any notes between the advisors	
22	A. Sorry, are you asking, did NexPoint	22	and the Highland would be – anything would be	
23	tell the retail board that it owed Highland?	23	detailed in those financial statements.	
24	Q. Let me ask a better question,	24	Q. Do you recall in 2020 ever speaking	
25	Mr. Waterhouse.	25	with the retail board about the advisors'	
	Page 180			Page 181
1	Page 180 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 181
1		1 2	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 behalf of HCMFA ever told the retail boards	Page 181
	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21			Page 181
2	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 obligations under the notes to Highland?	2	behalf of HCMFA ever told the retail boards	Page 181
23	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 obligations under the notes to Highland? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	23	behalf of HCMFA ever told the retail boards that you weren't authorized to sign either of	Page 181
2 3 4	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 obligations under the notes to Highland? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	2 3 4	behalf of HCMFA ever told the retail boards that you weren't authorized to sign either of the two 2019 notes?	Page 181
2 3 4 5	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 obligations under the notes to Highland? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form.	2 3 4 5	behalf of HCMFA ever told the retail boards that you weren't authorized to sign either of the two 2019 notes? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	Page 181
2 3 4 5 6	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 obligations under the notes to Highland? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. I don't recall specifically.	2 3 4 5 6	behalf of HCMFA ever told the retail boards that you weren't authorized to sign either of the two 2019 notes? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I'm not aware.	Page 181
2 3 4 5 6 7	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 obligations under the notes to Highland? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. I don't recall specifically. Q. Do you have any general recollection	2 3 4 5 6 7	behalf of HCMFA ever told the retail boards that you weren't authorized to sign either of the two 2019 notes? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I'm not aware. Q. Are you aware of anybody on behalf	Page 181
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 obligations under the notes to Highland? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. I don't recall specifically. Q. Do you have any general recollection of discussing with the retail board the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	behalf of HCMFA ever told the retail boards that you weren't authorized to sign either of the two 2019 notes? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I'm not aware. Q. Are you aware of anybody on behalf of HCMFA ever telling the retail boards that	Page 181
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 obligations under the notes to Highland? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. I don't recall specifically. Q. Do you have any general recollection of discussing with the retail board the advisors' obligations to Highland under the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	behalf of HCMFA ever told the retail boards that you weren't authorized to sign either of the two 2019 notes? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I'm not aware. Q. Are you aware of anybody on behalf of HCMFA ever telling the retail boards that your execution of the two 2019 notes was a	Page 181
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 obligations under the notes to Highland? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. I don't recall specifically. Q. Do you have any general recollection of discussing with the retail board the advisors' obligations to Highland under the notes that they issued?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 behalf of HCMFA ever told the retail boards that you weren't authorized to sign either of the two 2019 notes? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I'm not aware. Q. Are you aware of anybody on behalf of HCMFA ever telling the retail boards that your execution of the two 2019 notes was a mistake? 	Page 181
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 obligations under the notes to Highland? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. I don't recall specifically. Q. Do you have any general recollection of discussing with the retail board the advisors' obligations to Highland under the notes that they issued? MS. DANDENEAU: Object to the form.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	behalf of HCMFA ever told the retail boards that you weren't authorized to sign either of the two 2019 notes? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I'm not aware. Q. Are you aware of anybody on behalf of HCMFA ever telling the retail boards that your execution of the two 2019 notes was a mistake? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I'm not aware.	Page 181
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 obligations under the notes to Highland? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. I don't recall specifically. Q. Do you have any general recollection of discussing with the retail board the advisors' obligations to Highland under the notes that they issued? MS. DANDENEAU: Object to the form. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 behalf of HCMFA ever told the retail boards that you weren't authorized to sign either of the two 2019 notes? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I'm not aware. Q. Are you aware of anybody on behalf of HCMFA ever telling the retail boards that your execution of the two 2019 notes was a mistake? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 	Page 181
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 obligations under the notes to Highland? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. I don't recall specifically. Q. Do you have any general recollection of discussing with the retail board the advisors' obligations to Highland under the notes that they issued? MS. DANDENEAU: Object to the form. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 behalf of HCMFA ever told the retail boards that you weren't authorized to sign either of the two 2019 notes? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I'm not aware. Q. Are you aware of anybody on behalf of HCMFA ever telling the retail boards that your execution of the two 2019 notes was a mistake? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I'm not aware. Q. Are you aware of anybody on behalf of HCMFA ever telling the retail boards that 	Page 181
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 obligations under the notes to Highland? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. I don't recall specifically. Q. Do you have any general recollection of discussing with the retail board the advisors' obligations to Highland under the notes that they issued? MS. DANDENEAU: Object to the form. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. I just recall generally just – it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	behalf of HCMFA ever told the retail boards that you weren't authorized to sign either of the two 2019 notes? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I'm not aware. Q. Are you aware of anybody on behalf of HCMFA ever telling the retail boards that your execution of the two 2019 notes was a mistake? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I'm not aware. Q. Are you aware of anybody on behalf	Page 181
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 obligations under the notes to Highland? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. I don't recall specifically. Q. Do you have any general recollection of discussing with the retail board the advisors' obligations to Highland under the notes that they issued? MS. DANDENEAU: Object to the form. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. I just recall generally just – it is just – I present the financial statements, and if they have questions, I answer their	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	behalf of HCMFA ever told the retail boards that you weren't authorized to sign either of the two 2019 notes? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I'm not aware. Q. Are you aware of anybody on behalf of HCMFA ever telling the retail boards that your execution of the two 2019 notes was a mistake? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I'm not aware. Q. Are you aware of anybody on behalf of HCMFA ever telling the retail boards that HCMFA did not have to pay the amounts reflected	Page 181
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 obligations under the notes to Highland? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. I don't recall specifically. Q. Do you have any general recollection of discussing with the retail board the advisors' obligations to Highland under the notes that they issued? MS. DANDENEAU: Object to the form. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. I just recall generally just – it is just – I present the financial statements, and if they have questions, I answer their questions and walk them through.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 behalf of HCMFA ever told the retail boards that you weren't authorized to sign either of the two 2019 notes? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I'm not aware. Q. Are you aware of anybody on behalf of HCMFA ever telling the retail boards that your execution of the two 2019 notes was a mistake? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I'm not aware. Q. Are you aware of anybody on behalf of HCMFA ever telling the retail boards that your execution of the two 2019 notes was a mistake? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I'm not aware. Q. Are you aware of anybody on behalf of HCMFA ever telling the retail boards that HCMFA did not have to pay the amounts reflected in the two notes that you signed in 2019? A. I'm not aware. 	Page 181
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 obligations under the notes to Highland? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. I don't recall specifically. Q. Do you have any general recollection of discussing with the retail board the advisors' obligations to Highland under the notes that they issued? MS. DANDENEAU: Object to the form. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. I just recall generally just – it is just – I present the financial statements, and if they have questions, I answer their	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 behalf of HCMFA ever told the retail boards that you weren't authorized to sign either of the two 2019 notes? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I'm not aware. Q. Are you aware of anybody on behalf of HCMFA ever telling the retail boards that your execution of the two 2019 notes was a mistake? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I'm not aware. Q. Are you aware of anybody on behalf of HCMFA ever telling the retail boards that HS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I'm not aware. Q. Are you aware of anybody on behalf of HCMFA ever telling the retail boards that HCMFA did not have to pay the amounts reflected in the two notes that you signed in 2019? A. I'm not aware. 	Page 181
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 obligations under the notes to Highland? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. I don't recall specifically. Q. Do you have any general recollection of discussing with the retail board the advisors' obligations to Highland under the notes that they issued? MS. DANDENEAU: Object to the form. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. I just recall generally just – it is just – I present the financial statements, and if they have questions, I answer their questions and walk them through. I don't recall what they asked. I don't recall where the discussion went. I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 behalf of HCMFA ever told the retail boards that you weren't authorized to sign either of the two 2019 notes? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I'm not aware. Q. Are you aware of anybody on behalf of HCMFA ever telling the retail boards that your execution of the two 2019 notes was a mistake? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I'm not aware. Q. Are you aware of anybody on behalf of HCMFA ever telling the retail boards that your execution of the two 2019 notes was a mistake? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I'm not aware. Q. Are you aware of anybody on behalf of HCMFA did not have to pay the amounts reflected in the two notes that you signed in 2019? A. I'm not aware. Q. Do you know whether anybody ever told the retail boards – withdrawn. 	Page 181
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 obligations under the notes to Highland? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. I don't recall specifically. Q. Do you have any general recollection of discussing with the retail board the advisors' obligations to Highland under the notes that they issued? MS. DANDENEAU: Object to the form. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. I just recall generally just – it is just – I present the financial statements, and if they have questions, I answer their questions and walk them through. I don't recall what they asked. I don't recall where the discussion went. I don't recall anything of that nature.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 behalf of HCMFA ever told the retail boards that you weren't authorized to sign either of the two 2019 notes? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I'm not aware. Q. Are you aware of anybody on behalf of HCMFA ever telling the retail boards that your execution of the two 2019 notes was a mistake? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I'm not aware. Q. Are you aware of anybody on behalf of HCMFA ever telling the retail boards that your execution of the two 2019 notes was a mistake? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I'm not aware. Q. Are you aware of anybody on behalf of HCMFA did not have to pay the amounts reflected in the two notes that you signed in 2019? A. I'm not aware. Q. Do you know whether anybody ever told the retail boards – withdrawn. Do you know whether anybody ever 	Page 181
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 obligations under the notes to Highland? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. I don't recall specifically. Q. Do you have any general recollection of discussing with the retail board the advisors' obligations to Highland under the notes that they issued? MS. DANDENEAU: Object to the form. MS. DANDENEAU: Object to the form. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. MS. DITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. MS. DO you know if anybody on 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 behalf of HCMFA ever told the retail boards that you weren't authorized to sign either of the two 2019 notes? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I'm not aware. Q. Are you aware of anybody on behalf of HCMFA ever telling the retail boards that your execution of the two 2019 notes was a mistake? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I'm not aware. Q. Are you aware of anybody on behalf of HCMFA ever telling the retail boards that your execution of the two 2019 notes was a mistake? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I'm not aware. Q. Are you aware of anybody on behalf of HCMFA ever telling the retail boards that HCMFA did not have to pay the amounts reflected in the two notes that you signed in 2019? A. I'm not aware. Q. Do you know whether anybody ever told the retail boards - withdrawn. Do you know whether anybody ever told the retail boards that Highland has 	Page 181
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 obligations under the notes to Highland? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. I don't recall specifically. Q. Do you have any general recollection of discussing with the retail board the advisors' obligations to Highland under the notes that they issued? MS. DANDENEAU: Object to the form. MS. DANDENEAU: Object to the form. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. I just recall generally just – it is just – I present the financial statements, and if they have questions, I answer their questions and walk them through. I don't recall what they asked. I don't recall anything of that nature. Q. Okay. Do you know if anybody on behalf of HCMF – HCMFA ever told the retail 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 behalf of HCMFA ever told the retail boards that you weren't authorized to sign either of the two 2019 notes? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I'm not aware. Q. Are you aware of anybody on behalf of HCMFA ever telling the retail boards that your execution of the two 2019 notes was a mistake? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I'm not aware. Q. Are you aware of anybody on behalf of HCMFA ever telling the retail boards that your execution of the two 2019 notes was a mistake? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I'm not aware. Q. Are you aware of anybody on behalf of HCMFA ever telling the retail boards that HCMFA did not have to pay the amounts reflected in the two notes that you signed in 2019? A. I'm not aware. Q. Do you know whether anybody ever told the retail boards that Highland has commenced a lawsuit to recover on the two notes 	Page 181
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 3	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 obligations under the notes to Highland? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. I don't recall specifically. Q. Do you have any general recollection of discussing with the retail board the advisors' obligations to Highland under the notes that they issued? MS. DANDENEAU: Object to the form. MS. DANDENEAU: Object to the form. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. I just recall generally just – it is just – I present the financial statements, and if they have questions, I answer their questions and walk them through. I don't recall what they asked. I don't recall where the discussion went. I don't recall anything of that nature. Q. Okay. Do you know if anybody on behalf of HCMF – HCMFA ever told the retail 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 behalf of HCMFA ever told the retail boards that you weren't authorized to sign either of the two 2019 notes? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I'm not aware. Q. Are you aware of anybody on behalf of HCMFA ever telling the retail boards that your execution of the two 2019 notes was a mistake? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I'm not aware. Q. Are you aware of anybody on behalf of HCMFA ever telling the retail boards that your execution of the two 2019 notes was a mistake? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I'm not aware. Q. Are you aware of anybody on behalf of HCMFA ever telling the retail boards that HCMFA did not have to pay the amounts reflected in the two notes that you signed in 2019? A. I'm not aware. Q. Do you know whether anybody ever told the retail boards - withdrawn. Do you know whether anybody ever told the retail boards that Highland has commenced a lawsuit to recover on the two notes that you signed in 2019? 	Page 181
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 obligations under the notes to Highland? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. I don't recall specifically. Q. Do you have any general recollection of discussing with the retail board the advisors' obligations to Highland under the notes that they issued? MS. DANDENEAU: Object to the form. MS. DANDENEAU: Object to the form. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. I just recall generally just – it is just – I present the financial statements, and if they have questions, I answer their questions and walk them through. I don't recall what they asked. I don't recall anything of that nature. Q. Okay. Do you know if anybody on behalf of HCMF – HCMFA ever told the retail 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 behalf of HCMFA ever told the retail boards that you weren't authorized to sign either of the two 2019 notes? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I'm not aware. Q. Are you aware of anybody on behalf of HCMFA ever telling the retail boards that your execution of the two 2019 notes was a mistake? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I'm not aware. Q. Are you aware of anybody on behalf of HCMFA ever telling the retail boards that your execution of the two 2019 notes was a mistake? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I'm not aware. Q. Are you aware of anybody on behalf of HCMFA ever telling the retail boards that HCMFA did not have to pay the amounts reflected in the two notes that you signed in 2019? A. I'm not aware. Q. Do you know whether anybody ever told the retail boards that Highland has commenced a lawsuit to recover on the two notes 	Page 181

Image: Non-Texperiment of the National State (Section 1) Page 168 Image: Non-Texperiment of the National State (Section 1) Page 168 Image: Non-Texperiment of the National State (Section 1) Page 168 Image: Non-Texperiment of the National State (Section 1) Page 168 Image: Non-Texperiment of the National State (Section 1) Page 168 Image 100 Page 168 Image 100 Page 168 Image 100 Page 168 Page 168 <th></th> <th>D 100</th>		D 100
2 Decide that Highland has sued to 2 Q. Okay, And do you see that Duslin 3 recover on the NexPoint note? 3 Nomis is copied on this email? 4 A. find takene. 5 Q. Great, Do you know whether anybody ever 5 Q. Do you know whether anybody ever 5 Q. Great, Do you know whether 6 dott fait etil boads that Highland had 6 Mr. North shed any positions and October 6, 2020? 8 A. finn of aware. 9 legal expect of what appoints you on how or 10 A. finn of aware. 10 why, but you did see Dustri's name on the 11 informing the real boads that Highland had 11 in outware you aware of anybody ever 10 11 a. Are you aware of anybody reling the 14 October of 2020? 12 12 detailed in detail under the NavPoint note? 12 aealine. 13 Q. Do you know what his tile was in 14 Q. Are you aware of anybody reling the 14 October of 2020? 12 aeal of the achieven, ae tile with 15 retail board that Highland h	Page 182	Page 183
a Norse scoped on this scoped on this semail? 4 A Imnot avare. 4 A Yes, he is. 6 Lob you know whether anybody ever 5 C. Doyou know whether 6 6 tother retail board that Highland had 6 Mr. Nortis held any positions at either of the 7 A funct avare. 9 legal expert of what appoints your of how or 10 Q. are you avare of anybody ever 10 why, but you did see Dustifis rame on the 11 Informing the retail boards that Highland had 11 incumbercy certificate that you produced 12 declared adefault under the AbarPoint note? 12 careire 13 Q. Do you know what his tile was in 14 Q. Are you aware of anybody telling the 14 October of 2020? 15 MS. DANDEINEAU: Objection to form. 16 real instant Highland made a demand for 16 A. I don't lecall. 17 Q. Wase have atte with 18 each of the achicors, to the best of your 19 Q. Lefs - lefs see if there is a 19 recollecion? 20 A. I don't recall. 2 </td <td></td> <td></td>		
4 A Yes, Pe is. 5 Q. Doyou know whether anybody ever 5 Q. Great. Doyou know whether 6 KD: North bed any positions at either of the 7 A finned aware. 9 legal expert of what appoints you or how or 10 Q. Are you aware of anybody ever 10 Why but you did see Dustris name on the 11 Informing the real boards that Hightan had 11 numbers or earlies that hightan had 12 eddeard a default under the NavPoint note? 12 earlier. 13 A. I most aware. 13 Q. Doyou know whether is it is was in 14 Q. Are you aware of anybody lever 13 Q. Doyou know whether is it is was in 14 Q. Are you aware of anybody leing the 14 October of 2020? 15 rest and that Hightan had a demand for 15 M. Doyou know whether is a demand for 16 Payment under the 2019 notes that you signed on 16 A. I don't recall. 17 Ou level ware. 18 each of the advisors, to the best of your 21 scolury. 21 Ou boyou know whythe is included on 22 ascolury. 23 A. I d	-	
6 bit hereital board that Highland had 6 Mr. Norris held any positions at either of the 7 declared a default with respect to the two 7 advisors as of October 6, 2020? 8 A. I'm not aware. 9 legal expect of what appoints you or how or 10 Q. Arey you aware of anybody ever 10 why. byty ou did see Dustins name on the 11 informing the retail boards that Highland had 11 incumbercy certificate that you produced 12 declared a default under the NexPoint note? 13 Q. Do you know what his title was in 14 Q. Arey you aware of anybody telling the 14 October of 2020? 15 retail board that Highland made a demand for 15 M. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 16 A. I don't - L don't recail. 16 A. I don't recail. 17 behalf of HOMFA? 17 Q. Was he – did he have a tile with 18 ach ford't semail, if we can 20 A. I don't recail. 21 scolup. 21 Q. Doy us know why he is included on 22 scolup. 21 Q. Doy us appoint exponded to 22 A. I don't necail. 23 M. I don't sno	4 A. I'm not aware.	
6 bit hereital board that Highland had 6 Mr. Norris held any positions at either of the 7 declared a default with respect to the two 7 advisors as of October 6, 2020? 8 A. I'm not aware. 9 legal expect of what appoints you or how or 10 Q. Arey you aware of anybody ever 10 why. byty ou did see Dustins name on the 11 informing the retail boards that Highland had 11 incumbercy certificate that you produced 12 declared a default under the NexPoint note? 13 Q. Do you know what his title was in 14 Q. Arey you aware of anybody telling the 14 October of 2020? 15 retail board that Highland made a demand for 15 M. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 16 A. I don't - L don't recail. 16 A. I don't recail. 17 behalf of HOMFA? 17 Q. Was he – did he have a tile with 18 ach ford't semail, if we can 20 A. I don't recail. 21 scolup. 21 Q. Doy us know why he is included on 22 scolup. 21 Q. Doy us appoint exponded to 22 A. I don't necail. 23 M. I don't sno	5 Q. Do you know whether anybody ever	5 Q. Great. Do you know whether
7 declard an default with respect to the two 7 advisors as of October 6, 2020? 8 HCMFA notes that you signed in 2019? 8 A Iwill go back to - I'm not the 9 A. I'm not avare. 10 Q. Are you aware of anybody ever 10 why, but you dise a Dustin's name on the 11 informing the relail boards that Highland had 11 incumbency certificate that you produced 12 declared a default under the NexPoint note? 12 eafler. 13 A. I'm not aware. 13 Q. Do you aware of anybody telling the 14 Outpey ou aware of anybody telling the 13 A. I'm not aware. 15 retail boards that Highland made a demand for 15 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 16 payment under the 2019 notes that you signed on 16 A. I'don't - I don't recail. 17 Q. Was he- did the have a tilte was in 14 Cotober of Xaure 19 Q. Lefs - lefs see if there is a 19 recollection? 20 Do you sees you responded to 22 the anthesen, to have a tilte while in advisoi? 21 Do you see you responded to 22 the anthesen of the have at the while in advisoi? 22 Do you see you responded to 22 this thanow why the is included on 22		
6 HCMFA notes that you signed in 2019? 8 A I minot aware. 9 legal expect of what appoints you or how or 10 Q. Arey ou aware of anybody ever 10 with you do do see Dustris name on the 11 11 Informing the relail boards that Highland had 11 incumberroy certificate that you produced 12 declared a defailunder the NexPoint note? 12 asing the second of the advisors of the second of the advisors. 13 A Im not aware. 13 Q. Do you know what his tile was in 14 Q. Arey ou aware of anybody telling the 14 Cociber of 2020? 15 MS. NoADENEAU: Objection to form. 16 payment under the 2019 notes that you signed on 16 A. I mont aware. 10 befail of HCMFA? 17 Q. Was the - did the tave at the with 20 L afort = left see of there is a 19 recollection? 20 Do you see you responded to 22 A. I wait file waits in the sec of your 21 Q. Do you know why the is included on 22 A. I wait file waits in the sec of your 22 A Yes. 23 A. I doft 1 dad Dust. It looks like 24		
9 A. Imnotawine 9 legal expert of what appoints you on how or 10 Q. Are you aware of anybody ever 10 why, but you did see Dustin's name on the 11 informing the tealb back that Highland had 11 incuming the tealb back that Highland had 12 declared a default under the NexPoint note? 12 earlier. 13 A. Tm not aware. 13 Q. Do you know what his tille was in 14 Q. Are you aware of anybody telling the 14 October of 2000? 15 retal back that Highland made a demand for 15 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 16 payment under the 2019 notes that you signed on 16 A. I don't - I don't recail. 17 behaf of HCMFA? 17 Q. Was he - did he have a tile with 18 a. Thin daware. 18 each of the advisors, to the best of your 19 Q. Lefs - left see if there is a 19 recellecton? 21 scolul up. 21 G. Do you know why he is included on 22 not not write the 2019 notes that you signed on 22 this earlishing? 23 MS. Thedford's eminutes after she provided 23 A. I don't recail. 24 the draft response to you? 24 the draft sesponse to you? 25 <td< td=""><td></td><td>8 A. I will go back to – I'm not the</td></td<>		8 A. I will go back to – I'm not the
10 Q. Are you aware of anybody ever 10 why, but you did see Dustin's name on the 11 Informing the retail boards that Highland had 11 incumbency certificate that you produced 12 declared a debut under the AvePoint note? 12 earlier. 13 A. Fm not aware. 13 Q. Do you know what his tille was in 14 Q. Are you aware of anybody telling the 14 Cober of 2020? 15 retail board that Highland made a demand for 15 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 16 payment under the 2019 notes that you signed on 16 A. Idon't recail. 17 behalf of HOMFA? 17 Q. Was he – did he have a tile with 18 act hort advares. 19 recollection? 20 response to Ms. Thedford's email, if we can 20 A. I don't recail. 21 scoll up. 21 Q. Do you know why the is included on 22 scoll up. 22 this areal string? 23 A. Iddn't add Dustin. It looks like 24 24 taure did. Loon't know why she added him or 25 25 n. Vou would have to ask her. Page 185 </td <td>, .</td> <td></td>	, .	
11 Informing the retail boards that Highland had 11 incumbency certificate that you produced 12 declared a default board that Highland made 11 incumbency certificate that you produced 12 declared a default board that Highland made a demand for 13 0. Do you know what his tile was in 14 Q. Are you aware of anybody telling the 14 Cobber of 20207 15 retail board that Highland made a demand for 16 A. If cont - I don't recail. 16 payment under the 2019 roles that you signed on 16 A. Idon't - I don't recail. 17 behalf of HCMFA? 17 Q. Was he - did he have a tile with 18 actificate that you with the advisors, to the best of your 19 19 Q. Lefs - left see if there is a 19 recollection? 21 scol up. 21 Q. Do you know why he is included on 22 Do you see you responded to 22 A. I don't recail. 24 23 Ma. Therdiord's empose to you? 24 Later and L. don't flown why he is included on 23 Ma. Therdiord's empose to you? 24 Later and L. don't flown why he is included in or 25 A. Yes.	10 Q. Are you aware of anybody ever	
12 declared a default under the NexPoint note? 12 earlier. 13 A. Im not aware. 13 Q. Do you know what his tile was in 14 Q. Arey you aware of anybody telling the 14 Q. Cobber of 2020? 15 retail board that Highland made a demand for 15 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 16 payment under the 2019 notes that you signed on 16 A. I don't - I don't reall. 17 De Half of HOMFA? 17 Q. Was he - did he have at life with 18 each of the advisors, to the best of your 19 reconset on Kb. Thedford's email, if we can 20 De you see you responded to 22 the did the minutes after she provided 23 A. I don't recall. 21 op you see you responded to 23 n. I don't know why he is included on 22 the draft response to you? 24 Lauren did. I don't know why he is added him or 25 A. Yes. 25 n. Vou would have to ask her. Page 186 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Page 184 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Page 185 2 Q. Does Mr. Normis hay a role in 3 A. That would have to ask her. Page 185 <td></td> <td></td>		
13 A. I'm not avare. 13 Q. Do you know what his title was in 14 Q. Are you aware of anybody telling the 14 October of 2020? 15 retail board that Highland made a demand for 15 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 16 payment under the 2019 notes that you signed on 16 A. I don't - I don't recail. 17 behalf of HCMFA? 17 Q. Was he - did he have a title with 18 ach of the advisors, to the best of your 19 19 Q. Let's - let's see if there is a 19 recollection? 20 Do you see you responded to 22 the is included on 21 Scorolup. 21 Q. Do you know why he is included on 22 Do you see you responded to 22 this email sting? 23 MS. Thedford five minutes after she provided 23 A. I didn't add Dustin. It looks like 24 the draft response to you? 24 Lauren did. I don't know why she added him or 25 A. Yes. 25 not You would have to ask her. 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Page 186 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Page 185 1 </td <td></td> <td></td>		
14 Q. Are you aware of anybody telling the 14 October of 2020? 15 retail board that Highland made a demand for 15 MS DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 16 payment under the 2019 notes that you signed on 16 A. I don't - I don't recall. 17 behalf of HCMFA? 17 Q. Was he – did he have a title with 18 A. Trunct aware. 18 each of the advisors, to the best of your 19 O. Let's – let's see if there is a 19 recollection? 20 response to Ms. Thedford's email, if we can 20 A. I don't recall. 21 scoll up. 21 Q. Do you know why he is included on 22 bis mail string? 23 A. I didn't add Dustin. It looks like 24 the draft response to you? 24 Lauren did. I don't know why she added him or 25 A. Yes. 25 not. You would have to ask her. 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Page 184 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Was be added him or 26 O. Doew fin. Norris play a role in 2 Ms. DANDEINEAU: Objection to form. 3 formutaing the advisors'responses to the		
15 retail board that Highland made a demand for 15 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 16 payment under the 2019 notes that you signed on 16 A. I don't – I don't recall. 17 behalf of HCMFA? 17 Q. Was he – did he have a tile with 18 A. I'm not aware. 18 each of the advisors, to the best of your 19 Q. Let's – let's see if there is a 19 recollection? 20 response to Ms. Thedford's email, if we can 20 A. I don't recall. 21 scroll up. 21 Q. Do you see you responded to 22 this semail string? 23 Ms. Thedford five minutes after she provided 23 A. I don't recall. 24 Lauren did I don't know why he is included on 25 A. Yes. 25 not You would have to ask her. 25 not You would have to ask her. 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Page 184 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Page 185 2 Q. Does Mr. Noris play a role in 3 A. That would be Jason as well. 4 Question sa well. 4 G. Okay. Now, looking at your 5 response. 6 weed under shared services. wool os a not sus at th		
16 payment under the 2019 notes that you signed on 16 A I don't - I don't recall. 17 behalf of HCMFA? 17 Q. Was he - did he have a tile with 18 A I'n not aware. 18 each of the advisors, to the best of your 19 Q. Let's - lef's see if there is a 19 recollection? 20 response to Ms. Thedford's email, if we can 20 A I don't necall. 21 scroll up. 21 Q. Do you know why he is included on 22 Do you see you responded to 22 this email string? 23 Ms. Thedford five minutes after she provided 23 A I didn't add Dustin. It looks like 24 the draft response to you? 25 not. You would have to ask her. Page 185 2 Q. Does Mr. Norris play a role in 2 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 3 A. That would be Jason as well. 4 Q. Okay. Now, looking at your 3 formulaing the advisor's responses to the 3 A. That would be Jason as well. 4 Q. Okay. Now, looking at your 5 connection with the 15(c) annual review? 5 response, you noteder initially that nothing		
17 behalf of HCMFA? 17 Q. Was he – did he have a tilk with 18 A. Trn not aware. 18 each of the advisors, to the best of your 19 Q. Lets – lets see if there is a 19 recollection? 20 response to Ms. Thedford's email, if we can 20 A. I don't recall. 21 genotes alter the provided 23 A. I ddin't add Dustin. It looks like 22 Do you see you responded to 23 A. I ddin't add Dustin. It looks like 24 the draft response to you? 24 Lauren did. I don't know why he is included on 25 A. Yes. 25 not. You would have to ask her. 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Page 184 2 Ms. DanDENAL: Objection to form. 3 A. That would be Jason as well. 3 formulating the advisors' responses to the 3 A. That would be Jason as well. 4 questions asked by the retail board in 4 Q. Okay. Now, looking at your 5 connection with the 15(c) annual review? 5 response, you noted initially that nothing was 6 Ms. DahDENEAL: Objection to form. 7 fight in substance? 10 share		-
18 A. I'm not aware. 18 each of the advisors, to the best of your 19 Q. Let's – let's see if there is a 19 response to Ms. Thedford's email, if we can 20 response to Ms. Thedford's email, if we can 20 A. I don't recall. 21 scrollup. 21 Q. Do you see you responded to 22 this email string? 23 Ms. Thedford five minutes after she provided 23 A. I don't neow why he is included on 24 the draft response to you? 24 Lauren did. I don't know why she added him or 25 A. Yes. 25 not. You would have to ask her. 7 A. So DANDENEAL: Objection to form. 3 A. That would be Jason as well. 4 questions asked by the retail board in 4 Q. Okay. Now, looking at your 5 connection with the 15(c) annual review? 5 response, you noted initially that nothing was 6 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 6 6 6 7 A. He – Dustin Norris is there in the 7 right in substance? 8 8 Dad relations, to the best of your knowledge, in 10 shared service invoices are outstanding. <t< td=""><td></td><td></td></t<>		
19 Q. Let's - Let's see if there is a 19 recollection? 20 response to Ms. Thedford's email, if we can 20 A I don't recall. 21 scroll up. 21 Q. Do you see you responded to 22 this email string? 23 Ms. Thedford five minutes after she provided 23 A I don't tecall. 24 the draft response to you? 23 A I don't thow why she added him or 25 A Yes. 25 not. You would have to ask her. 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Page 184 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Page 185 2 Q. Does Mr. Norris play a role in 3 A That would be Jason as well. 4 4 questions asked by the retail board in 4 Q. Okay. Now, looking at your 5 response, you noted initially that nothing was 6 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 7 registrian substance? 8 A. Yeah. I think I'm being responsive 9 Q. Okay. And does Mr. Noris hold any 9 to Lauren's question here, whether any of the 10 shared service invoices are outstanding. 11 relation to any of the		
20 response to Ms. Thedford's email, if we can 20 A. I don't recall. 21 scroll up. 21 O. Do you know why he is included on 22 Do you see you responded to 22 this email string? 23 Ms. Thedford five minutes after she provided 23 A. I clich't add Dustin. It looks like 24 the draft response to you? 24 Lauren did. I don't know why she added him or 25 A. Yes. 70 You would have to ask her. 7 A. Yes. 70 You would have to ask her. 7 Q. Does Mr. Norris play a role in 2 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 3 formulating the advisors' responses to the 3 A. That would be Jason as well. 4 questions asked by the retail board in 4 Q. Okay. Now, looking at your 5 connection with the 15(c) annual review? 6 owed under shared services. Do I have that 7 A. He – Dustin Norris is there in the 7 right in substance? 8 board meetings. But – so he has a role, yes. 8 A. Yeah. I think I'm being responsive 9 Q. Okay. And does Mr. Noris hold any 9 to Lauren's que		-
21 Scroll up. 21 Q. Do you know why he is included on 22 Do you see you responded to 22 this email string? 23 Ms. Thedford five minutes after she provided 23 A. I didn't add Dustin. It looks like 24 the draft response to you? 24 Laurend (I. I don't know why she added him or 25 A. Yes. 25 not You would have to ask her. 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Page 184 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Page 185 2 Q. Does Mr. Norris play a role in 2 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 3 A. That would be Jason as well. 4 questions asked by the retail board in 4 Q. Okay. Now, looking at your 5 connection with the 15(c) annual review? 5 response, you noted initially that nothing was 6 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 6 owed under shared services. Do I have that 7 right in substance? 8 board meetings. But – so he has a role, yes. 9 to Lauren's question here, whether any of the 10 shared service invoices are outstanding. 11 relation to any of the retail funds? 11 Q. Right. 12 A. Yes.		
22 Do you see you responded to 22 this email string? 23 Ms. Thedford five minutes after she provided 23 A. I clichn't add Dustin. It looks like 24 the draft response to you? 23 A. I clichn't add Dustin. It looks like 24 the draft response to you? 23 A. I clichn't add Dustin. It looks like 25 A. Yes. 25 not. You would have to ask her. 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 Ms. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 3 Grmulating the advisors' responses to the 3 A. That would be Jason as well. 4 questions asked by the retail board in 5 csponse, you noted in itilially that nothing was 6 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 6 owed under shared services. Do I have that 7 A. He - Dustin Noris is there in the 7 right in substance? 8 board meetings. But - so he has a role, yes. 9 0 Okay. And does Mr. Noris hold any 10 positions, to the best of your knowledge, in 11 Q. Right. 11 Reght 11 Q. Right. 12 A. I don't - I don't believe he does. 13 Q. And t		
23 Ms. Thedford five minutes after she provided 23 A. I didn't add Dustin. It looks like 24 the draft response to you? 24 Lauren did. I don't know why she added him or 25 A. Yes. 25 not. You would have to ask her. 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 mot. You would have to ask her. 2 Q. Does Mr. Norris play a role in 2 Ms. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 3 formulating the advisors' responses to the 3 A. That would be Jason as well. 4 questions asked by the retail board in 4 Q. Okay. Now, looking at your 5 connection with the 15(0) annual review? 5 response, you noted initially that nothing was 6 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 6 owed under shared services. Do I have that 7 A. He – Dustin Norris is there in the 7 right in substance? 8 board meetings. But – so he has a role, yes. 8 A. Yeah. Ithink I'm being responsive 9 Q. Okay. And does Mr. Noris hold any 9 to Lauren's question here, whether any of the 10 positions, to the best of your knowedge, in 10 shared service invoices are outstanding.		
24 the draft response to you? 24 Lauren did. I don't know why she added him or 25 A Yes. 25 not. You would have to ask her. 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 Not You would have to ask her. 2 Q. Does Mr. Noris play a role in 3 A That would be Jason as well. 4 questions asked by the retail board in 4 Q. Okay. Now, looking at your 5 5 connection with the 15(c) annual review? 5 response, you noted initially that nothing was 6 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 6 owed under shared services. Do I have that 7 A. He – Dustin Noris is there in the 7 right in substance? 8 baard meetings. But – so he has a role, yes. 9 0. Okay. And does Mr. Norris hold any 9 to Lauren's question here, whether any of the 10 positions, to the best of your knowledge, in 10 shared service invoices are outstanding. 11 clauren's question here, whether any of the 13 Q. And that is because – and that is 14 whether Mr. Post, do you know 13 Q. And that is because – and that is 14 whether Mr. Post holds in rel		
25 A. Yes. 25 not. You would have to ask her. 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Page 185 2 Q. Does Mr. Norris play a role in 2 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 3 3 formulating the advisors' responses to the 3 A. That would be Jason as well. 4 4 questions asked by the retail board in 5 7 Seconded to form. 6 owed under shared services. Do I have that 7 7 A. He – Dustin Norris is there in the 7 right in substance? 8 A. Yeah. I think I'm being responsive 9 Q. Okay. And does Mr. Norris hold any 9 to Lauren's question here, whether any of the 10 positions, to the best of your knowledge, in 10 shared service invoices are outstanding. 11 Q. How about Mr. Post, do you know 13 Q. And that is because – and that is 14 whether Mr. Post holds any position in either 15 for the disclosure of all material boligations 16 A. Imean, he – he – yes. 16 fut were owed to HCMLP either then or in the 17 Q. What is your understanding of the 17 fut were inset to HC		
Page 184 Page 184 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Page 185 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Page 185 2 Q. Does Mr. Norris play a role in 3 A. That would be Jason as well. 4 4 questions asked by the retail board in 4 Q. Okay. Now, looking at your 5 5 connection with the 15(c) annual review? 5 response, you noted initially that nothing was 6 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 6 owed under shared services. Do I have that 7 A. He – Dustin Norris is there in the 7 right in substance? 8 board meetings. But – so he has a role, yes. 8 A. Yeah. I think I'm being responsive 9 Q. Okay. And dees Mr. Norris hold any 9 to Lauren's question here, whether any of the 10 positions, to the best of your knowledge, in 10 shared service invoices are outstanding. 11 relatin to any of the retail funds? 11 Q. Right. 12 A. I don't – I don't believe he dees. 12 A. Yes. 13 Q. How about Mr. Post, do you know 13 Q. And that is because – and that is		
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 Q. Does Mr. Norris play a role in 2 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 3 formulating the advisors' responses to the 3 A. That would be Jason as well. 4 questions asked by the retail board in 4 Q. Okay. Now, looking at your 5 connection with the 15(c) annual review? 5 response, you noted initially that nothing was 6 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 6 owed under shared services. Do I have that 7 A. He – Dustin Norris is there in the 7 right in substance? 8 board meetings. But – so he has a role, yes. 8 A. Yeah. I think I'm being responsive 9 Q. Okay. And does Mr. Norris hold any 9 to Lauren's question here, whether any of the 10 positions, to the best of your knowledge, in 10 shared service invoices are outstanding. 11 relation to any of the retail funds? 11 Q. Right. 12 A. I don't – I don't believe he does. 12 A. Yes. 13 Q. How about Mr. Post, do you know 13 Q. And that is because – and that is 14 whether Mr. P		
2 Q. Does Mr. Norris play a role in 2 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 3 formulating the advisors' responses to the 3 A. That would be Jason as well. 4 questions asked by the retail board in 4 Q. Okay. Now, looking at your 5 connection with the 15(c) annual review? 5 response, you noted initially that nothing was 6 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 6 owed under shared services. Do I have that 7 A. He – Dustin Norris is there in the 7 right in substance? 8 board meetings. But – so he has a role, yes. 8 A. Yeah. I think I'm being responsive 9 Q. Okay. And does Mr. Norris hold any 9 to Lauren's question here, whether any of the 10 positions, to the best of your knowledge, in 10 shared service invoices are outstanding. 11 relation to any of the retail funds? 11 Q. Right. 12 A. Idon't – I don't believe he does. 13 Q. And that is because – and that is 14 whether Mr. Post holds any position in either 14 because the retail board has asked 15 of the advisors? 16 that were owed to HCMLP either then or in the		
3 formulating the advisors' responses to the 3 A. That would be Jason as well. 4 questions asked by the retail board in 4 Q. Okay. Now, looking at your 5 connection with the 15(c) annual review? 5 response, you noted initially that nothing was 6 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 6 owed under shared services. Do I have that 7 A. He – Dustin Norris is there in the 7 right in substance? 8 board meetings. But – so he has a role, yes. 8 A. Yeah. I think I'm being responsive 9 Q. Okay. And does Mr. Norris hold any 9 to Lauren's question here, whether any of the 10 positions, to the best of your knowledge, in 10 shared service invoices are outstanding. 11 relation to any of the retail funds? 11 Q. Right. 12 A. I don't – I don't believe he does. 12 A. Yes. 13 Q. How about Mr. Post, do you know 13 Q. And that is because – and that is 14 whether Mr. Post holds any position in either 14 because the retail the retail board has asked 15 of the advisors? 15 for the disclosure of all material obligations		
4 questions asked by the retail board in 4 Q. Okay. Now, looking at your 5 connection with the 15(c) annual review? 5 response, you noted initially that nothing was 6 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 6 owed under shared services. Do I have that 7 A. He – Dustin Norris is there in the 7 right in substance? 8 board meetings. But – so he has a role, yes. 8 A. Yeah. I think I'm being responsive 9 Q. Okay. And does Mr. Norris hold any 9 to Lauren's question here, whether any of the 10 positions, to the best of your knowledge, in 10 shared service invoices are outstanding. 11 relation to any of the retail funds? 11 Q. Right. 12 A. I dont – I don't believe he does. 12 A. Yes. 13 Q. How about Mr. Post, do you know 13 Q. And that is because – and that is 14 whether Mr. Post holds any position in either 14 because the retail the retail board has asked 15 of the advisors? 15 for the disclosure of all material obligations 16 A. I mean, he – he – yes. 16 that were owed to HCMLP either then or in the		
5 connection with the 15(c) annual review? 5 response, you noted initially that nothing was 6 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 6 owed under shared services. Do I have that 7 A. He – Dustin Norris is there in the 7 right in substance? 8 board meetings. But – so he has a role, yes. 8 A. Yeah. I think I'm being responsive 9 Q. Okay. And does Mr. Norris hold any 9 to Lauren's question here, whether any of the 10 positions, to the best of your knowledge, in 10 shared service invoices are outstanding. 11 relation to any of the retail funds? 11 Q. Right. 12 A. I don't – I don't believe he does. 12 A. Yes. 13 Q. How about Mr. Post, do you know 13 Q. And that is because – and that is 14 whether Mr. Post holds any position in either 14 because the retail board has asked 15 of the advisors? 15 for the disclosure of all material obligations 16 A. I mean, he – he – yes. 16 that were owed to HCMLP either then or in the 17 Q. What is your understanding of the 17 future; isn't that right? <td< td=""><td></td><td></td></td<>		
6MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.6owed under shared services. Do I have that7A. He – Dustin Norris is there in the7right in substance?8board meetings. But – so he has a role, yes.8A. Yeah. I think I'm being responsive9Q. Okay. And does Mr. Norris hold any9to Lauren's question here, whether any of the10positions, to the best of your knowledge, in10shared service invoices are outstanding.11relation to any of the retail funds?11Q. Right.12A. I don't – I don't believe he does.12A. Yes.13Q. How about Mr. Post, do you know13Q. And that is because – and that is14whether Mr. Post holds any position in either14because the retail the retail obligations16A. I mean, he – he – yes.15for the disclosure of all material obligations16A. I mean, he – he – yes.16that were owed to HCMLP either then or in the17Q. What is your understanding of the17future; isn't that right?18positions that Mr. Post holds in relation to18MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.20MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.20A. Look, I don't know if that's a21A. He is an employee of NexPoint21material item, I mean, again, but sure.22Advisors. He is also the chief compliance22Q. Okay. But there were no shared23officer for – for NexPoint.23services outstanding; correct?24Q. Who is the chief comp		
7A. He – Dustin Norris is there in the7right in substance?8board meetings. But – so he has a role, yes.8A. Yeah. I think I'm being responsive9Q. Okay. And does Mr. Norris hold any9to Lauren's question here, whether any of the10positions, to the best of your knowledge, in10shared service invoices are outstanding.11relation to any of the retail funds?11Q. Right.12A. Idon't – I don't believe he does.12A. Yes.13Q. How about Mr. Post, do you know13Q. And that is because – and that is14whether Mr. Post holds any position in either14because the retail board has asked15of the advisors?15for the disclosure of all material obligations16A. Imean, he – he – yes.16that were owed to HCMLP either then or in the17Q. What is your understanding of the17future, isn't that right?18positions that Mr. Post holds in relation to18MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.19the advisors?19Q. We can go back down and look.20MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.20A. Look, I don't know if that's a21A. He is an employee of NexPoint21material item, I mean, again, but sure.22Q. Who is the chief compliance22Q. Okay. But there were no shared23officer for – for NexPoint.23services outstanding; correct?24Q. Who is the chief compliance officer24MS. DANDENEAU: Objectio		
8 board meetings. But – so he has a role, yes. 8 A. Yeah. I think I'm being responsive 9 Q. Okay. And does Mr. Norris hold any 9 to Lauren's question here, whether any of the 10 positions, to the best of your knowledge, in 10 shared service invoices are outstanding. 11 relation to any of the retail funds? 11 Q. Right. 12 A. Idon't – I don't believe he does. 12 A. Yes. 13 Q. How about Mr. Post, do you know 13 Q. And that is because – and that is 14 whether Mr. Post holds any position in either 14 because the retail board has asked 15 of the advisors? 15 for the disclosure of all material obligations 16 A. I mean, he – he – yes. 16 that were owed to HCMLP either then or in the 17 Q. What is your understanding of the 17 future; isn't that right? 18 positions that Mr. Post holds in relation to 18 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 19 Q. We can go back down and look. 20 A. Look, I don't know if that's a 21 A. He is an employee of NexPoint 21 material item, I mean, again, but sure. <t< td=""><td></td><td></td></t<>		
9Q. Okay. And does Mr. Norris hold any9to Lauren's question here, whether any of the10positions, to the best of your knowledge, in10shared service invoices are outstanding.11relation to any of the retail funds?11Q. Right.12A. I don't – I don't believe he does.12A. Yes.13Q. How about Mr. Post, do you know13Q. And that is because – and that is14whether Mr. Post holds any position in either14because the retail the retail board has asked15of the advisors?15for the disclosure of all material obligations16A. Imean, he – he – yes.16that were owed to HCMLP either then or in the17Q. What is your understanding of the17future; isn't that right?18positions that Mr. Post holds in relation to18MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.19the advisors?19Q. We can go back down and look.20MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.20A. Look, I don't know if that's a21A. He is an employee of NexPoint21material item, I mean, again, but sure.22Q. Who is the chief compliance22Q. Okay. But there were no shared23officer for – for NexPoint.24MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.		
10positions, to the best of your knowledge, in10shared service invoices are outstanding.11relation to any of the retail funds?11Q. Right.12A. I don't – I don't believe he does.12A. Yes.13Q. How about Mr. Post, do you know13Q. And that is because – and that is14whether Mr. Post holds any position in either14because the retail the retail board has asked15of the advisors?15for the disclosure of all material obligations16A. Imean, he – he – yes.16that were owed to HCMLP either then or in the17Q. What is your understanding of the17future; isn't that right?18positions that Mr. Post holds in relation to18MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.19the advisors?19Q. We can go back down and look.20MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.20A. Look, I don't know if that's a21A. He is an employee of NexPoint21material item, I mean, again, but sure.22Q. Who is the chief compliance22Q. Okay. But there were no shared23officer for – for NexPoint.24MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.		
11relation to any of the retail funds?11Q.Right.12A.I don't – I don't believe he does.12A.Yes.13Q.How about Mr. Post, do you know13Q.And that is because – and that is14whether Mr. Post holds any position in either14because the retail the retail board has asked15of the advisors?15for the disclosure of all material obligations16A.I mean, he – he – yes.16that were owed to HCMLP either then or in the17Q.What is your understanding of the17future; isn't that right?18positions that Mr. Post holds in relation to18MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.19the advisors?19Q.We can go back down and look.20MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.20A.Look, I don't know if that's a21A.He is an employee of NexPoint21material item, I mean, again, but sure.22Advisors. He is also the chief compliance22Q.Okay. But there were no shared23officer for – for NexPoint.23services outstanding; correct?24Q.Who is the chief compliance officer24MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.		
12A. I don't – I don't believe he does.12A. Yes.13Q. How about Mr. Post, do you know13Q. And that is because – and that is14whether Mr. Post holds any position in either14because the retail the retail board has asked15of the advisors?15for the disclosure of all material obligations16A. I mean, he – he – yes.16that were owed to HCMLP either then or in the17Q. What is your understanding of the17future; isn't that right?18positions that Mr. Post holds in relation to18MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.19the advisors?19Q. We can go back down and look.20MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.20A. Look, I don't know if that's a21A. He is an employee of NexPoint21material item, I mean, again, but sure.22Q. Who is the chief compliance22Q. Okay. But there were no shared23officer for – for NexPoint.24MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.		
13Q. How about Mr. Post, do you know13Q. And that is because – and that is14whether Mr. Post holds any position in either14because the retail the retail board has asked15of the advisors?15for the disclosure of all material obligations16A. I mean, he – he – yes.16that were owed to HCMLP either then or in the17Q. What is your understanding of the17future; isn't that right?18positions that Mr. Post holds in relation to18MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.19the advisors?19Q. We can go back down and look.20MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.20A. Look, I don't know if that's a21A. He is an employee of NexPoint21material item, I mean, again, but sure.22Advisors. He is also the chief compliance22Q. Okay. But there were no shared23officer for – for NexPoint.24MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.		-
14whether Mr. Post holds any position in either14because the retail the retail board has asked15of the advisors?15for the disclosure of all material obligations16A. I mean, he – he – yes.16that were owed to HCMLP either then or in the17Q. What is your understanding of the17future; isn't that right?18positions that Mr. Post holds in relation to18MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.19the advisors?19Q. We can go back down and look.20MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.20A. Look, I don't know if that's a21A. He is an employee of NexPoint21material item, I mean, again, but sure.22Advisors. He is also the chief compliance22Q. Okay. But there were no shared23officer for – for NexPoint.24MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.		
15of the advisors?15for the disclosure of all material obligations16A. I mean, he – he – yes.16that were owed to HCMLP either then or in the17Q. What is your understanding of the17future; isn't that right?18positions that Mr. Post holds in relation to18MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.19the advisors?19Q. We can go back down and look.20MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.20A. Look, I don't know if that's a21A. He is an employee of NexPoint21material item, I mean, again, but sure.22Advisors. He is also the chief compliance22Q. Okay. But there were no shared23officer for – for NexPoint.24MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.		
16A. I mean, he – he – yes.16that were owed to HCMLP either then or in the17Q. What is your understanding of the17future; isn't that right?18positions that Mr. Post holds in relation to18MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.19the advisors?19Q. We can go back down and look.20MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.20A. Look, I don't know if that's a21A. He is an employee of NexPoint21material item, I mean, again, but sure.22Advisors. He is also the chief compliance22Q. Okay. But there were no shared23officer for – for NexPoint.23services outstanding; correct?24Q. Who is the chief compliance officer24MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.		
17Q. What is your understanding of the17future; isn't that right?18positions that Mr. Post holds in relation to18MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.19the advisors?19Q. We can go back down and look.20MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.20A. Look, I don't know if that's a21A. He is an employee of NexPoint21material item, I mean, again, but sure.22Advisors. He is also the chief compliance22Q. Okay. But there were no shared23officer for - for NexPoint.23services outstanding; correct?24Q. Who is the chief compliance officer24MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.		-
18positions that Mr. Post holds in relation to18MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.19the advisors?19Q.We can go back down and look.20MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.20A.Look, I don't know if that's a21A.He is an employee of NexPoint21material item, I mean, again, but sure.22Advisors. He is also the chief compliance22Q.Okay. But there were no shared23officer for - for NexPoint.23services outstanding; correct?24Q.Who is the chief compliance officer24MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.		
19the advisors?19Q.We can go back down and look.20MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.20A.Look, I don't know if that's a21A.He is an employee of NexPoint21material item, I mean, again, but sure.22Advisors. He is also the chief compliance22Q.Okay. But there were no shared23officer for – for NexPoint.23services outstanding; correct?24Q.Who is the chief compliance officer24MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.		
20MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.20A. Look, I don't know if that's a21A. He is an employee of NexPoint21material item, I mean, again, but sure.22Advisors. He is also the chief compliance22Q. Okay. But there were no shared23officer for - for NexPoint.23services outstanding; correct?24Q. Who is the chief compliance officer24MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.		j
21A. He is an employee of NexPoint21material item, I mean, again, but sure.22Advisors. He is also the chief compliance22Q. Okay. But there were no shared23officer for – for NexPoint.23services outstanding; correct?24Q. Who is the chief compliance officer24MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.		
22Advisors. He is also the chief compliance22Q. Okay. But there were no shared23officer for – for NexPoint.23services outstanding; correct?24Q. Who is the chief compliance officer24MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.		
23 officer for for NexPoint.23 services outstanding; correct?24Q. Who is the chief compliance officer2424MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.		-
24 Q. Who is the chief compliance officer 24 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.		
	24 O Who is the chief compliance officer	
		24 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.

1	Page 186			Page 187
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	
2	indicate.	2	financials about Highland's agreement not to	
3	Q. And you wouldn't have written it if	3	make demand upon HCMFA until May 2021; correct?	
4	you didn't believe it to be true at the time;	4	A. Correct.	
5	correct?	5	Q. And then – and then the next thing	
6	A. Correct.	6	you write is that the attorneys think that BK	
7	Q. And when you referred to shared	7	doesn't change that, but don't know for sure at	
8	services outstanding, what you meant there was	8	the end of the day.	
9	that neither NexPoint nor HCMFA owed Highland	9	Do you see that sentence?	
10	, , ,	10	A. Yes.	
11	that they had with Highland as of October 6th,	11	Q. Which attorneys were you referring	
12	2020; right?	12	to?	
13	A. I don't know if it is as of October	13	A. I don't remember.	
14	6, 2020 or if it was from – like through the	14	Q. Did you have a conversation with	
15	financials – through the date of the	15	attorneys concerning whether the bankruptcy	
16	financials as of June 30.	16	would change or alter in any way the agreement	
17	Q. Okay. And then you noted that	17	not to make a demand under the HCMFA note?	
18	HCMA the HCMFA note is a demand note; right?	18	A. Look, yeah, I mean, I don't	
19	A. Yes.	19	specifically remember, but generally, I mean,	
20	Q. And then you referred Ms. Thedford	20	it is in this email. I don't – I don't – I	
21	to Kristin Hendrix for the term of the NexPoint	21	don't – I don't remember who I talked to or,	
22	note. Do I have that right?	22	you know, was it inside counsel, outside	
23	A. Yes.	23	counsel, but obviously I talked to somebody.	
24	Q. And then you refer to that agreement	24	Q. Do you have any recollection	
25	that is referenced in the 2018 audited	25	A. Well, I don't even know if it's –	
	Page 188			Page 189
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	
2	actually, it may not even have been me. I say	2	process didn't change the agreement?	
3	the attorneys in, you know, a lot of – like l	3	A. I don't recall.	
4	talked about the team.	4	Q. Okay. Perfect.	
5	It could have been someone on the			
		5	And then let's look at the last	
6	team, like, hey, we need to run this down, and	5	And then let's look at the last sentence. It says, quote: The response should	
6 7	team, like, hey, we need to run this down, and maybe they talked to attorneys again and			
6 7 8	-	6	sentence. It says, quote: The response should	
7	maybe they talked to attorneys again and	6 7	sentence. It says, quote: The response should include, as I covered in the board meeting,	
7 8	maybe they talked to attorneys again and relayed that information to me. So I really don't know if I spoke or	6 7 8	sentence. It says, quote: The response should include, as I covered in the board meeting, that both entities have the full faith and	
7 8 9	maybe they talked to attorneys again and relayed that information to me. So I really don't know if I spoke or	6 7 8 9	sentence. It says, quote: The response should include, as I covered in the board meeting, that both entities have the full faith and backing from Jim Dondero, and to my knowledge	
7 8 9 10	maybe they talked to attorneys again and relayed that information to me. So I really don't know if I spoke or someone else did or – or, I mean, and maybe it	6 7 8 9 10	sentence. It says, quote: The response should include, as I covered in the board meeting, that both entities have the full faith and backing from Jim Dondero, and to my knowledge that hasn't changed.	
7 8 9 10 11	maybe they talked to attorneys again and relayed that information to me. So I really don't know if I spoke or someone else did or – or, I mean, and maybe it wasn't even from corporate accounting. Maybe it was, you know, other – I'm kind of	6 7 8 9 10 11	sentence. It says, quote: The response should include, as I covered in the board meeting, that both entities have the full faith and backing from Jim Dondero, and to my knowledge that hasn't changed. Do you see that?	
7 8 9 10 11 12	maybe they talked to attorneys again and relayed that information to me. So I really don't know if I spoke or someone else did or – or, I mean, and maybe it wasn't even from corporate accounting. Maybe it was, you know, other – I'm kind of summarizing, you know, again, so I don't really	6 7 8 9 10 11 12	sentence. It says, quote: The response should include, as I covered in the board meeting, that both entities have the full faith and backing from Jim Dondero, and to my knowledge that hasn't changed. Do you see that? A. Yes.	
7 8 9 10 11 12 13	maybe they talked to attorneys again and relayed that information to me. So I really don't know if I spoke or someone else did or – or, I mean, and maybe it wasn't even from corporate accounting. Maybe it was, you know, other – I'm kind of summarizing, you know, again, so I don't really know – I can't really say for sure. I don't	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	sentence. It says, quote: The response should include, as I covered in the board meeting, that both entities have the full faith and backing from Jim Dondero, and to my knowledge that hasn't changed. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Prior to October 6th, 2020,	
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	maybe they talked to attorneys again and relayed that information to me. So I really don't know if I spoke or someone else did or – or, I mean, and maybe it wasn't even from corporate accounting. Maybe it was, you know, other – I'm kind of summarizing, you know, again, so I don't really know – I can't really say for sure. I don't	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	sentence. It says, quote: The response should include, as I covered in the board meeting, that both entities have the full faith and backing from Jim Dondero, and to my knowledge that hasn't changed. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Prior to October 6th, 2020, had you told the retail board that HCMFA and	
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	maybe they talked to attorneys again and relayed that information to me. So I really don't know if I spoke or someone else did or – or, I mean, and maybe it wasn't even from corporate accounting. Maybe it was, you know, other – I'm kind of summarizing, you know, again, so I don't really know – I can't really say for sure. I don't remember how I came about of this knowledge.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	sentence. It says, quote: The response should include, as I covered in the board meeting, that both entities have the full faith and backing from Jim Dondero, and to my knowledge that hasn't changed. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Prior to October 6th, 2020, had you told the retail board that HCMFA and NexPoint have the full faith and backing from	
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	maybe they talked to attorneys again and relayed that information to me. So I really don't know if I spoke or someone else did or – or, I mean, and maybe it wasn't even from corporate accounting. Maybe it was, you know, other – I'm kind of summarizing, you know, again, so I don't really know – I can't really say for sure. I don't remember how I came about of this knowledge. Q. I appreciate your efforts, Mr. Waterhouse, but I will just tell you that	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	sentence. It says, quote: The response should include, as I covered in the board meeting, that both entities have the full faith and backing from Jim Dondero, and to my knowledge that hasn't changed. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Prior to October 6th, 2020, had you told the retail board that HCMFA and NexPoint have the full faith and backing from Jim Dondero?	
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	maybe they talked to attorneys again and relayed that information to me. So I really don't know if I spoke or someone else did or – or, I mean, and maybe it wasn't even from corporate accounting. Maybe it was, you know, other – I'm kind of summarizing, you know, again, so I don't really know – I can't really say for sure. I don't remember how I came about of this knowledge. Q. I appreciate your efforts, Mr. Waterhouse, but I will just tell you that	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	sentence. It says, quote: The response should include, as I covered in the board meeting, that both entities have the full faith and backing from Jim Dondero, and to my knowledge that hasn't changed. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Prior to October 6th, 2020, had you told the retail board that HCMFA and NexPoint have the full faith and backing from Jim Dondero? A. Yes.	
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	maybe they talked to attorneys again and relayed that information to me. So I really don't know if I spoke or someone else did or – or, I mean, and maybe it wasn't even from corporate accounting. Maybe it was, you know, other – I'm kind of summarizing, you know, again, so I don't really know – I can't really say for sure. I don't remember how I came about of this knowledge. Q. I appreciate your efforts, Mr. Waterhouse, but I will just tell you that if I ask a question and you don't know the	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	sentence. It says, quote: The response should include, as I covered in the board meeting, that both entities have the full faith and backing from Jim Dondero, and to my knowledge that hasn't changed. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Prior to October 6th, 2020, had you told the retail board that HCMFA and NexPoint have the full faith and backing from Jim Dondero? A. Yes. Q. Do you remember in the context in	
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	maybe they talked to attorneys again and relayed that information to me. So I really don't know if I spoke or someone else did or – or, I mean, and maybe it wasn't even from corporate accounting. Maybe it was, you know, other – I'm kind of summarizing, you know, again, so I don't really know – I can't really say for sure. I don't remember how I came about of this knowledge. Q. I appreciate your efforts, Mr. Waterhouse, but I will just tell you that if I ask a question and you don't know the answer or you don't recall, I'm happy to accept	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	sentence. It says, quote: The response should include, as I covered in the board meeting, that both entities have the full faith and backing from Jim Dondero, and to my knowledge that hasn't changed. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Prior to October 6th, 2020, had you told the retail board that HCMFA and NexPoint have the full faith and backing from Jim Dondero? A. Yes. Q. Do you remember in the context in which you told the retail board that?	
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	maybe they talked to attorneys again and relayed that information to me. So I really don't know if I spoke or someone else did or – or, I mean, and maybe it wasn't even from corporate accounting. Maybe it was, you know, other – I'm kind of summarizing, you know, again, so I don't really know – I can't really say for sure. I don't remember how I came about of this knowledge. Q. I appreciate your efforts, Mr. Waterhouse, but I will just tell you that if I ask a question and you don't know the answer or you don't recall, I'm happy to accept that. I don't – I don't want you to	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	sentence. It says, quote: The response should include, as I covered in the board meeting, that both entities have the full faith and backing from Jim Dondero, and to my knowledge that hasn't changed. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Prior to October 6th, 2020, had you told the retail board that HCMFA and NexPoint have the full faith and backing from Jim Dondero? A. Yes. Q. Do you remember in the context in which you told the retail board that? A. I mean, generally, yes.	
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	maybe they talked to attorneys again and relayed that information to me. So I really don't know if I spoke or someone else did or – or, I mean, and maybe it wasn't even from corporate accounting. Maybe it was, you know, other – I'm kind of summarizing, you know, again, so I don't really know – I can't really say for sure. I don't remember how I came about of this knowledge. Q. I appreciate your efforts, Mr. Waterhouse, but I will just tell you that if I ask a question and you don't know the answer or you don't recall, I'm happy to accept that. I don't – I don't want you to speculate, so I want to be clear about that. So I appreciate it.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	sentence. It says, quote: The response should include, as I covered in the board meeting, that both entities have the full faith and backing from Jim Dondero, and to my knowledge that hasn't changed. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Prior to October 6th, 2020, had you told the retail board that HCMFA and NexPoint have the full faith and backing from Jim Dondero? A. Yes. Q. Do you remember in the context in which you told the retail board that? A. I mean, generally, yes. Q. Tell me what you recall.	
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	maybe they talked to attorneys again and relayed that information to me. So I really don't know if I spoke or someone else did or – or, I mean, and maybe it wasn't even from corporate accounting. Maybe it was, you know, other – I'm kind of summarizing, you know, again, so I don't really know – I can't really say for sure. I don't remember how I came about of this knowledge. Q. I appreciate your efforts, Mr. Waterhouse, but I will just tell you that if I ask a question and you don't know the answer or you don't recall, I'm happy to accept that. I don't – I don't want you to speculate, so I want to be clear about that. So I appreciate it. Let me just ask you simply: Do you	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	sentence. It says, quote: The response should include, as I covered in the board meeting, that both entities have the full faith and backing from Jim Dondero, and to my knowledge that hasn't changed. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Prior to October 6th, 2020, had you told the retail board that HCMFA and NexPoint have the full faith and backing from Jim Dondero? A. Yes. Q. Do you remember in the context in which you told the retail board that? A. I mean, generally, yes. Q. Tell me what you recall. A. So we were walking through the	
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	maybe they talked to attorneys again and relayed that information to me. So I really don't know if I spoke or someone else did or – or, I mean, and maybe it wasn't even from corporate accounting. Maybe it was, you know, other – I'm kind of summarizing, you know, again, so I don't really know – I can't really say for sure. I don't remember how I came about of this knowledge. Q. I appreciate your efforts, Mr. Waterhouse, but I will just tell you that if I ask a question and you don't know the answer or you don't recall, I'm happy to accept that. I don't – I don't want you to speculate, so I want to be clear about that. So I appreciate it. Let me just ask you simply: Do you know what attorneys – can you identify any of	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	sentence. It says, quote: The response should include, as I covered in the board meeting, that both entities have the full faith and backing from Jim Dondero, and to my knowledge that hasn't changed. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Prior to October 6th, 2020, had you told the retail board that HCMFA and NexPoint have the full faith and backing from Jim Dondero? A. Yes. Q. Do you remember in the context in which you told the retail board that? A. I mean, generally, yes. Q. Tell me what you recall. A. So we were walking through the financials from the advisors; right? So as I	

		5 404
Page 190	1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 191
2 they have liabilities on them that exceed	2 retail board that the advisors had the full	
3 assets.	3 faith and backing of Mr. – Mr. Dondero?	
4 So the retail board has asked, okay,	4 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	
5 you know, how – you know, if – if these	5 form.	
6 liabilities come due or they're payable, you	6 A. I don't recall discussing that with	
7 know, how does that come about?	7 him at the time.	
8 And, you know, the response is,	8 Q. When you told this to the board, was	
9 well, the advisors have the – the full faith	9 Mr. Dondero participating in the discussion?	
10 and backing from – from Jim Dondero.	10 A. Not that I recall.	
11 Q. And how did you know that the	11 Q. Withdrawn. Was it not – withdrawn.	
12 advisors had the full faith and backing from	12 Do you recall whether – when you	
13 Jim Dondero? What was the basis for that	13 covered this issue with the board, was that in	
14 statement that you made to the retail board?	14 a – a Zoom call or a Webex call? Was it a	
15 A. I talked to Jim about it at some	15 telephone call? Was it in-person? Like where	
16 point in the past.	16 were you physically in relation to the board?	
17 Q. And did you tell Mr. Dondero that	17 A. I believe I was at home.	
18 you were going to inform the retail board that	18 Q. Okay. Can you identify every person	
19 the advisors had his full faith and backing	19 that you recall who was present for this	
20 before you actually told that to the retail	20 disclosure other than – other than the board	
21 board?	21 members themselves?	
22 A. I don't recall having that	22 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	
23 conversation.	23 form.	
24 Q. Do you recall if you ever informed	24 A. I don't recall everyone on the call.	
25 Mr. Dondero that you had disclosed or told the	25 Q. Can you identify anybody who was on	
23 Mil. Doi delo triat you had disclosed of told the	25 Q. Call you lucifully allybody who was off	
Page 192		Page 193
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 193
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 the call?	2 Q. Okay. Do you know if either of them	Page 193
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 the call? A. Other than the board members? 	 Q. Okay. Do you know if either of them have a position with the retail board – with 	Page 193
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 the call? A. Other than the board members? Q. Yes. 	2 Q. Okay. Do you know if either of them3 have a position with the retail board – with4 the retail funds?	Page 193
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 the call? A. Other than the board members? Q. Yes. A. Lauren Thedford. I mean, there 	 Q. Okay. Do you know if either of them have a position with the retail board – with the retail funds? A. I don't believe Mr. Norris has a 	Page 193
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 the call? A. Other than the board members? Q. Yes. A. Lauren Thedford. I mean, there are – there are many – my section is just one 	 Q. Okay. Do you know if either of them have a position with the retail board with the retail funds? A. I don't believe Mr. Norris has a position with the retail funds. 	Page 193
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 the call? A. Other than the board members? Q. Yes. A. Lauren Thedford. I mean, there are – there are many – my section is just one of many sections that are just – you know, as 	 Q. Okay. Do you know if either of them have a position with the retail board – with the retail funds? A. I don't believe Mr. Norris has a position with the retail funds. Q. All right. What about Mr. Post? 	Page 193
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 the call? A. Other than the board members? Q. Yes. A. Lauren Thedford. I mean, there are – there are many – my section is just one of many sections that are just – you know, as you can appreciate, this is a long board 	 Q. Okay. Do you know if either of them have a position with the retail board – with the retail funds? A. I don't believe Mr. Norris has a position with the retail funds. Q. All right. What about Mr. Post? A. Mr. Post is the CCO of the advisors. 	Page 193
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 the call? A. Other than the board members? Q. Yes. A. Lauren Thedford. I mean, there are – there are many – my section is just one of many sections that are just – you know, as you can appreciate, this is a long board meeting. 	 Q. Okay. Do you know if either of them have a position with the retail board – with the retail funds? A. I don't believe Mr. Norris has a position with the retail funds. Q. All right. What about Mr. Post? A. Mr. Post is the CCO of the advisors. Q. Okay. Does he hold any position – 	Page 193
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 the call? A. Other than the board members? Q. Yes. A. Lauren Thedford. I mean, there are – there are many – my section is just one of many sections that are just – you know, as you can appreciate, this is a long board meeting. I can't recall specifically, really 	 Q. Okay. Do you know if either of them have a position with the retail board – with the retail funds? A. I don't believe Mr. Norris has a position with the retail funds. Q. All right. What about Mr. Post? A. Mr. Post is the CCO of the advisors. Q. Okay. Does he hold any position – A. I don't believe so. 	Page 193
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 the call? A. Other than the board members? Q. Yes. A. Lauren Thedford. I mean, there are – there are many – my section is just one of many sections that are just – you know, as you can appreciate, this is a long board meeting. I can't recall specifically, really even generally, or who was on when this was 	 Q. Okay. Do you know if either of them have a position with the retail board – with the retail funds? A. I don't believe Mr. Norris has a position with the retail funds. Q. All right. What about Mr. Post? A. Mr. Post is the CCO of the advisors. Q. Okay. Does he hold any position – A. I don't believe so. Q. – with the retail funds? 	Page 193
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 the call? A. Other than the board members? Q. Yes. A. Lauren Thedford. I mean, there are – there are many – my section is just one of many sections that are just – you know, as you can appreciate, this is a long board meeting. I can't recall specifically, really even generally, or who was on when this was discussed. But Lauren was typically on for the 	 Q. Okay. Do you know if either of them have a position with the retail board – with the retail funds? A. I don't believe Mr. Norris has a position with the retail funds. Q. All right. What about Mr. Post? A. Mr. Post is the CCO of the advisors. Q. Okay. Does he hold any position – A. I don't believe so. Q. – with the retail funds? A. I don't believe so. A. I don't believe so. 	Page 193
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 the call? A. Other than the board members? Q. Yes. A. Lauren Thedford. I mean, there are – there are many – my section is just one of many sections that are just – you know, as you can appreciate, this is a long board meeting. I can't recall specifically, really even generally, or who was on when this was discussed. But Lauren was typically on for the entire time. 	 Q. Okay. Do you know if either of them have a position with the retail board – with the retail funds? A. I don't believe Mr. Norris has a position with the retail funds. Q. All right. What about Mr. Post? A. Mr. Post is the CCO of the advisors. Q. Okay. Does he hold any position – A. I don't believe so. Q. – with the retail funds? A. I don't believe so. Q. Okay. 	Page 193
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 the call? A. Other than the board members? Q. Yes. A. Lauren Thedford. I mean, there are - there are many - my section is just one of many sections that are just - you know, as you can appreciate, this is a long board meeting. I can't recall specifically, really even generally, or who was on when this was discussed. But Lauren was typically on for the entire time. Q. I apologize if I asked you this, but 	 Q. Okay. Do you know if either of them have a position with the retail board – with the retail funds? A. I don't believe Mr. Norris has a position with the retail funds. Q. All right. What about Mr. Post? A. Mr. Post is the CCO of the advisors. Q. Okay. Does he hold any position – A. I don't believe so. Q with the retail funds? A. I don't believe so. Q. Okay. A. I don't believe so. I don't believe so. A. I don't believe so. I don't believe so. A. I don't believe so. 	Page 193
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 the call? 3 A. Other than the board members? 4 Q. Yes. 5 A. Lauren Thedford. I mean, there 6 are – there are many – my section is just one 7 of many sections that are just – you know, as 8 you can appreciate, this is a long board 9 meeting. 10 I can't recall specifically, really 11 even generally, or who was on when this was 12 discussed. But Lauren was typically on for the 13 entire time. 14 Q. I apologize if I asked you this, but 15 do either of Mr. Norris or Mr. Post hold any	 Q. Okay. Do you know if either of them have a position with the retail board – with the retail funds? A. I don't believe Mr. Norris has a position with the retail funds. Q. All right. What about Mr. Post? A. Mr. Post is the CCO of the advisors. Q. Okay. Does he hold any position – A. I don't believe so. Q. – with the retail funds? A. I don't believe so. Q. Okay. A. I don't know if being the CCO for the advisor conveys something for the retail 	Page 193
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 the call? 3 A. Other than the board members? 4 Q. Yes. 5 A. Lauren Thedford. I mean, there 6 are – there are many – my section is just one 7 of many sections that are just – you know, as 8 you can appreciate, this is a long board 9 meeting. 10 I can't recall specifically, really 11 even generally, or who was on when this was 12 discussed. But Lauren was typically on for the 13 entire time. 14 Q. I apologize if I asked you this, but 15 do either of Mr. Norris or Mr. Post hold any 16 positions relative to the retail funds?	 Q. Okay. Do you know if either of them have a position with the retail board – with the retail funds? A. I don't believe Mr. Norris has a position with the retail funds. Q. All right. What about Mr. Post? A. Mr. Post is the CCO of the advisors. Q. Okay. Does he hold any position – A. I don't believe so. Q with the retail funds? A. I don't believe so. Q. Okay. A. I don't believe so. I don't believe so. Q. Okay. A. I don't believe so. I don't believe so. A. I don't believe so. G. A. I don't believe so. A. I don't believe so. G. Okay. A. I don't helieve so. I don't believe so. 	Page 193
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 the call? A. Other than the board members? Q. Yes. A. Lauren Thedford. I mean, there are – there are many – my section is just one of many sections that are just – you know, as you can appreciate, this is a long board meeting. I can't recall specifically, really even generally, or who was on when this was discussed. But Lauren was typically on for the entire time. Q. I apologize if I asked you this, but do either of Mr. Norris or Mr. Post hold any positions relative to the retail funds? A. I think you asked me this already, 	 Q. Okay. Do you know if either of them have a position with the retail board – with the retail funds? A. I don't believe Mr. Norris has a position with the retail funds. Q. All right. What about Mr. Post? A. Mr. Post is the CCO of the advisors. Q. Okay. Does he hold any position – A. I don't believe so. Q. – with the retail funds? A. I don't believe so. Q. Okay. A. I don't believe so. Q. Okay. A. I don't believe so. Q. Okay. A. I don't believe so. G. Okay. A. I don't believe so. G. Okay. A. I don't helieve so. G. Okay. I A. I don't helieve so. I Okay. I A. I don't helieve so. I I OKAY. I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	Page 193
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 the call? A. Other than the board members? Q. Yes. A. Lauren Thedford. I mean, there are – there are many – my section is just one of many sections that are just – you know, as you can appreciate, this is a long board meeting. I can't recall specifically, really even generally, or who was on when this was discussed. But Lauren was typically on for the entire time. Q. I apologize if I asked you this, but do either of Mr. Norris or Mr. Post hold any positions relative to the retail funds? A. I think you asked me this already, John. 	 Q. Okay. Do you know if either of them have a position with the retail board – with the retail funds? A. I don't believe Mr. Norris has a position with the retail funds. Q. All right. What about Mr. Post? A. Mr. Post is the CCO of the advisors. Q. Okay. Does he hold any position – A. I don't believe so. Q with the retail funds? A. I don't believe so. Q. Okay. A. I don't he retail funds? A. I don't believe so. Q. Okay. A. I don't know if being the CCO for the advisor conveys something for the retail funds. Again, I am not – that is the legal compliance part of it. I don't know. Q. Why did you tell the retail board 	Page 193
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 the call? A. Other than the board members? Q. Yes. A. Lauren Thedford. I mean, there are - there are many - my section is just one of many sections that are just - you know, as you can appreciate, this is a long board meeting. I can't recall specifically, really even generally, or who was on when this was discussed. But Lauren was typically on for the entire time. Q. I apologize if I asked you this, but do either of Mr. Norris or Mr. Post hold any positions relative to the retail funds? A. I think you asked me this already, John. Q. Okay. I just don't recall. Can you 	 Q. Okay. Do you know if either of them have a position with the retail board – with the retail funds? A. I don't believe Mr. Norris has a position with the retail funds. Q. All right. What about Mr. Post? A. Mr. Post is the CCO of the advisors. Q. Okay. Does he hold any position – A. I don't believe so. Q with the retail funds? A. I don't believe so. Q. Okay. M. I don't heretail funds? A. I don't heretail funds? Q. Okay. A. I don't heretail funds? Q. Okay. M. I don't heretail funds? M. I don't know if being the CCO for the advisor conveys something for the retail funds. Again, I am not – that is the legal compliance part of it. I don't know. Q. Why did you tell the retail board that the advisors have the full faith and 	Page 193
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 the call? A. Other than the board members? Q. Yes. A. Lauren Thedford. I mean, there are – there are many – my section is just one of many sections that are just – you know, as you can appreciate, this is a long board meeting. I can't recall specifically, really even generally, or who was on when this was discussed. But Lauren was typically on for the entire time. Q. I apologize if I asked you this, but do either of Mr. Norris or Mr. Post hold any positions relative to the retail funds? A. I think you asked me this already, John. Q. Okay. I just don't recall. Can you just refresh my recollection if I did, in fact, 	 Q. Okay. Do you know if either of them have a position with the retail board – with the retail funds? A. I don't believe Mr. Norris has a position with the retail funds. Q. All right. What about Mr. Post? A. Mr. Post is the CCO of the advisors. Q. Okay. Does he hold any position – A. I don't believe so. Q. – with the retail funds? A. I don't believe so. Q. Okay. Q. Okay. A. I don't know if being the CCO for the advisor conveys something for the retail funds. Again, I am not – that is the legal compliance part of it. I don't know. Q. Why did you tell the retail board that the advisors have the full faith and backing from Mr. Dondero? 	Page 193
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 the call? A. Other than the board members? Q. Yes. A. Lauren Thedford. I mean, there are – there are many – my section is just one of many sections that are just – you know, as you can appreciate, this is a long board meeting. I can't recall specifically, really even generally, or who was on when this was discussed. But Lauren was typically on for the entire time. Q. I apologize if I asked you this, but do either of Mr. Norris or Mr. Post hold any positions relative to the retail funds? A. I think you asked me this already, John. Q. Okay. I just don't recall. Can you just refresh my recollection if I did, in fact, ask you the question? 	 Q. Okay. Do you know if either of them have a position with the retail board – with the retail funds? A. I don't believe Mr. Norris has a position with the retail funds. Q. All right. What about Mr. Post? A. Mr. Post is the CCO of the advisors. Q. Okay. Does he hold any position – A. I don't believe so. Q. – with the retail funds? A. I don't believe so. Q. Okay. A. I don't helieve so. Q. Why di you tell the retail board that the advisors have the full faith and backing from Mr. Dondero? M. S. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 	Page 193
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 the call? A. Other than the board members? Q. Yes. A. Lauren Thedford. I mean, there are – there are many – my section is just one of many sections that are just – you know, as you can appreciate, this is a long board meeting. I can't recall specifically, really even generally, or who was on when this was discussed. But Lauren was typically on for the entire time. Q. I apologize if I asked you this, but do either of Mr. Norris or Mr. Post hold any positions relative to the retail funds? A. I think you asked me this already, John. Q. Okay. I just don't recall. Can you just refresh my recollection if I did, in fact, ask you the question? A. I don't believe – if we can go 	 Q. Okay. Do you know if either of them have a position with the retail board – with the retail funds? A. I don't believe Mr. Norris has a position with the retail funds. Q. All right. What about Mr. Post? A. Mr. Post is the CCO of the advisors. Q. Okay. Does he hold any position – A. I don't believe so. Q with the retail funds? A. I don't believe so. Q. Okay. A. I don't heretail funds? A. I don't heretail funds? Q. Okay. A. I don't heretail funds? Q. Why did you the retail for the retail funds. Again, I am not – that is the legal compliance part of it. I don't know. Q. Why did you tell the retail board that the advisors have the full faith and backing from Mr. Dondero? M. It is – it is – it is what has 	Page 193
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 the call? A. Other than the board members? Q. Yes. A. Lauren Thedford. I mean, there are – there are many – my section is just one of many sections that are just – you know, as you can appreciate, this is a long board meeting. I can't recall specifically, really even generally, or who was on when this was discussed. But Lauren was typically on for the entire time. Q. I apologize if I asked you this, but do either of Mr. Norris or Mr. Post hold any positions relative to the retail funds? A. I think you asked me this already, John. Q. Okay. I just don't recall. Can you just refresh my recollection if I did, in fact, ask you the question? A. I don't believe – if we can go back. I don't believe Mr. Norris has a title 	 Q. Okay. Do you know if either of them have a position with the retail board – with the retail funds? A. I don't believe Mr. Norris has a position with the retail funds. Q. All right. What about Mr. Post? A. Mr. Post is the CCO of the advisors. Q. Okay. Does he hold any position – A. I don't believe so. Q with the retail funds? A. I don't believe so. Q. Okay. A. I don't know if being the CCO for the advisor conveys something for the retail funds. Again, I am not – that is the legal compliance part of it. I don't know. Q. Why did you tell the retail board that the advisors have the full faith and backing from Mr. Dondero? M. It is – it is – it is what has been discussed with them prior. 	Page 193
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 the call? A. Other than the board members? Q. Yes. A. Lauren Thedford. I mean, there are – there are many – my section is just one of many sections that are just – you know, as you can appreciate, this is a long board meeting. I can't recall specifically, really even generally, or who was on when this was discussed. But Lauren was typically on for the entire time. Q. I apologize if I asked you this, but do either of Mr. Norris or Mr. Post hold any positions relative to the retail funds? A. I think you asked me this already, John. Q. Okay. I just don't recall. Can you just refresh my recollection if I did, in fact, ask you the question? A. I don't believe – if we can go back. I don't believe Mr. Norris has a title at the retail funds. Mr. – and Mr. Post is 	 Q. Okay. Do you know if either of them have a position with the retail board – with the retail funds? A. I don't believe Mr. Norris has a position with the retail funds. Q. All right. What about Mr. Post? A. Mr. Post is the CCO of the advisors. Q. Okay. Does he hold any position – A. I don't believe so. Q with the retail funds? A. I don't believe so. Q. Okay. A. I don't helieve so. Q. Okay. A. I don't know if being the CCO for the advisor conveys something for the retail funds. Again, I am not – that is the legal compliance part of it. I don't know. Q. Why did you tell the retail board that the advisors have the full faith and backing from Mr. Dondero? M.S. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. It is – it is – it is what has been discussed with them prior. Q. And were you – were you trying to 	Page 193
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 the call? A. Other than the board members? Q. Yes. A. Lauren Thedford. I mean, there are – there are many – my section is just one of many sections that are just – you know, as you can appreciate, this is a long board meeting. I can't recall specifically, really even generally, or who was on when this was discussed. But Lauren was typically on for the entire time. Q. I apologize if I asked you this, but do either of Mr. Norris or Mr. Post hold any positions relative to the retail funds? A. I think you asked me this already, John. Q. Okay. I just don't recall. Can you just refresh my recollection if I did, in fact, ask you the question? A. I don't believe – if we can go back. I don't believe Mr. Norris has a title 	 Q. Okay. Do you know if either of them have a position with the retail board – with the retail funds? A. I don't believe Mr. Norris has a position with the retail funds. Q. All right. What about Mr. Post? A. Mr. Post is the CCO of the advisors. Q. Okay. Does he hold any position – A. I don't believe so. Q with the retail funds? A. I don't believe so. Q. Okay. A. I don't know if being the CCO for the advisor conveys something for the retail funds. Again, I am not – that is the legal compliance part of it. I don't know. Q. Why did you tell the retail board that the advisors have the full faith and backing from Mr. Dondero? M. It is – it is – it is what has been discussed with them prior. 	Page 193

				D 405
1	Page 194 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 195
2	liabilities exceeded the assets that the	2	Q. Okay.	
3	advisors would still be able to meet their	3	A. And I don't really I don't really	
1	obligations as they become due?	4	remember generally either.	
5	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	5	Q. Okay.	
6	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object form.	6	MR. MORRIS: So – so, again, I'm	
	A. I – I can't – I don't remember	7	just going to ask Mr. Rukavina if your	
8	specifically the conversation, but generally –	8	clients can produce as soon as possible the	
	you know, generally, yes. And that is why –	9	15(c) response, the written response that	
1	but, you know, again, in this email saying, you	10	the advisors made, if any, to the board's	
	know, I am sure I qualified it with the retail	11	Question No. 2.	
12	board, you know, as I said I like - you know,	12	I'm not looking for the whole	
1	to my knowledge, that hasn't changed. But,	12	-	
			response, but I certainly want the response to Question No. 2.	
1	again, generally – generally that is what I	14		
1	remember.	15	Q. Do you have a general understanding	
16	Q. Okay. Do you recall if in the	16	as to the amount by which – withdrawn.	
	advisors' response to the retail board's	17	Did did the assets of	
1	question if the response included any statement	18	withdrawn.	
19	concerning Mr. Dondero and – and the full	19	Did the liabilities of HCMFA exceed	
1	faith and backing that he was giving to the	20	its assets in 2020?	
21	advisors?	21	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	
22	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	22	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection, form.	
23	form.	23	A. I believe I have already answered	
24	A. I don't – I don't remember	24	that question earlier, I think. I believe I	
25	specifically what was provided.	25	said yes.	
	Page 196			Page 197
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	
2	Q. Okay. And did the liabilities of	2	for those documents too.	
3	NexPoint exceed its assets in 2020?	3	Q. Let's go to the next exhibit, which	
4	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection to	4	is Number 10. So I think it is in your stack,	
5	form.	5	Mr. Waterhouse.	
6	A. I don't believe so.	6	MR. MORRIS: And we can take the one	
7	Q. Okay. So – so it was only one of	7	down from the screen and put up Number 10	
8	the two advisors who had liabilities that	8	for everybody.	
9	exceeded the value of the assets.	9	(Exhibit 10 marked.)	
10	Do I have that right?	10	Q. And I don't know if you have ever	
11	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection to	11	seen this before, but I'm really putting it up	
12	form.	12	on the screen for purposes of turning to the	
13	MS. DANDENEAU: Form.	13	very last page of the document.	
14	A. Yes.	14	So this is a document that we have	
15	Q. And do you know, ballpark, the	15	been – that we premarked as Exhibit 10. And	
16	amount by which the value of HCMFA's	16	we're turning to the last page of the document,	
17	liabilities exceeded their assets in 2020?	17	which is a document that was filed in the	
18	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	18	adversary proceeding 21-3004. And – no, l	
19	A. I don't – I don't recall.	19	apologize, I think we – right there. Perfect.	
20	MR. MORRIS: I had specifically	20	And it is page 31 of 31.	
21	requested in discovery the audited	21	MR. MORRIS: I think there may have	
22	financial reports for both advisors and	22	been some something erroneously stapled to	
23	NexPoint. I think I may have gotten one	23	the hard copy that I gave you folks, but	
24	for NexPoint but I'm still waiting for the	24	I'm looking for page 31 of 31 in the	
25	balance. And I'm going to renew my request	25	document that begins with the first page of	
1-0				

Page 198	Page 199
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21
2 Exhibit 10.	2 A. It is something like this, but I
3 Q. Do you have that, Mr. Waterhouse?	3 don't think it was this specific document.
4 A. I don't have it yet. I'm looking.	4 Q. Well, but this document does say in
5 Q. All right. If you look at the top	5 the last sentence that Highland agreed not to
6 right-hand corner, you will see it says page	6 seek - not to demand payment from HCMFA prior
7 hopefully something of 31?	7 to May 31, 2021; right?
8 A. Yes, I've got it now.	8 A. Yes.
9 Q. Okay. You have got 31 of 31. You	9 Q. And are you aware of any other
10 can take a moment to read that, if you would	10 document that was ever created pursuant to
11 like.	11 which Highland agreed not to demand payment on
12 A. (Reviewing document.) Okay.	12 amounts owed by HCMFA before May 31, 2021?
13 Q. Have you ever seen this before?	13 A. Hold on. Are you asking, am I aware
14 A. I don't know if I have seen this	14 of a document that by HCMFA that basically says
15 specific document, but, you know, I've	15 otherwise?
16 I'm – I'm aware of it.	16 Q. No. Let me try again.
17 Q. And is this the document that you	17 Are you aware of any other document
18 had in mind when you sent that email to	18 pursuant to which - pursuant to which Highland
19 Ms. Thedford that we just looked at where you	19 agreed not to make a demand on HCMFA until May
20 said that Highland had agreed not to make a	20 31st, 2021?
21 demand upon HCMFA until May 2021?	21 A. I'm – I think there was something
22 A. Honestly, I don't – it wasn't this	22 in connection with with the with the
23 document. I mean, it's something like this,	23 audit that basically says the same thing.
24 yes. I mean, yes.	24 Q. Okay. And do you think that the
25 Q. Well	25 audit is referring to this particular document?
Page 200	Page 201
Page 200 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 201 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 A. I don't know.	1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 A. May 31 of 2021, excuse me.
1WATERHOUSE - 10-19-212A.I don't know.3Q.All right. This document is dated	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. May 31 of 2021, excuse me. Q. And this document states the
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. I don't know. Q. All right. This document is dated April 15, 2019. Do you see that? 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. May 31 of 2021, excuse me. Q. And this document states the deferral that you just described; correct?
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. I don't know. Q. All right. This document is dated April 15, 2019. Do you see that? A. I do. 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. May 31 of 2021, excuse me. Q. And this document states the deferral that you just described; correct? A. It does.
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. I don't know. Q. All right. This document is dated April 15, 2019. Do you see that? A. I do. Q. And do you remember that the audit 	1WATERHOUSE - 10-19-212A.May 31 of 2021, excuse me.3Q.And this document states the4deferral that you just described; correct?5A.It does.6Q.And this document states the
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. I don't know. Q. All right. This document is dated April 15, 2019. Do you see that? A. I do. Q. And do you remember that the audit was completed on June 3rd, 2019? 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. May 31 of 2021, excuse me. Q. And this document states the deferral that you just described; correct? A. It does. Q. And this document states the deferral that was described in the audited
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. I don't know. Q. All right. This document is dated April 15, 2019. Do you see that? A. I do. Q. And do you remember that the audit was completed on June 3rd, 2019? A. Yes. 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. May 31 of 2021, excuse me. Q. And this document states the deferral that you just described; correct? A. It does. Q. And this document states the deferral that was described in the audited financial statements that we looked at before;
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. I don't know. Q. All right. This document is dated April 15, 2019. Do you see that? A. I do. Q. And do you remember that the audit was completed on June 3rd, 2019? A. Yes. Q. And do you recall that the audited 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. May 31 of 2021, excuse me. Q. And this document states the deferral that you just described; correct? A. It does. Q. And this document states the deferral that was described in the audited financial statements that we looked at before; correct?
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. I don't know. Q. All right. This document is dated April 15, 2019. Do you see that? A. I do. Q. And do you remember that the audit was completed on June 3rd, 2019? A. Yes. Q. And do you recall that the audited financials – and I'm happy to pull them up if 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. May 31 of 2021, excuse me. Q. And this document states the deferral that you just described; correct? A. It does. Q. And this document states the deferral that was described in the audited financial statements that we looked at before; correct? A. It does.
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. I don't know. Q. All right. This document is dated April 15, 2019. Do you see that? A. I do. Q. And do you remember that the audit was completed on June 3rd, 2019? A. Yes. Q. And do you recall that the audited financials – and I'm happy to pull them up if you would like, but do you recall that the 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. May 31 of 2021, excuse me. Q. And this document states the deferral that you just described; correct? A. It does. Q. And this document states the deferral that was described in the audited financial statements that we looked at before; correct? A. It does. MR. MORRIS: Okay. Can we scroll
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. I don't know. Q. All right. This document is dated April 15, 2019. Do you see that? A. I do. Q. And do you remember that the audit was completed on June 3rd, 2019? A. Yes. Q. And do you recall that the audited financials – and I'm happy to pull them up if you would like, but do you recall that the audited financials included a reference to the 	1WATERHOUSE - 10-19-212A. May 31 of 2021, excuse me.3Q. And this document states the4deferral that you just described; correct?5A. It does.6Q. And this document states the7deferral that was described in the audited8financial statements that we looked at before;9correct?10A. It does.11MR. MORRIS: Okay. Can we scroll12down just a little bit to see who signed on
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. I don't know. Q. All right. This document is dated April 15, 2019. Do you see that? A. I do. Q. And do you remember that the audit was completed on June 3rd, 2019? A. Yes. Q. And do you recall that the audited financials – and I'm happy to pull them up if you would like, but do you recall that the audited financials included a reference to the agreement pursuant to which Highland agreed not 	1WATERHOUSE - 10-19-212A. May 31 of 2021, excuse me.3Q. And this document states the4deferral that you just described; correct?5A. It does.6Q. And this document states the7deferral that was described in the audited8financial statements that we looked at before;9correct?10A. It does.11MR. MORRIS: Okay. Can we scroll12down just a little bit to see who signed on13behalf of the acknowledgment there.
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. I don't know. Q. All right. This document is dated April 15, 2019. Do you see that? A. I do. Q. And do you remember that the audit was completed on June 3rd, 2019? A. Yes. Q. And do you recall that the audited financials and I'm happy to pull them up if you would like, but do you recall that the audited financials included a reference to the agreement pursuant to which Highland agreed not to make a demand until May 31st, 2021? 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. May 31 of 2021, excuse me. Q. And this document states the deferral that you just described; correct? A. It does. Q. And this document states the deferral that was described in the audited financial statements that we looked at before; correct? A. It does. MR. MORRIS: Okay. Can we scroll down just a little bit to see who signed on behalf of the acknowledgment there. Q. Okay. So Mr. Dondero signed this
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. I don't know. Q. All right. This document is dated April 15, 2019. Do you see that? A. I do. Q. And do you remember that the audit was completed on June 3rd, 2019? A. Yes. Q. And do you recall that the audited financials – and I'm happy to pull them up if you would like, but do you recall that the agreement pursuant to which Highland agreed not to make a demand until May 31st, 2021? A. Yes, I remember. 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. May 31 of 2021, excuse me. Q. And this document states the deferral that you just described; correct? A. It does. Q. And this document states the deferral that was described in the audited financial statements that we looked at before; correct? A. It does. MR. MORRIS: Okay. Can we scroll down just a little bit to see who signed on behalf of the acknowledgment there. Q. Okay. So Mr. Dondero signed this document on behalf of both HCMFA and Highland;
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. I don't know. Q. All right. This document is dated April 15, 2019. Do you see that? A. I do. Q. And do you remember that the audit was completed on June 3rd, 2019? A. Yes. Q. And do you recall that the audited financials – and I'm happy to pull them up if you would like, but do you recall that the audited financials included a reference to the agreement pursuant to which Highland agreed not to make a demand until May 31st, 2021? A. Yes, I remember. Q. And as part of the process, would 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. May 31 of 2021, excuse me. Q. And this document states the deferral that you just described; correct? A. It does. Q. And this document states the deferral that was described in the audited financial statements that we looked at before; correct? A. It does. MR. MORRIS: Okay. Can we scroll down just a little bit to see who signed on behalf of the acknowledgment there. Q. Okay. So Mr. Dondero signed this document on behalf of both HCMFA and Highland; do you see that?
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. I don't know. Q. All right. This document is dated April 15, 2019. Do you see that? A. I do. Q. And do you remember that the audit was completed on June 3rd, 2019? A. Yes. Q. And do you recall that the audited financials – and I'm happy to pull them up if you would like, but do you recall that the audited financials included a reference to the agreement pursuant to which Highland agreed not to make a demand until May 31st, 2021? A. Yes, I remember. Q. And as part of the process, would you have expected the corporate accounting team 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. May 31 of 2021, excuse me. Q. And this document states the deferral that you just described; correct? A. It does. Q. And this document states the deferral that was described in the audited financial statements that we looked at before; correct? A. It does. MR. MORRIS: Okay. Can we scroll down just a little bit to see who signed on behalf of the acknowledgment there. Q. Okay. So Mr. Dondero signed this document on behalf of both HCMFA and Highland; do you see that? A. I do.
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. I don't know. Q. All right. This document is dated April 15, 2019. Do you see that? A. I do. Q. And do you remember that the audit was completed on June 3rd, 2019? A. Yes. Q. And do you recall that the audited financials – and I'm happy to pull them up if you would like, but do you recall that the agreement pursuant to which Highland agreed not to make a demand until May 31st, 2021? A. Yes, I remember. Q. And as part of the process, would you have expected the corporate accounting team to have provided a copy of this document to 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. May 31 of 2021, excuse me. Q. And this document states the deferral that you just described; correct? A. It does. Q. And this document states the deferral that was described in the audited financial statements that we looked at before; correct? A. It does. MR. MORRIS: Okay. Can we scroll down just a little bit to see who signed on behalf of the acknowledgment there. Q. Okay. So Mr. Dondero signed this document on behalf of both HCMFA and Highland; do you see that? A. I do. Q. Okay. Did you discuss this document
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. I don't know. Q. All right. This document is dated April 15, 2019. Do you see that? A. I do. Q. And do you remember that the audit was completed on June 3rd, 2019? A. Yes. Q. And do you recall that the audited financials – and I'm happy to pull them up if you would like, but do you recall that the audited financials included a reference to the agreement pursuant to which Highland agreed not to make a demand until May 31st, 2021? A. Yes, I remember. Q. And as part of the process, would you have expected the corporate accounting team to have provided a copy of this document to PwC? 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. May 31 of 2021, excuse me. Q. And this document states the deferral that you just described; correct? A. It does. Q. And this document states the deferral that was described in the audited financial statements that we looked at before; correct? A. It does. MR. MORRIS: Okay. Can we scroll down just a little bit to see who signed on behalf of the acknowledgment there. Q. Okay. So Mr. Dondero signed this document on behalf of both HCMFA and Highland; do you see that? A. I do. Q. Okay. Did you discuss this document or the – withdrawn.
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 A. I don't know. 3 Q. All right. This document is dated 4 April 15, 2019. Do you see that? 5 A. I do. 6 Q. And do you remember that the audit 7 was completed on June 3rd, 2019? 8 A. Yes. 9 Q. And do you recall that the audited 10 financials – and I'm happy to pull them up if 11 you would like, but do you recall that the 12 audited financials included a reference to the 13 agreement pursuant to which Highland agreed not 14 to make a demand until May 31st, 2021? 15 A. Yes, I remember. 16 Q. And as part of the process, would 17 you have expected the corporate accounting team 18 to have provided a copy of this document to 19 PwC? 20 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. May 31 of 2021, excuse me. Q. And this document states the deferral that you just described; correct? A. It does. Q. And this document states the deferral that was described in the audited financial statements that we looked at before; correct? A. It does. MR. MORRIS: Okay. Can we scroll down just a little bit to see who signed on behalf of the acknowledgment there. Q. Okay. So Mr. Dondero signed this document on behalf of both HCMFA and Highland; do you see that? A. I do. Q. Okay. Did you discuss this document or the – withdrawn. Did you discuss the concept of the
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 A. I don't know. 3 Q. All right. This document is dated 4 April 15, 2019. Do you see that? 5 A. I do. 6 Q. And do you remember that the audit 7 was completed on June 3rd, 2019? 8 A. Yes. 9 Q. And do you recall that the audited 10 financials – and I'm happy to pull them up if 11 you would like, but do you recall that the 12 audited financials included a reference to the 13 agreement pursuant to which Highland agreed not 14 to make a demand until May 31st, 2021? 15 A. Yes, I remember. 16 Q. And as part of the process, would 17 you have expected the corporate accounting team 18 to have provided a copy of this document to 19 PwC? 20 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 21 A. Yes, I would have expected something	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. May 31 of 2021, excuse me. Q. And this document states the deferral that you just described; correct? A. It does. Q. And this document states the deferral that was described in the audited financial statements that we looked at before; correct? A. It does. MR. MORRIS: Okay. Can we scroll down just a little bit to see who signed on behalf of the acknowledgment there. Q. Okay. So Mr. Dondero signed this document on behalf of both HCMFA and Highland; do you see that? A. I do. Q. Okay. Did you discuss this document or the withdrawn. Did you discuss the concept of the deferral with Mr. Dondero in the spring of
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 A. I don't know. 3 Q. All right. This document is dated 4 April 15, 2019. Do you see that? 5 A. I do. 6 Q. And do you remember that the audit 7 was completed on June 3rd, 2019? 8 A. Yes. 9 Q. And do you recall that the audited 10 financials – and I'm happy to pull them up if 11 you would like, but do you recall that the 12 audited financials included a reference to the 13 agreement pursuant to which Highland agreed not 14 to make a demand until May 31st, 2021? 15 A. Yes, I remember. 16 Q. And as part of the process, would 17 you have expected the corporate accounting team 18 to have provided a copy of this document to 19 PwC? 20 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 21 A. Yes, I would have expected something 22 like this, or again, you know, some document	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. May 31 of 2021, excuse me. Q. And this document states the deferral that you just described; correct? A. It does. Q. And this document states the deferral that was described in the audited financial statements that we looked at before; correct? A. It does. M. It does. MR. MORRIS: Okay. Can we scroll down just a little bit to see who signed on behalf of the acknowledgment there. Q. Okay. So Mr. Dondero signed this document on behalf of both HCMFA and Highland; do you see that? A. I do. Q. Okay. Did you discuss this document or the – withdrawn. Did you discuss the concept of the deferral with Mr. Dondero in the spring of 2019?
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. I don't know. Q. All right. This document is dated April 15, 2019. Do you see that? A. I do. Q. And do you remember that the audit was completed on June 3rd, 2019? A. Yes. Q. And do you recall that the audited financials – and I'm happy to pull them up if you would like, but do you recall that the audited financials included a reference to the agreement pursuant to which Highland agreed not to make a demand until May 31st, 2021? A. Yes, I remember. Q. And as part of the process, would you have expected the corporate accounting team to have provided a copy of this document to PwC? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. Yes, I would have expected something like this, or again, you know, some document that basically states – states the deferral 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. May 31 of 2021, excuse me. Q. And this document states the deferral that you just described; correct? A. It does. Q. And this document states the deferral that was described in the audited financial statements that we looked at before; correct? A. It does. MR. MORRIS: Okay. Can we scroll down just a little bit to see who signed on behalf of the acknowledgment there. Q. Okay. So Mr. Dondero signed this document on behalf of both HCMFA and Highland; do you see that? A. I do. Q. Okay. Did you discuss this document or the – withdrawn. Did you discuss the concept of the deferral with Mr. Dondero in the spring of 2019? A. I think I testified I don't recall.

		D 000
Page 20	1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 203
2 A. I don't recall.	2 A. Well, this – this document dated	
3 MR. MORRIS: Can we scroll back up	3 April 15, 2019 says they have been deferred to	
4 to the document, please.	4 May 31, 2021.	
5 Q. Do you see in the beginning it says,	5 Q. Right. But I'm just sticking to the	
6 reference is made to certain outstanding	6 first paragraph where they refer to the	
7 amounts loaned from Highland to HCMFA for	7 outstanding amounts. And in the end it says	
8 funding ongoing operations.	8 the – it remained outstanding on December	
9 Do you see that?	9 31st, 2018, and I think you told me that you	
10 A. Yes.	10 understood that, and then I'm just trying to	
11 Q. And were you aware as the CFO of	11 capture the last piece of it.	
12 Highland and as the treasurer of HCMFA that as	12 Did you understand that there were	
13 of April 15, 2019, Highland had made certain	13 amounts outstanding from the loan that Highland	
14 loans to HCMFA to fund HCMFA's ongoing	14 made to HCMFA to fund ongoing operations as of	
15 operations?		
16 A. Yes.	15 April 15th, 2019? 16 A. Yes.	
Q. And were you aware that those loanswere payable on demand and remained outstanding	17 Q. Thank you. Let's look at the next	
	18 sentence. HCMFA expects that it may be unable	
19 as of December 31st, 2018?	19 to repay such amounts should they become due	
20 A. Yes.	20 for the period commencing today and continuing	
Q. And were you aware that those	21 through May 31st, 2021.	
22 amounts were payable on demand, and they	22 Do you see that?	
23 remained outstanding as of April 15, 2019?	23 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	
24 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	24 A. I do.	
25 form.	25 Q. As the CFO – withdrawn.	
Page 20		Page 205
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 205
1WATERHOUSE - 10-19-212As the treasurer of HCMFA, did you	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 should they become due? 	Page 205
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 As the treasurer of HCMFA, did you 3 believe that do you believe that statement	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 should they become due? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 	Page 205
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 As the treasurer of HCMFA, did you believe that – do you believe that statement was true and accurate at the time it was 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 should they become due? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I mean, I – when you look at that, 	Page 205
1WATERHOUSE - 10-19-212As the treasurer of HCMFA, did you3believe that - do you believe that statement4was true and accurate at the time it was5rendered?	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 should they become due? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I mean, I when you look at that, to answer you, completely, you know, again, 	Page 205
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 As the treasurer of HCMFA, did you believe that – do you believe that statement was true and accurate at the time it was rendered? A. I mean, it – it – the answer to 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 should they become due? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I mean, I when you look at that, to answer you, completely, you know, again, if the response I gave the retail board was, 	Page 205
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 As the treasurer of HCMFA, did you believe that – do you believe that statement was true and accurate at the time it was rendered? A. I mean, it – it – the answer to that is I really didn't have any – I didn't 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 should they become due? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I mean, I – when you look at that, to answer you, completely, you know, again, if – the response I gave the retail board was, you know, the – the advice – HCMFA advisors 	Page 205
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 As the treasurer of HCMFA, did you 3 believe that do you believe that statement 4 was true and accurate at the time it was 5 rendered? 6 A. I mean, it it the answer to 7 that is I really didn't have any I didn't 8 have an opinion really.	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 should they become due? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I mean, I – when you look at that, to answer you, completely, you know, again, if – the response I gave the retail board was, you know, the – the advice – HCMFA advisors have the – have the full faith and backing of 	Page 205
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 As the treasurer of HCMFA, did you 3 believe that – do you believe that statement 4 was true and accurate at the time it was 5 rendered? 6 A. I mean, it – it – the answer to 7 that is I really didn't have any – I didn't 8 have an opinion really. 9 Q. Did you do anything to educate	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 should they become due? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I mean, I – when you look at that, to answer you, completely, you know, again, if – the response I gave the retail board was, you know, the – the advice – HCMFA advisors have the – have the full faith and backing of Jim Dondero. So I didn't form an opinion of 	Page 205
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 As the treasurer of HCMFA, did you believe that do you believe that statement was true and accurate at the time it was rendered? A. I mean, it it the answer to that is I really didn't have any I didn't have an opinion really. Q. Did you do anything to educate yourself in April of 2019 on the issue of 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 should they become due? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I mean, I – when you look at that, to answer you, completely, you know, again, if – the response I gave the retail board was, you know, the – the advice – HCMFA advisors have the – have the full faith and backing of Jim Dondero. So I didn't form an opinion of whether the advisor could pay it or not. 	Page 205
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 As the treasurer of HCMFA, did you 3 believe that do you believe that statement 4 was true and accurate at the time it was 5 rendered? 6 A. I mean, it it the answer to 7 that is I really didn't have any I didn't 8 have an opinion really. 9 Q. Did you do anything to educate 10 yourself in April of 2019 on the issue of 11 whether HCMFA could repay the amounts that it	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 should they become due? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I mean, I – when you look at that, to answer you, completely, you know, again, if – the response I gave the retail board was, you know, the – the advice – HCMFA advisors have the – have the full faith and backing of Jim Dondero. So I didn't form an opinion of whether the advisor could pay it or not. Q. Did you form any view as to whether 	Page 205
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 As the treasurer of HCMFA, did you 3 believe that – do you believe that statement 4 was true and accurate at the time it was 5 rendered? 6 A. I mean, it – it – the answer to 7 that is I really didn't have any – I didn't 8 have an opinion really. 9 Q. Did you do anything to educate 10 yourself in April of 2019 on the issue of 11 whether HCMFA could repay the amounts that it 12 owed to Highland should they become due?	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 should they become due? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I mean, I – when you look at that, to answer you, completely, you know, again, if – the response I gave the retail board was, you know, the – the advice – HCMFA advisors have the – have the full faith and backing of Jim Dondero. So I didn't form an opinion of whether the advisor could pay it or not. Q. Did you form any view as to whether the advisors could repay the amounts that it 	Page 205
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 As the treasurer of HCMFA, did you 3 believe that do you believe that statement 4 was true and accurate at the time it was 5 rendered? 6 A. I mean, it it the answer to 7 that is I really didn't have any I didn't 8 have an opinion really. 9 Q. Did you do anything to educate 10 yourself in April of 2019 on the issue of 11 whether HCMFA could repay the amounts that it 12 owed to Highland should they become due? 13 A. I don't believe so.	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 should they become due? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I mean, I – when you look at that, to answer you, completely, you know, again, if – the response I gave the retail board was, you know, the – the advice – HCMFA advisors have the – have the full faith and backing of Jim Dondero. So I didn't form an opinion of whether the advisor could pay it or not. Q. Did you form any view as to whether the advisors could repay the amounts that it owed to Highland should they become due without 	Page 205
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 As the treasurer of HCMFA, did you 3 believe that – do you believe that statement 4 was true and accurate at the time it was 5 rendered? 6 A. I mean, it – it – the answer to 7 that is I really didn't have any – I didn't 8 have an opinion really. 9 Q. Did you do anything to educate 10 yourself in April of 2019 on the issue of 11 whether HCMFA could repay the amounts that it 12 owed to Highland should they become due? 13 A. I don't believe so. 14 Q. Did you at any time form any	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 should they become due? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I mean, I – when you look at that, to answer you, completely, you know, again, if – the response I gave the retail board was, you know, the – the advice – HCMFA advisors have the – have the full faith and backing of Jim Dondero. So I didn't form an opinion of whether the advisor could pay it or not. Q. Did you form any view as to whether the advisors could repay the amounts that it owed to Highland should they become due without the full faith and backing of Mr. Dondero? 	Page 205
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 As the treasurer of HCMFA, did you 3 believe that – do you believe that statement 4 was true and accurate at the time it was 5 rendered? 6 A. I mean, it – it – the answer to 7 that is I really didn't have any – I didn't 8 have an opinion really. 9 Q. Did you do anything to educate 10 yourself in April of 2019 on the issue of 11 whether HCMFA could repay the amounts that it 12 owed to Highland should they become due? 13 A. I don't believe so. 14 Q. Did you at any time form any 15 opinions as to HCMFA's ability to repay all	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 should they become due? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I mean, I – when you look at that, to answer you, completely, you know, again, if – the response I gave the retail board was, you know, the – the advice – HCMFA advisors have the – have the full faith and backing of Jim Dondero. So I didn't form an opinion of whether the advisor could pay it or not. Q. Did you form any view as to whether the advisors could repay the amounts that it owed to Highland should they become due without the full faith and backing of Mr. Dondero? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 	Page 205
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 As the treasurer of HCMFA, did you 3 believe that – do you believe that statement 4 was true and accurate at the time it was 5 rendered? 6 A. I mean, it – it – the answer to 7 that is I really didn't have any – I didn't 8 have an opinion really. 9 Q. Did you do anything to educate 10 yourself in April of 2019 on the issue of 11 whether HCMFA could repay the amounts that it 12 owed to Highland should they become due? 13 A. I don't believe so. 14 Q. Did you at any time form any	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 should they become due? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I mean, I – when you look at that, to answer you, completely, you know, again, if – the response I gave the retail board was, you know, the – the advice – HCMFA advisors have the – have the full faith and backing of Jim Dondero. So I didn't form an opinion of whether the advisor could pay it or not. Q. Did you form any view as to whether the advisors could repay the amounts that it owed to Highland should they become due without the full faith and backing of Mr. Dondero? 	Page 205
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 As the treasurer of HCMFA, did you 3 believe that – do you believe that statement 4 was true and accurate at the time it was 5 rendered? 6 A. I mean, it – it – the answer to 7 that is I really didn't have any – I didn't 8 have an opinion really. 9 Q. Did you do anything to educate 10 yourself in April of 2019 on the issue of 11 whether HCMFA could repay the amounts that it 12 owed to Highland should they become due? 13 A. I don't believe so. 14 Q. Did you at any time form any 15 opinions as to HCMFA's ability to repay all	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 should they become due? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I mean, I – when you look at that, to answer you, completely, you know, again, if – the response I gave the retail board was, you know, the – the advice – HCMFA advisors have the – have the full faith and backing of Jim Dondero. So I didn't form an opinion of whether the advisor could pay it or not. Q. Did you form any view as to whether the advisors could repay the amounts that it owed to Highland should they become due without the full faith and backing of Mr. Dondero? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 	Page 205
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 As the treasurer of HCMFA, did you believe that do you believe that statement was true and accurate at the time it was rendered? A. I mean, it it the answer to that is I really didn't have any I didn't have an opinion really. Q. Did you do anything to educate yourself in April of 2019 on the issue of whether HCMFA could repay the amounts that it owed to Highland should they become due? A. I don't believe so. Q. Did you at any time form any opinions as to HCMFA's ability to repay all amounts due to Highland should they become due? 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 should they become due? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I mean, I – when you look at that, to answer you, completely, you know, again, if – the response I gave the retail board was, you know, the – the advice – HCMFA advisors have the – have the full faith and backing of Jim Dondero. So I didn't form an opinion of whether the advisor could pay it or not. Q. Did you form any view as to whether the advisors could repay the amounts that it owed to Highland should they become due without the full faith and backing of Mr. Dondero? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Form. 	Page 205
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 As the treasurer of HCMFA, did you 3 believe that – do you believe that statement 4 was true and accurate at the time it was 5 rendered? 6 A. I mean, it – it – the answer to 7 that is I really didn't have any – I didn't 8 have an opinion really. 9 Q. Did you do anything to educate 10 yourself in April of 2019 on the issue of 11 whether HCMFA could repay the amounts that it 12 owed to Highland should they become due? 13 A. I don't believe so. 14 Q. Did you at any time form any 15 opinions as to HCMFA's ability to repay all 16 amounts due to Highland should they become due? 17 A. Not really. I guess I don't	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 should they become due? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I mean, I – when you look at that, to answer you, completely, you know, again, if – the response I gave the retail board was, you know, the – the advice – HCMFA advisors have the – have the full faith and backing of Jim Dondero. So I didn't form an opinion of whether the advisor could pay it or not. Q. Did you form any view as to whether the advisors could repay the amounts that it owed to Highland should they become due without the full faith and backing of Mr. Dondero? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Form. A. I mean, if you – if you – if you 	Page 205
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 As the treasurer of HCMFA, did you 3 believe that – do you believe that statement 4 was true and accurate at the time it was 5 rendered? 6 A. I mean, it – it – the answer to 7 that is I really didn't have any – I didn't 8 have an opinion really. 9 Q. Did you do anything to educate 10 yourself in April of 2019 on the issue of 11 whether HCMFA could repay the amounts that it 12 owed to Highland should they become due? 13 A. I don't believe so. 14 Q. Did you at any time form any 15 opinions as to HCMFA's ability to repay all 16 amounts due to Highland should they become due? 17 A. Not really. I guess I don't 18 Q. Well, you told the retail board that	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 should they become due? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I mean, I – when you look at that, to answer you, completely, you know, again, if – the response I gave the retail board was, you know, the – the advice – HCMFA advisors have the – have the full faith and backing of Jim Dondero. So I didn't form an opinion of whether the advisor could pay it or not. Q. Did you form any view as to whether the advisors could repay the amounts that it owed to Highland should they become due without the full faith and backing of Mr. Dondero? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Form. A. I mean, if you – if you take that last statement out, I mean, it would 	Page 205
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 As the treasurer of HCMFA, did you believe that – do you believe that statement was true and accurate at the time it was rendered? A. I mean, it – it – the answer to that is I really didn't have any – I didn't have an opinion really. Q. Did you do anything to educate yourself in April of 2019 on the issue of whether HCMFA could repay the amounts that it owed to Highland should they become due? A. I don't believe so. Q. Did you at any time form any opinions as to HCMFA's ability to repay all amounts due to Highland should they become due? A. Not really. I guess I don't Q. Well, you told the retail board that HCMFA's liabilities exceeded their assets in 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 should they become due? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I mean, I – when you look at that, to answer you, completely, you know, again, if – the response I gave the retail board was, you know, the – the advice – HCMFA advisors have the – have the full faith and backing of Jim Dondero. So I didn't form an opinion of whether the advisor could pay it or not. Q. Did you form any view as to whether the advisors could repay the amounts that it owed to Highland should they become due without the full faith and backing of Mr. Dondero? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Form. A. I mean, if you – if you take that last statement out, I mean, it would be difficult for HCMFA to pay back demand notes 	Page 205
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 As the treasurer of HCMFA, did you 3 believe that do you believe that statement 4 was true and accurate at the time it was 5 rendered? 6 A. I mean, it it the answer to 7 that is I really didn't have any I didn't 8 have an opinion really. 9 Q. Did you do anything to educate 10 yourself in April of 2019 on the issue of 11 whether HCMFA could repay the amounts that it 12 owed to Highland should they become due? 13 A. I don't believe so. 14 Q. Did you at any time form any 15 opinions as to HCMFA's ability to repay all 16 amounts due to Highland should they become due? 17 A. Not really. I guess I don't 18 Q. Well, you told the retail board that 19 HCMFA's liabilities exceeded their assets in 2020; correct? 2020; correct?	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 should they become due? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I mean, I – when you look at that, to answer you, completely, you know, again, if – the response I gave the retail board was, you know, the – the advice – HCMFA advisors have the – have the full faith and backing of Jim Dondero. So I didn't form an opinion of whether the advisor could pay it or not. Q. Did you form any view as to whether the advisors could repay the amounts that it owed to Highland should they become due without the full faith and backing of Mr. Dondero? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Form. A. I mean, if you – if you – if you take that last statement out, I mean, it would be difficult for HCMFA to pay back demand notes at that time. 	Page 205
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 As the treasurer of HCMFA, did you 3 believe that – do you believe that statement 4 was true and accurate at the time it was 5 rendered? 6 A. I mean, it – it – the answer to 7 that is I really didn't have any – I didn't 8 have an opinion really. 9 Q. Did you do anything to educate 10 yourself in April of 2019 on the issue of 11 whether HCMFA could repay the amounts that it 12 owed to Highland should they become due? 13 A. I don't believe so. 14 Q. Did you at any time form any 15 opinions as to HCMFA's ability to repay all 16 amounts due to Highland should they become due? 17 A. Not really. I guess I don't 18 Q. Well, you told the retail board that 19 HCMFA's liabilities exceeded their assets in 2020; correct? 21 21 A. Yes.	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 should they become due? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I mean, I – when you look at that, to answer you, completely, you know, again, if – the response I gave the retail board was, you know, the – the advice – HCMFA advisors have the – have the full faith and backing of Jim Dondero. So I didn't form an opinion of whether the advisor could pay it or not. Q. Did you form any view as to whether the advisors could repay the amounts that it owed to Highland should they become due without the full faith and backing of Mr. Dondero? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Form. A. I mean, if you – if you – if you take that last statement out, I mean, it would be difficult for HCMFA to pay back demand notes at that time. Q. And it was precisely for that reason 	Page 205
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 As the treasurer of HCMFA, did you believe that – do you believe that statement was true and accurate at the time it was rendered? A. I mean, it – it – the answer to that is I really didn't have any – I didn't have an opinion really. Q. Did you do anything to educate yourself in April of 2019 on the issue of whether HCMFA could repay the amounts that it owed to Highland should they become due? A. I don't believe so. Q. Did you at any time form any opinions as to HCMFA's ability to repay all amounts due to Highland should they become due? A. Not really. I guess I don't Q. Well, you told the retail board that HCMFA's liabilities exceeded their assets in 2020; correct? A. Yes. Q. Based on the work that you did to 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 should they become due? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I mean, I – when you look at that, to answer you, completely, you know, again, if – the response I gave the retail board was, you know, the – the advice – HCMFA advisors have the – have the full faith and backing of Jim Dondero. So I didn't form an opinion of whether the advisor could pay it or not. Q. Did you form any view as to whether the advisors could repay the amounts that it owed to Highland should they become due without the full faith and backing of Mr. Dondero? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Form. A. I mean, if you – if you take that last statement out, I mean, it would be difficult for HCMFA to pay back demand notes at that time. Q. And it was precisely for that reason that you told the retail board that – that the 	Page 205
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 As the treasurer of HCMFA, did you 3 believe that – do you believe that statement 4 was true and accurate at the time it was 5 rendered? 6 A. I mean, it – it – the answer to 7 that is I really didn't have any – I didn't 8 have an opinion really. 9 Q. Did you do anything to educate 10 yourself in April of 2019 on the issue of 11 whether HCMFA could repay the amounts that it 12 owed to Highland should they become due? 13 A. I don't believe so. 14 Q. Did you at any time form any 15 opinions as to HCMFA's ability to repay all 16 amounts due to Highland should they become due? 17 A. Not really. I guess I don't 18 Q. Well, you told the retail board that 19 HCMFA's liabilities exceeded their assets in 2020; correct? A. Yes. 21 A. Yes. 22 Q. Based on the work that you did to 23 prepare for the retail board, did you form any	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 should they become due? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I mean, I – when you look at that, to answer you, completely, you know, again, if – the response I gave the retail board was, you know, the – the advice – HCMFA advisors have the – have the full faith and backing of Jim Dondero. So I didn't form an opinion of whether the advisor could pay it or not. Q. Did you form any view as to whether the advisors could repay the amounts that it owed to Highland should they become due without the full faith and backing of Mr. Dondero? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Form. A. I mean, if you – if you – if you take that last statement out, I mean, it would be difficult for HCMFA to pay back demand notes at that time. Q. And it was precisely for that reason that you told the retail board that – that the retail – that the advisors had the full faith 	Page 205

1	Page 206 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 207
2	A. I mean, yes, as the mouthpiece, I	2	so let's put the email back up.	
3	was relaying information.	3	A. It is – it is – it is in the	
4	Q. Okay. And you relayed that	4	email.	
5	information with the knowledge and approval of	5	Q. Let's put the email back up. You	
6	Mr. Dondero; correct?	6	didn't say unless it has changed. You said you	
7	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	7	believe it hasn't changed; right?	
8	form.	8	A. Okay. And to my knowledge that	
9	A. As I stated in the email, I don't	9	hasn't changed, that is what it says.	
10	believe, and I think I testified I don't	10	Q. That's right.	
11	believe I had conversations with Mr. Dondero at	11	A. But, again, I mean, that is – I	
12	the time of that board meeting.	12	don't know everything. And I'm not in every	
13	Q. Did you tell the retail board that	13	conversation. I'm not – to presume that I am,	
14	the advisors had the full faith and backing of	14	is – and you have to put myself – as you	
15	Mr. Dondero without Mr. Dondero's prior	15	started this out, Mr. Morris, I was at home in	
16	approval?	16	October of 2020 with COVID – or, you know,	
17	A. Yeah, $I - I - yes$, I'm – like I	17	under these COVID times that we described is	
11/	said, I think I testified earlier, I'm sure I	18	very difficult.	
19	qualified it as well.	19	We have all been working at home for	
20	Q. What do you mean by that?		really the first time ever, undergoing	
20	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	20	processes, procedures, control environments	
22	-			
1	A. Again – again, like I said in the	22	that have been untested, and there is poor communication.	
23	email, it has the full faith and backing of Jim	23		
24	Dondero unless that has changed.		So I am relaying, as I'm telling you	
25	Q. Actually that is not what you said,	25	now, what is in the email. And unless	
	Page 208	4		Page 209
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	
	something has changed to my knowledge, it	2	promissory notes that your clients refuse	
3	hasn't changed, but it could have changed. Q. When you say that the advisors have	3	to pay.	
4		4	So I'm going to continue to ask my	
5	the full faith and backing from Mr. Dondero, did you intend to convey that, to the extent	5	questions, and I would ask the court	
6		6	reporter to read back my last question. (Record read.)	
7	the advisors were unable to satisfy their	7	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: And then I	
	obligations as they become due, Mr. Dondero	8		
9	would do it for them?	9	believe there were objections to form.	
10	MS. DANDENEAU: Object to the form.	10	Q. You can answer the question.	
11	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	11	A. Yes.	
12	form.	12	Q. Thank you very much, sir.	
13	And, John, we have given you a lot	13	MR. MORRIS: Can we go back to the	
14	of leeway here but this does not seem	14	other document, please?	
15	relevant to this case. You seem sort of	15	Q. Mr. Waterhouse, do you know if this	
16	taking a complete sort of diversion into	16	document was ever shared with the retail board?	
17	the allegations and the complaint just	17	A. I don't recall.	
18	filed on Friday, and so I would ask you to	18	Q. Did you ever share it with the	
19	move on because –	19	retail board?	
20	MR. MORRIS: And I will tell you	20	A. I don't recall.	
04		21	Q. Did you ever tell the retail board	
21	I will tell you that I have never read that		about the autotopoe of the design	
22	complaint cover-to-cover. I have nothing	22	about the substance of this document?	
22 23	complaint cover-to-cover. I have nothing to do with the prosecution of those claims.	22 23	A. I don't recall.	
22	complaint cover-to-cover. I have nothing	22		

D 010	D 011
Page 210 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 211 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21
2 against HCMFA until May 2021?	2 Q. Did you ever inform PwC that HCMFA's
3 A. I don't recall.	3 liabilities exceeded its assets?
4 Q. Do you know whether anybody on	4 MS. DANDENEAU: Object to the form.
5 behalf of the advisors ever informed the retail	5 A. I don't – I don't think I told
6 board that Highland had agreed on April 15,	6 them. I mean, they – they audited the
7 2019, not to make a demand against HCMFA under	7 financial statements.
-	
8 the promissory notes?	8 Q. Did – do you know if anybody on
9 A. I don't recall.	9 behalf of Highland ever informed
10 Q. Did you instruct Ms. Thedford or	10 PricewaterhouseCoopers that HCMFA may be unable
11 anybody else responding to the retail board's	11 to repay amounts owing to Highland, should they
12 15(c) inquiry to disclose this document?	12 become due?
13 A. Did I instruct Ms. Thedford or	13 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.
14 anyone else to to to produce this, to	14 A. Yes. Again, I think I testified
15 disclose this document? Is that what you – I	15 earlier that – that this was communicated to
16 just want to make sure.	16 the auditors.
17 Q. Uh-huh.	17 Q. Ideally
18 A. Yeah, I don't – I don't recall.	18 A. I don't know who exactly did that.
19 Q. Did you instruct anybody to inform	19 I don't recall doing it, but, yeah, it was –
20 the retail board, in response to their question	20 it was communicated. And that is why – I
21 as part of the 15(c) process, to – to tell the	21 mean, there is a disclosure in the financial
22 retail board about Highland's agreement not to	22 statements; right?
23 make a demand until 2021?	23 Q. There is, and that disclosure
24 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	24 relates to the last sentence of this document;
25 A. I don't recall.	25 correct?
	25 COTTECTS
Page 212	Page 213
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 A. Yes.	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. I have answered this question
1WATERHOUSE - 10-19-212A. Yes.3Q. Do you recall looking in the	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. I have answered this question before.
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. Yes. Q. Do you recall looking in the 4 document and seeing anything that was disclosed 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. I have answered this question before. Q. And the answer was no?
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. Yes. Q. Do you recall looking in the document and seeing anything that was disclosed with respect to the sentence above that? 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. I have answered this question before. Q. And the answer was no? A. I'm not aware.
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. Yes. Q. Do you recall looking in the document and seeing anything that was disclosed with respect to the sentence above that? A. No. 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. I have answered this question before. Q. And the answer was no? A. I'm not aware. Q. Now, this acknowledgment can't
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. Yes. Q. Do you recall looking in the document and seeing anything that was disclosed with respect to the sentence above that? A. No. Q. Do you know whether anybody on 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. I have answered this question before. Q. And the answer was no? A. I'm not aware. Q. Now, this acknowledgment can't possibly apply to the two notes that you signed
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. Yes. Q. Do you recall looking in the document and seeing anything that was disclosed with respect to the sentence above that? A. No. 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. I have answered this question before. Q. And the answer was no? A. I'm not aware. Q. Now, this acknowledgment can't
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. Yes. Q. Do you recall looking in the document and seeing anything that was disclosed with respect to the sentence above that? A. No. Q. Do you know whether anybody on 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. I have answered this question before. Q. And the answer was no? A. I'm not aware. Q. Now, this acknowledgment can't possibly apply to the two notes that you signed
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. Yes. Q. Do you recall looking in the document and seeing anything that was disclosed with respect to the sentence above that? A. No. Q. Do you know whether anybody on behalf of Highland ever informed 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. I have answered this question before. Q. And the answer was no? A. I'm not aware. Q. Now, this acknowledgment can't possibly apply to the two notes that you signed on behalf of HCMFA because those notes were
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. Yes. Q. Do you recall looking in the document and seeing anything that was disclosed with respect to the sentence above that? A. No. Q. Do you know whether anybody on behalf of Highland ever informed PricewaterhouseCoopers that HCMFA expects that 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. I have answered this question before. Q. And the answer was no? A. I'm not aware. Q. Now, this acknowledgment can't possibly apply to the two notes that you signed on behalf of HCMFA because those notes were signed on May 2nd and May 3rd, 2019; is that
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. Yes. Q. Do you recall looking in the document and seeing anything that was disclosed with respect to the sentence above that? A. No. Q. Do you know whether anybody on behalf of Highland ever informed PricewaterhouseCoopers that HCMFA expects that it may be unable to repay amounts due and owing 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. I have answered this question before. Q. And the answer was no? A. I'm not aware. Q. Now, this acknowledgment can't possibly apply to the two notes that you signed on behalf of HCMFA because those notes were signed on May 2nd and May 3rd, 2019; is that right?
1WATERHOUSE - 10-19-212A. Yes.3Q. Do you recall looking in the4document and seeing anything that was disclosed5with respect to the sentence above that?6A. No.7Q. Do you know whether anybody on8behalf of Highland ever informed9PricewaterhouseCoopers that HCMFA expects that10it may be unable to repay amounts due and owing11to Highland should they become due?	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. I have answered this question before. Q. And the answer was no? A. I'm not aware. Q. Now, this acknowledgment can't possibly apply to the two notes that you signed on behalf of HCMFA because those notes were signed on May 2nd and May 3rd, 2019; is that right? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. Yes. Q. Do you recall looking in the document and seeing anything that was disclosed with respect to the sentence above that? A. No. Q. Do you know whether anybody on behalf of Highland ever informed PricewaterhouseCoopers that HCMFA expects that it may be unable to repay amounts due and owing to Highland should they become due? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. I think that is the third time. 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. I have answered this question before. Q. And the answer was no? A. I'm not aware. Q. Now, this acknowledgment can't possibly apply to the two notes that you signed on behalf of HCMFA because those notes were signed on May 2nd and May 3rd, 2019; is that right? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. Unless there is a drafting error.
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. Yes. Q. Do you recall looking in the document and seeing anything that was disclosed with respect to the sentence above that? A. No. Q. Do you know whether anybody on behalf of Highland ever informed PricewaterhouseCoopers that HCMFA expects that it may be unable to repay amounts due and owing to Highland should they become due? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. I think that is the third time. A. I don't recall. Again, as I said, 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. I have answered this question before. Q. And the answer was no? A. I'm not aware. Q. Now, this acknowledgment can't possibly apply to the two notes that you signed on behalf of HCMFA because those notes were signed on May 2nd and May 3rd, 2019; is that right? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. Unless there is a drafting error. Q. Okay. Are you aware of a drafting error?
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. Yes. Q. Do you recall looking in the document and seeing anything that was disclosed with respect to the sentence above that? A. No. Q. Do you know whether anybody on behalf of Highland ever informed PricewaterhouseCoopers that HCMFA expects that it may be unable to repay amounts due and owing to Highland should they become due? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. I think that is the third time. A. I don't recall. Again, as I said, we – all of this was given to the auditors. 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. I have answered this question before. Q. And the answer was no? A. I'm not aware. Q. Now, this acknowledgment can't possibly apply to the two notes that you signed on behalf of HCMFA because those notes were signed on May 2nd and May 3rd, 2019; is that right? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. Unless there is a drafting error. Q. Okay. Are you aware of a drafting error? A. I'm not aware. I didn't – I wasn't
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 A. Yes. 3 Q. Do you recall looking in the 4 document and seeing anything that was disclosed 5 with respect to the sentence above that? 6 A. No. 7 Q. Do you know whether anybody on 8 behalf of Highland ever informed 9 PricewaterhouseCoopers that HCMFA expects that 10 it may be unable to repay amounts due and owing 11 to Highland should they become due? 12 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 13 form. I think that is the third time. 14 A. I don't recall. Again, as I said, 15 we – all of this was given to the auditors. 16 Q. Do you know if Highland received	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. I have answered this question before. Q. And the answer was no? A. I'm not aware. Q. Now, this acknowledgment can't possibly apply to the two notes that you signed on behalf of HCMFA because those notes were signed on May 2nd and May 3rd, 2019; is that right? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. Unless there is a drafting error. Q. Okay. Are you aware of a drafting error? A. I'm not aware. I didn't – I wasn't part of – I didn't sign this note or this
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 A. Yes. 3 Q. Do you recall looking in the 4 document and seeing anything that was disclosed 5 with respect to the sentence above that? 6 A. No. 7 Q. Do you know whether anybody on 8 behalf of Highland ever informed 9 PricewaterhouseCoopers that HCMFA expects that 10 it may be unable to repay amounts due and owing 11 to Highland should they become due? 12 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 13 form. I think that is the third time. 14 A. I don't recall. Again, as I said, 15 we – all of this was given to the auditors. 16 Q. Do you know if Highland received 17 anything of value in exchange for its agreement	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. I have answered this question before. Q. And the answer was no? A. I'm not aware. Q. Now, this acknowledgment can't possibly apply to the two notes that you signed on behalf of HCMFA because those notes were signed on May 2nd and May 3rd, 2019; is that right? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. Unless there is a drafting error. Q. Okay. Are you aware of a drafting error? A. I'm not aware. I didn't – I wasn't part of – I didn't sign this note or this acknowledgment. I didn't draft it.
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 A. Yes. 3 Q. Do you recall looking in the 4 document and seeing anything that was disclosed 5 with respect to the sentence above that? 6 A. No. 7 Q. Do you know whether anybody on 8 behalf of Highland ever informed 9 PricewaterhouseCoopers that HCMFA expects that 10 it may be unable to repay amounts due and owing 11 to Highland should they become due? 12 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 13 form. I think that is the third time. 14 A. I don't recall. Again, as I said, 15 we – all of this was given to the auditors. 16 Q. Do you know if Highland received 17 anything of value in exchange for its agreement 18 not to demand payment on amounts owed by HCMFA	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. I have answered this question before. Q. And the answer was no? A. I'm not aware. Q. Now, this acknowledgment can't possibly apply to the two notes that you signed on behalf of HCMFA because those notes were signed on May 2nd and May 3rd, 2019; is that right? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. Unless there is a drafting error. Q. Okay. Are you aware of a drafting error? A. I'm not aware. I didn't – I wasn't part of – I didn't sign this note or this acknowledgment. I didn't draft it. Q. But you do see it is dated April 15,
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. Yes. Q. Do you recall looking in the document and seeing anything that was disclosed with respect to the sentence above that? A. No. Q. Do you know whether anybody on behalf of Highland ever informed PricewaterhouseCoopers that HCMFA expects that it may be unable to repay amounts due and owing to Highland should they become due? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. I think that is the third time. A. I don't recall. Again, as I said, we – all of this was given to the auditors. Q. Do you know if Highland received anything of value in exchange for its agreement not to demand payment on amounts owed by HCMFA prior to May 31st, 2021? 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. I have answered this question before. Q. And the answer was no? A. I'm not aware. Q. Now, this acknowledgment can't possibly apply to the two notes that you signed on behalf of HCMFA because those notes were signed on May 2nd and May 3rd, 2019; is that right? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. Unless there is a drafting error. Q. Okay. Are you aware of a drafting error? A. I'm not aware. I didn't – I wasn't part of – I didn't sign this note or this acknowledgment. I didn't draft it. Q. But you do see it is dated April 15, 2019; right?
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 A. Yes. 3 Q. Do you recall looking in the 4 document and seeing anything that was disclosed 5 with respect to the sentence above that? 6 A. No. 7 Q. Do you know whether anybody on 8 behalf of Highland ever informed 9 PricewaterhouseCoopers that HCMFA expects that 10 it may be unable to repay amounts due and owing 11 to Highland should they become due? 12 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 13 form. I think that is the third time. 14 A. I don't recall. Again, as I said, 15 we – all of this was given to the auditors. 16 Q. Do you know if Highland received 17 anything of value in exchange for its agreement 18 not to demand payment on amounts owed by HCMFA 19 prior to May 31st, 2021? 20 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. I have answered this question before. Q. And the answer was no? A. I'm not aware. Q. Now, this acknowledgment can't possibly apply to the two notes that you signed on behalf of HCMFA because those notes were signed on May 2nd and May 3rd, 2019; is that right? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. Unless there is a drafting error. Q. Okay. Are you aware of a drafting error? A. I'm not aware. I didn't – I wasn't part of – I didn't sign this note or this acknowledgment. I didn't draft it. Q. But you do see it is dated April 15, 2019; right? A. Yes.
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 A. Yes. 3 Q. Do you recall looking in the 4 document and seeing anything that was disclosed 5 with respect to the sentence above that? 6 A. No. 7 Q. Do you know whether anybody on 8 behalf of Highland ever informed 9 PricewaterhouseCoopers that HCMFA expects that 10 it may be unable to repay amounts due and owing 11 to Highland should they become due? 12 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 13 form. I think that is the third time. 14 A. I don't recall. Again, as I said, 15 we – all of this was given to the auditors. 16 Q. Do you know if Highland received 17 anything of value in exchange for its agreement 18 not to demand payment on amounts owed by HCMFA 19 prior to May 31st, 2021? 20 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 21 form. That is the second time.	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. I have answered this question before. Q. And the answer was no? A. I'm not aware. Q. Now, this acknowledgment can't possibly apply to the two notes that you signed on behalf of HCMFA because those notes were signed on May 2nd and May 3rd, 2019; is that right? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. Unless there is a drafting error. Q. Okay. Are you aware of a drafting error? A. I'm not aware. I didn't – I wasn't part of – I didn't sign this note or this acknowledgment. I didn't draft it. Q. But you do see it is dated April 15, 2019; right? A. Yes. Q. And this was a document that was
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 A. Yes. 3 Q. Do you recall looking in the 4 document and seeing anything that was disclosed 5 with respect to the sentence above that? 6 A. No. 7 Q. Do you know whether anybody on 8 behalf of Highland ever informed 9 PricewaterhouseCoopers that HCMFA expects that 10 it may be unable to repay amounts due and owing 11 to Highland should they become due? 12 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 13 form. I think that is the third time. 14 A. I don't recall. Again, as I said, 15 we – all of this was given to the auditors. 16 Q. Do you know if Highland received 17 anything of value in exchange for its agreement 18 not to demand payment on amounts owed by HCMFA 19 prior to May 31st, 2021? 20 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 21 form. That is the second time. 22 MS. DANDENEAU: Object to the form.	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. I have answered this question before. Q. And the answer was no? A. I'm not aware. Q. Now, this acknowledgment can't possibly apply to the two notes that you signed on behalf of HCMFA because those notes were signed on May 2nd and May 3rd, 2019; is that right? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. Unless there is a drafting error. Q. Okay. Are you aware of a drafting error? A. I'm not aware. I didn't – I wasn't part of – I didn't sign this note or this acknowledgment. I didn't draft it. Q. But you do see it is dated April 15, 2019; right? A. Yes. Q. And this was a document that was actually included by the advisors in a pleading
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 A. Yes. 3 Q. Do you recall looking in the 4 document and seeing anything that was disclosed 5 with respect to the sentence above that? 6 A. No. 7 Q. Do you know whether anybody on 8 behalf of Highland ever informed 9 PricewaterhouseCoopers that HCMFA expects that 10 it may be unable to repay amounts due and owing 11 to Highland should they become due? 12 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 13 form. I think that is the third time. 14 A. I don't recall. Again, as I said, 15 we – all of this was given to the auditors. 16 Q. Do you know if Highland received 17 anything of value in exchange for its agreement 18 not to demand payment on amounts owed by HCMFA 19 prior to May 31st, 2021? 20 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 21 form. That is the second time. 22 MS. DANDENEAU: Object to the form. 23 A. I have answered this question.	1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 A. I have answered this question 3 before. 4 Q. And the answer was no? 5 A. I'm not aware. 6 Q. Now, this acknowledgment can't 7 possibly apply to the two notes that you signed 8 on behalf of HCMFA because those notes were 9 signed on May 2nd and May 3rd, 2019; is that 10 right? 11 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 12 A. Unless there is a drafting error. 13 Q. Okay. Are you aware of a drafting 14 error? 15 A. I'm not aware. I didn't – I wasn't 16 part of – I didn't sign this note or this 17 acknowledgment. I didn't draft it. 18 Q. But you do see it is dated April 15, 19 2019; right? 20 A. Yes. 21 Q. And this was a document that was 22 actually included by the advisors in a pleading 23 they filed with the Court; right?
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 A. Yes. 3 Q. Do you recall looking in the 4 document and seeing anything that was disclosed 5 with respect to the sentence above that? 6 A. No. 7 Q. Do you know whether anybody on 8 behalf of Highland ever informed 9 PricewaterhouseCoopers that HCMFA expects that 10 it may be unable to repay amounts due and owing 11 to Highland should they become due? 12 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 13 form. I think that is the third time. 14 A. I don't recall. Again, as I said, 15 we – all of this was given to the auditors. 16 Q. Do you know if Highland received 17 anything of value in exchange for its agreement 18 not to demand payment on amounts owed by HCMFA 19 prior to May 31st, 2021? 20 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 21 form. That is the second time. 22 MS. DANDENEAU: Object to the form. 23 A. I have answered this question. 24 MR. RUKAVINA:	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. I have answered this question before. Q. And the answer was no? A. I'm not aware. Q. Now, this acknowledgment can't possibly apply to the two notes that you signed on behalf of HCMFA because those notes were signed on May 2nd and May 3rd, 2019; is that right? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. Unless there is a drafting error. Q. Okay. Are you aware of a drafting error? A. I'm not aware. I didn't – I wasn't part of – I didn't sign this note or this acknowledgment. I didn't draft it. Q. But you do see it is dated April 15, 2019; right? A. Yes. Q. And this was a document that was actually included by the advisors in a pleading they filed with the Court; right? MR. RUKAVINA: Well, I don't know
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 A. Yes. 3 Q. Do you recall looking in the 4 document and seeing anything that was disclosed 5 with respect to the sentence above that? 6 A. No. 7 Q. Do you know whether anybody on 8 behalf of Highland ever informed 9 PricewaterhouseCoopers that HCMFA expects that 10 it may be unable to repay amounts due and owing 11 to Highland should they become due? 12 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 13 form. I think that is the third time. 14 A. I don't recall. Again, as I said, 15 we – all of this was given to the auditors. 16 Q. Do you know if Highland received 17 anything of value in exchange for its agreement 18 not to demand payment on amounts owed by HCMFA 19 prior to May 31st, 2021? 20 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 21 form. That is the second time. 22 MS. DANDENEAU: Object to the form. 23 A. I have answered this question.	1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 A. I have answered this question 3 before. 4 Q. And the answer was no? 5 A. I'm not aware. 6 Q. Now, this acknowledgment can't 7 possibly apply to the two notes that you signed 8 on behalf of HCMFA because those notes were 9 signed on May 2nd and May 3rd, 2019; is that 10 right? 11 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 12 A. Unless there is a drafting error. 13 Q. Okay. Are you aware of a drafting 14 error? 15 A. I'm not aware. I didn't – I wasn't 16 part of – I didn't sign this note or this 17 acknowledgment. I didn't draft it. 18 Q. But you do see it is dated April 15, 19 2019; right? 20 A. Yes. 21 Q. And this was a document that was 22 actually included by the advisors in a pleading 23 they filed with the Court; right?

		Page 214			Page 215
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Fage 214	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Fage 215
2	Q. Okay. Let's go to the first page of		2	of verifying that, we're just	
3	the document and just confirm that.		3	MR. MORRIS: You do, actually. You	
4	MR. AIGEN: Mr. Morris, I just note		4	could just go to Docket No. 21-3004.	
5	that you already said there was some error		5	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: If you want to	
6	with the document that is listed as		6	stop this deposition so we can go and pull	
7	exhibit –		7	that document up, we're happy to do it. So	
8	MR. MORRIS: No. No, no, no.		8	I am just asking you for your	
9	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Oh, okay.		9	representation.	
10	MR. MORRIS: What I said is that		10	MR. MORRIS: Sure. I gave that.	
11	there is a few pages that were mistakenly		11	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Okay.	
12	stapled to the end of the document.		12	Q. So do you see that this is a	
13	, MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Okay.		13	document that was actually filed with the Court	
14	MR. MORRIS: There is no problem		14	by Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors?	
15	with this document.		15	A. No. I get with the first page in	
16	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: And just so		16	the section. Maybe I'm looking at the wrong	
17	we're clear that the document – the pages		17	thing. It says, Highland Capital Management.	
18	that start with defendant's amended answer		18	Q. Don't worry about it. Don't worry	
19	are not intended to be part of this		19	about it.	
20	document?		20	A. Maybe I went back – okay.	
21	MR. MORRIS: That's correct.		21	MR. MORRIS: All right. Can we put	
22	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: And that the		22	up on the screen Exhibit 2.	
23	but it is your representation that the rest		23	' (Exhibit 2 marked.)	
24	of the document is – is – is correct		24	MR. MORRIS: 1 think it is	
25	because we don't we don't have any way		25	Exhibit 1.	
		Dama 040			Dava 047
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 216	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 217
2	MS. DANDENEAU: I'm sorry, John, did		2	this is an annual installment note?	
3	you say Exhibit 2 or Exhibit 1?		3	A. Can you scroll down.	
4	MR. MORRIS: It is Exhibit 2 in the		4	Q. Sure.	
5	binders so it is premarked Exhibit 2. And		5	MR. MORRIS: Can we scroll down -	
6	now I'm asking right there going to		6	yeah, there you go.	
7	Exhibit 1 to the document that was marked		7	A. Right there, yeah. Yes.	
8	as Exhibit 2.			MR. MORRIS: And can we scroll down	
6			8		
19	MS. DANDENEAU: Got it. In the		8		
9	MS. DANDENEAU: Got it. In the binder there is no –			to the signature line.	
1			9		
10	binder there is no		9 10	to the signature line. Q. And do you recognize that as	
10 11	binder there is no MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: There is no		9 10 11	to the signature line. Q. And do you recognize that as Mr. Dondero's signature?	
10 11 12	binder there is no – MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: There is no Exhibit 1.		9 10 11 12	to the signature line. Q. And do you recognize that as Mr. Dondero's signature? A. Yes.	
10 11 12 13	binder there is no – MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: There is no Exhibit 1. MR. MORRIS: All right. So look at		9 10 11 12 13	to the signature line. Q. And do you recognize that as Mr. Dondero's signature? A. Yes. Q. And is this the promissory note that	
10 11 12 13 14	binder there is no – MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: There is no Exhibit 1. MR. MORRIS: All right. So look at the one on the screen.		9 10 11 12 13 14	to the signature line. Q. And do you recognize that as Mr. Dondero's signature? A. Yes. Q. And is this the promissory note that we talked about earlier where NexPoint had made	
10 11 12 13 14 15	binder there is no – MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: There is no Exhibit 1. MR. MORRIS: All right. So look at the one on the screen. Q. Do you see, Mr. Waterhouse, that		9 10 11 12 13 14 15	to the signature line. Q. And do you recognize that as Mr. Dondero's signature? A. Yes. Q. And is this the promissory note that we talked about earlier where NexPoint had made certain payments in the aggregate amount of	
10 11 12 13 14 15 16	binder there is no – MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: There is no Exhibit 1. MR. MORRIS: All right. So look at the one on the screen. Q. Do you see, Mr. Waterhouse, that this is a promissory note dated May 31st, 2017,		9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	to the signature line. Q. And do you recognize that as Mr. Dondero's signature? A. Yes. Q. And is this the promissory note that we talked about earlier where NexPoint had made certain payments in the aggregate amount of about 6 to \$7 million against principal and	
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	binder there is no – MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: There is no Exhibit 1. MR. MORRIS: All right. So look at the one on the screen. Q. Do you see, Mr. Waterhouse, that this is a promissory note dated May 31st, 2017, in the approximate amount of \$30.7 million?		9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	to the signature line. Q. And do you recognize that as Mr. Dondero's signature? A. Yes. Q. And is this the promissory note that we talked about earlier where NexPoint had made certain payments in the aggregate amount of about 6 to \$7 million against principal and interest?	
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	binder there is no – MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: There is no Exhibit 1. MR. MORRIS: All right. So look at the one on the screen. Q. Do you see, Mr. Waterhouse, that this is a promissory note dated May 31st, 2017, in the approximate amount of \$30.7 million? A. Yes.		9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	to the signature line. Q. And do you recognize that as Mr. Dondero's signature? A. Yes. Q. And is this the promissory note that we talked about earlier where NexPoint had made certain payments in the aggregate amount of about 6 to \$7 million against principal and interest? A. I don't recall discussing the	
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	binder there is no – MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: There is no Exhibit 1. MR. MORRIS: All right. So look at the one on the screen. Q. Do you see, Mr. Waterhouse, that this is a promissory note dated May 31st, 2017, in the approximate amount of \$30.7 million? A. Yes. Q. And do you see that the maker of the		9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	to the signature line. Q. And do you recognize that as Mr. Dondero's signature? A. Yes. Q. And is this the promissory note that we talked about earlier where NexPoint had made certain payments in the aggregate amount of about 6 to \$7 million against principal and interest? A. I don't recall discussing the aggregate principal amounts of 6 to \$7 million,	
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	binder there is no – MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: There is no Exhibit 1. MR. MORRIS: All right. So look at the one on the screen. Q. Do you see, Mr. Waterhouse, that this is a promissory note dated May 31st, 2017, in the approximate amount of \$30.7 million? A. Yes. Q. And do you see that the maker of the note is NexPoint?		9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	to the signature line. Q. And do you recognize that as Mr. Dondero's signature? A. Yes. Q. And is this the promissory note that we talked about earlier where NexPoint had made certain payments in the aggregate amount of about 6 to \$7 million against principal and interest? A. I don't recall discussing the aggregate principal amounts of 6 to \$7 million, but – so I don't – I don't recall that prior	
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 binder there is no – MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: There is no Exhibit 1. MR. MORRIS: All right. So look at the one on the screen. Q. Do you see, Mr. Waterhouse, that this is a promissory note dated May 31st, 2017, in the approximate amount of \$30.7 million? A. Yes. Q. And do you see that the maker of the note is NexPoint? A. Yes. 		9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	to the signature line. Q. And do you recognize that as Mr. Dondero's signature? A. Yes. Q. And is this the promissory note that we talked about earlier where NexPoint had made certain payments in the aggregate amount of about 6 to \$7 million against principal and interest? A. I don't recall discussing the aggregate principal amounts of 6 to \$7 million, but – so I don't – I don't recall that prior discussion with those amounts.	
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 binder there is no – MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: There is no Exhibit 1. MR. MORRIS: All right. So look at the one on the screen. Q. Do you see, Mr. Waterhouse, that this is a promissory note dated May 31st, 2017, in the approximate amount of \$30.7 million? A. Yes. Q. And do you see that the maker of the note is NexPoint? A. Yes. Q. And that Highland is the payee; is 		9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	to the signature line. Q. And do you recognize that as Mr. Dondero's signature? A. Yes. Q. And is this the promissory note that we talked about earlier where NexPoint had made certain payments in the aggregate amount of about 6 to \$7 million against principal and interest? A. I don't recall discussing the aggregate principal amounts of 6 to \$7 million, but – so I don't – I don't recall that prior discussion with those amounts. Q. All right. Let's take a look.	
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 binder there is no – MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: There is no Exhibit 1. MR. MORRIS: All right. So look at the one on the screen. Q. Do you see, Mr. Waterhouse, that this is a promissory note dated May 31st, 2017, in the approximate amount of \$30.7 million? A. Yes. Q. And do you see that the maker of the note is NexPoint? A. Yes. Q. And that Highland is the payee; is that right? 		9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	to the signature line. Q. And do you recognize that as Mr. Dondero's signature? A. Yes. Q. And is this the promissory note that we talked about earlier where NexPoint had made certain payments in the aggregate amount of about 6 to \$7 million against principal and interest? A. I don't recall discussing the aggregate principal amounts of 6 to \$7 million, but – so I don't – I don't recall that prior discussion with those amounts. Q. All right. Let's take a look. NexPoint always included this promissory note	

Casse 211-0330005-ssgj Door: 1/486-3 Frided 012/05/221 Entered 012/05/221 18/1/87:442 Prage 6330 off 18919

Dama 244		D 040
Page 218	1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 219
2 A. Yes.	2 A. I didn't participate directly, as	
3 Q. And NexPoint had its financial	3 I've described before, about the the team	
4 statements audited; isn't that correct?	4 performing the audit.	
5 A. Yes.	5 Q. Do you recall when the audit of	
6 Q. And was the process of NexPoint's	6 NexPoint's financial statements for the period	
7 audit similar to the process you described	7 ending December 31st, 2019 was completed?	
8 earlier for Highland and HCMFA?	8 A. Yes.	
9 A. Yes, it is similar.	9 Q. And when do you recall it being	
10 Q. Okay.	10 completed?	
11 MR. MORRIS: Can we put up	11 A. In January of 2021.	
12 NexPoint's audited financials and let	12 Q. Do you know why the 2019 audit	
13 everybody know what exhibit number it is,	13 report wasn't completed until January of 2021?	
14 La Asia?	14 A. Yes.	
15 MS. CANTY: It is going to be	15 Q. Why was the NexPoint audit report	
16 Exhibit 46.	16 for the period ending 12/31/19 not completed	
17 (Exhibit 46 marked.)	17 until January 2021?	
	18 A. Because we had to deal with working	
18 Q. And do you see, sir, that we've put19 up NexPoint Advisors' consolidated financial	19 from home from – with COVID, and on top of all	
20 statements and supplemental information for the	20 of our daily responsibilities and job duties	
21 period ending December 31st, 2019?	21 at at providing at Highland providing	
22 A. Yes.	22 services to NexPoint, we had to do all of this	
23 Q. Did you participate in the process	23 extra work for a bankruptcy that was filed in	
24 whereby these audited financial statements were	24 October of 2019.	
25 issued?	25 MR. MORRIS: Can we go to the	
		Page 221
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 221
1WATERHOUSE - 10-19-212balance sheet on page 3? Okay. Stop right	1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 form.	Page 221
1WATERHOUSE - 10-19-212balance sheet on page 3? Okay. Stop right3there.	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 form. A. Approximately. 	Page 221
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 balance sheet on page 3? Okay. Stop right there. Q. Do you see under the liabilities 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 form. A. Approximately. Q. And does that refresh your 	Page 221
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 balance sheet on page 3? Okay. Stop right there. Q. Do you see under the liabilities section, the last item is note payable to 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 form. A. Approximately. Q. And does that refresh your recollection that between the time the note was 	Page 221
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 balance sheet on page 3? Okay. Stop right there. Q. Do you see under the liabilities section, the last item is note payable to affiliate? 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 form. A. Approximately. Q. And does that refresh your recollection that between the time the note was executed and the end of 2019, that NexPoint had 	Page 221
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 balance sheet on page 3? Okay. Stop right there. Q. Do you see under the liabilities section, the last item is note payable to affiliate? A. Yes. 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 form. A. Approximately. Q. And does that refresh your recollection that between the time the note was executed and the end of 2019, that NexPoint had paid down approximately \$7 million? 	Page 221
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 balance sheet on page 3? Okay. Stop right there. Q. Do you see under the liabilities section, the last item is note payable to affiliate? A. Yes. Q. And is that the note that we just 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 form. A. Approximately. Q. And does that refresh your recollection that between the time the note was executed and the end of 2019, that NexPoint had 	Page 221
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 balance sheet on page 3? Okay. Stop right there. Q. Do you see under the liabilities section, the last item is note payable to affiliate? A. Yes. Q. And is that the note that we just looked at? 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 form. A. Approximately. Q. And does that refresh your recollection that between the time the note was executed and the end of 2019, that NexPoint had paid down approximately \$7 million? A. Yes. If we are just doing the math, yes. 	Page 221
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 balance sheet on page 3? Okay. Stop right there. Q. Do you see under the liabilities section, the last item is note payable to affiliate? A. Yes. Q. And is that the note that we just 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 form. A. Approximately. Q. And does that refresh your recollection that between the time the note was executed and the end of 2019, that NexPoint had paid down approximately \$7 million? A. Yes. If we are just doing the math, yes. Q. Okay. Did NexPoint complete its 	Page 221
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 balance sheet on page 3? Okay. Stop right there. Q. Do you see under the liabilities section, the last item is note payable to affiliate? A. Yes. Q. And is that the note that we just looked at? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. Q. Withdrawn. 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 form. A. Approximately. Q. And does that refresh your recollection that between the time the note was executed and the end of 2019, that NexPoint had paid down approximately \$7 million? A. Yes. If we are just doing the math, yes. 	Page 221
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 balance sheet on page 3? Okay. Stop right there. Q. Do you see under the liabilities section, the last item is note payable to affiliate? A. Yes. Q. And is that the note that we just looked at? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 form. A. Approximately. Q. And does that refresh your recollection that between the time the note was executed and the end of 2019, that NexPoint had paid down approximately \$7 million? A. Yes. If we are just doing the math, yes. Q. Okay. Did NexPoint complete its audit from 2020? A. Sorry, you kind of broke up. Do 	Page 221
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 balance sheet on page 3? Okay. Stop right there. Q. Do you see under the liabilities section, the last item is note payable to affiliate? A. Yes. Q. And is that the note that we just looked at? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. Q. Withdrawn. 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 form. A. Approximately. Q. And does that refresh your recollection that between the time the note was executed and the end of 2019, that NexPoint had paid down approximately \$7 million? A. Yes. If we are just doing the math, yes. Q. Okay. Did NexPoint complete its audit from 2020? 	Page 221
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 balance sheet on page 3? Okay. Stop right there. Q. Do you see under the liabilities section, the last item is note payable to affiliate? A. Yes. Q. And is that the note that we just looked at? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. Q. Withdrawn. Is that the approximately 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 form. A. Approximately. Q. And does that refresh your recollection that between the time the note was executed and the end of 2019, that NexPoint had paid down approximately \$7 million? A. Yes. If we are just doing the math, yes. Q. Okay. Did NexPoint complete its audit from 2020? A. Sorry, you kind of broke up. Do 	Page 221
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 balance sheet on page 3? Okay. Stop right there. Q. Do you see under the liabilities section, the last item is note payable to affiliate? A. Yes. Q. And is that the note that we just looked at? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. Q. Withdrawn. Is that the approximately \$30 million note that we just looked at that 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 form. A. Approximately. Q. And does that refresh your recollection that between the time the note was executed and the end of 2019, that NexPoint had paid down approximately \$7 million? A. Yes. If we are just doing the math, yes. Q. Okay. Did NexPoint complete its audit from 2020? A. Sorry, you kind of broke up. Do NexPoint complete? 	Page 221
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 balance sheet on page 3? Okay. Stop right there. Q. Do you see under the liabilities section, the last item is note payable to affiliate? A. Yes. Q. And is that the note that we just looked at? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. Q. Withdrawn. Is that the approximately \$30 million note that we just looked at that was dated from 2017? 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 form. A. Approximately. Q. And does that refresh your recollection that between the time the note was executed and the end of 2019, that NexPoint had paid down approximately \$7 million? A. Yes. If we are just doing the math, yes. Q. Okay. Did NexPoint complete its audit from 2020? A. Sorry, you kind of broke up. Do NexPoint complete? Q. The audit of its financial 	Page 221
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 balance sheet on page 3? Okay. Stop right there. Q. Do you see under the liabilities section, the last item is note payable to affiliate? A. Yes. Q. And is that the note that we just looked at? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. Q. Withdrawn. Is that the approximately \$30 million note that we just looked at that was dated from 2017? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 form. A. Approximately. Q. And does that refresh your recollection that between the time the note was executed and the end of 2019, that NexPoint had paid down approximately \$7 million? A. Yes. If we are just doing the math, yes. Q. Okay. Did NexPoint complete its audit from 2020? A. Sorry, you kind of broke up. Do NexPoint complete? Q. The audit of its financial statements for the period ending December 31st, 	Page 221
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 balance sheet on page 3? Okay. Stop right there. Q. Do you see under the liabilities section, the last item is note payable to affiliate? A. Yes. Q. And is that the note that we just looked at? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. Q. Withdrawn. Is that the approximately \$30 million note that we just looked at that was dated from 2017? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I believe no. 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 form. A. Approximately. Q. And does that refresh your recollection that between the time the note was executed and the end of 2019, that NexPoint had paid down approximately \$7 million? A. Yes. If we are just doing the math, yes. Q. Okay. Did NexPoint complete its audit from 2020? A. Sorry, you kind of broke up. Do NexPoint complete? Q. The audit of its financial statements for the period ending December 31st, 2020? 	Page 221
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 balance sheet on page 3? Okay. Stop right there. Q. Do you see under the liabilities section, the last item is note payable to affiliate? A. Yes. Q. And is that the note that we just looked at? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. Q. Withdrawn. Is that the approximately \$30 million note that we just looked at that was dated from 2017? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I believe no. Q. Okay. You're not aware of any other 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 form. A. Approximately. Q. And does that refresh your recollection that between the time the note was executed and the end of 2019, that NexPoint had paid down approximately \$7 million? A. Yes. If we are just doing the math, yes. Q. Okay. Did NexPoint complete its audit from 2020? A. Sorry, you kind of broke up. Do NexPoint complete? Q. The audit of its financial statements for the period ending December 31st, 2020? A. No. 	Page 221
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 balance sheet on page 3? Okay. Stop right there. Q. Do you see under the liabilities section, the last item is note payable to affiliate? A. Yes. Q. And is that the note that we just looked at? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. Q. Withdrawn. Is that the approximately \$30 million note that we just looked at that was dated from 2017? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I believe no. Q. Okay. You're not aware of any other note that was outstanding from NexPoint to 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 form. A. Approximately. Q. And does that refresh your recollection that between the time the note was executed and the end of 2019, that NexPoint had paid down approximately \$7 million? A. Yes. If we are just doing the math, yes. Q. Okay. Did NexPoint complete its audit from 2020? A. Sorry, you kind of broke up. Do NexPoint complete? Q. The audit of its financial statements for the period ending December 31st, 2020? A. No. Q. No, it's not complete? 	Page 221
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 balance sheet on page 3? Okay. Stop right there. Q. Do you see under the liabilities section, the last item is note payable to affiliate? A. Yes. Q. And is that the note that we just looked at? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. Q. Withdrawn. Is that the approximately \$30 million note that we just looked at that was dated from 2017? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I believe no. Q. Okay. You're not aware of any other note that was outstanding from NexPoint to Highland as of the end of the year 2019, other 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 form. A. Approximately. Q. And does that refresh your recollection that between the time the note was executed and the end of 2019, that NexPoint had paid down approximately \$7 million? A. Yes. If we are just doing the math, yes. Q. Okay. Did NexPoint complete its audit from 2020? A. Sorry, you kind of broke up. Do NexPoint complete? Q. The audit of its financial statements for the period ending December 31st, 2020? A. No. Q. No, it's not complete? A. No, it is not complete. 	Page 221
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 balance sheet on page 3? Okay. Stop right there. Q. Do you see under the liabilities section, the last item is note payable to affiliate? A. Yes. Q. And is that the note that we just looked at? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. Q. Withdrawn. Is that the approximately \$30 million note that we just looked at that was dated from 2017? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I believe no. Q. Okay. You're not aware of any other note that was outstanding from NexPoint to Highland as of the end of the year 2019, other than that one \$30 million note; right? 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 form. A. Approximately. Q. And does that refresh your recollection that between the time the note was executed and the end of 2019, that NexPoint had paid down approximately \$7 million? A. Yes. If we are just doing the math, yes. Q. Okay. Did NexPoint complete its audit from 2020? A. Sorry, you kind of broke up. Do NexPoint complete? Q. The audit of its financial statements for the period ending December 31st, 2020? A. No. Q. No, it's not complete? A. No, it is not complete. Q. Did HCMFA complete its audit for the 	Page 221
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 balance sheet on page 3? Okay. Stop right there. Q. Do you see under the liabilities section, the last item is note payable to affiliate? A. Yes. Q. And is that the note that we just looked at? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. Q. Withdrawn. Is that the approximately \$30 million note that we just looked at that was dated from 2017? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I believe no. Q. Okay. You're not aware of any other note that was outstanding from NexPoint to Highland as of the end of the year 2019, other A. I don't recall. 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 form. A. Approximately. Q. And does that refresh your recollection that between the time the note was executed and the end of 2019, that NexPoint had paid down approximately \$7 million? A. Yes. If we are just doing the math, yes. Q. Okay. Did NexPoint complete its audit from 2020? A. Sorry, you kind of broke up. Do NexPoint complete? Q. The audit of its financial statements for the period ending December 31st, 2020? A. No. Q. No, it's not complete? A. No, it is not complete. Q. Did HCMFA complete its audit for the year ending December 31st, 2020? A. No. 	Page 221
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 balance sheet on page 3? Okay. Stop right there. Q. Do you see under the liabilities section, the last item is note payable to affiliate? A. Yes. Q. And is that the note that we just looked at? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. Q. Withdrawn. Is that the approximately \$30 million note that we just looked at that was dated from 2017? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I believe no. Q. Okay. You're not aware of any other note that was outstanding from NexPoint to Highland as of the end of the year 2019, other than that one \$30 million note; right? A. I don't recall. Q. And as of the end of 2019, the principal amount that was due on the note was 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 form. A. Approximately. Q. And does that refresh your recollection that between the time the note was executed and the end of 2019, that NexPoint had paid down approximately \$7 million? A. Yes. If we are just doing the math, yes. Q. Okay. Did NexPoint complete its audit from 2020? A. Sorry, you kind of broke up. Do NexPoint complete? Q. The audit of its financial statements for the period ending December 31st, 2020? A. No. Q. No, it's not complete? A. No, it is not complete. Q. Did HCMFA complete its audit for the year ending December 31st, 2020? A. No. MR. MORRIS: Can we go to page 15, 	Page 221
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 balance sheet on page 3? Okay. Stop right there. Q. Do you see under the liabilities section, the last item is note payable to affiliate? A. Yes. Q. And is that the note that we just looked at? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. Q. Withdrawn. Is that the approximately \$30 million note that we just looked at that was dated from 2017? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I believe no. Q. Okay. You're not aware of any other note that was outstanding from NexPoint to Highland as of the end of the year 2019, other than that one \$30 million note; right? A. I don't recall. Q. And as of the end of 2019, the principal amount that was due on the note was 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 form. A. Approximately. Q. And does that refresh your recollection that between the time the note was executed and the end of 2019, that NexPoint had paid down approximately \$7 million? A. Yes. If we are just doing the math, yes. Q. Okay. Did NexPoint complete its audit from 2020? A. Sorry, you kind of broke up. Do NexPoint complete? Q. The audit of its financial statements for the period ending December 31st, 2020? A. No. Q. No, it's not complete? A. No, it is not complete. Q. Did HCMFA complete its audit for the year ending December 31st, 2020? A. No. MR. MORRIS: Can we go to page 15, 	Page 221

	D 000			D 000
1	Page 222 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 223
	uded under notes payable to Highland a	2	perfectly together.	
1	rence to the amounts that were outstanding	3	Q. Okay. But you're not aware of any	
1	of the year-end 2019 under the note that we	4	note that was outstanding at the end of 2019	
1	ed at just a moment ago?	5	from NexPoint to Highland other than whatever	
1	A. Yes. Are you talking about the	6	principal was still due and owing under the	
1	ond paragraph?	7	\$30 million note issued in 2017; correct?	
	Q. I'm actually talking about first	8	A. Well, it – I don't – there is	
1	agraph. Do you understand that the first	9	reference in the second paragraph. I don't –	
	agraph is a reference to the 2017 note, and	10	I don't – I don't recall what that is	
· ·	amounts that were the principal amount	11	referring to, so I don't – I don't know.	
1	t was outstanding as of the end of 2019?	12	Q. Well, if you listen carefully to my	
13	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	13	question, right, I'm asking about notes that	
1	John, do you mean the first paragraph of	14	were outstanding at the end of 2019, and if we	
1	that page?	15	look at the paragraph you just referred to, it	
16	MR. MORRIS: No, the first paragraph	16	says that during the year there were new notes	
1	under notes payable to Highland.	17	issued totaling \$1.5 million, but by the end of	
1	A. Yeah, I see the paragraph, and	18	the year, no principal or interest was	
1	in, this is what I answered earlier. I	19	outstanding on the notes.	
-	eve so, just because I don't – again, this	20	Do you see that?	
1	number in a balance sheet, and without	21	A. Oh, I do, yes.	
1	tching it up and seeing the detail with the	22	Q. So does that refresh your	
1	edule like I kind of talked about for	23	recollection that there were no notes	
1	hland's financial statements, it is a little	24	outstanding from NexPoint to Highland other	
-	nore difficult to tie everything in	25	than the principal remaining under the original	
1	Page 224 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 225
1.	million 2017 note that we looked at a	2	the record at 3:39 p.m.	
1	nent ago?	3	Q. All right. Mr. Waterhouse, we – I	
1	A. Well, we're at the bottom of the	4	don't think we have a lot more here.	
1	e. Is there anything on page 16?	5	To the best of your knowledge and	
	Q. That is a fair question, sure. That	6	recollection, were all affiliate loans and all	
7 is it.		-	loans made to Mr. Dondero recorded on	
1	A. Okay. So it appears that that is	8	Highland's books and records as assets of	
1	only note that is detailed in the notes in	9	Highland?	
1	financial statement.	10	MS. DANDENEAU: Object to the form,	
1	Q. And you don't have any memory of any	11	asked and answered.	
1	er note other than the 2017 note, right,	12	A. To my knowledge, yes.	
1	ng outstanding as of the end of the year?	13	Q. Okay. Can you recall any loan to	
1	A. I deal with thousands of	14	any affiliate or Mr. Dondero that was not	
1	nsactions every year. I don't really have a	15	recorded on Highland's books and records as an	
1	y specific memory for what exactly was	16	asset?	
1	standing.	17	A. Like during my time as CFO? I don't	
18	MR. MORRIS: Why don't we take a	18	recall.	
1	break now. We've been going for a little	19	Q. How about after the time that you	
1	while. It's 3:26. Let's come back at	20	were CFO? Did you recall that there was a loan	
	3:40.	20	by Highland to an affiliate or to Mr. Dondero	
21	VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the	21	that hadn't been previously recorded on	
1	record at 3:26 p.m.	22	Highland's books as an asset?	
1	(Recess taken 3:26 p.m. to 3:39 p.m.)	23 24	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	
24	VIDEOGRAPHER: We are going back on	24	A. I guess I don't understand the	
		20		

Page 2261WATERHOUSE - 10-19-212question. I left Highland as of – I'm not2is called operating results?3aware of – I left Highland in February –3A. Yeah, that's the title of it.4probably the last day of February of 2021.3A. Yeah, that's the title of it.5Q. Okay.6A. I'm not – I'm not aware of any –77I'm not aware of anything past that date.7A. No.8Q. Okay. While you were the CFO at8Q. Are you familiar with a document of9Highland, did Highland prepare in the ordinary9this type? And we can certainly look at the10course of business a document that reported10next page or two to refresh your recollection.11operating results on a monthly basis?11A. I'm just looking at the title. I12A. Yes.12don't neve any records or documents or emails14the monthly operating reports?14or appointments or anything that I was able to15A. Yeah. You are referring to the15use prior to – prior to this deposition, so16reports that we filed to the Court every month?16I'm doing the best I can.17Q. I apologize, I'm not. I'm taking17Q. Okay. You don't need to apologize.18you back to the pre-petition period. There was18I'm just asking you if you are familiar with	Page 227
3aware ofI left Highland in February3A. Yeah, that's the title of it.4probably the last day of February of 2021.4Q. Okay. And was a report of operating5Q. Okay.5results prepared by Highland on a monthly basis6A. I'm notI'm not aware of any6during the time that you served as CFO?7I'm not aware of anything past that date.7A. No.8Q. Okay. While you were the CFO at8Q. Are you familiar with a document of9Highland, did Highland prepare in the ordinary9this type? And we can certainly look at the10course of business a document that reported10next page or two to refresh your recollection.11operating results on a monthly basis?11A. I'm just looking at the title. I12A. Yes.12don't really again, as I discussed before, I13Q. And are you generally familiar with13don't have any records or documents or emails14the monthly operating reports?14or appointments or anything that I was able to15A. Yeah. You are referring to the15use prior to - prior to this deposition, so16reports that we filed to the Court every month?16I'm doing the best I can.17Q. I apologize, I'm not. I'm taking17Q. Okay. You don't need to apologize.	
4probably the last day of February of 2021.4Q. Okay. And was a report of operating5Q. Okay.5results prepared by Highland on a monthly basis6A. I'm not – I'm not aware of any –6during the time that you served as CFO?7I'm not aware of anything past that date.7A. No.8Q. Okay. While you were the CFO at8Q. Are you familiar with a document of9Highland, did Highland prepare in the ordinary9this type? And we can certainly look at the10course of business a document that reported10next page or two to refresh your recollection.11operating results on a monthly basis?11A. I'm just looking at the title. I12A. Yes.12don't have any records or documents or emails14the monthly operating reports?14or appointments or anything that I was able to15A. Yeah. You are referring to the15use prior to – prior to this deposition, so16reports that we filed to the Court every month?16I'm doing the best I can.17Q. I apologize, I'm not. I'm taking17Q. Okay. You don't need to apologize.	
5Q. Okay.5results prepared by Highland on a monthly basis6A. I'm not – I'm not aware of any –6during the time that you served as CFO?7I'm not aware of anything past that date.6during the time that you served as CFO?8Q. Okay. While you were the CFO at8Q. Are you familiar with a document of9Highland, did Highland prepare in the ordinary9this type? And we can certainly look at the10course of business a document that reported10next page or two to refresh your recollection.11operating results on a monthly basis?11A. I'm just looking at the title. I12A. Yes.12don't really – again, as I discussed before, I13Q. And are you generally familiar with13don't have any records or documents or emails14the monthly operating reports?14or appointments or anything that I was able to15A. Yeah. You are referring to the15use prior to – prior to this deposition, so16reports that we filed to the Court every month?16I'm doing the best I can.17Q. Iapologize, I'm not. I'm taking17Q. Okay. You don't need to apologize.	
 A. I'm not – I'm not aware of any – I'm not aware of anything past that date. Q. Okay. While you were the CFO at Highland, did Highland prepare in the ordinary course of business a document that reported operating results on a monthly basis? A. Yes. Q. And are you generally familiar with don't really – again, as I discussed before, I don't have any records or documents or emails or appointments or anything that I was able to A. Yeah. You are referring to the reports that we filed to the Court every month? Q. I apologize, I'm not. I'm taking A. Yeak. You don't need to apologize. 	
 A. I'm not – I'm not aware of any – I'm not aware of anything past that date. Q. Okay. While you were the CFO at Highland, did Highland prepare in the ordinary course of business a document that reported operating results on a monthly basis? A. Yes. Q. And are you generally familiar with don't really – again, as I discussed before, I don't have any records or documents or emails or appointments or anything that I was able to A. Yeah. You are referring to the reports that we filed to the Court every month? Q. I apologize, I'm not. I'm taking A. Yeak. You don't need to apologize. 	
 7 I'm not aware of anything past that date. 8 Q. Okay. While you were the CFO at 9 Highland, did Highland prepare in the ordinary 10 course of business a document that reported 11 operating results on a monthly basis? 12 A. Yes. 13 Q. And are you generally familiar with 14 the monthly operating reports? 15 A. Yeah. You are referring to the 16 reports that we filed to the Court every month? 17 Q. I apologize, I'm not. I'm taking 7 A. No. 8 Q. Are you familiar with a document of 9 this type? And we can certainly look at the 10 next page or two to refresh your recollection. 11 A. I'm just looking at the title. I 12 don't really – again, as I discussed before, I 13 don't have any records or documents or emails 14 or appointments or anything that I was able to 15 use prior to – prior to this deposition, so 16 I'm doing the best I can. 17 Q. I apologize, I'm not. I'm taking 17 Q. Okay. You don't need to apologize. 	
8Q.Okay. While you were the CFO at8Q.Are you familiar with a document of9Highland, did Highland prepare in the ordinary9this type? And we can certainly look at the10course of business a document that reported10next page or two to refresh your recollection.11operating results on a monthly basis?11A.I'm just looking at the title. I12A.Yes.12don't really – again, as I discussed before, I13Q.And are you generally familiar with13don't have any records or documents or emails14the monthly operating reports?14or appointments or anything that I was able to15A.Yeah. You are referring to the15use prior to – prior to this deposition, so16reports that we filed to the Court every month?17Q.Okay. You don't need to apologize.	
 9 Highland, did Highland prepare in the ordinary 10 course of business a document that reported 11 operating results on a monthly basis? 12 A. Yes. 13 Q. And are you generally familiar with 14 the monthly operating reports? 15 A. Yeah. You are referring to the 16 reports that we filed to the Court every month? 17 Q. I apologize, I'm not. I'm taking 9 this type? And we can certainly look at the 10 next page or two to refresh your recollection. 11 A. I'm just looking at the title. I 12 don't really – again, as I discussed before, I 13 don't have any records or documents or emails 14 or appointments or anything that I was able to 15 use prior to – prior to this deposition, so 16 I'm doing the best I can. 17 Q. Okay. You don't need to apologize. 	
10course of business a document that reported10next page or two to refresh your recollection.11operating results on a monthly basis?11A.I'm just looking at the title. I12A.Yes.12don't really – again, as I discussed before, I13Q.And are you generally familiar with13don't have any records or documents or emails14the monthly operating reports?14or appointments or anything that I was able to15A.Yeah. You are referring to the15use prior to – prior to this deposition, so16reports that we filed to the Court every month?16I'm doing the best I can.17Q.I apologize, I'm not. I'm taking17Q.Okay. You don't need to apologize.	
11operating results on a monthly basis?11A.I'm just looking at the title. I12A.Yes.12don't really – again, as I discussed before, I13Q.And are you generally familiar with13don't have any records or documents or emails14the monthly operating reports?14or appointments or anything that I was able to15A.Yeah.You are referring to the15use prior to – prior to this deposition, so16reports that we filed to the Court every month?16I'm doing the best I can.17Q.I apologize, I'm not. I'm taking17Q.Okay. You don't need to apologize.	
12A. Yes.12don't really – again, as I discussed before, I13Q. And are you generally familiar with13don't really – again, as I discussed before, I14the monthly operating reports?13don't have any records or documents or emails15A. Yeah. You are referring to the15use prior to – prior to this deposition, so16reports that we filed to the Court every month?16I'm doing the best I can.17Q. I apologize, I'm not. I'm taking17Q. Okay. You don't need to apologize.	
13Q. And are you generally familiar with13don't have any records or documents or emails14the monthly operating reports?14or appointments or anything that I was able to15A. Yeah. You are referring to the15use prior to - prior to this deposition, so16reports that we filed to the Court every month?16I'm doing the best I can.17Q. I apologize, I'm not. I'm taking17Q. Okay. You don't need to apologize.	
14 the monthly operating reports?14 or appointments or anything that I was able to15A. Yeah. You are referring to the15 use prior to prior to this deposition, so16 reports that we filed to the Court every month?16 I'm doing the best I can.17Q. I apologize, I'm not. I'm taking17Q. Okay. You don't need to apologize.	
15A. Yeah. You are referring to the15use prior to – prior to this deposition, so16reports that we filed to the Court every month?16I'm doing the best I can.17Q. I apologize, I'm not. I'm taking17Q. Okay. You don't need to apologize.	
16 reports that we filed to the Court every month?16 I'm doing the best I can.17 Q. I apologize, I'm not. I'm taking17 Q. Okay. You don't need to apologize.	-
17 Q. Lapologize, I'm not. I'm taking 17 Q. Okay. You don't need to apologize.	
19 a report that I have seen that I'm going to 19 the document called Operating Results that was	
20 show you, but I'm just asking for your 20 prepared on a monthly basis at Highland?	
21 knowledge. 21 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	
22 MR. MORRIS: Let's put it up on the 22 form.	
23 screen, Exhibit 39. 23 Q. If you're not, you're not.	
24 (Exhibit 39 marked.) 24 A. I don't believe this was prepared on	I
25 Q. Do you see this is a document that 25 a monthly basis.	I
Page 228 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 229
2 Q. Okay. Do you see that this one 2 report that Highland prepared that identified	I
3 is – is dated February 2018? 3 significant items impacting the balance sheet?	
4 A. Yes. 4 A. A report that was prepared.	I
5 Q. Do you have – do you believe – 5 Q. Let me ask a better question:	
6 have you ever seen a document that was 6 Highland prepare reports to the best of your	I
7 purporting to report operating results for 7 recollection that identified significant items	
8 Highland? 8 that impacted its balance sheet?	I
9 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 9 A. Well, so Highland prepared a – a	
10 A. Yes. 10 M. Yes.	
10 A. Test. 10 Informative dose package. And mayber million 11 Q. Okay. And when you say that you 11 getting – and – and maybe change names at one	
12 don't believe it was produced on a monthly	
12 unre of maybe influence of a montally 13 basis, was it produced on any periodic bases to 13 misremembering – but in that, yes, there is a	
13 basis, was it produced on any periodic bases to 14 the best of your recollection?	
14 the best of your reconection? 15 A. I believe it was – it was prepared 15 know, just changes month over month on the	
15 A. Tbelleve it was prepared 15 Know, just changes month over month of the 16 on an annual basis. 16 balance sheet.	
10 On an annual basis.10 Data ide since:17 Q. Okay.17 Q. Okay. And maybe it is my fault.	
17 Q. Okay. If Q. Okay. And maybe it is my fault. 18 MR. MORRIS: Can we look at the next 18 Maybe I didn't know the proper name for it.	
23And it is dated February 2018.23during the time that you served as CFO?24A. Yes.24MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	r i
24A. Yes.24MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.25Q. Do you recall that there was a25A. Yes.	

Casse 211-0330005-sogj Door 1/486-3 Frided 012/05/221 Entered 012/05/221 18/1/87.442 Praye 6338 of 1899

Page 230			Dege 021
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 231
2 Q. And did the monthly close package	2 v	were exceptions to the practice?	
3 that Highland prepared include information	3	A. The practice meaning that they	
4 concerning significant items that impacted	4 s	sometimes lured them to me?	
5 Highland's balance sheet?	5	Q. That that was the expectation even	
6 A. Yes, it had a page like that is	6 it	if circumstances prevented that from happening	
7 that is on the screen that detailed items	7 f	from time to time.	
8 like – of that nature.	8	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	
9 Q. And do you know who was there	9	form.	
10 anybody at Highland who was responsible for	10	A. I – I would say it started out that	
11 overseeing the preparation of the monthly	11	way but over the years it it was not	
12 reporting package?	12	enforced.	
13 A. That would have been – again, it	13	Q. Okay. So you were – you reviewed	
14 varies over time during my tenure as CFO.	14	and approved monthly – monthly reporting	
15 It – it varied over – over time, but – but	15	packages for a certain period of time and then	
16 typically a a corporate accounting manager.	16	over time you stopped doing that.	
17 Q. And who were the corporate	17	Do I have that right?	
18 accounting managers during your tenure as CFO?	18	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	
19 A. It would have been Dave Klos and	19	A. Yes, I mean, if you're talking about	
20 Kristin Hendrix.	20	a formal meeting where we sit down and go	
21 Q. And did the corporate accounting	21	through and approve it. I would say that was	
22 manager deliver to you drafts of the monthly	22	standard practice a decade you know, early	
23 close package before it was finalized?	23	on. And as time went on that that that	
24 A. Sometimes.	24	practice wasn't followed.	
25 Q. Was that the practice even if there	25	Q. Okay.	
Page 232			Page 233
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 233
1WATERHOUSE - 10-19-212A.And, quite frankly, I don't even	2 0	don't know because, again, I wasn't reviewing	Page 233
1WATERHOUSE - 10-19-212A.3And, quite frankly, I don't even3know if these were these were sent to me	2 c 3 t	don't know because, again, I wasn't reviewing them. I hadn't reviewed a close package for –	Page 233
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. And, quite frankly, I don't even know if these were – these were sent to me even in any capacity. 	2 c 3 t 4 f	don't know because, again, I wasn't reviewing them. I hadn't reviewed a close package for – for a long time. But I believe the standard	Page 233
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. And, quite frankly, I don't even know if these were – these were sent to me even in any capacity. Q. What was the purpose of preparing 	2 c 3 t 4 f	don't know because, again, I wasn't reviewing them. I hadn't reviewed a close package for – for a long time. But I believe the standard practice that was still being carried out.	Page 233
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. And, quite frankly, I don't even know if these were these were sent to me even in any capacity. Q. What was the purpose of preparing the monthly reporting package withdrawn. 	2 c 3 t 4 f 5 p 6	don't know because, again, I wasn't reviewing them. I hadn't reviewed a close package for – for a long time. But I believe the standard practice that was still being carried out. Q. Did you ever have any discussions	Page 233
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. And, quite frankly, I don't even know if these were – these were sent to me even in any capacity. Q. What was the purpose of preparing the monthly reporting package – withdrawn. What was the purpose of preparing 	2 c 3 t 4 f 5 p 6 7 v	don't know because, again, I wasn't reviewing them. I hadn't reviewed a close package for – for a long time. But I believe the standard practice that was still being carried out. Q. Did you ever have any discussions with the debtor's independent board concerning	Page 233
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. And, quite frankly, I don't even know if these were – these were sent to me even in any capacity. Q. What was the purpose of preparing the monthly reporting package – withdrawn. What was the purpose of preparing the monthly close package? 	2 c 3 t 4 f 5 p 6 7 v 8 a	don't know because, again, I wasn't reviewing them. I hadn't reviewed a close package for – for a long time. But I believe the standard practice that was still being carried out. Q. Did you ever have any discussions with the debtor's independent board concerning any promissory notes that were issued by any of	Page 233
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. And, quite frankly, I don't even know if these were – these were sent to me even in any capacity. Q. What was the purpose of preparing the monthly reporting package – withdrawn. What was the purpose of preparing 	2 c 3 t 4 f 5 p 6 7 v 8 a	don't know because, again, I wasn't reviewing them. I hadn't reviewed a close package for – for a long time. But I believe the standard practice that was still being carried out. Q. Did you ever have any discussions with the debtor's independent board concerning	Page 233
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. And, quite frankly, I don't even know if these were – these were sent to me even in any capacity. Q. What was the purpose of preparing the monthly reporting package – withdrawn. What was the purpose of preparing the monthly close package? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. 	2 c 3 t 4 f 5 r 6 7 v 8 a 9 t 10	don't know because, again, I wasn't reviewing them. I hadn't reviewed a close package for – for a long time. But I believe the standard practice that was still being carried out. Q. Did you ever have any discussions with the debtor's independent board concerning any promissory notes that were issued by any of the affiliates or Mr. Dondero? A. I can't – I can't – I can't recall	Page 233
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. And, quite frankly, I don't even know if these were – these were sent to me even in any capacity. Q. What was the purpose of preparing the monthly reporting package – withdrawn. What was the purpose of preparing the monthly close package? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. The – the original purpose was so 	2 c 3 t 4 f 5 r 6 7 v 8 a 9 t 10 11 s	don't know because, again, I wasn't reviewing them. I hadn't reviewed a close package for – for a long time. But I believe the standard practice that was still being carried out. Q. Did you ever have any discussions with the debtor's independent board concerning any promissory notes that were issued by any of the affiliates or Mr. Dondero? A. I can't – I can't – I can't recall specifically.	Page 233
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. And, quite frankly, I don't even know if these were these were sent to me even in any capacity. Q. What was the purpose of preparing the monthly reporting package withdrawn. What was the purpose of preparing the monthly close package? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. The the original purpose was so that it would just it would be a report that 	2 c 3 t 4 f 5 r 6 7 v 8 a 9 t 10 11 5 12	don't know because, again, I wasn't reviewing them. I hadn't reviewed a close package for – for a long time. But I believe the standard practice that was still being carried out. Q. Did you ever have any discussions with the debtor's independent board concerning any promissory notes that were issued by any of the affiliates or Mr. Dondero? A. I can't – I can't recall specifically. Q. Did you speak with the independent	Page 233
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. And, quite frankly, I don't even know if these were these were sent to me even in any capacity. Q. What was the purpose of preparing the monthly reporting package withdrawn. What was the purpose of preparing the monthly close package? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. The the original purpose was so that it would just it would be a report that was reviewed monthly with senior management. 	2 c 3 t 4 f 5 r 6 7 v 8 a 9 t 10 11 12 12 13	don't know because, again, I wasn't reviewing them. I hadn't reviewed a close package for – for a long time. But I believe the standard practice that was still being carried out. Q. Did you ever have any discussions with the debtor's independent board concerning any promissory notes that were issued by any of the affiliates or Mr. Dondero? A. I can't – I can't – I can't recall specifically. Q. Did you speak with the independent board from time to time?	Page 233
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. And, quite frankly, I don't even know if these were – these were sent to me even in any capacity. Q. What was the purpose of preparing the monthly reporting package – withdrawn. What was the purpose of preparing the monthly close package? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. The – the original purpose was so that it would just – it would be a report that was reviewed monthly with senior management. Q. Who was included in the idea of 	2 c 3 t 4 f 5 r 6 7 v 8 a 9 t 10 11 5 12 13 1 14	 don't know because, again, I wasn't reviewing them. I hadn't reviewed a close package for – for a long time. But I believe the standard practice that was still being carried out. Q. Did you ever have any discussions with the debtor's independent board concerning any promissory notes that were issued by any of the affiliates or Mr. Dondero? A. I can't – I can't – I can't recall specifically. Q. Did you speak with the independent board from time to time? A. Yes, from – from – from time to 	Page 233
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. And, quite frankly, I don't even know if these were these were sent to me even in any capacity. Q. What was the purpose of preparing the monthly reporting package withdrawn. What was the purpose of preparing the monthly close package? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. The the original purpose was so that it would just it would be a report that was reviewed monthly with senior management. Q. Who was included in the idea of senior management? 	2 c 3 t 4 f 5 r 6 7 v 8 a 9 t 10 11 5 12 13 1 14 15 f	 don't know because, again, I wasn't reviewing them. I hadn't reviewed a close package for – for a long time. But I believe the standard practice that was still being carried out. Q. Did you ever have any discussions with the debtor's independent board concerning any promissory notes that were issued by any of the affiliates or Mr. Dondero? A. I can't – I can't – I can't recall specifically. Q. Did you speak with the independent board from time to time? A. Yes, from – from – from time to time I had discussions with the independent 	Page 233
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. And, quite frankly, I don't even know if these were these were sent to me even in any capacity. Q. What was the purpose of preparing the monthly reporting package withdrawn. What was the purpose of preparing the monthly close package? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. The the original purpose was so that it would just it would be a report that was reviewed monthly with senior management. Q. Who was included in the idea of senior management? A. You know, I think originally when 	2 c 3 t 4 f 5 F 6 7 v 8 a 9 t 10 11 5 12 13 1 14 15 1 16 1	 don't know because, again, I wasn't reviewing them. I hadn't reviewed a close package for – for a long time. But I believe the standard practice that was still being carried out. Q. Did you ever have any discussions with the debtor's independent board concerning any promissory notes that were issued by any of the affiliates or Mr. Dondero? A. I can't – I can't – I can't recall specifically. Q. Did you speak with the independent board from time to time? A. Yes, from – from – from time to time I had discussions with the independent 	Page 233
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. And, quite frankly, I don't even know if these were – these were sent to me even in any capacity. Q. What was the purpose of preparing the monthly reporting package – withdrawn. What was the purpose of preparing the monthly close package? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. The – the original purpose was so that it would just – it would be a report that was reviewed monthly with senior management. Q. Who was included in the idea of senior management? A. You know, I think originally when this was conceived that would have been like 	2 c 3 t 4 f 5 r 6 7 v 8 a 9 t 10 11 5 12 13 14 15 1 16 17	don't know because, again, I wasn't reviewing them. I hadn't reviewed a close package for – for a long time. But I believe the standard practice that was still being carried out. Q. Did you ever have any discussions with the debtor's independent board concerning any promissory notes that were issued by any of the affiliates or Mr. Dondero? A. I can't – I can't – I can't recall specifically. Q. Did you speak with the independent board from time to time? A. Yes, from – from – from time to time I had discussions with the independent board members, you know, either – either, you know, by themselves or wholly, you know, as –	Page 233
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. And, quite frankly, I don't even know if these were – these were sent to me even in any capacity. Q. What was the purpose of preparing the monthly reporting package – withdrawn. What was the purpose of preparing the monthly close package? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. The – the original purpose was so that it would just – it would be a report that was reviewed monthly with senior management. Q. Who was included in the idea of senior management? A. You know, I think originally when this was conceived that would have been like Jim Dondero and Mark Okada. 	2 c 3 t 4 f 5 F 6 7 v 8 a 9 t 10 11 5 12 13 1 14 15 1 16 1 17 1 18 a	 don't know because, again, I wasn't reviewing them. I hadn't reviewed a close package for – for a long time. But I believe the standard practice that was still being carried out. Q. Did you ever have any discussions with the debtor's independent board concerning any promissory notes that were issued by any of the affiliates or Mr. Dondero? A. I can't – I can't – I can't recall specifically. Q. Did you speak with the independent board from time to time? A. Yes, from – from – from time to time I had discussions with the independent board members, you know, either – either, you know, by themselves or wholly, you know, as – as a – as a combined work. 	Page 233
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. And, quite frankly, I don't even know if these were these were sent to me even in any capacity. Q. What was the purpose of preparing the monthly reporting package withdrawn. What was the purpose of preparing the monthly close package? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. The the original purpose was so that it would just it would be a report that was reviewed monthly with senior management. Q. Who was included in the idea of senior management? A. You know, I think originally when this was conceived that would have been like Jim Dondero and Mark Okada. Q. Were monthly reporting withdrawn. 	2 c 3 t 4 f 5 r 6 7 v 8 a 9 t 10 11 5 12 13 1 14 15 1 16 1 17 1 18 5 19	 don't know because, again, I wasn't reviewing them. I hadn't reviewed a close package for – for a long time. But I believe the standard practice that was still being carried out. Q. Did you ever have any discussions with the debtor's independent board concerning any promissory notes that were issued by any of the affiliates or Mr. Dondero? A. I can't – I can't – I can't recall specifically. Q. Did you speak with the independent board from time to time? A. Yes, from – from – from time to time I had discussions with the independent board members, you know, either – either, you know, by themselves or wholly, you know, as – as a – as a combined work. Q. Okay. Before we talk about 	Page 233
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. And, quite frankly, I don't even know if these were – these were sent to me even in any capacity. Q. What was the purpose of preparing the monthly reporting package – withdrawn. What was the purpose of preparing the monthly close package? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. The – the original purpose was so that it would just – it would be a report that was reviewed monthly with senior management. Q. Who was included in the idea of senior management? A. You know, I think originally when this was conceived that would have been like Jim Dondero and Mark Okada. Q. Were monthly reporting – withdrawn. Were monthly close packages prepared 	2 c 3 t 4 f 5 r 6 7 v 8 a 9 t 10 11 5 12 13 14 15 1 16 17 18 19 20	don't know because, again, I wasn't reviewing them. I hadn't reviewed a close package for – for a long time. But I believe the standard practice that was still being carried out. Q. Did you ever have any discussions with the debtor's independent board concerning any promissory notes that were issued by any of the affiliates or Mr. Dondero? A. I can't – I can't – I can't recall specifically. Q. Did you speak with the independent board from time to time? A. Yes, from – from – from time to time I had discussions with the independent board members, you know, either – either, you know, by themselves or wholly, you know, as – as a – as a combined work. Q. Okay. Before we talk about Mr. Seery, do you recall ever having a	Page 233
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. And, quite frankly, I don't even know if these were – these were sent to me even in any capacity. Q. What was the purpose of preparing the monthly reporting package – withdrawn. What was the purpose of preparing the monthly close package? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. The – the original purpose was so that it would just – it would be a report that was reviewed monthly with senior management. Q. Who was included in the idea of senior management? A. You know, I think originally when this was conceived that would have been like Jim Dondero and Mark Okada. Q. Were monthly reporting – withdrawn. Were monthly close packages prepared to the best of your knowledge until the time 	2 c 3 t 4 f 5 F 6 7 v 8 a 9 t 10 11 s 12 13 14 15 f 16 17 18 19 20 21	 don't know because, again, I wasn't reviewing them. I hadn't reviewed a close package for – for a long time. But I believe the standard practice that was still being carried out. Q. Did you ever have any discussions with the debtor's independent board concerning any promissory notes that were issued by any of the affiliates or Mr. Dondero? A. I can't – I can't – I can't recall specifically. Q. Did you speak with the independent board from time to time? A. Yes, from – from – from time to time I had discussions with the independent board members, you know, either – either, you know, by themselves or wholly, you know, as – as a – as a combined work. Q. Okay. Before we talk about Mr. Seery, do you recall ever having a conversation with Mr. Nelms or Mr. Dubel 	Page 233
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. And, quite frankly, I don't even know if these were – these were sent to me even in any capacity. Q. What was the purpose of preparing the monthly reporting package – withdrawn. What was the purpose of preparing the monthly close package? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. The – the original purpose was so that it would just – it would be a report that was reviewed monthly with senior management. Q. Who was included in the idea of senior management? A. You know, I think originally when this was conceived that would have been like Jim Dondero and Mark Okada. Q. Were monthly reporting – withdrawn. Were monthly close packages prepared to the best of your knowledge until the time you left Highland? 	2 c 3 t 4 f 5 r 6 7 v 8 a 9 t 10 11 5 12 13 1 14 15 1 16 1 17 1 18 3 19 20 1 21 0 21 0	 don't know because, again, I wasn't reviewing them. I hadn't reviewed a close package for – for a long time. But I believe the standard practice that was still being carried out. Q. Did you ever have any discussions with the debtor's independent board concerning any promissory notes that were issued by any of the affiliates or Mr. Dondero? A. I can't – I can't – I can't recall specifically. Q. Did you speak with the independent board from time to time? A. Yes, from – from – from time to time I had discussions with the independent board members, you know, either – either, you know, by themselves or wholly, you know, as – as a – as a combined work. Q. Okay. Before we talk about Mr. Seery, do you recall ever having a conversation with Mr. Nelms or Mr. Dubel concerning any promissory note that was 	Page 233
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. And, quite frankly, I don't even know if these were – these were sent to me even in any capacity. Q. What was the purpose of preparing the monthly reporting package – withdrawn. What was the purpose of preparing the monthly close package? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. The – the original purpose was so that it would just – it would be a report that was reviewed monthly with senior management. Q. Who was included in the idea of senior management? A. You know, I think originally when this was conceived that would have been like Jim Dondero and Mark Okada. Q. Were monthly close packages prepared to the best of your knowledge until the time you left Highland? A. To my knowledge – I don't know, 	2 c 3 t 4 f 5 F 6 7 v 8 a 9 t 10 11 5 12 13 1 14 15 1 16 1 17 1 18 3 19 20 1 21 0 21 0 23 1	 don't know because, again, I wasn't reviewing them. I hadn't reviewed a close package for – for a long time. But I believe the standard practice that was still being carried out. Q. Did you ever have any discussions with the debtor's independent board concerning any promissory notes that were issued by any of the affiliates or Mr. Dondero? A. I can't – I can't – I can't recall specifically. Q. Did you speak with the independent board from time to time? A. Yes, from – from – from time to time I had discussions with the independent board members, you know, either – either, you know, by themselves or wholly, you know, as – as a – as a combined work. Q. Okay. Before we talk about Mr. Seery, do you recall ever having a conversation with Mr. Nelms or Mr. Dubel concerning any promissory note that was rendered by one of the affiliates or 	Page 233
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. And, quite frankly, I don't even know if these were – these were sent to me even in any capacity. Q. What was the purpose of preparing the monthly reporting package – withdrawn. What was the purpose of preparing the monthly close package? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. The – the original purpose was so that it would just – it would be a report that was reviewed monthly with senior management. Q. Who was included in the idea of senior management? A. You know, I think originally when this was conceived that would have been like Jim Dondero and Mark Okada. Q. Were monthly reporting – withdrawn. Were monthly close packages prepared to the best of your knowledge until the time you left Highland? A. To my knowledge – I don't know, actually. I mean, to my knowledge, I believe 	2 c 3 t 4 f 5 f 6 7 v 8 a 9 t 10 11 5 12 13 14 15 f 16 17 18 19 20 21 c 23 24	don't know because, again, I wasn't reviewing them. I hadn't reviewed a close package for – for a long time. But I believe the standard practice that was still being carried out. Q. Did you ever have any discussions with the debtor's independent board concerning any promissory notes that were issued by any of the affiliates or Mr. Dondero? A. I can't – I can't – I can't recall specifically. Q. Did you speak with the independent board from time to time? A. Yes, from – from – from time to time I had discussions with the independent board members, you know, either – either, you know, by themselves or wholly, you know, as – as a – as a combined work. Q. Okay. Before we talk about Mr. Seery, do you recall ever having a conversation with Mr. Nelms or Mr. Dubel concerning any promissory note that was rendered by one of the affiliates or Mr. Dondero to Highland?	Page 233
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. And, quite frankly, I don't even know if these were – these were sent to me even in any capacity. Q. What was the purpose of preparing the monthly reporting package – withdrawn. What was the purpose of preparing the monthly close package? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. The – the original purpose was so that it would just – it would be a report that was reviewed monthly with senior management. Q. Who was included in the idea of senior management? A. You know, I think originally when this was conceived that would have been like Jim Dondero and Mark Okada. Q. Were monthly close packages prepared to the best of your knowledge until the time you left Highland? A. To my knowledge – I don't know, 	2 c 3 t 4 f 5 F 6 7 v 8 a 9 t 10 11 5 12 13 1 14 15 1 16 1 17 1 18 3 19 20 1 21 0 21 0 23 1	 don't know because, again, I wasn't reviewing them. I hadn't reviewed a close package for – for a long time. But I believe the standard practice that was still being carried out. Q. Did you ever have any discussions with the debtor's independent board concerning any promissory notes that were issued by any of the affiliates or Mr. Dondero? A. I can't – I can't – I can't recall specifically. Q. Did you speak with the independent board from time to time? A. Yes, from – from – from time to time I had discussions with the independent board members, you know, either – either, you know, by themselves or wholly, you know, as – as a – as a combined work. Q. Okay. Before we talk about Mr. Seery, do you recall ever having a conversation with Mr. Nelms or Mr. Dubel concerning any promissory note that was rendered by one of the affiliates or 	Page 233

P 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 234 Page 235
2 specifically.	2 Q. Did you ever tell Mr. Seery that you
3 Q. Do you know if the topic was ever	3 had any reason to believe that the amounts
4 discussed, even if you don't remember it	4 reflected in the notes issued by the affiliates
5 specifically?	5 and Mr. Dondero were invalid for any reason?
6 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	6 A. I don't I don't recall.
7 A. $It - it - it$ may have. I don't	7 Q. Did you tell Mr. Dondero – did you
8 know. I don't recall.	
9 Q. Do you recall ever discussing any	
10 promissory note issued by any of the affiliates	10 that were outstanding as of the petition date
11 or Mr. Dondero with James Seery?	11 were assets of the estate?
12 A. I don't – I don't recall	12 A. I don't recall having a specific
13 specifically.	13 conversation about those – you know, those
14 Q. Do you recall generally ever	14 notes outstanding as – as of the petition date
15 discussing the topic of promissory notes issued	15 being assets on the estate. I mean, we put
16 by any of the affiliates or Mr. Dondero to	16 together – you know, they're in the books and
17 Highland with Mr. Seery?	17 records of the financial statements. I don't
18 A. Nothing – nothing is really jumping	18 recall having a specific conversation.
19 out at me.	19 Q. Did you ever prepare any documents
20 Q. Do you recall if you ever told	20 that were delivered to Mr. Seery that concerned
21 Mr. Seery that any of the affiliates or	21 the promissory notes issued by any of the
22 Mr. Dondero didn't have an obligation to pay	22 affiliates or Mr. Dondero?
23 all amounts due and owing under their notes?	23 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.
24 A. I don't recall having that	A. Did I produce any that concerned
25 conversation.	25 you mean did I just – did I give Mr. Seery
	Page 236 Page 237
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21
1WATERHOUSE - 10-19-212anything that that said I have concerns over	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 were filed with the bankruptcy court?
1WATERHOUSE - 10-19-212anything that that said I have concerns over3these notes?	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 were filed with the bankruptcy court? A. I didn't – I didn't prepare them
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 anything that that said I have concerns over these notes? Q. No. Let me try again. Maybe it was 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 were filed with the bankruptcy court? A. I didn't – I didn't prepare them personally.
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 anything that – that said I have concerns over these notes? Q. No. Let me try again. Maybe it was my question. 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 were filed with the bankruptcy court? A. I didn't - I didn't prepare them personally. Q. Did people prepare them under your
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 anything that – that said I have concerns over these notes? Q. No. Let me try again. Maybe it was my question. Did you ever give Mr. Seery any 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 were filed with the bankruptcy court? A. I didn't I didn't prepare them personally. Q. Did people prepare them under your direction?
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 anything that – that said I have concerns over these notes? Q. No. Let me try again. Maybe it was my question. Did you ever give Mr. Seery any information concerning any of the notes that 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 were filed with the bankruptcy court? A. I didn't – I didn't prepare them personally. Q. Did people prepare them under your direction? A. Yes. There were members of the team
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 anything that – that said I have concerns over these notes? Q. No. Let me try again. Maybe it was my question. Did you ever give Mr. Seery any information concerning any of the notes that were issued by any of the affiliates or 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 were filed with the bankruptcy court? A. I didn't – I didn't prepare them personally. Q. Did people prepare them under your direction? A. Yes. There were members of the team that prepared them, and they worked in – you
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 anything that – that said I have concerns over these notes? Q. No. Let me try again. Maybe it was my question. Did you ever give Mr. Seery any information concerning any of the notes that were issued by any of the affiliates or Mr. Dondero? 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 were filed with the bankruptcy court? A. I didn't I didn't prepare them personally. Q. Did people prepare them under your direction? A. Yes. There were members of the team that prepared them, and they worked in you know, there were members of DSI that were
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 anything that – that said I have concerns over these notes? Q. No. Let me try again. Maybe it was my question. Did you ever give Mr. Seery any information concerning any of the notes that were issued by any of the affiliates or Mr. Dondero? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 were filed with the bankruptcy court? A. I didn't – I didn't prepare them personally. Q. Did people prepare them under your direction? A. Yes. There were members of the team that prepared them, and they worked in – you know, there were members of DSI that were involved in the process as well.
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 anything that – that said I have concerns over these notes? Q. No. Let me try again. Maybe it was my question. Did you ever give Mr. Seery any information concerning any of the notes that were issued by any of the affiliates or Mr. Dondero? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I don't recall if I did or not. I 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 were filed with the bankruptcy court? A. I didn't – I didn't prepare them personally. Q. Did people prepare them under your direction? A. Yes. There were members of the team that prepared them, and they worked in – you know, there were members of DSI that were involved in the process as well. Q. To the best of your knowledge, did
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 anything that – that said I have concerns over these notes? Q. No. Let me try again. Maybe it was my question. Did you ever give Mr. Seery any information concerning any of the notes that were issued by any of the affiliates or Mr. Dondero? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I don't recall if I did or not. I don't – I don't remember. I mean, you have my 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 were filed with the bankruptcy court? A. I didn't I didn't prepare them personally. Q. Did people prepare them under your direction? A. Yes. There were members of the team that prepared them, and they worked in you know, there were members of DSI that were involved in the process as well. Q. To the best of your knowledge, did DSI rely on the employees of Highland for the
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 anything that – that said I have concerns over these notes? Q. No. Let me try again. Maybe it was my question. Did you ever give Mr. Seery any information concerning any of the notes that were issued by any of the affiliates or Mr. Dondero? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I don't recall if I did or not. I don't – I don't remember. I mean, you have my emails. You may have asked. Again, I don't – 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 were filed with the bankruptcy court? A. I didn't – I didn't prepare them personally. Q. Did people prepare them under your direction? A. Yes. There were members of the team that prepared them, and they worked in – you know, there were members of DSI that were involved in the process as well. Q. To the best of your knowledge, did DSI rely on the employees of Highland for the information that they used to prepare the
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 anything that – that said I have concerns over these notes? Q. No. Let me try again. Maybe it was my question. Did you ever give Mr. Seery any information concerning any of the notes that were issued by any of the affiliates or Mr. Dondero? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I don't recall if I did or not. I don't – I don't remember. I mean, you have my emails. You may have asked. Again, I don't – I don't know. 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 were filed with the bankruptcy court? A. I didn't – I didn't prepare them personally. Q. Did people prepare them under your direction? A. Yes. There were members of the team that prepared them, and they worked in – you know, there were members of DSI that were involved in the process as well. Q. To the best of your knowledge, did DSI rely on the employees of Highland for the information that they used to prepare the bankruptcy filings?
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 anything that – that said I have concerns over these notes? Q. No. Let me try again. Maybe it was my question. Did you ever give Mr. Seery any information concerning any of the notes that were issued by any of the affiliates or Mr. Dondero? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I don't recall if I did or not. I don't – I don't remember. I mean, you have my emails. You may have asked. Again, I don't – I don't know. MR. MORRIS: Can we put up the 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 were filed with the bankruptcy court? A. I didn't I didn't prepare them personally. Q. Did people prepare them under your direction? A. Yes. There were members of the team that prepared them, and they worked in you know, there were members of DSI that were involved in the process as well. Q. To the best of your knowledge, did DSI rely on the employees of Highland for the information that they used to prepare the bankruptcy filings? A. Yes. The books and records were
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 anything that – that said I have concerns over these notes? Q. No. Let me try again. Maybe it was my question. Did you ever give Mr. Seery any information concerning any of the notes that were issued by any of the affiliates or Mr. Dondero? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I don't recall if I did or not. I don't – I don't remember. I mean, you have my emails. You may have asked. Again, I don't – I don't know. MR. MORRIS: Can we put up the document that has been premarked as Exhibit 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 were filed with the bankruptcy court? A. I didn't – I didn't prepare them personally. Q. Did people prepare them under your direction? A. Yes. There were members of the team that prepared them, and they worked in – you know, there were members of DSI that were involved in the process as well. Q. To the best of your knowledge, did DSI rely on the employees of Highland for the information that they used to prepare the bankruptcy filings? A. Yes. The books and records were with the Highland personnel.
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 anything that – that said I have concerns over these notes? Q. No. Let me try again. Maybe it was my question. Did you ever give Mr. Seery any information concerning any of the notes that were issued by any of the affiliates or Mr. Dondero? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I don't recall if I did or not. I don't – I don't remember. I mean, you have my emails. You may have asked. Again, I don't – I don't know. MR. MORRIS: Can we put up the document that has been premarked as Exhibit 39? 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 were filed with the bankruptcy court? A. I didn't – I didn't prepare them personally. Q. Did people prepare them under your direction? A. Yes. There were members of the team that prepared them, and they worked in – you know, there were members of DSI that were involved in the process as well. Q. To the best of your knowledge, did DSI rely on the employees of Highland for the information that they used to prepare the bankruptcy filings? A. Yes. The books and records were with the Highland personnel. Q. Okay. And do you see on the screen
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 anything that – that said I have concerns over these notes? Q. No. Let me try again. Maybe it was my question. Did you ever give Mr. Seery any information concerning any of the notes that were issued by any of the affiliates or Mr. Dondero? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I don't recall if I did or not. I don't – I don't remember. I mean, you have my emails. You may have asked. Again, I don't – I don't know. MR. MORRIS: Can we put up the document that has been premarked as Exhibit 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 were filed with the bankruptcy court? A. I didn't – I didn't prepare them personally. Q. Did people prepare them under your direction? A. Yes. There were members of the team that prepared them, and they worked in – you know, there were members of DSI that were involved in the process as well. Q. To the best of your knowledge, did DSI rely on the employees of Highland for the information that they used to prepare the bankruptcy filings? A. Yes. The books and records were with the Highland personnel.
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 anything that – that said I have concerns over these notes? Q. No. Let me try again. Maybe it was my question. Did you ever give Mr. Seery any information concerning any of the notes that were issued by any of the affiliates or Mr. Dondero? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I don't recall if I did or not. I don't – I don't remember. I mean, you have my emails. You may have asked. Again, I don't – I don't know. MR. MORRIS: Can we put up the document that has been premarked as Exhibit 39? MS. DANDENEAU: John, that is this document, isn't it? 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 were filed with the bankruptcy court? A. I didn't – I didn't prepare them personally. Q. Did people prepare them under your direction? A. Yes. There were members of the team that prepared them, and they worked in – you know, there were members of DSI that were involved in the process as well. Q. To the best of your knowledge, did DSI rely on the employees of Highland for the information that they used to prepare the bankruptcy filings? A. Yes. The books and records were with the Highland personnel. Q. Okay. And do you see on the screen here, there is a document that we have marked a Exhibit 40 that is – that is titled Summary
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 anything that that said I have concerns over these notes? Q. No. Let me try again. Maybe it was my question. Did you ever give Mr. Seery any information concerning any of the notes that were issued by any of the affiliates or Mr. Dondero? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I don't recall if I did or not. I don't I don't remember. I mean, you have my emails. You may have asked. Again, I don't I don't know. MR. MORRIS: Can we put up the document that has been premarked as Exhibit 39? MS. DANDENEAU: John, that is this document, isn't it? MR. MORRIS: Oh, yeah, it might be, 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 were filed with the bankruptcy court? A. I didn't – I didn't prepare them personally. Q. Did people prepare them under your direction? A. Yes. There were members of the team that prepared them, and they worked in – you know, there were members of DSI that were involved in the process as well. Q. To the best of your knowledge, did DSI rely on the employees of Highland for the information that they used to prepare the bankruptcy filings? A. Yes. The books and records were with the Highland personnel. Q. Okay. And do you see on the screen here, there is a document that we have marked as Exhibit 40 that is – that is titled Summary of Assets and Liabilities?
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 anything that – that said I have concerns over 3 these notes? 4 Q. No. Let me try again. Maybe it was 5 my question. 6 Did you ever give Mr. Seery any 7 information concerning any of the notes that 8 were issued by any of the affiliates or 9 Mr. Dondero? 10 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 11 A. I don't recall if I did or not. I 12 don't – I don't remember. I mean, you have my 13 emails. You may have asked. Again, I don't – 14 I don't know. 15 MR. MORRIS: Can we put up the 16 document that has been premarked as Exhibit 17 39? 18 MS. DANDENEAU: John, that is this 19 document, isn't it? 20 MR. MORRIS: Oh, yeah, it might be, 21 as a matter of fact. Let's go to Number	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 were filed with the bankruptcy court? A. I didn't – I didn't prepare them personally. Q. Did people prepare them under your direction? A. Yes. There were members of the team that prepared them, and they worked in – you know, there were members of DSI that were involved in the process as well. Q. To the best of your knowledge, did DSI rely on the employees of Highland for the information that they used to prepare the bankruptcy filings? A. Yes. The books and records were with the Highland personnel. Q. Okay. And do you see on the screen here, there is a document that we have marked as Exhibit 40 that is – that is titled Summary of Assets and Liabilities? A. Uh-huh.
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 anything that that said I have concerns over these notes? Q. No. Let me try again. Maybe it was my question. Did you ever give Mr. Seery any information concerning any of the notes that were issued by any of the affiliates or Mr. Dondero? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I don't recall if I did or not. I don't I don't remember. I mean, you have my emails. You may have asked. Again, I don't I don't know. MR. MORRIS: Can we put up the document that has been premarked as Exhibit 39? MS. DANDENEAU: John, that is this document, isn't it? MR. MORRIS: Oh, yeah, it might be, 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 were filed with the bankruptcy court? A. I didn't – I didn't prepare them personally. Q. Did people prepare them under your direction? A. Yes. There were members of the team that prepared them, and they worked in – you know, there were members of DSI that were involved in the process as well. Q. To the best of your knowledge, did DSI rely on the employees of Highland for the information that they used to prepare the bankruptcy filings? A. Yes. The books and records were with the Highland personnel. Q. Okay. And do you see on the screen here, there is a document that we have marked as Exhibit 40 that is – that is titled Summary of Assets and Liabilities?
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 anything that – that said I have concerns over these notes? Q. No. Let me try again. Maybe it was my question. Did you ever give Mr. Seery any information concerning any of the notes that were issued by any of the affiliates or Mr. Dondero? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I don't recall if I did or not. I don't – I don't remember. I mean, you have my emails. You may have asked. Again, I don't – I don't know. MR. MORRIS: Can we put up the document that has been premarked as Exhibit 39? MS. DANDENEAU: John, that is this document, isn't it? MR. MORRIS: Oh, yeah, it might be, as a matter of fact. Let's go to Number 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 were filed with the bankruptcy court? A. I didn't – I didn't prepare them personally. Q. Did people prepare them under your direction? A. Yes. There were members of the team that prepared them, and they worked in – you know, there were members of DSI that were involved in the process as well. Q. To the best of your knowledge, did DSI rely on the employees of Highland for the information that they used to prepare the bankruptcy filings? A. Yes. The books and records were with the Highland personnel. Q. Okay. And do you see on the screen here, there is a document that we have marked as Exhibit 40 that is – that is titled Summary of Assets and Liabilities? A. Uh-huh. Q. Okay. And do you recall reviewing any summary of assets and liabilities before it
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 anything that – that said I have concerns over these notes? Q. No. Let me try again. Maybe it was my question. Did you ever give Mr. Seery any information concerning any of the notes that were issued by any of the affiliates or Mr. Dondero? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I don't recall if I did or not. I don't – I don't remember. I mean, you have my emails. You may have asked. Again, I don't – I don't know. MR. MORRIS: Can we put up the document that has been premarked as Exhibit 39? MS. DANDENEAU: John, that is this document, isn't it? MR. MORRIS: Oh, yeah, it might be, as a matter of fact. Let's go to Number 40. Q. During the bankruptcy, 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 were filed with the bankruptcy court? A. I didn't – I didn't prepare them personally. Q. Did people prepare them under your direction? A. Yes. There were members of the team that prepared them, and they worked in – you know, there were members of DSI that were involved in the process as well. Q. To the best of your knowledge, did DSI rely on the employees of Highland for the information that they used to prepare the bankruptcy filings? A. Yes. The books and records were with the Highland personnel. Q. Okay. And do you see on the screen here, there is a document that we have marked as Exhibit 40 that is – that is titled Summary of Assets and Liabilities? A. Uh-huh. Q. Okay. And do you recall reviewing any summary of assets and liabilities before it was filed with the bankruptcy court?
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 anything that – that said I have concerns over these notes? Q. No. Let me try again. Maybe it was my question. Did you ever give Mr. Seery any information concerning any of the notes that were issued by any of the affiliates or Mr. Dondero? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I don't recall if I did or not. I don't – I don't remember. I mean, you have my emails. You may have asked. Again, I don't – I don't know. MR. MORRIS: Can we put up the document that has been premarked as Exhibit 39? MS. DANDENEAU: John, that is this document, isn't it? MR. MORRIS: Oh, yeah, it might be, as a matter of fact. Let's go to Number (Exhibit 40 marked.) 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 were filed with the bankruptcy court? A. I didn't – I didn't prepare them personally. Q. Did people prepare them under your direction? A. Yes. There were members of the team that prepared them, and they worked in – you know, there were members of DSI that were involved in the process as well. Q. To the best of your knowledge, did DSI rely on the employees of Highland for the information that they used to prepare the bankruptcy filings? A. Yes. The books and records were with the Highland personnel. Q. Okay. And do you see on the screen here, there is a document that we have marked as Exhibit 40 that is – that is titled Summary of Assets and Liabilities? A. Uh-huh. Q. Okay. And do you recall reviewing any summary of assets and liabilities before it

Derre 000		Da
Page 238	1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 239
2 high level.	2 Mr. Dondero, among others; is that right?	
3 Q. And did you believe that it was	3 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	
4 accurate at the time it was filed?	4 A. Yes. The affiliate notes and the	
5 A. I didn't have any other reason to	5 Dondero notes were in this amount, but they	
6 believe otherwise.	6 weren't – again, like you said, and among	
7 Q. Okay. Do you see that the total	7 others.	
8 value of all properties listed in Part 1 is	8 Q. Okay. We will look at the	
9 approximately \$410 million?	9 specificity because I'm not playing gaming	
10 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection to	10 here, but do you know if the \$150 million of	
11 form.	11 notes receivable was included within the	
12 A. Yes, it is in 1c.	12 \$410 million of total value of the debtor's	
13 Q. Yes.	13 assets?	
14 A. Yes, I see that.	14 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	
15 Q. Okay. If we go to the second page,	15 A. $ - - $ believe so.	
16 now I think I may just have excerpts here, just	16 Q. Right. And so is it fair to say	
17 so everybody is clear, but if we scroll down to	17 that as of the date this document was prepared,	
18 the second page, you will see that there is	18 the notes receivable were more than one-third	
19 a – a little further. There you go. You will	19 of the value of the debtor's assets?	
20 see there is a reference to Item 71, notes	20 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	
21 receivable.	21 form.	
22 Do you see that?	22 MS. DANDENEAU: Object to the form.	
23 A. I do.	23 A. Again, if you are just taking the	
24 Q. And that was a reference to the	24 math, 150 divided by whatever the \$400 million	
25 notes receivable from the affiliates and	24 math, 150 divided by whatever the \$400 million25 number is above, then yes, you get there.	
Page 240		Page 241
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 241
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 Q. Okay.	2 Highland?	Page 241
1WATERHOUSE - 10-19-212Q.3A.You know, but as of the time of this	2 Highland?3 A. Yes, we did do impairment analysis	Page 241
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. Okay. A. You know, but as of the time of this filing, that is what was put in this filing, 	 2 Highland? 3 A. Yes, we did do impairment analysis 4 on – on assets. 	Page 241
1WATERHOUSE - 10-19-212Q.3A.You know, but as of the time of this4filing, that is what was put in this filing,5right, but, you know, I mean, numbers	 Highland? A. Yes, we did do impairment analysis on – on assets. Q. Okay. Did you ever do an impairment 	Page 241
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 Q. Okay. 3 A. You know, but as of the time of this 4 filing, that is what was put in this filing, 5 right, but, you know, I mean, numbers 6 numbers change, facts and circumstances change.	 2 Highland? 3 A. Yes, we did do impairment analysis 4 on - on assets. 5 Q. Okay. Did you ever do an impairment 6 analysis on any of the promissory notes that 	Page 241
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. Okay. A. You know, but as of the time of this filing, that is what was put in this filing, right, but, you know, I mean, numbers numbers change, facts and circumstances change. Q. But as the CFO of Highland, the 	 2 Highland? 3 A. Yes, we did do impairment analysis 4 on - on assets. 5 Q. Okay. Did you ever do an impairment 6 analysis on any of the promissory notes that 7 were given to Highland by any of the affiliates 	Page 241
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. Okay. A. You know, but as of the time of this filing, that is what was put in this filing, right, but, you know, I mean, numbers numbers change, facts and circumstances change. Q. But as the CFO of Highland, the debtor in bankruptcy, did you believe that this 	 2 Highland? 3 A. Yes, we did do impairment analysis 4 on - on assets. 5 Q. Okay. Did you ever do an impairment 6 analysis on any of the promissory notes that 7 were given to Highland by any of the affiliates 8 or Mr. Dondero? 	Page 241
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 Q. Okay. 3 A. You know, but as of the time of this 4 filing, that is what was put in this filing, 5 right, but, you know, I mean, numbers 6 numbers change, facts and circumstances change. 7 Q. But as the CFO of Highland, the 8 debtor in bankruptcy, did you believe that this 9 number accurately reflected the total amount	 2 Highland? 3 A. Yes, we did do impairment analysis 4 on - on assets. 5 Q. Okay. Did you ever do an impairment 6 analysis on any of the promissory notes that 7 were given to Highland by any of the affiliates 8 or Mr. Dondero? 9 A. Not that I recall. 	Page 241
1WATERHOUSE - 10-19-212Q. Okay.3A. You know, but as of the time of this4filing, that is what was put in this filing,5right, but, you know, I mean, numbers6numbers change, facts and circumstances change.7Q. But as the CFO of Highland, the8debtor in bankruptcy, did you believe that this9number accurately reflected the total amount10due under the notes receivable?	 2 Highland? 3 A. Yes, we did do impairment analysis 4 on - on assets. 5 Q. Okay. Did you ever do an impairment 6 analysis on any of the promissory notes that 7 were given to Highland by any of the affiliates 8 or Mr. Dondero? 9 A. Not that I recall. 10 Q. Under what circumstances do you 	Page 241
1WATERHOUSE - 10-19-212Q. Okay.3A. You know, but as of the time of this4filing, that is what was put in this filing,5right, but, you know, I mean, numbers6numbers change, facts and circumstances change.7Q. But as the CFO of Highland, the8debtor in bankruptcy, did you believe that this9number accurately reflected the total amount10due under the notes receivable?11A. That is what we had in our books and	 2 Highland? 3 A. Yes, we did do impairment analysis 4 on - on assets. 5 Q. Okay. Did you ever do an impairment 6 analysis on any of the promissory notes that 7 were given to Highland by any of the affiliates 8 or Mr. Dondero? 9 A. Not that I recall. 10 Q. Under what circumstances do you 11 prepare impairment analyses? 	Page 241
1WATERHOUSE - 10-19-212Q. Okay.3A. You know, but as of the time of this4filing, that is what was put in this filing,5right, but, you know, I mean, numbers6numbers change, facts and circumstances change.7Q. But as the CFO of Highland, the8debtor in bankruptcy, did you believe that this9number accurately reflected the total amount10due under the notes receivable?11A. That is what we had in our books and12records.	 2 Highland? 3 A. Yes, we did do impairment analysis 4 on - on assets. 5 Q. Okay. Did you ever do an impairment 6 analysis on any of the promissory notes that 7 were given to Highland by any of the affiliates 8 or Mr. Dondero? 9 A. Not that I recall. 10 Q. Under what circumstances do you 11 prepare impairment analyses? 12 A. As - as - if you're preparing 	Page 241
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. Okay. A. You know, but as of the time of this filing, that is what was put in this filing, right, but, you know, I mean, numbers numbers change, facts and circumstances change. Q. But as the CFO of Highland, the debtor in bankruptcy, did you believe that this number accurately reflected the total amount due under the notes receivable? A. That is what we had in our books and records. Q. Okay. And did you believe as the 	 Highland? A. Yes, we did do impairment analysis on – on assets. Q. Okay. Did you ever do an impairment analysis on any of the promissory notes that were given to Highland by any of the affiliates or Mr. Dondero? A. Not that I recall. Q. Under what circumstances do you prepare impairment analyses? A. As – as – if you're preparing financials in accordance with GAAP, generally 	Page 241
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. Okay. A. You know, but as of the time of this filing, that is what was put in this filing, right, but, you know, I mean, numbers numbers change, facts and circumstances change. Q. But as the CFO of Highland, the debtor in bankruptcy, did you believe that this number accurately reflected the total amount due under the notes receivable? A. That is what we had in our books and records. Q. Okay. And did you believe as the CFO that the books and records accurately 	 Highland? A. Yes, we did do impairment analysis on - on assets. Q. Okay. Did you ever do an impairment analysis on any of the promissory notes that were given to Highland by any of the affiliates or Mr. Dondero? A. Not that I recall. Q. Under what circumstances do you prepare impairment analyses? A. As - as - if you're preparing financials in accordance with GAAP, generally accepted accounting principles, if you're 	Page 241
1WATERHOUSE - 10-19-212Q. Okay.3A. You know, but as of the time of this4filing, that is what was put in this filing,5right, but, you know, I mean, numbers6numbers change, facts and circumstances change.7Q. But as the CFO of Highland, the8debtor in bankruptcy, did you believe that this9number accurately reflected the total amount10due under the notes receivable?11A. That is what we had in our books and12records.13Q. Okay. And did you believe as the14CFO that the books and records accurately15reported the then value of the debtor's assets?	 Highland? A. Yes, we did do impairment analysis on - on assets. Q. Okay. Did you ever do an impairment analysis on any of the promissory notes that were given to Highland by any of the affiliates or Mr. Dondero? A. Not that I recall. Q. Under what circumstances do you prepare impairment analyses? A. As as if you're preparing financials in accordance with GAAP, generally accepted accounting principles, if you're preparing full GAAP financials, you should be 	Page 241
1WATERHOUSE - 10-19-212Q. Okay.3A. You know, but as of the time of this4filing, that is what was put in this filing,5right, but, you know, I mean, numbers6numbers change, facts and circumstances change.7Q. But as the CFO of Highland, the8debtor in bankruptcy, did you believe that this9number accurately reflected the total amount10due under the notes receivable?11A. That is what we had in our books and12records.13Q. Okay. And did you believe as the14CFO that the books and records accurately15reported the then value of the debtor's assets?16MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	 Highland? A. Yes, we did do impairment analysis on – on assets. Q. Okay. Did you ever do an impairment analysis on any of the promissory notes that were given to Highland by any of the affiliates or Mr. Dondero? A. Not that I recall. Q. Under what circumstances do you prepare impairment analyses? A. As – as – if you're preparing financials in accordance with GAAP, generally accepted accounting principles, if you're preparing full GAAP financials, you should be preparing – you should be undergoing on a 	Page 241
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 Q. Okay. 3 A. You know, but as of the time of this 4 filing, that is what was put in this filing, 5 right, but, you know, I mean, numbers 6 numbers change, facts and circumstances change. 7 Q. But as the CFO of Highland, the 8 debtor in bankruptcy, did you believe that this 9 number accurately reflected the total amount 10 due under the notes receivable? 11 A. That is what we had in our books and 12 records. 13 Q. Okay. And did you believe as the 14 CFO that the books and records accurately 15 reported the then value of the debtor's assets? 16 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 17 A. We didn't – as part of this filing,	 Highland? A. Yes, we did do impairment analysis on – on assets. Q. Okay. Did you ever do an impairment analysis on any of the promissory notes that were given to Highland by any of the affiliates or Mr. Dondero? A. Not that I recall. Q. Under what circumstances do you prepare impairment analyses? A. As – as – if you're preparing financials in accordance with GAAP, generally accepted accounting principles, if you're preparing full GAAP financials, you should be preparing – you should be undergoing on a periodic basis any fair market value 	Page 241
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. Okay. A. You know, but as of the time of this filing, that is what was put in this filing, right, but, you know, I mean, numbers numbers change, facts and circumstances change. Q. But as the CFO of Highland, the debtor in bankruptcy, did you believe that this number accurately reflected the total amount due under the notes receivable? A. That is what we had in our books and records. Q. Okay. And did you believe as the CFO that the books and records accurately reported the then value of the debtor's assets? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. We didn't - as part of this filing, there was no fair value measurement or 	 Highland? A. Yes, we did do impairment analysis on - on assets. Q. Okay. Did you ever do an impairment analysis on any of the promissory notes that were given to Highland by any of the affiliates or Mr. Dondero? A. Not that I recall. Q. Under what circumstances do you prepare impairment analyses? A. As as if you're preparing financials in accordance with GAAP, generally accepted accounting principles, if you're preparing full GAAP financials, you should be preparing - you should be undergoing on a periodic basis any fair market value adjustments to assets. 	Page 241
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. Okay. A. You know, but as of the time of this filing, that is what was put in this filing, right, but, you know, I mean, numbers numbers change, facts and circumstances change. Q. But as the CFO of Highland, the debtor in bankruptcy, did you believe that this number accurately reflected the total amount due under the notes receivable? A. That is what we had in our books and records. Q. Okay. And did you believe as the CFO that the books and records accurately reported the then value of the debtor's assets? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. We didn't - as part of this filing, there was no fair value measurement or anything. These were just accounting entries 	 Highland? A. Yes, we did do impairment analysis on – on assets. Q. Okay. Did you ever do an impairment analysis on any of the promissory notes that were given to Highland by any of the affiliates or Mr. Dondero? A. Not that I recall. Q. Under what circumstances do you prepare impairment analyses? A. As – as – if you're preparing financials in accordance with GAAP, generally accepted accounting principles, if you're preparing full GAAP financials, you should be preparing – you should be undergoing on a periodic basis any fair market value adjustments to assets. As I was instructed at the time of 	Page 241
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. Okay. A. You know, but as of the time of this filing, that is what was put in this filing, right, but, you know, I mean, numbers – numbers change, facts and circumstances change. Q. But as the CFO of Highland, the debtor in bankruptcy, did you believe that this number accurately reflected the total amount due under the notes receivable? A. That is what we had in our books and records. Q. Okay. And did you believe as the CFO that the books and records accurately reported the then value of the debtor's assets? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. We didn't – as part of this filing, there was no fair value measurement or anything. These were just accounting entries for the promissory notes. There is no analysis 	 Highland? A. Yes, we did do impairment analysis on – on assets. Q. Okay. Did you ever do an impairment analysis on any of the promissory notes that were given to Highland by any of the affiliates or Mr. Dondero? A. Not that I recall. Q. Under what circumstances do you prepare impairment analyses? A. As – as – if you're preparing financials in accordance with GAAP, generally accepted accounting principles, if you're preparing full GAAP financials, you should be preparing – you should be undergoing on a periodic basis any fair market value adjustments to assets. As I was instructed at the time of the petition date, we weren't producing GAAP 	Page 241
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. Okay. A. You know, but as of the time of this filing, that is what was put in this filing, right, but, you know, I mean, numbers numbers change, facts and circumstances change. Q. But as the CFO of Highland, the debtor in bankruptcy, did you believe that this number accurately reflected the total amount due under the notes receivable? A. That is what we had in our books and records. Q. Okay. And did you believe as the CFO that the books and records accurately reported the then value of the debtor's assets? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. We didn't – as part of this filing, there was no fair value measurement or anything. These were just accounting entries for impairment or fair market value adjustments 	 Highland? A. Yes, we did do impairment analysis on - on assets. Q. Okay. Did you ever do an impairment analysis on any of the promissory notes that were given to Highland by any of the affiliates or Mr. Dondero? A. Not that I recall. Q. Under what circumstances do you prepare impairment analyses? A. As - as - if you're preparing financials in accordance with GAAP, generally accepted accounting principles, if you're preparing full GAAP financials, you should be preparing - you should be undergoing on a periodic basis any fair market value adjustments to assets. As I was instructed at the time of the petition date, we weren't producing GAAP financials. So this wasn't something I was 	Page 241
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. Okay. A. You know, but as of the time of this filing, that is what was put in this filing, right, but, you know, I mean, numbers - numbers change, facts and circumstances change. Q. But as the CFO of Highland, the debtor in bankruptcy, did you believe that this number accurately reflected the total amount due under the notes receivable? A. That is what we had in our books and records. Q. Okay. And did you believe as the CFO that the books and records accurately reported the then value of the debtor's assets? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. We didn't - as part of this filing, there was no fair value measurement or anything. These were just accounting entries for impairment or fair market value adjustments or anything of that nature. This is purely 	 Highland? A. Yes, we did do impairment analysis on – on assets. Q. Okay. Did you ever do an impairment analysis on any of the promissory notes that were given to Highland by any of the affiliates or Mr. Dondero? A. Not that I recall. Q. Under what circumstances do you prepare impairment analyses? A. As – as – if you're preparing financials in accordance with GAAP, generally accepted accounting principles, if you're preparing full GAAP financials, you should be preparing – you should be undergoing on a periodic basis any fair market value adjustments to assets. As I was instructed at the time of the petition date, we weren't producing GAAP financials. So this wasn't something I was worried about nor concerned about. 	Page 241
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. Okay. A. You know, but as of the time of this filing, that is what was put in this filing, right, but, you know, I mean, numbers numbers change, facts and circumstances change. Q. But as the CFO of Highland, the debtor in bankruptcy, did you believe that this number accurately reflected the total amount due under the notes receivable? A. That is what we had in our books and records. Q. Okay. And did you believe as the CFO that the books and records accurately reported the then value of the debtor's assets? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. We didn't - as part of this filing, there was no fair value measurement or anything. These were just accounting entries for impairment or fair market value adjustments or anything of that nature. This is purely taking numbers and putting them in our form. 	 Highland? A. Yes, we did do impairment analysis on – on assets. Q. Okay. Did you ever do an impairment analysis on any of the promissory notes that were given to Highland by any of the affiliates or Mr. Dondero? A. Not that I recall. Q. Under what circumstances do you prepare impairment analyses? A. As – as – if you're preparing financials in accordance with GAAP, generally accepted accounting principles, if you're preparing full GAAP financials, you should be preparing – you should be undergoing on a periodic basis any fair market value adjustments to assets. As I was instructed at the time of the petition date, we weren't producing GAAP financials. So this wasn't something I was worried about nor concerned about. Q. Okay. Were NexPoint and HCMFA and 	Page 241
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. Okay. A. You know, but as of the time of this filing, that is what was put in this filing, right, but, you know, I mean, numbers – numbers change, facts and circumstances change. Q. But as the CFO of Highland, the debtor in bankruptcy, did you believe that this number accurately reflected the total amount due under the notes receivable? A. That is what we had in our books and records. Q. Okay. And did you believe as the CFO that the books and records accurately reported the then value of the debtor's assets? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. We didn't – as part of this filing, there was no fair value measurement or anything. These were just accounting entries for the promissory notes. There is no analysis for impairment or fair market value adjustments or anything of that nature. This is purely taking numbers and putting them in our form. Q. Did you do any impairment analysis 	 Highland? A. Yes, we did do impairment analysis on – on assets. Q. Okay. Did you ever do an impairment analysis on any of the promissory notes that were given to Highland by any of the affiliates or Mr. Dondero? A. Not that I recall. Q. Under what circumstances do you prepare impairment analyses? A. As – as – if you're preparing financials in accordance with GAAP, generally accepted accounting principles, if you're preparing full GAAP financials, you should be preparing – you should be undergoing on a periodic basis any fair market value adjustments to assets. As I was instructed at the time of the petition date, we weren't producing GAAP financials. So this wasn't something I was worried about nor concerned about. Q. Okay. Were NexPoint and HCMFA and Highland's audited financial statements 	Page 241
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. Okay. A. You know, but as of the time of this filing, that is what was put in this filing, right, but, you know, I mean, numbers numbers change, facts and circumstances change. Q. But as the CFO of Highland, the debtor in bankruptcy, did you believe that this number accurately reflected the total amount due under the notes receivable? A. That is what we had in our books and records. Q. Okay. And did you believe as the CFO that the books and records accurately reported the then value of the debtor's assets? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. We didn't - as part of this filing, there was no fair value measurement or anything. These were just accounting entries for impairment or fair market value adjustments or anything of that nature. This is purely taking numbers and putting them in our form. 	 Highland? A. Yes, we did do impairment analysis on – on assets. Q. Okay. Did you ever do an impairment analysis on any of the promissory notes that were given to Highland by any of the affiliates or Mr. Dondero? A. Not that I recall. Q. Under what circumstances do you prepare impairment analyses? A. As – as – if you're preparing financials in accordance with GAAP, generally accepted accounting principles, if you're preparing full GAAP financials, you should be preparing – you should be undergoing on a periodic basis any fair market value adjustments to assets. As I was instructed at the time of the petition date, we weren't producing GAAP financials. So this wasn't something I was worried about nor concerned about. Q. Okay. Were NexPoint and HCMFA and 	Page 241

D	
Page 242 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 2: 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21
2 A. The audited financials – yes,	2 A. Correct.
3 audited financial statements are prepared in	3 Q. Okay. To the best of your
4 accordance with GAAP.	4 knowledge, as Highland's CFO, did Highland ever
5 Q. Do you recall whether any of	5 make any fair market value adjustments to any
6 Highland or HCMFA or NexPoint ever made a fair	6 of the promissory notes that were carried on
7 market value adjustment to any of the notes	7 its balance sheet and that were issued by any
8 issued by any of the affiliates or Mr. Dondero	8 of the affiliates or Mr. Dondero?
9 to Highland?	9 A. I think I answered that question
10 A. I do not recall that happening, but	10 earlier. I don't recall doing that for any of
11 the – it is because under – under GAAP,	11 the those those notes. So it would have
12 the the treatment of liabilities is	12 included the audit for the – for the 2018
13 different than assets.	13 period.
14 Q. Okay. So then let's just focus on	14 Q. Okay.
15 Highland's audited financial statements.	15 MR. MORRIS: Can we go to the next
16 The last audited financial	16 page.
17 statements were for the period ending December	17 Q. Do you see this is a note a list of
18 31st, 2018; correct?	18 notes receivable? Do you see that?
19 A. That is my understanding.	19 A. Yes, I do.
20 Q. And you had – you had an obligation	20 Q. And do you see that this ties into
21 to disclose anything to PricewaterhouseCoopers	21 the page that we were just looking?
22 concerning any subsequent events between the	22 A. I'm sorry, can we go back to the
23 end of 2018 and June 3rd, 2019; correct?	23 prior page? I mean, it was at 150,331,222. It
24 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	24 was on the prior page. Next page. Yes, it
25 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Form.	25 agrees.
Page 244	Page 2
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 2- 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21
1WATERHOUSE - 10-19-212Q.Okay. So now let's look at that	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 involved in the decision to reserve the Hunter
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 Q. Okay. So now let's look at that 3 schedule. So this was the face amount of all	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 involved in the decision to reserve the Hunter Mountain Investment Trust note?
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 Q. Okay. So now let's look at that 3 schedule. So this was the face amount of all 4 of the promissory notes that Highland held at	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 involved in the decision to reserve the Hunter Mountain Investment Trust note? A. I was not.
1WATERHOUSE - 10-19-212Q.Okay. So now let's look at that3schedule. So this was the face amount of all4of the promissory notes that Highland held at5the time this document was filed with the	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 involved in the decision to reserve the Hunter Mountain Investment Trust note? A. I was not. Q. Do you know why Highland decided to
1WATERHOUSE - 10-19-212Q. Okay. So now let's look at that3schedule. So this was the face amount of all4of the promissory notes that Highland held at5the time this document was filed with the6bankruptcy court; right?	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 involved in the decision to reserve the Hunter Mountain Investment Trust note? A. I was not. Q. Do you know why Highland decided to reserve for the Hunter Mountain Investment
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. Okay. So now let's look at that schedule. So this was the face amount of all of the promissory notes that Highland held at the time this document was filed with the bankruptcy court; right? A. Yes. 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 involved in the decision to reserve the Hunter Mountain Investment Trust note? A. I was not. Q. Do you know why Highland decided to reserve for the Hunter Mountain Investment Trust note?
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. Okay. So now let's look at that schedule. So this was the face amount of all of the promissory notes that Highland held at the time this document was filed with the bankruptcy court; right? A. Yes. Q. There is a footnote there that says, 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 involved in the decision to reserve the Hunter Mountain Investment Trust note? A. I was not. Q. Do you know why Highland decided to reserve for the Hunter Mountain Investment Trust note? A. I don't know yet decision was made.
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. Okay. So now let's look at that schedule. So this was the face amount of all of the promissory notes that Highland held at the time this document was filed with the bankruptcy court; right? A. Yes. Q. There is a footnote there that says, doubtful or uncollectible accounts are 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 involved in the decision to reserve the Hunter Mountain Investment Trust note? A. I was not. Q. Do you know why Highland decided to reserve for the Hunter Mountain Investment Trust note? A. I don't know yet decision was made. I believe it was made by someone at DSI.
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. Okay. So now let's look at that schedule. So this was the face amount of all of the promissory notes that Highland held at the time this document was filed with the bankruptcy court; right? A. Yes. Q. There is a footnote there that says, doubtful or uncollectible accounts are evaluated at year-end. 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 involved in the decision to reserve the Hunter Mountain Investment Trust note? A. I was not. Q. Do you know why Highland decided to reserve for the Hunter Mountain Investment Trust note? A. I don't know yet decision was made. I believe it was made by someone at DSI. Q. Okay. I'm just asking if you know
1WATERHOUSE - 10-19-212Q. Okay. So now let's look at that3schedule. So this was the face amount of all4of the promissory notes that Highland held at5the time this document was filed with the6bankruptcy court; right?7A. Yes.8Q. There is a footnote there that says,9doubtful or uncollectible accounts are10evaluated at year-end.11Do you see that?	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 involved in the decision to reserve the Hunter Mountain Investment Trust note? A. I was not. Q. Do you know why Highland decided to reserve for the Hunter Mountain Investment Trust note? A. I don't know yet decision was made. I believe it was made by someone at DSI. Q. Okay. I'm just asking if you know why.
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 Q. Okay. So now let's look at that 3 schedule. So this was the face amount of all 4 of the promissory notes that Highland held at 5 the time this document was filed with the 6 bankruptcy court; right? 7 A. Yes. 8 Q. There is a footnote there that says, 9 doubtful or uncollectible accounts are 10 evaluated at year-end. 11 Do you see that? 12 A. I do.	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 involved in the decision to reserve the Hunter Mountain Investment Trust note? A. I was not. Q. Do you know why Highland decided to reserve for the Hunter Mountain Investment Trust note? A. I don't know yet decision was made. I believe it was made by someone at DSI. Q. Okay. I'm just asking if you know why. Did you ever ask anyone why they
1WATERHOUSE - 10-19-212Q. Okay. So now let's look at that3schedule. So this was the face amount of all4of the promissory notes that Highland held at5the time this document was filed with the6bankruptcy court; right?7A. Yes.8Q. There is a footnote there that says,9doubtful or uncollectible accounts are10evaluated at year-end.11Do you see that?12A. I do.13Q. Okay. And is it fair to say that as	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 involved in the decision to reserve the Hunter Mountain Investment Trust note? A. I was not. Q. Do you know why Highland decided to reserve for the Hunter Mountain Investment Trust note? A. I don't know yet decision was made. I believe it was made by someone at DSI. Q. Okay. I'm just asking if you know why. Did you ever ask anyone why they reserved for that particular note?
1WATERHOUSE - 10-19-212Q. Okay. So now let's look at that3schedule. So this was the face amount of all4of the promissory notes that Highland held at5the time this document was filed with the6bankruptcy court; right?7A. Yes.8Q. There is a footnote there that says,9doubtful or uncollectible accounts are10evaluated at year-end.11Do you see that?12A. I do.13Q. Okay. And is it fair to say that as14of the year-end 2018, the year before this,	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 involved in the decision to reserve the Hunter Mountain Investment Trust note? A. I was not. Q. Do you know why Highland decided to reserve for the Hunter Mountain Investment Trust note? A. I don't know yet decision was made. I believe it was made by someone at DSI. Q. Okay. I'm just asking if you know why. Did you ever ask anyone why they reserved for that particular note? A. I don't recall.
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 Q. Okay. So now let's look at that 3 schedule. So this was the face amount of all 4 of the promissory notes that Highland held at 5 the time this document was filed with the 6 bankruptcy court; right? 7 A. Yes. 8 Q. There is a footnote there that says, 9 doubtful or uncollectible accounts are 10 evaluated at year-end. 11 Do you see that? 12 A. I do. 13 Q. Okay. And is it fair to say that as 14 of the year-end 2018, the year before this, 15 that to the extent any of these notes were	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 involved in the decision to reserve the Hunter Mountain Investment Trust note? A. I was not. Q. Do you know why Highland decided to reserve for the Hunter Mountain Investment Trust note? A. I don't know yet decision was made. I believe it was made by someone at DSI. Q. Okay. I'm just asking if you know why. Did you ever ask anyone why they reserved for that particular note? A. I don't recall. Q. Do you know whether the debtor
1WATERHOUSE - 10-19-212Q. Okay. So now let's look at that3schedule. So this was the face amount of all4of the promissory notes that Highland held at5the time this document was filed with the6bankruptcy court; right?7A. Yes.8Q. There is a footnote there that says,9doubtful or uncollectible accounts are10evaluated at year-end.11Do you see that?12A. I do.13Q. Okay. And is it fair to say that as14of the year-end 2018, the year before this,15that to the extent any of these notes were16outstanding at that time, they weren't deemed	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 involved in the decision to reserve the Hunter Mountain Investment Trust note? A. I was not. Q. Do you know why Highland decided to reserve for the Hunter Mountain Investment Trust note? A. I don't know yet decision was made. I believe it was made by someone at DSI. Q. Okay. I'm just asking if you know why. Did you ever ask anyone why they reserved for that particular note? A. I don't recall. Q. Do you know whether the debtor reserved for any other note on this list during
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 Q. Okay. So now let's look at that 3 schedule. So this was the face amount of all 4 of the promissory notes that Highland held at 5 the time this document was filed with the 6 bankruptcy court; right? 7 A. Yes. 8 Q. There is a footnote there that says, 9 doubtful or uncollectible accounts are 10 evaluated at year-end. 11 Do you see that? 12 A. I do. 13 Q. Okay. And is it fair to say that as 14 of the year-end 2018, the year before this, 15 that to the extent any of these notes were 16 outstanding at that time, they weren't deemed 17 to be doubtful or uncollectible?	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 involved in the decision to reserve the Hunter Mountain Investment Trust note? A. I was not. Q. Do you know why Highland decided to reserve for the Hunter Mountain Investment Trust note? A. I don't know yet decision was made. I believe it was made by someone at DSI. Q. Okay. I'm just asking if you know why. Did you ever ask anyone why they reserved for that particular note? A. I don't recall. Q. Do you know whether the debtor reserved for any other note on this list during the bankruptcy?
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 Q. Okay. So now let's look at that 3 schedule. So this was the face amount of all 4 of the promissory notes that Highland held at 5 the time this document was filed with the 6 bankruptcy court; right? 7 A. Yes. 8 Q. There is a footnote there that says, 9 doubtful or uncollectible accounts are 10 evaluated at year-end. 11 Do you see that? 12 A. I do. 13 Q. Okay. And is it fair to say that as 14 of the year-end 2018, the year before this, 15 that to the extent any of these notes were 16 outstanding at that time, they weren't deemed 17 to be doubtful or uncollectible? 18 A. Yeah. For the 2018 audit, there	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 involved in the decision to reserve the Hunter Mountain Investment Trust note? A. I was not. Q. Do you know why Highland decided to reserve for the Hunter Mountain Investment Trust note? A. I don't know yet decision was made. I believe it was made by someone at DSI. Q. Okay. I'm just asking if you know why. Did you ever ask anyone why they reserved for that particular note? A. I don't recall. Q. Do you know whether the debtor reserved for any other note on this list during the bankruptcy? A. Again, I don't recall. I wasn't
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 Q. Okay. So now let's look at that 3 schedule. So this was the face amount of all 4 of the promissory notes that Highland held at 5 the time this document was filed with the 6 bankruptcy court; right? 7 A. Yes. 8 Q. There is a footnote there that says, 9 doubtful or uncollectible accounts are 10 evaluated at year-end. 11 Do you see that? 12 A. I do. 13 Q. Okay. And is it fair to say that as 14 of the year-end 2018, the year before this, 15 that to the extent any of these notes were 16 outstanding at that time, they weren't deemed 17 to be doubtful or uncollectible? 18 A. Yeah. For the 2018 audit, there 19 weren't any – there weren't any adjustments to	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 involved in the decision to reserve the Hunter Mountain Investment Trust note? A. I was not. Q. Do you know why Highland decided to reserve for the Hunter Mountain Investment Trust note? A. I don't know yet decision was made. I believe it was made by someone at DSI. Q. Okay. I'm just asking if you know why. Did you ever ask anyone why they reserved for that particular note? A. I don't recall. Q. Do you know whether the debtor reserved for any other note on this list during the bankruptcy? A. Again, I don't recall. I wasn't part of any process of again, like any fair
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 Q. Okay. So now let's look at that 3 schedule. So this was the face amount of all 4 of the promissory notes that Highland held at 5 the time this document was filed with the 6 bankruptcy court; right? 7 A. Yes. 8 Q. There is a footnote there that says, 9 doubtful or uncollectible accounts are 10 evaluated at year-end. 11 Do you see that? 12 A. I do. 13 Q. Okay. And is it fair to say that as 14 of the year-end 2018, the year before this, 15 that to the extent any of these notes were 16 outstanding at that time, they weren't deemed 17 to be doubtful or uncollectible? 18 A. Yeah. For the 2018 audit, there 19 weren't any – there weren't any adjustments to 20 fair value.	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 involved in the decision to reserve the Hunter Mountain Investment Trust note? A. I was not. Q. Do you know why Highland decided to reserve for the Hunter Mountain Investment Trust note? A. I don't know yet decision was made. I believe it was made by someone at DSI. Q. Okay. I'm just asking if you know why. Did you ever ask anyone why they reserved for that particular note? A. I don't recall. Q. Do you know whether the debtor reserved for any other note on this list during the bankruptcy? A. Again, I don't recall. I wasn't part of any process of – again, like any fair value adjustments or anything to that degree.
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 Q. Okay. So now let's look at that 3 schedule. So this was the face amount of all 4 of the promissory notes that Highland held at 5 the time this document was filed with the 6 bankruptcy court; right? 7 A. Yes. 8 Q. There is a footnote there that says, 9 doubtful or uncollectible accounts are 10 evaluated at year-end. 11 Do you see that? 12 A. I do. 13 Q. Okay. And is it fair to say that as 14 of the year-end 2018, the year before this, 15 that to the extent any of these notes were 16 outstanding at that time, they weren't deemed 17 to be doubtful or uncollectible? 18 A. Yeah. For the 2018 audit, there 19 weren't any – there weren't any adjustments to 20 fair value. 21 Q. Okay. And during the bankruptcy, do	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 involved in the decision to reserve the Hunter Mountain Investment Trust note? A. I was not. Q. Do you know why Highland decided to reserve for the Hunter Mountain Investment Trust note? A. I don't know yet decision was made. I believe it was made by someone at DSI. Q. Okay. I'm just asking if you know why. Did you ever ask anyone why they reserved for that particular note? A. I don't recall. Q. Do you know whether the debtor reserved for any other note on this list during the bankruptcy? A. Again, I don't recall. I wasn't part of any process of – again, like any fair value adjustments or anything to that degree. Like I said, a lot of that was done by DSI and
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 Q. Okay. So now let's look at that 3 schedule. So this was the face amount of all 4 of the promissory notes that Highland held at 5 the time this document was filed with the 6 bankruptcy court; right? 7 A. Yes. 8 Q. There is a footnote there that says, 9 doubtful or uncollectible accounts are 10 evaluated at year-end. 11 Do you see that? 12 A. I do. 13 Q. Okay. And is it fair to say that as 14 of the year-end 2018, the year before this, 15 that to the extent any of these notes were 16 outstanding at that time, they weren't deemed 17 to be doubtful or uncollectible? 18 A. Yeah. For the 2018 audit, there 19 weren't any – there weren't any adjustments to 20 fair value. 21 Q. Okay. And during the bankruptcy, do 22 you recall that Highland subsequently reserved	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 involved in the decision to reserve the Hunter Mountain Investment Trust note? A. I was not. Q. Do you know why Highland decided to reserve for the Hunter Mountain Investment Trust note? A. I don't know yet decision was made. I believe it was made by someone at DSI. Q. Okay. I'm just asking if you know why. Did you ever ask anyone why they reserved for that particular note? A. I don't recall. Q. Do you know whether the debtor reserved for any other note on this list during the bankruptcy? A. Again, I don't recall. I wasn't part of any process of – again, like any fair value adjustments or anything to that degree. Like I said, a lot of that was done by DSI and it was kind of out of our court.
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 Q. Okay. So now let's look at that 3 schedule. So this was the face amount of all 4 of the promissory notes that Highland held at 5 the time this document was filed with the 6 bankruptcy court; right? 7 A. Yes. 8 Q. There is a footnote there that says, 9 doubtful or uncollectible accounts are 10 evaluated at year-end. 11 Do you see that? 12 A. I do. 13 Q. Okay. And is it fair to say that as 14 of the year-end 2018, the year before this, 15 that to the extent any of these notes were 16 outstanding at that time, they weren't deemed 17 to be doubtful or uncollectible? 18 A. Yeah. For the 2018 audit, there 19 weren't any – there weren't any adjustments to 10 fair value. 21 Q. Okay. And during the bankruptcy, do 20 you recall that Highland subsequently reserved 23 for the Hunter Mountain Investment Trust note?	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 involved in the decision to reserve the Hunter Mountain Investment Trust note? A. I was not. Q. Do you know why Highland decided to reserve for the Hunter Mountain Investment Trust note? A. I don't know yet decision was made. I believe it was made by someone at DSI. Q. Okay. I'm just asking if you know why. Did you ever ask anyone why they reserved for that particular note? A. I don't recall. Q. Do you know whether the debtor reserved for any other note on this list during the bankruptcy? A. Again, I don't recall. I wasn't part of any process of – again, like any fair value adjustments or anything to that degree. Like I said, a lot of that was done by DSI and it was kind of out of our court. Q. Okay. Do you know if any note

1	Page 246 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 247
2	A. Idon't – Idon't have a	2	A. I don't recall. I mean, it may have	
3	recollection of every filing, so I don't know.	3	happened, you know, again, when we initially	
4	Q. Did you ever have a discussion with	4	getting DSI up to speed and going through	
5	anybody at any time about whether any of the	5	financials, it may have happened, but I don't	
6	notes receivable on this list should be deemed	6	recall specifically.	
	to be doubtful or uncollectible?	7	Q. While you were the CFO of Highland	
8	A. No. As I previously stated, we were		during the time that the company was in	
		8		
9	told we didn't have to keep GAAP financials.	9	bankruptcy, did you have any reason to believe	
	We weren't having you know, there is no	10	that any of the notes receivable on this list other than Hunter Mountain Investment Trust	
11	underlying audits being performed, so I mean,	11		
12	it wasn't something I worried about.	12	should have been characterized as doubtful or	
13	MR. MORRIS: I move to strike.	13	uncollectible?	
14	Q. Did you ever have a conversation	14	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	
15	with anybody about any of the notes receivable	15	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Form.	
16	and whether they should be deemed to be	16	A. I didn't know. I didn't form an	
17	doubtful or uncollectible? Did you have the	17	opinion. Bankruptcy was new to me. It still	
18	conversation, yes or no?	18	is new to me, even after going through this.	
19	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	19	So I really didn't know what to expect nor	
20	A. I don't recall.	20	really – you know, I didn't know.	
21	Q. Do you recall ever telling anybody	21	MR. MORRIS: I move to strike.	
22	that you believed any of the notes receivable	22	Q. During the period of Highland's	
23	on this list should be doubtful – should be	23	bankruptcy when you were serving as CFO, did	
24	deemed to be doubtful or uncollectible?	24	you have any reason to believe any of the notes	
25	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	25	on this list were doubtful or uncollectible?	
	Page 248			Page 249
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 249
2	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: This is like the	1 2	receivable on this list were doubtful or	Page 249
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21			Page 249
2	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: This is like the	2	receivable on this list were doubtful or	Page 249
2 3	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: This is like the fifth time you've asked it. Object to the form. MR. MORRIS: I'm moving to strike,	23	receivable on this list were doubtful or uncollectible? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form.	Page 249
2 3 4	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: This is like the fifth time you've asked it. Object to the form.	2 3 4	receivable on this list were doubtful or uncollectible? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	Page 249
2 3 4 5	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: This is like the fifth time you've asked it. Object to the form. MR. MORRIS: I'm moving to strike, if you haven't noticed, because he's not answering the question.	2 3 4 5	receivable on this list were doubtful or uncollectible? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form.	Page 249
2 3 4 5 6	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: This is like the fifth time you've asked it. Object to the form. MR. MORRIS: I'm moving to strike, if you haven't noticed, because he's not	2 3 4 5 6	receivable on this list were doubtful or uncollectible? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. Potentially.	Page 249
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: This is like the fifth time you've asked it. Object to the form. MR. MORRIS: I'm moving to strike, if you haven't noticed, because he's not answering the question.	2 3 4 5 6 7	receivable on this list were doubtful or uncollectible? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. Potentially. Q. Did you ever tell anybody that? A. As I just stated like five times, yes, we – at the beginning after filing and we	Page 249
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: This is like the fifth time you've asked it. Object to the form. MR. MORRIS: I'm moving to strike, if you haven't noticed, because he's not answering the question. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: He was answering the question, you just didn't like it, like the answer.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	receivable on this list were doubtful or uncollectible? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. Potentially. Q. Did you ever tell anybody that? A. As I just stated like five times, yes, we – at the beginning after filing and we were getting DSI and others up to speed, you	Page 249
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: This is like the fifth time you've asked it. Object to the form. MR. MORRIS: I'm moving to strike, if you haven't noticed, because he's not answering the question. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: He was answering the question, you just didn't like it, like	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	receivable on this list were doubtful or uncollectible? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. Potentially. Q. Did you ever tell anybody that? A. As I just stated like five times, yes, we – at the beginning after filing and we	Page 249
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: This is like the fifth time you've asked it. Object to the form. MR. MORRIS: I'm moving to strike, if you haven't noticed, because he's not answering the question. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: He was answering the question, you just didn't like it, like the answer.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	receivable on this list were doubtful or uncollectible? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. Potentially. Q. Did you ever tell anybody that? A. As I just stated like five times, yes, we – at the beginning after filing and we were getting DSI and others up to speed, you	Page 249
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: This is like the fifth time you've asked it. Object to the form. MR. MORRIS: I'm moving to strike, if you haven't noticed, because he's not answering the question. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: He was answering the question, you just didn't like it, like the answer. MR. MORRIS: Good Lord.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	receivable on this list were doubtful or uncollectible? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. Potentially. Q. Did you ever tell anybody that? A. As I just stated like five times, yes, we – at the beginning after filing and we were getting DSI and others up to speed, you know, we had a myriad of discussions of a lot	Page 249
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: This is like the fifth time you've asked it. Object to the form. MR. MORRIS: I'm moving to strike, if you haven't noticed, because he's not answering the question. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: He was answering the question, you just didn't like it, like the answer. MR. MORRIS: Good Lord. Q. Go ahead, Mr. Waterhouse.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	receivable on this list were doubtful or uncollectible? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. Potentially. Q. Did you ever tell anybody that? A. As I just stated like five times, yes, we – at the beginning after filing and we were getting DSI and others up to speed, you know, we had a myriad of discussions of a lot of things and this was likely one of them. I don't – but I don't recall specifically we	Page 249
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: This is like the fifth time you've asked it. Object to the form. MR. MORRIS: I'm moving to strike, if you haven't noticed, because he's not answering the question. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: He was answering the question, you just didn't like it, like the answer. MR. MORRIS: Good Lord. Q. Go ahead, Mr. Waterhouse. A. Again, I don't – we brought up a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	receivable on this list were doubtful or uncollectible? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. Potentially. Q. Did you ever tell anybody that? A. As I just stated like five times, yes, we – at the beginning after filing and we were getting DSI and others up to speed, you know, we had a myriad of discussions of a lot of things and this was likely one of them. I don't – but I don't recall specifically we	Page 249
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: This is like the fifth time you've asked it. Object to the form. MR. MORRIS: I'm moving to strike, if you haven't noticed, because he's not answering the question. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: He was answering the question, you just didn't like it, like the answer. MR. MORRIS: Good Lord. Q. Go ahead, Mr. Waterhouse. A. Again, I don't – we brought up a myriad of issues at the start of the bankruptcy	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	receivable on this list were doubtful or uncollectible? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. Potentially. Q. Did you ever tell anybody that? A. As I just stated like five times, yes, we – at the beginning after filing and we were getting DSI and others up to speed, you know, we had a myriad of discussions of a lot of things and this was likely one of them. I don't – but I don't recall specifically we talked – Q. I don't want to know – I don't want	Page 249
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: This is like the fifth time you've asked it. Object to the form. MR. MORRIS: I'm moving to strike, if you haven't noticed, because he's not answering the question. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: He was answering the question, you just didn't like it, like the answer. MR. MORRIS: Good Lord. Q. Go ahead, Mr. Waterhouse. A. Again, I don't – we brought up a myriad of issues at the start of the bankruptcy case. I don't recall if this was one of them,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	receivable on this list were doubtful or uncollectible? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. Potentially. Q. Did you ever tell anybody that? A. As I just stated like five times, yes, we – at the beginning after filing and we were getting DSI and others up to speed, you know, we had a myriad of discussions of a lot of things and this was likely one of them. I don't – but I don't recall specifically we talked – Q. I don't want to know – I don't want	Page 249
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: This is like the fifth time you've asked it. Object to the form. MR. MORRIS: I'm moving to strike, if you haven't noticed, because he's not answering the question. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: He was answering the question, you just didn't like it, like the answer. MR. MORRIS: Good Lord. Q. Go ahead, Mr. Waterhouse. A. Again, I don't – we brought up a myriad of issues at the start of the bankruptcy case. I don't recall if this was one of them, but, again, there are a lot of things we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	receivable on this list were doubtful or uncollectible? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. Potentially. Q. Did you ever tell anybody that? A. As I just stated like five times, yes, we – at the beginning after filing and we were getting DSI and others up to speed, you know, we had a myriad of discussions of a lot of things and this was likely one of them. I don't – but I don't recall specifically we talked – Q. I don't want to know – I don't want to know what was –	Page 249
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: This is like the fifth time you've asked it. Object to the form. MR. MORRIS: I'm moving to strike, if you haven't noticed, because he's not answering the question. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: He was answering the question, you just didn't like it, like the answer. MR. MORRIS: Good Lord. Q. Go ahead, Mr. Waterhouse. A. Again, I don't – we brought up a myriad of issues at the start of the bankruptcy case. I don't recall if this was one of them, but, again, there are a lot of things we couldn't change. Even, you know, I was told	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	receivable on this list were doubtful or uncollectible? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. Potentially. Q. Did you ever tell anybody that? A. As I just stated like five times, yes, we – at the beginning after filing and we were getting DSI and others up to speed, you know, we had a myriad of discussions of a lot of things and this was likely one of them. I don't – but I don't recall specifically we talked – Q. I don't want to know – I don't want to know what was – MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Wait, wait.	Page 249
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: This is like the fifth time you've asked it. Object to the form. MR. MORRIS: I'm moving to strike, if you haven't noticed, because he's not answering the question. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: He was answering the question, you just didn't like it, like the answer. MR. MORRIS: Good Lord. Q. Go ahead, Mr. Waterhouse. A. Again, I don't – we brought up a myriad of issues at the start of the bankruptcy case. I don't recall if this was one of them, but, again, there are a lot of things we couldn't change. Even, you know, I was told status quo, blah, blah, right, there is a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	receivable on this list were doubtful or uncollectible? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. Potentially. Q. Did you ever tell anybody that? A. As I just stated like five times, yes, we – at the beginning after filing and we were getting DSI and others up to speed, you know, we had a myriad of discussions of a lot of things and this was likely one of them. I don't – but I don't recall specifically we talked – Q. I don't want to know – I don't want to know what was – MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Wait, wait. Excuse me. Mr. Morris, you did not let him	Page 249
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: This is like the fifth time you've asked it. Object to the form. MR. MORRIS: I'm moving to strike, if you haven't noticed, because he's not answering the question. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: He was answering the question, you just didn't like it, like the answer. MR. MORRIS: Good Lord. Q. Go ahead, Mr. Waterhouse. A. Again, I don't – we brought up a myriad of issues at the start of the bankruptcy case. I don't recall if this was one of them, but, again, there are a lot of things we couldn't change. Even, you know, I was told status quo, blah, blah, right, there is a stay, you can't – you know, I don't recall	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	receivable on this list were doubtful or uncollectible? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. Potentially. Q. Did you ever tell anybody that? A. As I just stated like five times, yes, we – at the beginning after filing and we were getting DSI and others up to speed, you know, we had a myriad of discussions of a lot of things and this was likely one of them. I don't – but I don't recall specifically we talked – Q. I don't want to know – I don't want to know what was – MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Wait, wait. Excuse me. Mr. Morris, you did not let him finish his answer.	Page 249
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: This is like the fifth time you've asked it. Object to the form. MR. MORRIS: I'm moving to strike, if you haven't noticed, because he's not answering the question. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: He was answering the question, you just didn't like it, like the answer. MR. MORRIS: Good Lord. Q. Go ahead, Mr. Waterhouse. A. Again, I don't – we brought up a myriad of issues at the start of the bankruptcy case. I don't recall if this was one of them, but, again, there are a lot of things we couldn't change. Even, you know, I was told status quo, blah, blah, blah, right, there is a stay, you can't – you know, I don't recall specifically, but that doesn't mean it didn't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	receivable on this list were doubtful or uncollectible? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. Potentially. Q. Did you ever tell anybody that? A. As I just stated like five times, yes, we – at the beginning after filing and we were getting DSI and others up to speed, you know, we had a myriad of discussions of a lot of things and this was likely one of them. I don't – but I don't recall specifically we talked – Q. I don't want to know – I don't want to know what was – MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Wait, wait. Excuse me. Mr. Morris, you did not let him finish his answer. A. I spoke – we had – we were	Page 249
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: This is like the fifth time you've asked it. Object to the form. MR. MORRIS: I'm moving to strike, if you haven't noticed, because he's not answering the question. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: He was answering the question, you just didn't like it, like the answer. MR. MORRIS: Good Lord. Q. Go ahead, Mr. Waterhouse. A. Again, I don't – we brought up a myriad of issues at the start of the bankruptcy case. I don't recall if this was one of them, but, again, there are a lot of things we couldn't change. Even, you know, I was told status quo, blah, blah, blah, right, there is a stay, you can't – you know, I don't recall specifically, but that doesn't mean it didn't happen. MR. MORRIS: I move to strike.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	receivable on this list were doubtful or uncollectible? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. Potentially. Q. Did you ever tell anybody that? A. As I just stated like five times, yes, we – at the beginning after filing and we were getting DSI and others up to speed, you know, we had a myriad of discussions of a lot of things and this was likely one of them. I don't – but I don't recall specifically we talked – Q. I don't want to know – I don't want to know what was – MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Wait, wait. Excuse me. Mr. Morris, you did not let him finish his answer. A. I spoke – we had – we were bringing Fred Karesa and Brad Sharp (phonetic) up to speed on all of these items, contracts,	Page 249
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: This is like the fifth time you've asked it. Object to the form. MR. MORRIS: I'm moving to strike, if you haven't noticed, because he's not answering the question. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: He was answering the question, you just didn't like it, like the answer. MR. MORRIS: Good Lord. Q. Go ahead, Mr. Waterhouse. A. Again, I don't – we brought up a myriad of issues at the start of the bankruptcy case. I don't recall if this was one of them, but, again, there are a lot of things we couldn't change. Even, you know, I was told status quo, blah, blah, blah, right, there is a stay, you can't – you know, I don't recall specifically, but that doesn't mean it didn't happen. MR. MORRIS: I move to strike. Q. During the time that Highland was in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	receivable on this list were doubtful or uncollectible? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. Potentially. Q. Did you ever tell anybody that? A. As I just stated like five times, yes, we – at the beginning after filing and we were getting DSI and others up to speed, you know, we had a myriad of discussions of a lot of things and this was likely one of them. I don't – but I don't recall specifically we talked – Q. I don't want to know – I don't want to know what was – MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Wait, wait. Excuse me. Mr. Morris, you did not let him finish his answer. A. I spoke – we had – we were bringing Fred Karesa and Brad Sharp (phonetic)	Page 249
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: This is like the fifth time you've asked it. Object to the form. MR. MORRIS: I'm moving to strike, if you haven't noticed, because he's not answering the question. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: He was answering the question, you just didn't like it, like the answer. MR. MORRIS: Good Lord. Q. Go ahead, Mr. Waterhouse. A. Again, I don't – we brought up a myriad of issues at the start of the bankruptcy case. I don't recall if this was one of them, but, again, there are a lot of things we couldn't change. Even, you know, I was told status quo, blah, blah, blah, right, there is a stay, you can't – you know, I don't recall specifically, but that doesn't mean it didn't happen. MR. MORRIS: I move to strike.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	receivable on this list were doubtful or uncollectible? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. Potentially. Q. Did you ever tell anybody that? A. As I just stated like five times, yes, we – at the beginning after filing and we were getting DSI and others up to speed, you know, we had a myriad of discussions of a lot of things and this was likely one of them. I don't – but I don't recall specifically we talked – Q. I don't want to know – I don't want to know what was – MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Wait, wait. Excuse me. Mr. Morris, you did not let him finish his answer. A. I spoke – we had – we were bringing Fred Karesa and Brad Sharp (phonetic) up to speed on all of these items, contracts, and investments and going through – we had hours and hours of discussion. And	Page 249

	Page 250	1		Page 251
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Fage 201
2	once, twice, three, four times with you	2	to tell what you to do. Do you have –	
3	know, I mean, we – I don't – I don't remember	3	MS. DANDENEAU: Good.	
4	the sum culmination of all these discussions.	4	Q. Other than other than telling	
5	They all kind of blend together.	5	them that they should look at the values, do	
6	MR. MORRIS: Okay. I move to strike	6	you have any recollection whatsoever of ever	
7	and I will try one more time.	7	having told anybody at DSI that any of the	
8	Q. Did you ever tell anybody at DSI	8	notes receivable on this page were doubtful or	
9	that you believed any of the notes receivable	9	uncollectible?	
10	on this list were doubtful or uncollectible?	10	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	
11	MS. DANDENEAU: Object to form.	11	form.	
12	A. Potentially.	12	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection.	
13	Q. Potentially you told them or	13	A. I recall having general discussions	
14	potentially they were doubtful or	14	about everything on our balance sheet which	
15	uncollectible?	15	would have included these - these notes	
16		16	receivable.	
	A. Potentially I told them that we	-		
17	needed to look at the value of these – of these assets.	17 18	Q. Okay.	
18			A. I don't recall specifically where	
19	Q. Okay. Did you – okay. It is	19	those discussions delved into.	
20	potential that you told them and it is	20	Q. Do you recall any discussion at all	
21	potentially that you didn't; right?	21	on the topic of whether any of these notes on	
22	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	22	this list were doubtful or uncollectible?	
23	A. I've gone through that. I don't	23	MR. AIGEN: Mr. Morris, how on earth	
24	recall specifically.	24	is that question different from the	
25	Q. So you should just – I don't want	25	question that you just asked for the last	
	Page 252			Page 253
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	
2	five times? I mean, really I thought you	2	suggests that he has not testified	
3	were – (overspeak.)	3	truthfully.	
4	MR. MORRIS: Because he never	4	MR. MORRIS: I will ask my question	
5	answered it.	5	again. And if at any time you want to	
6	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Are you	6	direct him not to answer, that is your	
7	listening to him?	7	prerogative.	
8	MR. MORRIS: You know	8	Q. Mr. Waterhouse, do you have any	
9	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: He basically	9	recollection at all of ever telling anybody	
	•			
10	said that he had a conversation with DSI	10	from DSI that any of these notes were doubtful	
10 11	that went over all of this stuff and that	11	from DSI that any of these notes were doubtful or uncollectible?	
			from DSI that any of these notes were doubtful	
11	that went over all of this stuff and that	11	from DSI that any of these notes were doubtful or uncollectible?	
11 12	that went over all of this stuff and that conversation could have included the notes	11 12	from DSI that any of these notes were doubtful or uncollectible? MS. DANDENEAU: Object to form.	
11 12 13	that went over all of this stuff and that conversation could have included the notes but he doesn't recall specifically. What more do you want him – to ask	11 12 13	from DSI that any of these notes were doubtful or uncollectible? MS. DANDENEAU: Object to form. A. I don't remember specifically.	
11 12 13 14	that went over all of this stuff and that conversation could have included the notes but he doesn't recall specifically. What more do you want him – to ask	11 12 13 14	from DSI that any of these notes were doubtful or uncollectible? MS. DANDENEAU: Object to form. A. I don't remember specifically. Q. Do you remember generally that	
11 12 13 14 15	that went over all of this stuff and that conversation could have included the notes but he doesn't recall specifically. What more do you want him – to ask of him?	11 12 13 14 15	from DSI that any of these notes were doubtful or uncollectible? MS. DANDENEAU: Object to form. A. I don't remember specifically. Q. Do you remember generally that specific topic?	
11 12 13 14 15 16	that went over all of this stuff and that conversation could have included the notes but he doesn't recall specifically. What more do you want him – to ask of him? MR. MORRIS: I want him – I would	11 12 13 14 15 16	from DSI that any of these notes were doubtful or uncollectible? MS. DANDENEAU: Object to form. A. I don't remember specifically. Q. Do you remember generally that specific topic? A. We generally talked about assets,	
11 12 13 14 15 16 17	that went over all of this stuff and that conversation could have included the notes but he doesn't recall specifically. What more do you want him – to ask of him? MR. MORRIS: I want him – I would love him to say – I would like him to	11 12 13 14 15 16 17	from DSI that any of these notes were doubtful or uncollectible? MS. DANDENEAU: Object to form. A. I don't remember specifically. Q. Do you remember generally that specific topic? A. We generally talked about assets, values. If – we had discussions of that and	
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that went over all of this stuff and that conversation could have included the notes but he doesn't recall specifically. What more do you want him – to ask of him? MR. MORRIS: I want him – I would love him to say – I would like him to testify to the truth, and that is he has no	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	from DSI that any of these notes were doubtful or uncollectible? MS. DANDENEAU: Object to form. A. I don't remember specifically. Q. Do you remember generally that specific topic? A. We generally talked about assets, values. If – we had discussions of that and collectability in nature. I mean, of Highland,	
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	that went over all of this stuff and that conversation could have included the notes but he doesn't recall specifically. What more do you want him – to ask of him? MR. MORRIS: I want him – I would love him to say – I would like him to testify to the truth, and that is he has no recollection.	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	from DSI that any of these notes were doubtful or uncollectible? MS. DANDENEAU: Object to form. A. I don't remember specifically. Q. Do you remember generally that specific topic? A. We generally talked about assets, values. If – we had discussions of that and collectability in nature. I mean, of Highland, the funds, the CLOs, the entire complex. We	
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	that went over all of this stuff and that conversation could have included the notes but he doesn't recall specifically. What more do you want him – to ask of him? MR. MORRIS: I want him – I would love him to say – I would like him to testify to the truth, and that is he has no recollection. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Well, the truth as you would like to see it, but – but he	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	from DSI that any of these notes were doubtful or uncollectible? MS. DANDENEAU: Object to form. A. I don't remember specifically. Q. Do you remember generally that specific topic? A. We generally talked about assets, values. If – we had discussions of that and collectability in nature. I mean, of Highland, the funds, the CLOs, the entire complex. We had discussions like that, which is, you know,	
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 21 22	that went over all of this stuff and that conversation could have included the notes but he doesn't recall specifically. What more do you want him – to ask of him? MR. MORRIS: I want him – I would love him to say – I would like him to testify to the truth, and that is he has no recollection. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Well, the truth as you would like to see it, but – but he is testifying truthfully. And I – and, by	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	from DSI that any of these notes were doubtful or uncollectible? MS. DANDENEAU: Object to form. A. I don't remember specifically. Q. Do you remember generally that specific topic? A. We generally talked about assets, values. If – we had discussions of that and collectability in nature. I mean, of Highland, the funds, the CLOs, the entire complex. We had discussions like that, which is, you know, as you look at a billion dollar consolidated balance sheet.	
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that went over all of this stuff and that conversation could have included the notes but he doesn't recall specifically. What more do you want him – to ask of him? MR. MORRIS: I want him – I would love him to say – I would like him to testify to the truth, and that is he has no recollection. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Well, the truth as you would like to see it, but – but he is testifying truthfully. And I – and, by the way, I move to strike that comment –	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	from DSI that any of these notes were doubtful or uncollectible? MS. DANDENEAU: Object to form. A. I don't remember specifically. Q. Do you remember generally that specific topic? A. We generally talked about assets, values. If – we had discussions of that and collectability in nature. I mean, of Highland, the funds, the CLOs, the entire complex. We had discussions like that, which is, you know, as you look at a billion dollar consolidated balance sheet. So I generally remember – this is	
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that went over all of this stuff and that conversation could have included the notes but he doesn't recall specifically. What more do you want him – to ask of him? MR. MORRIS: I want him – I would love him to say – I would like him to testify to the truth, and that is he has no recollection. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Well, the truth as you would like to see it, but – but he is testifying truthfully. And I – and, by	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	from DSI that any of these notes were doubtful or uncollectible? MS. DANDENEAU: Object to form. A. I don't remember specifically. Q. Do you remember generally that specific topic? A. We generally talked about assets, values. If – we had discussions of that and collectability in nature. I mean, of Highland, the funds, the CLOs, the entire complex. We had discussions like that, which is, you know, as you look at a billion dollar consolidated balance sheet.	

1	Page 254 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 255
2	Q. Do you believe that an affiliate	2	goes.	
3	loan on this list was doubtful or	3	Q. Did you point out that any of	
4	uncollectible? Would you have told that to	4	these -	
5	DSI?	5	A. I don't recall specifically.	
6	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	6	Q. Okay. At any time that you served	
7	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to form.	7	as Highland's CFO, did you ever point out to	
8	A. If we had, like – again, if we –	8	DSI that any of these loans were doubtful or	
9	if – if we weren't preparing financial	9	uncollectible?	
10	statements in accordance with GAAP, and – you	10	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	
11	know, if DSI at that point – they were –	11	form.	
12	again, I was new to bankruptcy.	12	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection.	
13	The CRO is – we are delegating	13	A. If you're asking me if I had a	
14	everything to the CRO. All the decisionmaking.	14	conversation with DSI, if any of these loans	
15	Remember – remember when you and I went into	15	were doubtful or uncollectible, I don't recall	
16	Delaware Court and we were saying DSI basically	16	specifically.	
17	does everything, remember this, Mr. Morris?	17	Q. Do you recall that the debtor filed	
18	You were my counsel at the time, and	17	on the docket monthly operating reports?	
10	basically we're running everything through DSI.	19	A. Yes.	
19				
20	That was what this was like in the early part.	20	Q. You prepared those personally,	
1	Everything was communicated through	21	didn't you?	
22	DSI. So DSI says this. DSI says that. That	22 23	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection to form.	
23	is what we're doing, and we're pointing out			
24	5	24	A. I didn't personally prepare them, the team did with DSI.	
25	Now, they decide what direction this	25	the team did with DSI.	
	Page 256	4		Page 257
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	
2	Q. But you signed them; correct?	2	show. I followed their advice.	
3	A. My signature is on the MORs.	3	Q. But you assured yourself that	
4	Q. And you signed them as the preparer	4	everything in the report was accurate before	
5	of the document; correct?	5	you signed them; correct?	
6	A. Yes, I did this pursuant to DSI's	6	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	
	instructions.	7	A. I trusted the guidance from the CRO	
8	Q. Okay. You wouldn't have signed the	8	and their team and their experience and their	
9	document if you didn't believe it to be	9	guidance for doing this for many, many, many	
10	accurate; correct?	10	years to – to – to categorize and put things	
11	A. If I had reason to believe it	11	in ways on the form.	
12	wasn't, presumably I wouldn't have signed it.	12	You know, my team had – had not	
13	Q. Okay. And do you have any reason to	13	filled out these forms before and needed all of	
14	believe right now that any monthly operating	14	this guidance. I'm not an expert in this. I	
15	report that has your signature on it was	15	have oversight of it. I signed the form. DSI	
16	inaccurate in any way?	16	told me to.	
17	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	17	Q. And you and your team are the source	
18	form.	18	of the information that DSI used to create the	
19	A. My understanding of the monthly	19	reports; correct?	
20	operating reports is we were filing them in	20	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	
21	accordance with the standards set by the Court.	21	A. The books and records reside with	
22	lt wasn't you know, again, I don't you	22	the with with the corporate accounting	
23	know, it wasn't GAAP. It wasn't these other	23	team.	
24	standards, so I testified I didn't have experience in this. The CRO was running the	24 25	Q. Okay. And the corporate accounting team was the corporate accounting team that was	
25				

	Page 258			Page 259
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 259
2 ι	under your direction; correct?	2	Highland; correct?	
3	A. Yes.	3	A. Yes.	
4	Q. So so your team was responsible	4	Q. And you're the preparer – you're	
5 f	or maintaining Highland's books and records;	5	identified as the preparer of the report;	
6 0	correct?	6	correct?	
7	A. I'm sorry, my team was responsible?	7	A. That is correct.	
8	Q. Correct.	8	Q. Do you recall participating in the	
9	A. Yes. They they they were	9	preparation of monthly operating reports?	
10	the the the general ledger of Highland,	10	A. As I testified earlier, it was put	
11	that responsibility was with the corporate	11	together, you know, with the team. The team	
12	accounting team.	12	worked with DSI to put these monthly operating	
13	Q. The corporate accounting group	13	reports together. We had no experience at this	
14	reported to you; correct?	14	time of the monthly operating reports or things	
15	A. Yes.	15	of this nature.	
16	MR. MORRIS: Can we put up 41,	16	MR. MORRIS: Can you turn to the	
17	please.	17	next page, please.	
18	(Exhibit 41 marked.)	18	Q. Do you see a line item under assets	
19	Q. All right. You will see that this	19	due from affiliates?	
20	is a report that is dated January 31st, 2020,	20	A. Yes, I do.	
1	but it is for the month ending December 2019.	21	Q. Okay. And to the best of your	
22	Do you see that?	22	knowledge and understanding, as the person who	
23	A. Ido.	23	is identified as the preparer of this report,	
24	Q. And you signed this report in your	24	does that line item include the affiliate loans	
25	capacity as the chief financial officer of	25	that we've been talking about?	
	Page 260			Page 261
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Fage 201
2	A. Again, I would have to see, just	2	A. Yes, that is what this indicates.	
3 1	ike we did with the financial statements of	3	Q. Okay. And were you aware that the	
4	Highland and NexPoint, I would have to see a	4	reserve was being taken on that it was?	
	detailed build, but, you know, if you look at	5	A. I was – I was aware, yeah, at some	
6 t	he other line items, you know, the only other	6	point, yes.	
7	place it could be would be in – in other	7	Q. Okay. And are you aware of any	
8 8	assets.	8	reserve being taken with respect to any other	
9	Q. Okay. And as a matter of	9	note that was issued in favor of Highland?	
10	arithmetic, is it fair to say that is the value	10	A. Again, as I testified, we didn't go	
1	of the assets due from affiliates was more than	11	through an analysis on – on – on the other	
12	25 percent of the value of Highland's total	12	notes.	
1	assets as of 12/31/2019?	13	Q. Can we turn –	
14	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	14	A. I believe – I believe it says that	
15	A. I'm really not doing the mental math	15	in Footnote 1, fair value has not been	
1	right now, so I've been going at this depo for	16	determined with respect to any of the notes.	
1	hours, so I'm really not – you know –	17	So this footnote footnotes, look,	
18	Q. All right. No problem.	18	there has been no determination.	
19	A. – these are millions of dollars.	19	Q. Okay. The determination was made in	
20	Q. Let's look at the Footnote 1,	20	the audited financial statements just six	
1	please. Do you see there is a reference to the	21	months earlier; right? We saw that earlier?	
1	Hunter Mountain note?	22	A. That was as of 12/31/18. I mean,	
23	A. Yes, I see that in Footnote 1.	23	things – circumstances – there's a bank –	
24	Q. Okay. And that's the reserve that	24	circumstances change, things change – things	
1	was taken against that note?	25	change over time, you know, facts and	
	-		-	

1	Page 262 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 263
2	circumstances change. Again, you have to do an	2	Q. Okay. And there was nothing that	
3	analysis.	3	caused PricewaterhouseCoopers to include in	
4	Q. Okay. And you do recall that in	4	subsequent events any adjustment to the	
5	Highland's 2018 financial statement, all of the	5	conclusion that the fair value of the affiliate	
6	notes issued by affiliates and Mr. Dondero that	6	notes and the notes issued by Mr. Dondero	
7	were due at year-end had a fair value equal to	7	equaled the carrying value; correct?	
	•		MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to the	
8	the carrying value; correct? We looked at that?	8		
	A. Yes. That was in the – in the	-	form.	
10		10	A. That is correct. That is what was	
11	disclosure for the – for the affiliate notes,	11	in the – in the – in the footnotes.	
12	yes.	12	Q. Okay. So are you aware of anything	
13	Q. And – and you were obligated to	13	that occurred between June 3rd, 2019 and	
14	share with PwC any subsequent events between	14	December 31st, 2019 that would have caused the	
15	the end of 2018 and the date that you signed	15	fair value of the notes to differ from the	
16	your management representation letter on June	16	carrying value?	
17	3rd, 2019; correct?	17	A. Yeah. Highland filed for	
18	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	18	bankruptcy, things changed – I mean, there was	
19	form.	19	a bankruptcy filed in October of of of	
20	A. Yes. I – I – I signed the	20	2019, right, the petition date that we've	
21	management, you know, my signature is in the	21	described earlier.	
22	management representation letter I hope I'm	22	l mean, l had a l guess looking	
23	answering your question that is dated in	23	back naively, I thought we were going to get an	
24	June with the representations made in that	24	audit from PwC for year-ended 2019, and when we	
25	management representation letter.	25	had discussions with PwC, they were like, are	
	Page 264			Page 265
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 265
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 you crazy, we're not auditing this. Values	1 2	carrying value during the seven-month period	Page 265
	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 you crazy, we're not auditing this. Values change, all these things change, bankruptcy		carrying value during the seven-month period between June 3rd and the end of the year, 2019?	Page 265
	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 you crazy, we're not auditing this. Values change, all these things change, bankruptcy changes the entire scenario. I mean – and	2	carrying value during the seven-month period between June 3rd and the end of the year, 2019? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	Page 265
23	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 you crazy, we're not auditing this. Values change, all these things change, bankruptcy changes the entire scenario. I mean – and they're like, we're not – we're not touching	23	carrying value during the seven-month period between June 3rd and the end of the year, 2019? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. No. I mean, I'm putting myself back	Page 265
2 3 4	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 you crazy, we're not auditing this. Values change, all these things change, bankruptcy changes the entire scenario. I mean – and they're like, we're not – we're not touching this.	2 3 4	carrying value during the seven-month period between June 3rd and the end of the year, 2019? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	Page 265
2 3 4 5	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 you crazy, we're not auditing this. Values change, all these things change, bankruptcy changes the entire scenario. I mean – and they're like, we're not – we're not touching	2 3 4 5 6	carrying value during the seven-month period between June 3rd and the end of the year, 2019? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. No. I mean, I'm putting myself back	Page 265
2 3 4 5 6	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 you crazy, we're not auditing this. Values change, all these things change, bankruptcy changes the entire scenario. I mean – and they're like, we're not – we're not touching this.	2 3 4 5 6	carrying value during the seven-month period between June 3rd and the end of the year, 2019? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. No. I mean, I'm putting myself back at that time, right. Hindsight is 2020, but we	Page 265
2 3 4 5 6 7	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 you crazy, we're not auditing this. Values change, all these things change, bankruptcy changes the entire scenario. I mean – and they're like, we're not – we're not touching this. And so, you know, I was like, okay,	2 3 4 5 6 7	carrying value during the seven-month period between June 3rd and the end of the year, 2019? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. No. I mean, I'm putting myself back at that time, right. Hindsight is 2020, but we didn't do an analysis, but we would have done a	Page 265
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 you crazy, we're not auditing this. Values change, all these things change, bankruptcy changes the entire scenario. I mean and they're like, we're not we're not touching this. And so, you know, I was like, okay, sorry, I get it, okay, no an audit.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 carrying value during the seven-month period between June 3rd and the end of the year, 2019? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. No. I mean, I'm putting myself back at that time, right. Hindsight is 2020, but we didn't do an analysis, but we would have done a fulsome analysis and looked at all of the facts 	Page 265
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 you crazy, we're not auditing this. Values change, all these things change, bankruptcy changes the entire scenario. I mean – and they're like, we're not – we're not touching this. And so, you know, I was like, okay, sorry, I get it, okay, no an audit. I mean, it is – you know, and –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 carrying value during the seven-month period between June 3rd and the end of the year, 2019? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. No. I mean, I'm putting myself back at that time, right. Hindsight is 2020, but we didn't do an analysis, but we would have done a fulsome analysis and looked at all of the facts and circumstances at the time, but asset values 	Page 265
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 you crazy, we're not auditing this. Values change, all these things change, bankruptcy changes the entire scenario. I mean – and they're like, we're not – we're not touching this. And so, you know, I was like, okay, sorry, I get it, okay, no an audit. I mean, it is – you know, and – you know, and we weren't preparing GAAP	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 carrying value during the seven-month period between June 3rd and the end of the year, 2019? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. No. I mean, I'm putting myself back at that time, right. Hindsight is 2020, but we didn't do an analysis, but we would have done a fulsome analysis and looked at all of the facts and circumstances at the time, but asset values change. You know, there could have been a 	Page 265
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 you crazy, we're not auditing this. Values change, all these things change, bankruptcy changes the entire scenario. I mean – and they're like, we're not – we're not touching this. And so, you know, I was like, okay, sorry, I get it, okay, no an audit. I mean, it is – you know, and – you know, and we weren't preparing GAAP financial statements.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	carrying value during the seven-month period between June 3rd and the end of the year, 2019? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. No. I mean, I'm putting myself back at that time, right. Hindsight is 2020, but we didn't do an analysis, but we would have done a fulsome analysis and looked at all of the facts and circumstances at the time, but asset values change. You know, there could have been a market crash in hindsight in 2020, which –	Page 265
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 you crazy, we're not auditing this. Values change, all these things change, bankruptcy changes the entire scenario. I mean – and they're like, we're not – we're not touching this. And so, you know, I was like, okay, sorry, I get it, okay, no an audit. I mean, it is – you know, and – you know, and we weren't preparing GAAP financial statements. Again, I didn't know what we were	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	carrying value during the seven-month period between June 3rd and the end of the year, 2019? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. No. I mean, I'm putting myself back at that time, right. Hindsight is 2020, but we didn't do an analysis, but we would have done a fulsome analysis and looked at all of the facts and circumstances at the time, but asset values change. You know, there could have been a market crash in hindsight in 2020, which – which affected entities' abilities.	Page 265
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 you crazy, we're not auditing this. Values change, all these things change, bankruptcy changes the entire scenario. I mean – and they're like, we're not – we're not touching this. And so, you know, I was like, okay, sorry, I get it, okay, no an audit. I mean, it is – you know, and – you know, and we weren't preparing GAAP financial statements. Again, I didn't know what we were doing in relation to our financial statements,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	carrying value during the seven-month period between June 3rd and the end of the year, 2019? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. No. I mean, I'm putting myself back at that time, right. Hindsight is 2020, but we didn't do an analysis, but we would have done a fulsome analysis and looked at all of the facts and circumstances at the time, but asset values change. You know, there could have been a market crash in hindsight in 2020, which – which affected entities' abilities. There could have been all of these	Page 265
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 you crazy, we're not auditing this. Values change, all these things change, bankruptcy changes the entire scenario. I mean and they're like, we're not we're not touching this. And so, you know, I was like, okay, sorry, I get it, okay, no an audit. I mean, it is you know, and you know, and we weren't preparing GAAP financial statements. Again, I didn't know what we were doing in relation to our financial statements, but these were the discussions I was having at	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	carrying value during the seven-month period between June 3rd and the end of the year, 2019? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. No. I mean, I'm putting myself back at that time, right. Hindsight is 2020, but we didn't do an analysis, but we would have done a fulsome analysis and looked at all of the facts and circumstances at the time, but asset values change. You know, there could have been a market crash in hindsight in 2020, which – which affected entities' abilities. There could have been all of these things, right, that – that happen. It is –	Page 265
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 you crazy, we're not auditing this. Values change, all these things change, bankruptcy changes the entire scenario. I mean – and they're like, we're not – we're not touching this. And so, you know, I was like, okay, sorry, I get it, okay, no an audit. I mean, it is – you know, and – you know, and we weren't preparing GAAP financial statements. Again, I didn't know what we were doing in relation to our financial statements, but these were the discussions I was having at the time. And yeah, I mean, filing bankruptcy	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	carrying value during the seven-month period between June 3rd and the end of the year, 2019? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. No. I mean, I'm putting myself back at that time, right. Hindsight is 2020, but we didn't do an analysis, but we would have done a fulsome analysis and looked at all of the facts and circumstances at the time, but asset values change. You know, there could have been a market crash in hindsight in 2020, which – which affected entities' abilities. There could have been all of these things, right, that – that happen. It is – it is easy to look back in hindsight, but when	Page 265
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 you crazy, we're not auditing this. Values change, all these things change, bankruptcy changes the entire scenario. I mean – and they're like, we're not – we're not touching this. And so, you know, I was like, okay, sorry, I get it, okay, no an audit. I mean, it is – you know, and – you know, and we weren't preparing GAAP financial statements. Again, I didn't know what we were doing in relation to our financial statements, but these were the discussions I was having at the time. And yeah, I mean, filing bankruptcy from what I got from outside auditors and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	carrying value during the seven-month period between June 3rd and the end of the year, 2019? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. No. I mean, I'm putting myself back at that time, right. Hindsight is 2020, but we didn't do an analysis, but we would have done a fulsome analysis and looked at all of the facts and circumstances at the time, but asset values change. You know, there could have been a market crash in hindsight in 2020, which – which affected entities' abilities. There could have been all of these things, right, that – that happen. It is – it is easy to look back in hindsight, but when you are looking at this in – in realtime, the	Page 265
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 you crazy, we're not auditing this. Values change, all these things change, bankruptcy changes the entire scenario. I mean – and they're like, we're not – we're not touching this. And so, you know, I was like, okay, sorry, I get it, okay, no an audit. I mean, it is – you know, and – you know, and we weren't preparing GAAP financial statements. Again, I didn't know what we were doing in relation to our financial statements, but these were the discussions I was having at the time. And yeah, I mean, filing bankruptcy from what I got from outside auditors and others involved changed things dramatically.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	carrying value during the seven-month period between June 3rd and the end of the year, 2019? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. No. I mean, I'm putting myself back at that time, right. Hindsight is 2020, but we didn't do an analysis, but we would have done a fulsome analysis and looked at all of the facts and circumstances at the time, but asset values change. You know, there could have been a market crash in hindsight in 2020, which – which affected entities' abilities. There could have been all of these things, right, that – that happen. It is – it is easy to look back in hindsight, but when you are looking at this in – in realtime, the analysis is different, and again, we didn't do	Page 265
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 you crazy, we're not auditing this. Values change, all these things change, bankruptcy changes the entire scenario. I mean – and they're like, we're not – we're not touching this. And so, you know, I was like, okay, sorry, I get it, okay, no an audit. I mean, it is – you know, and – you know, and we weren't preparing GAAP financial statements. Again, I didn't know what we were doing in relation to our financial statements, but these were the discussions I was having at the time. And yeah, I mean, filing bankruptcy from what I got from outside auditors and others involved changed things dramatically. Q. Okay. Highland wasn't the obligor	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	carrying value during the seven-month period between June 3rd and the end of the year, 2019? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. No. I mean, I'm putting myself back at that time, right. Hindsight is 2020, but we didn't do an analysis, but we would have done a fulsome analysis and looked at all of the facts and circumstances at the time, but asset values change. You know, there could have been a market crash in hindsight in 2020, which – which affected entities' abilities. There could have been all of these things, right, that – that happen. It is – it is easy to look back in hindsight, but when you are looking at this in – in realtime, the analysis is different, and again, we didn't do an analysis.	Page 265
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 you crazy, we're not auditing this. Values change, all these things change, bankruptcy changes the entire scenario. I mean – and they're like, we're not – we're not touching this. And so, you know, I was like, okay, sorry, I get it, okay, no an audit. I mean, it is – you know, and – you know, and we weren't preparing GAAP financial statements. Again, I didn't know what we were doing in relation to our financial statements, but these were the discussions I was having at the time. And yeah, I mean, filing bankruptcy from what I got from outside auditors and others involved changed things dramatically. Q. Okay. Highland wasn't the obligor under any of the notes that we're talking	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	carrying value during the seven-month period between June 3rd and the end of the year, 2019? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. No. I mean, I'm putting myself back at that time, right. Hindsight is 2020, but we didn't do an analysis, but we would have done a fulsome analysis and looked at all of the facts and circumstances at the time, but asset values change. You know, there could have been a market crash in hindsight in 2020, which – which affected entities' abilities. There could have been all of these things, right, that – that happen. It is – it is easy to look back in hindsight, but when you are looking at this in – in realtime, the analysis is different, and again, we didn't do an analysis. Q. Okay. You didn't do an analysis.	Page 265
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 you crazy, we're not auditing this. Values change, all these things change, bankruptcy changes the entire scenario. I mean – and they're like, we're not – we're not touching this. And so, you know, I was like, okay, sorry, I get it, okay, no an audit. I mean, it is – you know, and – you know, and we weren't preparing GAAP financial statements. Again, I didn't know what we were doing in relation to our financial statements, but these were the discussions I was having at the time. And yeah, I mean, filing bankruptcy from what I got from outside auditors and others involved changed things dramatically. Q. Okay. Highland wasn't the obligor under any of the notes that we're talking about; correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	carrying value during the seven-month period between June 3rd and the end of the year, 2019? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. No. I mean, I'm putting myself back at that time, right. Hindsight is 2020, but we didn't do an analysis, but we would have done a fulsome analysis and looked at all of the facts and circumstances at the time, but asset values change. You know, there could have been a market crash in hindsight in 2020, which – which affected entities' abilities. There could have been all of these things, right, that – that happen. It is – it is easy to look back in hindsight, but when you are looking at this in – in realtime, the analysis is different, and again, we didn't do an analysis. Q. Okay. You didn't do an analysis. Do I have that right?	Page 265
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 you crazy, we're not auditing this. Values change, all these things change, bankruptcy changes the entire scenario. I mean – and they're like, we're not – we're not touching this. And so, you know, I was like, okay, sorry, I get it, okay, no an audit. I mean, it is – you know, and – you know, and we weren't preparing GAAP financial statements. Again, I didn't know what we were doing in relation to our financial statements, but these were the discussions I was having at the time. And yeah, I mean, filing bankruptcy from what I got from outside auditors and others involved changed things dramatically. Q. Okay. Highland wasn't the obligor under any of the notes that we're talking about; correct? A. No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	carrying value during the seven-month period between June 3rd and the end of the year, 2019? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. No. I mean, I'm putting myself back at that time, right. Hindsight is 2020, but we didn't do an analysis, but we would have done a fulsome analysis and looked at all of the facts and circumstances at the time, but asset values change. You know, there could have been a market crash in hindsight in 2020, which – which affected entities' abilities. There could have been all of these things, right, that – that happen. It is – it is easy to look back in hindsight, but when you are looking at this in – in realtime, the analysis is different, and again, we didn't do an analysis. Q. Okay. You didn't do an analysis. Do I have that right? A. I don't – I don't recall doing one	Page 265
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 you crazy, we're not auditing this. Values change, all these things change, bankruptcy changes the entire scenario. I mean – and they're like, we're not – we're not touching this. And so, you know, I was like, okay, sorry, I get it, okay, no an audit. I mean, it is – you know, and – you know, and we weren't preparing GAAP financial statements. Again, I didn't know what we were doing in relation to our financial statements, but these were the discussions I was having at the time. And yeah, I mean, filing bankruptcy from what I got from outside auditors and others involved changed things dramatically. Q. Okay. Highland wasn't the obligor under any of the notes that we're talking about; correct? A. No. Q. So –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	carrying value during the seven-month period between June 3rd and the end of the year, 2019? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. No. I mean, I'm putting myself back at that time, right. Hindsight is 2020, but we didn't do an analysis, but we would have done a fulsome analysis and looked at all of the facts and circumstances at the time, but asset values change. You know, there could have been a market crash in hindsight in 2020, which – which affected entities' abilities. There could have been all of these things, right, that – that happen. It is – it is easy to look back in hindsight, but when you are looking at this in – in realtime, the analysis is different, and again, we didn't do an analysis. Do I have that right? A. I don't – I don't recall doing one or maybe – you know, I don't recall doing one.	Page 265
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 you crazy, we're not auditing this. Values change, all these things change, bankruptcy changes the entire scenario. I mean – and they're like, we're not – we're not touching this. And so, you know, I was like, okay, sorry, I get it, okay, no an audit. I mean, it is – you know, and – you know, and we weren't preparing GAAP financial statements. Again, I didn't know what we were doing in relation to our financial statements, but these were the discussions I was having at the time. And yeah, I mean, filing bankruptcy from what I got from outside auditors and others involved changed things dramatically. Q. Okay. Highland wasn't the obligor under any of the notes that we're talking about; correct? A. No. Q. So – A. That's right.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	carrying value during the seven-month period between June 3rd and the end of the year, 2019? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. No. I mean, I'm putting myself back at that time, right. Hindsight is 2020, but we didn't do an analysis, but we would have done a fulsome analysis and looked at all of the facts and circumstances at the time, but asset values change. You know, there could have been a market crash in hindsight in 2020, which – which affected entities' abilities. There could have been all of these things, right, that – that happen. It is – it is easy to look back in hindsight, but when you are looking at this in – in realtime, the analysis is different, and again, we didn't do an analysis. Do I have that right? A. I don't – I don't recall doing one or maybe – you know, I don't recall doing one. MR. MORRIS: Okay. I'm going to	Page 265

	Dogo 266			Page 267
1	Page 266 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 207
2	take a short break until 4:40 your time.	2	had I just think it's appropriate to	
3	MS. DANDENEAU: Okay.	3	to dump documents on somebody 10 minutes	
4	VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the	4	before the deposition. I just think	
5	record, 4:31 p.m.	5	that's –	
6	(Recess taken 4:31 p.m. to 4:43 p.m.)	6	MR. RUKAVINA: Well, these are	
7	VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the	7	documents Highland produced. I'm not aware	
8	record at 4:43 p.m.	8	of any rule I have to give you advance	
9	MR. MORRIS: I have no further	9	documents when I know for the record that	
10	questions.	10	other than the exhibits that you sent to us	
11	MR. RUKAVINA: Okay.	11	last week, most of the exhibits you used	
12	Mr. Waterhouse, I will go next.	12	today you did not provide to me prior to	
13	EXAMINATION	13	this deposition.	
14	BY MR. RUKAVINA:	14	MR. MORRIS: No, but the documents	
15	Q. Sir, my name is Davor Rukavina. I'm	15	were produced by me in – in litigation,	
16	the lawyer for -	16	right?	
17	MR. MORRIS: Hey, Davor, just before	17	MR. RUKAVINA: I'm going to use	
18	you begin, I just want to put on the record	18	primarily, John, the documents that you	
19	Highland's objection to documents that were	19	produced to me today, but you may.	
20	produced to me 10 minutes before the	20	MR. MORRIS: Primarily. I've got –	
21	deposition began.	21	l've got my objection. You have got your	
22	MR. RUKAVINA: What the basis of	22	response. Proceed.	
23	your objection?	23	Q. Mr. Waterhouse, again, I represent	
24	MR. MORRIS: That they were due	24	the advisors, HCMFA and NexPoint Advisors.	
25	quite some time ago, and the fact that you	25	Do you understand that?	
	· · ·	20	bo you andoloana that.	
1	Page 268 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 269
2	A. Yes.	2	Q. Were you ever the CFO of HCMFA?	
3	Q. You and I have never met or talked	3	A. I don't recall.	
4	before today, have we?	4	Q. So to the best of your recollection,	
5	A. No, I have — I have heard your	5	you were still an officer of HCMFA in 2019,	
	voice on calls before.	6	just that your title was treasurer?	
7	Q. Okay.	7	MR. MORRIS: Object to the form of	
8	-	8	-	
1	MR. RUKAVINA: Madam Court Reporter,		the question. There is no leading here.	
9	I will use a few exhibits today. My associate, Mr. Nguyen, will find some way	9 10	He works for your client. MS. DANDENEAU: That is not – that	
1	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••			
11	to get them to you. I don't know how to do	11	is not true. MR. MORRIS: He's the treasurer –	
1	that, but it looks like you guys do.			
13	I am going to use numbers as well.	13	he is the treasurer of your client. I	
14	But to differentiate them from Mr. Morris	14	don't – I'm going to object every time you	
15	we're going to mark mine with the prefix A	15	try to lead, so	
16	for advisors.	16	MR. RUKAVINA: Totally fine to	
17	Do you understand?	17	object.	
18	COURT REPORTER: Yes.	18	MR. MORRIS: Okay.	
19	MR. RUKAVINA: Okay. Perfect.	19	Q. Please answer my question,	
20	Q. Okay. So, Mr. Waterhouse, let's	20	Mr. Waterhouse.	
21	start with those two HCMFA notes that you were	21	A. I'm sorry, could you repeat? There	
		000		
22	asked about, one for 5 million and one for	22	Was	
23	2.4 million.	23	Q. Yes. You were – you testified	
23 24	2.4 million. Do you recall those notes?	23 24	Q. Yes. You were – you testified earlier that in 2019 you were an officer of	
23	2.4 million.	23	Q. Yes. You were – you testified	

Page 270	Page 2
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21
2 A. Yes, I testified that I was the	2 chief financial officer of the debtor, Highland
3 treasurer and I didn't know if that incumbency	3 Capital Management, L.P., in May of 2019, did
4 certificate, you know, was one that appointed	4 you believe that you unilaterally, just Frank
5 me as a treasurer, but yes.	5 Waterhouse, had the authority to loan on behalf
6 Q. I'm just trying to confirm that	6 of the debtor to anyone \$5 million and
7 sitting here today, to the best of your	7 \$2.4 million?
8 recollection, at that time you were – your	8 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form
9 title was treasurer. It was not chief	9 of the question.
10 financial officer.	10 A. No.
11 A. I don't recall that being my title.	11 Q. Is it because loans of that amount
12 Q. Okay. And in May of 2019, however,	12 would have had to be approved by someone else?
13 I think you testified you were the chief	13 A. Yes.
14 financial officer of the debtor; correct?	14 Q. Who in '20 – in May of 2019, if
15 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	15 Highland wanted to loan 5 million or
16 of the question.	16 \$2.4 million to someone, what would have been
17 A. Yes, I was – yes.	17 the internal approval procedure?
18 Q. Okay. As such, in May of 2019, did	18 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form
19 you have the authority, to your understanding,	19 of the question.
20 to unilaterally loan \$5 million or \$2.4 million	20 A. If – if we had loans of that nature
21 to anyone on behalf of the debtor?	21 that needed to be made due to their size, we
22 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	22 would have gotten approval from the the
23 of the question.	23 president of Highland.
A. Sorry, can you repeat that?	24 Q. And who that was individual?
25 Q. Yes. So in your capacity as the	25 A. It was James Dondero.
Page 272	Page 2
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 2 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21
1WATERHOUSE - 10-19-212Q.Okay. Now, I'm going to ask you a	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. That was James – Jim Dondero.
1WATERHOUSE - 10-19-212Q.Okay. Now, I'm going to ask you a3similar question but for a different entity.	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. That was James - Jim Dondero. Q. So do I understand that in May of
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. Okay. Now, I'm going to ask you a similar question but for a different entity. In May of 2019, as the treasurer of 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. That was James - Jim Dondero. Q. So do I understand that in May of 2019, on behalf of both the lender, Highland,
1WATERHOUSE - 10-19-212Q.Okay. Now, I'm going to ask you a3similar question but for a different entity.	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. That was James – Jim Dondero. Q. So do I understand that in May of 2019, on behalf of both the lender, Highland, and the borrower, HCMFA, Mr. Dondero would have
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. Okay. Now, I'm going to ask you a similar question but for a different entity. In May of 2019, as the treasurer of HCMFA, did you believe that you unilaterally had the ability to cause HCMFA to become the 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. That was James – Jim Dondero. Q. So do I understand that in May of 2019, on behalf of both the lender, Highland, and the borrower, HCMFA, Mr. Dondero would have had to approve \$7.4 million in loans?
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. Okay. Now, I'm going to ask you a similar question but for a different entity. In May of 2019, as the treasurer of HCMFA, did you believe that you unilaterally had the ability to cause HCMFA to become the borrower of a \$5 million loan and a 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. That was James – Jim Dondero. Q. So do I understand that in May of 2019, on behalf of both the lender, Highland, and the borrower, HCMFA, Mr. Dondero would have
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. Okay. Now, I'm going to ask you a similar question but for a different entity. In May of 2019, as the treasurer of HCMFA, did you believe that you unilaterally had the ability to cause HCMFA to become the 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. That was James – Jim Dondero. Q. So do I understand that in May of 2019, on behalf of both the lender, Highland, and the borrower, HCMFA, Mr. Dondero would have had to approve \$7.4 million in loans?
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. Okay. Now, I'm going to ask you a similar question but for a different entity. In May of 2019, as the treasurer of HCMFA, did you believe that you unilaterally had the ability to cause HCMFA to become the borrower of a \$5 million loan and a \$2.4 million loan? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. That was James – Jim Dondero. Q. So do I understand that in May of 2019, on behalf of both the lender, Highland, and the borrower, HCMFA, Mr. Dondero would have had to approve \$7.4 million in loans? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. Yes.
1WATERHOUSE - 10-19-212Q. Okay. Now, I'm going to ask you a3similar question but for a different entity.4In May of 2019, as the treasurer of5HCMFA, did you believe that you unilaterally6had the ability to cause HCMFA to become the7borrower of a \$5 million loan and a8\$2.4 million loan?9MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form10of the question.	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. That was James Jim Dondero. Q. So do I understand that in May of 2019, on behalf of both the lender, Highland, and the borrower, HCMFA, Mr. Dondero would have had to approve \$7.4 million in loans? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. Yes. Q. You mentioned when Mr. Morris was
1WATERHOUSE - 10-19-212Q. Okay. Now, I'm going to ask you a3similar question but for a different entity.4In May of 2019, as the treasurer of5HCMFA, did you believe that you unilaterally6had the ability to cause HCMFA to become the7borrower of a \$5 million loan and a8\$2.4 million loan?9MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form10of the question.11A. No.	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. That was James – Jim Dondero. Q. So do I understand that in May of 2019, on behalf of both the lender, Highland, and the borrower, HCMFA, Mr. Dondero would have had to approve \$7.4 million in loans? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. Yes. Q. You mentioned when Mr. Morris was asking you the NAV error, N-A-V error, with
1WATERHOUSE - 10-19-212Q. Okay. Now, I'm going to ask you a3similar question but for a different entity.4In May of 2019, as the treasurer of5HCMFA, did you believe that you unilaterally6had the ability to cause HCMFA to become the7borrower of a \$5 million loan and a8\$2.4 million loan?9MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form10of the question.11A. No.12Q. What would what would the	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. That was James – Jim Dondero. Q. So do I understand that in May of 2019, on behalf of both the lender, Highland, and the borrower, HCMFA, Mr. Dondero would have had to approve \$7.4 million in loans? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. Yes. Q. You mentioned when Mr. Morris was asking you the NAV error, N-A-V error, with respect to TerreStar, without writing us a
1WATERHOUSE - 10-19-212Q. Okay. Now, I'm going to ask you a3similar question but for a different entity.4In May of 2019, as the treasurer of5HCMFA, did you believe that you unilaterally6had the ability to cause HCMFA to become the7borrower of a \$5 million loan and a8\$2.4 million loan?9MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form10of the question.11A. No.12Q. What would what would the13approval have taken place strike that.	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. That was James Jim Dondero. Q. So do I understand that in May of 2019, on behalf of both the lender, Highland, and the borrower, HCMFA, Mr. Dondero would have had to approve \$7.4 million in loans? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. Yes. Q. You mentioned when Mr. Morris was asking you the NAV error, N-A-V error, with respect to TerreStar, without writing us a novel, unless you feel like you have to, can
1WATERHOUSE - 10-19-212Q. Okay. Now, I'm going to ask you a3similar question but for a different entity.4In May of 2019, as the treasurer of5HCMFA, did you believe that you unilaterally6had the ability to cause HCMFA to become the7borrower of a \$5 million loan and a8\$2.4 million loan?9MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form10of the question.11A. No.12Q. What would - what would the13approval have taken place - strike that.14What would the approval process have	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. That was James – Jim Dondero. Q. So do I understand that in May of 2019, on behalf of both the lender, Highland, and the borrower, HCMFA, Mr. Dondero would have had to approve \$7.4 million in loans? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. Yes. Q. You mentioned when Mr. Morris was asking you the NAV error, N-A-V error, with respect to TerreStar, without writing us a novel, unless you feel like you have to, can you summarize what that NAV error was? What
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. Okay. Now, I'm going to ask you a similar question but for a different entity. In May of 2019, as the treasurer of HCMFA, did you believe that you unilaterally had the ability to cause HCMFA to become the borrower of a \$5 million loan and a \$2.4 million loan? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. No. Q. What would what would the approval have taken place strike that. What would the approval process have been like in May of 2019 at HCMFA for HCMFA to 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. That was James – Jim Dondero. Q. So do I understand that in May of 2019, on behalf of both the lender, Highland, and the borrower, HCMFA, Mr. Dondero would have had to approve \$7.4 million in loans? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. Yes. Q. You mentioned when Mr. Morris was asking you the NAV error, N-A-V error, with respect to TerreStar, without writing us a novel, unless you feel like you have to, can you summarize what that NAV error was? What
1WATERHOUSE - 10-19-212Q. Okay. Now, I'm going to ask you a3similar question but for a different entity.4In May of 2019, as the treasurer of5HCMFA, did you believe that you unilaterally6had the ability to cause HCMFA to become the7borrower of a \$5 million loan and a8\$2.4 million loan?9MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form10of the question.11A. No.12Q. What would – what would the13approval have taken place – strike that.14What would the approval process have15been like in May of 2019 at HCMFA for HCMFA to16take out a \$7.4 million loan?	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. That was James – Jim Dondero. Q. So do I understand that in May of 2019, on behalf of both the lender, Highland, and the borrower, HCMFA, Mr. Dondero would have had to approve \$7.4 million in loans? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. Yes. Q. You mentioned when Mr. Morris was asking you the NAV error, N-A-V error, with respect to TerreStar, without writing us a novel, unless you feel like you have to, can you summarize what that NAV error was? What happened? A. There was a – in the Highland
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 Q. Okay. Now, I'm going to ask you a 3 similar question but for a different entity. 4 In May of 2019, as the treasurer of 5 HCMFA, did you believe that you unilaterally 6 had the ability to cause HCMFA to become the 7 borrower of a \$5 million loan and a 8 \$2.4 million loan? 9 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 10 of the question. 11 A. No. 12 Q. What would – what would the 13 approval have taken place – strike that. 14 What would the approval process have 15 been like in May of 2019 at HCMFA for HCMFA to 16 take out a \$7.4 million loan? 17 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. That was James Jim Dondero. Q. So do I understand that in May of 2019, on behalf of both the lender, Highland, and the borrower, HCMFA, Mr. Dondero would have had to approve \$7.4 million in loans? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. Yes. Q. You mentioned when Mr. Morris was asking you the NAV error, N-A-V error, with respect to TerreStar, without writing us a novel, unless you feel like you have to, can you summarize what that NAV error was? What happened? A. There was a - in the Highland Global Allocation Fund, it owned at the time an
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 Q. Okay. Now, I'm going to ask you a 3 similar question but for a different entity. 4 In May of 2019, as the treasurer of 5 HCMFA, did you believe that you unilaterally 6 had the ability to cause HCMFA to become the 7 borrower of a \$5 million loan and a 8 \$2.4 million loan? 9 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 10 of the question. 11 A. No. 12 Q. What would what would the 13 approval have taken place strike that. 14 What would the approval process have 15 been like in May of 2019 at HCMFA for HCMFA to 16 take out a \$7.4 million loan? 17 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 18 of the question.	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. That was James – Jim Dondero. Q. So do I understand that in May of 2019, on behalf of both the lender, Highland, and the borrower, HCMFA, Mr. Dondero would have had to approve \$7.4 million in loans? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. Yes. Q. You mentioned when Mr. Morris was asking you the NAV error, N-A-V error, with respect to TerreStar, without writing us a novel, unless you feel like you have to, can you summarize what that NAV error was? What happened? A. There was a – in the Highland Global Allocation Fund, it owned at the time an equity interest in a company called TerreStar.
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 Q. Okay. Now, I'm going to ask you a 3 similar question but for a different entity. 4 In May of 2019, as the treasurer of 5 HCMFA, did you believe that you unilaterally 6 had the ability to cause HCMFA to become the 7 borrower of a \$5 million loan and a 8 \$2.4 million loan? 9 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 10 of the question. 11 A. No. 12 Q. What would – what would the 13 approval have taken place – strike that. 14 What would the approval process have 15 been like in May of 2019 at HCMFA for HCMFA to 16 take out a \$7.4 million loan? 17 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 18 of the question. 19 A. The process would have been similar	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. That was James – Jim Dondero. Q. So do I understand that in May of 2019, on behalf of both the lender, Highland, and the borrower, HCMFA, Mr. Dondero would have had to approve \$7.4 million in loans? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. Yes. Q. You mentioned when Mr. Morris was asking you the NAV error, N-A-V error, with respect to TerreStar, without writing us a novel, unless you feel like you have to, can you summarize what that NAV error was? What happened? A. There was a – in the Highland Global Allocation Fund, it owned at the time an equity interest in a company called TerreStar. And TerreStar is – at the time was a private
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 Q. Okay. Now, I'm going to ask you a 3 similar question but for a different entity. 4 In May of 2019, as the treasurer of 5 HCMFA, did you believe that you unilaterally 6 had the ability to cause HCMFA to become the 7 borrower of a \$5 million loan and a 8 \$2.4 million loan? 9 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 10 of the question. 11 A. No. 12 Q. What would – what would the 13 approval have taken place – strike that. 14 What would the approval process have 15 been like in May of 2019 at HCMFA for HCMFA to 16 take out a \$7.4 million loan? 17 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 18 of the question. 19 A. The process would have been similar 20 to what we just discussed on – for Highland to	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. That was James Jim Dondero. Q. So do I understand that in May of 2019, on behalf of both the lender, Highland, and the borrower, HCMFA, Mr. Dondero would have had to approve \$7.4 million in loans? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. Yes. Q. You mentioned when Mr. Morris was asking you the NAV error, N-A-V error, with respect to TerreStar, without writing us a novel, unless you feel like you have to, can you summarize what that NAV error was? What happened? A. There was a in the Highland Global Allocation Fund, it owned at the time an equity interest in a company called TerreStar. And TerreStar is at the time was a private company, and it may still be today. Again, I'm
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 Q. Okay. Now, I'm going to ask you a 3 similar question but for a different entity. 4 In May of 2019, as the treasurer of 5 HCMFA, did you believe that you unilaterally 6 had the ability to cause HCMFA to become the 7 borrower of a \$5 million loan and a 8 \$2.4 million loan? 9 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 10 of the question. 11 A. No. 12 Q. What would – what would the 13 approval have taken place – strike that. 14 What would the approval process have 15 been like in May of 2019 at HCMFA for HCMFA to 16 take out a \$7.4 million loan? 17 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 18 of the question. 19 A. The process would have been similar 20 to what we just discussed on – for Highland to 21 make a loan to others. So, again, you know,	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. That was James – Jim Dondero. Q. So do I understand that in May of 2019, on behalf of both the lender, Highland, and the borrower, HCMFA, Mr. Dondero would have had to approve \$7.4 million in loans? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. Yes. Q. You mentioned when Mr. Morris was asking you the NAV error, N-A-V error, with respect to TerreStar, without writing us a novel, unless you feel like you have to, can you summarize what that NAV error was? What happened? A. There was a – in the Highland Global Allocation Fund, it owned at the time an equity interest in a company called TerreStar. And TerreStar is – at the time was a private company, and it may still be today. Again, I'm putting myself back then as a private company.
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 Q. Okay. Now, I'm going to ask you a 3 similar question but for a different entity. 4 In May of 2019, as the treasurer of 5 HCMFA, did you believe that you unilaterally 6 had the ability to cause HCMFA to become the 7 borrower of a \$5 million loan and a 8 \$2.4 million loan? 9 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 10 of the question. 11 A. No. 12 Q. What would – what would the 13 approval have taken place – strike that. 14 What would the approval process have 15 been like in May of 2019 at HCMFA for HCMFA to 16 take out a \$7.4 million loan? 17 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 18 of the question. 19 A. The process would have been similar 20 to what we just discussed on – for Highland to 21 make a loan to others. So, again, you know, 22 we – we would have – either myself or someone	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. That was James – Jim Dondero. Q. So do I understand that in May of 2019, on behalf of both the lender, Highland, and the borrower, HCMFA, Mr. Dondero would have had to approve \$7.4 million in loans? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. Yes. Q. You mentioned when Mr. Morris was asking you the NAV error, N-A-V error, with respect to TerreStar, without writing us a novel, unless you feel like you have to, can you summarize what that NAV error was? What happened? A. There was a – in the Highland Global Allocation Fund, it owned at the time an equity interest in a company called TerreStar. And TerreStar is – at the time was a private company, and it may still be today. Again, I'm putting myself back then as a private company. We had – sorry, I don't mean we –
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 Q. Okay. Now, I'm going to ask you a 3 similar question but for a different entity. 4 In May of 2019, as the treasurer of 5 HCMFA, did you believe that you unilaterally 6 had the ability to cause HCMFA to become the 7 borrower of a \$5 million loan and a 8 \$2.4 million loan? 9 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 10 of the question. 11 A. No. 12 Q. What would – what would the 13 approval have taken place – strike that. 14 What would the approval process have 15 been like in May of 2019 at HCMFA for HCMFA to 16 take out a \$7.4 million loan? 17 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 18 of the question. 19 A. The process would have been similar 20 to what we just discussed on – for Highland to 21 make a loan to others. So, again, you know, 22 we – we would have – either myself or someone 23 on the team would have discussed this with	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. That was James – Jim Dondero. Q. So do I understand that in May of 2019, on behalf of both the lender, Highland, and the borrower, HCMFA, Mr. Dondero would have had to approve \$7.4 million in loans? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. Yes. Q. You mentioned when Mr. Morris was asking you the NAV error, N-A-V error, with respect to TerreStar, without writing us a novel, unless you feel like you have to, can you summarize what that NAV error was? What happened? A. There was a – in the Highland Global Allocation Fund, it owned at the time an equity interest in a company called TerreStar. And TerreStar is – at the time was a private company, and it may still be today. Again, I'm putting myself back then as a private company. We had – sorry, I don't mean we – the fund and the advisor used Houlihan Lokey
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 Q. Okay. Now, I'm going to ask you a 3 similar question but for a different entity. 4 In May of 2019, as the treasurer of 5 HCMFA, did you believe that you unilaterally 6 had the ability to cause HCMFA to become the 7 borrower of a \$5 million loan and a 8 \$2.4 million loan? 9 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 10 of the question. 11 A. No. 12 Q. What would – what would the 13 approval have taken place – strike that. 14 What would the approval process have 15 been like in May of 2019 at HCMFA for HCMFA to 16 take out a \$7.4 million loan? 17 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 18 of the question. 19 A. The process would have been similar 20 to what we just discussed on – for Highland to 21 make a loan to others. So, again, you know, 22 we – we would have discussed this with 24 the – the president and owner of – of HCMFA.	1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 A. That was James – Jim Dondero. 3 Q. So do I understand that in May of 4 2019, on behalf of both the lender, Highland, 5 and the borrower, HCMFA, Mr. Dondero would have 6 had to approve \$7.4 million in loans? 7 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 8 of the question. 9 A. Yes. 10 Q. You mentioned when Mr. Morris was 11 asking you the NAV error, N-A-V error, with 12 respect to TerreStar, without writing us a 13 novel, unless you feel like you have to, can 14 you summarize what that NAV error was? What 15 happened? 16 A. There was a – in the Highland 17 Global Allocation Fund, it owned at the time an 18 equity interest in a company called TerreStar. 19 And TerreStar is – at the time was a private 20 company, and it may still be today. Again, I'm 21 yue had – sorry, I don't mean we – 23 the fund and the advisor used Houlihan Lokey 24 to – to value that investment. And during
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 Q. Okay. Now, I'm going to ask you a 3 similar question but for a different entity. 4 In May of 2019, as the treasurer of 5 HCMFA, did you believe that you unilaterally 6 had the ability to cause HCMFA to become the 7 borrower of a \$5 million loan and a 8 \$2.4 million loan? 9 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 10 of the question. 11 A. No. 12 Q. What would – what would the 13 approval have taken place – strike that. 14 What would the approval process have 15 been like in May of 2019 at HCMFA for HCMFA to 16 take out a \$7.4 million loan? 17 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 18 of the question. 19 A. The process would have been similar 20 to what we just discussed on – for Highland to 21 make a loan to others. So, again, you know, 22 we – we would have – either myself or someone 23 on the team would have discussed this with	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. That was James – Jim Dondero. Q. So do I understand that in May of 2019, on behalf of both the lender, Highland, and the borrower, HCMFA, Mr. Dondero would have had to approve \$7.4 million in loans? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. Yes. Q. You mentioned when Mr. Morris was asking you the NAV error, N-A-V error, with respect to TerreStar, without writing us a novel, unless you feel like you have to, can you summarize what that NAV error was? What happened? A. There was a – in the Highland Global Allocation Fund, it owned at the time an equity interest in a company called TerreStar. And TerreStar is – at the time was a private company, and it may still be today. Again, I'm putting myself back then as a private company. We had – sorry, I don't mean we – the fund and the advisor used Houlihan Lokey

	Dere 274	1		Dega 275
1	Page 274 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 275
2	executed at market levels that were much lower	2	And given that there was this fund	
3	than the Houlihan Lokey model.	3	was, as we discussed – I don't know if we	
4	And based on information and	4	discussed it, but it was an open-ended fund	
5	discussions with the portfolio managers and,	5	that was going – that was converting to a	
6	you know, principals that were very familiar	6	close-end fund.	
7	with TerreStar, it was determined that those	7	Due to the fact that it was an	
8	trades were non-orderly and they were not	8	open-ended fund, you had to recalculate NAV and	
9	considered in the valuation as consulted with	9	see what the impact was on people – on	
10	Houlihan Lokey and PricewaterhouseCoopers at	10	investors coming in and out of the fund and if	
11	the time.	11	there is a detrimental impact and to calculate	
12	Subsequent to a – I can't remember	12	what that – what that impact was and if there	
13	the exact circumstances of why the SEC got	13	was any amounts owed to the fund pursuant to	
14	involved. I think it was due to this this	14	the error.	
15	investment became a material position in the	15	Q. Were you personally involved	
16	fund. It triggered an SEC, kind of, inquiry.	16	internally at either Highland or HCMFA with	
17	And as part of that inquiry, they questioned	17	these investigations and discussions with the	
18	the valuation methodology. "They" meaning the	18	SEC?	
19	SEC.	19	A. Iwas.	
20	And at the culmination of that	20	Q. Which other key people or senior	
21	process – this is all summarized – the value	21	people at Highland were involved, to your	
22	that was – that ultimately had to be used in	22	recollection?	
23	the fund's NAV was different than – materially	23	A. Myself, Thomas Surgent, David Klos,	
23	different than what the original valuation at	24	Lauren Thedford, Jason Post.	
24	-	24	Q. Mr. Dondero, was he –	
120	riouilian Lokey provided.	20		
	Page 276 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 277
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 277
2	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. I believe Cliff Stoops. I'm trying	2	A. I don't recall specifically.	Page 277
23	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. I believe Cliff Stoops. I'm trying to think. And maybe that is – that is – that	23	A. I don't recall specifically.Q. Do you recall whether HCMFA caused	Page 277
2 3 4	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. I believe Cliff Stoops. I'm trying to think. And maybe that is – that is – that is – that is all kind I can recall at the	2 3 4	A. I don't recall specifically.Q. Do you recall whether HCMFA caused any funds to be paid to the investors and the	Page 277
2 3 4 5	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. I believe Cliff Stoops. I'm trying to think. And maybe that is – that is – that is – that is all kind I can recall at the moment.	2 3 4 5	A. I don't recall specifically.Q. Do you recall whether HCMFA caused any funds to be paid to the investors and the fund the subject of the NAV error?	Page 277
2 3 4	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. I believe Cliff Stoops. I'm trying to think. And maybe that is – that is – that is – that is all kind I can recall at the moment. Q. Do you recall whether it was	2 3 4 5 6	 A. I don't recall specifically. Q. Do you recall whether HCMFA caused any funds to be paid to the investors and the fund the subject of the NAV error? A. Yes. 	Page 277
2 3 4 5 6 7	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. I believe Cliff Stoops. I'm trying to think. And maybe that is – that is – that is – that is all kind I can recall at the moment. Q. Do you recall whether it was determined that the fund suffered losses as a	2 3 4 5 6 7	 A. I don't recall specifically. Q. Do you recall whether HCMFA caused any funds to be paid to the investors and the fund the subject of the NAV error? A. Yes. Q. Do you recall the approximate amount 	Page 277
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. I believe Cliff Stoops. I'm trying to think. And maybe that is – that is – that is – that is all kind I can recall at the moment. Q. Do you recall whether it was determined that the fund suffered losses as a result of this error?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A. I don't recall specifically. Q. Do you recall whether HCMFA caused any funds to be paid to the investors and the fund the subject of the NAV error? A. Yes. Q. Do you recall the approximate amount of funds, moneys paid to the investors and the 	Page 277
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. I believe Cliff Stoops. I'm trying to think. And maybe that is – that is – that is – that is all kind I can recall at the moment. Q. Do you recall whether it was determined that the fund suffered losses as a result of this error? A. The – the fund – the – the –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. I don't recall specifically. Q. Do you recall whether HCMFA caused any funds to be paid to the investors and the fund the subject of the NAV error? A. Yes. Q. Do you recall the approximate amount of funds, moneys paid to the investors and the fund? 	Page 277
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. I believe Cliff Stoops. I'm trying to think. And maybe that is – that is – that is – that is all kind I can recall at the moment. Q. Do you recall whether it was determined that the fund suffered losses as a result of this error? A. The – the fund – the – the – because the open-ended nature of the fund,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A. I don't recall specifically. Q. Do you recall whether HCMFA caused any funds to be paid to the investors and the fund the subject of the NAV error? A. Yes. Q. Do you recall the approximate amount of funds, moneys paid to the investors and the fund? A. It was – it was approximately 	Page 277
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. I believe Cliff Stoops. I'm trying to think. And maybe that is – that is – that is – that is all kind I can recall at the moment. Q. Do you recall whether it was determined that the fund suffered losses as a result of this error? A. The – the fund – the – the – because the open-ended nature of the fund, there were losses that were attributable to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 A. I don't recall specifically. Q. Do you recall whether HCMFA caused any funds to be paid to the investors and the fund the subject of the NAV error? A. Yes. Q. Do you recall the approximate amount of funds, moneys paid to the investors and the fund? A. It was – it was approximately \$7 million. 	Page 277
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. I believe Cliff Stoops. I'm trying to think. And maybe that is – that is – that is – that is all kind I can recall at the moment. Q. Do you recall whether it was determined that the fund suffered losses as a result of this error? A. The – the fund – the – the – because the open-ended nature of the fund, there were losses that were attributable to investors. Meaning they – they would have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A. I don't recall specifically. Q. Do you recall whether HCMFA caused any funds to be paid to the investors and the fund the subject of the NAV error? A. Yes. Q. Do you recall the approximate amount of funds, moneys paid to the investors and the fund? A. It was – it was approximately \$7 million. Q. If I was to suggest 7.8 million, 	Page 277
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. I believe Cliff Stoops. I'm trying to think. And maybe that is – that is – that is – that is all kind I can recall at the moment. Q. Do you recall whether it was determined that the fund suffered losses as a result of this error? A. The – the fund – the – the – because the open-ended nature of the fund, there were losses that were attributable to investors. Meaning they – they would have redeemed and got a less money or – or they	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. I don't recall specifically. Q. Do you recall whether HCMFA caused any funds to be paid to the investors and the fund the subject of the NAV error? A. Yes. Q. Do you recall the approximate amount of funds, moneys paid to the investors and the fund? A. It was – it was approximately \$7 million. Q. If I was to suggest 7.8 million, would that ring more true or are you sticking 	Page 277
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. I believe Cliff Stoops. I'm trying to think. And maybe that is – that is – that is – that is all kind I can recall at the moment. Q. Do you recall whether it was determined that the fund suffered losses as a result of this error? A. The – the fund – the – the – because the open-ended nature of the fund, there were losses that were attributable to investors. Meaning they – they would have redeemed and got a less money or – or they subscribed in and maybe because they didn't get	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. I don't recall specifically. Q. Do you recall whether HCMFA caused any funds to be paid to the investors and the fund the subject of the NAV error? A. Yes. Q. Do you recall the approximate amount of funds, moneys paid to the investors and the fund? A. It was – it was approximately \$7 million. Q. If I was to suggest 7.8 million, would that ring more true or are you sticking with your original answer? 	Page 277
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. I believe Cliff Stoops. I'm trying to think. And maybe that is – that is – that is – that is all kind I can recall at the moment. Q. Do you recall whether it was determined that the fund suffered losses as a result of this error? A. The – the fund – the – the – because the open-ended nature of the fund, there were losses that were attributable to investors. Meaning they – they would have redeemed and got a less money or – or they subscribed in and maybe because they didn't get enough shares and then they later sold and then	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. I don't recall specifically. Q. Do you recall whether HCMFA caused any funds to be paid to the investors and the fund the subject of the NAV error? A. Yes. Q. Do you recall the approximate amount of funds, moneys paid to the investors and the fund? A. It was – it was approximately \$7 million. Q. If I was to suggest 7.8 million, would that ring more true or are you sticking with your original answer? A. It was – it was approximately 7 – 	Page 277
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. I believe Cliff Stoops. I'm trying to think. And maybe that is that is that is that is all kind I can recall at the moment. Q. Do you recall whether it was determined that the fund suffered losses as a result of this error? A. The the fund the the because the open-ended nature of the fund, there were losses that were attributable to investors. Meaning they they would have redeemed and got a less money or or they subscribed in and maybe because they didn't get enough shares and then they later sold and then they were harmed in that fashion.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. I don't recall specifically. Q. Do you recall whether HCMFA caused any funds to be paid to the investors and the fund the subject of the NAV error? A. Yes. Q. Do you recall the approximate amount of funds, moneys paid to the investors and the fund? A. It was – it was approximately \$7 million. Q. If I was to suggest 7.8 million, would that ring more true or are you sticking with your original answer? A. It was – it was approximately 7 – 7 to \$8 million. Again, I don't remember the 	Page 277
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. I believe Cliff Stoops. I'm trying to think. And maybe that is – that is – that is – that is all kind I can recall at the moment. Q. Do you recall whether it was determined that the fund suffered losses as a result of this error? A. The – the fund – the – the – because the open-ended nature of the fund, there were losses that were attributable to investors. Meaning they – they would have redeemed and got a less money or – or they subscribed in and maybe because they didn't get enough shares and then they later sold and then they were harmed in that fashion. And there is – there is – there	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. I don't recall specifically. Q. Do you recall whether HCMFA caused any funds to be paid to the investors and the fund the subject of the NAV error? A. Yes. Q. Do you recall the approximate amount of funds, moneys paid to the investors and the fund? A. It was – it was approximately \$7 million. Q. If I was to suggest 7.8 million, would that ring more true or are you sticking with your original answer? A. It was – it was approximately 7 – 7 to \$8 million. Again, I don't remember the exact number, but it was in that ballpark. 	Page 277
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. I believe Cliff Stoops. I'm trying to think. And maybe that is – that is – that is – that is all kind I can recall at the moment. Q. Do you recall whether it was determined that the fund suffered losses as a result of this error? A. The – the fund – the – the – because the open-ended nature of the fund, there were losses that were attributable to investors. Meaning they – they would have redeemed and got a less money or – or they subscribed in and maybe because they didn't get enough shares and then they later sold and then they were harmed in that fashion. And there is – there is – there were very – there were very detailed	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. I don't recall specifically. Q. Do you recall whether HCMFA caused any funds to be paid to the investors and the fund the subject of the NAV error? A. Yes. Q. Do you recall the approximate amount of funds, moneys paid to the investors and the fund? A. It was – it was approximately \$7 million. Q. If I was to suggest 7.8 million, would that ring more true or are you sticking with your original answer? A. It was – it was approximately 7 – 7 to \$8 million. Again, I don't remember the exact number, but it was in that ballpark. Q. So regardless of whether HCMFA 	Page 277
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 9	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. I believe Cliff Stoops. I'm trying to think. And maybe that is that is that is that is all kind I can recall at the moment. Q. Do you recall whether it was determined that the fund suffered losses as a result of this error? A. The the fund the the because the open-ended nature of the fund, there were losses that were attributable to investors. Meaning they they would have redeemed and got a less money or or they subscribed in and maybe because they didn't get enough shares and then they later sold and then they were harmed in that fashion. And there is there is there were very there were very detailed calculations and, you know, all these different	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A. I don't recall specifically. Q. Do you recall whether HCMFA caused any funds to be paid to the investors and the fund the subject of the NAV error? A. Yes. Q. Do you recall the approximate amount of funds, moneys paid to the investors and the fund? A. It was – it was approximately \$7 million. Q. If I was to suggest 7.8 million, would that ring more true or are you sticking with your original answer? A. It was – it was approximately 7 – 7 to \$8 million. Again, I don't remember the exact number, but it was in that ballpark. Q. So regardless of whether HCMFA accepted fault or liability, it caused some 	Page 277
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. I believe Cliff Stoops. I'm trying to think. And maybe that is – that is – that is – that is all kind I can recall at the moment. Q. Do you recall whether it was determined that the fund suffered losses as a result of this error? A. The – the fund – the – the – because the open-ended nature of the fund, there were losses that were attributable to investors. Meaning they – they would have redeemed and got a less money or – or they subscribed in and maybe because they didn't get enough shares and then they later sold and then they were harmed in that fashion. And there is – there is – there were very – there were very detailed calculations and, you know, all these different scenarios that we had to – I'm sorry, I keep	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. I don't recall specifically. Q. Do you recall whether HCMFA caused any funds to be paid to the investors and the fund the subject of the NAV error? A. Yes. Q. Do you recall the approximate amount of funds, moneys paid to the investors and the fund? A. It was – it was approximately \$7 million. Q. If I was to suggest 7.8 million, would that ring more true or are you sticking with your original answer? A. It was – it was approximately 7 – 7 to \$8 million. Again, I don't remember the exact number, but it was in that ballpark. Q. So regardless of whether HCMFA accepted fault or liability, it caused some \$7 million or more to be paid out to affected 	Page 277
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. I believe Cliff Stoops. I'm trying to think. And maybe that is – that is – that is – that is all kind I can recall at the moment. Q. Do you recall whether it was determined that the fund suffered losses as a result of this error? A. The – the fund – the – the – because the open-ended nature of the fund, there were losses that were attributable to investors. Meaning they – they would have redeemed and got a less money or – or they subscribed in and maybe because they didn't get enough shares and then they later sold and then they were harmed in that fashion. And there is – there is – there were very – there were very detailed calculations and, you know, all these different scenarios that we had to – I'm sorry, I keep saying "we" – that the individuals involved	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. I don't recall specifically. Q. Do you recall whether HCMFA caused any funds to be paid to the investors and the fund the subject of the NAV error? A. Yes. Q. Do you recall the approximate amount of funds, moneys paid to the investors and the fund? A. It was – it was approximately \$7 million. Q. If I was to suggest 7.8 million, would that ring more true or are you sticking with your original answer? A. It was – it was approximately 7 – 7 to \$8 million. Again, I don't remember the exact number, but it was in that ballpark. Q. So regardless of whether HCMFA accepted fault or liability, it caused some \$7 million or more to be paid out to affected investors in the fund? 	Page 277
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. I believe Cliff Stoops. I'm trying to think. And maybe that is – that is – that is – that is all kind I can recall at the moment. Q. Do you recall whether it was determined that the fund suffered losses as a result of this error? A. The – the fund – the – the – because the open-ended nature of the fund, there were losses that were attributable to investors. Meaning they – they would have redeemed and got a less money or – or they subscribed in and maybe because they didn't get enough shares and then they later sold and then they were harmed in that fashion. And there is – there is – there were very – there were very detailed calculations and, you know, all these different scenarios that we had to – I'm sorry, I keep saying "we" – that the individuals involved had to calculate and quantify.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. I don't recall specifically. Q. Do you recall whether HCMFA caused any funds to be paid to the investors and the fund the subject of the NAV error? A. Yes. Q. Do you recall the approximate amount of funds, moneys paid to the investors and the fund? A. It was – it was approximately \$7 million. Q. If I was to suggest 7.8 million, would that ring more true or are you sticking with your original answer? A. It was – it was approximately 7 – 7 to \$8 million. Again, I don't remember the exact number, but it was in that ballpark. Q. So regardless of whether HCMFA accepted fault or liability, it caused some \$7 million or more to be paid out to affected investors in the fund? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 	Page 277
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 3	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. I believe Cliff Stoops. I'm trying to think. And maybe that is – that is – that is – that is all kind I can recall at the moment. Q. Do you recall whether it was determined that the fund suffered losses as a result of this error? A. The – the fund – the – the – because the open-ended nature of the fund, there were losses that were attributable to investors. Meaning they – they would have redeemed and got a less money or – or they subscribed in and maybe because they didn't get enough shares and then they later sold and then they were harmed in that fashion. And there is – there is – there were very detailed calculations and, you know, all these different scenarios that we had to – I'm sorry, I keep saying 'we'' – that the individuals involved had to calculate and quantify. Q. Well, do you recall whether HCMFA 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. I don't recall specifically. Q. Do you recall whether HCMFA caused any funds to be paid to the investors and the fund the subject of the NAV error? A. Yes. Q. Do you recall the approximate amount of funds, moneys paid to the investors and the fund? A. It was – it was approximately \$7 million. Q. If I was to suggest 7.8 million, would that ring more true or are you sticking with your original answer? A. It was – it was approximately 7 – 7 to \$8 million. Again, I don't remember the exact number, but it was in that ballpark. Q. So regardless of whether HCMFA accepted fault or liability, it caused some \$7 million or more to be paid out to affected investors in the fund? 	Page 277
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. I believe Cliff Stoops. I'm trying to think. And maybe that is – that is – that is – that is all kind I can recall at the moment. Q. Do you recall whether it was determined that the fund suffered losses as a result of this error? A. The – the fund – the – the – because the open-ended nature of the fund, there were losses that were attributable to investors. Meaning they – they would have redeemed and got a less money or – or they subscribed in and maybe because they didn't get enough shares and then they later sold and then they were harmed in that fashion. And there is – there is – there were very – there were very detailed calculations and, you know, all these different scenarios that we had to – I'm sorry, I keep saying "we" – that the individuals involved had to calculate and quantify.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. I don't recall specifically. Q. Do you recall whether HCMFA caused any funds to be paid to the investors and the fund the subject of the NAV error? A. Yes. Q. Do you recall the approximate amount of funds, moneys paid to the investors and the fund? A. It was – it was approximately \$7 million. Q. If I was to suggest 7.8 million, would that ring more true or are you sticking with your original answer? A. It was – it was approximately 7 – 7 to \$8 million. Again, I don't remember the exact number, but it was in that ballpark. Q. So regardless of whether HCMFA accepted fault or liability, it caused some \$7 million or more to be paid out to affected investors in the fund? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 	Page 277

_	Dogo 279	1		Page 279
1	Page 278 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 279
2	lot of different entities that are going on to	2	it depends on who you talk to, but yes,	
3	my head.	3	generally, there were – there are multiple	
4	I think what you are saying is based	4	agreements.	
5	on this error, shareholders were harmed by this	5	Q. Pursuant to one or more of those	
6	approximately \$7.8 million – by approximately	6	agreements, was the debtor providing certain	
7	\$7.8 million. Is that what you are asking?	7	services to HCMFA?	
8	Q. Yes, sir.	8	MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	
9	A. Yes, that was – again, I don't have	9	of the question.	
10	the exact numbers. If I take – it was – it	10	A. Yes.	
11	was in that ballpark, and there is a detail	11	Q. And can you at a very high level	
12	calculation and write-up that could, that	12	summarize in 2018 and 2019 what those services	
13	that exists someplace.	13	were?	
14	Q. Now, at that time, at the time that	14	A. Yes, there was a yes.	
15	the NAV error occurred, was there a contract in	15	Q. Okay. Please – please go – go	
16	place between HCMFA and the debtor pursuant to	16	through a short summary.	
17	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	17	A. There was a – a cost reimbursement	
18		18	agreement between Highland Capital Management	
19	MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	19	Fund Advisors and Highland Capital Management,	
20	-	20	L.P. That agreement was for what we referred	
21	A. Yes.	21	to as front office services, so investment	
22	Q. Was that contract generally called a	22	management, things of that nature.	
23		23	There was I think what most people	
24	•	24	refer to as the shared services agreement that	
25		25	was – that agreement was between Highland	
	Page 280			Dogo 291
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 281
2	Capital Management Fund Advisors and Highland	2	Q. And then you mentioned that the fund	
3	Capital Management for back office services.	3	was being closed and some compensation related	
4	Q. And can you summarize what you mean	4	to that. Can you – can you elaborate? What	
5	by back office services?	5	were you referring to?	
6	A. Those services were for accounting,	6	A. Right. So the advisor, pursuant to	
7	finance, tax, valuation, HR, IT, you know,	7	board approval, put a proposal in front of the	
8	legal compliance, things of – things of those	8	shareholders of the Highland Global Allocation	
9	nature – or things of that nature, excuse me.	9	Fund to convert it from an open-ended fund to a	
10		10	closed-end fund.	
11		11	So an open-ended fund, when	
12		12	shareholders subscribe to the fund or redeem	
13		13	into the fund, they do it at NAV.	
14	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	14	When it is – when you have a	
15		15	closed-end fund, closed-end funds are – are	
16		16	publicly-traded, like on the New York Stock	
17	•	17	Exchange, exchanges like that, and – and	
18		18	shareholders or investors, they're not	
19		19	they're – they're not subscribing and	
20		20	redeeming with the fund. They are like shares	
21	debtor, that is, had any liability to HCMFA	21	of Apple.	
22		22	Those shares of the Highland Global	
23		23	Allocation Fund trade on an exchange, and that	
24		24	is how you, you know, that is how, you know,	
25		25	you become an equity owner in the fund or you	

Dere 200		Dama 000
Page 282	1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 283
2 sell your shares and you are no longer an	2 for – for these amounts attributable to – it	
3 equity owner.	3 was either the error – you know, the error,	
4 As part of that proposal, the	4 and in that conversation he said, go get the	
5 advisor told shareholders if you if you vote	5 money from Highland. I believe that is what I	
6 for this proposal to – to convert it from an	6 testified earlier, and that – that is my	
7 open-ended fund to a closed-end fund, we will	7 recollection.	
8 pay you some amounts of money. I forgot – a	8 Q. Do you recall if that was an	
9 certain number of points. I think it was	9 in-person meeting or some other mode for the	
10 like – it was like two to three points or	10 meeting?	
11 something – something like that.	11 A. $I - I - I$ recall that being	
12 Q. Okay. You mentioned when Mr. Morris	12 in-person.	
13 was asking you, going back to those two	13 Q. Do you recall if anyone else was	
	14 present, or was it just you and Mr. Dondero?	
15 and 2.4 million, you mentioned something to the	15 A. I recall just he and I.	
16 effect that Mr. Dondero told – told you to pay	16 Q. And the moneys that he told you to	
17 some moneys out of Highland. Do you remember18 that discussion with Mr. Morris?	17 find from – or get from Highland, was that in	
	18 the amount of \$5 million and \$2.4 million?	
19 A. Ido.	19 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	
20 Q. So, to the best of your	20 of the question.	
21 recollection, did you have a discussion with	21 A. I believe so, but I would have to go	
22 Mr. Dondero about making some payments in May	22 back and look and see when those moneys were	
23 of 2019 out of Highland?	23 actually paid into the into the fund and,	
24 A. I recall, as I testified earlier,	24 you know, when those transfers were done. If	
25 that I had a conversation with Mr. Dondero	25 they were all done around that same time, then	
Page 284		Page 285
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 285
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 yes, I would say it was – it was all related	1WATERHOUSE - 10-19-212Q.Is it possible that you, having	Page 285
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 yes, I would say it was – it was all related to that. 	1WATERHOUSE - 10-19-212Q.Is it possible that you, having3heard what Mr. Dondero said and seeing funds	Page 285
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 yes, I would say it was – it was all related to that. Q. Did Mr. Dondero tell you that those 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. Is it possible that you, having heard what Mr. Dondero said and seeing funds being transferred, assumed that that would be a 	Page 285
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 yes, I would say it was – it was all related to that. Q. Did Mr. Dondero tell you that those funds would be a loan from Highland to HCMFA? 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. Is it possible that you, having heard what Mr. Dondero said and seeing funds being transferred, assumed that that would be a loan without him actually telling you that 	Page 285
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 yes, I would say it was – it was all related to that. Q. Did Mr. Dondero tell you that those funds would be a loan from Highland to HCMFA? A. I don't recall. 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. Is it possible that you, having heard what Mr. Dondero said and seeing funds being transferred, assumed that that would be a loan without him actually telling you that would be a loan? 	Page 285
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 yes, I would say it was – it was all related to that. Q. Did Mr. Dondero tell you that those funds would be a loan from Highland to HCMFA? A. I don't recall. MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. Is it possible that you, having heard what Mr. Dondero said and seeing funds being transferred, assumed that that would be a loan without him actually telling you that 	Page 285
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 yes, I would say it was – it was all related to that. Q. Did Mr. Dondero tell you that those funds would be a loan from Highland to HCMFA? A. I don't recall. MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. Is it possible that you, having heard what Mr. Dondero said and seeing funds being transferred, assumed that that would be a loan without him actually telling you that would be a loan? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. 	Page 285
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 yes, I would say it was – it was all related to that. Q. Did Mr. Dondero tell you that those funds would be a loan from Highland to HCMFA? A. I don't recall. MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. Is it possible that you, having heard what Mr. Dondero said and seeing funds being transferred, assumed that that would be a loan without him actually telling you that would be a loan? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. Sorry, I want to make sure – did I 	Page 285
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 yes, I would say it was – it was all related to that. Q. Did Mr. Dondero tell you that those funds would be a loan from Highland to HCMFA? A. I don't recall. MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. Q. Now, and forgive me, I'm probably the only non-American born here, but I speak 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. Is it possible that you, having heard what Mr. Dondero said and seeing funds being transferred, assumed that that would be a loan without him actually telling you that would be a loan? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. Sorry, I want to make sure – did I ask the amounts that were transferred that I – 	Page 285
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 yes, I would say it was – it was all related to that. Q. Did Mr. Dondero tell you that those funds would be a loan from Highland to HCMFA? A. I don't recall. MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. Q. Now, and forgive me, I'm probably 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. Is it possible that you, having heard what Mr. Dondero said and seeing funds being transferred, assumed that that would be a loan without him actually telling you that would be a loan? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. Sorry, I want to make sure – did I 	Page 285
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 yes, I would say it was – it was all related to that. Q. Did Mr. Dondero tell you that those funds would be a loan from Highland to HCMFA? A. I don't recall. MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. Q. Now, and forgive me, I'm probably the only non-American born here, but I speak 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. Is it possible that you, having heard what Mr. Dondero said and seeing funds being transferred, assumed that that would be a loan without him actually telling you that would be a loan? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. Sorry, I want to make sure – did I ask the amounts that were transferred that I – 	Page 285
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 yes, I would say it was – it was all related to that. Q. Did Mr. Dondero tell you that those funds would be a loan from Highland to HCMFA? A. I don't recall. MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. Q. Now, and forgive me, I'm probably the only non-American born here, but I speak reasonably well in English. I don't recall, 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. Is it possible that you, having heard what Mr. Dondero said and seeing funds being transferred, assumed that that would be a loan without him actually telling you that would be a loan? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. Sorry, I want to make sure – did I ask the amounts that were transferred that I – that – that I assumed that that was a loan? 	Page 285
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 yes, I would say it was – it was all related to that. Q. Did Mr. Dondero tell you that those funds would be a loan from Highland to HCMFA? A. I don't recall. MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. Q. Now, and forgive me, I'm probably the only non-American born here, but I speak reasonably well in English. I don't recall, does that mean you don't remember or does that 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. Is it possible that you, having heard what Mr. Dondero said and seeing funds being transferred, assumed that that would be a loan without him actually telling you that would be a loan? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. Sorry, I want to make sure – did I ask the amounts that were transferred that I – that – that I assumed that that was a loan? Q. Well, let me – let me take – let 	Page 285
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 yes, I would say it was – it was all related to that. Q. Did Mr. Dondero tell you that those funds would be a loan from Highland to HCMFA? A. I don't recall. MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. Q. Now, and forgive me, I'm probably the only non-American born here, but I speak reasonably well in English. I don't recall, does that mean you don't remember or does that mean it didn't happen? 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. Is it possible that you, having heard what Mr. Dondero said and seeing funds being transferred, assumed that that would be a loan without him actually telling you that would be a loan? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. Sorry, I want to make sure – did I ask the amounts that were transferred that I – that – that I assumed that that was a loan? Q. Well, let me – let me take – let me try again. 	Page 285
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 yes, I would say it was – it was all related to that. Q. Did Mr. Dondero tell you that those funds would be a loan from Highland to HCMFA? A. I don't recall. MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. Q. Now, and forgive me, I'm probably the only non-American born here, but I speak reasonably well in English. I don't recall, does that mean you don't remember or does that mean it didn't happen? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. Is it possible that you, having heard what Mr. Dondero said and seeing funds being transferred, assumed that that would be a loan without him actually telling you that would be a loan? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. Sorry, I want to make sure – did I ask the amounts that were transferred that I – that – that I assumed that that was a loan? Q. Well, let me – let me take – let me try again. So you have established already that 	Page 285
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 yes, I would say it was – it was all related to that. Q. Did Mr. Dondero tell you that those funds would be a loan from Highland to HCMFA? A. I don't recall. MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. Q. Now, and forgive me, I'm probably the only non-American born here, but I speak reasonably well in English. I don't recall, does that mean you don't remember or does that mean it didn't happen? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. Is it possible that you, having heard what Mr. Dondero said and seeing funds being transferred, assumed that that would be a loan without him actually telling you that would be a loan? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. Sorry, I want to make sure – did I ask the amounts that were transferred that I – that – that I assumed that that was a loan? Q. Well, let me – let me take – let me try again. So you have established already that there were quite a number of promissory notes 	Page 285
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 yes, I would say it was – it was all related to that. Q. Did Mr. Dondero tell you that those funds would be a loan from Highland to HCMFA? A. I don't recall. MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. Q. Now, and forgive me, I'm probably the only non-American born here, but I speak reasonably well in English. I don't recall, does that mean you don't remember or does that mean it didn't happen? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. It – it means I don't – I don't 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. Is it possible that you, having heard what Mr. Dondero said and seeing funds being transferred, assumed that that would be a loan without him actually telling you that would be a loan? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. Sorry, I want to make sure – did I ask the amounts that were transferred that I – that – that I assumed that that was a loan? Q. Well, let me – let me take – let me try again. So you have established already that there were quite a number of promissory notes back and forth – I'm sorry, quite a number of 	Page 285
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 yes, I would say it was – it was all related to that. Q. Did Mr. Dondero tell you that those funds would be a loan from Highland to HCMFA? A. I don't recall. MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. Q. Now, and forgive me, I'm probably the only non-American born here, but I speak reasonably well in English. I don't recall, does that mean you don't remember or does that mean it didn't happen? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. It – it means I don't – I don't remember. 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. Is it possible that you, having heard what Mr. Dondero said and seeing funds being transferred, assumed that that would be a loan without him actually telling you that would be a loan? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. Sorry, I want to make sure – did I ask the amounts that were transferred that I – that – that I assumed that that was a loan? Q. Well, let me – let me take – let me try again. So you have established already that there were quite a number of promissory notes back and forth – I'm sorry, quite a number of 	Page 285
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 yes, I would say it was – it was all related to that. Q. Did Mr. Dondero tell you that those funds would be a loan from Highland to HCMFA? A. I don't recall. MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. Q. Now, and forgive me, I'm probably the only non-American born here, but I speak reasonably well in English. I don't recall, does that mean you don't remember or does that mean it didn't happen? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. It – it means I don't – I don't remember. Q. Did Mr. Dondero tell you to have 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. Is it possible that you, having heard what Mr. Dondero said and seeing funds being transferred, assumed that that would be a loan without him actually telling you that would be a loan? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. Sorry, I want to make sure – did I ask the amounts that were transferred that I – that – that I assumed that that was a loan? Q. Well, let me – let me take – let me try again. So you have established already that there were quite a number of promissory notes back and forth – I'm sorry, quite a number of promissory notes with affiliated companies and individuals owing Highland money; right? 	Page 285
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 yes, I would say it was – it was all related to that. Q. Did Mr. Dondero tell you that those funds would be a loan from Highland to HCMFA? A. I don't recall. MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. Q. Now, and forgive me, I'm probably the only non-American born here, but I speak reasonably well in English. I don't recall, does that mean you don't remember or does that mean it didn't happen? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. It – it means I don't – I don't remember. Q. Did Mr. Dondero tell you to have those two promissory notes prepared? 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. Is it possible that you, having heard what Mr. Dondero said and seeing funds being transferred, assumed that that would be a loan without him actually telling you that would be a loan? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. Sorry, I want to make sure – did I ask the amounts that were transferred that I – that – that I assumed that that was a loan? Q. Well, let me – let me take – let me try again. So you have established already that there were quite a number of promissory notes back and forth – I'm sorry, quite a number of promissory notes with affiliated companies and individuals owing Highland money; right? A. Yes. 	Page 285
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 yes, I would say it was – it was all related to that. Q. Did Mr. Dondero tell you that those funds would be a loan from Highland to HCMFA? A. I don't recall. MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. Q. Now, and forgive me, I'm probably the only non-American born here, but I speak reasonably well in English. I don't recall, does that mean you don't remember or does that mean it didn't happen? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. It – it means I don't – I don't remember. Q. Did Mr. Dondero tell you to have those two promissory notes prepared? A. I don't recall. 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. Is it possible that you, having heard what Mr. Dondero said and seeing funds being transferred, assumed that that would be a loan without him actually telling you that would be a loan? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. Sorry, I want to make sure – did I ask the amounts that were transferred that I – that – that I assumed that that was a loan? Q. Well, let me – let me take – let me try again. So you have established already that there were quite a number of promissory notes back and forth – I'm sorry, quite a number of promissory notes with affiliated companies and individuals owing Highland money; right? A. Yes. Q. And you have established that there were many transactions and transfers going back 	Page 285
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 yes, I would say it was – it was all related 3 to that. 4 Q. Did Mr. Dondero tell you that those 5 funds would be a loan from Highland to HCMFA? 6 A. I don't recall. 7 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 8 of the question. 9 Q. Now, and forgive me, I'm probably 10 the only non-American born here, but I speak 11 reasonably well in English. I don't recall, 12 does that mean you don't remember or does that 13 mean it didn't happen? 14 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 15 of the question. 16 A. It – it means I don't – I don't 17 remember. 18 Q. Did Mr. Dondero tell you to have 19 those two promissory notes prepared? 20 A. I don't recall. 21 Q. When you – again, when you say, I	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. Is it possible that you, having heard what Mr. Dondero said and seeing funds being transferred, assumed that that would be a loan without him actually telling you that would be a loan? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. Sorry, I want to make sure – did I ask the amounts that were transferred that I – that – that I assumed that that was a loan? Q. Well, let me – let me take – let me try again. So you have established already that there were quite a number of promissory notes back and forth – I'm sorry, quite a number of promissory notes with affiliated companies and individuals owing Highland money; right? A. Yes. Q. And you have established that there were many transactions and transfers going back 	Page 285
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 yes, I would say it was – it was all related to that. Q. Did Mr. Dondero tell you that those funds would be a loan from Highland to HCMFA? A. I don't recall. MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. Q. Now, and forgive me, I'm probably the only non-American born here, but I speak reasonably well in English. I don't recall, does that mean you don't remember or does that mean it didn't happen? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. It – it means I don't – I don't remember. Q. Did Mr. Dondero tell you to have those two promissory notes prepared? A. I don't recall. Q. When you – again, when you say, I don't recall today, that means that sitting 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. Is it possible that you, having heard what Mr. Dondero said and seeing funds being transferred, assumed that that would be a loan without him actually telling you that would be a loan? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. Sorry, I want to make sure – did I ask the amounts that were transferred that I – that – that I assumed that that was a loan? Q. Well, let me – let me take – let me try again. So you have established already that there were quite a number of promissory notes back and forth – I'm sorry, quite a number of promissory notes with affiliated companies and individuals owing Highland money; right? A. Yes. Q. And you have established that there were many transactions and transfers going back and forth over the years; right? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 	Page 285
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 yes, I would say it was – it was all related to that. Q. Did Mr. Dondero tell you that those funds would be a loan from Highland to HCMFA? A. I don't recall. MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. Q. Now, and forgive me, I'm probably the only non-American born here, but I speak reasonably well in English. I don't recall, does that mean you don't remember or does that mean it didn't happen? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. It – it means I don't – I don't remember. Q. Did Mr. Dondero tell you to have those two promissory notes prepared? A. I don't recall. Q. When you – again, when you say, I don't recall today, that means that sitting here today, you just don't remember one way or 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. Is it possible that you, having heard what Mr. Dondero said and seeing funds being transferred, assumed that that would be a loan without him actually telling you that would be a loan? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. Sorry, I want to make sure – did I ask the amounts that were transferred that I – that – that I assumed that that was a loan? Q. Well, let me – let me take – let me try again. So you have established already that there were quite a number of promissory notes back and forth – I'm sorry, quite a number of promissory notes with affiliated companies and individuals owing Highland money; right? A. Yes. Q. And you have established that there were many transactions and transfers going back and forth over the years; right? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. 	Page 285

Casse 211-0330005-sogj Door: 1/486-3 Frided 012/05/221 Entered 012/05/221 18/1/87:442 Praye 6/4/7 off 1899

Deve 206			Daga 207
Page 286	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 287
2 Q. And that's part of the reason why	2 (Q. And that is fine. That – that –	
3 you just can't remember some of the details	3 that	is why I asked the question.	
4 today because this – this happened years ago,	4	ls it possible in May of 2019 when	
5 and there were a number of transactions. Is	5 Mr. I	Dondero told you to transfer the funds from	
6 that accurate?		hland, you just assumed on your own that	
7 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to the	-	se would be loans without him actually	
8 form.		ng you that those would be loans?	
9 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	9	MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	
10 of the question.		of the question.	
11 A. I mean, I deal with thousands of –		A. I don't know.	
$12 \text{ of } - \text{ of } + \text$		Q. I'm sorry, you –	
13 it has – the processing of transactions, you		A. I said I don't know.	
14 know, if it has got, you know, more – more		Q. Okay. Well, as the – as the CFO	
		Highland, if you saw \$7.4 million going	
15 zeros, you know, behind it than others.16 When you look at thousands of		, you would feel some responsibility to	
-			
17 transactions over the years for funds and	17 acc 18	count for that, wouldn't you?	
18 advisors and – and, you know, financial		MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	
19 statements, I mean, it is – it is very hard		of the question.	
20 going back in – in – in my – you know,		A. Yes.	
21 14-ish year career at – at Highland to		Q. Is it fair to say that those would	
22 remember a lot of those details, especially		in the range large enough to rise up to your	
23 when I don't have any records or books or	23 leve		
24 anything like that, and – and going back many	24	MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	
25 years.	25 0	of the question.	
Page 288			Page 289
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 289
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 A. If I don't know if I understand	2 c	of the question.	Page 289
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 A. If I don't know if I understand 3 your question. Those amounts would arise to my	2 c 3 A	of the question. A. I don't know. As I testified	Page 289
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. If – I don't know if I understand your question. Those amounts would arise to my level where I would be involved or 	2 c 3 A 4 earli	of the question. A. I don't know. As I testified ier, I had conversations with Mr. Dondero	Page 289
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. If – I don't know if I understand your question. Those amounts would arise to my level where I would be involved or Q. You would want to know what a 	2 c 3 <i>A</i> 4 earli 5 abou	of the question. A. I don't know. As I testified ier, I had conversations with Mr. Dondero ut – about the – the – the moneys that	Page 289
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 A. If – I don't know if I understand 3 your question. Those amounts would arise to my 4 level where I would be involved or 5 Q. You would want to know what a 6 transfer for that amount, \$7.4 million, was all	2 co 3 <i>A</i> 4 earli 5 abou 6 were	of the question. A. I don't know. As I testified ier, I had conversations with Mr. Dondero ut – about the – the – the moneys that e needed for the NAV error. And I recall	Page 289
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. If – I don't know if I understand your question. Those amounts would arise to my level where I would be involved or Q. You would want to know what a transfer for that amount, \$7.4 million, was all about, as the CFO of Highland, wouldn't you? 	2 c 3 <i>A</i> 4 earli 5 abou 6 were 7 him	of the question. A. I don't know. As I testified ier, I had conversations with Mr. Dondero ut – about the – the – the moneys that e needed for the NAV error. And I recall saying go get it from Highland – or get it	Page 289
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. If – I don't know if I understand your question. Those amounts would arise to my level where I would be involved or Q. You would want to know what a transfer for that amount, \$7.4 million, was all about, as the CFO of Highland, wouldn't you? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 	 2 3 4 4	of the question. A. I don't know. As I testified ier, I had conversations with Mr. Dondero ut – about the – the – the moneys that e needed for the NAV error. And I recall saying go get it from Highland – or get it n Highland.	Page 289
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 A. If – I don't know if I understand 3 your question. Those amounts would arise to my 4 level where I would be involved or 5 Q. You would want to know what a 6 transfer for that amount, \$7.4 million, was all 7 about, as the CFO of Highland, wouldn't you? 8 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 9 of the question.	2 c 3 A 4 earli 5 abou 6 were 7 him 8 from 9 C	of the question. A. I don't know. As I testified ier, I had conversations with Mr. Dondero ut – about the – the – the moneys that e needed for the NAV error. And I recall saying go get it from Highland – or get it n Highland. Q. Well, why did you sign those	Page 289
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. If – I don't know if I understand your question. Those amounts would arise to my level where I would be involved or Q. You would want to know what a transfer for that amount, \$7.4 million, was all about, as the CFO of Highland, wouldn't you? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. Yes, I make it – I mean, I – I 	2 c 3 <i>A</i> 4 earli 5 abou 6 were 7 him 8 from 9 C 10 prov	of the question. A. I don't know. As I testified ier, I had conversations with Mr. Dondero ut – about the – the – the moneys that e needed for the NAV error. And I recall saying go get it from Highland – or get it n Highland. Q. Well, why did you sign those missory notes and why didn't you have him	Page 289
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. If – I don't know if I understand your question. Those amounts would arise to my level where I would be involved or Q. You would want to know what a transfer for that amount, \$7.4 million, was all about, as the CFO of Highland, wouldn't you? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. Yes, I make it – I mean, I – I review all sorts of payments, I mean, even 	2 c 3 <i>A</i> 4 earli 5 abou 6 were 7 him 8 from 9 C 10 prod 11 sign	of the question. A. I don't know. As I testified ier, I had conversations with Mr. Dondero ut – about the – the – the moneys that e needed for the NAV error. And I recall saying go get it from Highland – or get it n Highland. Q. Well, why did you sign those missory notes and why didn't you have him n them?	Page 289
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. If – I don't know if I understand your question. Those amounts would arise to my level where I would be involved or Q. You would want to know what a transfer for that amount, \$7.4 million, was all about, as the CFO of Highland, wouldn't you? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. Yes, I make it – I mean, I – I review all sorts of payments, I mean, even smaller dollar payments on a periodic basis, 	2 c 3 <i>A</i> 4 earli 5 abou 6 were 7 him 8 from 9 C 10 pron 11 sign 12	of the question. A. I don't know. As I testified ier, I had conversations with Mr. Dondero ut – about the – the – the moneys that e needed for the NAV error. And I recall saying go get it from Highland – or get it n Highland. Q. Well, why did you sign those missory notes and why didn't you have him n them? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	Page 289
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. If – I don't know if I understand your question. Those amounts would arise to my level where I would be involved or Q. You would want to know what a transfer for that amount, \$7.4 million, was all about, as the CFO of Highland, wouldn't you? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. Yes, I make it – I mean, I – I review all sorts of payments, I mean, even smaller dollar payments on a periodic basis, you know, to – to – to understand and to make 	2 c 3 A 4 earli 5 abou 6 were 7 him 8 from 9 C 10 prot 11 sign 12 13 c	of the question. A. I don't know. As I testified ier, I had conversations with Mr. Dondero ut – about the – the – the moneys that e needed for the NAV error. And I recall saying go get it from Highland – or get it n Highland. Q. Well, why did you sign those missory notes and why didn't you have him n them? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question.	Page 289
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. If – I don't know if I understand your question. Those amounts would arise to my level where I would be involved or Q. You would want to know what a transfer for that amount, \$7.4 million, was all about, as the CFO of Highland, wouldn't you? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. Yes, I make it – I mean, I – I review all sorts of payments, I mean, even smaller dollar payments on a periodic basis, you know, to – to – to understand and to make sure that we are paying things in a – you 	2 cc 3 A 4 earli 5 abou 6 were 7 him 8 from 9 C 10 prod 11 sign 12 13 cc 14 cc	of the question. A. I don't know. As I testified ier, I had conversations with Mr. Dondero ut – about the – the – the moneys that e needed for the NAV error. And I recall saying go get it from Highland – or get it n Highland. Q. Well, why did you sign those missory notes and why didn't you have him n them? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. I don't know. I don't know.	Page 289
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. If – I don't know if I understand your question. Those amounts would arise to my level where I would be involved or Q. You would want to know what a transfer for that amount, \$7.4 million, was all about, as the CFO of Highland, wouldn't you? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. Yes, I make it – I mean, I – I review all sorts of payments, I mean, even smaller dollar payments on a periodic basis, you know, to – to – to understand and to make sure that we are paying things in a – you know, in – in – in an informed way. And, you 	2 cc 3 A 4 earli 5 abou 6 were 7 him 8 from 9 C 10 prol 11 sign 12 13 cc 14 A 15 cc	of the question. A. I don't know. As I testified ier, I had conversations with Mr. Dondero ut – about the – the – the moneys that e needed for the NAV error. And I recall saying go get it from Highland – or get it n Highland. Q. Well, why did you sign those missory notes and why didn't you have him n them? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. I don't know. I don't know. Q. You mentioned earlier that you	Page 289
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. If – I don't know if I understand your question. Those amounts would arise to my level where I would be involved or Q. You would want to know what a transfer for that amount, \$7.4 million, was all about, as the CFO of Highland, wouldn't you? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. Yes, I make it – I mean, I – I review all sorts of payments, I mean, even smaller dollar payments on a periodic basis, you know, to – to – to understand and to make sure that we are paying things in a – you know, in – in – in an informed way. And, you know – and we're – and we're paying things 	2 c 3 A 4 earli 5 abou 6 were 7 him 8 from 9 C 10 pron 11 sign 12 13 c 14 A 15 C	of the question. A. I don't know. As I testified ier, I had conversations with Mr. Dondero ut – about the – the – the moneys that e needed for the NAV error. And I recall saying go get it from Highland – or get it n Highland. Q. Well, why did you sign those missory notes and why didn't you have him n them? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. I don't know. I don't know. Q. You mentioned earlier that you ically don't sign promissory notes. Am I	Page 289
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. If – I don't know if I understand your question. Those amounts would arise to my level where I would be involved or Q. You would want to know what a transfer for that amount, \$7.4 million, was all about, as the CFO of Highland, wouldn't you? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. Yes, I make it – I mean, I – I review all sorts of payments, I mean, even smaller dollar payments on a periodic basis, you know, to – to – to understand and to make sure that we are paying things in a – you know, in – in – in an informed way. And, you know – and we're – and we're paying things pursuant to vendor contracts and things like 	2 C 3 A 4 earli 5 about 6 were 7 him 8 from 9 C 10 prot 11 sign 12 C 13 C 14 C 15 C 16 typin 17 rem	of the question. A. I don't know. As I testified ier, I had conversations with Mr. Dondero ut – about the – the – the moneys that e needed for the NAV error. And I recall saying go get it from Highland – or get it n Highland. Q. Well, why did you sign those missory notes and why didn't you have him n them? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. I don't know. I don't know. Q. You mentioned earlier that you ically don't sign promissory notes. Am I nembering your testimony correctly?	Page 289
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. If – I don't know if I understand your question. Those amounts would arise to my level where I would be involved or Q. You would want to know what a transfer for that amount, \$7.4 million, was all about, as the CFO of Highland, wouldn't you? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. Yes, I make it – I mean, I – I review all sorts of payments, I mean, even smaller dollar payments on a periodic basis, you know, to – to – to understand and to make sure that we are paying things in a – you know, in – in – in an informed way. And, you know – and we're – and we're paying things pursuant to vendor contracts and things like that. 	2 cc 3 A 4 earli 5 abou 6 were 7 him 8 from 9 C 10 prou 11 sign 12 13 cc 14 J 15 cc 16 typi 17 rem 18	of the question. A. I don't know. As I testified ier, I had conversations with Mr. Dondero ut – about the – the – the moneys that e needed for the NAV error. And I recall saying go get it from Highland – or get it n Highland. Q. Well, why did you sign those missory notes and why didn't you have him n them? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. I don't know. I don't know. Q. You mentioned earlier that you ically don't sign promissory notes. Am I nembering your testimony correctly? I mean, promissory notes on behalf	Page 289
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. If – I don't know if I understand your question. Those amounts would arise to my level where I would be involved or Q. You would want to know what a transfer for that amount, \$7.4 million, was all about, as the CFO of Highland, wouldn't you? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. Yes, I make it – I mean, I – I review all sorts of payments, I mean, even smaller dollar payments on a periodic basis, you know, to – to – to understand and to make sure that we are paying things in a – you know, in – in – in an informed way. And, you know – and we're – and we're paying things pursuant to vendor contracts and things like that. Q. So as part of that, is it possible 	2 c 3 A 4 earli 5 abou 6 were 7 him 8 from 9 C 10 pron 11 sign 12 13 c 14 A 15 c 16 typi 17 rem 18 19 of th	of the question. A. I don't know. As I testified ier, I had conversations with Mr. Dondero ut – about the – the – the moneys that e needed for the NAV error. And I recall saying go get it from Highland – or get it n Highland. Q. Well, why did you sign those missory notes and why didn't you have him n them? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. I don't know. I don't know. Q. You mentioned earlier that you ically don't sign promissory notes. Am I nembering your testimony correctly? I mean, promissory notes on behalf he entities. Not yourself, obviously.	Page 289
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. If – I don't know if I understand your question. Those amounts would arise to my level where I would be involved or Q. You would want to know what a transfer for that amount, \$7.4 million, was all about, as the CFO of Highland, wouldn't you? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. Yes, I make it – I mean, I – I review all sorts of payments, I mean, even smaller dollar payments on a periodic basis, you know, to – to – to understand and to make sure that we are paying things in a – you know, in – in – in an informed way. And, you know – and we're – and we're paying things pursuant to vendor contracts and things like that. Q. So as part of that, is it possible that seeing \$7.4 million go out you would have 	2 c 3 A 4 earli 5 about 6 were 7 him 8 from 9 C 10 prot 11 sign 12 - 13 - 14 - 15 - 16 typin 17 rem 18 - 19 oft the second se	of the question. A. I don't know. As I testified ier, I had conversations with Mr. Dondero ut – about the – the – the moneys that e needed for the NAV error. And I recall saying go get it from Highland – or get it n Highland. Q. Well, why did you sign those missory notes and why didn't you have him n them? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. I don't know. I don't know. Q. You mentioned earlier that you ically don't sign promissory notes. Am I nembering your testimony correctly? I mean, promissory notes on behalf he entities. Not yourself, obviously. A. Yes, that is what I said earlier.	Page 289
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. If – I don't know if I understand your question. Those amounts would arise to my level where I would be involved or Q. You would want to know what a transfer for that amount, \$7.4 million, was all about, as the CFO of Highland, wouldn't you? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. Yes, I make it – I mean, I – I review all sorts of payments, I mean, even smaller dollar payments on a periodic basis, you know, to – to – to understand and to make sure that we are paying things in a – you know, in – in – in an informed way. And, you know – and we're – and we're paying things pursuant to vendor contracts and things like that. Q. So as part of that, is it possible that seeing \$7.4 million go out you would have promissory notes made in order to keep a paper 	2 c 3 A 4 earli 5 about 6 were 7 him 8 from 9 C 10 proof 11 sign 12 13 13 c 14 c 15 c 16 typin 17 rem 18	of the question. A. I don't know. As I testified ier, I had conversations with Mr. Dondero ut – about the – the – the moneys that e needed for the NAV error. And I recall saying go get it from Highland – or get it n Highland. Q. Well, why did you sign those missory notes and why didn't you have him n them? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. I don't know. I don't know. Q. You mentioned earlier that you ically don't sign promissory notes. Am I nembering your testimony correctly? I mean, promissory notes on behalf he entities. Not yourself, obviously. A. Yes, that is what I said earlier. Q. Do you recall any other promissory	Page 289
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. If – I don't know if I understand your question. Those amounts would arise to my level where I would be involved or Q. You would want to know what a transfer for that amount, \$7.4 million, was all about, as the CFO of Highland, wouldn't you? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. Yes, I make it – I mean, I – I review all sorts of payments, I mean, even smaller dollar payments on a periodic basis, you know, to – to – to understand and to make sure that we are paying things in a – you know, in – in – in an informed way. And, you know – and we're – and we're paying things pursuant to vendor contracts and things like that. Q. So as part of that, is it possible that seeing \$7.4 million go out you would have promissory notes made in order to keep a paper trail, assuming that those were loans, when 	2 C 3 A 4 earli 5 about 6 were 7 him 8 from 9 C 10 pron 11 sign 12 C 13 C 14 D 15 C 16 typin 17 rem 18 C 19 of th 20 D 21 C 22 note	of the question. A. I don't know. As I testified ier, I had conversations with Mr. Dondero ut – about the – the – the moneys that e needed for the NAV error. And I recall saying go get it from Highland – or get it n Highland. Q. Well, why did you sign those missory notes and why didn't you have him n them? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. I don't know. I don't know. Q. You mentioned earlier that you ically don't sign promissory notes. Am I nembering your testimony correctly? I mean, promissory notes on behalf he entities. Not yourself, obviously. A. Yes, that is what I said earlier. Q. Do you recall any other promissory es in the million-plus range that you had	Page 289
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. If – I don't know if I understand your question. Those amounts would arise to my level where I would be involved or Q. You would want to know what a transfer for that amount, \$7.4 million, was all about, as the CFO of Highland, wouldn't you? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. Yes, I make it – I mean, I – I review all sorts of payments, I mean, even smaller dollar payments on a periodic basis, you know, to – to – to understand and to make sure that we are paying things in a – you know, in – in – in an informed way. And, you know – and we're – and we're paying things pursuant to vendor contracts and things like that. Q. So as part of that, is it possible that seeing \$7.4 million go out you would have promissory notes made in order to keep a paper trail, assuming that those were loans, when perhaps they were never intended to be loans by 	2 c 3 A 4 earli 5 about 6 were 7 him 8 from 9 C 10 pron 11 sign 12 13 14 J 15 C 16 typin 17 rem 18 J 20 of the 21 C 22 note 23 event	of the question. A. I don't know. As I testified ier, I had conversations with Mr. Dondero ut – about the – the – the moneys that e needed for the NAV error. And I recall saying go get it from Highland – or get it n Highland. Q. Well, why did you sign those missory notes and why didn't you have him n them? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. I don't know. I don't know. Q. You mentioned earlier that you ically don't sign promissory notes. Am I nembering your testimony correctly? I mean, promissory notes on behalf he entities. Not yourself, obviously. A. Yes, that is what I said earlier. Q. Do you recall any other promissory es in the million-plus range that you had er signed before on behalf of any entity?	Page 289
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. If – I don't know if I understand your question. Those amounts would arise to my level where I would be involved or Q. You would want to know what a transfer for that amount, \$7.4 million, was all about, as the CFO of Highland, wouldn't you? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. Yes, I make it – I mean, I – I review all sorts of payments, I mean, even smaller dollar payments on a periodic basis, you know, to – to – to understand and to make sure that we are paying things in a – you know, in – in – in an informed way. And, you know – and we're – and we're paying things pursuant to vendor contracts and things like that. Q. So as part of that, is it possible that seeing \$7.4 million go out you would have promissory notes made in order to keep a paper trail, assuming that those were loans, when 	2 c 3 A 4 earli 5 about 6 were 7 him 8 from 9 C 10 pron 11 sign 12 13 14 J 15 C 16 typin 17 rem 18 J 20 of the 21 C 22 note 23 event	of the question. A. I don't know. As I testified ier, I had conversations with Mr. Dondero ut – about the – the – the moneys that e needed for the NAV error. And I recall saying go get it from Highland – or get it n Highland. Q. Well, why did you sign those missory notes and why didn't you have him n them? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. I don't know. I don't know. Q. You mentioned earlier that you ically don't sign promissory notes. Am I nembering your testimony correctly? I mean, promissory notes on behalf he entities. Not yourself, obviously. A. Yes, that is what I said earlier. Q. Do you recall any other promissory es in the million-plus range that you had	Page 289
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. If – I don't know if I understand your question. Those amounts would arise to my level where I would be involved or Q. You would want to know what a transfer for that amount, \$7.4 million, was all about, as the CFO of Highland, wouldn't you? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. Yes, I make it – I mean, I – I review all sorts of payments, I mean, even smaller dollar payments on a periodic basis, you know, to – to – to understand and to make sure that we are paying things in a – you know, in – in – in an informed way. And, you know – and we're – and we're paying things pursuant to vendor contracts and things like that. Q. So as part of that, is it possible that seeing \$7.4 million go out you would have promissory notes made in order to keep a paper trail, assuming that those were loans, when perhaps they were never intended to be loans by 	2 C 3 A 4 earli 5 about 6 were 7 him 8 from 9 C 10 prot 11 sign 12 C 13 C 14 C 15 C 16 typic 17 rem 18 C 20 O 21 C 22 note 23 eve 24 C	of the question. A. I don't know. As I testified ier, I had conversations with Mr. Dondero ut – about the – the – the moneys that e needed for the NAV error. And I recall saying go get it from Highland – or get it n Highland. Q. Well, why did you sign those missory notes and why didn't you have him n them? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. I don't know. I don't know. Q. You mentioned earlier that you ically don't sign promissory notes. Am I nembering your testimony correctly? I mean, promissory notes on behalf he entities. Not yourself, obviously. A. Yes, that is what I said earlier. Q. Do you recall any other promissory es in the million-plus range that you had er signed before on behalf of any entity?	Page 289

Page 290	-		Dago 201
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 291
2 don't I don't recall generally. I don't	2	Q. Strike that.	
3 I don't recall.	3	Do you recall whether you sent an	
4 Q. So – but to the best of your	4	email to anyone asking them to draft those two	
5 recollection, it was on your initiative,	5	promissory notes?	
6 following your discussion with Mr. Dondero,	6	A. I don't recall because, again,	
7 that you had someone draft those two promissory	7	once – I would have instructed – likely	
8 notes; is that correct?	8	instructed the team to to work with the	
9 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	9	legal group to draft these documents.	
10 of the question.	10	I - I - I yeah, I didn't – I	
11 A. Yes, we would have – the team, as I	11	mean, that is more an operational-type	
12 stated earlier, we don't draft promissory	12	procedure. So, you know, a manager or a	
13 notes. "The team" meaning the accounting and	13	controller or working with legal. You know,	
14 finance team.	14	they – they can certainly handle that task to	
15 So the team would have worked with		get that – you know, to request that from	
	15		
16 the legal group at Highland to draft any notes.	16	legal.	
17 Q. Do you believe or do you have any	17	Q. And who on your team do you think	
18 recollection as to whether you would have done	18	you would have asked to do that?	
19 that pursuant to an email or telephone call or	19	MR. MORRIS: Objection –	
20 in-person meeting?	20	Q. Who would have been the logical	
21 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	21	person or people, if you don't remember their	
22 of the question.	22	name today?	
23 A. Are you asking if I would have – if	23	MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	
24 those notes would have been drafted pursuant to	24	of the question.	
25 an email or phone call?	25	A. It – it – there is only two	
Page 292			Page 293
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	
2 managers of the group. That would have been	2	go-ahead was to you know, we see the light	
3 Dave Klos or Kristin Hendrix.	3	at the end of the tunnel with wrapping this up	
4 Dave was the – one of his duties	4	and making shareholders whole sorry to say	
5 was managing the valuation team, and so he was	5	"we" – you know, the – so the folks that are	
6 intimately involved with this process. So, you	6	involved in it.	
7 know	7	I like to talk to people	
8 Q. Okay.	8	face-to-face and – and – and go to – and go	
9 A. I don't recall specifically but, I	9	to their desk, because that shows if I'm going	
10 mean, my general – you know, I – I – I	10	to their desk that – that is something that I	
11 likely would have talked to Dave first about it	11	want done, you know.	
12 versus someone like Kristin who hadn't been	12	Q. And do you remember, Mr. Waterhouse,	
13 intimately involved.	13	getting those two promissory notes in paper	
14 Q. And – and do you have a view as to	14	format or by email before they were executed?	
15 whether it is most likely that you would have	15	MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	
16 done that by email or in-person or how would	16	of the question.	
17 you believe you would have communicated that to	17	A. I don't recall.	
18 Mr. Klos?	18	Q. For whatever was the ordinary course	
19 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	19	back then in May 2019, would you expect to have	
20 of the question.	20	received them only on paper or would you have	
21 A. I likely would have done that in	21	expected to have received them in Word document	
22 person. Again, if things of this nature	22	or PDF document by email?	
23 that – again, you have to put ourselves back	23	MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	
24 to, we have been working on this very stressful	24	of the question.	
25 project for many, many months. And once the	25	A. I – I didn't sign – I signed very	
		•	

		Page 294			Page 205
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 294	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 295
2	few documents via email. I can't say that it		2	And my assistant, you know, if she	
3	never happened, but people either stopped by my		3	was there, she would review that – you know,	
4	office and physically walked in documents for		4	whatever was being dropped off. And if that	
5	signature that we discussed face-to-face.		5	has legal, you know, reviewed or – reviewed or	
6	Or documents were – if – if –		6	approved it, if that wasn't – if that stuff	
7	if if let's say I wasn't there or I		7	hadn't been done, it was like she would just	
8	wasn't available, documents were dropped off.		8	tell them like, go – go – go to the legal	
9	I had – I had some in- and outboxes in front		9	group, because –	
10	of my – my office there at the Crescent.		10	Q. Let me – let me pause –	
11	Documents would be dropped off for		11	MS. DANDENEAU: Let him finish.	
12	signature. There would be a cover sheet that		12	MR. MORRIS: Thank you. Go ahead.	
13	would be – have been applied to those		13	A. I take – go to the legal group	
14	documents detailing, you know, who dropped it		14	because that – that was my – you know, I	
15	off, the purpose, why, what time.		15	didn't – I didn't review anything that – that	
16	And then, you know, as I stated, I		16	they weren't – you know, or there wasn't some	
17	don't draft documents and I always go to the		17	representation made to me that they had	
18	legal group and the compliance group to make		18	reviewed, approved in some capacity.	
19	sure that they're in the loop. And there is		19	Again, my – my – my goal, as CFO,	
20	a – a box or section that says, Has legal		20	is to provide transparency and make sure that	
21	reviewed or approved, or something to that		20	groups like compliance and other things – and	
22	nature.		22	the other group in legal are – are in – you	
22	Again, I don't – I don't have		22	know, their – they're made aware of	
1	access to that cover sheet anymore, but it		23 24	-	
24	-			transactions of – you know, that are crossing	
25	was - it was something to that effect.		25	my desk.	
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 296	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 297
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Because I'm pot in every	Page 296	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 297
2	Because I'm not in every	Page 296	2	Q. Do you know – was there a file at	Page 297
23	Because I'm not in every conversation. They're not in every	Page 296	2 3	Q. Do you know – was there a file at Highland kept anywhere with ink-signed	Page 297
2 3 4	Because I'm not in every conversation. They're not in every conversation – meaning legal compliance – and	Page 296	2 3 4	Q. Do you know – was there a file at Highland kept anywhere with ink-signed originals of a promissory notes in general or	Page 297
2 3 4 5	Because I'm not in every conversation. They're not in every conversation – meaning legal compliance – and I just want to make sure that – that everyone	Page 296	2 3 4 5	Q. Do you know – was there a file at Highland kept anywhere with ink-signed originals of a promissory notes in general or these two promissory notes specifically?	Page 297
2 3 4	Because I'm not in every conversation. They're not in every conversation – meaning legal compliance – and I just want to make sure that – that everyone is in sync to, you know, to – to the extent	Page 296	2 3 4 5 6	 Q. Do you know – was there a file at Highland kept anywhere with ink-signed originals of a promissory notes in general or these two promissory notes specifically? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 	Page 297
2 3 4 5 6 7	Because I'm not in every conversation. They're not in every conversation – meaning legal compliance – and I just want to make sure that – that everyone is in sync to, you know, to – to the extent possible.	Page 296	2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. Do you know – was there a file at Highland kept anywhere with ink-signed originals of a promissory notes in general or these two promissory notes specifically? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. 	Page 297
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Because I'm not in every conversation. They're not in every conversation – meaning legal compliance – and I just want to make sure that – that everyone is in sync to, you know, to – to the extent possible. Q. So if we summarize, you don't	Page 296	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. Do you know – was there a file at Highland kept anywhere with ink-signed originals of a promissory notes in general or these two promissory notes specifically? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. Sorry, I just want to make sure I 	Page 297
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Because I'm not in every conversation. They're not in every conversation – meaning legal compliance – and I just want to make sure that – that everyone is in sync to, you know, to – to the extent possible. Q. So if we summarize, you don't specifically remember signing these two notes,	Page 296	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. Do you know – was there a file at Highland kept anywhere with ink-signed originals of a promissory notes in general or these two promissory notes specifically? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. Sorry, I just want to make sure I understand your question. Are you saying is 	Page 297
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Because I'm not in every conversation. They're not in every conversation – meaning legal compliance – and I just want to make sure that – that everyone is in sync to, you know, to – to the extent possible. Q. So if we summarize, you don't specifically remember signing these two notes, but most likely it would have been that they	Page 296	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. Do you know – was there a file at Highland kept anywhere with ink-signed originals of a promissory notes in general or these two promissory notes specifically? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. Sorry, I just want to make sure I understand your question. Are you saying is there a file somewhere that has ink-signed 	Page 297
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Because I'm not in every conversation. They're not in every conversation – meaning legal compliance – and I just want to make sure that – that everyone is in sync to, you know, to – to the extent possible. Q. So if we summarize, you don't specifically remember signing these two notes, but most likely it would have been that they would have presented – been presented to you	Page 296	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. Do you know – was there a file at Highland kept anywhere with ink-signed originals of a promissory notes in general or these two promissory notes specifically? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. Sorry, I just want to make sure I understand your question. Are you saying is there a file somewhere that has ink-signed originals of these two promissory notes? 	Page 297
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Because I'm not in every conversation. They're not in every conversation – meaning legal compliance – and I just want to make sure that – that everyone is in sync to, you know, to – to the extent possible. Q. So if we summarize, you don't specifically remember signing these two notes, but most likely it would have been that they would have presented – been presented to you physically on paper?	Page 296	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q. Do you know – was there a file at Highland kept anywhere with ink-signed originals of a promissory notes in general or these two promissory notes specifically? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. Sorry, I just want to make sure I understand your question. Are you saying is there a file somewhere that has ink-signed originals of these two promissory notes? Q. Yes. 	Page 297
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Because I'm not in every conversation. They're not in every conversation – meaning legal compliance – and I just want to make sure that – that everyone is in sync to, you know, to – to the extent possible. Q. So if we summarize, you don't specifically remember signing these two notes, but most likely it would have been that they would have presented – been presented to you physically on paper? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	Page 296	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. Do you know – was there a file at Highland kept anywhere with ink-signed originals of a promissory notes in general or these two promissory notes specifically? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. Sorry, I just want to make sure I understand your question. Are you saying is there a file somewhere that has ink-signed originals of these two promissory notes? Q. Yes. A. I would – I would assume they're 	Page 297
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Because I'm not in every conversation. They're not in every conversation – meaning legal compliance – and I just want to make sure that – that everyone is in sync to, you know, to – to the extent possible. Q. So if we summarize, you don't specifically remember signing these two notes, but most likely it would have been that they would have presented – been presented to you physically on paper? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question.	Page 296	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. Do you know – was there a file at Highland kept anywhere with ink-signed originals of a promissory notes in general or these two promissory notes specifically? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. Sorry, I just want to make sure I understand your question. Are you saying is there a file somewhere that has ink-signed originals of these two promissory notes? Q. Yes. A. I would – I would assume they're some place. I mean – 	Page 297
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Because I'm not in every conversation. They're not in every conversation – meaning legal compliance – and I just want to make sure that – that everyone is in sync to, you know, to – to the extent possible. Q. So if we summarize, you don't specifically remember signing these two notes, but most likely it would have been that they would have presented – been presented to you physically on paper? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. They would – they would have been	Page 296	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. Do you know – was there a file at Highland kept anywhere with ink-signed originals of a promissory notes in general or these two promissory notes specifically? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. Sorry, I just want to make sure I understand your question. Are you saying is there a file somewhere that has ink-signed originals of these two promissory notes? Q. Yes. A. I would – I would assume they're some place. I mean – Q. Well, was there a – was there a 	Page 297
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Because I'm not in every conversation. They're not in every conversation – meaning legal compliance – and I just want to make sure that – that everyone is in sync to, you know, to – to the extent possible. Q. So if we summarize, you don't specifically remember signing these two notes, but most likely it would have been that they would have presented – been presented to you physically on paper? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. They would – they would have been presented physically on paper most likely or	Page 296	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. Do you know – was there a file at Highland kept anywhere with ink-signed originals of a promissory notes in general or these two promissory notes specifically? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. Sorry, I just want to make sure I understand your question. Are you saying is there a file somewhere that has ink-signed originals of these two promissory notes? Q. Yes. A. I would – I would assume they're some place. I mean – Q. Well, was there a – was there a place where Highland generally kept originals 	Page 297
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Because I'm not in every conversation. They're not in every conversation – meaning legal compliance – and I just want to make sure that – that everyone is in sync to, you know, to – to the extent possible. Q. So if we summarize, you don't specifically remember signing these two notes, but most likely it would have been that they would have presented – been presented to you physically on paper? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. They would – they would have been presented physically on paper most likely or someone would have left it. But, I mean,	Page 296	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. Do you know – was there a file at Highland kept anywhere with ink-signed originals of a promissory notes in general or these two promissory notes specifically? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. Sorry, I just want to make sure I understand your question. Are you saying is there a file somewhere that has ink-signed originals of these two promissory notes? Q. Yes. A. I would – I would assume they're some place. I mean – Q. Well, was there a – was there a place where Highland generally kept originals of promissory notes owed to it? 	Page 297
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Because I'm not in every conversation. They're not in every conversation – meaning legal compliance – and I just want to make sure that – that everyone is in sync to, you know, to – to the extent possible. Q. So if we summarize, you don't specifically remember signing these two notes, but most likely it would have been that they would have presented – been presented to you physically on paper? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. They would – they would have been presented physically on paper most likely or someone would have left it. But, I mean, again, I don't – I don't recall.	Page 296	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. Do you know – was there a file at Highland kept anywhere with ink-signed originals of a promissory notes in general or these two promissory notes specifically? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. Sorry, I just want to make sure I understand your question. Are you saying is there a file somewhere that has ink-signed originals of these two promissory notes? Q. Yes. A. I would – I would assume they're some place. I mean – Q. Well, was there a – was there a place where Highland generally kept originals of promissory notes owed to it? A. I wouldn't – no. 	Page 297
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Because I'm not in every conversation. They're not in every conversation – meaning legal compliance – and I just want to make sure that – that everyone is in sync to, you know, to – to the extent possible. Q. So if we summarize, you don't specifically remember signing these two notes, but most likely it would have been that they would have presented – been presented to you physically on paper? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. They would – they would have been presented physically on paper most likely or someone would have left it. But, I mean, again, I don't – I don't recall. Q. I understand. Understand.	Page 296	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q. Do you know – was there a file at Highland kept anywhere with ink-signed originals of a promissory notes in general or these two promissory notes specifically? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. Sorry, I just want to make sure I understand your question. Are you saying is there a file somewhere that has ink-signed originals of these two promissory notes? Q. Yes. A. I would – I would assume they're some place. I mean – Q. Well, was there a – was there a place where Highland generally kept originals of promissory notes owed to it? A. I wouldn't – no. MR. RUKAVINA: Mr. Nguyen, would you 	Page 297
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Because I'm not in every conversation. They're not in every conversation – meaning legal compliance – and I just want to make sure that – that everyone is in sync to, you know, to – to the extent possible. Q. So if we summarize, you don't specifically remember signing these two notes, but most likely it would have been that they would have presented – been presented to you physically on paper? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. They would – they would have been presented physically on paper most likely or someone would have left it. But, I mean, again, I don't – I don't recall. Q. I understand. Understand. When you signed – when you signed	Page 296	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. Do you know – was there a file at Highland kept anywhere with ink-signed originals of a promissory notes in general or these two promissory notes specifically? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. Sorry, I just want to make sure I understand your question. Are you saying is there a file somewhere that has ink-signed originals of these two promissory notes? Q. Yes. A. I would – I would assume they're some place. I mean – Q. Well, was there a – was there a place where Highland generally kept originals of promissory notes owed to it? A. I wouldn't – no. MR. RUKAVINA: Mr. Nguyen, would you please pull up my A7, alpha 7. 	Page 297
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Because I'm not in every conversation. They're not in every conversation – meaning legal compliance – and I just want to make sure that – that everyone is in sync to, you know, to – to the extent possible. Q. So if we summarize, you don't specifically remember signing these two notes, but most likely it would have been that they would have presented – been presented to you physically on paper? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. They would – they would have been presented physically on paper most likely or someone would have left it. But, I mean, again, I don't – I don't recall. Q. I understand. Understand. When you signed – when you signed documents, when you personally signed	Page 296	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 20 21	 Q. Do you know – was there a file at Highland kept anywhere with ink-signed originals of a promissory notes in general or these two promissory notes specifically? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. Sorry, I just want to make sure I understand your question. Are you saying is there a file somewhere that has ink-signed originals of these two promissory notes? Q. Yes. A. I would – I would assume they're some place. I mean – Q. Well, was there a – was there a place where Highland generally kept originals of promissory notes owed to it? A. I wouldn't – no. MR. RUKAVINA: Mr. Nguyen, would you please pull up my A7, alpha 7. Q. These are the two promissory notes, 	Page 297
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Because I'm not in every conversation. They're not in every conversation – meaning legal compliance – and I just want to make sure that – that everyone is in sync to, you know, to – to the extent possible. Q. So if we summarize, you don't specifically remember signing these two notes, but most likely it would have been that they would have presented – been presented to you physically on paper? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. They would – they would have been presented physically on paper most likely or someone would have left it. But, I mean, again, I don't – I don't recall. Q. I understand. Understand. When you signed – when you signed documents, when you personally signed documents, did you typically use a ink pen or	Page 296	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 3 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. Do you know – was there a file at Highland kept anywhere with ink-signed originals of a promissory notes in general or these two promissory notes specifically? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. Sorry, I just want to make sure I understand your question. Are you saying is there a file somewhere that has ink-signed originals of these two promissory notes? Q. Yes. A. I would – I would assume they're some place. I mean – Q. Well, was there a – was there a place where Highland generally kept originals of promissory notes owed to it? A. I wouldn't – no. MR. RUKAVINA: Mr. Nguyen, would you please pull up my A7, alpha 7. Q. These are the two promissory notes, Mr. Waterhouse. 	Page 297
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Because I'm not in every conversation. They're not in every conversation – meaning legal compliance – and I just want to make sure that – that everyone is in sync to, you know, to – to the extent possible. Q. So if we summarize, you don't specifically remember signing these two notes, but most likely it would have been that they would have presented – been presented to you physically on paper? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. They would – they would have been presented physically on paper most likely or someone would have left it. But, I mean, again, I don't – I don't recall. Q. I understand. Understand. When you signed – when you signed documents, when you personally signed documents, did you typically use a ink pen or did you use a stamp?	Page 296	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. Do you know – was there a file at Highland kept anywhere with ink-signed originals of a promissory notes in general or these two promissory notes specifically? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. Sorry, I just want to make sure I understand your question. Are you saying is there a file somewhere that has ink-signed originals of these two promissory notes? Q. Yes. A. I would – I would assume they're some place. I mean – Q. Well, was there a – was there a place where Highland generally kept originals of promissory notes owed to it? A. I wouldn't – no. MR. RUKAVINA: Mr. Nguyen, would you please pull up my A7, alpha 7. Q. These are the two promissory notes, Mr. Waterhouse. (Exhibit A7 marked.) 	Page 297
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Because I'm not in every conversation. They're not in every conversation – meaning legal compliance – and I just want to make sure that – that everyone is in sync to, you know, to – to the extent possible. Q. So if we summarize, you don't specifically remember signing these two notes, but most likely it would have been that they would have presented – been presented to you physically on paper? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. They would – they would have been presented physically on paper most likely or someone would have left it. But, I mean, again, I don't – I don't recall. Q. I understand. Understand. When you signed – when you signed documents, when you personally signed documents, did you typically use a ink pen or did you use a stamp? A. No, I – I – I use a – an – an	Page 296	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. Do you know – was there a file at Highland kept anywhere with ink-signed originals of a promissory notes in general or these two promissory notes specifically? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. Sorry, I just want to make sure I understand your question. Are you saying is there a file somewhere that has ink-signed originals of these two promissory notes? Q. Yes. A. I would – I would assume they're some place. I mean – Q. Well, was there a – was there a place where Highland generally kept originals of promissory notes owed to it? A. I wouldn't – no. MR. RUKAVINA: Mr. Nguyen, would you please pull up my A7, alpha 7. Q. These are the two promissory notes, Mr. Waterhouse. (Exhibit A7 marked.) Q. And please – Mr. Waterhouse, please 	Page 297
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Because I'm not in every conversation. They're not in every conversation – meaning legal compliance – and I just want to make sure that – that everyone is in sync to, you know, to – to the extent possible. Q. So if we summarize, you don't specifically remember signing these two notes, but most likely it would have been that they would have presented – been presented to you physically on paper? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. They would – they would have been presented physically on paper most likely or someone would have left it. But, I mean, again, I don't – I don't recall. Q. I understand. Understand. When you signed – when you signed documents, when you personally signed documents, did you typically use a ink pen or did you use a stamp?	Page 296	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. Do you know – was there a file at Highland kept anywhere with ink-signed originals of a promissory notes in general or these two promissory notes specifically? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. Sorry, I just want to make sure I understand your question. Are you saying is there a file somewhere that has ink-signed originals of these two promissory notes? Q. Yes. A. I would – I would assume they're some place. I mean – Q. Well, was there a – was there a place where Highland generally kept originals of promissory notes owed to it? A. I wouldn't – no. MR. RUKAVINA: Mr. Nguyen, would you please pull up my A7, alpha 7. Q. These are the two promissory notes, Mr. Waterhouse. (Exhibit A7 marked.) 	Page 297

	Page 298			Page 299
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	T age 200
2	to, but I want you to take a very close look at	2	did have a – an electronic signature, and that	
3	your two signatures here and tell me whether	3	was used from time to time. It wasn't as	
4	you believe, in fact, that you ink signed them	4	common practice back in 2019. It definitely	
5	or whether you	5	was more common practice when we had to work	
6	MS. DANDENEAU: Mr. Rukavina,	6	from home and remotely for COVID because it	
7	Mr. Waterhouse has the copies.	7	that made it almost impossible to, right,	
8	MR. RUKAVINA: Perfect. Then you	8	provide wet signatures since we're all working	
9	can take this down, Mr. Nguyen.	9	from home remotely.	
10	A. These – these – these signatures	10	Q. Well, going just for these two	
11	are identical, now that I stare at them, and I	11	promissory notes, Mr. Waterhouse, in light of	
12	mean, they are so close – I mean, they're	12	your inability to remember any details, are you	
13	identical that, I mean, even with my chicken	13	sure you actually signed either or both of	
14	scratch signature, I don't know if I can – you	14	those notes?	
15	know, I do this 100 times, could I do that	15	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	
16	as – as precisely as I see between the two	16	A. I don't recall specifically	
17	notes.	17	signing – actually physically signing these	
18	Q. Well, that is why I ask.	18	notes. As I said before, I don't recall doing	
19	Mr. Waterhouse, now that you have examined	19	that. This – this looks like my signature,	
20	them, does it seem like it is more likely that	20	but yet these two signatures are identical.	
21	you actually electronically signed these?	21	Q. So you don't recall physically	
22	MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	22	signing them, and I take it you don't recall	
23	of the question.	23	electronically signing them either?	
24	A. Is – I don't – I don't recall	24	A. I don't recall. You know, Highland	
25	specifically. As I said before, my assistant	25	has all my emails. If that occurred, you know,	
\vdash	D 000			
1	Page 300			Page 301
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 301
1 2	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 you know, I don't have any of these records is	1 2	May of 2019 –	Page 301
1 2 3	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21		May of 2019 – A. And – and from what I recall, and	Page 301
2	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 you know, I don't have any of these records is what I'm saying. I don't have any of those records.	2	May of 2019 – A. And – and from what I recall, and the reason why I was by myself is – is, you	Page 301
23	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 you know, I don't have any of these records is what I'm saying. I don't have any of those records. Q. That is why I'm asking you these	2 3 4 5	May of 2019 – A. And – and from what I recall, and	Page 301
2 3 4	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 you know, I don't have any of these records is what I'm saying. I don't have any of those records. Q. That is why I'm asking you these questions in great detail because I don't have	2 3 4	May of 2019 – A. And – and from what I recall, and the reason why I was by myself is – is, you know, I don't – I don't want to speculate, I'm sorry.	Page 301
2 3 4 5	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 you know, I don't have any of these records is what I'm saying. I don't have any of those records. Q. That is why I'm asking you these questions in great detail because I don't have those emails. I'm trying to – I'm hoping that	2 3 4 5	May of 2019 – A. And – and from what I recall, and the reason why I was by myself is – is, you know, I don't – I don't want to speculate, I'm sorry. Q. Okay. We have established that by	Page 301
2 3 4 5 6	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 you know, I don't have any of these records is what I'm saying. I don't have any of those records. Q. That is why I'm asking you these questions in great detail because I don't have those emails. I'm trying to – I'm hoping that you will give me some names or some details so	2 3 4 5 6	May of 2019 – A. And – and from what I recall, and the reason why I was by myself is – is, you know, I don't – I don't want to speculate, I'm sorry. Q. Okay. We have established that by May of 2019, in your view, the liabilities of	Page 301
2 3 4 5 6 7	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 you know, I don't have any of these records is what I'm saying. I don't have any of those records. Q. That is why I'm asking you these questions in great detail because I don't have those emails. I'm trying to – I'm hoping that you will give me some names or some details so I can go look for more emails, but again, you	2 3 4 5 6 7	May of 2019 – A. And – and from what I recall, and the reason why I was by myself is – is, you know, I don't – I don't want to speculate, I'm sorry. Q. Okay. We have established that by May of 2019, in your view, the liabilities of HCMFA exceeded its assets; correct?	Page 301
2 3 4 5 6 7	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 you know, I don't have any of these records is what I'm saying. I don't have any of those records. Q. That is why I'm asking you these questions in great detail because I don't have those emails. I'm trying to – I'm hoping that you will give me some names or some details so I can go look for more emails, but again, you don't remember any – any individual, other	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	May of 2019 – A. And – and from what I recall, and the reason why I was by myself is – is, you know, I don't – I don't want to speculate, I'm sorry. Q. Okay. We have established that by May of 2019, in your view, the liabilities of HCMFA exceeded its assets; correct? A. Yeah. I mean, again, I don't have	Page 301
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 you know, I don't have any of these records is what I'm saying. I don't have any of those records. Q. That is why I'm asking you these questions in great detail because I don't have those emails. I'm trying to – I'm hoping that you will give me some names or some details so I can go look for more emails, but again, you don't remember any – any individual, other than Mr. Dondero that we've discussed, you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	May of 2019 – A. And – and from what I recall, and the reason why I was by myself is – is, you know, I don't – I don't want to speculate, I'm sorry. Q. Okay. We have established that by May of 2019, in your view, the liabilities of HCMFA exceeded its assets; correct? A. Yeah. I mean, again, I don't have financial statements in front of me, but I	Page 301
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 you know, I don't have any of these records is what I'm saying. I don't have any of those records. Q. That is why I'm asking you these questions in great detail because I don't have those emails. I'm trying to – I'm hoping that you will give me some names or some details so I can go look for more emails, but again, you don't remember any – any individual, other than Mr. Dondero that we've discussed, you don't remember any individual with whom you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	May of 2019 – A. And – and from what I recall, and the reason why I was by myself is – is, you know, I don't – I don't want to speculate, I'm sorry. Q. Okay. We have established that by May of 2019, in your view, the liabilities of HCMFA exceeded its assets; correct? A. Yeah. I mean, again, I don't have financial statements in front of me, but I think, if I recall, we'd have to go through the	Page 301
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 you know, I don't have any of these records is what I'm saying. I don't have any of those records. Q. That is why I'm asking you these questions in great detail because I don't have those emails. I'm trying to – I'm hoping that you will give me some names or some details so I can go look for more emails, but again, you don't remember any – any individual, other than Mr. Dondero that we've discussed, you don't remember any individual with whom you discussed these promissory notes prior to their	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	May of 2019 – A. And – and from what I recall, and the reason why I was by myself is – is, you know, I don't – I don't want to speculate, I'm sorry. Q. Okay. We have established that by May of 2019, in your view, the liabilities of HCMFA exceeded its assets; correct? A. Yeah. I mean, again, I don't have financial statements in front of me, but I think, if I recall, we'd have to go through the testimony with Mr. Morris, I believe that was	Page 301
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 you know, I don't have any of these records is what I'm saying. I don't have any of those records. Q. That is why I'm asking you these questions in great detail because I don't have those emails. I'm trying to – I'm hoping that you will give me some names or some details so I can go look for more emails, but again, you don't remember any – any individual, other than Mr. Dondero that we've discussed, you don't remember any individual with whom you discussed these promissory notes prior to their execution?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	May of 2019 – A. And – and from what I recall, and the reason why I was by myself is – is, you know, I don't – I don't want to speculate, I'm sorry. Q. Okay. We have established that by May of 2019, in your view, the liabilities of HCMFA exceeded its assets; correct? A. Yeah. I mean, again, I don't have financial statements in front of me, but I think, if I recall, we'd have to go through the testimony with Mr. Morris, I believe that was the case.	Page 301
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 you know, I don't have any of these records is what I'm saying. I don't have any of those records. Q. That is why I'm asking you these questions in great detail because I don't have those emails. I'm trying to – I'm hoping that you will give me some names or some details so I can go look for more emails, but again, you don't remember any – any individual, other than Mr. Dondero that we've discussed, you don't remember any individual with whom you discussed these promissory notes prior to their execution? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	May of 2019 – A. And – and from what I recall, and the reason why I was by myself is – is, you know, I don't – I don't want to speculate, I'm sorry. Q. Okay. We have established that by May of 2019, in your view, the liabilities of HCMFA exceeded its assets; correct? A. Yeah. I mean, again, I don't have financial statements in front of me, but I think, if I recall, we'd have to go through the testimony with Mr. Morris, I believe that was the case. Q. In fact, you will recall that in	Page 301
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 you know, I don't have any of these records is what I'm saying. I don't have any of those records. Q. That is why I'm asking you these questions in great detail because I don't have those emails. I'm trying to – I'm hoping that you will give me some names or some details so I can go look for more emails, but again, you don't remember any – any individual, other than Mr. Dondero that we've discussed, you don't remember any individual with whom you discussed these promissory notes prior to their execution? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	May of 2019 – A. And – and from what I recall, and the reason why I was by myself is – is, you know, I don't – I don't want to speculate, I'm sorry. Q. Okay. We have established that by May of 2019, in your view, the liabilities of HCMFA exceeded its assets; correct? A. Yeah. I mean, again, I don't have financial statements in front of me, but I think, if I recall, we'd have to go through the testimony with Mr. Morris, I believe that was the case. Q. In fact, you will recall that in April of 2019, Mr. Dondero signed a document	Page 301
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 you know, I don't have any of these records is what I'm saying. I don't have any of those records. Q. That is why I'm asking you these questions in great detail because I don't have those emails. I'm trying to – I'm hoping that you will give me some names or some details so I can go look for more emails, but again, you don't remember any – any individual, other than Mr. Dondero that we've discussed, you don't remember any individual with whom you discussed these promissory notes prior to their execution? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. I don't recall discussing it with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	May of 2019 – A. And – and from what I recall, and the reason why I was by myself is – is, you know, I don't – I don't want to speculate, I'm sorry. Q. Okay. We have established that by May of 2019, in your view, the liabilities of HCMFA exceeded its assets; correct? A. Yeah. I mean, again, I don't have financial statements in front of me, but I think, if I recall, we'd have to go through the testimony with Mr. Morris, I believe that was the case. Q. In fact, you will recall that in April of 2019, Mr. Dondero signed a document that extended the demand feature of two prior	Page 301
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 you know, I don't have any of these records is what I'm saying. I don't have any of those records. Q. That is why I'm asking you these questions in great detail because I don't have those emails. I'm trying to – I'm hoping that you will give me some names or some details so I can go look for more emails, but again, you don't remember any – any individual, other than Mr. Dondero that we've discussed, you don't remember any individual with whom you discussed these promissory notes prior to their execution? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	May of 2019 – A. And – and from what I recall, and the reason why I was by myself is – is, you know, I don't – I don't want to speculate, I'm sorry. Q. Okay. We have established that by May of 2019, in your view, the liabilities of HCMFA exceeded its assets; correct? A. Yeah. I mean, again, I don't have financial statements in front of me, but I think, if I recall, we'd have to go through the testimony with Mr. Morris, I believe that was the case. Q. In fact, you will recall that in April of 2019, Mr. Dondero signed a document that extended the demand feature of two prior notes to May 31, 2019. Do you recall that?	Page 301
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 you know, I don't have any of these records is what I'm saying. I don't have any of those records. Q. That is why I'm asking you these questions in great detail because I don't have those emails. I'm trying to – I'm hoping that you will give me some names or some details so I can go look for more emails, but again, you don't remember any – any individual, other than Mr. Dondero that we've discussed, you don't remember any individual with whom you discussed these promissory notes prior to their execution? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. I don't recall discussing it with anybody else. Q. Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	May of 2019 – A. And – and from what I recall, and the reason why I was by myself is – is, you know, I don't – I don't want to speculate, I'm sorry. Q. Okay. We have established that by May of 2019, in your view, the liabilities of HCMFA exceeded its assets; correct? A. Yeah. I mean, again, I don't have financial statements in front of me, but I think, if I recall, we'd have to go through the testimony with Mr. Morris, I believe that was the case. Q. In fact, you will recall that in April of 2019, Mr. Dondero signed a document that extended the demand feature of two prior notes to May 31, 2019. Do you recall that? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: I think you	Page 301
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 you know, I don't have any of these records is what I'm saying. I don't have any of those records. Q. That is why I'm asking you these questions in great detail because I don't have those emails. I'm trying to – I'm hoping that you will give me some names or some details so I can go look for more emails, but again, you don't remember any – any individual, other than Mr. Dondero that we've discussed, you don't remember any individual with whom you discussed these promissory notes prior to their execution? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. I don't recall discussing it with anybody else. Q. Okay. A. I mean, prior –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	May of 2019 – A. And – and from what I recall, and the reason why I was by myself is – is, you know, I don't – I don't want to speculate, I'm sorry. Q. Okay. We have established that by May of 2019, in your view, the liabilities of HCMFA exceeded its assets; correct? A. Yeah. I mean, again, I don't have financial statements in front of me, but I think, if I recall, we'd have to go through the testimony with Mr. Morris, I believe that was the case. Q. In fact, you will recall that in April of 2019, Mr. Dondero signed a document that extended the demand feature of two prior notes to May 31, 2019. Do you recall that? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: I think you might – maybe have the court reporter read	Page 301
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 you know, I don't have any of these records is what I'm saying. I don't have any of those records. Q. That is why I'm asking you these questions in great detail because I don't have those emails. I'm trying to – I'm hoping that you will give me some names or some details so I can go look for more emails, but again, you don't remember any – any individual, other than Mr. Dondero that we've discussed, you don't remember any individual with whom you discussed these promissory notes prior to their execution? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. I don't recall discussing it with anybody else. Q. Okay. A. I mean, prior – Q. I understand.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	May of 2019 – A. And – and from what I recall, and the reason why I was by myself is – is, you know, I don't – I don't want to speculate, I'm sorry. Q. Okay. We have established that by May of 2019, in your view, the liabilities of HCMFA exceeded its assets; correct? A. Yeah. I mean, again, I don't have financial statements in front of me, but I think, if I recall, we'd have to go through the testimony with Mr. Morris, I believe that was the case. Q. In fact, you will recall that in April of 2019, Mr. Dondero signed a document that extended the demand feature of two prior notes to May 31, 2019. Do you recall that? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: I think you might – maybe have the court reporter read that back. You might have misspoke.	Page 301
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 you know, I don't have any of these records is what I'm saying. I don't have any of those records. Q. That is why I'm asking you these questions in great detail because I don't have those emails. I'm trying to – I'm hoping that you will give me some names or some details so I can go look for more emails, but again, you don't remember any – any individual, other than Mr. Dondero that we've discussed, you don't remember any individual with whom you discussed these promissory notes prior to their execution? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. I don't recall discussing it with anybody else. Q. Okay. A. I mean, prior – Q. I understand. A. You know, there was no one else – 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	May of 2019 – A. And – and from what I recall, and the reason why I was by myself is – is, you know, I don't – I don't want to speculate, I'm sorry. Q. Okay. We have established that by May of 2019, in your view, the liabilities of HCMFA exceeded its assets; correct? A. Yeah. I mean, again, I don't have financial statements in front of me, but I think, if I recall, we'd have to go through the testimony with Mr. Morris, I believe that was the case. Q. In fact, you will recall that in April of 2019, Mr. Dondero signed a document that extended the demand feature of two prior notes to May 31, 2019. Do you recall that? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: I think you might – maybe have the court reporter read that back. You might have misspoke. (Record read.)	Page 301
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 you know, I don't have any of these records is what I'm saying. I don't have any of those records. Q. That is why I'm asking you these questions in great detail because I don't have those emails. I'm trying to – I'm hoping that you will give me some names or some details so I can go look for more emails, but again, you don't remember any – any individual, other than Mr. Dondero that we've discussed, you don't remember any individual with whom you discussed these promissory notes prior to their execution? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. I don't recall discussing it with anybody else. Q. Okay. A. I mean, prior – Q. I understand. A. You know, there was no one else – there was no one else in that meeting that I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	May of 2019 – A. And – and from what I recall, and the reason why I was by myself is – is, you know, I don't – I don't want to speculate, I'm sorry. Q. Okay. We have established that by May of 2019, in your view, the liabilities of HCMFA exceeded its assets; correct? A. Yeah. I mean, again, I don't have financial statements in front of me, but I think, if I recall, we'd have to go through the testimony with Mr. Morris, I believe that was the case. Q. In fact, you will recall that in April of 2019, Mr. Dondero signed a document that extended the demand feature of two prior notes to May 31, 2019. Do you recall that? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: I think you might – maybe have the court reporter read that back. You might have misspoke. (Record read.) MR. RUKAVINA: And I did misspeak.	Page 301
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 3 24	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 you know, I don't have any of these records is what I'm saying. I don't have any of those records. Q. That is why I'm asking you these questions in great detail because I don't have those emails. I'm trying to – I'm hoping that you will give me some names or some details so I can go look for more emails, but again, you don't remember any – any individual, other than Mr. Dondero that we've discussed, you don't remember any – any individual, other than Mr. Dondero that we've discussed, you don't remember any individual with whom you discussed these promissory notes prior to their execution? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. I don't recall discussing it with anybody else. Q. Okay. A. I mean, prior – Q. I understand. A. You know, there was no one else – there was no one else in that meeting that I recall with Mr. Dondero.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	May of 2019 – A. And – and from what I recall, and the reason why I was by myself is – is, you know, I don't – I don't want to speculate, I'm sorry. Q. Okay. We have established that by May of 2019, in your view, the liabilities of HCMFA exceeded its assets; correct? A. Yeah. I mean, again, I don't have financial statements in front of me, but I think, if I recall, we'd have to go through the testimony with Mr. Morris, I believe that was the case. Q. In fact, you will recall that in April of 2019, Mr. Dondero signed a document that extended the demand feature of two prior notes to May 31, 2019. Do you recall that? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: I think you might – maybe have the court reporter read that back. You might have misspoke. (Record read.) MR. RUKAVINA: And I did misspeak. Q. I meant to say to May 31, 2021. Do	Page 301
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 you know, I don't have any of these records is what I'm saying. I don't have any of those records. Q. That is why I'm asking you these questions in great detail because I don't have those emails. I'm trying to – I'm hoping that you will give me some names or some details so I can go look for more emails, but again, you don't remember any – any individual, other than Mr. Dondero that we've discussed, you don't remember any individual with whom you discussed these promissory notes prior to their execution? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. I don't recall discussing it with anybody else. Q. Okay. A. I mean, prior – Q. I understand. A. You know, there was no one else – there was no one else in that meeting that I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	May of 2019 – A. And – and from what I recall, and the reason why I was by myself is – is, you know, I don't – I don't want to speculate, I'm sorry. Q. Okay. We have established that by May of 2019, in your view, the liabilities of HCMFA exceeded its assets; correct? A. Yeah. I mean, again, I don't have financial statements in front of me, but I think, if I recall, we'd have to go through the testimony with Mr. Morris, I believe that was the case. Q. In fact, you will recall that in April of 2019, Mr. Dondero signed a document that extended the demand feature of two prior notes to May 31, 2019. Do you recall that? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: I think you might – maybe have the court reporter read that back. You might have misspoke. (Record read.) MR. RUKAVINA: And I did misspeak.	Page 301

	D 202			Dama 202
1	Page 302 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 303
2	MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	2	MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	
3	of the question.	3	of the question.	
4	A. Yes.	4	A. Sorry, I just want to make sure –	
5	MR. RUKAVINA: And, Mr. Nguyen, just	5	are you asking me, did you say, was it prudent	
6	so that the record is clear, will you please	6	for Highland to loan \$7.4 million to HCMFA a	
	pull up my Exhibit Alpha 10, A10.	7	few weeks after this document was executed?	
8	(Exhibit A10 marked.)	8	Q. Yes, and at a time when HCMFA's	
9	Q. You don't have this one in front of	9	liabilities exceeded its assets.	
1	you, Mr. Waterhouse? This is the one that	10	MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	
11	Mr. Morris used earlier. Do you see that	11	of the question.	
1	document, sir?	12	A. I don't – it is odd. I don't know.	
13	A. Yes, I do.	13	MR. RUKAVINA: You can take this	
14	Q. And this is what you were testifying	14	exhibit down, Mr. Nguyen.	
1	about before when Mr. Morris was asking you.	15	Q. Do you recall asking anyone,	
	Do you remember that?	10	Mr. Dondero or – or anyone outside as to	
17	A. Yes.		whether Highland ought to be lending	
18	A. Tes. Q. So here is my question for you,	17 18	\$7.4 million to HCMF regarding HCMF's	
	Mr. Waterhouse: As the chief financial officer	10	creditworthiness?	
19		-		
20	of Highland, was it prudent for Highland less	20	MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	
1	than three weeks later to be lending	21	of the question.	
22	\$7.2 million to an insolvent entity that	22	A. I don't recall.	
23	couldn't even then pay its debts back to	23	Q. Did you receive personally any of	
	Highland?	24	that \$7.4 million?	
25	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	25	A. No.	
	Page 304	4		Page 305
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 305
2	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. Did you even	2	MR. RUKAVINA: Pull up those notes	Page 305
23	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. Did you even – MR. MORRIS: I didn't hear that	23	MR. RUKAVINA: Pull up those notes again, Mr. Nguyen.	Page 305
2 3 4	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. Did you even MR. MORRIS: I didn't hear that question, sir.	2 3 4	MR. RUKAVINA: Pull up those notes again, Mr. Nguyen. Q. You can have them in front of you,	Page 305
2 3 4 5	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. Did you even – MR. MORRIS: I didn't hear that question, sir. MR. RUKAVINA: The one that he	2 3 4 5	MR. RUKAVINA: Pull up those notes again, Mr. Nguyen. Q. You can have them in front of you, Exhibit 7, Mr. Waterhouse, whatever is easier	Page 305
2 3 4 5 6	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. Did you even – MR. MORRIS: I didn't hear that question, sir. MR. RUKAVINA: The one that he answered, John, or my new one?	2 3 4 5 6	MR. RUKAVINA: Pull up those notes again, Mr. Nguyen. Q. You can have them in front of you, Exhibit 7, Mr. Waterhouse, whatever is easier for you. If you go to your signature page, my	Page 305
2 3 4 5 6 7	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. Did you even – MR. MORRIS: I didn't hear that question, sir. MR. RUKAVINA: The one that he answered, John, or my new one? MR. MORRIS: No, no, your question,	2 3 4 5 6 7	MR. RUKAVINA: Pull up those notes again, Mr. Nguyen. Q. You can have them in front of you, Exhibit 7, Mr. Waterhouse, whatever is easier for you. If you go to your signature page, my question to you is, why did you not include	Page 305
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. Did you even – MR. MORRIS: I didn't hear that question, sir. MR. RUKAVINA: The one that he answered, John, or my new one? MR. MORRIS: No, no, your question, Davor.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. RUKAVINA: Pull up those notes again, Mr. Nguyen. Q. You can have them in front of you, Exhibit 7, Mr. Waterhouse, whatever is easier for you. If you go to your signature page, my question to you is, why did you not include your title as treasurer by your name, Frank	Page 305
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. Did you even – MR. MORRIS: I didn't hear that question, sir. MR. RUKAVINA: The one that he answered, John, or my new one? MR. MORRIS: No, no, your question, Davor. MR. RUKAVINA: I had asked him	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. RUKAVINA: Pull up those notes again, Mr. Nguyen. Q. You can have them in front of you, Exhibit 7, Mr. Waterhouse, whatever is easier for you. If you go to your signature page, my question to you is, why did you not include your title as treasurer by your name, Frank Waterhouse?	Page 305
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. Did you even – MR. MORRIS: I didn't hear that question, sir. MR. RUKAVINA: The one that he answered, John, or my new one? MR. MORRIS: No, no, your question, Davor. MR. RUKAVINA: I had asked him whether he received any of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. RUKAVINA: Pull up those notes again, Mr. Nguyen. Q. You can have them in front of you, Exhibit 7, Mr. Waterhouse, whatever is easier for you. If you go to your signature page, my question to you is, why did you not include your title as treasurer by your name, Frank Waterhouse? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	Page 305
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. Did you even – MR. MORRIS: I didn't hear that question, sir. MR. RUKAVINA: The one that he answered, John, or my new one? MR. MORRIS: No, no, your question, Davor. MR. RUKAVINA: I had asked him whether he received any of the \$7.4 million. He said no.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. RUKAVINA: Pull up those notes again, Mr. Nguyen. Q. You can have them in front of you, Exhibit 7, Mr. Waterhouse, whatever is easier for you. If you go to your signature page, my question to you is, why did you not include your title as treasurer by your name, Frank Waterhouse? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I didn't – I didn't draft this	Page 305
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. Did you even – MR. MORRIS: I didn't hear that question, sir. MR. RUKAVINA: The one that he answered, John, or my new one? MR. MORRIS: No, no, your question, Davor. MR. RUKAVINA: I had asked him whether he received any of the \$7.4 million. He said no. MR. MORRIS: Yeah. I thought there	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. RUKAVINA: Pull up those notes again, Mr. Nguyen. Q. You can have them in front of you, Exhibit 7, Mr. Waterhouse, whatever is easier for you. If you go to your signature page, my question to you is, why did you not include your title as treasurer by your name, Frank Waterhouse? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I didn't – I didn't draft this document.	Page 305
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. Did you even – MR. MORRIS: I didn't hear that question, sir. MR. RUKAVINA: The one that he answered, John, or my new one? MR. MORRIS: No, no, your question, Davor. MR. RUKAVINA: I had asked him whether he received any of the \$7.4 million. He said no. MR. MORRIS: Yeah. I thought there was a question after that. Maybe I was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. RUKAVINA: Pull up those notes again, Mr. Nguyen. Q. You can have them in front of you, Exhibit 7, Mr. Waterhouse, whatever is easier for you. If you go to your signature page, my question to you is, why did you not include your title as treasurer by your name, Frank Waterhouse? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I didn't – I didn't draft this document. Q. So you relied on whoever drafted it	Page 305
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. Did you even – MR. MORRIS: I didn't hear that question, sir. MR. RUKAVINA: The one that he answered, John, or my new one? MR. MORRIS: No, no, your question, Davor. MR. RUKAVINA: I had asked him whether he received any of the \$7.4 million. He said no. MR. MORRIS: Yeah. I thought there was a question after that. Maybe I was mistaken. I apologize.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. RUKAVINA: Pull up those notes again, Mr. Nguyen. Q. You can have them in front of you, Exhibit 7, Mr. Waterhouse, whatever is easier for you. If you go to your signature page, my question to you is, why did you not include your title as treasurer by your name, Frank Waterhouse? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I didn't – I didn't draft this document. Q. So you relied on whoever drafted it to draft it correctly?	Page 305
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. Did you even – MR. MORRIS: I didn't hear that question, sir. MR. RUKAVINA: The one that he answered, John, or my new one? MR. MORRIS: No, no, your question, Davor. MR. RUKAVINA: I had asked him whether he received any of the \$7.4 million. He said no. MR. MORRIS: Yeah. I thought there was a question after that. Maybe I was mistaken. I apologize. MR. RUKAVINA: I had started a new	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. RUKAVINA: Pull up those notes again, Mr. Nguyen. Q. You can have them in front of you, Exhibit 7, Mr. Waterhouse, whatever is easier for you. If you go to your signature page, my question to you is, why did you not include your title as treasurer by your name, Frank Waterhouse? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I didn't – I didn't draft this document. Q. So you relied on whoever drafted it to draft it correctly? A. Yes.	Page 305
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. Did you even – MR. MORRIS: I didn't hear that question, sir. MR. RUKAVINA: The one that he answered, John, or my new one? MR. MORRIS: No, no, your question, Davor. MR. RUKAVINA: I had asked him whether he received any of the \$7.4 million. He said no. MR. MORRIS: Yeah. I thought there was a question after that. Maybe I was mistaken. I apologize. MR. RUKAVINA: I had started a new question, so here, let me start the new	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. RUKAVINA: Pull up those notes again, Mr. Nguyen. Q. You can have them in front of you, Exhibit 7, Mr. Waterhouse, whatever is easier for you. If you go to your signature page, my question to you is, why did you not include your title as treasurer by your name, Frank Waterhouse? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I didn't – I didn't draft this document. Q. So you relied on whoever drafted it to draft it correctly? A. Yes. Q. Okay. But back then when you signed	Page 305
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. Did you even – MR. MORRIS: I didn't hear that question, sir. MR. RUKAVINA: The one that he answered, John, or my new one? MR. MORRIS: No, no, your question, Davor. MR. RUKAVINA: I had asked him whether he received any of the \$7.4 million. He said no. MR. MORRIS: Yeah. I thought there was a question after that. Maybe I was mistaken. I apologize. MR. RUKAVINA: I had started a new question, so here, let me start the new question again.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. RUKAVINA: Pull up those notes again, Mr. Nguyen. Q. You can have them in front of you, Exhibit 7, Mr. Waterhouse, whatever is easier for you. If you go to your signature page, my question to you is, why did you not include your title as treasurer by your name, Frank Waterhouse? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I didn't – I didn't draft this document. Q. So you relied on whoever drafted it to draft it correctly? A. Yes. Q. Okay. But back then when you signed this, did it ever cross your mind that you were	Page 305
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. Did you even – MR. MORRIS: I didn't hear that question, sir. MR. RUKAVINA: The one that he answered, John, or my new one? MR. MORRIS: No, no, your question, Davor. MR. RUKAVINA: I had asked him whether he received any of the \$7.4 million. He said no. MR. MORRIS: Yeah. I thought there was a question after that. Maybe I was mistaken. I apologize. MR. RUKAVINA: I had started a new question, so here, let me start the new question again. Q. Did you personally receive any 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. RUKAVINA: Pull up those notes again, Mr. Nguyen. Q. You can have them in front of you, Exhibit 7, Mr. Waterhouse, whatever is easier for you. If you go to your signature page, my question to you is, why did you not include your title as treasurer by your name, Frank Waterhouse? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I didn't – I didn't draft this document. Q. So you relied on whoever drafted it to draft it correctly? A. Yes. Q. Okay. But back then when you signed this, did it ever cross your mind that you were the maker on these notes?	Page 305
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. Did you even – MR. MORRIS: I didn't hear that question, sir. MR. RUKAVINA: The one that he answered, John, or my new one? MR. MORRIS: No, no, your question, Davor. MR. RUKAVINA: I had asked him whether he received any of the \$7.4 million. He said no. MR. MORRIS: Yeah. I thought there was a question after that. Maybe I was mistaken. I apologize. MR. RUKAVINA: I had started a new question, so here, let me start the new question again. Q. Did you personally receive any direct benefit from those two notes for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. RUKAVINA: Pull up those notes again, Mr. Nguyen. Q. You can have them in front of you, Exhibit 7, Mr. Waterhouse, whatever is easier for you. If you go to your signature page, my question to you is, why did you not include your title as treasurer by your name, Frank Waterhouse? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I didn't – I didn't draft this document. Q. So you relied on whoever drafted it to draft it correctly? A. Yes. Q. Okay. But back then when you signed this, did it ever cross your mind that you were the maker on these notes? A. No.	Page 305
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. Did you even – MR. MORRIS: I didn't hear that question, sir. MR. RUKAVINA: The one that he answered, John, or my new one? MR. MORRIS: No, no, your question, Davor. MR. RUKAVINA: I had asked him whether he received any of the \$7.4 million. He said no. MR. MORRIS: Yeah. I thought there was a question after that. Maybe I was mistaken. I apologize. MR. RUKAVINA: I had started a new question, so here, let me start the new question again. Q. Did you personally receive any direct benefit from those two notes for \$7.4 million?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. RUKAVINA: Pull up those notes again, Mr. Nguyen. Q. You can have them in front of you, Exhibit 7, Mr. Waterhouse, whatever is easier for you. If you go to your signature page, my question to you is, why did you not include your title as treasurer by your name, Frank Waterhouse? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I didn't – I didn't draft this document. Q. So you relied on whoever drafted it to draft it correctly? A. Yes. Q. Okay. But back then when you signed this, did it ever cross your mind that you were the maker on these notes? A. No. Q. Back then when you signed this	Page 305
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. Did you even – MR. MORRIS: I didn't hear that question, sir. MR. RUKAVINA: The one that he answered, John, or my new one? MR. MORRIS: No, no, your question, Davor. MR. RUKAVINA: I had asked him whether he received any of the \$7.4 million. He said no. MR. MORRIS: Yeah. I thought there was a question after that. Maybe I was mistaken. I apologize. MR. RUKAVINA: I had started a new question, so here, let me start the new question again. Q. Did you personally receive any direct benefit from those two notes for \$7.4 million? A. No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. RUKAVINA: Pull up those notes again, Mr. Nguyen. Q. You can have them in front of you, Exhibit 7, Mr. Waterhouse, whatever is easier for you. If you go to your signature page, my question to you is, why did you not include your title as treasurer by your name, Frank Waterhouse? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I didn't – I didn't draft this document. Q. So you relied on whoever drafted it to draft it correctly? A. Yes. Q. Okay. But back then when you signed this, did it ever cross your mind that you were the maker on these notes? A. No. Q. Back then when you signed this document, did it ever cross your mind that you	Page 305
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. Did you even – MR. MORRIS: I didn't hear that question, sir. MR. RUKAVINA: The one that he answered, John, or my new one? MR. MORRIS: No, no, your question, Davor. MR. RUKAVINA: I had asked him whether he received any of the \$7.4 million. He said no. MR. MORRIS: Yeah. I thought there was a question after that. Maybe I was mistaken. I apologize. MR. RUKAVINA: I had started a new question, so here, let me start the new question again. Q. Did you personally receive any direct benefit from those two notes for \$7.4 million? A. No. Q. Did you ever personally consider 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. RUKAVINA: Pull up those notes again, Mr. Nguyen. Q. You can have them in front of you, Exhibit 7, Mr. Waterhouse, whatever is easier for you. If you go to your signature page, my question to you is, why did you not include your title as treasurer by your name, Frank Waterhouse? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I didn't – I didn't draft this document. Q. So you relied on whoever drafted it to draft it correctly? A. Yes. Q. Okay. But back then when you signed this, did it ever cross your mind that you were the maker on these notes? A. No. Q. Back then when you signed this document, did it ever cross your mind that you could be a co-obligor on these notes?	Page 305
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. Did you even – MR. MORRIS: I didn't hear that question, sir. MR. RUKAVINA: The one that he answered, John, or my new one? MR. MORRIS: No, no, your question, Davor. MR. RUKAVINA: I had asked him whether he received any of the \$7.4 million. He said no. MR. MORRIS: Yeah. I thought there was a question after that. Maybe I was mistaken. I apologize. MR. RUKAVINA: I had started a new question, so here, let me start the new question again. Q. Did you personally receive any direct benefit from those two notes for \$7.4 million? A. No. Q. Did you ever personally consider yourself obligated to repay either or both of 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. RUKAVINA: Pull up those notes again, Mr. Nguyen. Q. You can have them in front of you, Exhibit 7, Mr. Waterhouse, whatever is easier for you. If you go to your signature page, my question to you is, why did you not include your title as treasurer by your name, Frank Waterhouse? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I didn't – I didn't draft this document. Q. So you relied on whoever drafted it to draft it correctly? A. Yes. Q. Okay. But back then when you signed this, did it ever cross your mind that you were the maker on these notes? A. No. Q. Back then when you signed this document, did it ever cross your mind that you could be a co-obligor on these notes? A. No. I didn't receive \$7.4 million,	Page 305
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. Did you even MR. MORRIS: I didn't hear that question, sir. MR. RUKAVINA: The one that he answered, John, or my new one? MR. MORRIS: No, no, your question, Davor. MR. RUKAVINA: I had asked him whether he received any of the \$7.4 million. He said no. MR. MORRIS: Yeah. I thought there was a question after that. Maybe I was mistaken. I apologize. MR. RUKAVINA: I had started a new question, so here, let me start the new question again. Q. Did you personally receive any direct benefit from those two notes for \$7.4 million? A. No. Q. Did you ever personally consider yourself obligated to repay either or both of those notes? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MR. RUKAVINA: Pull up those notes again, Mr. Nguyen. Q. You can have them in front of you, Exhibit 7, Mr. Waterhouse, whatever is easier for you. If you go to your signature page, my question to you is, why did you not include your title as treasurer by your name, Frank Waterhouse? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I didn't – I didn't draft this document. Q. So you relied on whoever drafted it to draft it correctly? A. Yes. Q. Okay. But back then when you signed this, did it ever cross your mind that you were the maker on these notes? A. No. Q. Back then when you signed this document, did it ever cross your mind that you could be a co-obligor on these notes? A. No. I didn't receive \$7.4 million, I mean	Page 305
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. Did you even – MR. MORRIS: I didn't hear that question, sir. MR. RUKAVINA: The one that he answered, John, or my new one? MR. MORRIS: No, no, your question, Davor. MR. RUKAVINA: I had asked him whether he received any of the \$7.4 million. He said no. MR. MORRIS: Yeah. I thought there was a question after that. Maybe I was mistaken. I apologize. MR. RUKAVINA: I had started a new question, so here, let me start the new question again. Q. Did you personally receive any direct benefit from those two notes for \$7.4 million? A. No. Q. Did you ever personally consider yourself obligated to repay either or both of 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MR. RUKAVINA: Pull up those notes again, Mr. Nguyen. Q. You can have them in front of you, Exhibit 7, Mr. Waterhouse, whatever is easier for you. If you go to your signature page, my question to you is, why did you not include your title as treasurer by your name, Frank Waterhouse? MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form. A. I didn't – I didn't draft this document. Q. So you relied on whoever drafted it to draft it correctly? A. Yes. Q. Okay. But back then when you signed this, did it ever cross your mind that you were the maker on these notes? A. No. Q. Back then when you signed this document, did it ever cross your mind that you could be a co-obligor on these notes? A. No. I didn't receive \$7.4 million,	Page 305

	D 000			D 007
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 306	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 307
2 \$7.4 million?		2	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to the	
3 A. I would have to go back and look and		3	form.	
4 check in, you know, the – the financial		4	A. Yes.	
5 records and the bank statements.		5	Q. So do you agree with me that it's	
6 MR. RUKAVINA: You can take this		6	odd – I think that is the word you used –	
		_	that Highland would be loaning \$7.4 million a	
7 exhibit down, Mr. Nguyen.		7 0	с с	
8 Q. Mr. Waterhouse, I'm not trying to be		8	few weeks after that extension to an entity	
9 a smart-ass, but if the law says that because		9	whose liabilities exceeded its assets, and you	
10 of the way that you signed this promissory		10	would agree with me that it was never your	
11 note, if that is what the law says, that that		11	intention to be in any way liable for these two	
12 made you personally – personally liable, then		12	promissory notes; correct?	
13 you would agree with me that that was never		13	MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	
14 your intent?		14	of the question.	
15 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form		15	A. Sorry, you – you asked a lot there.	
16 of the question.		16	MR. RUKAVINA: I will strike it and	
17 A. That was never – I wouldn't sign a		17	l will move on.	
18 note and not get consideration in return.		18	Let's go to – pull up Exhibit 9,	
19 Q. So putting all other issues aside,		19	please Mr. Nguyen – Alpha 9, I'm sorry, Alpha	
20 if the law – if the law says that you were		20	9, A9.	
21 liable for those notes because of how you		21	(Exhibit A9 marked.)	
22 signed them, then would you agree with me that		22	Q. Sir, take a moment to look at this,	
23 these notes are a mistake?		23	but this is an email, and you will see attached	
24 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form		24	July 31, 2020 affiliate notes.	
25 of the question.		25	Do you see that attachment?	
	Dogo 209			Baga 200
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 308	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 309
	Page 308			Page 309
2 A. Yes.	Page 308	2	schedule of assets. What exhibit is this	Page 309
 A. Yes. Q. Okay. And do you see an entry for 	Page 308	2 3	schedule of assets. What exhibit is this of ours, Mr. Nguyen?	Page 309
 2 A. Yes. 3 Q. Okay. And do you see an entry for 4 Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors? 	Page 308	2 3 4	schedule of assets. What exhibit is this of ours, Mr. Nguyen? MR. NGUYEN: This is A11.	Page 309
 A. Yes. Q. Okay. And do you see an entry for Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors? MR. MORRIS: I'm sorry, hold on. 	Page 308	2 3 4 5	schedule of assets. What exhibit is this of ours, Mr. Nguyen? MR. NGUYEN: This is A11. MR. RUKAVINA: Oh, this will be A11.	Page 309
 A. Yes. Q. Okay. And do you see an entry for Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors? MR. MORRIS: I'm sorry, hold on. Where are you looking? 	Page 308	2 3 4 5 6	schedule of assets. What exhibit is this of ours, Mr. Nguyen? MR. NGUYEN: This is A11. MR. RUKAVINA: Oh, this will be A11. (Exhibit A11 marked.)	Page 309
 A. Yes. Q. Okay. And do you see an entry for Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors? MR. MORRIS: I'm sorry, hold on. Where are you looking? MR. RUKAVINA: Last page, John. 	Page 308	2 3 4 5 6 7	schedule of assets. What exhibit is this of ours, Mr. Nguyen? MR. NGUYEN: This is A11. MR. RUKAVINA: Oh, this will be A11. (Exhibit A11 marked.) Q. You don't have this in front of you,	Page 309
 A. Yes. Q. Okay. And do you see an entry for Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors? MR. MORRIS: I'm sorry, hold on. Where are you looking? MR. RUKAVINA: Last page, John. MR. MORRIS: Is it the page on the 	Page 308	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	schedule of assets. What exhibit is this of ours, Mr. Nguyen? MR. NGUYEN: This is A11. MR. RUKAVINA: Oh, this will be A11. (Exhibit A11 marked.) Q. You don't have this in front of you, Mr. Waterhouse?	Page 309
 A. Yes. Q. Okay. And do you see an entry for Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors? MR. MORRIS: I'm sorry, hold on. Where are you looking? MR. RUKAVINA: Last page, John. MR. MORRIS: Is it the page on the screen? 	Page 308	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	schedule of assets. What exhibit is this of ours, Mr. Nguyen? MR. NGUYEN: This is A11. MR. RUKAVINA: Oh, this will be A11. (Exhibit A11 marked.) Q. You don't have this in front of you, Mr. Waterhouse? A. Okay.	Page 309
 A. Yes. Q. Okay. And do you see an entry for Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors? MR. MORRIS: I'm sorry, hold on. Where are you looking? MR. RUKAVINA: Last page, John. MR. MORRIS: Is it the page on the screen? MR. RUKAVINA: Oh, I'm sorry. 	Page 308	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	schedule of assets. What exhibit is this of ours, Mr. Nguyen? MR. NGUYEN: This is A11. MR. RUKAVINA: Oh, this will be A11. (Exhibit A11 marked.) Q. You don't have this in front of you, Mr. Waterhouse? A. Okay. Q. This is what Mr. Morris used	Page 309
 A. Yes. Q. Okay. And do you see an entry for Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors? MR. MORRIS: I'm sorry, hold on. Where are you looking? MR. RUKAVINA: Last page, John. MR. MORRIS: Is it the page on the screen? MR. RUKAVINA: Oh, I'm sorry. Mr. Nguyen just did it. Yes, the last page 	Page 308	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	schedule of assets. What exhibit is this of ours, Mr. Nguyen? MR. NGUYEN: This is A11. MR. RUKAVINA: Oh, this will be A11. (Exhibit A11 marked.) Q. You don't have this in front of you, Mr. Waterhouse? A. Okay. Q. This is what Mr. Morris used earlier. Do you remember looking at this with	Page 309
 A. Yes. Q. Okay. And do you see an entry for Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors? MR. MORRIS: I'm sorry, hold on. Where are you looking? MR. RUKAVINA: Last page, John. MR. MORRIS: Is it the page on the screen? MR. RUKAVINA: Oh, I'm sorry. MR. Nguyen just did it. Yes, the last page there. 	Page 308	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	schedule of assets. What exhibit is this of ours, Mr. Nguyen? MR. NGUYEN: This is A11. MR. RUKAVINA: Oh, this will be A11. (Exhibit A11 marked.) Q. You don't have this in front of you, Mr. Waterhouse? A. Okay. Q. This is what Mr. Morris used earlier. Do you remember looking at this with Mr. Morris?	Page 309
 A. Yes. Q. Okay. And do you see an entry for Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors? MR. MORRIS: I'm sorry, hold on. Where are you looking? MR. RUKAVINA: Last page, John. MR. MORRIS: Is it the page on the screen? MR. RUKAVINA: Oh, I'm sorry. MR. Nguyen just did it. Yes, the last page there. MR. MORRIS: Thank you. 	Page 308	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	schedule of assets. What exhibit is this of ours, Mr. Nguyen? MR. NGUYEN: This is A11. MR. RUKAVINA: Oh, this will be A11. (Exhibit A11 marked.) Q. You don't have this in front of you, Mr. Waterhouse? A. Okay. Q. This is what Mr. Morris used earlier. Do you remember looking at this with Mr. Morris? A. Yes.	Page 309
 A. Yes. Q. Okay. And do you see an entry for Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors? MR. MORRIS: I'm sorry, hold on. Where are you looking? MR. RUKAVINA: Last page, John. MR. MORRIS: Is it the page on the screen? MR. RUKAVINA: Oh, I'm sorry. MR. Nguyen just did it. Yes, the last page there. MR. MORRIS: Thank you. Q. Do you see an entry there for HCMFA? 	Page 308	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	schedule of assets. What exhibit is this of ours, Mr. Nguyen? MR. NGUYEN: This is A11. MR. RUKAVINA: Oh, this will be A11. (Exhibit A11 marked.) Q. You don't have this in front of you, Mr. Waterhouse? A. Okay. Q. This is what Mr. Morris used earlier. Do you remember looking at this with Mr. Morris? A. Yes. MR. RUKAVINA: You might have to	Page 309
 A. Yes. Q. Okay. And do you see an entry for Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors? MR. MORRIS: I'm sorry, hold on. Where are you looking? MR. RUKAVINA: Last page, John. MR. MORRIS: Is it the page on the screen? MR. RUKAVINA: Oh, I'm sorry. MR. Nguyen just did it. Yes, the last page there. MR. MORRIS: Thank you. Q. Do you see an entry there for HCMFA? A. Yes. 	Page 308	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	schedule of assets. What exhibit is this of ours, Mr. Nguyen? MR. NGUYEN: This is A11. MR. RUKAVINA: Oh, this will be A11. (Exhibit A11 marked.) Q. You don't have this in front of you, Mr. Waterhouse? A. Okay. Q. This is what Mr. Morris used earlier. Do you remember looking at this with Mr. Morris? A. Yes. MR. RUKAVINA: You might have to zoom in a little. Okay.	Page 309
 A. Yes. Q. Okay. And do you see an entry for Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors? MR. MORRIS: I'm sorry, hold on. Where are you looking? MR. RUKAVINA: Last page, John. MR. MORRIS: Is it the page on the screen? MR. RUKAVINA: Oh, I'm sorry. MR. Nguyen just did it. Yes, the last page there. MR. MORRIS: Thank you. Q. Do you see an entry there for HCMFA? A. Yes. Q. About \$10.5 million. 	Page 308	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	schedule of assets. What exhibit is this of ours, Mr. Nguyen? MR. NGUYEN: This is A11. MR. RUKAVINA: Oh, this will be A11. (Exhibit A11 marked.) Q. You don't have this in front of you, Mr. Waterhouse? A. Okay. Q. This is what Mr. Morris used earlier. Do you remember looking at this with Mr. Morris? A. Yes. MR. RUKAVINA: You might have to zoom in a little. Okay. Q. Now, I see Affiliate Note A, B, and	Page 309
 A. Yes. Q. Okay. And do you see an entry for Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors? MR. MORRIS: I'm sorry, hold on. Where are you looking? MR. RUKAVINA: Last page, John. MR. MORRIS: Is it the page on the screen? MR. RUKAVINA: Oh, I'm sorry. MR. Nguyen just did it. Yes, the last page there. MR. MORRIS: Thank you. Q. Do you see an entry there for HCMFA? A. Yes. Q. About \$10.5 million. Do you see that? 	Page 308	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	schedule of assets. What exhibit is this of ours, Mr. Nguyen? MR. NGUYEN: This is A11. MR. RUKAVINA: Oh, this will be A11. (Exhibit A11 marked.) Q. You don't have this in front of you, Mr. Waterhouse? A. Okay. Q. This is what Mr. Morris used earlier. Do you remember looking at this with Mr. Morris? A. Yes. MR. RUKAVINA: You might have to zoom in a little. Okay. Q. Now, I see Affiliate Note A, B, and C.	Page 309
 A. Yes. Q. Okay. And do you see an entry for Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors? MR. MORRIS: I'm sorry, hold on. Where are you looking? MR. RUKAVINA: Last page, John. MR. MORRIS: Is it the page on the screen? MR. RUKAVINA: Oh, I'm sorry. MR. NORRIS: Thank you. MR. MORRIS: Thank you. Q. Do you see an entry there for HCMFA? A. Yes. Q. About \$10.5 million. Do you see that? A. I do. 	Page 308	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	schedule of assets. What exhibit is this of ours, Mr. Nguyen? MR. NGUYEN: This is A11. MR. RUKAVINA: Oh, this will be A11. (Exhibit A11 marked.) Q. You don't have this in front of you, Mr. Waterhouse? A. Okay. Q. This is what Mr. Morris used earlier. Do you remember looking at this with Mr. Morris? A. Yes. MR. RUKAVINA: You might have to zoom in a little. Okay. Q. Now, I see Affiliate Note A, B, and C. Do you have any recollection as to	Page 309
 A. Yes. Q. Okay. And do you see an entry for Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors? MR. MORRIS: I'm sorry, hold on. Where are you looking? MR. RUKAVINA: Last page, John. MR. MORRIS: Is it the page on the screen? MR. RUKAVINA: Oh, I'm sorry. MR. NORRIS: Thank you. MR. MORRIS: Thank you. Q. Do you see an entry there for HCMFA? A. Yes. Q. About \$10.5 million. Do you see that? A. I do. Q. And, now, do you have any 		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	schedule of assets. What exhibit is this of ours, Mr. Nguyen? MR. NGUYEN: This is A11. MR. RUKAVINA: Oh, this will be A11. (Exhibit A11 marked.) Q. You don't have this in front of you, Mr. Waterhouse? A. Okay. Q. This is what Mr. Morris used earlier. Do you remember looking at this with Mr. Morris? A. Yes. MR. RUKAVINA: You might have to zoom in a little. Okay. Q. Now, I see Affiliate Note A, B, and C. Do you have any recollection as to why the names of the affiliates are omitted?	Page 309
 A. Yes. Q. Okay. And do you see an entry for Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors? MR. MORRIS: I'm sorry, hold on. Where are you looking? MR. RUKAVINA: Last page, John. MR. MORRIS: Is it the page on the screen? MR. RUKAVINA: Oh, I'm sorry. MR. Nguyen just did it. Yes, the last page there. MR. MORRIS: Thank you. Q. Do you see an entry there for HCMFA? A. Yes. Q. About \$10.5 million. Do you see that? A. I do. Q. And, now, do you have any explanation for why if HCMFA owed \$7.4 million, 		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	schedule of assets. What exhibit is this of ours, Mr. Nguyen? MR. NGUYEN: This is A11. MR. RUKAVINA: Oh, this will be A11. (Exhibit A11 marked.) Q. You don't have this in front of you, Mr. Waterhouse? A. Okay. Q. This is what Mr. Morris used earlier. Do you remember looking at this with Mr. Morris? A. Yes. MR. RUKAVINA: You might have to zoom in a little. Okay. Q. Now, I see Affiliate Note A, B, and C. Do you have any recollection as to why the names of the affiliates are omitted? A. I don't. I testified earlier that,	Page 309
 A. Yes. Q. Okay. And do you see an entry for Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors? MR. MORRIS: I'm sorry, hold on. Where are you looking? MR. RUKAVINA: Last page, John. MR. MORRIS: Is it the page on the screen? MR. RUKAVINA: Oh, I'm sorry. MR. Nguyen just did it. Yes, the last page there. MR. MORRIS: Thank you. Q. Do you see an entry there for HCMFA? A. Yes. Q. About \$10.5 million. Do you see that? A. I do. Q. And, now, do you have any explanation for why if HCMFA owed \$7.4 million, plus the 5.3 million that had been extended, 		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 23 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	schedule of assets. What exhibit is this of ours, Mr. Nguyen? MR. NGUYEN: This is A11. MR. RUKAVINA: Oh, this will be A11. (Exhibit A11 marked.) Q. You don't have this in front of you, Mr. Waterhouse? A. Okay. Q. This is what Mr. Morris used earlier. Do you remember looking at this with Mr. Morris? A. Yes. MR. RUKAVINA: You might have to zoom in a little. Okay. Q. Now, I see Affiliate Note A, B, and C. Do you have any recollection as to why the names of the affiliates are omitted? A. I don't. I testified earlier that, you know, the team worked with DSI in providing	Page 309
 A. Yes. Q. Okay. And do you see an entry for Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors? MR. MORRIS: I'm sorry, hold on. Where are you looking? MR. RUKAVINA: Last page, John. MR. MORRIS: Is it the page on the screen? MR. RUKAVINA: Oh, I'm sorry. MR. NORRIS: Thank you. MR. MORRIS: Thank you. Q. Do you see an entry there for HCMFA? A. Yes. Q. About \$10.5 million. Do you see that? A. I do. Q. And, now, do you have any explanation for why if HCMFA owed \$7.4 million, plus the 5.3 million that had been extended, why that amount was only 10.5 million? 		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	schedule of assets. What exhibit is this of ours, Mr. Nguyen? MR. NGUYEN: This is A11. MR. RUKAVINA: Oh, this will be A11. (Exhibit A11 marked.) Q. You don't have this in front of you, Mr. Waterhouse? A. Okay. Q. This is what Mr. Morris used earlier. Do you remember looking at this with Mr. Morris? A. Yes. MR. RUKAVINA: You might have to zoom in a little. Okay. Q. Now, I see Affiliate Note A, B, and C. Do you have any recollection as to why the names of the affiliates are omitted? A. I don't. I testified earlier that, you know, the team worked with DSI in providing these. I – I don't – I don't know.	Page 309
 A. Yes. Q. Okay. And do you see an entry for Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors? MR. MORRIS: I'm sorry, hold on. Where are you looking? MR. RUKAVINA: Last page, John. MR. MORRIS: Is it the page on the screen? MR. RUKAVINA: Oh, I'm sorry. MR. NORRIS: Thank you. MR. MORRIS: Thank you. Q. Do you see an entry there for HCMFA? A. Yes. Q. About \$10.5 million. Do you see that? A. I do. Q. And, now, do you have any explanation for why if HCMFA owed \$7.4 million, plus the 5.3 million that had been extended, why that amount was only 10.5 million? A. I don't know. Okay. 		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 23 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	schedule of assets. What exhibit is this of ours, Mr. Nguyen? MR. NGUYEN: This is A11. MR. RUKAVINA: Oh, this will be A11. (Exhibit A11 marked.) Q. You don't have this in front of you, Mr. Waterhouse? A. Okay. Q. This is what Mr. Morris used earlier. Do you remember looking at this with Mr. Morris? A. Yes. MR. RUKAVINA: You might have to zoom in a little. Okay. Q. Now, I see Affiliate Note A, B, and C. Do you have any recollection as to why the names of the affiliates are omitted? A. I don't. I testified earlier that, you know, the team worked with DSI in providing these. I – I don't – I don't know. Q. Can we deduce – is it logical to	Page 309
 A. Yes. Q. Okay. And do you see an entry for Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors? MR. MORRIS: I'm sorry, hold on. Where are you looking? MR. RUKAVINA: Last page, John. MR. MORRIS: Is it the page on the screen? MR. RUKAVINA: Oh, I'm sorry. MR. NORRIS: Thank you. MR. MORRIS: Thank you. Q. Do you see an entry there for HCMFA? A. Yes. Q. About \$10.5 million. Do you see that? A. I do. Q. And, now, do you have any explanation for why if HCMFA owed \$7.4 million, plus the 5.3 million that had been extended, why that amount was only 10.5 million? 		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	schedule of assets. What exhibit is this of ours, Mr. Nguyen? MR. NGUYEN: This is A11. MR. RUKAVINA: Oh, this will be A11. (Exhibit A11 marked.) Q. You don't have this in front of you, Mr. Waterhouse? A. Okay. Q. This is what Mr. Morris used earlier. Do you remember looking at this with Mr. Morris? A. Yes. MR. RUKAVINA: You might have to zoom in a little. Okay. Q. Now, I see Affiliate Note A, B, and C. Do you have any recollection as to why the names of the affiliates are omitted? A. I don't. I testified earlier that, you know, the team worked with DSI in providing these. I – I don't – I don't know.	Page 309
 A. Yes. Q. Okay. And do you see an entry for Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors? MR. MORRIS: I'm sorry, hold on. Where are you looking? MR. RUKAVINA: Last page, John. MR. MORRIS: Is it the page on the screen? MR. RUKAVINA: Oh, I'm sorry. MR. NORRIS: Thank you. MR. MORRIS: Thank you. Q. Do you see an entry there for HCMFA? A. Yes. Q. About \$10.5 million. Do you see that? A. I do. Q. And, now, do you have any explanation for why if HCMFA owed \$7.4 million, plus the 5.3 million that had been extended, why that amount was only 10.5 million? A. I don't know. Okay. 		2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 20 21 22 23	schedule of assets. What exhibit is this of ours, Mr. Nguyen? MR. NGUYEN: This is A11. MR. RUKAVINA: Oh, this will be A11. (Exhibit A11 marked.) Q. You don't have this in front of you, Mr. Waterhouse? A. Okay. Q. This is what Mr. Morris used earlier. Do you remember looking at this with Mr. Morris? A. Yes. MR. RUKAVINA: You might have to zoom in a little. Okay. Q. Now, I see Affiliate Note A, B, and C. Do you have any recollection as to why the names of the affiliates are omitted? A. I don't. I testified earlier that, you know, the team worked with DSI in providing these. I – I don't – I don't know. Q. Can we deduce – is it logical to deduce that Affiliate Note A would be NexPoint	Page 309

Page 310 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 311
2 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	2 Q. It would be \$12.7 million. Is that	
3 of the question.	3 generally correct?	
4 A. I mean, it – it is a – it is – it	4 A. Sorry, the amounts were 7.4, 5.3.	
5 is approximate.	5 Q. Yes.	
6 Q. Well, can we – can we deduce – or,	6 A. Okay. Yeah, that – that – I can	
7 I'm sorry, strike that.	7 do that math, yes.	
8 Can you, sitting here today,	8 Q. Do you have any explanation or any	
9 logically conclude that Affiliate Note B or C	9 understanding of why there is no similar entry	
10 represents HCMFA?	10 listed here on the schedule of assets filed	
11 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	11 with the bankruptcy court?	
12 of the question.	12 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	
13 A. I don't know. I don't know. I		
14 can't.		
15 Q. Okay. As of the petition date, we	15 the supporting schedules, like I talked about	
16 have established that HCMFA, under promissory	16 other – presumably there is – there is a	
17 notes, owed \$7.4 million and \$5.3 million to	17 build to the schedule that would provide the	
18 the debtor; correct?	18 detail.	
19 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	19 Q. Well, that was going to be my next	
20 of the question.	20 question. You anticipated it.	
21 A. Yes.	21 MR. RUKAVINA: You can you can	
22 Q. Okay. And by my reckoning, that	22 take this down, Mr. Nguyen.	
23 would be somewhere approaching \$13 million.	23 Q. Do you believe that whenever you and	
24 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	24 your team provided the underlying data to the	
25 of the question.	25 financial advisor that the actual names of the	
5.040		
Page 312		Page 313
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 313
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 affiliates for Affiliate Note A, B, and C would	2 I mean, it was – our job and our	Page 313
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 affiliates for Affiliate Note A, B, and C would 3 have been listed there?	 I mean, it was – our job and our focus – and I testified in court back in 2019; 	Page 313
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 affiliates for Affiliate Note A, B, and C would have been listed there? A. Are you asking we provided the names 	 I mean, it was – our job and our focus – and I testified in court back in 2019; right – was – was to be transparent and, you 	Page 313
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 affiliates for Affiliate Note A, B, and C would have been listed there? A. Are you asking we provided the names to the financial advisor? I don't – I don't 	 I mean, it was – our job and our focus – and I testified in court back in 2019; right – was – was to be transparent and, you know, get DSI up to speed on – on the matters 	Page 313
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 affiliates for Affiliate Note A, B, and C would have been listed there? A. Are you asking we provided the names to the financial advisor? I don't – I don't understand who the financial advisor is. 	 I mean, it was – our job and our focus – and I testified in court back in 2019; right – was – was to be transparent and, you know, get DSI up to speed on – on the matters at Highland. So I can't see us redacting at 	Page 313
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 affiliates for Affiliate Note A, B, and C would have been listed there? A. Are you asking we provided the names to the financial advisor? I don't – I don't understand who the financial advisor is. Q. I'm sorry, DSI. 	 I mean, it was – our job and our focus – and I testified in court back in 2019; right – was – was to be transparent and, you know, get DSI up to speed on – on the matters at Highland. So I can't see us redacting at that point. 	Page 313
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 affiliates for Affiliate Note A, B, and C would have been listed there? A. Are you asking we provided the names to the financial advisor? I don't – I don't understand who the financial advisor is. Q. I'm sorry, DSI. Let me ask the question this way, 	 I mean, it was – our job and our focus – and I testified in court back in 2019; right – was – was to be transparent and, you know, get DSI up to speed on – on the matters at Highland. So I can't see us redacting at that point. MR. RUKAVINA: Mr. Nguyen, will you 	Page 313
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 affiliates for Affiliate Note A, B, and C would have been listed there? A. Are you asking we provided the names to the financial advisor? I don't – I don't understand who the financial advisor is. Q. I'm sorry, DSI. Let me ask the question this way, Mr. Waterhouse. 	 I mean, it was – our job and our focus – and I testified in court back in 2019; right – was – was to be transparent and, you know, get DSI up to speed on – on the matters at Highland. So I can't see us redacting at that point. MR. RUKAVINA: Mr. Nguyen, will you please pull up Mr. Morris' Exhibit 36. 	Page 313
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 affiliates for Affiliate Note A, B, and C would have been listed there? A. Are you asking we provided the names to the financial advisor? I don't – I don't understand who the financial advisor is. Q. I'm sorry, DSI. Let me ask the question this way, Mr. Waterhouse. Whenever you provided information 	 I mean, it was – our job and our focus – and I testified in court back in 2019; right – was – was to be transparent and, you know, get DSI up to speed on – on the matters at Highland. So I can't see us redacting at that point. MR. RUKAVINA: Mr. Nguyen, will you please pull up Mr. Morris' Exhibit 36. Just the very first page, the very top 	Page 313
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 affiliates for Affiliate Note A, B, and C would have been listed there? A. Are you asking we provided the names to the financial advisor? I don't – I don't understand who the financial advisor is. Q. I'm sorry, DSI. Let me ask the question this way, Mr. Waterhouse. Whenever you provided information about the affiliate notes to DSI, do you 	 I mean, it was – our job and our focus – and I testified in court back in 2019; right – was – was to be transparent and, you know, get DSI up to speed on – on the matters at Highland. So I can't see us redacting at that point. MR. RUKAVINA: Mr. Nguyen, will you please pull up Mr. Morris' Exhibit 36. Just the very first page, the very top email. You might zoom in a little bit. 	Page 313
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 affiliates for Affiliate Note A, B, and C would have been listed there? A. Are you asking we provided the names to the financial advisor? I don't – I don't understand who the financial advisor is. Q. I'm sorry, DSI. Let me ask the question this way, Mr. Waterhouse. Whenever you provided information about the affiliate notes to DSI, do you believe that you would have included the actual 	 I mean, it was – our job and our focus – and I testified in court back in 2019; right – was – was to be transparent and, you know, get DSI up to speed on – on the matters at Highland. So I can't see us redacting at that point. MR. RUKAVINA: Mr. Nguyen, will you please pull up Mr. Morris' Exhibit 36. Just the very first page, the very top email. You might zoom in a little bit. Q. Now, you recall being asked about 	Page 313
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 affiliates for Affiliate Note A, B, and C would have been listed there? A. Are you asking we provided the names to the financial advisor? I don't – I don't understand who the financial advisor is. Q. I'm sorry, DSI. Let me ask the question this way, Mr. Waterhouse. Whenever you provided information about the affiliate notes to DSI, do you believe that you would have included the actual names of the affiliates, you or your team, or 	 I mean, it was – our job and our focus – and I testified in court back in 2019; right – was – was to be transparent and, you know, get DSI up to speed on – on the matters at Highland. So I can't see us redacting at that point. MR. RUKAVINA: Mr. Nguyen, will you please pull up Mr. Morris' Exhibit 36. Just the very first page, the very top email. You might zoom in a little bit. Q. Now, you recall being asked about this by Mr. Morris? 	Page 313
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 affiliates for Affiliate Note A, B, and C would have been listed there? A. Are you asking we provided the names to the financial advisor? I don't – I don't understand who the financial advisor is. Q. I'm sorry, DSI. Let me ask the question this way, Mr. Waterhouse. Whenever you provided information about the affiliate notes to DSI, do you believe that you would have included the actual names of the affiliates, you or your team, or that you would have done the Affiliate Note A, 	 I mean, it was – our job and our focus – and I testified in court back in 2019; right – was – was to be transparent and, you know, get DSI up to speed on – on the matters at Highland. So I can't see us redacting at that point. MR. RUKAVINA: Mr. Nguyen, will you please pull up Mr. Morris' Exhibit 36. Just the very first page, the very top email. You might zoom in a little bit. Q. Now, you recall being asked about this by Mr. Morris? A. Yes, I do. 	Page 313
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 affiliates for Affiliate Note A, B, and C would have been listed there? A. Are you asking we provided the names to the financial advisor? I don't – I don't understand who the financial advisor is. Q. I'm sorry, DSI. Let me ask the question this way, Mr. Waterhouse. Whenever you provided information about the affiliate notes to DSI, do you believe that you would have included the actual names of the affiliates, you or your team, or that you would have done the Affiliate Note A, Note B, Note C? 	 I mean, it was – our job and our focus – and I testified in court back in 2019; right – was – was to be transparent and, you know, get DSI up to speed on – on the matters at Highland. So I can't see us redacting at that point. MR. RUKAVINA: Mr. Nguyen, will you please pull up Mr. Morris' Exhibit 36. Just the very first page, the very top email. You might zoom in a little bit. Q. Now, you recall being asked about this by Mr. Morris? A. Yes, I do. Q. And you wrote: The HCMFA note is a 	Page 313
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 affiliates for Affiliate Note A, B, and C would have been listed there? A. Are you asking we provided the names to the financial advisor? I don't – I don't understand who the financial advisor is. Q. I'm sorry, DSI. Let me ask the question this way, Mr. Waterhouse. Whenever you provided information about the affiliate notes to DSI, do you believe that you would have included the actual names of the affiliates, you or your team, or that you would have done the Affiliate Note A, Note B, Note C? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 	 I mean, it was – our job and our focus – and I testified in court back in 2019; right – was – was to be transparent and, you know, get DSI up to speed on – on the matters at Highland. So I can't see us redacting at that point. MR. RUKAVINA: Mr. Nguyen, will you please pull up Mr. Morris' Exhibit 36. Just the very first page, the very top email. You might zoom in a little bit. Q. Now, you recall being asked about this by Mr. Morris? A. Yes, I do. Q. And you wrote: The HCMFA note is a demand note. 	Page 313
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 affiliates for Affiliate Note A, B, and C would 3 have been listed there? 4 A. Are you asking we provided the names 5 to the financial advisor? I don't – I don't 6 understand who the financial advisor is. 7 Q. I'm sorry, DSI. 8 Let me ask the question this way, 9 Mr. Waterhouse. 10 Whenever you provided information 11 about the affiliate notes to DSI, do you 12 believe that you would have included the actual 13 names of the affiliates, you or your team, or 14 that you would have done the Affiliate Note A, 15 Note B, Note C? 16 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 17 of the question.	 I mean, it was – our job and our focus – and I testified in court back in 2019; right – was – was to be transparent and, you know, get DSI up to speed on – on the matters at Highland. So I can't see us redacting at that point. MR. RUKAVINA: Mr. Nguyen, will you please pull up Mr. Morris' Exhibit 36. Just the very first page, the very top email. You might zoom in a little bit. Q. Now, you recall being asked about this by Mr. Morris? A. Yes, I do. Q. And you wrote: The HCMFA note is a demand note. You wrote that; right? 	Page 313
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 affiliates for Affiliate Note A, B, and C would have been listed there? A. Are you asking we provided the names to the financial advisor? I don't – I don't understand who the financial advisor is. Q. I'm sorry, DSI. Let me ask the question this way, Mr. Waterhouse. Whenever you provided information about the affiliate notes to DSI, do you believe that you would have included the actual names of the affiliates, you or your team, or that you would have done the Affiliate Note A, Note B, Note C? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to the 	 I mean, it was – our job and our focus – and I testified in court back in 2019; right – was – was to be transparent and, you know, get DSI up to speed on – on the matters at Highland. So I can't see us redacting at that point. MR. RUKAVINA: Mr. Nguyen, will you please pull up Mr. Morris' Exhibit 36. Just the very first page, the very top email. You might zoom in a little bit. Q. Now, you recall being asked about this by Mr. Morris? A. Yes, I do. Q. And you wrote: The HCMFA note is a demand note. You wrote that; right? A. Yes. 	Page 313
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 affiliates for Affiliate Note A, B, and C would 3 have been listed there? 4 A. Are you asking we provided the names 5 to the financial advisor? I don't – I don't 6 understand who the financial advisor is. 7 Q. I'm sorry, DSI. 8 Let me ask the question this way, 9 Mr. Waterhouse. 10 Whenever you provided information 11 about the affiliate notes to DSI, do you 12 believe that you would have included the actual 13 names of the affiliates, you or your team, or 14 that you would have done the Affiliate Note A, 15 Note B, Note C? 16 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 17 of the question. 18 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to the 19 form.	 I mean, it was – our job and our focus – and I testified in court back in 2019; right – was – was to be transparent and, you know, get DSI up to speed on – on the matters at Highland. So I can't see us redacting at that point. MR. RUKAVINA: Mr. Nguyen, will you please pull up Mr. Morris' Exhibit 36. Just the very first page, the very top email. You might zoom in a little bit. Q. Now, you recall being asked about this by Mr. Morris? A. Yes, I do. Q. And you wrote: The HCMFA note is a demand note. You wrote that; right? A. Yes. Q. And, in fact, weren't there by that 	Page 313
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 affiliates for Affiliate Note A, B, and C would 3 have been listed there? 4 A. Are you asking we provided the names 5 to the financial advisor? I don't – I don't 6 understand who the financial advisor is. 7 Q. I'm sorry, DSI. 8 Let me ask the question this way, 9 Mr. Waterhouse. 10 Whenever you provided information 11 about the affiliate notes to DSI, do you 12 believe that you would have included the actual 13 names of the affiliates, you or your team, or 14 that you would have done the Affiliate Note A, 15 Note B, Note C? 16 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 17 of the question. 18 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to the 19 form. 20 A. We – like I testified earlier, when	 I mean, it was – our job and our focus – and I testified in court back in 2019; right – was – was to be transparent and, you know, get DSI up to speed on – on the matters at Highland. So I can't see us redacting at that point. MR. RUKAVINA: Mr. Nguyen, will you please pull up Mr. Morris' Exhibit 36. Just the very first page, the very top email. You might zoom in a little bit. Q. Now, you recall being asked about this by Mr. Morris? A. Yes, I do. Q. And you wrote: The HCMFA note is a demand note. You wrote that; right? A. Yes. Q. And, in fact, weren't there by that point in time several notes? 	Page 313
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 affiliates for Affiliate Note A, B, and C would 3 have been listed there? 4 A. Are you asking we provided the names 5 to the financial advisor? I don't – I don't 6 understand who the financial advisor is. 7 Q. I'm sorry, DSI. 8 Let me ask the question this way, 9 Mr. Waterhouse. 10 Whenever you provided information 11 about the affiliate notes to DSI, do you 12 believe that you would have included the actual 13 names of the affiliates, you or your team, or 14 that you would have done the Affiliate Note A, 15 Note B, Note C? 16 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 17 of the question. 18 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to the 19 form. 20 A. We – like I testified earlier, when 21 we were – we gave everything to – to DSI. We	 I mean, it was – our job and our focus – and I testified in court back in 2019; right – was – was to be transparent and, you know, get DSI up to speed on – on the matters at Highland. So I can't see us redacting at that point. MR. RUKAVINA: Mr. Nguyen, will you please pull up Mr. Morris' Exhibit 36. Just the very first page, the very top email. You might zoom in a little bit. Q. Now, you recall being asked about this by Mr. Morris? A. Yes, I do. Q. And you wrote: The HCMFA note is a demand note. You wrote that; right? A. Yes. Q. And, in fact, weren't there by that point in time several notes? Yes, there were. Again, I don't – 	Page 313
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 affiliates for Affiliate Note A, B, and C would have been listed there? A. Are you asking we provided the names to the financial advisor? I don't – I don't understand who the financial advisor is. Q. I'm sorry, DSI. Let me ask the question this way, Mr. Waterhouse. Whenever you provided information about the affiliate notes to DSI, do you believe that you would have included the actual names of the affiliates, you or your team, or that you would have done the Affiliate Note A, Note B, Note C? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to the form. A. We – like I testified earlier, when we were – we gave everything to – to DSI. We were giving all of our records, all of our 	 I mean, it was – our job and our focus – and I testified in court back in 2019; right – was – was to be transparent and, you know, get DSI up to speed on – on the matters at Highland. So I can't see us redacting at that point. MR. RUKAVINA: Mr. Nguyen, will you please pull up Mr. Morris' Exhibit 36. Just the very first page, the very top email. You might zoom in a little bit. Q. Now, you recall being asked about this by Mr. Morris? A. Yes, I do. Q. And you wrote: The HCMFA note is a demand note. You wrote that; right? A. Yes. Q. And, in fact, weren't there by that point in time several notes? 	Page 313
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 affiliates for Affiliate Note A, B, and C would have been listed there? A. Are you asking we provided the names to the financial advisor? I don't – I don't understand who the financial advisor is. Q. I'm sorry, DSI. Let me ask the question this way, Mr. Waterhouse. Whenever you provided information about the affiliate notes to DSI, do you believe that you would have included the actual names of the affiliates, you or your team, or that you would have done the Affiliate Note A, Note B, Note C? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to the form. A. We – like I testified earlier, when we were – we gave everything to – to DSI. We were giving all of our records, all of our files, everything to DSI. We weren't redacting 	 I mean, it was – our job and our focus – and I testified in court back in 2019; right – was – was to be transparent and, you know, get DSI up to speed on – on the matters at Highland. So I can't see us redacting at that point. MR. RUKAVINA: Mr. Nguyen, will you please pull up Mr. Morris' Exhibit 36. Just the very first page, the very top email. You might zoom in a little bit. Q. Now, you recall being asked about this by Mr. Morris? A. Yes, I do. Q. And you wrote: The HCMFA note is a demand note. You wrote that; right? A. Yes. Q. And, in fact, weren't there by that point in time several notes? A. Yes, there were. Again, I don't – I don't remember everything specifically. I mean – 	Page 313
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 affiliates for Affiliate Note A, B, and C would have been listed there? A. Are you asking we provided the names to the financial advisor? I don't – I don't understand who the financial advisor is. Q. I'm sorry, DSI. Let me ask the question this way, Mr. Waterhouse. Whenever you provided information about the affiliate notes to DSI, do you believe that you would have included the actual names of the affiliates, you or your team, or that you would have done the Affiliate Note A, Note B, Note C? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to the form. A. We – like I testified earlier, when we were – we gave everything to – to DSI. We were giving all of our records, all of our files, everything to DSI. We weren't redacting information or saying, hey, here is a note, 	 I mean, it was – our job and our focus – and I testified in court back in 2019; right – was – was to be transparent and, you know, get DSI up to speed on – on the matters at Highland. So I can't see us redacting at that point. MR. RUKAVINA: Mr. Nguyen, will you please pull up Mr. Morris' Exhibit 36. Just the very first page, the very top email. You might zoom in a little bit. Q. Now, you recall being asked about this by Mr. Morris? A. Yes, I do. Q. And you wrote: The HCMFA note is a demand note. You wrote that; right? A. Yes. Q. And, in fact, weren't there by that point in time several notes? A. Yes, there were. Again, I don't – Idon't remember everything specifically. I mean – Q. I understand. I understand. 	Page 313
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 affiliates for Affiliate Note A, B, and C would have been listed there? A. Are you asking we provided the names to the financial advisor? I don't – I don't understand who the financial advisor is. Q. I'm sorry, DSI. Let me ask the question this way, Mr. Waterhouse. Whenever you provided information about the affiliate notes to DSI, do you believe that you would have included the actual names of the affiliates, you or your team, or that you would have done the Affiliate Note A, Note B, Note C? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to the form. A. We – like I testified earlier, when we were – we gave everything to – to DSI. We were giving all of our records, all of our files, everything to DSI. We weren't redacting 	 I mean, it was – our job and our focus – and I testified in court back in 2019; right – was – was to be transparent and, you know, get DSI up to speed on – on the matters at Highland. So I can't see us redacting at that point. MR. RUKAVINA: Mr. Nguyen, will you please pull up Mr. Morris' Exhibit 36. Just the very first page, the very top email. You might zoom in a little bit. Q. Now, you recall being asked about this by Mr. Morris? A. Yes, I do. Q. And you wrote: The HCMFA note is a demand note. You wrote that; right? A. Yes. Q. And, in fact, weren't there by that point in time several notes? A. Yes, there were. Again, I don't – I don't remember everything specifically. I mean – 	Page 313

Page 31	1	Page 315
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Fage 515
2 you might have made a mistake by referring to a	2 you signed were demand notes; right?	
3 singular instead of a plural; right?	3 A. Yes.	
4 A. Yes.	4 Q. Do you find it logical, based on	
5 Q. Okay. And you – you wrote – a	5 your experience, that had they intended to have	
6 couple of sentences later, you wrote: There	6 a different or a set maturity date, you would	
7 was an agreement between HCMLP and HCMFA the	7 have instructed that that set maturity date be	
8 earliest they could demand is May 2021.	8 included instead of a demand feature?	
9 You wrote that; right?	9 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	
10 A. Yes.	10 of the question.	
11 Q. But I think you – you agreed with	11 A. Sorry, just want to make sure I	
12 Mr. Morris that that can't possibly apply to	12 understand. You are saying that that the	
13 the May 2019 notes, can it?	13 \$5 million note, the \$2.4 million note, if	
14 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	14 those were supposed to be a term note, that I	
15 of the question. That is not what he	15 would have made sure that those were a term	
16 testified to.	16 note?	
17 Q. Let me ask – let me ask a different	17 Q. I'm saying – I'm saying,	
18 question.	18 Mr. Waterhouse, that on May the 2nd and May the	
19 Sitting here today – or if you can	19 3rd, 2019, if you intended that those two	
20 answer me from your memory on October 6,	20 promissory notes could not be called until May	
21 2020 – did the April acknowledgment that	21 2021, would you have included such language in	
22 extended the maturity date apply to the	22 those two promissory notes?	
23 May 2019 notes also?	23 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	
24 A. I don't recall specifically.	24 of the question.	
25 Q. Well, you recall that the notes that	25 A. I guess – I'm sorry, I don't recall	
Page 31		Page 317
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	
2 putting language in those May notes. I don't	2 MR. RUKAVINA: You can pull this	
3 remember what language you are referring to.	3 down, Mr. Nguyen.	
4 Q. Well, let's read this again.	4 Q. So, Mr. Waterhouse, you don't	
5 There was an agreement between HCMLP	5 remember Mr. Dondero telling you to make these	
6 and HCMFA the earliest they could demand is May	6 loans or not. HCMLP was loaning \$7.4 million	
7 2021.	7 to someone that their assets were less than	
8 Do you recall that agreement?	8 their liabilities.	
9 A. Yes, that was the agreement we	9 We don't see on the July list of	
10 looked at earlier; correct?	10 notes, where there is \$12.7 million of notes,	
11 Q. Okay. Yes.	11 we don't see that on the bankruptcy schedules,	
12 Do you – do you understand now that	12 and we have this Exhibit 36 where you are	
13 that agreement that we looked at earlier also	13 confused.	
14 applied to the May 2019 notes that you signed?	14 Are you prepared to tell me, sir,	
15 A. I don't – I don't know.	15 today that you might have made a mistake in	
16 Q. But as of October 6, 2020, you're	16 executing those two promissory notes?	
17 writing that there is one demand note and	17 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	
18 you're categorizing that demand note as not	18 of the question.	
19 being demandable on May 2021; correct?	19 A. I – I don't know.	
20 A. Yes.	20 Q. And if it turns out that you're	
21 Q. And you know now that you made at	21 personally liable for those promissory notes,	
22 least one mistake in this email; correct?	22 it would certainly be a mistake, wouldn't it?	
23 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	23 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to the	
24 of the question. 25 A. Yes.	24 form. 25 MR. MORRIS: Join.	

Page 318	Page 315
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21
2 A. Yes.	2 know. I don't know how I disagree with that.
3 Q. If Mr. Dondero testifies that he	3 Q. And just to confirm, you don't
4 never told you to make these loans, would you	4 remember ever asking Mr. Dondero whether you
5 disagree with his testimony?	5 should have two promissory notes prepared?
6 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	6 A. No.
7 of the question.	7 Q. And you don't remember discussing
8 A. Like I testified earlier with my	8 with Mr. Dondero what the terms of those two
9 conversation with Mr. Dondero, all I recall is	9 promissory notes should be?
10 he said, get the money from Highland.	10 A. I don't recall – I testified all I
11 Q. And if Mr. Dondero testifies that	11 recall is he said, get the money from Highland.
12 he, in consultation with other senior personnel	12 I don't the the terms of the note, I
13 at Highland, decided that Highland needed to	13 don't recall ever having a discussion around
14 pay HCMFA \$7.4 million as compensation for the	14 the terms of the note, but since I don't draft
15 NAV error and not a loan, would you have any	15 the notes, that – there could have been a
16 reason to disagree with Mr. Dondero?	16 conversation with other people later.
17 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	17 Q. Do you have any memory of whether
18 of the question.	18 after the notes were drafted, but before you
19 A. If that was – if that was his	19 signed them, that you communicated with
20 intent, yes, it would – I would –	20 Mr. Dondero in any way to just confirm or – or
21 Q. Do you have any reason to disagree	21 get his blessing or ratification to signing
22 with him?	22 those notes?
23 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	23 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form
24 of the question.	24 of the question.
25 A. If that was his intent, I don't	25 A. I don't recall.
Page 320 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 32' 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21
1WATERHOUSE - 10-19-212Q. Again, the only thing you remember,	
3 sitting here today, was Mr. Dondero said, get	
4 the money from Highland, and that is it, that	
	4 whatever party.
5 is all you remember?6 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	5 Q. What was your assistant's name in 6 May 2019?
	7 A. It was Naomi Chisum.
7 of the question.8 A. I testified to that several times.	
9 This was over two years ago. A lot has	 9 she your only assistant that would have maybe 10 facilitated logistically compating like your
10 happened. That is all I recall.11 Q. And help me here. I'm not very	10 facilitated logistically something like you
	11 just described?
 12 technologically astute. When you – and I – I 13 recognize that you do it rarely, but when you 	A. You know, she was out on maternityleave at some point. I don't – I don't recall
	5
16 having authorized or signed a document	16 her up. I don't recall specifically who
17 electronically? 18 MP_MORPHS: Objection to the form	17 those – who those, you know, administrative
18 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	18 assistants were, and I don't recall
 19 of the question. 20 A. I – I don't know the tech answer to 	19 specifically if she was out during this time on
	20 maternity leave.21 I do know that that she was out for
21 that, but, you know, since I don't have – I	
22 don't ever attach my signature block	22 a period of time, or who knows, or she could
23 electronically, my assistant would have done	have been on vacation that day or, you know, Idon't know.
that, and if that is done over email like wedid several times – you know, multiple,	24 don't know.25 Q. Switching gears now, the two

D 000			B 000
Page 322	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 323
2 complaints that have been filed that is against	2	Q. Obviously with COVID, it changed,	
3 HCMFA and NexPoint, did you see any drafts of		but – but before COVID, did you used to meet	
4 those complaints before they were filed?		with Mr. Seery from time to time in-person?	
5 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	5	A. Yeah, I mean, so before COVID – so	
6 of the question, and to the extent that you	6	we're talking kind of late March, early April,	
7 had any communications with counsel or you		right, there was about – I don't remember the	
8 were shown drafts of the complaints by		specific date when the board for Highland was	
9 counsel while you were employed by		appointed. I believe it was around February of	
10 Highland, I direct you not to answer.		2020, so maybe there was a month-and-a-half,	
11 A. I – I reviewed documents yesterday		two-month window where we were meeting	
12 with counsel here. I believe that is the first		in-person or, you know, like we were actually	
13 time I have ever seen those.		in the office, excuse me, we were in the	
14 Q. Okay. Did you ever discuss with		office.	
15 Mr. Seery these two lawsuits before or after	15	And, you know, when they were first	
16 they were filed?		appointed, the board members and Mr. Seery	
17 A. I don't recall.		were – were definitely down here more	
18 Q. Were you ever interviewed by legal		in-person.	
19 counsel, to your knowledge, about these	19	Q. Did you ever see Mr. Seery taking	
20 promissory notes before the complaints were		written notes of of his meetings with you or	
21 filed? Without going into what was said, were		others?	
22 you ever interviewed by legal counsel?	22	A. I don't recall.	
23 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	23	Q. Do you recall on any Zoom or video	
24 of the question.		conference with Mr. Seery, seeing him take	
25 A. I don't recall.		notes, written notes?	
Page 324 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 325
2 A. The Zoom calls we had, I don't	2	MR. RUKAVINA: Can we make it five	
3 recall having seen video or, you know, or if it	3	minutes?	
4 was on Zoom, I just remember it being – well,	4	THE WITNESS: Five minutes would be	
5 no, you know what, there were some – you know,	5	great.	
6 I take that back.	6	VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the	
7 So there were – there were some	7	record at 5:53 p.m.	
8 times that I did remember seeing Mr. Seery	8	(Recess taken 5:53 p.m. to 5:59 p.m.)	
9 on – on some of the Zoom calls.	9	VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the	
10 Q. Well, let me –	10	record at 5:59 p.m.	
11 A. I don't – sorry, I'm thinking. I'm	11	Q. Mr. Waterhouse, I had asked you	
12 thinking – I'm going back. I'm trying to		earlier about contracts between HCMFA and the	
13 process this.	13	debtor, and now I'm going to talk about	
14 Q. I can make it much quicker,		contracts between the debtor and NexPoint	
15 Mr. Waterhouse. I have heard I have heard		Advisors. Okay?	
16 that Mr. Seery is a copious note taker.	16	A. Okay.	
17 Do you have any knowledge about	17	Q. Now, were there contracts similar to	
18 that?	18	the ones with HCMFA that NexPoint had in the	
19 A. No.	19	nature of employee reimbursement and shared	
20 Q. Okay. Switching gears yet again,		services?	
21 and this will be last theme. Do you need a	21	A. Yes, they – NexPoint Advisors and	
22 restroom break, or are you good to go for	22	Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors had	
23 another half an hour?		cost reimbursement and shared services	
24 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: I need a		agreements with Highland Capital Management,	
25 restroom break.	25	L.P.	

Page 326	Page 327 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21
2 Q. And was that shared services	2 A. Yes.
3 agreement, to the best of your understanding,	3 Q. So let's break that up. You were a
4 in place as of December 31, 2020?	4 treasurer of NexPoint as well in December of
5 A. It was – it was terminated at some	5 2020?
6 point, and I remember the contracts had	6 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form
7 different termination dates, but I think the –	7 of the question.
8 the date of termination was January 31st of	8 A. Yes.
9 2021, after the termination was put in.	9 Q. Okay. And in December of 2020, did
10 So yeah, it would be in place at the	10 NexPoint have its own bank accounts?
11 end of the year of December it would be in	11 A. Yes.
12 place at December 31st, 2020.	12 Q. And did it use those bank accounts
13 Q. And pursuant to that agreement as of	13 to pay various of its obligations?
14 December 31st, 2020, was the debtor providing	14 A. Yes.
15 what you would describe as back office services	15 Q. Did employees of the debtor have the
16 to NexPoint?	16 ability to cause transfers to be made from
17 A. Yes.	17 those bank accounts on behalf of NexPoint?
18 Q. Would those have included accounting	18 A. Yes.
19 services?	19 Q. And is that one of services that the
20 A. Yes.	20 debtor provided NexPoint, basically ensuring
21 Q. And as part of those accounting	21 that accounts payable and other obligations
23 NexPoint with paying its bills?	23 A. Yes.
24 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	24 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form
25 of the question.	25 of the question.
Page 328	Page 329
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21
1WATERHOUSE - 10-19-212Q.You answered yes?	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. And was that how you-all internally
1WATERHOUSE - 10-19-212Q. You answered yes?3A. Yes.	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. And was that how you-all internally at Highland refer to NexPoint Advisors, L.P.?
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. You answered yes? A. Yes. Q. And the payments, though, whose 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. And was that how you-all internally at Highland refer to NexPoint Advisors, L.P.? A. I mean, yes, amongst other things.
1WATERHOUSE - 10-19-212Q. You answered yes?3A. Yes.4Q. And the payments, though, whose5funds would they be made from?	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. And was that how you-all internally at Highland refer to NexPoint Advisors, L.P.? A. I mean, yes, amongst other things. Q. And she writes at the bottom of her
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. You answered yes? A. Yes. Q. And the payments, though, whose funds would they be made from? A. From the bank account of NexPoint 7 Advisors. If they were NexPoint advisor 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. And was that how you-all internally at Highland refer to NexPoint Advisors, L.P.? A. I mean, yes, amongst other things. Q. And she writes at the bottom of her email: Okay to release? To you see that?
1WATERHOUSE - 10-19-212Q. You answered yes?3A. Yes.4Q. And the payments, though, whose5funds would they be made from?6A. From the bank account of NexPoint7Advisors. If they were NexPoint advisor8obligations, it would be made from NexPoint	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. And was that how you-all internally at Highland refer to NexPoint Advisors, L.P.? A. I mean, yes, amongst other things. Q. And she writes at the bottom of her email: Okay to release? To you see that? A. Yes, I do.
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 Q. You answered yes? 3 A. Yes. 4 Q. And the payments, though, whose 5 funds would they be made from? 6 A. From the bank account of NexPoint 7 Advisors. If they were NexPoint advisor 8 obligations, it would be made from NexPoint 9 Advisors' bank account.	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. And was that how you-all internally at Highland refer to NexPoint Advisors, L.P.? A. I mean, yes, amongst other things. Q. And she writes at the bottom of her email: Okay to release? To you see that? A. Yes, I do. Q. So what -
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. You answered yes? A. Yes. Q. And the payments, though, whose funds would they be made from? A. From the bank account of NexPoint Advisors. If they were NexPoint advisor obligations, it would be made from NexPoint Advisors' bank account. Q. So let's pull up Exhibit Alpha 1. 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. And was that how you-all internally at Highland refer to NexPoint Advisors, L.P.? A. I mean, yes, amongst other things. Q. And she writes at the bottom of her email: Okay to release? To you see that? A. Yes, I do. Q. So what – MR. MORRIS: Hold on one second.
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. You answered yes? A. Yes. Q. And the payments, though, whose funds would they be made from? A. From the bank account of NexPoint Advisors. If they were NexPoint advisor obligations, it would be made from NexPoint Advisors' bank account. Q. So let's pull up Exhibit Alpha 1. You should have that it is my Tab 1 or my 	1WATERHOUSE - 10-19-212Q. And was that how you-all internally3at Highland refer to NexPoint Advisors, L.P.?4A. I mean, yes, amongst other things.5Q. And she writes at the bottom of her6email: Okay to release?7Do you see that?8A. Yes, I do.9Q. So what –10MR. MORRIS: Hold on one second.11Okay. Go ahead.
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. You answered yes? A. Yes. Q. And the payments, though, whose funds would they be made from? A. From the bank account of NexPoint Advisors. If they were NexPoint advisor obligations, it would be made from NexPoint Advisors' bank account. Q. So let's pull up Exhibit Alpha 1. You should have that it is my Tab 1 or my Exhibit 1. 	1WATERHOUSE - 10-19-212Q. And was that how you-all internally3at Highland refer to NexPoint Advisors, L.P.?4A. I mean, yes, amongst other things.5Q. And she writes at the bottom of her6email: Okay to release?7Do you see that?8A. Yes, I do.9Q. So what10MR. MORRIS: Hold on one second.11Okay. Go ahead.12MR. RUKAVINA: Yeah.
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. You answered yes? A. Yes. Q. And the payments, though, whose funds would they be made from? A. From the bank account of NexPoint Advisors. If they were NexPoint advisor obligations, it would be made from NexPoint Advisors' bank account. Q. So let's pull up Exhibit Alpha 1. You should have that it is my Tab 1 or my Exhibit 1. (Exhibit A1 marked.) 	1WATERHOUSE - 10-19-212Q. And was that how you-all internally3at Highland refer to NexPoint Advisors, L.P.?4A. I mean, yes, amongst other things.5Q. And she writes at the bottom of her6email: Okay to release?7Do you see that?8A. Yes, I do.9Q. So what –10MR. MORRIS: Hold on one second.11Okay. Go ahead.12MR. RUKAVINA: Yeah.13Q. So what is ms. Hendrix
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. You answered yes? A. Yes. Q. And the payments, though, whose funds would they be made from? A. From the bank account of NexPoint Advisors. If they were NexPoint advisor obligations, it would be made from NexPoint Advisors' bank account. Q. So let's pull up Exhibit Alpha 1. You should have that it is my Tab 1 or my Exhibit 1. (Exhibit A1 marked.) Q. So this is a this is a series of 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. And was that how you-all internally at Highland refer to NexPoint Advisors, L.P.? A. I mean, yes, amongst other things. Q. And she writes at the bottom of her email: Okay to release? Do you see that? A. Yes, I do. Q. So what – MR. MORRIS: Hold on one second. Okay. Go ahead. MR. RUKAVINA: Yeah. Q. So what is – what is Ms. Hendrix here on November 25 asking of you?
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. You answered yes? A. Yes. Q. And the payments, though, whose funds would they be made from? A. From the bank account of NexPoint Advisors. If they were NexPoint advisor obligations, it would be made from NexPoint Advisors' bank account. Q. So let's pull up Exhibit Alpha 1. You should have that it is my Tab 1 or my Exhibit 1. (Exhibit A1 marked.) Q. So this is a this is a series of emails, Mr. Waterhouse. Let's look at the 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. And was that how you-all internally at Highland refer to NexPoint Advisors, L.P.? A. I mean, yes, amongst other things. Q. And she writes at the bottom of her email: Okay to release? Do you see that? A. Yes, I do. Q. So what – MR. MORRIS: Hold on one second. Okay. Go ahead. MR. RUKAVINA: Yeah. Q. So what is – what is Ms. Hendrix here on November 25 asking of you? A. She is asking me – so she – these
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. You answered yes? A. Yes. Q. And the payments, though, whose funds would they be made from? A. From the bank account of NexPoint Advisors. If they were NexPoint advisor obligations, it would be made from NexPoint Advisors' bank account. Q. So let's pull up Exhibit Alpha 1. You should have that – it is my Tab 1 or my Exhibit 1. (Exhibit A1 marked.) Q. So this is a – this is a series of emails, Mr. Waterhouse. Let's look at the first page here, November 25, 2020, between 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. And was that how you-all internally at Highland refer to NexPoint Advisors, L.P.? A. I mean, yes, amongst other things. Q. And she writes at the bottom of her email: Okay to release? Do you see that? A. Yes, I do. Q. So what – MR. MORRIS: Hold on one second. Okay. Go ahead. MR. RUKAVINA: Yeah. Q. So what is – what is Ms. Hendrix here on November 25 asking of you? A. She is asking me – so she – these are – these are payments – typically we would
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. You answered yes? A. Yes. Q. And the payments, though, whose funds would they be made from? A. From the bank account of NexPoint Advisors. If they were NexPoint advisor obligations, it would be made from NexPoint Advisors' bank account. Q. So let's pull up Exhibit Alpha 1. You should have that – it is my Tab 1 or my Exhibit 1. (Exhibit A1 marked.) Q. So this is a – this is a series of emails, Mr. Waterhouse. Let's look at the first page here, November 25, 2020, between Kristin Hendrix and yourself. 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. And was that how you-all internally at Highland refer to NexPoint Advisors, L.P.? A. I mean, yes, amongst other things. Q. And she writes at the bottom of her email: Okay to release? Do you see that? A. Yes, I do. Q. So what – MR. MORRIS: Hold on one second. Okay. Go ahead. MR. RUKAVINA: Yeah. Q. So what is – what is Ms. Hendrix here on November 25 asking of you? A. She is asking me – so she – these are – these are payments – typically we would do an accounts payable run every week at the
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. You answered yes? A. Yes. Q. And the payments, though, whose funds would they be made from? A. From the bank account of NexPoint Advisors. If they were NexPoint advisor obligations, it would be made from NexPoint Advisors' bank account. Q. So let's pull up Exhibit Alpha 1. You should have that – it is my Tab 1 or my Exhibit 1. (Exhibit A1 marked.) Q. So this is a – this is a series of emails, Mr. Waterhouse. Let's look at the first page here, November 25, 2020, between Kristin Hendrix and yourself. Do you see that, sir? 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. And was that how you-all internally at Highland refer to NexPoint Advisors, L.P.? A. I mean, yes, amongst other things. Q. And she writes at the bottom of her email: Okay to release? Do you see that? A. Yes, I do. Q. So what – MR. MORRIS: Hold on one second. Okay. Go ahead. MR. RUKAVINA: Yeah. Q. So what is – what is Ms. Hendrix here on November 25 asking of you? A. She is asking me – so she – these are – these are payments – typically we would do an accounts payable run every week at the end of every Friday. But looking at this date,
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. You answered yes? A. Yes. Q. And the payments, though, whose funds would they be made from? A. From the bank account of NexPoint Advisors. If they were NexPoint advisor obligations, it would be made from NexPoint Advisors' bank account. Q. So let's pull up Exhibit Alpha 1. You should have that – it is my Tab 1 or my Exhibit 1. (Exhibit A1 marked.) Q. So this is a – this is a series of emails, Mr. Waterhouse. Let's look at the first page here, November 25, 2020, between Kristin Hendrix and yourself. Do you see that, sir? A. I do. 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. And was that how you-all internally at Highland refer to NexPoint Advisors, L.P.? A. I mean, yes, amongst other things. Q. And she writes at the bottom of her email: Okay to release? Do you see that? A. Yes, I do. Q. So what – MR. MORRIS: Hold on one second. Okay. Go ahead. MR. RUKAVINA: Yeah. Q. So what is – what is Ms. Hendrix here on November 25 asking of you? A. She is asking me – so she – these are – these are payments – typically we would do an accounts payable run every week at the end of every Friday. But looking at this date, it is Wednesday, November 25th, which means, to
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. You answered yes? A. Yes. Q. And the payments, though, whose funds would they be made from? A. From the bank account of NexPoint Advisors. If they were NexPoint advisor obligations, it would be made from NexPoint Advisors' bank account. Q. So let's pull up Exhibit Alpha 1. You should have that – it is my Tab 1 or my Exhibit 1. (Exhibit A1 marked.) Q. So this is a – this is a series of emails, Mr. Waterhouse. Let's look at the first page here, November 25, 2020, between Kristin Hendrix and yourself. Do you see that, sir? A. I do. Q. And do you see where Ms. Hendrix 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. And was that how you-all internally at Highland refer to NexPoint Advisors, L.P.? A. I mean, yes, amongst other things. Q. And she writes at the bottom of her email: Okay to release? Do you see that? A. Yes, I do. Q. So what – MR. MORRIS: Hold on one second. Okay. Go ahead. MR. RUKAVINA: Yeah. Q. So what is – what is Ms. Hendrix here on November 25 asking of you? A. She is asking me – so she – these are – these are payments – typically we would do an accounts payable run every week at the end of every Friday. But looking at this date, it is Wednesday, November 25th, which means, to me, it is likely Thanksgiving weekend.
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. You answered yes? A. Yes. Q. And the payments, though, whose funds would they be made from? A. From the bank account of NexPoint Advisors. If they were NexPoint advisor obligations, it would be made from NexPoint Advisors' bank account. Q. So let's pull up Exhibit Alpha 1. You should have that – it is my Tab 1 or my Exhibit 1. (Exhibit A1 marked.) Q. So this is a – this is a series of emails, Mr. Waterhouse. Let's look at the first page here, November 25, 2020, between Kristin Hendrix and yourself. Do you see that, sir? A. I do. Q. And do you see where Ms. Hendrix writes: NPA. 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. And was that how you-all internally at Highland refer to NexPoint Advisors, L.P.? A. I mean, yes, amongst other things. Q. And she writes at the bottom of her email: Okay to release? Do you see that? A. Yes, I do. Q. So what – MR. MORRIS: Hold on one second. Okay. Go ahead. MR. RUKAVINA: Yeah. Q. So what is – what is Ms. Hendrix here on November 25 asking of you? A. She is asking me – so she – these are – these are payments – typically we would do an accounts payable run every week at the end of every Friday. But looking at this date, it is Wednesday, November 25th, which means, to me, it is likely Thanksgiving weekend.
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. You answered yes? A. Yes. Q. And the payments, though, whose funds would they be made from? A. From the bank account of NexPoint Advisors. If they were NexPoint advisor obligations, it would be made from NexPoint Advisors' bank account. Q. So let's pull up Exhibit Alpha 1. You should have that – it is my Tab 1 or my Exhibit 1. (Exhibit A1 marked.) Q. So this is a – this is a series of emails, Mr. Waterhouse. Let's look at the first page here, November 25, 2020, between Kristin Hendrix and yourself. Do you see that, sir? A. I do. Q. And do you see where Ms. Hendrix 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. And was that how you-all internally at Highland refer to NexPoint Advisors, L.P.? A. I mean, yes, amongst other things. Q. And she writes at the bottom of her email: Okay to release? Do you see that? A. Yes, I do. Q. So what – MR. MORRIS: Hold on one second. Okay. Go ahead. MR. RUKAVINA: Yeah. Q. So what is – what is Ms. Hendrix here on November 25 asking of you? A. She is asking me – so she – these are – these are payments – typically we would do an accounts payable run every week at the end of every Friday. But looking at this date, it is Wednesday, November 25th, which means, to me, it is likely Thanksgiving weekend.
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. You answered yes? A. Yes. Q. And the payments, though, whose funds would they be made from? A. From the bank account of NexPoint Advisors. If they were NexPoint advisor obligations, it would be made from NexPoint Advisors' bank account. Q. So let's pull up Exhibit Alpha 1. You should have that – it is my Tab 1 or my Exhibit 1. (Exhibit A1 marked.) Q. So this is a – this is a series of emails, Mr. Waterhouse. Let's look at the first page here, November 25, 2020, between Kristin Hendrix and yourself. Do you see that, sir? A. I do. Q. And do you see where Ms. Hendrix writes: NPA. 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. And was that how you-all internally at Highland refer to NexPoint Advisors, L.P.? A. I mean, yes, amongst other things. Q. And she writes at the bottom of her email: Okay to release? Do you see that? A. Yes, I do. Q. So what – MR. MORRIS: Hold on one second. Okay. Go ahead. MR. RUKAVINA: Yeah. Q. So what is – what is Ms. Hendrix here on November 25 asking of you? A. She is asking me – so she – these are – these are payments – typically we would do an accounts payable run every week at the end of every Friday. But looking at this date, it is Wednesday, November 25th, which means, to me, it is likely Thanksgiving weekend.
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. You answered yes? A. Yes. Q. And the payments, though, whose funds would they be made from? A. From the bank account of NexPoint Advisors. If they were NexPoint advisor obligations, it would be made from NexPoint Advisors' bank account. Q. So let's pull up Exhibit Alpha 1. You should have that – it is my Tab 1 or my Exhibit 1. (Exhibit A1 marked.) Q. So this is a – this is a series of emails, Mr. Waterhouse. Let's look at the first page here, November 25, 2020, between Kristin Hendrix and yourself. Do you see that, sir? A. I do. Q. And do you see where Ms. Hendrix writes: NPA. Do you know what NPA stood for? 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. And was that how you-all internally at Highland refer to NexPoint Advisors, L.P.? A. I mean, yes, amongst other things. Q. And she writes at the bottom of her email: Okay to release? Do you see that? A. Yes, I do. Q. So what – MR. MORRIS: Hold on one second. Okay. Go ahead. MR. RUKAVINA: Yeah. Q. So what is – what is Ms. Hendrix here on November 25 asking of you? A. She is asking me – so she – these are – these are payments – typically we would do an accounts payable run every week at the end of every Friday. But looking at this date, it is Wednesday, November 25th, which means, to me, it is likely Thanksgiving weekend. So this is the day before Thanksgiving, so this is the last kind of –
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. You answered yes? A. Yes. Q. And the payments, though, whose funds would they be made from? A. From the bank account of NexPoint Advisors. If they were NexPoint advisor obligations, it would be made from NexPoint Advisors' bank account. Q. So let's pull up Exhibit Alpha 1. You should have that – it is my Tab 1 or my Exhibit 1. (Exhibit A1 marked.) Q. So this is a – this is a series of emails, Mr. Waterhouse. Let's look at the first page here, November 25, 2020, between Kristin Hendrix and yourself. Do you see that, sir? A. I do. Q. And do you see where Ms. Hendrix writes: NPA. Do you know what NPA stood for? A. Yes. 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. And was that how you-all internally at Highland refer to NexPoint Advisors, L.P.? A. I mean, yes, amongst other things. Q. And she writes at the bottom of her email: Okay to release? Do you see that? A. Yes, I do. Q. So what – MR. MORRIS: Hold on one second. Okay. Go ahead. MR. RUKAVINA: Yeah. Q. So what is – what is Ms. Hendrix here on November 25 asking of you? A. She is asking me – so she – these are – these are payments – typically we would do an accounts payable run every week at the end of every Friday. But looking at this date, it is Wednesday, November 25th, which means, to me, it is likely Thanksgiving weekend. So this is the day before Thanksgiving, so this is the last kind of – kind of day before the holidays and vacation

Page 330	Page 331
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21
2 So she is she is putting in all	2 Q. And did NexPoint rely on employees
3 the payments for the week because we batch	3 of the debtor to ensure that NexPoint's
4 payments weekly. And these are the payments	4 accounts payable were timely paid?
5 that go out that week, and she is informing me	5 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form
6 of the payments and – you know, again, at the	6 of the question.
7 bottom of the email, she is asking for my okay	7 A. Yes.
8 to – to release these payments in the wire	8 MR. RUKAVINA: Let's flip to the
9 system.	9 next page, Mr. Nguyen, if you will please
10 Q. So these would be accounts payable	10 scroll to the next page.
11 of NexPoint?	11 Q. So this is an email similar to the
12 A. I mean, it would be accounts payable	12 prior one, November 30th.
13 for all of these entities listed on this email.	13 Do you see where it says, NPA HCMFA,
14 Q. And who was Ms. Hendrix employed by	14 USD \$325,000 one-day loan?
15 in November and December of 2020?	15 Do you see that, sir?
16 A. Highland Capital Management.	16 A. I do.
17 Q. Okay. So – so part of the services	17 Q. Do you have any memory of what that
18 that NexPoint had contracted with was for	18 was?
19 Highland to ensure that NexPoint timely paid	19 A. I don't recall what that – what
20 its accounts payable; is that accurate?	20 that payment was for.
21 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	21 Q. Did it sometimes occur that one
22 of the question. You have got to be	22 advisor would, on very short-terms, make loans
23 kidding me.	23 to another advisor?
24 Q. Is that accurate?	24 A. Yes. This – this – this occurred
25 A. Yes.	25 from – from – from time to time. It actually
Page 332	Page 333
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21
2 looking at I'm I'm looking at the date of	2 A. Yes.
3 this email. It is November 30th. It is the	3 Q. And that is probably the loan that
4 last day of the month.	4 was approved on the prior page?
5 HCMFA has obligations it needs to	5 A. Yes, most likely.
6 pay to its broker-dealer, which is HCFD. And	6 Q. So is it also true, sir, that in
7 it likely was short funds to make those	7 addition to accounts payable debtor employees
8 obligations under that – under its agreement,	8 would be assisting NexPoint with respect to
9 and so it provided a one-day loan because on	9 paying back its debt?
10 the next business day on $12/1 - $ or the next	10 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form
11 business day in December, it would receive	11 of the question.
12 management fees from the underlying funds that	12 A. I mean, yes, for loans of this
13 it managed and it would be able to pay back	13 nature, yes.
14 that loan to NexPoint Advisors.	14 Q. Well, what about long term loans?
15 Q. So – so here Ms. Hendrix was	15 Was it reasonable for NexPoint to expect debtor
16 seeking your approval to transfer \$325,000 from	16 employees to ensure that NexPoint timely paid
17 NexPoint to HCMFA for a one-day loan; is that	17 its obligations under long-term notes?
18 correct?	18 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form
19 A. That is correct.	19 of the question.
20 Q. Let's flip to the next page, sir.	20 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.
21 MR. RUKAVINA: And, Mr. Nguyen, if	21 A. I mean, that is one of the things
22 you will please scroll down.	22 that the Highland personnel did provide to the
23 Q. Now we have as an entry for	23 advisors. Yes, we would – we would – over
24 \$325,000, 11/30 loan payment.	24 the years, yes, we – we – we – we did do
25 Do you see that, sir?	25 that generally. Again, I don't remember

Page 334		Page 335
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 335
2 specifically but, yes, generally we - you	2 the debtor would have played any role in	
3 know, we did do that.	3 NexPoint having made those prior payments?	
4 Q. So do you recall – and we can pull	4 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	
5 it up, if need be – that under the NexPoint	5 of the question.	
6 note that Mr. Morris asked you about earlier,	6 A. Yes.	
7 the one for more than \$30 million, that	7 Q. And what role in years prior to 2020	
8 NexPoint was obligated to make an annual	8 would employees of the debtor have had with	
9 payment of principal and interest?	9 respect to NexPoint making that annual payment?	
10 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	10 A. We – we – we would have – I keep	
11 of the question.	11 saying "we." The team would have calculated	
12 A. Yes, it was – yes, it – it was an	12 any amounts due under that loan and other	
13 amortizing note. It was – you know, from what	13 loans, as – as standard course.	
14 we reviewed earlier, it was payable by	14 We would – since we provided	
15 December 31st of each year. So – but are –	15 treasury services to the advisors, we would	
	16 inform the – the – the – we informed	
17 Q. I'm just asking you, sir, if you18 recall the note.	17 Mr. Dondero of any cash obligations that are18 forthcoming, whether we do cash projections.	
19 A. Yes, the \$30 million note, yes, we	19 If, you know, any of these payments	
20 reviewed it earlier, yes.	20 would have – or, you know, the sum total of	
Q. And do you recall Mr. Morris had you	21 all of these payments, including any note	
22 go through the fact that NexPoint had made	22 payments, if there were any cash shortfalls, we	
23 payments in years prior to 2020 on that note?	23 would have informed Mr. Dondero of any cash	
24 A. Ido.	24 shortfalls. We could adequately plan, you	
25 Q. And do you believe that employees of	25 know, in instances like that.	
Page 336		Page 337
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	
2 Or, sorry, we – I say "we" – I	2 or accounting would have sent some schedule or	
3 keep saying "we" – I keep wearing my – again,	3 a reminder that a payment would be coming due	
4 my – my treasurer hat.	4 in the future. Is that generally the practice?	
5 But, yes, it is to – it is to	5 A. Yes, we would – you know, again, I	
6 inform Mr. Dondero of the obligations of the	6 didn't I didn't micromanage the teams, but	
7 advisors in terms of cash and obligations that	7 we had a – a corporate accounting calendar	
8 are – are upcoming and that – and that are –	8 that we use as kind of a tickler file to keep	
9 are scheduled to be paid.	9 track of payments.	
10 Q. And would those obligations that are	10 I actually, you know, don't know how	
11 upcoming and scheduled to be paid prior to 2020	11 actively they're using that in in prior to	
12 have incurred the annual payment on that	12 2020, but it was actively used at some point.	
13 NexPoint \$30 million note?	13 We did look at NexPoint cash	
14 MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	14 periodically and cash for the other advisors as	
15 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Davor, I think	15 well and payments. You know, we - payments	
16 you misspoke. You might want to just	16 like this would have appeared in our cash	
17 repeat the question.	17 projections, in the advisor's cash projections.	
18 Q. Okay. Let me repeat the question,	18 And, again, as like I said earlier,	
19 sir.	19 they would have appeared there, so there would	
20 Prior to 2020, those services that	20 be time to plan for making any of these	
21 you just described, would that - on behalf of	21 payments.	
22 the debtor, would that have included NexPoint's	22 Q. And based on your experience, would	
23 payments on the \$30 million note?	23 it have been reasonable for NexPoint to rely on	
24 A. Yes.	24 the debtors' employees to inform NexPoint of an	
24 A. Tes.		
24 A. Tes. 25 Q. So someone at the debtor in treasury	25 upcoming payment due on the \$30 million	

	Page 338			Page 339
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Fage 559
2	promissory note?	2	it.	
3	MR. MORRIS: Objection to form of	3	Q. Were you aware that Highland	
4	the question.	4	accelerated that \$30 million promissory note?	
5	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	5	A. I am aware.	
6	A. Yes. Yes, they did. I mean, but I	6	Q. Were you aware of that acceleration	
7	mean, but I don't think these these notes	7	at the time that it occurred?	
8	were any secret to anybody.	8	A. I don't remember specifically.	
9	Q. I understand, and I'm not suggesting	9	Q. Do you recall whether anyone asked	
10	otherwise.	10	you – prior to the acceleration, anyone asked	
11	MR. RUKAVINA: Please pull up Alpha	11	you at Highland, what Highland should do with	
12	2, Mr. Nguyen.	12	respect to the missed payment?	
13	(Exhibit A2 marked.)	13	A. Did anyone ask me what Highland	
14	Q. Now, this document is similar to the	14	should do about the missed payment?	
15		15	Q. Yes, before acceleration.	
16		16	MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	
17	paying the promissory note to Highland.	17	of the question.	
18	Do you see anything like that?	18	A. I mean, what – what I recall is	
19	A. I do not.	19	there was the – sorry, are you asking me –	
20	MR. RUKAVINA: You can pull that	20	MS. DANDENEAU: Why don't you just	
21	that exhibit down, Mr. Nguyen.	21	repeat the question, Mr. Rukavina.	
22	Q. You are aware, of course, by now	22	Q. Let me try again, Mr. Waterhouse,	
23	that, in fact, NexPoint failed to make the	23	let me try again.	
24		24	I am saying you're the CFO of	
25		25	someone, in this case, Highland, and the	
1	Page 340 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 341
2	borrower failed to make the required payment.	2	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to the	
3	Are you with me so far?	3	form of the question.	
4	A. Iam.	4	A. I don't recall.	
5	Q. Did anyone then ask you, what should	5	Q. Do you recall in early to mid	
6	we do with respect to our rights against the	6	January of 2021, after the default, discussing	
7	borrower that missed the payment?	7	the default with Mr. Dondero?	
8	A. Not that I recall.	8	A. I do recall discussing with	
9	Q. Did you play a role in the decision	9	Mr. Dondero after December 31, 2020?	
10	to accelerate that \$30 million promissory note?	10	Q. Yes, the fact of the default.	
11	A. I did not.	11	A. I don't recall.	
12	Q. Do you recall whether Mr. Seery ever	12	MR. RUKAVINA: Let's pull up my	
13	asked you before the acceleration as to whether	13	Exhibit 6, Alpha 6.	
14		14	(Exhibit A6 marked.)	
15	A. I don't recall.	15	MR. RUKAVINA: And, Mr. Nguyen, if	
16	Q. And you don't recall when you	16	you will please scroll down.	
17	learned of the acceleration itself?	17	Q. This email chain begins with you	
18	MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	18	writing to Ms. Hendrix on January the 12th:	
19	of that question.	19	NexPoint note to HCMLP.	
20	A. It was – it was sometime in	20	Do you see that, sir?	
21	early – in early 2021. I don't remember	21	A. Ido.	
22	specifically.	22	Q. Were you discussing this same	
23	Q. But do you recall whether it was	23	\$30 million note we're talking about right now	
24		24	with Ms. Hendrix?	
25	•	25	A. Yes.	

Dere 242	Dea	- 242
Page 342 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	je 343
2 Q. Okay. Do you recall what prompted	2 December of 2020. He called me from home. He	
3 you to send that email to her?	3 said he was in court. He wanted to – he asked	
4 A. Yes, I had – I had a conversation	4 about, you know, making payment on the note and	
5 with Jim.	5 the amount, and so I didn't have those numbers	
6 Q. Okay. And what what did you	6 in front of me, so I said I would get back to	
7 discuss with Jim that led to this email chain?	7 him. I wanted all the details, so here is	
8 A. He he called me and he said he	8 this so I reached out to Kristin.	
9 wanted to make payment on the NexPoint note,	9 Q. And then she gave you that	
10 and I didn't – I didn't know the – the amount	10 \$1,406,000 figure?	
11 offhand, so I reached out to Kristin and got	11 MR. RUKAVINA: Mr. Nguyen, if you	
12 the details and relayed that to him.	12 will scroll up, please.	
13 Q. And you see you sent that email to	13 A. Yes. Yeah, she – the \$1,406,112.	
14 her at 11:15 a.m. Does that help you remember	14 Q. And do you recall whether you	
15 when you had this discussion with Mr. Dondero?	15 conveyed that amount to Mr. Dondero?	
16 In other words, was it that morning or the day	16 A. Yes. I I called him back and	
17 before, or can you can you	17 gave him – gave him this amount.	
18 A. No, it was – it was that morning.	18 Q. Are you aware of whether NexPoint,	
19 Q. And do you recall how you had that	19 in fact, then made that 1 million 406 and	
20 conversation with him?	20 change payment?	
21 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	21 A. Yes, they did.	
22 of the question.	22 Q. Did you discuss with Mr. Dondero at	
23 Q. By telephone, by email, in-person?	23 that time, either the first conference or the	
A. Yeah, he he called me. I was at	24 second conference that day – strike that.	
25 home. We were working from home here in	25 When you conveyed the number to	
Page 344	Pag	ie 345
Page 344 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Pag 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	je 345
		je 345
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	je 345
1WATERHOUSE - 10-19-212Mr. Dondero, was – was it also on January	1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 A. No.	je 345
1WATERHOUSE - 10-19-212Mr. Dondero, was – was it also on January312th?	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. No. Q. Did you tell him anything to the 	je 345
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Mr. Dondero, was – was it also on January 12th? A. Sorry, when I conveyed the 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. No. Q. Did you tell him anything to the effect that making that payment would not cure 	je 345
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Mr. Dondero, was – was it also on January 12th? A. Sorry, when I conveyed the \$1.4 million number? 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. No. Q. Did you tell him anything to the effect that making that payment would not cure the default? 	je 345
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Mr. Dondero, was – was it also on January 12th? A. Sorry, when I conveyed the \$1.4 million number? Q. Yes. 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. No. Q. Did you tell him anything to the effect that making that payment would not cure the default? A. No. 	je 345
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Mr. Dondero, was – was it also on January 12th? A. Sorry, when I conveyed the \$1.4 million number? Q. Yes. A. Yes, yes, it was that – it was – 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. No. Q. Did you tell him anything to the effect that making that payment would not cure the default? A. No. Q. Did you discuss that in any way with 	je 345
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Mr. Dondero, was – was it also on January 12th? A. Sorry, when I conveyed the \$1.4 million number? Q. Yes. A. Yes, yes, it was that – it was – Q. So you had – 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. No. Q. Did you tell him anything to the effect that making that payment would not cure the default? A. No. Q. Did you discuss that in any way with him? 	je 345
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Mr. Dondero, was – was it also on January 12th? A. Sorry, when I conveyed the \$1.4 million number? Q. Yes. A. Yes, yes, it was that – it was – Q. So you had – A. It was that point. 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. No. Q. Did you tell him anything to the effect that making that payment would not cure the default? A. No. Q. Did you discuss that in any way with him? A. No, I did not. 	je 345
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Mr. Dondero, was – was it also on January 12th? A. Sorry, when I conveyed the \$1.4 million number? Q. Yes. A. Yes, yes, it was that – it was – Q. So you had – A. It was that point. Q. Well, to the best of your 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. No. Q. Did you tell him anything to the effect that making that payment would not cure the default? A. No. Q. Did you discuss that in any way with him? A. No, I did not. Q. Did he say why he wanted to have 	je 345
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Mr. Dondero, was – was it also on January 12th? A. Sorry, when I conveyed the \$1.4 million number? Q. Yes. A. Yes, yes, it was that – it was – Q. So you had – A. It was that point. Q. Well, to the best of your recollection, you had a conference with 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. No. Q. Did you tell him anything to the effect that making that payment would not cure the default? A. No. Q. Did you discuss that in any way with him? A. No, I did not. Q. Did he say why he wanted to have that \$1.4 million payment made? 	je 345
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Mr. Dondero, was – was it also on January 12th? A. Sorry, when I conveyed the \$1.4 million number? Q. Yes. A. Yes, yes, it was that – it was – Q. So you had – A. It was that point. Q. Well, to the best of your recollection, you had a conference with Mr. Dondero by the telephone in the morning, 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. No. Q. Did you tell him anything to the effect that making that payment would not cure the default? A. No. Q. Did you discuss that in any way with him? A. No, I did not. Q. Did he say why he wanted to have that \$1.4 million payment made? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 	je 345
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Mr. Dondero, was – was it also on January 12th? A. Sorry, when I conveyed the \$1.4 million number? Q. Yes. A. Yes, yes, it was that – it was – Q. So you had – A. It was that point. Q. Well, to the best of your recollection, you had a conference with Mr. Dondero by the telephone in the morning, and then another conference with him by 	1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 A. No. 3 Q. Did you tell him anything to the 4 effect that making that payment would not cure 5 the default? 6 A. No. 7 Q. Did you discuss that in any way with 8 him? 9 A. No, I did not. 10 Q. Did he say why he wanted to have 11 that \$1.4 million payment made? 12 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 13 of the question.	je 345
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Mr. Dondero, was – was it also on January 12th? A. Sorry, when I conveyed the \$1.4 million number? Q. Yes. A. Yes, yes, it was that – it was – Q. So you had – A. It was that point. Q. Well, to the best of your recollection, you had a conference with Mr. Dondero by the telephone in the morning, and then another conference with him by telephone after 11:40 a.m. that morning? 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. No. Q. Did you tell him anything to the effect that making that payment would not cure the default? A. No. Q. Did you discuss that in any way with him? A. No, I did not. Q. Did he say why he wanted to have that \$1.4 million payment made? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. He - he - he didn't go into 	je 345
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Mr. Dondero, was – was it also on January 12th? A. Sorry, when I conveyed the \$1.4 million number? Q. Yes. A. Yes, yes, it was that – it was – Q. So you had – A. It was that point. Q. Well, to the best of your recollection, you had a conference with Mr. Dondero by the telephone in the morning, and then another conference with him by telephone after 11:40 a.m. that morning? A. Yeah, I can't remember – yeah, it 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. No. Q. Did you tell him anything to the effect that making that payment would not cure the default? A. No. Q. Did you discuss that in any way with him? A. No, I did not. Q. Did he say why he wanted to have that \$1.4 million payment made? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. He – he – he didn't go into specifics. 	je 345
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Mr. Dondero, was – was it also on January 12th? A. Sorry, when I conveyed the \$1.4 million number? Q. Yes. A. Yes, yes, it was that – it was – Q. So you had – A. It was that point. Q. Well, to the best of your recollection, you had a conference with Mr. Dondero by the telephone in the morning, and then another conference with him by telephone after 11:40 a.m. that morning? A. Yeah, I can't remember – yeah, it was either that morning or it could have been, 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. No. Q. Did you tell him anything to the effect that making that payment would not cure the default? A. No. Q. Did you discuss that in any way with him? A. No, I did not. Q. Did he say why he wanted to have that \$1.4 million payment made? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. He – he – he didn't go into specifics. Q. Did he say anything to you to the effect that if NexPoint makes that payment, then the note will be de-accelerated? 	je 345
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Mr. Dondero, was – was it also on January 12th? A. Sorry, when I conveyed the \$1.4 million number? Q. Yes. A. Yes, yes, it was that – it was – Q. So you had – A. It was that point. Q. Well, to the best of your recollection, you had a conference with Mr. Dondero by the telephone in the morning, and then another conference with him by telephone after 11:40 a.m. that morning? A. Yeah, I can't remember – yeah, it was either that morning or it could have been, you know, early afternoon, but again, I 	1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 A. No. 3 Q. Did you tell him anything to the 4 effect that making that payment would not cure 5 the default? 6 A. No. 7 Q. Did you discuss that in any way with 8 him? 9 A. No, I did not. 10 Q. Did he say why he wanted to have 11 that \$1.4 million payment made? 12 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 13 of the question. 14 A. He – he – he didn't go into 15 specifics. 16 Q. Did he say anything to you to the 17 effect that if NexPoint makes that payment,	je 345
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Mr. Dondero, was – was it also on January 12th? A. Sorry, when I conveyed the \$1.4 million number? Q. Yes. A. Yes, yes, it was that – it was – Q. So you had – A. It was that point. Q. Well, to the best of your recollection, you had a conference with Mr. Dondero by the telephone in the morning, and then another conference with him by telephone after 11:40 a.m. that morning? A. Yeah, I can't remember – yeah, it was either that morning or it could have been, you know, early afternoon, but again, I remember calling him back, relaying this 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. No. Q. Did you tell him anything to the effect that making that payment would not cure the default? A. No. Q. Did you discuss that in any way with him? A. No, I did not. Q. Did he say why he wanted to have that \$1.4 million payment made? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. He – he – he didn't go into specifics. Q. Did he say anything to you to the effect that if NexPoint makes that payment, then the note will be de-accelerated? 	je 345
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Mr. Dondero, was – was it also on January 12th? A. Sorry, when I conveyed the \$1.4 million number? Q. Yes. A. Yes, yes, it was that – it was – Q. So you had – A. It was that point. Q. Well, to the best of your recollection, you had a conference with Mr. Dondero by the telephone in the morning, and then another conference with him by telephone after 11:40 a.m. that morning? A. Yeah, I can't remember – yeah, it was either that morning or it could have been, you know, early afternoon, but again, I remember calling him back, relaying this information to him, and he said, okay, pay – 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. No. Q. Did you tell him anything to the effect that making that payment would not cure the default? A. No. Q. Did you discuss that in any way with him? A. No, I did not. Q. Did he say why he wanted to have that \$1.4 million payment made? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. He – he – he didn't go into specifics. Q. Did he say anything to you to the effect that if NexPoint makes that payment, then the note will be de-accelerated? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 	je 345
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Mr. Dondero, was – was it also on January 12th? A. Sorry, when I conveyed the \$1.4 million number? Q. Yes. A. Yes, yes, it was that – it was – Q. So you had – A. It was that point. Q. Well, to the best of your recollection, you had a conference with Mr. Dondero by the telephone in the morning, and then another conference with him by telephone after 11:40 a.m. that morning? A. Yeah, I can't remember – yeah, it was either that morning or it could have been, you know, early afternoon, but again, I remember calling him back, relaying this information to him, and he said, okay, pay – you know, make – make this payment. 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. No. Q. Did you tell him anything to the effect that making that payment would not cure the default? A. No. Q. Did you discuss that in any way with him? A. No, I did not. Q. Did he say why he wanted to have that \$1.4 million payment made? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. He – he – he didn't go into specifics. Q. Did he say anything to you to the effect that if NexPoint makes that payment, then the note will be de-accelerated? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. 	je 345
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Mr. Dondero, was – was it also on January 12th? A. Sorry, when I conveyed the \$1.4 million number? Q. Yes. A. Yes, yes, it was that – it was – Q. So you had – A. It was that point. Q. Well, to the best of your recollection, you had a conference with Mr. Dondero by the telephone in the morning, and then another conference with him by telephone after 11:40 a.m. that morning? A. Yeah, I can't remember – yeah, it was either that morning or it could have been, you know, early afternoon, but again, I remember calling him back, relaying this information to him, and he said, okay, pay – you know, make – make this payment. Q. And during either of those two 	1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 A. No. 3 Q. Did you tell him anything to the 4 effect that making that payment would not cure 5 the default? 6 A. No. 7 Q. Did you discuss that in any way with 8 him? 9 A. No, I did not. 10 Q. Did he say why he wanted to have 11 that \$1.4 million payment made? 12 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 13 of the question. 14 A. He - he - he didn't go into 15 specifics. 16 Q. Did he say anything to you to the 17 effect that if NexPoint makes that payment, 18 then the note will be de-accelerated? 19 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 20 of the question. 21 A. I don't recall.	je 345
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Mr. Dondero, was – was it also on January 12th? A. Sorry, when I conveyed the \$1.4 million number? Q. Yes. A. Yes, yes, it was that – it was – Q. So you had – A. It was that point. Q. Well, to the best of your recollection, you had a conference with Mr. Dondero by the telephone in the morning, and then another conference with him by telephone after 11:40 a.m. that morning? A. Yeah, I can't remember – yeah, it was either that morning or it could have been, you know, early afternoon, but again, I remember calling him back, relaying this information to him, and he said, okay, pay – you know, make – make this payment. Q. And during either of those two calls, did you tell Mr. Dondero anything to the 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. No. Q. Did you tell him anything to the effect that making that payment would not cure the default? A. No. Q. Did you discuss that in any way with him? A. No, I did not. Q. Did he say why he wanted to have that \$1.4 million payment made? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. He he - he didn't go into specifics. Q. Did he say anything to you to the effect that if NexPoint makes that payment, then the note will be de-accelerated? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. He - he - he didn't go into 	je 345
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Mr. Dondero, was – was it also on January 12th? A. Sorry, when I conveyed the \$1.4 million number? Q. Yes. A. Yes, yes, it was that – it was – Q. So you had – A. It was that point. Q. Well, to the best of your recollection, you had a conference with Mr. Dondero by the telephone in the moming, and then another conference with him by telephone after 11:40 a.m. that moming? A. Yeah, I can't remember – yeah, it was either that moming or it could have been, you know, early afternoon, but again, I remember calling him back, relaying this information to him, and he said, okay, pay – you know, make – make this payment. Q. And during either of those two calls, did you tell Mr. Dondero anything to the effect that making those – I'm sorry, making 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. No. Q. Did you tell him anything to the effect that making that payment would not cure the default? A. No. Q. Did you discuss that in any way with him? A. No, I did not. Q. Did he say why he wanted to have that \$1.4 million payment made? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. He – he – he didn't go into specifics. Q. Did he say anything to you to the effect that if NexPoint makes that payment, then the note will be de-accelerated? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. I don't recall. MR. RUKAVINA: You can put this one down, Mr. Nguyen. 	je 345

1	Page 346			Page 347
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	0
2	either way; correct?	2	somehow	
3	A. Yeah, I don't remember. I don't	3	Q. Yes. Let me take a step back. Let	
4	remember us discussing that.	4	me take a step back.	
5	Q. Now – and we're almost done, I	5	So you are aware now that as a	
6	promise. I'm just going to – I don't know how	6	result of that default, what was still some	
7	to ask this question, so I'm just going to try	7	25-year note was accelerated and became	
8	to do my best.	8	immediately due. You are aware of that now;	
9	Prior to the default on December 31,	9	right?	
10	2020, did Mr. Seery ever tell you any words to	10	A. Yes.	
11	the effect that you or someone at Highland	11	Q. And can you see how someone at	
12	should ensure that NexPoint doesn't make its	12	Highland might actually have been pleased with	
13	payment?	13	that development?	
14	A. No.	14	MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form.	
15	Q. Did you have any hint or any belief	15	Q. Not that they were — not that they	
16	that anyone at NexPoint – I'm sorry, strike	16	were pleased, but you can see how someone at	
17	that.	17	Highland might have been pleased with that	
18	Did you have any reason to believe	18	development?	
19	that anyone with Highland was actively trying	19	MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	
20	to get NexPoint to make that default by not	20	of the question.	
21	paying on December 31?	21	MS. DANDENEAU: Object to form.	
22	MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	22	A. I don't know how they would have	
23	of the question.	23	reacted to that.	
24	A. Are you asking, did any Highland	24	Q. Okay. But you're not – you're not	
25	employees actively work to make – to	25	aware of any instructions or any actions being	
	Page 348			Page 349
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 349
1 2	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 given or taken at Highland by Mr. Seery, the	2	VIDEOGRAPHER: Do we want to go off	Page 349
	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 given or taken at Highland by Mr. Seery, the independent board, DSI, that that would have	23	VIDEOGRAPHER: Do we want to go off the record?	Page 349
	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 given or taken at Highland by Mr. Seery, the independent board, DSI, that – that would have basically led Highland to ensure that NexPoint	2 3 4	VIDEOGRAPHER: Do we want to go off the record? MR. RUKAVINA: Yes.	Page 349
2 3 4 5	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 given or taken at Highland by Mr. Seery, the independent board, DSI, that – that would have basically led Highland to ensure that NexPoint would fail to make that payment?	2 3 4 5	VIDEOGRAPHER: Do we want to go off the record? MR. RUKAVINA: Yes. VIDEOGRAPHER: All right. We're	Page 349
2 3 4 5 6	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 given or taken at Highland by Mr. Seery, the independent board, DSI, that – that would have basically led Highland to ensure that NexPoint would fail to make that payment? A. I'm not aware.	2 3 4 5 6	VIDEOGRAPHER: Do we want to go off the record? MR. RUKAVINA: Yes. VIDEOGRAPHER: All right. We're going off the record at 6:27 p.m.	Page 349
2 3 4 5 6 7	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 given or taken at Highland by Mr. Seery, the independent board, DSI, that – that would have basically led Highland to ensure that NexPoint would fail to make that payment? A. I'm not aware. Q. In other words, there wasn't a trick	2 3 4 5 6 7	VIDEOGRAPHER: Do we want to go off the record? MR. RUKAVINA: Yes. VIDEOGRAPHER: All right. We're going off the record at 6:27 p.m. (Recess taken 6:27 p.m. to 6:30 p.m.)	Page 349
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 given or taken at Highland by Mr. Seery, the independent board, DSI, that – that would have basically led Highland to ensure that NexPoint would fail to make that payment? A. I'm not aware. Q. In other words, there wasn't a trick or a settlement; right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	VIDEOGRAPHER: Do we want to go off the record? MR. RUKAVINA: Yes. VIDEOGRAPHER: All right. We're going off the record at 6:27 p.m. (Recess taken 6:27 p.m. to 6:30 p.m.) VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the	Page 349
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 given or taken at Highland by Mr. Seery, the independent board, DSI, that – that would have basically led Highland to ensure that NexPoint would fail to make that payment? A. I'm not aware. Q. In other words, there wasn't a trick or a settlement; right? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	VIDEOGRAPHER: Do we want to go off the record? MR. RUKAVINA: Yes. VIDEOGRAPHER: All right. We're going off the record at 6:27 p.m. (Recess taken 6:27 p.m. to 6:30 p.m.) VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record at 6:30 p.m.	Page 349
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 given or taken at Highland by Mr. Seery, the independent board, DSI, that that would have basically led Highland to ensure that NexPoint would fail to make that payment? A. I'm not aware. Q. In other words, there wasn't a trick or a settlement; right? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection to form.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	VIDEOGRAPHER: Do we want to go off the record? MR. RUKAVINA: Yes. VIDEOGRAPHER: All right. We're going off the record at 6:27 p.m. (Recess taken 6:27 p.m. to 6:30 p.m.) VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record at 6:30 p.m. MR. HORN: Is Deb back?	Page 349
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 given or taken at Highland by Mr. Seery, the independent board, DSI, that – that would have basically led Highland to ensure that NexPoint would fail to make that payment? A. I'm not aware. Q. In other words, there wasn't a trick or a settlement; right? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection to form. MS. DANDENEAU: Object to form.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	VIDEOGRAPHER: Do we want to go off the record? MR. RUKAVINA: Yes. VIDEOGRAPHER: All right. We're going off the record at 6:27 p.m. (Recess taken 6:27 p.m. to 6:30 p.m.) VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record at 6:30 p.m. MR. HORN: Is Deb back? MS. DANDENEAU: Are you asking about	Page 349
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 given or taken at Highland by Mr. Seery, the independent board, DSI, that – that would have basically led Highland to ensure that NexPoint would fail to make that payment? A. I'm not aware. Q. In other words, there wasn't a trick or a settlement; right? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection to form. MS. DANDENEAU: Object to form. MR. MORRIS: Object to form.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	VIDEOGRAPHER: Do we want to go off the record? MR. RUKAVINA: Yes. VIDEOGRAPHER: All right. We're going off the record at 6:27 p.m. (Recess taken 6:27 p.m. to 6:30 p.m.) VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record at 6:30 p.m. MR. HORN: Is Deb back? MS. DANDENEAU: Are you asking about me? I'm here.	Page 349
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 given or taken at Highland by Mr. Seery, the independent board, DSI, that that would have basically led Highland to ensure that NexPoint would fail to make that payment? A. I'm not aware. Q. In other words, there wasn't a trick or a settlement; right? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection to form. MS. DANDENEAU: Object to form. MR. MORRIS: Object to form. A. I'm not aware.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	VIDEOGRAPHER: Do we want to go off the record? MR. RUKAVINA: Yes. VIDEOGRAPHER: All right. We're going off the record at 6:27 p.m. (Recess taken 6:27 p.m. to 6:30 p.m.) VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record at 6:30 p.m. MR. HORN: Is Deb back? MS. DANDENEAU: Are you asking about me? I'm here. MR. HORN: Oh, okay. I don't see	Page 349
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 given or taken at Highland by Mr. Seery, the independent board, DSI, that – that would have basically led Highland to ensure that NexPoint would fail to make that payment? A. I'm not aware. Q. In other words, there wasn't a trick or a settlement; right? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection to form. MS. DANDENEAU: Object to form. MR. MORRIS: Object to form. A. I'm not aware. Look, I'm not aware. I'm not in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	VIDEOGRAPHER: Do we want to go off the record? MR. RUKAVINA: Yes. VIDEOGRAPHER: All right. We're going off the record at 6:27 p.m. (Recess taken 6:27 p.m. to 6:30 p.m.) VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record at 6:30 p.m. MR. HORN: Is Deb back? MS. DANDENEAU: Are you asking about me? I'm here. MR. HORN: Oh, okay. I don't see you, sorry.	Page 349
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 given or taken at Highland by Mr. Seery, the independent board, DSI, that – that would have basically led Highland to ensure that NexPoint would fail to make that payment? A. I'm not aware. Q. In other words, there wasn't a trick or a settlement; right? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection to form. MS. DANDENEAU: Object to form. MR. MORRIS: Object to form. A. I'm not aware. Look, I'm not aware. I'm not in every conversation. I mean, and I'm just –	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	VIDEOGRAPHER: Do we want to go off the record? MR. RUKAVINA: Yes. VIDEOGRAPHER: All right. We're going off the record at 6:27 p.m. (Recess taken 6:27 p.m. to 6:30 p.m.) VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record at 6:30 p.m. MR. HORN: Is Deb back? MS. DANDENEAU: Are you asking about me? I'm here. MR. HORN: Oh, okay. I don't see you, sorry. Q. Actually, yeah, Mr. Waterhouse, so	Page 349
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 given or taken at Highland by Mr. Seery, the independent board, DSI, that – that would have basically led Highland to ensure that NexPoint would fail to make that payment? A. I'm not aware. Q. In other words, there wasn't a trick or a settlement; right? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection to form. MS. DANDENEAU: Object to form. MR. MORRIS: Object to form. A. I'm not aware. Look, I'm not aware. I'm not in every conversation. I mean, and I'm just – again, I'm sitting at home. It is the end of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	VIDEOGRAPHER: Do we want to go off the record? MR. RUKAVINA: Yes. VIDEOGRAPHER: All right. We're going off the record at 6:27 p.m. (Recess taken 6:27 p.m. to 6:30 p.m.) VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record at 6:30 p.m. MR. HORN: Is Deb back? MS. DANDENEAU: Are you asking about me? I'm here. MR. HORN: Oh, okay. I don't see you, sorry. Q. Actually, yeah, Mr. Waterhouse, so when you had –	Page 349
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 given or taken at Highland by Mr. Seery, the independent board, DSI, that – that would have basically led Highland to ensure that NexPoint would fail to make that payment? A. I'm not aware. Q. In other words, there wasn't a trick or a settlement; right? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection to form. MS. DANDENEAU: Object to form. MR. MORRIS: Object to form. A. I'm not aware. Look, I'm not aware. I'm not in every conversation. I mean, and I'm just – again, I'm sitting at home. It is the end of the year. Again, I'm not aware.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	VIDEOGRAPHER: Do we want to go off the record? MR. RUKAVINA: Yes. VIDEOGRAPHER: All right. We're going off the record at 6:27 p.m. (Recess taken 6:27 p.m. to 6:30 p.m.) VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record at 6:30 p.m. MR. HORN: Is Deb back? MS. DANDENEAU: Are you asking about me? I'm here. MR. HORN: Oh, okay. I don't see you, sorry. Q. Actually, yeah, Mr. Waterhouse, so when you had – MS. DANDENEAU: Are you asking about	Page 349
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 given or taken at Highland by Mr. Seery, the independent board, DSI, that – that would have basically led Highland to ensure that NexPoint would fail to make that payment? A. I'm not aware. Q. In other words, there wasn't a trick or a settlement; right? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection to form. MS. DANDENEAU: Object to form. MR. MORRIS: Object to form. A. I'm not aware. Look, I'm not aware. I'm not in every conversation. I mean, and I'm just – again, I'm sitting at home. It is the end of the year. Again, I'm not aware. Q. That is a perfectly legitimate	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	VIDEOGRAPHER: Do we want to go off the record? MR. RUKAVINA: Yes. VIDEOGRAPHER: All right. We're going off the record at 6:27 p.m. (Recess taken 6:27 p.m. to 6:30 p.m.) VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record at 6:30 p.m. MR. HORN: Is Deb back? MS. DANDENEAU: Are you asking about me? I'm here. MR. HORN: Oh, okay. I don't see you, sorry. Q. Actually, yeah, Mr. Waterhouse, so when you had – MS. DANDENEAU: Are you asking about Deb Dandeneau or Deborah? I mean, there	Page 349
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 given or taken at Highland by Mr. Seery, the independent board, DSI, that – that would have basically led Highland to ensure that NexPoint would fail to make that payment? A. I'm not aware. Q. In other words, there wasn't a trick or a settlement; right? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection to form. MS. DANDENEAU: Object to form. MR. MORRIS: Object to form. A. I'm not aware. Look, I'm not aware. I'm not in every conversation. I mean, and I'm just – again, I'm sitting at home. It is the end of the year. Again, I'm not aware. Q. That is a perfectly legitimate answer. I don't know why – why you think	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	VIDEOGRAPHER: Do we want to go off the record? MR. RUKAVINA: Yes. VIDEOGRAPHER: All right. We're going off the record at 6:27 p.m. (Recess taken 6:27 p.m. to 6:30 p.m.) VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record at 6:30 p.m. MR. HORN: Is Deb back? MS. DANDENEAU: Are you asking about me? I'm here. MR. HORN: Oh, okay. I don't see you, sorry. Q. Actually, yeah, Mr. Waterhouse, so when you had – MS. DANDENEAU: Are you asking about Deb Dandeneau or Deborah? I mean, there are a lot – as we talked about, a lot of	Page 349
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 given or taken at Highland by Mr. Seery, the independent board, DSI, that that would have basically led Highland to ensure that NexPoint would fail to make that payment? A. I'm not aware. Q. In other words, there wasn't a trick or a settlement; right? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection to form. MS. DANDENEAU: Object to form. MR. MORRIS: Object to form. A. I'm not aware. Look, I'm not aware. I'm not in every conversation. I mean, and I'm just again, I'm sitting at home. It is the end of the year. Again, I'm not aware. Q. That is a perfectly legitimate answer. I don't know why - why you think otherwise.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	VIDEOGRAPHER: Do we want to go off the record? MR. RUKAVINA: Yes. VIDEOGRAPHER: All right. We're going off the record at 6:27 p.m. (Recess taken 6:27 p.m. to 6:30 p.m.) VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record at 6:30 p.m. MR. HORN: Is Deb back? MS. DANDENEAU: Are you asking about me? I'm here. MR. HORN: Oh, okay. I don't see you, sorry. Q. Actually, yeah, Mr. Waterhouse, so when you had – MS. DANDENEAU: Are you asking about Deb Dandeneau or Deborah? I mean, there are a lot – as we talked about, a lot of Debs. I'm here.	Page 349
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 given or taken at Highland by Mr. Seery, the independent board, DSI, that – that would have basically led Highland to ensure that NexPoint would fail to make that payment? A. I'm not aware. Q. In other words, there wasn't a trick or a settlement; right? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection to form. MS. DANDENEAU: Object to form. MR. MORRIS: Object to form. A. I'm not aware. Look, I'm not aware. I'm not in every conversation. I mean, and I'm just – again, I'm sitting at home. It is the end of the year. Again, I'm not aware. Q. That is a perfectly legitimate answer. I don't know why – why you think otherwise. Okay. Just give me one second to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	VIDEOGRAPHER: Do we want to go off the record? MR. RUKAVINA: Yes. VIDEOGRAPHER: All right. We're going off the record at 6:27 p.m. (Recess taken 6:27 p.m. to 6:30 p.m.) VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record at 6:30 p.m. MR. HORN: Is Deb back? MS. DANDENEAU: Are you asking about me? I'm here. MR. HORN: Oh, okay. I don't see you, sorry. Q. Actually, yeah, Mr. Waterhouse, so when you had – MS. DANDENEAU: Are you asking about Deb Dandeneau or Deborah? I mean, there are a lot – as we talked about, a lot of	Page 349
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 given or taken at Highland by Mr. Seery, the independent board, DSI, that – that would have basically led Highland to ensure that NexPoint would fail to make that payment? A. I'm not aware. Q. In other words, there wasn't a trick or a settlement; right? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection to form. MS. DANDENEAU: Object to form. MR. MORRIS: Object to form. A. I'm not aware. Look, I'm not aware. I'm not in every conversation. I mean, and I'm just – again, I'm sitting at home. It is the end of the year. Again, I'm not aware. Q. That is a perfectly legitimate answer. I don't know why – why you think otherwise. Okay. Just give me one second to compose my thoughts.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	VIDEOGRAPHER: Do we want to go off the record? MR. RUKAVINA: Yes. VIDEOGRAPHER: All right. We're going off the record at 6:27 p.m. (Recess taken 6:27 p.m. to 6:30 p.m.) VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record at 6:30 p.m. MR. HORN: Is Deb back? MS. DANDENEAU: Are you asking about me? I'm here. MR. HORN: Oh, okay. I don't see you, sorry. Q. Actually, yeah, Mr. Waterhouse, so when you had – MS. DANDENEAU: Are you asking about Deb Dandeneau or Deborah? I mean, there are a lot – as we talked about, a lot of Debs. I'm here. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: I'm here. MR. HORN: Yes, I was asking about	Page 349
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 given or taken at Highland by Mr. Seery, the independent board, DSI, that – that would have basically led Highland to ensure that NexPoint would fail to make that payment? A. I'm not aware. Q. In other words, there wasn't a trick or a settlement; right? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection to form. MS. DANDENEAU: Object to form. MR. MORRIS: Object to form. A. I'm not aware. Look, I'm not aware. I'm not in every conversation. I mean, and I'm just – again, I'm sitting at home. It is the end of the year. Again, I'm not aware. Q. That is a perfectly legitimate answer. I don't know why – why you think otherwise. Okay. Just give me one second to compose my thoughts. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: While you're	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	VIDEOGRAPHER: Do we want to go off the record? MR. RUKAVINA: Yes. VIDEOGRAPHER: All right. We're going off the record at 6:27 p.m. (Recess taken 6:27 p.m. to 6:30 p.m.) VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record at 6:30 p.m. MR. HORN: Is Deb back? MS. DANDENEAU: Are you asking about me? I'm here. MR. HORN: Oh, okay. I don't see you, sorry. Q. Actually, yeah, Mr. Waterhouse, so when you had – MS. DANDENEAU: Are you asking about Deb Dandeneau or Deborah? I mean, there are a lot – as we talked about, a lot of Debs. I'm here. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: I'm here. MR. HORN: Yes, I was asking about DDP.	Page 349
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 3 24	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 given or taken at Highland by Mr. Seery, the independent board, DSI, that – that would have basically led Highland to ensure that NexPoint would fail to make that payment? A. I'm not aware. Q. In other words, there wasn't a trick or a settlement; right? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection to form. MS. DANDENEAU: Object to form. MR. MORRIS: Object to form. A. I'm not aware. Look, I'm not aware. I'm not in every conversation. I mean, and I'm just – again, I'm sitting at home. It is the end of the year. Again, I'm not aware. Q. That is a perfectly legitimate answer. I don't know why – why you think otherwise. Okay. Just give me one second to compose my thoughts. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: While you're taking your one second, why don't we take	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	VIDEOGRAPHER: Do we want to go off the record? MR. RUKAVINA: Yes. VIDEOGRAPHER: All right. We're going off the record at 6:27 p.m. (Recess taken 6:27 p.m. to 6:30 p.m.) VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record at 6:30 p.m. MR. HORN: Is Deb back? MS. DANDENEAU: Are you asking about me? I'm here. MR. HORN: Oh, okay. I don't see you, sorry. Q. Actually, yeah, Mr. Waterhouse, so when you had – MS. DANDENEAU: Are you asking about Deb Dandeneau or Deborah? I mean, there are a lot – as we talked about, a lot of Debs. I'm here. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: I'm here. MR. HORN: Yes, I was asking about DDP. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Oh, DDP is here.	Page 349
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 given or taken at Highland by Mr. Seery, the independent board, DSI, that – that would have basically led Highland to ensure that NexPoint would fail to make that payment? A. I'm not aware. Q. In other words, there wasn't a trick or a settlement; right? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection to form. MS. DANDENEAU: Object to form. MR. MORRIS: Object to form. A. I'm not aware. Look, I'm not aware. I'm not in every conversation. I mean, and I'm just – again, I'm sitting at home. It is the end of the year. Again, I'm not aware. Q. That is a perfectly legitimate answer. I don't know why – why you think otherwise. Okay. Just give me one second to compose my thoughts. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: While you're	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	VIDEOGRAPHER: Do we want to go off the record? MR. RUKAVINA: Yes. VIDEOGRAPHER: All right. We're going off the record at 6:27 p.m. (Recess taken 6:27 p.m. to 6:30 p.m.) VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record at 6:30 p.m. MR. HORN: Is Deb back? MS. DANDENEAU: Are you asking about me? I'm here. MR. HORN: Oh, okay. I don't see you, sorry. Q. Actually, yeah, Mr. Waterhouse, so when you had – MS. DANDENEAU: Are you asking about Deb Dandeneau or Deborah? I mean, there are a lot – as we talked about, a lot of Debs. I'm here. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: I'm here. MR. HORN: Yes, I was asking about DDP.	Page 349

1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 going back on mute. 2 testified earlier, we provided that accounting 3 MS. DANDENEAU: Get the right 3 finance treasury function as – under the 4 nomenclature. 4 shared services agreement. And so once I 5 Q. Mr. Waterhouse, on January 12th, 5 0 Definition of the minimum Participant of the minimare the minimum Participant of the minimum Par	Page 351
3MS. DANDENEAU: Get the right3finance treasury function as – under the4nomenclature.4shared services agreement. And so once I5Q. Mr. Waterhouse, on January 12th,5got the – I talked to Jim, got the approval to	
4nomenclature.4shared services agreement. And so once I5Q. Mr. Waterhouse, on January 12th,5got the – I talked to Jim, got the approval to	
5Q.Mr. Waterhouse, on January 12th,5got the I talked to Jim, got the approval to	
6 2021, when you had those talks with Mr. Dondero 6 make this payment, we have to then make the	e
7 about the \$1.4 million payment, did you have a 7 payment, or the team does, and so the payment	ent
8 communication or a conversation with Mr. Seery 8 was made.	
9 about that payment after January 12th, 2021? 9 Q. Okay. But – okay. And – and	
10 A. I don't recall. 10 sitting here right now, after Jim called you,	
11Q.Well, in response to Mr. Dondero11you don't remember talking to anyone other t	than
12 reaching out to you, do you recall on that day, 12 the – the couple of people you mentioned,	
13January 12th, talking to Mr. Seery or anyone at13talking to anyone about something to the effect	ect
14 Highland other than the email chain we just saw 14 that, hey, Jim wants to make this payment no	ow?
15 about Mr. Dondero's call with you? 15 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	
16A.Did I talk to I spoke with16of the question.	
17 Kristin – I don't know if I spoke to her. I 17 A. I don't – I don't recall.	
18 likely spoke to Kristin Hendrix because we had 18 Q. And does that include legal counsel?	?
19 to get the wire on NexPoint's behalf to make19Without going into any detail, on	
20 the payment to Highland. 20 January 12th or before that payment was ma	ade,
21 Q. So it is true, then, that – that 21 did you consult with legal counsel about	
22 employees of the debtor did actually cause that 22 anything having to do with the \$1.4 million	
23 payment to be made when it was made after 23 payment?	
24 January 12th? 24 A. I don't recall.	
25A. Yes, I mean, we – we – as I25Q. Okay. Thank you, sir, for your	
Page 352	Page 353
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	
2 time. 2 BY MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ:	
3 MR. RUKAVINA: Pass the witness. 3 Q. Good evening. I'm very sorry to be	
4 MR. MORRIS: I just have a few 4 going last and I know you have had a long an	nd
5 questions, if I may. 5 taxing day, so I thank you for indulging me.	
6 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Don't you go at 6 The kinds of services that you	
7 the end? 7 describe that the that Highland provided for	
8 MR. MORRIS: Oh, I apologize. He is 8 NexPoint, did Highland also provide similar	
9 your witness. I'm surprised you want to 9 services to that to HCRE and HCMS?	
10ask him questions, but go right ahead.10A.Yes.	
11MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Just have a11MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	
12couple of things.12of the question.	
13MR. RUKAVINA: And I will just13Q.What kind of services did Highland	
14 object to that, that he's our witness. 14 provide to HCRE and HCMS?	
15 That's not – 15 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	
16MR. MORRIS: I'm not talking to you.16of the question.	
17 I'm not talking to you. 17 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: What is you	r
18 MS. DANDENEAU: Also, Mr. Morris, it 18 objection, John?	
19 is – it is – 19 MR. MORRIS: It is vague and	
20 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: He is not my 20 ambiguous. Unlike the advisors and	
21 witness. He's been subpoenaed by you. 21 NexPoint, they actually had shared service	es
22 Okay? 22 agreements.	
23 That is no offense, Mr. Waterhouse, 23 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: I got – I	
24I'm – I'm not – okay.24understand your objection.That is fine.	
25 EXAMINATION 25 Q. Let's take them one at a time.	

Darra 254	Dage 255
Page 354	Page 355
2 What kinds of services did Highland	2 this, their HR services as well.
3 provide to HCRE?	3 Q. Okay. And did that include bill
4 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	4 paying?
5 of the question.	5 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form
6 A. HCMS, Highland employees provided	6 of the question.
7 accounting services, treasury management	7 Q. Did the services that HCM provided
8 services, potentially legal services. I	8 to HCMS include bill paying?
9 don't – but I wouldn't have been directly	9 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form
10 involved in that. But as far as the teams that	10 of the question.
	11 A. Yes.
11 I manage, it was accounting, treasury, things12 of that nature.	12 Q. And did the services that HCMLP
13 Q. Okay. And that was for HCM, LLP –	13 provided to HCMS include scheduling upcoming
14 A. And – and, sorry, it would also be	14 bills?
15 any asset valuation if needed as well.	15 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form
16 Q. Okay. We went back and forth on	16 of the question.
17 each other and I apologize, so just to clarify.	17 A. Yes.
18 You were talking about the services	18 Q. And did HCMLP regularly pay – cause
19 that Highland Capital Management provided to	19 to be paid the payments on loans HCMS had from
20 HCMS; is that right?	20 HCMLP?
21 A. HCMS. So, again, yes. And	21 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form
22 accounting, treasury, valuation, and also tax	22 of the question.
23 services too.	23 A. Yes.
24 Q. Okay.	24 Q. Typically – if there is a
25 A. Tax services. Look, I'm expanding	25 typically, how far in advance of due dates did
Page 356	Page 357
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21
2 HCMLP cause HCMS to pay its bills?	2 Q. Okay. Are loan payments the kinds
3 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	3 of thing that HCMLP would pay on time because
4 of the question.	4 of potential consequences of not paying on
5 A. I mean, it – it depend – it	5 time?
6 depended on the nature of the payment and the	6 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form
7 vendor, but, you know, if there were if	7 of the question.
8 there were larger scheduled payments, you know,	8 A. Yes. As I testified earlier, we
9 I would like to give at least 30 days notice.	9 would want to give, you know, notice on - on
10 And that is that is kind of my	10 – on larger payments and – and things of that
11 rule of thumb so no one is surprised.	11 nature so we didn't miss due dates.
12 Q. Okay. And was it generally HCMLP's	12 Q. Okay. And over the course of time,
13 practice to timely pay HCMS' bills?	13 did HCMLP generally pay HCMS' loan payments in
14 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	14 a timely fashion?
15 of the question.	15 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form
16 A. $It - it - it - that depended on$	16 of the question.
17 the nature of the payment.	17 A. I can't remember specifically, but
18 Q. Okay. And can you explain what you	18 generally, yes.
19 mean by that?	19 Q. Okay. Now, did HCMLP provide
20 A. Yeah, I mean if – if it was – I	20 similar services to HCRE that you have
21 mean – if there was some professional fees	21 described it provided to HCMS?
22 that weren't – you know, they were due but	22 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form
23 they weren't urgent, those fees may not be paid	
24 as timely as others that have a due date or –	
25 or things like that.	25 provided – I don't think it provided HR

Page 3581WATERHOUSE - 10-19-212services.1WATERHOUSE - 10-19-212services.2loan payment that was due from HCMS to HCML3Q.Can you describe the accounting and34treasury services that HCMLP provided for HCRE?4MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form5A.Yeah, it – it would provide5of the question.	Page 359 _P in
3Q. Can you describe the accounting and3December of 2020?4treasury services that HCMLP provided for HCRE?4MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	-P in
4 treasury services that HCMLP provided for HCRE? 4 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	
5 A. Yeah, it – it would provide 5 of the question.	
6 bookkeeping services on a – on a periodic 6 A. I don't believe that payment –	
7 basis. It would make payments, you know, as 7 payment was made.	
8 needed. 8 Q. Okay. And when HCMLP caused HCM	Sin
9 Q. Okay. So did it provide - 9 the past to make loan payments, whose money of	
10 A. And – and I believe it – it – it 10 it use to make those payments?	
11 provided tax services as well. 11 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	
12 Q. Okay. And so did it provide the 12 of the question.	
13 same kind of bill – did HCMLP provide the same 13 A. It was the – the money in HCMS's	
14 kind of bill-paying services for HCRE that it 14 operating account would be made to that -	
15 provided for HCMS and NexPoint? 15 those moneys would be used to make payment	to
16 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 16 Highland Capital Management.	
17 of the question. 17 Q. Okay. And Highland – is it correct	
18 A. Yes. 18 Hard Highland Capital Management personnel ha	d
19 Q. And over the course of time, did 19 the access to HCMS's accounts to be able to	
20 HCMLP generally cause to be made the loan 20 cause such payments to be made?	
21 payments that HCRE owed to HCMLP? 21 A. Yes, Highland personnel had access	
22 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 22 to those accounts.	
23 of the question. 23 Q. Okay. And so now for HCRE, whose	
24 A. Yes. 24 A. Yes. 24 Yes.	
24 A. Tes. 24 Thomey was used when nowin caused in the caused in t	
Page 360 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 361
2 made? 2 of the question.	
3 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 3 A. I – I don't recall.	
4 of the question. 4 Q. Do you have any reason to believe	
5 A. It was – it was cash in HCRE's bank 5 that either HCRE or HCMS simply didn't have the	2
6 account that would be used to make payments to 6 funds on hand to make the December 2020	•
7 Highland Capital Management. 7 payments?	
8 Q. Okay. And so did Highland Capital 8 A. I don't know.	
9 Management have access to HCRE's funds in order 9 Q. I guess I'm asking, do you have any	
10 to be able to make such payments? 10 reason to believe that they didn't have the	
11 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 11 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	
12 of the question. 12 A. We managed cash for so many	
12 A. Vernanged cash of somally 13 A. Personnel at Highland Capital 13 13 different entities and funds, and I don't	
14 Management had access to HCRE's bank account to 14 recall, you know, where the cash position was	
15 effectuate the payments. 15 for HCRE and HCMS at 12/31/2020.	
16Q.Okay.16Q.Okay.16Q.Okay.16Q.Okay.	
17 HCRE to HCMLP due in December of 2020 made? 17 A. I just don't recall, and I don't –	
17 A. Tust don't recail, and room - 18 A. It - 18 A. It -	
5	
23 be made had HCM personnel attempted to make the 24 payment2 24 otherwise have been due in December of 2020	
24 payment?24 otherwise have been due in December of 202025MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form25 made in January of 2021 for HCMS and HCRE?)
25 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 25 made in January of 2021 for HCMS and HCRE?	

Page 362	Page	363
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	
2 A. I believe the HCRE payment was made	2 believe I'm an authorized signer. So I	
3 in January of 2021. I don't recall any	3 can't – other personnel have to make payment	
4 payments being made from HCMS to Highland.	4 from HCRE to – to – to – to Highland.	
5 Q. If it – how is it the HCRE payment	5 Q. Okay. And in the conversation	
6 came to be made? Why did you make it – why	6 that – that you had with Mr. Dondero when he	
7 did HCM make the payment in January of 2021?	7 requested the payment to be made, did you say	
8 A. Jim – Jim called me and instructed	8 to him words to the effect, Jim, this loan is	
9 me to – to make the payment on behalf of HCRE,	9 going to stay in default, what are you making	
10 Jim Dondero – Jim Dondero.	10 the payment for, anything like that?	
11 Q. Did he seem upset that that the	11 A. No.	
12 payment had not been made?	12 Q. In fact, did you have the impression	
13 A. Yeah. On the note that was, you	13 from him that he thought that the loan would	
14 know, that was the term note, yes, he he was	14 be the default would be cured by making the	
15 displeased that the – that the payment had not	15 payment?	
16 been made by year-end.	16 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	
17 Q. Okay. And did you make the – cause	17 of the question.	
18 the payment to be made as – as requested?	18 A. Did I get the impression from Jim	
19 A. Yes.	19 Dondero that the loan would be cured if the	
20 Q. And did anyone else from HCM	20 payment from HCRE –	
21 participate with you in causing the payment to	21 Q. Yeah, if that is what he thought.	
22 be made to – on the HCRE loan?	22 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	
23 A. Yes. It would have been Kristin	23 of the question.	
24 Hendrix. I – again, I don't – as I testified	24 A. I didn't get any impression from him	
25 earlier, I'm not an officer of HCRE. I don't	25 on that at the time.	
Page 364		0.05
Pade 364		
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	000
	1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	000
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	000
1WATERHOUSE - 10-19-212Q. Do you know whether there was an	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 January of 2021, do you think it was part of the same conversation where Jim Dondero said, 	000
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. Do you know whether there was an HCMS term loan that had a payment due in 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 January of 2021, do you think it was part of the same conversation where Jim Dondero said, hey, why didn't that get paid, please make 	
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. Do you know whether there was an HCMS term loan that had a payment due in December of 2020? A. I don't recall. 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 January of 2021, do you think it was part of the same conversation where Jim Dondero said, hey, why didn't that get paid, please make 	000
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. Do you know whether there was an HCMS term loan that had a payment due in December of 2020? A. I don't recall. Q. Okay. And so the reason you don't 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 January of 2021, do you think it was part of the same conversation where Jim Dondero said, hey, why didn't that get paid, please make that – get that payment done? MR. MORRIS: I object to the form of 	
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. Do you know whether there was an HCMS term loan that had a payment due in December of 2020? A. I don't recall. Q. Okay. And so the reason you don't recall whether or not there was a payment in 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 January of 2021, do you think it was part of the same conversation where Jim Dondero said, hey, why didn't that get paid, please make that – get that payment done? MR. MORRIS: I object to the form of the question. 	
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. Do you know whether there was an HCMS term loan that had a payment due in December of 2020? A. I don't recall. Q. Okay. And so the reason you don't recall whether or not there was a payment in January of 2021 is because you just don't 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 January of 2021, do you think it was part of the same conversation where Jim Dondero said, hey, why didn't that get paid, please make that – get that payment done? MR. MORRIS: I object to the form of the question. A. Yes. Likely it would have been – I 	
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. Do you know whether there was an HCMS term loan that had a payment due in December of 2020? A. I don't recall. Q. Okay. And so the reason you don't recall whether or not there was a payment in January of 2021 is because you just don't remember whether there was such a loan at all? 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 January of 2021, do you think it was part of the same conversation where Jim Dondero said, hey, why didn't that get paid, please make that – get that payment done? MR. MORRIS: I object to the form of the question. A. Yes. Likely it would have been – I mean, again, I don't recall a payment being 	
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. Do you know whether there was an HCMS term loan that had a payment due in December of 2020? A. I don't recall. Q. Okay. And so the reason you don't recall whether or not there was a payment in January of 2021 is because you just don't remember whether there was such a loan at all? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 January of 2021, do you think it was part of the same conversation where Jim Dondero said, hey, why didn't that get paid, please make that – get that payment done? MR. MORRIS: I object to the form of the question. A. Yes. Likely it would have been – I mean, again, I don't recall a payment being made, but, you know, again, I don't remember 	
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. Do you know whether there was an HCMS term loan that had a payment due in December of 2020? A. I don't recall. Q. Okay. And so the reason you don't recall whether or not there was a payment in January of 2021 is because you just don't remember whether there was such a loan at all? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 January of 2021, do you think it was part of the same conversation where Jim Dondero said, hey, why didn't that get paid, please make that – get that payment done? MR. MORRIS: I object to the form of the question. A. Yes. Likely it would have been – I mean, again, I don't recall a payment being made, but, you know, again, I don't remember everything. 	
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. Do you know whether there was an HCMS term loan that had a payment due in December of 2020? A. I don't recall. Q. Okay. And so the reason you don't recall whether or not there was a payment in January of 2021 is because you just don't remember whether there was such a loan at all? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. I don't remember. There is – there 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 January of 2021, do you think it was part of the same conversation where Jim Dondero said, hey, why didn't that get paid, please make that – get that payment done? MR. MORRIS: I object to the form of the question. A. Yes. Likely it would have been – I mean, again, I don't recall a payment being made, but, you know, again, I don't remember everything. Q. Okay. Did – at the time you were 	
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. Do you know whether there was an HCMS term loan that had a payment due in December of 2020? A. I don't recall. Q. Okay. And so the reason you don't recall whether or not there was a payment in January of 2021 is because you just don't remember whether there was such a loan at all? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. I don't remember. There is – there is so many notes, and I mean, demands, and I 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 January of 2021, do you think it was part of the same conversation where Jim Dondero said, hey, why didn't that get paid, please make that – get that payment done? MR. MORRIS: I object to the form of the question. A. Yes. Likely it would have been – I mean, again, I don't recall a payment being made, but, you know, again, I don't remember everything. Q. Okay. Did – at the time you were communicating with Kristin Hendrix about the 	
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. Do you know whether there was an HCMS term loan that had a payment due in December of 2020? A. I don't recall. Q. Okay. And so the reason you don't recall whether or not there was a payment in January of 2021 is because you just don't remember whether there was such a loan at all? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. I don't remember. There is – there is so many notes, and I mean, demands, and I don't – I don't remember. It's a lot to keep 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 January of 2021, do you think it was part of the same conversation where Jim Dondero said, hey, why didn't that get paid, please make that – get that payment done? MR. MORRIS: I object to the form of the question. A. Yes. Likely it would have been – I mean, again, I don't recall a payment being made, but, you know, again, I don't remember everything. Q. Okay. Did – at the time you were communicating with Kristin Hendrix about the payment being made, whichever payments were 	
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. Do you know whether there was an HCMS term loan that had a payment due in December of 2020? A. I don't recall. Q. Okay. And so the reason you don't recall whether or not there was a payment in January of 2021 is because you just don't remember whether there was such a loan at all? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. I don't remember. There is – there is so many notes, and I mean, demands, and I don't – I don't remember. It's a lot to keep track in your head. 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 January of 2021, do you think it was part of the same conversation where Jim Dondero said, hey, why didn't that get paid, please make that – get that payment done? MR. MORRIS: I object to the form of the question. A. Yes. Likely it would have been – I mean, again, I don't recall a payment being made, but, you know, again, I don't remember everything. Q. Okay. Did – at the time you were communicating with Kristin Hendrix about the payment being made, whichever payments were made in January, did she say anything to you 	
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. Do you know whether there was an HCMS term loan that had a payment due in December of 2020? A. I don't recall. Q. Okay. And so the reason you don't recall whether or not there was a payment in January of 2021 is because you just don't remember whether there was such a loan at all? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. I don't remember. There is – there is so many notes, and I mean, demands, and I don't – I don't remember. It's a lot to keep track in your head. Q. I understand, and – and I hear your 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 January of 2021, do you think it was part of the same conversation where Jim Dondero said, hey, why didn't that get paid, please make that – get that payment done? MR. MORRIS: I object to the form of the question. A. Yes. Likely it would have been – I mean, again, I don't recall a payment being made, but, you know, again, I don't remember everything. Q. Okay. Did – at the time you were communicating with Kristin Hendrix about the payment being made, whichever payments were made in January, did she say anything to you about the payments not curing the loan 	
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. Do you know whether there was an HCMS term loan that had a payment due in December of 2020? A. I don't recall. Q. Okay. And so the reason you don't recall whether or not there was a payment in January of 2021 is because you just don't remember whether there was such a loan at all? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. I don't remember. There is – there is so many notes, and I mean, demands, and I don't – I don't remember. It's a lot to keep track in your head. Q. I understand, and – and I hear your frustration when you have explained that the 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 January of 2021, do you think it was part of the same conversation where Jim Dondero said, hey, why didn't that get paid, please make that – get that payment done? MR. MORRIS: I object to the form of the question. A. Yes. Likely it would have been – I mean, again, I don't recall a payment being made, but, you know, again, I don't remember everything. Q. Okay. Did – at the time you were communicating with Kristin Hendrix about the payment being made, whichever payments were made in January, did she say anything to you about the payments not curing the loan defaults? 	
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. Do you know whether there was an HCMS term loan that had a payment due in December of 2020? A. I don't recall. Q. Okay. And so the reason you don't recall whether or not there was a payment in January of 2021 is because you just don't remember whether there was such a loan at all? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. I don't remember. There is – there is so many notes, and I mean, demands, and I don't – I don't remember. It's a lot to keep track in your head. Q. I understand, and – and I hear your frustration when you have explained that the debtor has your documents and you don't, and so 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 January of 2021, do you think it was part of the same conversation where Jim Dondero said, hey, why didn't that get paid, please make that – get that payment done? MR. MORRIS: I object to the form of the question. A. Yes. Likely it would have been – I mean, again, I don't recall a payment being made, but, you know, again, I don't remember everything. Q. Okay. Did – at the time you were communicating with Kristin Hendrix about the payment being made, whichever payments were made in January, did she say anything to you about the payments not curing the loan A. No. 	
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. Do you know whether there was an HCMS term loan that had a payment due in December of 2020? A. I don't recall. Q. Okay. And so the reason you don't recall whether or not there was a payment in January of 2021 is because you just don't remember whether there was such a loan at all? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. I don't remember. There is – there is so many notes, and I mean, demands, and I don't – I don't remember. It's a lot to keep track in your head. Q. I understand, and – and I hear your frustration when you have explained that the debtor has your documents and you don't, and so I fully appreciate it, and this is no knock on 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 January of 2021, do you think it was part of the same conversation where Jim Dondero said, hey, why didn't that get paid, please make that – get that payment done? MR. MORRIS: I object to the form of the question. A. Yes. Likely it would have been – I mean, again, I don't recall a payment being made, but, you know, again, I don't remember everything. Q. Okay. Did – at the time you were communicating with Kristin Hendrix about the payment being made, whichever payments were made in January, did she say anything to you about the payments not curing the loan defaults? A. No. Q. Okay. All right. So I'm going to 	
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. Do you know whether there was an HCMS term loan that had a payment due in December of 2020? A. I don't recall. Q. Okay. And so the reason you don't recall whether or not there was a payment in January of 2021 is because you just don't remember whether there was such a loan at all? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. I don't remember. There is there is so many notes, and I mean, demands, and I don't - I don't remember. It's a lot to keep track in your head. Q. I understand, and - and I hear your frustration when you have explained that the debtor has your documents and you don't, and so I fully appreciate it, and this is no knock on you. It's a knock on somebody else on this 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 January of 2021, do you think it was part of the same conversation where Jim Dondero said, hey, why didn't that get paid, please make that – get that payment done? MR. MORRIS: I object to the form of the question. A. Yes. Likely it would have been – I mean, again, I don't recall a payment being made, but, you know, again, I don't remember everything. Q. Okay. Did – at the time you were communicating with Kristin Hendrix about the payment being made, whichever payments were made in January, did she say anything to you about the payments not curing the loan defaults? A. No. Q. Okay. All right. So I'm going to take you back to very early in the deposition 	
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. Do you know whether there was an HCMS term loan that had a payment due in December of 2020? A. I don't recall. Q. Okay. And so the reason you don't recall whether or not there was a payment in January of 2021 is because you just don't remember whether there was such a loan at all? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. I don't remember. There is – there is so many notes, and I mean, demands, and I don't – I don't remember. It's a lot to keep track in your head. Q. I understand, and – and I hear your frustration when you have explained that the debtor has your documents and you don't, and so I fully appreciate it, and this is no knock on you. It's a knock on somebody else on this 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 January of 2021, do you think it was part of the same conversation where Jim Dondero said, hey, why didn't that get paid, please make that – get that payment done? MR. MORRIS: I object to the form of the question. A. Yes. Likely it would have been – I mean, again, I don't recall a payment being made, but, you know, again, I don't remember everything. Q. Okay. Did – at the time you were communicating with Kristin Hendrix about the payment being made, whichever payments were made in January, did she say anything to you about the payments not curing the loan defaults? A. No. Q. Okay. All right. So I'm going to take you back to very early in the deposition when Mr. Morris was asking you about the – 	
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. Do you know whether there was an HCMS term loan that had a payment due in December of 2020? A. I don't recall. Q. Okay. And so the reason you don't recall whether or not there was a payment in January of 2021 is because you just don't remember whether there was such a loan at all? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. I don't remember. There is – there is so many notes, and I mean, demands, and I don't – I don't remember. It's a lot to keep track in your head. Q. I understand, and – and I hear your frustration when you have explained that the debtor has your documents and you don't, and so I fully appreciate it, and this is no knock on you. It's a knock on somebody else on this MR. MORRIS: I move to strike. That 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 January of 2021, do you think it was part of the same conversation where Jim Dondero said, hey, why didn't that get paid, please make that – get that payment done? MR. MORRIS: I object to the form of the question. A. Yes. Likely it would have been – I mean, again, I don't recall a payment being made, but, you know, again, I don't remember everything. Q. Okay. Did – at the time you were communicating with Kristin Hendrix about the payment being made, whichever payments were made in January, did she say anything to you about the payments not curing the loan defaults? A. No. Q. Okay. All right. So I'm going to take you back to very early in the deposition when Mr. Morris was asking you about the – 	
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. Do you know whether there was an HCMS term loan that had a payment due in December of 2020? A. I don't recall. Q. Okay. And so the reason you don't recall whether or not there was a payment in January of 2021 is because you just don't remember whether there was such a loan at all? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. I don't remember. There is – there is so many notes, and I mean, demands, and I don't – I don't remember. It's a lot to keep track in your head. Q. I understand, and – and I hear your frustration when you have explained that the debtor has your documents and you don't, and so I fully appreciate it, and this is no knock on you. It's a knock on somebody else on this Call. MR. MORRIS: I move to strike. That was pretty obnoxious, but go ahead. 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 January of 2021, do you think it was part of the same conversation where Jim Dondero said, hey, why didn't that get paid, please make that – get that payment done? MR. MORRIS: I object to the form of the question. A. Yes. Likely it would have been – I mean, again, I don't recall a payment being made, but, you know, again, I don't remember everything. Q. Okay. Did – at the time you were communicating with Kristin Hendrix about the payment being made, whichever payments were made in January, did she say anything to you about the payments not curing the loan defaults? A. No. Q. Okay. All right. So I'm going to take you back to very early in the deposition when Mr. Morris was asking you about the – the – the – the agreement with respect to the – the forgiveness element of the loans, so 	
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 Q. Do you know whether there was an HCMS term loan that had a payment due in December of 2020? A. I don't recall. Q. Okay. And so the reason you don't recall whether or not there was a payment in January of 2021 is because you just don't remember whether there was such a loan at all? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. I don't remember. There is – there is so many notes, and I mean, demands, and I don't – I don't remember. It's a lot to keep track in your head. Q. I understand, and – and I hear your frustration when you have explained that the debtor has your documents and you don't, and so I fully appreciate it, and this is no knock on you. It's a knock on somebody else on this Call. 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 January of 2021, do you think it was part of the same conversation where Jim Dondero said, hey, why didn't that get paid, please make that – get that payment done? MR. MORRIS: I object to the form of the question. A. Yes. Likely it would have been – I mean, again, I don't recall a payment being made, but, you know, again, I don't remember everything. Q. Okay. Did – at the time you were communicating with Kristin Hendrix about the payment being made, whichever payments were made in January, did she say anything to you about the payments not curing the loan defaults? A. No. Q. Okay. All right. So I'm going to take you back to very early in the deposition when Mr. Morris was asking you about the – 	

Casse 211-0330005-sogj Door: 1/486-3 Frided 012/05/221 Entered 012/05/221 18/1/87.442 Praye 6667 of 1899

	D 000			D 007
1	Page 366 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 367
2	time that you and Mr. Dondero were	2	of the question.	
3	communicating about potential means of	3	A. Yes, we did have that conversation.	
4	resolving the Highland bankruptcy by what was	4	Q. Okay. Was that conversation in	
5	colloquially referred to as a pot plan?	5	connection with trying to figure out the right	
6	A. Yes.	6	numbers for a pot plan?	
7	Q. Okay. And can you tell me generally	7	A. Yeah. I mean, it was – it was – I	
8	when that was?	8	mean, Jim – Jim would ask for, you know,	
9	A. Like mid – mid 2020, sometime in	9	most – most recent asset values, you know, for	
10	2020, mid 2020.	10	Highland, and – and myself and the team	
11	Q. Okay. And did the process of trying	11	provided those to him, so it was in that	
12	to figure out what the numbers should be	12		
13	involve looking at what one should pay for the	13	Q. Okay. And does that refresh your	
14	Highland assets?	14	recollection that these communications were in	
1	-		2020 rather than 2021?	
15	MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	15		
16	of the question.	16	MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	
17	A. Yes.	17	of the question. A. The – the – the executive	
18	Q. Okay. And did there come a time	18		
19	when you were proposing some potential numbers	19	compensation discussions were definitely in	
20	and Mr. Dondero said something to you like,	20	2020.	
21	well, why are you including payment for the	21	Q. Okay. Now, did you ever make	
22	related party notes, those, you know, were	22	proposals that took into account Jim's comment	
23	likely to be forgiven as part of my deferred	23	that the notes were likely to end up forgiven	
24	executive compensation?	24	as part of his compensation?	
25	MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	25	MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	
	Page 368			Page 369
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	
2	of the question.	2	you knew of the practice of giving forgivable	
3	A. Yes, we – the team and myself put	3	loans to executives.	
4	together, you know, asset summaries of Highland	4	MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	
5	at various times for all the assets of	5	of the question.	
6	Highland, and not including the notes.	6	Q. Can you – can you tell me what you	
7	Q. Okay. And were those presentations	7	recall about that practice?	
8	communicated to to Mr. Seery?	8	MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	
9	A. No. Well, look, I didn't tell – I	9	of the question.	
10	didn't tell Mr. Seery. I don't know what	10	A. Yes, so there were there were	
11	Mr. Dondero did with the information.	11	during my tenure at Highland, there were loans	
12	Q. Okay.	12	or – given to employees that were later	
13	A. I did not have conversations with	13	forgiven at a future date and time.	
14	Mr. Seery.	14	Q. Okay. And when the loans were	
15	Q. Okay. Do you know who saw the	15	given, did the notes, to your recollection, say	
16	presentations that you put together that didn't	16	anything about the potential forgiveness term?	
17	include the value of the related party notes?	17	MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	
18	A. We're talking presentations – these	18	of the question.	
		10	A. When you say "did the notes," did	
19	are - these are Excel spreadsheets?	19		
19 20	are – these are Excel spreadsheets? Q. Uh-huh.	20	the promissory notes detail the forgiveness?	
1				
20	Q. Uh-huh.	20	the promissory notes detail the forgiveness?	
20 21	Q. Uh-huh.A. I don't know who – these were given	20 21	the promissory notes detail the forgiveness? Q. Yes.	
20 21 22	Q. Uh-huh.A. I don't know who – these were givento – to Jim Dondero. I don't know what was	20 21 22	the promissory notes detail the forgiveness? Q. Yes. A. Not that I recall.	
20 21 22 23	 Q. Uh-huh. A. I don't know who – these were given to – to Jim Dondero. I don't know what was done with them after that. 	20 21 22 23	the promissory notes detail the forgiveness?Q. Yes.A. Not that I recall.Q. And until such time as whatever was	

Casse 211-0330005-sogj Door: 1/486-3 Frided 012/05/221 Entered 012/05/221 18/1/87:442 Prage 6668 off 18919

1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 370 Page 37 ⁻ 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21
2 concerned?	2 of the question.
3 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	3 A. Yes. I mean, yes, that – there
4 of the question.	4 are. And that is – yes.
5 A. Yes, similar to – yes.	5 Q. Okay. And is it typical accounting
6 Q. Okay. You were going to say similar	6 practice that until there is some certainty
7 to what?	7 about those potential future events, that asset
8 A. Mr. Morris earlier today showed	8 value listed on on the books doesn't take
9 notes of the financial statements about various	9 into account those potential future events?
10 affiliate loans. I I I do recall these	10 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form
11 notes because I – at that time personally	11 of the question.
12 worked on the the financial statements of	12 A. Yeah, if those – yes. If – if
13 Highland. That was, you know, in my role as a	13 those future events, you know, at the time of
14 corporate accountant.	14 issuance are not known or knowable, like l
15 And there were those loans	15 discussed earlier with, like, market practice,
16 were – to the partners were detailed in the	16 asset dislocation, or, you know, I mean, things
17 notes to the financial statements, similar to	17 like that, you – I mean, it – it could affect
18 what we went through earlier today in the prior	18 its fair value –
19 testimony about what we saw with Highland	19 Q. Okay.
20 and – and – and the – and HCMFA.	20 A. – in the future.
21 Q. Is it fair to say that on Highland's	21 Q. And am I correct you wouldn't feel
22 balance sheet there were any number of assets	22 compelled to footnote in every possible change
23 that the value of which could be affected by	23 in in an asset when those possibilities are
24 subsequent events?	24 still remote?
25 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	25 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form
-	-
	Dage 272
	Page 372 Page 372 Page 373
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21
1WATERHOUSE - 10-19-212of the question.	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 have been better prepared for this deposition
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 of the question. A. The accounting standard is you have 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 have been better prepared for this deposition if the debtor had complied with those requests?
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 of the question. A. The accounting standard is you have to estimate to the best – you know, to – to 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 have been better prepared for this deposition if the debtor had complied with those requests? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 of the question. A. The accounting standard is you have to estimate to the best – you know, to – to the best of your ability, the fair value of an 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 have been better prepared for this deposition if the debtor had complied with those requests? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question.
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 of the question. A. The accounting standard is you have to estimate to the best – you know, to – to the best of your ability, the fair value of an asset as of the balance sheet date under – 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 have been better prepared for this deposition if the debtor had complied with those requests? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. I – I – I most certainly – yes.
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 of the question. A. The accounting standard is you have to estimate to the best – you know, to – to the best of your ability, the fair value of an asset as of the balance sheet date under – under GAAP. 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 have been better prepared for this deposition if the debtor had complied with those requests? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. I – I – I most certainly – yes. I mean, again, these are multiple years,
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 of the question. A. The accounting standard is you have to estimate to the best – you know, to – to the best of your ability, the fair value of an asset as of the balance sheet date under – under GAAP. Q. Did – strike that. 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 have been better prepared for this deposition if the debtor had complied with those requests? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. I – I – I most certainly – yes. I mean, again, these are multiple years, multiple years ago, lots and lots of
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 of the question. A. The accounting standard is you have to estimate to the best – you know, to – to the best of your ability, the fair value of an asset as of the balance sheet date under – under GAAP. Q. Did – strike that. Okay. Give me a minute. I'm 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 have been better prepared for this deposition if the debtor had complied with those requests? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. I – I – I most certainly – yes. I mean, again, these are multiple years, multiple years ago, lots and lots of transactions.
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 of the question. A. The accounting standard is you have to estimate to the best – you know, to – to the best of your ability, the fair value of an asset as of the balance sheet date under – under GAAP. Q. Did – strike that. Okay. Give me a minute. I'm close – I'm close to done. Let me just go off 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 have been better prepared for this deposition if the debtor had complied with those requests? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. I – I – I most certainly – yes. I mean, again, these are multiple years, multiple years ago, lots and lots of transactions. You know, we asked about NAV errors
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 of the question. A. The accounting standard is you have to estimate to the best – you know, to – to the best of your ability, the fair value of an asset as of the balance sheet date under – under GAAP. Q. Did – strike that. Okay. Give me a minute. I'm close – I'm close to done. Let me just go off and look at my notes for a second. So take two 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 have been better prepared for this deposition if the debtor had complied with those requests? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. I – I – I most certainly – yes. I mean, again, these are multiple years, multiple years ago, lots and lots of transactions. You know, we asked about NAV errors and, you know, things like that and these
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 of the question. A. The accounting standard is you have to estimate to the best – you know, to – to the best of your ability, the fair value of an asset as of the balance sheet date under – under GAAP. Q. Did – strike that. Okay. Give me a minute. I'm close – I'm close to done. Let me just go off and look at my notes for a second. So take two minutes. 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 have been better prepared for this deposition if the debtor had complied with those requests? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. I – I – I most certainly – yes. I mean, again, these are multiple years, multiple years ago, lots and lots of transactions. You know, we asked about NAV errors and, you know, things like that and these are – it would make this process a lot more –
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 of the question. A. The accounting standard is you have to estimate to the best – you know, to – to the best of your ability, the fair value of an asset as of the balance sheet date under – under GAAP. Q. Did – strike that. Okay. Give me a minute. I'm close – I'm close to done. Let me just go off and look at my notes for a second. So take two WIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 have been better prepared for this deposition if the debtor had complied with those requests? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. I – I – I most certainly – yes. I mean, again, these are multiple years, multiple years ago, lots and lots of transactions. You know, we asked about NAV errors and, you know, things like that and these are – it would make this process a lot more – a lot easier and if we had – if we had access
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 of the question. A. The accounting standard is you have to estimate to the best – you know, to – to the best of your ability, the fair value of an asset as of the balance sheet date under – under GAAP. Q. Did – strike that. Okay. Give me a minute. I'm close – I'm close to done. Let me just go off and look at my notes for a second. So take two minutes. VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the record at 7:02 p.m. 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 have been better prepared for this deposition if the debtor had complied with those requests? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. I – I – I most certainly – yes. I mean, again, these are multiple years, multiple years ago, lots and lots of transactions. You know, we asked about NAV errors and, you know, things like that and these are – it would make this process a lot more – a lot easier and if we had – if we had access to that.
1WATERHOUSE - 10-19-212of the question.3A. The accounting standard is you have4to estimate to the best – you know, to – to5the best of your ability, the fair value of an6asset as of the balance sheet date under –7under GAAP.8Q. Did – strike that.9Okay. Give me a minute. I'm10close – I'm close to done. Let me just go off11and look at my notes for a second. So take two12minutes.13VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the14record at 7:02 p.m.15(Recess taken 7:02 p.m. to 7:03 p.m.)	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 have been better prepared for this deposition if the debtor had complied with those requests? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. I – I – I most certainly – yes. I mean, again, these are multiple years, multiple years ago, lots and lots of transactions. You know, we asked about NAV errors and, you know, things like that and these are – it would make this process a lot more – a lot easier and if we had – if we had access to that. Q. Okay. And has the debtor – is the
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 of the question. A. The accounting standard is you have to estimate to the best – you know, to – to the best of your ability, the fair value of an asset as of the balance sheet date under – under GAAP. Q. Did – strike that. Okay. Give me a minute. I'm close – I'm close to done. Let me just go off and look at my notes for a second. So take two minutes. VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the record at 7:02 p.m. (Recess taken 7:02 p.m. to 7:03 p.m.) VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 have been better prepared for this deposition if the debtor had complied with those requests? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. I – I – I most certainly – yes. I mean, again, these are multiple years, multiple years ago, lots and lots of transactions. You know, we asked about NAV errors and, you know, things like that and these are – it would make this process a lot more – a lot easier and if we had – if we had access to that. Q. Okay. And has the debtor – is the debtor suing you right now?
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 of the question. A. The accounting standard is you have to estimate to the best – you know, to – to the best of your ability, the fair value of an asset as of the balance sheet date under – under GAAP. Q. Did – strike that. Okay. Give me a minute. I'm close – I'm close to done. Let me just go off and look at my notes for a second. So take two minutes. VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the record at 7:02 p.m. to 7:03 p.m.) VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record at 7:03 p.m. 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 have been better prepared for this deposition if the debtor had complied with those requests? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. I – I – I most certainly – yes. I mean, again, these are multiple years, multiple years ago, lots and lots of transactions. You know, we asked about NAV errors and, you know, things like that and these are – it would make this process a lot more – a lot easier and if we had – if we had access to that. Q. Okay. And has the debtor – is the debtor suing you right now? A. Yes.
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 of the question. A. The accounting standard is you have to estimate to the best – you know, to – to the best of your ability, the fair value of an asset as of the balance sheet date under – under GAAP. Q. Did – strike that. Okay. Give me a minute. I'm close – I'm close to done. Let me just go off and look at my notes for a second. So take two minutes. VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the record at 7:02 p.m. (Recess taken 7:02 p.m. to 7:03 p.m.) VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record at 7:03 p.m. Q. Mr. Waterhouse, is it generally your 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 have been better prepared for this deposition if the debtor had complied with those requests? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. I – I – I most certainly – yes. I mean, again, these are multiple years, multiple years ago, lots and lots of transactions. You know, we asked about NAV errors and, you know, things like that and these are – it would make this process a lot more – a lot easier and if we had – if we had access to that. Q. Okay. And has the debtor – is the debtor suing you right now? A. Yes. Q. And is the debtor trying to renege
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 of the question. A. The accounting standard is you have to estimate to the best – you know, to – to the best of your ability, the fair value of an asset as of the balance sheet date under – under GAAP. Q. Did – strike that. Okay. Give me a minute. I'm close – I'm close to done. Let me just go off and look at my notes for a second. So take two minutes. VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the record at 7:02 p.m. (Recess taken 7:02 p.m. to 7:03 p.m.) VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record at 7:03 p.m. Q. Mr. Waterhouse, is it generally your understanding that people you work with now 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 have been better prepared for this deposition if the debtor had complied with those requests? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. I – I – I most certainly – yes. I mean, again, these are multiple years, multiple years ago, lots and lots of transactions. You know, we asked about NAV errors and, you know, things like that and these are – it would make this process a lot more – a lot easier and if we had – if we had access to that. Q. Okay. And has the debtor – is the debtor suing you right now? A. Yes. Q. And is the debtor trying to renege on deals that it had previously made with you?
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 of the question. A. The accounting standard is you have to estimate to the best – you know, to – to the best of your ability, the fair value of an asset as of the balance sheet date under – under GAAP. Q. Did – strike that. Okay. Give me a minute. I'm close – I'm close to done. Let me just go off and look at my notes for a second. So take two minutes. VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the record at 7:02 p.m. (Recess taken 7:02 p.m. to 7:03 p.m.) VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record at 7:03 p.m. Q. Mr. Waterhouse, is it generally your understanding that people you work with now have been asking the debtor for full and 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 have been better prepared for this deposition if the debtor had complied with those requests? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. I – I – I most certainly – yes. I mean, again, these are multiple years, multiple years ago, lots and lots of transactions. You know, we asked about NAV errors and, you know, things like that and these are – it would make this process a lot more – a lot easier and if we had – if we had access to that. Q. Okay. And has the debtor – is the debtor suing you right now? A. Yes. Q. And is the debtor trying to renege on deals that it had previously made with you? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 of the question. A. The accounting standard is you have to estimate to the best – you know, to – to the best of your ability, the fair value of an asset as of the balance sheet date under – under GAAP. Q. Did – strike that. Okay. Give me a minute. I'm close – I'm close to done. Let me just go off and look at my notes for a second. So take two minutes. VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the record at 7:02 p.m. (Recess taken 7:02 p.m. to 7:03 p.m.) VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record at 7:03 p.m. Q. Mr. Waterhouse, is it generally your understanding that people you work with now have been asking the debtor for full and unfetterred access to their own former files? 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 have been better prepared for this deposition if the debtor had complied with those requests? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. I – I – I most certainly – yes. I mean, again, these are multiple years, multiple years ago, lots and lots of transactions. You know, we asked about NAV errors and, you know, things like that and these are – it would make this process a lot more – a lot easier and if we had – if we had access to that. Q. Okay. And has the debtor – is the debtor suing you right now? A. Yes. Q. And is the debtor trying to renege on deals that it had previously made with you? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question.
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 of the question. A. The accounting standard is you have to estimate to the best – you know, to – to the best of your ability, the fair value of an asset as of the balance sheet date under – under GAAP. Q. Did – strike that. Okay. Give me a minute. I'm close – I'm close to done. Let me just go off and look at my notes for a second. So take two minutes. VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the record at 7:02 p.m. (Recess taken 7:02 p.m. to 7:03 p.m.) VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record at 7:03 p.m. Q. Mr. Waterhouse, is it generally your understanding that people you work with now have been asking the debtor for full and unfetterred access to their own former files? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 have been better prepared for this deposition if the debtor had complied with those requests? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. I-I-I most certainly – yes. I mean, again, these are multiple years, multiple years ago, lots and lots of transactions. You know, we asked about NAV errors and, you know, things like that and these are – it would make this process a lot more – a lot easier and if we had – if we had access to that. Q. Okay. And has the debtor – is the debtor suing you right now? A. Yes. Q. And is the debtor trying to renege on deals that it had previously made with you? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. Z. A. Sorry, I need to – it is my
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 of the question. A. The accounting standard is you have to estimate to the best – you know, to – to the best of your ability, the fair value of an asset as of the balance sheet date under – under GAAP. Q. Did – strike that. Okay. Give me a minute. I'm close – I'm close to done. Let me just go off and look at my notes for a second. So take two minutes. VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the record at 7:02 p.m. (Recess taken 7:02 p.m. to 7:03 p.m.) VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record at 7:03 p.m. Q. Mr. Waterhouse, is it generally your understanding that people you work with now have been asking the debtor for full and unfetterred access to their own former files? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. 	 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 have been better prepared for this deposition 3 if the debtor had complied with those requests? 4 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 5 of the question. 6 A. I – I – I most certainly – yes. 7 I mean, again, these are multiple years, 8 multiple years ago, lots and lots of 9 transactions. 10 You know, we asked about NAV errors 11 and, you know, things like that and these 12 are – it would make this process a lot more – 13 a lot easier and if we had – if we had access 14 to that. 15 Q. Okay. And has the debtor – is the 16 debtor suing you right now? 17 A. Yes. 18 Q. And is the debtor trying to renege 19 on deals that it had previously made with you? 20 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 21 of the question. 22 A. Sorry, I need to – it is my 23 understanding that the litigation trust is
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 of the question. A. The accounting standard is you have to estimate to the best – you know, to – to the best of your ability, the fair value of an asset as of the balance sheet date under – under GAAP. Q. Did – strike that. Okay. Give me a minute. I'm close – I'm close to done. Let me just go off and look at my notes for a second. So take two minutes. VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the record at 7:02 p.m. (Recess taken 7:02 p.m. to 7:03 p.m.) VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record at 7:03 p.m. Q. Mr. Waterhouse, is it generally your understanding that people you work with now have been asking the debtor for full and unfetterred access to their own former files? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. Yes, I am – I am generally aware. 	 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 have been better prepared for this deposition if the debtor had complied with those requests? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. I-I-I most certainly – yes. I mean, again, these are multiple years, multiple years ago, lots and lots of transactions. You know, we asked about NAV errors and, you know, things like that and these are – it would make this process a lot more – a lot easier and if we had – if we had access to that. Q. Okay. And has the debtor – is the debtor suing you right now? A. Yes. Q. And is the debtor trying to renege on deals that it had previously made with you? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. Sorry, I need to – it is my understanding that the litigation trust is suing me. And not being a lawyer, I don't
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 of the question. A. The accounting standard is you have to estimate to the best – you know, to – to the best of your ability, the fair value of an asset as of the balance sheet date under – under GAAP. Q. Did – strike that. Okay. Give me a minute. I'm close – I'm close to done. Let me just go off and look at my notes for a second. So take two minutes. VIDEOGRAPHER: We're going off the record at 7:02 p.m. (Recess taken 7:02 p.m. to 7:03 p.m.) VIDEOGRAPHER: We are back on the record at 7:03 p.m. Q. Mr. Waterhouse, is it generally your understanding that people you work with now have been asking the debtor for full and unfetterred access to their own former files? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. 	 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 2 have been better prepared for this deposition 3 if the debtor had complied with those requests? 4 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 5 of the question. 6 A. I – I – I most certainly – yes. 7 I mean, again, these are multiple years, 8 multiple years ago, lots and lots of 9 transactions. 10 You know, we asked about NAV errors 11 and, you know, things like that and these 12 are – it would make this process a lot more – 13 a lot easier and if we had – if we had access 14 to that. 15 Q. Okay. And has the debtor – is the 16 debtor suing you right now? 17 A. Yes. 18 Q. And is the debtor trying to renege 19 on deals that it had previously made with you? 20 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 21 of the question. 22 A. Sorry, I need to – it is my 23 understanding that the litigation trust is

	Page 374			Page 375
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Fage 575
2	Is that I don't know the	2	is that correct?	
3	relationship. So, again, I'm not the lawyers.	3	MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	
4	I've said many times. But my understanding is	4	of the question.	
5	the litigation trust is suing me. I could be	5	A. I want to be yes, I there	
6	wrong there. I don't know.	6	is I'm being sued, again, on on something	
7	Q. Okay. I understand.	7	that was agreed to with Mr. Seery and myself.	
8	Someone with some connection to the	8	l don't – l don't – l don't own that claim.	
9	Highland debtor has brought a claim against	9	Q. Okay.	
10	you; is that fair?	10	A. To be transparent, I don't own that	
11	MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	11	claim. So it is not my personal property.	
12	of the question.	12	Q. Okay.	
13	A. Yes.	13	A. And – and being the nonlawyer, I	
14	Q. Okay. And is there also some motion	14	don't know how I can get sued for something	
15	practice in the bankruptcy where the debtor or	15	that I don't owe or, like, I don't own	
16	someone associated with the debtor is	16	anything. I'm not the lawyer. But, I mean, if	
17	attempting to undo something that was	17	that is – if I'm understanding the facts	
18	previously resolved with you?	18	correctly.	
19	A. Yes.	19	Q. Okay. And the lawsuit that was	
20	Q. And so in one action somebody is	20	filed that names you, that was just filed	
21	associated with the debtors trying to -	21	this this past week; is that right?	
22	threatening you with trying to take money from	22	MS. DANDENEAU: Ms. Deitsch-Perez, I	
23	you, and then in the other and trying to	23	do want to interrupt at this point because	
24	and in the other they are threatening not to	24	just as I told Mr. Morris, that this is a	
25	pay you things that had previously been agreed;	25	deposition about the noticed litigation.	
\vdash	Page 376			Page 377
				I age JII
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	i age of i
2	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 I really don't want to go – go	1 2	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 life at Skyview.	Tage 577
1				Tage 577
2	I really don't want to go – go	2	life at Skyview.	Tage of t
23	I really don't want to go – go afield – MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Yeah. MS. DANDENEAU: – and open up a	2 3	life at Skyview. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Thank you.	Tage of t
2 3 4	l really don't want to go – go afield – MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Yeah.	2 3 4	life at Skyview. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Thank you. THE WITNESS: Thank you.	Tage of t
2 3 4 5	I really don't want to go – go afield – MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Yeah. MS. DANDENEAU: – and open up a	2 3 4 5	life at Skyview. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Thank you. THE WITNESS: Thank you. FURTHER EXAMINATION	Tage of f
2 3 4 5 6	I really don't want to go – go afield – MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Yeah. MS. DANDENEAU: – and open up a whole new line of inquiry about the lawsuit	2 3 4 5 6	life at Skyview. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Thank you. THE WITNESS: Thank you. FURTHER EXAMINATION BY MR. MORRIS:	Tage of f
2 3 4 5 6 7	I really don't want to go – go afield – MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Yeah. MS. DANDENEAU: – and open up a whole new line of inquiry about the lawsuit or the – the motion and the bankruptcy	2 3 4 5 6 7	life at Skyview. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Thank you. THE WITNESS: Thank you. FURTHER EXAMINATION BY MR. MORRIS: Q. If I may, I just have a few	1 ago 077
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	I really don't want to go – go afield – MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Yeah. MS. DANDENEAU: – and open up a whole new line of inquiry about the lawsuit or the – the motion and the bankruptcy court. We will be here all night. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: And I understand.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	life at Skyview. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Thank you. THE WITNESS: Thank you. FURTHER EXAMINATION BY MR. MORRIS: Q. If I may, I just have a few questions. Mr. Waterhouse, we saw a number of documents that Mr. Rukavina put up on the	1 ago 077
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	I really don't want to go – go afield – MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Yeah. MS. DANDENEAU: – and open up a whole new line of inquiry about the lawsuit or the – the motion and the bankruptcy court. We will be here all night. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: And I understand. Q. My – my point is: Do you feel	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	life at Skyview. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Thank you. THE WITNESS: Thank you. FURTHER EXAMINATION BY MR. MORRIS: Q. If I may, I just have a few questions. Mr. Waterhouse, we saw a number of documents that Mr. Rukavina put up on the screen where Ms. Hendrix would send you a	Tage of f
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	I really don't want to go – go afield – MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Yeah. MS. DANDENEAU: – and open up a whole new line of inquiry about the lawsuit or the – the motion and the bankruptcy court. We will be here all night. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: And I understand. Q. My – my point is: Do you feel like – like there is some effort by these	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	life at Skyview. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Thank you. THE WITNESS: Thank you. FURTHER EXAMINATION BY MR. MORRIS: Q. If I may, I just have a few questions. Mr. Waterhouse, we saw a number of documents that Mr. Rukavina put up on the screen where Ms. Hendrix would send you a schedule of payments that were due on behalf of	1 ago 017
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	I really don't want to go – go afield – MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Yeah. MS. DANDENEAU: – and open up a whole new line of inquiry about the lawsuit or the – the motion and the bankruptcy court. We will be here all night. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: And I understand. Q. My – my point is: Do you feel like – like there is some effort by these parties related to the debtor to intimidate	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	life at Skyview. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Thank you. THE WITNESS: Thank you. FURTHER EXAMINATION BY MR. MORRIS: Q. If I may, I just have a few questions. Mr. Waterhouse, we saw a number of documents that Mr. Rukavina put up on the screen where Ms. Hendrix would send you a	1 ago 011
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	I really don't want to go – go afield – MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Yeah. MS. DANDENEAU: – and open up a whole new line of inquiry about the lawsuit or the – the motion and the bankruptcy court. We will be here all night. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: And I understand. Q. My – my point is: Do you feel like – like there is some effort by these parties related to the debtor to intimidate you – not that you – I'm not saying you are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	life at Skyview. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Thank you. THE WITNESS: Thank you. FURTHER EXAMINATION BY MR. MORRIS: Q. If I may, I just have a few questions. Mr. Waterhouse, we saw a number of documents that Mr. Rukavina put up on the screen where Ms. Hendrix would send you a schedule of payments that were due on behalf of certain Highland affiliates. Do you remember that?	1 ago 011
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	I really don't want to go – go afield – MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Yeah. MS. DANDENEAU: – and open up a whole new line of inquiry about the lawsuit or the – the motion and the bankruptcy court. We will be here all night. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: And I understand. Q. My – my point is: Do you feel like – like there is some effort by these parties related to the debtor to intimidate you – not that you – I'm not saying you are or you aren't.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	life at Skyview. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Thank you. THE WITNESS: Thank you. FURTHER EXAMINATION BY MR. MORRIS: Q. If I may, I just have a few questions. Mr. Waterhouse, we saw a number of documents that Mr. Rukavina put up on the screen where Ms. Hendrix would send you a schedule of payments that were due on behalf of certain Highland affiliates. Do you remember that? A. Yes.	1 age 017
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	I really don't want to go – go afield – MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Yeah. MS. DANDENEAU: – and open up a whole new line of inquiry about the lawsuit or the – the motion and the bankruptcy court. We will be here all night. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: And I understand. Q. My – my point is: Do you feel like – like there is some effort by these parties related to the debtor to intimidate you – not that you – I'm not saying you are or you aren't. But do you feel like there is some	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	life at Skyview. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Thank you. THE WITNESS: Thank you. FURTHER EXAMINATION BY MR. MORRIS: Q. If I may, I just have a few questions. Mr. Waterhouse, we saw a number of documents that Mr. Rukavina put up on the screen where Ms. Hendrix would send you a schedule of payments that were due on behalf of certain Highland affiliates. Do you remember that? A. Yes. Q. And in each instance she asked for	1 age 017
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	I really don't want to go – go afield – MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Yeah. MS. DANDENEAU: – and open up a whole new line of inquiry about the lawsuit or the – the motion and the bankruptcy court. We will be here all night. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: And I understand. Q. My – my point is: Do you feel like – like there is some effort by these parties related to the debtor to intimidate you – not that you – I'm not saying you are or you aren't. But do you feel like there is some effort to intimidate you and maybe an effort to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	life at Skyview. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Thank you. THE WITNESS: Thank you. FURTHER EXAMINATION BY MR. MORRIS: Q. If I may, I just have a few questions. Mr. Waterhouse, we saw a number of documents that Mr. Rukavina put up on the screen where Ms. Hendrix would send you a schedule of payments that were due on behalf of certain Highland affiliates. Do you remember that? A. Yes. Q. And in each instance she asked for your approval to make the payments; is that	1 age 017
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	I really don't want to go – go afield – MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Yeah. MS. DANDENEAU: – and open up a whole new line of inquiry about the lawsuit or the – the motion and the bankruptcy court. We will be here all night. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: And I understand. Q. My – my point is: Do you feel like – like there is some effort by these parties related to the debtor to intimidate you – not that you – I'm not saying you are or you aren't. But do you feel like there is some effort to intimidate you and maybe an effort to deter you from being as prepared as you might	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	life at Skyview. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Thank you. THE WITNESS: Thank you. FURTHER EXAMINATION BY MR. MORRIS: Q. If I may, I just have a few questions. Mr. Waterhouse, we saw a number of documents that Mr. Rukavina put up on the screen where Ms. Hendrix would send you a schedule of payments that were due on behalf of certain Highland affiliates. Do you remember that? A. Yes. Q. And in each instance she asked for your approval to make the payments; is that right?	1 age 017
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	I really don't want to go – go afield – MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Yeah. MS. DANDENEAU: – and open up a whole new line of inquiry about the lawsuit or the – the motion and the bankruptcy court. We will be here all night. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: And I understand. Q. My – my point is: Do you feel like – like there is some effort by these parties related to the debtor to intimidate you – not that you – I'm not saying you are or you aren't. But do you feel like there is some effort to intimidate you and maybe an effort to deter you from being as prepared as you might be in this deposition?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	life at Skyview. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Thank you. THE WITNESS: Thank you. FURTHER EXAMINATION BY MR. MORRIS: Q. If I may, I just have a few questions. Mr. Waterhouse, we saw a number of documents that Mr. Rukavina put up on the screen where Ms. Hendrix would send you a schedule of payments that were due on behalf of certain Highland affiliates. Do you remember that? A. Yes. Q. And in each instance she asked for your approval to make the payments; is that right? A. Yes, she did.	1 age 017
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	I really don't want to go – go afield – MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Yeah. MS. DANDENEAU: – and open up a whole new line of inquiry about the lawsuit or the – the motion and the bankruptcy court. We will be here all night. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: And I understand. Q. My – my point is: Do you feel like – like there is some effort by these parties related to the debtor to intimidate you – not that you – I'm not saying you are or you aren't. But do you feel like there is some effort to intimidate you and maybe an effort to deter you from being as prepared as you might be in this deposition? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	life at Skyview. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Thank you. THE WITNESS: Thank you. FURTHER EXAMINATION BY MR. MORRIS: Q. If I may, I just have a few questions. Mr. Waterhouse, we saw a number of documents that Mr. Rukavina put up on the screen where Ms. Hendrix would send you a schedule of payments that were due on behalf of certain Highland affiliates. Do you remember that? A. Yes. Q. And in each instance she asked for your approval to make the payments; is that right? A. Yes, she did. Q. And was that the – was that the	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	I really don't want to go – go afield – MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Yeah. MS. DANDENEAU: – and open up a whole new line of inquiry about the lawsuit or the – the motion and the bankruptcy court. We will be here all night. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: And I understand. Q. My – my point is: Do you feel like – like there is some effort by these parties related to the debtor to intimidate you – not that you – I'm not saying you are or you aren't. But do you feel like there is some effort to intimidate you and maybe an effort to deter you from being as prepared as you might be in this deposition? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	life at Skyview. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Thank you. THE WITNESS: Thank you. FURTHER EXAMINATION BY MR. MORRIS: Q. If I may, I just have a few questions. Mr. Waterhouse, we saw a number of documents that Mr. Rukavina put up on the screen where Ms. Hendrix would send you a schedule of payments that were due on behalf of certain Highland affiliates. Do you remember that? A. Yes. Q. And in each instance she asked for your approval to make the payments; is that right? A. Yes, she did. Q. And was that the – was that the practice in the second half of 2020 whereby	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	I really don't want to go – go afield – MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Yeah. MS. DANDENEAU: – and open up a whole new line of inquiry about the lawsuit or the – the motion and the bankruptcy court. We will be here all night. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: And I understand. Q. My – my point is: Do you feel like – like there is some effort by these parties related to the debtor to intimidate you – not that you – I'm not saying you are or you aren't. But do you feel like there is some effort to intimidate you and maybe an effort to deter you from being as prepared as you might be in this deposition? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. I was – I was surprised by the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	life at Skyview. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Thank you. THE WITNESS: Thank you. FURTHER EXAMINATION BY MR. MORRIS: Q. If I may, I just have a few questions. Mr. Waterhouse, we saw a number of documents that Mr. Rukavina put up on the screen where Ms. Hendrix would send you a schedule of payments that were due on behalf of certain Highland affiliates. Do you remember that? A. Yes. Q. And in each instance she asked for your approval to make the payments; is that right? A. Yes, she did. Q. And was that the – was that the practice in the second half of 2020 whereby Ms. Hendrix would prepare a list of payments	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	I really don't want to go – go afield – MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Yeah. MS. DANDENEAU: – and open up a whole new line of inquiry about the lawsuit or the – the motion and the bankruptcy court. We will be here all night. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: And I understand. Q. My – my point is: Do you feel like – like there is some effort by these parties related to the debtor to intimidate you – not that you – I'm not saying you are or you aren't. But do you feel like there is some effort to intimidate you and maybe an effort to deter you from being as prepared as you might be in this deposition? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. I was – I was surprised by the lawsuit, by me being named, because, again, I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	life at Skyview. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Thank you. THE WITNESS: Thank you. FURTHER EXAMINATION BY MR. MORRIS: Q. If I may, I just have a few questions. Mr. Waterhouse, we saw a number of documents that Mr. Rukavina put up on the screen where Ms. Hendrix would send you a schedule of payments that were due on behalf of certain Highland affiliates. Do you remember that? A. Yes. Q. And in each instance she asked for your approval to make the payments; is that right? A. Yes, she did. Q. And was that the – was that the practice in the second half of 2020 whereby Ms. Hendrix would prepare a list of payments that were due on behalf of Highland associates	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	I really don't want to go – go afield – MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Yeah. MS. DANDENEAU: – and open up a whole new line of inquiry about the lawsuit or the – the motion and the bankruptcy court. We will be here all night. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: And I understand. Q. My – my point is: Do you feel like – like there is some effort by these parties related to the debtor to intimidate you – not that you – I'm not saying you are or you aren't. But do you feel like there is some effort to intimidate you and maybe an effort to deter you from being as prepared as you might be in this deposition? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. I was – I was surprised by the lawsuit, by me being named, because, again, I don't own the asset and things like that.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	life at Skyview. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Thank you. THE WITNESS: Thank you. FURTHER EXAMINATION BY MR. MORRIS: Q. If I may, I just have a few questions. Mr. Waterhouse, we saw a number of documents that Mr. Rukavina put up on the screen where Ms. Hendrix would send you a schedule of payments that were due on behalf of certain Highland affiliates. Do you remember that? A. Yes. Q. And in each instance she asked for your approval to make the payments; is that right? A. Yes, she did. Q. And was that the – was that the practice in the second half of 2020 whereby Ms. Hendrix would prepare a list of payments that were due on behalf of Highland associates and ask for approval?	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	I really don't want to go – go afield – MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Yeah. MS. DANDENEAU: – and open up a whole new line of inquiry about the lawsuit or the – the motion and the bankruptcy court. We will be here all night. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: And I understand. Q. My – my point is: Do you feel like – like there is some effort by these parties related to the debtor to intimidate you – not that you – I'm not saying you are or you aren't. But do you feel like there is some effort to intimidate you and maybe an effort to deter you from being as prepared as you might be in this deposition? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. I was – I was surprised by the lawsuit, by me being named, because, again, I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	life at Skyview. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Thank you. THE WITNESS: Thank you. FURTHER EXAMINATION BY MR. MORRIS: Q. If I may, I just have a few questions. Mr. Waterhouse, we saw a number of documents that Mr. Rukavina put up on the screen where Ms. Hendrix would send you a schedule of payments that were due on behalf of certain Highland affiliates. Do you remember that? A. Yes. Q. And in each instance she asked for your approval to make the payments; is that right? A. Yes, she did. Q. And was that the – was that the practice in the second half of 2020 whereby Ms. Hendrix would prepare a list of payments that were due on behalf of Highland associates	

Page 378			Page 379
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1 age 575
2 Q. And I think you said that there was	2	deadlines.	
3 a-a-	3	I don't know how, as I testified	
4 A. It was – I think I testified to	4	earlier, how much they were using that	
5 this earlier when we talked about procedures	5	calendar.	
6 and policy, you know, again, I want to be	6	Q. Okay. But – but you did get notice	
7 informed of of of of any	7	and a request to approve the payments that were	
8 payments that are going out. I want to be made		coming due on behalf of Highland's affiliates.	
9 aware of these payments, and that was just a		Do I have that right?	
10 general policy, not just for 2020.	10	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	
11 Q. Okay. So it went beyond 2020?	11	A. I mean, generally, yes. I mean, you	
12 A. Yes.	12	know, as we saw with these emails, generally, I	
13 Q. Is that right?	13	mean, did that encompass everything, no.	
14 A. Yes.	14	Q. Okay. Do you know why the	
15 Q. Okay. And the corporate accounting	15	payment do you know why there was no payment	
16 group would prepare a calendar that would set	16	made by NexPoint at the end of 2020?	
17 forth all of the payments that were anticipated	17	A. Yes. There was – there was – we	
18 in the – in the three weeks ahead; is that	18	talked about these agreements between the	
19 right?	19	advisors and Highland, the shared services and	
20 A. I – like I testified earlier, we	20	the cost reimbursement agreement.	
21 had a corporate calendar that was set up, you	21	And in late 2020, there were	
22 know, to to provide reminders or, you know,	22	overpayments, large overpayments that had been	
23 of anything of any nature, whether it is	23	made over the years on these agreements, and it	
24 payments or or financial statements or, you	24	was my understanding that the advisors were	
25 know, whatever it is, you know, to meet	25	were talking with – like Jim Seery and others	
Page 380 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 381
2 to offset any obligations that the advisors	2	A. No. The advisors – the advisors	
3 owed to Highland as offset to the overpayments		had stopped making payments prior to that	
4 on these agreements.		notice.	
5 Q. Okay. Did you participate in any of	5	Q. Okay. And how do you know that the	
6 those conversations?	-	advisors stopped making – making payments	
7 A. I did not.		prior to the notice?	
8 Q. Okay. Do you know – do you recall	8	A. I had – I had a conversation	
9 that the – at the end of November, the debtor	-	with – with Jim Dondero.	
10 did notice to the advisors of their intent to	10	Q. And did Mr. Dondero tell you that	
11 terminate the shared services agreements?	11	the advisors would no longer make payments to	
12 A. Like I testified earlier, there	12	Highland?	
13 was – the agreements weren't identical, from	13	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	
14 what I recall, and there is one that had a	14	form.	
15 longer notice period, which I think had a	15	A. Yes, he – he – again, he said	
16 60-day notice period. I don't recall which one		they – they – the advisors have overpaid on	
17 that was, so not all of them were – notice	17	these agreements, to not make any future	
18 hadn't been given as of November 30th, for all	18	payments, and that there needs to be offsets,	
19 of the agreements.	19	and they're working on getting offsets to these	
20 Q. Upon the receipt of the – the	20	overpayment.	
21 termination notices that you recall, do you	21	Q. Do you know if anybody ever	
22 know if the advisors decided at that point not	22	instructed Highland's employees to make the	
23 to make any further payments of any kind to	23	payment that was due by NexPoint at the end of	
24 Highland?	24	the year?	
25 MR. RUKAVINA: Objection, form.	25	A. Did anyone instruct Highland's	
		-	

Page 382			Page 383
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Tage 505
2 employees to make that payment?	2	so I mean, until those negotiations were	
3 Q. Correct.	3	resolved, you know, there wasn't any	
4 A. Anyone – not that I'm aware.	4	payments there weren't any payments.	
5 Q. Were any of Highland's employees	5	Q. And – and there were no payments	
6 authorized to make the payments on behalf of	6	until the negotiations were resolved because	
7 its affiliates – withdrawn.	7	that was the directive that you received from	
8 Was any of Highland's employees	8	Mr. Dondero; correct?	
9 authorized to effectuate the payment on behalf	9	A. I don't think he said I mean, I	
10 of NexPoint that was due at the end of the year	10	think – yeah, I mean – I'm trying to recall	
11 without getting approval from either you or	11	the conversation. It was you know, there	
12 Mr. Dondero?	12	is there is these negotiations. There's	
13 A. They had the – they had the ability	13	there needs to be these offsets. They're	
14 to make the payment, but they didn't you	14	talking with the debtor. So, you know, until	
15 know, that – that payment needed to be	15	this is resolved, right, I mean, depending on	
16 approved.	16	how, whatever that resolution was, were we to	
17 Q. Okay. And it needed to be approved	17	take any action.	
18 by you or Mr. Dondero; is that right?	18	Q. Okay. How about with respect to	
19 A. I mean, I'm not going to make the	19	HCMS, did HCMS have a term payment due at the	
20 unilateral decision.	20	end of the year?	
21 Q. Is that a decision that you	21	A. Again, I don't – I don't recall.	
22 understood had to be made by Mr. Dondero?	22	Q. Okay. You discussed briefly two	
23 A. Yes. Sitting back in December of	23	payments that were made in January of 2021, one	
24 2020, the – that – there was this off –		on behalf of NexPoint, and one on behalf of	
25 offset negotiation that – that was happening,		HCMS. Do I have that right?	
Page 384	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 385
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 let me try again.	Page 385
1WATERHOUSE - 10-19-212A.No.The two payments I recall were		let me try again.	Page 385
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. No. The two payments I recall were NexPoint and HCRE. 	2 3	let me try again. A. These are very long questions, John.	Page 385
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. No. The two payments I recall were NexPoint and HCRE. Q. Okay. And those two payments – 	2 3 4	let me try again. A. These are very long questions, John. I'm not trying to be –	Page 385
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. No. The two payments I recall were NexPoint and HCRE. Q. Okay. And those two payments – thank you for the correction. And those two 	2 3 4 5	let me try again. A. These are very long questions, John. I'm not trying to be – Q. That is good. Do you know whether	Page 385
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. No. The two payments I recall were NexPoint and HCRE. Q. Okay. And those two payments – thank you for the correction. And those two payments were made because Mr. Dondero 	2 3 4 5 6	 let me try again. A. These are very long questions, John. I'm not trying to be – Q. That is good. Do you know whether anybody – do you know whether anybody on 	Page 385
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. No. The two payments I recall were NexPoint and HCRE. Q. Okay. And those two payments thank you for the correction. And those two payments were made because Mr. Dondero authorized those payments to be made; correct? 	2 3 4 5 6 7	let me try again. A. These are very long questions, John. I'm not trying to be – Q. That is good. Do you know whether anybody – do you know whether anybody on behalf of HCMS – HCMFA ever told the SEC that	Page 385
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. No. The two payments I recall were NexPoint and HCRE. Q. Okay. And those two payments – thank you for the correction. And those two payments were made because Mr. Dondero authorized those payments to be made; correct? A. Yes. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	let me try again. A. These are very long questions, John. I'm not trying to be – Q. That is good. Do you know whether anybody – do you know whether anybody on behalf of HCMS – HCMFA ever told the SEC that Highland was the responsible party for the	Page 385
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. No. The two payments I recall were NexPoint and HCRE. Q. Okay. And those two payments – thank you for the correction. And those two payments were made because Mr. Dondero authorized those payments to be made; correct? A. Yes. Q. And they hadn't been made before 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 let me try again. A. These are very long questions, John. I'm not trying to be – Q. That is good. Do you know whether anybody – do you know whether anybody on behalf of HCMS – HCMFA ever told the SEC that Highland was the responsible party for the TerreStar valuation error? 	Page 385
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. No. The two payments I recall were NexPoint and HCRE. Q. Okay. And those two payments – thank you for the correction. And those two payments were made because Mr. Dondero authorized those payments to be made; correct? A. Yes. Q. And they hadn't been made before that because Mr. Dondero had not authorized 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	let me try again. A. These are very long questions, John. I'm not trying to be – Q. That is good. Do you know whether anybody – do you know whether anybody on behalf of HCMS – HCMFA ever told the SEC that Highland was the responsible party for the TerreStar valuation error? A. Not that I'm aware.	Page 385
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. No. The two payments I recall were NexPoint and HCRE. Q. Okay. And those two payments – thank you for the correction. And those two payments were made because Mr. Dondero authorized those payments to be made; correct? A. Yes. Q. And they hadn't been made before that because Mr. Dondero had not authorized them to be made? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	let me try again. A. These are very long questions, John. I'm not trying to be – Q. That is good. Do you know whether anybody – do you know whether anybody on behalf of HCMS – HCMFA ever told the SEC that Highland was the responsible party for the TerreStar valuation error? A. Not that I'm aware. Q. Okay. Did anybody on behalf of	Page 385
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. No. The two payments I recall were NexPoint and HCRE. Q. Okay. And those two payments – thank you for the correction. And those two payments were made because Mr. Dondero authorized those payments to be made; correct? A. Yes. Q. And they hadn't been made before that because Mr. Dondero had not authorized them to be made? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	let me try again. A. These are very long questions, John. I'm not trying to be – Q. That is good. Do you know whether anybody – do you know whether anybody on behalf of HCMS – HCMFA ever told the SEC that Highland was the responsible party for the TerreStar valuation error? A. Not that I'm aware. Q. Okay. Did anybody on behalf of the – on behalf of HCMFA ever tell the retail	Page 385
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. No. The two payments I recall were NexPoint and HCRE. Q. Okay. And those two payments – thank you for the correction. And those two payments were made because Mr. Dondero authorized those payments to be made; correct? A. Yes. Q. And they hadn't been made before that because Mr. Dondero had not authorized them to be made? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	let me try again. A. These are very long questions, John. I'm not trying to be – Q. That is good. Do you know whether anybody – do you know whether anybody on behalf of HCMS – HCMFA ever told the SEC that Highland was the responsible party for the TerreStar valuation error? A. Not that I'm aware. Q. Okay. Did anybody on behalf of the – on behalf of HCMFA ever tell the retail board that Highland was responsible for the	Page 385
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. No. The two payments I recall were NexPoint and HCRE. Q. Okay. And those two payments – thank you for the correction. And those two payments were made because Mr. Dondero authorized those payments to be made; correct? A. Yes. Q. And they hadn't been made before that because Mr. Dondero had not authorized them to be made? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. Yes, because of these negotiations. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	let me try again. A. These are very long questions, John. I'm not trying to be – Q. That is good. Do you know whether anybody – do you know whether anybody on behalf of HCMS – HCMFA ever told the SEC that Highland was the responsible party for the TerreStar valuation error? A. Not that I'm aware. Q. Okay. Did anybody on behalf of the – on behalf of HCMFA ever tell the retail board that Highland was responsible for the TerreStar valuation error?	Page 385
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. No. The two payments I recall were NexPoint and HCRE. Q. Okay. And those two payments – thank you for the correction. And those two payments were made because Mr. Dondero authorized those payments to be made; correct? A. Yes. Q. And they hadn't been made before that because Mr. Dondero had not authorized them to be made? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. Yes, because of these negotiations. Q. Okay. Just a couple of more 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	let me try again. A. These are very long questions, John. I'm not trying to be – Q. That is good. Do you know whether anybody – do you know whether anybody on behalf of HCMS – HCMFA ever told the SEC that Highland was the responsible party for the TerreStar valuation error? A. Not that I'm aware. Q. Okay. Did anybody on behalf of the – on behalf of HCMFA ever tell the retail board that Highland was responsible for the TerreStar valuation error? A. Not that I'm aware.	Page 385
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. No. The two payments I recall were NexPoint and HCRE. Q. Okay. And those two payments – thank you for the correction. And those two payments were made because Mr. Dondero authorized those payments to be made; correct? A. Yes. Q. And they hadn't been made before that because Mr. Dondero had not authorized them to be made? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. Yes, because of these negotiations. Q. Okay. Just a couple of more questions. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	let me try again. A. These are very long questions, John. I'm not trying to be – Q. That is good. Do you know whether anybody – do you know whether anybody on behalf of HCMS – HCMFA ever told the SEC that Highland was the responsible party for the TerreStar valuation error? A. Not that I'm aware. Q. Okay. Did anybody on behalf of the – on behalf of HCMFA ever tell the retail board that Highland was responsible for the TerreStar valuation error? A. Not that I'm aware. Q. Do you know if HCMFA made an	Page 385
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. No. The two payments I recall were NexPoint and HCRE. Q. Okay. And those two payments – thank you for the correction. And those two payments were made because Mr. Dondero authorized those payments to be made; correct? A. Yes. Q. And they hadn't been made before that because Mr. Dondero had not authorized them to be made? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. Yes, because of these negotiations. Q. Okay. Just a couple of more questions. Did anybody, to the best of your 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	let me try again. A. These are very long questions, John. I'm not trying to be – Q. That is good. Do you know whether anybody – do you know whether anybody on behalf of HCMS – HCMFA ever told the SEC that Highland was the responsible party for the TerreStar valuation error? A. Not that I'm aware. Q. Okay. Did anybody on behalf of the – on behalf of HCMFA ever tell the retail board that Highland was responsible for the TerreStar valuation error? A. Not that I'm aware. Q. Do you know if HCMFA made an insurance claim with respect to the damages	Page 385
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. No. The two payments I recall were NexPoint and HCRE. Q. Okay. And those two payments – thank you for the correction. And those two payments were made because Mr. Dondero authorized those payments to be made; correct? A. Yes. Q. And they hadn't been made before that because Mr. Dondero had not authorized them to be made? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. Yes, because of these negotiations. Q. Okay. Just a couple of more questions. Did anybody, to the best of your knowledge, on behalf of HCMFA, ever tell the 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	let me try again. A. These are very long questions, John. I'm not trying to be – Q. That is good. Do you know whether anybody – do you know whether anybody on behalf of HCMS – HCMFA ever told the SEC that Highland was the responsible party for the TerreStar valuation error? A. Not that I'm aware. Q. Okay. Did anybody on behalf of the – on behalf of HCMFA ever tell the retail board that Highland was responsible for the TerreStar valuation error? A. Not that I'm aware. Q. Do you know if HCMFA made an insurance claim with respect to the damages that were incurred in relation to the TerreStar	Page 385
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. No. The two payments I recall were NexPoint and HCRE. Q. Okay. And those two payments – thank you for the correction. And those two payments were made because Mr. Dondero authorized those payments to be made; correct? A. Yes. Q. And they hadn't been made before that because Mr. Dondero had not authorized them to be made? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. Yes, because of these negotiations. Q. Okay. Just a couple of more questions. Did anybody, to the best of your knowledge, on behalf of HCMFA, ever tell the SEC that HCMLP was responsible for the mistakes 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	let me try again. A. These are very long questions, John. I'm not trying to be – Q. That is good. Do you know whether anybody – do you know whether anybody on behalf of HCMS – HCMFA ever told the SEC that Highland was the responsible party for the TerreStar valuation error? A. Not that I'm aware. Q. Okay. Did anybody on behalf of the – on behalf of HCMFA ever tell the retail board that Highland was responsible for the TerreStar valuation error? A. Not that I'm aware. Q. Do you know if HCMFA made an insurance claim with respect to the damages that were incurred in relation to the TerreStar valuation error?	Page 385
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. No. The two payments I recall were NexPoint and HCRE. Q. Okay. And those two payments – thank you for the correction. And those two payments were made because Mr. Dondero authorized those payments to be made; correct? A. Yes. Q. And they hadn't been made before that because Mr. Dondero had not authorized them to be made? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. Yes, because of these negotiations. Q. Okay. Just a couple of more questions. Did anybody, to the best of your knowledge, on behalf of HCMFA, ever tell the SEC that HCMLP was responsible for the mistakes that were made on the TerreStar valuation? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	let me try again. A. These are very long questions, John. I'm not trying to be – Q. That is good. Do you know whether anybody – do you know whether anybody on behalf of HCMS – HCMFA ever told the SEC that Highland was the responsible party for the TerreStar valuation error? A. Not that I'm aware. Q. Okay. Did anybody on behalf of the – on behalf of HCMFA ever tell the retail board that Highland was responsible for the TerreStar valuation error? A. Not that I'm aware. Q. Do you know if HCMFA made an insurance claim with respect to the damages that were incurred in relation to the TerreStar valuation error? A. Yes.	Page 385
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. No. The two payments I recall were NexPoint and HCRE. Q. Okay. And those two payments – thank you for the correction. And those two payments were made because Mr. Dondero authorized those payments to be made; correct? A. Yes. Q. And they hadn't been made before that because Mr. Dondero had not authorized them to be made? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. Yes, because of these negotiations. Q. Okay. Just a couple of more questions. Did anybody, to the best of your knowledge, on behalf of HCMFA, ever tell the SEC that HCMLP was responsible for the mistakes that were made on the TerreStar valuation? A. Did anyone from Highland on HCMFA's 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	let me try again. A. These are very long questions, John. I'm not trying to be – Q. That is good. Do you know whether anybody – do you know whether anybody on behalf of HCMS – HCMFA ever told the SEC that Highland was the responsible party for the TerreStar valuation error? A. Not that I'm aware. Q. Okay. Did anybody on behalf of the – on behalf of HCMFA ever tell the retail board that Highland was responsible for the TerreStar valuation error? A. Not that I'm aware. Q. Do you know if HCMFA made an insurance claim with respect to the damages that were incurred in relation to the TerreStar valuation error? A. Yes. Q. And do you know why they made that	Page 385
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. No. The two payments I recall were NexPoint and HCRE. Q. Okay. And those two payments thank you for the correction. And those two payments were made because Mr. Dondero authorized those payments to be made; correct? A. Yes. Q. And they hadn't been made before that because Mr. Dondero had not authorized them to be made? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. Yes, because of these negotiations. Q. Okay. Just a couple of more questions. Did anybody, to the best of your knowledge, on behalf of HCMFA, ever tell the SEC that HCMLP was responsible for the mistakes that were made on the TerreStar valuation? A. Did anyone from Highland on HCMFA's behalf tell the SEC that Highland that 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 let me try again. A. These are very long questions, John. I'm not trying to be – Q. That is good. Do you know whether anybody – do you know whether anybody on behalf of HCMS – HCMFA ever told the SEC that Highland was the responsible party for the TerreStar valuation error? A. Not that I'm aware. Q. Okay. Did anybody on behalf of the – on behalf of HCMFA ever tell the retail board that Highland was responsible for the TerreStar valuation error? A. Not that I'm aware. Q. Do you know if HCMFA made an insurance claim with respect to the damages that were incurred in relation to the TerreStar valuation error? A. Yes. Q. And do you know why they made that insurance claim? 	Page 385
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. No. The two payments I recall were NexPoint and HCRE. Q. Okay. And those two payments thank you for the correction. And those two payments were made because Mr. Dondero authorized those payments to be made; correct? A. Yes. Q. And they hadn't been made before that because Mr. Dondero had not authorized them to be made? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. Yes, because of these negotiations. Q. Okay. Just a couple of more questions. Did anybody, to the best of your knowledge, on behalf of HCMFA, ever tell the SEC that HCMLP was responsible for the mistakes that were made on the TerreStar valuation? A. Did anyone from Highland on HCMFA's behalf tell the SEC that Highland that Highland was responsible for there I just 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 let me try again. A. These are very long questions, John. I'm not trying to be – Q. That is good. Do you know whether anybody – do you know whether anybody on behalf of HCMS – HCMFA ever told the SEC that Highland was the responsible party for the TerreStar valuation error? A. Not that I'm aware. Q. Okay. Did anybody on behalf of the – on behalf of HCMFA ever tell the retail board that Highland was responsible for the TerreStar valuation error? A. Not that I'm aware. Q. Do you know if HCMFA made an insurance claim with respect to the damages that were incurred in relation to the TerreStar valuation error? A. Yes. Q. And do you know why they made that insurance claim? A. Because there was an error. I 	Page 385
 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 A. No. The two payments I recall were NexPoint and HCRE. Q. Okay. And those two payments thank you for the correction. And those two payments were made because Mr. Dondero authorized those payments to be made; correct? A. Yes. Q. And they hadn't been made before that because Mr. Dondero had not authorized them to be made? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. Yes, because of these negotiations. Q. Okay. Just a couple of more questions. Did anybody, to the best of your knowledge, on behalf of HCMFA, ever tell the SEC that HCMLP was responsible for the mistakes that were made on the TerreStar valuation? A. Did anyone from Highland on HCMFA's behalf tell the SEC that Highland that 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 3 3	 let me try again. A. These are very long questions, John. I'm not trying to be – Q. That is good. Do you know whether anybody – do you know whether anybody on behalf of HCMS – HCMFA ever told the SEC that Highland was the responsible party for the TerreStar valuation error? A. Not that I'm aware. Q. Okay. Did anybody on behalf of the – on behalf of HCMFA ever tell the retail board that Highland was responsible for the TerreStar valuation error? A. Not that I'm aware. Q. Do you know if HCMFA made an insurance claim with respect to the damages that were incurred in relation to the TerreStar valuation error? A. Yes. Q. And do you know why they made that insurance claim? 	Page 385

Page	e 386 Page 387
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21
2 the insurance claim made under HCMFA's policy?	2 A. I mean, generally, yes. You know,
3 A. Yes.	3 we were asked to provide asset values, right,
4 Q. Did HCMFA at any time prior to the	4 and he was having settlement discussions.
5 petition date withdrawn.	5 Again, I don't know who those went to
6 You were asked a couple of questions	6 ultimately. I don't recall.
7 where – where you said that Mr. Dondero told	7 MR. MORRIS: I have no further
8 you that he was ascribing zero value to the	8 questions. Thank you very much for your
9 notes as part of a pot plan because he believed	9 patience. I apologize for the late hour.
10 that the notes were part of executive	10 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: John, you stay
11 compensation.	11 on about your email when
12 Do I have that right?	12 MR. RUKAVINA: Hold on, I'm not
13 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	13 done.
14 form.	14 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Oh, okay. Davor
15 A. Yes.	15 still has questions. Sorry. I was going
16 Q. Okay. Have you ever heard that	16 to say both John and Davor, could you stay
17 before the time that Mr. Dondero told you that	17 on afterwards just to talk about the
18 in the conversation about the pot plan?	18 requests.
19 A. Had I heard that prior to my	19 FURTHER EXAMINATION
20 conversation with Mr. Dondero?	20 BY MR. RUKAVINA:
21 Q. Yes.	21 Q. Mr. Waterhouse, you were just now
22 A. No, I had not heard that prior.	22 testifying about a discussion you had with
23 Q. Okay. And that was in the context	23 Mr. Dondero where he said something like no
24 of his formulation of the settlement proposal;	24 more payments.
25 is that right?	25 Do you remember that testimony?
Page 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	e 388 Page 389 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21
2 A. Yes.	2 talked to Mr. Dondero, had your office or you
3 Q. Okay. And was that late November or	3 done any estimate of what the alleged
4 early December of 2020?	4 overpayments were?
5 A. It was, I would say, first or second	5 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form
6 week of November.	6 of the question.
7 Q. Okay. Do you recall whether –	7 A. Yes, we had – there was a – there
8 whenever you had that discussion, whether	8 was a detailed analysis that was put together
9 Mr. Dondero had already been fired by the	9 by David Klos at the time.
10 debtor?	10 Q. And do you recall just generally
11 A. Yes, I – I believe he was not an	11 what the total amount for both advisors of the
12 employee of the debtor anymore at that time.	12 overpayments was?
13 Q. And when you were discussing this	13 A. It was in excess of \$10 million.
14 with Mr. Dondero and he said no more payments,	14 Q. Was it in excess of \$14 million?
15 you were discussing the two shared services	15 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form
	16 of the question.
17 agreements we testified – you testified about	17 A. I – I remember it was an
agreements we testified – you testified aboutbefore; is that correct?	A. I – I remember it was aneight-figure number. I don't remember
 agreements we testified – you testified about before; is that correct? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 	 A. I – I remember it was an eight-figure number. I don't remember specifically.
 agreements we testified – you testified about before; is that correct? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. 	 A. I – I remember it was an eight-figure number. I don't remember specifically. Q. Okay. And did you convey that
 17 agreements we testified – you testified about 18 before; is that correct? 19 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form 20 of the question. 21 A. That is correct. 	 A. I – I remember it was an eight-figure number. I don't remember specifically. Q. Okay. And did you convey that number to Mr. Dondero when you had that
 agreements we testified – you testified about before; is that correct? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. That is correct. Q. And had your office or you – and we 	 A. I – I remember it was an eight-figure number. I don't remember specifically. Q. Okay. And did you convey that number to Mr. Dondero when you had that conversation?
 agreements we testified – you testified about before; is that correct? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. That is correct. Q. And had your office or you – and we will talk at a future deposition about the 	 A. I – I remember it was an eight-figure number. I don't remember specifically. Q. Okay. And did you convey that number to Mr. Dondero when you had that conversation? A. Yes.
 agreements we testified – you testified about before; is that correct? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. That is correct. Q. And had your office or you – and we will talk at a future deposition about the administrative claim. 	 A. I – I remember it was an eight-figure number. I don't remember specifically. Q. Okay. And did you convey that number to Mr. Dondero when you had that conversation? A. Yes. Q. What was his reaction?
 agreements we testified – you testified about before; is that correct? MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question. A. That is correct. Q. And had your office or you – and we will talk at a future deposition about the 	 A. I – I remember it was an eight-figure number. I don't remember specifically. Q. Okay. And did you convey that number to Mr. Dondero when you had that conversation? A. Yes.

Page 3901WATERHOUSE - 10-19-212Q.Is it fair to say he was upset?1WATERHOUSE - 10-19-213A.Yes.2word "NexPoint" when he was saying don't make3A.Yes.3these payments?4Q.Did Mr. Dondero ever expressly tell4MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form5you to not have NexPoint make the required5of the question, asked and answered.6December 31, 2020, payment?6A.Yeah, we were – we were discussing	Page 391
3A. Yes.3these payments?4Q. Did Mr. Dondero ever expressly tell4MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form5you to not have NexPoint make the required5of the question, asked and answered.	
4Q. Did Mr. Dondero ever expressly tell4MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form5you to not have NexPoint make the required5of the question, asked and answered.	
5 you to not have NexPoint make the required 5 of the question, asked and answered.	
6 December 31, 2020, payment? 6 A. Yeah, we were – we were discussing	
7 A. Yes, I recall him saying don't make 7 advisor obligations. So it was – you know, it	
8 the payment because it was being negotiated, as 8 was just obligations from the advisors.	
9 I discussed with Mr. Morris, this offset 9 And – and he specifically talked	
10concept. So there were obligations due by the10about the NexPoint payment as well.	
11 advisors to Highland, they should be offset11Q.Okay. And it is your testimony that	
12 that – you know, those obligations should be 12 he expressly told you not to make that NexPoint	
13 offset by this – by this overpayment.13 December 31 payment?	
14 Q. And when did he tell you that? 14 MR. MORRIS: Objection, asked and	
15A.I would say - I would say around15answered twice.	
16probably December December-ish.16A.Yes, he he did, during that	
17 Q. Early December, late December? 17 conversation.	
18A.I don't recall with as much18Q.And did you ever follow up with him	
19 specificity as – as – as – as stopping the19 after that about whether NexPoint should or	
20 shared services payments, because we had 20 shouldn't make that payment?	
21 actually made one shared services payment in 21 A. I did not.	
22 November. So that is why I need to remember 22 Q. Did you ever, on or about	
23 that one more clearly. I don't remember where 23 December 31, 2020, remind him and say, hey,	
24 exactly in December that conversation occurred. 24 this payment is due, what shall I – what	
25Q. Did Mr. Dondero expressly use the25should I do?	
Page 392	Page 393
1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 1 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	
2 A. I did not. 2 on your cell phone of when that conversation	
3 Q. So sitting here today, you – you 3 might have taken place?	
4 remember distinctly that Dondero in December of 4 I'm sorry, strike that.	
5 2020 expressly told you not to have NexPoint 5 Was that by cell phone?	
6 make that payment? 6 A. I believe yes, because we I	
7 MR. MORRIS: Objection, asked and 7 was at home. I mean, I don't have a landline.	
8 answered three times. 8 All I have is my cell phone.	
9 A. Yes. 9 Q. Do you know whether your cell phone	
10 Q. Can you say categorically it wasn't 10 still has records of conversations from	
11 just some general discussion where he told you11 December 2020 on it?	
12 not to make payments? 12 A. My call log doesn't go back that	
13MR. MORRIS: Objection, asked and13far.	
14answer four times.14Q.Okay.Thank you.	
15MR. HORN: Four times now. Go for15MR. RUKAVINA: I will pass the	
16 five. 16 witness.	
17A. Yes.17MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Just a couple	
18Q.Did you tell Mr. Seery that?18quick questions.	
19 A. I don't believe I did. I don't 19 FURTHER EXAMINATION	
20 recall. 20 BY MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ:	
21Q.And was this an in-person discussion21Q.With respect to HCRE and HCMS, am I	
22 or telephone or email? Do you remember? 22 correct there was there was no direction not	
23A. This was a phone – a phone23 to pay those loan payments?	
24 conversation. 24 MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	
25Q. Okay. Would you have a record of -25of the question.	

Case 21-03005-sgj Doc 108-3 Filed 02/05/22 Entered 02/05/22 18:48:42 Page 604 of 899

	Page 394			Page 395
1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	. ago 000
2	A. Yes, I don't recall having	2	Thank you, Mr. Waterhouse. We appreciate	
3	conversations about, you know, those those	3	your time. I am logging off the discussion	
4	entities.	4	and I will talk to y'all tomorrow.	
5	Q. And, in fact, what was the tone that	5	MR. MORRIS: Super.	
6	Mr. Dondero had when he talked to you about the	6	VIDEOGRAPHER: If there are no	
7	fact that HCRE and HCMS payments hadn't been	7	further questions, this ends the	
8	made when he found out that they hadn't been	8	deposition – excuse me. This ends the	
9	paid?	9	deposition, and we are going off the record	
10	MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.	10	at 7:30 p.m.	
11	MR. MORRIS: Objection to form.	11	(Deposition concluded at 7:30 p.m.)	
	-	12	(Deposition concluded at 7.50 p.m.)	
12 13	Q. What was the tone he took with you?A. Oh, it was – it was – it was – it	12		
14	was very negative. I mean, I think he cursed	14	FRANK WATERHOUSE	
15	at me and he doesn't usually curse.	15		
16	Q. Okay. And in your mind, is that	16	Subscribed and sworn to before me	
17	consistent with the fact that he was surprised	17	this day of 2021.	
18		18		
19	MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form	19		
20	of the question.	20		
21	A. Yes.	21		
22	Q. Okay. Thank you.	22		
23	MR. MORRIS: I have nothing further.	23		
24	Thank you so much, Mr. Waterhouse.	24		
25	MR. HORN: I have no questions.	25		
	Page 396			Page 397
1	Page 396 WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	1	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	Page 397
1 2			WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 NAME OF CASE: In re: Highland Capital	Page 397
	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	2		Page 397
2	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21	2	NAME OF CASE: In re: Highland Capital	Page 397
2 3	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 CERTIFICATE	2 3 4	NAME OF CASE: In re: Highland Capital DATE OF DEPOSITION: October 19, 2021	Page 397
2 3 4 5	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 C E R T I F I C A T E I, SUSAN S. KLINGER, a certified shorthand	2 3 4	NAME OF CASE: In re: Highland Capital DATE OF DEPOSITION: October 19, 2021 NAME OF WITNESS: Frank Waterhouse	Page 397
2 3 4 5	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 C E R T I F I C A T E I, SUSAN S. KLINGER, a certified shorthand reporter within and for the State of Texas, do	2 3 4 5	NAME OF CASE: In re: Highland Capital DATE OF DEPOSITION: October 19, 2021 NAME OF WITNESS: Frank Waterhouse Reason Codes:	Page 397
2 3 4 5	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 C E R T I F I C A T E I, SUSAN S. KLINGER, a certified shorthand reporter within and for the State of Texas, do hereby certify:	2 3 4 5 6	NAME OF CASE: In re: Highland Capital DATE OF DEPOSITION: October 19, 2021 NAME OF WITNESS: Frank Waterhouse Reason Codes: 1. To clarify the record.	Page 397
2 3 4 5 6 7	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 C E R T I F I C A T E I, SUSAN S. KLINGER, a certified shorthand reporter within and for the State of Texas, do hereby certify: That FRANK WATERHOUSE, the witness whose	2 3 4 5 6 7	 NAME OF CASE: In re: Highland Capital DATE OF DEPOSITION: October 19, 2021 NAME OF WITNESS: Frank Waterhouse Reason Codes: To clarify the record. To conform to the facts. 	Page 397
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 C E R T I F I C A T E I, SUSAN S. KLINGER, a certified shorthand reporter within and for the State of Texas, do hereby certify: That FRANK WATERHOUSE, the witness whose deposition is hereinbefore set forth, was duly	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 NAME OF CASE: In re: Highland Capital DATE OF DEPOSITION: October 19, 2021 NAME OF WITNESS: Frank Waterhouse Reason Codes: To clarify the record. To conform to the facts. To correct transcription errors. 	Page 397
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 C E R T I F I C A T E I, SUSAN S. KLINGER, a certified shorthand reporter within and for the State of Texas, do hereby certify: That FRANK WATERHOUSE, the witness whose deposition is hereinbefore set forth, was duly sworn by me and that such deposition is a true	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	NAME OF CASE: In re: Highland Capital DATE OF DEPOSITION: October 19, 2021 NAME OF WITNESS: Frank Waterhouse Reason Codes: 1. To clarify the record. 2. To conform to the facts. 3. To correct transcription errors. PageLineReason	Page 397
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 C E R T I F I C A T E I, SUSAN S. KLINGER, a certified shorthand reporter within and for the State of Texas, do hereby certify: That FRANK WATERHOUSE, the witness whose deposition is hereinbefore set forth, was duly sworn by me and that such deposition is a true record of the testimony given by such witness.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	NAME OF CASE: In re: Highland Capital DATE OF DEPOSITION: October 19, 2021 NAME OF WITNESS: Frank Waterhouse Reason Codes: 1. To clarify the record. 2. To conform to the facts. 3. To correct transcription errors. PageLineReason Fromto	Page 397
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 C E R T I F I C A T E I, SUSAN S. KLINGER, a certified shorthand reporter within and for the State of Texas, do hereby certify: That FRANK WATERHOUSE, the witness whose deposition is hereinbefore set forth, was duly sworn by me and that such deposition is a true record of the testimony given by such witness. I further certify that I am not related to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	NAME OF CASE: In re: Highland Capital DATE OF DEPOSITION: October 19, 2021 NAME OF WITNESS: Frank Waterhouse Reason Codes: 1. To clarify the record. 2. To conform to the facts. 3. To correct transcription errors. PageLineReason Fromto PageLineReason	Page 397
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 C E R T I F I C A T E I, SUSAN S. KLINGER, a certified shorthand reporter within and for the State of Texas, do hereby certify: That FRANK WATERHOUSE, the witness whose deposition is hereinbefore set forth, was duly sworn by me and that such deposition is a true record of the testimony given by such witness. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	NAME OF CASE: In re: Highland Capital DATE OF DEPOSITION: October 19, 2021 NAME OF WITNESS: Frank Waterhouse Reason Codes: 1. To clarify the record. 2. To conform to the facts. 3. To correct transcription errors. PageLineReason Fromto Fromto Fromto	Page 397
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 C E R T I F I C A T E I, SUSAN S. KLINGER, a certified shorthand reporter within and for the State of Texas, do hereby certify: That FRANK WATERHOUSE, the witness whose deposition is hereinbefore set forth, was duly sworn by me and that such deposition is a true record of the testimony given by such witness. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage; and that I am in no way interested in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	NAME OF CASE: In re: Highland Capital DATE OF DEPOSITION: October 19, 2021 NAME OF WITNESS: Frank Waterhouse Reason Codes: 1. To clarify the record. 2. To conform to the facts. 3. To correct transcription errors. PageLineReason Fromto PageLineReason Fromto PageLineReason	Page 397
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 C E R T I F I C A T E I, SUSAN S. KLINGER, a certified shorthand reporter within and for the State of Texas, do hereby certify: That FRANK WATERHOUSE, the witness whose deposition is hereinbefore set forth, was duly sworn by me and that such deposition is a true record of the testimony given by such witness. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage; and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	NAME OF CASE: In re: Highland Capital DATE OF DEPOSITION: October 19, 2021 NAME OF WITNESS: Frank Waterhouse Reason Codes: 1. To clarify the record. 2. To conform to the facts. 3. To correct transcription errors. PageLineReason Fromto PageLineReason Fromto PageLineReason Fromto Fromto Fromto	Page 397
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 C E R T I F I C A T E I, SUSAN S. KLINGER, a certified shorthand reporter within and for the State of Texas, do hereby certify: That FRANK WATERHOUSE, the witness whose deposition is hereinbefore set forth, was duly sworn by me and that such deposition is a true record of the testimony given by such witness. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage; and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	NAME OF CASE: In re: Highland Capital DATE OF DEPOSITION: October 19, 2021 NAME OF WITNESS: Frank Waterhouse Reason Codes: 1. To clarify the record. 2. To conform to the facts. 3. To correct transcription errors. PageLineReason Fromto PageLineReason Fromto PageLineReason Fromto PageLineReason Fromto PageLineReason Fromto	Page 397
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 C E R T I F I C A T E I, SUSAN S. KLINGER, a certified shorthand reporter within and for the State of Texas, do hereby certify: That FRANK WATERHOUSE, the witness whose deposition is hereinbefore set forth, was duly sworn by me and that such deposition is a true record of the testimony given by such witness. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage; and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	NAME OF CASE: In re: Highland Capital DATE OF DEPOSITION: October 19, 2021 NAME OF WITNESS: Frank Waterhouse Reason Codes: 1. To clarify the record. 2. To conform to the facts. 3. To correct transcription errors. PageLineReason Fromto PageLineReason Fromto PageLineReason Fromto PageLineReason Fromto PageLineReason Fromto PageLineReason Fromto	Page 397
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 C E R T I F I C A T E I, SUSAN S. KLINGER, a certified shorthand reporter within and for the State of Texas, do hereby certify: That FRANK WATERHOUSE, the witness whose deposition is hereinbefore set forth, was duly sworn by me and that such deposition is a true record of the testimony given by such witness. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage; and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	NAME OF CASE: In re: Highland Capital DATE OF DEPOSITION: October 19, 2021 NAME OF WITNESS: Frank Waterhouse Reason Codes: 1. 1. To clarify the record. 2. To conform to the facts. 3. To correct transcription errors. PageLineReason Fromto	Page 397
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 CERTIFICATE I, SUSAN S. KLINGER, a certified shorthand reporter within and for the State of Texas, do hereby certify: That FRANK WATERHOUSE, the witness whose deposition is hereinbefore set forth, was duly sworn by me and that such deposition is a true record of the testimony given by such witness. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage; and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 19th of October, 2021.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	NAME OF CASE: In re: Highland Capital DATE OF DEPOSITION: October 19, 2021 NAME OF WITNESS: Frank Waterhouse Reason Codes: 1. To clarify the record. 2. To conform to the facts. 3. To correct transcription errors. PageLineReason Fromto PageLineReason Fromto PageLineReason Fromto PageLineReason Fromto PageLineReason Fromto PageLineReason Fromto PageLineReason Fromto PageLineReason Fromto Fromto Fromto Fromto Fromto Fromto Fromto Fromto	Page 397
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 CERTIFICATE I, SUSAN S. KLINGER, a certified shorthand reporter within and for the State of Texas, do hereby certify: That FRANK WATERHOUSE, the witness whose deposition is hereinbefore set forth, was duly sworn by me and that such deposition is a true record of the testimony given by such witness. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage; and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 19th of October, 2021.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	NAME OF CASE: In re: Highland Capital DATE OF DEPOSITION: October 19, 2021 NAME OF WITNESS: Frank Waterhouse Reason Codes: 1. To clarify the record. 2. To conform to the facts. 3. To correct transcription errors. PageLineReason Fromto PageLineReason From	Page 397
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 CERTIFICATE I, SUSAN S. KLINGER, a certified shorthand reporter within and for the State of Texas, do hereby certify: That FRANK WATERHOUSE, the witness whose deposition is hereinbefore set forth, was duly sworn by me and that such deposition is a true record of the testimony given by such witness. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage; and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 19th of October, 2021.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	NAME OF CASE: In re: Highland Capital DATE OF DEPOSITION: October 19, 2021 NAME OF WITNESS: Frank Waterhouse Reason Codes: 1. To clarify the record. 2. To conform to the facts. 3. To correct transcription errors. PageLineReason Fromto PageLineReason Fromto PageLineReason Fromto PageLineReason Fromto PageLineReason Fromto PageLineReason Fromto PageLineReason Fromto PageLineReason Fromto PageLineReason Fromto PageLineReason Fromto PageLineReason Fromto	Page 397
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 CERTIFICATE I, SUSAN S. KLINGER, a certified shorthand reporter within and for the State of Texas, do hereby certify: That FRANK WATERHOUSE, the witness whose deposition is hereinbefore set forth, was duly sworn by me and that such deposition is a true record of the testimony given by such witness. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage; and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 19th of October, 2021.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	NAME OF CASE: In re: Highland Capital DATE OF DEPOSITION: October 19, 2021 NAME OF WITNESS: Frank Waterhouse Reason Codes: 1. To clarify the record. 2. To conform to the facts. 3. 3. To correct transcription errors. PageLineReason	Page 397
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 CERTIFICATE I, SUSAN S. KLINGER, a certified shorthand reporter within and for the State of Texas, do hereby certify: That FRANK WATERHOUSE, the witness whose deposition is hereinbefore set forth, was duly sworn by me and that such deposition is a true record of the testimony given by such witness. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage; and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 19th of October, 2021.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	NAME OF CASE: In re: Highland Capital DATE OF DEPOSITION: October 19, 2021 NAME OF WITNESS: Frank Waterhouse Reason Codes: 1. To clarify the record. 2. To conform to the facts. 3. 3. To correct transcription errors. PageLineReason	Page 397
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	WATERHOUSE - 10-19-21 CERTIFICATE I, SUSAN S. KLINGER, a certified shorthand reporter within and for the State of Texas, do hereby certify: That FRANK WATERHOUSE, the witness whose deposition is hereinbefore set forth, was duly sworn by me and that such deposition is a true record of the testimony given by such witness. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage; and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 19th of October, 2021.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	NAME OF CASE: In re: Highland Capital DATE OF DEPOSITION: October 19, 2021 NAME OF WITNESS: Frank Waterhouse Reason Codes: 1 To clarify the record. 2. To conform to the facts. 3 3. To correct transcription errors. PageLineReason	Page 397

Index: \$1,406,000..2

	287:15 288:6,20	129:1 130:1 131:1	297:1 298:1 299:1	12/31 6:8
\$	303:6,18,24 304:11,	132:1 133:1 134:1	300:1 301:1 302:1	12/31/18 117:12
	20 305:23 306:2 307:7 308:20 310:17	135:1 136:1 137:1	303:1 304:1 305:1 306:1 307:1 308:1	122:12 135:21
\$1,406,000 343:10	317:6 318:14	138:1 139:1 140:1 141:1 142:1 143:1	309:1 310:1 311:1	261:22
\$1,406,112 343:13		144:1 145:1 146:1	312:1 313:1 314:1	12/31/19 219:16
51.04 109:15	\$7.8 278:6,7	147:1 148:1 149:1	315:1 316:1 317:1	
-	\$8 277:16	150:1 151:1 152:1	318:1 319:1 320:1	12/31/2018 93:15
\$1.4 344:5 345:11 350:7 351:22		153:1 154:1 155:1	321:1 322:1 323:1	12/31/2019 260:13
	1	156:1 157:1 158:1	324:1 325:1 326:1	12/31/2020 361:15
51.5 223:17		159:1 160:1 161:1 162:1 163:1 164:1	327:1 328:1 329:1 330:1 331:1 332:1	12th 341:18 344:3
51.7 92:22	1 8:9 35:17 139:22	165:1 166:1 167:1	333:1 334:1 335:1	350:5,9,13,24 351:2
510 389:13	140:12,13 215:25	168:1 169:1 170:1	336:1 337:1 338:1	
-	216:3,7,12 238:8	171:1 172:1 173:1	339:1 340:1 341:1	135 6:2
510.5 308:16	260:20,23 261:15	174:1 175:1 176:1	342:1 343:1 344:1	14-ish 286:21
512.7 311:2 317:10	328:10,11,12 343:19	177:1 178:1 179:1	345:1 346:1 347:1	142 5:15
513 310:23	1/12 6:9	180:1 181:1 182:1 183:1 184:1 185:1	348:1 349:1 350:1	
	10 5:6 197:4,7,9,15	186:1 187:1 188:1	351:1 352:1 353:1 354:1 355:1 356:1	15 73:3 200:4 202:1
514 389:14	198:2 266:20 267:3	189:1 190:1 191:1	357:1 358:1 359:1	23 203:3 210:6 213:18 221:23
5150 239:10	302:7	192:1 193:1 194:1	360:1 361:1 362:1	
173,398,000 107:7	10-19-21 3:1 4:1 5:1	195:1 196:1 197:1	363:1 364:1 365:1	15(c) 5:21 160:11
	6:1 7:1 8:1 9:1 10:1	198:1 199:1 200:1	366:1 367:1 368:1	169:21,23 170:4,8,1
52.4 140:14 141:9,18	11:1 12:1 13:1 14:1	201:1 202:1 203:1	369:1 370:1 371:1	171:6 175:3,9 176:2 179:20 184:5 195:9
270:20 271:7,16	15:1 16:1 17:1 18:1	204:1 205:1 206:1	372:1 373:1 374:1	210:12,21
272:8 283:18 315:13	19:1 20:1 21:1 22:1	207:1 208:1 209:1 210:1 211:1 212:1	375:1 376:1 377:1 378:1 379:1 380:1	
23 220:24	23:1 24:1 25:1 26:1	213:1 214:1 215:1	381:1 382:1 383:1	150 239:24
524 178:19	27:1 28:1 29:1 30:1	216:1 217:1 218:1	384:1 385:1 386:1	150,331,222 243:23
	31:1 32:1 33:1 34:1 35:1 36:1 37:1 38:1	219:1 220:1 221:1	387:1 388:1 389:1	151 5:20
24.5 309:25	39:1 40:1 41:1 42:1	222:1 223:1 224:1	390:1 391:1 392:1	
30 161:25 220:13,20	43:1 44:1 45:1 46:1	225:1 226:1 227:1	393:1 394:1 395:1	15th 203:15
223:7 224:2 334:7,19	47:1 48:1 49:1 50:1	228:1 229:1 230:1	10.5 308:22	16 224:5
336:13,23 337:25	51:1 52:1 53:1 54:1	231:1 232:1 233:1 234:1 235:1 236:1	100 108:23 298:15	17 89:16 109:19
339:4 340:10 341:23	55:1 56:1 57:1 58:1	237:1 238:1 239:1		110:8 137:24
30.7 216:17	59:1 60:1 61:1 62:1	240:1 241:1 242:1	10010 4:21	
325,000 331:14	63:1 64:1 65:1 66:1 67:1 68:1 69:1 70:1	243:1 244:1 245:1	10017 3:10	170 5:21
332:16,24	71:1 72:1 73:1 74:1	246:1 247:1 248:1		173 110:14
400 239:24	75:1 76:1 77:1 78:1	249:1 250:1 251:1	10:08 36:15,16	18 89:16
	79:1 80:1 81:1 82:1	252:1 253:1 254:1	10:11 36:16,18	
410 238:9 239:12	83:1 84:1 85:1 86:1	255:1 256:1 257:1 258:1 259:1 260:1	11/25 6:7	1900 3:15
5 142:19 270:20	87:1 88:1 89:1 90:1	261:1 262:1 263:1	11/30 332:24	19th 8:19 21:7
271:6 272:7 283:18	91:1 92:1 93:1 94:1	264:1 265:1 266:1		1:04 150:24,25
315:13	95:1 96:1 97:1 98:1 99:1 100:1 101:1	267:1 268:1 269:1	11:02 72:25 73:2,5,6	1:49 150:25 151:3
5.3 119:23 310:17	102:1 103:1 104:1	270:1 271:1 272:1	11:15 73:3 342:14	
	105:1 106:1 107:1	273:1 274:1 275:1	11:20 73:6,8	1c 238:12
7 217:16,19 221:7 277:11,20	108:1 109:1 110:1	276:1 277:1 278:1		1st 14:8
	111:1 112:1 113:1	279:1 280:1 281:1 282:1 283:1 284:1	11:40 344:14	
57.2 302:22	114:1 115:1 116:1	285:1 286:1 287:1	11th 152:18,25	2
7.4 131:13 132:8	117:1 118:1 119:1	288:1 289:1 290:1		<u>ــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ</u>
138:12 143:12 144:6,	120:1 121:1 122:1 123:1 124:1 125:1	291:1 292:1 293:1	12/1 332:10	2 5:15 35:18 140:18
17,23 272:16 273:6	123:1 124:1 125:1	294:1 295:1 296:1	12/19 5:25	142:15,16,22 171:1

Index: 2.4..780

				Index: 2.478
172:8 174:13 179:4	2020 59:7,9 68:15	236 5:23	34 5:18 104:23,24	
195:11,14 215:22,23 216:3,4,5,8,25	70:17 160:7,14,22 165:14 169:17,20	241 110:23	341 6:9	5
338:12	171:19 173:24 175:8 176:10 179:24 183:7,	25 260:12 328:16 329:14	35 5:20 15:20,22 100:10 151:20,21	5 268:22 271:15 282:14
2.4 268:23 282:152/18 5:22	14 186:12,14 189:13 195:20 196:3,17	25-year 347:7	352 5:8	5.3 121:3,6 124:17
20 15:25 271:14	200:24 204:20	251 129:16,22	35D 101:10,19	308:21 311:4
2006 18:15	207:16 221:11,16,21 258:20 265:6,11	256 5:7	36 5:21 102:18	500 3:23
2011 19:2,4,22	307:24 314:21	258 5:25	170:18,19 177:18 313:9 317:12	51 4:20
2012 19:2,4 95:25	316:16 323:10 326:4, 12,14 327:5,9 328:16	25th 329:19	377 5:9	5:52 172:4 174:6
2013 52:7	330:15 334:23 335:7	297 6:10	387 5:10	5:53 325:7,8
2014 20:2	336:11,20 337:12 338:15,24 341:9	2nd 132:20 141:5	39 5:22 226:23,24	5:59 325:8,10
2016 23:19 25:13	343:2 346:10 359:3 360:17,19 361:6,24	213:9 315:18	236:17	6
87:8,20,24 89:15,16	364:4 366:9,10	3	393 5:11	
2017 126:12 161:23 165:22 216:16	367:15,20 377:21 378:10,11 379:16,21		3:26 224:20,23,24	6 183:7 186:14 217:16,19 314:20
220:14 222:10 223:7	382:24 388:4 390:6	3 220:2	3:39 224:24 225:2	316:16 341:13
224:2,12	391:23 392:5 393:11	30 7:19 16:3 186:16 356:9	3:40 224:21	6/3/19 5:16
2018 105:19 109:14, 20 119:21 165:18	2021 8:19 14:8 19:5 37:2,10,19 38:2	302 6:12	3rd 92:2 98:17 99:25 103:2 132:20,25	6/30 176:8,16
186:25 202:19 203:9	70:21 71:3,5,12 121:13,22 122:19,24	307 6:11	135:2 137:6 142:18	60-day 380:16
228:3,23 242:18,23 243:12 244:14,18	163:2,7,8 165:5,9	309 6:13	200:7 213:9 242:23 262:17 263:13 265:3	650 4:13
262:5,15 279:12	166:2 173:18 176:24 187:3 198:21 199:7,	30th 176:10,24	315:19	6:27 349:6,7
2019 6:4 21:7 98:17 99:25 103:2 125:18	12,20 200:14 201:2	331:12 332:3 380:18	4	6:30 349:7,9
126:2 131:10 132:6,	203:4,21 210:2,23 212:19 219:11,13,17	31 122:19 197:20,24 198:7,9 199:7,12		6th 172:4 174:6 186:11 189:13
21 133:12 134:5,17, 23 137:6 138:12,20	226:4 301:24 314:8 315:21 316:7,19	200:24 201:2 203:4 301:18,24 307:24	40 5:23 236:22,23 237:19	
139:18 140:14 141:5	326:9 340:21 341:6	326:4 338:15,24	406 343:19	7
142:18 152:18,25 165:15 180:24 181:4,	350:6,9 361:25 362:3,7 364:8 365:2	341:9 346:9,21 390:6 391:13,23	41 5:25 258:16,18	7 277:15,16 297:20
9,16,23 182:8,16 200:4,7 201:22	367:15 383:23	3102 4:7	419 103:11	305:5
202:13,23 203:3,15	395:17 20th 160:5	31st 105:18 109:14	45 6:2 135:12,14,15	7.4 132:2 311:4
204:10 210:7 213:9, 19 218:21 219:7,12,	2011 180.5	119:21 121:13	45th 8:23	7.8 277:12
24 220:19,22 221:6	21-03000-SGI 8:15	122:23 199:20 200:14 202:19 203:9,	46 6:4 218:16,17	70130 4:14
222:4,12 223:4,14 242:23 258:21	21-3004 197:18	21 212:19 216:16 218:21 219:7 221:15,	4:30 265:25	71 238:20
262:17 263:13,14,20, 24 265:3 269:5,24	215:4	21 242:18 258:20	4:31 266:5,6	71A 6:13
270:12,18 271:3,14	215 106:2	263:14 326:8,12,14 334:15	4:40 266:2	75 18:2
272:4,15 273:4 279:12 280:10,20	218 6:4	328 6:7	4:43 266:6,8	75201 3:16
282:23 287:4 293:19	226 5:22	33 5:16 91:20,21	4th 135:2	75201-6659 3:24 75219 4:8
299:4 301:2,8,16,18 313:3 314:13,23	228 8:22	33416 100:10		780 3:9
315:19 316:14 321:6	23 178:19	338 6:8		100 3.9

7.00 070 1115	1			
7:02 372:14,15	accept 16:17 152:23	6:12 201:13,25	125:3 126:10,13,16	45:13 46:3,9 47:18
7:03 372:15,17	188:19	213:6,17 314:21	127:10 152:14	48:7,13 54:2,11,13,
	accepted 241:14	acting 23:23 24:2,3,8	160:10 167:13	20,21,25 55:6,11,18
7:30 395:10,11	277:19	28:5,8,12,18,20 29:5,	168:19 171:14 172:18 175:7,13	56:2,16,23 61:20 62:2 63:11 65:4 66:8
	accepting 153:16	9,11 30:14,16,24	172:18 173:7,13	82:16,20 91:3 100:22
8		31:2,3,5 155:6,9,14	183:7,18 184:15,19,	101:16 106:22 107:3
	accepts 8:6	156:4,12 158:3,9,11	22 189:23 190:9,12,	108:14,18 109:8,18
8/31 6:11	access 294:24	action 374:20 383:17	19 191:2 192:25	110:7 111:2,6,9,13,
850 100:25 101:2,4,9	359:19,21 360:9,14		193:8,19 194:3,21	23 112:18,24 113:19
000 100.20 101.2,4,9	372:21 373:13	actions 9:7 347:25	195:10 196:8,22	115:4 117:24 118:7
	accordonce 044:40	actively 337:11,12	205:7,12,23 206:14	119:4 120:20 121:4
9	accordance 241:13, 25 242:4 254:10	346:19,25	208:4,7 210:5 213:22	176:9 233:9,23
	256:21	actual 115:21 311:25	215:14 235:9 267:24	234:10,16,21 235:4,
9 307:18,19,20		312:12	268:16 279:19 280:2	22 236:8 238:25
90 18:4	account 287:17		286:18 308:4 325:15,	241:7 242:8 243:8
	328:6,9 359:14	add 183:23	21,22 328:7,25 329:3	259:19 260:11 262:6
91 5:16	360:6,14,22 367:22	added 183:24	332:14 333:23	309:19 312:2,13
94 5:18	371:9		335:15 336:7 337:14 353:20 379:19,24	377:13 379:8 382:7
00 00.44	accountant 21:17	addition 333:7	380:2,10,22 381:2,6,	affirmative 96:15
99 86:14	25:20 116:12 370:14	additional 171:6	11,16 389:11 390:11	afield 16:22 376:3
9:32 8:20	accountants 147:22	adequately 335:24	391:8	
9th 70:16				afternoon 344:17
U II 70.10	accounting 26:2,4,	adjustment 242:7	advisors' 168:9	aggregate 131:13
.	7,17 28:16 38:24	263:4	179:8,25 180:9 184:3 194:17 218:19 328:9	132:7 138:11 217:15
Α	87:12,14,17 112:10,	adjustments 36:4	194.17 210.19 320.9	19
	11 148:23 149:2,14	240:21 241:18 243:5	advisory 32:21	agree 77:5 306:13,2
a.m. 8:20 36:15,16,18	150:14,19 188:11	244:19 245:20	33:24	307:5,10
73:5,6,8 342:14	200:17 230:16,18,21 240:19 241:14		affect 371:17	507.5,10
344:14	257:22,24,25 258:12,	administrative 321:17 388:24		agreed 16:17 75:20
A1 6:7 328:13	13 280:6 290:13		affected 265:12	121:16,20 198:20
A10 6:10 200:7 0	326:18,21 337:2,7	admissible 7:17	277:20 370:23	199:5,11,19 200:13
A10 6:12 302:7,8	351:2 354:7,11,22	admitted 276:24	affidavit 164:10	209:25 210:6 314:11
A11 6:13 309:4,5,6	358:3 371:5 372:3		affiliate 41:18 42:2,4	374:25 375:7
A2 6:8 338:13	378:15	advance 57:21 60:21	44:12,16 47:25 48:9,	agreement 17:9
	accounts 244:9	61:5,10 62:21 63:5	22 49:5,6,10 51:17	59:24 65:9,16,23
A6 6:9 341:14	327:10,12,17,21	267:8 355:25	54:17 57:19 58:3	66:2,6 67:3,7,8,12,
A7 6:10 297:20,23	329:17 330:10,12,20	adversary 197:18	60:14,21 61:4 62:9,	16,22 68:4,9,12,17,
	331:4 333:7 359:19,	advice 172:7 205:7	20 63:3,15,22 64:6,	24 69:15,19,22 70:2
A9 6:11 307:20,21	22	257:2	10,16,24 65:18 78:18	7,11,16,20 71:18,24
abilities 265:12			93:21 94:6 100:25	72:4,7,10,13 75:4,5,
ability 20:17 42:6	accuracy 113:17	advisor 125:8,15	105:8 107:2,13,21	9,14,16,23,24 76:3,6 7,10,14 77:6 78:15,
124:3 204:15 272:6	114:17 115:2	192:25 193:15	108:3,7 109:10 131:2	21,25 79:8,10,17,18
327:16 372:5 382:13	accurate 88:7,11,17	205:10 273:23 281:6	171:14 220:6 225:6,	24 80:5,6,11,16,20
	89:13,23 90:2,6	282:5 311:25 312:5,6	14,21 239:4 254:2	81:6 82:9,17,22 83:7
absolute 109:2	110:16 112:19 133:5	328:7 331:22,23	259:24 262:11 263:5	10,14,17,20,23
accelerate 340:10,	176:17 204:4 238:4	391:7	307:24 309:16,24	99:15,24 100:2,6
14	256:10 257:4 284:24	advisor's 337:17	310:9 312:2,11,14,25	102:3,6 104:13,17,19
	286:6 330:20,24	advisors 3:17,18	370:10	122:5 123:22 124:4
accelerated 339:4	accurately 240:9,14	9:21,22 22:22 27:10	affiliated 134:6	134:12 186:24 187:2
347:7		29:10,12 32:18,20,25	285:17	16 189:2 200:13
acceleration 339:6,	accusations 157:18	33:24 39:2 42:21,22	affiliates 41:2,5,8,10,	210:22 212:17 278:23 279:18,20,24
10,15 340:13,17,24				

25 31 328.313 332.8 amounts 106.21.25 Apple 2812.11 Apple 2812.12 associates 3772.3 37 308.13 332.8 308.14 1092.71.8 308.14 1092.71.8 308.14 1092.71.8 308.14 1092.71.8 308.14 1092.71.8 associates 377.23 37 308.16 308.22 9742 106.81 112.8,81.2 201.12 18.24 113.16 316.14 1092.71.8 308.14 associates 377.23 associates 377.24 374.85 associates 377.23 associates 377.23 associates 377.24 374.86 associates 377.24 374.11 associates 377.24 374.11 associates 377.23 associates associates 377.23 associates 377.24 374.11 377.23 <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>Index: a</th> <th>greementsaudited</th>				Index: a	greementsaudited
351-4 365-22 379-20 1106 1112-8,4112 application 159-10 204-10 210-6 213-16 assume 2215 agreements 58:16 111-183-1183 application 158:162-23-13 assume 2215 333:24 297:13 377-24 834-168.9 120:201 214,11 131:13 31:14 31:14 31:13 31:14 31:14 31:13 31:14 31:15 32:14 32:14 32:14 32:11 32:14 32:14 32:14 32:14 32:14 32:14 32:14 32:14 32:14 32:14 32:14 32:14 32:14 32:14 33:14 33:14 33:14 33:14 33:14 33:14 33:14 33:1			Apple 281:21	April 152:18,25 200:4	
agreements 58:16 114:19 1153 119:3 156:6 59:17 60:12 areas 28:5 133:24 297:13 77:24 834 188:9 120:20 1214;11 120:20 1214;14 apply 213:7 314:12 areas 28:5 assumel 285:4,11 325:24 353:22 168:16 199:12 20:07 apply 213:7 314:12 arise 28:3 assumel 285:4,11 310:341:73:84:16 116:16 199:12 20:07 apply 213:7 314:12 arise 28:3 assure 105:4 agrees 243:25 204:11,16:25 205:12 24:14,19,25 26:6 arribit 157:14 assure 257:3 appointed 18:21,22 29:11 32:29,16 39:11 assure 105:4 32:10 38:42 28:33:11:43:35:1 ascure 10:5:4 astue 30:12 32:10 38:42 28:11:12 22:3;11 appointment 15:2:4 astue 30:12 attached 307:23 378:18 analysis 240:0:4 appointment 15:2:4 attached 307:23 attached 307:23 38:12 38:6:1 andor 42:8,16:43:3 approching 310:23 asset 5:23:17:17:14 asset 5:23:17:17:14 asset 5:23:17:17:14 asset 5:23:17:17:14 asset 5:23:17:17:12 asset 5:23:17:17:12 asset 5:23:17:17:12 asset 5:23:17:17:12 asset 5:23:17:11:13:		110:6 111:2,5,8,12,		204:10 210:6 213:18	association 7:5 8:25
188:10 2794.6 102/00 1219,11 116/25 1086 2294:13 Argumentative assumed 285:4,11 325/24 353:20 125 126 61:18 316:14 arise 286:3 arise 286:3 assumed 285:4,11 13,19 381:17 38:16 168:3 171:12 174:15, 22 arise 286:3 assumed 285:4,11 287:6 agrees 243:25 204:11.16:25 205:12 24:14.19:25 25:8 arithmetic 260:10 assure 105:4 311:11 212:01:22:3 248:12 228:83:31:14 335:12 153:41:6 ASC 100:25 101:2.4, attach 30:22 321:2 295:12 29:11 288:3 31:14 335:12 153:41:6 ascribing 386:8 attaching 171:5 318:18 analyses 241:11 220:14 appointment 152:24 ass 97:12 171:10 attaching 171:5 318:13 analyses 241:11 227:14 appointment 18:22:4 ass 97:12 171:10 attaching 171:5 318:14 39:76 77.37.18;9 apporablig 10:23 approching 310:23 ass 97:12 171:10 attaching 172:5 318:12 17:23 andlor 42:8;16:43.8 approval 57:13 62:5, asset 10:22 10:8;6, attaching 172:12 attaching 172:12 318:12 17:23 andlor 42:8;16:43.8 approval 57:13 62:5, asset 10:22 10:8;6, att	•	114:19 115:3 119:3	56:6 59:17 60:12		I I
13.19.381:17.388:16. 12 177 arise 288:3 arise 288:3 <t< td=""><td>186:10 279:4,6 325:24 353:22</td><td>125:8 126:6 161:8</td><td>316:14</td><td>Argumentative</td><td></td></t<>	186:10 279:4,6 325:24 353:22	125:8 126:6 161:8	316:14	Argumentative	
1/ 22 203:713.19 appointed 18:21.24 arithmetic 260:10 assure 105:4 agrees 243:25 204:11.16:25 205:12 24:14.19.25 205:8 arithmetic 260:10 assure 227:3 166:20 164:10 227:37.12 228:3 228:11 323:9,16 assure 125:24 assure 125:23 212:25 248:12 282:8 238:31:4 328:31:4 335:21 355:10 ascribing 386:8 attach 30:22 attach 30:23 352:10 364:23 analyses 241:11 appointents 227:14 118:3 129:16 135:10 attached 307:23 Akard 3:23 and/or 42:8,16 43:3, approxits 183:9 arroching 310:23 asser 107:25 108:6,1 attempting 374:17 allegations 209:17 and/or 42:8,16 43:3, approxits 13:41:7 asset 107:25 108:6,1 attempting 374:17 23 annual 26:10 84:17, 14:24:17:0:24 atterrey 10:34:73 atterrey 10:34:11 12:2 273:17 28:18, answering 24:67:8 approve 56:21:57:2, 36:41:5 367:9 368:4 atterrey 10:34:11 33:13:13:13:13:13:13:13:13:13:13:13:13:1	13,19 381:17 388:16,			arise 288:3	-
ahead 241:11 21:10.18 29:11.15 270.4 armchair 153:11 astule 320:12 156:20 164:10 234:23 235:3 275:13 239:016 323:9,16 333:11 attach 307:23 attach 307:23 attach 307:23 attach 307:23 323:9,16 33:11 attach 307:23 attach 307:23 attach 307:23 323:9,16 33:11 attach 307:23 attach 307:33 attach 307:33 attach 307:24		22 203:7,13,19		arithmetic 260:10	
anead 68:22 22:6 217:19.21 22:23:11 323:9,16 ASC 100:25 101:24 attach 323:9,16 attach attach 333:13:12 attach	-			armchair 153:11	assured 257:3
212.25 248:12 295:12 329:11 352:10 364:23 378:18 234:32 235:370:13 286:3 311:4 335:12 378:18 appointment 152:24 1534.16 9 attach 320:22 321:2 ascribing 386:8 Aigen 4:5 9:24 214, 251:23 analyse 241:11 241:36 261:11 82:3 265:7,8,17,18,19 389:8 appointment 389:8 Sait 2:49:214 18:3 129:16 135:10 389:8 attached 307:23 attached 307:23 Akard 3:23 analyse 24:11 241:36 261:11 82:23 265:7,8,17,18,19 389:8 appointment 389:8 appoint 18:39 approxaling 310:23 approval 57:13 62:5, 10 63:12,17,22 ask 97:12 11:10 38set 107:25 108:6, 24 109:14 118:23 attached 307:23 attached 307:23 Allocation 35:19,21 25 annual 26:10 84:17, 23 35:2 376:17 281:8, 355:9 336:12 annual 26:10 84:17, 23 35:2 328:12 annual 26:10 84:17, 23 35:2 328:12 answering 248:7.8 335:1 5 377:17,24 asset 107:25 108:6, 36:15 377:17,24 attorney 3:4,11,17 allowed 62:8 81:23, 35:9 336:12 answering 248:7.8 38:12 answering 248:7.8 320 61:5 9 231:21 assets 5:23 107:14 30:24 387:3 attribuable 132:3 276:17 221:8,23 28:10,7:14 amortizing 334:13 amont 49:10,11 answering 248:7.8 38:12 approximate 17:22 23:15 27:24 30:19 assist 23 30:7:14 30:5 assist 29:23 32:14 attribuable 132:3 276:17 33:41,46:6 amortizing 334:13 amortizing 334:13 appointmet 17:22 33:15 27:7:43 30:19 assist 29:23 32:14 attribuable 132:3 271:15 22:20 23:32:19 attri12:01 12:7:24 30:5 attribuable		217:19,21 222:3,11		ASC 100:25 101:2,4,	astute 320:12
295:12 329:11 352:10 364:23 378:18 288:3 311:4 335:12 analyses 241:11 153:4,16 appointments 227:14 ascribing 366:8 appointments 227:14 attached 307:23 attached 307:23 Algen 4:5 9:24 214:4 251:23 analyses 241:11 appointments 241:36 261:11 262:3 265:7.8,17,18,19 appoints 183:9 appreciated 74:16 Asia 4:24 92:14 183: 129:16 135:10 177:14 218:14 attached 307:23 attached 307:25 allegations 208:17 allegat 389:3 and/or 42:8,16 43:3, 14 59:14 approval 57:13 62:5, 10 63:12,17,23 asset 107:25 108:6, 142:17,723 attermpt 330:23 asset 107:25 108:6, 172:12 allowed 62:8 81:23, 25 annual 26:10 84:17, 125:2 273:17 281:8, 25 answering 248:7,8 262:23 approve 56:21 57:2, 320:6 15:9 231:21 asset 5:23 107:14 382:11 attributable 132:3 asset 5:23 107:14 allowed 62:8 81:23, 37:17 16; 23 answers 12:2 16:25 26:15 approve 65:21 57:2, 320:6 15:9 231:21 asset 5:23 107:14 108:10,1106; 122:38 137:14 attributable 132:3 276:11 128:22 ambiguous 353:20 amont 49:10,11 anticipated 311:20 378:17 approximate 17:22 23:16,27:24 30:19 338:11 20:11 approximate 17:22 23:16,27:24 30:19 338:11 20:11 assistant 30:19 378:17 atta 21:02 30:9 307:9 309:2 amont 49:10,11 40:22 76:14 49:22 113:14 127:14 appearances 3:3 173:14 15:178:19 30:10 317:7 66:14 approximate 17:22 23:16,272:13 225:8 236:19 30:13:19 27:17 30:14 352:8 30:13:10 317:19			appointment 152:24	9	attach 320:22 321:2
378:18 analyses 241:11 appointments 227:14 Asia 4:24 9:14 18:3 129:16 136:10 attaching 171:5 Aigen 4:5 9:24 214:4 251:23 analysis 240:20,24 appoints 183:9 attaching 171:5 attaching 171:5 Akard 3:23 265:7,81.71,81.9 appoints 183:9 approximate asks 97:12 171:10 attermpt 36:02.3 alleget 389:3 14 59:14 annual 26:10 84:17, 125:2 273:17 281:8, 23 annual 26:10 84:17, 125:2 273:17 281:8, 23 annual 26:10 84:17, 125:2 273:17 281:8, 23 attorney 33:4,11,17 allowed 62:8 81:23, 325 answering 248:7.8, 262:23 approve 50:21 57:2, 320: 61:59 231:21 320: 61:59 231:21 326: 61:59 231:21 attributable 132:3 allowed 62:8 81:23, 336:11 341:13 answering 248:7.8, 262:23 approve 50:21 57:2, 320: 61:59 231:21 asset 107:26 108:6, 371:7, 16:23 372:6 attributable 132:3 amended 5:15 anymore 294:24 asset 12: 21:62:5 33:43 82:16,17 19:24:17.23 88:17,41,16 amontizing 334:13 applogize 30:10 378:17 33:43 82:16,17 19:24:01:52 24:16,17 approximate 17:22 23:15 27:24 30:19 30:33:33 48:21:15 377:7,14 attributable 13:22 37:17 28:12 21:24			153:4,16	ascribing 386:8	attached 307:23
Aigen 4:5 9:24 214:4 251:23analysis 240:20.24 241:3,6 261:11 262:3appoints 183:9 approxiated 74:16 approxation 36:19,21attachment 307:25 attempting 374:17Akard 3:23265.7,8,17.18,19 389:8and/or 42:8,16 43:3, 14 59:14approxiated 74:16 approxation 36:19,21asks 97:12 171:10 172:16 174:21attempting 374:17 aspect 26:18 116:20Allocation 36:19,21 125:2 273:17 281:8, 23annual 26:10 84:17, 23 85:2,24 96:7 162:11:17,22 385:2,24 96:7 162:11:17,22 372:17 23 85:2,24 96:7 162:11:17,22 372:16asset 107:25 108:6, 354:15 367:9 368:4attempting 374:17 aspect 26:18 116:20allowed 62:8 81:23, 25answering 248:7,8 26:23351:5 377:17,24 335:9 336:12351:5 377:17,24 335:9 336:12asset 376:24 387.3 362:11allowed 62:8 81:23, 35:9 36:12answering 248:7,8 26:23approve 56:21 57:2, 3,20 61:5.9 231:21asset 376:24 387.3 32:26:18attributable 132:3 22:51:23,23:26:11,427:112alter 187:16 amort 49:10,11 40:22 78:14 99:22 313:13 128:1332:1332:1332:1332:4134:11anymore 294:24 38:17approximate 17:22 33:34 382:16,17asset 370:22 19:240:12 253:16,24 259:18 19:240:15 241:41,18attributable 6:7,10 97:22 85:14,99:22 11:120 112:22 11:11,14amortizing 334:13 11:120 132:2 139:11 22:112:18 144:5,16 19:61 61:17appearances 3:3 39:21 10:25approximatel 7:22 23:15,17:10,15 22:11:12,01:14 356:1 23:15,17:10,15 23:39:21:11appearances 3:3 39:39:21appearances 3:3 39:11appearances 3:3 39:11appearances 3:3 39:11appearances 3:3 39:11appearances 3:3 39:11appearances 3:3 39		analyses 241:11			
251:23 241:30:201:11:20:23 appreciated 74:16 appreciated 74:16 attempted 360:23 Akard 3:23 and/or 42:8,16 43:3, appreciated 74:16 appreciated 74:16 assts 97:12 171:10 attempted 360:23 alleged 389:3 and/or 42:8,16 43:3, appreciated 74:16 appreciated 74:16 asset 107:25 108:6, attempted 360:23 Allocation 35:19:21 annual 26:10 84:17, 23 85:2,24 96:7 16 82:11.72,22 72:16 asset 107:25 108:6, 172:12 23 asser 107:25 0302:7 262:23 336:12 382:11 asset 5:23 107:14 24:25 allowed 62:8 81:23, 20:01 answering 248:7,8 26:15 approve 56:21 57:2, assets 5:23 107:14 26:11 48:5 336:11 341:13 answers 12:2 16:25 approve 56:21 57:2, 336:33:4382:16,17 190:3.917 204:19 231:44:96:69:67:10 384:12 anymore 294:24 336:12 333:43:82:16,17 19 223:14 271:12 233:6:32:38:13:14:16 97:22 98:19 amount 49:10,11 50:23;24:57:6 119:7 104:12 125:21;24 316:24 26:17 303:43:32:16,17 19 241:52 24:14:18 97:22 98:19 93:41:49:69:7 atter 187:16 anymore 294:24 388:12 338:13 20:11 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>					
Akaro 389:8 approaching 172:6 174:21 attempting 374:17 allegations 208:17 and/or 42:8,16 43:3, approaching 310:23 approaching 311:22 320:31:1 311:12 322:11 asset 322:11 323:59:33:12:1 320:61:59:23:12:1 320:61:59:23:12:1 320:61:59:23:12:1 320:61:59:23:12:1 320:61:59:23:12:1 320:61:59:23:12:1 320:61:59:23:12:1 320:61:59:23:12:1 320:61:59:23:12:1 320:61:59:23:12:1 320:61:59:23:12:1 320:61:59:23:13:1:41:42:25:1 320:61:59:23:13:1:41:42:1:5: 320:61:59:23:12:1 320:61:59:23:13:1:41:42:1:5: 320:61:59:23:13:2:1:1:33:20:63:29:1:39:33:4:41:60:67:10:19 323:42:1:1:42:21:1:2:1:2:2:2:1:6:2:5: 320:61:59:23:1:				asks 97:12 171:10	attempted 360:23
and/or 42:8:16 43:3, and/or 42:8:16 43:3, approval 57:13 62:5, asset 16:20 attorney 15:11 Allocation 35:19.21, annual 26:10 84:17, 23 24:109:14 118:23, 24:109:14 118:23, 24:109:14 118:23, 25:16,23 265:9, 42:10:16 44:17, 24:10:14 118:23, 25:16,23 265:9, 42:10:16 44:72:1, 42:10:16 44:72:1, 42:10:16 44:72:1, 42:10:16 44:72:1, 42:10:16 44:72:1, 42:10:16 44:72:1, 42:10:16 44:72:1, 42:10:16 44:72:1, 42:10:16 44:72:1, 42:10:16 44:72:1, 42:10:16 44:72:1, 42:10:16 44:72:1, 42:10:16 44:72:1, 42:10:16 44:72:1, 42:10:16 44:72:1, 42:10:16 44:72:1, 42:10:16 44:72:1, 42:10:16 44:72:1, 42:10:16 44:72:1, 42:10:16 14:72:1, 42:10:16 14:72:1, 42:10:16 14:72:1, 42:10:16 14:72:1, 42:10:16 14:72:1, 42:10:16 14:72:1, 42:10:16 14:72:1, 42:10:16 14:72:1, 42:10:16 14:72:1, 42:10:16 14:72:1, 42:10:16 14:72:1, 42:10:16 14:72:1, 42:10:16 14:72:1, 42:10:16 14:72:1, 42:10:16 14:17:1, 42:10:16 14:17:1, 42:10:16 14:17:1, 42:10:16 14:17:1, 42:10:16 14:17:1, 42:10:16 14:17:1, 42:10:16 14:17:1, 42:10:16 14:17:1, 42:10:16 14:17:1, 42:10:16:16:11,	Akard 3:23			172:6 174:21	attempting 374:17
Allocation 35:19.21 anual 26:10 84:17, 23 asset 107:25 108:5, 24 109:25 108:3, 17 204:19 attributable 132:3 allowed 62:8 81:23, 25 11 answers 12:2 16:25 26:18 30:13 20:12 20:15, 12:12 20:16; 18 anticipated 311:20 376:17 33:4:42 129:56; 18 assets 5:23 107:14 108:12 23 86:26; 17 204:19 20:17, 23 88:26; 101:12 20:111, 14 ambiguous 353:20 376:17 307:17 303:12 20:15 20:17 21:11 4271:12 20:18 238:12 20:16:5 18 anymore 294:24 33:4:42:16; 17 277:7 33:4:482:16; 17 277:7 388:11 anymore 294:24 38:16; 17 19:26:18 109:24 110:25 123:18 109:24 110:25 123:18 109:24 110:25 123:18 109:24 110:25 123:18 109:24 110:25 123:19:19 113:17:366:14 117:22 00:18 109:24 110:25 123:18 109:24 110:25 123:18 109:24 110:25 123:18 109:24 110:25 123:18 109:24 110:25 123:18 109:24 110:25 123:18 109:24 110:25 123:18 109:24 110:25 123:19 103:15 131:01:19 110:7 114:27,7 101:19 109:15 19 110:7 114:27 130:19 109:15 19 110:7 114:27 130:19 109:15 19 110:7 114:27 130:19 109:15 19 110:7 131:21 125:19 110:7 131:21 125:19 110:7 131:21 125:19 110:7 131:21 125:19 110:7 131:21 125:132:92:13 131:5 132:92:4 135:1 131:21 125 132:92:4 135:1 131:21 125	-			aspect 26:18 116:20	
Altocation 35:1921 animula 22:000, 04:17, 122:027:13, 168:10, 170:59, 184:5 144:24 170:14 206:5, 135:15, 377:17, 24, 354:15, 367:9, 368:4, 371:7, 16, 23, 372:6, 354:15, 367:9, 368:4, 371:7, 16, 23, 372:6, 376:24, 387:3, 335:9, 336:12, 336:12, 336:12, 320:15, 9, 231:21, 326:15, 388:11, 341:13, 388:11, 341:13, 388:12, 26:15, any proved, 57:5, 60:20, 378:17, 3378:17, 3378:17, 333:4, 382:16, 17, 19, 240:15, 241:4, 18, 19:24, 110:25, 123:16, 22, 239:13, 97:22, 98:19, 103:25, 109:24, 110:25, 123:16, 22, 238:15, 120:15, 333:4, 382:16, 17, 19, 240:15, 241:4, 18, 109:24, 110:25, 123:16, 22, 278:14, 99:22, 23:15, 27:24, 30:19, 338:13, 120:15, 338:12, 23:15, 27:24, 30:19, 338:13, 120:15, 132:8, 138:11, 331:8, 11, 301:9, 111:20, 112:7, 24, 310:5, 132:9, 241:32, 56:18, 109:24, 110:25, 123:16, 22, 278:14, 99:22, 23:15, 27:24, 30:19, 36:23, 381:5, 120:15, 109:24, 110:27, 124, 111:20, 112:7, 24, 310:5, 132:9, 241:32, 56, 134, 113, 301:9, 111:20, 112:7, 24, 310:5, 132:9, 241:32, 56, 134, 111:20, 112:7, 24, 310:5, 132:9, 241:32, 56, 134, 113, 301:9, 111:20, 112:7, 24, 310:5, 132:9, 241:32, 56, 134, 111:20, 112:7, 24, 310:5, 132:9, 241:32, 56, 134, 111:20, 112:7, 24, 310:5, 132:9, 241:32, 56, 134, 111:20, 112:7, 24, 310:5, 132:9, 241:32, 56, 134, 111:20, 112:7, 11	-	14 59:14			
25 333.9 330.12 382:11 assets 5:23 107:14 attributable 132:3 alpha 297:20 302:7 answering 248:7,8 approve 56:21 57:2, 3,20 61:5,9 231:21 assets 5:23 107:14 108:10,13 109:20 338:11 341:13 answers 12:2 16:25 320 61:5,9 231:21 273:6 379:7 approve 56:21 57:2, 3,20 61:5,9 231:21 audit 26:11 48:5 alter 187:16 anticipated 311:20 321:14 271:12 294:21 295:6,18 15 237:20,23 239:13, 93:4,14 96: 696:7,10 amonded 5:15 anymore 294:24 assets 12:2 16:25 23:16 17 19 240:15 241:4,18 97:22 98:19 103:25 amount 49:10,11 40:22 78:14 99:22 161:24 216:17 277:7 333:4 38:12 23:15 27:24 30:19 260:8,11,13 301:9 111:20 112:7,24 50:23,24 57:6 119:7 104:12 125:21,24 310:5 308:51 20:15 303.9 307:9 309:2 113:17 114:7,7 131:13 132:8 138:11 139:22 170:21 92:14 139:21 70:21 92:14 310:5 368:5 370:22 131:10 317:7 366:14 317:5,7,10,12,21 196:16 216:17 324:17 387:9 109:123 126:12 169:7 334:16 142:9,11 325:22 325:23 20:23 321:9 338:18 142:9,11 196:16 216:17 324:17 387:9 109:123 126:12 169:7 335:812 120:15 338:812 4	125:2 273:17 281:8, 23	23 85:2,24 96:7 168:10 170:5,9 184:5 217:2 228:16 334:8	16 271:17,22 272:13, 14 281:7 332:16	225:16,23 265:9 354:15 367:9 368:4 371:7,16,23 372:6	4:2,10,16 147:21 187:6,11,15 188:3,7,
alpha 297:20 302:7 307:19 328:10 338:11 341:13answers 12:2 16:25 26:15approve 56:21 5/22, 3,20 61:5,9 231:21 273:6 379:7108:10,13 109:20 110:8 122:3,8 137:14 90:3 194:2 195:17, 20 196:3,9,17 204:19 21 13: 225:8 235:11, 934:14 95:6 96:7,10 97:22 98:19 103:25alter 187:16 ambiguous 353:20anticipated 311:20 378:17approved 57:5 60:20 294:21 295:6,18 333:4 382:16,17108:10,13 109:20 110:8 122:3,8 137:14 190:3 194:2 195:17, 20 196:3,9,17 204:19 211:3 225:8 235:11, 97:22 98:19 103:25audit 26:11 48:5 52:23 85:24,25 86:9, 23 88:2,6,13,14,16 89:10,11,22 91:11,14 97:22 98:19 103:25amonded 5:15 214:18anymore 294:24 388:12approximate 17:22 23:15 27:24 30:19 36:23 38:15 120:15109:24 10:25 303:9 307:9 309:2111:20 112:7,24 104:4 106:7,16,17amount 49:10,11 40:22 78:14 99:22 131:13 132:8 138:11 139:2 170:2 192:14 139:2 170:2 192:14 199:13:13 132:8 138:11 139:2 170:2 192:14 139:2 170:2 192:14 199:13:13 132:8 138:11 139:2 170:2 192:14 199:13 1:31 22:8 128:11 139:2 170:2 192:14 139:2 170:2 192:14 199:13:13 12:8 138:11 139:2 170:2 192:14 199:13 1:12 125:21,24 310:5approximately 8:20 15:13,20 19:23 20:15,19 110:7 119:23 126:12 169:7 119:23 126:12 169:7 119:23 126:12 169:7 119:23 126:12 169:7 138:18 142:9,11 148:25 149:4 199:23, 221:11,14,20 243:12 238:16 2308:22 342:10 343:5,15,17 389:11appearing 8:18 appearing 8:18 approximates appearing 8:18 appearing 8:18 appe			382:11		
alter187:16anticipated311:20231:14 271:12211:3 225:8 235:11, 231:14 271:1289:10,11,22 91:11,14ambiguous353:20378:17231:14 271:12211:3 225:8 235:11, 15 237:20,23 239:13, 93:4,14 95:6 96:7,1097:22 98:19 103:25amended5:15anymore 294:24 388:1238:12231:15 27:24 30:19 36:23 38:15 120:1519 240:15 241:4,18 19 240:15 241:4,1897:22 98:19 103:25amount49:10,11 40:22 78:14 99:2240:22 78:14 99:22 161:24 216:17 277:7161:24 216:17 277:7 310:5303:9 307:9 309:2 311:10 317:7 366:14 311:10 317:7 366:14111:20 112:7,24 115:2,20 116:550:23,24 57:6 119:7 50:23,24 57:6 119:7104:12 125:21,24 104:12 125:21,24310:536:23 38:15 120:15 303:9 307:9 309:2303:9 307:9 309:2 311:10 317:7 366:14 316:15111:20 112:7,24 311:5 132:9,24 135:6142:18 144:5,16 142:18 144:5,16 197:19 226:17 227:17 304:14 352:8 227:17 304:14 352:8 227:17 304:14 352:8 227:17 304:14 352:8 227:17 304:14 352:8 227:17 304:14 352:8 220:12,24 221:3,7 238:9 277:10,15 220:12,24 221:3,7 238:9 277:10,15 238:9 277:10,15 244:18 26	307:19 328:10	262:23 answers 12:2 16:25	3,20 61:5,9 231:21 273:6 379:7	108:10,13 109:20 110:8 122:3,8 137:14 190:3 194:2 195:17,	audit 26:11 48:5 52:23 85:24,25 86:9,
ambiguous 353:20affite/pated 311.20 378:17294:21 295:6,18 338:1715 237:20,23 239:13, 19 240:15 241:4,18 242:13 250:1893:4,14 95:6 96:7,10 97:22 98:19 103:25amended 5:15 214:18anymore 294:24 388:12approximate 17:22 23:15 27:24 30:19242:13 250:18 260:8,11,13 301:993:4,14 95:6 96:7,10 97:22 98:19 103:25amortizing 334:13 amount 49:10,11apologize 30:10 40:22 78:14 99:22 	alter 187:16				89:10,11,22 91:11,14
amended 5:15 214:18anymore 294:24 388:12353.4 352.16,1719 240.13 241.4,16 242:13 250:18104:4 106:7,16,17 109:24 110:25amortizing 334:13 amount 49:10,11 50:23,24 57:6 119:7 131:13 132:8 138:11 142:18 144:5,16 196:16 216:17 217:15 220:23 222:11 239:5 240:9 222:11 239:5 240:9 244:3 271:11 277:7 238:18 288:6 308:22 342:10 343:5,15,17 389:11anologize 30:10 40:22 78:14 99:22 104:12 125:21,24 104:12 125:21,24 1139:2 170:2 192:14 196:16 216:17 227:17 304:14 352:8 354:17 387:9approximate 17:22 23:15 27:24 30:19 36:23 38:15 120:15 310:5104:4 106:7,16,17 109:24 110:25 25:16,24 259:18 2111:10 317:7 366:14 311:10 317:7 366:14 368:5 370:22104:4 106:7,16,17 109:24 110:25 113:17 114:2,7 311:10 317:7 366:14 368:5 370:22amount 49:10,11 40:22 78:14 99:22 111:12 125:21,24 111:12 125:21,24 111:12 125:21,24approximately 8:20 15:13,20 19:23 109:15,19 110:7 119:23 126:12 169:7 119:23 126:12 169:7 238:9 277:10,15 238:9 277:10,15 238:9 277:10,15assistant's 321:5 assistant's 321:18 219:4,5,12,15 219:4,5,12,15 221:11,14,20 243:12 241:18 263:24 264:8 242:13 260:22 244:18 263:24 264:8 242:10 343:5,15,17 389:11104:4 106:7,16,17 109:24 110:25 111:20 112:7,24 111:20 112:7,24 111:20 112:7,24 111:20 112:7,24 111:10 317:7 366:14 368:5 370:22amount 49:10,11 19:25 195:16 19:10:7 19:25 195:16 227:17 304:14 352:8 19:20:12,24 221:3,7 238:9 277:10,15 238:9 277:10,15 238:9 277:10,15 238:9 277:10,15 238:9 277:10,15104:4 106:7,16,17 238:9 277:10,15 238:9 277:10,15 238:9 277:10,15 238:9 277:10,15 238:9 277:10,15 244:18 263:24 264:8 244:18 263:24 264:8 244:18 263:24 26	ambiguous 353:20	-	294:21 295:6,18	15 237:20,23 239:13,	
amortizing 334:13apologize 30:10 40:22 78:14 99:22 104:12 125:21,24 131:13 132:8 138:11 142:18 144:5,16 197:19 226:17 217:15 220:23 222:11 239:5 240:9 244:3 271:11 277:7 283:18 288:6 308:22 389:11appearances 3:3 appearing 8:18 appears 224:823:15 27:24 30:19 36:23 38:15 120:15 161:24 216:17 277:7 310:520:3.10,24 239.16 260:8,11,13 301:9 303:9 307:9 309:2 311:10 317:7 366:14 303:9 307:9 309:2 311:10 317:7 366:14 368:5 370:22111:20 112:7,24 113:11 0 317:7 366:14 311:10 317:7 366:14 368:5 370:22111:20 112:7,24 260:8,11,13 301:9 303:9 307:9 309:2 311:10 317:7 366:14 368:5 370:22111:20 112:7,24 113:17 114:2,7 303:9 307:9 309:2 311:10 317:7 366:14 368:5 370:22111:20 112:7,24 113:11 0 317:7 366:14 368:5 370:22111:20 112:7,24 260:8,11,13 301:9 303:9 307:9 309:2 311:10 317:7 366:14 368:5 370:22111:20 112:7,24 113:17 114:2,7 114:2,7 303:9 307:9 309:2 311:10 317:7 366:14 368:5 370:22111:20 112:7,24 303:9 307:9 309:2 311:10 317:7 366:14 368:5 370:22111:20 112:7,24 115:2,20 116:5 117:2,20 119:19 131:5 132:9,24 135:6 138:18 142:9,11111:20 112:7,24 303:9 307:9 309:2 311:10 317:7 366:14 368:5 370:22111:20 112:7,24 115:2,20 116:5 117:2,20 119:19 131:5 132:9,24 135:6111:20 112:7,24 303:9 307:9 309:2 311:10 317:7 366:14 354:17 387:9111:20 112:7,24 303:9 307:9 309:2 311:10 317:7 366:14 368:5 370:22111:20 112:7,24 311:10 317:7 366:14 227:17 304:14 352:8 227:17 304:14 352:8 220:12 221:12 221:21 328:9 277:10,15 389:11111:20 112:7,24 303:9 307:9 309:2 307:9 309:2 311:10 317:7 366:14 368:5 370:22111:10 11:7 <b< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>242:13 250:18</td><td>104:4 106:7,16,17</td></b<>				242:13 250:18	104:4 106:7,16,17
amount 49:10,1140:22 78:14 99:22161:24 216:17 277:7303:9 307:9 309:2115:2,20 116:550:23,24 57:6 119:7104:12 125:21,24139:2 170:2 192:14310:5311:10 317:7 366:14117:2,20 119:19131:13 132:8 138:11139:2 170:2 192:14197:19 226:17310:5368:5 370:22115:2,20 116:5142:18 144:5,16197:19 226:17227:17 304:14 352:8109:15,19 110:7354:17 387:9119:23 126:12 169:738:istant 295:2137:5,7,10,12,21196:16 216:17227:17 304:14 352:8199:15,19 110:7119:23 126:12 169:73ssistant's 321:538:istant's 321:538:istant's 321:5222:11 239:5 240:9appearances 3:3173:14,15 178:19220:12,24 221:3,7assistant's 321:18219:4,5,12,15244:3 271:11 277:7appearing 8:18278:6assisting 333:8audited 6:4 41:7389:11appears 224:8appears 224:8approximatesassociate 268:1047:25 84:16,23 85:3,	amortizing 334:13				
142:18 144:5,16197.19 220.17157.19 220.17161:19,25 195:16227:17 304:14 352:815:13,20 19:23196:16 216:17354:17 387:919:23 126:12 169:7217:15 220:23appearances 3:3173:14,15 178:19222:11 239:5 240:9appeared 337:16,19220:12,24 221:3,7244:3 271:11 277:7appeared 337:16,19220:12,24 221:3,7283:18 288:6 308:22appearing 8:18278:6342:10 343:5,15,17appears 224:8appears 224:8	amount 49:10,11 50:23,24 57:6 119:7 131:13 132:8 138:11	40:22 78:14 99:22 104:12 125:21,24 139:2 170:2 192:14	161:24 216:17 277:7 310:5	303:9 307:9 309:2 311:10 317:7 366:14 368:5 370:22	115:2,20 116:5 117:2,20 119:19 131:5 132:9,24 135:6
101110,102 103,103354:17 387:9109:15,19 110:7assistant's 321:5148:25 149:4 199:23,217:15 220:23appearances 3:3173:14,15 178:19220:12,24 221:3,725 200:6 218:7244:3 271:11 277:7appeared 337:16,19220:12,24 221:3,7assistants 321:18219:4,5,12,15283:18 288:6 308:22appearing 8:18278:6assisting 333:8audited 6:4 41:7389:11appears 224:8appears 224:8approximates118:13 121:6associate 268:10			15:13,20 19:23		I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I
217:15 220:23 222:11 239:5 240:9 244:3 271:11 277:7 283:18 288:6 308:22 342:10 343:5,15,17 389:11appearances 3:3 appeared 337:16,19173:14,15 178:19 220:12,24 221:3,7 238:9 277:10,15assistants 321:18 assisted 326:2225 200:6 218:7 219:4,5,12,15appeared 337:16,19 283:18 288:6 308:22 342:10 343:5,15,17 389:11appearing 8:18 appears 224:8278:6 appears 224:8assisted 326:22 appearing 333:8 appearing 8:18 appears 224:8approximates 118:13 121:6	196:16 216:17				148:25 149:4 199:23,
244:3 271:11 277:7 appeared 337:16,19 220:12,24 221:3,7 238:9 277:10,15 221:11,14,20 243:12 283:18 288:6 308:22 appearing 8:18 278:6 assisted 326:22 244:18 263:24 264:8 342:10 343:5,15,17 appears 224:8 appears 224:8 approximates associate 268:10 24:25 84:16,23 85:3,		appearances 3:3	173:14,15 178:19		
283:18 288:6 308:22 appearing 8:18 278:6 assisting 333:8 audited 6:4 41:7 342:10 343:5,15,17 appears 224:8 approximates associate 268:10 47:25 84:16,23 85:3,		appeared 337:16,19			
389:11 appears 224:8 approximates 118:13 121:6 associate 268:10 audited 6:4 41:7		appearing 8:18			244:18 263:24 264:8
118 ·13 121·6 associate 268:10 47:25 84:16,23 85:3,		appears 224:8	approximates		I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I

			Index:	auditingbriefly
98:6 102:7,10 104:21 107:22 113:7 114:18 133:22 138:3,4,9 143:8 186:25 196:21 200:9,12 201:7 211:6 217:24 218:4,12,24 241:24 242:2,3,15,16	338:22,25 339:3,5,6 343:18 347:5,8,25 348:6,13,14,17 372:24 378:9 382:4 385:10,15	229:3,8,16 230:5 243:7 251:14 253:22 370:22 372:6 ballpark 196:15 277:17 278:11 bank 142:12 261:23	begins 12:15 170:23 197:25 341:17 behalf 58:11 122:22 139:18 180:22 181:2, 7,13 182:17 201:13, 15 210:5 211:9 212:8	175:3,8,15 176:22 178:7,13,17,23 179:8,12,15,19,25 180:8,23 182:6,15 184:4,8 185:14 189:7,14,19 190:4, 14,18,21 191:2,8,13,
261:20 auditing 264:2 auditor 84:21 97:12 113:11 136:6 auditors 46:8 47:17 48:7,10 52:11,20 53:4,11,13 84:10 86:10 88:9 91:12 113:8 136:16 137:3 149:13 211:16 212:15 264:16 audits 149:12 246:11 authority 63:9,21 270:19 271:5 authorized 57:8 139:4 143:13,22	B back 20:14 36:17 40:22 50:2 61:24 71:11 73:2,7 75:17 89:17 91:10 92:9 93:11 95:18,20 125:19 140:14 141:12 151:2 160:6 174:20 183:8 185:19 192:23 202:3 205:19 207:2,5 209:6,13 215:20 224:20,25 226:18 243:22 263:23 265:5,15 266:7 273:21 280:3,5 282:13 283:22 285:16,21 286:20,24 292:23 293:19 299:4 301:21 302:23	bank 142.12 201.23 306:5 327:10,12,17 328:6,9 360:5,14 bankruptcy 8:13 21:7 45:24 187:15 188:25 219:23 236:24 237:2,14,24 240:8 244:6,21 245:17 247:9,17,23 248:14,24 254:12 263:18,19 264:3,15 311:11 317:11 366:4 374:15 376:7 based 71:16 78:25 101:13 108:21,23 111:15 146:8,23 204:22 274:4 278:4 315:4 337:22	213:8 270:21 271:5 273:4 289:18,23 327:17 336:21 350:19 362:9 377:12, 23 379:8 382:6,9 383:24 384:18,22 385:7,11,12 belief 98:17 346:15 believed 143:22 161:7,17 175:22 246:22 250:9 386:9 believing 144:17 benefit 17:19 304:19 bigger 89:5 bill 117:9 355:3,8 358:13 bill paying 259:44	16,21 16 12,0,10, 16,20 192:3,8 193:3, 18 194:12 204:18,23 205:6,22 206:12,13 209:16,19,21,24 210:6,20,22 233:7, 13,16 281:7 323:8,16 348:3 385:13 board's 174:13 175:23 176:18 179:3 194:17 195:10 210:11 boards 33:8 160:8 171:19 181:2,8,14, 19,21 182:2,11 bona 369:25 book 140:15 bookkeeping 358:6
144:7,18 150:4 152:11 158:4,13,18 159:4,15,23 160:15, 24 181:3 320:16 363:2 382:6,9 384:7, 10 availability 121:12 Avenue $3:9 4:7,20$ aware 12:14 21:6 32:7 43:22 45:12 48:8,11 49:4,8 50:20, 25 51:5,8,13,18 53:24 57:6 61:7,19, 23 65:3,5 66:21 78:5, 15,22 83:15 85:10 93:8 102:5,14,16,22 103:5,16 107:20,23, 24 108:6 133:15,19 148:5 161:2,6,22,23 162:2,3,8 167:18,19, 24,25 168:7 181:6,7, 12,13,17,24,25 182:4,9,10,13,14,18 198:16 199:9,13,17 202:11,17,21 213:5, 13,15 220:17 223:3 226:3,6,7 261:3,5,7 263:12 267:7 295:23	305:16,20 306:3 313:3 324:6,12 325:9 326:15 332:13 333:9 343:6,16 344:18 347:3,4 348:25 349:8,10 350:2 354:16 365:20 372:16 382:23 393:12 backed 50:22 123:5 background 13:22 16:13 backing 189:9,15 190:10,12,19 191:3 193:20 194:20 205:8, 14,24 206:14,23 208:5 321:15 bad 134:9 badly 81:18 Baker 3:14 9:9 balance 106:19 107:14,22,25 108:11 109:6 110:4,5 111:11 112:4 120:23 175:2, 8,14 179:17 196:25 220:2 222:21 228:22	bases 228:13 basically 122:19 145:15 152:10 199:14,23 200:23 252:9 254:16,19 327:20 348:4 basis 16:18 19:4 47:10 84:18 110:3, 10,17,18 137:16 151:14 154:6 170:15 190:13 226:11 227:5, 20,25 228:13,16 241:17 266:22 288:12 358:7 batch 330:3 Bates 129:16,21 137:25 177:16 bear 17:5 began 20:8,13,17,23 266:21 begin 11:22 12:2 266:18 beginning 202:5 249:9	bill-paying 358:14 billion 109:15 110:14 253:21 billions 253:24 bills 326:23 355:14 356:2,13 binder 91:23 216:10 binders 216:5 bit 16:22 96:4 117:22 152:17 171:2,25 201:12 222:25 313:11 384:25 BK 187:6 blah 248:18 Blank 170:24 blend 250:5 blessing 319:21 block 152:21 320:22 321:3 board 33:5,12 34:4 166:23 168:18,21,23 169:10,12,14,20 170:12,13 171:7	books 225:8,15,23 235:16 237:15 240:11,14 257:21 258:5 286:23 371:8 born 284:10 borrowed 127:15,24 128:5,23 129:2,5 borrower 272:7 273:5 340:2,7 borrowers 44:15,19 bottom 92:16 106:5 129:25 143:3 170:21 221:24 224:4 329:5 330:7 box 294:20 Boyce 21:5 Brad 249:21 break 36:6 72:17,20 73:10 74:4,14 139:25 150:22 151:5 224:19 265:24 266:2 324:22, 25 327:3 briefly 383:22

bring 73:16 154:20	capable 86:12 88:20	caus
bringing 249:21	capacity 11:3 19:25	277 359
broke 221:12	21:3 24:17 26:22 65:10 97:15 143:25	caus
broker-dealer 332:6	174:3 232:4 258:25	ccc
brought 73:17	270:25 285:24 295:18	ceas
248:13 374:9	Capital 3:4,18 8:11	ceas
build 107:7 108:22 260:5 311:17	9:6,22 10:22 11:7	cell
bulk 89:9	15:16,23 18:7 22:21 30:2 41:18 42:10,18,	CEC
burdening 81:12	21 43:11,16 44:6 58:15,17,20 70:21	cert
business 226:10	109:23 110:9 125:3	cert
229:22 332:10,11	127:12 133:10 152:13 172:14	152 183
C	215:14,17 271:3	cert
	279:18,19 280:2,3 308:4 325:22,24	154
calculate 275:11 276:22	330:16 354:19	cert
	359:16,18 360:7,8,13	cert
calculated 335:11	capture 107:10 130:15 203:11	cert
calculation 278:12 calculations 276:19	captured 131:3	cete
calculator 110:12	career 286:21	CF-
	careers 89:6	CFO
calendar 337:7 378:16,21 379:5	carefully 40:14,18	21,: 21:
call 46:14 55:2 68:6	47:9 114:14 144:14 223:12	23 -
70:3 154:8 167:4 191:14,15,24 192:2	carried 107:14,18,21	42: 46:
290:19,25 350:15	109:6,11 233:5 243:6	50:
364:21 393:12	carrying 118:13,25	53: 61:
called 16:10 22:21 27:10 29:25 31:8	120:2,4,12,14 262:8	85:
32:4 81:9 115:3	263:7,16 265:2	88: 23
130:3 227:2,19 228:21 273:18	case 7:21 8:14 208:15 248:15	107
278:22,24 315:20	301:14 339:25	133
342:8,24 343:2,16 351:10 362:8	cash 57:3,4 121:12	225
calling 344:18	335:17,18,22,23 336:7 337:13,14,16,	227
calls 45:17 46:10	17 360:5 361:12,14	247
55:21 107:5 126:4	categorically	255 287
268:6 324:2,9 344:22	392:10	339
camera 36:2	categorize 257:10	chai
Canty 4:24 105:2,23 129:18,23 135:12,16	categorizing 316:18	350 chal
177:10,21,25 218:15	category 107:24 109:6	chal
		chai

sed 263:3.14 7:3.19 280:12 9:8,24 sing 362:21 **0** 192:25 193:8,14 se 173:15 sed 71:6 173:12 393:2.5.8.9 **0** 14:16 tainty 371:6 tificate 5:20 22:3 2:2,5,10,13 159:19 3:11 270:4 tificates 21:21 4:20 tification 22:3 tifications 22:13 tified 7:5 21:17 era 164:10 25:2 **D** 14:14 18:10,17, ,24 19:3,14,25 :3 39:10,15,19,21, 40:3,4,9,12,25 :5 43:10,23 44:25 :7 47:16,24 49:17 :10 51:10,15,20,25 :9 55:16 60:23 :21 62:4,8 84:20 :12 86:3,19,24 :10,15 90:15 94:4, 95:7,15 100:17 7:11 111:16 114:5 3:7,10,16 176:14 2:11 203:25 5:17,20 226:8 7:6 229:23 230:14, 240:7,14 243:4 7:7,23 248:24 5:7 269:2 285:24 7:14 288:7 295:19 9:24 in 341:17 342:7 0:14 llenges 12:21 nge 28:7,11,17 93:7 187:7,16 189:2

229:11 240:6 248:17 261:24.25 262:2 264:3 265:10 343:20 371:22

changed 20:6 28:11 189:10 194:13 206:24 207:6,7,9 208:2,3 263:18 264:17 323:2

characterized 247:12

chat 81:25 92:12 105:2,5 135:17 177:7,18

check 86:8 306:4

chicken 298:13

chief 14:12 26:24 27:5 120:8 184:22,24 258:25 270:9,13 271:2 302:19

Chisum 321:7

chose 113:25

circumstances 71:15 231:6 240:6 241:10 261:23,24 262:2 265:9 274:13

Civil 7:20

claim 167:9,13,25 374:9 375:8,11 385:17,22,25 386:2 388:24

claims 208:23

clarify 354:17

clear 40:15,16 54:22 55:5 75:16 81:2 156:2 188:21 214:17 238:17 302:6

client 74:5,10 75:19 269:9,13

clients 195:8 209:2

Cliff 276:2

clock 46:25

CLOS 253:19

close 229:10,19,21 230:2,23 232:8,20 233:3 298:2,12

Index: bring..company 308:24 372:10 close-end 275:6 **closed** 281:3 closed-end 281:10, 15 282:7 co-invest 127:13,16 co-obligor 305:22 code 101:21 collect 54:3 collectability 253:18 collectively 33:2 54:12 colloquially 366:5 **combined** 233:18 **comfort** 159:22 193:25 comfortable 90:9 command 297:25 commenced 53:25 54:11 181:22 commencing 203:20 **comment** 252:23 367:22 **common** 299:4,5

communicate 64:3

communicated 114:9 211:15.20 254:21 292:17 319:19 368:8

communicating 365:13 366:3

communication 87:6 207:23 350:8

communications 66:19 71:13,14 116:16 322:7 367:14

comp 78:10

companies 42:7 285:17

company 27:9 29:25 31:7 247:8 273:18,

			INGE	ex: comparedCOV
20,21	conclude 108:17	consult 94:12,17	conversations	187:3,4 201:4,9
compared 12:21	310:9	351:21	66:16 74:21 113:22 115:9 124:11 206:11	204:20 205:24 206:6 211:25 214:21,24
comparing 118:24	concluded 395:11	consultation 318:12	233:25 289:4 368:13	218:4 223:7 242:18,
compelled 81:8	conclusion 42:20	consulted 274:9	380:6 393:10 394:3	23 243:2 256:2,5,10
371:22	43:9 44:18 45:5,9,17 46:11 47:21 48:4,17	contend 65:6 168:4	conversion 125:12, 14 131:23	257:5,19 258:2,6,8, 14 259:2,6,7 262:8,
compensation	49:2,14 55:21 107:5	contends 168:3		17 263:7,10 264:20
125:14 281:3 318:14	126:4 142:7 143:16	context 41:21,22	convert 281:9 282:6	269:25 270:14 290:8
366:24 367:19,24 386:11	153:13 154:9 157:8 212:25 263:5	58:13 124:18 136:21	converting 275:5	301:9 307:12 310:18 311:3 316:10,19,22
		168:20 170:4,9	convey 208:6 389:20	332:18,19 346:2
competent 88:20	conduct 85:24	189:18 367:12	-	359:17 371:21 375:2
complaint 5:15	169:10	386:23	conveyed 343:15,25 344:4	382:3 383:8 384:7
140:4 208:17,22	conducted 86:14	contexts 41:15	-	388:18,21 393:22
complaints 322:2,4,	conference 8:17	continue 209:4	conveys 193:15	correction 384:5
8,20	323:24 343:23,24 344:11,13	continuing 203:20	copied 183:3	correctly 138:7
complete 112:19 208:16 221:10,13,18,	conferred 98:8	continuous 19:4	copies 13:11,16 142:12 149:8 298:7	175:20 289:17 305:14 375:18
19,20	confers 143:20	contract 278:15,22	copious 324:16	cost 279:17 325:23
completed 132:24 178:8 200:7 219:7,	confirm 96:14 98:16	contracted 330:18	copy 92:13 148:24	379:20
10,13,16	108:23 141:13 143:4	contracts 15:9,11	197:23 200:18	counsel 9:2 66:17
	214:3 270:6 319:3,20	249:22 288:17	corner 198:6	73:13,14 74:15,21
completely 13:4,7 205:5	confirmation 103:21	325:12,14,17 326:6	corporate 41:2,5	140:10 150:2 164:7, 16,20 187:22,23
	confirming 98:23	control 90:3,5,9,14,	54:25 87:11,14,16	254:18 322:7,9,12,
completeness 97:22 98:5	confused 78:11	20,25 101:4,9,24	112:10,11 116:12	19,22 351:18,21
	317:13	113:6 137:17,20 207:21	148:23 149:2,14	counsel's 151:16
complex 253:19	connection 16:11,		150:14,19 172:20 188:11 200:17	Counselors 7:4
compliance 148:3,4	16,19 48:15,23 50:15	controlled 15:6 16:8 17:24 42:8,16,25	230:16,17,21 257:22,	
184:22,24 193:17	93:13,14 95:5 96:9	43:3,14 101:16	24,25 258:11,13	couple 17:5 100:9 314:6 351:12 352:12
280:8 294:18 295:21 296:4	125:25 149:3 160:11	controller 291:13	337:7 370:14 378:15,	384:15 386:6 393:17
	175:9 179:19 184:5		21	
complied 373:3	199:22 367:5 374:8	controls 43:5,6 112:16	correct 11:16 18:10	court 8:13,24 10:10 12:10 209:5 213:23
component 119:17	consequences		19:8 22:16 24:7 29:3,	215:13 226:16 237:2
120:22	357:4	conversation 58:23	8 32:4,22 33:5 39:4,	24 244:6 245:22
compose 348:22	consideration	59:5 73:18 74:2 78:9 113:16 114:16 124:8	12 41:3 43:24 45:2 50:24 55:19 59:18	254:16 256:21 268:8
conceived 232:17	306:18	145:7,14,21 148:17,	69:24 74:12 79:24	18 301:20 311:11 313:3 343:3 376:8
concept 52:24	considered 274:9	20 164:23 166:2,9,	84:11,18 91:23,24	
201:20 390:10	consisted 59:21	11,19,23 187:14	93:15 95:7 100:4	courtroom 7:18 156:19 157:23
	consistent 394:17	190:23 194:8 207:13 233:21 234:25	109:17 110:19 112:8 115:4 117:17 120:21	
concern 105:8 122:13		235:13,18 246:14,18	132:8,24 133:7,11	cover 105:16 294:12
	consolidated 5:18	252:10,12 255:14	137:3,8,22 142:5	24
concerned 35:25 112:23 235:20,24	6:2 105:17 110:2,5,9, 17 113:13 135:20	282:25 283:4 296:3,4	143:9,14 149:4	cover-to-cover
241:22 370:2	137:16 218:19	318:9 319:16 342:4,	150:9,16 155:7	208:22
	253:21	20 348:15 350:8 363:5 365:3 367:3,4	156:13 160:17 161:9, 20,25 165:16,19,23	covered 67:4 189:7
concerns 112:15	constitute 110:7	381:8 383:11 386:18,	166:15 167:16	191:13
113.3 114.6 25			-	1
113:3 114:6,25 117:23 236:2	constituted 109:19	20 389:22 390:24	168:11 175:24 179:9	COVID 207:16,17

Index: COVID-19..Deitsch-perez

			Index: COVII	D-19Deitsch-pere
323:2,3,5	61:12 62:11 63:13,24 64:19 65:12 66:14,24	data 311:24	Deborah 4:4,18 9:8, 12 10:2,3 349:18	decisionmaking 254:14
COVID-19 7:7 8:18	67:17 69:5 72:15	date 18:13,18,20 21:10 56:18 70:12	Debra 3:12	declared 182:7,12
CPA 22:13	73:12,21,24 74:8,12, 17 75:6,10,13 77:9,	84:11 98:18 103:14	Debs 349:20	deduce 309:23,24
crafted 178:6	14,21 79:4,14,20	104:4 106:4 131:11 133:2,12 136:10	debt 333:9	310:6
crash 265:11	80:12 82:5,11,24	186:15 226:7 235:10,		deemed 53:2 94:19
craziest 157:5	83:25 85:15 86:2 91:4,8,22 92:11 94:3	14 239:17 241:20	debtor 240:8 245:15, 25 255:17 270:14,21	109:9,10 113:11
crazy 264:2	96:11,20 99:17	262:15 263:20 310:15 314:22 315:6,	271:2,6 278:16,17	131:6 244:16 245:24
create 257:18	104:25 107:15 108:5	7 323:8 326:8 329:18	279:6 280:21 310:18	246:6,16,24
	110:11 111:24 112:20 113:20	332:2 356:24 369:13	325:13,14 326:14,22	default 182:7,12
created 199:10	114:20 115:5 117:6,	372:6 386:5	327:15,20 331:3 333:7,15 335:2,8	341:6,7,10 345:5 346:9,20 347:6
creditworthiness	18 119:12 120:16	dated 92:2 106:10	336:22,25 350:22	363:9,14
303:19	122:10,16 124:6,23	132:20 142:18	364:18 372:20 373:3,	defaults 365:17
Crescent 294:10	127:19 132:16 134:8 135:8,18 143:10	152:17 200:3 203:2 213:18 216:16	15,16,18,25 374:9, 15,16 376:13 380:9	defendant's 214:18
CRO 254:13,14	144:8 146:20 149:5	220:14 228:3,23	383:14 388:10,12	
256:25 257:7	150:10,17 151:13	258:20 262:23	debtor's 233:7	defendants 81:14
cross 305:17,21	153:18 154:14	dates 30:17 178:8	239:12,19 240:15	defended 11:14
crossing 295:24	155:11,18,20,23 156:10,16 157:2,6,	321:14 326:7 355:25	debtors 374:21	deferral 200:23
culmination 145:8	11,15,24 158:15	357:11		201:4,7,21
250:4 274:20	159:17 160:3,12,18	Dave 87:20 172:6	debtors' 337:24	deferred 203:3
cure 345:4	163:24 167:5 168:8	230:19 292:3,4,11	debts 302:23	366:23
	177:15,20 178:20 180:3,11 181:5,11	David 124:9 275:23	decade 95:19 231:22	define 32:9 33:21
cured 363:14,19	183:15 184:6,20	389:9	decades 95:21	101:6
curing 365:16	185:2,18,24 193:21	Davor 3:20 9:19	December 59:9,12	defined 33:13 45:13
current 86:13 158:9	194:5 195:21 196:13, 18 200:20 203:23	178:10 266:15,17	105:18 109:14	51:17 53:13 54:2
curse 394:15	205:3,15,25 206:21	304:8 336:15 387:14, 16	119:21 202:19 203:8	99:15 173:2
cursed 394:14	208:10 210:24 211:4,		218:21 219:7 221:15,	defining 44:16 69:8
	13 212:22 213:11	day 106:10,13,16 134:20 187:8 226:4	21 242:17 258:21 263:14 326:4,11,12,	109:21
D	216:2,9 220:10,15 222:13 225:10,24	321:23 329:21,23	14 327:4,9 330:15	definition 42:24 43:2
	228:9 229:24 231:18	332:4,10,11 342:16	332:11 334:15	53:16 54:18 69:15 75:20 77:6 100:25
D.C. 162:20 164:6	234:6 235:23 236:10,	343:24 350:12 353:5 395:17	338:15,24 341:9	101:9,13 104:6
daily 219:20	18 239:3,14,22		343:2 346:9,21 359:3 360:17,19 361:6,24	degree 245:20
Dallas 3:16,24 4:8	240:16 242:24 246:19,25 247:14	days 71:12 356:9	364:4 382:23 388:4	degrees 22:7
8:14 9:20	250:11,22 251:3,12	DDP 349:23,24	390:6,16,17,24 391:13,23 392:4	Deitsch-perez 4:4
damages 385:17	253:12 254:6 255:12 257:6,20 260:14	de-accelerate 344:24	393:11	5:8,11 9:12,13 10:2
Dandeneau 3:12	263:8 265:4 266:3		December-ish	17:8,13 29:20 42:12
7:23 9:8 13:10 14:25	269:10 285:23 286:7	de-accelerated 345:18	390:16	46:12 50:5 60:16,24
16:9 17:7,18 20:4,20	295:11 298:6 299:15 302:25 305:10 307:2		decide 254:25	64:17 65:19 66:10 67:9,24 69:6,10,13
22:14 25:15 31:16,	312:18 317:23	deadlines 86:9 379:2	decided 245:5	76:15,17,21,24 78:19
20,24 33:9 34:20 36:8,12 40:10 41:4,	333:20 336:14 338:5		318:13 380:22	79:25 81:16,20,22,24
12,24 42:11 43:17	339:20 341:2 347:21	deal 74:25 219:18 224:14 286:11	deciding 56:13,17	97:4 107:16 115:6
46:18 47:3,7,12 50:7	348:11 349:11,17,18 350:3 352:18 375:22			117:7 119:13 120:17 144:25 146:12 147:4,
52:8 53:18 54:8 55:10 56:11,25 58:25	376:5 379:10 394:10	deals 373:19	decision 121:24	11 148:12 149:21
59:19,25 60:7,15		Deb 151:7 349:10,18	122:2,21,22 245:2,8 340:9 382:20,21	153:19,25 154:7
L				

159:25 160:19 177:6. 17 178:3 180:4.12 191:4,22 194:6,22 195:22 196:4,11 202:24 205:16 206:7 208:11 209:8 212:12, 20 214:9,13,16,22 215:5,11 216:11 220:25 227:21 231:8 232:9 238:10 239:20 242:25 247:15 248:2, 8 249:4,17 251:10 252:6,9,20,25 254:7 255:10.22 256:17 262:18 301:19 324:24 336:15 348:9. 23 349:21,24 352:6, 11,20 353:2,17,23 375:22 376:4,9 377:3 381:13 384:12 386:13 387:10,14 393:17,20 **Delaware** 254:16

delegating 254:13

deliver 50:14 230:22

delivered 178:7 235:20

delved 251:19

demand 121:11,17, 21 122:4,7,9,15,19, 23 123:23 124:4 134:13 140:17 142:21 182:15 186:18 187:3,17 198:21 199:6,11,19 200:14 202:18,22 205:19 209:25 210:7, 23 212:18 301:17 313:16 314:8 315:2.8 316:6,17,18

demandable 316:19

demands 122:14 364:13

department 146:10 147:3,10

depend 356:5

depended 356:6,16

depending 87:4 164:4 383:15

depends 41:20	274:7 276:
57:15 279:2	detrimenta
depo 260:16 deposed 11:9,12	developme 347:13,18
deposition 7:11 8:10,16 11:2,15,18 12:7,15,22 16:15,23 33:23 72:21,23 73:10,15,20 74:6 76:8 77:12 81:3 123:17 151:6,9 215:6 227:15 266:21 267:4, 13 365:20 373:2 375:25 376:19	deviate 26 dictate 90: differ 263: differentia differently difficult 14 205:19 207
388:23 395:8,9,11 depositions 11:19 12:19	222:25 diligence 169:11
12:19 derived 16:6 17:23 describe 56:4 84:3	direct 113: 304:19 322
111:21 326:15 353:7 358:3	directed 5 direction 2 254:25 258
describing 125:17 126:2	directive 3
description 108:21, 24 desk 293:9,10 295:25	directly 15 17:23 19:8 43:14 88:2 219:2 354:
detail 107:7 120:25 153:8 159:20 222:22 229:14 278:11 300:6 311:18 351:19	director 23 39:25 directors
369:20 detailed 122:20 132:12 179:23 224:9 230:7 260:5 276:18 370:16 389:8	disagree 3 21 319:2 disclosabl disclose 4
detailing 294:14 details 77:24 78:7,12 80:8 84:7 104:16 131:25 286:3,22 299:12 300:8 342:12 343:7	52:11 53:1 91:12 94:6 23 103:2 1 112:3 113: 210:12,15 disclosed
deter 376:18 determination 41:25 42:4 261:18,19 determine 53:19	52:19 53:4 94:18 102: 103:8,10 1 117:13 130 133:21 134 190:25 212
82:19 83:6 determined 261:16	disclosure 134:12,16

7 191:20 211:21.23 262:11 al 275:11 disclosures 91:2 ent 94:16 discovery 81:4 64:25 196:21):10 discuss 99:3 124:2 15 148:18 201:18,20 322:14 342:7 343:22 te 268:14 345:7 96:5 discussed 26:18 45:12 73:23 74:11 80:14 7:18 107:9 114:25 192:12 193:23 227:12 234:4 272:20,23 275:3,4 85:5,8,13 294:5 300:11,13 371:15 383:22 390:9 :22 253:6 discussing 117:25 2:10 148:22 180:8 191:6 217:18 234:9.15 57:17 300:17 319:7 341:6, 237:6 8,22 346:4 388:13,15 8:2 393:22 391:6 383:7 discussion 164:4.9. 12,17 180:19 191:9 5:5 16:7 217:21 246:4 249:24 42:7,15 251:20 282:18.21 177:11 290:6 319:13 342:15 9 387:22 388:8 392:11, 3:6 32:15 21 395:3 discussions 58:20 15:22 72:7 233:6.15 249:11 250:4 251:13,19 318:5,16, 253:17,20,25 263:25 264:14 274:5 275:17 **e** 131:7 280:18 367:19 387:4 46:7 47:17 dislocation 371:16 0 88:13 displeased 362:15 99:14,20, 11:21 **dispute** 61:7,10,13, 8 179:12 16 242:21 disputes 16:16 48:7,9 distancing 7:9 93:24 3,7,13,18 distinction 23:25 13:12 52:3 164:19 0:10 distinctions 33:17 4:3 138:8 2:4

e 100:12 185:15

distinctly 392:4

District 8:13

Index: Delaware..Dondero

diversion 208:16 divided 110:14 239:24 Division 8:14 docket 215:4 255:18 document 13:3,6 91:19 92:5 96:3 103:18 104:23 105:5. 10,16 136:11 139:22 140:8,12 141:4,10,16 144:3 147:16 151:22 153:6,15,22,23,24 154:5,11,18,24 155:3 156:24 159:21 197:13,14,16,17,25 198:12,15,17,23 199:3,4,10,14,17,25 200:3,18,22 201:3,6, 15,18 202:4 203:2 209:14,16,22 210:12, 15 211:24 212:4 213:21 214:3,6,12, 15,17,20,24 215:7,13 216:7 226:10,25 227:8,19 228:6 236:16,19 237:18 239:17 244:5 256:5,9 293:21,22 301:16 302:12 303:7 305:12, 21 320:14,16 338:14 documents 12:24 13:12,14,16,19 25:5 123:15,17 126:19 140:10 143:24 147:20,25 149:12 153:8 159:15 197:2 227:13 235:19 236:25 266:19 267:3, 7,9,14,18 291:9 294:2,4,6,8,11,14,17 296:21,22 322:11 364:18 377:10 dollar 253:21 288:12 dollars 253:24 260:19 Don- 68:19

> Dondero 4:2 9:14 15:6 16:8 17:24 19:8, 12,25 20:9,13,18,24 21:4 42:9,17 43:15, 24 44:3,5 50:11,14,

21.25 51:6.12 54:15. 17 55:2,7,11,19 56:2, 16,24 57:20,24 58:9 59:6 60:11,14,20 61:4,5,8,21 62:3,9,20 63:4,7,11 64:4,7,9, 10,13,16,21 65:3,5,9, 10,17,18 66:6,7,8 67:7,23 68:19,25 71:13 75:25 78:16,18 80:15 82:16,21,23 91:3 92:5 94:20 98:8 99:4,6,10,13,20,23 101:17 107:3,13,21 108:3,19 117:25 118:7 123:3 124:2 145:5,7,14 148:9,14 189:9,16 190:10,13, 17,25 191:3,9 193:20 194:19 201:14,21 205:9,14,24 206:6, 11,15,24 208:5,8 225:7,14,21 232:18 233:9,24 234:11,16, 22 235:5,7,9,22 236:9 239:2,5 241:8 242:8 243:8 262:6 263:6 271:25 273:2,5 275:25 280:19 282:16,22,25 283:14 284:4,18 285:3 287:5 288:24 289:4 290:6 300:11,24 301:16 303:16 317:5 318:3, 9,11,16 319:4,8,20 320:3 335:17,23 336:6 341:7,9 342:15 343:15.22 344:2.12. 22 350:6,11 362:10 363:6,19 365:3 366:2,20 368:11,22 381:9,10 382:12,18, 22 383:8 384:6,10 386:7,17,20 387:23 388:9,14 389:2,21 390:4,25 392:4 394:6 Dondero's 57:13

62:4,10 63:23 144:23 206:15 217:11 350:15

doubtful 244:9,17 245:25 246:7,17,23, 24 247:12,25 249:2 250:10,14 251:8,22 253:10 254:3 255:8,

15 draft 145:25 146:4 147:10,20 149:17 176:4 182:24 213:17 290:7,12,16 291:4,9 294:17 305:11,14 319:14 drafted 145:23 146:10 147:2,25 290:24 305:13 319:18 drafting 115:20 116:5 146:7 213:12, 13 drafts 230:22 322:3.8 dramatically 264:17 Draper 4:12 9:17 driver's 21:25 dropped 294:8,11,14 295:4 **DSI** 72:3 166:12 237:9,12 245:9,21 247:4 249:10 250:8 251:7 252:10 253:10 254:5,11,16,19,22 255:8,14,25 257:15, 18 259:12 309:21 312:7,11,21,23 313:5 348:3 **DSI's** 256:6 **Dubel** 233:21 due 7:7 8:18 50:3 55:17 56:18 58:2 59:15 63:10 106:21, 25 108:14 109:7,18 110:6 111:2,5,9,12, 23 112:18,24 113:19 114:19 115:3 119:3, 10,22 120:20 121:4 161:9 162:6 163:22 165:11 167:11 168:5 171:12 174:15 175:12 176:9 190:6 194:4 203:19 204:12, 16 205:2,13 208:8 211:12 212:10,11 220:23 223:6 234:23 240:10 259:19

260:11 262:7 266:24

271:21 274:14 275:7

335:12 337:3,25 338:24 347:8 355:25 356:22,24 357:11 359:2 360:16,17 361:24 364:3 377:12, 23 379:8 381:23 382:10 383:19 390:10 391:24

Dugaboy 4:10 9:18 42:23 43:6 65:11 100:18

duly 10:12

dump 267:3

Dustin 183:2,23 184:7

Dustin's 183:10

duties 25:17,19,22, 25 28:10 30:25 31:4 38:20 219:20 292:4

Е

e.g 171:13

earlier 84:5 86:18 93:12 100:3.5 119:3 130:9 131:18 134:11 136:2 148:21 155:5 162:14 179:7,16 183:12 195:24 206:18 211:15 217:14 218:8 222:19 243:10 259:10 261:21 263:21 269:24 282:24 283:6 289:4,15,20 290:12 302:11 309:11,20 312:20 316:10,13 318:8 325:12 334:6, 14,20 337:18 351:2 357:8 362:25 368:24 370:8,18 371:15 378:5,20 379:4 380:12

earliest 314:8 316:6

early 19:5 173:17 231:22 254:20 323:6 340:21 341:5 344:17 365:20 388:4 390:17

earth 251:23

easier 305:5 373:13 Emanuel 4:19 10:4 East 8:23 employed 14:2,6 23:3 27:15 30:7 easy 265:15 32:10 34:7 89:21 educate 204:9 240:25 322:9 330:14 educated 120:10 employee 24:4 28:20,22 51:22 52:6, education 21:23 17 70:24 71:7 72:12 22:7 115:22 172:17 184:21 325:19 effect 282:16 294:25 388:12,16 344:23 345:4.17 346:11 351:13 363:8 employees 15:15, 19,23 39:12,19 40:6, effective 152:18,24 8,12 51:24 52:14,25 effectively 329:25 57:8,17 86:13 93:22 167:2 237:12 327:15 effectuate 57:8,12 331:2 333:7,16 63:22 360:15 382:9 334:25 335:8 337:24 effort 83:5 376:12,17 346:25 350:22 354:6 369:12 381:22 382:2, efforts 188:16 5,8 eight-figure 389:18 employment 71:19 elaborate 26:12 165:2,3 166:4 167:3 281:4 285:25 electronic 299:2 enabled 360:22 320:15 encompass 379:13 electronically encourage 13:18 298:21 299:23 17:12 320:14,17,23 end 46:19,20 86:17 element 365:23 103:12,13 104:5 Ellington 14:20,22 109:20 118:10 131:4 145:13 148:13 187:8 email 5:21 6:11 68:6 203:7 214:12 220:19, 70:3 170:23 171:3,22 22 221:6 222:12 172:3 174:5 182:20 223:4,14,17 224:13 183:3,22 185:25 242:23 262:15 265:3 187:20 194:10 293:3 326:11 329:18 198:18 206:9.23 348:16 352:7 367:23 207:2,4,5,25 290:19, 379:16 380:9 381:23 25 291:4 292:16 382:10 383:20 293:14,22 294:2 307:23 313:11 ending 93:14 105:18 316:22 320:24 321:3 135:21 218:21 219:7, 329:6 330:7,13 16 221:15,21 242:17 331:11 332:3 341:17 258:21 342:3,7,13,23 350:14 ends 395:7,8 387:11 392:22 enforced 231:12 emails 6:7,8,9 227:13 236:13 English 284:11 299:25 300:7,9

328:15 379:12

Index: Dondero's..ensure

ensure 98:4 330:19 331:3 333:16 346:12

Index: ensuring..filed

			Inde	ex: ensuringfiled
348:4	errors 163:21 167:14	exchanged 45:21	expectation 231:5	fail 12:3 348:5
ensuring 327:20	373:10	exchanges 281:17	expected 200:17,21	failed 338:23 340:2
enter 122:21	Esq 3:6,7,12,13,20, 21 4:4,5,11,18	excuse 14:25 35:23 201:2 249:18 280:9	293:21 expects 203:18	fair 11:23 19:24 44:14 49:7 52:4 93:17
entered 67:7 68:25 104:14,19 122:6 124:5	established 94:2 285:14,20 300:25	323:13 395:8 execute 48:14,22	212:9 experience 101:14	99:13 110:21 118:11, 21,24 119:6,8,9
entire 19:7 192:13	301:7 310:16	executed 49:21	111:16 116:14	120:3,15 121:5 126:8,21 141:18
253:19 264:4	estate 31:12,17 235:11,15	142:10 161:12 221:6 274:2 293:14 303:7	169:10 256:25 257:8 259:13 315:5 337:22	143:23 149:20 224:6 239:16 240:18,21
entirety 130:12,15 entities 16:7 17:23	estimate 20:12 372:4 389:3	executing 317:16	experienced 47:13	241:17 242:6 243:5 244:13,20 245:19
43:13 44:3 54:21,25	et al 5:15	execution 181:9	expert 43:5 143:19 153:7 183:9 257:14	260:10 261:15 262:7
55:4 101:8,15 189:8 278:2 289:19 330:13	evaluate 170:12,14	300:14	explain 356:18	263:5,15 264:25 287:21 370:21
361:13 394:4	evaluated 244:10	executive 35:10,11, 16 36:20,24 37:5,18	explained 364:17	371:18 372:5 374:10
entities' 265:12	evening 353:3	38:3,4,9,14,16,21	explaining 163:15	390:2
entitled 41:8 82:7 110:25 157:16	event 131:2 134:22 138:9 143:9	39:3 78:10 366:24 367:18 386:10	explanation 308:20 311:8	faith 189:8,15 190:9, 12,19 191:3 193:19 194:20 205:8,14,23
entity 15:5 22:20,25	events 103:13	executives 369:3	expressly 390:4,25	206:14,23 208:5
27:18 31:11,23 32:3, 6,11 42:14 109:24 137:13 272:3 289:23	125:16,25 130:4,10, 21 133:8 138:5 242:22 262:14 263:4	exhibit 5:15,16,18, 20,21,22,23,25 6:2,4, 7,8,9,10,11,12,13	391:12 392:5 extended 301:17	familiar 22:20 31:22 32:3 54:6,9 226:13 227:8,18 274:6
302:22 307:8	370:24 371:7,9,13	13:13 91:20,21 104:23,24 135:8,14,	308:21 314:22	fashion 86:11 276:16
entries 240:19	exact 15:24 17:25	15 140:12,13 142:15,	extension 307:8	357:14
entry 131:10 308:3, 14 311:9 332:23	18:13,18,19,22 20:5 30:17 131:20 156:21 274:13 277:17	16 151:20,21 170:18, 19 197:3,9,15 198:2 214:7 215:22,23,25	extent 45:17 55:21 66:15 107:5 126:4	fault 229:17 276:24 277:19
environment 90:3,5, 9,14,20 113:6	278:10	216:3,4,5,7,8,12 218:13,16,17 226:23,	208:6 244:15 296:6 322:6	favor 60:6 62:19 139:17 161:24 261:9
137:18,20	EXAMINATION 5:6, 7,8,9,10,11 10:14	24 236:16,23 237:19 258:18 297:23 302:7,	extra 219:23	feature 301:17 315:8
environments 207:21	266:13 352:25 377:5 387:19 393:19	8 303:14 305:5 306:7 307:18,21 309:2,6	F	February 70:21 226:3,4 228:3,23
equal 49:11 50:23 262:7	examined 298:19	313:9 317:12 328:10, 12,13 338:13,21	face 50:23 120:11	323:9
equaled 263:7	exceed 121:12 190:2 195:19 196:3	341:13,14	144:16 244:3 face-to-face 293:8	Federal 7:19
equals 119:6,9	exceeded 93:25	exhibits 267:10,11 268:9	294:5	feel 81:8,18 176:25 273:13 287:16
equity 273:18 281:25 282:3	194:2 196:9,17 204:19 211:3 301:9	exist 15:12 16:17	facilitated 321:10	371:21 376:11,16 fees 332:12 356:21,
erroneously 197:22	303:9 307:9	114:22	fact 62:7 192:20 236:21 264:24	23
error 125:6,9 131:17,	Excel 368:19	existence 67:15 69:18 80:16 81:6	266:25 275:7 298:4 301:15 313:19	fide 369:25
24 132:3 145:8 165:9 213:12,14 214:5	exceptions 231:2 excerpts 238:16	99:14,20,24 102:3	334:22 338:23	figure 343:10 366:12 367:5
273:11,14 275:14 276:8,25 277:5	excess 94:6 121:12	exists 278:13	341:10 343:19 363:12 394:5,7,17	file 167:8,13 297:2,10
278:5,15 280:12,22	389:13,14	expanding 354:25	facts 111:22 240:6	337:8
283:3 289:6 318:15 385:9,14,19,23	exchange 45:15 212:17 281:17,23	expect 247:19 293:19 333:15	261:25 265:8 375:17 factual 164:9	filed 21:6 197:17 208:18 213:23 215:13 219:23
	TSG Reportir	ng - Worldwide 8	377-702-9580	
	—			

Index: files..funds Frank 5:5 8:10 9:11 226:16 237:2.24 fine 36:8.9 45:10 84:8 43:17 50:6.7 52:8 273:7 277:22 278:19 238:4 244:5 255:17 154:12 269:16 287:2 53:18 54:8 55:10 10:11.18 271:4 305:8 279:8 280:15,23 263:17,19 311:10 353:24 56:11,25 58:25 283:19 284:7,14 395:14 322:2,4,16,21 375:20 59:19,25 60:7,15,17, 285:7,23 286:8,9 finish 11:21 12:2 frankly 232:2 25 61:12 62:11 287:9,18,24 288:8,25 files 312:23 372:21 76:18,24 249:19 63:13,24 64:18,19 289:12 290:9,21 Fred 249:21 295:11 filing 45:25 240:4,17 65:12,20 66:11,24 291:23 292:19 Friday 208:18 246:3 249:9 256:20 fired 388:9 67:10,17,25 69:7 293:15,23 296:13 329:18,25 297:6 298:22 299:15 264:15 75:6,10 78:20 79:4, firm 10:21 14,20 80:2,12 82:24 300:15 302:2,25 front 110:12 122:12 filings 237:14 fiscal 103:14 104:6 83:25 85:15 86:2 303:2,10,20 305:10 140:16 279:21 281:7 91:4 94:3 96:11,20 filled 257:13 306:15,24 307:3,13 131:4 294:9 301:11 302:9 97:5 99:17 107:15,16 310:2,11,19,24 305:4 309:7 343:6 finalized 88:7 89:12 fit 42:24 108:5 110:11 111:24 311:12 312:16,19 230:23 frozen 144:9 112:20 113:20 314:14 315:9,23 flip 331:8 332:20 finance 25:21 26:2,4, 114:20 115:5,7 316:23 317:17,24 frustration 364:17 fluctuate 93:10 117:6,18 119:12,13 318:6,17,23 319:23 8,17 28:15 38:23 full 189:8,15 190:9, 120:16,17 122:10,16 320:6,18 322:5,23 127:25 128:16 129:6 fluctuates 94:10 12,19 191:2 193:19 124:6,23 127:19 326:24 327:6,24 280:7 290:14 351:3 focus 242:14 313:3 194:19 205:8,14,23 132:16 133:23 134:8 330:21 331:5 333:10, financial 5:18 6:2 206:14,23 208:5 142:5,7 143:10 144:8 18,20 334:10 335:4 folks 46:15,24 87:25 14:12 26:24 27:5 241:15 372:20 145:2 146:13 147:5, 336:14 338:3,5 152:10 197:23 293:5 41:7 48:2 84:17,23 12 149:5,22 150:10, 339:16 340:18 341:3 fully 13:4,7 17:4 321:15 85:3.13.19 88:11 17 153:18,19 154:2,4 342:21 345:12,19 364:19 90:2,7 93:24 95:14 follow 61:17 151:15 155:11 156:17 346:22 347:14,19,21 96:7 100:12 102:7, fulsome 265:8 391:18 158:15 159:17 160:2, 348:10.11.12 351:15 10.12 104:21 105:17 3,12,18,20 167:5 353:11,15 354:4 follow-up 171:7 function 26:8,20 107:22 112:2 113:7, 168:8 178:21 180:3, 355:5,9,15,21 356:3, 28:16 87:3 89:4 14 114:18 120:8,18 footnote 244:8 5,11,13 181:5,11 14 357:6,15,22 351:3 122:11 130:23 260:20,23 261:15,17 183:15 184:6,20 358:16,22 359:4,11 133:22,25 135:20 371:22 fund 3:18 9:22 22:21 185:2,18,24 191:5,23 360:3,11,25 363:16, 138:3,4,9 143:8 33:23 35:2,6,18,19, 193:21 194:5,6,23 22 364:10 365:6 footnotes 261:17 176:21,24 179:16,23 21 39:7 42:22 44:7 195:21,22 196:5,12, 366:15,25 367:16,25 263:11 180:15 196:22 201:8 58:15 125:2,3,6,7,10, 13,18 200:20 201:25 369:4,8,17 370:3,25 211:7.21 217:24 forbearance 134:5, 11 127:10,13 145:16 202:25 203:23 371:10,25 372:22 218:3.19.24 219:6 16 152:14 176:18 204:14,23 205:3,9, 373:4,20 374:11 221:14 222:24 202:14 203:14 11,15,16,25 206:8,21 375:3 376:20 379:10 forgave 49:23 51:23 224:10 235:17 215:14 273:17,23 208:10.12 209:9 380:25 381:14 52:12 93:20 241:24 242:3,15,16 274:16 275:2,4,6,8, 210:24 211:4,13 384:13 386:14 254:9 258:25 260:3 10,13 276:7,9,10 forgivable 369:2 212:13,21,22 213:11, 388:19 389:5,15 261:20 262:5 264:11, 277:5,9,21 279:19 25 220:10,15 221:2 391:4 393:24 394:10, forgive 51:10,15,20 13 270:10,14 271:2 280:2 281:2,9,10,11, 222:13 225:10,24 11,19 52:5 284:9 286:18 301:11 12,13,15,20,23,25 227:22 228:9 229:24 formal 231:20 302:19 306:4 311:25 282:7 283:23 308:4 forgiven 52:18 53:11 231:9,18 232:10 312:5,6 370:9,12,17 325:22 94:11 366:23 367:23 234:6 235:23 236:10 format 293:14 378:24 369:13 238:11 239:3,14,21, fund's 274:23 forms 257:13 22 240:16,23 242:24, financials 6:5 forgiveness 52:22 funding 202:8 25 246:19,25 247:14, formulating 184:3 137:16 168:19 176:8, 93:23 94:8 365:23 15,16 248:4 249:5 16 179:8 186:15,16 funds 32:22,25 33:2, 369:16,20,24 formulation 386:24 250:11,22 251:11 187:2 189:23.25 4,8,12,15,16,18,22 forgot 282:8 253:12 254:6,7 forthcoming 335:18 200:10,12 218:12 34:5,8,13,18,23 255:11.23 256:18 241:13,15,21 242:2 35:12,15,17,18 form 20:4,20 22:14 forward 79:17 257:6,11,15,20 246:9 247:5 36:21,25 37:4,9,17 25:15 29:21 31:16 376:25 260:14 262:19 263:9 38:2.5.10.17.22 39:4 33:9 34:20 40:10 find 53:23 268:10 265:4 269:7 270:15, found 53:17 66:12 145:15 160:8 168:10 41:4,12,24 42:11,12 283:17 315:4 22 271:8,18 272:9,17

> TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

125:6 140:15 394:8

171:15 173:2,6,10,

13.16.20.23 184:11 192:16.24 193:4.6. 11,16 253:19 277:4,8 281:15 284:5 285:3 286:17 287:5 328:5 332:7,12 360:9 361:6,11,13

future 171:12 174:16 175:13 185:17 337:4 369:13 371:7,9,13,20 381:17 388:23

G

GAAP 94:15 101:5, 16 109:10 130:21 131:23 241:13,15,20, 25 242:4.11 246:9 254:10 256:23 264:10 372:7

gaming 239:9

garbling 46:14

gave 45:14 57:11 149:2 197:23 205:6 215:10 312:21 343:9, 17

gears 321:25 324:20

gen 85:16

general 11:17 32:20 57:5 84:25 88:18 97:15,17 128:9,25 152:4,6,7,8 170:7 180:7 195:15 251:13 258:10 292:10 297:4 378:10 392:11

generally 54:9 61:2 62:14 64:2 80:23 84:24 87:6 89:7 95:8, 10,25 97:7 128:7,8, 19,24 129:8,13 162:2,3,8 170:6 180:14 187:19 189:20 192:11 194:8, 9,14 195:4 226:13 234:14 241:13 253:14,16,23 278:22, 24 279:3 290:2 297:16 311:3 333:25 334:2 337:4 356:12 357:13,18 358:20 366:7 372:18,24

379:11,12 387:2 389:10	268
give 12:25 20:11 35:5,9 38:15 45:4 49:6 51:7 86:15 96:15 97:17 116:14 117:4 123:12,13 150:8 159:22 163:17 193:25 235:25 236:6 267:8 300:8 348:21 356:9 357:9 372:9	half 280 377 half han
giving 16:19 26:14 51:3 150:11 194:20 312:22 369:2	hano hano hap
Global 35:18,20 124:25 273:17 281:8, 22	156 22 265
go-ahead 293:2	hap
goal 295:19 God 156:19	156 174 273 320
good 7:3 10:19 72:16 118:5 156:19 248:11 251:3 324:22 353:3	hap 231
385:5	hap
governed 33:4	200
great 32:8 105:3 183:5 300:6 325:5	harc 123 286
ground 11:17	HAR
group 14:5,7,24 15:4,14 16:14 29:23	Haro
146:5 148:24 149:2 150:15 154:19 172:13 258:13	harn 278
290:16 291:9 292:2	Harr
294:18 295:9,13,22 378:16	Hart
groups 295:21	hat
grow 88:23 89:4,9	Hato
guess 73:3 169:22	Hay
170:20 204:17	HCF
225:25 263:22 315:25 361:9	HCN 156 360
guidance 94:12,17 257:7,9,14	HCN
guy 25:21 81:19 88:25	HCN 180
guys 77:3 157:3	

8:12 HCMF's 303:18 н 72:16 145:10 0:20 324:23 7:21 way 48:18 **d** 361:6 dle 291:14 dled 147:18 pen 141:14 6:15 165:2,15,18, 168:25 248:21 5:14 284:13 pened 87:23 6:22 165:17 4:19 247:3,5 3:15 286:4 294:3 01:10 pening 165:21 1:6 242:10 382:25 **py** 117:4 188:19 0:10 215:7 389:25 **d** 13:11,16 104:11 3:16 197:23 6:19 **RDD** 3:22 dt 3:22 9:20 med 276:16 8:5 r 9:20 tmann 3:13 9:10 336:4 ch 7:4 8:21 ley 3:7 D 332:6 42:22 45:5 6:12 354:13 355:7 0:23 362:7,20 **MA** 186:18 **MF** 30:10 43:12 0:22 303:18

HCMFA 5:20 6:2 22:25 23:3,6,11,16, 22,24 24:6,9,11,13, 15,21 25:2,8,14,18, 24 26:3,5,8,22,24 27:4,5 29:3,6 31:5 32:18 43:12 54:14 100:23 122:2,7,13 124:14,21 131:11 132:6 133:12,21 134:11,14 135:6,21 23 137:22 138:8.14 139:18 140:4.21 141:9,16 142:2,24 143:18 144:22 152:24 153:17 155: 10 156:4,13 158:4,1 161:7,17 171:13 172:20 176:14 178:12 179:13 180:22,23 181:2,8, 14,15 182:8,17 184:25 186:9,18 187:3.17 189:14.24 195:19 198:21 199: 12,14,19 201:15 202:7,12,14 203:14 18 204:2,11,24 205:7,19 210:2,7 211:10 212:9.18 213:8 218:8 221:20 241:23 242:6 267:2 268:21 269:2,5,25 272:5,6,15,24 273:5 275:16 276:23 277: 18 278:16,18 279:7 280:11,21 284:5 301:9 303:6 305:25 308:14,20 310:10,1 313:15 314:7 316:6 318:14 322:3 325:1 18 331:13 332:5,17 370:20 384:18 385: 12,16 386:4 HCMFA's 26:10 121:12,21 122:11

124:3 137:3,10 138:3,19 143:8 196:16 202:14 204:15.19 211:2 303:8 384:21 386:2

HCMLP 5:18,22 6:1 13 171:13 176:9

I	ndex: futureheld
,	185:16 314:7 316:5 317:6 341:19 355:12, 18,20 356:2 357:3, 13,19 358:4,13,20, 21,25 359:2,8,24 360:17 384:19
	HCMLP's 228:22 356:12
, :7, 12	HCMS 4:3 9:15 30:4, 6,7,10,13,16,20,22, 24 31:3 43:12 44:8,9 54:14 65:6 100:24 128:23 129:2,4 353:9,14 354:6,20,21 355:8,13,19 356:2 357:21 358:15 359:2, 8 361:5,15,20,25 362:4 364:3,25 383:19,25 385:7 393:21 394:7
	HCMS' 356:13 357:13
Ļ	HCMS's 359:13,19
:6,	HCRA 4:2 9:15
24 5 ;3,	HCRE 31:8,14,15 32:4,7,10,12,15 43:18 44:7 54:14 65:6 100:24 128:4,5, 11,14 353:9,14 354:3 357:20 358:4,14,21 359:23,24 360:17 361:5,15,19,25 362:2,5,9,22,25 363:4,20 384:3 393:21 394:7
6	HCRE's 360:5,9,14, 21
; 2,	head 87:13,16 278:3 364:15
:7,	headquartered 8:22
	hear 46:13,20 148:12 304:3 364:16
2	heard 27:9 29:25 31:7 74:22 77:25 78:21,23 79:6,7 80:4, 5,7,22 100:6 268:5 285:3 324:15 386:16, 19,22
· <i>د</i> ,	held 8:16 27:20 30:9, 12 37:8 107:12

Index: Heller..individuals

			Index: H	lellerindividuals
172:25 173:5 174:3	160:10 161:8,24	41:7 44:24 46:6	hours 249:24 260:17	12,18 342:23 392:21
183:6 244:4	165:7 167:3,9,14,15	47:16,24,25 50:19	HR 280:7 355:2	inability 299:12
Heller 4:12 9:16	172:14,17 175:13 176:15 178:19,23	51:10,15,20 52:19 55:16 57:8,17 72:6	357:25	inaccurate 112:25
Hendrix 172:7	179:13,22 180:2,9	84:10,17 86:23 89:22	Hunter 244:23 245:2,	116:21 117:2,17
186:21 230:20 292:3	181:21 182:2,6,11,15	94:22 95:6 102:7,10	6 247:11 260:22	136:18 138:20,24
328:17,20 329:13	186:9,11 198:20	107:14,22,25 109:20	021111200.22	256:16
330:14 332:15	199:5,11,18 200:13	113:9 115:23 116:2,3		
341:18,24 350:18	201:15 202:7,12,13	137:15 138:3 139:17		inaudible 48:14
362:24 365:13	203:13 204:12,16,25	146:10 165:2,3 166:4		148:10
377:11,22	205:13 209:25 210:6	167:3 187:2 210:22	idea 150:2 154:21	include 26:9 106:25
	211:9,11 212:8,11,16	222:24 225:8,15,23	156:23 201:24	189:7 230:3 259:24
hey 45:3 188:6	215:14,17 216:22	230:5 241:24 242:15	232:14	263:3 305:7 351:18
266:17 312:24 351:14 365:4 391:23	218:8 219:21 220:19	243:4 247:22 255:7	Ideally 211:17	355:3,8,13 368:17
351.14 305.4 391.23	222:2,17 223:5,24	258:5 260:12 262:5	_	included 54:17 81:4
high 126:25 238:2	225:9,21 226:2,3,9	266:19 370:21 379:8	identical 298:11,13	108:4,7,19 175:13
279:11	227:5,20 228:8	381:22,25 382:5,8	299:20 380:13	176:23 183:21
higher 110:19	229:2,6,9,21 230:3,	hindsight 265:6,11,	identified 36:21	194:18 200:12
-	10 232:22 233:24	15	37:5,10 38:6,11,18	213:22 217:23 222:2
Highland 3:4,18 8:11	234:17 237:12,16		45:2 47:19 81:14	232:14 239:11
9:6,22 10:22 11:6	240:7 241:2,7 242:6,	hint 346:15	229:2,7 259:5,23	243:12 251:15
15:16,23 18:6,7,12,	9 243:4 244:4,22,25 245:5 247:7 248:23	hit 86:9	identify 35:14 42:14	252:12 312:12 315:8,
25 20:3 21:6 22:21	253:18 258:10 259:2	hold 21:12,16 23:5,8	52:17 57:23 58:6	21 326:18 336:22
30:2 35:17,18 39:10, 15,19,23,24,25 40:3,	260:4 261:9 263:17	25:13 27:17 34:15	60:10 61:3 62:25	includes 172:3
4,7,25 41:18 42:6,10,	264:18 267:7 271:2,	37:3,15,16,21 39:6	188:24 191:18,25	
18,21 43:11,16,23	15,23 272:20 273:4,	163:12,25 167:12	264:24	including 132:11
44:6 45:2,14,25 46:7	16 275:16,21 279:18,	184:9 192:15 193:9	identity 102.10 102.2	178:15 253:24
47:16 48:6,14,24	19,25 280:2,12,14,20	199:13 212:24 308:5	identity 102:19 103:3	335:21 366:21 368:6
49:5,10,17,23 50:4,	281:8,22 282:17,23	329:10 387:12	imagine 136:3	income 35:18 179:17
10,14,16,21 51:6,10,	283:5,17 284:5	holding 27:25	immaterial 53:3	
15,20,23 52:5,11,18	285:18 286:21 287:6,	holding 37:25	94:19	incomplete 112:25 116:22 117:2
53:9,10,24 54:11	15 288:7 289:7,8	holds 184:14,18		110.22 117.2
55:23 58:15,16,20	290:16 297:3,16	holidays 329:23	immediately 347:8	incorporated 153:9
60:6 61:20 62:8,19	299:24 302:20,24	-	impact 275:9,11,12	incumbency 5:20
63:8,20 64:7 70:20,	303:6,17 307:7 308:4	home 191:17 207:15,	-	151:25 152:5,9,13
24 71:7,10,18 72:13	313:6 318:10,13 319:11 320:4 322:10	19 219:19 299:6,9	impacted 229:8	154:20 159:19
78:18 84:22 85:2	323:8 325:22,24	342:25 343:2 348:16	230:4	183:11 270:3
86:13,22 87:8,18 93:20 94:5 95:13	329:3 330:16,19	393:7	impacting 228:21	in our and 200,40
96:7 103:2 105:17	333:22 338:17 339:3,	honest 144:3	229:3	incurred 336:12 385:18
107:12 109:22,23	11,13,25 346:11,19,	honestly 78:4	impairment 240:21,	
110:8 112:8 115:21	24 347:12,17 348:2,4	198:22	24 241:3,5,11	independent 72:7
116:4 118:23 120:8	350:14,20 353:7,8,13		important 11.01.05	166:22 233:7,12,15
121:16,20 122:23	354:2,6,19 359:16,	hope 82:2 262:22	important 11:21,25 12:25	348:3
123:21 124:13,20,25	17,18,21,25 360:7,8,	hoping 300:7	12.20	indirectly 15:6 16:7
125:3 126:11,23	13 361:19,20 362:4	Horn 4:11 10 7:00	impossible 299:7	17:24 42:8,16 43:14
127:2,16,25 128:5,	363:4 366:4,14	Horn 4:11,12 7:22 9:16,17 349:10,13,	impression 363:12,	88:3
10,15,20,23 129:2,6	367:10 368:4,6,25	22,25 392:15 394:25	18,24	individual 11:3
132:5 133:10,16,20	369:11 370:13,19 374:9 377:13,23			271:24 272:25
134:13 136:2 137:7,	379:19 380:3,24	Houlihan 273:23	in- 294:9	300:10,12
11,21 138:8,11 140:21 141:9,18,23	381:12 384:21,22,23	274:3,10,25	in-house 164:7	
140.21 141.9,18,23	385:8,13 390:11	hour 46:24 72:16	in-person 12:22	individually 9:11
145:17,19 146:5		324:23 387:9	191:15 283:9,12	16:10
149:19 152:13	Highland's 18:10,17		290:20 292:16 323:4,	individuals 15:15
	19:3 39:10,15 40:25			
L	1	1	1	

			Inde	ex: indulgingL.P.
276:21 285:18	instructions 256:7	investment 4:10	January 70:16	key 275:20
indulging 353:5	347:25	9:18 32:21 65:11	163:7,8 219:11,13,17	kidding 330:23
inform 190:18	insufficient 122:8	127:17,24,25 128:12, 14,16 129:3 244:23	258:20 326:8 341:6, 18 344:2 350:5,9,13,	kind 168:2 188:12
210:19 211:2 335:16	insurance 385:17,22	245:3,6 247:11	24 351:20 361:25	221:12 222:23
336:6 337:24	386:2	273:24 274:15	362:3,7 364:8 365:2,	245:22 250:5 274:16
information 16:14	insured's 385:25	279:21	15 383:23	276:4 323:6 329:22, 23 337:8 353:13
80:19 86:10 88:13 91:12 112:5,17	intend 208:6	investments 128:17 129:5,6 249:23	Jason 185:3 275:24	356:10 358:13,14
117:14,15 169:11,13	intended 111:21	investors 275:10	Jim 4:2 9:14 15:6 16:8 19:8 43:15,24	380:23
171:20 176:8,15,22	214:19 288:23 315:5,	276:12 277:4,8,21	44:5 50:11,25 65:16	kinds 353:6 354:2
179:16,17,18 188:8 206:3,5 218:20 230:3	19	281:18	67:6,22 68:18 71:23	357:2
236:7 237:13 257:18	intending 16:25 146:16	invoices 185:10	72:2 75:24 78:9,16 82:22 123:3,20	Kirschner 4:16 10:5
274:4 312:10,24 344:19 368:11		involve 366:13	189:9,16 190:10,13,	Klinger 7:12 8:24
	intent 306:14 318:20, 25 380:10	involved 237:10	15 205:9 206:23	Klos 87:20 124:9
informed 69:18 178:17 190:24 210:5	intention 81:11	245:2 264:17 274:14	232:18 273:2 280:19 342:5,7 351:5,10,14	172:6 230:19 275:23 292:3,18 389:9
211:9 212:8 288:15	307:11	275:15,21 276:21	362:8,10 363:8,18	
335:16,23 378:7	interest 50:3 55:25	288:4 292:6,13 293:6 354:10	365:3 367:8 368:22	knew 68:18 103:9,10 369:2
informing 64:13	56:22 57:18 58:2	issuance 131:5	379:25 381:9	knock 364:19,20
181:25 182:11 330:5	59:14,17 60:13 62:18	138:10,18 371:14	Jim's 367:22	knowable 371:14
initially 132:17 185:5	63:2,10 64:5,15,22 119:10,16,21 120:22	issue 54:7 178:14	job 25:19,25 42:3	
247:3	162:6 163:22 164:25	191:13 201:25	219:20 313:2	knowledge 43:10 46:5 47:23 48:12,21
initiated 58:10	165:11 167:11 168:5 217:17 223:18	204:10 208:24	John 3:6 7:24 9:4 10:20 45:3 46:13,18	49:9 50:13 52:5,10
initiating 58:12	273:18 334:9	issued 56:16 60:5	69:13 74:8 76:15,17	53:10 62:5 63:12,16,
initiative 290:5	interests 55:17	62:19 65:8,18 66:8 81:13 82:15,20 93:13	77:10 95:17 105:23	21,23 79:23 80:10 81:5 82:7,8 89:23
ink 296:22,25 298:4		107:13,20 108:2	129:18 146:13 154:7 155:18 157:6 177:6	93:23 95:3 98:17
ink-signed 297:3,10	internal 271:17	131:11 132:6 133:12	192:18 208:13 216:2	101:13,19 102:2,25
inquiries 160:9	internally 275:16 329:2	161:23 162:5 180:10 218:25 223:7,17	222:14 236:18	106:25 111:16 112:6 138:16 144:24 147:8
inquiry 210:12	interpret 119:8	233:8 234:10,15	267:18 304:6 308:7 353:18 385:3 387:10,	153:13 167:8,22
274:16,17 376:6	•	235:4,9,21 236:8 242:8 243:7 261:9	16	184:10 188:15 189:9
inside 187:22	interpreted 74:20	262:6 263:6	join 18:12 317:25	194:13 206:5 207:8 208:2 225:5,12
insolvent 302:22	interrupt 375:23	issues 113:5 248:14	Jones 3:8 9:5 10:21	226:21 232:21,23,24
installment 217:2	interrupted 76:25	306:19	72:10	237:11 243:4 259:22 322:19 324:17
	interrupting 77:16,	item 108:19 111:11,	July 307:24 317:9	384:18
instance 52:12 57:16 60:10 377:16	18 interviewed 322:18,	18 112:4 119:2,16 123:6 185:21 220:5	jumping 234:18	Kopf 3:22 9:20
instances 335:25	22	238:20 259:18,24	June 92:2 98:17	Kristin 172:7 186:21
instruct 133:6 164:3	intimately 292:6,13	items 53:3 97:21	99:25 103:2 132:25 135:2 137:6 176:10,	230:20 292:3,12 328:17 342:11 343:8
210:10,13,19 381:25	intimidate 376:13,17	100:9 112:3 131:21	24 186:16 200:7	350:17,18 362:23
instructed 77:15	introduce 9:2	149:8 228:21 229:3,7 230:4,7 249:22 260:6	242:23 262:16,24	365:13
147:8,9,23 241:19 291:7,8 315:7 362:8			263:13 265:3	<u> </u>
381:22	invalid 136:24 235:5	J	К	L
instruction 8:6	investigations 275:17			L.P. 3:19 8:12 9:6,23
57:11 74:14,23	210.11	James 234:11 271:25 273:2	Karesa 249:21	10:22 11:7 15:16,23

Index: La..made

				Index: Lamade
18:7 41:19 42:10,18	learning 75:3 83:23	levels 274:2	94:10 126:16,17	18 134:6 136:2
43:16 58:17,21			128:15 142:5 145:6	158:5,14,19,24
109:23 110:9 152:14	leave 157:23 321:13,	liabilities 5:24	203:13 225:13,20	159:5,10,16,24
	15,20	175:17,22 190:2,6		
172:15 271:3 279:20		194:2 195:19 196:2,	254:3 270:20 271:5,	160:17,25 198:19
325:25 329:3	led 342:7 348:4	8,17 204:19 211:3	15 272:7,8,16,21	201:8 220:9,13 222:5
La 4:24 104:25 118:3	ledger 258:10	220:4 237:20,23	284:5 285:5,6,11	224:2 262:8 265:8
129:16 135:10		242:12 301:8 303:9	303:6 318:15 331:14	316:10,13
	leeway 16:13 208:14		332:9,14,17,24 333:3	loop 110:2 201:10
177:14 218:14	_	307:9 317:8	335:12 357:2,13	loop 148:3 294:19
labeled 8:9	left 20:2 70:20 71:10,	liability 175:14	358:20,25 359:2,9,25	Lord 248:11
	18 87:8,18 164:25	217:24 276:24	361:18 362:22 363:8,	
laborious 145:12	165:3 166:4 167:3	277:19 280:21	13,19 364:3,9,25	losses 276:7,11
laid 56:6	226:2,3 232:22	211.10 200.21	365:16 393:23	lot 55:4 143:24
	296:17	liable 306:12,21	000.10 000.20	
landline 393:7		307:11 317:21	loaned 39:11,16,19	147:16 162:15 188:3
	legal 7:5 8:21 25:5		41:2 43:23 48:14	208:13 225:4 245:21
language 101:4	29:23 42:19 43:5,7,8	license 21:22,25	50:10 124:14,17,20	248:16 249:11 278:2
315:21 316:2,3	44:17,21 45:4,9,17	22:2,8,9	126:23 128:10 202:7	286:22 289:24
large 297:22 270:22	46:11 47:20 48:3,16,	licenses 21:13,16,21		307:15 320:9 349:19
large 287:22 379:22	25 49:13 55:21 107:5	22:12,16	loaning 39:24 307:7	364:14 373:12,13
largely 11:18	126:4 127:11 142:6	22.12,10	317:6	
	143:15,19 146:5,10	life 377:2		lots 169:12,13 373:8
larger 356:8 357:10	147:3,9,24 148:2,4,5		loans 40:4 41:8,23	Louisiana 4:14
late 323:6 379:21	149:7,11,15,24,25	light 293:2 299:11	42:9 44:10,12,16	
387:9 388:3 390:17	150:20 153:2,7,8,12	lighting 35:25	45:15 46:8 47:18,25	love 252:17
007.0000.0000.17	154:8,19 155:12,13,		48:6 51:11,23 52:22,	lower 274:2
launching 127:10	15,23 156:2,6 157:8	list 83:12 100:9	25 61:9,25 91:2 94:6,	
Lauren 171:4 183:24	164:12 167:20	243:17 245:16,24	8 105:8 125:24	LP 3:17 9:21
	172:13 183:9 193:16	246:6,23 247:10,25	126:10,11 128:15	lunch 139:25 150:22
192:5,12 275:24	212:25 280:8 290:16	249:2 250:10 251:22	129:10 131:2 202:14,	
Lauren's 185:9		254:3 317:9 377:22	17 225:6,7 255:8,14	158:6,14,20,25
	291:9,13,16 294:18,		259:24 271:11,20	159:6,11,16,24
law 12:11 306:9,11,	20 295:5,8,13,22	listed 214:6 238:8	273:6 287:7,8	lured 231:4
20	296:4 322:18,22	311:10 312:3 330:13	288:22,23 317:6	
Lawn 4:7	351:18,21 354:8	371:8	318:4 331:22 333:12.	
	legitimate 348:18	listen 40:14 47:8	14 335:13 355:19	M
lawsuit 55:8 164:13		114:13 144:14	365:23 369:3,11,14	
181:22 375:19 376:6,	lender 273:4		370:10,15	Madam 268:8
23	landing 107-0 000-04	223:12		
	lending 127:2 302:21	listening 40:18	log 393:12	made 15:14 46:8
lawsuits 53:25 54:7,	303:17	252:7	logging 205-2	47:18 48:6,9 49:24
10,12 322:15	lengthy 105:15		logging 395:3	51:11,16,21 52:6,13
lawyer 73:19 154:15		lists 152:10	logical 291:20	56:8,14,22 57:6,25
157:7 164:5 266:16	letter 91:16 92:2	litigation 4:17 10:5,6	309:23 315:4	58:4,11 60:21 61:4,
373:24 375:16	93:13 95:5,16 96:9	16:12,20 17:3 267:15		11,19,22 62:2,4,18
575.24 575.10	98:23 99:2,3,7,11,16,	373:23 374:5 375:25	logically 310:9	63:2 64:5,9,14,22
lawyers 147:20	21,25 103:7,14,18,23	010.20 014.0 010.20	logistically 321:10	78:5 98:19 121:24
374:3	104:8 106:11,15	LLC 31:8 32:4		122:2,9,15,21,22
	130:8 135:25 136:7,		Lokey 273:23 274:3,	124:4 125:25 127:21,
lead 269:15	17 262:16,22,25	LLP 9:13 354:13	10,25	24 128:14 129:12
leading 269:8	lettere 04:01 05:11	loan 40:7 42:18 43:16	long 192:8 233:4	130:9 131:4 134:17
	letters 94:21 95:11	45:21 48:8,15,23		139:9,13,16 148:5
learn 65:15 67:15	96:19,22 97:3,8,13,	49:4,10,17,24 50:4,	333:14 353:4 385:3	159:9 161:4 162:10,
89:4,9 104:13	19,25 98:9 136:14	15,20,24 51:2,5,16,	long-term 333:17	11,18 163:6,10,21
learned 66:16 69:2.0	level 22:11 53:7	21 52:6,13,17,22	_	
learned 66:16 68:3,8,	92:25 93:5,25 238:2	53:11 60:21 61:3,10,	longer 282:2 380:15	165:10 168:2 182:15
11 69:25 70:6,10,15,	279:11 287:23 288:4	19,22,23 62:9 63:10,	381:11	190:14 195:10 202:6,
19,23 71:17 76:2	210.11201.20200.4	15,22 64:10 93:20	looked 101:2 111:12,	13 203:14 217:14
80:19 90:19 340:17		10,22 04.10 90.20		225:7 242:6 245:8,9
1				

			Index: M	adisonmistakenly
261:19 262:24 271:21 288:21 295:17,23 299:7 306:12 314:2 315:15 316:21 317:15 327:16 328:5,8 334:22 335:3 343:19 345:11 350:23 351:8, 20 358:20 359:7,14, 20 360:2,17,23 361:25 362:2,4,6,12, 16,18,22 363:7 364:25 365:10,14,15 373:19 378:8 379:16, 23 382:22 383:23 384:6,7,9,11,20 385:16,21,25 386:2 390:21 394:8,18 Madison 4:20 maintain 90:5 maintaining 258:5 make 36:4 41:25 42:3 57:17 63:9,14 74:3 83:2,5 86:8 88:16	216:19 305:18 makes 123:16 153:3, 22 345:17 making 40:7 41:22 88:6 160:9 282:22 293:4 335:9 337:20 343:4 344:23 345:4 363:9,14 381:3,6 manage 26:7,17 28:15 38:23 116:11 354:11 managed 113:24 125:2 332:13 361:12 management 3:5,18 5:16 8:12 9:6,22 10:22 11:7 15:16,23 18:7 22:21 26:20 30:2 41:19 42:10,18, 22 43:11,16 44:6 58:15,17,20 70:21 90:21 91:16 94:21 95:4,16 96:8,18,21, 23 97:2,8,12,19,24 20 05 40:24	237:18 258:18 297:23 302:8 307:21 309:6 328:13 338:13 341:14 market 119:6,9 120:15 240:21 241:17 242:7 243:5 265:11 274:2 371:15 matching 222:22 material 53:12 104:5 111:22 112:3 113:11 131:6 133:25 171:11 174:14 185:15,21 274:15 materiality 52:24 53:7,16,20 92:18,20 93:2,5,8 94:2,7,9 98:15 104:7 117:11, 13 materially 274:23 materials 175:4 maternity 321:12,14,	meeting 68:5 70:2 168:21,23 169:15 189:7 192:9 206:12 231:20 283:9,10 290:20 300:23 323:11 meetings 184:8 323:20 members 89:2 166:23 191:21 192:3 233:16 memorialized 75:9 memory 95:23 133:2,5 224:11,16 314:20 319:17 331:17 mental 260:15 mentioned 131:17, 20273:10 281:2 282:12,15 289:15 351:12 368:24	adisonmistakenly 270:20 271:6,7,15,16 272:7,8,16 273:6 277:11,12,16,20 278:6,7 282:14,15 283:18 287:15 288:6, 20 302:22 303:6,18, 24 304:11,20 305:23 306:2 307:7 308:16, 20,21,22 309:25 310:17,23 311:2 315:13 317:6,10 318:14 334:7,19 336:13,23 337:25 339:4 340:10 341:23 343:19 344:5 345:11 350:7 351:22 389:13, 14 million-plus 289:22 millions 260:19 mind 19:20 59:23 60:4 160:6 161:17 198:18 305:17,21 394:16 mine 268:15
57:17 63:9,14 74:3	23 97:2,8,12,19,24	maternity 321:12,14,	351:12 368:24	
89:21 96:14 112:16 117:10 123:23	98:9,25 103:17,22 104:8 106:11,15 109:23 110:9 125:3	20 math 109:17 110:13	met 268:3 methodology	minimal 86:4,5,17
127:16,18,21 129:5 136:16,23 145:6 157:17 164:19	128:20 130:8 135:24 136:6,13 152:14	221:8 239:24 260:15 311:7	274:18 Michael 4:5 9:24	minute 129:19 174:8 372:9
176:19 177:7,12 187:3,17 198:20 199:19 200:14	172:14 215:14,17 232:13,15 262:16,21, 22,25 271:3 279:18, 19,22 280:2,3 308:4	matter 236:21 260:9 matters 313:5 maturity 314:22	Michelle 3:13 9:9 151:7 micromanage 337:6	minutes 53:23 139:24 174:21 176:4 182:23 266:20 267:3 325:3,4 348:25
209:25 210:7,16,23 243:5 272:21 277:24 285:9 288:10,13	325:22,24 330:16 332:12 354:7,19	315:6,7 Mckenzie 3:14 9:9	micromanaging 89:3	372:12 misapplication
294:18 295:20 296:5 297:8 303:4 315:11	359:16,18 360:7,9,14 manager 116:9	meaning 231:3	mid 341:5 366:9,10	163:15 misremembering
317:5 318:4 324:14 325:2 331:22 332:7	230:16,22 291:12 managers 88:23,24	274:18 276:12 290:13 296:4	middle 119:19 121:8, 9 152:21	229:13
334:8 338:23 340:2 342:9 344:20 346:12, 20 25 348:5 350:19	230:18 274:5 292:2	meanings 41:14 means 33:23 41:11	milestones 66:3,9, 12,22 69:2 76:3 79:2,	missed 339:12,14 340:7
20,25 348:5 350:19 351:6,14 358:7,25 359:9,10,15 360:6,	managing 88:21 292:5	118:17 284:16,22 329:19 366:3	9 84:4	misspeak 301:23
10,23 361:6 362:6,7, 9,17 363:3 365:4	Marc 4:16 10:5 March 14:8 323:6	meant 186:8 301:24	million 92:22 119:23 121:3,6 124:17 121:12 122:2 8	misspoke 301:21 336:16
367:21 373:12 377:17 380:23 381:11,17,22 382:2,	mark 49:18,21 232:18 268:15	measurement 240:18 mechanic 155:13,16	131:13 132:2,8 138:12 140:14 141:9, 18 142:19 143:12 144:6,17,23 161:25	mistake 61:17 139:9, 13,16 159:9 161:4 162:11,19 165:9 181:10 306:23 314:2
6,14,19 384:24 390:5,7 391:2,12,20 392:6,12	marked 91:21 104:24 135:13,15 142:16 151:21 170:10 107:0	media 8:9	178:19 216:17 217:16,19 220:13,20,	316:22 317:15,22
maker 140:20 142:25	151:21 170:19 197:9 215:23 216:7 218:17 226:24 236:23	meet 194:3 323:3 378:25	24 221:7 223:7,17 224:2 238:9 239:10, 12,24 268:22,23	mistaken 304:14 mistakenly 167:10

APP 689

			Inde	x: mistakesNorth
168:4 214:11	82:10,13 91:8,18,22,	18 334:6,10,21 335:4	naively 263:23	161:23 162:5,7,11,18
mistakes 384:19	24 92:9,11,14 95:9	338:3 339:16 340:18	named 44:3 376:23	163:23 164:6 165:11
	105:4,25 110:22	342:21 345:12,19		167:7,8,13,15 168:2,
mode 283:9	114:11 118:2 129:15, 21,24 130:14,18	346:22 347:14,19 348:12 351:15 352:4,	names 229:11 300:8 309:19 311:25 312:4,	6 171:13 172:21 176:14 178:12,17,18,
model 274:3	135:5,10,13 136:9	8,16,18 353:11,15,19	13 375:20	22 179:13 182:3,12
moment 117:4	137:24 139:20	354:4 355:5,9,15,21		184:21,23 186:9,21
198:10 222:5 224:3	140:11,23 142:14	356:3,14 357:6,15,22	Nancy 4:2 9:14 65:9, 17 66:7 67:6,22	189:15 196:3,23,24
276:5 307:22	146:17 150:21	358:16,22 359:4,11	68:19,25 75:25 78:16	216:20 217:14,23
money 39:11,16,24	151:19 154:3,13,16 155:19,22,25 156:18,	360:3,11,25 363:16,	82:22	218:3,19 219:15,22 220:18 221:6,10,13,
41:2 43:24 49:11,17	25 157:3,10,13,21	22 364:10,22 365:6, 21 366:15,25 367:16,	Naomi 321:7	25 223:5,24 241:23
50:10 62:9 124:14,20	170:17,20,25 171:24	25 369:4,8,17 370:3,		242:6 260:4 267:24
126:6,23 127:3,15,25	174:18 177:9,14,16,	8,25 371:10,25	narrative 111:17	309:24 322:3 325:14,
128:5,10,23 129:2,5 145:16,18 186:10	19,23 178:10 195:6	372:22 373:4,20	nature 84:4 85:6	18,21 326:16,23
276:13 282:8 283:5	196:20 197:6,21	374:11 375:3,24	144:2 147:17,18	327:4,10,17,20
285:18 318:10	201:11 202:3 207:15	376:20 377:6 387:7	180:20 230:8 240:22	328:6,7,8,25 329:3
319:11 320:4 359:9,	208:20 209:13 214:4, 8,10,14,21 215:3,10,	388:19 389:5,15 390:9 391:4,14	253:18 259:15	330:11,18,19 331:2 332:14,17 333:8,15,
13,24 360:21 374:22	21,24 216:4,13	392:7,13 393:24	271:20 276:10 279:22 280:9 292:22	16 334:5,8,22 335:3,
moneys 39:19 162:5	217:5,8 218:11	394:11,19,23 395:5	294:22 325:19	9 336:13 337:13,23,
167:9 277:8 282:17	219:25 221:23	Morris' 313:9	329:24 333:13	24 338:23 341:19
283:16,22 289:5	222:16 224:18		354:12 356:6,17	342:9 343:18 345:17
359:15	226:22 228:18	MORS 256:3	357:11 378:23	346:12,16,20 348:4
month 59:11 132:23	236:15,20 243:15 246:13 247:21 248:5,	motion 374:14 376:7	NAV 125:6,9 131:17,	353:8,21 358:15 379:16 381:23
226:16 229:15	11,22 249:18 250:6	Mountain 244:23	24 132:3 145:8	382:10 383:24 384:3
258:21 332:4	251:23 252:4,8,16,24	245:3,6 247:11	273:11,14 274:23	390:5 391:2,10,12,19
month-and-a-half	253:4 254:17 258:16	260:22	275:8 277:5 278:15 280:12,22 281:13	392:5
323:10	259:16 265:23 266:9,	mouthpiece 206:2	289:6 318:15 373:10	Nexpoint's 59:18
monthly 5:25	17,24 267:14,20 268:14 269:7,12,18	-		218:6,12 219:6 331:3
226:11,14 227:5,20,	270:15,22 271:8,18	move 69:11,12 114:11 208:19	needed 155:2 250:17 257:13 271:21 289:6	336:22 350:19
25 228:12 229:10,19,	272:9,17 273:7,10	246:13 247:21	318:13 354:15 358:8	Nguyen 3:21 268:10
21 230:2,11,22	277:22 278:19 279:8	248:22 250:6 252:23	382:15,17	297:19 298:9 302:5
231:14 232:6,8,13,	280:15,23 282:12,18	307:17 364:22		303:14 305:3 306:7
19,20 255:18 256:14, 19 259:9,12,14	283:19 284:7,14	376:25	needle 118:4	307:19 308:11,25
	285:7 286:9 287:9, 18,24 288:8,25	moved 126:6	negative 7:25 394:14	309:3,4 311:22 313:8 317:3 331:9 332:21
months 261:21 292:25	289:12 290:9,21	moving 248:5	negotiated 390:8	338:12,21 341:15
	291:19,23 292:19	_	negotiation 382:25	343:11 345:23
morning 7:3 10:19 342:16,18 344:12,14,	293:15,23 295:12	muffled 48:19	negotiations 58:19	night 376:8
16	296:13 297:6 298:22 300:15 301:13 302:2,	multi-month 145:11	383:2,6,12 384:14	nomenclature 350:4
Morrie 0.0 5.0 0 7.04	11,15 303:2,10,20	multiple 279:3		nomenciature 550.4
Morris 3:6 5:6,9 7:24 9:4 10:7,15,20 13:10,	304:3,7,12 306:15,24	320:25 321:2 373:7,8	Nelms 233:21	non-american
17 16:9,24 17:11,14,	307:13 308:5,8,13	Munsch 3:22 9:20	Newman 4:18 10:3	284:10
19,20 22:16,18	309:10,12 310:2,11,	mute 36:10 350:2	Nexpoint 3:17 6:4	non-orderly 274:8
31:18,19,21,25 35:24	19,24 311:12 312:16		9:21 27:10,12,15,18,	nonlawyer 375:13
36:5,11 45:6,10	313:13 314:12,14 315:9,23 316:23	myriad 248:14	21,25 28:4,6,16 29:7,	-
46:15,23 47:4,6,11 55:4 69:8,11 72:15,	317:17,25 318:6,17,	249:11	10,12,16,24 31:3,11,	nonsense 156:6
18,25 74:3,9,13,18,	23 319:23 320:6,18		17 32:17 42:21 43:12 44:6 54:14 58:7,11,	Norris 183:3,6 184:2,
24 75:11,15,19	322:5,23 326:24	N	14 60:5 65:6 100:23	7,9 192:15,23 193:5
76:19,23 77:2,11,19,	327:6,24 329:10		126:9,13,16,23	North 3:15,23
22 81:16,18,21,23	330:21 331:5 333:10,	N-A-V 273:11	127:3,10,11,15,18,24	

TSG Reporting - Worldwide

877-702-9580

				- 1
Northern 8:13	132:7,10,14,20	noticed 16:12,20	352:14 365:6 381:13	280:15,23 283:19
note 13:11 45:14,21	133:13,21 134:5,14,	248:6 375:25	384:12 386:13	284:7,14 285:7,23
48:15,22 49:6,12	17,23 138:11,20	notices 380:21	objecting 154:3	286:7,9 287:9,18,24
50:15,22 51:7 56:7	139:5,10,17,21		objecting 154.5	288:8,25 289:12
-	142:10 143:6,7,14,	November 59:12	objection 8:4 17:9,	290:9,21 291:19,23
57:4,19 59:18 60:5,	21,23 144:5,12,16,22	328:16 329:14,19	10 20:4,20 22:14	292:19 293:15,23
13 62:19 63:3 64:6,	145:4,23 146:2,4,7,	330:15 331:12 332:3	25:15 31:16 33:9	296:13 297:6 298:22
15,23 78:17 107:20	11,14,25 147:10	380:9,18 388:3,6	34:20 40:10 41:4,12,	299:15 300:15 302:2,
117:9,12 120:18	148:15,18,24 149:2	390:22	24 42:11,12,19 43:17	25 303:2,10,20
122:4 126:12 134:6	150:5,8,15 158:5,13,		44:17 45:4,16 46:10	305:10 306:15,24
140:14,17,20 141:22,	19,24 159:5,10,23	NPA 5:15 189:24	47:20 48:3,16,25	307:2,13 310:2,11,
24 142:2,4,18,22	160:16,25 161:5,9,	328:21,22 331:13	49:13 50:5,7 52:8	19,24 311:12 312:16,
147:15 149:17 150:4	10,19 162:11 171:13	NTA 338:16	53:18 54:8 55:10,20	18 314:14 315:9,23
161:24 162:4,7,18	175:16 178:14,15		56:11,25 58:25	316:23 317:17,23
163:23 165:12	179:14,21 180:2,10,	number 8:9,14 13:13	59:19,25 60:7,15,16	318:6,17,23 319:23
167:11,15 168:6	24 181:4,9,16,22	15:24 17:25 93:7,10	61:12 62:11 63:13,24	320:6,18 322:5,23
182:3,12 186:18,22	182:8,16 205:19	100:10 108:9 111:7	64:17,19 65:12 66:24	326:24 327:6.24
187:17 213:16 214:4	209:2 210:8 213:7,8	126:10 135:10	67:17 69:5,9,10 75:6,	330:21 331:5 333:10,
216:16,20 217:2,13,	222:2,17 223:13,16,	137:25 139:22	10 79:4,14 80:12	18,20 334:10 335:4
23 220:5,8,13,18,20,	19,23 224:9 233:8	170:24 177:15,16	82:24 83:25 85:15	336:14 338:3,5
23 221:5 222:4,10	234:15,23 235:4,9,	179:4 197:4,7 218:13		339:16 340:18 341:2
223:4,7 224:2,9,12	14,21 236:3,7	222:21 236:21	86:2 91:4 94:3 96:11,	
233:22 234:10	238:20,25 239:4,5,	239:25 240:9 277:17	20 99:17 107:4,15 108:5 110:11 111:24	342:21 345:12,19 346:22 347:14,19
243:17 244:23 245:3,		282:9 285:15,16		· · ·
7,13,16,23 260:22,25	11,18 240:10,20	286:5 343:25 344:5	112:20 113:20	348:9 351:15 353:11,
261:9 306:11,18	241:6 242:7 243:6,	370:22 377:9 389:18,	114:20 115:6 117:6,	15,18,24 354:4
309:16,24 310:9	11,18 244:4,15	21	18 119:13 120:16,17	355:5,9,15,21 356:3,
312:2,14,15,24,25	246:6,15,22 247:10,		122:10,16 124:6,23	14 357:6,15,22
313:15,16 315:13,14,	24 248:25 250:9	numbers 240:5,6,23	126:3 127:19 132:16	358:16,22 359:4,11
16 316:17,18 319:12,	251:8,15,21 252:12	268:13 278:10 343:5	133:23 134:8 142:6	360:3,11,25 363:16,
14 324:16 334:6,13,	253:10 261:12,16	366:12,19 367:6	143:10,15 144:8	22 364:10 366:15,25
18,19,23 335:21	262:6,11 263:6,15		149:5 150:10,17	367:16,25 369:4,8,17
336:13,23 338:2,17	264:19 268:21,24	0	151:16 153:18,19,25	370:3,25 371:10,25
339:4 340:10,14	282:14 284:19		154:6 155:11 157:2	372:22 373:4,20
341:19,23 342:9	285:15,17 288:21	Oak 4:7	158:15 159:17 160:3,	374:11 375:3 376:20
343:4 344:25 345:18	289:10,16,18,22		12,18,19 167:5 168:8	379:10 380:25
347:7 362:13,14	290:8,13,16,24 291:5	object 29:20 45:7,8,9	178:20 180:3 181:5,	388:19 389:5,15
	293:13 296:9 297:4,	47:4 60:24 65:19	11 183:15 184:6,20	391:4,14 392:7,13
noted 185:5 186:17	5,11,17,21 298:17	66:10,15 67:9,24	185:2,18,24 193:21	393:24 394:10,11,19
notes 6:10 44:20	299:11,14,18 300:13	69:6 73:24 77:13	194:5 195:21,22	objections 209:9
45:2 46:2 49:21	301:18 304:19,24	78:19 79:20,25 97:4	196:4,11,18 200:20	-
50:18 54:3,6 55:7,18,	305:2,18,22 306:21,	107:16 115:5 119:12	203:23 205:3,15,25	obligated 161:18
25 56:10,15,23 58:2	23 307:12,24 310:17	144:25 146:12 147:4,	206:21 210:24	262:13 304:23 334:8
65:7,14,18 66:7 67:3	312:11 313:20	11 149:21 151:13	211:13 213:11	obligating 144:22
68:14,17 76:2 82:15,	314:13,23,25 315:2,	156:7,16 159:25	220:10,15 222:13	
20 83:6,10,13 106:21	20,22 316:2,14	164:2 180:4,11,12	225:24 228:9 229:24	obligation 234:22
107:2,12 108:2,14,18	317:10,16,21 319:5,	191:4,22 194:6,22	231:18 234:6 235:23	242:20
109:5,7,17 110:6,25	9,15,18,22 322:20	202:24 206:7 208:10,	236:10 238:10 239:3,	obligations 160:9
111:5,8,12,22,25	323:20,25 333:17	11 211:4 212:12,20,	14 240:16 242:24	178:15 179:12 180:2,
112:18,23 113:9,10,	338:7 364:13 366:22	22,24 213:25 220:25	246:19,25 247:14	9,23 185:15 194:4
13,18 114:19 115:3	367:23 368:6,17	225:10 227:21 231:8	250:22 251:12 254:6	208:8 327:13,21
118:12,13,25 119:3,	369:15,19,20,25	232:9 239:20,22	255:12,22 257:6,20	328:8 332:5,8 333:17
6,9,11,22 120:19	370:9,11,17 372:11	248:3 249:4 250:11	260:14 263:8 265:4	335:17 336:6,7,10
121:3,21 122:8,15	386:9,10	251:10 253:12 254:7	266:19,23 267:21	361:19 380:2 390:10,
123:6 124:3,15	notice 7:25 356:9	255:10 256:17	270:15,22 271:8,18	12 391:7,8
130:23 131:12,16	357:9 379:6 380:10,	262:18 269:7,14,17	272:9,17 273:7	12 00 1.1,0
	15,16,17 381:4,7	347:21 348:11,12	277:22 278:19 279:8	
	, , , .			

obligor 264:18 offsets 58:21 381:18. 19 383:13 obnoxious 364:23 Okada 49:18,21,24 obstacles 90:23 50:2 118:3 232:18 obtain 57:12 **omitted** 309:19 obtained 48:23 49:5 one-day 331:14 50:4,16 51:6 126:10 332:9,17 128:15 176:16 one-third 239:18 obtaining 62:10 one-word 174:9 occur 169:6 280:13 ongoing 125:11 331:21 202:8,14 203:14 **occurred** 103:13 104:5 125:17 126:2 open 36:13 376:5 263:13 278:15 open-end-to-close-299:25 331:24 339:7 end 125:12 369:24 390:24 open-ended 125:7 October 8:19 21:7 275:4,8 276:10 160:5.7.14.21 281:9,11 282:7 169:17,24 171:19 172:4 173:24 174:6 operating 5:22,25 183:7,14 186:11,13 90:10 226:11,14 189:13 207:16 227:2,4,19 228:7 219:24 263:19 255:18 256:14,20 314:20 316:16 259:9,12,14 359:14 odd 303:12 307:6 operational 143:25 147:17 offense 352:23 operational-type offhand 342:11 291:11 office 279:21 280:3.5 operations 202:8,15 294:4.10 323:13.14 203:14 326:15 388:22 389:2 **opinion** 120:6,7,10 officer 14:12 23:6 204:8 205:9 247:17 26:25 27:6 28:24 29:2,7 32:14 35:10, opinions 204:15 12,16 36:20,24 37:6, opportunity 13:2 18 38:3,5,10,16,22 39:3,24 51:22 52:6, oral 65:8,16 17 120:8 143:18 order 13:3.7 105:11 173:7,9,13,16,20,23 128:16 129:6 288:21 174:3 184:23,24 360:9 258:25 269:5.24 270:10.14 271:2 ordinary 226:9 302:19 362:25 229:22 293:18 officers 15:22 29:24 organizational 39:11 40:5,8,12 112:13 51:23 52:13 93:21 orient 365:24 153:9 original 223:25 offset 380:2.3 382:25 232:11 274:24 390:9,11,13 277:14

originally 177:21 232:16 originals 297:4,11, 16 **Orleans** 4:14 9:17 outboxes 294:9 **output** 90:6 outstanding 118:12 119:22 142:10 171:11 174:15 175:12 185:10,23 186:8 202:6,18,23 203:7,8,13 220:18 222:3,12 223:4,14, 19,24 224:13,17 235:10.14 244:16 overpaid 58:16 381:16 overpayment 381:20 390:13 overpayments 58:22 379:22 380:3 389:4.12 overruled 17:15 overseeing 26:10 86:22 230:11 oversight 257:15 overspeak 115:16 252:3 owe 375:15 owed 125:8 160:10 161:8 178:18,23 185:6,16 186:9 199:12 204:12,25 205:13 212:18 275:13 297:17 308:20 310:17 358:21 380:3 owing 50:3 211:11 212:10 223:6 234:23 285:18 owned 15:6 16:7 17:24 42:8,16,24 43:3,13 273:17 owner 272:24 281:25 282:3

Ρ p.m. 150:24,25 151:3 174:6 224:23,24 225:2 266:5,6,8 325:7,8,10 349:6,7,9 372:14,15,17 395:10, 11 **Pachulski** 3:8 9:4 10:20 72:9 166:19 package 229:10,20, 22 230:2,12,23 232:6,8 233:3 packages 231:15 232:20 pages 214:11,17 **paid** 50:2 56:18 167:10 221:7 277:4, 8,20 283:23 327:22 330:19 331:4 333:16 336:9,11 355:19 356:23 365:4 394:9 paper 288:21 293:13, 20 296:12.16 paragraph 92:17 118:11 119:20 121:9 124:15 126:9 134:11 140:18 142:22 203:6 216:25 221:24 222:7, 9,10,14,16,18 223:9, 15 paragraphs 117:23 118:6 part 42:9,17 43:15

50:18 51:11,16,21 52:12,19,23 53:12 58:17 85:5 88:12,14, 22 90:21 91:14 93:22 103:22 105:9 116:13 117:20 125:12 142:9, 11 148:4 149:12 156:24 169:14,19 175:3 176:23 179:11 193:17 200:16 210:21 213:16 214:19 238:8 240:17 245:19 254:20 274:17 282:4 286:2 288:19 326:21 330:17 365:2 366:23

Index: obligor..payable

367:24 386:9,10

participants 8:17

participate 168:13 218:23 219:2 362:21 380:5

participated 87:25 137:20

participating 191:9 259:8

participation 86:23

parties 7:15 9:25 85:7,12 100:14,22 101:6,23 103:4 376:13

partner 9:9

partners 31:8,12,15, 18 32:4 370:16

partnership 121:11 122:6 131:12 132:7

partnership's 102:19 103:3 118:12

party 17:10 100:19 101:18 102:20 103:4 321:4 366:22 368:17 385:8

pass 352:3 393:15

passing 78:8

past 190:16 226:7 359:9 375:21

patience 387:9

Patrick 21:5

pause 295:10

pay 65:7 122:4 144:22 161:18 181:15 205:10,19 209:3 234:22 282:8, 16 302:23 318:14 327:13 332:6,13 344:19 355:18 356:2, 13 357:3,13 366:13 374:25 393:23

payable 171:12 174:15 190:6 202:18, 22 220:5 222:2,17 327:21 329:17 330:10,12,20 331:4

			Index	: payeepresident
333:7 334:14	393:23 394:7,18	personally 62:20	plural 314:3	91:11 97:7 98:7,12
payee 140:21 142:25	PDF 293:22	64:3 85:23 89:11 95:4 97:9 101:22	point 78:9 87:2,6,7	106:14 146:9,24 150:13 230:25 231:2,
216:22	Pearl 3:15	237:4 255:20,24	114:2,4 157:16 166:9	3,22,24 233:5 299:4,
paying 288:14,16		275:15 296:21	190:16 254:11 255:3,	5 337:4 356:13
326:23 333:9 338:17	pen 296:22,25	303:23 304:18,22	7 261:6 313:7,20	369:2,7 371:6,15
346:21 355:4,8 357:4	pending 7:21 16:16	306:12 317:21 370:11	321:13 326:6 337:12 344:9 375:23 376:11	374:15 377:21
payment 56:5,21	people 33:7,11 34:4		380:22	pre-petition 226:18
57:4,6,12,18,25 58:6,	89:3 146:4 170:24	personnel 237:16	pointing 254:23	precisely 205:21
10,12 59:14,16,20,22	237:5 275:9,20,21	318:12 333:22		298:16
60:3,4,9,11 62:17,25	279:23 291:21 293:7	359:18,21 360:13,23	points 282:9,10	
63:9,15 64:4,9,22	294:3 319:16 351:12	363:3	policy 378:6,10	prefix 268:15
121:11,17,21 122:7,	372:19	pertaining 65:17	386:2	premarked 91:19
19,23 162:13,16,17	percent 18:2,4 86:15			104:23 197:15 216:5
163:4,6,10,14 164:24	108:23 109:19 110:8	petition 21:9 70:11 84:11 235:10,14	poor 207:22	236:16
182:16 199:6,11 212:18 331:20	260:12	241:20 263:20	poorly 104:10	preparation 137:21
332:24 334:9 335:9	percentage 110:19	310:15 386:5	portfolio 274:5	230:11 259:9
336:12 337:3,25	percentages 86:16	phone 68:6 70:3	portion 13:2,6 16:5	prepare 123:17
338:24 339:12,14		290:25 392:23 393:2,	17:22 19:13,16,19	204:23 226:9 229:6,
340:2,7 342:9 343:4,	perfect 90:17 118:5	5,8,9	112:23	21 235:19 236:25
20 344:20,24 345:4,	130:19 189:4 197:19		-	237:3,5,13 241:11
11,17 346:13 348:5	268:19 298:8	phonetic 249:21	portions 12:10	255:24 377:22
350:7,9,20,23 351:6,	perfectly 144:3	phrase 41:10 229:19	position 37:9 122:3	378:16
7,14,20,23 356:6,17 358:25 359:2,6,7,15	223:2 348:18	nhysically 101,16	184:14 193:3,6,9	propered 227.5 20
360:16,22,24 361:18	nonformed 10.5	physically 191:16 294:4 296:12,16	274:15 280:11	prepared 227:5,20, 24 228:15 229:2,4,9
362:2,5,7,9,12,15,18,	performed 48:5 246:11	294.4 290.12,10	361:14	230:3 232:20 237:8
21 363:3,7,10,15,20	240.11		positions 38:24	239:17 241:25 242:3
364:3,7,25 365:5,9,	performing 114:7	pick 101:6	172:25 173:5 183:6	255:20 284:19
14 366:21 379:15	219:4	picked 101:10,12	184:10,18 192:16	317:14 319:5 373:2
381:23 382:2,9,14,15	period 25:12 39:9,14	-		376:18
383:19 390:6,8,21	40:24 90:15 93:14	piece 203:11	possession 45:25	050.4
391:10,13,20,24	95:15 105:18 120:9	pile 139:23	113:9 132:11	preparer 256:4
392:6	135:21 169:5 203:20		possibilities 29:19	259:4,5,23
payments 55:16,24	218:21 219:6,16	place 58:24 59:6	371:23	preparing 137:10
56:8,14 57:2,9 64:14	221:15 226:18	112:16 122:18 166:2		164:9 232:5,7
162:10,24 163:16,21	231:15 242:17	260:7 272:13 278:16 297:14,16 326:4,10,	possibly 213:7 314:12	241:12,15,16 254:9
165:10 167:14	243:13 247:22 265:2	12 393:3	514.12	264:10
217:15 282:22	321:22 380:15,16		post 92:12 184:13,	prerogative 253:7
288:11,12 328:4	periodic 228:13	plaintiff 9:7	14,18 192:15,24	
329:16 330:3,4,6,8	241:17 288:12 358:6	plan 335:24 337:20	193:7,8 275:24	present 4:23 90:23
334:23 335:3,19,21,		366:5 367:6 386:9,18	pot 366:5 367:6	180:15 191:19
22 336:23 337:9,15,	periodically 337:14		386:9,18	283:14
21 355:19 356:8	persist 157:9	play 85:23 153:11 168:16 184:2 340:9	notontial 250.20	presentations
357:2,10,13 358:7,21	person 26:2,4 43:7		potential 250:20 357:4 366:3,19	368:7,16,18
359:9,10,20,25	44:21 57:7 79:9	played 86:3,6,17	369:16 371:7,9	presented 86:10
360:6,10,15 361:7,23	86:21 87:6,7 114:2,4	335:2		113:14 117:10
362:4 365:14,16 377:12,17,22 378:8,	116:14 155:16	playing 239:9	potentially 113:5	161:11 296:11,16
9,17,24 379:7 380:23	167:20 191:18		249:6 250:12,13,14,	
381:3,6,11,18 382:6	259:22 291:21	pleading 213:22	16,21 354:8	presents 12:20
383:4,5,23 384:2,4,6,	292:22	pleased 347:12,16,	Poydras 4:13	president 14:16
7 387:24 388:14	norconal 70/00	17		271:23 272:24
390:20 391:3 392:12	personal 79:22 80:10 97:15 375:11		practice 7:8 64:13	
· -	00.10 97.10 373.11		89:20,24,25 90:4	
		Norldwido	977 702 0590	1

			Index:	presumequestion
presume 207:13	privileged 66:18	83:6,10,13 107:20	175:3 176:22 179:19	226:22 235:15
pretty 105:15 364:23	74:2	108:2 109:5 119:22	182:23 194:25	236:15 240:4 257:10
	probe 82:7	131:12 132:6,10,14	200:18 274:25	258:16 259:10,12
prevented 231:6		133:13 138:10 139:5, 10,17,21 140:14	311:24 312:4,10 327:20 332:9 335:14	266:18 281:7 292:23 326:9 345:22 368:3,
previously 18:9 24:4	problem 8:3 214:14 260:18	142:9,17 144:5,12,	351:2 353:7 354:6,19	16 377:10 389:8
80:13 225:22 246:8		16,22 146:7 158:5,	355:7,13 357:21,25	
373:19 374:18,25	procedure 271:17 291:12	13,19,24 159:5,10,23	358:4,11,15 367:11	putting 144:19 197:11 240:23 265:5
Pricewaterhouseco		160:16 161:10	providing 88:11	273:21 306:19 316:2
opers 84:9,13,16,20	procedures 7:20	178:14 179:14 209:2	219:21 278:17 279:6	330:2
106:7 113:23 132:5	114:8 207:21 378:5	210:8 216:16 217:13,	280:14 309:21	
137:2 141:24 142:3	Proceed 267:22	23 233:8,22 234:10, 15 235:8,21 240:20	326:14	Pwc 84:14 92:25 93:5 94:2 96:17,25 97:10
149:3 150:9,12,16 211:10 212:9 242:21	proceeding 197:18	241:6 243:6 244:4	provision 103:17	102:4 103:2,21 104:3
263:3 274:10		282:14 284:19	132:15	114:6 142:8 200:19
primarily 267:18,20	process 52:23 55:23 56:4,5 58:18 90:21,	285:15,17 288:21	provisions 105:7	211:2 262:14 263:24,
	25 91:14 116:13	289:10,16,18,21	•	25
principal 35:10,11,	131:24 137:9,11	290:7,12 291:5 293:13 297:4,5,11,	prudent 302:20 303:5	Pwc's 85:24 86:23
15 36:20,24 37:5,18	145:10,11 148:25	17,21 299:11 300:13		97:6 105:22
38:3,4,9,13,16,21 39:3 49:11 50:3,23	156:21,24 168:14,17,	306:10 307:12	public 21:17	
55:17,25 56:22	25 169:6,9,15,17,19	310:16 315:20,22	publicly-traded	Q
57:18,25 59:14,17	170:5,9,14 176:23	317:16,21 319:5,9	281:16	
60:12 62:18 63:2,9	179:11,20 189:2 200:16 210:21 218:6,	322:20 338:2,17	pull 200:10 215:6	qualified 194:11
64:5,14,22 119:7,10,	7,23 237:10 245:19	339:4 340:10 344:25	297:20 302:7 305:2	206:19
22 142:4 144:5	272:14,19 274:21	369:20	307:18 308:25 313:9	qualify 101:18
161:8,19 162:6	292:6 324:13 366:11	prompted 81:7	317:2 328:10 334:4	136:14
163:11,22 164:24 165:11 167:10 168:5	373:12	342:2	338:11,20 341:12	quantify 276:22
217:16,19 220:23	processes 207:21	proof 167:8,13	purely 240:22	
222:11 223:6,18,25	processing 286:13	proper 100:11	purporting 228:7	question 11:22 12:3
334:9		229:18		13:4,8 17:17 22:17 26:13 35:4 38:8
principals 274:6	produce 178:12	properties 146:15	purpose 16:23 17:2	40:15 45:11,22
principles 241:14	195:8 210:14 235:24	238:8	36:6 53:17 112:2 126:7 232:5,7,11	46:19,20 48:19 51:4
	produced 132:10		294:15	68:20,21 93:12 96:4
prior 20:14 26:12	183:11 228:12,13	property 375:11		99:19 104:11 114:14
37:10,18 54:21 56:18	266:20 267:7,15,19	proposal 125:13	purposes 16:11 33:22 85:8 92:21	132:4 139:14 144:15
57:13 62:4,10 63:23 74:14 84:10 87:17	producing 241:20	281:7 282:4,6 386:24	93:4 101:18 128:13	146:18 148:13 150:7 154:4,17 155:24
99:16,24 121:13	professional 21:12,	proposals 367:22	129:3 197:12	156:9 157:20 158:8
131:5 138:17 144:24	15,20,22 22:15	proposed 136:18		164:22 171:10
163:15 164:25 165:9	356:21	178:5	pursuant 15:8 122:6 148:25 199:10,18	174:13 175:19,23
189:13 193:23 199:6	proficiency 22:11		200:13 256:6 275:13	176:18,20 178:18,24
206:15 212:19 217:20 227:15		proposing 366:19	278:16 279:5 280:13	179:3 185:9 188:18
243:23,24 267:12	project 292:25	prosecution 208:23	281:6 288:17 290:19,	192:21 194:18 195:11,14,24 209:6,
300:13,20 301:17	projections 335:18	provide 15:4 28:24	24 326:13	10 210:20 212:23
331:12 333:4 334:23	337:17	174:22 175:7 267:12	put 12:23 36:9 61:24	213:2 223:13 224:6
335:3,7 336:11,20	promise 346:6	295:20 299:8 311:17	71:11 81:10 89:25	226:2 229:5 236:5
337:11 339:10 346:9	promissory 6:10	333:22 353:8,14	91:18 104:22 105:2,5	243:9 248:7,9
370:18 381:3,7	45:14,21 46:2 48:15,	354:3 357:19 358:5,	122:2 124:18 135:5,	251:24,25 253:4
386:4,19,22	22 49:6,12,20 50:15,	9,12,13 378:22 387:3	16 139:22 151:19 170:17 177:8,10,13	262:23 269:8,19
private 273:19,21	18,22 51:7 54:3 55:7	provided 32:20	197:7 207:2,5,14	270:16,23 271:9,19 272:3,10,18 273:8
privilege 151:14	56:6,9 60:5,13 67:3	112:8,9,17 114:18	215:21 218:11,18	277:23,25 278:20
	75:25 78:17 82:15,20	127:12 142:8 146:15	,	
	I	I	I	1

questioned 274:17

questions 11:20 17:2,4 26:15 40:19, 21,23 47:9 77:13 79:13 81:17 82:6,12 99:7,10 105:7,13 156:2 157:12 169:21, 24 171:7 177:2 180:16,17 184:4 209:5 266:10 300:6 352:5,10 377:8 384:16 385:3 386:6 387:8,15 393:18 394:25 395:7

quick 393:18

		Index:	questionedrefer
quicker 324:14	29:13,17 30:17,18	249:13 250:24	recess 36:16 73:6
quickly 139:21	32:16 36:22,23 37:7, 12,22,23,25 38:19	251:13,18,20 252:13 255:5,15,17 259:8	150:25 224:24 266:6 325:8 349:7 372:15
Quinn 4:19 10:4	39:8,20 40:2 49:19,	262:4 265:21,22	reckoning 310:22
quo 248:18	22,25 50:2,8,9 52:9,	268:24 269:3 270:11	5
quote 118:11 189:6	21 53:8,15,16 57:22 59:2,3,11,20 60:18	276:4,6,23 277:2,3,7 280:11,17,25 282:14,	recognize 217:10 320:13
	61:25 62:6,17,23	24 283:8,11,13,15	recollection 24:25
R	63:6 65:2 67:19 68:2,	284:6,11,20,22	29:14 39:22 40:6
	3,7,8,11 69:17,20,21, 25 70:5 71:6,21,22,	289:6,21 290:2,3 291:3,6 292:9 293:17	67:21 71:5 105:12
ran 88:2	23 78:5 79:15 80:17,	296:18 298:24	115:12 124:19 126:22 127:23
range 287:22 289:22	18,21 89:14,19 90:13	299:16,18,21,22,24	128:10,22,25 131:16
rarely 320:13	91:5,6,9,13 95:2,9, 13,17,21 96:13 99:5,	300:17,24 301:3,12, 15,18,25 303:15,22	166:15 173:22 180:7
ratification 319:21	6,8,9,12 100:20	313:12 314:24,25	183:19 187:24 192:20 221:5 223:23
	102:6 112:21,22	315:25 316:8 318:9	225:6 227:10 228:14
reached 66:4,9 69:3 76:3 79:2,9 342:11	113:15 114:3,15,21 115:8,14,17,18	319:10,11,13,25 320:10 321:13,16,18	229:7 246:3 251:6
343:8	116:15,18,19,23	322:17,25 323:22,23	252:19 253:9 269:4
reaching 350:12	119:15 122:17 123:5	324:3 331:19 334:4,	270:8 275:22 282:21 283:7 290:5,18
-	124:7,10,12 126:5,9,	18,21 339:9,18	309:18 344:11
reacted 347:23	16,20,25 127:2,5,8, 21 131:25 132:13,17,	340:8,12,15,16,23 341:4,5,8,11 342:2,	367:14 369:15
reaction 389:24	19 134:10,18 135:3	19 343:14 345:21,25	record 7:10 10:17
read 101:21,25	136:4,5 138:21,25	350:10,12 351:17,24	36:3,15,18 73:5,8
102:12 172:8 198:10 208:21 209:6,7	139:7,19 141:6,8,11 144:12 145:3,4,16,	361:3,14,17,20,21 362:3 364:5,7 365:9	150:24 151:3 209:7 224:23 225:2 266:5,
301:20,22 316:4	20,22 148:16,17,20,	369:7,22 370:10	8,18 267:9 301:22
reading 120:11	22 150:11 155:4	380:8,14,16,21	302:6 320:15 325:7,
138:7	158:16,21 159:2,7,12	383:10,21 384:2	10 349:3,6,9 372:14,
Real 31:11,17	160:7,13 165:4,5,13, 17,20,24 166:13,16,	387:6 388:7 389:10 390:7,18 392:20	17 392:25 395:9
	18,20,21,25 167:6	394:2	recorded 8:10 225:7,
realtime 265:16	168:24 169:16	receipt 380:20	15,22
reason 81:13 85:2 96:6 101:11 109:4	171:18,21 173:7,8,21 178:5 179:6,24	receivable 118:12,	recording 7:16 100:11
114:23 116:25	180:6,14,18,19,20	14 238:21,25 239:11,	
117:16 127:2 141:21	183:16,20 188:19	18 240:10 243:18	records 50:19 225:8, 15 227:13 235:17
150:3 159:14 160:15,	189:3,21 190:22,24 191:6,10,12,19,24	245:24 246:6,15,22 247:10 249:2 250:9	237:15 240:12,14
23 161:3 205:21 235:3,5 238:5 247:9,	192:10,19 194:16	251:8,16	257:21 258:5 286:23
24 248:25 256:11,13	196:19 200:9,11	receive 11:6 22:10	300:2,4 306:5 312:22 393:10
286:2 301:4 318:16,	201:23 202:2 209:17,	141:17 303:23	
21 346:18 361:4,10 364:6	20,23 210:3,9,18,25 211:19 212:3,14	304:18 305:23	recover 167:9 168:3 181:22 182:3
	217:18,20 219:5,9	332:11	
reasonable 108:17 333:15 337:23	220:21 223:10	received 42:9,17	redacting 312:23 313:6
	225:13,18,20 228:25 233:10,20,25 234:8,	43:15 85:12 123:21 125:14 141:17 142:3	
reasons 161:13	9,12,14,20,24 235:6,	212:16 293:20,21	redeem 281:12
recalculate 275:8	12,18 236:11 237:22,	304:10 305:25 383:7	redeemed 276:13
recall 15:3 18:13,18,	25 241:9 242:5,10 243:10 244:22	receives 179:16	redeeming 281:20
20,22 19:17,20 20:8, 10,21,22 23:4,12,20	243:10 244:22 245:14,18 246:20,21	recent 367:9	refer 13:13 18:6 21:9
24:23 25:16 27:16	247:2,6 248:15,19		22:24 27:12 30:4

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580 31:14 32:7,17,24 33:19 44:2,10 54:10, 24 68:24 79:17 84:13 95:11 175:2 186:24 203:6 279:24 329:3

reference 92:17 103:12 200:12 202:6 222:3,10 223:9 238:20,24 260:21

referenced 132:14 186:25

referred 84:4 91:15 123:24 143:7 169:21 186:7,20 223:15 279:20 366:5

referring 33:16 50:17 75:24 76:6 118:8 163:5 187:11 199:25 223:11 226:15 281:5 314:2 316:3

refers 170:4

reflected 13:14 75:5 175:16 181:15 235:4 240:9

refresh 24:24 105:12 131:15 192:20 221:4 223:22 227:10 367:13

refuse 74:9,10 209:2

regard 133:5

regret 81:10

regularly 355:18

reimburse 145:15

reimbursement 279:17 325:19,23 379:20 388:16

relate 130:6

related 59:23 60:4 90:25 100:13,19,22 101:6,18,23 102:19, 20 103:3,4 105:7 131:17 138:5,10 145:9 167:14 208:25 280:22 281:3 284:2 366:22 368:17 376:13 relates 76:14 113:6, 18 130:11 211:24 relating 131:2 138:19 relation 27:21 30:10, 13 35:6 41:18 58:21

13 35:6 41:18 58:21 112:3 125:9 163:21 172:25 176:17 184:11,18 191:16 264:13 385:18

relationship 374:3

relationships 100:13 102:20,21 103:4

relative 192:16

relayed 188:8 206:4 342:12

relaying 206:3 207:24 344:18

release 329:6 330:8

relevant 88:13

reliable 113:2

relied 305:13

208:15

rely 85:14,18 96:3 237:12 331:2 337:23

relying 85:20

remained 202:18,23 203:8

remaining 119:10 223:25

remember 59:8 70:9 71:2,3 80:22,23,24 87:23 95:19 102:9 122:25 123:2,19 124:16,24 127:6,14 128:6,7 129:11 131:19 147:15 162:22,24 163:4 165:6 166:3,7 172:17 174:4 187:13,19,21 188:15 189:18 194:7, 15,24 195:4 200:6,15 234:4 236:12 250:3 253:13,14,23 254:15, 17 274:12 277:16 282:17 284:12,17,23 286:3,22 291:21

293:12 296:9 299:12 300:10,12 302:16 309:11 313:22 316:3 317:5 319:4,7 320:2, 5 323:7 324:4,8 326:6 333:25 339:8 340:21 342:14 344:15,18 345:25 346:3,4 351:11 357:17 361:18 364:9, 12,14 365:10,25 377:14 387:25 389:17,18 390:22,23 392:4,22

remembering 289:17

remind 72:19 77:17 391:23

reminded 132:18

reminder 337:3

reminders 378:22

remote 7:16 371:24

remotely 7:11,14 8:18 12:20 299:6,9

rendered 204:5 233:23

renege 373:18

renew 196:25

renewal 168:10,14, 16,25 169:6 170:5,9

reorganized 3:4 9:5

rep 95:11 136:17

repaid 57:4

repay 203:19 204:11, 15,25 205:12 211:11 212:10 304:23

repeat 17:19 38:7 45:11 46:21 48:20 146:21,22 249:25 269:21 270:24 336:17,18 339:21

report 5:25 14:13,21 19:12 26:21 88:2,6, 16 89:12 98:18 105:8 106:4,8,16 110:25 111:21 112:7,12,25 115:20 116:5,17,21 117:2 119:19 131:5, 11 133:12 134:7 135:6 137:5,7,10,12, 25 138:3,4,9,13,19 166:8,11 178:13 219:13,15 226:19 227:4 228:7 229:2,4 232:12 256:15 257:4 258:20,24 259:5,23

reported 19:7,10,17, 21,25 20:23 21:2 119:17 120:23 226:10 240:15 258:14

reporter 7:12 8:24 10:10 209:6 268:8,18 301:20

reporting 7:6 8:22, 25 20:9,13,17,23 21:4 87:22 91:2 114:17 230:12 231:14 232:6,19

reports 89:22 113:18 115:2 143:8 171:5 196:22 226:14,16 229:6 255:18 256:20 257:19 259:9,13,14

represent 9:25 10:4, 22 133:4 267:23

representation 5:17 91:16 94:21 95:5,16 96:9,18,22 97:2,8,13, 19,25 98:9 99:2 103:7,17,23 104:8 106:11,15 130:8,9 135:25 136:6,14 214:23 215:9 262:16, 22,25 295:17

representations 92:21 98:19,24 136:16,23,24 262:24

representing 9:11, 14,17,21

represents 310:10

reproducing 171:6

request 87:4 103:25 172:8 196:25 291:15 379:7

requested 196:21 362:18 363:7 requests 85:18 373:3 387:18

Index: reference..responsibility

require 63:16

required 56:9 94:5 96:17 97:19,20,21,25 98:3 127:18,20 340:2 390:5

requires 96:25

reserve 245:2,6 260:24 261:4,8

reserved 244:22 245:13,16

reserving 46:17

reside 257:21

resolution 383:16

resolved 374:18 383:3,6,15

resolving 366:4

respect 27:18 34:13, 18,23 35:2 37:4 39:7 56:15 112:17 134:17 182:7 212:5 261:8,16 273:12 333:8 335:9 339:12 340:6 365:22 383:18 385:17 393:21

respond 174:25

responded 174:8 182:22

responding 210:11

responds 176:3

response 8:5 83:24 174:8,13 176:4 178:6,18 182:20,24 185:5 189:6 190:8 194:17,18 195:9,13 205:6 210:20 267:22 350:11

responses 81:4 184:3

responsibilities 25:18,23 28:10 31:2, 4 38:21 219:20

responsibility 26:6, 10 88:5,9 115:23 116:2,3 258:11

287:16	15	rules 7:19,20 11:17	SEC 274:13,16,19	served 11:7 18:10
responsible 56:13,	reviewed 231:13	run 46:24 188:6	275:18 384:19,22	19:13 32:25 39:9,14
17 86:22 89:8,9	232:13 233:3 294:21	329:17	385:7	40:9,24 46:6 47:16
115:19,22 116:4	295:5,18 322:11		secret 338:8	49:16 51:9,14,19
146:6 230:10 258:4,7	334:14,20	running 45:4 254:19		84:9 90:15 94:22
384:19,23 385:8,13		256:25	secretary 172:20	95:6 137:2 155:6
	reviewing 198:12		section 41:8 101:5,	172:19 227:6 229:2
responsive 175:23	233:2 237:22,25	S	19,22,25 110:24	248:24 255:6
176:17,25 185:8	right-hand 198:6		111:17,20 112:7	serves 133:2
rest 214:23		satisfied 89:21	113:12,17 115:2	
	rights 340:6		116:5,17,21,25	service 185:10
restate 75:17	ring 277:13	satisfy 89:12 122:8,	117:17 130:3,15	services 15:5 28:2
restrictions 8:19		14 124:3 208:7	131:3 134:7,22 138:4	30:2 32:21 33:25
ne etre em . 004.00.05	rise 287:22	Sauter 162:20,21	170:10 175:14 192:6	42:22 59:23 128:21
restroom 324:22,25	role 14:14 31:5 85:23	163:20 164:7,8,24	215:16 220:5 294:20	129:9 171:15 185:6
result 276:8 347:6	86:4,5,17 168:16	166:20,24 167:4	sections 143:9 192:7	23 186:8,10 219:22
results 5:22 226:11	184:2,8 335:2,7	168:4		278:17,23 279:7,12
227:2,5,19 228:7	340:9 370:13		seek 12:9 199:6	21,24 280:3,5,6,13
	roll-up 126:17	scenario 264:4	seeking 332:16	325:20,23 326:2,15
retail 32:21,24 33:2,		scenarios 276:20		19,22 327:19 330:1
4,8,12,14,15,18,22,	rolled 126:11	schedule 6:13	Seery 71:23 72:2	335:15 336:20 351:
23 34:5,8,13,18,23	Rome 170:24	117:10 222:23 244:3	166:9 233:20 234:11,	353:6,9,13,21 354:2
35:2,6,12,14 36:21		309:2 311:10,17	17,21 235:2,8,20,25	7,8,18,23,25 355:2,
37:17 38:2,5,10,17,	room 7:9,13 9:10	337:2 377:12	236:6 322:15 323:4,	12 357:20 358:2,4,6
22 39:4,7 160:8	105:3,5		16,19,24 324:8,16	11,14 379:19 380:1
168:10,18 169:20	rope 88:24	scheduled 336:9,11	340:12 346:10 348:2	388:15 390:20,21
170:12 171:19		356:8	350:8,13 368:8,10,14	serving 247:23
172:25 173:5,10,13,	roughly 120:14	schedules 129:10	375:7 379:25 392:18	set 89:24 92:25 93:
16,20,23 174:13	Rukavina 3:20 5:7,	308:25 311:15	sell 118:23 282:2	112:6 256:21 315:6
175:15,23 176:18,22	10 9:19 42:19 44:17	317:11	send 13:20 342:3	378:16,21
178:7,13,17,23 179:3,8,12,15,19,25	45:3,8,16 46:10		377:11	
180:8,22 181:2,8,14,	47:20 48:3,16,25	scheduling 355:13	577.11	settlement 348:8
19,21 182:2,6,11,15	49:13 55:20 107:4	school 22:5	sends 174:5	386:24 387:4
184:4,11 185:14	126:3 133:23 142:6		senior 232:13,15	seven-month 265:
189:14,19 190:4,14,	143:15 163:25 195:7	scope 136:18	275:20 318:12	
18,20 191:2 192:16,	212:24 213:24	Scott 7:4 8:21 14:20		severity 7:7
24 193:3,4,6,11,15,	266:11,14,15,22		sense 57:5 88:19	shades 36:13
18 194:11,17 204:18,	267:6,17 268:8,19	scratch 298:14	sentence 98:14,15,	
23 205:6,22,23	269:16 297:19 298:6,	screen 12:24 91:19	20 118:20 120:13	share 209:18 262:1
206:13 209:16,19,21,	8 301:23 302:5	104:22 135:6 140:6	121:7,10,18 130:7	shared 59:23 185:6
24 210:5,11,20,22	303:13 304:5,9,15	151:20 170:18 197:7,	133:17 138:19,23	10,22 186:7,10
385:12	305:2 306:6 307:16	12 215:22 216:14	139:6,11 187:9 189:6	209:16 278:23
retain 149:8,9	308:7,10,24 309:5,14	226:23 230:7 237:17	199:5 203:18 211:24	279:24 325:19,23
	311:21 313:8 317:2	308:9 377:11	212:5	326:2 351:4 353:21
retract 39:17	325:2 329:12 331:8	scroll 106:18 117:22	sentences 314:6	379:19 380:11
return 49:7 51:7	332:21 338:11,20 339:21 341:12,15	130:14 136:9 140:23	Sentences 314:0	388:15 390:20,21
123:22 306:18	343:11 345:22 349:4	152:16 170:25	separate 54:24	shareholders
	343:11 345:22 349:4 352:3,13 377:10	171:24 174:7,18	September 169:7	125:13 278:5 281:8
reveal 66:17,18	380:25 387:12,20	176:2 182:21 201:11		12,18 282:5 293:4
revenue 16:6 17:22	393:15	202:3 217:3,5,8	series 11:20 328:14	
		238:17 297:25	SONIO 10-2 24-15 24	shares 276:15
review 11:5,6 13:2	rule 7:19 267:8	331:10 332:22	serve 19:3 24:15,21 29:2 32:14 33:7,12	281:20,22 282:2
89:11 160:11 175:9	356:11	341:16 343:12	34:4 35:11,15 37:17	Sharp 249:21
184:5 288:11 295:3,	1		1 34.4 33.11.13 37.17	

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 8

877-702-9580

			Index	: sheetstipulate
sheet 106:19 107:25	137:6 139:10,16	116:24 138:22	192:10 194:8,25	268:21 304:16
108:11 109:6 110:4,5 111:11 112:4 120:23	141:10,15 143:24 144:4,13,15,21	141:12 159:13 231:20	196:20 233:11 234:2, 5,13 247:6 248:20	started 21:3 36:7 125:19 207:15
175:2,14 179:17 220:2 222:21 228:22 229:3,8,16 230:5	148:15 153:14 158:23 159:9 161:5, 20 180:24 181:16,23	sitting 149:24 270:7 284:22 310:8 314:19	249:13 250:24 251:18 252:13 253:13 255:5,16	231:10 304:15 state 8:4 10:16 47:2
243:7 251:14 253:22 294:12,24 370:22	182:8,16 201:12,14 213:7,9 256:2,4,8,12	320:3 348:16 351:10 382:23 392:3	277:2 292:9 296:9 297:5 298:25 299:16	161:16 state's 7:20
372:6 sheets 107:14,22	257:5,15 258:24 262:15,20 289:23	size 271:21 309:25 skip 55:13	313:22 314:24 321:16,19 334:2	stated 8:3 24:5
175:8	293:25 296:20,21 298:4,21 299:13	Skyview 14:5,7,9,17,	339:8 340:22 357:17 389:19 391:9	179:15 206:9 246:8 249:8 290:12 294:16
short 266:2 279:16 332:7	301:16 305:16,20 306:10,22 315:2 316:14 319:19	24 15:4,14,19 16:6, 14 24:4 28:20,22 377:2	specificity 163:18 239:9 390:19	statement 114:18 118:24 120:19 121:5,
short-terms 331:22	320:16	377:2 Skyview's 16:6	specifics 345:15	10 133:7,13 134:4 179:17 190:14
shortfalls 335:22,24 show 126:20 189:25	signer 103:7 363:2	17:22	speculate 20:5 188:21 301:5	194:18 204:3 205:18 224:10 228:20 262:5
226:20 257:2	signers 152:11 159:20	smaller 288:12 smart-ass 306:9	speculation 142:7	statements 5:19 6:3
showed 119:2 370:8 shown 322:8	significant 228:21 229:3,7 230:4	social 7:8	speed 247:4 249:10, 22 313:5	41:7 48:2 84:17,23 85:3,13,19 88:11
shows 293:9	signing 141:6 152:23 156:23 296:9 299:17,	sold 276:15	spilled 169:17	90:3,7 93:25 95:14 100:12 102:8,11,12 104:22 105:18 112:2
sign 50:14 91:15 94:20 95:16,22 96:8,	22,23 319:21	solely 132:3 208:25 someplace 278:13	spoke 62:14 74:5 151:7 188:9 249:20	104.22 105.18 112.2 113:7,14 122:12 130:23 133:22 134:2
18 97:2 106:14 135:24 136:6,22,25	similar 135:25 218:7, 9 272:3,19 311:9	sort 142:13 208:15, 16	350:16,17,18 spreadsheets	135:20 142:12 176:24 179:23
139:4 141:4,21 143:14,23 144:11	325:17 331:11 338:14 353:8 357:20	sorts 288:11	368:19	180:15 201:8 211:7, 22 217:25 218:4,20,
150:4 153:24 154:5, 10,18,22 155:3 158:4,13,18 159:4,	370:5,6,17 simple 156:8	source 80:18 257:17	spring 125:17 201:21 280:10	24 219:6 221:15 222:24 235:17
15,23 160:16,25 181:3 213:16 289:9,	simply 188:23 361:5	speak 62:13 63:25 72:20 73:9,14 74:15,	stable 90:5 stack 197:4	241:24 242:3,15,17 254:10 260:3 261:20
11,16 293:25 306:17 320:14	single 62:13,24 89:15 93:9 95:9	19 94:24 103:6 151:4 233:12 284:10	staff 116:9	264:11,13 286:19 301:11 306:5 370:9,
signature 92:3,7	129:11 singular 314:3	speaking 95:8 179:24	stamp 296:23	12,17 378:24 states 8:12 98:16
105:22 106:9 130:7 136:20 140:25 141:2,	sir 13:23 21:13 22:17	specialist 8:21	stand 328:24 stand-behind-you	118:11 119:20 200:23 201:3,6
7 143:4,21 144:20 152:20,21 217:9,11	43:9 68:17 69:14 80:11 115:12 130:17	specialized 94:16 specific 25:22 40:19,	88:25	status 248:18
256:3,15 262:21 294:5,12 298:14 299:2,19 305:6	140:3 141:2 144:4 146:18 151:23 154:18 156:8,20	23 97:7 120:19 124:8 198:15 199:3 224:16	standard 231:22 233:4 335:13 372:3	stay 248:19 363:9 387:10,16
320:22 321:3	158:2 167:23 209:12 218:18 266:15 278:8	235:12,18 253:15 323:8	standards 256:21,24	step 347:3,4
signatures 298:3,10 299:8,20	301:25 302:12 304:4 307:22 317:14	specifically 29:13	Stang 3:8 9:5 10:20 72:10 166:19	steps 88:16 stick 20:7
signed 46:2 55:7,12 92:5 94:25 95:2,4,11	328:18 331:15 332:20,25 333:6	40:21 57:22 59:2,11 68:10 70:9 71:21 85:17 87:10 117:24	stapled 197:22 214:12	sticking 203:5
96:22 98:10,23,25 99:4,7,10,16,25	334:17 336:19 341:20 351:25	121:19 124:16 126:5 128:6 131:25 141:6,	stare 298:11	277:13 Stinson 4:6 9:13,25
103:18 106:7,16 107:2 123:4 132:13	sit 102:13 114:24	11 145:5 146:3 162:23 180:6 187:19	start 8:9 41:9 170:21 214:18 248:14	stipulate 7:15

			Index:	stipulationthing
stipulation 8:6	143:9 242:22 262:14	Susan 7:12 8:24	tax 280:7 354:22,25	terminated 326:5
Stock 281:16	263:4 274:12 370:24	sustained 17:14	358:11	termination 326:7,8,
stonewall 157:18	subsequently 244:22	swear 7:13 10:10	taxing 353:5	9 380:21
stood 328:22	substance 72:22	swearing 7:17	team 53:4 86:8,12 87:12,14,22 88:21,23	terms 33:21 65:23 66:2,6 69:22 75:8
Stoops 276:2	73:15,19 74:6 151:5,	Switching 321:25	89:2,8 112:10,12	76:2 78:25 79:23
stop 76:19,20,21,23	8 185:7 209:22	324:20	113:8,24 116:7 137:18,20 147:14,18,	80:11 83:16,20 319:8,12,14 336:7
77:11,22 81:21,22	substantively 12:15	sworn 10:12 395:16	19,24 148:2,4,5	Terrestar 145:9
106:2 155:19,22 157:14 215:6 220:2	substitute 16:15	sync 296:6	149:7,11,14,15,24 150:19,20 188:4,6	273:12,18,19 274:7
stopped 231:16 294:3 381:3,6	succeeded 31:22 32:11	system 330:9	200:17 219:3 237:7 255:25 257:8,12,17,	384:20 385:9,14,18 testified 10:12 68:18
stopping 390:19	successor 32:8,15	Т	23,25 258:4,7,12	80:7 155:5 156:11
strategy 164:12	sued 182:2 375:6,14	Tab 328:11	259:11 272:23 290:11,13,14,15	179:7 201:23 206:10, 18 211:14 253:2
Street 3:15,23 4:13	suffered 276:7	taker 324:16	291:8,17 292:5	256:24 259:10
8:23	8:23 sufficient 122:3		309:21 311:24 312:13 335:11 351:7	261:10 269:23 270:2, 13 282:24 283:6
stressful 292:24	suggest 277:12	taking 81:12 110:14 120:12 176:7 208:16	367:10 368:3	289:3 309:20 312:20
strike 114:12 246:13	suggesting 338:9	226:17 239:23 240:23 323:19	teams 116:10 337:6	313:3 314:16 318:8 319:10 320:8 351:2
247:21 248:5,22 250:6 252:23 272:13	suggests 253:2	348:24	354:10	357:8 362:24 378:4,
291:2 307:16 310:7	suing 373:16,24	talk 89:2 157:16	tech 320:20	20 379:3 380:12 388:17
343:24 346:16 364:22 372:8 393:4	374:5	164:15,20 233:19 279:2 293:7 325:13	technologically 320:12	testifies 318:3,11
string 5:21 172:3	SULLIVAN 4:19	350:16 387:17	telephone 191:15	testify 252:18
183:22	sum 250:4 335:20	388:23 395:4	290:19 342:23	testifying 252:22
structure 87:22	summaries 368:4	talked 100:3 113:4 130:8 151:11 162:14,	344:12,14 392:22	302:14 387:22
112:13	summarize 273:14 279:12 280:4 296:8	15 187:21,23 188:4,7	telling 71:23 79:10 125:4 166:18 181:8,	testimony 16:11,19
stuff 156:6 157:5 252:11 295:6	summarized 274:21	190:15 217:14 222:23 249:14	14 182:14 207:24	20:7,15 29:5 72:22 289:17 301:13 318:5
subevent 130:24	summarizing	253:16 268:3 292:11	246:21 251:4 253:9 285:5 287:8 317:5	370:19 387:25
subject 55:8 65:8	188:13	311:15 349:19 351:5 378:5 379:18 389:2	tendered 45:2 55:18	391:11
82:16,21 83:6,10,14	summary 5:23	391:9 394:6	57:19 58:3 60:13	Texas 3:16,24 4:8 8:14
109:24,25 124:14 139:5,11 168:10	237:19,23 279:16	talking 40:5 59:13,16	63:3 64:6,15,23	Thanksgiving
277:5	Suntrust 4:17 10:6	75:14 76:20,23	tenure 39:20 40:2 86:3 94:4 102:11	329:20,22
subpoena 11:6	Super 395:5	77:12,22 89:15 101:23 157:14	114:5 230:14,18	Thedford 171:4
16:18 81:13	supplemental	162:16 208:24 222:6,	368:25 369:11	172:3,11,24 173:5 174:20 176:3,16
subpoenaed 352:21	218:20	8 231:19 259:25 264:19 323:6 341:23	term 28:19 33:13 41:17 44:16 45:14	178:6 182:23 186:20
subscribe 281:12	supporting 311:15	350:13 351:11,13	51:17 53:13 54:2	192:5 198:19 210:10, 13 275:24
subscribed 276:14	supposed 315:14	352:16,17 354:18 368:18 379:25	58:14 69:8 71:20 75:23 79:8 99:16	Thedford's 182:20
395:16	Surgent 171:4 275:23	383:14	170:8 173:2 186:21	theme 324:21
subscribing 281:19	surprised 352:9	talks 58:19 100:11	315:14,15 333:14 362:14 364:3 360:16	
subsequent 130:3, 10,21 131:2 133:8	356:11 376:22	350:6	362:14 364:3 369:16 383:19	thing 129:4 187:5 199:23 215:17 320:2
134:19,22 138:5,9	394:17	task 291:14	terminate 380:11	357:3

24 87:3,8,17 93:7 99:16,24 100:6 101:3 113:16 114:16 116:16,20 120:9 122:5 124:4 127:16 135:24 136:15,16 137:12,22 138:15,18 139:4,9,16 141:10 148:19,21 154:21 158:11,18,23 159:4,9 160:8,24 162:4 163:10 164:25 166:12 168:24 169:2, 4,5 186:4 191:7 192:13 204:4,14 205:20 206:12 207:20 212:13,21 221:5 225:17,19 227:6 229:12,23	25:13 27:17 28:7,11, 17 34:12,22,25 35:5, 8 37:3,9,16 39:6 51:25 111:10 173:8 174:2 183:13,17 192:23 227:3,11 269:6 270:9,11 305:8 titled 237:19 titles 27:20 30:9,12 34:15,17 37:15,21, 22,23,25 today 11:2 13:20 23:22,23 24:7,13 28:4 30:23 31:11 51:17 73:15 81:11	totaled 143:11 totaling 223:17 Totally 269:16 touching 264:5 track 337:9 364:15 trade 281:23 trades 273:25 274:8 trail 288:22 transactions 85:6,8, 14 100:13 102:21	trigger 369:24 triggered 274:16 true 74:18 89:22 186:4 204:4 269:11 277:13 333:6 350:21 trust 4:10 9:18 65:11 244:23 245:3,7 247:11 373:23 374:5 trusted 257:7 trustee 4:16 10:5
113:16 114:16 116:16,20 120:9 122:5 124:4 127:16 135:24 136:15,16 137:12,22 138:15,18 139:4,9,16 141:10 148:19,21 154:21 158:11,18,23 159:4,9 160:8,24 162:4 163:10 164:25 166:12 168:24 169:2, 4,5 186:4 191:7 192:13 204:4,14 205:20 206:12 207:20 212:13,21 221:5 225:17,19	8 37:3,9,16 39:6 51:25 111:10 173:8 174:2 183:13,17 192:23 227:3,11 269:6 270:9,11 305:8 titled 237:19 titles 27:20 30:9,12 34:15,17 37:15,21, 22,23,25 today 11:2 13:20 23:22,23 24:7,13 28:4 30:23 31:11	Totally 269:16 touching 264:5 track 337:9 364:15 trade 281:23 trades 273:25 274:8 trail 288:22 transactions 85:6,8,	true 74:18 89:22 186:4 204:4 269:11 277:13 333:6 350:21 trust 4:10 9:18 65:11 244:23 245:3,7 247:11 373:23 374:5 trusted 257:7
116:16,20 120:9 122:5 124:4 127:16 135:24 136:15,16 137:12,22 138:15,18 139:4,9,16 141:10 148:19,21 154:21 158:11,18,23 159:4,9 160:8,24 162:4 163:10 164:25 166:12 168:24 169:2, 4,5 186:4 191:7 192:13 204:4,14 205:20 206:12 207:20 212:13,21 221:5 225:17,19	51:25 111:10 173:8 174:2 183:13,17 192:23 227:3,11 269:6 270:9,11 305:8 titled 237:19 titles 27:20 30:9,12 34:15,17 37:15,21, 22,23,25 today 11:2 13:20 23:22,23 24:7,13 28:4 30:23 31:11	touching 264:5 track 337:9 364:15 trade 281:23 trades 273:25 274:8 trail 288:22 transactions 85:6,8,	186:4 204:4 269:11 277:13 333:6 350:21 trust 4:10 9:18 65:11 244:23 245:3,7 247:11 373:23 374:5 trusted 257:7
135:24 136:15,16 137:12,22 138:15,18 139:4,9,16 141:10 148:19,21 154:21 158:11,18,23 159:4,9 160:8,24 162:4 163:10 164:25 166:12 168:24 169:2, 4,5 186:4 191:7 192:13 204:4,14 205:20 206:12 207:20 212:13,21 221:5 225:17,19	192:23 227:3,11 269:6 270:9,11 305:8 titled 237:19 titles 27:20 30:9,12 34:15,17 37:15,21, 22,23,25 today 11:2 13:20 23:22,23 24:7,13 28:4 30:23 31:11	touching 264:5 track 337:9 364:15 trade 281:23 trades 273:25 274:8 trail 288:22 transactions 85:6,8,	277:13 333:6 350:21 trust 4:10 9:18 65:11 244:23 245:3,7 247:11 373:23 374:5 trusted 257:7
137:12,22 138:15,18 139:4,9,16 141:10 148:19,21 154:21 158:11,18,23 159:4,9 160:8,24 162:4 163:10 164:25 166:12 168:24 169:2, 4,5 186:4 191:7 192:13 204:4,14 205:20 206:12 207:20 212:13,21 221:5 225:17,19	269:6 270:9,11 305:8 titled 237:19 titles 27:20 30:9,12 34:15,17 37:15,21, 22,23,25 today 11:2 13:20 23:22,23 24:7,13 28:4 30:23 31:11	track 337:9 364:15 trade 281:23 trades 273:25 274:8 trail 288:22 transactions 85:6,8,	trust 4:10 9:18 65:11 244:23 245:3,7 247:11 373:23 374:5 trusted 257:7
139:4,9,16 141:10 148:19,21 154:21 158:11,18,23 159:4,9 160:8,24 162:4 163:10 164:25 166:12 168:24 169:2, 4,5 186:4 191:7 192:13 204:4,14 205:20 206:12 207:20 212:13,21 221:5 225:17,19	titled 237:19 titles 27:20 30:9,12 34:15,17 37:15,21, 22,23,25 today 11:2 13:20 23:22,23 24:7,13 28:4 30:23 31:11	trade 281:23 trades 273:25 274:8 trail 288:22 transactions 85:6,8,	244:23 245:3,7 247:11 373:23 374:5 trusted 257:7
148:19,21 154:21 158:11,18,23 159:4,9 160:8,24 162:4 163:10 164:25 166:12 168:24 169:2, 4,5 186:4 191:7 192:13 204:4,14 205:20 206:12 207:20 212:13,21 221:5 225:17,19	titles 27:20 30:9,12 34:15,17 37:15,21, 22,23,25 today 11:2 13:20 23:22,23 24:7,13 28:4 30:23 31:11	trades 273:25 274:8 trail 288:22 transactions 85:6,8,	247:11 373:23 374:5 trusted 257:7
158:11,18,23 159:4,9 160:8,24 162:4 163:10 164:25 166:12 168:24 169:2, 4,5 186:4 191:7 192:13 204:4,14 205:20 206:12 207:20 212:13,21 221:5 225:17,19	34:15,17 37:15,21, 22,23,25 today 11:2 13:20 23:22,23 24:7,13 28:4 30:23 31:11	trail 288:22 transactions 85:6,8,	trusted 257:7
160:8,24 162:4 163:10 164:25 166:12 168:24 169:2, 4,5 186:4 191:7 192:13 204:4,14 205:20 206:12 207:20 212:13,21 221:5 225:17,19	34:15,17 37:15,21, 22,23,25 today 11:2 13:20 23:22,23 24:7,13 28:4 30:23 31:11	trail 288:22 transactions 85:6,8,	
166:12 168:24 169:2, 4,5 186:4 191:7 192:13 204:4,14 205:20 206:12 207:20 212:13,21 221:5 225:17,19	today 11:2 13:20 23:22,23 24:7,13 28:4 30:23 31:11	transactions 85:6,8,	trustee 4:16 10:5
4,5 186:4 191:7 192:13 204:4,14 205:20 206:12 207:20 212:13,21 221:5 225:17,19	23:22,23 24:7,13 28:4 30:23 31:11		HUSIEE 4.10 10.5
192:13 204:4,14 205:20 206:12 207:20 212:13,21 221:5 225:17,19	23:22,23 24:7,13 28:4 30:23 31:11	14 100:13 102:21	65:10
205:20 206:12 207:20 212:13,21 221:5 225:17,19		100 5 110 0 001 15	
207:20 212:13,21 221:5 225:17,19	51:17 73:15 81:11	103:5 148:6 224:15	truth 252:18,20
221:5 225:17,19		285:21 286:5,12,13, 17 289:25 295:24	truthfully 252:22
227:6 229:12.23	93:12 102:14 116:24	373:9	253:3
-	118:8 141:12 162:14, 16 173:10 203:20	transfer 141:20	TSG 7:6 8:22,25
230:14,15 231:7,15,	267:12,19 268:4,9	287:5 288:6 332:16	Tuesday 8:19 172:4
16,23 232:21 233:4, 13,14,15 238:4	270:7 273:20 284:22,		
240:3,25 241:19	23 286:4 291:22	transferred 141:9 285:4,10	tunnel 293:3
244:5,16 246:5 247:8	310:8 314:19 317:15		turn 100:8 105:25
248:3,23 250:7 253:5	320:3 370:8,18 392:3	transfers 283:24	110:22 149:12
254:18 255:6 259:14	told 47:8 58:18 60:11	285:21 327:16	259:16 261:13
261:25 264:15 265:6,	67:19,21 69:21 78:3	transmitted 340:25	turning 197:12,16
		transparency	turns 317:20
		295:20	two-month 323:11
283:25 294:15 299:3	163:20 165:8 174:4	transparent 313.4	
303:8 313:20 321:19,	180:22 181:2,19,21	375:10	type 88:25 94:21
		troacuror 22.0 10	130:23,25 227:9 253:25
			types 148:6
363:25 365:12 366:2,		14,18,23 26:19,22	typical 103:25
18 369:13,23 370:11	251:7 254:4 257:16		106:17 371:5
371:13 386:4,17	282:5,16 283:16		typically 144:11
			168:22 169:10
			192:12 230:16
meframe 169:8		152:24 153:3,16,22	289:16 296:22
meline 40:20	tomorrow 395:4	155:7,10,14,21	329:16 355:24,25
mely 86.11 330.10	tone 394:5,12		
	top 92.10 111.4 8		U
24 357:14	•		
mas 11.11 13 25.7			Uh-huh 69:4 210:17
			237:21 368:20
207:17 249:8 250:2		-	ultimately 125:6
			178:17 274:22 387:6
			unable 122:14
25 321:2 324:8 368:5			
	389:11	treatment 242:12	203:18 204:24 208:7 211:10 212:10
	303:8 313:20 321:19, 22 322:13 323:4 331:25 337:20 339:7 343:23 352:2 353:25 357:3,5,12 358:19 363:25 365:12 366:2, 18 369:13,23 370:11 371:13 386:4,17 388:12,25 389:9 395:3 meline 40:20 mely 86:11 330:19 31:4 333:16 356:13, 24 357:14 mes 11:11,13 25:7 32:12 90:17,18,19 207:17 249:8 250:2 25:22 298:15 320:8, 25 321:2 324:8 368:5	269:14 270:8 273:17, 19,25 274:11 278:14138:23 139:3 145:18 154:10 162:17283:25 294:15 299:3 303:8 313:20 321:19, 22 322:13 323:4163:20 165:8 174:4 180:22 181:2,19,21 182:6 189:14,1922 322:13 323:4 331:25 337:20 339:7180:22 181:2,19,21 182:6 189:14,1923 32:2 353:25 357:3,5,12 358:19203:9 204:18 205:22 211:5 234:20 246:9248:17 250:13,16,20 251:7 254:4 257:16248:17 250:13,16,20 251:7 254:4 257:16283:25 365:12 366:2, 365:13 386:4,17248:17 250:13,16,20 251:7 254:4 257:16283:25 365:12 366:2, 385:3248:17 250:13,16,20 251:7 254:4 257:16283:25 365:12 366:2, 385:7 386:7,17 391:12 392:5,11287:5 318:4 375:24 385:7 386:7,17 391:12 392:5,11meline 40:20 mely 86:11 330:19 331:4 333:16 356:13, 24 357:14tome 394:5,12 top 92:10 111:4,8 198:5 219:19 313:10mes 11:11,13 25:7 52:12 90:17,18,19 207:17 249:8 250:2 252:2 298:15 320:8, 25 321:2 324:8 368:5topic 114:19 234:3, 15 251:21 253:15total 109:13 110:8 119:21 238:7 239:12 240:9 260:12 335:20	269:14 270:8 273:17, 19:25 274:11 278:14138:23 139:3 145:18 154:10 162:17transparency 295:20283:25 294:15 299:3 203:8 313:20 321:19, 22 322:13 323:4163:20 165:8 174:4 180:22 181:2,19,21transparent 313:4 375:1022 322:13 323:4 22 322:13 323:4182:6 189:14,19 190:20,25 191:8transparent 313:4 375:1023 32:2 353:25 203:9 204:18 205:22 203:9 204:18 205:22 203:9 204:18 205:22transparent 313:4 375:10363:25 365:12 366:2, 365:12 366:2, 869:13,23 370:11 283:53 352:3 370:11248:17 250:13,16,20 251:7 254:4 257:16 282:5,16 283:16 385:7 386:7,17 391:12 392:5,1116,22,23 24:2,3,6,8, 10,13,15,21 25:2,8, 14,18,23 26:19,22 27:4,22,23,25 28:3,5, 8,12,18,20 29:5,9,12, 15 30:14,15,16,20, 22,24 31:2,3,5 39:2 135:23 138:14 152:24 153:3,16,22 155:7,10,14,21meframe 169:8 meline 40:20 mely 86:11 330:19 331:4 333:16 356:13, 24 357:14tone 394:5,12 top 92:10 111:4,8 198:5 219:19 313:10156:4,12 158:3,9,12 156:4,12 158:3,9,12 176:14 202:12 204:2 269:6,12,13 270:3,5, 9 272:4 305:8 327:4mes 11:11,13 25:7 52:12 90:17,18,19 207:17 249:8 250:2 25:22 298:15 320:8, 25:321:2 324:8 368:5total 109:13 110:8 119:21 238:7 239:12total 109:13 110:8 119:21 238:7 239:12treasury 335:15 336:25 351:3 354:7, 11,22 358:4

			Index:	unawareWednesday
unaware 64:24	unilateral 382:20	videotape 12:10	100:1 101:1 102:1	267:1,23 268:1,20
uncollectible 244:9,	unilaterally 270:20	view 204:24 205:11	103:1 104:1 105:1,9	269:1,20 270:1
17 245:25 246:7,17,	271:4 272:5	292:14 301:8	106:1,6 107:1 108:1	271:1,5 272:1 273:1
24 247:13,25 249:3			109:1 110:1 111:1	274:1 275:1 276:1
250:10,15 251:9,22	unique 12:21	voice 268:6	112:1 113:1 114:1	277:1 278:1 279:1
253:11 254:4 255:9,	United 8:12	vote 282:5	115:1 116:1 117:1	280:1 281:1 282:1
15	unlike 12:18 353:20	voted 125:13	118:1 119:1 120:1 121:1 122:1 123:1	283:1 284:1 285:1 286:1 287:1 288:1
uncomfortable	UNIIKE 12:18 353:20	voted 125:13	124:1 125:1 126:1	289:1 290:1 291:1
90:14,20,24 91:9	unpaid 119:10 161:8,		127:1 128:1 129:1	292:1 293:1,12 294:1
	18	W	130:1,2 131:1 132:1	295:1 296:1 297:1,
unconsolidated	untested 207:22		133:1 134:1 135:1	22,24 298:1,7,19
110:2,18		wait 156:18 157:4,5,	136:1 137:1 138:1	299:1,11 300:1 301:1
undergoing 207:20	upcoming 336:8,11	22 249:17	139:1 140:1 141:1	302:1,10,19 303:1
241:16	337:25 355:13	waiting 196:24	142:1 143:1 144:1	304:1 305:1,5,9
underlying 246:11	updated 154:22	-	145:1 146:1,23 147:1	306:1,8 307:1 308:1
311:24 332:12	upset 362:11 390:2	walk 180:17	148:1 149:1 150:1	309:1,8 310:1 311:1
	-	walk-through	151:1,4 152:1 153:1	312:1,9 313:1 314:1
understand 10:21,	urgent 356:23	168:19	154:1 155:1 156:1 157:1 158:1 159:1	315:1,18 316:1
25 12:6,9 13:24 17:3 31:10 41:13 45:22	URQUHART 4:19	walked 179:7 294:4	160:1 161:1 162:1	317:1,4 318:1 319:1 320:1 321:1 322:1
53:22 55:9 68:20			163:1 164:1,5 165:1	323:1 324:1,15
74:4 75:22 76:5	USD 331:14	walking 189:22	166:1 167:1 168:1	325:1,11 326:1 327:1
78:24 79:16 98:22	- <u></u> _	wanted 89:8 104:3	169:1 170:1,21 171:1	328:1,15 329:1 330:1
99:18 104:2 127:7	V	129:4 271:15 342:9	172:1 173:1 174:1	331:1 332:1 333:1
133:9 153:15 158:7		343:3,7 345:10	175:1 176:1 177:1	334:1 335:1 336:1
176:20 203:12 222:9	vacation 321:23	Warren 4:11 9:16	178:1,25 179:1 180:1	337:1 338:1 339:1,22
225:25 267:25	329:23		181:1 182:1 183:1	340:1 341:1 342:1
268:17 273:3 288:2,	vague 353:19	Waterhouse 3:1 4:1	184:1 185:1 186:1	343:1 344:1 345:1
13 296:19 297:9	_	5:1,5 6:1 7:1 8:1,11	187:1 188:1,17 189:1	346:1 347:1 348:1
300:21 312:6 313:24	valid 159:18 161:12,	9:1,11 10:1,11,18,19	190:1 191:1 192:1 193:1 194:1 195:1	349:1,15 350:1,5 351:1 352:1,23 353:1
315:12 316:12 338:9,	16	11:1 12:1,24 13:1,15 14:1 15:1 16:1,10	196:1 197:1,5 198:1,	354:1 355:1 356:1
25 353:24 364:16 374:7 376:10	validity 7:16	17:1,16 18:1 19:1	3 199:1 200:1 201:1	357:1 358:1 359:1
	valuation 274:9,18,	20:1 21:1 22:1 23:1	202:1 203:1 204:1	360:1 361:1 362:1
understanding	24 280:7,13 292:5	24:1 25:1 26:1 27:1	205:1 206:1 207:1	363:1 364:1,24 365:1
41:10,17 53:6 65:22,	354:15,22 384:20	28:1 29:1 30:1 31:1	208:1 209:1,15 210:1	366:1 367:1 368:1
25 66:5 84:25 85:11	385:9,14,19	32:1 33:1 34:1 35:1	211:1 212:1 213:1	369:1 370:1 371:1
96:25 97:11,16,18,24 100:18 103:20 104:3	values 251:5 253:17	36:1,19 37:1 38:1	214:1 215:1 216:1,15	372:1,18 373:1 374:1
111:15 118:16,19	264:2 265:9 367:9	39:1 40:1 41:1 42:1	217:1 218:1 219:1	375:1 376:1 377:1,9
146:25 152:4,6,7,9	387:3	43:1 44:1 45:1 46:1	220:1 221:1 222:1	378:1 379:1 380:1
153:14,21 156:3		47:1,7,15 48:1 49:1	223:1 224:1 225:1,3 226:1 227:1 228:1	381:1 382:1 383:1 384:1 385:1 386:1
170:3,8 173:4 175:19	varied 230:15	50:1 51:1,4 52:1 53:1 54:1 55:1 56:1 57:1	229:1 230:1 231:1	387:1,21 388:1 389:1
184:17 195:15	varies 87:2,3 230:14	58:1 59:1 60:1 61:1	232:1 233:1 234:1	390:1 391:1 392:1
242:19 256:19	vendor 288:17 356:7	62:1 63:1 64:1 65:1	235:1 236:1,25 237:1	393:1 394:1,24
259:22 270:19		66:1 67:1 68:1 69:1	238:1 239:1 240:1	395:1,2,14
277:25 311:9 326:3	verify 97:22	70:1 71:1 72:1,19	241:1 242:1 243:1	Waterhouse's
372:19 373:23 374:4 375:17 379:24	verifying 215:2	73:1,9 74:1 75:1,3,22	244:1 245:1 246:1	140:24
		76:1 77:1 78:1,14	247:1 248:1,12 249:1	
understood 69:2	versions 136:13 146:14	79:1 80:1,25 81:1	250:1 251:1 252:1	ways 257:11
155:6 203:10 382:22	-	82:1,4,14 83:1 84:1	253:1,8 254:1 255:1	wearing 336:3
undo 374:17	versus 292:12	85:1 86:1 87:1 88:1	256:1 257:1 258:1 259:1 260:1 261:1	
	video 7:16 8:10,17,	89:1 90:1 91:1,25 92:1 93:1 94:1 95:1	262:1 263:1 264:1	Webex 191:14
unfetterred 372:21	21 323:23 324:3	96:1 97:1 98:1 99:1	265:1 266:1,12	Wednesday 329:19
		00.101.100.100.1		
	I	i l		1

Index: week..zoom

			1110.011	. week200
week 267:11 329:17, 25 330:3,5 375:21	worried 241:22 246:12	91:10 115:10 123:23 231:11 257:10		
388:6	worry 215:18	285:22 286:4,17,25		
weekend 329:20	wrapping 293:3	289:25 320:9 333:24 334:23 335:7 373:7,8		
weekly 330:4	write 187:6	379:23		
weeks 302:21 303:7 307:8 378:18	write-up 278:12	years-plus 89:18		
wet 299:8	writes 174:20 328:21 329:5	yellow 178:8 yesterday 8:2 66:13		
whatsoever 251:6	writing 67:13 75:5	140:10 322:11		
whichever 365:14	79:11 273:12 316:17	York 3:10 4:21 8:23		
wholly 233:17	341:18	281:16		
window 323:11	written 15:9 83:17,20 186:3 195:9 323:20,	you-all 329:2		
Winograd 3:7	25	Z		
wire 330:8 350:19	wrong 77:3,4 133:18 138:20,24 215:16			
withdraw 35:4 134:9	374:6	zeros 286:15		
withdrawn 19:11 34:16,21 38:14 57:23	wrote 313:15,17 314:5,6,9	Ziehl 3:8 9:5 10:21 72:10		
59:15 62:16 63:7 65:4 93:18 98:13		zoom 3:3 191:14 309:15 313:11		
119:7 133:20 141:25 148:8 157:3 160:5	Y	323:23 324:2,4,9		
163:18,19 180:24 181:19 191:11	y'all 139:23 395:4			
195:16,18 201:19 203:25 220:11 232:6,	year 18:14,16,20,22 19:23 20:6,12 23:13,			
19 382:7 386:5	14,15 27:24 30:19 36:23 38:15 59:3,5			
witness' 36:2 156:3	68:11 80:9 84:23			
word 68:23 76:7 146:13 293:21 307:6	85:3 87:3 90:12 93:5, 9 94:10,22 95:6,10,			
391:2	14 100:7 103:14			
worded 104:10	104:6 131:4 145:10 160:7 161:4,7,17			
words 79:5 342:16	162:25 166:6 168:14 169:2,4,6 170:12			
346:10 348:7 363:8	220:19 221:21			
work 113:8 204:22 219:23 233:18 291:8	223:16,18 224:13,15 244:14 265:3 286:21			
299:5 346:25 372:19	326:11 334:15			
worked 116:7,12 237:8 259:12 290:15	348:17 381:24 382:10 383:20			
309:21 370:12	year-end 222:4			
working 90:22	244:10,14 262:7 362:16			
147:13 207:19 219:18 291:13	year-ended 263:24			
292:24 299:8 342:25	yearly 170:15			
381:19	years 18:23 62:13,22			
works 269:9	86:18 87:17 89:16		1	

EXHIBIT 4

1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	Page 283
2	IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS	
3	DALLAS DIVISION	
4	IN RE:	
5	Chapter 11 HIGHLAND CAPITAL	
6	MANAGEMENT, L.P., CASE NO. 19-34054-SGI11	
7	Debtor.	
8	HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P.,	
9	Plaintiff,	
10	vs. Adversary Proceeding No.	
11	JAMES D. DONDERO, 21-03003-sgi	
12	Defendant.	
13		
14	REMOTE VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF	
15	JAMES DONDERO - VOLUME 2	
16	October 29, 2021	
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24	Reported by: Susan S. Klinger, RMR-CRR, CSR	
25	Job No. 201874	

P	age 284	Page 285
1 DONDERO - 10/29/21		1 DONDERO - 10/29/21
2		2 APPEARANCES:
3		3 (All appearances via Zoom.)
4 October 29, 2021		4 Attorneys for the Reorganized Highland Capital
5 10:21 a.m.		5 Management:
6		6 John Morris, Esq.
7		7 Hayley Winograd, Esq.
8		8 Gregory Demo, Esq.
9 Remote Deposition of JAMES DONDERO, held		9 PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES
10 before Susan S. Klinger, a Registered Merit	1	0 780 Third Avenue
11 Reporter and Certified Realtime Reporter of the	1	1 New York, New York 10017
12 State of Texas.	1	2
13	1	3 Attorneys for NexPoint Advisors, LP and
14	1	4 Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors,
15	1	5 L.P.:
16	1	6 Davor Rukavina, Esq.
17	1	7 Thomas Berghman, Esq.
18	1	8 MUNSCH HARDT KOPF & HARR
19	1	9 500 North Akard Street
20	2	0 Dallas, Texas 75201
21	2	1
22	2	2
23	2	3
24	2	4
25	2	5
Pa	age 286	Page 287
1 DONDERO - 10/29/21		1 DONDERO - 10/29/21
2 Attorneys for Jim Dondero, Nancy Dondero, HCRA,		2 I N D E X
3 and HCMS:		3 WITNESS PAGE
4 Deborah Deitsch-Perez, Esq.		4 JAMES DONDERO
5 Michael Aigen, Esq.		5 EXAMINATION BY MR. MORRIS 289
6 STINSON		6 EXHIBITS
7 3102 Oak Lawn Avenue		7 No. Page
8 Dallas, Texas 75219		8 Exhibit 1 Original Complaint 466
9		9 Exhibit 2 NexPoint Complaint 408
10 Attorneys for Dugaboy Investment Trust:	1	0 Exhibit 3 HCMS Complaint 433
11 Douglas Draper, Esq.	1	1 Exhibit 4 Letter, 12/3/20 464
12 Michael Landis, Esq.	1	2 Exhibit 6 Term note 446
13 HELLER, DRAPER & HORN	1	3 Exhibit 15 NexPoint Advisors Answer 380
14 650 Poydras Street	1	4 Exhibit 16 HCMS's Answer 362
15 New Orleans, Louisiana 70130	1	5 Exhibit 17 HCRE's Answer 377
16 Attorneys for Marc Kirschner as the trustee for	1	6 Exhibit 31 Answer to Complaint 354
17 the litigation SunTrust:	1	7 Exhibit 35 Incumbency Certificate 309
18 Deborah Newman, Esq.	1	8 Exhibit 37 Incumbency Certificate 323
19 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN	1	9 Exhibit 47 NexPoint 30(b)(6) notice 345
20 51 Madison Avenue	2	20 Exhibit 48 HCMS 30(b)(6) notice 353
21 New York, New York 10010	2	1 Exhibit 49 HCRE 30(b)(6) notice 354
22 Also Present:	2	2
23 Dan Elms	2	3
24 Aaron Lawrence	2	4
25 Patricia Jeffries, Pachulski Stang	2	5
	1	

Page 28	38 Page 289
1 DONDERO - 10/29/21	1 DONDERO - 10/29/21
2 PROCEEDINGS	2 looks green to me, I will ask that we stop
3 VIDEOGRAPHER: This marks the	3 and reconvene when he is not feeling
4 beginning of Video 1 in Volume 2 of the	4 nauseous.
5 deposition of James Dondero in the matter	5 MR. MORRIS: All right. I would
6 In Re: Highland Capital Management, L.P.	6 like to just begin here. We have counsel
7 Today's date is October 29, 2021. The time	7 on the line for all of the defendants, we
8 on the video monitor is 10:21 a.m.	8 have counsel for the plaintiff, and we have
9 Will the court reporter please swear	9 counsel for the Highland Litigation Trust,
10 in the witness.	10 and I think that that is everybody who
11 JAMES DONDERO,	11 is – is supposed to be here, so I would
12 having been first duly sworn, testified as	12 like to just begin.
13 follows:	13 EXAMINATION
14 MR. MORRIS: Deborah, would you like	14 BY MR. MORRIS:
15 to make a statement?	15 Q. Mr. Dondero, can you hear me okay?
16 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: I didn't know if	16 A. Yes.
17 you wanted appearances first. Sure. This	17 Q. Okay. And are you feeling well
18 is Deborah Deitsch-Perez from Stinson. I'm	18 enough to begin today's deposition?
19 counsel for Mr. Dondero, Nancy Dondero,	19 A. Yes.
20 HCRE and HCMS in this deposition.	20 Q. Okay. I understand that you are not
21 I want to apologize for everybody	21 feeling well. And I want you to know that I do
22 that we're starting late. Mr. Dondero was	22 not want to proceed with this deposition unless
23 under the weather. It is – he has taken	23 you believe that you are physically and
24 something, so he should not have to leave	24 mentally able to participate to the best of
25 the deposition, but if at any point he	25 your ability. Okay? Do you understand that?
Doro %	Dege 201
Page 29	00 Page 291 1 DONDERO - 10/29/21
2 A. Yes.	2 Q. And we are going to refer to that
3 Q. So if at any time you don't feel	3 entity and that entity only today as Highland;
4 like you can continue, I would rather adjourn	4 is that okay?
5 to one day next week to complete the deposition	5 A. Yes.
6 rather than forcing you to do something that	6 Q. When did you found – when did you
7 you don't believe you're capable of doing.	7 create Highland?
8 Okay?	8 A. '94.
9 A. Yes. Yes. I did throw up twice	9 Q. And did you serve as Highland's
10 last night.	10 president from 1994 until on or around January
11 Q. Okay.	11 9th, 2020?
12 A. I imagine we could go for – let's	12 A. Yes.
13 shoot for four hours today, you know, maybe –	13 Q. Did – can you describe in your own
14 maybe five, I don't know, but if we don't	14 words what the business of Highland was while
15 finish –	15 you were president?
16 Q. I don't want to –	16 A. We were largely below investment
17 A. – we will do the rest next week.	17 grade, credit strap, and we diversified over
18 Q. Okay. I don't want to put an	18 the years to become more of an alternative
19 arbitrary time on it. You tell me if you are	19 asset manager in a variety of formats.
20 unable to continue. Okay? Is that fair?	20 Q. And –
21 A. Yes. That is my estimate at this	21 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: I'm sorry, John,
22 point.	22 one sec. This was set up by someone a lot
23 Q. Okay. You founded Highland Capital	
	25 Shorler than with Dondero. Let me fusitiake
24 Management, L.P.; correct?	shorter than Mr. Dondero. Let me just takeone minute to adjust it.
24 Management, L.P.; correct? 25 A. Yes.	 23 shorter than Wr. Dondero. Let me just take 24 one minute to adjust it. 25 MR. MORRIS: May I proceed, Deborah?

	Page 292			Page 293
1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	
2	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: (Nods head.)	2	manager; is that fair?	
3	Q. Okay. Mr. Dondero, at its peak,	3	A. Yes.	
4	what is the the largest value of assets that	4	Q. And you manage money on behalf of	
5	Highland had under management while you were	5	thousands of people; isn't that right?	
6	president?	6	A. Yes.	
7	A. 35 billion.	7	Q. And as a general matter, you know	
8	Q. And do you recall what year that	8	how to read and understand balance sheets,	
9	was?	9	don't you?	
10	A. Not exactly.	10	A. Yes.	
11	Q. Was it before the 2008 financial	11	Q. You have signed promissory –	
12	crisis?	12	promissory notes before, haven't you?	
13	A. Yes.	13	A. Yes.	
14	Q. Okay. So you were the president of	14	Q. Is it fair to say you have signed	
15	Highland for about 25 years; is that right?	15	hundreds of promissory notes during the 25-year	
16	A. Yes, 25, 26, whatever.	16	period that you were the president of Highland?	
17	Q. And do you consider yourself to be	17	A. No.	
18	expert in the area of money management?	18	Q. Is it fair to say that you signed	
19	A. Yeah, on the things that we focus	19	dozens of promissory notes during the time that	
20	on.	20	you were president of Highland?	
21	Q. You are a sophisticated investor;	21	A. Yeah, dozens is probably fair.	
22	right?	22	Q. Okay. And is it fair to say that	
23	A. Yes. I would believe I'm	23	the aggregate principal amount of the	
24	categorized as such.	24	promissory notes that you signed while you were	
25	Q. And you are a sophisticated money	25	president of Highland likely exceeded	
1	Page 294 DONDERO - 10/29/21	1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	Page 295
2	\$200 million?	2	MR. MORRIS: Sure.	
3	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection to the	3	Q. Mr. Dondero, you borrowed money from	
	form.	4	Highland Capital Management Services; correct?	
5	A. I don't have a basis for knowing	5	A. I'm sorry, it sounds like at first	
6	that.	6	you were asking me, did Highland Capital	
7	Q. You do know that it is more than	7	Services borrow money from Highland. Now	
8	\$100 million, don't you?	8	you're asking me if I borrowed money from	
9	A. No.	9	Services?	
10	Q. Do you owe today Highland Capital	10	Q. Yeah, let me – let me rephrase the	
11	Management Services more than \$75 million?	11	question, sir, because if it is not clear, that	
12	A. I don't know what the amount is. I	12	is my fault, and I apologize.	
13	don't believe it is that much.	13	Did you – have you borrowed money	
14	Q. Are the obligations to Highland	14	from Highland Capital Management Services?	
15	Capital –	15	A. I believe so.	
16	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Hold on. Hold	16	Q. Okay. Do you know the aggregate	
17	on. My connection just disappeared.	17	principal amount that is outstanding today,	
18	MR. MORRIS: Okay.	18	ballpark?	
19	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Okay, I'm back.	19	A. No.	
20	Q. Okay. Did the – did the	20	Q. Are the obligations that you have to	
21	obligations that you have to Highland Capital	21	Highland Capital Management Services reflected	
22	Management Services, are they reflected in	22	in promissory notes where you're the maker and	
23	promissory notes?	23	Highland Capital Management Services is the	
24	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Could you repeat	24	payee?	
25	that question?	25	A. Please repeat that question.	
			· ·	

	Page 296			Page 297
1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	1 age 207
2	Q. Are you the maker on promissory	2	Q. Okay. When you were in control of	
3	notes in favor of Highland Capital Management	3	Highland, you personally decided who was hired	
4	Services, Inc.?	4	at that company; is that fair?	
5	A. I don't know. I believe – I	5	A. Sometimes, in senior positions.	
6	believe so, or I believe I have in the past,	6	Q. Okay. Did your duties as president	
7	but I don't know.	7	of Highland include being familiar with the	
8	Q. Do you have any – any estimate as	8	debts and obligations that were owed to	
9	to how much money you owe Highland Capital	9	Highland?	
10	Management Services, Inc. today?	10	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	
11	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Asked and	11	form.	
12	answered.	12	A. I mean, generally.	
13	A. No.	13	Q. Okay. Did you ever do anything to	
14	Q. Can you say if it is more or less	14	familiarize yourself with the debts and	
15	than \$50 million?	15	obligations that were owed to Highland?	
16	A. I don't know.	16	A. Are you referring to the affiliated	
17	Q. Can you say if it is more or less	17	notes or –	
18	than \$25 million?	18	Q. Sure.	
19	A. I don't know.	19	A or what what are	
20	Q. As a general matter, is it fair to	20	Q. I was I was asking I	
21	say that you know how to read and understand	21	apologize. I don't mean to step on your words.	
22	promissory notes?	22	A. No, you just because I don't	
23	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	23	think Highland had a lot of other obligations	
24	form.	24	due from other parties, and the affiliated	
25	A. In general, yes.	25	notes in aggregate were always de minimis to	
	Page 298			Page 299
1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	Page 299
1	DONDERO - 10/29/21 Highland than now, at any time.	1 2	was charged with the responsibility of knowing	Page 299
	DONDERO - 10/29/21 Highland than now, at any time. Q. It is your – it is your position	1 2 3	was charged with the responsibility of knowing the number and amount of affiliate loans that	Page 299
2	DONDERO - 10/29/21 Highland than now, at any time. Q. It is your – it is your position that the affiliate notes to Highland were de	2	was charged with the responsibility of knowing the number and amount of affiliate loans that Highland carried on its balance sheet?	Page 299
23	DONDERO - 10/29/21 Highland than now, at any time. Q. It is your – it is your position that the affiliate notes to Highland were de minimis in amount?	23	was charged with the responsibility of knowing the number and amount of affiliate loans that Highland carried on its balance sheet? A. Sure.	Page 299
2 3 4 5 6	DONDERO - 10/29/21 Highland than now, at any time. Q. It is your – it is your position that the affiliate notes to Highland were de minimis in amount? A. Yes.	2 3 4	was charged with the responsibility of knowingthe number and amount of affiliate loans thatHighland carried on its balance sheet?A. Sure.Q. Can you identify the people who were	Page 299
2 3 4 5	DONDERO - 10/29/21 Highland than now, at any time. Q. It is your – it is your position that the affiliate notes to Highland were de minimis in amount? A. Yes. Q. And how do you define de minimus for	2 3 4 5	was charged with the responsibility of knowingthe number and amount of affiliate loans thatHighland carried on its balance sheet?A. Sure.Q. Can you identify the people who wereresponsible for that?	Page 299
2 3 4 5 6	DONDERO - 10/29/21 Highland than now, at any time. Q. It is your – it is your position that the affiliate notes to Highland were de minimis in amount? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6	 was charged with the responsibility of knowing the number and amount of affiliate loans that Highland carried on its balance sheet? A. Sure. Q. Can you identify the people who were responsible for that? A. The people in accounting responsible 	Page 299
2 3 4 5 6 7	DONDERO - 10/29/21 Highland than now, at any time. Q. It is your – it is your position that the affiliate notes to Highland were de minimis in amount? A. Yes. Q. And how do you define de minimus for that purpose? A. I believe the balance sheet of	2 3 4 5 6 7	 was charged with the responsibility of knowing the number and amount of affiliate loans that Highland carried on its balance sheet? A. Sure. Q. Can you identify the people who were responsible for that? A. The people in accounting responsible for tracking assets and liabilities in 	Page 299
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	DONDERO - 10/29/21 Highland than now, at any time. Q. It is your – it is your position that the affiliate notes to Highland were de minimis in amount? A. Yes. Q. And how do you define de minimus for that purpose? A. I believe the balance sheet of Highland today for the last three years, four	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 was charged with the responsibility of knowing the number and amount of affiliate loans that Highland carried on its balance sheet? A. Sure. Q. Can you identify the people who were responsible for that? A. The people in accounting responsible for tracking assets and liabilities in preparing all the audited financial statements 	Page 299
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	DONDERO - 10/29/21 Highland than now, at any time. Q. It is your – it is your position that the affiliate notes to Highland were de minimis in amount? A. Yes. Q. And how do you define de minimus for that purpose? A. I believe the balance sheet of Highland today for the last three years, four years, five years has been between 5 and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 was charged with the responsibility of knowing the number and amount of affiliate loans that Highland carried on its balance sheet? A. Sure. Q. Can you identify the people who were responsible for that? A. The people in accounting responsible for tracking assets and liabilities in preparing all the audited financial statements every year and the quarterly unaudited 	Page 299
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	DONDERO - 10/29/21 Highland than now, at any time. Q. It is your – it is your position that the affiliate notes to Highland were de minimis in amount? A. Yes. Q. And how do you define de minimus for that purpose? A. I believe the balance sheet of Highland today for the last three years, four years, five years has been between 5 and \$600 million. I believe the notes have never	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 was charged with the responsibility of knowing the number and amount of affiliate loans that Highland carried on its balance sheet? A. Sure. Q. Can you identify the people who were responsible for that? A. The people in accounting responsible for tracking assets and liabilities in preparing all the audited financial statements every year and the quarterly unaudited financial statements that were prepared and the 	Page 299
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	DONDERO - 10/29/21 Highland than now, at any time. Q. It is your – it is your position that the affiliate notes to Highland were de minimis in amount? A. Yes. Q. And how do you define de minimus for that purpose? A. I believe the balance sheet of Highland today for the last three years, four years, five years has been between 5 and \$600 million. I believe the notes have never been more than 8 or 10 or 12 percent of that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 was charged with the responsibility of knowing the number and amount of affiliate loans that Highland carried on its balance sheet? A. Sure. Q. Can you identify the people who were responsible for that? A. The people in accounting responsible for tracking assets and liabilities in preparing all the audited financial statements every year and the quarterly unaudited financial statements that were prepared and the monthly operating reports. 	Page 299
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	DONDERO - 10/29/21 Highland than now, at any time. Q. It is your – it is your position that the affiliate notes to Highland were de minimis in amount? A. Yes. Q. And how do you define de minimus for that purpose? A. I believe the balance sheet of Highland today for the last three years, four years, five years has been between 5 and \$600 million. I believe the notes have never been more than 8 or 10 or 12 percent of that number.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 was charged with the responsibility of knowing the number and amount of affiliate loans that Highland carried on its balance sheet? A. Sure. Q. Can you identify the people who were responsible for that? A. The people in accounting responsible for tracking assets and liabilities in preparing all the audited financial statements every year and the quarterly unaudited financial statements that were prepared and the monthly operating reports. Q. Can you – can you name any names of 	Page 299
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	DONDERO - 10/29/21 Highland than now, at any time. Q. It is your – it is your position that the affiliate notes to Highland were de minimis in amount? A. Yes. Q. And how do you define de minimus for that purpose? A. I believe the balance sheet of Highland today for the last three years, four years, five years has been between 5 and \$600 million. I believe the notes have never been more than 8 or 10 or 12 percent of that number. Q. And you believe that 8 or 10 or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 was charged with the responsibility of knowing the number and amount of affiliate loans that Highland carried on its balance sheet? A. Sure. Q. Can you identify the people who were responsible for that? A. The people in accounting responsible for tracking assets and liabilities in preparing all the audited financial statements every year and the quarterly unaudited financial statements that were prepared and the monthly operating reports. Q. Can you – can you name any names of the people who had the responsibilities that 	Page 299
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	DONDERO - 10/29/21 Highland than now, at any time. Q. It is your – it is your position that the affiliate notes to Highland were de minimis in amount? A. Yes. Q. And how do you define de minimus for that purpose? A. I believe the balance sheet of Highland today for the last three years, four years, five years has been between 5 and \$600 million. I believe the notes have never been more than 8 or 10 or 12 percent of that number. Q. And you believe that 8 or 10 or 12 percent of Highland's asset base you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 was charged with the responsibility of knowing the number and amount of affiliate loans that Highland carried on its balance sheet? A. Sure. Q. Can you identify the people who were responsible for that? A. The people in accounting responsible for tracking assets and liabilities in preparing all the audited financial statements every year and the quarterly unaudited financial statements that were prepared and the monthly operating reports. Q. Can you – can you name any names of the people who had the responsibilities that you just described? 	Page 299
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	DONDERO - 10/29/21 Highland than now, at any time. Q. It is your – it is your position that the affiliate notes to Highland were de minimis in amount? A. Yes. Q. And how do you define de minimus for that purpose? A. I believe the balance sheet of Highland today for the last three years, four years, five years has been between 5 and \$600 million. I believe the notes have never been more than 8 or 10 or 12 percent of that number. Q. And you believe that 8 or 10 or 12 percent of Highland's asset base you would – you would define as de minimis?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 was charged with the responsibility of knowing the number and amount of affiliate loans that Highland carried on its balance sheet? A. Sure. Q. Can you identify the people who were responsible for that? A. The people in accounting responsible for tracking assets and liabilities in preparing all the audited financial statements every year and the quarterly unaudited financial statements that were prepared and the monthly operating reports. Q. Can you – can you name any names of the people who had the responsibilities that you just described? A. I think it changed regularly, but it 	Page 299
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	DONDERO - 10/29/21 Highland than now, at any time. Q. It is your – it is your position that the affiliate notes to Highland were de minimis in amount? A. Yes. Q. And how do you define de minimus for that purpose? A. I believe the balance sheet of Highland today for the last three years, four years, five years has been between 5 and \$600 million. I believe the notes have never been more than 8 or 10 or 12 percent of that number. Q. And you believe that 8 or 10 or 12 percent of Highland's asset base you would – you would define as de minimis? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 was charged with the responsibility of knowing the number and amount of affiliate loans that Highland carried on its balance sheet? A. Sure. Q. Can you identify the people who were responsible for that? A. The people in accounting responsible for tracking assets and liabilities in preparing all the audited financial statements every year and the quarterly unaudited financial statements that were prepared and the monthly operating reports. Q. Can you – can you name any names of the people who had the responsibilities that you just described? 	Page 299
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	DONDERO - 10/29/21 Highland than now, at any time. Q. It is your – it is your position that the affiliate notes to Highland were de minimis in amount? A. Yes. Q. And how do you define de minimus for that purpose? A. I believe the balance sheet of Highland today for the last three years, four years, five years has been between 5 and \$600 million. I believe the notes have never been more than 8 or 10 or 12 percent of that number. Q. And you believe that 8 or 10 or 12 percent of Highland's asset base you would – you would define as de minimis? A. Yes. Q. Okay. As – as president of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 was charged with the responsibility of knowing the number and amount of affiliate loans that Highland carried on its balance sheet? A. Sure. Q. Can you identify the people who were responsible for that? A. The people in accounting responsible for tracking assets and liabilities in preparing all the audited financial statements every year and the quarterly unaudited financial statements that were prepared and the monthly operating reports. Q. Can you – can you name any names of the people who had the responsibilities that you just described? A. I think it changed regularly, but it would have been people in Frank's group in accounting. 	Page 299
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	DONDERO - 10/29/21 Highland than now, at any time. Q. It is your – it is your position that the affiliate notes to Highland were de minimis in amount? A. Yes. Q. And how do you define de minimus for that purpose? A. I believe the balance sheet of Highland today for the last three years, four years, five years has been between 5 and \$600 million. I believe the notes have never been more than 8 or 10 or 12 percent of that number. Q. And you believe that 8 or 10 or 12 percent of Highland's asset base you would – you would define as de minimis? A. Yes. Q. Okay. As – as president of Highland, did you ever do anything to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 was charged with the responsibility of knowing the number and amount of affiliate loans that Highland carried on its balance sheet? A. Sure. Q. Can you identify the people who were responsible for that? A. The people in accounting responsible for tracking assets and liabilities in preparing all the audited financial statements every year and the quarterly unaudited financial statements that were prepared and the monthly operating reports. Q. Can you – can you name any names of the people who had the responsibilities that you just described? A. I think it changed regularly, but it would have been people in Frank's group in accounting. Q. Did Frank have any responsibility 	Page 299
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	DONDERO - 10/29/21 Highland than now, at any time. Q. It is your – it is your position that the affiliate notes to Highland were de minimis in amount? A. Yes. Q. And how do you define de minimus for that purpose? A. I believe the balance sheet of Highland today for the last three years, four years, five years has been between 5 and \$600 million. I believe the notes have never been more than 8 or 10 or 12 percent of that number. Q. And you believe that 8 or 10 or 12 percent of Highland's asset base you would – you would define as de minimis? A. Yes. Q. Okay. As – as president of Highland, did you ever do anything to familiarize yourself with the number and amount	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 was charged with the responsibility of knowing the number and amount of affiliate loans that Highland carried on its balance sheet? A. Sure. Q. Can you identify the people who were responsible for that? A. The people in accounting responsible for tracking assets and liabilities in preparing all the audited financial statements every year and the quarterly unaudited financial statements that were prepared and the monthly operating reports. Q. Can you – can you name any names of the people who had the responsibilities that you just described? A. I think it changed regularly, but it would have been people in Frank's group in accounting. Q. Did Frank have any responsibility for knowing and understanding the affiliate 	Page 299
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	DONDERO - 10/29/21 Highland than now, at any time. Q. It is your – it is your position that the affiliate notes to Highland were de minimis in amount? A. Yes. Q. And how do you define de minimus for that purpose? A. I believe the balance sheet of Highland today for the last three years, four years, five years has been between 5 and \$600 million. I believe the notes have never been more than 8 or 10 or 12 percent of that number. Q. And you believe that 8 or 10 or 12 percent of Highland's asset base you would – you would define as de minimis? A. Yes. Q. Okay. As – as president of Highland, did you ever do anything to familiarize yourself with the number and amount of affiliate loans that Highland carried on its	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 was charged with the responsibility of knowing the number and amount of affiliate loans that Highland carried on its balance sheet? A. Sure. Q. Can you identify the people who were responsible for that? A. The people in accounting responsible for tracking assets and liabilities in preparing all the audited financial statements every year and the quarterly unaudited financial statements that were prepared and the monthly operating reports. Q. Can you – can you name any names of the people who had the responsibilities that you just described? A. I think it changed regularly, but it would have been people in Frank's group in accounting. Q. Did Frank have any responsibility 	Page 299
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	DONDERO - 10/29/21 Highland than now, at any time. Q. It is your – it is your position that the affiliate notes to Highland were de minimis in amount? A. Yes. Q. And how do you define de minimus for that purpose? A. I believe the balance sheet of Highland today for the last three years, four years, five years has been between 5 and \$600 million. I believe the notes have never been more than 8 or 10 or 12 percent of that number. Q. And you believe that 8 or 10 or 12 percent of Highland's asset base you would – you would define as de minimis? A. Yes. Q. Okay. As – as president of Highland, did you ever do anything to familiarize yourself with the number and amount of affiliate loans that Highland carried on its books and records?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 was charged with the responsibility of knowing the number and amount of affiliate loans that Highland carried on its balance sheet? A. Sure. Q. Can you identify the people who were responsible for that? A. The people in accounting responsible for tracking assets and liabilities in preparing all the audited financial statements every year and the quarterly unaudited financial statements that were prepared and the monthly operating reports. Q. Can you – can you name any names of the people who had the responsibilities that you just described? A. I think it changed regularly, but it would have been people in Frank's group in accounting. Q. Did Frank have any responsibility for knowing and understanding the affiliate 	Page 299
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 3 24	DONDERO - 10/29/21 Highland than now, at any time. Q. It is your – it is your position that the affiliate notes to Highland were de minimis in amount? A. Yes. Q. And how do you define de minimus for that purpose? A. I believe the balance sheet of Highland today for the last three years, four years, five years has been between 5 and \$600 million. I believe the notes have never been more than 8 or 10 or 12 percent of that number. Q. And you believe that 8 or 10 or 12 percent of Highland's asset base you would – you would define as de minimis? A. Yes. Q. Okay. As – as president of Highland, did you ever do anything to familiarize yourself with the number and amount of affiliate loans that Highland carried on its books and records? A. Not that I can recall.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 was charged with the responsibility of knowing the number and amount of affiliate loans that Highland carried on its balance sheet? A. Sure. Q. Can you identify the people who were responsible for that? A. The people in accounting responsible for tracking assets and liabilities in preparing all the audited financial statements every year and the quarterly unaudited financial statements that were prepared and the monthly operating reports. Q. Can you – can you name any names of the people who had the responsibilities that you just described? A. I think it changed regularly, but it would have been people in Frank's group in accounting. Q. Did Frank have any responsibility for knowing and understanding the affiliate loans that Highland carried on its balance sheet? A. Sure. I – as CFO he had to sign 	Page 299
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	DONDERO - 10/29/21 Highland than now, at any time. Q. It is your – it is your position that the affiliate notes to Highland were de minimis in amount? A. Yes. Q. And how do you define de minimus for that purpose? A. I believe the balance sheet of Highland today for the last three years, four years, five years has been between 5 and \$600 million. I believe the notes have never been more than 8 or 10 or 12 percent of that number. Q. And you believe that 8 or 10 or 12 percent of Highland's asset base you would – you would define as de minimis? A. Yes. Q. Okay. As – as president of Highland, did you ever do anything to familiarize yourself with the number and amount of affiliate loans that Highland carried on its books and records?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 was charged with the responsibility of knowing the number and amount of affiliate loans that Highland carried on its balance sheet? A. Sure. Q. Can you identify the people who were responsible for that? A. The people in accounting responsible for tracking assets and liabilities in preparing all the audited financial statements every year and the quarterly unaudited financial statements that were prepared and the monthly operating reports. Q. Can you – can you name any names of the people who had the responsibilities that you just described? A. I think it changed regularly, but it would have been people in Frank's group in accounting. Q. Did Frank have any responsibility for knowing and understanding the affiliate loans that Highland carried on its balance sheet? 	Page 299

Cases 2120300005569;000000044806-#iFeide011/050/2221 Entretede011/050/2221814844342 Pagaey ₹171.00f78199

Page 300		Page 301
1 DONDERO - 10/29/21	1 DONDERO - 10/29/21	i age ou i
2 and – yes.	2 responsibility for knowing and understanding	
3 Q. And can you can you identify the	3 the affiliate loans that Highland carried on	
4 name of any person in the accounting group in,	4 its balance sheets?	
5 let's say, the three years prior to the	5 A. No.	
6 bankruptcy who had responsibility for knowing	6 Q. And to the best of your knowledge as	
7 and understanding the scope of affiliate loans	7 you sit here today, you never personally did	
8 that Highland carried on its balance sheet?	8 anything to know and understand the extent and	
9 A. No, I would just be speculating but	9 scope of the affiliate loans that Highland	
10 it would be – the senior people in Frank's	10 carried on its balance sheet; is that right?	
11 group would be responsible for the financial	11 A. Correct.	
12 statements.	12 Q. Okay. You appointed Mr. Waterhouse	
13 Q. Are you able to name the people, the	13 as Highland's CFO; is that right?	
14 senior people in Frank's group in the couple of	14 A. I think it was appointed and	
15 years prior to the bankruptcy?	15 recommended by Patrick Boyce, but I agreed with	
16 A. Yes, but I don't know – like	16 the selection.	
17 David Klos was a senior person, Cliff Stoops	17 Q. And you	
18 was a senior person. There were a couple	18 A. That – (speaking simultaneously.)	
19 up-and-comers below them, but who did the	19 Q. I apologize, are you done?	
20 financials – how Frank assigned the work in	20 A. I'm just saying that was a long time	
21 his group, I have no idea.	21 ago, but I don't remember the details exactly.	
22 Q. Did you ever ask?	22 Q. But you had the authority and you	
23 A. No.	23 used that authority to appoint Frank as CFO;	
24 Q. Do you have any knowledge as you sit	24 correct?	
25 here today who within Frank's group had	25 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: There's a lag in	
Page 302		Page 303
1 DONDERO - 10/29/21	1 DONDERO - 10/29/21	
2 the video. I don't know if it matters, but	2 duties as chief financial officer?	
3 for a while Jim was frozen. And I know	3 A. Yes.	
4 because – since there was voice and no –	4 Q. Can you recall anything that	
5 his mouth wasn't moving. So let's just –	5 Mr. Waterhouse did in his capacity as	
6 if the videographer sees there is a	6 Highland's CFO that did not comport with your	
7 problem, please let us know.	7 expectations?	
8 Q. I-	8 A. I think we will talk about some of	
9 A. Yes. I'm sorry, could you just	9 those today.	
10 repeat the question regarding Frank, please?11 Q. Sure.	 Q. Okay. Do you have any reason to believe that Mr. Waterhouse ever breached his 	
12 As the president of Highland, did		
13 you have the authority and did you exercise	12 duties to Highland during the time that you13 served as president?	
14 that authority to appoint him as Highland's	14 COURT REPORTER: We can't hear you	
15 CFO?	15 speaking.	
16 A. Yes.	16 Q. We haven't heard any portion of your	
17 Q. Okay. Do you recall when you	17 answer, Mr. Dondero.	
18 appointed Mr. Waterhouse CFO of Highland?	18 MR. MORRIS: I don't know if people	
19 A. No.	19 can – can hear, but I cannot hear	
20 Q. Was it more than five years prior to	20 Mr. Dondero.	
21 the bankruptcy?	21 COURT REPORTER: I can't either.	
22 A. Yes.	22 MR. MORRIS: Yeah, Deborah, can you	
23 Q. As the president – during the time	23 speak, please.	
24 that you served as president of Highland, did	24 COURT REPORTER: They're on the same	
25 you believe that Mr. Waterhouse fulfilled his	25 speaker.	
	F	

		Page 305
Page 304 1 DONDERO - 10/29/21	1 DONDERO - 10/29/21	Fage 505
2 VIDEOGRAPHER: Do we want to go off	2 that you were president, do you have any reason	
3 the record?	3 to believe that Mr. Waterhouse breached his	
4 MR. MORRIS: Yes, please.	4 duties to Highland?	
5 VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record,	5 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Asked and	
6 10:41.	6 answered. This is the third time.	
7 (Recess taken 10:41 a.m. to 10:47 a.m.)	7 A. No.	
8 VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record,	8 MR. MORRIS: It is actually not.	
9 10:47.	9 Q. But thank you, Mr. Dondero. I	
10 Q. Okay. Let me just ask the question	10 appreciate that.	
11 again so the record is clean, Mr. Dondero.	11 After you ceased to be president of	
12 Do you have any reason to believe as	12 Highland, do you have any reason to believe	
13 you sit here right now that Mr. Waterhouse ever	13 that Mr. Waterhouse breached his duties to	
14 breached his duties to Highland during the time	14 Highland?	
15 that you served as president?	15 A. Breached his duties to I don't	
16 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Asked and	16 I don't know if it is – I don't want to – I	
17 answered.	17 don't want to make a judgment overall. When we	
18 A. Yeah, I think I did ask and answer	18 talk about the notes we can make conclusions	
19 that. Again, not intentionally, not	19 then.	
20 maliciously. I am – I guess things we're	20 Q. All right. But you're not able to	
21 going to talk about today are for periods of	21 tell me in response to my question whether you	
22 time after I was president, so	22 believe today that Mr. Waterhouse breached his	
23 Q. Right. That is going to be the next	23 duties to Highland after the time that you	
24 question that I ask. But to be clear I just	24 served as president?	
25 want to have a clear record – during the time	25 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	
Page 306		Page 307
1 DONDERO - 10/29/21	1 DONDERO - 10/29/21	
2 form of the question.	2 major- – withdrawn.	
3 A. I don't want to comment off the top	3 Do you directly or indirectly own a	
4 of my head, but I've highlighted that we will	4 majority of the ownership interests in HCMFA?	
5 discuss it around the note issue.	5 A. I believe so.	
6 Q. Okay. You are familiar with an	5 A. I believe so.6 Q. Okay. And do you control HCMFA?	
6 Q. Okay. You are familiar with an7 entity called Highland Capital Management Fund	 A. I believe so. Q. Okay. And do you control HCMFA? A. Yes. 	
 Q. Okay. You are familiar with an retity called Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors, L.P.; is that correct? 	 A. I believe so. Q. Okay. And do you control HCMFA? A. Yes. Q. And do you know when HCMFA was 	
 6 Q. Okay. You are familiar with an 7 entity called Highland Capital Management Fund 8 Advisors, L.P.; is that correct? 9 A. Yes. 	 5 A. I believe so. 6 Q. Okay. And do you control HCMFA? 7 A. Yes. 8 Q. And do you know when HCMFA was 9 created? 	
 6 Q. Okay. You are familiar with an 7 entity called Highland Capital Management Fund 8 Advisors, L.P.; is that correct? 9 A. Yes. 10 Q. And we're going to refer to that 	 A. I believe so. Q. Okay. And do you control HCMFA? A. Yes. Q. And do you know when HCMFA was 9 created? A. No, I do not. 	
 6 Q. Okay. You are familiar with an 7 entity called Highland Capital Management Fund 8 Advisors, L.P.; is that correct? 9 A. Yes. 10 Q. And we're going to refer to that 11 entity as HCMFA. Is that okay? 	 5 A. I believe so. 6 Q. Okay. And do you control HCMFA? 7 A. Yes. 8 Q. And do you know when HCMFA was 9 created? 10 A. No, I do not. 11 Q. Do you know if it was before or 	
 6 Q. Okay. You are familiar with an 7 entity called Highland Capital Management Fund 8 Advisors, L.P.; is that correct? 9 A. Yes. 10 Q. And we're going to refer to that 11 entity as HCMFA. Is that okay? 12 A. Yes. 	 5 A. I believe so. 6 Q. Okay. And do you control HCMFA? 7 A. Yes. 8 Q. And do you know when HCMFA was 9 created? 10 A. No, I do not. 11 Q. Do you know if it was before or 12 after 2010? 	
 G. Okay. You are familiar with an rentity called Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors, L.P.; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And we're going to refer to that entity as HCMFA. Is that okay? A. Yes. Q. Do you know who owns HCMFA? 	 5 A. I believe so. 6 Q. Okay. And do you control HCMFA? 7 A. Yes. 8 Q. And do you know when HCMFA was 9 created? 10 A. No, I do not. 11 Q. Do you know if it was before or 12 after 2010? 13 A. I don't know. 	
 G. Okay. You are familiar with an rentity called Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors, L.P.; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And we're going to refer to that entity as HCMFA. Is that okay? A. Yes. Q. Do you know who owns HCMFA? A. I believe it is myself and 	 5 A. I believe so. 6 Q. Okay. And do you control HCMFA? 7 A. Yes. 8 Q. And do you know when HCMFA was 9 created? 10 A. No, I do not. 11 Q. Do you know if it was before or 12 after 2010? 13 A. I don't know. 14 Q. Have you controlled HCMFA since the 	
 G. Okay. You are familiar with an rentity called Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors, L.P.; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And we're going to refer to that entity as HCMFA. Is that okay? A. Yes. Q. Do you know who owns HCMFA? A. I believe it is myself and Mark Okada. 	 5 A. I believe so. 6 Q. Okay. And do you control HCMFA? 7 A. Yes. 8 Q. And do you know when HCMFA was 9 created? 10 A. No, I do not. 11 Q. Do you know if it was before or 12 after 2010? 13 A. I don't know. 14 Q. Have you controlled HCMFA since the 15 time it was created? 	
 G. Okay. You are familiar with an rentity called Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors, L.P.; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And we're going to refer to that entity as HCMFA. Is that okay? A. Yes. Q. Do you know who owns HCMFA? A. I believe it is myself and Mark Okada. Q. Okay. And do you have an 	 5 A. I believe so. 6 Q. Okay. And do you control HCMFA? 7 A. Yes. 8 Q. And do you know when HCMFA was 9 created? 10 A. No, I do not. 11 Q. Do you know if it was before or 12 after 2010? 13 A. I don't know. 14 Q. Have you controlled HCMFA since the 	
 G. Okay. You are familiar with an rentity called Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors, L.P.; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And we're going to refer to that entity as HCMFA. Is that okay? A. Yes. Q. Do you know who owns HCMFA? A. I believe it is myself and Mark Okada. Q. Okay. And do you have an understanding as to – as to the percentage of 	 5 A. I believe so. 6 Q. Okay. And do you control HCMFA? 7 A. Yes. 8 Q. And do you know when HCMFA was 9 created? 10 A. No, I do not. 11 Q. Do you know if it was before or 12 after 2010? 13 A. I don't know. 14 Q. Have you controlled HCMFA since the 15 time it was created? 16 A. I believe so, but I don't know for 17 sure. 	
 G. Okay. You are familiar with an entity called Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors, L.P.; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And we're going to refer to that entity as HCMFA. Is that okay? A. Yes. Q. Do you know who owns HCMFA? A. I believe it is myself and Mark Okada. Q. Okay. And do you have an understanding as to – as to the percentage of each of your interests, ownership interests in 	 5 A. I believe so. 6 Q. Okay. And do you control HCMFA? 7 A. Yes. 8 Q. And do you know when HCMFA was 9 created? 10 A. No, I do not. 11 Q. Do you know if it was before or 12 after 2010? 13 A. I don't know. 14 Q. Have you controlled HCMFA since the 15 time it was created? 16 A. I believe so, but I don't know for 17 sure. 18 Q. Can you think of any period of time 	
 Q. Okay. You are familiar with an entity called Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors, L.P.; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And we're going to refer to that entity as HCMFA. Is that okay? A. Yes. Q. Do you know who owns HCMFA? A. I believe it is myself and Mark Okada. Q. Okay. And do you have an understanding as to – as to the percentage of each of your interests, ownership interests in HCMFA? 	 5 A. I believe so. 6 Q. Okay. And do you control HCMFA? 7 A. Yes. 8 Q. And do you know when HCMFA was 9 created? 10 A. No, I do not. 11 Q. Do you know if it was before or 12 after 2010? 13 A. I don't know. 14 Q. Have you controlled HCMFA since the 15 time it was created? 16 A. I believe so, but I don't know for 17 sure. 18 Q. Can you think of any period of time 19 when you didn't control HCMFA? 	
 Q. Okay. You are familiar with an entity called Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors, L.P.; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And we're going to refer to that entity as HCMFA. Is that okay? A. Yes. Q. Do you know who owns HCMFA? A. I believe it is myself and Mark Okada. Q. Okay. And do you have an understanding as to – as to the percentage of each of your interests, ownership interests in HCMFA? A. No, and I don't know the entities. 	 5 A. I believe so. 6 Q. Okay. And do you control HCMFA? 7 A. Yes. 8 Q. And do you know when HCMFA was 9 created? 10 A. No, I do not. 11 Q. Do you know if it was before or 12 after 2010? 13 A. I don't know. 14 Q. Have you controlled HCMFA since the 15 time it was created? 16 A. I believe so, but I don't know for 17 sure. 18 Q. Can you think of any period of time 	
 G. Okay. You are familiar with an entity called Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors, L.P.; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And we're going to refer to that entity as HCMFA. Is that okay? A. Yes. Q. Do you know who owns HCMFA? A. I believe it is myself and Mark Okada. Q. Okay. And do you have an understanding as to – as to the percentage of each of your interests, ownership interests in HCMFA? A. No, and I don't know the entities. I don't know if I own it directly or through 	 A. I believe so. Q. Okay. And do you control HCMFA? A. Yes. Q. And do you know when HCMFA was created? A. No, I do not. Q. Do you know if it was before or after 2010? A. I don't know. Q. Have you controlled HCMFA since the time it was created? A. I believe so, but I don't know for sure. Q. Can you think of any period of time when you didn't control HCMFA? A. I don't know. I don't remember the ownership structure prior and I don't remember 	
 G. Okay. You are familiar with an entity called Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors, L.P.; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And we're going to refer to that entity as HCMFA. Is that okay? A. Yes. Q. Do you know who owns HCMFA? A. I believe it is myself and Mark Okada. Q. Okay. And do you have an understanding as to – as to the percentage of each of your interests, ownership interests in HCMFA? A. No, and I don't know the entities. I don't know if I own it directly or through Dugaboy. And I do believe Okada tends to use 	 A. I believe so. Q. Okay. And do you control HCMFA? A. Yes. Q. And do you know when HCMFA was created? A. No, I do not. Q. Do you know if it was before or after 2010? A. I don't know. Q. Have you controlled HCMFA since the time it was created? A. I believe so, but I don't know for sure. Q. Can you think of any period of time when you didn't control HCMFA? A. I don't know. I don't remember the ownership structure prior and I don't remember when it started, so I don't know. 	
 Q. Okay. You are familiar with an entity called Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors, L.P.; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And we're going to refer to that entity as HCMFA. Is that okay? A. Yes. Q. Do you know who owns HCMFA? A. I believe it is myself and Mark Okada. Q. Okay. And do you have an understanding as to – as to the percentage of each of your interests, ownership interests in HCMFA? A. No, and I don't know the entities. I don't know if I own it directly or through Dugaboy. And I do believe Okada tends to use his trusts, but I don't know the percentages 	 A. I believe so. Q. Okay. And do you control HCMFA? A. Yes. Q. And do you know when HCMFA was created? A. No, I do not. Q. Do you know if it was before or after 2010? A. I don't know. Q. Have you controlled HCMFA since the time it was created? A. I believe so, but I don't know for sure. Q. Can you think of any period of time when you didn't control HCMFA? A. I don't know. I don't remember the ownership structure prior and I don't remember 	
 G. Okay. You are familiar with an entity called Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors, L.P.; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And we're going to refer to that entity as HCMFA. Is that okay? A. Yes. Q. Do you know who owns HCMFA? A. I believe it is myself and Mark Okada. Q. Okay. And do you have an understanding as to – as to the percentage of each of your interests, ownership interests in HCMFA? A. No, and I don't know the entities. I don't know if I own it directly or through Dugaboy. And I do believe Okada tends to use his trusts, but I don't know the percentages either. 	 A. I believe so. Q. Okay. And do you control HCMFA? A. Yes. Q. And do you know when HCMFA was 9 created? A. No, I do not. Q. Do you know if it was before or after 2010? A. I don't know. Q. Have you controlled HCMFA since the time it was created? A. I believe so, but I don't know for sure. Q. Can you think of any period of time when you didn't control HCMFA? A. I don't know. I don't remember the ownership structure prior and I don't remember when it started, so I don't know. Q. Okay. I'm asking about control and not ownership. 	
 Q. Okay. You are familiar with an entity called Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors, L.P.; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. And we're going to refer to that entity as HCMFA. Is that okay? A. Yes. Q. Do you know who owns HCMFA? A. I believe it is myself and Mark Okada. Q. Okay. And do you have an understanding as to – as to the percentage of each of your interests, ownership interests in HCMFA? A. No, and I don't know the entities. I don't know if I own it directly or through Dugaboy. And I do believe Okada tends to use his trusts, but I don't know the percentages 	 A. I believe so. Q. Okay. And do you control HCMFA? A. Yes. Q. And do you know when HCMFA was 9 created? A. No, I do not. Q. Do you know if it was before or after 2010? A. I don't know. Q. Have you controlled HCMFA since the time it was created? A. I believe so, but I don't know for sure. Q. Can you think of any period of time when you didn't control HCMFA? A. I don't know. I don't remember the ownership structure prior and I don't remember when it started, so I don't know. 	

Page 308	Page 30
1 DONDERO - 10/29/21	1 DONDERO - 10/29/21
2 when you did not control HCMFA?	2 have at HCMFA today?
3 A. I don't know.	3 A. I know I'm the portfolio manager on
4 Q. Okay. Can you tell me what the	4 a bunch of the funds, one of usually two or
5 nature of HCMFA's business is?	5 three portfolio managers, and I believe I'm the
6 A. It largely housed our mutual funds.	6 president, but I don't know beyond that.
7 Q. What does it mean to house mutual	7 Q. Okay. Did Frank Waterhouse serve as
8 funds?	8 treasurer of HCMFA at any point in time?
9 A. It managed it managed the mutual	9 A. I don't know. I don't know. I
10 funds from a portfolio asset side and captured	10 just – I don't know. I don't remember.
11 the management fees as the advisor or sub	11 MR. MORRIS: Can I ask my – my
12 advisor I can't remember the structure. I	12 colleague to please put up a document that
13 can't remember if it was the advisor and	13 was premarked as Exhibit 35 to see if I can
14 Highland was the sub advisor or vice versa, but	14 refresh your recollection.
15 in general, a good portion, or most of the	15 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Is that in the
16 portfolio team that managed the mutual funds	16 book that you sent over?
17 was employed at HCMFA.	17 MR. MORRIS: No. She will post it
18 Q. Do you have a title with HCMFA	18 and she will put it in the chat room.
19 today?	19 Q. Are you able to see that,
20 A. I don't know.	20 Mr. Dondero?
21 Q. Do you know who the president of	21 A. Yes.
22 HCMFA is?	22 Q. Can you see that this is an
23 A. I would believe I would I	23 incumbency certificate?
24 would think I am, but I don't know.	24 A. Yes.
25 Q. Do you know of any title that you	25 Q. Do you know what an incumbency
Page 310	Page 31
1 DONDERO - 10/29/21	1 DONDERO - 10/29/21
2 certificate is?	2 Q. Did you, in your capacity as the
3 A. I'm reading it here for a second. I	3 person who was in control of HCMFA, appoint
4 guess it is an officer statement or signature	4 Mr. Waterhouse as the treasurer of that entity?
5 authority, or some combination thereof.	5 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the
6 Q. Is that your signature at the bottom	6 form.
7 of this document?	7 A. It appears to me that that's what
8 A. Yes.	8 this incumbency certificate does, but
9 Q. And do you see that this is an	9 Q. Is it fair to say that you knew for
10 incumbency certificate for HCMFA that you	10 at least a few years prior to the petition date
11 signed effective as of April 11th, 2019?	11 that Mr. Waterhouse was simultaneously serving
12 A. Yes.	12 as Highland's CFO and HCMFA's treasurer?
13 Q. Do you see that Frank Waterhouse is	13 A. No. I mean, like I said, I don't
14 identified as the treasurer of HCMFA as of that	14 remember, and a lot of the officers had
15 date?	15 multiple roles and multiple entities. I mean,
16 A. Yes.	16 it is not surprising, but I didn't have any
17 Q. Does that refresh your recollection	17 recollection.
18 that Mr. Waterhouse served as the treasurer of	18 Q. Are you aware that Mr. Waterhouse
19 HCMFA?	19 served in any capacity in the Highland universe
20 A. It seems to be an authoritative21 document, but I didn't have a recollection.	20 of companies other than as CFO of Highland
	21 Capital Management, L.P.?22 A. I would – I would assume he would
Q. Do you know of anybody else who hasever served as the treasurer of HCMFA other	23 have a position like this in multiple other
24 than Mr. Waterhouse?	24 entities, but I don't know which ones or what
25 A. I don't recall.	25 titles he would have off the top of my head.

Caase210600655gjpDoc148634FHedc012061221 Elateredc012061221184187432 Page710 of 899

Page 312		Page 313
1 DONDERO - 10/29/21	1 DONDERO - 10/29/21	aye 515
2 Q. Is it fair to say, though, that he	2 is that right?	
3 wouldn't have obtained any of those titles	3 A. That is correct.	
4 without your knowledge and approval?	4 Q. And you can't identify any title	
5 A. It is – it is fair to say he was –	5 that Mr. Waterhouse held during the time that	
6 he had the lawyers or whoever worked on	6 you served as Highland's president other than	
7 general corporate structuring, Frank was a	7 CFO of Highland. Do I have that right?	
8 senior officer in good standing, so they would	8 A. No, I don't think that is fair.	
9 have used him as appropriate in different	9 Q. Okay.	
10 things.	10 A. I mean – I mean, he was CFO, but he	
11 So to that extent, I guess I approve	11 was other things before he was CFO. And as we	
12 it, but I sign hundreds of things like this.	12 were just saying, he's – he's treasurer on	
13 Would – you know, would I have been	13 this incumbency certificate, but I think he	
14 specifically aware or remember – remember it	14 might have been on other incumbency	
15 is a very low likelihood.	15 certificates, so I think your – your summary	
16 Q. Is there any position that	16 was too narrow.	
17 Mr. Waterhouse has ever held that you learned	17 Q. Okay. Can you identify any position	
18 about and you objected to on the grounds that	18 that Mr. Waterhouse held at the same time that	
19 you hadn't approved it?	19 he is CFO of Highland other than treasurer of	
20 A. No, not that I recall.	20 HCMFA as reflected on this document?	
21 Q. Okay. Do you know if Mr. Waterhouse	21 A. I can't recall, but I imagine there	
22 held any positions with any of the retail	22 to be others.	
23 funds?	23 Q. And to the extent there are others,	
24 A. I don't know.	24 is it fair to say that you knew at the time	
25 Q. He may have, you just don't recall;	25 that Mr. Waterhouse was serving in more than	
Page 314		Page 315
1 DONDERO - 10/29/21	1 DONDERO - 10/29/21	
2 one role?	2 changes. With the formation of Skyview, I	
3 A. Yes.	3 don't know if there was changes. I'm not	
4 Q. Okay. And in his capacity as CFO of	4 aware.	
5 Highland, did he report directly to you?6 A. Yes.	5 Q. Have you considered firing6 Mr. Waterhouse from any of the positions that	
	7 he holds with any of the companies that were	
	8 formerly affiliated with Highland?9 A. No.	
9 A. Yeah, it appears that, yes, that is 10 how it was structured.	10 Q. As the president of HCMFA –	
11 Q. Can you think of any position that	11 withdrawn.	
12 Mr. Waterhouse ever held in the Highland family	12 As the person who was in control of	
13 of companies where he didn't report directly to	13 HCMFA, did you have any responsibility for	
14 you?	14 being familiar with HCMFA's debts and	
15 A. I can't – I can't think of any.	15 obligations?	
16 Q. Is Mr. Waterhouse the treasurer of	16 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	
17 HCMFA today?	17 form.	
18 A. I don't know. I'm not aware of any	18 A. I don't know.	
19 changes, nor did I orchestrate any changes, but	19 Q. Did you ever do anything in your	
20 I don't know for sure.	20 capacity as the person in control of HCMFA to	
21 Q. Can you identify any position that	21 familiarize yourself with HCMFA's debts and	
22 Mr. Waterhouse holds with any former affiliated	22 obligations?	
23 company of Highland today?	23 A. Not during – I mean, not prior to	
24 A. Again, I'm not aware of any changes,	24 bankruptcy.	
25 nor did I orchestrate or precipitate any	25 Q. So before the bankruptcy, you didn't	

Caase210600655gjpDoc148634Fifedc012061221 Elateredc012061221184187432 Page715 of 899

1	Page 316			Page 317
1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	g
2	take any steps to familiarize yourself with	2	Q. How do you define "significance"?	
3	HCMFA's debts and obligations. Do I have that	3	A. Like waiving fees on a mutual fund,	
4	right?	4	purchasing another mutual fund, yeah, things	
5	A. Correct, not specifically.	5	like that.	
6	Q. Okay. Who was responsible for	6	Q. Was there any document or policy	
7	knowing and understanding the scope and extent	7	that you are aware of that specifically	
8	of HCMFA's debts and obligations?	8	identifies the scope of Mr. Waterhouse's	
9	A. That would have fallen on Frank and	9	authority as the treasurer of HCMFA?	
10	his group.	10	A. No.	
11	Q. Okay. Do you have an understanding	11	Q. Is there anything that you are aware	
12	as to who was authorized to incur obligations	12	of that specifically limits Mr. Waterhouse's	
13	on behalf of HCMFA?	13	authority other than what might be in your	
14	A. I mean, beyond – beyond due course,	14	head?	
15	I struggle to see why it would be anybody other	15	A. No, I would – I would say what is	
16	than me, but I don't know.	16	in my head is – would be typical industry	
17	Q. Do you know if Mr. Waterhouse was	17	practice. You wouldn't – you wouldn't have	
18	authorized as the treasurer of HCMFA to incur	18	executive vice presidents or ownership defined	
19	obligations on its behalf?	19	if you were going to delegate everything to an	
20	A. He wasn't the senior operating or	20	employee three levels down, you know.	
21	executive positions there. So the answer is	21	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Okay. John,	
22	no, beyond, you know beyond the normal	22	I've had a request from Davor to take a	
23	course of operating expenses or whatever, but	23	quick restroom break, so –	
24	it would – he would never be the person on	24	MR. MORRIS: You know, I really	
25	anything of significance.	25	Davor, I'm happy to accommodate, but at	
		_		
Ι.	Page 318			Page 319
1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	Page 319
2	DONDERO - 10/29/21 some point we have got to be able to get	2	A. I don't know.	Page 319
23	DONDERO - 10/29/21 some point we have got to be able to get more than 10 minutes of testimony in a row.	2	A. I don't know. Q. I appreciate that you don't know,	Page 319
2 3 4	DONDERO - 10/29/21 some point we have got to be able to get more than 10 minutes of testimony in a row. So let's take a short break.	2 3 4	A. I don't know.Q. I appreciate that you don't know,but do you have any reason as you sit here	Page 319
2 3 4 5	DONDERO - 10/29/21 some point we have got to be able to get more than 10 minutes of testimony in a row. So let's take a short break. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Thank you.	2 3 4 5	 A. I don't know. Q. I appreciate that you don't know, but do you have any reason as you sit here today to believe that he failed to fulfill that 	Page 319
2 3 4 5 6	DONDERO - 10/29/21 some point we have got to be able to get more than 10 minutes of testimony in a row. So let's take a short break. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Thank you. VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record.	2 3 4 5 6	 A. I don't know. Q. I appreciate that you don't know, but do you have any reason as you sit here today to believe that he failed to fulfill that particular responsibility? 	Page 319
2 3 4 5 6 7	DONDERO - 10/29/21 some point we have got to be able to get more than 10 minutes of testimony in a row. So let's take a short break. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Thank you. VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record. The time is 11:08.	2 3 4 5 6 7	 A. I don't know. Q. I appreciate that you don't know, but do you have any reason as you sit here today to believe that he failed to fulfill that particular responsibility? A. I don't know. 	Page 319
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	DONDERO - 10/29/21 some point we have got to be able to get more than 10 minutes of testimony in a row. So let's take a short break. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Thank you. VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record. The time is 11:08. (Recess taken 11:08 a.m. to 11:16 a.m.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A. I don't know. Q. I appreciate that you don't know, but do you have any reason as you sit here today to believe that he failed to fulfill that particular responsibility? A. I don't know. Q. Okay. Are you an authorized 	Page 319
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	DONDERO - 10/29/21 some point we have got to be able to get more than 10 minutes of testimony in a row. So let's take a short break. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Thank you. VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record. The time is 11:08. (Recess taken 11:08 a.m. to 11:16 a.m.) VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. I don't know. Q. I appreciate that you don't know, but do you have any reason as you sit here today to believe that he failed to fulfill that particular responsibility? A. I don't know. Q. Okay. Are you an authorized signatory on HCMFA's bank accounts? 	Page 319
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	DONDERO - 10/29/21 some point we have got to be able to get more than 10 minutes of testimony in a row. So let's take a short break. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Thank you. VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record. The time is 11:08. (Recess taken 11:08 a.m. to 11:16 a.m.) VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record, 11:16.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A. I don't know. Q. I appreciate that you don't know, but do you have any reason as you sit here today to believe that he failed to fulfill that particular responsibility? A. I don't know. Q. Okay. Are you an authorized signatory on HCMFA's bank accounts? A. I don't know. 	Page 319
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	DONDERO - 10/29/21 some point we have got to be able to get more than 10 minutes of testimony in a row. So let's take a short break. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Thank you. VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record. The time is 11:08. (Recess taken 11:08 a.m. to 11:16 a.m.) VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record, 11:16. Q. Mr. Dondero, did you communicate	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 A. I don't know. Q. I appreciate that you don't know, but do you have any reason as you sit here today to believe that he failed to fulfill that particular responsibility? A. I don't know. Q. Okay. Are you an authorized signatory on HCMFA's bank accounts? A. I don't know. Q. Do you know who the authorized 	Page 319
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	DONDERO - 10/29/21 some point we have got to be able to get more than 10 minutes of testimony in a row. So let's take a short break. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Thank you. VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record. The time is 11:08. (Recess taken 11:08 a.m. to 11:16 a.m.) VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record, 11:16. Q. Mr. Dondero, did you communicate with anybody on the break about the substance	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A. I don't know. Q. I appreciate that you don't know, but do you have any reason as you sit here today to believe that he failed to fulfill that particular responsibility? A. I don't know. Q. Okay. Are you an authorized signatory on HCMFA's bank accounts? A. I don't know. Q. Do you know who the authorized signatories are on HCMFA's bank accounts? 	Page 319
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	DONDERO - 10/29/21 some point we have got to be able to get more than 10 minutes of testimony in a row. So let's take a short break. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Thank you. VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record. The time is 11:08. (Recess taken 11:08 a.m. to 11:16 a.m.) VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record, 11:16. Q. Mr. Dondero, did you communicate with anybody on the break about the substance of your testimony?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. I don't know. Q. I appreciate that you don't know, but do you have any reason as you sit here today to believe that he failed to fulfill that particular responsibility? A. I don't know. Q. Okay. Are you an authorized signatory on HCMFA's bank accounts? A. I don't know. Q. Do you know who the authorized signatories are on HCMFA's bank accounts? A. No. 	Page 319
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	DONDERO - 10/29/21 some point we have got to be able to get more than 10 minutes of testimony in a row. So let's take a short break. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Thank you. VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record. The time is 11:08. (Recess taken 11:08 a.m. to 11:16 a.m.) VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record, 11:16. Q. Mr. Dondero, did you communicate with anybody on the break about the substance of your testimony? A. No.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. I don't know. Q. I appreciate that you don't know, but do you have any reason as you sit here today to believe that he failed to fulfill that particular responsibility? A. I don't know. Q. Okay. Are you an authorized signatory on HCMFA's bank accounts? A. I don't know. Q. Do you know who the authorized signatories are on HCMFA's bank accounts? A. No. Q. Do you know whether anybody now 	Page 319
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	DONDERO - 10/29/21 some point we have got to be able to get more than 10 minutes of testimony in a row. So let's take a short break. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Thank you. VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record. The time is 11:08. (Recess taken 11:08 a.m. to 11:16 a.m.) VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record, 11:16. Q. Mr. Dondero, did you communicate with anybody on the break about the substance of your testimony? A. No. Q. As treasurer of HCMFA, did	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. I don't know. Q. I appreciate that you don't know, but do you have any reason as you sit here today to believe that he failed to fulfill that particular responsibility? A. I don't know. Q. Okay. Are you an authorized signatory on HCMFA's bank accounts? A. I don't know. Q. Do you know who the authorized signatories are on HCMFA's bank accounts? A. No. Q. Do you know whether anybody now employed or previously employed by Highland was 	Page 319
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	DONDERO - 10/29/21 some point we have got to be able to get more than 10 minutes of testimony in a row. So let's take a short break. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Thank you. VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record. The time is 11:08. (Recess taken 11:08 a.m. to 11:16 a.m.) VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record, 11:16. Q. Mr. Dondero, did you communicate with anybody on the break about the substance of your testimony? A. No. Q. As treasurer of HCMFA, did Mr. Waterhouse's responsibilities include being	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. I don't know. Q. I appreciate that you don't know, but do you have any reason as you sit here today to believe that he failed to fulfill that particular responsibility? A. I don't know. Q. Okay. Are you an authorized signatory on HCMFA's bank accounts? A. I don't know. Q. Do you know who the authorized signatories are on HCMFA's bank accounts? A. No. Q. Do you know whether anybody now employed or previously employed by Highland was an authorized signatory with respect to any of 	Page 319
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	DONDERO - 10/29/21 some point we have got to be able to get more than 10 minutes of testimony in a row. So let's take a short break. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Thank you. VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record. The time is 11:08. (Recess taken 11:08 a.m. to 11:16 a.m.) VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record, 11:16. Q. Mr. Dondero, did you communicate with anybody on the break about the substance of your testimony? A. No. Q. As treasurer of HCMFA, did Mr. Waterhouse's responsibilities include being familiar with HCMFA's debts and obligations?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. I don't know. Q. I appreciate that you don't know, but do you have any reason as you sit here today to believe that he failed to fulfill that particular responsibility? A. I don't know. Q. Okay. Are you an authorized signatory on HCMFA's bank accounts? A. I don't know. Q. Do you know who the authorized signatories are on HCMFA's bank accounts? A. No. Q. Do you know whether anybody now employed or previously employed by Highland was an authorized signatory with respect to any of HCMFA's bank accounts? 	Page 319
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	DONDERO - 10/29/21 some point we have got to be able to get more than 10 minutes of testimony in a row. So let's take a short break. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Thank you. VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record. The time is 11:08. (Recess taken 11:08 a.m. to 11:16 a.m.) VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record, 11:16. Q. Mr. Dondero, did you communicate with anybody on the break about the substance of your testimony? A. No. Q. As treasurer of HCMFA, did Mr. Waterhouse's responsibilities include being familiar with HCMFA's debts and obligations? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. I don't know. Q. I appreciate that you don't know, but do you have any reason as you sit here today to believe that he failed to fulfill that particular responsibility? A. I don't know. Q. Okay. Are you an authorized signatory on HCMFA's bank accounts? A. I don't know. Q. Do you know who the authorized signatories are on HCMFA's bank accounts? A. No. Q. Do you know whether anybody now employed or previously employed by Highland was an authorized signatory with respect to any of HCMFA's bank accounts? A. I don't know. 	Page 319
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	DONDERO - 10/29/21 some point we have got to be able to get more than 10 minutes of testimony in a row. So let's take a short break. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Thank you. VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record. The time is 11:08. (Recess taken 11:08 a.m. to 11:16 a.m.) VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record, 11:16. Q. Mr. Dondero, did you communicate with anybody on the break about the substance of your testimony? A. No. Q. As treasurer of HCMFA, did Mr. Waterhouse's responsibilities include being familiar with HCMFA's debts and obligations? A. Yes. Q. Do you have any reason to believe as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A. I don't know. Q. I appreciate that you don't know, but do you have any reason as you sit here today to believe that he failed to fulfill that particular responsibility? A. I don't know. Q. Okay. Are you an authorized signatory on HCMFA's bank accounts? A. I don't know. Q. Do you know who the authorized signatories are on HCMFA's bank accounts? A. No. Q. Do you know whether anybody now employed or previously employed by Highland was an authorized signatory with respect to any of HCMFA's bank accounts? A. I don't know. Q. Do you know whether Mr. Waterhouse 	Page 319
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	DONDERO - 10/29/21 some point we have got to be able to get more than 10 minutes of testimony in a row. So let's take a short break. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Thank you. VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record. The time is 11:08. (Recess taken 11:08 a.m. to 11:16 a.m.) VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record, 11:16. Q. Mr. Dondero, did you communicate with anybody on the break about the substance of your testimony? A. No. Q. As treasurer of HCMFA, did Mr. Waterhouse's responsibilities include being familiar with HCMFA's debts and obligations? A. Yes. Q. Do you have any reason to believe as you sit here today that Mr. Waterhouse failed	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. I don't know. Q. I appreciate that you don't know, but do you have any reason as you sit here today to believe that he failed to fulfill that particular responsibility? A. I don't know. Q. Okay. Are you an authorized signatory on HCMFA's bank accounts? A. I don't know. Q. Do you know who the authorized signatories are on HCMFA's bank accounts? A. No. Q. Do you know whether anybody now employed or previously employed by Highland was an authorized signatory with respect to any of HCMFA's bank accounts? A. I don't know. Q. Do you know whether Mr. Waterhouse was an authorized signatory on any of HCMFA's 	Page 319
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	DONDERO - 10/29/21 some point we have got to be able to get more than 10 minutes of testimony in a row. So let's take a short break. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Thank you. VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record. The time is 11:08. (Recess taken 11:08 a.m. to 11:16 a.m.) VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record, 11:16. Q. Mr. Dondero, did you communicate with anybody on the break about the substance of your testimony? A. No. Q. As treasurer of HCMFA, did Mr. Waterhouse's responsibilities include being familiar with HCMFA's debts and obligations? A. Yes. Q. Do you have any reason to believe as you sit here today that Mr. Waterhouse failed to fulfill his responsibilities as treasurer of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. I don't know. Q. I appreciate that you don't know, but do you have any reason as you sit here today to believe that he failed to fulfill that particular responsibility? A. I don't know. Q. Okay. Are you an authorized signatory on HCMFA's bank accounts? A. I don't know. Q. Do you know who the authorized signatories are on HCMFA's bank accounts? A. No. Q. Do you know whether anybody now employed or previously employed by Highland was an authorized signatory with respect to any of HCMFA's bank accounts? A. I don't know. Q. Do you know whether Mr. Waterhouse was an authorized signatory on any of HCMFA's bank accounts? 	Page 319
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	DONDERO - 10/29/21 some point we have got to be able to get more than 10 minutes of testimony in a row. So let's take a short break. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Thank you. VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record. The time is 11:08. (Recess taken 11:08 a.m. to 11:16 a.m.) VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record, 11:16. Q. Mr. Dondero, did you communicate with anybody on the break about the substance of your testimony? A. No. Q. As treasurer of HCMFA, did Mr. Waterhouse's responsibilities include being familiar with HCMFA's debts and obligations? A. Yes. Q. Do you have any reason to believe as you sit here today that Mr. Waterhouse failed to fulfill his responsibilities as treasurer of HCMFA and familiarize himself with their debts	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. I don't know. Q. I appreciate that you don't know, but do you have any reason as you sit here today to believe that he failed to fulfill that particular responsibility? A. I don't know. Q. Okay. Are you an authorized signatory on HCMFA's bank accounts? A. I don't know. Q. Do you know who the authorized signatories are on HCMFA's bank accounts? A. No. Q. Do you know whether anybody now employed or previously employed by Highland was an authorized signatory with respect to any of HCMFA's bank accounts? A. I don't know. Q. Do you know whether Mr. Waterhouse was an authorized signatory on any of HCMFA's bank accounts? A. I don't know how he had – had it 	Page 319
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	DONDERO - 10/29/21 some point we have got to be able to get more than 10 minutes of testimony in a row. So let's take a short break. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Thank you. VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record. The time is 11:08. (Recess taken 11:08 a.m. to 11:16 a.m.) VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record, 11:16. Q. Mr. Dondero, did you communicate with anybody on the break about the substance of your testimony? A. No. Q. As treasurer of HCMFA, did Mr. Waterhouse's responsibilities include being familiar with HCMFA's debts and obligations? A. Yes. Q. Do you have any reason to believe as you sit here today that Mr. Waterhouse failed to fulfill his responsibilities as treasurer of HCMFA and familiarize himself with their debts and responsibilities?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. I don't know. Q. I appreciate that you don't know, but do you have any reason as you sit here today to believe that he failed to fulfill that particular responsibility? A. I don't know. Q. Okay. Are you an authorized signatory on HCMFA's bank accounts? A. I don't know. Q. Do you know who the authorized signatories are on HCMFA's bank accounts? A. No. Q. Do you know whether anybody now employed or previously employed by Highland was an authorized signatory with respect to any of HCMFA's bank accounts? A. I don't know. Q. Do you know whether Mr. Waterhouse was an authorized signatory on any of HCMFA's bank accounts? A. I don't know how he had – had it set up. There would have been, I imagine, 	Page 319
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	DONDERO - 10/29/21 some point we have got to be able to get more than 10 minutes of testimony in a row. So let's take a short break. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Thank you. VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record. The time is 11:08. (Recess taken 11:08 a.m. to 11:16 a.m.) VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record, 11:16. Q. Mr. Dondero, did you communicate with anybody on the break about the substance of your testimony? A. No. Q. As treasurer of HCMFA, did Mr. Waterhouse's responsibilities include being familiar with HCMFA's debts and obligations? A. Yes. Q. Do you have any reason to believe as you sit here today that Mr. Waterhouse failed to fulfill his responsibilities as treasurer of HCMFA and familiarize himself with their debts and responsibilities? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A. I don't know. Q. I appreciate that you don't know, but do you have any reason as you sit here today to believe that he failed to fulfill that particular responsibility? A. I don't know. Q. Okay. Are you an authorized signatory on HCMFA's bank accounts? A. I don't know. Q. Do you know who the authorized signatories are on HCMFA's bank accounts? A. No. Q. Do you know whether anybody now employed or previously employed by Highland was an authorized signatory with respect to any of HCMFA's bank accounts? A. I don't know. Q. Do you know whether Mr. Waterhouse was an authorized signatory on any of HCMFA's bank accounts? A. I don't know. Q. Do you know he had – had it set up. There would have been, I imagine, checks and balances. We run, as far as I know, 	Page 319
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	DONDERO - 10/29/21 some point we have got to be able to get more than 10 minutes of testimony in a row. So let's take a short break. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Thank you. VIDEOGRAPHER: Going off the record. The time is 11:08. (Recess taken 11:08 a.m. to 11:16 a.m.) VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record, 11:16. Q. Mr. Dondero, did you communicate with anybody on the break about the substance of your testimony? A. No. Q. As treasurer of HCMFA, did Mr. Waterhouse's responsibilities include being familiar with HCMFA's debts and obligations? A. Yes. Q. Do you have any reason to believe as you sit here today that Mr. Waterhouse failed to fulfill his responsibilities as treasurer of HCMFA and familiarize himself with their debts and responsibilities?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. I don't know. Q. I appreciate that you don't know, but do you have any reason as you sit here today to believe that he failed to fulfill that particular responsibility? A. I don't know. Q. Okay. Are you an authorized signatory on HCMFA's bank accounts? A. I don't know. Q. Do you know who the authorized signatories are on HCMFA's bank accounts? A. No. Q. Do you know whether anybody now employed or previously employed by Highland was an authorized signatory with respect to any of HCMFA's bank accounts? A. I don't know. Q. Do you know whether Mr. Waterhouse was an authorized signatory on any of HCMFA's bank accounts? A. I don't know how he had – had it set up. There would have been, I imagine, 	Page 319

Caae 22106006559jpDoc148634FHed 012061221 Elatered 012061221184187432 Plage 718 of 899

	Page 320			Page 321
1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	Tage 021
2	the right audit controls, et cetera. So I	2	you want to look at that.	
3	would imagine there would have been somebody	3	A. No, but I think that is that is	
4	preparing it and multiple signatures or	4	the answer, but that is my only awareness.	
5	multiple sign-offs on wires, but I have no	5	Q. Okay. Do you have – do you have –	
6	awareness of this. I mean, I would believe	6	do you know whether she was ever appointed to	
7	that it was done compliantly and correctly, but	7	any position within the Highland corporate	
8	I don't have any specific awareness.	8	family other than as an attorney with Highland	
9	Q. Okay. Do you know Lauren Thedford?	9	and as the secretary of HCMFA?	
10	A. Yes.	10	A. I don't know.	
11	Q. And was Ms. Thedford an employee of	11	Q. Other than Ms. Waterhouse	
12	Highland at one time?	12	withdrawn.	
13	A. Yes.	13	Other than Mr. Waterhouse and	
14	Q. Do you recall what position she held	14	Ms. Thedford, can you identify any current or	
15	at any particular point in time?	15	former employee of Highland that ever served as	
16	A. I believe she held several different	16	an officer of HCMFA?	
17	positions over the years, but I remember most	17	A. I don't know.	
18	as a corporate attorney working on document	18	Q. Okay. Can you identify any current	
19	documents when we we do new funds or amend	19	or former employee of Highland who was	
20	old funds.	20	simultaneously also an employee of HCMFA?	
21	Q. Okay. Do you recall whether she	21	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	
22	served as an officer of HCMFA?	22	form.	
23	A. Wasn't her name on the incumbency	23	A. You mean somebody who was a dual	
24	certificate we had up earlier?	24	employee?	
25	Q. It was. We can put it back up if	25	Q. Yeah, who was actually yeah, to	
	Page 322			Page 323
1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	
2	be clear, who was actually employed by both,	2	don't remember when.	
3	who received, you know, income from both.	3	Q. Can you tell me generally the nature	
4	A. I don't know regarding income, but	4	of NexPoint's business?	
	some of that historic portfolio managers like	5	A. It is generally real estate related.	
6	Michael Gregory or Jonathan Lamensdorf, they	6	Q. Have you controlled NexPoint	
7	did work for HCMFA primarily, but they also did	7	throughout its corporate existence, to the best	
8	other things for Highland. I don't know how	8	of your knowledge?	
I	their compensation or their bonuses were split.	9	A. Yes.	
10	I just I wouldn't have awareness of that.	10	Q. Do you have a title with NexPoint	
11	Q. Let's move on to NexPoint. You're	11	today?	
12	familiar with an entity called NexPoint	12	A. I believe I'm president, but I don't	
13	Advisors, L.P.; correct?	13	know for sure.	
14	A. Yes.	14	Q. Did you appoint Mr. Waterhouse to	
15	Q. We will refer to that as NexPoint,	15	serve as treasurer of NexPoint?	
16	okay?	16	A. I don't know.	
17	A. Sure.	17	MR. MORRIS: Please put up Exhibit	
18	Q. Do you know who owns NexPoint?	18	37.	
19	A. Directly or indirectly, I believe I	19	Q. This is another incumbency	
20	do. O Okay And do you control NovPoint?	20	certificate, sir?	
21	Q. Okay. And do you control NexPoint?	21	A. Yes.	
22	A. Yes.	22	Q. And do you see, is that your	
23 24	Q. And do you know when NexPoint was created?	23 24	signature at the bottom? A. Looks like it, yes.	
24	A. More than five years ago, but I	24	Q. And does that refresh your	
		20		

Caase210600655gjpDoc148634Fifedc012061221 Elateredc012061221184187432 Page713 of 899

	Page 324			Page 325
1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	Fage 525
2 recoll	ection that you personally identified	2	president of Highland, that Mr. Waterhouse	
3 Mr. V	Vaterhouse as the treasurer of NexPoint	3	served in capacities with respect to affiliated	
4 Advis	ors, L.P. effective as of April 11th,	4	companies?	
5 2019	?	5	A. I was aware that multiple senior	
6 A.	No, I mean, not – no.	6	executives had multiple titles at multiple	
7 Q	. Do you have any reason to doubt that	7	different entities, but I didn't have specific	
8 Mr. V	Vaterhouse served as the treasurer of	8	awareness whatsoever on entities that Frank was	
9 NexF	Point Advisors prior to the petition date?	9	or was not involved in.	
10 A	. No, I don't have a reason to	10	Q. Okay. But to the extent that he	
11 disag	gree with it. I just didn't have an	11	held a title with one of the affiliated	
12 awar	reness. And when you asked me earlier, the	12	companies, those affiliated companies would	
13 thing	that was running through my mind is that	13	have been managed or controlled by you;	
14 it cou	ıld have been, you know, Brian Mitts who	14	correct?	
15 has a	a strong accounting background at NexPoint.	15	A. Generally.	
16 I just	wasn't – I didn't know, based on	16	Q. You can't think of any title that he	
17 recol	lection, who was treasurer.	17	held with an affiliated company that wasn't	
18 G	. Okay. Were you aware that but	18	managed by you, can you?	
19 you \	were aware, were you not, that	19	A. No, not off the top of my head.	
20 Mr. V	Vaterhouse wore multiple hats?	20	Q. And you knew and intended prior to	
21	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection to	21	the petition date to have Mr. Waterhouse serve	
22 fc	vm.	22	in multiple roles; is that fair?	
23 G). Withdrawn.	23	A. Yes.	
24	You were aware, were you not, sir,	24	Q. Have you ever considered firing	
25 that of	during the time that you served as	25	Mr. Waterhouse from his position as treasurer	
L				
	Page 326			Page 327
1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	Page 327
2 of Ne	DONDERO - 10/29/21 xPoint Advisors?	1	Q. Do you know whether NexPoint's	Page 327
	DONDERO - 10/29/21 xPoint Advisors? No.	1	Q. Do you know whether NexPoint's balance sheet reflected obligations that it	Page 327
2 of Ne 3 A. 4 Q.	DONDERO - 10/29/21 xPoint Advisors? No. . Okay. As the president of NexPoint	1 2 3 4	Q. Do you know whether NexPoint's balance sheet reflected obligations that it carried as liabilities that were due and owing	Page 327
2 of Ne 3 A. 4 Q 5 Advis	DONDERO - 10/29/21 xPoint Advisors? No. . Okay. As the president of NexPoint sors, do you believe that you had a	1 2 3 4 5	Q. Do you know whether NexPoint's balance sheet reflected obligations that it carried as liabilities that were due and owing to Highland?	Page 327
2 of Ne 3 A. 4 Q. 5 Advis 6 respo	DONDERO - 10/29/21 xPoint Advisors? No. . Okay. As the president of NexPoint cors, do you believe that you had a onsibility to familiarize yourself with	1 2 3 4 5 6	Q. Do you know whether NexPoint's balance sheet reflected obligations that it carried as liabilities that were due and owing to Highland?A. I was aware generally of the notes,	Page 327
 2 of Ne 3 A. 4 Q. 5 Advis 6 response 7 NexF 	DONDERO - 10/29/21 xPoint Advisors? No. Okay. As the president of NexPoint sors, do you believe that you had a possibility to familiarize yourself with Point's debts and obligations?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. Do you know whether NexPoint's balance sheet reflected obligations that it carried as liabilities that were due and owing to Highland? A. I was aware generally of the notes, but I didn't study the NexPoint balance sheet. 	Page 327
2 of Ne 3 A. 4 Q. 5 Advis 6 respond 7 NexF 8	DONDERO - 10/29/21 xPoint Advisors? No. . Okay. As the president of NexPoint cors, do you believe that you had a onsibility to familiarize yourself with Point's debts and obligations? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. Do you know whether NexPoint's balance sheet reflected obligations that it carried as liabilities that were due and owing to Highland? A. I was aware generally of the notes, but I didn't study the NexPoint balance sheet. Q. Do you believe that Mr. Waterhouse 	Page 327
 2 of Ne 3 A. 4 Q. 5 Advis 6 response 7 NexF 8 9 for 	DONDERO - 10/29/21 xPoint Advisors? No. Okay. As the president of NexPoint cors, do you believe that you had a possibility to familiarize yourself with Point's debts and obligations? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the rm.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. Do you know whether NexPoint's balance sheet reflected obligations that it carried as liabilities that were due and owing to Highland? A. I was aware generally of the notes, but I didn't study the NexPoint balance sheet. Q. Do you believe that Mr. Waterhouse had any responsibility as NexPoint's treasurer 	Page 327
2 of Ne 3 A. 4 Q. 5 Advis 6 respo 7 NexF 8 9 for 10 A	DONDERO - 10/29/21 exPoint Advisors? No. Okay. As the president of NexPoint sors, do you believe that you had a onsibility to familiarize yourself with Point's debts and obligations? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the rm. Just generally.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. Do you know whether NexPoint's balance sheet reflected obligations that it carried as liabilities that were due and owing to Highland? A. I was aware generally of the notes, but I didn't study the NexPoint balance sheet. Q. Do you believe that Mr. Waterhouse had any responsibility as NexPoint's treasurer to familiarize himself with NexPoint's debts 	Page 327
2 of Ne 3 A. 4 Q. 5 Advis 6 respond 7 NexF 8 9 foot 10 A 11 C	DONDERO - 10/29/21 xPoint Advisors? No. Okay. As the president of NexPoint cors, do you believe that you had a onsibility to familiarize yourself with Point's debts and obligations? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the rm. Just generally. Okay. Did you do anything to	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. Do you know whether NexPoint's balance sheet reflected obligations that it carried as liabilities that were due and owing to Highland? A. I was aware generally of the notes, but I didn't study the NexPoint balance sheet. Q. Do you believe that Mr. Waterhouse had any responsibility as NexPoint's treasurer to familiarize himself with NexPoint's debts and obligations? 	Page 327
2 of Ne 3 A. 4 Q. 5 Advis 6 respondent 7 NexF 8 9 for 10 A 11 C. 12 gene	DONDERO - 10/29/21 xPoint Advisors? No. Okay. As the president of NexPoint cors, do you believe that you had a possibility to familiarize yourself with Point's debts and obligations? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the rm. Just generally. Okay. Did you do anything to prally inform yourself of NexPoint's debts	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q. Do you know whether NexPoint's balance sheet reflected obligations that it carried as liabilities that were due and owing to Highland? A. I was aware generally of the notes, but I didn't study the NexPoint balance sheet. Q. Do you believe that Mr. Waterhouse had any responsibility as NexPoint's treasurer to familiarize himself with NexPoint's debts and obligations? A. Yeah. I mean, the role is different 	Page 327
2 of Ne 3 A. 4 Q. 5 Advis 6 respond 7 NexF 8 9 foot 10 A 11 G 12 gene 13 and 6	DONDERO - 10/29/21 exPoint Advisors? No. No. Okay. As the president of NexPoint sors, do you believe that you had a ponsibility to familiarize yourself with Point's debts and obligations? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the rm. Just generally. Okay. Did you do anything to erally inform yourself of NexPoint's debts obligations?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. Do you know whether NexPoint's balance sheet reflected obligations that it carried as liabilities that were due and owing to Highland? A. I was aware generally of the notes, but I didn't study the NexPoint balance sheet. Q. Do you believe that Mr. Waterhouse had any responsibility as NexPoint's treasurer to familiarize himself with NexPoint's debts and obligations? A. Yeah. I mean, the role is different and the burden is different, and Frank and his 	Page 327
2 of Ne 3 A. 4 Q. 5 Advis 6 respondent 7 NexF 8 9 fou 10 A 11 G. 12 gene 13 and 6 14 A	DONDERO - 10/29/21 exPoint Advisors? No. Okay. As the president of NexPoint cors, do you believe that you had a ponsibility to familiarize yourself with Point's debts and obligations? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the rm. Just generally. Okay. Did you do anything to erally inform yourself of NexPoint's debts obligations? Not – not specifically that I can	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. Do you know whether NexPoint's balance sheet reflected obligations that it carried as liabilities that were due and owing to Highland? A. I was aware generally of the notes, but I didn't study the NexPoint balance sheet. Q. Do you believe that Mr. Waterhouse had any responsibility as NexPoint's treasurer to familiarize himself with NexPoint's debts and obligations? A. Yeah. I mean, the role is different and the burden is different, and Frank and his team orchestrated all the audits and compliance 	Page 327
2 of Ne 3 A. 4 Q. 5 Advis 6 respondent 7 NexF 8 9 for 10 A 11 C 12 gene 13 and 6 14 A 15 recal	DONDERO - 10/29/21 xPoint Advisors? No. Okay. As the president of NexPoint cors, do you believe that you had a possibility to familiarize yourself with Point's debts and obligations? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the rm. Just generally. Okay. Did you do anything to prally inform yourself of NexPoint's debts obligations? Not – not specifically that I can I.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. Do you know whether NexPoint's balance sheet reflected obligations that it carried as liabilities that were due and owing to Highland? A. I was aware generally of the notes, but I didn't study the NexPoint balance sheet. Q. Do you believe that Mr. Waterhouse had any responsibility as NexPoint's treasurer to familiarize himself with NexPoint's debts and obligations? A. Yeah. I mean, the role is different and the burden is different, and Frank and his team orchestrated all the audits and compliance statements and regulatory stuff for all of the 	Page 327
2 of Ne 3 A. 4 Q. 5 Advis 6 respondent 7 NexF 8 9 for 10 A 11 C 12 gene 13 and 6 14 A 15 recal 16 C	DONDERO - 10/29/21 exPoint Advisors? No. No. Okay. As the president of NexPoint sors, do you believe that you had a possibility to familiarize yourself with Point's debts and obligations? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the rm. Just generally. Okay. Did you do anything to prally inform yourself of NexPoint's debts pobligations? Not – not specifically that I can I. Can you recall doing anything to	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. Do you know whether NexPoint's balance sheet reflected obligations that it carried as liabilities that were due and owing to Highland? A. I was aware generally of the notes, but I didn't study the NexPoint balance sheet. Q. Do you believe that Mr. Waterhouse had any responsibility as NexPoint's treasurer to familiarize himself with NexPoint's debts and obligations? A. Yeah. I mean, the role is different and the burden is different, and Frank and his team orchestrated all the audits and compliance statements and regulatory stuff for all of the funds managed by NexPoint. 	Page 327
2 of Ne 3 A. 4 Q. 5 Advis 6 respondent 7 NexF 8 9 fou 10 A 11 G. 12 gene 13 and 6 14 A 15 recal 16 G. 17 famil	DONDERO - 10/29/21 exPoint Advisors? No. Okay. As the president of NexPoint sors, do you believe that you had a onsibility to familiarize yourself with Point's debts and obligations? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the rm. Just generally. Okay. Did you do anything to erally inform yourself of NexPoint's debts obligations? Not – not specifically that I can I. Can you recall doing anything to iarize yourself with NexPoint's debts and	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. Do you know whether NexPoint's balance sheet reflected obligations that it carried as liabilities that were due and owing to Highland? A. I was aware generally of the notes, but I didn't study the NexPoint balance sheet. Q. Do you believe that Mr. Waterhouse had any responsibility as NexPoint's treasurer to familiarize himself with NexPoint's debts and obligations? A. Yeah. I mean, the role is different and the burden is different, and Frank and his team orchestrated all the audits and compliance statements and regulatory stuff for all of the funds managed by NexPoint. Q. Well, you personally were 	Page 327
2 of Ne 3 A. 4 Q. 5 Advis 6 respondent 7 NexF 8 9 9 for 10 A 11 Q. 12 generation 13 and d 14 A 15 recall 16 Q. 17 famil 18 oblig	DONDERO - 10/29/21 xPoint Advisors? No. No. Okay. As the president of NexPoint cors, do you believe that you had a possibility to familiarize yourself with Point's debts and obligations? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the rm. Just generally. Okay. Did you do anything to erally inform yourself of NexPoint's debts obligations? Not – not specifically that I can I. Can you recall doing anything to iarize yourself with NexPoint's debts and ations at any time?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. Do you know whether NexPoint's balance sheet reflected obligations that it carried as liabilities that were due and owing to Highland? A. I was aware generally of the notes, but I didn't study the NexPoint balance sheet. Q. Do you believe that Mr. Waterhouse had any responsibility as NexPoint's treasurer to familiarize himself with NexPoint's debts and obligations? A. Yeah. I mean, the role is different and the burden is different, and Frank and his team orchestrated all the audits and compliance statements and regulatory stuff for all of the funds managed by NexPoint. Q. Well, you personally were responsible for Highland's audited financial 	Page 327
2 of Ne 3 A. 4 Q. 5 Advis 6 respondent 7 NexF 8 9 9 for 10 A 11 Q. 12 genetic 13 and d 14 A 15 recall 16 Q. 17 famill 18 oblig 19	DONDERO - 10/29/21 exPoint Advisors? No. Okay. As the president of NexPoint sors, do you believe that you had a onsibility to familiarize yourself with Point's debts and obligations? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the rm. Just generally. Okay. Did you do anything to erally inform yourself of NexPoint's debts obligations? Not – not specifically that I can I. Can you recall doing anything to iarize yourself with NexPoint's debts and ations at any time? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q. Do you know whether NexPoint's balance sheet reflected obligations that it carried as liabilities that were due and owing to Highland? A. I was aware generally of the notes, but I didn't study the NexPoint balance sheet. Q. Do you believe that Mr. Waterhouse had any responsibility as NexPoint's treasurer to familiarize himself with NexPoint's debts and obligations? A. Yeah. I mean, the role is different and the burden is different, and Frank and his team orchestrated all the audits and compliance statements and regulatory stuff for all of the funds managed by NexPoint. Q. Well, you personally were responsible for Highland's audited financial statements, weren't you? 	Page 327
2 of Ne 3 A. 4 Q. 5 Advis 6 respondent 7 NexF 8 9 9 fool 10 A 11 Q 12 genetic 13 and o 14 A 15 recal 16 Q 17 famil 18 oblig 19 20	DONDERO - 10/29/21 exPoint Advisors? No. Okay. As the president of NexPoint sors, do you believe that you had a onsibility to familiarize yourself with Point's debts and obligations? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the rm. Just generally. Okay. Did you do anything to erally inform yourself of NexPoint's debts obligations? Not – not specifically that I can I. Can you recall doing anything to iarize yourself with NexPoint's debts and ations at any time? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the orm.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. Do you know whether NexPoint's balance sheet reflected obligations that it carried as liabilities that were due and owing to Highland? A. I was aware generally of the notes, but I didn't study the NexPoint balance sheet. Q. Do you believe that Mr. Waterhouse had any responsibility as NexPoint's treasurer to familiarize himself with NexPoint's debts and obligations? A. Yeah. I mean, the role is different and the burden is different, and Frank and his team orchestrated all the audits and compliance statements and regulatory stuff for all of the funds managed by NexPoint. Q. Well, you personally were responsible for Highland's audited financial statements, weren't you? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection, form. 	Page 327
2 of Ne 3 A. 4 Q. 5 Advis 6 respondent 7 NexF 8 9 9 for 10 A 11 Q. 12 generation 13 and d 14 A 15 recall 16 Q. 17 famil 18 oblig 19 20 fc 21 A	DONDERO - 10/29/21 exPoint Advisors? No. Okay. As the president of NexPoint sors, do you believe that you had a possibility to familiarize yourself with Point's debts and obligations? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the rm. Just generally. Okay. Did you do anything to erally inform yourself of NexPoint's debts obligations? Not – not specifically that I can I. Can you recall doing anything to iarize yourself with NexPoint's debts and ations at any time? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the orm. Not that I recall.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. Do you know whether NexPoint's balance sheet reflected obligations that it carried as liabilities that were due and owing to Highland? A. I was aware generally of the notes, but I didn't study the NexPoint balance sheet. Q. Do you believe that Mr. Waterhouse had any responsibility as NexPoint's treasurer to familiarize himself with NexPoint's debts and obligations? A. Yeah. I mean, the role is different and the burden is different, and Frank and his team orchestrated all the audits and compliance statements and regulatory stuff for all of the funds managed by NexPoint. Q. Well, you personally were responsible for Highland's audited financial statements, weren't you? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection, form. A. No. I mean, "responsible" is not 	Page 327
2 of Ne 3 A. 4 Q. 5 Advis 6 respondent 7 NexF 8 9 9 for 10 A 11 C 12 generic 13 and c 14 A 15 recall 16 C 17 famil 18 oblig 19 20 21 A 22 C	DONDERO - 10/29/21 xPoint Advisors? No. No. Okay. As the president of NexPoint sors, do you believe that you had a possibility to familiarize yourself with Point's debts and obligations? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the rm. Just generally. Okay. Did you do anything to arally inform yourself of NexPoint's debts obligations? Not – not specifically that I can I. Can you recall doing anything to iarize yourself with NexPoint's debts and ations at any time? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the orm. Not that I recall. Did you ever look at NexPoint's	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. Do you know whether NexPoint's balance sheet reflected obligations that it carried as liabilities that were due and owing to Highland? A. I was aware generally of the notes, but I didn't study the NexPoint balance sheet. Q. Do you believe that Mr. Waterhouse had any responsibility as NexPoint's treasurer to familiarize himself with NexPoint's debts and obligations? A. Yeah. I mean, the role is different and the burden is different, and Frank and his team orchestrated all the audits and compliance statements and regulatory stuff for all of the funds managed by NexPoint. Q. Well, you personally were responsible for Highland's audited financial statements, weren't you? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection, form. A. No. I mean, "responsible" is not the right word. I mean, we – I have to – as 	Page 327
2 of Ne 3 A. 4 Q. 5 Advis 6 respondent 7 NexF 8 9 9 fool 10 A 11 Q 12 genetic 13 and other 14 A 15 recall 16 Q 17 famil 18 oblig 19 Q 20 fool 21 A 22 Q 23 balant	DONDERO - 10/29/21 exPoint Advisors? No. No. Nokay. As the president of NexPoint fors, do you believe that you had a possibility to familiarize yourself with Point's debts and obligations? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the rm. Just generally. Nokay. Did you do anything to the analysis of NexPoint's debts obligations? Not – not specifically that I can Can you recall doing anything to iarize yourself with NexPoint's debts and ations at any time? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the orm. Not that I recall. Did you ever look at NexPoint's here sheet?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. Do you know whether NexPoint's balance sheet reflected obligations that it carried as liabilities that were due and owing to Highland? A. I was aware generally of the notes, but I didn't study the NexPoint balance sheet. Q. Do you believe that Mr. Waterhouse had any responsibility as NexPoint's treasurer to familiarize himself with NexPoint's debts and obligations? A. Yeah. I mean, the role is different and the burden is different, and Frank and his team orchestrated all the audits and compliance statements and regulatory stuff for all of the funds managed by NexPoint. Q. Well, you personally were responsible for Highland's audited financial statements, weren't you? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection, form. A. No. I mean, we – I have to – as the senior most executive, I have to – to 	Page 327
2 of Ne 3 A. 4 Q. 5 Advis 6 respondent 7 NexF 8 9 9 for 10 A 11 Q 12 generic 13 and c 14 A 15 recal 16 Q 17 famil 18 oblig 19 Q 20 fc 21 A 22 Q 23 balar 24 A	DONDERO - 10/29/21 exPoint Advisors? No. Okay. As the president of NexPoint sors, do you believe that you had a onsibility to familiarize yourself with Point's debts and obligations? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the rm. Just generally. Okay. Did you do anything to erally inform yourself of NexPoint's debts obligations? Not – not specifically that I can I. Can you recall doing anything to iarize yourself with NexPoint's debts and ations at any time? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the orm. Not that I recall. Did you ever look at NexPoint's nee sheet? Not – not that I – not that I	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. Do you know whether NexPoint's balance sheet reflected obligations that it carried as liabilities that were due and owing to Highland? A. I was aware generally of the notes, but I didn't study the NexPoint balance sheet. Q. Do you believe that Mr. Waterhouse had any responsibility as NexPoint's treasurer to familiarize himself with NexPoint's debts and obligations? A. Yeah. I mean, the role is different and the burden is different, and Frank and his team orchestrated all the audits and compliance statements and regulatory stuff for all of the funds managed by NexPoint. Q. Well, you personally were responsible for Highland's audited financial statements, weren't you? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection, form. A. No. I mean, we – I have to – as the senior most executive, I have to – to sign – sign statements regarding completeness 	Page 327
2 of Ne 3 A. 4 Q. 5 Advis 6 respondent 7 NexF 8 9 9 foil 10 A 11 Q 12 genetic 13 and other 14 A 15 recall 16 Q 17 famil 18 oblig 19 Q 20 for 21 A 22 Q 23 balant	DONDERO - 10/29/21 exPoint Advisors? No. Okay. As the president of NexPoint sors, do you believe that you had a onsibility to familiarize yourself with Point's debts and obligations? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the rm. Just generally. Okay. Did you do anything to erally inform yourself of NexPoint's debts obligations? Not – not specifically that I can I. Can you recall doing anything to iarize yourself with NexPoint's debts and ations at any time? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the orm. Not that I recall. Did you ever look at NexPoint's nee sheet? Not – not that I – not that I	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. Do you know whether NexPoint's balance sheet reflected obligations that it carried as liabilities that were due and owing to Highland? A. I was aware generally of the notes, but I didn't study the NexPoint balance sheet. Q. Do you believe that Mr. Waterhouse had any responsibility as NexPoint's treasurer to familiarize himself with NexPoint's debts and obligations? A. Yeah. I mean, the role is different and the burden is different, and Frank and his team orchestrated all the audits and compliance statements and regulatory stuff for all of the funds managed by NexPoint. Q. Well, you personally were responsible for Highland's audited financial statements, weren't you? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection, form. A. No. I mean, we – I have to – as the senior most executive, I have to – to 	Page 327

Caase210600655gjpDoc148634FHdd012061221 Elatered012061221184187432 Plage718 of 899

Page 328			Page 329
1 DONDERO - 10/29/21	1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	
2 but I am in no way involved in the preparation.	2 a	accounts?	
3 Q. We will talk about that in a bit.	3	A. I don't know.	
4 Do you have any reason to believe	4	Q. Do you know whether there is any	
5 today that Mr. Waterhouse failed to fulfill his	5 c	current or former employee of Highland who did	
6 responsibilities as treasurer of NexPoint to	6 n	not hold an officer position at NexPoint who	
7 familiarize himself with NexPoint's debts and	7 v	would have been an authorized signatory on	
8 obligations?	8 1	NexPoint's bank accounts?	
9 A. I don't know.	9	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	
10 Q. You can't identify any particular	10	form.	
11 reason that you might have for concluding that	11	A. I don't know.	
12 Mr. Waterhouse failed to fulfill his duties as	12	Q. Can you identify any current or	
13 treasurer of NexPoint to familiarize himself		former employee of Highland who served as an	
14 with NexPoint's duties and respons – duties		officer of NexPoint at any time other than	
15 and obligations; correct?		Ms. Thedford and Mr. Waterhouse?	
16 A. Yes, I don't know.	16	A. I don't know.	
17 Q. Okay. Do you know who the	17	Q. Okay. Let's go to HCMS. Are you	
18 authorized signatories are on NexPoint's bank		familiar with an entity called Highland Capital	
19 accounts?	19 I	Management Services, Inc.?	
20 A. No.	20	A. Generally, yes.	
21 Q. Do you know if you're an authorized	21	Q. And can we refer to that as HCMS?	
22 signatory on NexPoint's bank accounts?	22	A. Yes.	
23 A. I don't know.	23	Q. Do you have a direct or indirect	
24 Q. Do you know if Mr. Waterhouse is an		ownership interest in HCMS?	
25 authorized signatory on NexPoint's bank	25	A. I believe so.	
Page 330	1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	Page 331
2 Q. And do you own a majority of the		something the investors are willing to take a	
3 interest directly or indirectly in HCMS?		chance on and then give you separate account	
4 A. I believe so.		noney along those lines.	
5 Q. Do you control HCMS?	5	Q. Do you have a title with HCMS today?	
6 A. I believe so.	6	A. I don't know.	
7 Q. Have you – has there ever been a	7	Q. But you do control the entity; is	
8 period of time in HCMS's corporate existence		hat fair?	
9 where you did not control that entity?	9	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	
10 A. Not that I'm aware of.	10	form, asked and answered.	
11 Q. Do you recall when HCMS was created?	11	A. I believe so.	
12 A. More than five years ago, but I	12	Q. Okay. Do you know whether	
13 don't remember when.		Mr. Waterhouse has ever served as an officer of	
14 Q. Do you have an understanding of the		HCMS?	
15 nature of HCMS's business?	14 1	A. I have no idea.	
16 A. It manages some assets, and it was	16	Q. Can you identify any person in the	
17 trying to create track records that then could		world who has ever served as an officer of	
18 be marketed.		HCMS?	
19 Q. What does it mean to create a track	19	A. I don't know what the incumbency	
20 record that could be marketed?		certificate would look like for services, but	
21 A. You execute investments and		I'm willing to be refreshed.	
22 investment strategy that you can refine and	22	Q. Do you know if anybody ever served	
23 articulate and show good results to potential		as the chief – withdrawn.	
24 third-party investors as – as evidence that	23 6	Did HCMF ever have anybody serve in	
25 you can do it. And then that track record is		the capacity of chief financial officer?	

Caase210600655gjpDoc148634Fifedc012061221 Elateredc012061221184187432 Page719 of 899

Г	Dogo 222	_		Dogo 222
1 DONDERO - 10	Page 332	1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	Page 333
2 A. The subject of that	t question was	2	HCMS's debts and obligations?	
3 HCMF. Is that what you r	neant to say, or did	3	A. I guess my answer, which would apply	
4 you mean Services?		4	to all of these entities, is awareness to know	
5 Q. No, I apologize.	Thank you for the	5	that the amounts were de minimis relative to	
6 clarification. I did mean H	CMS, so let me try	6	the value of the entity, and the debt service	
7 again.		7	costs or issues were very de minimis relative	
8 Has anybody ever	served in the	8	to the entities, but beyond that, I didn't	
9 capacity of chief financial	officer of HCMS?	9	study them.	
10 A. HCMF.		10	Q. Well, did – did HCMFA have	
11 MS. DEITSCH-PE	EREZ: S.	11	obligations to HCMLP that you would	
12 A. Not		12	characterize as di minimis from HCMFA's	
13 Q. S.		13	perspective?	
14 A. Not of Services	not that	14	A. Yeah, or just – it never had	
15 again, I don't know. I'm v	villing to be	15	obligations that were more than de minimis.	
16 refreshed, but I I have r	o awareness.	16	Q. As – as the person in control of	
17 Q. Okay. As presid	ent as the person	17	HCMFA, did you ever have any concern that HCMFA	
18 in control of HCMS, do yo	ou believe you had any	18	would not be able to satisfy its obligations to	
19 responsibility to familiarize	e yourself with	19	HCMLP if – if a demand was made?	
20 that entity's debts and obl	igations?	20	A. No.	
21 A. Again, just genera	ally, to the extent	21	Q. Okay. Was anybody charged with the	
22 that they were material or	an issue or	22	responsibility of familiarizing themselves with	
23 whatever, but no more that	an generally.	23	HCMS's debts and obligations?	
24 Q. Can you describe	e anything you ever	24	A. Again, to differentiate or separate	
25 did to generally familiarize	e yourself with	25	myself from the treasury function or from what	
	Page 334			Page 335
1 DONDERO - 10)/29/21	1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	Page 335
2 Frank and his group were)/29/21 doing.	2	complete answer regarding a myriad of ways	Page 335
 Frank and his group were From my perspect)/29/21 doing. ive, I had to be		complete answer regarding a myriad of ways you've asked me kind of the same structural	Page 335
 Frank and his group were From my perspect aware about it – aware of 	0/29/21 doing. ive, I had to be any obligations or	2 3 4	complete answer regarding a myriad of ways you've asked me kind of the same structural questions.	Page 335
 Frank and his group were From my perspect aware about it – aware of notes or debt service cost 	0/29/21 doing. ive, I had to be any obligations or s, et cetera, but to	2 3 4 5	complete answer regarding a myriad of ways you've asked me kind of the same structural questions. Q. I am, and just to be clear, I'm	Page 335
 Frank and his group were From my perspect aware about it – aware of notes or debt service cost the extent that I was aware 	0/29/21 doing. ive, I had to be any obligations or s, et cetera, but to e and knew that it	2 3 4	complete answer regarding a myriad of ways you've asked me kind of the same structural questions. Q. I am, and just to be clear, I'm asking kind of the same structural questions	Page 335
 2 Frank and his group were 3 From my perspect 4 aware about it – aware of 5 notes or debt service cost 6 the extent that I was aware 7 was de minimis, I didn't sp 	0/29/21 doing. ive, I had to be any obligations or s, et cetera, but to e and knew that it pend any time	2 3 4 5 6 7	complete answer regarding a myriad of ways you've asked me kind of the same structural questions. Q. I am, and just to be clear, I'm asking kind of the same structural questions with respect to each of the entities at issue.	Page 335
 2 Frank and his group were 3 From my perspect 4 aware about it – aware of 5 notes or debt service cost 6 the extent that I was aware 7 was de minimis, I didn't sp 8 focusing on it, studying it, etc. 	0/29/21 doing. ive, I had to be any obligations or s, et cetera, but to e and knew that it bend any time calculating it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	complete answer regarding a myriad of ways you've asked me kind of the same structural questions. Q. I am, and just to be clear, I'm asking kind of the same structural questions with respect to each of the entities at issue. I think you picked up on that. I hope you	Page 335
 2 Frank and his group were 3 From my perspect 4 aware about it – aware of 5 notes or debt service cost 6 the extent that I was aware 7 was de minimis, I didn't sp 8 focusing on it, studying it, o 9 exactly, or anything like the 	0/29/21 doing. ive, I had to be any obligations or s, et cetera, but to e and knew that it bend any time calculating it at.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	complete answer regarding a myriad of ways you've asked me kind of the same structural questions. Q. I am, and just to be clear, I'm asking kind of the same structural questions with respect to each of the entities at issue. I think you picked up on that. I hope you don't think I'm being repetitive.	Page 335
 2 Frank and his group were 3 From my perspect 4 aware about it – aware of 5 notes or debt service cost 6 the extent that I was aware 7 was de minimis, I didn't sp 8 focusing on it, studying it, o 9 exactly, or anything like the 10 Having said that, weight the 	0/29/21 doing. ive, I had to be any obligations or s, et cetera, but to e and knew that it wend any time calculating it at. ve are highly	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	complete answer regarding a myriad of ways you've asked me kind of the same structural questions. Q. I am, and just to be clear, I'm asking kind of the same structural questions with respect to each of the entities at issue. I think you picked up on that. I hope you don't think I'm being repetitive. You mentioned Frank and his group in	Page 335
 2 Frank and his group were 3 From my perspect 4 aware about it – aware of 5 notes or debt service cost 6 the extent that I was aware 7 was de minimis, I didn't sp 8 focusing on it, studying it, e 9 exactly, or anything like the 10 Having said that, weight a service of the extent. 	0/29/21 doing. ive, I had to be any obligations or s, et cetera, but to e and knew that it bend any time calculating it at. ve are highly lid audits every year	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	complete answer regarding a myriad of ways you've asked me kind of the same structural questions. Q. I am, and just to be clear, I'm asking kind of the same structural questions with respect to each of the entities at issue. I think you picked up on that. I hope you don't think I'm being repetitive. You mentioned Frank and his group in the context of HCMS. Did I hear that	Page 335
 2 Frank and his group were 3 From my perspect 4 aware about it – aware of 5 notes or debt service cost 6 the extent that I was aware 7 was de minimis, I didn't sp 8 focusing on it, studying it, 9 9 exactly, or anything like the 10 Having said that, we have a second the second that is a second that is a second to be a second to b	0/29/21 doing. ive, I had to be any obligations or s, et cetera, but to e and knew that it bend any time calculating it at. ve are highly lid audits every year firms that were	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	complete answer regarding a myriad of ways you've asked me kind of the same structural questions. Q. I am, and just to be clear, I'm asking kind of the same structural questions with respect to each of the entities at issue. I think you picked up on that. I hope you don't think I'm being repetitive. You mentioned Frank and his group in the context of HCMS. Did I hear that correctly?	Page 335
 2 Frank and his group were 3 From my perspect 4 aware about it – aware of 5 notes or debt service cost 6 the extent that I was aware 7 was de minimis, I didn't sp 8 focusing on it, studying it, o 9 exactly, or anything like the 10 Having said that, w 11 compliant. We do – we do 12 with reputable accounting 13 complete and in depth. A 	0/29/21 doing. ive, I had to be any obligations or s, et cetera, but to e and knew that it wend any time calculating it at. ve are highly lid audits every year firms that were and any obligations	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	complete answer regarding a myriad of ways you've asked me kind of the same structural questions. Q. I am, and just to be clear, I'm asking kind of the same structural questions with respect to each of the entities at issue. I think you picked up on that. I hope you don't think I'm being repetitive. You mentioned Frank and his group in the context of HCMS. Did I hear that correctly? A. Yes.	Page 335
 2 Frank and his group were 3 From my perspect 4 aware about it – aware of 5 notes or debt service cost 6 the extent that I was aware 7 was de minimis, I didn't sp 8 focusing on it, studying it, e 9 exactly, or anything like the 10 Having said that, w 11 compliant. We do – we do 12 with reputable accounting 13 complete and in depth. A 14 and/or assets, de minimis 	0/29/21 doing. ive, I had to be any obligations or s, et cetera, but to e and knew that it eend any time calculating it at. ve are highly did audits every year firms that were and any obligations or not, in my view,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	complete answer regarding a myriad of ways you've asked me kind of the same structural questions. Q. I am, and just to be clear, I'm asking kind of the same structural questions with respect to each of the entities at issue. I think you picked up on that. I hope you don't think I'm being repetitive. You mentioned Frank and his group in the context of HCMS. Did I hear that correctly? A. Yes. Q. Okay. HCMS did not have a shared	Page 335
 2 Frank and his group were 3 From my perspect 4 aware about it – aware of 5 notes or debt service cost 6 the extent that I was aware 7 was de minimis, I didn't sp 8 focusing on it, studying it, 9 9 exactly, or anything like the 10 Having said that, we have a substrained on the section of the section	0/29/21 doing. ive, I had to be any obligations or s, et cetera, but to e and knew that it bend any time calculating it at. ve are highly lid audits every year firms that were and any obligations or not, in my view, to be reflected or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	complete answer regarding a myriad of ways you've asked me kind of the same structural questions. Q. I am, and just to be clear, I'm asking kind of the same structural questions with respect to each of the entities at issue. I think you picked up on that. I hope you don't think I'm being repetitive. You mentioned Frank and his group in the context of HCMS. Did I hear that correctly? A. Yes. Q. Okay. HCMS did not have a shared services agreement with Highland; correct?	Page 335
 2 Frank and his group were 3 From my perspect 4 aware about it – aware of 5 notes or debt service cost 6 the extent that I was aware 7 was de minimis, I didn't sp 8 focusing on it, studying it, 9 9 exactly, or anything like the 10 Having said that, w 11 compliant. We do – we do 12 with reputable accounting 13 complete and in depth. A 14 and/or assets, de minimis 15 would nonetheless have the 	0/29/21 doing. ive, I had to be any obligations or s, et cetera, but to e and knew that it wend any time calculating it at. ve are highly tid audits every year firms that were and any obligations or not, in my view, to be reflected or prepared for the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	complete answer regarding a myriad of ways you've asked me kind of the same structural questions. Q. I am, and just to be clear, I'm asking kind of the same structural questions with respect to each of the entities at issue. I think you picked up on that. I hope you don't think I'm being repetitive. You mentioned Frank and his group in the context of HCMS. Did I hear that correctly? A. Yes. Q. Okay. HCMS did not have a shared services agreement with Highland; correct? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: You mean a	Page 335
 2 Frank and his group were 3 From my perspect 4 aware about it – aware of 5 notes or debt service cost 6 the extent that I was aware 7 was de minimis, I didn't sp 8 focusing on it, studying it, e 9 exactly, or anything like the 10 Having said that, with 11 compliant. We do – we do 12 with reputable accounting 13 complete and in depth. A 14 and/or assets, de minimis 15 would nonetheless have 16 captured accurately and p 17 auditors in supplying, your 	0/29/21 doing. ive, I had to be any obligations or s, et cetera, but to e and knew that it eend any time calculating it at. ve are highly lid audits every year firms that were and any obligations or not, in my view, to be reflected or prepared for the know, detail or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	complete answer regarding a myriad of ways you've asked me kind of the same structural questions. Q. I am, and just to be clear, I'm asking kind of the same structural questions with respect to each of the entities at issue. I think you picked up on that. I hope you don't think I'm being repetitive. You mentioned Frank and his group in the context of HCMS. Did I hear that correctly? A. Yes. Q. Okay. HCMS did not have a shared services agreement with Highland; correct? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: You mean a written shared services agreement, John?	Page 335
 2 Frank and his group were 3 From my perspect 4 aware about it – aware of 5 notes or debt service cost 6 the extent that I was aware 7 was de minimis, I didn't sp 8 focusing on it, studying it, 9 9 exactly, or anything like the 10 Having said that, with reputable accounting 13 complete and in depth. A 14 and/or assets, de minimis 15 would nonetheless have the 16 captured accurately and p 17 auditors in supplying, you 18 source documents or what 	0/29/21 doing. ive, I had to be any obligations or s, et cetera, but to e and knew that it bend any time calculating it at. ve are highly tid audits every year firms that were and any obligations or not, in my view, to be reflected or brepared for the know, detail or atever, whatever they do	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	complete answer regarding a myriad of ways you've asked me kind of the same structural questions. Q. I am, and just to be clear, I'm asking kind of the same structural questions with respect to each of the entities at issue. I think you picked up on that. I hope you don't think I'm being repetitive. You mentioned Frank and his group in the context of HCMS. Did I hear that correctly? A. Yes. Q. Okay. HCMS did not have a shared services agreement with Highland; correct? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: You mean a written shared services agreement, John? Q. Do you understand the question, sir?	Page 335
 2 Frank and his group were 3 From my perspect 4 aware about it – aware of 5 notes or debt service cost 6 the extent that I was aware 7 was de minimis, I didn't sp 8 focusing on it, studying it, 9 9 exactly, or anything like the 10 Having said that, w 11 compliant. We do – we do 12 with reputable accounting 13 complete and in depth. A 14 and/or assets, de minimis 15 would nonetheless have a 16 captured accurately and p 17 auditors in supplying, you 18 source documents or wha 19 in accounting as part of the 	0/29/21 doing. ive, I had to be any obligations or s, et cetera, but to e and knew that it wend any time calculating it at. ve are highly lid audits every year firms that were and any obligations or not, in my view, to be reflected or prepared for the know, detail or atever, whatever they do are audit function.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	complete answer regarding a myriad of ways you've asked me kind of the same structural questions. Q. I am, and just to be clear, I'm asking kind of the same structural questions with respect to each of the entities at issue. I think you picked up on that. I hope you don't think I'm being repetitive. You mentioned Frank and his group in the context of HCMS. Did I hear that correctly? A. Yes. Q. Okay. HCMS did not have a shared services agreement with Highland; correct? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: You mean a written shared services agreement, John? Q. Do you understand the question, sir? A. Yeah. My answer would be the	Page 335
 2 Frank and his group were 3 From my perspect 4 aware about it – aware of 5 notes or debt service cost 6 the extent that I was aware 7 was de minimis, I didn't sp 8 focusing on it, studying it, 9 9 exactly, or anything like the 10 Having said that, w 11 compliant. We do – we do 12 with reputable accounting 13 complete and in depth. A 14 and/or assets, de minimis 15 would nonetheless have f 16 captured accurately and p 17 auditors in supplying, you 18 source documents or what 19 in accounting as part of th 20 And all that would 	0/29/21 doing. ive, I had to be any obligations or s, et cetera, but to e and knew that it bend any time calculating it at. ve are highly lid audits every year firms that were and any obligations or not, in my view, to be reflected or brepared for the know, detail or atever, whatever they do are audit function. have done – been	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	complete answer regarding a myriad of ways you've asked me kind of the same structural questions. Q. I am, and just to be clear, I'm asking kind of the same structural questions with respect to each of the entities at issue. I think you picked up on that. I hope you don't think I'm being repetitive. You mentioned Frank and his group in the context of HCMS. Did I hear that correctly? A. Yes. Q. Okay. HCMS did not have a shared services agreement with Highland; correct? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: You mean a written shared services agreement, John? Q. Do you understand the question, sir? A. Yeah. My answer would be the advisors like NexPoint and HFAM that had to	Page 335
 2 Frank and his group were 3 From my perspect 4 aware about it – aware of 5 notes or debt service cost 6 the extent that I was aware 7 was de minimis, I didn't sp 8 focusing on it, studying it, 9 9 exactly, or anything like the 10 Having said that, w 11 compliant. We do – we do 12 with reputable accounting 13 complete and in depth. A 14 and/or assets, de minimis 15 would nonetheless have b 16 captured accurately and p 17 auditors in supplying, you 18 source documents or what 19 in accounting as part of the 20 And all that would 21 done exactly and expertly 	0/29/21 doing. ive, I had to be any obligations or s, et cetera, but to e and knew that it eend any time calculating it at. ve are highly did audits every year firms that were and any obligations or not, in my view, to be reflected or orepared for the know, detail or atever, whatever they do a audit function. have done – been r, as far as I know,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 complete answer regarding a myriad of ways you've asked me kind of the same structural questions. Q. I am, and just to be clear, I'm asking kind of the same structural questions with respect to each of the entities at issue. I think you picked up on that. I hope you don't think I'm being repetitive. You mentioned Frank and his group in the context of HCMS. Did I hear that correctly? A. Yes. Q. Okay. HCMS did not have a shared services agreement with Highland; correct? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: You mean a written shared services agreement, John? Q. Do you understand the question, sir? A. Yeah. My answer would be the advisors like NexPoint and HFAM that had to have by law and regulatory statute have to have 	Page 335
 2 Frank and his group were 3 From my perspect 4 aware about it – aware of 5 notes or debt service cost 6 the extent that I was aware 7 was de minimis, I didn't sp 8 focusing on it, studying it, 9 9 exactly, or anything like the 10 Having said that, w 11 compliant. We do – we do 12 with reputable accounting 13 complete and in depth. A 14 and/or assets, de minimis 15 would nonetheless have a 16 captured accurately and p 17 auditors in supplying, you 18 source documents or wha 19 in accounting as part of the 20 And all that would 21 done exactly and expertly 22 and it would have been do 	0/29/21 doing. ive, I had to be any obligations or s, et cetera, but to e and knew that it eend any time calculating it at. ve are highly did audits every year firms that were and any obligations or not, in my view, to be reflected or orepared for the know, detail or atever, whatever they do a audit function. have done – been r, as far as I know,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 complete answer regarding a myriad of ways you've asked me kind of the same structural questions. Q. I am, and just to be clear, I'm asking kind of the same structural questions with respect to each of the entities at issue. I think you picked up on that. I hope you don't think I'm being repetitive. You mentioned Frank and his group in the context of HCMS. Did I hear that correctly? A. Yes. Q. Okay. HCMS did not have a shared services agreement with Highland; correct? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: You mean a written shared services agreement, John? Q. Do you understand the question, sir? A. Yeah. My answer would be the advisors like NexPoint and HFAM that had to have by law and regulatory statute have to have formal sub advisors and shared services 	Page 335
 2 Frank and his group were 3 From my perspect 4 aware about it – aware of 5 notes or debt service cost 6 the extent that I was aware 7 was de minimis, I didn't sp 8 focusing on it, studying it, 9 9 exactly, or anything like the 10 Having said that, w 11 compliant. We do – we do 12 with reputable accounting 13 complete and in depth. A 14 and/or assets, de minimis 15 would nonetheless have f 16 captured accurately and p 17 auditors in supplying, you 18 source documents or what 19 in accounting as part of th 20 And all that would 21 done exactly and expertive 22 and it would have been d 23 group. 	0/29/21 doing. ive, I had to be any obligations or s, et cetera, but to e and knew that it eend any time calculating it at. ve are highly did audits every year firms that were and any obligations or not, in my view, to be reflected or orepared for the know, detail or atever, whatever they do a audit function. have done – been r, as far as I know,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 complete answer regarding a myriad of ways you've asked me kind of the same structural questions. Q. I am, and just to be clear, I'm asking kind of the same structural questions with respect to each of the entities at issue. I think you picked up on that. I hope you don't think I'm being repetitive. You mentioned Frank and his group in the context of HCMS. Did I hear that correctly? A. Yes. Q. Okay. HCMS did not have a shared services agreement with Highland; correct? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: You mean a written shared services agreement, John? Q. Do you understand the question, sir? A. Yeah. My answer would be the advisors like NexPoint and HFAM that had to have by law and regulatory statute have to have formal sub advisors and shared services agreements had formal shared services agreements had formal shared services 	Page 335
 2 Frank and his group were 3 From my perspect 4 aware about it – aware of 5 notes or debt service cost 6 the extent that I was aware 7 was de minimis, I didn't sp 8 focusing on it, studying it, e 9 exactly, or anything like the 10 Having said that, w 11 compliant. We do – we do 12 with reputable accounting 13 complete and in depth. A 14 and/or assets, de minimis 15 would nonetheless have a 16 captured accurately and p 17 auditors in supplying, you 18 source documents or wha 19 in accounting as part of the 20 And all that would 21 done exactly and expertly 22 and it would have been do 23 group. 24 Q. Okay. 	0/29/21 doing. ive, I had to be any obligations or s, et cetera, but to e and knew that it bend any time calculating it at. ve are highly did audits every year firms that were and any obligations or not, in my view, to be reflected or prepared for the know, detail or atever, whatever they do a audit function. have done – been v, as far as I know, one by Frank and his	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 complete answer regarding a myriad of ways you've asked me kind of the same structural questions. Q. I am, and just to be clear, I'm asking kind of the same structural questions with respect to each of the entities at issue. I think you picked up on that. I hope you don't think I'm being repetitive. You mentioned Frank and his group in the context of HCMS. Did I hear that correctly? A. Yes. Q. Okay. HCMS did not have a shared services agreement with Highland; correct? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: You mean a written shared services agreement, John? Q. Do you understand the question, sir? A. Yeah. My answer would be the advisors like NexPoint and HFAM that had to have by law and regulatory statute have to have formal sub advisors and shared services agreements had formal shared services agreement. 	Page 335
 2 Frank and his group were 3 From my perspect 4 aware about it – aware of 5 notes or debt service cost 6 the extent that I was aware 7 was de minimis, I didn't sp 8 focusing on it, studying it, 9 9 exactly, or anything like the 10 Having said that, w 11 compliant. We do – we do 12 with reputable accounting 13 complete and in depth. A 14 and/or assets, de minimis 15 would nonetheless have f 16 captured accurately and p 17 auditors in supplying, you 18 source documents or wha 19 in accounting as part of th 20 And all that would 21 done exactly and expertly 22 and it would have been d 23 group. 	0/29/21 doing. ive, I had to be any obligations or s, et cetera, but to e and knew that it bend any time calculating it at. ve are highly did audits every year firms that were and any obligations or not, in my view, to be reflected or prepared for the know, detail or atever, whatever they do a audit function. have done – been v, as far as I know, one by Frank and his	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 complete answer regarding a myriad of ways you've asked me kind of the same structural questions. Q. I am, and just to be clear, I'm asking kind of the same structural questions with respect to each of the entities at issue. I think you picked up on that. I hope you don't think I'm being repetitive. You mentioned Frank and his group in the context of HCMS. Did I hear that correctly? A. Yes. Q. Okay. HCMS did not have a shared services agreement with Highland; correct? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: You mean a written shared services agreement, John? Q. Do you understand the question, sir? A. Yeah. My answer would be the advisors like NexPoint and HFAM that had to have by law and regulatory statute have to have formal sub advisors and shared services agreements had formal shared services agreements had formal shared services 	Page 335

Caae 22106006559jpDoc148634FHed 012061221 Elatered 012061221184187432 Plage 220 of 899

	Page 336			Page 337
1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	i ugo oor
2	formal written shared services agreements were	2	A. Yeah, yes, and early into '21, I	
3	often serviced similarly or - or exactly the	3	believe also.	
4	same as those entities, but without a written	4	Q. Okay. As – as part of the oral	
5	agreement, but with a verbal shared services	5	agreement that you referenced, was there was	
6	agreement providing, again, all the same	6	there ever an agreement that HCMS would pay any	
7	similar services.	7	money to Highland in exchange for the services	
8	And the entities that didn't have a	8	that Highland provided to it?	
9	written shared services agreement weren't	9	A. I do not believe there was a	
10	getting shared services or support from any	10	financial remuneration aspect of it.	
11	other entities other than Highland doing the	11	Q. Okay. And do you recall during your	
12	same thing for them that it did for the mutual	12	time as president of Highland whether Highland	
13	funds.	13	ever received payment from HCMS for services	
14	Q. Okay. Can you tell me who entered	14	rendered?	
15	into an oral shared services agreement between	15	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: And are we just	
16	Highland and HCMS?	16	talking about money?	
17	A. Boy, I can imagine way back in the	17	MR. MORRIS: Correct.	
18	day it would have been myself and Frank, but he	18	A. Yeah, I don't – I don't recall	
19	and his group understood and knew that they	19	moneys being – well, you know what, let me –	
20	were doing it for all the new entities that	20	let me clarify that a little bit.	
21	came along, and I can't imagine it was even	21	If there were any direct costs that	
22	talked about much over the years.	21	Highland would have incurred like getting the	
23	Q. Did – did HCMFA and NexPoint pay	22	audits done, you know, like if Price Waterhouse	
23	money to Highland under the shared services	23	said, okay, give us the details on, you know,	
1				
25	agreement until let's just say late 2020?	25	all the different entities that roll up into	
	Page 338 DONDERO - 10/29/21	1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	Page 339
1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	1	DONDERO - 10/29/21 the DAE wasn't paying a fee, along the way, to	Page 339
2	DONDERO - 10/29/21 the Highland entity.	2	the DAF wasn't paying a fee, along the way, to	Page 339
23	DONDERO - 10/29/21 the Highland entity. And then – and they prepared	23	the DAF wasn't paying a fee, along the way, to Highland for shared services, Highland got the	Page 339
2 3 4	DONDERO - 10/29/21 the Highland entity. And then – and they prepared statements or did work for services, Frank and	2 3 4	the DAF wasn't paying a fee, along the way, to Highland for shared services, Highland got the benefit of the track record that was being	Page 339
2 3 4 5	DONDERO - 10/29/21 the Highland entity. And then – and they prepared statements or did work for services, Frank and his group would have passed through those costs	2 3 4 5	the DAF wasn't paying a fee, along the way, to Highland for shared services, Highland got the benefit of the track record that was being built at the DAF to then market to third	Page 339
2 3 4 5 6	DONDERO - 10/29/21 the Highland entity. And then – and they prepared statements or did work for services, Frank and his group would have passed through those costs and expected services and/or Dugaboy or any of	2 3 4 5 6	the DAF wasn't paying a fee, along the way, to Highland for shared services, Highland got the benefit of the track record that was being built at the DAF to then market to third parties, which then created a revenue stream	Page 339
2 3 4 5 6 7	DONDERO - 10/29/21 the Highland entity. And then – and they prepared statements or did work for services, Frank and his group would have passed through those costs and expected services and/or Dugaboy or any of the other entities to pay for direct	2 3 4 5 6 7	the DAF wasn't paying a fee, along the way, to Highland for shared services, Highland got the benefit of the track record that was being built at the DAF to then market to third parties, which then created a revenue stream for Highland down the road.	Page 339
2 3 4 5 6	DONDERO - 10/29/21 the Highland entity. And then – and they prepared statements or did work for services, Frank and his group would have passed through those costs and expected services and/or Dugaboy or any of the other entities to pay for direct out-of-pocket costs. But it wouldn't have paid	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	the DAF wasn't paying a fee, along the way, to Highland for shared services, Highland got the benefit of the track record that was being built at the DAF to then market to third parties, which then created a revenue stream for Highland down the road. And I would say that was the same	Page 339
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	DONDERO - 10/29/21 the Highland entity. And then – and they prepared statements or did work for services, Frank and his group would have passed through those costs and expected services and/or Dugaboy or any of the other entities to pay for direct out-of-pocket costs. But it wouldn't have paid a supplemental fee or profit or anything to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the DAF wasn't paying a fee, along the way, to Highland for shared services, Highland got the benefit of the track record that was being built at the DAF to then market to third parties, which then created a revenue stream for Highland down the road. And I would say that was the same intent on Services.	Page 339
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	DONDERO - 10/29/21 the Highland entity. And then – and they prepared statements or did work for services, Frank and his group would have passed through those costs and expected services and/or Dugaboy or any of the other entities to pay for direct out-of-pocket costs. But it wouldn't have paid a supplemental fee or profit or anything to Highland.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	the DAF wasn't paying a fee, along the way, to Highland for shared services, Highland got the benefit of the track record that was being built at the DAF to then market to third parties, which then created a revenue stream for Highland down the road. And I would say that was the same intent on Services. Q. Is there anything – anything else	Page 339
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	DONDERO - 10/29/21 the Highland entity. And then – and they prepared statements or did work for services, Frank and his group would have passed through those costs and expected services and/or Dugaboy or any of the other entities to pay for direct out-of-pocket costs. But it wouldn't have paid a supplemental fee or profit or anything to Highland. Q. Okay. To the best of your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	the DAF wasn't paying a fee, along the way, to Highland for shared services, Highland got the benefit of the track record that was being built at the DAF to then market to third parties, which then created a revenue stream for Highland down the road. And I would say that was the same intent on Services. Q. Is there anything – anything else of value that you believe HCMS provided to	Page 339
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	DONDERO - 10/29/21 the Highland entity. And then – and they prepared statements or did work for services, Frank and his group would have passed through those costs and expected services and/or Dugaboy or any of the other entities to pay for direct out-of-pocket costs. But it wouldn't have paid a supplemental fee or profit or anything to Highland. Q. Okay. To the best of your recollection, during the time that you were	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	the DAF wasn't paying a fee, along the way, to Highland for shared services, Highland got the benefit of the track record that was being built at the DAF to then market to third parties, which then created a revenue stream for Highland down the road. And I would say that was the same intent on Services. Q. Is there anything – anything else of value that you believe HCMS provided to Highland in exchange for the services that	Page 339
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	DONDERO - 10/29/21 the Highland entity. And then – and they prepared statements or did work for services, Frank and his group would have passed through those costs and expected services and/or Dugaboy or any of the other entities to pay for direct out-of-pocket costs. But it wouldn't have paid a supplemental fee or profit or anything to Highland. Q. Okay. To the best of your recollection, during the time that you were president of Highland, did Highland ever	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the DAF wasn't paying a fee, along the way, to Highland for shared services, Highland got the benefit of the track record that was being built at the DAF to then market to third parties, which then created a revenue stream for Highland down the road. And I would say that was the same intent on Services. Q. Is there anything – anything else of value that you believe HCMS provided to Highland in exchange for the services that Highland rendered?	Page 339
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	DONDERO - 10/29/21 the Highland entity. And then – and they prepared statements or did work for services, Frank and his group would have passed through those costs and expected services and/or Dugaboy or any of the other entities to pay for direct out-of-pocket costs. But it wouldn't have paid a supplemental fee or profit or anything to Highland. Q. Okay. To the best of your recollection, during the time that you were president of Highland, did Highland ever receive anything of value from HCMS on account	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the DAF wasn't paying a fee, along the way, to Highland for shared services, Highland got the benefit of the track record that was being built at the DAF to then market to third parties, which then created a revenue stream for Highland down the road. And I would say that was the same intent on Services. Q. Is there anything – anything else of value that you believe HCMS provided to Highland in exchange for the services that Highland rendered? A. That would be primarily it. I would	Page 339
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	DONDERO - 10/29/21 the Highland entity. And then – and they prepared statements or did work for services, Frank and his group would have passed through those costs and expected services and/or Dugaboy or any of the other entities to pay for direct out-of-pocket costs. But it wouldn't have paid a supplemental fee or profit or anything to Highland. Q. Okay. To the best of your recollection, during the time that you were president of Highland, did Highland ever receive anything of value from HCMS on account of services other than the reimbursement of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	the DAF wasn't paying a fee, along the way, to Highland for shared services, Highland got the benefit of the track record that was being built at the DAF to then market to third parties, which then created a revenue stream for Highland down the road. And I would say that was the same intent on Services. Q. Is there anything – anything else of value that you believe HCMS provided to Highland in exchange for the services that Highland rendered? A. That would be primarily it. I would say there is probably times where Services	Page 339
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	DONDERO - 10/29/21 the Highland entity. And then – and they prepared statements or did work for services, Frank and his group would have passed through those costs and expected services and/or Dugaboy or any of the other entities to pay for direct out-of-pocket costs. But it wouldn't have paid a supplemental fee or profit or anything to Highland. Q. Okay. To the best of your recollection, during the time that you were president of Highland, did Highland ever receive anything of value from HCMS on account of services other than the reimbursement of out-of-pocket expenses?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	the DAF wasn't paying a fee, along the way, to Highland for shared services, Highland got the benefit of the track record that was being built at the DAF to then market to third parties, which then created a revenue stream for Highland down the road. And I would say that was the same intent on Services. Q. Is there anything – anything else of value that you believe HCMS provided to Highland in exchange for the services that Highland rendered? A. That would be primarily it. I would say there is probably times where Services provided liquidity for Highland or helped on	Page 339
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	DONDERO - 10/29/21 the Highland entity. And then – and they prepared statements or did work for services, Frank and his group would have passed through those costs and expected services and/or Dugaboy or any of the other entities to pay for direct out-of-pocket costs. But it wouldn't have paid a supplemental fee or profit or anything to Highland. Q. Okay. To the best of your recollection, during the time that you were president of Highland, did Highland ever receive anything of value from HCMS on account of services other than the reimbursement of out-of-pocket expenses? A. Yeah, I'm going to go back to my	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the DAF wasn't paying a fee, along the way, to Highland for shared services, Highland got the benefit of the track record that was being built at the DAF to then market to third parties, which then created a revenue stream for Highland down the road. And I would say that was the same intent on Services. Q. Is there anything – anything else of value that you believe HCMS provided to Highland in exchange for the services that Highland rendered? A. That would be primarily it. I would say there is probably times where Services provided liquidity for Highland or helped on investments that Highland was involved in, but	Page 339
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	DONDERO - 10/29/21 the Highland entity. And then – and they prepared statements or did work for services, Frank and his group would have passed through those costs and expected services and/or Dugaboy or any of the other entities to pay for direct out-of-pocket costs. But it wouldn't have paid a supplemental fee or profit or anything to Highland. Q. Okay. To the best of your recollection, during the time that you were president of Highland, did Highland ever receive anything of value from HCMS on account of services other than the reimbursement of out-of-pocket expenses? A. Yeah, I'm going to go back to my comment in terms of building track record. And	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the DAF wasn't paying a fee, along the way, to Highland for shared services, Highland got the benefit of the track record that was being built at the DAF to then market to third parties, which then created a revenue stream for Highland down the road. And I would say that was the same intent on Services. Q. Is there anything – anything else of value that you believe HCMS provided to Highland in exchange for the services that Highland rendered? A. That would be primarily it. I would say there is probably times where Services provided liquidity for Highland or helped on investments that Highland was involved in, but I would have to refresh myself on exactly what.	Page 339
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 9	DONDERO - 10/29/21 the Highland entity. And then – and they prepared statements or did work for services, Frank and his group would have passed through those costs and expected services and/or Dugaboy or any of the other entities to pay for direct out-of-pocket costs. But it wouldn't have paid a supplemental fee or profit or anything to Highland. Q. Okay. To the best of your recollection, during the time that you were president of Highland, did Highland ever receive anything of value from HCMS on account of services other than the reimbursement of out-of-pocket expenses? A. Yeah, I'm going to go back to my comment in terms of building track record. And I would use – yeah, we had done it several	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	the DAF wasn't paying a fee, along the way, to Highland for shared services, Highland got the benefit of the track record that was being built at the DAF to then market to third parties, which then created a revenue stream for Highland down the road. And I would say that was the same intent on Services. Q. Is there anything – anything else of value that you believe HCMS provided to Highland in exchange for the services that Highland rendered? A. That would be primarily it. I would say there is probably times where Services provided liquidity for Highland or helped on investments that Highland was involved in, but I would have to refresh myself on exactly what. Q. Is it fair to say that HCMF – HCMS	Page 339
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	DONDERO - 10/29/21 the Highland entity. And then – and they prepared statements or did work for services, Frank and his group would have passed through those costs and expected services and/or Dugaboy or any of the other entities to pay for direct out-of-pocket costs. But it wouldn't have paid a supplemental fee or profit or anything to Highland. Q. Okay. To the best of your recollection, during the time that you were president of Highland, did Highland ever receive anything of value from HCMS on account of services other than the reimbursement of out-of-pocket expenses? A. Yeah, I'm going to go back to my comment in terms of building track record. And I would use – yeah, we had done it several times in the past and it had worked	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	the DAF wasn't paying a fee, along the way, to Highland for shared services, Highland got the benefit of the track record that was being built at the DAF to then market to third parties, which then created a revenue stream for Highland down the road. And I would say that was the same intent on Services. Q. Is there anything – anything else of value that you believe HCMS provided to Highland in exchange for the services that Highland rendered? A. That would be primarily it. I would say there is probably times where Services provided liquidity for Highland or helped on investments that Highland was involved in, but I would have to refresh myself on exactly what. Q. Is it fair to say that HCMF – HCMS never provided a revenue stream to Highland	Page 339
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	DONDERO - 10/29/21 the Highland entity. And then – and they prepared statements or did work for services, Frank and his group would have passed through those costs and expected services and/or Dugaboy or any of the other entities to pay for direct out-of-pocket costs. But it wouldn't have paid a supplemental fee or profit or anything to Highland. Q. Okay. To the best of your recollection, during the time that you were president of Highland, did Highland ever receive anything of value from HCMS on account of services other than the reimbursement of out-of-pocket expenses? A. Yeah, I'm going to go back to my comment in terms of building track record. And I would use – yeah, we had done it several times in the past and it had worked effectively. And that is – you know, yeah, I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the DAF wasn't paying a fee, along the way, to Highland for shared services, Highland got the benefit of the track record that was being built at the DAF to then market to third parties, which then created a revenue stream for Highland down the road. And I would say that was the same intent on Services. Q. Is there anything – anything else of value that you believe HCMS provided to Highland in exchange for the services that Highland rendered? A. That would be primarily it. I would say there is probably times where Services provided liquidity for Highland or helped on investments that Highland was involved in, but I would have to refresh myself on exactly what. Q. Is it fair to say that HCMF – HCMS never provided a revenue stream to Highland similar to the revenue stream that was provided	Page 339
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	DONDERO - 10/29/21 the Highland entity. And then – and they prepared statements or did work for services, Frank and his group would have passed through those costs and expected services and/or Dugaboy or any of the other entities to pay for direct out-of-pocket costs. But it wouldn't have paid a supplemental fee or profit or anything to Highland. Q. Okay. To the best of your recollection, during the time that you were president of Highland, did Highland ever receive anything of value from HCMS on account of services other than the reimbursement of out-of-pocket expenses? A. Yeah, I'm going to go back to my comment in terms of building track record. And I would use – yeah, we had done it several times in the past and it had worked effectively. And that is – you know, yeah, I mean, the – the track record in CLO paper was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the DAF wasn't paying a fee, along the way, to Highland for shared services, Highland got the benefit of the track record that was being built at the DAF to then market to third parties, which then created a revenue stream for Highland down the road. And I would say that was the same intent on Services. Q. Is there anything – anything else of value that you believe HCMS provided to Highland in exchange for the services that Highland rendered? A. That would be primarily it. I would say there is probably times where Services provided liquidity for Highland or helped on investments that Highland was involved in, but I would have to refresh myself on exactly what. Q. Is it fair to say that HCMF – HCMS never provided a revenue stream to Highland similar to the revenue stream that was provided by HCMFA and NexPoint under the shared services	Page 339
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	DONDERO - 10/29/21 the Highland entity. And then – and they prepared statements or did work for services, Frank and his group would have passed through those costs and expected services and/or Dugaboy or any of the other entities to pay for direct out-of-pocket costs. But it wouldn't have paid a supplemental fee or profit or anything to Highland. Q. Okay. To the best of your recollection, during the time that you were president of Highland, did Highland ever receive anything of value from HCMS on account of services other than the reimbursement of out-of-pocket expenses? A. Yeah, I'm going to go back to my comment in terms of building track record. And I would use – yeah, we had done it several times in the past and it had worked effectively. And that is – you know, yeah, I mean, the – the track record in CLO paper was what was used to track – (inaudible) – as an	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the DAF wasn't paying a fee, along the way, to Highland for shared services, Highland got the benefit of the track record that was being built at the DAF to then market to third parties, which then created a revenue stream for Highland down the road. And I would say that was the same intent on Services. Q. Is there anything – anything else of value that you believe HCMS provided to Highland in exchange for the services that Highland rendered? A. That would be primarily it. I would say there is probably times where Services provided liquidity for Highland or helped on investments that Highland was involved in, but I would have to refresh myself on exactly what. Q. Is it fair to say that HCMF – HCMS never provided a revenue stream to Highland similar to the revenue stream that was provided by HCMFA and NexPoint under the shared services agreements?	Page 339
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	DONDERO - 10/29/21 the Highland entity. And then – and they prepared statements or did work for services, Frank and his group would have passed through those costs and expected services and/or Dugaboy or any of the other entities to pay for direct out-of-pocket costs. But it wouldn't have paid a supplemental fee or profit or anything to Highland. Q. Okay. To the best of your recollection, during the time that you were president of Highland, did Highland ever receive anything of value from HCMS on account of services other than the reimbursement of out-of-pocket expenses? A. Yeah, I'm going to go back to my comment in terms of building track record. And I would use – yeah, we had done it several times in the past and it had worked effectively. And that is – you know, yeah, I mean, the – the track record in CLO paper was what was used to track – (inaudible) – as an investor.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	the DAF wasn't paying a fee, along the way, to Highland for shared services, Highland got the benefit of the track record that was being built at the DAF to then market to third parties, which then created a revenue stream for Highland down the road. And I would say that was the same intent on Services. Q. Is there anything – anything else of value that you believe HCMS provided to Highland in exchange for the services that Highland rendered? A. That would be primarily it. I would say there is probably times where Services provided liquidity for Highland or helped on investments that Highland was involved in, but I would have to refresh myself on exactly what. Q. Is it fair to say that HCMF – HCMS never provided a revenue stream to Highland similar to the revenue stream that was provided by HCMFA and NexPoint under the shared services agreements? A. That is correct.	Page 339
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	DONDERO - 10/29/21 the Highland entity. And then – and they prepared statements or did work for services, Frank and his group would have passed through those costs and expected services and/or Dugaboy or any of the other entities to pay for direct out-of-pocket costs. But it wouldn't have paid a supplemental fee or profit or anything to Highland. Q. Okay. To the best of your recollection, during the time that you were president of Highland, did Highland ever receive anything of value from HCMS on account of services other than the reimbursement of out-of-pocket expenses? A. Yeah, I'm going to go back to my comment in terms of building track record. And I would use – yeah, we had done it several times in the past and it had worked effectively. And that is – you know, yeah, I mean, the – the track record in CLO paper was what was used to track – (inaudible) – as an	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the DAF wasn't paying a fee, along the way, to Highland for shared services, Highland got the benefit of the track record that was being built at the DAF to then market to third parties, which then created a revenue stream for Highland down the road. And I would say that was the same intent on Services. Q. Is there anything – anything else of value that you believe HCMS provided to Highland in exchange for the services that Highland rendered? A. That would be primarily it. I would say there is probably times where Services provided liquidity for Highland or helped on investments that Highland was involved in, but I would have to refresh myself on exactly what. Q. Is it fair to say that HCMF – HCMS never provided a revenue stream to Highland similar to the revenue stream that was provided by HCMFA and NexPoint under the shared services agreements?	Page 339

Case 221000005590000140634FHed 012061221 Elatered 012061221184187432 Page 221 of 899

Page 340			Page 341
1 DONDERO - 10/29/21	1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	
2 withdrawn.	2	former Highland employee who served as an	
3 Did anybody at HCMS ever have the	3	officer of HCMS at any time?	
4 responsibility for familiarizing themselves	4	A. No, I would need to be refreshed.	
5 with HCMS' debts and obligations?	5	Q. Okay. Can you identify –	
6 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	6	withdrawn. Let's go to the last one, HCRE.	
7 form.	7	Are you familiar with an entity	
8 A. Frank and his team, as part of	8	called HCRE Partners, LLC?	
9 preparing the audited financials for all the	9	A. Yes.	
10 entities, would have definitively been aware of	10	Q. And is that entity now known as	
11 all of them. Who else on the services	11	NexPoint Real Estate Partners, LLC?	
12 incumbency certificate or – would be aware or	12	A. You know what, I do believe it had a	
13 have knowledge, I don't know.	13	name change. I don't know if that is the name	
14 Q. Okay. And when you refer to "Frank	14	change, but that would make sense.	
15 and his team," are any of them acting as an	15	Q. Okay. Can we just refer to that	
16 officer or employee of HCMS in what you are	16	entity as HCRE?	
17 thinking about?	17	A. That is fine.	
18 A. I – I don't know. I don't know.	18	Q. Okay. Do you have any direct or	
19 Did – we haven't – have we looked at the	19	indirect ownership interest in HCRE?	
20 incumbency certificate for services?	20	A. Yes.	
21 Q. No.	21	Q. And is it a majority interest to the	
22 A. I don't know. I don't know off the	22	best of your knowledge?	
23 top of my head.	23	A. Yes.	
24 Q. Okay. Let's just finish this up.	24	Q. Do you control HCRE?	
25 Can you identify any current or	25	A. Yes.	
Page 342			Page 343
1 DONDERO - 10/29/21	1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	
2 Q. Have you controlled HCRE throughout	2	has ever been given the responsibility –	
3 its corporate existence?	3	withdrawn.	
4 A. Yes.	4	Do you know whether anybody has ever	
5 Q. Can you tell me what the nature of	5	had the responsibility for familiarizing	
6 HCRE's business is?	6	themselves with the debts and obligations of	
7 A. It makes real estate investments.	7	HCRE?	
8 Q. Do you have a title with that	8	A. It would be the same answer as given	
9 entity? 10 A. I don't know, but I'm willing to be	9	on the other entities. It would be the treasurer, which is probably Frank. And if not	
10 A. I don't know, but I'm willing to be	10	Treasurer which is propably Frank And It not	
	44		
11 refreshed. And I assume its incumbency	11	the treasurer it would be Frank in his role and	
 refreshed. And I assume its incumbency certificate looks similar to the ones that you 	12	the treasurer it would be Frank in his role and his team of putting together the complete and	
 refreshed. And I assume its incumbency certificate looks similar to the ones that you have put up. 	12 13	the treasurer it would be Frank in his role and his team of putting together the complete and accurate financials of HCRE.	
 refreshed. And I assume its incumbency certificate looks similar to the ones that you have put up. Q. Can you identify for me today 	12 13 14	the treasurer it would be Frank in his role and his team of putting together the complete and accurate financials of HCRE. Q. Other than putting together the	
 refreshed. And I assume its incumbency certificate looks similar to the ones that you have put up. Q. Can you identify for me today anybody who has ever served as an officer of 	12 13 14 15	the treasurer it would be Frank in his role and his team of putting together the complete and accurate financials of HCRE. Q. Other than putting together the complete and accurate financials of HCRE, did	
 11 refreshed. And I assume its incumbency 12 certificate looks similar to the ones that you 13 have put up. 14 Q. Can you identify for me today 15 anybody who has ever served as an officer of 16 HCRE at any time? 	12 13 14 15 16	the treasurer it would be Frank in his role and his team of putting together the complete and accurate financials of HCRE. Q. Other than putting together the complete and accurate financials of HCRE, did Frank and his team have any other	
 11 refreshed. And I assume its incumbency 12 certificate looks similar to the ones that you 13 have put up. 14 Q. Can you identify for me today 15 anybody who has ever served as an officer of 16 HCRE at any time? 17 A. I would rather be refreshed. I 	12 13 14 15 16 17	the treasurer it would be Frank in his role and his team of putting together the complete and accurate financials of HCRE. Q. Other than putting together the complete and accurate financials of HCRE, did Frank and his team have any other responsibility with respect to understanding	
 refreshed. And I assume its incumbency certificate looks similar to the ones that you have put up. Q. Can you identify for me today anybody who has ever served as an officer of HCRE at any time? A. I would rather be refreshed. I would imagine myself and Matt McGraner are two 	12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the treasurer it would be Frank in his role and his team of putting together the complete and accurate financials of HCRE. Q. Other than putting together the complete and accurate financials of HCRE, did Frank and his team have any other responsibility with respect to understanding the debts and obligations of HCRE?	
 11 refreshed. And I assume its incumbency 12 certificate looks similar to the ones that you 13 have put up. 14 Q. Can you identify for me today 15 anybody who has ever served as an officer of 16 HCRE at any time? 17 A. I would rather be refreshed. I 18 would imagine myself and Matt McGraner are two 19 of those people, but I don't know for sure. 	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	the treasurer it would be Frank in his role and his team of putting together the complete and accurate financials of HCRE. Q. Other than putting together the complete and accurate financials of HCRE, did Frank and his team have any other responsibility with respect to understanding the debts and obligations of HCRE? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection, form.	
 11 refreshed. And I assume its incumbency 12 certificate looks similar to the ones that you 13 have put up. 14 Q. Can you identify for me today 15 anybody who has ever served as an officer of 16 HCRE at any time? 17 A. I would rather be refreshed. I 18 would imagine myself and Matt McGraner are two 19 of those people, but I don't know for sure. 20 Q. Okay. Without the incumbency 	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	the treasurer it would be Frank in his role and his team of putting together the complete and accurate financials of HCRE. Q. Other than putting together the complete and accurate financials of HCRE, did Frank and his team have any other responsibility with respect to understanding the debts and obligations of HCRE? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection, form. A. Again, just the general overlay	
 11 refreshed. And I assume its incumbency 12 certificate looks similar to the ones that you 13 have put up. 14 Q. Can you identify for me today 15 anybody who has ever served as an officer of 16 HCRE at any time? 17 A. I would rather be refreshed. I 18 would imagine myself and Matt McGraner are two 19 of those people, but I don't know for sure. 20 Q. Okay. Without the incumbency 21 certificates or other documentation, you are 	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the treasurer it would be Frank in his role and his team of putting together the complete and accurate financials of HCRE. Q. Other than putting together the complete and accurate financials of HCRE, did Frank and his team have any other responsibility with respect to understanding the debts and obligations of HCRE? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection, form. A. Again, just the general overlay being that they were de minimis and – de	
 refreshed. And I assume its incumbency certificate looks similar to the ones that you have put up. Q. Can you identify for me today anybody who has ever served as an officer of HCRE at any time? A. I would rather be refreshed. I would imagine myself and Matt McGraner are two of those people, but I don't know for sure. Q. Okay. Without the incumbency certificates or other documentation, you are not able to give me any names other than Mr. – 	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the treasurer it would be Frank in his role and his team of putting together the complete and accurate financials of HCRE. Q. Other than putting together the complete and accurate financials of HCRE, did Frank and his team have any other responsibility with respect to understanding the debts and obligations of HCRE? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection, form. A. Again, just the general overlay being that they were de minimis and – de minimus, and the service obligations were de	
 11 refreshed. And I assume its incumbency 12 certificate looks similar to the ones that you 13 have put up. 14 Q. Can you identify for me today 15 anybody who has ever served as an officer of 16 HCRE at any time? 17 A. I would rather be refreshed. I 18 would imagine myself and Matt McGraner are two 19 of those people, but I don't know for sure. 20 Q. Okay. Without the incumbency 21 certificates or other documentation, you are 22 not able to give me any names other than Mr. – 23 other than you and Mr. McGraner; is that fair? 	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the treasurer it would be Frank in his role and his team of putting together the complete and accurate financials of HCRE. Q. Other than putting together the complete and accurate financials of HCRE, did Frank and his team have any other responsibility with respect to understanding the debts and obligations of HCRE? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection, form. A. Again, just the general overlay being that they were de minimis and – de minimus, and the service obligations were de minimus relative to the value or operating	
 11 refreshed. And I assume its incumbency 12 certificate looks similar to the ones that you 13 have put up. 14 Q. Can you identify for me today 15 anybody who has ever served as an officer of 16 HCRE at any time? 17 A. I would rather be refreshed. I 18 would imagine myself and Matt McGraner are two 19 of those people, but I don't know for sure. 20 Q. Okay. Without the incumbency 21 certificates or other documentation, you are 22 not able to give me any names other than Mr. – 23 other than you and Mr. McGraner; is that fair? 24 A. That's correct. 	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 20 21 22 23 24	the treasurer it would be Frank in his role and his team of putting together the complete and accurate financials of HCRE. Q. Other than putting together the complete and accurate financials of HCRE, did Frank and his team have any other responsibility with respect to understanding the debts and obligations of HCRE? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection, form. A. Again, just the general overlay being that they were de minimis and – de minimus, and the service obligations were de minimus relative to the value or operating income of the enterprise.	
 refreshed. And I assume its incumbency certificate looks similar to the ones that you have put up. Q. Can you identify for me today anybody who has ever served as an officer of HCRE at any time? A. I would rather be refreshed. I would imagine myself and Matt McGraner are two of those people, but I don't know for sure. Q. Okay. Without the incumbency certificates or other documentation, you are not able to give me any names other than Mr. – other than you and Mr. McGraner; is that fair? 	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the treasurer it would be Frank in his role and his team of putting together the complete and accurate financials of HCRE. Q. Other than putting together the complete and accurate financials of HCRE, did Frank and his team have any other responsibility with respect to understanding the debts and obligations of HCRE? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection, form. A. Again, just the general overlay being that they were de minimis and – de minimus, and the service obligations were de minimus relative to the value or operating	

Caase219600655gjpDoc148634Fffedc012061221 Elateredc012061221184187432 Plage728 of 899

1	Page 344			Page 345
1 '	DONDERO - 10/29/21	1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	Tage 040
2	material or material, they would have had more	2	30(b)(6) notices if we can.	
3	focus. But they didn't deserve more focus.	3	MR. MORRIS: Can we put up a	
4	Q. And so is it fair to say that you	4	document that has been marked as	
5	didn't do anything to familiarize yourself with	5	Exhibit 47.	
6	HCRE's debts and obligations?	6	(Exhibit 47 marked.)	
7	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	7	Q. Do you understand, Mr. Dondero, that	
8	form.	8	you are here today in your individual capacity	
9	A. Not on a regular detailed basis, you	9	and in your capacity as what is called a	
10	know, just a general awareness.	10	30(b)(6) witness for certain entities?	
11	Q. Did you ever take any steps to	11	A. Yes, a little bit to my chagrin.	
12	review the affiliate loans and obligations that	12	And I don't think you will see me again as a	
13	were due between and among Highland and its	13	30(b)(6) witness, but yes.	
14	affiliated companies?	14	Q. All right. Well, it wasn't my	
15	A. Again, just generally.	15	choice, so let's just go through it quickly.	
16	Q. What did you do?	16	Have you seen this document before,	
17	A. Like I said, I had a general	17	sir?	
18	awareness of them.	18	A. Yes.	
19	Q. And did you receive from time to	19	Q. And do you understand that you are	
20	time lists or information that specifically	20	here today in your capacity as NexPoint's	
21	described the amounts that were due and owing	21	corporate representative?	
22	from the affiliates to Highland?	22	A. Yes.	
23	A. Yeah, from time to time the amounts,	23	Q. And do you understand that your	
24	yes.	24	answers today in your capacity as NexPoint's	
25	Q. Let's just quickly go to the	25	corporate representative will be binding on	
	Page 346			Page 347
1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	
2	NexPoint?	2	NexPoint's answer?	
3	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: As qualified by	3	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Again, subject	
4	the objections that we made.	4	to our objection, but	
5	MR. MORRIS: Sure.	5	A. Yeah, to the best I can.	
6	A. I will do the best I can.	6	Q. Okay. The next topic concerns	
7	Q. Thank you so much.	7	affirmative defenses.	
8	MR. MORRIS: Can we go to the next	8	Do you see that?	
9	page, please. The last page. The topics.	9	A. Yes.	
	Q. Okay. Have you seen these topics	10	Q. Do you have an understanding of what	
10			, ,	
11	before, sir?	11	an affirmative defense is?	
11 12	A. Yes.	11 12	an affirmative defense is? A. Yes.	
11	A. Yes.Q. Okay. Do you see that we asked for	11	an affirmative defense is? A. Yes. Q. What is your understanding of an	
11 12 13 14	A. Yes.Q. Okay. Do you see that we asked for somebody to testify as to NexPoint's answer?	11 12 13 14	an affirmative defense is?A. Yes.Q. What is your understanding of an affirmative defense?	
11 12 13 14 15	A. Yes.Q. Okay. Do you see that we asked for somebody to testify as to NexPoint's answer?A. Yes.	11 12 13	an affirmative defense is?A. Yes.Q. What is your understanding of an affirmative defense?A. I think it is those – phrase that	
11 12 13 14	 A. Yes. Q. Okay. Do you see that we asked for somebody to testify as to NexPoint's answer? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Are you aware that 	11 12 13 14	 an affirmative defense is? A. Yes. Q. What is your understanding of an affirmative defense? A. I think it is those – phrase that you see in most of our answers, the 	
11 12 13 14 15	 A. Yes. Q. Okay. Do you see that we asked for somebody to testify as to NexPoint's answer? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Are you aware that NexPoint – are you aware that NexPoint filed 	11 12 13 14 15	 an affirmative defense is? A. Yes. Q. What is your understanding of an affirmative defense? A. I think it is those – phrase that you see in most of our answers, the justification, estoppel, waiver, and then – 	
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. Yes. Q. Okay. Do you see that we asked for somebody to testify as to NexPoint's answer? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Are you aware that NexPoint – are you aware that NexPoint filed an answer to Highland's amended complaint? 	11 12 13 14 15 16	 an affirmative defense is? A. Yes. Q. What is your understanding of an affirmative defense? A. I think it is those – phrase that you see in most of our answers, the justification, estoppel, waiver, and then – and then there is some specific answers beyond 	
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A. Yes. Q. Okay. Do you see that we asked for somebody to testify as to NexPoint's answer? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Are you aware that NexPoint – are you aware that NexPoint filed an answer to Highland's amended complaint? A. Yes. 	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 an affirmative defense is? A. Yes. Q. What is your understanding of an affirmative defense? A. I think it is those – phrase that you see in most of our answers, the justification, estoppel, waiver, and then – and then there is some specific answers beyond that, I guess. 	
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. Yes. Q. Okay. Do you see that we asked for somebody to testify as to NexPoint's answer? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Are you aware that NexPoint – are you aware that NexPoint filed an answer to Highland's amended complaint? A. Yes. Q. And did you review NexPoint's answer 	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 an affirmative defense is? A. Yes. Q. What is your understanding of an affirmative defense? A. I think it is those – phrase that you see in most of our answers, the justification, estoppel, waiver, and then – and then there is some specific answers beyond that, I guess. Q. Okay. Are you prepared – 	
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. Yes. Q. Okay. Do you see that we asked for somebody to testify as to NexPoint's answer? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Are you aware that NexPoint – are you aware that NexPoint filed an answer to Highland's amended complaint? A. Yes. Q. And did you review NexPoint's answer at any time before today's deposition? 	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 an affirmative defense is? A. Yes. Q. What is your understanding of an affirmative defense? A. I think it is those – phrase that you see in most of our answers, the justification, estoppel, waiver, and then – and then there is some specific answers beyond that, I guess. Q. Okay. Are you prepared – MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: John, I take it 	
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. Yes. Q. Okay. Do you see that we asked for somebody to testify as to NexPoint's answer? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Are you aware that NexPoint – are you aware that NexPoint filed an answer to Highland's amended complaint? A. Yes. Q. And did you review NexPoint's answer at any time before today's deposition? A. It was in the binder, I believe, 	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 an affirmative defense is? A. Yes. Q. What is your understanding of an affirmative defense? A. I think it is those – phrase that you see in most of our answers, the justification, estoppel, waiver, and then – and then there is some specific answers beyond that, I guess. Q. Okay. Are you prepared – MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: John, I take it you will show him. He doesn't have to have 	
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. Yes. Q. Okay. Do you see that we asked for somebody to testify as to NexPoint's answer? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Are you aware that NexPoint – are you aware that NexPoint filed an answer to Highland's amended complaint? A. Yes. Q. And did you review NexPoint's answer at any time before today's deposition? A. It was in the binder, I believe, that you guys sent over. 	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 21 22 23	 an affirmative defense is? A. Yes. Q. What is your understanding of an affirmative defense? A. I think it is those – phrase that you see in most of our answers, the justification, estoppel, waiver, and then – and then there is some specific answers beyond that, I guess. Q. Okay. Are you prepared – MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: John, I take it you will show him. He doesn't have to have them memorized. 	
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A. Yes. Q. Okay. Do you see that we asked for somebody to testify as to NexPoint's answer? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Are you aware that NexPoint – are you aware that NexPoint filed an answer to Highland's amended complaint? A. Yes. Q. And did you review NexPoint's answer at any time before today's deposition? A. It was in the binder, I believe, that you guys sent over. Q. I think that's right. Are you 	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 an affirmative defense is? A. Yes. Q. What is your understanding of an affirmative defense? A. I think it is those – phrase that you see in most of our answers, the justification, estoppel, waiver, and then – and then there is some specific answers beyond that, I guess. Q. Okay. Are you prepared – MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: John, I take it you will show him. He doesn't have to have them memorized. MR. MORRIS: No, of course not. 	
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. Yes. Q. Okay. Do you see that we asked for somebody to testify as to NexPoint's answer? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Are you aware that NexPoint – are you aware that NexPoint filed an answer to Highland's amended complaint? A. Yes. Q. And did you review NexPoint's answer at any time before today's deposition? A. It was in the binder, I believe, that you guys sent over. 	11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 21 22 23	 an affirmative defense is? A. Yes. Q. What is your understanding of an affirmative defense? A. I think it is those – phrase that you see in most of our answers, the justification, estoppel, waiver, and then – and then there is some specific answers beyond that, I guess. Q. Okay. Are you prepared – MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: John, I take it you will show him. He doesn't have to have them memorized. 	

Caase210600655gjpDoc148634Fifedc012061221 Elateredc012061221184187432 Page729 of 899

Page 348	Page 349
1 DONDERO - 10/29/21	1 DONDERO - 10/29/21
2 going to ask him, you will put it in front	2 are you – do you have knowledge of the – of
3 of him?	3 NexPoint's use of the proceeds of the note?
4 MR. MORRIS: Of course.	4 A. Not specifically.
5 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Thank you.	5 Q. All right. Maybe I will refresh
6 Q. Are you prepared to testify today to	6 your recollection later.
7 the circumstances, communications, documents,	7 And then the last topic is discovery
8 and facts concerning NexPoint's affirmative	8 requests.
9 defenses?	9 Do you see that?
10 A. Yeah, to the best that I can.	10 A. Yes.
11 Q. Okay. Do you see Topic 3 concerns	11 Q. Are you prepared to testify today on
12 the demand notes?	12 NexPoint's behalf concerning Highland's
13 A. Yes.	13 discovery requests?
14 Q. Okay. Are you prepared to testify	14 A. To the best of my knowledge.
15 about the demand notes, including with respect	15 Q. Okay. Did you do anything to
16 to the specific issues identified in that	16 prepare for today's deposition?
17 topic?	17 A. I met with Deborah.
18 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Again, subject	18 Q. When did you do that?
19 to the objections, particularly I think	19 A. A couple of days ago for a couple of
20 with respect to use of the proceeds.	20 hours, and a few days before that for a couple
21 Q. We will get to that.	21 of hours.
22 Are you prepared to testify?	22 Q. How many times –
23 A. Thope so.	23 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Are you also
24 Q. And – and I know that there is an	24 asking about calls?
25 objection there, but just a simple yes or no,	25 MR. MORRIS: I appreciate that.
Page 350	Page 351
1 DONDERO - 10/29/21	1 DONDERO - 10/29/21
2 A. Yeah. There were a couple of phone	2 of these meetings or phone calls who didn't
3 calls too.	
	2 of these meetings or phone calls who didn't
3 calls too.	2 of these meetings or phone calls who didn't3 represent you in your individual capacity?
3 calls too.4 Q. How many times did you communicate	 2 of these meetings or phone calls who didn't 3 represent you in your individual capacity? 4 A. No. It was just – it was just
 3 calls too. 4 Q. How many times did you communicate 5 with Deborah in preparation for today's 	 2 of these meetings or phone calls who didn't 3 represent you in your individual capacity? 4 A. No. It was just – it was just 5 Deborah and I.
 3 calls too. 4 Q. How many times did you communicate 5 with Deborah in preparation for today's 6 deposition? 	 2 of these meetings or phone calls who didn't 3 represent you in your individual capacity? 4 A. No. It was just – it was just 5 Deborah and I. 6 Q. Okay. Have you had a chance to
 3 calls too. 4 Q. How many times did you communicate 5 with Deborah in preparation for today's 6 deposition? 7 A. A half dozen, maybe, you know. 	 2 of these meetings or phone calls who didn't 3 represent you in your individual capacity? 4 A. No. It was just – it was just 5 Deborah and I. 6 Q. Okay. Have you had a chance to 7 review the transcript of Mr. Waterhouse's
 calls too. Q. How many times did you communicate with Deborah in preparation for today's deposition? A. A half dozen, maybe, you know. Q. How many times – 	 of these meetings or phone calls who didn't represent you in your individual capacity? A. No. It was just – it was just Deborah and I. Q. Okay. Have you had a chance to review the transcript of Mr. Waterhouse's deposition?
 calls too. Q. How many times did you communicate with Deborah in preparation for today's deposition? A. A half dozen, maybe, you know. Q. How many times – A. You know, in-person and phone calls, 	 of these meetings or phone calls who didn't represent you in your individual capacity? A. No. It was just – it was just Deborah and I. Q. Okay. Have you had a chance to review the transcript of Mr. Waterhouse's deposition? A. No. I haven't seen it yet.
 3 calls too. 4 Q. How many times did you communicate 5 with Deborah in preparation for today's 6 deposition? 7 A. A half dozen, maybe, you know. 8 Q. How many times – 9 A. You know, in-person and phone calls, 10 but 	 2 of these meetings or phone calls who didn't represent you in your individual capacity? A. No. It was just – it was just Deborah and I. Q. Okay. Have you had a chance to review the transcript of Mr. Waterhouse's deposition? 9 A. No. I haven't seen it yet. 10 Q. You haven't seen any portion of that
 3 calls too. 4 Q. How many times did you communicate 5 with Deborah in preparation for today's 6 deposition? 7 A. A half dozen, maybe, you know. 8 Q. How many times – 9 A. You know, in-person and phone calls, 10 but 11 Q. How many times did you meet with her 	 2 of these meetings or phone calls who didn't 3 represent you in your individual capacity? 4 A. No. It was just – it was just 5 Deborah and I. 6 Q. Okay. Have you had a chance to 7 review the transcript of Mr. Waterhouse's 8 deposition? 9 A. No. I haven't seen it yet. 10 Q. You haven't seen any portion of that 11 deposition?
 3 calls too. 4 Q. How many times did you communicate 5 with Deborah in preparation for today's 6 deposition? 7 A. A half dozen, maybe, you know. 8 Q. How many times – 9 A. You know, in-person and phone calls, 10 but 11 Q. How many times did you meet with her 12 in-person? 	 2 of these meetings or phone calls who didn't represent you in your individual capacity? A. No. It was just – it was just 5 Deborah and I. G. Okay. Have you had a chance to review the transcript of Mr. Waterhouse's 8 deposition? 9 A. No. I haven't seen it yet. 10 Q. You haven't seen any portion of that 11 deposition? 12 A. No.
 3 calls too. 4 Q. How many times did you communicate 5 with Deborah in preparation for today's 6 deposition? 7 A. A half dozen, maybe, you know. 8 Q. How many times - 9 A. You know, in-person and phone calls, 10 but 11 Q. How many times did you meet with her 12 in-person? 13 A. Two, maybe three. 	 2 of these meetings or phone calls who didn't represent you in your individual capacity? A. No. It was just – it was just Deborah and I. Q. Okay. Have you had a chance to review the transcript of Mr. Waterhouse's deposition? A. No. I haven't seen it yet. Q. You haven't seen any portion of that 11 deposition? 12 A. No. 13 Q. Are you aware of anything that
 3 calls too. 4 Q. How many times did you communicate 5 with Deborah in preparation for today's 6 deposition? 7 A. A half dozen, maybe, you know. 8 Q. How many times - 9 A. You know, in-person and phone calls, 10 but 11 Q. How many times did you meet with her 12 in-person? 13 A. Two, maybe three. 14 Q. And can you just tell me an estimate 	 2 of these meetings or phone calls who didn't represent you in your individual capacity? A. No. It was just – it was just 5 Deborah and I. G. Okay. Have you had a chance to review the transcript of Mr. Waterhouse's deposition? A. No. I haven't seen it yet. Q. You haven't seen any portion of that deposition? I. A. No. Q. Are you aware of anything that Mr. Waterhouse testified to in his deposition?
 3 calls too. 4 Q. How many times did you communicate 5 with Deborah in preparation for today's 6 deposition? 7 A. A half dozen, maybe, you know. 8 Q. How many times – 9 A. You know, in-person and phone calls, 10 but 11 Q. How many times did you meet with her 12 in-person? 13 A. Two, maybe three. 14 Q. And can you just tell me an estimate 15 of the total time spent preparing for this 	 2 of these meetings or phone calls who didn't represent you in your individual capacity? A. No. It was just – it was just 5 Deborah and I. G. Okay. Have you had a chance to review the transcript of Mr. Waterhouse's 8 deposition? A. No. I haven't seen it yet. Q. You haven't seen any portion of that 11 deposition? 12 A. No. 13 Q. Are you aware of anything that 14 Mr. Waterhouse testified to in his deposition? 15 A. No.
 3 calls too. 4 Q. How many times did you communicate 5 with Deborah in preparation for today's 6 deposition? 7 A. A half dozen, maybe, you know. 8 Q. How many times – 9 A. You know, in-person and phone calls, 10 but 11 Q. How many times did you meet with her 12 in-person? 13 A. Two, maybe three. 14 Q. And can you just tell me an estimate 15 of the total time spent preparing for this 16 deposition, inclusive of both the meetings and 	 of these meetings or phone calls who didn't represent you in your individual capacity? A. No. It was just – it was just Deborah and I. Q. Okay. Have you had a chance to review the transcript of Mr. Waterhouse's deposition? A. No. I haven't seen it yet. Q. You haven't seen any portion of that deposition? A. No. Q. Are you aware of anything that Mr. Waterhouse testified to in his deposition? A. No. Q. You have no knowledge of anything
 3 calls too. 4 Q. How many times did you communicate 5 with Deborah in preparation for today's 6 deposition? 7 A. A half dozen, maybe, you know. 8 Q. How many times – 9 A. You know, in-person and phone calls, 10 but 11 Q. How many times did you meet with her 12 in-person? 13 A. Two, maybe three. 14 Q. And can you just tell me an estimate 15 of the total time spent preparing for this 16 deposition, inclusive of both the meetings and 17 the phone calls? 	 of these meetings or phone calls who didn't represent you in your individual capacity? A. No. It was just – it was just Deborah and I. Q. Okay. Have you had a chance to review the transcript of Mr. Waterhouse's deposition? A. No. I haven't seen it yet. Q. You haven't seen any portion of that deposition? A. No. Q. Are you aware of anything that Mr. Waterhouse testified to in his deposition? A. No. Q. You have no knowledge of anything that Mr. Waterhouse said last week in his
 3 calls too. 4 Q. How many times did you communicate 5 with Deborah in preparation for today's 6 deposition? 7 A. A half dozen, maybe, you know. 8 Q. How many times – 9 A. You know, in-person and phone calls, 10 but 11 Q. How many times did you meet with her 12 in-person? 13 A. Two, maybe three. 14 Q. And can you just tell me an estimate 15 of the total time spent preparing for this 16 deposition, inclusive of both the meetings and 17 the phone calls? 18 A. I don't know. Does it matter? I 	 of these meetings or phone calls who didn't represent you in your individual capacity? A. No. It was just – it was just Deborah and I. Q. Okay. Have you had a chance to review the transcript of Mr. Waterhouse's deposition? A. No. I haven't seen it yet. Q. You haven't seen any portion of that deposition? A. No. Q. Are you aware of anything that Mr. Waterhouse testified to in his deposition? A. No. Q. You have no knowledge of anything that Mr. Waterhouse said last week in his deposition; do I have that right?
 3 calls too. 4 Q. How many times did you communicate 5 with Deborah in preparation for today's 6 deposition? 7 A. A half dozen, maybe, you know. 8 Q. How many times – 9 A. You know, in-person and phone calls, 10 but 11 Q. How many times did you meet with her 12 in-person? 13 A. Two, maybe three. 14 Q. And can you just tell me an estimate 15 of the total time spent preparing for this 16 deposition, inclusive of both the meetings and 17 the phone calls? 18 A. I don't know. Does it matter? I 19 mean, I don't know. I don't know, four hours, 	 2 of these meetings or phone calls who didn't represent you in your individual capacity? A. No. It was just – it was just 5 Deborah and I. Q. Okay. Have you had a chance to review the transcript of Mr. Waterhouse's deposition? A. No. I haven't seen it yet. Q. You haven't seen any portion of that deposition? A. No. Q. Are you aware of anything that Mr. Waterhouse testified to in his deposition? A. No. Q. You have no knowledge of anything that Mr. Waterhouse said last week in his deposition; do I have that right? A. That's correct.
 3 calls too. 4 Q. How many times did you communicate 5 with Deborah in preparation for today's 6 deposition? 7 A. A half dozen, maybe, you know. 8 Q. How many times – 9 A. You know, in-person and phone calls, 10 but 11 Q. How many times did you meet with her 12 in-person? 13 A. Two, maybe three. 14 Q. And can you just tell me an estimate 15 of the total time spent preparing for this 16 deposition, inclusive of both the meetings and 17 the phone calls? 18 A. I don't know. Does it matter? I 19 mean, I don't know. I don't know, four hours, 20 four hours. 	 2 of these meetings or phone calls who didn't represent you in your individual capacity? A. No. It was just – it was just Deborah and I. Q. Okay. Have you had a chance to review the transcript of Mr. Waterhouse's deposition? A. No. I haven't seen it yet. Q. You haven't seen any portion of that deposition? A. No. Q. Are you aware of anything that Mr. Waterhouse testified to in his deposition? A. No. Q. You have no knowledge of anything that Mr. Waterhouse said last week in his deposition; do I have that right? Q. Okay. Do you have any knowledge as
 3 calls too. 4 Q. How many times did you communicate 5 with Deborah in preparation for today's 6 deposition? 7 A. A half dozen, maybe, you know. 8 Q. How many times – 9 A. You know, in-person and phone calls, 10 but 11 Q. How many times did you meet with her 12 in-person? 13 A. Two, maybe three. 14 Q. And can you just tell me an estimate 15 of the total time spent preparing for this 16 deposition, inclusive of both the meetings and 17 the phone calls? 18 A. I don't know. Does it matter? I 19 mean, I don't know. I don't know, four hours, 20 four hours. 21 Q. Okay. Did anybody participate in 	 of these meetings or phone calls who didn't represent you in your individual capacity? A. No. It was just – it was just Deborah and I. Q. Okay. Have you had a chance to review the transcript of Mr. Waterhouse's deposition? A. No. I haven't seen it yet. Q. You haven't seen any portion of that deposition? A. No. Q. Are you aware of anything that Mr. Waterhouse testified to in his deposition? A. No. Q. You have no knowledge of anything that Mr. Waterhouse said last week in his deposition; do I have that right? A. That's correct. Q. Okay. Do you have any knowledge as to anything your sister said in her deposition?
 3 calls too. 4 Q. How many times did you communicate 5 with Deborah in preparation for today's 6 deposition? 7 A. A half dozen, maybe, you know. 8 Q. How many times – 9 A. You know, in-person and phone calls, 10 but 11 Q. How many times did you meet with her 12 in-person? 13 A. Two, maybe three. 14 Q. And can you just tell me an estimate 15 of the total time spent preparing for this 16 deposition, inclusive of both the meetings and 17 the phone calls? 18 A. I don't know. Does it matter? I 19 mean, I don't know. I don't know, four hours, 20 Okay. Did anybody participate in 22 these meetings or phone calls other than your 	 2 of these meetings or phone calls who didn't represent you in your individual capacity? A. No. It was just – it was just Deborah and I. Q. Okay. Have you had a chance to review the transcript of Mr. Waterhouse's deposition? A. No. I haven't seen it yet. Q. You haven't seen any portion of that deposition? A. No. Q. Are you aware of anything that Mr. Waterhouse testified to in his deposition? A. No. Q. You have no knowledge of anything that Mr. Waterhouse said last week in his deposition; do I have that right? A. That's correct. Q. Okay. Do you have any knowledge as to anything your sister said in her deposition?
 3 calls too. 4 Q. How many times did you communicate 5 with Deborah in preparation for today's 6 deposition? 7 A. A half dozen, maybe, you know. 8 Q. How many times – 9 A. You know, in-person and phone calls, 10 but 11 Q. How many times did you meet with her 12 in-person? 13 A. Two, maybe three. 14 Q. And can you just tell me an estimate 15 of the total time spent preparing for this 16 deposition, inclusive of both the meetings and 17 the phone calls? 18 A. I don't know. Does it matter? I 19 mean, I don't know. I don't know, four hours, 20 four hours. 21 Q. Okay. Did anybody participate in 22 these meetings or phone calls other than your 23 lawyers? 	 of these meetings or phone calls who didn't represent you in your individual capacity? A. No. It was just – it was just Deborah and I. Q. Okay. Have you had a chance to review the transcript of Mr. Waterhouse's deposition? A. No. I haven't seen it yet. Q. You haven't seen any portion of that deposition? A. No. Q. Are you aware of anything that Mr. Waterhouse testified to in his deposition? A. No. Q. You have no knowledge of anything that Mr. Waterhouse said last week in his deposition; do I have that right? A. That's correct. Q. Okay. Do you have any knowledge as to anything your sister said in her deposition? A. No, other than she is glad it is over.

Caase210600655gjpDoc148634Fifedc012061221 Elateredc012061221184187432 Page720 of 899

Prop 382 Prop 382 Prop 382 2 DA - dd you ever see her 2 dopation often than the stack that if provided 4 dopation often than the stack that if provided 3 ad the dopation notices? 4 dopation often than the stack that if provided 3 ad the dopation notices? 4 dopation often than the stack that if provided 5 A 7 transcript - Indiversity from Mr. Serry's doposition? 7 connection with your preparation for today's 9 dopased, so the answer is no. 9 A No, not a single email. 10 0. Okay, Are you awer that Dave Klos 10 MRE. MORRIS: Cokay. Let plut up 11 was doposed? 11 Exhibit 48, please. 10 12 A You inverse that that 13 Q. And I think were ango 14 14 doposition for T-IOXS. Twe cango 15 Init in the same - 1 16 13 a. Ange. 11 Init infinit heard ange that this is the same - 1 16 14 doposition for T-IOXS. Twe cango 16 Init infinit hearan that the same - 1				Page 353
3 ascript the transcript from her 3 and the deposition notices? 4 deposition notices? 4 A Imeen just no, just a listing of 5 A. No. 5 the notes, but that is it. 6 O. How about Mr. Seery, diayous see the 6 O. Didyous see nyremails at all in 7 transcript from Mr. Seery, diayous see the 6 O. Didyous see nyremails at all in 9 deposed, so the answer is no. 9 A No not a single email. 10 O. Clear, Are you aware that Dave Klos 10 Mr. MORRIS: Cleary, Let's put up 11 text deposed? 11 Exhibit 48, plasse. 12 2 A. You how what, Ithink Ihad 13 O. And Ithink you im see that this 14 deposition. 14 is the 30(b)(6) notice for HCMS. The cang po 15 O. Doyou know anything about anything 15 bothe mer tage. And it is near as the topics for how Chant. 16 table test site was also. 20 A think Iharad that he was also. 20 19 Hendix, are you aware that site was also. 20 A think Iharad that he was also. </td <td>1 DONDERO - 10/29/21</td> <td>1</td> <td>DONDERO - 10/29/21</td> <td></td>	1 DONDERO - 10/29/21	1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	
4 A loc A lock 5 the notes, but that is it. 5 A No. 5 the notes, but that is it. 7 the notes, but that is it. 6 0. Didyou see any amais at all in 7 the notes, but that is it. 6 0. Didyou see any amais at all in 7 the notes, but that is it. 6 0. Didyou see any amais at all in 8 A loth ween how that Seewy was 8 depositor. 9 deposed? 11 Exhibit 48, please. 12 A. You know whith I think I had 12 C. And think, you will see that this 13 avarenees of thut, but haven't seen that 13 0. And think, you will see that this 14 depositor. 14 is the 30(b)(6) notice for HCMS. If we can go 15 15 A. Do, De. 17 HA Nop. 16 Iwh reacy ou avare that is the was deposed? 19 Hat is up on the some on the ford? 16 Hendti, are you avare that is the was deposed? 19 Hat is up on the some on behalf of HCMS = 0(b)(6) notice 17 A. No. 20 A. Yes. 21 0. And five took the time – fi took 21	2 Did – did you ever see her	2	deposition other than the stack that I provided	
5 A No. 5 the notes, but that is it. 6 Q. How about Mr. Seery, dot you see the 6 Q. Did you see any emails at all in 7 A I didn't even know that Seery was 8 deposition? 9 deposition 9 A. No. not a single email. 10 Q. Okay, Are you aware that Dave Klos 10 MR. MORRS: Okay, Let's put up 11 wes deposed? 11 Exhibit 48, netext). 12 A. You know what, I think I had 12 (Exhibit 48, netext). 13 awareness of that, but I haven't seen that 13 0. And I think you will see that this 14 deposition. 16 that he tasified to the other day? 16 14 that he stilled to the other day? 16 huilt represent to you that the topics for 15 0. How about Kitsin – Kitsin 18 Have you seen HCMS's 30(k)(6) notice 15 14 here adva were not heads on the same astice heads so theads so theads so theads same asto theads sema heads to heads so th		3	and the deposition notices?	
6 Q. How about Mx. Seery, did you see the 7 transcript from Mr. Seery's depositon? 7 connection with your preparation for today's depositon? 9 depositon? 7 connection with your preparation for today's depositon? 9 depositon? 9 10 Q. Oky, Aeryou aware that Dave Klos 9 11 was deposed? 11 12 A You know write it that Had 12 13 awareness of that, but I haven't seen that 13 14 deposition. 14 15 Q. Doyou know anything about anything 15 16 uth was deposed? 19 17 A Nope. 17 18 Q. Doyou know anything about anything 15 19 Hendra, are you aware that she was abo. 20 20 A Unive Nou Kinstin 18 19 Hendra, are you aware that she was abo. 21 20 A Unive Nou Kinstin 21 21 A Nop. 21 22 A Linkin I heard that she was abo. 22 23 A No. 22 14 tis tap leastified to? 24 Q. Did you know anything about anything 21 1 25 A Unive Note Kinstin 18 26 A No. 29	4 deposition?	4		
7 rescription M. Seery sciposition? 7 connection with your preparation for today's 8 A. Iddn't even know that Seery was 8 deposition? 9 A. No, not a single email. M. 10 O. Okay. Are you aware that Dave Klos 10 MR. MCRRIS: Okay. Let's put up 11 was deposed? 11 Exhibit 48 phases. 12 A. You know what, I think I had 12 (Exhibit 48 marked.) 13 awareness of that, but I haven't seen that 13 0. And I thin's you will see that this 14 deposition. 16 is the 500(b) phote for 140KS. If we can go 15 0. Doyou know anything about anything 15 to the next page. And it is really the same - 16 that he testified to the other day? 10 thit is up on the screen right now? 16 that he testified to the other day? 19 that is up on the screen right now? 12 A. Ithin's heard that she was deposed? 19 that is up on the screen right now? 12 that we tailefield to? 21 0. And five book the time - f1 took? 13 A. No. 23 A. No. 24 DONDERO - 10/2921		5	the notes, but that is it.	
8 A 1ddin't even know that Seery was 8 deposition? 9 deposed, so the answer is no. 9 A No. not a single email. 10 Q. Okay. Arey ou aware that Dave Kos 11 Exhibit 48, please. 11 was deposed? 11 Exhibit 48, please. 12 A. You know what, think Ind 13 Q. And I think you will see that this 13 awareness of that, but I haven't seen that 13 Q. And I think you will see that this 14 deposition. 16 is the 300/(k) notice for HCMS. If we can go 15 that he testified to the other day? 16 is the same as the topics for NAXPoint. 16 A. Hink heard that her was also. 20 A Yes. 21 Q. Do you know anything about anything 21 Q. And five took the time – if took 22 that heard that her was also. 20 A Yes. 23 A. No. 23 A. No. 24 HCMS are the same as the topics stemified 24 O. Doyou know anything about anything 21 Q. And five took the time – if took 24 25 refeer hyour recoleclon in adv	6 Q. How about Mr. Seery, did you see the	6	Q. Did you see any emails at all in	
g deposed, so the answer is no. 9 A. No, not a single email. 10 O. Okay. Are you aware that Dave Klos 10 MR. MORRIS: Okay. Let's put up 11 Exhibit 48, please. 11 Exhibit 48 marked.) 12 A. You know what, It hink I had 12 (Exhibit 48 marked.) 13 awareness of that, but I haven't seen that 13 Q. And I think you will see that the factors of the can go 14 stee 300b/(6) notice for HCMS. If we can go 14 is the 300b/(6) notice for HCMS. If we can go 15 O. Do you know anything about anything 15 to the next page. And its really the same - 16 that here take was aboo. 10 Harry presents you that that the topics for NexPoint. 18 O. How about Kristin - Kristin 18 Have you seen HCMS's 300b/(6) notice 19 Hart is we advect and the was deposed? 19 that is the askyout here same questions about 21 O. Doyou know anything about anything 21 Q. And if we took the time - fil took 22 that the take same questions about 23 your ability to answer on behaf of HCNS - 23 A. No. 23 your ability to answer on behaf of HCNS - 24 Q. Dol you know at any documents to 24 HCMS met the sakyout here abayout here about	7 transcript from Mr. Seery's deposition?	7	connection with your preparation for today's	
10 Q. Okay. Are you aware that Dave Kios 10 MR. MORRIS: Okay. Let's put up 11 was deposed? 11 Exhibit 40, please. 13 avareness of that, but I haven't seen that 13 Q. And I think you will see that this 14 deposition. 14 is the 30(b)(6) notice for HCMS. If we can go 15 D. Do you know anything about anything 15 Is the next page. And I is really the same – 16 that he testified to the other day? 16 Iware page as the topics for 17 A. Nope. 17 HCMS are the same as the logics for NexPoint. 18 Q. How about Kristin – Kristin 18 Have you seen (HCMS'S 30(b)(6) notice 19 Heard have you aware that she was algoosed? 19 that is up on the screen right now? 20 A. I think I heard that she was algoosed? 21 D. And If we took the time – if 1 took 21 D. Q. Doy unknow anything about anything 21 Q. And If we took the time – if 1 took 22 that heard that she was algoosed? 23 you know anything about anything 21 Q. And If we took the time – if 1 took 23 A. No. 23 You Know that I think I havare of this	8 A. I didn't even know that Seery was	8	deposition?	
11 waseleposed? 11 Exhibit 48, please. 12 A. You know what, I think I had 12 (Exhibit 48 marked.) 13 awareness of that, but I haven't seen that 13 Q. And I think you all see that this 14 deposition. 14 is the 30(b)(6) notice for HCMS. I five can go 15 Q. Do you know anything about anything 15 to the next page. And its really the same – 16 hat the testified to the other day? 16 full represent to you that the topics for NexPoint. 18 Q. How about Kristin – Kristin 18 Have you seen HCMS's 30(b)(6) notice 19 Hendrix, are you aware that she was deposed? 19 that is up on the screen fight row? 20 A. Ithink I heard that she was deposed? 19 that see the stiffed to? 21 Q. And if we took the time – if look 21 Q. Do you know anything about anything 21 Q. And if we took the time – if look 22 the time to ask you the same toot took 23 A. No. 23 your ability to answer on behaff OHCMS – 24 24 HCMS – 3 3 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ. Is that in the 4 assecons 4 Bobsch 5 MR. MORRNS: No, no. This is one <td>I .</td> <td>9</td> <td>-</td> <td></td>	I .	9	-	
12 A. You know what, I think I had 12 (Exhibit 48 marked.) 13 awareness of that, but I haven't seen that 13 Q. And I think you will see that this 14 is the adb(b) fondice of HCMS. If we can go 15 0. Do you know anything about anything 15 to the next page. And it is really the same – 16 that he testified to the other day? 16 I will represent to you that the topics for 17 A. Nope. 17 HCMS are the same as the topics for NexPoint. 18 Q. How about Kristin - Kristin 18 Have you seen HCMS's 30(b)(6) notice 19 Hendrix, are you aware that she was also. 20 A. I think I heard that she was also. 20 A. Yes. 21 Q. Do you know anything about anything 21 Q. And if we took the time at me questions about 22 23 A. No 23 your ability to answer on behalf of HCMS - 24 HCMS with respect to the topics identified 24 DONDERO - 1028/21 Page 354 1 DONDERO - 1028/21 Page 355 24 Would you be able to do so? 2 amended complaint. 36. DETSCH-PEREZ: Is that in the 24 MR.MORRIS: Let's put up Exhibit <td>10 Q. Okay. Are you aware that Dave Klos</td> <td>10</td> <td>MR. MORRIS: Okay. Let's put up</td> <td></td>	10 Q. Okay. Are you aware that Dave Klos	10	MR. MORRIS: Okay. Let's put up	
13 awareness of that, but I haven't seen that 13 Q. And I think you will see that this 14 deposition. 14 is the 30(b)(6) notice for HCMS. If we can go 15 Q. Do you know anything about anything 15 to the next page. And it is really the same – 16 that he testified to the other day? 16 I will represent to you that the topics for 17 A. Nope. 17 HCMS are the same as the topics for NexPoint. 18 Q. How about Kristin – Kristin 18 Have you seen HCMS's 30(b)(6) notice 19 Hendrix, are you aware that she was also. 20 A. Yes. 20 A. Ithink I heard that she was also. 20 A. Yes. 21 Q. Dody uo kook any documents to 24 the time to ask you the same questions about 23 A. No. 23 your ability to answer on behalf of HCMS – 24 Q. Did you look at any documents to 24 HCMS with respect to the topics identified 25 refersh your recollection in advance of this 3 MS.DEITSCH-PEREZ: Is that in the 4 DONDERO - 10/29/21 Page 355 1 DONDERO - 10/29/21 Page 355 14 wo	· ·			
14 deposition. 14 is the 30(b)(6) notice for HCMS. If we can go 15 Q. Do you know anything about anything 15 to the next page. And it is really the same – 16 that be testified to the other day? 16 Interpresent to you that the topics for NexPoint. 17 A. Nope. 17 HCMS are the same as the topics for NexPoint. 18 O. How about Kristin – Kristin 18 Have you seen HCMS: Sol0(b) notice 19 Hendix, are you aware that she was also. 20 A. Yes. 21 O. Do you know anything about anything 21 1 O. And f we took the time - 11 took 22 the time to asky you the same questions about 23 your ability to answer on behalf of HCMS – 23 A. No. 23 your ability to answer on behalf of HCMS – 24 24 D. Did you look at any documents to 24 HCMS with respect to the topics identified 25 refers hy our recollection in advance of this 25 there and subject to your counsel's objections, 1 DONDERO-10/29/21 Page 354 1 DONDERO-10/29/21 Page 355 3 A. Yes. 3 MS.DEITSCH-PEREZ: Is that in the	12 A. You know what, I think I had	12	(Exhibit 48 marked.)	
15 Q. Do you know anything about anything 15 to the next page. And it is really the same – 16 that he testified to the other day? 16 I will represent to you fact the topics for NexPoint. 18 Q. How about Kristin – Kristin 18 HAW eyou seen HCMS's 30(b)(6) notice 19 Hendrix, are you aware that she was also. 20 A Yes. 20 A. I think I heard that she was also. 20 A Yes. 21 Q. Do you know anything about anything 21 Q. And if we took the time – if I took 22 that she testified to? 22 the time to ask you the same or questions about 23 A No. 23 your ability to answer on behalf of HCMS – 24 Q. Did you look at any documents to 24 HCMS with respect to the topics identified 25 refresh your recollection in advance of this 25 there and subject to your counsel's objections, 24 DONDERO-10/29/21 amended complaint. 3 A. Yes. 3 A Yes. 3 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Is that in the notebox? 5 49, please. 5 MR. MORRIS: No, no. This is one 6 6	13 awareness of that, but I haven't seen that	13	Q. And I think you will see that this	
16 that he testified to the other day? 16 I will represent to you that the topics for 17 A Nope. 17 HCMS are the same as the topics for NexPoint. 18 Q. How about Kristin – Kristin 18 Have you seen HCMS's 30(b)(6) notice 19 Hendrix, are you aware that she was also. 20 A. Yes. 20 A. I think Iheard that she was also. 20 A. Yes. 21 Q. Doy know anything about anything 21 Q. And if we took the time – if look 22 that she testified to? 22 the time to ask you the same questions about 23 A. No. 23 your ability to answer on behalf of HCMS – 24 Q. Did you look at any documents to 24 HCMS with respect to the topics identified 25 refersh your recollection in advance of this 25 there and subject to your coursel's objections, 24 Would you be able to do so? 3 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Is that in the 4 MR.MORRIS: Lef's put up Exhibit 4 notebook? 5 5 49, please. 5 MR. MORRIS: No, no. This is one 6 6 (Exhibit 49 marked.) 6 tha	· ·	14	is the 30(b)(6) notice for HCMS. If we can go	
17 A. Nope. 17 HCMS are the same as the topics for NexPoint. 18 Q. How about Kristin – Kristin 13 Have you seen HCMS 30(b)(6) notice 20 A. Ithink I heard that she was also. 20 A. Yes. 21 Q. Do you know anything about anything 21 Q. And if we took the time – if I took 22 that is up on the screen right now? 20 A. Yes. 23 A. No. 23 your ability to answer on behaff of HCMS – 24 Q. Did you kook at any documents to 24 HCMS with respect to the topics identified 25 refersh your recollection in advance of this 25 there and subject to your coursel's objections, 2 would you be able to do so? 2 amended complaint. 3 3 A. Yes. 3 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Is that in the notebook? 5 49, please. 5 MR. MORRIS: No, no. This is one 6 that we had – we had – 6 (Exhibit 49 marked.) 6 MR. MORRIS: That's okay. That is 0 9 of HCXE so to your review the list of 12 aiready in there, actually. 13 10 youren		15		
18 Q. How about Kristin – Kristin 18 Have you seen HCMS's 30(b)(6) notice 19 Hendrik, are you aware that she was deposed? 19 that sup on the screen right now? 20 A. I think I heard that she was also. 20 A. Yes. 21 Q. Do you know arything about anything 21 Q. And if we took the time – if I took 22 that she testified to? 22 the time to ask you the same questions about 23 A. No. 23 your ability to answer on behalf of HCMS – 24 Q. Didy oul bok at any documents to 24 HCMS with respect to the topics identified 25 refresh your recollection in advance of this 25 there and subject to your counsel's objections, 25 would you be able to do so? 3 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Is that in the 3 A. Yes. 3 MS.DEITSCH-PEREZ: Is that in the 4 MR.MORRIS: Lefs put up Exhibit 4 notebcok? 5 49, please. 5 MR.MORRIS: No, no. This is one 6 (Exhibit 49 marked.) 6 that we had - we had - 7 Q. And this is the 30(b)(6) notice for 7 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Al right. Hang	16 that he testified to the other day?	16		
19 Hendrix, are you aware that she was deposed? 19 that is up on the screen right now? 20 A I think I heard that she was also. 20 A. Yes. 21 Q. Do you know anything about anything 21 Q. And if we took the time – if I took 23 A No. 23 your ability to answer on behalf of HCMS – 24 Q. Did you look at any documents to 24 HCMS with respect to the topics identified 25 refresh your recollection in advance of this 25 the time and subject to your counsel's objections, 2 would you be able to do so? 2 amended complaint. 3 3 A Yes. 3 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Is that in the 929 355 4 MR. MORRIS: Let's put up Exhibit 4 notebook? 2 amended complaint. 3 A Yes. 3 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Is that in the 10 object to your counsel's obj	· · ·			
20 A I think I heard that she was also. 20 A Yes. 21 Q. Do you know anything about anything 21 Q. And if we took the time – if I took 22 that she testified to? 22 the time to ask you the same questions about 23 A No. 23 your ability to answer on behalf of HCMS – 24 Q. Did you look at any documents to 24 HCMS with respect to the topics identified 25 refersh your recollection in advance of this 25 there and subject to your counsel's objections, 7 DONDERO - 10/29/21 Page 355 4 Topothere advance of the topics identified 3 A Yes. 3 MR. MORRIS: Let's put up Exhibit 4 notebook? 5 49, please. 5 MR. MORRIS: No, no. This is one 6 that we had – we had – 7 Q. And this is the 30(b)(6) notice for 7 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: All right. Hang 6 9 of HCRE as its corporate representative. Do 9 MR. MORRIS: That's okay. That is 10 your ability to in the caterom. It is 1 arterady in there, adually. 13 topics that we i	18 Q. How about Kristin – Kristin	18		
21 Q. Do you know anything about anything 21 Q. And if we took the time – if I took 22 that she testified to? 22 the time to ask you the same questions about 23 A No. 23 your ability to answer on behalf of HCMS – 24 Q. Did you look at any documents to 24 HCMS with respect to the topics identified 25 refresh your recollection in advance of this 25 there and subject to your coursel's objections, 7 DONDERO - 10/29/21 Page 354 1 DONDERO - 10/29/21 2 would you be able to do so? 2 amended complaint. 3 A. Yes. 3 MS. DETSCH-PEREZ: Is that in the 4 MR.MORRIS: Let's put up Exhibit 4 notebook? 5 49, please. 5 MR. MORRIS: No, no. This is one 6 (Exhibit 49 marked.) 6 that we had – we had – 7 Q. And this is the 30(b)(6) notice for 7 MS. DETSCH-PEREZ: All right. Hang 8 onc. 9 MR.MORRIS: No, no. This is one 10 your understand that? 10 why we're putting it up on the screen, and 11 A.	19 Hendrix, are you aware that she was deposed?	19	that is up on the screen right now?	
22 that she testified to? 22 the time to ask you the same questions about 23 A No. 23 your ability to answer on behalf of HCMS – 24 Q. Did you look at any documents to 24 HCMS with respect to the topics identified 25 refresh your recollection in advance of this 25 there and subject to your counsel's objections, 1 DONDERO - 10/29/21 Page 354 1 DONDERO - 10/29/21 2 would you be able to do so? 2 amended complaint. 4 3 A Yes. 3 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Is that in the 4 MR.MORRIS: Let's put up Exhibit 4 notebook? 5 5 49, please. 6 that we had – we had – 7 6 (Exhibit 49 marked.) 6 that we had – we had – 9 7 Q. And this is the 30(b)(6) notice for 7 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: All right. Hang 8 hCRE. You're here today to testify on behalf 8 on. 9 of HCRE as its corporate representative. Do 9 MR.MORRIS: That's okay. That is 10 you understand that? 10 <td< td=""><td>20 A. I think I heard that she was also.</td><td>20</td><td>A. Yes.</td><td></td></td<>	20 A. I think I heard that she was also.	20	A. Yes.	
23 A No. 23 your ability to answer on behalf of HCMS – 24 Q. Did you look at any documents to 24 HCMS with respect to the topics identified 25 refresh your recollection in advance of this 25 there and subject to your counsel's objections, 25 would you be able to do so? 2 amended complaint. Page 354 3 A Yes. 3 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Is that in the 4 MR.MORRIS: Let's put up Exhibit 4 notebook? 5 49, please. 5 MR.MORRIS: No, no. This is one 6 (Exhibit 49 marked.) 6 that we had – we had – 7 Q. And this is the 30(b)(6) notice for 7 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: All right. Hang 8 HCRE. You're here today to testify on behalf 8 on. 9 of HCRE as its corporate representative. Do 9 MR.MORRIS: That's okay. That is 10 you understand that? 10 why we're putting it up on the screen, and 11 A Yes. 11 we will prove the screen, and 12 Q. And did you review the list of 12 already in there, acturally.	21 Q. Do you know anything about anything	21	Q. And if we took the time – if I took	
24 Q. Did you look at any documents to 24 HCMS with respect to the topics identified 25 refresh your recollection in advance of this 25 there and subject to your counsel's objections, 1 DONDERO - 10/29/21 1 DONDERO - 10/29/21 Page 354 2 would you be able to do so? 2 amended complaint. 3 A. Yes. 3 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Is that in the 4 MR. MORRIS: Let's put up Exhibit 4 notebook? 5 MR. MORRIS: No, no. This is one 6 that we had - we had - 7 Q. And this is the 30(b)(6) notice for 7 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: All right. Hang 8 on. 9 of HCRE as its corporate representative. Do 9 MR. MORRIS: That's okay. That is 10 why we're putting it up on the screen, and 11 A. Yes. 11 we will put it in the chat room. It is 12 already in there, actually. 13 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Yeah, I think we 14 for HCRE? 14 have it here. Hold on. I think Nancy 14 have it here. Hold on. I think Nancy 15 A. Yes. 15 walked off with the duplicate of this, so 16 if you need with the	22 that she testified to?	22	the time to ask you the same questions about	
25 refresh your recollection in advance of this 25 there and subject to your counsel's objections, 1 DONDERO - 10/29/21 Page 354 1 DONDERO - 10/29/21 Page 355 2 would you be able to do so? 2 amended complaint. NS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Is that in the 4 3 A Yes. 3 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Is that in the 4 notebook? 5 49, please. 6 that we had – we had – 7 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: All right. Hang 8 6 (Exhibit 49 marked.) 6 that we had – we had – 7 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: All right. Hang 8 7 Q. And this is the 30(b)(6) notice for 7 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: All right. Hang 8 on. 9 of HCRE as its corporate representative. Do 9 MR. MORRIS: That's okay. That is 10 why we're putting it up on the screen, and 11 A. Yes. 11 we will put it in the chat room. It is 12 already in there, actually. 13 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Yeah, I think we 14 for HCRE? 14 have it here. Hold on. I think Nancy 15 walked off with the duplicate of this, so <td< td=""><td>23 A. No.</td><td>23</td><td>your ability to answer on behalf of HCMS –</td><td></td></td<>	23 A. No.	23	your ability to answer on behalf of HCMS –	
Page 354 1 DONDERO - 10/29/21 Page 355 2 would you be able to do so? 2 amended complaint. 3 A. Yes. 3 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Is that in the 4 MR. MORRIS: Let's put up Exhibit 4 notebook? 5 49, please. 5 MR. MORRIS: No, no. This is one 6 (Exhibit 49 marked.) 6 that we had - we had - 7 Q. And this is the 30(b)(6) notice for 7 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: All right. Hang 8 HCRE. You're here today to testify on behalf 8 on. 9 of HCRE as its corporate representative. Do 9 MR. MORRIS: That's okay. That is 10 you understand that? 10 why we're putting it up on the screen, and 14 A. Yes. 11 we will put it in the chat room. It is 12 Q. And did you review the list of 12 already in there, actually. 13 topics that we included in our 30(b)(6) notice 13 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Yeah, I think we 14 for HCRE? 14 have it here. Hold on. I think Nancy 15 A Yes. 15 walked off with the duplica	24 Q. Did you look at any documents to	24	HCMS with respect to the topics identified	
1DONDERO - 10/29/211DONDERO - 10/29/212would you be able to do so?2amended complaint.3A. Yes.3MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Is that in the4MR. MORRIS: Let's put up Exhibit4notebook?549, please.5MR. MORRIS: No, no. This is one6(Exhibit 49 marked.)6that we had - we had -7Q. And this is the 30(b)(6) notice for7MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: All right. Hang8HCRE. You're here today to testify on behalf8on.9of HCRE as its corporate representative. Do9MR. MORRIS: That's okay. That is10you understand that?10why we're putting it up on the screen, and11A. Yes.11we will put it in the chat room. It is12Q. And did you review the list of12already in there, actually.13topics that we included in our 30(b)(6) notice13MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Yeah, I think we14for HCRE?14have it here. Hold on. I think Nancy15A. Yes.15walked off with the duplicate of this, so16Q. And subject to your counsel's16if you need it, I will hand it to you.17optics that are listed on the page that is up18your counsel has a hard copy, do you recall19on the screen?19reviewing your answer to the plaintiff's20A. Yes.20armended complaint before it was filed?21MR. MORRIS: Okay. Can we please21hat juncture. <td>25 refresh your recollection in advance of this</td> <td>25</td> <td>there and subject to your counsel's objections,</td> <td></td>	25 refresh your recollection in advance of this	25	there and subject to your counsel's objections,	
2 would you be able to do so? 2 amended complaint. 3 A. Yes. 3 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Is that in the 4 MR. MORRIS: Let's put up Exhibit 4 notebook? 5 49, please. 5 MR. MORRIS: No, no. This is one 6 (Exhibit 49 marked.) 6 that we had – we had – 7 Q. And this is the 30(b)(6) notice for 7 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: All right. Hang 8 HCRE. You're here today to testify on behalf 8 on. 9 of HCRE as its corporate representative. Do 9 MR. MORRIS: That's okay. That is 10 you understand that? 10 why we're putting it up on the screen, and 11 A. Yes. 11 we will put it in the chat room. It is 12 Q. And did you review the list of 12 already in there, actually. 13 topics that we included in our 30(b)(6) notice 13 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Yeah, I think we 14 for HCRE? 14 have it here. Hold on. I think Nancy 15 A. Yes. 15 walked off with the duplicate of this, so 16 Q. And subject to your counsel's 16				Page 355
3A. Yes.3MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Is that in the4MR. MORRIS: Let's put up Exhibit4notebook?549, please.5MR. MORRIS: No, no. This is one6(Exhibit 49 marked.)6that we had – we had –7Q. And this is the 30(b)(6) notice for7MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: All right. Hang8HCRE. You're here today to testify on behalf8on.9of HCRE as its corporate representative. Do9MR. MORRIS: That's okay. That is10you understand that?10why we're putting it up on the screen, and11A. Yes.11we will put it in the chat room. It is12Q. And did you review the list of12already in there, actually.13topics that we included in our 30(b)(6) notice13MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Yeah, I think we14for HCRE?14have it here. Hold on. I think Nancy15A. Yes.15walked off with the duplicate of this, so16Q. And subject to your counsel's16if you need it, I will hand it to you.17objections, are you prepared to testify to the17Q. Mr. Dondero, while we wait to see if18topics that are listed on the page that is up19reviewing your answer to the plaintiff's20A. Yes.20amended complaint before it was filed?21MR.MORRIS: Okay. Can we please21A. I don't know if I was involved at22put up Exhibit 31.22that juncture.23(Exhibit 31 marked.				
4MR. MORRIS: Let's put up Exhibit4notebook?549, please.5MR. MORRIS: No, no. This is one6(Exhibit 49 marked.)6that we had – we had –7Q. And this is the 30(b)(6) notice for7MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: All right. Hang8HCRE. You're here today to testify on behalf8on.9of HCRE as its corporate representative. Do9MR. MORRIS: That's okay. That is10you understand that?10why we're putting it up on the screen, and11A. Yes.11we will put it in the chat room. It is12Q. And did you review the list of12already in there, actually.13topics that we included in our 30(b)(6) notice13MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Yeah, I think we14for HCRE?14have it here. Hold on. I think Nancy15A. Yes.15walked off with the duplicate of this, so16Q. And subject to your counsel's16if you roeadro, while we wait to see if18topics that are listed on the page that is up19reviewing your answer to the plaintiff's19on the screen?19amended complaint before it was filed?21MR. MORRIS: Okay. Can we please21A. I don't know if I was involved at22put up Exhibit 31.23Q. All right. So just to refresh your24Q. Mr. Dondero, we're putting up on the24recollection, this is a document that was filed			•	
549, please.5MR. MORRIS: No, no. This is one6(Exhibit 49 marked.)6that we had - we had -7Q. And this is the 30(b)(6) notice for7MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: All right. Hang8HCRE. You're here today to testify on behalf8on.9of HCRE as its corporate representative. Do9MR. MORRIS: That's okay. That is10you understand that?10why we're putting it up on the screen, and11A. Yes.11we will put it in the chat room. It is12Q. And did you review the list of12already in there, actually.13topics that we included in our 30(b)(6) notice13MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Yeah, I think we14for HCRE?14have it here. Hold on. I think Nancy15A. Yes.15walked off with the duplicate of this, so16Q. And subject to your counsel's16if you need it, I will hand it to you.17objections, are you prepared to testify to the17Q. Mr. Dondero, while we wait to see if18topics that are listed on the page that is up19reviewing your answer to the plaintiff's20A. Yes.20armended complaint before it was filed?21MR. MORRIS: Okay. Can we please21A. I don't know if I was involved at22put up Exhibit 31.22that juncture.23(Exhibit 31 marked.)23Q. All right. So just to refresh your24Q. Mr. Dondero, we're putting up on the24recollection, this is a doc				
6(Exhibit 49 marked.)6that we had - we had -7Q. And this is the 30(b)(6) notice for7MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: All right. Hang8HCRE. You're here today to testify on behalf8on.9of HCRE as its corporate representative. Do9MR. MORRIS: That's okay. That is10you understand that?10why we're putting it up on the screen, and11A. Yes.11we will put it in the chat room. It is12Q. And did you review the list of12already in there, actually.13topics that we included in our 30(b)(6) notice13MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Yeah, I think we14for HCRE?14have it here. Hold on. I think Nancy15A. Yes.15walked off with the duplicate of this, so16Q. And subject to your counsel's16if you need it, I will hand it to you.17objections, are you prepared to testify to the17Q. Mr. Dondero, while we wait to see if18topics that are listed on the page that is up19reviewing your counsel has a hard copy, do you recall19on the screen?20amended complaint before it was filed?21MR. MORRIS: Okay. Can we please21A. I don't know if I was involved at22put up Exhibit 3123Q. All right. So just to refresh your24Q. Mr. Dondero, we're putting up on the24recollection, this is a document that was filed				
7Q. And this is the 30(b)(6) notice for7MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: All right. Hang8HCRE. You're here today to testify on behalf8on.9of HCRE as its corporate representative. Do9MR. MORRIS: That's okay. That is10you understand that?10why we're putting it up on the screen, and11A. Yes.11we will put it in the chat room. It is12Q. And did you review the list of12already in there, actually.13topics that we included in our 30(b)(6) notice13MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Yeah, I think we14for HCRE?14have it here. Hold on. I think Nancy15A. Yes.15walked off with the duplicate of this, so16Q. And subject to your counsel's16if you need it, I will hand it to you.17objections, are you prepared to testify to the17Q. Mr. Dondero, while we wait to see if18topics that are listed on the page that is up19reviewing your answer to the plaintiff's20A. Yes.20amended complaint before it was filed?21MR. MORRIS: Okay. Can we please21A. I don't know if I was involved at22put up Exhibit 31.22that juncture.23(Exhibit 31 marked.)23Q. All right. So just to refresh your24Q. Mr. Dondero, we're putting up on the24recollection, this is a document that was filed				
8HCRE. You're here today to testify on behalf8on.9of HCRE as its corporate representative. Do9MR. MORRIS: That's okay. That is10you understand that?10why we're putting it up on the screen, and11A. Yes.11we will put it in the chat room. It is12Q. And did you review the list of12already in there, actually.13topics that we included in our 30(b)(6) notice13MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Yeah, I think we14for HCRE?14have it here. Hold on. I think Nancy15A. Yes.15walked off with the duplicate of this, so16Q. And subject to your counsel's16if you need it, I will hand it to you.17objections, are you prepared to testify to the17Q. Mr. Dondero, while we wait to see if18topics that are listed on the page that is up18your counsel has a hard copy, do you recall19on the screen?19reviewing your answer to the plaintiff's20A. Yes.20amended complaint before it was filed?21MR. MORRIS: Okay. Can we please21A. I don't know if I was involved at22put up Exhibit 31.23Q. All right. So just to refresh your24Q. Mr. Dondero, we're putting up on the24recollection, this is a document that was filed			that we had we had	
9of HCRE as its corporate representative. Do9MR. MORRIS: That's okay. That is10you understand that?10why we're putting it up on the screen, and11A. Yes.11we will put it in the chat room. It is12Q. And did you review the list of12already in there, actually.13topics that we included in our 30(b)(6) notice13MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Yeah, I think we14for HCRE?14have it here. Hold on. I think Nancy15A. Yes.15walked off with the duplicate of this, so16Q. And subject to your counsel's16if you need it, I will hand it to you.17objections, are you prepared to testify to the17Q. Mr. Dondero, while we wait to see if19on the screen?19reviewing your answer to the plaintiff's20A. Yes.20amended complaint before it was filed?21MR. MORRIS: Okay. Can we please21A. I don't know if I was involved at22put up Exhibit 31.23Q. All right. So just to refresh your24Q. Mr. Dondero, we're putting up on the24recollection, this is a document that was filed				
10you understand that?10why we're putting it up on the screen, and11A. Yes.11we will put it in the chat room. It is12Q. And did you review the list of12already in there, actually.13topics that we included in our 30(b)(6) notice13MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Yeah, I think we14for HCRE?14have it here. Hold on. I think Nancy15A. Yes.15walked off with the duplicate of this, so16Q. And subject to your counsel's16if you need it, I will hand it to you.17objections, are you prepared to testify to the17Q. Mr. Dondero, while we wait to see if18topics that are listed on the page that is up19reviewing your counsel has a hard copy, do you recall19on the screen?19reviewing your answer to the plaintiffs20A. Yes.20amended complaint before it was filed?21MR. MORRIS: Okay. Can we please21A. I don't know if I was involved at22put up Exhibit 31.23Q. All right. So just to refresh your24Q. Mr. Dondero, we're putting up on the24recollection, this is a document that was filed				
11A. Yes.11we will put it in the chat room. It is12Q. And did you review the list of12already in there, actually.13topics that we included in our 30(b)(6) notice13MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Yeah, I think we14for HCRE?14have it here. Hold on. I think Nancy15A. Yes.15walked off with the duplicate of this, so16Q. And subject to your counsel's16if you need it, I will hand it to you.17objections, are you prepared to testify to the17Q. Mr. Dondero, while we wait to see if18topics that are listed on the page that is up18your counsel has a hard copy, do you recall19on the screen?19reviewing your answer to the plaintiff's20A. Yes.20amended complaint before it was filed?21MR. MORRIS: Okay. Can we please21A. I don't know if I was involved at22put up Exhibit 31.22that juncture.23(Exhibit 31 marked.)23Q. All right. So just to refresh your24Q. Mr. Dondero, we're putting up on the24recollection, this is a document that was filed	8 HCRE. You're here today to testify on behalf	8	on.	
12Q. And did you review the list of12already in there, actually.13topics that we included in our 30(b)(6) notice13MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Yeah, I think we14for HCRE?14have it here. Hold on. I think Nancy15A. Yes.15walked off with the duplicate of this, so16Q. And subject to your counsel's16if you need it, I will hand it to you.17objections, are you prepared to testify to the17Q. Mr. Dondero, while we wait to see if18topics that are listed on the page that is up18your counsel has a hard copy, do you recall19on the screen?19reviewing your answer to the plaintiff's20A. Yes.20amended complaint before it was filed?21MR. MORRIS: Okay. Can we please21A. I don't know if I was involved at22put up Exhibit 31.22that juncture.23(Exhibit 31 marked.)23Q. All right. So just to refresh your24Q. Mr. Dondero, we're putting up on the24recollection, this is a document that was filed	8 HCRE. You're here today to testify on behalf9 of HCRE as its corporate representative. Do	8 9	on. MR. MORRIS: That's okay. That is	
13topics that we included in our 30(b)(6) notice13MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Yeah, I think we14for HCRE?14have it here. Hold on. I think Nancy15A. Yes.15walked off with the duplicate of this, so16Q. And subject to your counsel's16if you need it, I will hand it to you.17objections, are you prepared to testify to the17Q. Mr. Dondero, while we wait to see if18topics that are listed on the page that is up18your counsel has a hard copy, do you recall19on the screen?19reviewing your answer to the plaintiffs20A. Yes.20amended complaint before it was filed?21MR. MORRIS: Okay. Can we please21A. I don't know if I was involved at22put up Exhibit 31.23Q. All right. So just to refresh your24Q. Mr. Dondero, we're putting up on the24recollection, this is a document that was filed	8 HCRE. You're here today to testify on behalf9 of HCRE as its corporate representative. Do10 you understand that?	8 9 10	on. MR. MORRIS: That's okay. That is why we're putting it up on the screen, and	
14for HCRE?14have it here. Hold on. I think Nancy15A. Yes.15walked off with the duplicate of this, so16Q. And subject to your counsel's16if you need it, I will hand it to you.17objections, are you prepared to testify to the17Q. Mr. Dondero, while we wait to see if18topics that are listed on the page that is up18your counsel has a hard copy, do you recall19on the screen?19reviewing your answer to the plaintiff's20A. Yes.20amended complaint before it was filed?21MR. MORRIS: Okay. Can we please21A. I don't know if I was involved at22put up Exhibit 31.22that juncture.23(Exhibit 31 marked.)23Q. All right. So just to refresh your24Q. Mr. Dondero, we're putting up on the24recollection, this is a document that was filed	 8 HCRE. You're here today to testify on behalf 9 of HCRE as its corporate representative. Do 10 you understand that? 11 A. Yes. 	8 9 10 11	on. MR. MORRIS: That's okay. That is why we're putting it up on the screen, and we will put it in the chat room. It is	
15A. Yes.15walked off with the duplicate of this, so16Q. And subject to your counsel's16if you need it, I will hand it to you.17objections, are you prepared to testify to the17Q. Mr. Dondero, while we wait to see if18topics that are listed on the page that is up18your counsel has a hard copy, do you recall19on the screen?19reviewing your answer to the plaintiff's20A. Yes.20amended complaint before it was filed?21MR. MORRIS: Okay. Can we please21A. I don't know if I was involved at22put up Exhibit 31.22that juncture.23(Exhibit 31 marked.)23Q. All right. So just to refresh your24Q. Mr. Dondero, we're putting up on the24recollection, this is a document that was filed	 8 HCRE. You're here today to testify on behalf 9 of HCRE as its corporate representative. Do 10 you understand that? 11 A. Yes. 12 Q. And did you review the list of 	8 9 10 11 12	on. MR. MORRIS: That's okay. That is why we're putting it up on the screen, and we will put it in the chat room. It is already in there, actually.	
16Q. And subject to your counsel's16if you need it, I will hand it to you.17objections, are you prepared to testify to the17Q. Mr. Dondero, while we wait to see if18topics that are listed on the page that is up18your counsel has a hard copy, do you recall19on the screen?19reviewing your answer to the plaintiff's20A. Yes.20amended complaint before it was filed?21MR. MORRIS: Okay. Can we please21A. I don't know if I was involved at22put up Exhibit 31.22that juncture.23(Exhibit 31 marked.)23Q. All right. So just to refresh your24Q. Mr. Dondero, we're putting up on the24recollection, this is a document that was filed	 8 HCRE. You're here today to testify on behalf 9 of HCRE as its corporate representative. Do 10 you understand that? 11 A. Yes. 12 Q. And did you review the list of 13 topics that we included in our 30(b)(6) notice 	8 9 10 11 12 13	on. MR. MORRIS: That's okay. That is why we're putting it up on the screen, and we will put it in the chat room. It is already in there, actually. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Yeah, I think we	
17objections, are you prepared to testify to the17Q.Mr. Dondero, while we wait to see if18topics that are listed on the page that is up18your counsel has a hard copy, do you recall19on the screen?19reviewing your answer to the plaintiff's20A.Yes.20amended complaint before it was filed?21MR. MORRIS: Okay. Can we please21A.I don't know if I was involved at22put up Exhibit 31.22that juncture.23(Exhibit 31 marked.)23Q.All right. So just to refresh your24Q.Mr. Dondero, we're putting up on the24recollection, this is a document that was filed	 8 HCRE. You're here today to testify on behalf 9 of HCRE as its corporate representative. Do 10 you understand that? 11 A. Yes. 12 Q. And did you review the list of 13 topics that we included in our 30(b)(6) notice 14 for HCRE? 	8 9 10 11 12 13 14	on. MR. MORRIS: That's okay. That is why we're putting it up on the screen, and we will put it in the chat room. It is already in there, actually. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Yeah, I think we have it here. Hold on. I think Nancy	
18topics that are listed on the page that is up18your counsel has a hard copy, do you recall19on the screen?19reviewing your answer to the plaintiff's20A. Yes.20amended complaint before it was filed?21MR. MORRIS: Okay. Can we please21A. I don't know if I was involved at22put up Exhibit 31.22that juncture.23(Exhibit 31 marked.)23Q. All right. So just to refresh your24Q. Mr. Dondero, we're putting up on the24recollection, this is a document that was filed	 8 HCRE. You're here today to testify on behalf 9 of HCRE as its corporate representative. Do 10 you understand that? 11 A. Yes. 12 Q. And did you review the list of 13 topics that we included in our 30(b)(6) notice 14 for HCRE? 15 A. Yes. 	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	on. MR. MORRIS: That's okay. That is why we're putting it up on the screen, and we will put it in the chat room. It is already in there, actually. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Yeah, I think we have it here. Hold on. I think Nancy walked off with the duplicate of this, so	
19 on the screen?19 reviewing your answer to the plaintiff's20 A. Yes.20 amended complaint before it was filed?21 MR. MORRIS: Okay. Can we please21 A. I don't know if I was involved at22 put up Exhibit 31.22 that juncture.23 (Exhibit 31 marked.)23 Q. All right. So just to refresh your24 Q. Mr. Dondero, we're putting up on the24 recollection, this is a document that was filed	 8 HCRE. You're here today to testify on behalf 9 of HCRE as its corporate representative. Do 10 you understand that? 11 A. Yes. 12 Q. And did you review the list of 13 topics that we included in our 30(b)(6) notice 14 for HCRE? 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. And subject to your counsel's 	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	on. MR. MORRIS: That's okay. That is why we're putting it up on the screen, and we will put it in the chat room. It is already in there, actually. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Yeah, I think we have it here. Hold on. I think Nancy walked off with the duplicate of this, so if you need it, I will hand it to you.	
20A. Yes.20amended complaint before it was filed?21MR. MORRIS: Okay. Can we please21A. I don't know if I was involved at22put up Exhibit 31.22that juncture.23(Exhibit 31 marked.)23Q. All right. So just to refresh your24Q. Mr. Dondero, we're putting up on the24recollection, this is a document that was filed	 8 HCRE. You're here today to testify on behalf 9 of HCRE as its corporate representative. Do 10 you understand that? 11 A. Yes. 12 Q. And did you review the list of 13 topics that we included in our 30(b)(6) notice 14 for HCRE? 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. And subject to your counsel's 17 objections, are you prepared to testify to the 	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	on. MR. MORRIS: That's okay. That is why we're putting it up on the screen, and we will put it in the chat room. It is already in there, actually. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Yeah, I think we have it here. Hold on. I think Nancy walked off with the duplicate of this, so if you need it, I will hand it to you. Q. Mr. Dondero, while we wait to see if	
21MR. MORRIS: Okay. Can we please21A. I don't know if I was involved at22put up Exhibit 31.22that juncture.23(Exhibit 31 marked.)23Q. All right. So just to refresh your24Q. Mr. Dondero, we're putting up on the24recollection, this is a document that was filed	 8 HCRE. You're here today to testify on behalf 9 of HCRE as its corporate representative. Do 10 you understand that? 11 A. Yes. 12 Q. And did you review the list of 13 topics that we included in our 30(b)(6) notice 14 for HCRE? 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. And subject to your counsel's 17 objections, are you prepared to testify to the 18 topics that are listed on the page that is up 	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	on. MR. MORRIS: That's okay. That is why we're putting it up on the screen, and we will put it in the chat room. It is already in there, actually. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Yeah, I think we have it here. Hold on. I think Nancy walked off with the duplicate of this, so if you need it, I will hand it to you. Q. Mr. Dondero, while we wait to see if your counsel has a hard copy, do you recall	
22put up Exhibit 31.22that juncture.23(Exhibit 31 marked.)23Q. All right. So just to refresh your24Q. Mr. Dondero, we're putting up on the24recollection, this is a document that was filed	 8 HCRE. You're here today to testify on behalf 9 of HCRE as its corporate representative. Do 10 you understand that? 11 A. Yes. 12 Q. And did you review the list of 13 topics that we included in our 30(b)(6) notice 14 for HCRE? 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. And subject to your counsel's 17 objections, are you prepared to testify to the 18 topics that are listed on the page that is up 19 on the screen? 	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	on. MR. MORRIS: That's okay. That is why we're putting it up on the screen, and we will put it in the chat room. It is already in there, actually. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Yeah, I think we have it here. Hold on. I think Nancy walked off with the duplicate of this, so if you need it, I will hand it to you. Q. Mr. Dondero, while we wait to see if your counsel has a hard copy, do you recall reviewing your answer to the plaintiff's	
23(Exhibit 31 marked.)23Q. All right. So just to refresh your24Q. Mr. Dondero, we're putting up on the23Q. All right. So just to refresh your2424recollection, this is a document that was filed	 8 HCRE. You're here today to testify on behalf 9 of HCRE as its corporate representative. Do 10 you understand that? 11 A. Yes. 12 Q. And did you review the list of 13 topics that we included in our 30(b)(6) notice 14 for HCRE? 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. And subject to your counsel's 17 objections, are you prepared to testify to the 18 topics that are listed on the page that is up 19 on the screen? 20 A. Yes. 	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	on. MR. MORRIS: That's okay. That is why we're putting it up on the screen, and we will put it in the chat room. It is already in there, actually. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Yeah, I think we have it here. Hold on. I think Nancy walked off with the duplicate of this, so if you need it, I will hand it to you. Q. Mr. Dondero, while we wait to see if your counsel has a hard copy, do you recall reviewing your answer to the plaintiff's amended complaint before it was filed?	
24Q.Mr. Dondero, we're putting up on the24recollection, this is a document that was filed	 8 HCRE. You're here today to testify on behalf 9 of HCRE as its corporate representative. Do 10 you understand that? 11 A. Yes. 12 Q. And did you review the list of 13 topics that we included in our 30(b)(6) notice 14 for HCRE? 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. And subject to your counsel's 17 objections, are you prepared to testify to the 18 topics that are listed on the page that is up 19 on the screen? 20 A. Yes. 21 MR. MORRIS: Okay. Can we please 	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	on. MR. MORRIS: That's okay. That is why we're putting it up on the screen, and we will put it in the chat room. It is already in there, actually. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Yeah, I think we have it here. Hold on. I think Nancy walked off with the duplicate of this, so if you need it, I will hand it to you. Q. Mr. Dondero, while we wait to see if your counsel has a hard copy, do you recall reviewing your answer to the plaintiff's amended complaint before it was filed? A. I don't know if I was involved at	
	 8 HCRE. You're here today to testify on behalf 9 of HCRE as its corporate representative. Do 10 you understand that? 11 A. Yes. 12 Q. And did you review the list of 13 topics that we included in our 30(b)(6) notice 14 for HCRE? 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. And subject to your counsel's 17 objections, are you prepared to testify to the 18 topics that are listed on the page that is up 19 on the screen? 20 A. Yes. 21 MR. MORRIS: Okay. Can we please 22 put up Exhibit 31. 	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	on. MR. MORRIS: That's okay. That is why we're putting it up on the screen, and we will put it in the chat room. It is already in there, actually. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Yeah, I think we have it here. Hold on. I think Nancy walked off with the duplicate of this, so if you need it, I will hand it to you. Q. Mr. Dondero, while we wait to see if your counsel has a hard copy, do you recall reviewing your answer to the plaintiff's amended complaint before it was filed? A. I don't know if I was involved at that juncture.	
25 screen now your answer to the – to Highland's 25 with the Court at the beginning of September.	 8 HCRE. You're here today to testify on behalf 9 of HCRE as its corporate representative. Do 10 you understand that? 11 A. Yes. 12 Q. And did you review the list of 13 topics that we included in our 30(b)(6) notice 14 for HCRE? 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. And subject to your counsel's 17 objections, are you prepared to testify to the 18 topics that are listed on the page that is up 19 on the screen? 20 A. Yes. 21 MR. MORRIS: Okay. Can we please 22 put up Exhibit 31. 23 (Exhibit 31 marked.) 	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	on. MR. MORRIS: That's okay. That is why we're putting it up on the screen, and we will put it in the chat room. It is already in there, actually. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Yeah, I think we have it here. Hold on. I think Nancy walked off with the duplicate of this, so if you need it, I will hand it to you. Q. Mr. Dondero, while we wait to see if your counsel has a hard copy, do you recall reviewing your answer to the plaintiff's amended complaint before it was filed? A. I don't know if I was involved at that juncture. Q. All right. So just to refresh your	
	 8 HCRE. You're here today to testify on behalf 9 of HCRE as its corporate representative. Do 10 you understand that? 11 A. Yes. 12 Q. And did you review the list of 13 topics that we included in our 30(b)(6) notice 14 for HCRE? 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. And subject to your counsel's 17 objections, are you prepared to testify to the 18 topics that are listed on the page that is up 19 on the screen? 20 A. Yes. 21 MR. MORRIS: Okay. Can we please 22 put up Exhibit 31. 23 (Exhibit 31 marked.) 24 Q. Mr. Dondero, we're putting up on the 	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	on. MR. MORRIS: That's okay. That is why we're putting it up on the screen, and we will put it in the chat room. It is already in there, actually. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Yeah, I think we have it here. Hold on. I think Nancy walked off with the duplicate of this, so if you need it, I will hand it to you. Q. Mr. Dondero, while we wait to see if your counsel has a hard copy, do you recall reviewing your answer to the plaintiff's amended complaint before it was filed? A. I don't know if I was involved at that juncture. Q. All right. So just to refresh your recollection, this is a document that was filed	

Caase210600655gjpDoc148634Fifedc012061221 Elateredc012061221184187432 Page725 of 899

Page 356	Page 3
1 DONDERO - 10/29/21	1 DONDERO - 10/29/21
2 If you recall, Highland filed an original	2 Q. So – so let me ask the question
3 complaint, and after you amended your answer	3 again then: Do you recall, with that
4 late in August pursuant to an agreement,	4 background, having reviewed and approved the
5 Highland filed amended complaints against	5 filing of this document at the beginning of
6 certain of the obligors in the notes	6 September 2021?
7 litigation.	7 A. Generally.
8 Does that refresh your recollection	8 Q. Okay. As you sit here today, are
9 that this document was prepared in early	9 you aware of anything in this document that is
10 September?	10 inaccurate?
11 A. Okay.	11 A. Not that I'm aware of.
12 Q. Okay.	12 Q. Okay. Are you aware of anything in
13 A. I don't have specific memory.	13 the document that you believe should be
14 Q. Okay. So as always, Mr. Dondero, we	14 modified or amended to make it more complete or
15 have done this many times before, if there is	15 more accurate?
16 anything in the document that you think that	16 A. Not as of this moment.
17 you need to see because it is a little bit of a	17 Q. Okay. Can we please go to Paragraph
18 lengthy document, will you let me know that?	18 83. Okay. Right there.
19 A. Sure.	19 So do you see that on on page 13
20 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Yeah. And we	20 of the exhibit, we have in Paragraphs 82
21 have a copy if you need to stop and take a	21 through 91 what are called your affirmative
22 look. We did get a hard copy. We have a	22 defenses?
23 hard copy here.	23 A. Yes.
24 Q. Okay.	24 Q. All right. I'm going to skip the
25 A. All right.	25 one in 82 for the moment, but focusing on 83.
Page 358	Page 3
1 DONDERO - 10/29/21	1 DONDERO - 10/29/21
2 Can you just read that to yourself and tell me	2 share with me that concern that particular
3 when you have done that?	3 affirmative defense?
4 A. Yes.	4 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: And, again, just
5 Q. Are you aware of any facts that	 5 in this particular answer. 6 MR. MORRIS: That is all I'm asking
6 concern this particular affirmative defense?	
7 A. Which notes are these again?	7 about.
8 Q. These would be your personal notes.	8 Q. We're going to go through the answer9 for each one of them. So just one at a time.
9 A. The personal notes. I'm trying 10 to remember. No, I – well, if you read the	9 for each one of them. So just one at a time.10 We're only talking about your your notes.
	11 A. No, not the moment.
 11 question one more time. 12 Q. Sure. Just so – so to make sure 	12 Q. Let's go to Paragraph 84.
13 that you understand, because I'm not here to	12 Q. Let's go to Paragraph 64. 13 Do you see Paragraph 84 states,
14 trick you, this is your answer to Highland's15 complaint against you where Highland is trying	14 among other things, that plaintiff's claims are15 barred, in whole or in part, due to estoppel?
16 to recover on the notes that you signed.	16 A. Yes.
17 Do you understand that?	17 Q. Can you share with me any facts that
18 A. Right.	18 you are aware of that concern that particular
19 Q. Okay. So in Paragraph 83 you have	19 affirmative defense?
20 asserted an affirmative defense that the	20 A. No.
21 plaintiff's claims are barred in whole or in	20 A. No. 21 Q. Okay. I'm going to skip over 85
22 part due to waiver.	22 because I've gotten that answer elsewhere. If
23 Do you see that?	23 we can go to 86, do you see that Paragraph 86
24 A. Yes.	23 we can go to 80, do you see that ratagraph so24 asserts as an affirmative defense, among other
24 A. Tes. 25 Q. Do you have any facts that you can	25 things, that, quote: Plaintiff's claims may be

Caae 22106006559jpDoc148634FHed 012061221 Elatered 012061221184187432 Plage 220 of 899

Page 360	Р	age 361
1 DONDERO - 10/29/21	1 DONDERO - 10/29/21	age ee.
2 barred, in whole or in part, due to failure of	2 A. Let me read that one more time.	
3 consideration, closed quote?	3 Q. Take your time.	
4 A. Right, I see that.	4 A. I think that one is – I'm trying –	
5 Q. Do you – do you – do you	5 I'm trying to remember if that one – if the	
6 acknowledge that Highland transferred to you an	6 partner defense is on alternative comp that	
7 amount of money equal to the principal amount	7 could have been taken or forgiveness that was	
8 on each of the notes that are at issue?	8 in lieu of other comp – I'm trying to remember	
9 A. I believe yes.	9 if that falls under this category. I think it	
10 Q. Okay. I appreciate that.	10 does.	
11 Do you have any facts that would	11 Q. Okay. Is there anything else that	
12 support the affirmative defense that is set	12 you can – any other facts that you can think	
13 forth in Paragraph 86?	13 of that concern the affirmative defense in	
14 A. No.	14 Paragraph 88?	
15 Q. Okay. And then, finally,	15 A. I mean, the – yes. Okay. To the	
16 Paragraph 88 asserts, among other things, that	16 extent that the – in lieu of additional comp	
17 the fraudulent transfer claim should be barred,	17 falls under there, so does the incentives to	
18 in whole or in part, because the alleged	18 the incentive to me to help monetize illiquid	
19 fraudulent transfer – and I'm summarizing	19 investments better faster.	
20 here – was taken in good faith and for	20 Q. And does that relate to the three	
21 reasonably equivalent value.	21 portfolio companies that are the subject of the	
22 Do you see that?	22 oral agreement between you and your sister or	
23 A. Yes.	23 to something else?	
Q. Okay. Do you have any facts that	24 A. Itis-	
25 concern that particular affirmative defense?	25 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection, form.	
Dage 262	-	age 363
Page 362		aye 505
1 DONDERO - 10/29/21	1 DONDERO - 10/29/21	age 505
1DONDERO - 10/29/212A regarding that, yeah.	1DONDERO - 10/29/212Q.Okay. So these questions I'm asking	age 505
1DONDERO - 10/29/212A regarding that, yeah.3Q. It is the same thing. Do I have	1DONDERO - 10/29/212Q.Okay. So these questions I'm asking3in your capacity as HCMS' 30(b)(6) witness.	aye 303
 DONDERO - 10/29/21 A regarding that, yeah. Q. It is the same thing. Do I have 4 that right? 	 DONDERO - 10/29/21 Q. Okay. So these questions I'm asking in your capacity as HCMS' 30(b)(6) witness. Okay? 	aye 303
1DONDERO - 10/29/212A regarding that, yeah.3Q. It is the same thing. Do I have4that right?5A. Yes.	 DONDERO - 10/29/21 Q. Okay. So these questions I'm asking in your capacity as HCMS' 30(b)(6) witness. Okay? A. Okay. 	aye sos
1DONDERO - 10/29/212A regarding that, yeah.3Q. It is the same thing. Do I have4that right?5A. Yes.6Q. Okay. Thank you very much.	 DONDERO - 10/29/21 Q. Okay. So these questions I'm asking in your capacity as HCMS' 30(b)(6) witness. Okay? A. Okay. Q. And you recall that one of the 	aye sos
1 DONDERO - 10/29/21 2 A regarding that, yeah. 3 Q. It is the same thing. Do I have 4 that right? 5 A. Yes. 6 Q. Okay. Thank you very much. 7 Is there anything else you can share	 DONDERO - 10/29/21 Q. Okay. So these questions I'm asking in your capacity as HCMS' 30(b)(6) witness. Okay? A. Okay. Q. And you recall that one of the 7 topics under the deposition notice was HCMS' 	aye sos
 DONDERO - 10/29/21 A. – regarding that, yeah. Q. It is the same thing. Do I have that right? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Thank you very much. Is there anything else you can share with me about the facts that concern the 	 DONDERO - 10/29/21 Q. Okay. So these questions I'm asking in your capacity as HCMS' 30(b)(6) witness. Okay? A. Okay. Q. And you recall that one of the topics under the deposition notice was HCMS' answer; right? 	aye sos
1 DONDERO - 10/29/21 2 A regarding that, yeah. 3 Q. It is the same thing. Do I have 4 that right? 5 A. Yes. 6 Q. Okay. Thank you very much. 7 Is there anything else you can share 8 with me about the facts that concern the 9 affirmative defense in Paragraph 88?	 DONDERO - 10/29/21 Q. Okay. So these questions I'm asking in your capacity as HCMS' 30(b)(6) witness. Okay? A. Okay. Q. And you recall that one of the 7 topics under the deposition notice was HCMS' 	aye sos
1 DONDERO - 10/29/21 2 A regarding that, yeah. 3 Q. It is the same thing. Do I have 4 that right? 5 A. Yes. 6 Q. Okay. Thank you very much. 7 Is there anything else you can share 8 with me about the facts that concern the 9 affirmative defense in Paragraph 88? 10 A. I think that is that is that	 DONDERO - 10/29/21 Q. Okay. So these questions I'm asking in your capacity as HCMS' 30(b)(6) witness. Okay? A. Okay. Q. And you recall that one of the topics under the deposition notice was HCMS' answer; right? Are you prepared to answer questions about this document? 	aye sos
1 DONDERO - 10/29/21 2 A. – regarding that, yeah. 3 Q. It is the same thing. Do I have 4 that right? 5 A. Yes. 6 Q. Okay. Thank you very much. 7 Is there anything else you can share 8 with me about the facts that concern the 9 affirmative defense in Paragraph 88? 10 A. I think that is – that is – that 11 is it.	 DONDERO - 10/29/21 Q. Okay. So these questions I'm asking in your capacity as HCMS' 30(b)(6) witness. Okay? A. Okay. Q. And you recall that one of the topics under the deposition notice was HCMS' answer; right? Are you prepared to answer questions about this document? A. Yep, to the best I can. 	aye sos
1 DONDERO - 10/29/21 2 A regarding that, yeah. 3 Q. It is the same thing. Do I have 4 that right? 5 A. Yes. 6 Q. Okay. Thank you very much. 7 Is there anything else you can share 8 with me about the facts that concern the 9 affirmative defense in Paragraph 88? 10 A. I think that is that is that 11 is it. 12 Q. Okay. Can we change now to	 DONDERO - 10/29/21 Q. Okay. So these questions I'm asking in your capacity as HCMS' 30(b)(6) witness. Okay? A. Okay. Q. And you recall that one of the topics under the deposition notice was HCMS' answer; right? Are you prepared to answer questions about this document? 	aye sos
1 DONDERO - 10/29/21 2 A. – regarding that, yeah. 3 Q. It is the same thing. Do I have 4 that right? 5 A. Yes. 6 Q. Okay. Thank you very much. 7 Is there anything else you can share 8 with me about the facts that concern the 9 affirmative defense in Paragraph 88? 10 A. I think that is – that is – that 11 is it.	 DONDERO - 10/29/21 Q. Okay. So these questions I'm asking in your capacity as HCMS' 30(b)(6) witness. Okay? A. Okay. Q. And you recall that one of the topics under the deposition notice was HCMS' answer; right? Are you prepared to answer questions about this document? A. Yep, to the best I can. Q. Okay. Have you seen it before? A. Yes. 	aye sos
 DONDERO - 10/29/21 A regarding that, yeah. Q. It is the same thing. Do I have that right? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Thank you very much. Is there anything else you can share with me about the facts that concern the affirmative defense in Paragraph 88? A. I think that is - that is - that is it. Q. Okay. Can we change now to Exhibit 16, which you should have in your pile, which is the answer that was filed by the HCMS 	 DONDERO - 10/29/21 Q. Okay. So these questions I'm asking in your capacity as HCMS' 30(b)(6) witness. Okay? A. Okay. Q. And you recall that one of the topics under the deposition notice was HCMS' answer; right? Are you prepared to answer questions about this document? A. Yep, to the best I can. Q. Okay. Have you seen it before? A. Yes. 	aye sos
 DONDERO - 10/29/21 A regarding that, yeah. Q. It is the same thing. Do I have that right? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Thank you very much. Is there anything else you can share with me about the facts that concern the affirmative defense in Paragraph 88? A. I think that is that is that is it. Q. Okay. Can we change now to Exhibit 16, which you should have in your pile, which is the answer that was filed by the HCMS 	 DONDERO - 10/29/21 Q. Okay. So these questions I'm asking in your capacity as HCMS' 30(b)(6) witness. Okay? A. Okay. Q. And you recall that one of the topics under the deposition notice was HCMS' answer; right? Are you prepared to answer questions about this document? A. Yep, to the best I can. Q. Okay. Have you seen it before? A. Yes. Q. And do you know whether HCMS 	aye sos
 DONDERO - 10/29/21 A regarding that, yeah. Q. It is the same thing. Do I have that right? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Thank you very much. Is there anything else you can share with me about the facts that concern the affirmative defense in Paragraph 88? A. I think that is that is that is it. Q. Okay. Can we change now to Exhibit 16, which you should have in your pile, which is the answer that was filed by the HCMS to Highland's amended complaint. 	 DONDERO - 10/29/21 Q. Okay. So these questions I'm asking in your capacity as HCMS' 30(b)(6) witness. Okay? A. Okay. Q. And you recall that one of the topics under the deposition notice was HCMS' answer; right? Are you prepared to answer questions about this document? A. Yep, to the best I can. Q. Okay. Have you seen it before? A. Yes. Q. And do you know whether HCMS authorized this Stinson firm to file this 	aye sos
1 DONDERO - 10/29/21 2 A regarding that, yeah. 3 Q. It is the same thing. Do I have 4 that right? 5 A. Yes. 6 Q. Okay. Thank you very much. 7 Is there anything else you can share 8 with me about the facts that concern the 9 affirmative defense in Paragraph 88? 10 A. I think that is that is that 11 is it. 12 Q. Okay. Can we change now to 13 Exhibit 16, which you should have in your pile, 14 which is the answer that was filed by the HCMS 15 to Highland's amended complaint. 16 (Exhibit 16 marked.)	 DONDERO - 10/29/21 Q. Okay. So these questions I'm asking in your capacity as HCMS' 30(b)(6) witness. Okay? A. Okay. Q. And you recall that one of the topics under the deposition notice was HCMS' answer; right? Are you prepared to answer questions about this document? A. Yep, to the best I can. Q. Okay. Have you seen it before? A. Yes. Q. And do you know whether HCMS authorized this Stinson firm to file this document on its behalf at the beginning of 	aye sos
1 DONDERO - 10/29/21 2 A regarding that, yeah. 3 Q. It is the same thing. Do I have 4 that right? 5 A. Yes. 6 Q. Okay. Thank you very much. 7 Is there anything else you can share 8 with me about the facts that concern the 9 affirmative defense in Paragraph 88? 10 A. I think that is - that is - that 11 is it. 12 Q. Okay. Can we change now to 13 Exhibit 16, which you should have in your pile, 14 which is the answer that was filed by the HCMS 15 to Highland's amended complaint. 16 (Exhibit 16 marked.) 17 A. Which number is this?	 DONDERO - 10/29/21 Q. Okay. So these questions I'm asking in your capacity as HCMS' 30(b)(6) witness. Okay? A. Okay. Q. And you recall that one of the topics under the deposition notice was HCMS' answer; right? Are you prepared to answer questions about this document? A. Yep, to the best I can. Q. Okay. Have you seen it before? A. Yes. Q. And do you know whether HCMS authorized this Stinson firm to file this document on its behalf at the beginning of 2021? 	aye sos
1 DONDERO - 10/29/21 2 A regarding that, yeah. 3 Q. It is the same thing. Do I have 4 that right? 5 A. Yes. 6 Q. Okay. Thank you very much. 7 Is there anything else you can share 8 with me about the facts that concern the 9 affirmative defense in Paragraph 88? 10 A. I think that is - that is - that 11 is it. 12 Q. Okay. Can we change now to 13 Exhibit 16, which you should have in your pile, 14 which is the answer that was filed by the HCMS 15 to Highland's amended complaint. 16 (Exhibit 16 marked.) 17 A. Which number is this? 18 Q. It is number 16.	1DONDERO - 10/29/212Q. Okay. So these questions I'm asking3in your capacity as HCMS' 30(b)(6) witness.4Okay?5A. Okay.6Q. And you recall that one of the7topics under the deposition notice was HCMS'8answer; right?9Are you prepared to answer questions10about this document?11A. Yep, to the best I can.12Q. Okay. Have you seen it before?13A. Yes.14Q. And do you know whether HCMS15authorized this Stinson firm to file this16document on its behalf at the beginning of172021?18A. Yes.	aye sos
1 DONDERO - 10/29/21 2 A regarding that, yeah. 3 Q. It is the same thing. Do I have 4 that right? 5 A. Yes. 6 Q. Okay. Thank you very much. 7 Is there anything else you can share 8 with me about the facts that concern the 9 affirmative defense in Paragraph 88? 10 A. I think that is that is that 11 is it. 12 Q. Okay. Can we change now to 13 Exhibit 16, which you should have in your pile, 14 which is the answer that was filed by the HCMS 15 to Highland's amended complaint. 16 (Exhibit 16 marked.) 17 A. Which number is this? 18 Q. It is number 16. 19 A. 16 in the binder?	1DONDERO - 10/29/212Q. Okay. So these questions I'm asking3in your capacity as HCMS' 30(b)(6) witness.4Okay?5A. Okay.6Q. And you recall that one of the7topics under the deposition notice was HCMS'8answer; right?9Are you prepared to answer questions10about this document?11A. Yep, to the best I can.12Q. Okay. Have you seen it before?13A. Yes.14Q. And do you know whether HCMS15authorized this Stinson firm to file this16document on its behalf at the beginning of172021?18A. Yes.19Q. Did you personally have any role in	aye sos
1 DONDERO - 10/29/21 2 A regarding that, yeah. 3 Q. It is the same thing. Do I have 4 that right? 5 A. Yes. 6 Q. Okay. Thank you very much. 7 Is there anything else you can share 8 with me about the facts that concern the 9 affirmative defense in Paragraph 88? 10 A. I think that is - that is - that 11 is it. 12 Q. Okay. Can we change now to 13 Exhibit 16, which you should have in your pile, 14 which is the answer that was filed by the HCMS 15 to Highland's amended complaint. 16 (Exhibit 16 marked.) 17 A. Which number is this? 18 Q. It is number 16. 19 A. 16 in the binder? 20 Q. It should be, yeah.	1 DONDERO - 10/29/21 2 Q. Okay. So these questions I'm asking 3 in your capacity as HCMS' 30(b)(6) witness. 4 Okay? 5 A. Okay. 6 Q. And you recall that one of the 7 topics under the deposition notice was HCMS' 8 answer; right? 9 Are you prepared to answer questions 10 about this document? 11 A. Yep, to the best I can. 12 Q. Okay. Have you seen it before? 13 A. Yes. 14 Q. And do you know whether HCMS 15 authorized this Stinson firm to file this 16 document on its behalf at the beginning of 17 2021? 18 A. Yes. 19 Q. Did you personally have any role in 20 reviewing and preparing this document?	aye sos
1 DONDERO - 10/29/21 2 A regarding that, yeah. 3 Q. It is the same thing. Do I have 4 that right? 5 A. Yes. 6 Q. Okay. Thank you very much. 7 Is there anything else you can share 8 with me about the facts that concern the 9 affirmative defense in Paragraph 88? 10 A. I think that is - that is - that 11 is it. 12 Q. Okay. Can we change now to 13 Exhibit 16, which you should have in your pile, 14 which is the answer that was filed by the HCMS 15 to Highland's amended complaint. 16 (Exhibit 16 marked.) 17 A. Which number is this? 18 Q. It is number 16. 19 A. 16 in the binder? 20 Q. It should be, yeah. 21 A. Yes. Okay. I got it.	1DONDERO - 10/29/212Q. Okay. So these questions I'm asking3in your capacity as HCMS' 30(b)(6) witness.4Okay?5A. Okay.6Q. And you recall that one of the7topics under the deposition notice was HCMS'8answer; right?9Are you prepared to answer questions10about this document?11A. Yep, to the best I can.12Q. Okay. Have you seen it before?13A. Yes.14Q. And do you know whether HCMS15authorized this Stinson firm to file this16document on its behalf at the beginning of172021?18A. Yes.19Q. Did you personally have any role in20reviewing and preparing this document?21A. Imean, just generally that the	aye sos
1 DONDERO - 10/29/21 2 A regarding that, yeah. 3 Q. It is the same thing. Do I have 4 that right? 5 A. Yes. 6 Q. Okay. Thank you very much. 7 Is there anything else you can share 8 with me about the facts that concern the 9 affirmative defense in Paragraph 88? 10 A. I think that is – that is – that 11 is it. 12 Q. Okay. Can we change now to 13 Exhibit 16, which you should have in your pile, 14 which is the answer that was filed by the HCMS 15 to Highland's amended complaint. 16 (Exhibit 16 marked.) 17 A. Which number is this? 18 Q. It is number 16. 19 A. 16 in the binder? 20 Q. It should be, yeah. 21 A. Yes. Okay. I got it. 22 Q. Okay. And is the first page titled	1 DONDERO - 10/29/21 2 Q. Okay. So these questions I'm asking 3 in your capacity as HCMS' 30(b)(6) witness. 4 Okay? 5 A. Okay. 6 Q. And you recall that one of the 7 topics under the deposition notice was HCMS' 8 answer; right? 9 Are you prepared to answer questions 10 about this document? 11 A. Yep, to the best I can. 12 Q. Okay. Have you seen it before? 13 A. Yes. 14 Q. And do you know whether HCMS 15 authorized this Stinson firm to file this 16 document on its behalf at the beginning of 17 2021? 18 A. Yes. 19 Q. Did you personally have any role in 20 reviewing and preparing this document? 21 A. I mean, just generally that the 22 transition of former Judge Lynn passing and	aye sos
1 DONDERO - 10/29/21 2 A regarding that, yeah. 3 Q. It is the same thing. Do I have 4 that right? 5 A. Yes. 6 Q. Okay. Thank you very much. 7 Is there anything else you can share 8 with me about the facts that concern the 9 affirmative defense in Paragraph 88? 10 A. I think that is – that is – that 11 is it. 12 Q. Okay. Can we change now to 13 Exhibit 16, which you should have in your pile, 14 which is the answer that was filed by the HCMS 15 to Highland's amended complaint. 16 (Exhibit 16 marked.) 17 A. Which number is this? 18 Q. It is number 16. 19 A. 16 in the binder? 20 Q. It should be, yeah. 21 A. Yes. Okay. I got it. 22 Q. Okay. And is the first page titled 23 Defendant, Highland Capital Management	1 DONDERO - 10/29/21 2 Q. Okay. So these questions I'm asking 3 in your capacity as HCMS' 30(b)(6) witness. 4 Okay? 5 A. Okay. 6 Q. And you recall that one of the 7 topics under the deposition notice was HCMS' 8 answer; right? 9 Are you prepared to answer questions 10 about this document? 11 A. Yep, to the best I can. 12 Q. Okay. Have you seen it before? 13 A. Yes. 14 Q. And do you know whether HCMS 15 authorized this Stinson firm to file this 16 document on its behalf at the beginning of 17 2021? 18 A. Yes. 19 Q. Did you personally have any role in 20 Did you personally have any role in 21 A. I mean, just generally that the 22 transition of former Judge Lynn passing and 23 Bonds Ellis not being able to handle	aye sos

Caase210600655gjpDoc148634Fifedc012061221 Elateredc012061221184187432 Page723 of 899

I DONDERO - 10232/1 Fund 2 andro - Hink traves - yeak, just J 2 Q. Let's go to Paragraph 40 – 94, 3 whatever. He moved to Simon from - I hink 3 please. 4 maybe t started all Bonds Elis and them maybe 4 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ. We may be 5 Simon, but, you know, there was a migration 6 A. Yes. 9 was charged with the responsibility of 9 Q. Okay, Iwas just going to say, do 10 approving and unbriang the fling of this 10 you see fum Paragraph 34 through 102 HCMS has 11 document to behalf of HCMS? 11 set of this adfiniative deferses? 12 A. Let a situation prevent from of this 113 Q. Okay, Let's – let's start with the 14 Q. Okay, Let's – let's start with the 11 Seamyself. 15 this document to behalf of HCMS? 11 starts this quote in paragraph 94 HCMS 16 Q. Okay, Let's – let's start with the 13 Q. Okay, Let's – let's start with the 16 Q. Arey you aware of anything generally 19 bareat in the outone on the tot's outhered's the out of anything the man	Page 364	1		Page 365
s yutawer, He movedti Shinon from – think 3 please. 4 maybe t stanted at Bonds Ellis and them maybe 4 MS. DETISCH-PEREZ: We may be 5 Sinon, but, you know, there was a migration 6 A. Yes. 6 A. Yes. 8 A. Yes. 9 was charged with the responsibility of 9 0. Okay. 10 approving and athrbriding the filing of this 10 you see from Paragraph S4 Honogh 102 HOMS has 11 accument on behalf of HOMS? 11 action the attimutue defenses? 12 A. Like Said, think generally that 13 O. Okay. Lefs – left start with the 14 Q. Okay. Are you aware of anything in 14 first oncument today that is inaccurate in any way? 15 bo you see in Paragraph S4 HOMS 10 Jo uses et in Paragraph S4 HOMS 16 way? 16 assetts that, quote: Plainiffs chains are 17 16 way? 19 A. Yes. 20 Q. we you aware of anything in this 17 A. Not specifically. 17 Danytat the bis inaccurate in any way? 19			DONDERO - 10/29/21	i uge ooo
4 maybe it started at Bonds Ellis and then maybe 4 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: We may be 5 itsent to Wick Philips and then it went to 5 imperfect creatures as lawyers. 6 Sinson, but, you know, there was a migration 6 A Yes. 7 Chase notes in general. 7 O. Okay. I was just going to say, do 10 approving and authoring the fling of this 10 you see from Panagraph 94 Hough 102 HOUS has 11 document on behalf of HONS? 11 satisfinitiation defense? 12 A. Like I sadi, I think generally that 12 A. Yes. 13 was myself. 13 G. Okay. Are you aware of anything in 14 first document not basis inaccurate in any 15 Do you see in Panagraph 94 HONS 14 mstore complete or more 20 A. Rot specifically. 17 Danagli the document of 13 Q. Are you aware of anything in this 21 O. way aware of anything in this 21 O. way you aware of anything in this 14 Do colay. Are you aware of anything in this 21 O are you aware of anything in this 21 Concent that particular information 20 A. Nota the momen	2 and/or – I think it was – yeah, just	2	Q. Let's go to Paragraph 40 – 94,	
5 it went to Wick Philips and then it went to 5 imperfect creatures as lawyers. 6 Silmaon, but, you know, there was a migration 6 A. Yes. 7 Q. Okay. 8 A. Yes. 8 Q. Was here a particular person who 8 A. Yes. 9 Q. Okay. 11 set forth Saffmahve defenses? 11 document on behalf of HCMS? 11 set forth Saffmahve defenses? 12 A. Life isaid, think generally that 12 A. Yes. 13 was myself. 13 Q. Okay. News just poing to say, do 14 Q. Okay. Aey you awer of anything in this 12 A. Yes. 15 this document that is inaccurate in any way? 16 search stat, quote. Planitiffs claims are 17 A. Not specifically. 17 Tarred, number of napt type doctime of 18 16 way? 19 A. Yes. 20 A. Not specifically. 19 13 O. Are you aware of anything in this 21 Coursent that you belve schuld be modified or 23 24 25 A. Not set 23 anocutare? 24 those are the	3 whatever. He moved to Stinson from – I think	3	please.	
Sincen, bit, you know, three was a migration 6 A. Yes. 7 of these notes in general. 7 Q. Okay. 9 was charged with the responsibility of 9 Q. Okay. Let's intra general intro behalf of HCMS? 11 document on behalf of HCMS? 11 set form Paragraph SM through 102 HCMS has 11 document on behalf of HCMS? 11 set form Paragraph SM through 102 HCMS has 12 A. Like Isad, thrink generally that 12 A. Yes. 13 Q. Okay. Let's - let's start with the 14 14 g. Okay. Jet's out aware of anything in this 15 Do you see in Paragraph 94 HCMS 15 his document today that is inaccurate in any way? 19 A. Not set the moment. 20 Q. Are you aware of anything in this 21 courset in that you believe should be modified or 22 A. Not at the moment. 20 Q. Are you aware of anything in this 21 courset? 24 those are the - they were material and 23 grepsymmets of the loan, which I think 14 DONDERO-10/2021 Paragrame 5 24 those are the - they were material and 24 those are the - they were material and 24 those are the - they were materia	4 maybe it started at Bonds Ellis and then maybe	4	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: We may be	
7 of these notes in general. 7 Q. Okay. 8 Q. Was there a particular person who 8 A Yes. 9 Q. Okay. I was just going to say, do 9 10 approving and authorizing the filing of this 10 you see from Paragraphs 94 through 102 HCMS has 11 document on behalf of HCMS? 11 set forth is affirmative defenses? 13 vas smyself. 13 Q. Okay. Levis start with the 14 Q. Okay. Arey ou aware of anything in 14 fits document today that is inaccurate in any 15 this document that is inaccurate in any way? 16 search that, quate. Flarinffit claims are 17 A. Not specifically. 17 Tarred, in whole ori napt ty by the doctine of 16 vay? 19 hits document that is inaccurate in any way? 19 19 in this document that is inaccurate in any way? 19 A. Yes. 0. Are you aware of anything in this 21 document that you believe should be modified or 21 A. Not specifically. 11 believe this "Hey were material 22 A. Not specifically. 12 Downer or anguate of any facts that 22 A. Deleve	5 it went to Wick Phillips and then it went to	5	imperfect creatures as lawyers.	
8 A. Yes. 9 was there apprixed preson who 8 A. Yes. 9 was charged with the responsibility of 9 Q. Okay. I was judgoing to say, do 10 opproving and authorizing the filing of this 10 you see from Paragraphe 94 through 102 HCMS has 11 document on behaf of HCMS? 11 set forth is atfirmative defenses? 12 A. Uke stadi, think generally that 12 A. Yes. 13 was myself. 13 Q. Okay. Let's – let's start with the 14 Q. Okay. Are you aware of anything in this 15 Do you see in Paragraph 94 HCMS 15 this document today that is inaccurate in any way? 16 asserts that, quote. Plaintiffs claims are 17 A Not specifically. 17 bared, in whole or in part, by the doctime of 19 in this document that you believe should be modified or 22 A. Not quot aware of anything in this 21 concern that particular defense? 22 document that you believe should be modified or 22 A. I bole we that is – 24 thoes are the – they were material and 23 annohed to more complete or more 23 ready offsetre this week to put Highlenth o	6 Stinson, but, you know, there was a migration	6	A. Yes.	
9 was charged with the responsibility of 9 Q. Okay. I was just going to say, do 10 approving and authorizing the filing of this 10 you see from Paragraph 94 through 102 HCMS has 11 decument to behalf of HCMS? 11 set form Paragraph 94 HCMS has 12 A. Like I said, I think generally that 12 A. Yes. 13 was myself. 13 Q. Okay. A vey ou aware of anything in 14 14 its to document today that is inaccurate in any 16 assets that, quote: Paintiffs daims are 17 A. Not specifically. 17 barred, in whole or in part, by the doctine of 18 Q. Are you aware of anything generally 18 justification and/or repudation? 19 In this document that is ancourate in any way? 19 A. Yes. 20 A. Not at the moment. 20 Q. Are you aware of anythicing in this 21 Q. Are you aware of anythicing in this 21 concent that you belive whold be modified or 22 document that you belive whold be modified or 22 A. I belive this - hey were material 23 amended to make it more complete or more 23 prepayments of the loan. I believe that is - a 24 accurate? 2 ever did before this week to put Highland on 3	7 of these notes in general.	7	Q. Okay.	
10 approving and authorizing the filing of this 10 you see from Paragraph 94 through 102 HCMS has 11 document on behalf of HCMS? 11 set forth is affitmative defenses? 13 vas myself. 12 A. Lket setail, think generally that 12 A. Vers. 13 vas myself. 13 Q. Okay. Are you aware of anything in 14 first occurrent today that is inaccurate in any 16 way? 16 asserts that, quote: Plaintiffs calms are 17 17 A. Not specifically. 17 bard occurrent that is inaccurate in any way? 19 A. Yes. 19 in this document that is inaccurate in any way? 19 A. Yes. 20 Q. Are you aware of anything in this 21 concern that particular defense? 20 occurrent that you believe should be modified or 22 A. Not get 10 prepayments of the loan. Ublewer that is – 21 A. Not yet. 20 numerous prepayments of the loan. Ublewer that is – 24 those are the – they were material and 23 amended to make it more complete or more 23 prepayments of the loan. Which I think 24 accurate? 24 the accura	8 Q. Was there a particular person who	8	A. Yes.	
11 coursent on behalf of HCMS? 11 set forth its affirmative defenses? 12 A Lke I said, Thirk generally that 12 A Yes. 13 was myself. 13 Q. Okay. Are you aware of anything in 14 first one. 15 this document today that is inaccurate in any 15 bo you see in Paragraph 94 HCMS 16 way? 16 asserts that, quote: Palaitiff's claims are 17 A Net specifically. 17 barred, in whole or in part, by the doctrine of 18 Q. Are you aware of anything in this 12 on Are you aware of anything in this 12 20 A. Not at the moreont. 20 Q. Are you aware of anything in this 12 concomentity aptrolute defense? 22 A. Not at the more complete or more 23 prepayments of the loan. I. believe that is - 24 accurate? 24 those at the - they were material 13 23 amended to make tituoate at the - any 4 page 367 2 vess - that is incorporated into that defense. 2 ever did before this week to put Highland on 3 3 O. Okay. We will tak abo	9 was charged with the responsibility of	9	Q. Okay. I was just going to say, do	
12 A. Like I said, I think generally that 12 A. Yes. 13 was myself. 13 Q. Okay, Lefs-lefs start with the 14 Q. Okay, Are you aware of anything in 14 fits cone. 15 this document today that is inaccurate in any 15 Do you see in Paragraph 94 HCMS 16 way? 16 asserts that, quote: Plaintiffs oblins are 17 A. Not specifically. 17 bared, n whole or in part, by the doctine of 18 Q. Are you aware of anything generally 18 justification and/or repudiation? 19 in this document that is inaccurate in any way? 19 A. Yes. 20 20 A. Not at the moment. 20 Q. Are you aware of any facts that 21 21 Q. Are you aware of any thing in this 21 concern that particular defense? 24 21 document that you believe should be modified or 23 pregaments of the loan. Ibelieve that s - 22 document that you believe should be modified or 23 repayments of the loan. Uselive that s - 24 accurate? 2 to concern that particular defense? 2 24 acoura	10 approving and authorizing the filing of this	10	you see from Paragraphs 94 through 102 HCMS has	
13 was myself. 13 Q. Okay. Lefs - lefs start with the 14 Q. Okay. Are you aware of anything in 14 first one. 15 this document today that is inaccurate in any 15 Do you see in Paragraph 94 HCMS 16 way? 16 asserts that, quote: Plaintiffs claims are 17 A. Not specifically. 17 barred, in whole or in part, by the doctine of 18 Q. Are you aware of anything generally 18 listification and/or repudiation? 19 in this document that is inaccurate in any way? 19 A. Yes. 20 A. Not at the moment. 20 Q. Are you aware of anything in this 21 Q. Are you aware of anything in this 21 concorem that particular defense? 22 A. Not at the moment. 21 DONDERO - 10/29/21 Page 307 22 A. Not yet. 25 numerous prepayments of the loan. Deleven that = 2 23 and that defense. 2 ever did before this weak to put Highland on 3 notice that thoreincours prepayments of the loan. Delevents and 4 page 307 1 DONDERO - 10/29/21 Page 308 1 DONDERO - 1	11 document on behalf of HCMS?	11	set forth its affirmative defenses?	
14 Q. Okay. Are you aware of anything in 14 first one. 15 this document today that is inaccurate in any 15 Do you see in Paragraph 94 HCMS 16 way? 16 assets that, quote: Plaintiffs claims are 17 A Not specifically. 17 barred, in whole or in part, by the doctrine of 18 Q. Are you aware of anything in this 20 Q. Are you aware of anything in this 21 Q. Are you aware of anything in this 21 concern that particular defense? 22 document that you believe should be modified or 22 A. Not yet. 25 22 amended to make it more complete or more 23 prepayments of the loan. Ibelieve that is – 24 accurate? 24 those are the – they were material 2 23 amended to make it more complete or more 23 prepayments of the loan. Which I think 24 accurate? 24 those are the – they were material and 2 24 accurate? 24 those are the – they were material 2 25 A. Not yet. 25 numerous prepayments of the loan. Which I think 2 2 <	12 A. Like I said, I think generally that		A. Yes.	
15 this document today that is inaccurate in any 15 Do you see in Paragraph 94 HCMS 16 way? 16 asserts that, quote: Plantify calms are 17 A. Not specifically. 17 barred, in whole or in part, by the doctine of 18 Q. Are you aware of anything generally 18 justification and/or repudiation? 19 in this document that is inaccurate in any way? 19 A. Yes. 20 A. Not at the moment. 20 Q. Are you aware of anything in this 21 Q. Are you aware of anything in this 21 concern that particular defense? 22 document that you believe should be modified or and amended to make it more complete or more 23 prepayments of the loan. I believe that is – 23 amended to make it more complete or more 23 prepayments of the loan. Use which I think 24 accurate? 24 toses are the – they ware material and 25 24 accurate? 2 ever did before this week to put Highland on 3 once that it conterded that it don't have to 3 Q. Okay. We will taik about the – the 3 notice that it conterded that it don't have to pay its obligatons under the notes because of	13 was myself.		•	
16 way? 16 asserts that, quote: Plaintiffs daims are 17 A. Not specifically. 17 barred, in whole or in part, by the doctine of 18 Q. Are you aware of anything generally 18 justification and/or repudiation? 19 in this document that is inaccurate in any way? 19 A. Yes. 20 A. Not at the moment. 20 Q. Are you aware of any facts that 21 Q. Are you aware of any facts that 21 concern that particular defense? 22 document that you believe should be modified or 22 A. Ibelieve this - they were material 23 amended to make it more complete or more 23 prepayments of the loan. Ibelieve that is - 24 accurate? 24 those are the - they were material and 25 A. Not yet. 25 numerous prepayments of the loan. Which I think 2 was - that is incorporated into that defenses. 2 ever did before this week to put Highland on 3 o. Okay. We will talk about the - the 3 notice that it contended that it didn't have to 4 details of that in a moment, but are there any 4 pay its obligations under the notes because of		14	first one.	
17 A. Not specifically. 17 barred, in whole or in part, by the doctrine of 18 Q. Are you aware of anything generally 18 justification and/or repudation? 19 In this document that is incurvate in any way? 19 A. Yes. 20 A. Not at the moment. 20 Q. Are you aware of any facts that 21 Q. Are you aware of anything in this 21 concern that particular defense? 22 document that is not complete or more 23 prepayments of the loan. Ibelieve that is – 23 anended to make it more complete or more 23 prepayments of the loan, which 1 think 24 accurate? 24 those are the – they were material and 25 A. Not yet. 25 numerous prepayments of the loan, which 1 think 2 was – that is incorporated into that defense. 2 ever did before this week to pull Highland on 3 Q. Okay. We will tak about the – the 3 notice that it contended that it dint't have to 4 details of that in a moment, but are there any 4 pay its obligitations under the notes because of 5 other kindentify facts related to this 6 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the <t< td=""><td>15 this document today that is inaccurate in any</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	15 this document today that is inaccurate in any			
18 Q. Are you aware of anything generally 18 justification and/or repudiation? 19 in this document that is inaccurate in any way? 19 A. Yes. 20 A. Not at the moment. 20 Q. Are you aware of anything in this 21 21 Q. Are you aware of anything in this 21 concent that particular defense? 22 A. Ibelieve this - they were material 3 23 amended to make it more complete or more 23 prepayments of the loan. Ibelieve that is - 24 accurate? 24 I those are the - they were material and 25 A. Not yet. 25 numerous prepayments of the loan. which I think 7 DONDERO - 10/29/21 Page 367 1 DONDERO - 10/29/21 Page 367 2 ever did before this week to put Highland on 3 Q. Okay. We will talk about the - the 3 4 details of that in a moment, but are there any 4 pay its obligations under the notes because of 5 other kind of tricks related to this 6 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 7 form. 9 would have ever been in a position to - to do <		16		
19 in this document that is inaccurate in any way? 19 A Yes. 20 A Not at the moment. 20 Q. Are you aware of any facts that 21 Q. Are you aware of any facts that 21 Q. Are you aware of any facts that 21 Q. Are you aware of any facts that 21 Concern that you believe should be modified or 22 document that you believe should be modified or 22 A I believe this - fley were material 23 arended to make it more complete or more 23 prepayments of the loan. I believe that is - 24 accurate? 24 those are the - they were material and 25 A Not yet. 25 numerous prepayments of the loan. which I think 1 DONDERO - 10/29/21 Page 367 1 DONDERO - 10/29/21 Page 367 2 was				
20 A. Not at the moment. 20 Q. Are you aware of any facts that 21 Q. Are you aware of any fing in this 21 concern that particular defense? 22 document that you believe should be modified or 22 A. I believe this – they were material 23 amended to make it more complete or more 23 prepayments of the loan. Delieve that is – 24 accurate? 24 those are the – they were material and 25 A. Notyet. 25 numerous prepayments of the loan, which I think 1 DONDERO - 10/29/21 Page 366 1 DONDERO - 10/29/21 2 was – that is incorporated into that defense. 2 ever did before this week to put Highland on 3 Q. Okay. We will tak about the – the 3 notice that it contended that it doin't have to 4 details of that in a moment, but are there any 4 pay its obligations under the notes because of 5 5 other kind of broad statements that you can 5 a prepayment defense? 6 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 7 form. 9 form. 9 would have ever been in a position to – to do 10 that. The – you know, we were re				
21 Q. Are you aware of anything in this 21 concern that particular defense? 22 document that you believe should be modified or 22 A. I believe this – they were material 23 amended to make it more complete or more 23 prepayments of the loan. I believe that is – 24 accurate? 24 those are the – they were material and 25 A. Not yet. 25 numerous prepayments of the loan. Which I think 2 was – that is incorporated into that defense. 2 ever did before this week to put Highland on 3 Q. Okay. We will talk about the – the 3 ondose that it contended that it didn't have to 4 details of that in a moment, but are there any 4 pay its obligations under the notes because of 5 other kind of broad statements that you can 6 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 7 6 give me that is all have at the moment. 10 that. Tha – you know, we were relying on 11 share services from Highland had 12 document that HCMS ever filed with the 12 all the records regarding the amounts and 13 benkruptcy court ever asserted, as in a 13 prepayments, colera. <t< td=""><td></td><td>19</td><td>A. Yes.</td><td></td></t<>		19	A. Yes.	
22 document that you believe should be modified or 22 A. I believe this - they were material 23 ancurate? 24 those are the - they were material and 25 A. Not yet. 25 numerous prepayments of the loan. I believe that is - 1 DONDERO - 10/29/21 Page 366 1 DONDERO - 10/29/21 Page 367 2 was - that is incorporated into that defense. 2 ever did before this week to put Highland on 3 0. Okay. We will talk about the - the 3 notice that i control the that definese. 2 ever did before this week to put Highland on 3 Q. Okay. We will talk about the - the 3 notice that i control the that deferse? 1 DONDERO - 10/29/21 Page 367 5 other kind of broad statements that you can 5 a prepayment deferse? 6 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 7 form. 8 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 8 A. We have no records. I'm not sure we 9 9 would have ever been in a position to - to do 10 that. The - you know, we were relying on 11 shared services from Highland, and Highland had 12 document that HCMS ever filed with the 12 all the records regarding the a				
23 amended to make it more complete or more 23 prepayments of the loan. believe that is – 24 accurate? 24 those are the – they were material and 25 A. Not yet. 25 numerous prepayments of the loan, which I think 1 DONDERO - 10/29/21 1 DONDERO - 10/29/21 Page 367 2 was – that is incorporated into that defense. 2 ever did before this week to put Highland on 3 notice that it contended that it didn't have to 4 details of that in a moment, but are there any 4 pay its obligations under the notes because of 5 a prepayment defense? 6 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 7 form. 8 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 8 A. We have no records. I'm not sure we 9 would have ever been in a position to – to do 10 Nat. 10 that have at the moment. 10 that The – you know, we were relying on 11 Q. Okay. Do you know whether any 11 shared services from Highland, and Highland had 12 all the records regarding the amounts and 12 defense, that they didn'th have to pay because 14 Q. When did you learn that HCMS had 15	21 Q. Are you aware of anything in this	21	concern that particular defense?	
24 accurate? 24 those are the – they were material and 25 A Notyet. 25 numerous prepayments of the loan, which I think 1 DONDERO - 10/29/21 Page 366 2 was – that is incorporated into that defense. 2 ever did before this week to put Highland on 3 Q. Okay. We will talk about the – the 3 notice that it contended that it didn't have to 4 details of that in a moment, but are there any 4 pay its obligations under the notes because of 5 other kind of broad statements that you can 5 a prepayment defense? 6 give me that identify facts related to this 6 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 9 form. 9 would have ever been in a position to – to do 10 A. That is all have at the moment. 10 that. The – you know, we were relying on 11 Q. Okay. Do you know whether any 11 shared services from Highland, and Highland had 12 document that HCMS ever filed with the 12 all the records regarding the amounts and 13 barkruptcy court ever asserted, as in a 13 prepayments, et cetera. 14 defense, that they didn't have to pay because 14 Q. When did you learn that HCMS had 15 they had prepaid any obligations that were due 15 made a prepayment of Highland? 16 A. I don't have awareness. 19 later, it is because somewhere in that time 17 Use the word "	22 document that you believe should be modified or	22	A. I believe this – they were material	
25 A. Notyet. 25 numerous prepayments of the loan, which I think 1 DONDERO - 10/29/21 Page 366 1 DONDERO - 10/29/21 Page 367 2 was – that is incorporated into that defense. 2 ever did before this week to put Highland on 3 numerous prepayments of the loan, which I think 4 details of that in a moment, but are there any 4 pay its obligations under the notes because of 5 a prepayment defense? 6 give me that identify facts related to this 6 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 7 form. 8 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 8 A. We have no records. I'm not sure we 9 9 form. 9 would have ever been in a position to – to do 10 that. The – you know, we were relying on 11 Q. Okay. Do you know whether any 11 shared services from Highland, and Highland had 12 document that HCMS ever filed with the 12 all the records regarding the amounts and 13 prepayments, et cetera. 14 defense, that they didn't have to pay because 14 Q. When did you learn that HCMS had 15 they had prepaid any obligations that were due 15	23 amended to make it more complete or more	23	prepayments of the loan. I believe that is	
Page 366 Page 366 Page 367 1 DONDERO - 10/29/21 2 ever did before this week to put Highland on 3 Q. Okay. We will talk about the – the 3 notice that it contended that it didn't have to 4 details of that in a moment, but are there any 4 pay its obligations under the notes because of 5 other kind of broad statements that you can 6 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 7 particular affirmative defense? 7 form. 8 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 8 A. We have no records. I'm not sure we 9 form. 8 A. We have no records. I'm not sure we 9 form. 1 bankruptcy oour ever asserted, as in a 10 11 ocument that HCMS ever filed with the 12 all the records regarding the amounts and 13 bankruptcy court ever asserted, as in a 13 prepayments, et cetera. 14 defense, that they didn't have to pay because 14 Q. When did you leam that HCMS had 15 they had prepaid any obligations that were due 15 made a prepayment to Highland, and Highland had 15 they had prepaid any obligations that were	24 accurate?	24	those are the – they were material and	
1 DONDERO - 10/29/21 1 DONDERO - 10/29/21 2 was – that is incorporated into that defense. 2 ever dib before this week to put Highland on 3 Q. Okay. We will talk about the – the 3 notice that it contended that it didn't have to 4 details of that in a moment, but are there any 4 pay its obligations under the notes because of 5 offer thind of broad statements that you can 5 a prepayment defense? 6 give me that identify facts related to this 6 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 7 particular affirmative defense? 7 form. 8 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 9 would have ever been in a position to – to do 10 A. That is all have at the moment. 10 that. The – you know, we were relying on 11 Q. Okay. Do you know whether any 11 shared services from Highland, and Highland had 12 document that HCMS ever filed with the 12 all the records regarding the amounts and 13 bankruptcy court ever asserted, as in a 13 prepayment to Highland? 14 defense, that they didn't have to pay because 14 Q. When did you learn that HCMS had	25 A. Not yet.	25	numerous prepayments of the loan, which I think	
2 was – that is incorporated into that defense. 2 ever did before this week to put Highland on 3 Q. Okay. We will talk about the – the 3 notice that it contended that it didn't have to 4 details of that in a moment, but are there any 4 pay its obligations under the notes because of 5 other kind of broad statements that you can 5 a prepayment defense? 6 give me that identify facts related to this 6 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 7 particular affirmative defense? 7 form. 8 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 8 A. We have no records. I'm not sure we 9 form. 9 would have ever been in a position to – to do 10 A. That is all I have at the moment. 10 that. The – you know, we were relying on 11 Q. Okay. Do you know whether any 11 shared services from Highland, and Highland had 12 document that HCMS ever filed with the 12 all the records regarding the amounts and 13 bankruptcy court ever asserted, as in a 13 prepayments, et cetra. 14 defense, that they didn't have to pay because 14 Q. When did you leam that HCMS had<				Page 367
3 Q. Okay. We will talk about the – the 3 notice that it contended that it didn't have to 4 details of that in a moment, but are there any 4 pay its obligations under the notes because of 5 other kind of broad statements that you can 5 a prepayment defense? 6 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 6 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 7 particular affirmative defense? 7 form. 8 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 9 would have ever been in a position to – to do 10 A. That is all I have at the moment. 10 that. The – you know, we were relying on 11 Q. Okay. Do you know whether any 11 shared services from Highland, and Highland had 12 document that HCMS ever filed with the 12 all the records regarding the amounts and 13 bankruptcy court ever asserted, as in a 13 prepayments, et cetera. 14 defense, that they didn't have to pay because 14 Q. When did you learn that HCMS had 15 they had prepaid any obligations that were due 15 made a prepayment to Highland? 16 and dowing? 16 A. I don't know, but I – I imagine –				
4 details of that in a moment, but are there any 4 pay its obligations under the notes because of 5 other kind of broad statements that you can 5 a prepayment defense? 6 give me that identify facts related to this 6 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 7 particular affirmative defense? 7 form. 8 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 8 A. We have no records. I'm not sure we 9 form. 9 would have ever been in a position to - to do 10 A. That is all have at the moment. 10 that. The - you know, we were relying on 11 Q. Okay. Do you know whether any 11 shared services from Highland, and Highland had 13 bankruptcy court ever asserted, as in a 13 prepayments, et cetera. 14 defense, that they didn't have to pay because 14 Q. When did you learn that HCMS had 15 they had prepaid any obligations that were due 15 made a prepayment to Highland? 16 and owing? 16 A. I don't know, but I - I imagine - 17 IMS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 17 I imagine it was - if you are asking why it 18 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>				
5 other kind of broad statements that you can 5 a prepayment defense? 6 give me that identify facts related to this 6 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 7 particular affirmative defense? 7 form. 8 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 8 A. We have no records. I'm not sure we 9 form. 9 would have ever been in a position to - to do 10 A. That is all I have at the moment. 10 that. The - you know, we were relying on 11 Q. Okay. Do you know whether any 11 shared services from Highland, and Highland had 12 document that HCMS ever filed with the 12 all the records regarding the amounts and 13 bankruptcy court ever asserted, as in a 13 prepayments, et cetera. 14 defense, that they didn't have to pay because 14 Q. When did you learn that HCMS had 15 they had prepaid any obligations that were due 15 made a prepayment to Highland? 16 and owing? 16 A. I don't know, but I – I imagine – 17 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 17 Imagine it was – if you are asking why it 18 form.				
6 give me that identify facts related to this 6 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 7 particular affirmative defense? 7 form. 8 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 8 A. We have no records. I'm not sure we 9 form. 9 would have ever been in a position to – to do 10 A. That is all I have at the moment. 10 that. The – you know, we were relying on 11 Q. Okay. Do you know whether any 11 shared services from Highland, and Highland had 12 document that HCMS ever filed with the 12 all the records regarding the amounts and 13 bankruptcy court ever asserted, as in a 13 prepayments, et cetera. 14 defense, that they didn't have to pay because 14 Q. When did you learn that HCMS had 15 they had prepaid any obligations that were due 15 made a prepayment to Highland? 16 and owing? 16 A. I don't know, but I – I imagine – 17 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 17 I imagine it was – if you are asking why it 18 form. 18 wasn't mentioned earlier but then mentioned 19 A. I don't have awaren				
7particular affirmative defense?7form.8MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the8A. We have no records. I'm not sure we9form.9would have ever been in a position to – to do10A. That is all I have at the moment.10that. The – you know, we were relying on11Q. Okay. Do you know whether any11shared services from Highland, and Highland had12document that HCMS ever filed with the12all the records regarding the amounts and13bankruptcy court ever asserted, as in a13prepayments, et cetera.14defense, that they didn't have to pay because14Q. When did you learn that HCMS had15they had prepaid any obligations that were due15made a prepayment to Highland?16and owing?16A. I don't know, but I – I imagine –17MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the17I imagine it was – if you are asking why it18form.18wasn't mentioned earlier but then mentioned19A. I don't have awareness.19later, it is because somewhere in that time20Q. And this document doesn't – doesn't20period we became aware.21use the word "prepayment" anywhere, does it?21Q. So you didn't – you didn't have23form.23produced documents. Do I have that right?24A. I don't know.24Withdrawn.				
8 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 8 A. We have no records. I'm not sure we 9 form. 9 would have ever been in a position to – to do 10 A. That is all I have at the moment. 10 that. The – you know, we were relying on 11 Q. Okay. Do you know whether any 11 shared services from Highland, and Highland had 12 document that HCMS ever filed with the 12 all the records regarding the amounts and 13 bankruptcy court ever asserted, as in a 13 prepayments, et cetera. 14 defense, that they didn't have to pay because 14 Q. When did you learn that HCMS had 15 they had prepaid any obligations that were due 15 made a prepayment to Highland? 16 A. I don't know, but I – I imagine – 17 Imagine it was – if you are asking why it 18 form. 18 wasn't mentioned earlier but then mentioned 19 A. I don't have awareness. 19 later, it is because somewhere in that time 20 Q. And this document doesn't – doesn't 20 period we became aware. 21 Use the word "prepayment" anywhere, does it? 21 Q. So you didn't – you didn't have			-	
9form.9would have ever been in a position to - to do10A. That is all I have at the moment.10that. The - you know, we were relying on11Q. Okay. Do you know whether any11shared services from Highland, and Highland had12document that HCMS ever filed with the12all the records regarding the amounts and13bankruptcy court ever asserted, as in a13prepayments, et cetera.14defense, that they didn't have to pay because14Q. When did you learn that HCMS had15they had prepaid any obligations that were due15made a prepayment to Highland?16and owing?16A. I don't know, but I - I imagine -17MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the17I imagine it was - if you are asking why it18form.18wasn't mentioned earlier but then mentioned19A. I don't have awareness.19later, it is because somewhere in that time20Q. And this document doesn't - doesn't20period we became aware.21use the word "prepayment" anywhere, does it?21Q. So you didn't have22MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the23produced documents. Do I have that right?24A. I don't know.24Withdrawn.	· ·			
10A. That is all I have at the moment.10that. The – you know, we were relying on11Q. Okay. Do you know whether any11shared services from Highland, and Highland had12document that HCMS ever filed with the12all the records regarding the amounts and13bankruptcy court ever asserted, as in a13prepayments, et cetera.14defense, that they didn't have to pay because14Q. When did you learn that HCMS had15they had prepaid any obligations that were due15made a prepayment to Highland?16and owing?16A. I don't know, but I – I imagine –17MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the17I imagine it was – if you are asking why it18form.18wasn't mentioned earlier but then mentioned19A. I don't have awareness.19later, it is because somewhere in that time20Q. And this document doesn't – doesn't20period we became aware.21use the word "prepayment" anywhere, does it?21Q. So you didn't – you didn't have22form.23form.23produced documents. Do I have that right?24A. I don't know.24Withdrawn.		-		
11Q. Okay. Do you know whether any11shared services from Highland, and Highland had12document that HCMS ever filed with the12all the records regarding the amounts and13bankruptcy court ever asserted, as in a13prepayments, et cetera.14defense, that they didn't have to pay because14Q. When did you learn that HCMS had15they had prepaid any obligations that were due15made a prepayment to Highland?16and owing?16A. I don't know, but I – I imagine –17MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the17I imagine it was – if you are asking why it18form.18wasn't mentioned earlier but then mentioned19A. I don't have awareness.19later, it is because somewhere in that time20Q. And this document doesn't – doesn't20period we became aware.21use the word "prepayment" anywhere, does it?21Q. So you didn't – you didn't have23form.23form.24Withdrawn.				
12document that HCMS ever filed with the12all the records regarding the amounts and13bankruptcy court ever asserted, as in a13prepayments, et cetera.14defense, that they didn't have to pay because14Q.When did you learn that HCMS had15they had prepaid any obligations that were due15made a prepayment to Highland?16and owing?16A.I don't know, but I – I imagine –17MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the17I imagine it was – if you are asking why it18form.18wasn't mentioned earlier but then mentioned19A.I don't have awareness.19later, it is because somewhere in that time20Q.And this document doesn't – doesn't20period we became aware.21use the word "prepayment" anywhere, does it?21Q.So you didn't – you didn't have22MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the23form.23produced documents. Do I have that right?24A.I don't know.24Withdrawn.Withdrawn.				
13bankruptcy court ever asserted, as in a13prepayments, et cetera.14defense, that they didn't have to pay because14Q.When did you learn that HCMS had15they had prepaid any obligations that were due15made a prepayment to Highland?16and owing?16A.I don't know, but I – I imagine –17MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the17I imagine it was – if you are asking why it18form.18wasn't mentioned earlier but then mentioned19A.I don't have awareness.19later, it is because somewhere in that time20Q.And this document doesn't – doesn't20period we became aware.21use the word "prepayment" anywhere, does it?21Q.So you didn't – you didn't have23form.23produced documents. Do I have that right?24A.I don't know.24Withdrawn.				
14defense, that they didn't have to pay because14Q.When did you learn that HCMS had15they had prepaid any obligations that were due15made a prepayment to Highland?16and owing?16A.I don't know, but I – I imagine –17MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the17I imagine it was – if you are asking why it18form.18wasn't mentioned earlier but then mentioned19A.I don't have awareness.19later, it is because somewhere in that time20Q.And this document doesn't – doesn't20period we became aware.21use the word "prepayment" anywhere, does it?21Q.So you didn't – you didn't have23form.23form.23produced documents. Do I have that right?24A.I don't know.24Withdrawn.				
15they had prepaid any obligations that were due15made a prepayment to Highland?16and owing?16A. I don't know, but I – I imagine –17MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the17I imagine it was – if you are asking why it18form.18wasn't mentioned earlier but then mentioned19A. I don't have awareness.19later, it is because somewhere in that time20Q. And this document doesn't – doesn't20period we became aware.21use the word "prepayment" anywhere, does it?21Q. So you didn't – you didn't have22MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the22knowledge of the prepayment until the debtor23form.23produced documents. Do I have that right?24A. I don't know.24Withdrawn.				
16and owing?16A.I don't know, but I – I imagine –17MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the17I imagine it was – if you are asking why it18form.18wasn't mentioned earlier but then mentioned19A.I don't have awareness.19later, it is because somewhere in that time20Q.And this document doesn't – doesn't20period we became aware.21use the word "prepayment" anywhere, does it?21Q.So you didn't – you didn't have22MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the22knowledge of the prepayment until the debtor23form.23produced documents. Do I have that right?24A.I don't know.24Withdrawn.				
17MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the17I imagine it was – if you are asking why it18form.18wasn't mentioned earlier but then mentioned19A. I don't have awareness.19later, it is because somewhere in that time20Q. And this document doesn't – doesn't20period we became aware.21use the word "prepayment" anywhere, does it?21Q. So you didn't – you didn't have22MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the22knowledge of the prepayment until the debtor23form.23produced documents. Do I have that right?24A. I don't know.24Withdrawn.				
18form.18wasn't mentioned earlier but then mentioned19A. I don't have awareness.19later, it is because somewhere in that time20Q. And this document doesn't - doesn't20period we became aware.21use the word "prepayment" anywhere, does it?21Q. So you didn't - you didn't have22MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the22knowledge of the prepayment until the debtor23form.23produced documents. Do I have that right?24A. I don't know.24Withdrawn.			-	
19A. I don't have awareness.19later, it is because somewhere in that time20Q. And this document doesn't – doesn't20period we became aware.21use the word "prepayment" anywhere, does it?21Q. So you didn't – you didn't have22MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the22knowledge of the prepayment until the debtor23form.23produced documents. Do I have that right?24A. I don't know.24Withdrawn.				
20Q. And this document doesn't - doesn't20period we became aware.21use the word "prepayment" anywhere, does it?21Q. So you didn't - you didn't have22MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the22knowledge of the prepayment until the debtor23form.23produced documents. Do I have that right?24A. I don't know.24Withdrawn.				
21use the word "prepayment" anywhere, does it?21Q. So you didn't – you didn't have22MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the22knowledge of the prepayment until the debtor23form.23produced documents. Do I have that right?24A. I don't know.24Withdrawn.				
22MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the22knowledge of the prepayment until the debtor23form.23produced documents. Do I have that right?24A. I don't know.24Withdrawn.				
23form.23produced documents.Do I have that right?24A. I don't know.24Withdrawn.				
24 A. I don't know. 24 Withdrawn.				
		20		

Caae 22106006559jpDoc148634FHdd012061221 Elatered012061221184187432 Plage 28 of 899

	Page 368			Page 369
1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	5
2	prepayment?	2	material prepayments, but I don't know exactly	
3	A. I don't know. I just know that we	3	when they were made.	
4	became aware of that being a material fact	4	Q. Do you know what year they were	
5	somewhere along the line.	5	made?	
6	Q. Do you remember when you learned	6	A. No, but – no, but – no, I don't.	
7	that material fact?	7	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: If you want,	
8	A. No.	8	John, if you would like for him to give you	
9	Q. Do you have any facts that you can	9	dates, he could probably dig up the	
10	share with me concerning the prepayment?	10	spreadsheet and give you dates, but you	
11	A. Eventually there was a spreadsheet	11	have it also.	
12	that summarized it, but I don't I don't	12	MR. MORRIS: Thank you. Okay. I	
13	know – I don't know when that occurred.	13	think we're doing just fine here.	
14	Q. Does – does this defense of	14	Q. Do you know if there were any	
15	prepayment apply to demand notes or a term	15	prepayments made by HCMS in 2018?	
16	note?	16	A. I don't know the specifics off the	
17	A. I would – I would – I would say,	17	top of my head.	
18	you know, primarily a term note, but – yeah, l	18	Q. Do you know if HCMS made any	
19	think primarily the term note because I think	19	prepayments in 2019?	
20	that was the one that was declared to be in	20	A. I don't know the specifics off the	
21	default of share, you know, whatever, so I	21	top of my head.	
22	think it was regarding the term note.	22	Q. Are you aware that under the term	
23	Q. Do you recall – do you have any	23	note, HCMS was required to pay annual	
24	knowledge as to when the prepayment was made?	24	installment payments at the end of each year?	
25	A. I believe there were numerous and	25	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	
				D074
1	Page 370 DONDERO - 10/29/21	1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	Page 371
2	form.	2	to the extent that there was a screw-up, on the	
3	A. I wouldn't say it like that.	3	term loans.	
4	Q. We will look – we will look at the	4	Q. What screw-up are you referring to?	
5	documents in a few minutes.	5	A. Well, we didn't have accountants or	
6	Are you aware of any facts that	6	employees at Services, you know, and Services	
7	support the justification or repudiation	7	was relying on Highland and shared services to	
8	defense in Paragraph 94 other than what you	8	stay in compliance or to – on the various	
9	have testified to so far?	9	loans.	
10	A. I think it is largely the prepayment	10	Q. Did you ever personally instruct	
11	aspect of it that is captured there.	11	anybody in December of 2020 to make a payment	
12	Q. Okay. And – and – all right. I	12	on behalf of HCMS under the term note?	
13	will leave it at that.	13	A. To make – I'm sorry, is this –	
14	Let's go to Paragraph 95. Do you	14	what was the timeframe again?	
15	see the affirmative defense in 95 is that,	15	Q. December 2020 – let's just say	
16	quote, plaintiff's claims are barred in whole	16	anytime in 2020. Did you, in your capacity as	
17	or in part by the doctrine of estoppel.	17	the person in control of HCMS, ever direct or	
18	Do you see that?	18	authorize any person in the world to make a	
19	A. Yes.	19	payment from HCMS to Highland in satisfaction	
20	Q. Do you have any facts as the	20	of the obligation that was due under the term	
20	30(b)(6) witness of HCMS that concern that	20	note at the end of the year?	
22	particular affirmative defense?	22	A. Not that – not that I recall.	
22	A. You know, I think for both 95 and	22	 A. Not that – not that frecail. Q. Okay. Do you know whether anybody 	
23	96, the way I understand it is that was	23	acting on behalf of HCMS ever instructed or	
24	reliance on Highland's and Highland's screw-up,	24	authorized Highland to make a payment on	
120		20	addition to make a payment of	

Caase210600655gjpDoc148634Fifedc012061221 Elateredc012061221184187432 Page729 of 899

	Page 372			Page 373
1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	. ago or o
2	account of HCMS's term note to Highland?	2	Q. Okay. And you were aware that the	
3	A. Well, again, and maybe I didn't say	3	payment was due at the end of the year; isn't	
4	it clearly enough. I think there was a	4	that right?	
5	reliance in the due course aspect, especially	5	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	
6	on small amounts, and it would have been done	6	form.	
7	by Highland personnel on behalf of Services.	7	A. Not – not specifically. There	
8	MR. MORRIS: Okay. Move to strike.	8	is – to be bona fide notes, there is – I know	
9	Q. And I'm going to ask you,	9	there is – there is tax structuring and things	
10	Mr. Dondero, to be patient with me and to	10	that the auditors want to see in terms of - of	
11	listen carefully to my question.	11	regular payment that everything just doesn't	
12	Are you aware of anybody acting on	12	accrue indefinitely, but what those roles are	
13	behalf of HCMS, whoever instructed Highland to	13	and when and if it needs to be paid and whether	
14	make a payment in satisfaction of any payment	14	it was by the end of the year or not.	
15	that was due at the year-end of 2020 under the	15	I'm generally not specifically	
16	term note?	16	knowledgeable of or involved in, and nor do I	
17	A. Not specifically, but I'm saying I	17	have an awareness that was it or could it have	
18	don't think it needed to be made specifically.	18	been satisfied by other payments throughout the	
19	Q. Okay. So you are not aware of any	19	year. I'm not I'm not the person for that	
20	instruction that was ever given to Highland by	20	knowledge.	
21	HCMS to make the payment; is that fair? You	21	Q. Now, do you recall in December of	
22	relied on the course of dealing?	22	2020 there was some tension between you and	
23	A. Right. I relied on ordinary course.	23	Mr. Seery?	
24	l don't believe there was a specific – l'm not	24	A. Tension between me and Mr. Seery. I	
25	aware of a specific request.	25	would say there was tension between Mr. Seery	
	D 074			
Ι.	Page 374			Page 375
1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	Page 375
2	DONDERO - 10/29/21 and everybody. He was trying to steal the	2	A. Yes, roughly.	Page 375
23	DONDERO - 10/29/21 and everybody. He was trying to steal the estate, you know, so yes.		A. Yes, roughly.Q. And do you recall that at the end of	Page 375
2 3 4	DONDERO - 10/29/21 and everybody. He was trying to steal the estate, you know, so yes. MR. MORRIS: I move to strike.	2 3 4	A. Yes, roughly.Q. And do you recall that at the end of November, Highland had given notice of	Page 375
2 3 4 5	DONDERO - 10/29/21 and everybody. He was trying to steal the estate, you know, so yes. MR. MORRIS: I move to strike. Q. You were asked to resign from	2 3 4 5	A. Yes, roughly.Q. And do you recall that at the end ofNovember, Highland had given notice oftermination of the shared services agreements	Page 375
2 3 4 5 6	DONDERO - 10/29/21 and everybody. He was trying to steal the estate, you know, so yes. MR. MORRIS: I move to strike. Q. You were asked to resign from Highland in late September of 2020; correct?	2 3 4 5 6	A. Yes, roughly.Q. And do you recall that at the end ofNovember, Highland had given notice oftermination of the shared services agreementswith the advisors?	Page 375
2 3 4 5 6 7	DONDERO - 10/29/21 and everybody. He was trying to steal the estate, you know, so yes. MR. MORRIS: I move to strike. Q. You were asked to resign from Highland in late September of 2020; correct? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7	 A. Yes, roughly. Q. And do you recall that at the end of November, Highland had given notice of termination of the shared services agreements with the advisors? A. I believe they did that multiple 	Page 375
2 3 4 5 6	DONDERO - 10/29/21 and everybody. He was trying to steal the estate, you know, so yes. MR. MORRIS: I move to strike. Q. You were asked to resign from Highland in late September of 2020; correct? A. Yes. Q. And you did resign as of October	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 A. Yes, roughly. Q. And do you recall that at the end of November, Highland had given notice of termination of the shared services agreements with the advisors? A. I believe they did that multiple times or extended it multiple times. I can't 	Page 375
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	DONDERO - 10/29/21 and everybody. He was trying to steal the estate, you know, so yes. MR. MORRIS: I move to strike. Q. You were asked to resign from Highland in late September of 2020; correct? A. Yes. Q. And you did resign as of October 9th, 2020; correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 A. Yes, roughly. Q. And do you recall that at the end of November, Highland had given notice of termination of the shared services agreements with the advisors? A. I believe they did that multiple times or extended it multiple times. I can't remember if that was – if it was done then or 	Page 375
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	DONDERO - 10/29/21 and everybody. He was trying to steal the estate, you know, so yes. MR. MORRIS: I move to strike. Q. You were asked to resign from Highland in late September of 2020; correct? A. Yes. Q. And you did resign as of October 9th, 2020; correct? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 A. Yes, roughly. Q. And do you recall that at the end of November, Highland had given notice of termination of the shared services agreements with the advisors? A. I believe they did that multiple times or extended it multiple times. I can't remember if that was – if it was done then or not. 	Page 375
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	DONDERO - 10/29/21 and everybody. He was trying to steal the estate, you know, so yes. MR. MORRIS: I move to strike. Q. You were asked to resign from Highland in late September of 2020; correct? A. Yes. Q. And you did resign as of October 9th, 2020; correct? A. Yes. Q. And do you recall that in early	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 A. Yes, roughly. Q. And do you recall that at the end of November, Highland had given notice of termination of the shared services agreements with the advisors? A. I believe they did that multiple times or extended it multiple times. I can't remember if that was – if it was done then or not. Q. Okay. And it is your testimony that 	Page 375
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	DONDERO - 10/29/21 and everybody. He was trying to steal the estate, you know, so yes. MR. MORRIS: I move to strike. Q. You were asked to resign from Highland in late September of 2020; correct? A. Yes. Q. And you did resign as of October 9th, 2020; correct? A. Yes. Q. And do you recall that in early December, Highland sought a temporary	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 A. Yes, roughly. Q. And do you recall that at the end of November, Highland had given notice of termination of the shared services agreements with the advisors? A. I believe they did that multiple times or extended it multiple times. I can't remember if that was – if it was done then or not. Q. Okay. And it is your testimony that notwithstanding those facts and circumstances, 	Page 375
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	DONDERO - 10/29/21 and everybody. He was trying to steal the estate, you know, so yes. MR. MORRIS: I move to strike. Q. You were asked to resign from Highland in late September of 2020; correct? A. Yes. Q. And you did resign as of October 9th, 2020; correct? A. Yes. Q. And do you recall that in early December, Highland sought a temporary restraining order against you?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 A. Yes, roughly. Q. And do you recall that at the end of November, Highland had given notice of termination of the shared services agreements with the advisors? A. I believe they did that multiple times or extended it multiple times. I can't remember if that was – if it was done then or not. Q. Okay. And it is your testimony that notwithstanding those facts and circumstances, you relied on Highland to make the payment that 	Page 375
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	DONDERO - 10/29/21 and everybody. He was trying to steal the estate, you know, so yes. MR. MORRIS: I move to strike. Q. You were asked to resign from Highland in late September of 2020; correct? A. Yes. Q. And you did resign as of October 9th, 2020; correct? A. Yes. Q. And do you recall that in early December, Highland sought a temporary restraining order against you? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. Yes, roughly. Q. And do you recall that at the end of November, Highland had given notice of termination of the shared services agreements with the advisors? A. I believe they did that multiple times or extended it multiple times. I can't remember if that was – if it was done then or not. Q. Okay. And it is your testimony that notwithstanding those facts and circumstances, you relied on Highland to make the payment that HCMS owed at the end of the year? 	Page 375
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	DONDERO - 10/29/21 and everybody. He was trying to steal the estate, you know, so yes. MR. MORRIS: I move to strike. Q. You were asked to resign from Highland in late September of 2020; correct? A. Yes. Q. And you did resign as of October 9th, 2020; correct? A. Yes. Q. And do you recall that in early December, Highland sought a temporary restraining order against you? A. Yes. Q. And do you recall that Highland	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. Yes, roughly. Q. And do you recall that at the end of November, Highland had given notice of termination of the shared services agreements with the advisors? A. I believe they did that multiple times or extended it multiple times. I can't remember if that was – if it was done then or not. Q. Okay. And it is your testimony that notwithstanding those facts and circumstances, you relied on Highland to make the payment that HCMS owed at the end of the year? A. Yes, absolutely. We were still 	Page 375
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	DONDERO - 10/29/21 and everybody. He was trying to steal the estate, you know, so yes. MR. MORRIS: I move to strike. Q. You were asked to resign from Highland in late September of 2020; correct? A. Yes. Q. And you did resign as of October 9th, 2020; correct? A. Yes. Q. And you recall that in early December, Highland sought a temporary restraining order against you? A. Yes. Q. And do you recall that Highland obtained a temporary restraining order against	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. Yes, roughly. Q. And do you recall that at the end of November, Highland had given notice of termination of the shared services agreements with the advisors? A. I believe they did that multiple times or extended it multiple times. I can't remember if that was – if it was done then or not. Q. Okay. And it is your testimony that notwithstanding those facts and circumstances, you relied on Highland to make the payment that HCMS owed at the end of the year? A. Yes, absolutely. We were still deluded in terms of thinking that Seery was 	Page 375
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	DONDERO - 10/29/21 and everybody. He was trying to steal the estate, you know, so yes. MR. MORRIS: I move to strike. Q. You were asked to resign from Highland in late September of 2020; correct? A. Yes. Q. And you did resign as of October 9th, 2020; correct? A. Yes. Q. And do you recall that in early December, Highland sought a temporary restraining order against you? A. Yes. Q. And do you recall that Highland obtained a temporary restraining order against you in early December?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. Yes, roughly. Q. And do you recall that at the end of November, Highland had given notice of termination of the shared services agreements with the advisors? A. I believe they did that multiple times or extended it multiple times. I can't remember if that was – if it was done then or not. Q. Okay. And it is your testimony that notwithstanding those facts and circumstances, you relied on Highland to make the payment that HCMS owed at the end of the year? A. Yes, absolutely. We were still deluded in terms of thinking that Seery was working to resolve the estate, not to steal the 	Page 375
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	DONDERO - 10/29/21 and everybody. He was trying to steal the estate, you know, so yes. MR. MORRIS: I move to strike. Q. You were asked to resign from Highland in late September of 2020; correct? A. Yes. Q. And you did resign as of October 9th, 2020; correct? A. Yes. Q. And do you recall that in early December, Highland sought a temporary restraining order against you? A. Yes. Q. And do you recall that Highland obtained a temporary restraining order against you in early December? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. Yes, roughly. Q. And do you recall that at the end of November, Highland had given notice of termination of the shared services agreements with the advisors? A. I believe they did that multiple times or extended it multiple times. I can't remember if that was – if it was done then or not. Q. Okay. And it is your testimony that notwithstanding those facts and circumstances, you relied on Highland to make the payment that HCMS owed at the end of the year? A. Yes, absolutely. We were still deluded in terms of thinking that Seery was working to resolve the estate, not to steal the estate. 	Page 375
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	DONDERO - 10/29/21 and everybody. He was trying to steal the estate, you know, so yes. MR. MORRIS: I move to strike. Q. You were asked to resign from Highland in late September of 2020; correct? A. Yes. Q. And you did resign as of October 9th, 2020; correct? A. Yes. Q. And you recall that in early December, Highland sought a temporary restraining order against you? A. Yes. Q. And do you recall that Highland obtained a temporary restraining order against you in early December? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Do you recall that the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A. Yes, roughly. Q. And do you recall that at the end of November, Highland had given notice of termination of the shared services agreements with the advisors? A. I believe they did that multiple times or extended it multiple times. I can't remember if that was – if it was done then or not. Q. Okay. And it is your testimony that notwithstanding those facts and circumstances, you relied on Highland to make the payment that HCMS owed at the end of the year? A. Yes, absolutely. We were still deluded in terms of thinking that Seery was working to resolve the estate, not to steal the estate. MR. MORRIS: I move to strike. 	Page 375
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	DONDERO - 10/29/21 and everybody. He was trying to steal the estate, you know, so yes. MR. MORRIS: I move to strike. Q. You were asked to resign from Highland in late September of 2020; correct? A. Yes. Q. And you did resign as of October 9th, 2020; correct? A. Yes. Q. And do you recall that in early December, Highland sought a temporary restraining order against you? A. Yes. Q. And do you recall that Highland obtained a temporary restraining order against you in early December? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Do you recall that the advisors that you controlled filed a motion	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. Yes, roughly. Q. And do you recall that at the end of November, Highland had given notice of termination of the shared services agreements with the advisors? A. I believe they did that multiple times or extended it multiple times. I can't remember if that was – if it was done then or not. Q. Okay. And it is your testimony that notwithstanding those facts and circumstances, you relied on Highland to make the payment that HCMS owed at the end of the year? A. Yes, absolutely. We were still deluded in terms of thinking that Seery was working to resolve the estate, not to steal the estate. MR. MORRIS: I move to strike. Q. Do you have any other facts and 	Page 375
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	DONDERO - 10/29/21 and everybody. He was trying to steal the estate, you know, so yes. MR. MORRIS: I move to strike. Q. You were asked to resign from Highland in late September of 2020; correct? A. Yes. Q. And you did resign as of October 9th, 2020; correct? A. Yes. Q. And do you recall that in early December, Highland sought a temporary restraining order against you? A. Yes. Q. And do you recall that Highland obtained a temporary restraining order against you in early December? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Do you recall that the advisors that you controlled filed a motion against the debtor in mid December 2020?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. Yes, roughly. Q. And do you recall that at the end of November, Highland had given notice of termination of the shared services agreements with the advisors? A. I believe they did that multiple times or extended it multiple times. I can't remember if that was – if it was done then or not. Q. Okay. And it is your testimony that notwithstanding those facts and circumstances, you relied on Highland to make the payment that HCMS owed at the end of the year? A. Yes, absolutely. We were still deluded in terms of thinking that Seery was working to resolve the estate, not to steal the estate. MR. MORRIS: I move to strike. Q. Do you have any other facts and circumstances that relate to the affirmative 	Page 375
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	DONDERO - 10/29/21 and everybody. He was trying to steal the estate, you know, so yes. MR. MORRIS: I move to strike. Q. You were asked to resign from Highland in late September of 2020; correct? A. Yes. Q. And you did resign as of October 9th, 2020; correct? A. Yes. Q. And do you recall that in early December, Highland sought a temporary restraining order against you? A. Yes. Q. And do you recall that Highland obtained a temporary restraining order against you in early December? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Do you recall that the advisors that you controlled filed a motion against the debtor in mid December 2020? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. Yes, roughly. Q. And do you recall that at the end of November, Highland had given notice of termination of the shared services agreements with the advisors? A. I believe they did that multiple times or extended it multiple times. I can't remember if that was – if it was done then or not. Q. Okay. And it is your testimony that notwithstanding those facts and circumstances, you relied on Highland to make the payment that HCMS owed at the end of the year? A. Yes, absolutely. We were still deluded in terms of thinking that Seery was working to resolve the estate, not to steal the estate. MR. MORRIS: I move to strike. Q. Do you have any other facts and circumstances that relate to the affirmative defenses in Paragraphs 95 and 96? 	Page 375
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 33 34 22 34 23 23 23 24 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25	DONDERO - 10/29/21 and everybody. He was trying to steal the estate, you know, so yes. MR. MORRIS: I move to strike. Q. You were asked to resign from Highland in late September of 2020; correct? A. Yes. Q. And you did resign as of October 9th, 2020; correct? A. Yes. Q. And do you recall that in early December, Highland sought a temporary restraining order against you? A. Yes. Q. And do you recall that Highland obtained a temporary restraining order against you in early December? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Do you recall that the advisors that you controlled filed a motion against the debtor in mid December 2020? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And do you recall that that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. Yes, roughly. Q. And do you recall that at the end of November, Highland had given notice of termination of the shared services agreements with the advisors? A. I believe they did that multiple times or extended it multiple times. I can't remember if that was – if it was done then or not. Q. Okay. And it is your testimony that notwithstanding those facts and circumstances, you relied on Highland to make the payment that HCMS owed at the end of the year? A. Yes, absolutely. We were still deluded in terms of thinking that Seery was working to resolve the estate, not to steal the estate. MR. MORRIS: I move to strike. Q. Do you have any other facts and circumstances that relate to the affirmative defenses in Paragraphs 95 and 96? A. I mean, not at the moment, not that 	Page 375
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	DONDERO - 10/29/21 and everybody. He was trying to steal the estate, you know, so yes. MR. MORRIS: I move to strike. Q. You were asked to resign from Highland in late September of 2020; correct? A. Yes. Q. And you did resign as of October 9th, 2020; correct? A. Yes. Q. And do you recall that in early December, Highland sought a temporary restraining order against you? A. Yes. Q. And do you recall that Highland obtained a temporary restraining order against you in early December? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Do you recall that the advisors that you controlled filed a motion against the debtor in mid December 2020? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And do you recall that that motion was curved by the Court in the middle of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 A. Yes, roughly. Q. And do you recall that at the end of November, Highland had given notice of termination of the shared services agreements with the advisors? A. I believe they did that multiple times or extended it multiple times. I can't remember if that was – if it was done then or not. Q. Okay. And it is your testimony that notwithstanding those facts and circumstances, you relied on Highland to make the payment that HCMS owed at the end of the year? A. Yes, absolutely. We were still deluded in terms of thinking that Seery was working to resolve the estate, not to steal the estate. MR. MORRIS: I move to strike. Q. Do you have any other facts and circumstances that relate to the affirmative defenses in Paragraphs 95 and 96? A. I mean, not at the moment, not that I want to volunteer. When you ask more 	Page 375
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 33 34 22 35 23 23 24 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25	DONDERO - 10/29/21 and everybody. He was trying to steal the estate, you know, so yes. MR. MORRIS: I move to strike. Q. You were asked to resign from Highland in late September of 2020; correct? A. Yes. Q. And you did resign as of October 9th, 2020; correct? A. Yes. Q. And do you recall that in early December, Highland sought a temporary restraining order against you? A. Yes. Q. And do you recall that Highland obtained a temporary restraining order against you in early December? A. Yes. Q. Okay. Do you recall that the advisors that you controlled filed a motion against the debtor in mid December 2020? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And do you recall that that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. Yes, roughly. Q. And do you recall that at the end of November, Highland had given notice of termination of the shared services agreements with the advisors? A. I believe they did that multiple times or extended it multiple times. I can't remember if that was – if it was done then or not. Q. Okay. And it is your testimony that notwithstanding those facts and circumstances, you relied on Highland to make the payment that HCMS owed at the end of the year? A. Yes, absolutely. We were still deluded in terms of thinking that Seery was working to resolve the estate, not to steal the estate. MR. MORRIS: I move to strike. Q. Do you have any other facts and circumstances that relate to the affirmative defenses in Paragraphs 95 and 96? A. I mean, not at the moment, not that 	Page 375

Caase210600655gjpDoc148634Fifedc012061221 Elateredc012061221184187432 Page726 of 899

Page 376		Page 377
1 DONDERO - 10/29/21	1 DOND	ERO - 10/29/21
2 get to some of it.	2 the counterpartie	s. So the annual obligations
3 Q. Well, I'm asking you questions now.	3 are even more de	e minimis or a million bucks or
4 You are the 30(b)(6) witness. This is one of	4 less than a million	n bucks.
5 the topics that you were supposed to be	5 There was	s never an intent, nor would
6 prepared to answer questions about, and I would	6 there be a logical	intent to – from my
7 just like to know everything that you have in	7 perspective or an	y of the entities that had
8 your head as to facts that relate to these two	8 notice to Highlan	d to be in default. And it is
9 affirmative defenses.	9 not logical that the	ey would do that for any
10 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	10 purpose.	
11 form.	11 And the f	acts around the curing
12 Q. Because if I don't ask the right	12 quickly of the not	es and getting the curing
13 question later, you know, we can't do that;	13 amounts from H	ghland and making the payments
14 right?	14 and Highland ac	cepting them as they're defining
15 So do you have any other facts that	15 what it took to cu	re it, I think, are all, you
16 you are aware of that relate to these two	16 know, the key fa	cts that make any, you know,
17 particular affirmative defenses?	17 acceleration argu	ument, you know, ridiculous.
18 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: John, the fact	18 Q. Okay. A	Anything else?
19 that it's a 30(b)(6) deposition doesn't	19 A. That's it	at this point.
20 absolve you of the necessity to ask	20 MR. MOI	RRIS: Okay. Let's go to
21 questions.	21 Exhibit 17, ple	ease.
22 MR. MORRIS: I asked the question.		7 marked.)
23 Q. Can I please have an answer?	•	ICRE's answer. Do you see
24 A. Again, the notes in general are de	24 that, sir?	·
25 minimis relative to asset values of Highland or	25 A. Yes.	
Page 378		Page 379
1 DONDERO - 10/29/21	1 DOND	ERO - 10/29/21
2 Q. And I'm going to ask these questions	2 the time	
3 in your capacity as the 30(b)(6) representative	3 Q. Okay.	
4 of HCRE. Do you understand that?	4 A. – we put	4-1- 1
	4 A. – we put	this together.
5 A. Yes.	•	inis together. any other aspect of this
5 A. Yes.6 Q. Have you seen this document before?	5 Q. Is there a	0
	5 Q. Is there a 6 document that yo	any other aspect of this
6 Q. Have you seen this document before?	5 Q. Is there a 6 document that yo 7 A. Not as fa	any other aspect of this u believe is inaccurate today?
6 Q. Have you seen this document before?7 A. Yes.	5 Q. Is there a 6 document that yo 7 A. Not as fa 8 Q. Is there a	any other aspect of this u believe is inaccurate today? r as I know.
 6 Q. Have you seen this document before? 7 A. Yes. 8 Q. Are you aware of anything in this 	5 Q. Is there a 6 document that yo 7 A. Not as fa 8 Q. Is there a 9 that you believe s	any other aspect of this ou believe is inaccurate today? r as I know. anything in this document
 6 Q. Have you seen this document before? 7 A. Yes. 8 Q. Are you aware of anything in this 9 document that is inaccurate today? 	5 Q. Is there a 6 document that yo 7 A. Not as fa 8 Q. Is there a 9 that you believe s 10 to make it more	any other aspect of this ou believe is inaccurate today? r as I know. anything in this document should be modified or amended
 6 Q. Have you seen this document before? 7 A. Yes. 8 Q. Are you aware of anything in this 9 document that is inaccurate today? 10 A. I mean, I think 96 we put in there 	5 Q. Is there a 6 document that yo 7 A. Not as fa 8 Q. Is there a 9 that you believe s 10 to make it more	any other aspect of this ou believe is inaccurate today? r as I know. anything in this document should be modified or amended accurate or more complete?
 6 Q. Have you seen this document before? 7 A. Yes. 8 Q. Are you aware of anything in this 9 document that is inaccurate today? 10 A. I mean, I think 96 we put in there 11 similar to the other affirmative defenses in 	5Q.Is there a6document that yo7A.Not as fa8Q.Is there a9that you believe s10to make it more a11MS. DEI	any other aspect of this ou believe is inaccurate today? r as I know. anything in this document should be modified or amended accurate or more complete?
 6 Q. Have you seen this document before? 7 A. Yes. 8 Q. Are you aware of anything in this 9 document that is inaccurate today? 10 A. I mean, I think 96 we put in there 11 similar to the other affirmative defenses in 12 case there was a prepayment. But, again, we 	5Q.Is there a6document that yo7A.Not as fa8Q.Is there a9that you believe s10to make it more a11MS. DEI12form.13A.Not yet.	any other aspect of this ou believe is inaccurate today? r as I know. anything in this document should be modified or amended accurate or more complete?
 6 Q. Have you seen this document before? 7 A. Yes. 8 Q. Are you aware of anything in this 9 document that is inaccurate today? 10 A. I mean, I think 96 we put in there 11 similar to the other affirmative defenses in 12 case there was a prepayment. But, again, we 13 have been so blocked from getting information 	5Q.Is there a6document that yo7A.Not as fa8Q.Is there a9that you believe s10to make it more a11MS. DEI12form.13A.Not yet.	any other aspect of this ou believe is inaccurate today? r as I know. anything in this document should be modified or amended accurate or more complete? TSCH-PEREZ: Object to the
 6 Q. Have you seen this document before? 7 A. Yes. 8 Q. Are you aware of anything in this 9 document that is inaccurate today? 10 A. I mean, I think 96 we put in there 11 similar to the other affirmative defenses in 12 case there was a prepayment. But, again, we 13 have been so blocked from getting information 14 and detail we didn't know it at the time 	5Q.Is there a6document that you7A.Not as fa8Q.Is there a9that you believe a10to make it more a11MS. DEI12form.13A.Not yet.14Q.Okay. L15believe you just family and the second	any other aspect of this ou believe is inaccurate today? r as I know. anything in this document should be modified or amended accurate or more complete? TSCH-PEREZ: Object to the
 G. Have you seen this document before? A. Yes. Q. Are you aware of anything in this document that is inaccurate today? A. I mean, I think 96 we put in there similar to the other affirmative defenses in case there was a prepayment. But, again, we have been so blocked from getting information and detail we didn't know it at the time regarding, you know, prepayments. 	5Q.Is there a6document that you7A.Not as fa8Q.Is there a9that you believe a10to make it more a11MS. DEI12form.13A.14Q.15believe you just a16notwithstanding	any other aspect of this ou believe is inaccurate today? r as I know. anything in this document should be modified or amended accurate or more complete? TSCH-PEREZ: Object to the cooking at Paragraph 96, I estified that,
 G. Have you seen this document before? A. Yes. Q. Are you aware of anything in this document that is inaccurate today? A. I mean, I think 96 we put in there similar to the other affirmative defenses in case there was a prepayment. But, again, we have been so blocked from getting information and detail we didn't know it at the time regarding, you know, prepayments. So I don't think the prepayment 	5Q.Is there a6document that yo7A.Not as fa8Q.Is there a9that you believe a10to make it more a11MS. DEIT12form.13A.14Q.15believe you just fa16notwithstanding17therein, you are fa	any other aspect of this ou believe is inaccurate today? r as I know. anything in this document should be modified or amended accurate or more complete? TSCH-PEREZ: Object to the cooking at Paragraph 96, I estified that, the assertion of the defense
 G. Have you seen this document before? A. Yes. Q. Are you aware of anything in this document that is inaccurate today? A. I mean, I think 96 we put in there similar to the other affirmative defenses in case there was a prepayment. But, again, we have been so blocked from getting information and detail we didn't know it at the time regarding, you know, prepayments. So I don't think the prepayment teffense works for 96. So that would be my 	5Q.Is there a6document that yo7A.Not as fa8Q.Is there a9that you believe a10to make it more a11MS. DEIT12form.13A.14Q.15believe you just fa16notwithstanding17therein, you are fa	any other aspect of this ou believe is inaccurate today? r as I know. anything in this document should be modified or amended accurate or more complete? TSCH-PEREZ: Object to the cooking at Paragraph 96, I estified that, the assertion of the defense not aware of any facts repayment defense that you
 G. Have you seen this document before? A. Yes. Q. Are you aware of anything in this document that is inaccurate today? A. I mean, I think 96 we put in there similar to the other affirmative defenses in case there was a prepayment. But, again, we have been so blocked from getting information and detail we didn't know it at the time regarding, you know, prepayments. So I don't think the prepayment defense works for 96. So that would be my clarification of an inaccuracy. 	5Q.Is there a6document that you7A.Not as fa8Q.Is there a9that you believe as10to make it more a11MS. DEI12form.13A.14Q.15believe you just fa16notwithstanding17therein, you are a18concerning the p19described earlier	any other aspect of this ou believe is inaccurate today? r as I know. anything in this document should be modified or amended accurate or more complete? TSCH-PEREZ: Object to the cooking at Paragraph 96, I estified that, the assertion of the defense not aware of any facts repayment defense that you
 G. Have you seen this document before? A. Yes. Q. Are you aware of anything in this document that is inaccurate today? A. I mean, I think 96 we put in there similar to the other affirmative defenses in case there was a prepayment. But, again, we have been so blocked from getting information and detail we didn't know it at the time regarding, you know, prepayments. So I don't think the prepayment defense works for 96. So that would be my clarification of an inaccuracy. Why do you believe that the 	5Q.Is there a6document that you7A.Not as fa8Q.Is there a9that you believe as10to make it more a11MS. DEI12form.13A.14Q.15believe you just fa16notwithstanding17therein, you are a18concerning the p19described earlier	any other aspect of this ou believe is inaccurate today? r as I know. anything in this document should be modified or amended accurate or more complete? TSCH-PEREZ: Object to the cooking at Paragraph 96, I estified that, the assertion of the defense not aware of any facts repayment defense that you for HCMS.
 G. Have you seen this document before? A. Yes. Q. Are you aware of anything in this document that is inaccurate today? A. I mean, I think 96 we put in there similar to the other affirmative defenses in case there was a prepayment. But, again, we have been so blocked from getting information and detail we didn't know it at the time regarding, you know, prepayments. So I don't think the prepayment defense works for 96. So that would be my clarification of an inaccuracy. Q. Why do you believe that the prepayment defense doesn't work in Paragraph 96 	5Q.Is there a6document that you7A.Not as fa8Q.Is there a9that you believe as10to make it more a11MS. DEIT12form.13A.14Q.15believe you just fa16notwithstanding17therein, you are a18concerning the p19described earlier20Do I have21A.21A.21A.22A.23Yes.	any other aspect of this ou believe is inaccurate today? r as I know. anything in this document should be modified or amended accurate or more complete? TSCH-PEREZ: Object to the cooking at Paragraph 96, I estified that, the assertion of the defense not aware of any facts repayment defense that you for HCMS.
 G. Have you seen this document before? A. Yes. Q. Are you aware of anything in this 9 document that is inaccurate today? A. I mean, I think 96 we put in there similar to the other affirmative defenses in case there was a prepayment. But, again, we have been so blocked from getting information and detail we didn't know it at the time regarding, you know, prepayments. So I don't think the prepayment defense works for 96. So that would be my clarification of an inaccuracy. Q. Why do you believe that the prepayment defense doesn't work in Paragraph 96 for HCRE? 	5Q.Is there a6document that you7A.Not as fa8Q.Is there a9that you believe a10to make it more a11MS. DEIT12form.13A.14Q.15believe you just fa16notwithstanding17therein, you are a18concerning the p19described earlier20Do I have21A.22Q.23Okay. I	any other aspect of this ou believe is inaccurate today? r as I know. anything in this document should be modified or amended accurate or more complete? TSCH-PEREZ: Object to the cooking at Paragraph 96, I estified that, the assertion of the defense not aware of any facts repayment defense that you for HCMS. e that right?
 G. Have you seen this document before? A. Yes. Q. Are you aware of anything in this 9 document that is inaccurate today? A. I mean, I think 96 we put in there 11 similar to the other affirmative defenses in 12 case there was a prepayment. But, again, we 13 have been so blocked from getting information 14 and detail we didn't know it at the time 15 regarding, you know, prepayments. 16 So I don't think the prepayment 17 defense works for 96. So that would be my 18 clarification of an inaccuracy. 19 Q. Why do you believe that the 20 prepayment defense doesn't work in Paragraph 96 21 for HCRE? 22 A. Because I don't think there were any 	5Q.Is there a6document that you7A.Not as fa8Q.Is there a9that you believe a10to make it more a11MS. DEIT12form.13A.14Q.15believe you just fa16notwithstanding17therein, you are a18concerning the p19described earlier20Do I have21A.22Q.23Okay. I	any other aspect of this ou believe is inaccurate today? r as I know. anything in this document should be modified or amended accurate or more complete? TSCH-PEREZ: Object to the cooking at Paragraph 96, I estified that, the assertion of the defense not aware of any facts repayment defense that you for HCMS. e that right?
 G. Have you seen this document before? A. Yes. Q. Are you aware of anything in this g document that is inaccurate today? A. I mean, I think 96 we put in there similar to the other affirmative defenses in case there was a prepayment. But, again, we have been so blocked from getting information and detail we didn't know it at the time regarding, you know, prepayments. So I don't think the prepayment defense works for 96. So that would be my clarification of an inaccuracy. Q. Why do you believe that the prepayment defense doesn't work in Paragraph 96 for HCRE? A. Because I don't think there were any prepayments. 	5Q.Is there a6document that yo7A.Not as fa8Q.Is there a9that you believe s10to make it more a11MS. DEIT12form.13A.Not yet.14Q.Okay. L15believe you just f16notwithstanding17therein, you are f18concerning the p19described earlier20Do I have21A.22Q.23that relate to the24Paragraph 96?	any other aspect of this ou believe is inaccurate today? r as I know. anything in this document should be modified or amended accurate or more complete? TSCH-PEREZ: Object to the cooking at Paragraph 96, I estified that, the assertion of the defense not aware of any facts repayment defense that you for HCMS. e that right?

Caase210600655gjpDoc148634FHdd012061221 Elatered012061221184187432 Page731 of 899

Page 380			Page 381
1 DONDERO - 10/29/21	1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	Fage 301
2 Q. Okay. How about Paragraphs 97 and	2	the screen on if you want so that we can	
3 98? Do you have any facts that relate to those	3	get back fast.	
4 affirmative defenses?	4	MR. MORRIS: My pleasure, Deborah.	
5 A. It would be the same answer as on	5	No problem.	
6 the last one.	6	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Thank you.	
7 Q. Okay. I appreciate that. And so –	7	VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record,	
8 but we don't have to go over it again. I will	8	12:40.	
9 just leave it at that.	9	(Recess taken 12:40 p.m. to 12:51 p.m.)	
10 Let's go to Exhibit 15, please.	10	Q. Before we go on to this document,	
11 (Exhibit 15 marked.)	11	sir, did HCRE have a shared services agreement	
12 MR. MORRIS: This is the next –	12	with Highland?	
13 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Hey, John.	13	VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back on the	
14 John, can we take a – like a very quick	14	record.	
15 restroom break?	15	MR. MORRIS: Oh, do I need to read	
16 MR. MORRIS: You know, if we could	16	the question again?	
17 just get through this document, which	17	COURT REPORTER: No, I've got it.	
18 shouldn't take long, then perhaps we can	18	A. I I don't believe it is a formal	
19 take a short half-hour lunch break.	19	written one. I think it is just a verbal one.	
20 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Well, we can	20	Q. And who is the verbal agreement	
21 take a short half-hour lunch break after we	21	between?	
22 get through this, but I just need to run to	22	A. It was between Highland and HCRE.	
23 the restroom.	23	Now it is between NexPoint and HCRE.	
24 MR. MORRIS: Okay.	24	Q. And who entered into the agreement	
25 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: So you can leave	25	between Highland and HCRE?	
, ,		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Page 382	1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	Page 383
2 A. I would give the same answer I gave	2	Q. Did the legal department also	
3 before where it was just – it was just	3	provide services to HCRE?	
4 understood that we supported all the related	4	A. It would depend on the specific	
5 entities or entrepreneurial efforts and it was,	5	entity. In the case of HCRE I think they used	
6 you know, modest amounts of work.	6	the – the two lawyers that worked at NexPoint.	
7 There wasn't specific financial	7	I don't think they used the legal	
8 remuneration, but – and NexPoint is a good	8	staff per se. I think they – the shared	
9 example, too. There was a significant track	9	services that they relied on were accounting	
10 record gulf that was able to be used to raise	10	and tax primarily.	
11 other money.	11	Q. Did Mark Patrick do work for HCRE	
12 Q. I'm just asking you who entered into	12	while he was employed by Highland?	
13 the agreement between Highland and – and HCRE	13	A. Boy, I don't know. I imagine	
14 for the provision of services by Highland?	14	probably tax-related stuff.	
15 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Asked and	15	Q. Did HCRE ever pay Highland anything	
16 answered.	16	for the services that it received?	
17 A. Yeah, again, same answer as before.	17	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Are you talking	
18 I don't think anybody specifically, formally	18	about cash or	
19 did it.	19	MR. MORRIS: Please, please, please.	
20 Q. Okay. Is it – are the terms of the	20	– I'm trying to be really patient,	
21 agreement written down anywhere?	20	Deborah, but please no speaking objections.	
22 A. No, like I said, it is just	21	Mr. Dondero is a very sophisticated man.	
23 understood the accounting department and tax	23	We have done this many times	
23 understood the accounting department and tax24 department would handle the accounting and tax	23	together. He will ask me if he doesn't	
25 for all entities.	24	understand the question. And if you would	
	20	and order and quoteen. A that you would	

Case 2100005 sgp Dood 4003 4 Field 01 206 221 Elistered 01 206 221 8 41 8 74 32 Page 7 22 of 8 9 9

1	Page 384			Page 385
	DONDERO - 10/29/21	1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	i age 505
2	like to object, by all means. I don't have	2	definitely you know, when I use the DAF	
3	a problem with that. I don't.	3	example, you know, we would have never got the	
4	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: But I asked –	4	Harvard vest as an investor if it wasn't for	
5	(speaking simultaneously.)	5	the track record that the DAF had in CLO	
6	Q. Mr. Dondero – Mr. Dondero –	6	equity.	
7	Mr. Dondero, did HCRE ever pay anything to	7	I think there is business that	
8	Highland for services rendered?	8	NexPoint got in the real estate space	
9	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Asked and	9	benefiting from the HCRE performance. So I do	
10	answered.	10	believe there was specific definable benefit	
11	A. Yeah, that is what I was going to	11	gained for the modest amount of cost of	
12	say. Same answer. You know, not – not a	12	services provided.	
13	formal cash remuneration, but, you know, a –	13	Q. And you –	
14	which wouldn't have been much anyway. But –	14	A. There wasn't specific remuneration.	
15	but more in terms of track record and presence	15	Q. And you controlled all of these	
16	in the market that then Highland or NexPoint	16	entities; right?	
17	could use to further its business.	17	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	
18	Q. Are you saying that – that all of	18	form.	
19	the entities were working kind of as a unified	19	A. Well, the DAF is independent and	
20	unit and got synergistic benefits from the work	20	separate, but the – the HCRE-type entity, yes.	
21	that it did?	21	Q. And did you decide that HCRE and	
22	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	22	HCMS and the DAF wouldn't be required to pay	
23	form.	23	for services rendered to Highland?	
24	A. I don't want to over generalize and	24	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	
25	say yes to that, but – but there were	25	form.	
	Page 386	<u> </u>		Page 387
1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	
2	A. My recollection on the services and	2	get an answer to this question.	
3	the HCRE is that the dollar value of the	3	A. Can you repeat the question?	
4	services provided was – was small and nominal.	4	Q. Sure.	
5	With regard to the DAF, it was more	5	Did you make the decision on behalf	
6	complicated. There is rules – there is	6	of Highland to provide services to the DAF	
7	charging rules in terms of fees and then there	6		
	charging rules in terms of fees and then there	7	without receiving a stream of income in return?	
8	is also - I wasn't the one that decided that.	7 8	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Same objection.	
8	is also – I wasn't the one that decided that. And there are other issues there other than	7 8 9	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Same objection. A. Yeah, I think I answered it with my	
8 9 10	is also – I wasn't the one that decided that. And there are other issues there other than just the value for services argument.	7 8 9 10	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Same objection. A. Yeah, I think I answered it with my rambling a few minutes ago, but the short	
11	is also – I wasn't the one that decided that. And there are other issues there other than just the value for services argument. And so I don't – the short answer	7 8 9 10 11	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Same objection. A. Yeah, I think I answered it with my rambling a few minutes ago, but the short answer is no.	
11 12	is also – I wasn't the one that decided that. And there are other issues there other than just the value for services argument. And so I don't – the short answer is, I don't know and I'm not involved in that,	7 8 9 10 11 12	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Same objection. A. Yeah, I think I answered it with my rambling a few minutes ago, but the short answer is no. Q. Who made that decision? Who made	
11	is also – I wasn't the one that decided that. And there are other issues there other than just the value for services argument. And so I don't – the short answer is, I don't know and I'm not involved in that, and I don't understand why sometimes there is	7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Same objection. A. Yeah, I think I answered it with my rambling a few minutes ago, but the short answer is no. Q. Who made that decision? Who made that decision?	
11 12 13 14	is also – I wasn't the one that decided that. And there are other issues there other than just the value for services argument. And so I don't – the short answer is, I don't know and I'm not involved in that, and I don't understand why sometimes there is one and sometimes there isn't one. Even to	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Same objection. A. Yeah, I think I answered it with my rambling a few minutes ago, but the short answer is no. Q. Who made that decision? Who made that decision? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Was that Mike's	
11 12 13 14 15	is also – I wasn't the one that decided that. And there are other issues there other than just the value for services argument. And so I don't – the short answer is, I don't know and I'm not involved in that, and I don't understand why sometimes there is one and sometimes there isn't one. Even to this day I don't know the answer to that.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Same objection. A. Yeah, I think I answered it with my rambling a few minutes ago, but the short answer is no. Q. Who made that decision? Who made that decision? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Was that Mike's dog or yours?	
11 12 13 14 15 16	is also – I wasn't the one that decided that. And there are other issues there other than just the value for services argument. And so I don't – the short answer is, I don't know and I'm not involved in that, and I don't understand why sometimes there is one and sometimes there isn't one. Even to this day I don't know the answer to that. Q. Did – did – did you decide on	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Same objection. A. Yeah, I think I answered it with my rambling a few minutes ago, but the short answer is no. Q. Who made that decision? Who made that decision? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Was that Mike's dog or yours? MR. MORRIS: That was my dog. I	
11 12 13 14 15	is also – I wasn't the one that decided that. And there are other issues there other than just the value for services argument. And so I don't – the short answer is, I don't know and I'm not involved in that, and I don't understand why sometimes there is one and sometimes there isn't one. Even to this day I don't know the answer to that. Q. Did – did – did you decide on behalf of Highland that Highland would provide	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Same objection. A. Yeah, I think I answered it with my rambling a few minutes ago, but the short answer is no. Q. Who made that decision? Who made that decision? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Was that Mike's dog or yours? MR. MORRIS: That was my dog. I apologize.	
11 12 13 14 15 16	is also – I wasn't the one that decided that. And there are other issues there other than just the value for services argument. And so I don't – the short answer is, I don't know and I'm not involved in that, and I don't understand why sometimes there is one and sometimes there isn't one. Even to this day I don't know the answer to that. Q. Did – did – did you decide on behalf of Highland that Highland would provide services to DAF without receiving a stream of	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Same objection. A. Yeah, I think I answered it with my rambling a few minutes ago, but the short answer is no. Q. Who made that decision? Who made that decision? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Was that Mike's dog or yours? MR. MORRIS: That was my dog. I apologize. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Okay.	
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	is also – I wasn't the one that decided that. And there are other issues there other than just the value for services argument. And so I don't – the short answer is, I don't know and I'm not involved in that, and I don't understand why sometimes there is one and sometimes there isn't one. Even to this day I don't know the answer to that. Q. Did – did – did you decide on behalf of Highland that Highland would provide services to DAF without receiving a stream of income in return?	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Same objection. A. Yeah, I think I answered it with my rambling a few minutes ago, but the short answer is no. Q. Who made that decision? Who made that decision? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Was that Mike's dog or yours? MR. MORRIS: That was my dog. I apologize. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Okay. Q. Who made that decision, sir?	
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	is also – I wasn't the one that decided that. And there are other issues there other than just the value for services argument. And so I don't – the short answer is, I don't know and I'm not involved in that, and I don't understand why sometimes there is one and sometimes there isn't one. Even to this day I don't know the answer to that. Q. Did – did – did you decide on behalf of Highland that Highland would provide services to DAF without receiving a stream of income in return? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: John, I think	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Same objection. A. Yeah, I think I answered it with my rambling a few minutes ago, but the short answer is no. Q. Who made that decision? Who made that decision? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Was that Mike's dog or yours? MR. MORRIS: That was my dog. I apologize. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Okay. Q. Who made that decision, sir? A. I wasn't sure –	
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	is also – I wasn't the one that decided that. And there are other issues there other than just the value for services argument. And so I don't – the short answer is, I don't know and I'm not involved in that, and I don't understand why sometimes there is one and sometimes there isn't one. Even to this day I don't know the answer to that. Q. Did – did – did you decide on behalf of Highland that Highland would provide services to DAF without receiving a stream of income in return? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: John, I think we're really far outside of either any of	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Same objection. A. Yeah, I think I answered it with my rambling a few minutes ago, but the short answer is no. Q. Who made that decision? Who made that decision? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Was that Mike's dog or yours? MR. MORRIS: That was my dog. I apologize. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Okay. Q. Who made that decision, sir? A. I wasn't sure – MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Again – again,	
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	is also – I wasn't the one that decided that. And there are other issues there other than just the value for services argument. And so I don't – the short answer is, I don't know and I'm not involved in that, and I don't understand why sometimes there is one and sometimes there isn't one. Even to this day I don't know the answer to that. Q. Did – did – did you decide on behalf of Highland that Highland would provide services to DAF without receiving a stream of income in retum? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: John, I think we're really far outside of either any of the 30(b)(6)s or the permissible topics for	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Same objection. A. Yeah, I think I answered it with my rambling a few minutes ago, but the short answer is no. Q. Who made that decision? Who made that decision? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Was that Mike's dog or yours? MR. MORRIS: That was my dog. I apologize. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Okay. Q. Who made that decision, sir? A. I wasn't sure MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Again again, John, this is well beyond the scope of the	
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	is also – I wasn't the one that decided that. And there are other issues there other than just the value for services argument. And so I don't – the short answer is, I don't know and I'm not involved in that, and I don't know and I'm not involved in that, and I don't understand why sometimes there is one and sometimes there isn't one. Even to this day I don't know the answer to that. Q. Did – did – did you decide on behalf of Highland that Highland would provide services to DAF without receiving a stream of income in return? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: John, I think we're really far outside of either any of the 30(b)(6)s or the permissible topics for Mr. Dondero's personal deposition.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Same objection. A. Yeah, I think I answered it with my rambling a few minutes ago, but the short answer is no. Q. Who made that decision? Who made that decision? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Was that Mike's dog or yours? MR. MORRIS: That was my dog. I apologize. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Okay. Q. Who made that decision, sir? A. I wasn't sure – MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Again – again, John, this is well beyond the scope of the 30(b)(6)s or even anything permissible for	
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	is also – I wasn't the one that decided that. And there are other issues there other than just the value for services argument. And so I don't – the short answer is, I don't know and I'm not involved in that, and I don't understand why sometimes there is one and sometimes there isn't one. Even to this day I don't know the answer to that. Q. Did – did – did you decide on behalf of Highland that Highland would provide services to DAF without receiving a stream of income in return? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: John, I think we're really far outside of either any of the 30(b)(6)s or the permissible topics for Mr. Dondero's personal deposition. So could you move on?	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Same objection. A. Yeah, I think I answered it with my rambling a few minutes ago, but the short answer is no. Q. Who made that decision? Who made that decision? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Was that Mike's dog or yours? MR. MORRIS: That was my dog. I apologize. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Okay. Q. Who made that decision, sir? A. I wasn't sure – MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Again – again, John, this is well beyond the scope of the 30(b)(6)s or even anything permissible for Mr. Dondero's personal. And, in fact, you	
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	is also – I wasn't the one that decided that. And there are other issues there other than just the value for services argument. And so I don't – the short answer is, I don't know and I'm not involved in that, and I don't know and I'm not involved in that, and I don't understand why sometimes there is one and sometimes there isn't one. Even to this day I don't know the answer to that. Q. Did – did – did you decide on behalf of Highland that Highland would provide services to DAF without receiving a stream of income in return? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: John, I think we're really far outside of either any of the 30(b)(6)s or the permissible topics for Mr. Dondero's personal deposition.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Same objection. A. Yeah, I think I answered it with my rambling a few minutes ago, but the short answer is no. Q. Who made that decision? Who made that decision? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Was that Mike's dog or yours? MR. MORRIS: That was my dog. I apologize. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Okay. Q. Who made that decision, sir? A. I wasn't sure – MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Again – again, John, this is well beyond the scope of the 30(b)(6)s or even anything permissible for Mr. Dondero's personal. And, in fact, you	

Caase210600655gjpDoc148634Fifedc012061221 Elateredc012061221184187432 Page729 of 899

	Page 388			Page 389
1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	g
2	question. So	2	document on the screen is NexPoint's answer to	
3	MR. MORRIS: That is – that is	3	Highland's amended complaint?	
4	because I thought that he would say as the	4	A. Yes.	
5	control person at the enterprise that he	5	Q. Did you review this document before?	
6	made the decision, but he said that he	6	A. Just generally.	
7	didn't.	7	Q. And did you authorize the filing of	
8	So I'm just asking one follow-up	8	this document on behalf of NexPoint?	
9	question. I just want to know – Deborah,	9	A. Yes, yes.	
10	please.	10	Q. Are you aware of anything in this	
11	Q. I just want to know who made the	11	document today that you believe to be	
12	decision on behalf of Highland to render	12	inaccurate?	
13	services to the DAF without receiving a stream	13	A. I think the – on the affirmative	
14	of income in return.	14	defenses on the – do you remember on the prior	
15	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	15	one we had the – I think it was called	
16	form of the question for all of the reasons	16	justification as the first one, but there	
17	I stated before.	17	wasn't a prepay in that one?	
18	A. And I don't know the answer.	18	Q. Correct.	
19	Q. Okay. So looking back at the	19	A. I think this one there were prepays,	
20	document on the screen, we're going to ask	20	but the justification defense is missing from	
21	I'm going to ask these questions in your	21	the front here. And I think that is - I think	
22	capacity as NexPoint's 30(b)(6) representative,	22	if that were to continue – I think that is	
23	okay?	23	partly due to different law firms and what was	
24	A. Sure.	24	known at the time, et cetera, but I would say	
25	Q. And do you understand that the	25	that is – that is the – that is the one thing	
	Page 390			Page 391
1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	
2	that jumps out at me between the two.	2	something.	
3	MR. MORRIS: Okay. Can we go to	3	MR. MORRIS: It is completely	
4	Paragraph 80, and let's see if we can see	4	improper. He doesn't need to be a lawyer.	
5	what Mr. Dondero is talking about.	5	He's a 30(b)(6) witness, and I'm asking	
6	Q. Okay. So I'm just going to focus on	6	such a simple question, what facts do you	
	the first three paragraphs, 80, 81, and 82, and	7	have that support the affirmative defense.	
8	ask you whether – whether you are aware of any	8	A. Okay. Is it okay if I repeat some	
9	facts that concern the affirmative defenses set	9	of them from the prior one?	
10	forth in those paragraphs. And I think they're	10	Q. Sure. Whatever you are comfortable	
11	related, and that is why I'm asking you to do	11	with.	
12	it all together, but we can do it one at a	12	A. The to the extent that to the	
13	time, whatever you are comfortable with.	13	extent that the notes were prepaid – prepaid	
14	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	14	significantly, it is a real question on whether	
15	form. I mean, other than the facts in	15	or not there could have been a breach at the	
16	those paragraphs?	16	end of the year, even if there wasn't a payment	
17	MR. MORRIS: You are doing it again,	17	at the end of the year.	
18	Deborah.	18	There is no logical reason, nor	
· · -	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: It	19	would I have ever authorized or suggested no	
19		20	payment to put us on in default due to a de	
20	MR. MORRIS: Please, please.			
20 21	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: John, when you	21	minimis amount of money, like a few hundred	
20 21 22	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: John, when you ask questions – I understand Mr. Dondero	22	thousand dollars, even if I was highly annoyed	
20 21 22 23	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: John, when you ask questions – I understand Mr. Dondero is sophisticated, but he's also not a	22 23	thousand dollars, even if I was highly annoyed with Seery, even if we knew that Seery and	
20 21 22 23 24	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: John, when you ask questions – I understand Mr. Dondero is sophisticated, but he's also not a lawyer, and when you ask questions that are	22 23 24	thousand dollars, even if I was highly annoyed with Seery, even if we knew that Seery and Highland had overcharged NexPoint by whatever	
20 21 22 23	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: John, when you ask questions – I understand Mr. Dondero is sophisticated, but he's also not a	22 23	thousand dollars, even if I was highly annoyed with Seery, even if we knew that Seery and	

Caase210600655gjpDoc148634Fifedc012061221 Elateredc012061221184187432 Page730 of 899

	Page 392			Page 393
1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	Fage 393
2	let a small amount cause a – cause a breach.	2	cetera, but the calculation that they came up	
3	You know, the how would I how	3	to get it in compliance in good standing was a	
4	would I add to that now. The overpayment on	4	million 4. And just like we relied on them to	
5	the \$14 million, holding back additional shared	5	pay it and keep us out of default, we relied on	
6	services amount, made an inordinate amount of	6	them to set the amount to cure.	
7	sense.	7	But I guess I would make the	
8	There was supposed to be at that	8	argument that it shouldn't have been, but	
9	time – there was another netting from Seery in	9	again, I didn't want to mince – I didn't want	
10	terms of wanting to be fair and reasonable, you	10	to on small dollars make an argument that could	
11	know, with employees and with the transition of	11	get us in bigger trouble – bigger trouble. So	
12	the estate, et cetera, and everything was going	12	it was easier to to pay the million bucks	
13	to get trued up.	13	than it was to argue that it wasn't due.	
14	So I do believe there was an	14	Q. Did you at any time in your capacity	
15	expectation of a netting, et cetera, but	15	as the person in control of NexPoint instruct	
16	overall, Highland should have paid it. It	16	anybody at Highland to make the payment that	
17	shouldn't have let it breach the cause, but at	17	was due at the end of 2020?	
18	least when I found out about it and they knew I	18	A. Not specifically to pay it or not	
19	was annoyed. And I told them I didn't want it	19	specifically not to pay it. It was something,	
20	to be in default, they gave me the numbers and	20	again, small and de minimis that I expected to	
21	the amounts to cure it in their mind, and they	21	be done in due course.	
22	accepted it.	22	MR. MORRIS: I move to strike.	
23	Now, I think they should have gone	23	Q. It's a very simple question.	
24	back and incorporated prepays and said that no	24	Did you personally take any steps to	
25	amounts were due because of the prepays, et	25	ensure that NexPoint made the payment that was	
	Page 394			Page 395
1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	
2	due at the end of 2020?	2	gave.	
3	due at the end of 2020? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Asked and	23	gave. Q. Okay. I'm going to say the word	
3 4	due at the end of 2020? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Asked and answered.	2 3 4	gave. Q. Okay. I'm going to say the word "Yankees," and every time I say the word	
3 4 5	due at the end of 2020? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Asked and	2 3 4 5	gave. Q. Okay. I'm going to say the word "Yankees," and every time I say the word "Yankees" today, everybody should know that	
3 4 5 6	due at the end of 2020? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Asked and answered. A. Yes, I would like to repeat my same answer.	2 3 4 5 6	gave. Q. Okay. I'm going to say the word "Yankees," and every time I say the word "Yankees" today, everybody should know that that is the question that I'm going to bring to	
3 4 5 6 7	due at the end of 2020? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Asked and answered. A. Yes, I would like to repeat my same answer. Q. Did you tell anybody to make the	2 3 4 5 6 7	gave. Q. Okay. I'm going to say the word "Yankees," and every time I say the word "Yankees" today, everybody should know that that is the question that I'm going to bring to the Court on a motion to compel, okay?	
3 4 5 6 7 8	due at the end of 2020? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Asked and answered. A. Yes, I would like to repeat my same answer. Q. Did you tell anybody to make the payment on behalf of NexPoint at the end of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	gave. Q. Okay. I'm going to say the word "Yankees," and every time I say the word "Yankees" today, everybody should know that that is the question that I'm going to bring to the Court on a motion to compel, okay? It's a very simple question. It's a	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	due at the end of 2020? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Asked and answered. A. Yes, I would like to repeat my same answer. Q. Did you tell anybody to make the payment on behalf of NexPoint at the end of 2020?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	gave. Q. Okay. I'm going to say the word "Yankees," and every time I say the word "Yankees" today, everybody should know that that is the question that I'm going to bring to the Court on a motion to compel, okay? It's a very simple question. It's a very simple question. I will ask one more	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	due at the end of 2020? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Asked and answered. A. Yes, I would like to repeat my same answer. Q. Did you tell anybody to make the payment on behalf of NexPoint at the end of 2020? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Asked and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	gave. Q. Okay. I'm going to say the word "Yankees," and every time I say the word "Yankees" today, everybody should know that that is the question that I'm going to bring to the Court on a motion to compel, okay? It's a very simple question. It's a very simple question. I will ask one more time, and if you don't want to answer, that is	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	due at the end of 2020? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Asked and answered. A. Yes, I would like to repeat my same answer. Q. Did you tell anybody to make the payment on behalf of NexPoint at the end of 2020? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Asked and answered.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	gave. Q. Okay. I'm going to say the word "Yankees," and every time I say the word "Yankees" today, everybody should know that that is the question that I'm going to bring to the Court on a motion to compel, okay? It's a very simple question. It's a very simple question. I will ask one more time, and if you don't want to answer, that is fine.	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	due at the end of 2020? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Asked and answered. A. Yes, I would like to repeat my same answer. Q. Did you tell anybody to make the payment on behalf of NexPoint at the end of 2020? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Asked and answered. A. I would like to give the same answer	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	gave. Q. Okay. I'm going to say the word "Yankees," and every time I say the word "Yankees" today, everybody should know that that is the question that I'm going to bring to the Court on a motion to compel, okay? It's a very simple question. It's a very simple question. I will ask one more time, and if you don't want to answer, that is fine. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: What –	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	due at the end of 2020? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Asked and answered. A. Yes, I would like to repeat my same answer. Q. Did you tell anybody to make the payment on behalf of NexPoint at the end of 2020? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Asked and answered. A. I would like to give the same answer that you – you – you struck.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	gave. Q. Okay. I'm going to say the word "Yankees," and every time I say the word "Yankees" today, everybody should know that that is the question that I'm going to bring to the Court on a motion to compel, okay? It's a very simple question. It's a very simple question. I will ask one more time, and if you don't want to answer, that is fine. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: What – Q. Mr. Dondero – Mr. Dondero, in	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	due at the end of 2020? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Asked and answered. A. Yes, I would like to repeat my same answer. Q. Did you tell anybody to make the payment on behalf of NexPoint at the end of 2020? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Asked and answered. A. I would like to give the same answer that you – you – you struck. Q. Can you just say yes or no, sir, did	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	gave. Q. Okay. I'm going to say the word "Yankees," and every time I say the word "Yankees" today, everybody should know that that is the question that I'm going to bring to the Court on a motion to compel, okay? It's a very simple question. It's a very simple question. I will ask one more time, and if you don't want to answer, that is fine. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: What – Q. Mr. Dondero – Mr. Dondero, in December of 2020, did you give anybody any	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	due at the end of 2020? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Asked and answered. A. Yes, I would like to repeat my same answer. Q. Did you tell anybody to make the payment on behalf of NexPoint at the end of 2020? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Asked and answered. A. I would like to give the same answer that you – you – you struck. Q. Can you just say yes or no, sir, did you tell anybody to make the payment at the end	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	gave. Q. Okay. I'm going to say the word "Yankees," and every time I say the word "Yankees" today, everybody should know that that is the question that I'm going to bring to the Court on a motion to compel, okay? It's a very simple question. It's a very simple question. I will ask one more time, and if you don't want to answer, that is fine. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: What – Q. Mr. Dondero – Mr. Dondero, in December of 2020, did you give anybody any instructions at Highland to make sure that	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	due at the end of 2020? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Asked and answered. A. Yes, I would like to repeat my same answer. Q. Did you tell anybody to make the payment on behalf of NexPoint at the end of 2020? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Asked and answered. A. I would like to give the same answer that you – you – you struck. Q. Can you just say yes or no, sir, did you tell anybody to make the payment at the end of 2020 on behalf of NexPoint?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	gave. Q. Okay. I'm going to say the word "Yankees," and every time I say the word "Yankees" today, everybody should know that that is the question that I'm going to bring to the Court on a motion to compel, okay? It's a very simple question. It's a very simple question. I will ask one more time, and if you don't want to answer, that is fine. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: What – Q. Mr. Dondero – Mr. Dondero, in December of 2020, did you give anybody any instructions at Highland to make sure that NexPoint made the payment that was due at the	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	due at the end of 2020? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Asked and answered. A. Yes, I would like to repeat my same answer. Q. Did you tell anybody to make the payment on behalf of NexPoint at the end of 2020? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Asked and answered. A. I would like to give the same answer that you – you – you struck. Q. Can you just say yes or no, sir, did you tell anybody to make the payment at the end of 2020 on behalf of NexPoint? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Asked and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	gave. Q. Okay. I'm going to say the word "Yankees," and every time I say the word "Yankees" today, everybody should know that that is the question that I'm going to bring to the Court on a motion to compel, okay? It's a very simple question. It's a very simple question. I will ask one more time, and if you don't want to answer, that is fine. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: What – Q. Mr. Dondero – Mr. Dondero, in December of 2020, did you give anybody any instructions at Highland to make sure that NexPoint made the payment that was due at the end of the year?	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	due at the end of 2020? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Asked and answered. A. Yes, I would like to repeat my same answer. Q. Did you tell anybody to make the payment on behalf of NexPoint at the end of 2020? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Asked and answered. A. I would like to give the same answer that you – you – you struck. Q. Can you just say yes or no, sir, did you tell anybody to make the payment at the end of 2020 on behalf of NexPoint? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Asked and answered.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	gave. Q. Okay. I'm going to say the word "Yankees," and every time I say the word "Yankees" today, everybody should know that that is the question that I'm going to bring to the Court on a motion to compel, okay? It's a very simple question. It's a very simple question. I will ask one more time, and if you don't want to answer, that is fine. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: What – Q. Mr. Dondero – Mr. Dondero, in December of 2020, did you give anybody any instructions at Highland to make sure that NexPoint made the payment that was due at the end of the year? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Asked and	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	due at the end of 2020? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Asked and answered. A. Yes, I would like to repeat my same answer. Q. Did you tell anybody to make the payment on behalf of NexPoint at the end of 2020? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Asked and answered. A. I would like to give the same answer that you – you – you struck. Q. Can you just say yes or no, sir, did you tell anybody to make the payment at the end of 2020 on behalf of NexPoint? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Asked and answered. A. I don't want to give anything beyond	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	gave. Q. Okay. I'm going to say the word "Yankees," and every time I say the word "Yankees" today, everybody should know that that is the question that I'm going to bring to the Court on a motion to compel, okay? It's a very simple question. It's a very simple question. I will ask one more time, and if you don't want to answer, that is fine. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: What – Q. Mr. Dondero – Mr. Dondero, in December of 2020, did you give anybody any instructions at Highland to make sure that NexPoint made the payment that was due at the end of the year? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Asked and answered.	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	due at the end of 2020? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Asked and answered. A. Yes, I would like to repeat my same answer. Q. Did you tell anybody to make the payment on behalf of NexPoint at the end of 2020? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Asked and answered. A. I would like to give the same answer that you – you – you struck. Q. Can you just say yes or no, sir, did you tell anybody to make the payment at the end of 2020 on behalf of NexPoint? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Asked and answered. A. I don't want to give anything beyond the answer that I gave.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	gave. Q. Okay. I'm going to say the word "Yankees," and every time I say the word "Yankees" today, everybody should know that that is the question that I'm going to bring to the Court on a motion to compel, okay? It's a very simple question. It's a very simple question. I will ask one more time, and if you don't want to answer, that is fine. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: What – Q. Mr. Dondero – Mr. Dondero, in December of 2020, did you give anybody any instructions at Highland to make sure that NexPoint made the payment that was due at the end of the year? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Asked and answered. A. I think that means I'm supposed to	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	due at the end of 2020? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Asked and answered. A. Yes, I would like to repeat my same answer. Q. Did you tell anybody to make the payment on behalf of NexPoint at the end of 2020? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Asked and answered. A. I would like to give the same answer that you – you – you struck. Q. Can you just say yes or no, sir, did you tell anybody to make the payment at the end of 2020 on behalf of NexPoint? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Asked and answered. A. I don't want to give anything beyond the answer that I gave. Q. Okay.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	gave. Q. Okay. I'm going to say the word "Yankees," and every time I say the word "Yankees" today, everybody should know that that is the question that I'm going to bring to the Court on a motion to compel, okay? It's a very simple question. It's a very simple question. I will ask one more time, and if you don't want to answer, that is fine. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: What – Q. Mr. Dondero – Mr. Dondero, in December of 2020, did you give anybody any instructions at Highland to make sure that NexPoint made the payment that was due at the end of the year? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Asked and answered. A. I think that means I'm supposed to stick with the answer that I gave.	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	due at the end of 2020? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Asked and answered. A. Yes, I would like to repeat my same answer. Q. Did you tell anybody to make the payment on behalf of NexPoint at the end of 2020? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Asked and answered. A. I would like to give the same answer that you – you – you struck. Q. Can you just say yes or no, sir, did you tell anybody to make the payment at the end of 2020 on behalf of NexPoint? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Asked and answered. A. I don't want to give anything beyond the answer that I gave. Q. Okay. A. I get myself in trouble because I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	gave. Q. Okay. I'm going to say the word "Yankees," and every time I say the word "Yankees" today, everybody should know that that is the question that I'm going to bring to the Court on a motion to compel, okay? It's a very simple question. It's a very simple question. I will ask one more time, and if you don't want to answer, that is fine. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: What – Q. Mr. Dondero – Mr. Dondero, in December of 2020, did you give anybody any instructions at Highland to make sure that NexPoint made the payment that was due at the end of the year? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Asked and answered. A. I think that means I'm supposed to stick with the answer that I gave. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: You're on mute,	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 3	due at the end of 2020? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Asked and answered. A. Yes, I would like to repeat my same answer. Q. Did you tell anybody to make the payment on behalf of NexPoint at the end of 2020? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Asked and answered. A. I would like to give the same answer that you – you – you struck. Q. Can you just say yes or no, sir, did you tell anybody to make the payment at the end of 2020 on behalf of NexPoint? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Asked and answered. A. I don't want to give anything beyond the answer that I gave. Q. Okay. A. I get myself in trouble because I paraphrase. I don't want to answer yes – I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	gave. Q. Okay. I'm going to say the word "Yankees," and every time I say the word "Yankees" today, everybody should know that that is the question that I'm going to bring to the Court on a motion to compel, okay? It's a very simple question. It's a very simple question. I will ask one more time, and if you don't want to answer, that is fine. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: What – Q. Mr. Dondero – Mr. Dondero, in December of 2020, did you give anybody any instructions at Highland to make sure that NexPoint made the payment that was due at the end of the year? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Asked and answered. A. I think that means I'm supposed to stick with the answer that I gave. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: You're on mute, John. John, you're on mute. John, you're	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	due at the end of 2020? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Asked and answered. A. Yes, I would like to repeat my same answer. Q. Did you tell anybody to make the payment on behalf of NexPoint at the end of 2020? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Asked and answered. A. I would like to give the same answer that you – you – you struck. Q. Can you just say yes or no, sir, did you tell anybody to make the payment at the end of 2020 on behalf of NexPoint? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Asked and answered. A. I don't want to give anything beyond the answer that I gave. Q. Okay. A. I get myself in trouble because I paraphrase. I don't want to answer yes – I don't think yes or no would be an appropriate	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 3 24	 gave. Q. Okay. I'm going to say the word "Yankees," and every time I say the word "Yankees," and every time I say the word "Yankees" today, everybody should know that that is the question that I'm going to bring to the Court on a motion to compel, okay? It's a very simple question. It's a very simple question. I will ask one more time, and if you don't want to answer, that is fine. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: What – Q. Mr. Dondero – Mr. Dondero, in December of 2020, did you give anybody any instructions at Highland to make sure that NexPoint made the payment that was due at the end of the year? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Asked and answered. A. I think that means I'm supposed to stick with the answer that I gave. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: You're on mute, John. John, you're on mute. John, you're on mute. John, we can't hear you. 	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 3	due at the end of 2020? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Asked and answered. A. Yes, I would like to repeat my same answer. Q. Did you tell anybody to make the payment on behalf of NexPoint at the end of 2020? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Asked and answered. A. I would like to give the same answer that you – you – you struck. Q. Can you just say yes or no, sir, did you tell anybody to make the payment at the end of 2020 on behalf of NexPoint? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Asked and answered. A. I don't want to give anything beyond the answer that I gave. Q. Okay. A. I get myself in trouble because I paraphrase. I don't want to answer yes – I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	gave. Q. Okay. I'm going to say the word "Yankees," and every time I say the word "Yankees" today, everybody should know that that is the question that I'm going to bring to the Court on a motion to compel, okay? It's a very simple question. It's a very simple question. I will ask one more time, and if you don't want to answer, that is fine. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: What – Q. Mr. Dondero – Mr. Dondero, in December of 2020, did you give anybody any instructions at Highland to make sure that NexPoint made the payment that was due at the end of the year? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Asked and answered. A. I think that means I'm supposed to stick with the answer that I gave. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: You're on mute, John. John, you're on mute. John, you're	

Caae@210600655gjpDoc148634Fffedc012061221 Elateredc012061221184187432 Plage735 of 899

—	Page 3	06		Page 397
1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	[%] 1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	Page 397
2	when he yells at me on mute.	2	instructed Highland to make the payment that	
3	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: John, we can't	3	was due on the NexPoint term note in December	
4	hear you.	4	of 2020?	
5	COURT REPORTER: We can't hear you,	5	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: John, that is	
6	John.	6	the fifth or sixth time.	
7	MR. MORRIS: You can't hear me?	7	MR. MORRIS: It is a completely	
8	COURT REPORTER: Now we can.	8	different question. Please.	
9	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Now we can hear	9	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Could you read	
10	you, but we couldn't hear you. It looks	10		
11	like you were yelling, but we couldn't hear	1		
12	you.	12		
13	A. I do like it better when you yell at	13	,	
14	me on mute.	14		
15	Q. I try not to yell at you, and I hope	15		
16	that you haven't perceived this – we do have a	16		
17	videotape this time. So to the extent that	17	-	
18	anybody perceives your comment as suggesting	18		
19	that I have yelled at you, I would invite them	19		
20	to look at the video.	20		
21	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Well, we said we	2		
22	couldn't hear you, but your animation	22		
23	looked like that.	23		
24	Q. Sir, can you identify any person in	24		
25	the world acting on behalf of NexPoint who	25		
25	the world acting on behair of NexPoint who	2	OTNEAP OIL IT DECETTION OF 2020, IS that	
1	Page 3 DONDERO - 10/29/21	98 1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	Page 399
1	correct?	2		
3	A. I think he was very much viewing his	3	Q. Okay. Did you speak with anybody	
	responsibilities as Highland related and as an	4	during the break about the substance of this	
	employee of Highland. But yes, based on that	5	deposition?	
6	incumbency certificate, but that is your –	6	A. No.	
	your question to ask Frank if he was taking	7	Q. You entered into certain oral	
	that seriously, but NexPoint was relying on	8	agreements with your sister concerning some of	
9	Highland.	9	the notes at issue in these lawsuits.	
10	Q. Do you have any other facts that you	10		
11	are aware of that relate to the affirmative	1	-	
1	defenses set forth in Paragraphs 81 through 82?	12	2	
12	A. I think I – I think I've said them	13		
14	all.	14		
14	MR. MORRIS: Okay. It is 2:13	15		
15	Eastern time. Let's just take a short			
17	half-hour lunch break, and let's return at	16		
11/	2:45, or 1:45 Central.	18		
10	VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record, 1:13.		0	
20		19		
20	(Recess taken 1:13 p.m. to 1:48 p.m.) VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record,	2		
21	VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record, 1:48.	22	2	
1				
23	Q. Mr. Dondero, are you comfortable?A. Yes.	23	5 5 5	
24 25	A. Yes. Q. And are you able to proceed?	24	, , , , , ,	

Caase210600655gjpDoc148634Fifedc012061221 Elateredc012061221184187432 Page738 of 899

	Page 400			Page 401
1 DONDERO - 10/29/21		1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	
2 A. Okay.		2	A. Yes.	
3 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: But I don't want		3	Q. Okay. Let's discuss the purpose of	
4 to disrupt the deposition, so I'm just		4	those oral agreements.	
5 telling you why I'm doing it and he can		5	MR. MORRIS: Can we put back up on	
6 continue to answer thereafter. That is why		6	the screen Mr. Dondero's answer.	
7 I'm doing it.		7	Q. And while we're doing that,	
8 Q. Okay. Can we – can we agree,		8	Mr. Dondero, can you confirm that your sister	
9 Mr. Dondero, when I refer to your sister in the		9	is the only trustee of the Dugaboy Investment	
10 context of oral agreements that she was		10	Trust?	
11 entering into those agreements with you as a		11	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	
12 representative of Dugaboy – as Dugaboy		12	form.	
13 trustee, as representative for a majority of		13	A. For what period of time are we	
14 the class A interest holders of Highland?		14	talking about?	
15 A. Yeah. How about just to make it		15	Q. During the period of time at which	
16 simple let's just call it the Dugaboy trustee,		16	you entered into the oral agreements with the	
17 and everybody will know that it is my sister		17	Dugaboy trustee.	
18 and everybody will know that it is the majority		18	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	
19 of the class A unit holders.		19	form.	
20 Q. Okay. Okay. I appreciate that and		20	A. Yeah, I believe she has been the	
21 I will do just that.		21	trustee since 2015 and remains so today. I	
22 You entered into certain oral		22	don't have an awareness of – I don't have an	
23 agreements with the Dugaboy trustee concerning]	23	awareness of another functional trustee.	
24 certain of the notes at issue in these		24	So some of these sometimes	
25 lawsuits; is that right?		25	complex trusts have other layers that are	
	Page 402	4		Page 403
1 DONDERO - 10/29/21		1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	
2 called trustees but they're not trustees per		2	Q. Okay.	
3 se. But I think I'm over thinking it. But I'm		3	MR. MORRIS: Can we go to	
4 not aware of anybody I've interacted with,		4	Paragraph 82, please.	
5 other than her, as trustee with regard to the		5	Q. Is it your understanding that	
6 notes.		6	Paragraph 82 describes, among other things, in	
7 Q. Okay. So up on the screen we		/ 0	general terms your oral agreements with –	
8 have – no, that is the wrong document.		8	between you and the Dugaboy trustee?	
9 MR. MORRIS: We need Exhibit 31,		9	A. Yes.	
10 please.		10	Q. Is it your position that the oral	
 Yeah, there you go. That one. Perfect. Okay. 		11 12	agreements that you entered into with your sister withdrawn.	
-				
 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: 31 is not – oh, is that the '03 answer? 		13 14	Is it your contention that the oral	
		14	agreements you entered into with the Dugaboy	
		15	trustee applied to each of the notes that were	
		16	executed by NexPoint and that are the subject	
17 Q. Do you see that, sir, on the screen?		17	of Highland's lawsuit against NexPoint?	
18 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Hang on. I'm		18	A. Yes.	
19 going to get it again.		19 20	Q. Is it your contention that the oral	
20 Okay. If you want a hard copy, I		20	agreements that were entered into with the	
21 have one here but he's got it up.		21	Dugaboy trustee apply to the notes executed by	
22 Q. Do you see on the screen,		22	HCMS that are the subject of Highland's lawsuit	
23 Mr. Dondero, marked as Exhibit 31 is your		23	against HCMS?	
24 answer to Highland's amended complaint?25 A. Yes.		24 25	 A. Yes. Q. Is it your contention that the oral 	
ΔV Λ. Ιώ.		20	Q. Is it your contention that the oral	

	Desc	404		Page 405
1	Page DONDERO - 10/29/21	1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	Page 405
2 agreemen	ts between you and the Dugaboy trustee	2	withdrawn.	
-	e notes that were executed by HCRE	3	Is that the purpose of the agreement	
	e subject of the lawsuit that	4	that you entered into with the Dugaboy trustee	
	nas commenced against HCRE?	5	concerning the notes at issue in the lawsuits	
6 A. Ye	-	6	that were commenced against you personally?	
7 Q. O	kay. Do I understand correctly	7	Withdrawn. That was a bad question.	
	oral agreements with your sister do	8	Does that purpose apply only to the	
· ·	to the notes that were executed on	9	notes that you executed or does it apply to the	
	HCMFA that are the subject of the	10		
	at Highland commenced against HCMFA?	11		
	orrect.	12	-	
	Dkay. I appreciate that.	13		
	you see in this paragraph towards	14		
1	e it says, quote: The purpose of this	15		
1	nt was to provide compensation to	16		
-	t, James Dondero, who was otherwise	17		
	d, compared to reasonable compensation	18		
	ne industry through the use of	19		
1	loans, a practice that was standard	20		
l °	P in the industry.	21		
	ave I read that correctly?	22		
23 A. Y		23	•	
	s that the purpose of the agreement	24		
1	entered into with your sister –	25		
	-			
1	Page DONDERO - 10/29/21	406 1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	Page 407
2 HCRE.		2	say that you don't know why that statement of	
	d I'm happy to walk you through to	3	purpose was omitted from the corporate	
	And I just want to know in your	4	entities' answers?	
	s a 30(b)(6) witness for those	5	A. Yeah, I don't know why it is omitted	
· · ·	you know why that statement of	6	or why the complaints aren't consistent with	
	/as omitted.	7	that regard.	
1	/ell, we talked about it earlier. I	8	Q. Okay. But it is your – it is your	
	is some cleanup. There has been	9	position as the purpose – as one of the people	
	wyers involved. We didn't know	10		
· ·	ns were prepaid, which loans weren't.	11		
	now, I don't know why it was omitted	12		
· ·	ies to all of them.	13	-	
	S. DEITSCH-PEREZ: I think that is	14		
	t time that I've noticed that. So,	15		
	I'm going to take a mea culpa. I	16		
· ·	nat is a cut-and-paste error.	17		
	R. MORRIS: All right. Well, I	18	Do you remember that?	
	will just point out that the	19	, ,	
	ative defense concerning the oral	20	Q. And do you recall that in its	
	ments is the exact same in all four	21	-	
j v	ers, except for the omission of the	22	-	
	nent of purpose for the three	23		
24 corpor	ate entities.	24	Q. And do you recall that the NexPoint	
			•	
25 Q. A	nd so, Mr. Dondero, is it fair to	25	term note was a rollup of the outstanding	

Caae 22106006559jpDoc148634FHed 012061221 Elatered 012061221184187432 Plage 38 of 899

Image: Description of the section of the sectin the section the section of the section the section the	Page 408		Page 409
3 Derivscoy rotes that had previously been given 3 before you signed if? 4 byNexPoint to Highland? 4 A. Not likely. 5 A. Yes. 5 C. Do you recal whether three was 6 Q. Okay. 6 any thing about the note that you dia't 7 MR. MORRIS: Can we put up, please, 6 any thing about the note that you dia't 8 Exhibit Number 1.2, which I believe is the 9 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 9 complaint against NexPoint 9 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 10 form. 1 A. Yest, fm not – I doubt lead it, 12 both Number 1.2 20 don't member objecing to anythin, 13 burdy ou see that Exhibit Number 1 to the 15 frat the borrower was required to make an 16 complaint is a promissory note dated M3 31st. 16 anual instalment pargraph 21, and 14 colosy. And diryou sign this note on 20 A. I see that pargraph 21, and 12 A. Yes. 10 fmm. 20 2 A. Looks like it 23 payment		1 DONDERO - 10/29/21	r age 400
4 by NePConto Highland? 4 A Not likely. 5 A Yes. 5 Q. Do you recall whether there was 6 7 MCR. MORRIS: Can we put up, please, 7 notestand before you signed it on behalf of 8 Exbith Number 2, which below is the 9 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 10 (Exhit Number 1 of Deposition Exhibit 12 so Idon't termember objecting to anything. 11 MR. MORRIS: And two can go to 11 A Yeah, I'm not – I doubt I read it, 12 Exhibit Number 1 of Deposition Exhibit 12 so Idon't termember objecting to anything. 13 Number 2. anything additional payment at the on of each 17 14 G. Okay. And do you see – I'm sony, 14 I characterizing that section fairly when I say 15 sit, do you see that Exhibit Number 1 to the 15 final the bornower was required to make an 16 conylaint is a promissory note diaded May 31st, 16 anual installment payment at the and of each 17 207 in the approximate amount of 17 actional amount of 17 actional amount of 18 S00.75 millioon 3	2 principal and interest then due on certain	2 Q. Do you know if you read the note	
5 A. Yes. 5 Q. Doyu, recall whether there was 6 Q. Okay, An MORRIS: Can we put up, please, 5 anything about the note that you didn't 7 MR.MORRIS: Can we put up, please, 8 Beschild Particle Parteverse 10 Consor	3 promissory notes that had previously been given	3 before you signed it?	
6 0. Okay. 6 anything about the note fraity ou ddirt 7 MR. MORRIS: Can we put up, please, 7 understand before you signed it on behalf of 8 Exhibit Number 2, which believe is the 9 9 complaint against NewFold. 9 10 (gcHbit2 marked.) 10 11 MR. MORRIS: And if we can go to 11 12 Exhibit Number 1 of Deposition Exhibit 12 so Idon't terremote objecting to anything. 13 Number 2. 13 Q. Okay. Londing at Paragraph 21, am 14 Q. Okay. And do you see – Im sony. 14 I characterizing that section fairly when I say 15 sin, do you see hat Exhibit Number 1 to the 15 fis anonisson you de date May 31st. 16 annuil instaliment payment at the end of each 17 20/17 In the approximate amount of 18 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 19 18 30.075 million? 18 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 19 form. 20 Okay. And do you sagn this note on 20 A. Issee that paragraph, yes. 11 Q. Okay. And do you sign this note on 21 page 27 24 NackPaint <t< td=""><td>4 by NexPoint to Highland?</td><td>4 A. Not likely.</td><td></td></t<>	4 by NexPoint to Highland?	4 A. Not likely.	
7 MR. MORRIS: Can we put up, please, 8 7 understand before you signed it on behalf of 8 8 Exhibit Number 2, which it believe is the 9 8 NexPoint? 9 Compliaint against NexPoint. 11 9 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 10 12 Exhibit Number 1 of Deposition Exhibit 12 10 form. 13 13 Number 2. 14 Q. Okay, And doy use = I'm sony, 15 11 A. Yeah, I'm not - I doubt I read it, 12 14 Q. Okay, And doy use = I'm sony, 15 14 I damaticating that section flaty when I say 15 16 complaint is a promissory note dated May 31st, 17 16 annual installment payment at the end of each 17 17 2017 In the approximate amount of 18 30.75 million? 18 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 19 19 A. Yes. 19 0 Okay. And doy usign this note on 22 22 usigned it that a manual installment 23 Q. Okay. And doy usign this note on 24 29 10 ONDERO - 1029/21 Page 410 1 DONDERO - 1029/21 1 DONDERO - 1029/21 Page 410 1 DONDERO - 1029/21 1 DONDERO - 1029/21 Page 410 1 DONDERO - 1029/21 Page 410 1 DONDERO - 1029/21 Page 410 1 DONDERO - 1029/21 Page 410 1 DOND	5 A. Yes.	5 Q. Do you recall whether there was	
8 Exhits Number 2, which believe is the omplaint against NewPoint. 8 NewPoint? 11 MR. MORRIS: And if we can go to (Exhits)? marked.) 11 A. Yeah, Tmot – I doubt I readit, 12 2010 12 Exhits Number 10 Deposition Exhibit 12 21 of omm. 3 13 Number 2. 13 Q. Okay, And do you see – I'm sony, 15 sir, do you see her Exhibit Number 1 to the 16 complaint is a promissory note dated May 31st, 17 2017 in the approximate amount of 18 \$30.75 million? 13 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 19 A. Yes. 19 Sony, And do you see – I'm sony, 12 14 I characterizing that section faility when I say 13 15 19 Sony, And is that your signature on 20 Q. Okay, And is that your signature on 21 Q. Okay, And id you understand when 22 20 A. I see that paragraph, yes. 21 page 2? 21 Q. Okay, And id you sign this note on 23 20 A. I see that paragraph, yes. 24 behalf of NewPoint on or around May 31st, 2017? 24 NewPoint? 24 NewPoint? 2 form. 2 correctly. I think it had something to do with 3 either the audit or the financolas orif we had 4 a caredit faily at the rean	6 Q. Okay.	6 anything about the note that you didn't	
9 complaint against NexPoint. 9 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 10 (Exhibit 2 marked.) 10 form. 11 MR. MORRIS: And I we can go to 11 A. Yeah, I'm not – I doubt I read It, 12 Exhibit Number 1 of Deposition Exhibit 12 sol don't remember objecting to any whiting. 13 Number 2. 11 A. Yeah, I'm not – I doubt I read It, 14 C. Okay, And do you see – I'm sony. 11 I characterizing that section fairly when I say 15 sir, do you see that Exhibit Number 1 to the 15 that the borrower was required to make an 16 complaint is a promissory note dated May 31st, 16 annual installment payment at the end of each 17 2017 in the approximate amount of 17 calendar year? 18 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 19 19 A. Yes. 19 form. 20 Q. Okay, And did you sign this note on 20 A. I see that paragraph yes. 21 payment would be due at the end of each year by 24 NexPoint? 24 behalf of NexPoint on or around May 31st, 2017? 25 M. S. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 1 DONDERO - 10/29/21 Page 410 1 DONDERO - 10/29/21 25 M. Jasting as undn	7 MR. MORRIS: Can we put up, please,	7 understand before you signed it on behalf of	
10 (Exhibi Zmarked) 10 form. 11 MR.MORRIS: And if we can go to 11 A. Yeah, I'm not – I doubt I read it, 13 Number 2. 13 O. Okay, And do you see – I'm sony, 14 C. Okay, Looking at Paragraph 2.1, am 14 Q. Okay, And do you see – I'm sony, 14 I characterizing that section fairly when I say 15 sit, do you see hat Exhibit Number 1 to the 15 that the borrower was required to make an 16 complaint is a promissory note dated May 31st, 16 annual installment payment at the end of each 17 2017 in the approximate amount of 17 calkay, And di you signature on 20 A. See: State an 20 Q. Okay, And di you signature on 21 Q. Okay, And di you understand when 21 page 27 21 Q. Okay, And di you sign this note on 23 payserent would be due at the end of each year by 24 behalf of NexPoint on or around May 31st, 2017? 24 NexPoint? Ns.PETISCH-PEREZ: Object to the 11 DONDERO - 10/29/21 Page 410 1 DONDERO - 10/29/21 Page 411 25 MS.DETISCH-PEREZ: Object to the 16 Q. Docay have any oth	8 Exhibit Number 2, which I believe is the	8 NexPoint?	
11 MR_MORRS: And if we can go to 11 A Yeah, Immot – I doubt I read it, 12 Exhibit Number 1 of Deposition Exhibit 12 sol don't remember objecting to any withing. 13 Number 2. 13 Q. Okay. Lodd do you see – Im sory. 14 I characterizing that section fairly whithing. 15 sir, do you see that Exhibit Number 1 to the 15 that the borrower was required to make an 16 complaint is a promissory note dated May 3tst, 16 annual installment payment at the end of each 17 2017 in the approximate amount of 17 calendar year? 18 20 Q. Okay. And did you sign this note on 20 A. I see that Exhibit Number 1 22 21 Q. Okay. And did you sign this note on 23 A. I see that an annual installment 23 payment would be due at the end of each year by 24 behaf of NexPoint on caround May 31st, 2017? 24 NexPoint? 25 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 1 DONDERO-10/29/21 Page 410 1 DONDERO-10/29/21 Page 411 2 form. 2 correctly. I think it had something to do with 3 sthe ortho person of 4 a careft fa	9 complaint against NexPoint.	9 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	
12 Exhibit Number 1 of Deposition Exhibit 12 so I don't remember objecting to anything. 13 Number 2. 13 0. Okay. Looking at Paragraph 21, am 14 Q. Okay. And do you see - I'm sony, 14 I characterizing that section faily when I say 15 sir, do you see that Exhibit Number 1 to the 15 that the exponsimate amount of 16 complaint is a promissory note dated May 31st, 16 annual installment payment at the end of each 17 2017 in the approximate amount of 18 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ. Object to the 19 19 A Yes. 20 Okay. And is that your signature on 20 A Isse that paragraph. yes. 21 page 2? 21 Q. Okay. And di you sign this note on 23 payment would be due at the end of each year by 24 behalf of NexPoint on around May 31st, 2017? 24 NexPoint? 25 MS.DEITSCH-PEREZ. Object to the 1 DONDERO - 10/29/21 Page 410 1 DONDERO - 10/29/21 Page 411 2 form. 2 correctly. Ithink it had something to do with 3 either the audit of thy, but I don't remember exapty. 4 Q. Okay. Da	10 (Exhibit 2 marked.)	10 form.	
13 Number 2. 13 Q. Okay. Looking at Paragraph 2.1, am 14 Q. Okay. And do you see – I'm sory, 14 I characterizing that section faility when I say 15 sit, do you see hat Exhibit Number 1 to the 15 that the borrows was required to make an 16 complaint is a promissory note dated May 31st, 16 annual installment payment at the end of each 17 2017 in the approximate amount of 17 calendar year? 18 18 \$307.57 million? 18 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 19 A. Yes. 19 form. 20 A. I see that paragraph, yes. 21 page 2? 21 Q. Okay. And dd you usign this note on 23 payment would be due at the end of each year by 24 behalf of NexPoint on around May 31st, 2017? 24 NexPoint? A. I assume so. 25 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 1 DONDERO - 10/29/21 Page 410 1 DONDERO - 10/29/21 Page 411 2 form. 3 alter the audit or the francials of the had 4 a credit facility at the time. I think that is 5 probaby Mby, but I don't remember exactly. 4	11 MR. MORRIS: And if we can go to	11 A. Yeah, I'm not – I doubt I read it,	
14 Q. Okay. And do you see - I'm sony, 14 I characterizing that section fairly when I say 15 sit, do you see that Exhibit Number 1 to the 15 that the borrower was required to make an 16 complaint is a promissory note dated May 31st, 16 annual installment payment at the end of each 17 2017 in the approximate amount of 17 calendar year? 18 S30.75 million? 18 MS.DEITSCH-PEREZ. Object to the 19 A Yes. 19 form. 20 Q. Okay. And is that your signature on 20 A I see that paragraph, yes. 21 payment would be due at the end of each year by 24 NexPoint? 25 A I see that paragraph, yes. 25 MS.DEITSCH-PEREZ. Object to the 1 DONDERO-10/29/21 Page 410 1 DONDERO-10/29/21 Page 410 1 DONDERO-10/29/21 Page 410 1 DONDERO-10/29/21 Page 411 2 form. 2 correstly. Ithink that as omething to do with 3 ather the audit or the financials or if we thad 3 A I never read it that closely. 3 eit	12 Exhibit Number 1 of Deposition Exhibit	12 so I don't remember objecting to anything.	
15 sir, do you see that Exhibit Number 1 to the 15 that the borrower was required to make an 16 complaint is a promissory note dated May 31st, 16 annual installment payment at the end of each 17 2017 in the approximate amount of 17 calendar year? 18 \$30.75 million? 18 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 19 A. Yes. 20 A. Jase that paragraph, yes. 21 Dokay. And idi you understand when 22 you signed it that an annual installment 23 Q. Okay. And idi you ging this note on 23 payment would be due at the end of each year by 24 behalf of NexPoint on around May 31st, 2017? 24 NexPoint? Page 410 1 DONDERO - 10/29/21 Page 410 2 correctly. I think it had something to do with 3 A. I never read it that closely. 3 either the audit or the financials or if we had 4 G. So as the control preson of 4 a credit faility at the time. I hink that is 5 NexPoint, is it fair to say then that you don't 5 probably why, but I don't remember exactly. 6 Ro D so the varie yotherecollection 7 as to why all three no	13 Number 2.	13 Q. Okay. Looking at Paragraph 2.1, am	
16 complaint is a promissory note dated May 31st, 16 annual installment payment at the end of each 17 2017 in the approximate amount of 17 calendar year? 18 \$30,75 million? 18 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 20 0. Okay. And is that your signature on 20 A I see that paragraph, yes. 21 page 2? 21 0. Okay. And did you sign this note on 23 payment would be due at the end of each year by 24 behalf of NexPoint on or around May 31st, 2017? 25 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 25 on Looks like it. 22 you signed if that an annual installment 26 n. Looks like it. 22 you signed if that an annual installment 27 A Looks like it. 22 you signed if that an annual installment 28 A Looks like it. 22 you signed if that an annual installment 29 you signed if that an annual installment 23 payment would be due at the end of each year? 2 form. 1 DONDERO - 1029/21 2 correctly. It hink it had something to with 3 a that dossely. 3 <t< td=""><td>14 Q. Okay. And do you see – I'm sorry,</td><td>14 I characterizing that section fairly when I say</td><td></td></t<>	14 Q. Okay. And do you see – I'm sorry,	14 I characterizing that section fairly when I say	
17 2017 in the approximate amount of 17 calendar year? 18 380.75 million? 18 MS. DETSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 19 A. Yes. 19 form. 20 Q. Okay. And is that your signature on 20 A. I see that paragraph, yes. 21 page 2? Q. Okay. And did you sign this note on 23 payment would be due at the end of each year by 24 behaff of NexPoint on or around May 31st, 2017? 24 NexPoint? 25 MS. DETSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 1 DONDERO - 10/29/21 Page 410 1 DONDERO - 10/29/21 Page 411 2 rorestly. It think that dosely. 3 either the audit or the financials or if we had 4 4 Q. So as the control person of 4 a credit facility at the time. It hink that is 5 probaby wity, but I don't member exactly. 6 read having an understanding when you signed 7 a so thy all three notes were executed at the 8 end fMay 2017? 9 M. DETSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 9 A Again, I believe they're - the - 10 form. 10 aggregating or solidiying them into one 11 defined	15 sir, do you see that Exhibit Number 1 to the	15 that the borrower was required to make an	
18 \$30.75 million? 18 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 19 A. Yes. 20 Q. Okay. And is that your signature on 20 A. I see that paragraph, yes. 21 page 2? 21 Q. Okay. And did you understand when 22 22 A. Looks like it. 22 you signed it that an annual installment 23 Q. Okay. And did you sign this note on 24 NexPoint: or around May 31st, 2017? 25 A. I assume so. 25 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 1 DONDERO - 10/29/21 Page 410 Page 411 2 correctly. I think it had something to do with 3 either the audit or the financials or if we had 3 A. I never read it that closely. 3 either the audit or the financials or if we had 4 Q. So as the control person of 4 a credit facility at the time. It hink that is 5 NexPoint, so it fair to say then that you don't 5 probaby why, but I don't remember exactly. 6 read having an understanding when you signed 7 as to why all three notes were executed at the 8 end of May 2017? 9 M. S. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 10	16 complaint is a promissory note dated May 31st,	16 annual installment payment at the end of each	
19 A. Yes. 19 form. 20 Q. Okay. And is that your signature on 20 A. I see that paragraph, yes. 21 page 2? Q. Okay. And did you sign this note on 23 Q. Okay. And did you sign this note on 23 payment would be due at the end of each year by 24 behalf of NexPoint on or around May 31st, 2017? 24 NexPoint? 25 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 1 DONDERO - 10/29/21 Page 410 1 DONDERO - 10/29/21 Page 411 2 form. 2 correctly. I think it had something to do with 3 either the audit or the financials or if we had 3 A. I never read it that closely. 3 either the audit or the financials or if we had 4 a credit facility at the time. I think it hat is 5 probably why, but I don't memember exactly. 6 c. Do you have any other recollection 7 as to why all three notes were executed at the 8 end of May 2017? 9 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 9 A. Again, I believe they're – the – 10 aggregating or solidifying them into one 11 A. I didn't have knowledge of the 12 additors or the – the accounting department as	17 2017 in the approximate amount of	17 calendar year?	
20 Q. Okay. And is that your signature on 20 A. Isee that paragraph, yes. 21 page 27 Q. Okay. And did you understand when 22 A. Loss like it. 22 you signed it that an annual installment 23 Q. Okay. And did you understand when 22 you signed it that an annual installment 24 behalf of NexPoint on or around May 31st, 2017? 24 NexPoint? 25 A. Iassume so. 25 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 1 DONDERO - 10/29/21 1 DONDERO - 10/29/21 Page 411 2 form. 3 a that costly. 3 a there and it that closely. 3 a there and it that closely. 4 a credit facility at the time. I think that is 5 probaby why, but 1 don't remember exactly. 6 Q. Do you have any other recollection 7 as to why all three notes were executed at the 8 end of May 2017? 8 end of May 2017? 8 end fMay 2017? 8 end fMay 2017? 9 A. A again, Ibelieve they're – the – 1 0 aggregating or solidifying them into one 11 adim that wearual adate the end of each year? 8 end of May 2017? 9 A. A again, Ibelieve they're – t	18 \$30.75 million?	18 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	
21 page 2? 21 Q. Okay. And did you understand when 22 A. Looks like it. 23 you signed it that an annual installment 23 Q. Okay. And did you understand when 22 you signed it that an annual installment 23 payment would be due at the end of each year by 24 NexPoint? 25 A. Lassume so. 25 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 1 DONDERO - 10/29/21 2 Correctly. It think it had something to do with 3 A. Inever read it that closely. 3 either the audit or the financias or if we had 4 Q. So as the control person of 4 a credit facility at the time. I think that is 5 recall having an understanding when you signed 6 Q. Doy up have any other recollection 6 recall having an understanding when you signed 7 a sto why all three notes were executed at the 8 end of May 2017? 9 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 1 10 form. 10 defined note I think was required by the 12 specifics, and again, I would describe those 13 auditors or the - the accounting department as 15 idesen	19 A. Yes.	19 form.	
22 A. Looks like it. 22 you signed it that an annual installment 23 Q. Okay. And did you sign this note on 23 payment would be due at the end of each year by 24 behalf of NexPoint on or around May 31st, 2017? 24 NexPoint? 25 25 A. I assume so. 25 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 1 DONDERO - 10/29/21 Page 410 1 DONDERO - 10/29/21 Page 411 2 form. 3 A. Inever read it that closely. 3 either the audit or the financials or if we had 4 Q. So as the control person of 4 a credit facility at the time. I think that is 5 probably why, but I don't remember exactly. 6 recall having an understanding when you signed 7 as to why all three notes were executed at the 8 make annual payments at the end of each year? 9 A. Again, I believe they're – the – 10 form. 1 aggregating or solidifying them into one 11 11 A. I didn't have knowledge of the 11 defined note! think was required by the 12 12 specifics, and again, I would bearable have any 15 reaculatory transmita.	20 Q. Okay. And is that your signature on	20 A. I see that paragraph, yes.	
23 Q. Okay. And did you sign this note on 23 payment would be due at the end of each year by 24 behalf of NexPoint on or around May 31st, 2017? 25 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 1 DONDERO - 10/29/21 25 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 2 form. 2 correctly. I think it had something to do with 3 A. Inever read it that closely. 3 either the audit or the financials or if we had 4 Q. So as the control person of 4 a credit facility at the time. I think that is 5 NexPoint, is if air to say then that you don't 6 Q. Do you have any other recollection 7 this note that NexPoint would be required to 7 as to why all three notes were executed at the 8 make annual payments at the end of each year? 9 A. Again, I believe they're – the – 10 form. 1 defined note I think was required by the 12 specifics, and again, I would describe those 12 auditors or the – the accounting department as 13 specifics, and again, I would describe those 12 auditors or the – the accounting department as 13 specifics, and again, I would have come from accounting. <t< td=""><td>21 page 2?</td><td>21 Q. Okay. And did you understand when</td><td></td></t<>	21 page 2?	21 Q. Okay. And did you understand when	
24 behalf of NexPoint on or around May 31st, 2017? 24 NexPoint? 25 A Lassume so. 25 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 1 DONDERO - 10/29/21 1 DONDERO - 10/29/21 Page 411 2 form. 2 correctly. I think it had something to do with 3 at Inever read it that closely. 4 a correctly. I think it had something to do with 3 either the audit or the financials or if we had 4 a credit facility at the time. I think that is 5 probably why, but I don't remember exactly. 6 Q. Do you have any other recollection 7 as to why all three notes were executed at the 8 end of May 2017? 9 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 9 A. Again, I believe they're – the – 1 differed note 1 think were required by the 1 auditors or the – the accounting department as 1 best practices. I don't think – it wasn't a 14 Q. Okay. Do you see – do you have any 14 regulatory reason and it wasn't a compliance 1 a audit reason. 15 idea who drafted this note? 15 a audit reason. 17 Q. Did you ever make sure on behalf of 16 A. It would have come through 10 Que ver make sure on	22 A. Looks like it.	22 you signed it that an annual installment	
25 A. Lassume so. 25 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 1 DONDERO - 10/29/21 1 DONDERO - 10/29/21 Page 411 2 form. 2 correctly. I think it had something to do with 3 A. I never read it that closely. 3 either the audit or the financials of fwe had 4 Q. So as the control person of 4 a credit facility at the time. I think that is 5 NexPoint, is it fair to say then that you don't 5 probably why, but I don't remember exactly. 6 cecall having an understanding when you signed 7 a credit facility at the time. I think that is 7 this note that NexPoint would be required to 7 as to why all three notes were executed at the 8 end fMay 2017? 9 A. Spain, I believe they're – the – 10 form. 10 aggregating or solidifying them into one 11 A. I didn't have knowledge of the 11 defined note! think was required by the 12 specifics and again, I would describe those 13 auditors or the – the accounting department as 13 specifics and defined insote? 15 reason. I believe it was just an accounting or <tr< td=""><td>23 Q. Okay. And did you sign this note on</td><td>23 payment would be due at the end of each year</td><td>by</td></tr<>	23 Q. Okay. And did you sign this note on	23 payment would be due at the end of each year	by
Page 410 1 DONDERO - 10/29/21 Page 410 1 DONDERO - 10/29/21 2 correctly. I think it had something to do with 3 A. I never read it that closely. 3 either the audit or the financials or if we had 4 Q. So as the control person of 4 a credit facility at the time. I think that is 5 NexPoint, is it fair to say then that you don't 5 probably why, but I don't remember exactly. 6 recall thaving an understanding when you signed 7 as to why all three notes were executed at the 8 make annual payments at the end of each year? 9 A. A lidin't have knowledge of the 10 form. 10 aggregating or solidifying them into one 11 A. I didn't have knowledge of the 12 auditors or the - the accounting department as 13 specifics as de minimis. 13 best practices. I don't think - it wasn't a 14 Q. Okay. Do you see - do you have any 14 regulatory reason and it wasn't a compliance 15 idea who drafted this note? 15 reason. I believe it was just an accounting or 16 A. It would have come from accounting. 17 Q. Did you ever make sure on behalf	24 behalf of NexPoint on or around May 31st, 2017?	24 NexPoint?	
1 DONDERO - 10/29/21 1 DONDERO - 10/29/21 2 form. 2 correctly. I think it had something to do with 3 A. I never read it that closely. 3 either the audit or the financials or if we had 4 Q. So as the control person of 4 a credit facility at the time. I think that is 5 NexPoint, is it fair to say then that you don't 5 probably why, but I don't remember exactly. 6 recall having an understanding when you signed 7 as to why all three notes were executed at the 8 make annual payments at the end of each year? 9 A. Again, I believe they're - the - 10 form. 10 aggregating or solidifying them into one 11 A. I didn't have knowledge of the 11 defined note I think was required by the 12 specifics, and again, I would describe those 12 auditors or the - the accounting department as 13 specifics as de minimis. 13 best practices. I don't think - it wasn't a 14 Q. Okay. Do you see - do you have any 14 regutatory reason and it wasn't a compliance 15 idea who drafted this note? 15 reason. I believe it was just an accounting or	25 A. Lassume so.	25 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	
2 form. 2 correctly. I think it had something to do with 3 A. I never read it that closely. 3 either the audit or the financials or if we had 4 Q. So as the control person of 4 a credit facility at the time. I think that is 5 NexPoint, is it fair to say then that you don't 5 probably why, but I don't remember exactly. 6 recall having an understanding when you signed 7 as to why all three notes were executed at the 7 this note that NexPoint would be required to 7 as to why all three notes were executed at the 8 make annual payments at the end of each year? 8 end of May 2017? 9 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 9 A. Again, I believe they're – the – 10 form. 10 aggregating or solidifying them into one 11 A. I clidn't have knowledge of the 11 defined note I think was required by the 12 specifics as de minimis. 13 best practices. I don't think – it wasn't a 13 specifics as de minimis. 14 regulatory reason. I believe it was just an accounting or 16 A. It would have come from accounting. 15 reason. 1			Page 411
3 A. I never read it that closely. 3 either the audit or the financials or if we had 4 Q. So as the control person of 4 a credit facility at the time. I think that is 5 NexPoint, is it fair to say then that you don't 5 probably why, but I don't remember exactly. 6 recall having an understanding when you signed 6 Q. Do you have any other recollection 7 this note that NexPoint would be required to 7 as to why all three notes were executed at the 8 make annual payments at the end of each year? 9 A. Again, I believe they're - the - 10 form. 10 aggregating or solidifying them into one 11 A. I didn't have knowledge of the 11 defined note I think was required by the 12 specifics, and again, I would describe those 12 auditors or the - the accounting department as 13 specifics as de minimis. 13 best practices. I don't think – it wasn't a 14 Q. Okay. Do you see – do you have any 14 regulatory reason and it wasn't a compliance 15 idea who drafted this note? 15 reason. I believe it was just an accounting or 16 A. It would have come from accounting.			
4 Q. So as the control person of 4 a credit facility at the time. I think that is 5 NexPoint, is it fair to say then that you don't 5 probably why, but I don't remember exactly. 6 recall having an understanding when you signed 6 Q. Do you have any other recollection 7 this note that NexPoint would be required to 7 as to why all three notes were executed at the 8 end of May 2017? 9 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 9 A. Again, I believe they're – the – 10 form. 10 aggregating or solidifying them into one 11 11 A. I didn't have knowledge of the 11 defined note I think was required by the 12 specifics as de minimis. 13 best practices. I don't think – it wasn't a 14 Q. Okay. Do you see – do you have any 14 regulatory reason and it wasn't a compliance 15 idea who drafted this note? 15 reason. I believe it was just an accounting or 16 A. It would have come from accounting. 17 Q. Did you ever make sure on behalf of 18 if they work with legal at all. I have no 18 NexPoint that the terms of the promissory note 19 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>			
5NexPoint, is it fair to say then that you don't5probably why, but I don't remember exactly.6recall having an understanding when you signed6Q. Do you have any other recollection7this note that NexPoint would be required to7as to why all three notes were executed at the8make annual payments at the end of each year?9A. Again, I believe they're - the -10form.10aggregating or solidifying them into one11A. I didn't have knowledge of the11defined note I think was required by the12specifics, and again, I would describe those12auditors or the - the accounting department as13specifics as de minimis.13best practices. I don't think - it wasn't a14Q. Okay. Do you see - do you have any14regulatory reason and it wasn't a compliance15idea who drafted this note?15reason. I believe it was just an accounting or16A. It would have come from accounting.17Q. Did you ever make sure on behalf of18if they work with legal at all. I have no18NexPoint that the terms of the promissory note19idea, but it would have come through20MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the21Q. Do you recall that all three of the21form.22A. That doesn't surprise me. I think24again, I think the view from all concerned is			
6 recall having an understanding when you signed 6 Q. Do you have any other recollection 7 this note that NexPoint would be required to 7 as to why all three notes were executed at the 8 make annual payments at the end of each year? 9 A. Again, I believe they're – the – 10 form. 10 aggregating or solidifying them into one 11 A. I didn't have knowledge of the 11 defined note I think was required by the 12 specifics, and again, I would describe those 12 auditors or the – the accounting department as 13 specifics as de minimis. 13 best practices. I don't think – it wasn't a 14 Q. Okay. Do you see – do you have any 14 regulatory reason and it wasn't a compliance 15 idea who drafted this note? 15 reason. I believe it was just an accounting or 16 A. It would have come from accounting. 17 Q. Did you ever make sure on behalf of 18 if they work with legal at all. I have no 18 NexPoint that the terms of the promissory note 19 idea, but it would have come through 20 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 21 21 Q. Do you recall that all th		-	
7this note that NexPoint would be required to7as to why all three notes were executed at the8make annual payments at the end of each year?8end of May 2017?9MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the9A. Again, I believe they're – the –10form.10aggregating or solidifying them into one11A. I didn't have knowledge of the11defined note I think was required by the12specifics, and again, I would describe those12auditors or the – the accounting department as13specifics as de minimis.13best practices. I don't think – it wasn't a14Q. Okay. Do you see – do you have any14regulatory reason and it wasn't a compliance15idea who drafted this note?15reason. I believe it was just an accounting or16A. It would have come from accounting.16an audit reason.17I think they have boilerplate – I don't know17Q. Did you ever make sure on behalf of18if they work with legal at all. I have no18NexPoint that the terms of the promissory note19idea, but it would have come through19were fair and reasonable?20MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the21Q. Do you recall that all three of the2122term notes at issue were signed on the same2223day, May 31st, 2017?2324A. That doesn't surprise me. I think2424A. That doesn't surprise me. I think24			
8 make annual payments at the end of each year? 8 end of May 2017? 9 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 9 A. Again, I believe they're – the – 10 form. 10 aggregating or solidifying them into one 11 A. I didn't have knowledge of the 11 defined note I think was required by the 12 specifics, and again, I would describe those 12 auditors or the – the accounting department as 13 specifics as de minimis. 13 best practices. I don't think – it wasn't a 14 Q. Okay. Do you see – do you have any 14 regulatory reason and it wasn't a compliance 15 idea who drafted this note? 15 reason. I believe it was just an accounting or 16 A. It would have come from accounting. 16 an audit reason. 17 I think they have boilerplate – I don't know 17 Q. Did you ever make sure on behalf of 18 if they work with legal at all. I have no 18 NexPoint that the terms of the promissory note 19 idea, but it would have come through 19 were fair and reasonable? O ms. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 21 Q. Do you recall that all three of the 21			
9MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the9A. Again, I believe they're - the -10form.10aggregating or solidifying them into one11A. I didn't have knowledge of the11defined note I think was required by the12specifics, and again, I would describe those12auditors or the - the accounting department as13specifics as de minimis.13best practices. I don't think - it wasn't a14Q. Okay. Do you see - do you have any14regulatory reason and it wasn't a compliance15idea who drafted this note?15reason. I believe it was just an accounting or16A. It would have come from accounting.16an audit reason.17I think they have boilerplate - I don't know17Q. Did you ever make sure on behalf of18if they work with legal at all. I have no18NexPoint that the terms of the promissory note19idea, but it would have come through20MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the21Q. Do you recall that all three of the21form.22term notes at issue were signed on the same22A. Yeah, I don't remember ever23day, May 31st, 2017?23negotiating or reading it that closely. And24A. That doesn't surprise me. I think24again, I think the view from all concerned is		-	
10form.10aggregating or solidifying them into one11A. I didn't have knowledge of the11defined note I think was required by the12specifics, and again, I would describe those12auditors or the – the accounting department as13specifics as de minimis.13best practices. I don't think – it wasn't a14Q. Okay. Do you see – do you have any14regulatory reason and it wasn't a compliance15idea who drafted this note?15reason. I believe it was just an accounting or16A. It would have come from accounting.16an audit reason.17I think they have boilerplate – I don't know17Q. Did you ever make sure on behalf of18if they work with legal at all. I have no18NexPoint that the terms of the promissory note19idea, but it would have come through20MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the21Q. Do you recall that all three of the21form.22term notes at issue were signed on the same22A. Yeah, I don't remember ever23day, May 31st, 2017?23negotiating or reading it that closely. And24A. That doesn't surprise me. I think24again, I think the view from all concerned is		-	
11A.I didn't have knowledge of the11defined note I think was required by the12specifics, and again, I would describe those12auditors or the – the accounting department as13specifics as de minimis.13best practices. I don't think – it wasn't a14Q.Okay. Do you see – do you have any14regulatory reason and it wasn't a compliance15idea who drafted this note?15reason. I believe it was just an accounting or16A.It would have come from accounting.16an audit reason.17I think they have boilerplate – I don't know17Q.Did you ever make sure on behalf of18if they work with legal at all. I have no18NexPoint that the terms of the promissory note19idea, but it would have come through19were fair and reasonable?20MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the21Q.Do you recall that all three of the2122term notes at issue were signed on the same22A.23day, May 31st, 2017?23negotiating or reading it that closely. And24A.That doesn't surprise me. I think24again, I think the view from all concerned is			
12specifics, and again, I would describe those12auditors or the – the accounting department as13specifics as de minimis.13best practices. I don't think – it wasn't a14Q. Okay. Do you see – do you have any14regulatory reason and it wasn't a compliance15idea who drafted this note?15reason. I believe it was just an accounting or16A. It would have come from accounting.16an audit reason.17I think they have boilerplate – I don't know17Q. Did you ever make sure on behalf of18if they work with legal at all. I have no18NexPoint that the terms of the promissory note19idea, but it would have come through19were fair and reasonable?20MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the21Q. Do you recall that all three of the2122A. Yeah, I don't remember ever23day, May 31st, 2017?2324A. That doesn't surprise me. I think2424A. That doesn't surprise me. I think24			
13specifics as de minimis.13best practices. I don't think – it wasn't a14Q. Okay. Do you see – do you have any14regulatory reason and it wasn't a compliance15idea who drafted this note?15reason. I believe it was just an accounting or16A. It would have come from accounting.16an audit reason.17I think they have boilerplate – I don't know17Q. Did you ever make sure on behalf of18if they work with legal at all. I have no18NexPoint that the terms of the promissory note19idea, but it would have come through19were fair and reasonable?20accounting.20MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the21Q. Do you recall that all three of the21form.22term notes at issue were signed on the same22A. Yeah, I don't remember ever23day, May 31st, 2017?23negotiating or reading it that closely. And24A. That doesn't surprise me. I think24again, I think the view from all concerned is	-		
14Q. Okay. Do you see – do you have any14regulatory reason and it wasn't a compliance15idea who drafted this note?15reason. I believe it was just an accounting or16A. It would have come from accounting.16an audit reason.17I think they have boilerplate – I don't know17Q. Did you ever make sure on behalf of18if they work with legal at all. I have no18NexPoint that the terms of the promissory note19idea, but it would have come through19were fair and reasonable?20accounting.20MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the21Q. Do you recall that all three of the21form.22term notes at issue were signed on the same22A. Yeah, I don't remember ever23day, May 31st, 2017?23negotiating or reading it that closely. And24A. That doesn't surprise me. I think24again, I think the view from all concerned is		. .	
15idea who drafted this note?15reason. I believe it was just an accounting or16A. It would have come from accounting.16an audit reason.17I think they have boilerplate – I don't know17Q. Did you ever make sure on behalf of18if they work with legal at all. I have no18NexPoint that the terms of the promissory note19idea, but it would have come through19were fair and reasonable?20accounting.20MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the21Q. Do you recall that all three of the21form.22term notes at issue were signed on the same22A. Yeah, I don't remember ever23day, May 31st, 2017?23negotiating or reading it that closely. And24A. That doesn't surprise me. I think24again, I think the view from all concerned is			
16A. It would have come from accounting.16an audit reason.17I think they have boilerplate – I don't know17Q. Did you ever make sure on behalf of18if they work with legal at all. I have no18NexPoint that the terms of the promissory note19idea, but it would have come through19were fair and reasonable?20accounting.20MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the21Q. Do you recall that all three of the21form.22term notes at issue were signed on the same22A. Yeah, I don't remember ever23day, May 31st, 2017?23negotiating or reading it that closely. And24A. That doesn't surprise me. I think24again, I think the view from all concerned is			
17I think they have boilerplate – I don't know17Q. Did you ever make sure on behalf of18if they work with legal at all. I have no18NexPoint that the terms of the promissory note19idea, but it would have come through19were fair and reasonable?20accounting.20MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the21Q. Do you recall that all three of the21form.22term notes at issue were signed on the same22A. Yeah, I don't remember ever23day, May 31st, 2017?23negotiating or reading it that closely. And24A. That doesn't surprise me. I think24again, I think the view from all concerned is			
18if they work with legal at all. I have no18NexPoint that the terms of the promissory note19idea, but it would have come through19were fair and reasonable?20accounting.20MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the21Q. Do you recall that all three of the21form.22term notes at issue were signed on the same22A. Yeah, I don't remember ever23day, May 31st, 2017?23negotiating or reading it that closely. And24A. That doesn't surprise me. I think24again, I think the view from all concerned is	-		
19idea, but it would have come through19were fair and reasonable?20accounting.20MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the21Q. Do you recall that all three of the21form.22term notes at issue were signed on the same22A. Yeah, I don't remember ever23day, May 31st, 2017?23negotiating or reading it that closely. And24A. That doesn't surprise me. I think24again, I think the view from all concerned is		-	
20 accounting.20 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the21 Q. Do you recall that all three of the21 form.22 term notes at issue were signed on the same22 A. Yeah, I don't remember ever23 day, May 31st, 2017?23 negotiating or reading it that closely. And24 A. That doesn't surprise me. I think24 again, I think the view from all concerned is			
21Q. Do you recall that all three of the21form.22term notes at issue were signed on the same22A. Yeah, I don't remember ever23day, May 31st, 2017?23negotiating or reading it that closely. And24A. That doesn't surprise me. I think24again, I think the view from all concerned is			
22term notes at issue were signed on the same22A. Yeah, I don't remember ever23day, May 31st, 2017?23negotiating or reading it that closely. And24A. That doesn't surprise me. I think24again, I think the view from all concerned is			
23day, May 31st, 2017?23negotiating or reading it that closely. And24A. That doesn't surprise me. I think24again, I think the view from all concerned is			
24 A. That doesn't surprise me. I think 24 again, I think the view from all concerned is	-		
25 uiere was an accounting reason, in remember 25 that it was relatively de minimis from the		-	
		23 unatut was relatively de minimis from the	

Caase210600655gjpDoc148634Fifedc012061221 Elateredc012061221184187432 Page739 of 899

Page 412		Page 413
1 DONDERO - 10/29/21	1 DONDERO - 10/29/21	5
2 balance sheet at Highland then or now and/or de	2 before?	
3 minimis relevant to NexPoint's value.	3 A. No.	
4 Q. It is a \$30 million note. Do I have	4 Q. Do you think a prudent executive	
5 that right?	5 signing a \$30 million note should take the time	
6 A. Yes.	6 to read the terms and conditions of the note?	
7 Q. Okay. And it was material enough to	7 A. Not necessarily.	
8 be included in Highland's financial statements;	8 Q. Under what circumstances do you	
9 is that correct?	9 think that an executive shouldn't take the time	
10 A. Anything material or not as part of	10 to read the terms and conditions of a	
11 doing proper audited financials needs to be	11 \$30 million promissory note?	
12 properly included.	12 A. When it is between affiliates,	
13 Q. Okay. And you know, because you	13 between friendly affiliates with no even	
14 signed the management representation letter,	14 inkling that bankruptcy or the parties could be	
15 that this note was specifically disclosed to	15 at odds create a note, when it is a soft note	
16 PwC and included in both Highland's and	16 with limited collateral and limited other	
17 NexPoint's audited financial statements;	17 protections. And then the servicing or value	
18 correct?	18 of the note is de minimis relative to the	
19 A. I would – I would have been shocked	19 balance sheets of each entity I think is a good	
20 if it wasn't, if it is an asset and a liability	20 reason or logical reason for the executives on	
21 respectively of the companies.	21 both sides not to spend much time focusing on	
22 Q. Okay. Do you see the section on	22 it.	
23 acceleration upon default, Paragraph 4?	23 Q. All right. So you thought it was	
24 A. Yes.	24 reasonable not to read this particular note for	
25 Q. Have you ever seen that section	25 the reasons you just gave.	
Page 414		Page 415
		Fage 415
1 DONDERO - 10/29/21	1 DONDERO - 10/29/21	Fage 415
		Fage 415
1 DONDERO - 10/29/21	1 DONDERO - 10/29/21	raye 415
1DONDERO - 10/29/212Do I have that right?	1DONDERO - 10/29/212anything regarding the company from any of the	raye 415
1DONDERO - 10/29/212Do I have that right?3A. Right.	 DONDERO - 10/29/21 anything regarding the company from any of the other subsidiaries, and Frank was administering 	rage 415
1DONDERO - 10/29/212Do I have that right?3A. Right.4MR. MORRIS: Okay. Can we go to the	 DONDERO - 10/29/21 anything regarding the company from any of the other subsidiaries, and Frank was administering the notes on behalf of both the related parties 	rage 415
1DONDERO - 10/29/212Do I have that right?3A. Right.4MR. MORRIS: Okay. Can we go to the5next page, please.	 DONDERO - 10/29/21 anything regarding the company from any of the other subsidiaries, and Frank was administering the notes on behalf of both the related parties and Highland. 	raye 4 10
 DONDERO - 10/29/21 Do I have that right? A. Right. MR. MORRIS: Okay. Can we go to the next page, please. Q. Do you see Paragraph 5? There is a 	 DONDERO - 10/29/21 anything regarding the company from any of the other subsidiaries, and Frank was administering the notes on behalf of both the related parties and Highland. So at the time – at the time I 	raye 4 10
 DONDERO - 10/29/21 Do I have that right? A. Right. MR. MORRIS: Okay. Can we go to the next page, please. Q. Do you see Paragraph 5? There is a paragraph entitled Waiver. 	 DONDERO - 10/29/21 anything regarding the company from any of the other subsidiaries, and Frank was administering the notes on behalf of both the related parties and Highland. So at the time – at the time I would have – I would have never known that at 	raye 410
 DONDERO - 10/29/21 Do I have that right? A. Right. MR. MORRIS: Okay. Can we go to the next page, please. Q. Do you see Paragraph 5? There is a paragraph entitled Waiver. A. Yes. 	 DONDERO - 10/29/21 anything regarding the company from any of the other subsidiaries, and Frank was administering the notes on behalf of both the related parties and Highland. So at the time – at the time I would have – I would have never known that at the end of 2020. And it is crazy to think I 	raye 410
 DONDERO - 10/29/21 Do I have that right? A. Right. MR. MORRIS: Okay. Can we go to the next page, please. Q. Do you see Paragraph 5? There is a paragraph entitled Waiver. A. Yes. Q. And I will read it out loud: Maker 	 DONDERO - 10/29/21 anything regarding the company from any of the other subsidiaries, and Frank was administering the notes on behalf of both the related parties and Highland. So at the time – at the time I would have – I would have never known that at the end of 2020. And it is crazy to think I would have remembered a clause in a soft note 	raye 4 10
 DONDERO - 10/29/21 Do I have that right? A. Right. MR. MORRIS: Okay. Can we go to the next page, please. Q. Do you see Paragraph 5? There is a paragraph entitled Waiver. A. Yes. Q. And I will read it out loud: Maker hereby waives grace, demand, presentment for 	 DONDERO - 10/29/21 anything regarding the company from any of the other subsidiaries, and Frank was administering the notes on behalf of both the related parties and Highland. So at the time – at the time I would have – I would have never known that at the end of 2020. And it is crazy to think I would have remembered a clause in a soft note from three years earlier. 	raye 410
 DONDERO - 10/29/21 Do I have that right? A. Right. MR. MORRIS: Okay. Can we go to the next page, please. Q. Do you see Paragraph 5? There is a paragraph entitled Waiver. A. Yes. Q. And I will read it out loud: Maker hereby waives grace, demand, presentment for payment, notice of non-payment, protest, notice 	 DONDERO - 10/29/21 anything regarding the company from any of the other subsidiaries, and Frank was administering the notes on behalf of both the related parties and Highland. So at the time – at the time I would have – I would have never known that at the end of 2020. And it is crazy to think I would have remembered a clause in a soft note from three years earlier. Q. Okay. Is it fair to say that – do 	raye 410
 DONDERO - 10/29/21 Do I have that right? A. Right. MR. MORRIS: Okay. Can we go to the next page, please. Q. Do you see Paragraph 5? There is a paragraph entitled Waiver. A. Yes. Q. And I will read it out loud: Maker hereby waives grace, demand, presentment for payment, notice of non-payment, protest, notice of protest, notice of intent to accelerate, 	 DONDERO - 10/29/21 anything regarding the company from any of the other subsidiaries, and Frank was administering the notes on behalf of both the related parties and Highland. So at the time – at the time I would have – I would have never known that at the end of 2020. And it is crazy to think I would have remembered a clause in a soft note from three years earlier. Q. Okay. Is it fair to say that – do you understand today that that provision is 	raye 4 I J
 DONDERO - 10/29/21 Do I have that right? A. Right. MR. MORRIS: Okay. Can we go to the next page, please. Q. Do you see Paragraph 5? There is a paragraph entitled Waiver. A. Yes. Q. And I will read it out loud: Maker hereby waives grace, demand, presentment for payment, notice of non-payment, protest, notice of protest, notice of intent to accelerate, notice of acceleration, and all other notices 	 DONDERO - 10/29/21 anything regarding the company from any of the other subsidiaries, and Frank was administering the notes on behalf of both the related parties and Highland. So at the time – at the time I would have – I would have never known that at the end of 2020. And it is crazy to think I would have remembered a clause in a soft note from three years earlier. Q. Okay. Is it fair to say that – do you understand today that that provision is included in every note that you signed? 	raye 410
1DONDERO - 10/29/212Do I have that right?3A. Right.4MR. MORRIS: Okay. Can we go to the5next page, please.6Q. Do you see Paragraph 5? There is a7paragraph entitled Waiver.8A. Yes.9Q. And I will read it out loud: Maker10hereby waives grace, demand, presentment for11payment, notice of non-payment, protest, notice12of protest, notice of intent to accelerate,13notice of acceleration, and all other notices14of any kind hereunder.	 DONDERO - 10/29/21 anything regarding the company from any of the other subsidiaries, and Frank was administering the notes on behalf of both the related parties and Highland. So at the time – at the time I would have – I would have never known that at the end of 2020. And it is crazy to think I would have remembered a clause in a soft note from three years earlier. Q. Okay. Is it fair to say that – do you understand today that that provision is included in every note that you signed? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 	raye 410
1DONDERO - 10/29/212Do I have that right?3A. Right.4MR. MORRIS: Okay. Can we go to the5next page, please.6Q. Do you see Paragraph 5? There is a7paragraph entitled Waiver.8A. Yes.9Q. And I will read it out loud: Maker10hereby waives grace, demand, presentment for11payment, notice of non-payment, protest, notice12of protest, notice of intent to accelerate,13notice of acceleration, and all other notices14of any kind hereunder.15Have I read that correctly?	1DONDERO - 10/29/212anything regarding the company from any of the3other subsidiaries, and Frank was administering4the notes on behalf of both the related parties5and Highland.6So at the time – at the time I7would have – I would have never known that at8the end of 2020. And it is crazy to think I9would have remembered a clause in a soft note10from three years earlier.11Q. Okay. Is it fair to say that – do12you understand today that that provision is13included in every note that you signed?14MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the15form.	raye 410
1DONDERO - 10/29/212Do I have that right?3A. Right.4MR. MORRIS: Okay. Can we go to the5next page, please.6Q. Do you see Paragraph 5? There is a7paragraph entitled Waiver.8A. Yes.9Q. And I will read it out loud: Maker10hereby waives grace, demand, presentment for11payment, notice of non-payment, protest, notice12of protest, notice of intent to accelerate,13notice of acceleration, and all other notices14of any kind hereunder.15Have I read that correctly?16A. Yes.	1DONDERO - 10/29/212anything regarding the company from any of the3other subsidiaries, and Frank was administering4the notes on behalf of both the related parties5and Highland.6So at the time – at the time I7would have – I would have never known that at8the end of 2020. And it is crazy to think I9would have remembered a clause in a soft note10from three years earlier.11Q. Okay. Is it fair to say that – do12you understand today that that provision is13included in every note that you signed?14MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the15form.16A. You're saying it, so I believe you.	raye 410
1DONDERO - 10/29/212Do I have that right?3A. Right.4MR. MORRIS: Okay. Can we go to the5next page, please.6Q. Do you see Paragraph 5? There is a7paragraph entitled Waiver.8A. Yes.9Q. And I will read it out loud: Maker10hereby waives grace, demand, presentment for11payment, notice of non-payment, protest, notice12of protest, notice of intent to accelerate,13notice of acceleration, and all other notices14of any kind hereunder.15Have I read that correctly?16A. Yes.17Q. Do you know that that paragraph is	 DONDERO - 10/29/21 anything regarding the company from any of the other subsidiaries, and Frank was administering the notes on behalf of both the related parties and Highland. So at the time – at the time I would have – I would have never known that at the end of 2020. And it is crazy to think I would have remembered a clause in a soft note from three years earlier. Q. Okay. Is it fair to say that – do you understand today that that provision is included in every note that you signed? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. You're saying it, so I believe you. I'm not asking you to go show me all the other 	raye 410
1DONDERO - 10/29/212Do I have that right?3A. Right.4MR. MORRIS: Okay. Can we go to the5next page, please.6Q. Do you see Paragraph 5? There is a7paragraph entitled Waiver.8A. Yes.9Q. And I will read it out loud: Maker10hereby waives grace, demand, presentment for11payment, notice of non-payment, protest, notice12of protest, notice of intent to accelerate,13notice of acceleration, and all other notices14of any kind hereunder.15Have I read that correctly?16A. Yes.17Q. Do you know that that paragraph is18included in every single note that you signed	 DONDERO - 10/29/21 anything regarding the company from any of the other subsidiaries, and Frank was administering the notes on behalf of both the related parties and Highland. So at the time – at the time I would have – I would have never known that at the end of 2020. And it is crazy to think I would have remembered a clause in a soft note from three years earlier. Q. Okay. Is it fair to say that – do you understand today that that provision is included in every note that you signed? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. You're saying it, so I believe you. I'm not asking you to go show me all the other notes, but – 	raye 410
1DONDERO - 10/29/212Do I have that right?3A. Right.4MR. MORRIS: Okay. Can we go to the5next page, please.6Q. Do you see Paragraph 5? There is a7paragraph entitled Waiver.8A. Yes.9Q. And I will read it out loud: Maker10hereby waives grace, demand, presentment for11payment, notice of non-payment, protest, notice12of protest, notice of intent to accelerate,13notice of acceleration, and all other notices14of any kind hereunder.15Have I read that correctly?16A. Yes.17Q. Do you know that that paragraph is18included in every single note that you signed19that is part of the litigation that we're here	 DONDERO - 10/29/21 anything regarding the company from any of the other subsidiaries, and Frank was administering the notes on behalf of both the related parties and Highland. So at the time – at the time I would have – I would have never known that at the end of 2020. And it is crazy to think I would have remembered a clause in a soft note from three years earlier. Q. Okay. Is it fair to say that – do you understand today that that provision is included in every note that you signed? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. You're saying it, so I believe you. I'm not asking you to go show me all the other notes, but – Q. Thank you. 	raye 410
1 DONDERO - 10/29/21 2 Do I have that right? 3 A. Right. 4 MR. MORRIS: Okay. Can we go to the 5 next page, please. 6 Q. Do you see Paragraph 5? There is a 7 paragraph entitled Waiver. 8 A. Yes. 9 Q. And I will read it out loud: Maker 10 hereby waives grace, demand, presentment for 11 payment, notice of non-payment, protest, notice 12 of protest, notice of intent to accelerate, 13 notice of acceleration, and all other notices 14 of any kind hereunder. 15 Have I read that correctly? 16 A. Yes. 17 Q. Do you know that that paragraph is 18 included in every single note that you signed 19 that is part of the litigation that we're here 20 to talk about today?	 DONDERO - 10/29/21 anything regarding the company from any of the other subsidiaries, and Frank was administering the notes on behalf of both the related parties and Highland. So at the time – at the time I would have – I would have never known that at the end of 2020. And it is crazy to think I would have remembered a clause in a soft note from three years earlier. Q. Okay. Is it fair to say that – do you understand today that that provision is included in every note that you signed? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. You're saying it, so I believe you. I'm not asking you to go show me all the other notes, but – Q. Thank you. A. – I'm assuming it is in all the 	raye 410
 DONDERO - 10/29/21 Do I have that right? A. Right. MR. MORRIS: Okay. Can we go to the next page, please. Q. Do you see Paragraph 5? There is a paragraph entitled Waiver. A. Yes. Q. And I will read it out loud: Maker hereby waives grace, demand, presentment for payment, notice of non-payment, protest, notice of protest, notice of intent to accelerate, notice of acceleration, and all other notices of any kind hereunder. Have I read that correctly? A. Yes. Q. Do you know that that paragraph is included in every single note that you signed that is part of the litigation that we're here to talk about today? A. You have to – you have to define 	 DONDERO - 10/29/21 anything regarding the company from any of the other subsidiaries, and Frank was administering the notes on behalf of both the related parties and Highland. So at the time – at the time I would have – I would have never known that at the end of 2020. And it is crazy to think I would have remembered a clause in a soft note from three years earlier. Q. Okay. Is it fair to say that – do you understand today that that provision is included in every note that you signed? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. You're saying it, so I believe you. I'm not asking you to go show me all the other notes, but – Q. Thank you. A. – I'm assuming it is in all the other notes. I will take your word for it. 	raye 410
1 DONDERO - 10/29/21 2 Do I have that right? 3 A. Right. 4 MR. MORRIS: Okay. Can we go to the 5 next page, please. 6 Q. Do you see Paragraph 5? There is a 7 paragraph entitled Waiver. 8 A. Yes. 9 Q. And I will read it out loud: Maker 10 hereby waives grace, demand, presentment for 11 payment, notice of non-payment, protest, notice 12 of protest, notice of intent to accelerate, 13 notice of acceleration, and all other notices 14 of any kind hereunder. 15 Have I read that correctly? 16 A. Yes. 17 Q. Do you know that that paragraph is 18 included in every single note that you signed 19 that is part of the litigation that we're here 20 to talk about today? 21 A. You have to – you have to define 22 when. You know, like today I know that it	 DONDERO - 10/29/21 anything regarding the company from any of the other subsidiaries, and Frank was administering the notes on behalf of both the related parties and Highland. So at the time – at the time I would have – I would have never known that at the end of 2020. And it is crazy to think I would have remembered a clause in a soft note from three years earlier. Q. Okay. Is it fair to say that – do you understand today that that provision is included in every note that you signed? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. You're saying it, so I believe you. I'm not asking you to go show me all the other notes, but – Q. Thank you. A. – I'm assuming it is in all the other notes. I will take your word for it. Q. And is it fair to say that at the 	raye 410
1 DONDERO - 10/29/21 2 Do I have that right? 3 A. Right. 4 MR. MORRIS: Okay. Can we go to the 5 next page, please. 6 Q. Do you see Paragraph 5? There is a 7 paragraph entitled Waiver. 8 A. Yes. 9 Q. And I will read it out loud: Maker 10 hereby waives grace, demand, presentment for 11 payment, notice of non-payment, protest, notice 12 of protest, notice of intent to accelerate, 13 notice of acceleration, and all other notices 14 of any kind hereunder. 15 Have I read that correctly? 16 A. Yes. 17 Q. Do you know that that paragraph is 18 included in every single note that you signed 19 that is part of the litigation that we're here 20 to talk about today? 21 A. You have to – you have to define 22 when. You know, like today I know that it 23 is – it is in those notes.	 DONDERO - 10/29/21 anything regarding the company from any of the other subsidiaries, and Frank was administering the notes on behalf of both the related parties and Highland. So at the time – at the time I would have – I would have never known that at the end of 2020. And it is crazy to think I would have remembered a clause in a soft note from three years earlier. Q. Okay. Is it fair to say that – do you understand today that that provision is included in every note that you signed? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. You're saying it, so I believe you. I'm not asking you to go show me all the other notes, but – Q. Thank you. A. – I'm assuming it is in all the other notes. I will take your word for it. Q. And is it fair to say that at the 	raye 410

Caase210600655gjpDoc148634Fifedc012061221 Elateredc012061221184187432 Page736 of 899

1	Page 416			Page 417
	DONDERO - 10/29/21	1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	i ugo +i i
2	form.	2	the negotiation to negotiations?	
3	A. That is correct. A lot of it is	3	A. No, one that is over time subject to	
4	boilerplate. And, again, treasury or	4	negotiation or modification.	
5	accounting would have put in what was necessary	5	Q. Okay.	
6	for regulatory, tax, audit purposes. Maybe the	6	A. Because there is – there is	
7	auditors put that in. I have no idea.	7	limited – there is limited, team collateral,	
8	But the content and the bullet	8	guarantee, bad boy features in – in a soft	
9	points here, the nine paragraphs on a soft note	9	note.	
10	would have been put in by other people and	10	Q. Okay. Perhaps my question wasn't	
11	administered by other people other than me.	11	clear.	
12	Q. What is a soft note?	12	Did the notes that you signed did	
13	A. You know, like a secured – I mean,	13	you negotiate them with anybody, the terms of	
14	a note that isn't a hard note, like a note that	14	each note?	
15	isn't secured, deed in lieu, UCC filed,	15	A. No.	
16	guaranteed, you know, performance and bad boy	16	Q. Okay. Did you personally decide on	
17	clauses and all of that other stuff.	17	the terms of each note?	
18	A soft note is an unsecured loan	18	A. No. Again, they were two highly	
19	that has basic terms to it, but it is likely	19	solvent, highly well-capitalized subsidiaries,	
20	subject to renegotiation over time.	20	and the amount of the notes was de minimis and	
21	Q. Were any of the notes that you	21	friendly, and they were soft notes administered	
22	signed subject to negotiation?	22	by a centralized treasury shared services	
23	A. Well, I'm saying by definition that	23	department.	
24	is what a soft note is.	24		
25	Q. One that – that is not subject to	25	They were the ones deciding what it	
	Page 418			Page 419
1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	
2	took to be compliant from an accounting	2	A. Yes.	
3	regulatory-wise standpoint, but wasn't – they	3	Q. And is it your understanding that	
4	were trying to come up with a balance note,	4	this note substituted and superseded the promissory notes that are listed on Exhibit A	
5	which I think this is, such that it wouldn't		DIOMISSON NOIES INALAIR IISIED ON EXNIDILA	
6		5		
1	have to be negotiated or haggled by any of the	6	on the page there?	
7	parties.	6 7	on the page there? A. Yeah. I mean, effectively pay those	
7 8	parties. And there is no evidence of any of	6 7 8	on the page there? A. Yeah. I mean, effectively pay those off and reestablish an aggregate note.	
7 8 9	parties. And there is no evidence of any of the notes ever being haggled or ever being	6 7 8 9	on the page there? A. Yeah. I mean, effectively pay those off and reestablish an aggregate note. Q. Right. And Exhibit A actually set	
7 8 9 10	parties. And there is no evidence of any of the notes ever being haggled or ever being negotiated.	6 7 8 9 10	on the page there? A. Yeah. I mean, effectively pay those off and reestablish an aggregate note. Q. Right. And Exhibit A actually set forth the outstanding principal and interest	
7 8 9 10 11	parties. And there is no evidence of any of the notes ever being haggled or ever being negotiated. Q. Okay. I appreciate that.	6 7 8 9 10 11	 on the page there? A. Yeah. I mean, effectively pay those off and reestablish an aggregate note. Q. Right. And Exhibit A actually set forth the outstanding principal and interest that NexPoint owed Highland under the prior 	
7 8 9 10 11 12	parties. And there is no evidence of any of the notes ever being haggled or ever being negotiated. Q. Okay. I appreciate that. At the time you signed each of the	6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 on the page there? A. Yeah. I mean, effectively pay those off and reestablish an aggregate note. Q. Right. And Exhibit A actually set forth the outstanding principal and interest that NexPoint owed Highland under the prior notes as defined there as of May 31st, 2017; 	
7 8 9 10 11 12 13	parties. And there is no evidence of any of the notes ever being haggled or ever being negotiated. Q. Okay. I appreciate that. At the time you signed each of the notes on behalf of the obligors, did the	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 on the page there? A. Yeah. I mean, effectively pay those off and reestablish an aggregate note. Q. Right. And Exhibit A actually set forth the outstanding principal and interest that NexPoint owed Highland under the prior notes as defined there as of May 31st, 2017; right? 	
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	parties. And there is no evidence of any of the notes ever being haggled or ever being negotiated. Q. Okay. I appreciate that. At the time you signed each of the notes on behalf of the obligors, did the obligors have an intention at the time you put	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 on the page there? A. Yeah. I mean, effectively pay those off and reestablish an aggregate note. Q. Right. And Exhibit A actually set forth the outstanding principal and interest that NexPoint owed Highland under the prior notes as defined there as of May 31st, 2017; right? A. Yeah, that is what it looks like. 	
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	parties. And there is no evidence of any of the notes ever being haggled or ever being negotiated. Q. Okay. I appreciate that. At the time you signed each of the notes on behalf of the obligors, did the obligors have an intention at the time you put your signature on the page of repaying the	6 7 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 on the page there? A. Yeah. I mean, effectively pay those off and reestablish an aggregate note. Q. Right. And Exhibit A actually set forth the outstanding principal and interest that NexPoint owed Highland under the prior notes as defined there as of May 31st, 2017; right? A. Yeah, that is what it looks like. Q. Okay. And – and so the initial 	
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	parties. And there is no evidence of any of the notes ever being haggled or ever being negotiated. Q. Okay. I appreciate that. At the time you signed each of the notes on behalf of the obligors, did the obligors have an intention at the time you put your signature on the page of repaying the notes in accordance with their terms?	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 on the page there? A. Yeah. I mean, effectively pay those off and reestablish an aggregate note. Q. Right. And Exhibit A actually set forth the outstanding principal and interest that NexPoint owed Highland under the prior notes as defined there as of May 31st, 2017; right? A. Yeah, that is what it looks like. Q. Okay. And – and so the initial principal amount of the prior notes was what is 	
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	parties. And there is no evidence of any of the notes ever being haggled or ever being negotiated. Q. Okay. I appreciate that. At the time you signed each of the notes on behalf of the obligors, did the obligors have an intention at the time you put your signature on the page of repaying the notes in accordance with their terms? A. Yes. They're all – soft note	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 on the page there? A. Yeah. I mean, effectively pay those off and reestablish an aggregate note. Q. Right. And Exhibit A actually set forth the outstanding principal and interest that NexPoint owed Highland under the prior notes as defined there as of May 31st, 2017; right? A. Yeah, that is what it looks like. Q. Okay. And – and so the initial principal amount of the prior notes was what is stated there, approximately \$27.675 million? 	
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	parties. And there is no evidence of any of the notes ever being haggled or ever being negotiated. Q. Okay. I appreciate that. At the time you signed each of the notes on behalf of the obligors, did the obligors have an intention at the time you put your signature on the page of repaying the notes in accordance with their terms? A. Yes. They're all – soft note doesn't mean it's not a bona fide note. They	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 on the page there? A. Yeah. I mean, effectively pay those off and reestablish an aggregate note. Q. Right. And Exhibit A actually set forth the outstanding principal and interest that NexPoint owed Highland under the prior notes as defined there as of May 31st, 2017; right? A. Yeah, that is what it looks like. Q. Okay. And – and so the initial principal amount of the prior notes was what is stated there, approximately \$27.675 million? A. Right. 	
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	parties. And there is no evidence of any of the notes ever being haggled or ever being negotiated. Q. Okay. I appreciate that. At the time you signed each of the notes on behalf of the obligors, did the obligors have an intention at the time you put your signature on the page of repaying the notes in accordance with their terms? A. Yes. They're all – soft note doesn't mean it's not a bona fide note. They were all intended to be bona fide notes, and	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 on the page there? A. Yeah. I mean, effectively pay those off and reestablish an aggregate note. Q. Right. And Exhibit A actually set forth the outstanding principal and interest that NexPoint owed Highland under the prior notes as defined there as of May 31st, 2017; right? A. Yeah, that is what it looks like. Q. Okay. And – and so the initial principal amount of the prior notes was what is stated there, approximately \$27.675 million? A. Right. Q. Okay. You wouldn't have signed this 	
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	parties. And there is no evidence of any of the notes ever being haggled or ever being negotiated. Q. Okay. I appreciate that. At the time you signed each of the notes on behalf of the obligors, did the obligors have an intention at the time you put your signature on the page of repaying the notes in accordance with their terms? A. Yes. They're all – soft note doesn't mean it's not a bona fide notes, and they all are bona fide notes that were intended	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 on the page there? A. Yeah. I mean, effectively pay those off and reestablish an aggregate note. Q. Right. And Exhibit A actually set forth the outstanding principal and interest that NexPoint owed Highland under the prior notes as defined there as of May 31st, 2017; right? A. Yeah, that is what it looks like. Q. Okay. And – and so the initial principal amount of the prior notes was what is stated there, approximately \$27.675 million? A. Right. Q. Okay. You wouldn't have signed this note on behalf of NexPoint if you didn't 	
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	parties. And there is no evidence of any of the notes ever being haggled or ever being negotiated. Q. Okay. I appreciate that. At the time you signed each of the notes on behalf of the obligors, did the obligors have an intention at the time you put your signature on the page of repaying the notes in accordance with their terms? A. Yes. They're all – soft note doesn't mean it's not a bona fide note. They were all intended to be bona fide notes, and they all are bona fide notes that were intended to be paid and for the – virtually most part,	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 on the page there? A. Yeah. I mean, effectively pay those off and reestablish an aggregate note. Q. Right. And Exhibit A actually set forth the outstanding principal and interest that NexPoint owed Highland under the prior notes as defined there as of May 31st, 2017; right? A. Yeah, that is what it looks like. Q. Okay. And – and so the initial principal amount of the prior notes was what is stated there, approximately \$27.675 million? A. Right. Q. Okay. You wouldn't have signed this note on behalf of NexPoint if you didn't believe at the time you signed it that NexPoint 	
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	parties. And there is no evidence of any of the notes ever being haggled or ever being negotiated. Q. Okay. I appreciate that. At the time you signed each of the notes on behalf of the obligors, did the obligors have an intention at the time you put your signature on the page of repaying the notes in accordance with their terms? A. Yes. They're all – soft note doesn't mean it's not a bona fide note. They were all intended to be bona fide notes, and they all are bona fide notes that were intended to be paid and for the – virtually most part, were always paid or prepaid and, you know, paid	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 on the page there? A. Yeah. I mean, effectively pay those off and reestablish an aggregate note. Q. Right. And Exhibit A actually set forth the outstanding principal and interest that NexPoint owed Highland under the prior notes as defined there as of May 31st, 2017; right? A. Yeah, that is what it looks like. Q. Okay. And – and so the initial principal amount of the prior notes was what is stated there, approximately \$27.675 million? A. Right. Q. Okay. You wouldn't have signed this note on behalf of NexPoint if you didn't believe at the time you signed it that NexPoint owed Highland that amount of money; correct? 	
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	parties. And there is no evidence of any of the notes ever being haggled or ever being negotiated. Q. Okay. I appreciate that. At the time you signed each of the notes on behalf of the obligors, did the obligors have an intention at the time you put your signature on the page of repaying the notes in accordance with their terms? A. Yes. They're all – soft note doesn't mean it's not a bona fide note. They were all intended to be bona fide notes, and they all are bona fide notes that were intended to be paid and for the – virtually most part, were always paid or prepaid and, you know, paid in accordance.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 on the page there? A. Yeah. I mean, effectively pay those off and reestablish an aggregate note. Q. Right. And Exhibit A actually set forth the outstanding principal and interest that NexPoint owed Highland under the prior notes as defined there as of May 31st, 2017; right? A. Yeah, that is what it looks like. Q. Okay. And – and so the initial principal amount of the prior notes was what is stated there, approximately \$27.675 million? A. Right. Q. Okay. You wouldn't have signed this note on behalf of NexPoint if you didn't believe at the time you signed it that NexPoint owed Highland that amount of money; correct? A. Yeah, it is a bona fide note, 	
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 parties. And there is no evidence of any of the notes ever being haggled or ever being negotiated. Q. Okay. I appreciate that. At the time you signed each of the notes on behalf of the obligors, did the obligors have an intention at the time you put your signature on the page of repaying the notes in accordance with their terms? A. Yes. They're all – soft note doesn't mean it's not a bona fide notes, and they all are bona fide notes that were intended to be paid and for the – virtually most part, were always paid or prepaid and, you know, paid in accordance. Q. Do you see to the right there is a 	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 on the page there? A. Yeah. I mean, effectively pay those off and reestablish an aggregate note. Q. Right. And Exhibit A actually set forth the outstanding principal and interest that NexPoint owed Highland under the prior notes as defined there as of May 31st, 2017; right? A. Yeah, that is what it looks like. Q. Okay. And – and so the initial principal amount of the prior notes was what is stated there, approximately \$27.675 million? A. Right. Q. Okay. You wouldn't have signed this note on behalf of NexPoint if you didn't believe at the time you signed it that NexPoint owed Highland that amount of money; correct? A. Yeah, it is a bona fide note, consistent with my testimony. 	
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	parties. And there is no evidence of any of the notes ever being haggled or ever being negotiated. Q. Okay. I appreciate that. At the time you signed each of the notes on behalf of the obligors, did the obligors have an intention at the time you put your signature on the page of repaying the notes in accordance with their terms? A. Yes. They're all – soft note doesn't mean it's not a bona fide notes. They were all intended to be bona fide notes, and they all are bona fide notes that were intended to be paid and for the – virtually most part, were always paid or prepaid and, you know, paid in accordance.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 on the page there? A. Yeah. I mean, effectively pay those off and reestablish an aggregate note. Q. Right. And Exhibit A actually set forth the outstanding principal and interest that NexPoint owed Highland under the prior notes as defined there as of May 31st, 2017; right? A. Yeah, that is what it looks like. Q. Okay. And – and so the initial principal amount of the prior notes was what is stated there, approximately \$27.675 million? A. Right. Q. Okay. You wouldn't have signed this note on behalf of NexPoint if you didn't believe at the time you signed it that NexPoint owed Highland that amount of money; correct? A. Yeah, it is a bona fide note, 	

Caase21060065550000148634FHdd012061221 Elatered012061221184187432 Page731 of 899

1	Page 420			Page 421
1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	5
2	borrowed the money from Highland at the times	2	Dugaboy trustee?	
3	and in the amounts listed on Exhibit A?	3	A. Me, myself.	
4	A. No.	4	Q. Okay. What was the purpose of	
5	Q. Did you authorize NexPoint to borrow	5	including this note in your agreement with the	
6	the money that is reflected in the prior note	6	Dugaboy trustee?	
7	set forth on Exhibit A?	7	Was it to provide you with a	
8	A. I don't know. Probably some of	8	compensation?	
9	them, yes.	9	A. Yeah. I mean, in fact, I think it	
10	Q. Okay. And you have no recollection	10	was articulated in that big paragraph	
11	at all as to why NexPoint borrowed over	11	reasonably well that my cash compensation, I	
12	\$27 million from Highland in the 12-month	12	believe through any lens, people would look at	
13	period from August 2014 to July 2015?	13	it as de minimis from the standpoint of	
14	A. Not without being refreshed.	14	Highland as asset manager.	
15	Q. Okay. Do you have any knowledge as	15	I don't think it was more than a	
16	to what NexPoint did with the proceeds from	16	couple million bucks in a year and it went	
17	these loans?	17	down, I think, in the '15 through '20 period.	
18	A. Not without being refreshed.	18	So I think it is common in private	
19	Q. Okay. And you contend that this	19	companies to loan money that is bona fide debt	
20	note is subject to – subject to one of your	20	and then forgive it at different times to	
21	oral agreements with the Dugaboy trustee;	21	manage compensation and incentives to managers	
22	correct?	22	of private companies.	
23	A. Yes.	23	This is a – we're in – we each	
24	Q. Who decided to include this	24	have experts talking about it, but I think this	
25	particular note in your agreement with the	25	is, you know, typical.	
	Page 422			Page 423
11				
1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	
2	Q. Can you identify any moment in the	2	the only one at the compensation level with the	
1	Q. Can you identify any moment in the25 or 26 year history that you were president	1 2 3	the only one at the compensation level with the interrelated entities who could possibly get	
1	 Q. Can you identify any moment in the 25 or 26 year history that you were president of Highland where Highland forgave an 	2	the only one at the compensation level with the interrelated entities who could possibly get intercompany loans forgiven as part of the	
2 3 4 5	 Q. Can you identify any moment in the 25 or 26 year history that you were president of Highland where Highland forgave an intercompany loan for the purpose of providing 	2 3 4 5	the only one at the compensation level with the interrelated entities who could possibly get intercompany loans forgiven as part of the comp, but it –	
23	 Q. Can you identify any moment in the 25 or 26 year history that you were president of Highland where Highland forgave an intercompany loan for the purpose of providing compensation to you or any other employee 	2 3 4 5 6	the only one at the compensation level with the interrelated entities who could possibly get intercompany loans forgiven as part of the comp, but it – Q. Okay. So let me ask a cleaner –	g
2 3 4 5 6 7	Q. Can you identify any moment in the 25 or 26 year history that you were president of Highland where Highland forgave an intercompany loan for the purpose of providing compensation to you or any other employee except for the agreements that are described in	2 3 4 5 6 7	the only one at the compensation level with the interrelated entities who could possibly get intercompany loans forgiven as part of the comp, but it – Q. Okay. So let me ask a cleaner – let me ask a cleaner question. I appreciate	g
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. Can you identify any moment in the 25 or 26 year history that you were president of Highland where Highland forgave an intercompany loan for the purpose of providing compensation to you or any other employee except for the agreements that are described in Paragraph 82 of your answer?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	the only one at the compensation level with the interrelated entities who could possibly get intercompany loans forgiven as part of the comp, but it – Q. Okay. So let me ask a cleaner – let me ask a cleaner question. I appreciate that clarification.	g
2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. Can you identify any moment in the 25 or 26 year history that you were president of Highland where Highland forgave an intercompany loan for the purpose of providing compensation to you or any other employee except for the agreements that are described in Paragraph 82 of your answer? A. Boy, I know we have masked it. I 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	the only one at the compensation level with the interrelated entities who could possibly get intercompany loans forgiven as part of the comp, but it – Q. Okay. So let me ask a cleaner – let me ask a cleaner question. I appreciate that clarification. Other than the agreements described	g
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. Can you identify any moment in the 25 or 26 year history that you were president of Highland where Highland forgave an intercompany loan for the purpose of providing compensation to you or any other employee except for the agreements that are described in Paragraph 82 of your answer? A. Boy, I know we have masked it. I don't know if we it sounds like we may not 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	the only one at the compensation level with the interrelated entities who could possibly get intercompany loans forgiven as part of the comp, but it – Q. Okay. So let me ask a cleaner – let me ask a cleaner question. I appreciate that clarification. Other than the agreements described in Paragraph 82, can you think of any other	g
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. Can you identify any moment in the 25 or 26 year history that you were president of Highland where Highland forgave an intercompany loan for the purpose of providing compensation to you or any other employee except for the agreements that are described in Paragraph 82 of your answer? A. Boy, I know we have masked it. I don't know if we – it sounds like we may not have sent it to you, but we have done it for a 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	the only one at the compensation level with the interrelated entities who could possibly get intercompany loans forgiven as part of the comp, but it – Q. Okay. So let me ask a cleaner – let me ask a cleaner question. I appreciate that clarification. Other than the agreements described in Paragraph 82, can you think of any other intercompany loan that was ever forgiven while	g
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q. Can you identify any moment in the 25 or 26 year history that you were president of Highland where Highland forgave an intercompany loan for the purpose of providing compensation to you or any other employee except for the agreements that are described in Paragraph 82 of your answer? A. Boy, I know we have masked it. I don't know if we it sounds like we may not have sent it to you, but we have done it for a dozen employees over the years in in fairly 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	the only one at the compensation level with the interrelated entities who could possibly get intercompany loans forgiven as part of the comp, but it – Q. Okay. So let me ask a cleaner – let me ask a cleaner question. I appreciate that clarification. Other than the agreements described in Paragraph 82, can you think of any other intercompany loan that was ever forgiven while you were president of Highland for the purpose	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. Can you identify any moment in the 25 or 26 year history that you were president of Highland where Highland forgave an intercompany loan for the purpose of providing compensation to you or any other employee except for the agreements that are described in Paragraph 82 of your answer? A. Boy, I know we have masked it. I don't know if we – it sounds like we may not have sent it to you, but we have done it for a dozen employees over the years in – in fairly significant amount – 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the only one at the compensation level with the interrelated entities who could possibly get intercompany loans forgiven as part of the comp, but it Q. Okay. So let me ask a cleaner let me ask a cleaner question. I appreciate that clarification. Other than the agreements described in Paragraph 82, can you think of any other intercompany loan that was ever forgiven while you were president of Highland for the purpose of giving you compensation?	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. Can you identify any moment in the 25 or 26 year history that you were president of Highland where Highland forgave an intercompany loan for the purpose of providing compensation to you or any other employee except for the agreements that are described in Paragraph 82 of your answer? A. Boy, I know we have masked it. I don't know if we – it sounds like we may not have sent it to you, but we have done it for a dozen employees over the years in – in fairly significant amount – Q. I'm going to interrupt you, sir, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the only one at the compensation level with the interrelated entities who could possibly get intercompany loans forgiven as part of the comp, but it – Q. Okay. So let me ask a cleaner – let me ask a cleaner question. I appreciate that clarification. Other than the agreements described in Paragraph 82, can you think of any other intercompany loan that was ever forgiven while you were president of Highland for the purpose of giving you compensation? A. I don't – I don't know.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. Can you identify any moment in the 25 or 26 year history that you were president of Highland where Highland forgave an intercompany loan for the purpose of providing compensation to you or any other employee except for the agreements that are described in Paragraph 82 of your answer? A. Boy, I know we have masked it. I don't know if we it sounds like we may not have sent it to you, but we have done it for a dozen employees over the years in in fairly significant amount Q. I'm going to interrupt you, sir, because it's not responsive to my question. I 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	the only one at the compensation level with the interrelated entities who could possibly get intercompany loans forgiven as part of the comp, but it – Q. Okay. So let me ask a cleaner – let me ask a cleaner question. I appreciate that clarification. Other than the agreements described in Paragraph 82, can you think of any other intercompany loan that was ever forgiven while you were president of Highland for the purpose of giving you compensation? A. I don't – I don't know. Q. This is an important issue; right?	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. Can you identify any moment in the 25 or 26 year history that you were president of Highland where Highland forgave an intercompany loan for the purpose of providing compensation to you or any other employee except for the agreements that are described in Paragraph 82 of your answer? A. Boy, I know we have masked it. I don't know if we – it sounds like we may not have sent it to you, but we have done it for a dozen employees over the years in – in fairly significant amount – Q. I'm going to interrupt you, sir, because it's not responsive to my question. I apologize for that. I'm just focusing on 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	the only one at the compensation level with the interrelated entities who could possibly get intercompany loans forgiven as part of the comp, but it – Q. Okay. So let me ask a cleaner –- let me ask a cleaner question. I appreciate that clarification. Other than the agreements described in Paragraph 82, can you think of any other intercompany loan that was ever forgiven while you were president of Highland for the purpose of giving you compensation? A. I don't – I don't know. Q. This is an important issue; right?	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. Can you identify any moment in the 25 or 26 year history that you were president of Highland where Highland forgave an intercompany loan for the purpose of providing compensation to you or any other employee except for the agreements that are described in Paragraph 82 of your answer? A. Boy, I know we have masked it. I don't know if we – it sounds like we may not have sent it to you, but we have done it for a dozen employees over the years in – in fairly significant amount – Q. I'm going to interrupt you, sir, because it's not responsive to my question. I apologize for that. I'm just focusing on intercompany loans. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the only one at the compensation level with the interrelated entities who could possibly get intercompany loans forgiven as part of the comp, but it – Q. Okay. So let me ask a cleaner – let me ask a cleaner question. I appreciate that clarification. Other than the agreements described in Paragraph 82, can you think of any other intercompany loan that was ever forgiven while you were president of Highland for the purpose of giving you compensation? A. I don't – I don't know. Q. This is an important issue; right? The notion of a prior practice. It is your contention that there was a prior practice at	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. Can you identify any moment in the 25 or 26 year history that you were president of Highland where Highland forgave an intercompany loan for the purpose of providing compensation to you or any other employee except for the agreements that are described in Paragraph 82 of your answer? A. Boy, I know we have masked it. I don't know if we – it sounds like we may not have sent it to you, but we have done it for a dozen employees over the years in – in fairly significant amount – Q. I'm going to interrupt you, sir, because it's not responsive to my question. I apologize for that. I'm just focusing on intercompany loans. Can you identify any loan in the 25 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the only one at the compensation level with the interrelated entities who could possibly get intercompany loans forgiven as part of the comp, but it – Q. Okay. So let me ask a cleaner – let me ask a cleaner question. I appreciate that clarification. Other than the agreements described in Paragraph 82, can you think of any other intercompany loan that was ever forgiven while you were president of Highland for the purpose of giving you compensation? A. I don't – I don't know. Q. This is an important issue; right? The notion of a prior practice. It is your contention that there was a prior practice at Highland – hold on one second. I apologize.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q. Can you identify any moment in the 25 or 26 year history that you were president of Highland where Highland forgave an intercompany loan for the purpose of providing compensation to you or any other employee except for the agreements that are described in Paragraph 82 of your answer? A. Boy, I know we have masked it. I don't know if we – it sounds like we may not have sent it to you, but we have done it for a dozen employees over the years in – in fairly significant amount – Q. I'm going to interrupt you, sir, because it's not responsive to my question. I apologize for that. I'm just focusing on intercompany loans. Can you identify any loan in the 25 or 26 years that you were president, an 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	the only one at the compensation level with the interrelated entities who could possibly get intercompany loans forgiven as part of the comp, but it – Q. Okay. So let me ask a cleaner –- let me ask a cleaner question. I appreciate that clarification. Other than the agreements described in Paragraph 82, can you think of any other intercompany loan that was ever forgiven while you were president of Highland for the purpose of giving you compensation? A. I don't – I don't know. Q. This is an important issue; right? The notion of a prior practice. It is your contention that there was a prior practice at Highland – hold on one second. I apologize. Sorry about that. Somebody almost	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. Can you identify any moment in the 25 or 26 year history that you were president of Highland where Highland forgave an intercompany loan for the purpose of providing compensation to you or any other employee except for the agreements that are described in Paragraph 82 of your answer? A. Boy, I know we have masked it. I don't know if we – it sounds like we may not have sent it to you, but we have done it for a dozen employees over the years in – in fairly significant amount – Q. I'm going to interrupt you, sir, because it's not responsive to my question. I apologize for that. I'm just focusing on intercompany loans. Can you identify any loan in the 25 or 26 years that you were president, an intercompany loan where – where Highland was 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	the only one at the compensation level with the interrelated entities who could possibly get intercompany loans forgiven as part of the comp, but it – Q. Okay. So let me ask a cleaner – let me ask a cleaner question. I appreciate that clarification. Other than the agreements described in Paragraph 82, can you think of any other intercompany loan that was ever forgiven while you were president of Highland for the purpose of giving you compensation? A. I don't – I don't know. Q. This is an important issue; right? The notion of a prior practice. It is your contention that there was a prior practice at Highland – hold on one second. I apologize. Sorry about that. Somebody almost dropped an air conditioner out the window.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q. Can you identify any moment in the 25 or 26 year history that you were president of Highland where Highland forgave an intercompany loan for the purpose of providing compensation to you or any other employee except for the agreements that are described in Paragraph 82 of your answer? A. Boy, I know we have masked it. I don't know if we – it sounds like we may not have sent it to you, but we have done it for a dozen employees over the years in – in fairly significant amount – Q. I'm going to interrupt you, sir, because it's not responsive to my question. I apologize for that. I'm just focusing on intercompany loans. Can you identify any loan in the 25 or 26 years that you were president, an intercompany loan where – where Highland was the payee that was forgiven for purposes of 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the only one at the compensation level with the interrelated entities who could possibly get intercompany loans forgiven as part of the comp, but it – Q. Okay. So let me ask a cleaner – let me ask a cleaner question. I appreciate that clarification. Other than the agreements described in Paragraph 82, can you think of any other intercompany loan that was ever forgiven while you were president of Highland for the purpose of giving you compensation? A. I don't – I don't know. Q. This is an important issue; right? The notion of a prior practice. It is your contention that there was a prior practice at Highland – hold on one second. I apologize. Sorry about that. Somebody almost dropped an air conditioner out the window. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: That would not	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 20	 Q. Can you identify any moment in the 25 or 26 year history that you were president of Highland where Highland forgave an intercompany loan for the purpose of providing compensation to you or any other employee except for the agreements that are described in Paragraph 82 of your answer? A. Boy, I know we have masked it. I don't know if we – it sounds like we may not have sent it to you, but we have done it for a dozen employees over the years in – in fairly significant amount – Q. I'm going to interrupt you, sir, because it's not responsive to my question. I apologize for that. I'm just focusing on intercompany loans. Can you identify any loan in the 25 or 26 years that you were president, an intercompany loan where – where Highland was the payee that was forgiven for purposes of giving you or any employee compensation, other 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the only one at the compensation level with the interrelated entities who could possibly get intercompany loans forgiven as part of the comp, but it – Q. Okay. So let me ask a cleaner – let me ask a cleaner question. I appreciate that clarification. Other than the agreements described in Paragraph 82, can you think of any other intercompany loan that was ever forgiven while you were president of Highland for the purpose of giving you compensation? A. I don't – I don't know. Q. This is an important issue; right? The notion of a prior practice. It is your contention that there was a prior practice at Highland – hold on one second. I apologize. Sorry about that. Somebody almost dropped an air conditioner out the window. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: That would not be good.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. Can you identify any moment in the 25 or 26 year history that you were president of Highland where Highland forgave an intercompany loan for the purpose of providing compensation to you or any other employee except for the agreements that are described in Paragraph 82 of your answer? A. Boy, I know we have masked it. I don't know if we – it sounds like we may not have sent it to you, but we have done it for a dozen employees over the years in – in fairly significant amount – Q. I'm going to interrupt you, sir, because it's not responsive to my question. I apologize for that. I'm just focusing on intercompany loans. Can you identify any loan in the 25 or 26 years that you were president, an intercompany loan where – where Highland was the payee that was forgiven for purposes of giving you or any employee compensation, other than – other than the agreements that you 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the only one at the compensation level with the interrelated entities who could possibly get intercompany loans forgiven as part of the comp, but it Q. Okay. So let me ask a cleaner let me ask a cleaner question. I appreciate that clarification. Other than the agreements described in Paragraph 82, can you think of any other intercompany loan that was ever forgiven while you were president of Highland for the purpose of giving you compensation? A. I don't I don't know. Q. This is an important issue; right? The notion of a prior practice. It is your contention that there was a prior practice at Highland – hold on one second. I apologize. Sorry about that. Somebody almost dropped an air conditioner out the window. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: That would not be good. MR. MORRIS: No.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 Q. Can you identify any moment in the 25 or 26 year history that you were president of Highland where Highland forgave an intercompany loan for the purpose of providing compensation to you or any other employee except for the agreements that are described in Paragraph 82 of your answer? A. Boy, I know we have masked it. I don't know if we – it sounds like we may not have sent it to you, but we have done it for a dozen employees over the years in – in fairly significant amount – Q. I'm going to interrupt you, sir, because it's not responsive to my question. I apologize for that. I'm just focusing on intercompany loans. Can you identify any loan in the 25 or 26 years that you were president, an intercompany loan where – where Highland was the payee that was forgiven for purposes of giving you or any employee compensation, other than – other than the agreements that you 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	the only one at the compensation level with the interrelated entities who could possibly get intercompany loans forgiven as part of the comp, but it – Q. Okay. So let me ask a cleaner – let me ask a cleaner question. I appreciate that clarification. Other than the agreements described in Paragraph 82, can you think of any other intercompany loan that was ever forgiven while you were president of Highland for the purpose of giving you compensation? A. I don't – I don't know. Q. This is an important issue; right? The notion of a prior practice. It is your contention that there was a prior practice at Highland – hold on one second. I apologize. Sorry about that. Somebody almost dropped an air conditioner out the window. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: That would not be good. MR. MORRIS: No. Q. All right. Apologies.	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 3 3 10 21 22 3 23 23 23 24 24 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25	 Q. Can you identify any moment in the 25 or 26 year history that you were president of Highland where Highland forgave an intercompany loan for the purpose of providing compensation to you or any other employee except for the agreements that are described in Paragraph 82 of your answer? A. Boy, I know we have masked it. I don't know if we – it sounds like we may not have sent it to you, but we have done it for a dozen employees over the years in – in fairly significant amount – Q. I'm going to interrupt you, sir, because it's not responsive to my question. I apologize for that. I'm just focusing on intercompany loans. Can you identify any loan in the 25 or 26 years that you were president, an intercompany loan where – where Highland was the payee that was forgiven for purposes of giving you or any employee compensation, other than – other than the agreements that you 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the only one at the compensation level with the interrelated entities who could possibly get intercompany loans forgiven as part of the comp, but it Q. Okay. So let me ask a cleaner let me ask a cleaner question. I appreciate that clarification. Other than the agreements described in Paragraph 82, can you think of any other intercompany loan that was ever forgiven while you were president of Highland for the purpose of giving you compensation? A. I don't I don't know. Q. This is an important issue; right? The notion of a prior practice. It is your contention that there was a prior practice at Highland – hold on one second. I apologize. Sorry about that. Somebody almost dropped an air conditioner out the window. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: That would not be good. MR. MORRIS: No.	

Caase210600655gjpDoc148634Fifedc012061221 Elateredc012061221184187432 Page732 of 899

1 DONDERO - 1023/21 Page 40m embers at back 2 2 question match and 2 executives at the back and board members at the 3 3 (Record read) 3 4 Q. Im gring to start all over here. 4 6 there was a practice at Highland of forgiving 6 7 Mc Bondero, do you contend that 6 8 A. Yes. 8 9 Q. And do you recall that the talked 9 11 A. Yes. 8 9 Q. And do you recall that the talked 9 11 A. Yes. 11 12 Q. Ckay. And since - ance that time 12 13 have you made any effort to gather any 13 14 hore work in twould demonstrate that the thore that 15 15 was a prior practice at Highland of forgiving 15 16 tears? 11 A. Decisition 4 16 tears? 13 Have they been produce? 16 tears? 14 Mc Decisition 2 16 transition 2 <th></th> <th>Page 424</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>Page 425</th>		Page 424			Page 425
3 Filesord mach) 3 bark in the sever-figure kind of numbers that 4 Q. Im going to start all over here. 4 were then subsequently forgiven. 6 Incerves a practice at Highland of forgiving 6 a dozen examples that were significant and 7 Kens: Is that corner? 7 material 8 A Yes. 8 MR. MORRIS: Deboreh, Lapologize. 9 Q. And do you recall that we taked 9 His containts of any kind that Mr. Dondero has 10 about that issue back in May? 10 documents of any kind that Mr. Dondero has 11 A Yes. 11 documents of any kind that Mr. Dondero has 12 O. Okay. And since -since that time 12 documents of any kind that Mr. Dondero has 13 bars protocolice at Highland of forgiving 16 they fielded 14 information that would demonstrate that there 14 MS. DETSCH-PEREZ: It kink so. I 14 bars protocolice at Highland of forgiving 16 they fielded 17 14 A. Ike I said, we amassed a list, and 19 of names in oe of the discovery response. 20 15 <	1		1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	. «go 120
4 Q. Imgoing to start all over here. 4 were then subsequently forgiven. 5 M. Dondero, do you contend that 5 Its – Horow we amassed more than 6 a dozen examples that were synthem and 7 learning, is that correct? 7 8 A. Yes. 8 MK. MORRIS: Debondh, Lapologize. 9 Q. And do you recall that we taked 9 It is cartainly possible I missed it, but I 10 about that issue back in May? 10 down tension is any kind that M. Dondeno has 12 Q. Okay, And since – since that time 12 desorbed. 13 have youn adde any effort to gather any 13 Have they been produced? 14 information that would demonstrate that there 14 MS. DETTSCH-PEREZ: I think so. I 15 was a prior practice at Highland of forgiving 15 will double-check, but I beliew that 16 barsey 16 and 19 of – Lapologize. I know there is a list 16 barsey 18 of – Lapologize. I know there is a list and 19 18 A. Lie I said, we amassed a ist, and 19 of Lansovery response. I don't recall 20 noting produced the people's 21 doscovery response. I don't recall 21 mounts, propolionate to the pe	2	question read back?	2	executives at the bank and board members at the	
5 Mc Donders, do you contend that 5 Itis – Iknow we anassed more than 6 there was a practice at Highland of forgiving 6 a dozen examples that were significant and 7 Name, is that corned? 7 material. 8 A. Yes. 8 Mc MORRIS: Deborah, I apologize. 10 about that issue back in May? 10 down that sues back in May? 11 A. Yes. 11 documents of any kind that Mr. Dondero has 12 Q. Okay. And shoe – shoe that time 12 documents of any kind that Mr. Dondero has 13 have you made any diffort to gather any 13 Have they been produced? 14 Information that would diemonstate that there 14 Mc Schröch, Luck Verset, Luck Verset, Luck Verset, Luck Verset, Luck Verset, Luck Verset, Schröch, Luck Verset, Luck Verset, Luck Verset, Schröch, Luck Verset, Schröch, Luck Verset, Luck Verset, Schröch, Luck Verset, Schröch,	3	(Record read.)	3	bank in the seven-figure kind of numbers that	
6 there was a pactice at Highland of forgiving 6 a dozen examples that were significant and 7 kars; is that correct? 7 material. 9 Q. And do you read that we tailed 9 It is catalinly possible I missed I, but I 10 about that suse back in May? 11 documents of any kind that M. Dondero has 12 Q. Okay. And since – since that time 12 described. 13 13 have you made any effort to gath any 13 Have they been produced? 14 information that would demonstrate that there 14 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ. I think so. I 15 was a prior pactice at Highland of forgiving 15 will double-check, but I believe that 16 barey you made? 16 they reprised. 16 14 not significant 20 of names in one of the discovery response. 16 20 not hargo protothose to the people's 21 discovery response. 16 17 21 mounts protothose to the people's 22 mounts protothose to the people's 23 Mave you material amounts? 22 trinsignificant and ont significant 20 But of t	4	Q. I'm going to start all over here.	4	were then subsequently forgiven.	
7 cares; shat corred? 7 material 8 A. Yes. 8 MR. MORRIS: Deborah, Lapologize. 10 about that issue back in May? 10 documents of any kind that Mr. Dondero has 11 A. Yes. 11 documents of any kind that Mr. Dondero has 12 Q. Okay. And since – since that time 12 described. 13 have you made any diffort to gather any 13 Have they been produced? 14 information that would demonstrate that there 14 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ. Think so. 1 15 was a prior practice at Highland of forgiving 15 will double-check, but I believe that 16 there? 18 of – lapologize. Unrow there is a a list. 0 16 ans? 18 of – lapologize. Unrow there is a a list. 0 13 have have been produced? 18 of – lapologize. Unrow there is a a list. 0 21 ordsordson, for some pacifie for haves spratobo. 21 tdiscovery response. I don't resail 22 coruperstation where it was a gradobo. 22 trees/mig any documents at all. 22 coruperstandor where it was a grandobo. 22<	5	Mr. Dondero, do you contend that	5	It is – I know we amassed more than	
8 A. Yes. 8 MR. MORRIS: Debrah. I apologize. 9 Q. And do you recall that we talked 9 It is certainly possible I missed is built 10 about that use back in May? 10 dort mecall seeing any kind that Mr. Dondero has 11 A. Yes. 11 documents of any kind that Mr. Dondero has 12 Q. Okky. And since - since that time 12 described 13 have you made any effort to gather any 13 Have they been produced? 14 information that would demonstrate that there 14 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ. I think so. I 16 was a prior packo at Highland of forgiving 16 17 MI. MORRIS. I know there is a list 16 ansers? 16 have they been produced? 18 of -1apologize. I know there is a list 16 A. Kek I said, we amassed a list, and 19 of names in one of the discovery response. 20 20 not insignificant 20 Bu contre than the list of names in the 21 amounts, proportionate to the people's 21 discovery response. I don't necall 22 orophensation where is a list in 5 Sections, for some people for 23 MS Like Server. The only thing I can 24 relocation, for some people for 23 MS Like Server. T	6	there was a practice at Highland of forgiving	6	a dozen examples that were significant and	
9 Q. And do you recall that we taked 9 It is certainly possible I missed it, but 1 10 about that issue back in May? 10 don't recall seeing any list or any 11 A. Yes. 11 documents of any wind that Mr. Dondero has 12 Q. Okay. And since - since that time 12 described. 13 have you made any effort to gather any 13 Have they been produced? 14 information that would demonstrate that there 14 MS. DETTSCH-PEREZ. I think so. I 15 was a prior practice at Highland of forgiving 15 will double-check, but I believe that 16 barss? 16 they been produced? 17 A. Yes. 17 MR. MORRIS. I know there is a list 16 ort insignificant list and not insignificant 20 But other than the list of names in the 21 anounts, proportionate to the people's 21 doscovery response. 22 compensation where it was a practice. 22 meening and ocuments at all. 23 You know, for some people for bonuses, for 24 MS. DETTSCH-PEREZ. No. And I kink 24 relocation, for some people for bonuses, for 24 don't have access to the documents because we 25 house purposes, for senic executives, senior 25 <td< td=""><td>7</td><td>loans; is that correct?</td><td>7</td><td>material.</td><td></td></td<>	7	loans; is that correct?	7	material.	
10 about that issue back in May? 10 documents of any kind that M. Dondero has 11 A Yes. 11 documents of any kind that M. Dondero has 13 have you made any effort to gather any 13 Have they been produced? 14 information that would demonstrate that there 14 MS. DEITSCH-PERE2: 1 thinks o. I 15 was a propertice at Highland of forging 15 will double-check, but I believe that 16 lears? 16 they're listed - 17 A. Yes. 17 MR. MORRIS: I know there is a list 18 Q. And what efforts have you made? 18 of names in one of the discovery responses. 20 not insignificant tist and not insignificant 20 But other than the list of names in the 21 amounts, proportionale to the people's 21 discovery response. 1001 trick 22 orot insignificant tok was a practice. 22 receiving any documents at all. 23 23 You know, for some people for 23 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: No. And H link 24 reloxing in complex any documents at all. 24 we asked you for the documents on 14	8	A. Yes.	8	MR. MORRIS: Deborah, I apologize.	
11 A. Yes. 11 documents of any kind that Mr. Dondero has 12 Q. Okay. And since – since that time 12 described. 13 have your mode any effort tig gather any 13 Have they been produced? 14 information that would demonstrate that there 14 MS. DETSCH-PEREZ: Uthink so. I 15 wes a prior practice at Highland of forgiving 15 will double-check, but I believe that 16 bars? 16 they related – 17 17 A. Yes. 17 MR. MORRIS: I/kow there is a list 18 Q. And what efforts have you made? 18 of – I apologize. I know there is a list 19 A Like I said, we amassed a list, and 19 of names in one of the discovery responses. 20 noutrs, proprionate to the people's 21 discovery response, I don't recall 22 compensation where it was a practice. 22 moust proprionate to the discovery response. 21 moust proprionate to the people's 21 discovery response. And think 22 contraw, for some people for bonuses, for 24 we asked you for the documents because we 25 house purp	9	Q. And do you recall that we talked	9	It is certainly possible I missed it, but I	
12 Q. Okay. And since – since that time 12 described. 13 have you made any effort to gather any 13 Have they been produced? 14 information that would demonstrate that there 14 MS. DETSCH-PEREZ. I think so. I 15 was a prior practice at Highland of forgiving 15 will double-check, but I believe that 16 cans? 16 they're listed - 17 A. Yes. 17 MR. MORRIS: I know there is a list 18 Q. And what efforts have you made? 18 of -1 applogize. I know there is a list 19 A. Like I said, we amassed a list, and 19 of names in one of the discovery responses. 20 not insignificant 20 But other than the list of names in the 21 ansounds, proportionate to the people's 21 discovery response, I don't recall 22 compensation where it was a practice. 22 foot highlight metall 3 23 You know, for some people for boruses, for 24 We asked you for the documents on 24 house purposes, for senior executives, senior 25 2 don't have access to the documents on 24 Highland's seudt each was dilition names to list in 4 be material amounts? 5 the interrogatory, and I will check wh	10	about that issue back in May?	10	don't recall seeing any list or any	
13 have you made any effort to gather any 13 Have they been produced? 14 information that would demonstrate that there 14 MS_DEITSCH-PEREZ Links so. I 15 will coulde-checks, but I believe that 15 will coulde-checks, but I believe that 16 loans? 16 they're listed - 17 A Yes. 17 MR_MORRIS: I know there is a list 19 A Like I said, we amassed a list, and 19 of names in one of the discovery responses. 20 not insignificant list and not insignificant 20 But other than the list of names in the 21 amounts, proportionate to the people's 21 discovery response. 20 22 compensation where it was a practice. 22 receiving any documents on 23 24 relocation, for some people for	11	A. Yes.	11	documents of any kind that Mr. Dondero has	
14 information that would demonstrate that there 14 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: I think so. I 15 was a prior practice at Highland of forgiving 15 will double-check, but I believe that 16 loans? 16 thery's fisted - 17 A. Yes. 17 MR. MORRIS: I know there is a list 18 Q. And what efforts have you made? 18 of -1 apologize. I know there is a list 20 not insignificant list and not insignificant 20 But other than the list of names in one of the discovery responses. 21 anounts, proportionate to the people's 21 discovery response, I don't recall 22 compensation where it was a practice. 22 receiving any documents at all. 23 You know, for some people for 23 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: No. And I think 24 relocation, for some people for boruses, for 24 we acked you for the documents because we 25 house purposes, for theory thing I can 2 Q. And would that include any 3 think of that we might ow you is there 3 agreements to forgive loans that were deemed to 4 might be a few additional names to list in 4 term and speculative. <td< td=""><td>12</td><td>Q. Okay. And since – since that time</td><td>12</td><td>described.</td><td></td></td<>	12	Q. Okay. And since – since that time	12	described.	
15 was a prior practice at Highland of forgiving 15 will double-check, but I believe that 16 tosns? 16 they're listed 17 A Yes. 17 MR. MORRIS: I know there is a list 19 A Like I said, we amassed a list, and 19 of names in one of the discovery responses. 20 not insignificant list and not insignificant 20 But other than the list of names in the 21 amounts, proprionate to the people's 21 discovery response, I don't recall 22 compensation where it was a practice. 22 receiving any documents bacause we 23 You know, for some people for 23 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: No. And I think 24 relocation, for some people for 23 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: No. And I think 24 relocation, for some people for 23 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: No. And I think 25 house purposes, for senior executives, senior 25 don't have access to the documents because we 25 house purposes, for senior executives, senior 2 Q. And would that include any 3 argitements to forgive loans 3 agreements to forgive loans	13	have you made any effort to gather any	13	Have they been produced?	
16 loans? 16 they/re listed 17 A Yes. 17 MR. MORRIS: Iknow there is a list. 18 Q. And what efforts have you made? 18 of -1 apologize. Iknow there is a list. 19 A. Like I said, we amassed a list, and 19 of names in one of the discovery responses. 20 not insignificant list and not insignificant 20 But other than the list of names in the 21 amounts, proportionate to the people's 21 liscovery response. 21 22 compensation where it was a practice. 22 receiving any documents at all. 23 23 You know, for some people for 23 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: No. And I think 24 receating any documents because we 24 we asked you for the documents on 25 house purposes, for senior executives, senior 25 don't have access to the documents on 25 Highland's server. The only thing I can 3 agreements to forgive loans that were deemed to 4 might be a few additional names to list in 4 be material amounts? 5 A. No, because it's contigent in long 6 therimand speculative. 7	14	information that would demonstrate that there	14	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: I think so. I	
17 A. Yes. 17 MR.MORRIS: I know there is a list 18 Q. And what efforts have you made? 18 of – I apologize. I know there is a list 19 A. Like I said, we amassed a list, and 19 of names in one of the discovery response. 19 A. Like I said, we amassed a list, and 19 of names in one of the discovery response. 11 Dot insignificant list and not insignificant 20 But other than the list of names in the 12 amounts, proportionale to the people's 21 discovery response. I don't recall 12 compensation where it was a practice. 22 receiving any documents at all. 24 ve asked you for the documents because we 25 doct the documents on 1 DONDERO - 10/29/21 Page 427 1 DONDERO - 10/29/21 Page 427 2 I highland's server. The only thing I can 3 agreements to forgive loans that were deemed to 4 3 mith of that we might owe you is there 3 agreements to forgive loans that were deemed to 4 might owe you agreements for amoustis for amounts? 5 A. No. because it is contingent in long 6 thenthat w	15	was a prior practice at Highland of forgiving	15	will double-check, but I believe that	
18 Q. And what efforts have you made? 18 of – I apologize. I know there is a list 19 A. Like I said, we amassed a list, and 19 of names in one of the discovery responses. 20 not insignificant list and not insignificant 20 But other than the list of names in the 21 amounts, proportionate to the people's 21 But other than the list of names in the 22 compensation where it was a practice. 22 receiving any documents at all 23 You know, for some people for 23 MS. DETTSCH-PEREZ: No. And I think 24 relocation, for some people for bonuses, for 24 we asked you for the documents because we 25 house purposes, for senior executives, senior 25 don't have access to the documents on 7 DONDERO - 10/29/21 Page 427 1 DONDERO - 10/29/21 Page 427 1 DONDERO - 10/29/21 Page 428 1 Q. And would that include any 3 3 think of that we might owe you is there 3 agreements to forgive loans that were deemed to 4 the interrogatory, and I will check whether 5 A. No, because it is contingent in long 6 that has b	16	loans?	16	they're listed	
19 A. Like I said, we amassed a list, and 19 of names in one of the discovery responses. 20 not insignificant list and not insignificant 20 But other than the list of names in the 21 amounts, proportionate to the people's 21 discovery response, I don't recall 22 compensation where it was a practice. 22 receiving any documents at all. 23 You know, for some people for 23 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: No. And I think 24 relocation, for some people for bousses, for 25 don't have access to the documents because we 25 house purposes, for senior executives, senior 25 don't have access to the documents on 1 DONDERO - 10/29/21 Page 427 1 DONDERO - 10/29/21 2 Highland's server. The only thing I can 3 agreements to forgive loans that were deemed to 3 think of that we might owe you is there 5 A. No, because it is contingent in long 6 6 that has been done. 7 Q. But at some point if it is forgiven 8 7 MR. MORRIS: Okay, 7 Q. But at some point if it is forgiven 8 8 Q. Um Ovou dinstand that you have an <td>17</td> <td>A. Yes.</td> <td>17</td> <td>MR. MORRIS: I know there is a list</td> <td></td>	17	A. Yes.	17	MR. MORRIS: I know there is a list	
20 not insignificant list and not insignificant 20 But other than the list of names in the 21 amounts, proportionate to the people's 21 discovery response, I don't recall 22 compensation where it was a practice. 22 receiving any documents at all. 23 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: No. And I think 24 we asked you for the documents because we 25 house purposes, for senior executives, senior 25 26 DONDERO - 10/29/21 Page 427 2 Highland's server. The only thing I can 2 Q. And would that include any 3 think of that we might towe you is there 3 agreements to forgive loans that were deemed to 4 might be a few additional names to list in 4 be material amounts? 5 A. No, because it is contingent in long 6 that has been done. 7 Q. But at some point if it is forgiven 8 9 representation letters in connection with 9 would be disclosed to the auditor? 10 Highland's audit each year, is that right? 10 A. Sure. 11 Q. Do you understand that you have an 12 loans that were deemed to be <t< td=""><td>18</td><td>Q. And what efforts have you made?</td><td>18</td><td>of – I apologize. I know there is a list</td><td></td></t<>	18	Q. And what efforts have you made?	18	of – I apologize. I know there is a list	
21 amounts, proportionate to the people's 21 discovery response, I don't recall 22 compensation where it was a practice. 22 receiving any documents at all. 23 You know, for some people for 23 MS. DETISCH-PEREZ: No. And I think 24 relocation, for some people for bonuses, for 24 we asked you for the documents because we 25 house purposes, for senior executives, senior 26 don't have access to the documents because we 26 DONDERO - 10/29/21 Page 426 1 DONDERO - 10/29/21 Page 427 2 Highland's server. The only thing I can 2 Q. And would that include any 3 agreements to forgive bans that were deemed to 4 might be a few additional names to list in 4 be material amounts? 5 A. No, because it is contingent in long 6 that has been done. 6 term and speculative. 7 Q. But at some point if it is forgiven 8 Q. Mr. Dondero, you sign management 9 would be disclosed to the auditor? 1 A. Sure. 11 Q. Ckay. So is it fair to say that all 12 Q. Do you understand that you have an 13 cklent thy were forgiven were	19	A. Like I said, we amassed a list, and	19	of names in one of the discovery responses.	
22 compensation where it was a practice. 22 receiving any documents at all. 23 You know, for some people for horuses, for 23 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: No. And I think 24 redocation, for some people for horuses, for 23 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: No. And I think 25 house purposes, for senior executives, senior 25 don't have access to the documents because we 25 don't have access to the documents because we 25 don't have access to the documents because we 26 DONDERO - 10/29/21 Page 427 1 DONDERO - 10/29/21 Page 427 2 Highland's server. The only thing I can 3 agreements to forgive loans that were deemed to 4 be material amounts? 5 A. No, because it is contingent in long 6 term and speculative. 7 Q. But at some point if it is forgiven 8 would that be - would that be an event that 9 9 9 would that be an event that 9 9 1 Q. Okay. So is it fair to say that all 12 Q. Okay. So is it fair to say that all 12 12 A. Sure. 11 Q. Okay. So is it fair to say that all 12 12 A Yes. 13 extent they were forgiven were disclosed to the <td>20</td> <td>not insignificant list and not insignificant</td> <td>20</td> <td>But other than the list of names in the</td> <td></td>	20	not insignificant list and not insignificant	20	But other than the list of names in the	
23 You know, for some people for bonuses, for 23 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: No. And I think 24 relocation, for some people for bonuses, for 25 we asked you for the documents because we 25 house purposes, for senior executives, senior 25 don't have access to the documents on 1 DONDERO - 10/29/21 1 DONDERO - 10/29/21 Page 427 2 Highland's server. The only thing I can 3 agreements to forgive loans that were deemed to 4 might be a few additional names to list in 4 be material amounts? 5 A. No, boecause it is contingent in long 6 that has been done. 6 term and speculative. 7 Q. But at some point if it is forgiven 8 Q. Mr. Dondero, you sign management 9 would that be – would that be an event that 9 representation letters in connection with 9 would be disclosed to the auditor? 11 A. Yes. 11 Q. Okay. So is it fair to say that all 12 O. Do you understand that you have an 12 loans that were deemed to be material to the 13 obligation when you sign the management 14 auditors? 14 13<	21	amounts, proportionate to the people's	21	discovery response, I don't recall	
24 relocation, for some people for bonuses, for 24 we asked you for the documents because we 25 house purposes, for senior executives, senior 25 don't have access to the documents on 1 DONDERO - 10/29/21 1 DONDERO - 10/29/21 2 2 Highland's server. The only thing I can 3 agreements to forgive loans that were deemed to 4 might be a few additional names to list in 5 A. No, because it is contingent in long 6 that has been done. 6 term and speculative. 7 MR. MORRIS: Okay. 7 Q. But at some point if it is forgiven 8 would that to exerve that 9 would that at owne point if it is forgiven 8 Q. Mr. Dondero, you sign management 9 would be disclosed to the auditor? 10 Highland's audit each year, is that right? 10 A. Sure. 11 A. Yes. 11 Q. Okay. So is it fair to say that all 12 Q. Do you understand that you have an 12 loans that were deemed to be material? 14 representation to disclose to the auditor all 14 auditors? 13 agreements with affiliated entities an	22	compensation where it was a practice.	22	receiving any documents at all.	
25 house purposes, for senior executives, senior 25 don't have access to the documents on 1 DONDERO - 10/29/21 1 DONDERO - 10/29/21 Page 427 2 Highland's server. The only thing I can 3 agreements to forgive loans that were deemed to 4 4 might be a few additional names to list in 4 be material amounts? 5 A. No, because it is contingent in long 6 6 that has been done. 6 term and speculative. 7 Q. But at some point if it is forgiven 8 Q. Mr. Dondero, you sign management 9 would that be an event that 9 9 representation letters in connection with 9 would that be an event that 9 10 Highland's audit each year, is that right? 10 A. Sure. 11 Q. Okyou understand that you have an 12 loans that were deemed to be material to the 14 representation to disclose to the auditor all 14 auditors? 13 agreements with affiliated entities and people 15 A. Yes. 16 Q. Okay. 17 A But, yeah, the only caveat I would 15 agreements with affiliated entities and people 15	23	You know, for some people for	23	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: No. And I think	
1 DONDERO - 10/29/21 Page 426 1 DONDERO - 10/29/21 Page 427 2 Highland's server. The only thing I can 3 agreements to forgive loans that were deemed to 4 might be a few additional names to list in 4 be material amounts? 5 5 the interrogatory, and I will check whether 5 A. No, because it is contingent in long 6 6 ttart has been done. 7 Q. But at some point if it is forgiven 8 7 MR. MORRIS: Okay. 7 Q. But at some point if it is forgiven 8 Q. Mr. Dondero, you sign management 9 would that be - would that be an event that 9 representation letters in connection with 9 would be discosed to the auditor? 10 Highland's audit each year, is that right? 10 A. Sure. 11 12 Q. Do you understand that you have an 13 extent they were forgiven were disclosed to the 14 13 obligation when you sign the management 14 auditors? 15 A. Yes. 14 representation to disclose to the auditor all 14 auditors? 15 A. Yes. 16<	24	relocation, for some people for bonuses, for	24	we asked you for the documents because we	
1 DONDERO - 10/29/21 1 DONDERO - 10/29/21 2 Highland's server. The only thing I can 3 agreements to forgive loans that were deemed to 3 think of that we might owe you is there 3 agreements to forgive loans that were deemed to 4 might be a few additional names to list in 4 be material amounts? 5 the interrogatory, and I will check whether 5 A. No, because it is contingent in long 6 that has been done. 7 Q. But at some point if it is forgiven 8 Q. Mr. Dondero, you sign management 9 would that be – would that be an event that 9 representation letters in connection with 9 would be disclosed to the auditor? 10 Highland's audit each year; is that right? 10 A. Sure. 11 Q. Do you understand that you have an 12 loans that were deemed to be material to the 13 obligation when you sign the management 13 extent they were forgiven were disclosed to the 13 obligation when you sign the management 13 extent they were forgiven were disclosed to the 14 representation to disclose to the auditor all 14 auditors?	25	house purposes, for senior executives, senior	25	don't have access to the documents on	
2 Highland's server. The only thing I can 2 Q. And would that include any 3 think of that we might owe you is there 3 agreements to forgive loans that were deemed to 4 might be a few additional names to list in 4 be material amounts? 5 the interrogatory, and I will check whether 5 A. No, because it is contingent in long 6 that has been done. 6 term and speculative. 7 MR. MORRIS: Okay. 7 Q. But at some point if it is forgiven 8 Q. Mr. Dondero, you sign management 8 would that be an event that 9 representation letters in connection with 9 would that be an event that 10 Highland's audit each year; is that right? 10 A. Sure. 11 A. Yes. 11 Q. Okay. So is it fair to say that all 12 Loans that were deemed to be material to the 13 auditors? 13 obligation when you sign the management 13 extent they were forgiven were disclosed to the 13 apreements with affiliated entities and people 15 A. Yes. 14 14 representation to disclose to the 17 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>Page 427</td>					Page 427
3 think of that we might owe you is there 3 agreements to forgive loans that were deemed to 4 might be a few additional names to list in 4 be material amounts? 5 the interrogatory, and I will check whether 5 A. No, because it is contingent in long 6 that has been done. 6 term and speculative. 7 MR. MORRIS: Okay. 7 Q. But at some point if it is forgiven 8 Q. Mr. Dondero, you sign management 8 would that be - would that be an event that 9 representation letters in connection with 9 would that be - would that be an event that 10 Highland's audit each year, is that right? 10 A. Sure. 11 12 Q. Do you understand that you have an 12 loans that were deemed to be material to the 13 obligation when you sign the management 13 extent they were forgiven were disclosed to the 14 representation to disclose to the auditor all 14 auditors? 15 agreements with affiliated entities and people 15 A. Yes. 16 that are deemed to be material? 16 Q. Okay. 17 MS. DEITSCH-	1				
4 might be a few additional names to list in 4 be material amounts? 5 the interrogatory, and I will check whether 5 A. No, because it is contingent in long 6 that has been done. 6 term and speculative. 7 MR. MORRIS: Okay. 7 Q. But at some point if it is forgiven 8 Q. Mr. Dondero, you sign management 9 would that be – would that be an event that 9 representation letters in connection with 9 would be disclosed to the auditor? 10 Highland's audit each year; is that right? 10 A. Sure. 11 A. Yes. 11 Q. Okay. So is it fair to say that all 12 Q. Do you understand that you have an 12 loans that were deemed to be material to the 13 obligation when you sign the management 13 extent they were forgiven were disclosed to the 14 representation to disclose to the auditor all 14 auditors? 15 agreements with affiliated entities and people 15 A. Yes. 16 that are deemed to be material? 16 Q. Okay. 17 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 17 A. But, yeah	1			-	
5 the interrogatory, and I will check whether 5 A. No, because it is contingent in long 6 that has been done. 6 term and speculative. 7 MR.MORRIS: Okay. 7 Q. But at some point if it is forgiven 8 Q. Mr. Dondero, you sign management 9 would that be – would that be an event that 9 representation letters in connection with 9 would be disclosed to the auditor? 10 Highland's audit each year; is that right? 10 A. Sure. 11 A. Yes. 11 Q. Okay. So is it fair to say that all 12 Q. Do you understand that you have an 12 loans that were deemed to be material to the 13 obligation when you sign the management 13 extent they were forgiven were disclosed to the 14 representation to disclose to the auditor all 14 auditors? 15 agreements with affiliated entities and people 15 A. Yes. 16 that are deemed to be material? 16 Q. Okay. 17 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 17 A. But, yeah, the only caveat I would 18 form. 19 regarding Cornerstone and Trust	Ι.				
6 that has been done. 6 term and speculative. 7 MR. MORRIS: Okay. 7 Q. But at some point if it is forgiven 8 Q. Mr. Dondero, you sign management 9 would that be – would that be an event that 9 representation letters in connection with 9 would be disclosed to the auditor? 10 Highland's audit each year; is that right? 10 A. Sure. 11 A. Yes. 11 Q. Okay. So is it fair to say that all 12 Q. Do you understand that you have an 12 loans that were deemed to be material to the 13 obligation when you sign the management 13 extent they were forgiven were disclosed to the 14 representation to disclose to the auditor all 14 auditors? 15 agreements with affiliated entities and people 15 A. Yes. 16 that are deemed to be material? 16 Q. Okay. 17 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 17 A. But, yeah, the only caveat I would 18 form. 19 regarding Comerstone and Trust Life, which is 20 Q. Okay. And is it your understanding 20 part of my agreement with th	1	•			
7MR. MORRIS: Okay.7Q. But at some point if it is forgiven8Q. Mr. Dondero, you sign management8would that be - would that be an event that9representation letters in connection with9would be disclosed to the auditor?10Highland's audit each year; is that right?10A. Sure.11A. Yes.11Q. Okay. So is it fair to say that all12Q. Do you understand that you have an12loans that were deemed to be material to the13obligation when you sign the management13extent they were forgiven were disclosed to the14representation to disclose to the auditor all14auditors?15agreements with affiliated entities and people15A. Yes.16that are deemed to be material?16Q. Okay.17MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the17A. But, yeah, the only caveat I would18form.19regarding Comerstone and Trust Life, which is20Q. Okay. And is it your understanding20part of my agreement with the Dugaboy trustee21that at least since 2008 Highland has disclosed21or with the majority of class A holders.22They could have been sold in23secrecy, without disclosure to us, such that24representation letter?24the notes are all forgiven at this point, but	1				
8 Q. Mr. Dondero, you sign management 8 would that be – would that be an event that 9 representation letters in connection with 9 would be disclosed to the auditor? 10 Highland's audit each year, is that right? 10 A. Sure. 11 A. Yes. 11 Q. Okay. So is it fair to say that all 12 Q. Do you understand that you have an 12 loans that were deemed to be material to the 13 obligation when you sign the management 13 extent they were forgiven were disclosed to the 14 representation to disclose to the auditor all 14 auditors? 15 agreements with affiliated entities and people 15 A. Yes. 16 that are deemed to be material? 16 Q. Okay. 17 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 17 A. But, yeah, the only caveat I would 18 form. 19 regarding Comerstone and Trust Life, which is 20 Q. Okay. And is it your understanding 20 part of my agreement with the Dugaboy trustee 21 that at least since 2008 Highland has disclosed 21 or with the majority of class A holders. 22 They could have been	1 ·		-	•	
9representation letters in connection with9would be disclosed to the auditor?10Highland's audit each year; is that right?10A. Sure.11A. Yes.11Q. Okay. So is it fair to say that all12Q. Do you understand that you have an12loans that were deemed to be material to the13obligation when you sign the management13extent they were forgiven were disclosed to the14representation to disclose to the auditor all14auditors?15agreements with affiliated entities and people15A. Yes.16that are deemed to be material?16Q. Okay.17MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the17A. But, yeah, the only caveat I would18form.19regarding Cornerstone and Trust Life, which is20Q. Okay. And is it your understanding20part of my agreement with the Dugaboy trustee21that are material, as defined in the management23secrecy, without disclosure to us, such that23that are material, as defined in the management23secrecy, without disclosure to us, such that24representation letter?24the notes are all forgiven at this point, but	1	-			
10Highland's audit each year; is that right?10A. Sure.11A. Yes.11Q. Okay. So is it fair to say that all12Q. Do you understand that you have an12loans that were deemed to be material to the13obligation when you sign the management13extent they were forgiven were disclosed to the14representation to disclose to the auditor all14auditors?15agreements with affiliated entities and people15A. Yes.16that are deemed to be material?16Q. Okay.17MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the17A. But, yeah, the only caveat I would18form.19regarding Cornerstone and Trust Life, which is20Q. Okay. And is it your understanding20part of my agreement with the Dugaboy trustee21that are least since 2008 Highland has disclosed21or with the majority of class A holders.22to its auditors all agreements with affiliates22They could have been sold in23that are material, as defined in the management23secrecy, without disclosure to us, such that24representation letter?24the notes are all forgiven at this point, but			-		
11A. Yes.11Q. Okay. So is it fair to say that all12Q. Do you understand that you have an12loans that were deemed to be material to the13obligation when you sign the management13extent they were forgiven were disclosed to the14representation to disclose to the auditor all14auditors?15agreements with affiliated entities and people15A. Yes.16that are deemed to be material?16Q. Okay.17MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the17A. But, yeah, the only caveat I would18form.18put on it is we have such limited information19A. Generally, yes.19regarding Comerstone and Trust Life, which is20Q. Okay. And is it your understanding20part of my agreement with the Dugaboy trustee21that are material, as defined in the management23secrecy, without disclosure to us, such that23that are material, as defined in the management23secrecy, without disclosure to us, such that24representation letter?24the notes are all forgiven at this point, but	1	•	-		
12Q. Do you understand that you have an12loans that were deemed to be material to the13obligation when you sign the management13extent they were forgiven were disclosed to the14representation to disclose to the auditor all14auditors?15agreements with affiliated entities and people15A. Yes.16that are deemed to be material?16Q. Okay.17MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the17A. But, yeah, the only caveat I would18form.19regarding Cornerstone and Trust Life, which is20Q. Okay. And is it your understanding20part of my agreement with the Dugaboy trustee21that at least since 2008 Highland has disclosed21or with the majority of class A holders.22that are material, as defined in the management23secrecy, without disclosure to us, such that24representation letter?24the notes are all forgiven at this point, but	1				
13obligation when you sign the management13extent they were forgiven were disclosed to the14representation to disclose to the auditor all14auditors?15agreements with affiliated entities and people15A. Yes.16that are deemed to be material?16Q. Okay.17MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the17A. But, yeah, the only caveat I would18form.19regarding Cornerstone and Trust Life, which is20Q. Okay. And is it your understanding20part of my agreement with the Dugaboy trustee21that at least since 2008 Highland has disclosed21or with the majority of class A holders.22to its auditors all agreements with affiliates22They could have been sold in23that are material, as defined in the management23secrecy, without disclosure to us, such that24representation letter?24the notes are all forgiven at this point, but	1				
14representation to disclose to the auditor all14auditors?15agreements with affiliated entities and people15A. Yes.16that are deemed to be material?16Q. Okay.17MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the17A. But, yeah, the only caveat I would18form.18put on it is we have such limited information19A. Generally, yes.19regarding Cornerstone and Trust Life, which is20Q. Okay. And is it your understanding20part of my agreement with the Dugaboy trustee21that at least since 2008 Highland has disclosed21or with the majority of class A holders.22to its auditors all agreements with affiliates22They could have been sold in23that are material, as defined in the management23secrecy, without disclosure to us, such that24representation letter?24the notes are all forgiven at this point, but	1				
15agreements with affiliated entities and people15A. Yes.16that are deemed to be material?16Q. Okay.17MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the17A. But, yeah, the only caveat I would18form.18put on it is we have such limited information19A. Generally, yes.19regarding Cornerstone and Trust Life, which is20Q. Okay. And is it your understanding20part of my agreement with the Dugaboy trustee21that at least since 2008 Highland has disclosed21or with the majority of class A holders.22to its auditors all agreements with affiliates22They could have been sold in23that are material, as defined in the management23secrecy, without disclosure to us, such that24representation letter?24the notes are all forgiven at this point, but	1				
16that are deemed to be material?16Q. Okay.17MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the17A. But, yeah, the only caveat I would18form.18put on it is we have such limited information19A. Generally, yes.19regarding Cornerstone and Trust Life, which is20Q. Okay. And is it your understanding20part of my agreement with the Dugaboy trustee21that at least since 2008 Highland has disclosed21or with the majority of class A holders.22to its auditors all agreements with affiliates22They could have been sold in23that are material, as defined in the management23secrecy, without disclosure to us, such that24representation letter?24the notes are all forgiven at this point, but	I	•			
17MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the17A. But, yeah, the only caveat I would18form.18put on it is we have such limited information19A. Generally, yes.19regarding Cornerstone and Trust Life, which is20Q. Okay. And is it your understanding20part of my agreement with the Dugaboy trustee21that at least since 2008 Highland has disclosed21or with the majority of class A holders.22to its auditors all agreements with affiliates22They could have been sold in23that are material, as defined in the management23secrecy, without disclosure to us, such that24representation letter?24the notes are all forgiven at this point, but	I	•			
18form.18put on it is we have such limited information19A. Generally, yes.19regarding Cornerstone and Trust Life, which is20Q. Okay. And is it your understanding20part of my agreement with the Dugaboy trustee21that at least since 2008 Highland has disclosed21or with the majority of class A holders.22to its auditors all agreements with affiliates22They could have been sold in23that are material, as defined in the management23secrecy, without disclosure to us, such that24representation letter?24the notes are all forgiven at this point, but				-	
19A. Generally, yes.19regarding Cornerstone and Trust Life, which is20Q. Okay. And is it your understanding20part of my agreement with the Dugaboy trustee21that at least since 2008 Highland has disclosed21or with the majority of class A holders.22to its auditors all agreements with affiliates22They could have been sold in23that are material, as defined in the management23secrecy, without disclosure to us, such that24representation letter?24the notes are all forgiven at this point, but	1	-			
20Q. Okay. And is it your understanding20part of my agreement with the Dugaboy trustee21that at least since 2008 Highland has disclosed21or with the majority of class A holders.22to its auditors all agreements with affiliates22They could have been sold in23that are material, as defined in the management23secrecy, without disclosure to us, such that24representation letter?24the notes are all forgiven at this point, but	110				
21that at least since 2008 Highland has disclosed21or with the majority of class A holders.22to its auditors all agreements with affiliates22They could have been sold in23that are material, as defined in the management23secrecy, without disclosure to us, such that24representation letter?24the notes are all forgiven at this point, but	1				
22to its auditors all agreements with affiliates22They could have been sold in23that are material, as defined in the management23secrecy, without disclosure to us, such that24representation letter?24the notes are all forgiven at this point, but	19		20		
23 that are material, as defined in the management23 secrecy, without disclosure to us, such that24 representation letter?24 the notes are all forgiven at this point, but	19 20				
24 representation letter? 24 the notes are all forgiven at this point, but	19 20 21	that at least since 2008 Highland has disclosed	21		
	19 20 21 22	that at least since 2008 Highland has disclosed to its auditors all agreements with affiliates	21 22	They could have been sold in	
25 A. Yes. 25 We – We – We may never know.	19 20 21 22 23	that at least since 2008 Highland has disclosed to its auditors all agreements with affiliates that are material, as defined in the management	21 22 23	They could have been sold in secrecy, without disclosure to us, such that	
	19 20 21 22 23 24	that at least since 2008 Highland has disclosed to its auditors all agreements with affiliates that are material, as defined in the management representation letter?	21 22 23 24	They could have been sold in secrecy, without disclosure to us, such that the notes are all forgiven at this point, but	

Caase210600655gjpDoc148634Fifedc012061221 Elateredc012061221184187432 Page739 of 899

Page 428	1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	Page 429
2 Q. So you can't rely on anything that	2	Q. So then so then it so is it	
3 you don't know; is that fair?	3	fair to say, sir, that when you are describing	
4 A. Yeah.	4	this practice of forgiveness of loans, you are	
5 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection to	5	doing so without having reviewed any of the	
6 form.	6	audited financial statements that Highland	
7 A. Yeah, we can't rely on things we	7	provided to your attorneys going back to 2008?	
8 don't know and we can't rely on the debtor to	8	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	
9 be honorable.	9	form.	
	10		
		A. What I'm saying, I guess, is that we	
11 you, sir, every single audited financial12 statement without redaction since 2008. Are	11	haven't treated the loans as forgiven yet	
	12	because if the condition precedent has been	
13 you aware of that?	13	satisfied, we're not aware of it yet.	
14 A. That is actually news to me because	14	Now, if there is something in those	
15 we were asking for them a couple of months ago.	15	financial statements that will show that the	
16 That must be – that must be a new production.	16	condition precedent is satisfied, then we have	
17 Q. No. Actually, it was produced to	17	a decision to make about the – or figure out	
18 you way back in July. You are not aware of	18	what the mechanism is for forgiving the loans.	
19 that?	19	Q. Are you saying that there are loans	
20 A. No, I'm looking	20	out there subject to forgiveness where the	
21 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Hang on.	21	maker is somebody other than you or an entity	
22 A. I'm looking at Deborah. She'll –	22	that you control?	
23 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: I will get the	23	A. No, I'm just – I'm talking about	
24 date.	24	the 50 million of loans that we've been talking	
25 A. Yeah. I would love to see them.	25	about.	
Page 430			Page 431
1 DONDERO - 10/29/21	1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	Page 431
1 DONDERO - 10/29/21 2 Q. Okay. So - so I just want to go	2	form.	Page 431
1DONDERO - 10/29/212Q.Okay. So - so I just want to go3back and focus on your assertion that there was		form. A. Well, what I'm saying, just to be	Page 431
1 DONDERO - 10/29/21 2 Q. Okay. So - so I just want to go 3 back and focus on your assertion that there was 4 this practice of loan forgiveness. I think you	2	form. A. Well, what I'm saying, just to be clear, is I haven't looked at the presentation	Page 431
1DONDERO - 10/29/212Q.Okay. So - so I just want to go3back and focus on your assertion that there was	23	form. A. Well, what I'm saying, just to be	Page 431
1 DONDERO - 10/29/21 2 Q. Okay. So - so I just want to go 3 back and focus on your assertion that there was 4 this practice of loan forgiveness. I think you	2 3 4	form. A. Well, what I'm saying, just to be clear, is I haven't looked at the presentation	Page 431
1DONDERO - 10/29/212Q.Okay. So - so I just want to go3back and focus on your assertion that there was4this practice of loan forgiveness. I think you5have agreed with me that any loan that was	2 3 4 5	form. A. Well, what I'm saying, just to be clear, is I haven't looked at the presentation of forgiven loans in the historic financials	Page 431
1DONDERO - 10/29/212Q.Okay. So - so I just want to go3back and focus on your assertion that there was4this practice of loan forgiveness. I think you5have agreed with me that any loan that was6forgiven in a material amount would be	2 3 4 5	form. A. Well, what I'm saying, just to be clear, is I haven't looked at the presentation of forgiven loans in the historic financials because I was unaware that we had gotten	Page 431
1DONDERO - 10/29/212Q.Okay. So - so I just want to go3back and focus on your assertion that there was4this practice of loan forgiveness. I think you5have agreed with me that any loan that was6forgiven in a material amount would be7contained within the Highland audited financial	2 3 4 5 6 7	form. A. Well, what I'm saying, just to be clear, is I haven't looked at the presentation of forgiven loans in the historic financials because I was unaware that we had gotten historic financials, but I am testifying that	Page 431
1DONDERO - 10/29/212Q. Okay. So - so I just want to go3back and focus on your assertion that there was4this practice of loan forgiveness. I think you5have agreed with me that any loan that was6forgiven in a material amount would be7contained within the Highland audited financial8statements; right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	form. A. Well, what I'm saying, just to be clear, is I haven't looked at the presentation of forgiven loans in the historic financials because I was unaware that we had gotten historic financials, but I am testifying that we had amassed at least a dozen, 15 material	Page 431
1DONDERO - 10/29/212Q. Okay. So - so I just want to go3back and focus on your assertion that there was4this practice of loan forgiveness. I think you5have agreed with me that any loan that was6forgiven in a material amount would be7contained within the Highland audited financial8statements; right?9A. I believe they - material or not,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	form. A. Well, what I'm saying, just to be clear, is I haven't looked at the presentation of forgiven loans in the historic financials because I was unaware that we had gotten historic financials, but I am testifying that we had amassed at least a dozen, 15 material examples of material loan forgiveness amounts	Page 431
 DONDERO - 10/29/21 Q. Okay. So – so I just want to go back and focus on your assertion that there was this practice of loan forgiveness. I think you have agreed with me that any loan that was forgiven in a material amount would be contained within the Highland audited financial statements; right? A. I believe they – material or not, they were all included in the Highland 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	form. A. Well, what I'm saying, just to be clear, is I haven't looked at the presentation of forgiven loans in the historic financials because I was unaware that we had gotten historic financials, but I am testifying that we had amassed at least a dozen, 15 material examples of material loan forgiveness amounts to different executives.	Page 431
1DONDERO - 10/29/212Q. Okay. So - so I just want to go3back and focus on your assertion that there was4this practice of loan forgiveness. I think you5have agreed with me that any loan that was6forgiven in a material amount would be7contained within the Highland audited financial8statements; right?9A. I believe they - material or not,10they were all included in the Highland11financials. Now, they might not have been	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	form. A. Well, what I'm saying, just to be clear, is I haven't looked at the presentation of forgiven loans in the historic financials because I was unaware that we had gotten historic financials, but I am testifying that we had amassed at least a dozen, 15 material examples of material loan forgiveness amounts to different executives. Q. All right. Do you have any	Page 431
1DONDERO - 10/29/212Q. Okay. So – so I just want to go3back and focus on your assertion that there was4this practice of loan forgiveness. I think you5have agreed with me that any loan that was6forgiven in a material amount would be7contained within the Highland audited financial8statements; right?9A. I believe they – material or not,10they were all included in the Highland11financials. Now, they might not have been12specifically footnoted, you know.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	form. A. Well, what I'm saying, just to be clear, is I haven't looked at the presentation of forgiven loans in the historic financials because I was unaware that we had gotten historic financials, but I am testifying that we had amassed at least a dozen, 15 material examples of material loan forgiveness amounts to different executives. Q. All right. Do you have any documentation to support your assertion of the	Page 431
1DONDERO - 10/29/212Q. Okay. So - so I just want to go3back and focus on your assertion that there was4this practice of loan forgiveness. I think you5have agreed with me that any loan that was6forgiven in a material amount would be7contained within the Highland audited financial8statements; right?9A. I believe they - material or not,10they were all included in the Highland11financials. Now, they might not have been12specifically footnoted, you know.13Like in other words, if we gave	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	form. A. Well, what I'm saying, just to be clear, is I haven't looked at the presentation of forgiven loans in the historic financials because I was unaware that we had gotten historic financials, but I am testifying that we had amassed at least a dozen, 15 material examples of material loan forgiveness amounts to different executives. Q. All right. Do you have any documentation to support your assertion of the practice of forgiving loans at Highland?	Page 431
1DONDERO - 10/29/212Q. Okay. So - so I just want to go3back and focus on your assertion that there was4this practice of loan forgiveness. I think you5have agreed with me that any loan that was6forgiven in a material amount would be7contained within the Highland audited financial8statements; right?9A. I believe they - material or not,10they were all included in the Highland11financials. Now, they might not have been12specifically footnoted, you know.13Like in other words, if we gave14somebody half a million bucks to relocate and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	form. A. Well, what I'm saying, just to be clear, is I haven't looked at the presentation of forgiven loans in the historic financials because I was unaware that we had gotten historic financials, but I am testifying that we had amassed at least a dozen, 15 material examples of material loan forgiveness amounts to different executives. Q. All right. Do you have any documentation to support your assertion of the practice of forgiving loans at Highland? A. Again, we have very, very little	Page 431
1 DONDERO - 10/29/21 2 Q. Okay. So – so I just want to go 3 back and focus on your assertion that there was 4 this practice of loan forgiveness. I think you 5 have agreed with me that any loan that was 6 forgiven in a material amount would be 7 contained within the Highland audited financial 8 statements; right? 9 A. I believe they – material or not, 10 they were all included in the Highland 11 financials. Now, they might not have been 12 specifically footnoted, you know. 13 Like in other words, if we gave 14 somebody half a million bucks to relocate and 15 then forgave the loan, it might just be mixed	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	form. A. Well, what I'm saying, just to be clear, is I haven't looked at the presentation of forgiven loans in the historic financials because I was unaware that we had gotten historic financials, but I am testifying that we had amassed at least a dozen, 15 material examples of material loan forgiveness amounts to different executives. Q. All right. Do you have any documentation to support your assertion of the practice of forgiving loans at Highland? A. Again, we have very, very little access to anything, and we didn't take anything	Page 431
1DONDERO - 10/29/212Q. Okay. So - so I just want to go3back and focus on your assertion that there was4this practice of loan forgiveness. I think you5have agreed with me that any loan that was6forgiven in a material amount would be7contained within the Highland audited financial8statements; right?9A. I believe they - material or not,10they were all included in the Highland11financials. Now, they might not have been12specifically footnoted, you know.13Like in other words, if we gave14somebody half a million bucks to relocate and15then forgave the loan, it might just be mixed16with all other compensation in the line item.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	form. A. Well, what I'm saying, just to be clear, is I haven't looked at the presentation of forgiven loans in the historic financials because I was unaware that we had gotten historic financials, but I am testifying that we had amassed at least a dozen, 15 material examples of material loan forgiveness amounts to different executives. Q. All right. Do you have any documentation to support your assertion of the practice of forgiving loans at Highland? A. Again, we have very, very little access to anything, and we didn't take anything with us that we weren't supposed to take, so we	Page 431
1DONDERO - 10/29/212Q. Okay. So - so I just want to go3back and focus on your assertion that there was4this practice of loan forgiveness. I think you5have agreed with me that any loan that was6forgiven in a material amount would be7contained within the Highland audited financial8statements; right?9A. I believe they - material or not,10they were all included in the Highland11financials. Now, they might not have been12specifically footnoted, you know.13Like in other words, if we gave14somebody half a million bucks to relocate and15then forgave the loan, it might just be mixed16with all other compensation in the line item.17It might not have been listed separately	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	form. A. Well, what I'm saying, just to be clear, is I haven't looked at the presentation of forgiven loans in the historic financials because I was unaware that we had gotten historic financials, but I am testifying that we had amassed at least a dozen, 15 material examples of material loan forgiveness amounts to different executives. Q. All right. Do you have any documentation to support your assertion of the practice of forgiving loans at Highland? A. Again, we have very, very little access to anything, and we didn't take anything with us that we weren't supposed to take, so we don't have any of that documentation.	Page 431
1 DONDERO - 10/29/21 2 Q. Okay. So – so I just want to go 3 back and focus on your assertion that there was 4 this practice of loan forgiveness. I think you 5 have agreed with me that any loan that was 6 forgiven in a material amount would be 7 contained within the Highland audited financial 8 statements; right? 9 A. I believe they – material or not, 10 they were all included in the Highland 11 financials. Now, they might not have been 12 specifically footnoted, you know. 13 Like in other words, if we gave 14 somebody half a million bucks to relocate and 15 then forgave the loan, it might just be mixed 16 with all other compensation in the line item. 17 It might not have been listed separately 18 because it would have been small relative to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	form. A. Well, what I'm saying, just to be clear, is I haven't looked at the presentation of forgiven loans in the historic financials because I was unaware that we had gotten historic financials, but I am testifying that we had amassed at least a dozen, 15 material examples of material loan forgiveness amounts to different executives. Q. All right. Do you have any documentation to support your assertion of the practice of forgiving loans at Highland? A. Again, we have very, very little access to anything, and we didn't take anything with us that we weren't supposed to take, so we don't have any of that documentation. At NexBank, one of the sister	Page 431
1DONDERO - 10/29/212Q. Okay. So - so I just want to go3back and focus on your assertion that there was4this practice of loan forgiveness. I think you5have agreed with me that any loan that was6forgiven in a material amount would be7contained within the Highland audited financial8statements; right?9A. I believe they - material or not,10they were all included in the Highland11financials. Now, they might not have been12specifically footnoted, you know.13Like in other words, if we gave14somebody half a million bucks to relocate and15then forgave the loan, it might just be mixed16with all other compensation in the line item.17It might not have been small relative to19the overall financial statement.20Q. But you're just speculating right	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	form. A. Well, what I'm saying, just to be clear, is I haven't looked at the presentation of forgiven loans in the historic financials because I was unaware that we had gotten historic financials, but I am testifying that we had amassed at least a dozen, 15 material examples of material loan forgiveness amounts to different executives. Q. All right. Do you have any documentation to support your assertion of the practice of forgiving loans at Highland? A. Again, we have very, very little access to anything, and we didn't take anything with us that we weren't supposed to take, so we don't have any of that documentation. At NexBank, one of the sister companies that we still have full control over	Page 431
1 DONDERO - 10/29/21 2 Q. Okay. So – so I just want to go 3 back and focus on your assertion that there was 4 this practice of loan forgiveness. I think you 5 have agreed with me that any loan that was 6 forgiven in a material amount would be 7 contained within the Highland audited financial 8 statements; right? 9 A. I believe they – material or not, 10 they were all included in the Highland 11 financials. Now, they might not have been 12 specifically footnoted, you know. 13 Like in other words, if we gave 14 somebody half a million bucks to relocate and 15 then forgave the loan, it might just be mixed 16 with all other compensation in the line item. 17 It might not have been listed separately 18 because it would have been small relative to 19 the overall financial statement. 20 Q. But you're just speculating right	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	form. A. Well, what I'm saying, just to be clear, is I haven't looked at the presentation of forgiven loans in the historic financials because I was unaware that we had gotten historic financials, but I am testifying that we had amassed at least a dozen, 15 material examples of material loan forgiveness amounts to different executives. Q. All right. Do you have any documentation to support your assertion of the practice of forgiving loans at Highland? A. Again, we have very, very little access to anything, and we didn't take anything with us that we weren't supposed to take, so we don't have any of that documentation. At NexBank, one of the sister companies that we still have full control over our records, we could show seven-figure-plus	Page 431
1 DONDERO - 10/29/21 2 Q. Okay. So – so I just want to go 3 back and focus on your assertion that there was 4 this practice of loan forgiveness. I think you 5 have agreed with me that any loan that was 6 forgiven in a material amount would be 7 contained within the Highland audited financial 8 statements; right? 9 A. I believe they – material or not, 10 they were all included in the Highland 11 financials. Now, they might not have been 12 specifically footnoted, you know. 13 Like in other words, if we gave 14 somebody half a million bucks to relocate and 15 then forgave the loan, it might just be mixed 16 with all other compensation in the line item. 17 It might not have been listed separately 18 because it would have been small relative to 19 the overall financial statement. 20 Q. But you're just speculating right 11 now because, in fact, you haven't read the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	form. A. Well, what I'm saying, just to be clear, is I haven't looked at the presentation of forgiven loans in the historic financials because I was unaware that we had gotten historic financials, but I am testifying that we had amassed at least a dozen, 15 material examples of material loan forgiveness amounts to different executives. Q. All right. Do you have any documentation to support your assertion of the practice of forgiving loans at Highland? A. Again, we have very, very little access to anything, and we didn't take anything with us that we weren't supposed to take, so we don't have any of that documentation. At NexBank, one of the sister companies that we still have full control over our records, we could show seven-figure-plus loans to senior management and the entire board	Page 431
1 DONDERO - 10/29/21 2 Q. Okay. So – so I just want to go 3 back and focus on your assertion that there was 4 this practice of loan forgiveness. I think you 5 have agreed with me that any loan that was 6 forgiven in a material amount would be 7 contained within the Highland audited financial 8 statements; right? 9 A. I believe they – material or not, 10 they were all included in the Highland 11 financials. Now, they might not have been 12 specifically footnoted, you know. 13 Like in other words, if we gave 14 somebody half a million bucks to relocate and 15 then forgave the loan, it might just be mixed 16 with all other compensation in the line item. 17 It might not have been listed separately 18 because it would have been small relative to 19 the overall financial statement. 20 Q. But you're just speculating right 21 now because, in fact, you haven't read the 22 audited financial statements for the purpose of 23	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	form. A. Well, what I'm saying, just to be clear, is I haven't looked at the presentation of forgiven loans in the historic financials because I was unaware that we had gotten historic financials, but I am testifying that we had amassed at least a dozen, 15 material examples of material loan forgiveness amounts to different executives. Q. All right. Do you have any documentation to support your assertion of the practice of forgiving loans at Highland? A. Again, we have very, very little access to anything, and we didn't take anything with us that we weren't supposed to take, so we don't have any of that documentation. At NexBank, one of the sister companies that we still have full control over our records, we could show seven-figure-plus loans to senior management and the entire board of directors and forgiveness thereof as an	Page 431
1 DONDERO - 10/29/21 2 Q. Okay. So – so I just want to go 3 back and focus on your assertion that there was 4 this practice of loan forgiveness. I think you 5 have agreed with me that any loan that was 6 forgiven in a material amount would be 7 contained within the Highland audited financial 8 statements; right? 9 A. I believe they – material or not, 10 they were all included in the Highland 11 financials. Now, they might not have been 12 specifically footnoted, you know. 13 Like in other words, if we gave 14 somebody half a million bucks to relocate and 15 then forgave the loan, it might just be mixed 16 with all other compensation in the line item. 17 It might not have been listed separately 18 because it would have been small relative to 19 the overall financial statement. 20 Q. But you're just speculating right 21 now because, in fact, you haven't read the 23 seeing whether or not there were loan – loans	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	form. A. Well, what I'm saying, just to be clear, is I haven't looked at the presentation of forgiven loans in the historic financials because I was unaware that we had gotten historic financials, but I am testifying that we had amassed at least a dozen, 15 material examples of material loan forgiveness amounts to different executives. Q. All right. Do you have any documentation to support your assertion of the practice of forgiving loans at Highland? A. Again, we have very, very little access to anything, and we didn't take anything with us that we weren't supposed to take, so we don't have any of that documentation. At NexBank, one of the sister companies that we still have full control over our records, we could show seven-figure-plus loans to senior management and the entire board of directors and forgiveness thereof as an example, but that – that is the only	Page 431

Case 21960065599000148634FHed 012061221 Elatered 012061221184187432 Plage 749 of 899

	Page 432			Page 433
1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	r ago 100
2	guys are keeping from us.	2	under, et cetera, et cetera.	
3	MR. MORRIS: I move to strike the	3	Q. It's certainly disclosed in the	
4	last comment, and I take offense to it,	4	financials when it was forgiven. Will you –	
5	sir. We're not withholding anything, okay.	5	will you concede that point?	
6	Q. Would the NexBank audited financial	6	A. Yes, sure.	
7	statements include a disclosure of the loans	7	Q. Okay. Let's move on.	
8	that you are describing?	8	Let's go to HCMS. Are you familiar	
9	A. Yes.	9	with the notes at issue in the lawsuit that was	
10	Q. Okay. So is it fair to say that if	10	commenced by Highland against HCMS?	
11	Highland forgave loans, it would be disclosed	11	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: S or	
12	in its audited financial statements?	12	A. S as in Services. Yes.	
13	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object, asked	13	MR. MORRIS: Okay. Can we please	
14	and answered.	14	put up Exhibit 3.	
15	A. Well, just to be clear, these loans	15	(Exhibit 3 marked.)	
16		16	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Is that in the	
17	included in Highland's financials, those loans,	17	binder that you sent?	
18	just like the NexBank loans, when they were	18	MR. MORRIS: Yes, as Exhibit 3.	
19	made to senior executives were included. But	19	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Okay.	
20	there wasn't a – at NexBank there wasn't any	20	MR. MORRIS: And if we could go to	
21	kind of disclosure that said, these might be	21	the Exhibits 1 through 4, okay.	
22	forgiven, or these are the terms that they	22	Q. Sir, we've put up on the screen	
23	would be forgiven under, just like there was no	23	Exhibit 1 to Exhibit 3, which is the complaint	
24	disclosure in the Highland financials that	24	against HCMS. Do you see Exhibit 1 up on your	
25	these are the terms that it might be forgiven	25	screen?	
	Page 434	1		Page 435
1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	1	DONDERO - 10/29/21 Q. Did HCMS receive a loan from	
2	A. Yeah. This is the \$150,000	2		
3	promissory note; is that what that is?	3	Highland in the amount of \$150,000 on March 28th, 2018?	
4	Q. Yes, sir.	4		
5	A. Okay. As long as I can see it on the screen, I don't need to find it in hard	5	A. I assume so.	
7		7	Q. Okay. You wouldn't have either signed or allowed your signature to be affixed	
1	copy, do I? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Yeah.	0	· · ·	
8		8	to this document if you didn't understand that	
9	MR. MORRIS: Can you scroll to the second page, PJ.	9 10	HCMS had received from Highland \$150,000; correct?	
1				
11	Q. Is that your signature, sir?A. Close.	11	A. This is one of the many things I would have signed on a given day.	
12	Q. Are you aware that your signature is	12	Q. Okay. And – and are you aware that	
13			this note was given to Highland's auditors?	
14	made by HCMS to Highland Capital Management?	14 15	A. It could. I'm not aware	
16	A. Like I said –	10	specifically, but it should be.	
17	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Objection, form.	17	Q. Okay. Do you have any recollection	
18	A. Like I said, it's close. I don't	17	as to why HCMS obtained this loan from	
19	know if that is mine, but it's close.	10	Highland?	
20	Q. Do you have any reason to believe	20	A. Unless it says it on these two	
20	that either you or somebody you authorized	20	pages, I have no idea.	
22	didn't sign this particular promissory note?	21	Q. Okay. Do you have any recollection	
22	A. Not specifically.	22	as to what HCMS did with the proceeds of this	
23		23	loan?	
24		24	A. No.	
	······ hodo' higgoi			

Caae@210600655gjpDoc148634Fffedc012061221 Elateredc012061221184187432 Plage745 of 899

Page 436	Page	437
1 DONDERO - 10/29/21	1 DONDERO - 10/29/21	101
2 Q. Okay. Let's just flip through the	2 A. Yes.	
3 Exhibits 2, 3, and 4, if we could.	3 Q. Do you recall that HCMS borrowed	
4 Looking at Exhibit 2, is that your	4 \$400,000 from Highland in or around May 2019?	
5 signature on Exhibit 2, sir?	5 A. Not specifically.	
6 A. Again, it is close.	6 Q. Do you have any reason to believe	
7 Q. Okay. And do you have any reason to	7 that it didn't?	
8 believe that that is either not your signature	8 A. I have no knowledge I have no	
9 or that you did not authorize somebody to sign	9 knowledge of what it was used for and whether	
10 this on behalf of HCMS in June of 2018?	10 it did or didn't.	
11 A. No.	11 MR. MORRIS: Okay. Let's go to the	
12 Q. Okay.	12 next exhibit, please.	
13 MR. MORRIS: Can we go to Exhibit 3,	13 Q. Do you see Frank Waterhouse signed	
14 please, and if we can go to the signature	14 here on behalf of the maker, HCMS Services?	
15 line.	15 A. Yes.	
16 Q. Do you see that that is Frank	16 Q. Okay. Are you aware that HCMS	
17 Waterhouse?	17 borrowed \$150,000 from Highland in June 2019?	
18 A. Yes.	18 A. No.	
19 MR. MORRIS: Okay. And can we go to	19 Q. Okay. Do you have –	
20 the page before that, the first page.	20 A. I'm not aware and –	
21 Q. Frank Waterhouse was the treasurer	21 Q. Do you have	
22 of HCMS in May 2019; correct?	22 A. I didn't – I'm sorry, go ahead. I	
23 A. That is what it said right on that	23 was just saying, looking at Frank's signature,	
24 thing we saw earlier; right?	24 you know, we're switching from me signing to	
25 Q. Incumbency certificate.	25 Frank signing. And I guess we're saying Frank	
Page 438 1 DONDERO - 10/29/21	Page 1 DONDERO - 10/29/21	439
2 is an authorized signatory, although if you3 look at Frank's, it looks like an automated	2 it. He may have done it electronically or	
 3 look at Frank's, it looks like an automated 4 signature versus, you know, an actual 	3 somebody may have done it electronically for4 him. Those are just different answers than me	
5 signature, but I assume you went over this with6 him, but I don't have specific knowledge of	5 signing it; right?6 Q. Okay. And – and that is fair.	
7 these at all.	 7 Are you aware that on December 3rd, 	
8 Q. And do you know that Mr. Waterhouse	8 2020, Highland made a demand upon HCMS for	
9 from time to time used an electronic signature?	9 payment under these four notes that we have	
10 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the		
11 form.	10 just looked at?11 A. I knew there was a demand on the	
12 A. I believe he did.	12 NexPoint one. Can you refresh me on this one?	
13 Q. And you saw – you have seen his	13 Q. Sure.	
14 electronic signature on other documents; is	14 MR. MORRIS: Can we go to the next	
15 that right?	15 exhibit in Exhibit 3. Exhibit 5.	
16 A. Yes.	16 Q. You will see that there is a letter	
17 Q. So it doesn't surprise you to see	17 dated December 3rd, 2020, from Mr. Seery to	
18 his electronic signature on a note; correct?	18 HCMS?	
19 A. Yeah, Yeah, okay. Yeah, I don't	19 A. Yep.	
20 know. But whether or not he did it or somebody	20 Q. And do you see that it was sent to	
21 else did it or – we're just getting a little	21 the attention of Mr. Waterhouse?	
	22 Do you see that, sir?	
22 far afoot from me signing it; right? That is 23 all.	23 A. Yes, yep.	
24 Q. Right.	23 A. res, yep. 24 Q. And, again, Mr. Waterhouse at that	
25 A. To – Frank – Frank may have signed	25 time was the treasurer of HCMS to the best of	

Caase210600655gjpDoc148634Fifedc012061221 Elateredc012061221184187432 Page748 of 899

1 DONDERO - 10/29/21 1 DONDERO - 10/29/21 2 your recollection; correct? 2 A. I don't know. 3 A. He primarily was the CFO of 3 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 4 Highland. But, yes, I mean, I do see that. 4 form. 5 Q. Okay. And did you learn on or 5 Q. Do you know whether HCMS ever 6 around December 3rd that Highland had made 6 responded to this demand letter prior to the 7 demand upon HCMS for payment of all outstanding 7 commencement of litigation? 8 principal and interest due under the four 8 A. I don't know. 9 demand notes that are listed on the page there? 9 Q. Prior to the commencement of 10 A. Yes, yep. 10 litigation, did you discuss with anyone whether 11 Q. So you knew that at the time; right? 11 HCMS should respond to Highland's demand 12 A. Well, more importantly I knew they 12 letter? 13 were all subject to the same forgiveness 13 A. Did I discuss with anyone? No, I 14 provisions as the other note. 14 don't remember -	441
3A. He primarily was the CFO of3MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the4Highland. But, yes, I mean, I do see that.4form.5Q. Okay. And did you learn on or5Q. Do you know whether HCMS ever6around December 3rd that Highland had made6responded to this demand letter prior to the7demand upon HCMS for payment of all outstanding7commencement of litigation?8principal and interest due under the four8A. I don't know.9demand notes that are listed on the page there?9Q. Prior to the commencement of10A. Yes, yep.10litigation, did you discuss with anyone whether11Q. So you knew that at the time; right?11HCMS should respond to Highland's demand12A. Well, more importantly I knew they12letter?13were all subject to the same forgiveness13A. Did I discuss with anyone? No, I14provisions as the other note.14don't remember – I don't remember talking15Q. Okay. So I move to strike.15about this with Frank at all where –16You knew in December 3rd, 2020, that16MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: And I'm just	
4Highland. But, yes, I mean, I do see that.4form.5Q. Okay. And did you learn on or5Q. Do you know whether HCMS ever6around December 3rd that Highland had made6responded to this demand letter prior to the7demand upon HCMS for payment of all outstanding7commencement of litigation?8principal and interest due under the four8A. I don't know.9demand notes that are listed on the page there?9Q. Prior to the commencement of10A. Yes, yep.10litigation, did you discuss with anyone whether11Q. So you knew that at the time; right?11HCMS should respond to Highland's demand12A. Well, more importantly I knew they12letter?13were all subject to the same forgiveness13A. Did I discuss with anyone? No, I14provisions as the other note.15about this with Frank at all where –16You knew in December 3rd, 2020, that16MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: And I'm just	
5Q. Okay. And did you learn on or5Q. Do you know whether HCMS ever6around December 3rd that Highland had made6responded to this demand letter prior to the7demand upon HCMS for payment of all outstanding7commencement of litigation?8principal and interest due under the four8A. I don't know.9demand notes that are listed on the page there?9Q. Prior to the commencement of10A. Yes, yep.10litigation, did you discuss with anyone whether11Q. So you knew that at the time; right?11HCMS should respond to Highland's demand12A. Well, more importantly I knew they12letter?13were all subject to the same forgiveness13A. Did I discuss with anyone? No, I14provisions as the other note.15about this with Frank at all where –16You knew in December 3rd, 2020, that16MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: And I'm just	
6around December 3rd that Highland had made6responded to this demand letter prior to the7demand upon HCMS for payment of all outstanding7commencement of litigation?8principal and interest due under the four8A.I don't know.9demand notes that are listed on the page there?9Q.Prior to the commencement of10A.Yes, yep.10litigation, did you discuss with anyone whether11Q.So you knew that at the time; right?11HCMS should respond to Highland's demand12A.Well, more importantly I knew they12letter?13were all subject to the same forgiveness13A.Did I discuss with anyone? No, I14provisions as the other note.14don't remember – I don't remember talking15Q.Okay. So I move to strike.15about this with Frank at all where –16You knew in December 3rd, 2020, that16MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: And I'm just	
7demand upon HCMS for payment of all outstanding7commencement of litigation?8principal and interest due under the four8A.I don't know.9demand notes that are listed on the page there?9Q.Prior to the commencement of10A.Yes, yep.10litigation, did you discuss with anyone whether11Q.So you knew that at the time; right?11HCMS should respond to Highland's demand12A.Well, more importantly I knew they12letter?13were all subject to the same forgiveness13A.Did I discuss with anyone? No, I14provisions as the other note.14don't remember – I don't remember talking15Q.Okay. So I move to strike.15about this with Frank at all where –16You knew in December 3rd, 2020, that16MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: And I'm just	
8 principal and interest due under the four 8 A. I don't know. 9 demand notes that are listed on the page there? 9 Q. Prior to the commencement of 10 A. Yes, yep. 10 litigation, did you discuss with anyone whether 11 Q. So you knew that at the time; right? 11 HCMS should respond to Highland's demand 12 A. Well, more importantly I knew they 12 letter? 13 were all subject to the same forgiveness 13 A. Did I discuss with anyone? No, I 14 provisions as the other note. 14 don't remember – I don't remember talking 15 Q. Okay. So I move to strike. 15 about this with Frank at all where – 16 You knew in December 3rd, 2020, that 16 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: And I'm just	
9demand notes that are listed on the page there?9Q. Prior to the commencement of10A. Yes, yep.10litigation, did you discuss with anyone whether11Q. So you knew that at the time; right?11HCMS should respond to Highland's demand12A. Well, more importantly I knew they12letter?13were all subject to the same forgiveness13A. Did I discuss with anyone? No, I14provisions as the other note.14don't remember – I don't remember talking15Q. Okay. So I move to strike.15about this with Frank at all where –16You knew in December 3rd, 2020, that16MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: And I'm just	
10A. Yes, yep.10litigation, did you discuss with anyone whether11Q. So you knew that at the time; right?11HCMS should respond to Highland's demand12A. Well, more importantly I knew they12letter?13were all subject to the same forgiveness13A. Did I discuss with anyone? No, I14provisions as the other note.14don't remember – I don't remember talking15Q. Okay. So I move to strike.15about this with Frank at all where –16You knew in December 3rd, 2020, that16MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: And I'm just	
11Q. So you knew that at the time; right?11HCMS should respond to Highland's demand12A. Well, more importantly I knew they12letter?13were all subject to the same forgiveness13A. Did I discuss with anyone? No, I14provisions as the other note.14don't remember I don't remember talking15Q. Okay. So I move to strike.15about this with Frank at all where16You knew in December 3rd, 2020, that16MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: And I'm just	
12A. Well, more importantly I knew they12letter?13were all subject to the same forgiveness13A. Did I discuss with anyone? No, I14provisions as the other note.14don't remember - I don't remember talking15Q. Okay. So I move to strike.15about this with Frank at all where -16You knew in December 3rd, 2020, that16MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: And I'm just	
13were all subject to the same forgiveness13A.Did I discuss with anyone? No, I14provisions as the other note.14don't remember – I don't remember talking15Q.Okay. So I move to strike.15about this with Frank at all where –16You knew in December 3rd, 2020, that16MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: And I'm just	
14provisions as the other note.14don't remember – I don't remember talking15Q.Okay. So I move to strike.15about this with Frank at all where –16You knew in December 3rd, 2020, that16MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: And I'm just	
15Q.Okay. So I move to strike.15about this with Frank at all where –16You knew in December 3rd, 2020, that16MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: And I'm just	
16You knew in December 3rd, 2020, that16MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: And I'm just	
17 Highland made demand; correct? 17 going to stop you to make sure you don't	
18 A. Yes. 18 blurt out any privileged communications, if	
19Q.Okay. And do you see that Highland19there are any.	
20 gave HCMS an eight-day grace period or until20We object to the disclosure. But	
21 December 11th, 2020, to make payment?21 with that caveat, go ahead.	
22 A. Yes. 22 A. I'm sorry, repeat the question	
23Q.Under the demand note do you have23again. Let me try and keep it simple here.	
24 any understanding that Highland was required to24Q. Sure. It may be my fault.	
25 give any grace period at all?25Mr. Dondero, you testified that you	
	443
1 DONDERO - 10/29/21 2 ware sware that Limbard and a demand for	
2 were aware that Highland made a demand for 2 behalf of HCMS ever informed Highland of HCMS' 3 defenses to the demand latter prior	
3 payment on these four notes; correct? 3 defenses to the to the demand letter prior 4 A. Yes. 4 to the commencement of litigation?	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
5 Q. Okay. Did you have any 5 A. Yeah, Frank would be the person to	
6 non-privileged communications at any time after 6 ask there. I don't know. 7 Uickland cant this latter about whether and how.	
7 Highland sent this letter about whether and how 7 Q. I'm just asking you. Prior to the	
8 HCMS should respond? 8 commencement of litigation, did you ever 9 instruct any one to inform Limbard that the	
9 A. You know, let me just – let me 10 adjust the prior exposed	
10 adjust the prior answer for a second. 10 HCMS notes were subject to oral agreements with 11 the Durabative tracted agreements with	
11 I'm aware that this letter was sent. 11 the Dugaboy trustee? 12 I'm net sure Lknow contemporance isk or when L 12 A Lbelieve former, ludge Lynn sent a	
12 I'm not sure I knew contemporaneously or when I 12 A. I believe former Judge Lynn sent a 12 Jarawitha lattering that upgering that the property of the set of the property of the set of the property of the set of t	
13 knew the letter was sent. I can't I have no 13 letter in that regard. But other than that, I 14 received at the time. 14 dep't remember talking to any back (J dep't)	
14 recollection of receiving it at the time. 14 don't remember talking to anybody – I don't 15 And to answer your guestion I con't 15 remember talking to the debter shout it per so	
15 And to answer your question, I can't 15 remember talking to the debtor about it per se. 16 recellect talking to Errork or any body also 16 0 It is your recellection that	
16 recollect talking to Frank or anybody else 17 about it at the time. I'm not a up lumpu	
17 about it at the time. I'm not sure I knew 17 Judge Lynn sent a letter to Highland before the 18 about it at the time. But I knew 19 accommon accommon to filting time in the line line in the line in the line line in the line line in	
18 about it at the time. But I have – I don't 18 commencement of litigation, putting Highland on 10 bout a grupped leading of discussing it with 10 potion that the LICMG patence ways the subject of	
19 have any recollection of discussing it with 20 am hadvet or around the time	
20 anybody at or around the time. 21 O Did you ever instruct any body of any construct any body of any construct any body of a second distribution of the Dugaboy	
21 Q. Did you ever instruct anybody at any 21 trust. 22 time to represend to this latter whenever it is 22 Do I have that right?	
22 time to respond to this letter, whenever it is 22 Do I have that right?	
23 you learned about it? 23 A. Yeah, that they were part of 24 formiveneous or componentian or competing the	
24A. No.24 forgiveness or compensation or something. He25Q. Do you know if anyone acting on25 sent a letter in that regard.	
25 Q. Do you know if anyone acting on 25 sent a letter in that regard.	

Caase210600655gjpDoc148634Fifedc012061221 Elateredc012061221184187432 Page743 of 899

Page 444	Pa	age 445
1 DONDERO - 10/29/21	1 DONDERO - 10/29/21	aye 440
2 Q. And was this part of a settlement	2 MR. RUKAVINA: This is Davor.	
3 discussion or was this in response to this	3 Couple things, John and I apologize for	
4 demand letter?	4 interjecting. I have not made an	
5 A. I don't know.	5 appearance yet today. Deborah has been	
6 Q. Have you produced that letter in	6 objecting for everyone.	
7 discovery?	7 Thomas Berghman will take over	
8 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: I'm aware that	8 around 3:00 o'clock. Is that okay with	
9 you have the letter. I don't know if it	9 you, John?	
10 was attached to something, but I know you	10 He is probably just going to sit	
11 have it.	11 here and not object.	
12 MR. MORRIS: Because you produced it	12 MR. MORRIS: I will miss you and I	
13 in discovery or because Mr. Dondero is	13 hope you have safe travels.	
14 testifying that his recollection was that	14 MR. RUKAVINA: Okay. Thank you very	
15 Mr. Dondero sent this letter to the debtor?	15 much.	
16 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: The – the	16 And, second, I think that the letter	
17 letter has either been produced or was	17 that is being referred to is the email	
18 attached to something or was used in a	18 letter, so I have produced it to you.	
19 deposition, but I am aware that you have	19 With that, thank you everyone.	
20 it. If you need it to be Bates stamped, we	20 MR. MORRIS: Okay. Take care.	
21 could do that.	21 Q. Did anyone – did you ever instruct	
22 MR. MORRIS: I definitely need it to	22 anyone in December 2020 to make the payments	
23 be Bates stamped, I do, because I'm not	23 that Highland demanded under the HCMS notes?	
24 aware of this particular letter. But I	24 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: The demand notes	
25 appreciate that.	25 that are listed here on the Exhibit 5?	
Page 446 1 DONDERO - 10/29/21	Pa 1 DONDERO - 10/29/21	age 447
2 MR. MORRIS: Yes.	2 favor of Highland on May 31st, 2017?	
3 A. Yes, not that I recall.	3 A. Yes.	
4 Q. Did you ever instruct anyone in	4 Q. And is it fair to say you didn't	
5 December 2020 not to make the payments that	5 read this note before you signed it?	
6 Highland demanded that are listed in this	6 A. Correct. No reason to, really.	
7 exhibit?	7 Q. Okay. So it is fair to say that	
8 A. No.	8 there is not a provision of this note that you	
9 Q. Do you know why HCMS did not make	9 didn't understand before you signed it;	
10 the payments that Highland demanded under the	10 correct?	
11 notes?	11 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	
12 A. Again, beyond compensation	12 form.	
13 forgiveness argument, no.	13 A. That I didn't review it, so	
14 MR. MORRIS: Okay. Let's go to the	14 therefore I didn't have a opinion one way or	
15 next exhibit, 6.	15 the other.	
16 (Exhibit 6 marked.)	16 Q. Okay. This note substituted and	
17 Q. And this is another one of the term	17 superseded for the promissory notes that are	
18 notes; right?	18 set forth on Exhibit A to this document;	
19 A. Yes.	19 correct?	
20 MR. MORRIS: And can we just go to	20 A. Yes.	
21 the signature line, please.	21 Q. So just like NexPoint and HCMS, HCRE	
22 Q. Is that your signature, sir?	22 also consolidated their outstanding demand	
23 A. That looks more like it.	23 notes into one term notes at the end of	
24 Q. And do you are you willing to	24 May 2017; right?	
24 Q. And do you – are you willing to25 agree that you signed this promissory note in	25 A. Yep.	

Caase210600655gjpDoc148634Fifedc012061221 Elateredc012061221184187432 Page748 of 899

Page 4481DONDERO - 10/29/212Q. Okay. Let's go to HCRE, if we can2Otherwise, she is pulling it up.3take this down and put up Exhibit 4.3Q. So this is the last of the three4Actually, before we go to that, do4term notes. Do you see that?5you have any recollection as to why HCRE5A. Yes.6borrowed money from Highland in the amounts6Q. Also signed on May 31st, 2017;7equal to the prior notes as set forth to the7correct?	Page 449
3 take this down and put up Exhibit 4.3Q. So this is the last of the three4Actually, before we go to that, do4term notes. Do you see that?5you have any recollection as to why HCRE5A. Yes.6borrowed money from Highland in the amounts6Q. Also signed on May 31st, 2017;	
4Actually, before we go to that, do4term notes. Do you see that?5you have any recollection as to why HCRE5A. Yes.6borrowed money from Highland in the amounts6Q. Also signed on May 31st, 2017;	
5 you have any recollection as to why HCRE5A.Yes.6 borrowed money from Highland in the amounts6Q.Also signed on May 31st, 2017;	
6 Borrowed money from Highland in the amounts 6 Q. Also signed on May 31st, 2017;	
8 exhibit to the term note? 8 A. Yes.	
9 A. Nope. 9 Q. And if we could look at the	
10 Q. Do you have any recollection at all 10 signature line, is that your signature, sir?	
11 as to what HCRE did with the proceeds of the 11 A. Yes.	
12 loans that it obtained from Highland? 12 Q. And did you sign this note on behalf	
13 A. No. 13 of HCRE on or about May 31st, 2017?	
14 Q. This is Exhibit 4, so this is the 14 A. Yes.	
15 complaint – this is actually the complaint 15 Q. Did you read this note before you	
16 against HCRE. 16 signed it?	
17 MR. MORRIS: Can we go to Exhibit 6, 17 A. No.	
18 please.	
19 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Exhibit 6 of 19 fair to say that there wasn't a provision of	
20 Exhibit 4? 20 this agreement that you didn't understand at	
21 MR. MORRIS: No, I apologize. That 21 MR. MORRIS: No, I apologize. That	
22 was my mistake. Yes, Exhibit 6 to Exhibit 22 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	
23 4. 23 form.	
24 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Okay. If you 24 A. There is – there wasn't a	
25 want the hard copy, it is in a booklet. 25 provisions I did or didn't understand because I	
Page 450 1 DONDERO - 10/29/21 1 DONDERO - 10/29/21	Page 451
2 didn't review it. 2 that were signed by NexPoint, HCRE, and HCMS on	
3 Q. Okay. This note substituted and 3 May 31st, 2017 collectively as the term notes?	
4 superseded for the promissory notes that are 4 A. Yes.	
5 listed on Exhibit A on the right side of the 5 Q. Okay. You had the authority to sign	
6 page; correct? 6 each of the term notes on behalf of each of the	
7 A. Yes. 7 For the second se	
8 Q. And Exhibit A set forth the 8 A. Yes.	
9 outstanding principal and interest that HCRE 9 Q. Each of the term notes was for a	
10 owed to Highland under the prior notes as of 10 30-year term; correct?	
11 May 31st, 2017; correct? 11 A. I believe so.	
12 A. Uh-huh. 12 Q. Okay. Who decided to give each note	
13 Q. That is a yes, sir; correct? 13 a 30-year term, if you know?	
14 A. Yes. 14 A. Yes. 14 A. The auditors, the accountants, not	
15 Q. Okay. Do you know why HCRE borrowed 15 me.	
16 the money from Highland at the times and – and 16 Q. But you knew that each of the notes	
17 in the amounts set forth on Exhibit A to the 17 was for a 30-year term; is that fair?	
18 promissory note? 18 A. Yes, I guess, yes.	
19 A. No. 19 A. No. 19 Q. Notes were unsecured; right?	
19 A. No. 19 Q. Notes were unsecured, fight? 20 Q. Do you have any recollection as to 20 A. Yes.	
20 Q. Do you have any reconcellon as to 20 A. res. 21 what HCRE did with the proceeds of the loans 21 Q. And the notes were not the product	
21 with the proceeds of the loans 21 Q. And the notes were not the product 22 that they had obtained from Highland between 22 of any negotiations; correct?	
23January 2014 and April 2015?23A.Correct.24A.No.24Q.Is it fair to say that none of the	
24 A. NO. 24 Q. Is it fail to say that hole of the 25 Q. Can we call the three term notes 25 makers of the term notes ever sought financing	

Caase210600655gjpDoc148634Fifedc012061221 Elateredc012061221184187432 Page749 of 899

Page 452			Page 453
1 DONDERO - 10/29/21	1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	Fage 455
2 from a third party as an alternative to the	2 0	ther financings that NexPoint and HCRE did.	
3 Highland notes?	3 S	o I would say that is that is the reason.	
4 A. That's correct.	4	Q. Are you saying that Highland today	
5 Q. Okay. You don't have any reason to	5 re	eally has equity interests in NexPoint, HCRE,	
6 believe that an unrelated third party would		nd HCMS?	
7 have loaned money to NexPoint, HCRE, and HCMS	7	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	
8 on the terms set forth in each of the term	8	form.	
9 notes, do you?	9	A. Yeah, no, I didn't say that. I'm	
10 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the		aying it has subordinated debt interest, but	
11 form.		hey are soft notes, so they're viewed as	
12 A. I – it is not fair to draw that		leeply subordinated equity-ish, so to speak, as	
13 conclusion. You know, particularly NexPoint		ar as the senior secured debtholders are	
14 has borrowed a lot of money at much lower rates		concerned.	
15 at or around 2017 and later, and to this day.	15	Q. Well, that would be true of any	
16 Q. So then why –		senior secured debt relative to unsecured debt;	
17 A. The same thing with HCRE.		sn't that right?	
18 Q. So then why would HCRE and NexPoint	18	A. Yes, but again, these are	
19 enter into these loans rather than obtaining		particularly soft notes, you know.	
	20	Q. Okay. At the time you signed these	
· · · · · · ·			
		notes, were you aware that each of the term	
22 A. These are soft loans, again, so		notes required payment of an annual installment	
23 they're – especially affiliate soft loans to		on December 31st of each year?	
24 other creditors are viewed almost as equity or	24	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	
25 subordinated to senior secured mortgages or	25	form.	
Page 454 1 DONDERO - 10/29/21	1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	Page 455
2 A. I knew there was more required	2	Q. So we were just looking at the third	
3 periodic payments than historically, and that		the series of term notes, and if we can go	
4 was part of – partly driven by the – the		the series of terminoles, and if we can go	
		ne, just to refresh your recollection after	
		he break that this is the term note that was	
		xecuted by you on behalf of HCRE Partners on	
8 10 minutes, and then, you know, at most –		flay 31st, 2017.	
9 at most I've got another hour in me today,	9	Do you see that?	
10 and then so we could just work on when it	10	A. Yes.	
11 fits on everybody else's calendar if we	11	Q. Okay. And I looked at Paragraph 5	
12 can't wrap up in an hour; okay?		before, but I just want to make sure, you're	
13 MR. MORRIS: No problem,		elling me that you didn't read this before you	
14 Mr. Dondero. So the time now is what		signed it, do I have that right, Paragraph 5?	
15 what time do we have?	15	A. Yes.	
16 VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record, 2:56.	16	Q. And so you are unaware – when did	
17 (Recess taken 2:56 p.m. to 3:19 p.m.)		you first – when did you first become aware of	
18 VIDEOGRAPHER: Back on the record,		he provision that is set forth in Paragraph 5?	
19 3:19.	19	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	
20 Q. Are you ready to proceed, sir?	20	form.	
21 A. Yes.	21	A. I don't know.	
		Q. Okay. Was it before or after the	
22 Q. Okay. Did you speak with anybody	22	-	
23 during the break about the substance of this	23 c	commencement of the litigation?	
		-	
23 during the break about the substance of this	23 c	commencement of the litigation?	

Caase210600655gjpDoc148634Fifedc012061221 Elateredc012061221184187432 Page756 of 899

Dece 456	1	Daga 157
Page 456 1 DONDERO - 10/29/21	1 DONDERO - 10/29/21	Page 457
2 installment payment that was due at the end of	2 suing under it, I guess they did.	
3 2020; correct?	3 Q. Right. And HCMS didn't make the	
4 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to – are	4 payment that was due at the end of the year, to	
5 you still talking – have you left HCRE?	5 the best of your knowledge; correct?	
6 MR. MORRIS: No. I said what I	6 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	
7 meant to. So we can take down the exhibit	7 form.	
8 if that's the part that is confusing you.	8 A. Yeah. I mean, what I'd just	
9 I appreciate that.	9 separate in my notes here is the HCMFA was just	
10 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Okay.	10 not – it wasn't a bona fide note, I guess,	
11 Q. Okay. NexPoint didn't make the	11 is – that is – which I guess is a	
12 installment payment that was due at the end of	12 different – a different conversation.	
13 2020; correct?	13 Q. Yeah. Do you understand that the	
14 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	14 question was about HCMS? Let me restate the	
15 form.16 A. Yeah. I mean, I think maybe the	15 question.16 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Yes.	
	17 Q. HCMS –	
17 right way to describe it is Highland or		
18 yeah, Highland or Frank Waterhouse on behalf of		
19 NexPoint didn't make the payment.	19 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: John, I'm sorry,	
20 Q. Okay. And HCRE didn't make the	20 it is really hard on the video to	
21 installment payment that was due at the end of	21 distinguish between HCMF and HCMS, so if	
22 2020; correct?	22 you could just -	
23 A. I don't I guess okay, if they	23 A. How about just say Services for	
24 missed it too, I – I did not have specific	24 Highland Capital Management Services, just	
25 awareness to that, I guess, but if you are	25 say – instead of S, just say Services.	
Page 458		Page 459
1 DONDERO - 10/29/21	1 DONDERO - 10/29/21	Page 459
1DONDERO - 10/29/212Q.Sure. All right. So from now on, I	1DONDERO - 10/29/212in December 2020. Is that your testimony?	Page 459
1 DONDERO - 10/29/21 2 Q. Sure. All right. So from now on, I 3 will try and use the word "Services" and you	1DONDERO - 10/29/212in December 2020. Is that your testimony?3A. I was thinking about HCRE and	Page 459
1 DONDERO - 10/29/21 2 Q. Sure. All right. So from now on, I 3 will try and use the word "Services" and you 4 will know that that means Highland Management	 DONDERO - 10/29/21 in December 2020. Is that your testimony? A. I was thinking about HCRE and Services had zero employees. NexPoint had 	Page 459
1DONDERO - 10/29/212Q. Sure. All right. So from now on, I3will try and use the word "Services" and you4will know that that means Highland Management5Services, Inc.; is that fair?	1DONDERO - 10/29/212in December 2020. Is that your testimony?3A. I was thinking about HCRE and4Services had zero employees. NexPoint had5employees but none that were involved in basic	Page 459
 DONDERO - 10/29/21 Q. Sure. All right. So from now on, I will try and use the word "Services" and you will know that that means Highland Management Services, Inc.; is that fair? A. Yes, okay. 	 DONDERO - 10/29/21 in December 2020. Is that your testimony? A. I was thinking about HCRE and Services had zero employees. NexPoint had employees but none that were involved in basic accounting functions. 	Page 459
 DONDERO - 10/29/21 Q. Sure. All right. So from now on, I will try and use the word "Services" and you will know that that means Highland Management Services, Inc.; is that fair? A. Yes, okay. Q. Okay. So Services didn't make the 	 DONDERO - 10/29/21 in December 2020. Is that your testimony? A. I was thinking about HCRE and Services had zero employees. NexPoint had employees but none that were involved in basic accounting functions. Q. Okay. And – and there are people, 	Page 459
 DONDERO - 10/29/21 Q. Sure. All right. So from now on, I will try and use the word "Services" and you will know that that means Highland Management Services, Inc.; is that fair? A. Yes, okay. Q. Okay. So Services didn't make the installment payment that was due at year-end; 	1DONDERO - 10/29/212in December 2020. Is that your testimony?3A. I was thinking about HCRE and4Services had zero employees. NexPoint had5employees but none that were involved in basic6accounting functions.7Q. Okay. And and there are people,8including yourself, who were officers or	Page 459
 DONDERO - 10/29/21 Q. Sure. All right. So from now on, I will try and use the word "Services" and you will know that that means Highland Management Services, Inc.; is that fair? A. Yes, okay. Q. Okay. So Services didn't make the installment payment that was due at year-end; correct? 	1DONDERO - 10/29/212in December 2020. Is that your testimony?3A. I was thinking about HCRE and4Services had zero employees. NexPoint had5employees but none that were involved in basic6accounting functions.7Q. Okay. And – and there are people,8including yourself, who were officers or9employees of NexPoint in December 2020;	Page 459
 DONDERO - 10/29/21 Q. Sure. All right. So from now on, I will try and use the word "Services" and you will know that that means Highland Management Services, Inc.; is that fair? A. Yes, okay. Q. Okay. So Services didn't make the installment payment that was due at year-end; correct? A. Yes. 	1DONDERO - 10/29/212in December 2020. Is that your testimony?3A. I was thinking about HCRE and4Services had zero employees. NexPoint had5employees but none that were involved in basic6accounting functions.7Q. Okay. And – and there are people,8including yourself, who were officers or9employees of NexPoint in December 2020;10correct?	Page 459
1 DONDERO - 10/29/21 2 Q. Sure. All right. So from now on, I 3 will try and use the word "Services" and you 4 will know that that means Highland Management 5 Services, Inc.; is that fair? 6 A. Yes, okay. 7 Q. Okay. So Services didn't make the 8 installment payment that was due at year-end; 9 correct? 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. Okay. And I just want to make sure	 DONDERO - 10/29/21 in December 2020. Is that your testimony? A. I was thinking about HCRE and Services had zero employees. NexPoint had employees but none that were involved in basic accounting functions. Q. Okay. And and there are people, including yourself, who were officers or employees of NexPoint in December 2020; correct? A. Yes. 	Page 459
 DONDERO - 10/29/21 Q. Sure. All right. So from now on, I will try and use the word "Services" and you will know that that means Highland Management Services, Inc.; is that fair? A. Yes, okay. Q. Okay. So Services didn't make the installment payment that was due at year-end; correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And I just want to make sure that I have this right. Is it is it the 	1DONDERO - 10/29/212in December 2020. Is that your testimony?3A. I was thinking about HCRE and4Services had zero employees. NexPoint had5employees but none that were involved in basic6accounting functions.7Q. Okay. And – and there are people,8including yourself, who were officers or9employees of NexPoint in December 2020;10correct?11A. Yes.12Q. And HCRE had officers in December	Page 459
1 DONDERO - 10/29/21 2 Q. Sure. All right. So from now on, I 3 will try and use the word "Services" and you 4 will know that that means Highland Management 5 Services, Inc.; is that fair? 6 A. Yes, okay. 7 Q. Okay. So Services didn't make the 8 installment payment that was due at year-end; 9 correct? 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. Okay. And I just want to make sure 12 that I have this right. Is it – is it the 13 corporate obligors' – those three corporate	1DONDERO - 10/29/212in December 2020. Is that your testimony?3A. I was thinking about HCRE and4Services had zero employees. NexPoint had5employees but none that were involved in basic6accounting functions.7Q. Okay. And – and there are people,8including yourself, who were officers or9employees of NexPoint in December 2020;10correct?11A. Yes.12Q. And HCRE had officers in December132020, including you; correct?	Page 459
1DONDERO - 10/29/212Q. Sure. All right. So from now on, I3will try and use the word "Services" and you4will know that that means Highland Management5Services, Inc.; is that fair?6A. Yes, okay.7Q. Okay. So Services didn't make the8installment payment that was due at year-end;9correct?10A. Yes.11Q. Okay. And I just want to make sure12that I have this right. Is it is it the13corporate obligors' those three corporate14obligors' contention that one of the reasons	1DONDERO - 10/29/212in December 2020. Is that your testimony?3A. I was thinking about HCRE and4Services had zero employees. NexPoint had5employees but none that were involved in basic6accounting functions.7Q. Okay. And and there are people,8including yourself, who were officers or9employees of NexPoint in December 2020;10correct?11A. Yes.12Q. And HCRE had officers in December132020, including you; correct?14A. Yes. Officers, yes.	Page 459
1 DONDERO - 10/29/21 2 Q. Sure. All right. So from now on, I 3 will try and use the word "Services" and you 4 will know that that means Highland Management 5 Services, Inc.; is that fair? 6 A. Yes, okay. 7 Q. Okay. So Services didn't make the 8 installment payment that was due at year-end; 9 correct? 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. Okay. And I just want to make sure 12 that I have this right. Is it is it the 13 corporate obligors' those three corporate 14 obligors' contention that one of the reasons 15 they didn't make the payments at the end of the	1DONDERO - 10/29/212in December 2020. Is that your testimony?3A. I was thinking about HCRE and4Services had zero employees. NexPoint had5employees but none that were involved in basic6accounting functions.7Q. Okay. And – and there are people,8including yourself, who were officers or9employees of NexPoint in December 2020;10correct?11A. Yes.12Q. And HCRE had officers in December132020, including you; correct?14A. Yes. Officers, yes.15Q. And Services had officers in	Page 459
1 DONDERO - 10/29/21 2 Q. Sure. All right. So from now on, I 3 will try and use the word "Services" and you 4 will know that that means Highland Management 5 Services, Inc.; is that fair? 6 A. Yes, okay. 7 Q. Okay. So Services didn't make the 8 installment payment that was due at year-end; 9 correct? 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. Okay. And I just want to make sure 12 that I have this right. Is it is it the 13 corporate obligors' those three corporate 14 obligors' contention that one of the reasons 15 they didn't make the payments at the end of the 16 year is that they were relying on Highland to	1DONDERO - 10/29/212in December 2020. Is that your testimony?3A. I was thinking about HCRE and4Services had zero employees. NexPoint had5employees but none that were involved in basic6accounting functions.7Q. Okay. And – and there are people,8including yourself, who were officers or9employees of NexPoint in December 2020;10correct?11A. Yes.12Q. And HCRE had officers in December132020, including you; correct?14A. Yes. Officers, yes.15Q. And Services had officers in16December 2020, including you; correct?	Page 459
1 DONDERO - 10/29/21 2 Q. Sure. All right. So from now on, I 3 will try and use the word "Services" and you 4 will know that that means Highland Management 5 Services, Inc.; is that fair? 6 A. Yes, okay. 7 Q. Okay. So Services didn't make the 8 installment payment that was due at year-end; 9 correct? 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. Okay. And I just want to make sure 12 that I have this right. Is it – is it the 13 corporate obligors' – those three corporate 14 obligors' contention that one of the reasons 15 they didn't make the payments at the end of the 16 year is that they were relying on Highland to 17 make the payment for them?	1DONDERO - 10/29/212in December 2020. Is that your testimony?3A. I was thinking about HCRE and4Services had zero employees. NexPoint had5employees but none that were involved in basic6accounting functions.7Q. Okay. And and there are people,8including yourself, who were officers or9employees of NexPoint in December 2020;10correct?11A. Yes.12Q. And HCRE had officers in December132020, including you; correct?14A. Yes. Officers, yes.15Q. And Services had officers in16December 2020, including you; correct?17A. Yes.	Page 459
1 DONDERO - 10/29/21 2 Q. Sure. All right. So from now on, I 3 will try and use the word "Services" and you 4 will know that that means Highland Management 5 Services, Inc.; is that fair? 6 A. Yes, okay. 7 Q. Okay. So Services didn't make the 8 installment payment that was due at year-end; 9 correct? 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. Okay. And I just want to make sure 12 that I have this right. Is it is it the 13 corporate obligors' those three corporate 14 obligors' contention that one of the reasons 15 they didn't make the payments at the end of the 16 year is that they were relying on Highland to 17 make the payment for them? 18 A. Absolutely.	1DONDERO - 10/29/212in December 2020. Is that your testimony?3A. I was thinking about HCRE and4Services had zero employees. NexPoint had5employees but none that were involved in basic6accounting functions.7Q. Okay. And – and there are people,8including yourself, who were officers or9employees of NexPoint in December 2020;10correct?11A. Yes.12Q. And HCRE had officers in December132020, including you; correct?14A. Yes. Officers, yes.15Q. And Services had officers in16December 2020, including you; correct?17A. Yes.18Q. Okay. I think in summary form, to	Page 459
1 DONDERO - 10/29/21 2 Q. Sure. All right. So from now on, I 3 will try and use the word "Services" and you 4 will know that that means Highland Management 5 Services, Inc.; is that fair? 6 A. Yes, okay. 7 Q. Okay. So Services didn't make the 8 installment payment that was due at year-end; 9 correct? 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. Okay. And I just want to make sure 12 that I have this right. Is it is it the 13 corporate obligors' those three corporate 14 obligors' contention that one of the reasons 15 they didn't make the payments at the end of the 16 year is that they were relying on Highland to 17 make the payment for them? 18 A. Absolutely. 19 Q. Okay.	1DONDERO - 10/29/212in December 2020. Is that your testimony?3A. I was thinking about HCRE and4Services had zero employees. NexPoint had5employees but none that were involved in basic6accounting functions.7Q. Okay. And – and there are people,8including yourself, who were officers or9employees of NexPoint in December 2020;10correct?11A. Yes.12Q. And HCRE had officers in December132020, including you; correct?14A. Yes. Officers, yes.15Q. And Services had officers in16December 2020, including you; correct?17A. Yes.18Q. Okay. I think in summary form, to19be fair, I think we have identified one of the	Page 459
1 DONDERO - 10/29/21 2 Q. Sure. All right. So from now on, I 3 will try and use the word "Services" and you 4 will know that that means Highland Management 5 Services, Inc.; is that fair? 6 A. Yes, okay. 7 Q. Okay. So Services didn't make the 8 installment payment that was due at year-end; 9 correct? 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. Okay. And I just want to make sure 12 that I have this right. Is it – is it the 13 corporate obligors' – those three corporate 14 obligors' contention that one of the reasons 15 they didn't make the payments at the end of the 16 year is that they were relying on Highland to 17 make the payment for them? 18 A. Absolutely. 19 Q. Okay. 20 A. It was due course de minimis, and	1DONDERO - 10/29/212in December 2020. Is that your testimony?3A. I was thinking about HCRE and4Services had zero employees. NexPoint had5employees but none that were involved in basic6accounting functions.7Q. Okay. And – and there are people,8including yourself, who were officers or9employees of NexPoint in December 2020;10correct?11A. Yes.12Q. And HCRE had officers in December132020, including you; correct?14A. Yes. Officers, yes.15Q. And Services had officers in16December 2020, including you; correct?17A. Yes.18Q. Okay. I think in summary form, to19be fair, I think we have identified one of the20defenses for these three corporate obligors.	Page 459
1 DONDERO - 10/29/21 2 Q. Sure. All right. So from now on, I 3 will try and use the word "Services" and you 4 will know that that means Highland Management 5 Services, Inc.; is that fair? 6 A. Yes, okay. 7 Q. Okay. So Services didn't make the 8 installment payment that was due at year-end; 9 correct? 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. Okay. And I just want to make sure 12 that I have this right. Is it – is it the 13 corporate obligors' – those three corporate 14 obligors' contention that one of the reasons 15 they didn't make the payments at the end of the 16 year is that they were relying on Highland to 17 make the payment for them? 18 A. Absolutely. 19 Q. Okay. 20 A. It was due course de minimis, and 21 those entities didn't have a single employee or	1DONDERO - 10/29/212in December 2020. Is that your testimony?3A. I was thinking about HCRE and4Services had zero employees. NexPoint had5employees but none that were involved in basic6accounting functions.7Q. Okay. And and there are people,8including yourself, who were officers or9employees of NexPoint in December 2020;10correct?11A. Yes.12Q. And HCRE had officers in December132020, including you; correct?14A. Yes. Officers, yes.15Q. And Services had officers in16December 2020, including you; correct?17A. Yes.18Q. Okay. I think in summary form, to19be fair, I think we have identified one of the20defenses for these three corporate obligors.21Two of them have the defense of	Page 459
1 DONDERO - 10/29/21 2 Q. Sure. All right. So from now on, I 3 will try and use the word "Services" and you 4 will know that that means Highland Management 5 Services, Inc.; is that fair? 6 A. Yes, okay. 7 Q. Okay. So Services didn't make the 8 installment payment that was due at year-end; 9 correct? 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. Okay. And I just want to make sure 12 that I have this right. Is it – is it the 13 corporate obligors' – those three corporate 14 obligors' contention that one of the reasons 15 they didn't make the payments at the end of the 16 year is that they were relying on Highland to 17 make the payment for them? 18 A. Absolutely. 19 Q. Okay. 20 A. It was due course de minimis, and	1DONDERO - 10/29/212in December 2020. Is that your testimony?3A. I was thinking about HCRE and4Services had zero employees. NexPoint had5employees but none that were involved in basic6accounting functions.7Q. Okay. And – and there are people,8including yourself, who were officers or9employees of NexPoint in December 2020;10correct?11A. Yes.12Q. And HCRE had officers in December132020, including you; correct?14A. Yes. Officers, yes.15Q. And Services had officers in16December 2020, including you; correct?17A. Yes.18Q. Okay. I think in summary form, to19be fair, I think we have identified one of the20defenses for these three corporate obligors.	Page 459
1 DONDERO - 10/29/21 2 Q. Sure. All right. So from now on, I 3 will try and use the word "Services" and you 4 will know that that means Highland Management 5 Services, Inc.; is that fair? 6 A. Yes, okay. 7 Q. Okay. So Services didn't make the 8 installment payment that was due at year-end; 9 correct? 10 A. Yes. 11 Q. Okay. And I just want to make sure 12 that I have this right. Is it – is it the 13 corporate obligors' – those three corporate 14 obligors' contention that one of the reasons 15 they didn't make the payments at the end of the 16 year is that they were relying on Highland to 17 make the payment for them? 18 A. Absolutely. 19 Q. Okay. 20 A. It was due course de minimis, and 21 those entities didn't have a single employee or	1DONDERO - 10/29/212in December 2020. Is that your testimony?3A. I was thinking about HCRE and4Services had zero employees. NexPoint had5employees but none that were involved in basic6accounting functions.7Q. Okay. And and there are people,8including yourself, who were officers or9employees of NexPoint in December 2020;10correct?11A. Yes.12Q. And HCRE had officers in December132020, including you; correct?14A. Yes. Officers, yes.15Q. And Services had officers in16December 2020, including you; correct?17A. Yes.18Q. Okay. I think in summary form, to19be fair, I think we have identified one of the20defenses for these three corporate obligors.21Two of them have the defense of	Page 459
 DONDERO - 10/29/21 Q. Sure. All right. So from now on, I will try and use the word "Services" and you will know that that means Highland Management Services, Inc.; is that fair? A. Yes, okay. Q. Okay. So Services didn't make the installment payment that was due at year-end; correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And I just want to make sure that I have this right. Is it – is it the corporate obligors' – those three corporate obligors' contention that one of the reasons they didn't make the payments at the end of the year is that they were relying on Highland to make the payment for them? A. Absolutely. Q. Okay. A. It was due course de minimis, and those entities didn't have a single employee or capable financial person other than the people 	 DONDERO - 10/29/21 in December 2020. Is that your testimony? A. I was thinking about HCRE and Services had zero employees. NexPoint had employees but none that were involved in basic accounting functions. Q. Okay. And – and there are people, including yourself, who were officers or employees of NexPoint in December 2020; correct? A. Yes. Q. And HCRE had officers in December 2020, including you; correct? A. Yes. Officers, yes. Q. And Services had officers in December 2020, including you; correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I think in summary form, to be fair, I think we have identified one of the defenses for these three corporate obligors. Two of them have the defense of prepayment; right? 	Page 459
 DONDERO - 10/29/21 Q. Sure. All right. So from now on, I will try and use the word "Services" and you will know that that means Highland Management Services, Inc.; is that fair? A. Yes, okay. Q. Okay. So Services didn't make the installment payment that was due at year-end; correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And I just want to make sure that I have this right. Is it – is it the corporate obligors' – those three corporate obligors' contention that one of the reasons they didn't make the payments at the end of the year is that they were relying on Highland to make the payment for them? A. Absolutely. Q. Okay. A. It was due course de minimis, and those entities didn't have a single employee or capable financial person other than the people at Highland that were doing the shared services 	 DONDERO - 10/29/21 in December 2020. Is that your testimony? A. I was thinking about HCRE and Services had zero employees. NexPoint had employees but none that were involved in basic accounting functions. Q. Okay. And – and there are people, including yourself, who were officers or employees of NexPoint in December 2020; correct? A. Yes. Q. And HCRE had officers in December 2020, including you; correct? A. Yes. Officers, yes. Q. And Services had officers in December 2020, including you; correct? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I think in summary form, to be fair, I think we have identified one of the defenses for these three corporate obligors. Two of them have the defense of prepayment; right? A. Yes. 	Page 459

Caase21060065550000148634FHdd012061221 Elatered012061221184187432 Page751 of 899

1 DONDERO - 102321 1 DONDERO - 102321 2 Doyuhae fat - do have fat 2 Contentious with you. We have identified one 3 right? 3 defense that isse corporate obligors contends. 4 A Yes. 4 exists; and that is, Highland was supposed to 5 0. Which of the other two, remind me? 5 make the payment. Fair? 6 A Sentos. 6 A 7 0. Okcy, So NaxPoint and Services have 7 0. And then we have identified a second 8 the defense of preayment. Are there any other 9 their defense of their they pagnid. 10 corporate obligors dicht make the annual 10 Do have that generally right? 11 installment payment that was due at the end of 11 A Yes. 13 MS. DETISCH-PEREZ. Object to the 16 MS. DETISCH-PEREZ. Object to the 16 14 free significanco or the anyout. 116 A. Again, they - they should have been paid. 20 Decomber of 2020 to make the installment anyout in the anyother 14 orting in thei	[Page 46)		Page 461
3 defines that these corporate oblights contends 4 A Yes. 4 5 0. Which of the other two, remind me? 5 6 A. Services. 6 7 0. Okay, So NexPoint and Services have 7 8 the defense of programmet. Are there any other 8 9 coporate oblights diafit make the annual 10 10 coporate oblights diafit make the annual 10 11 restores that you know of that these there of 11 12 they and 12 0. Cany ou describe forme any other 13 defenses that they prepaid. 10 Do have that generally right? 14 from. 14 A Yes. 14 15 A gain, they - they should have been 15 the aning the payment that was due at 16 regular course. Those payments – using the 17 form. 17 word bayment's alkins tills an overstatement. 17 form. 18 off the significance or the anount. If the 18 A. I'm thinking, Not at the moment. 19 enclet of the larger anounce forme phy thy 20 becenter of 2020 t			1		
4 A Yes. 4 exists: and that is, Highland was supposed to 5 0. Which of the other two, remind me? 5 make the payment. Fair? 6 A. Stavioss. 6 A. Yes. 7 0. Okay. So Ne3/Ohit and Services have 7 0. And then we have identified a second 8 the defense of hysel/Ohit and Keshon and HCANS, and that is 9 9 their defense that they prepaid. 10 coporate obligons dint make the annual 10 Do I have that generally right? 11 11 insultance many other 13 defenses for NexPoint and HCANS, and that is 9 12 heysen? 12 O. Canyou describe for me any other 13 13 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 13 defenses for NexPoint and HCANS, and the is and the annual. 16 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 14 have for notation for enterion and were in an end of the year? 16 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 17 form. 13 anounts were small in all cases, they should 18 A. Im thinking. Not at the moment. 18 A. Im thinking. Not at the moment. 19 poutentstocation nonention on	2 Do yo	u have that do I have that	2	-	
5 Q. Which of the other two, remind me? 5 make the payment. Fai? 6 A. Services. 6 A Yes. 7 Q. Okay. So NexPoint and Services have 7 Q. And then we have identified a second 8 the defense of prepayment. Are there any other 8 defense for NexPoint and HCMS, and that is 9 reasons that you know of that these three 9 their defense for NexPoint and HCMS, and that is 9 reasons that you know of that these three 9 their defense that two prepaid. 10 coporate obligors duffit make the ennual 10 Do I have that generally right? 11 nA. Yes. 11 A. Yes. 12 the yam? 13 defenses that these three coporate obligors 14 naw to make the installment the safet the significance or the amount. If the 18 the significance or the amount. If the 19 anout see main and lacase, they should 19 Q. Okay. Didy our lake any steps to 20 have been made or they should have been paid, 20 December of 2020 to make the installment 21 hey make the larger mounts of money that 21 Beyments that were due on December 2020 to make sure. 23 hey make the payments 3 tookany steps in December 2020 to make sure. 24 form,	3 right?		3		
6 A. Services. 6 A. Yes. 7 Q. Okay. So Nex-Point and Services have 7 Q. And them we have identified a second 8 the defense of prepayment. Are there any other 9 before the they prepaid. 10 coprorate oblights durit makes the annual 10 Do I have that generally right? 11 installment payment that was due at the end of 11 A. Yes. 12 they ser? Q. Can you describe form early other 13 MS. DETSCH-PEREZ. Object to the 11 A. Yes. 14 form. 14 have for not making the payment that was due at 15 A. Again, they – they should have been 15 the and of the year? 16 insiguar curse. Those payments – using the 16 MS. DETSCH-PEREZ. Object to the 17 word payment is almose title an overstatement 17 form. 18 A. I'm thinking. Not at the moment. 19 arouts were small in al cases, they should 19 Q. Ckay. Did you instruct anyone in 21 payments that were due observibe 31st under 21 even in the context of contention and even in 21 payments that were due observibe 31st under 23	4 A. Yes.		4	-	
7 Q. Okay, So NexPoint and Services have 7 Q. And then we have identified a second 8 the defense for prepayment. Are there any other 8 defense for NexPoint and HCMS, and that is 10 coporate obligors didn't make the annual 10 Do I have that generally right? 11 Installment payment that was due at the end of 11 A. Yes. 12 the year? 12 Q. Can you describe for me any other 13 MS. DETISCH-PEREZ. Object to the 13 defenses that these three coporate obligors 14 There year? 12 Q. Can you describe for me any other 15 A. Again, they – they should have been 15 the end of the year? 16 In regular course. Those payments – using the 16 MS. DETISCH-PEREZ. Object to the 16 in equilar course. Those payments – using the 18 Oblex been mode of they should have been pad, 19 amounts were small in al cases, they should 19 Q. Okay. Dd you instruct anyone in 14 the significance or the amount. If the 18 A. Irm tinking, Net at the moment. 19 amounts were small in al cases, they should 19 Docousinstruct anyone in	5 Q. Whic	ch of the other two, remind me?	5	make the payment. Fair?	
8 defense for VexPont and HCMS, and that is 9 reasons that you know of that these three 9 10 corporate obligors din't make the annual 10 Do I have that generally right? 11 installment payment that was due at the end of 11 A. Yes. 12 De (any our describe for me any other 13 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 13 defenses that these three corporate obligors 14 form. 14 have for not making the payment that was due at 15 A. Again, they – they should have been 15 the end of the year? 16 in regular course. Those payments – using the 16 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 17 word "payment" is almost like an overstatement 17 form. 1 19 anouths were small in all cases, they should 19 Q. Okay. Did you insknut any non. 20 21 even in the context of contention and even in 21 payments that were due on December 31st under 23 Highland word inse der may should have ben paid. 23 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 24 Mon. 24 Q. Orbits - Inity asha shing a prothy 24 Compa	6 A. Servi	ices.	6	A. Yes.	
9 reasons that you know of that these three 9 their defense that they prepaid. 10 corporate obligors didn't make the annual 10 D I have that generally right? 11 installment payment that was due at the end of 11 A. Yes. 12 they sear? 12 Q. Can you describe form any other 13 MS. DETISCH-PEREZ: Object to the 13 defenses that these three corporate obligors 14 form. 14 have for not making the payment that was due at 15 A. Again, they - they should have been 15 the end of the year? 16 inregular course. Those payments - using the 16 MS. DETISCH-PEREZ: Object to the 17 word "payment" is almost like an overstatement 17 form. 18 of the significance or the amount. If the 18 A. I'm thinking. Not at the moment. 19 amounts were small in all cases, they should 20 December of 2020 to make the installment 21 the oritext of noney that 21 generative the installment 21 23 high ind oword in a data may reper to a stander 23 MS. DETISCH-PEREZ: Object to the 24 Q. Tin just asking a pretty 24 form, asked and answered. 25 25 sinple question, sint. I don't mean t	7 Q. Okay	y. So NexPoint and Services have	7	Q. And then we have identified a second	
10 Do Do have that generally right? 11 installment payment that was due at the end of 11 A Yes. 13 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 13 defenses that these three corporate obligors 14 form. 14 have for not making the payment that was due at the 15 A. Again, they – they should have been 15 the end of the year? 16 in regular course. Those payments – using the 16 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 17 word 'payment' is almost like an overstatement 17 form. 19 anounts were small in all cases, they should have been paid, 20 December of 2020 to make the installment 21 even in the context of contention and even in 21 payments that were due on December 31 st under 22 the context of the larger amounts of money that 22 these three term notes? 23 simple question, sir. I don't mean to be 25 A. No. 24 Q. Thy ist – I'm just – Sites to 2 corporate obligors under the term notes ever 3 corporate due under these three term notes at 4 that Highland would, in fact, make the payments 3 thear of the	8 the defense of	of prepayment. Are there any other	8	defense for NexPoint and HCMS, and that is	
11 instalment payment that was due at the end of 11 A. Yes. 12 the year? 12 Q. Can you describe for me any other 13 MS.DETSCH-PEREZ. Object to the 13 defenses that these three corporate obligors 14 have for not making the payment that was due at 15 A. Again, theythey should have been 15 15 A. Again, theythey should have been 16 MS.DETSCH-PEREZ. Object to the 16 17 ord 'payment' is almost like an overstatement 17 form. 18 A. I'm thinking. Not at the moment. 19 anounts were smail in all cases, they should 19 Q. Okay. Did you instruct anyone in 20 have been made or they should have been paid, 20 December of 2020 to make the instalment 21 even in the asking a pretty 21 Binglinad owed us. 23 MS.DETSCH-PEREZ. Object to the 23 implications and even in 22 MS.DETSCH-PEREZ. Object to the 24 form, asked and answered. 24 Q. Thuist -Thilust asking a pretty 24 form, asked and answered. 25 A. No. 25 Q. Okay. Did you take any steps to 3 took any s	9 reasons that	you know of that these three	9	their defense that they prepaid.	
12 the year? 12 Q. Can you describe for me any other 13 MS. DETTSCH-PEREZ. Object to the 13 defenses that these three compound boligors 14 have for not making the payment hat was due at 15 he and for the significance or the amount. If the 16 MS. DETTSCH-PEREZ. Object to the 17 word "payment's almost like an overstatement 17 form. 18 A. Tim thinking, Not at the moment. 18 of the significance or the amount. If the 18 A. I'm thinking, Not at the moment. 19 Q. Okay, Dd you instruct anyone in 10 have been made or they should have been paid. 20 December of 2020 to make the instalment 21 next been made or they should have been paid. 20 December of 2020 to make the instalment 22 the context of the larger amounts of money that 22 22 24 form, asked and answered. 23 simple question sin . I don't mean to be 25 A. No. Page 463 2 Q. Okay. Did you take any steps to 3 took any steps in December 2020 to make sure 4 that Highland would, in fact, make the payments took any steps in December 2020 to make sure 4 4 <td>10 corporate ob</td> <td>ligors didn't make the annual</td> <td>10</td> <td>Do I have that generally right?</td> <td></td>	10 corporate ob	ligors didn't make the annual	10	Do I have that generally right?	
13 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 13 defenses that these three corporate obligors 14 form. 14 have for not making the payment that was due at 15 A. Again, they – they should have been 15 the end of the year? 16 in regular course. Those payments – using the 16 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 17 word "payment" is almost like an overstatement 17 form. 18 of the significance or the awount. If the 18 A. Im thinking. Not at the moment. 19 amounts were small in all cases, they should 19 Q. Okay. Did you instruct anyone in 20 have been made or they should have been paid. 20 December of 2020 to make the installment 21 even in the context of forhe larger amounts of money that 22 these three term notes? 23 Highland owed us. 23 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 24 1 DONDERO - 10/29/21 Page 463 1 DONDERO - 10/29/21 Page 463 2 Q. Okay. Did you take any steps to 3 took any steps in December 2020 to make sure 4 4 that were due under these three term notes at 4 t	11 installment p	ayment that was due at the end of	11	A. Yes.	
14 form. 14 have for not making the payment that was due at 15 A Again, they they should have been 15 the end of the year? 16 in regular course. Those payments using the 16 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 17 word "payment" is almost like an overstatement 17 form. 18 of the significance or the amount. If the 18 A. I'm thinking. Not at the moment. 19 anounts were small in all cases, they should 19 Q. Okay, Did you instruct anyone in 20 have been made or they should have been paid, 20 December of 2020 to make the installment 21 heven in the context of contention and even in 21 payments that were due on December 31st under 22 the context of contention and even in 21 payments that were due on dreschere 31st under 23 Highland oved us. 23 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 24 1 DONDERO - 1029/21 Page 482 1 DONDERO - 1029/21 Page 483 24 Q. Okay, Did you take any steps to 3 took any steps in December 2020 to make sure 4 4 that were due under these threa term notes at <td< td=""><td>12 the year?</td><td></td><td>12</td><td></td><td></td></td<>	12 the year?		12		
15 A Again, they – they should have been 15 the end of the year? 16 in regular course. Those payments – using the 16 MS. DETSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 17 word "payment" is almost like an overstatement 17 form. 18 of the significance or the amount. If the 18 A. I'm thinking. Not at the moment. 19 amounts were small hall cases, they should 19 Q. Okay. Did you instruct arryone in 20 heve been made or they should have been paid, 20 December of 2020 to make the installment 21 even in the context of orontention and even in 21 payments that were due on December 31st under 22 the context of the larger amounts of money that 22 these three term notes? 23 Highland owed us. 23 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 24 Q. Thm just – fm just asking a pretty 24 form. asked and answered. 25 simple question, sir. I don't mean to be 25 A. No. 20 Okay. Did you take any steps to 3 took any steps in December 2020 to make sure 3 took any steps in December 2020 to make the payments 5 that were due under theset there payments at the Highl	13 MS. [DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	13	defenses that these three corporate obligors	
16 in regular course. Those payments – using the 16 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 17 word "payment" is almost like an overstatement 17 from. 18 of the significance on the anount. If the 18 A. I'm thinking. Not at the moment. 19 anounts were small in all cases, they should 19 Q. Okay. Did you instruct anyone in 20 have been made or they should have been paid. 20 December of 2020 to make the installment 21 even in the context of ontention and even in 21 bases three term notes? 23 Highland owed us. 23 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 24 Q. Orn just – Tim just asking a pretty 25 A. No. 25 simple question, sir. I don't mean to be 25 A. No. 26 Q. Okay. Did you take any steps to 3 tock any steps in December 2020 to make sure 3 that were due under these three term notes at 5 the any due aday the first I 3 beend of the year? 5 that were due at year-ent? 6 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 6 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 7 form. 7 for	14 form.		14	have for not making the payment that was due at	
17 word "payment" is almost like an overstatement 17 form. 18 of the significance or the amount. If the 18 A I'm thinking. Not at the moment. 19 anounts were small hall all asses, they should 19 Q. Okay, Did you instruct anyone in 20 have been made or they should have been paid, 20 December of 2020 to make the installment 21 even in the context of contention and even in 21 payments that were due on December 31st under 22 the context of the larger amounts of money that 22 these three term notes? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 23 Highland owed us. 23 A No. Page 463 24 Q. Nay, Did you take any steps to 3 took any steps in December 2020 to make sure 3 confirm that Highland would make the payments 3 took any steps in December 2020 to make sure 4 that were due under these three term notes at 4 that Highland would, in fact, make the payments 5 the end of the year? 6 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 7 6 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 7 form. 7 7 form.<	-		15	the end of the year?	
18 of the significance or the amount. If the 18 A. I'm thinking. Not at the moment. 19 amounts were small in all cases, they should 19 Q. Okay. Did you instruct anyone in 20 have been made or they should have been paid, 20 December of 2020 to make the instalment 21 even in the context of the larger amounts of money that 21 payments that were due on December 31st under 22 the context of the larger amounts of money that 22 these three term notes? 23 Highland owed us. 23 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 24 Q. I'm just - I'm just asking a pretty 24 form, asked and answered. 25 simple question, sir. I don't mean to be 25 A. No. 7 Q. Okay. Did you take any steps to 3 took any steps in December 2020 to make sure 3 that were due under these three term notes at 4 that Highland would, in fact, make the payments 5 the end of the year? 6 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 6 7 form. 8 A. No. there was a reliance on 9 9 heard about it was a week or two later. And I 9 Highland.	16 in regular co	urse. Those payments – using the	16	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	
19 amounts were small in all cases, they should 19 Q. Okay. Did you instruct anyone in 20 have been made or they should have been paid, 20 December of 2020 to make the installment 21 even in the context of the larger amounts of money that 21 payments that were due on December 31st under 23 Highland owed us. 23 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 24 Q. Trij List – Trij List asking a pretty 25 A. No. 25 simple question, sir. I don't mean to be 25 A. No. 1 DONDERO - 10/29/21 Page 463 1 DONDERO - 10/29/21 Page 463 2 Q. Okay. Did you take any steps to 3 took any steps in December 2020 to make sure 4 3 that were due under these three term notes at 4 that Highland would, in fact, make the payments 5 4 that were due under these three term notes at 5 that were due at year-end? 6 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 7 form. 3 A. No. Itestified already the first I 8 A. No, there was a reliance on 9 Highland. 0 Q. Okay. Isi it your testimony that 11 10 Q. Okay	17 word "payme	ent" is almost like an overstatement	17	form.	
20 have been made or they should have been paid, 20 December of 2020 to make the installment 21 even in the context of contention and even in 21 payments that were due on December 31st under 22 the context of the larger amounts of money that 22 these three term notes? 23 Highland owed us. 23 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 24 Q. I'm just – I'm just asking a pretty 24 form, asked and answered. 25 simple question, sir. I don't mean to be 25 A. No. 1 DONDERO - 10/29/21 2 corporate obligors under the term notes ever 3 toot, any steps in December 2020 to make the payments 3 took any steps in December 2020 to make use 4 that were due under these three term notes at 4 that Highland would, in fact, make the payments 5 the end of the year? 5 that were due at year-end? 6 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 7 form. 7 form. 7 form. 7 8 A. No. Itestified already the first I 8 A. No, there was a reliance on 9 heard about it was a week or two later. And I	18 of the signific	cance or the amount. If the	18	A. I'm thinking. Not at the moment.	
21 even in the context of fortention and even in 21 payments that were due on December 31st under 22 the context of the larger amounts of money that 22 these three term notes? 23 MS, DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 23 MS, DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 24 Q. I'm just – I'm just asking a pretty 24 form, asked and answered. 25 simple question, sir. I don't mean to be 25 A. No. 2 Q. Okay. Did you take any steps to 3 took any steps in December 2020 to make sure 3 confirm that Highland would make the payments 3 took any steps in December 2020 to make sure 4 that were due under these three term notes at 5 the under the set me term notes at 5 the end of the year? 6 MS, DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 6 6 MS, DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 7 form. 7 8 A. No. Itestified already the first 1 8 A. No, here was a reliance on 9 9 heard about it was a week or two later. And 1 9 Highland. 10 Q. Okay. Is it your testimony that 11 sure they got paid and make sure they were back <	19 amounts we	re small in all cases, they should	19	Q. Okay. Did you instruct anyone in	
22 the context of the larger amounts of money that 22 these three term notes? 23 Highland owed us. 23 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 24 0. I'm just - I'm just asking a pretty 24 form, asked and answered. 25 A. No. 25 A. No. 1 DONDERO - 10/29/21 Page 463 2 0. Okay. Did you take any steps to 3 took any steps in December 2020 to make sure 4 that Were due under these three term notes at 5 that Were due under these three term notes at 5 5 the end of the year? 6 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 7 6 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 7 form. 8 A. No. there was a reliance on 9 heard about it was a week or two later. And I 10 Q. Okay. Is it your testimony that 11 10 called up Frank and confirmed with him to make 12 under the notes at year-end without being 13 Q. Okay. 13 directed by a representative of the three 14 corporate obligors? 15 everything after the word "no." 15 A. Yes. It is my contention that that	20 have been m	nade or they should have been paid,	20	December of 2020 to make the installment	
23 Highland owed us. 23 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 24 0. I'm just – I'm just asking a pretty 24 form, asked and answered. 25 simple question, sir. I don't mean to be 25 A. No. 1 DONDERO - 10/29/21 1 DONDERO - 10/29/21 Page 463 2 Q. Okay. Did you take any steps to 3 took any steps in December 2020 to make sure 4 that were due under these three term notes at 4 that Were due under these three term notes at 4 5 the of the year? 5 that were due under these three term notes at 4 6 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 6 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 6 7 form. 8 A. No. Itestified already the first I 8 A. No, there was a reliance on 9 heard about it was a week or two later. And I 10 Q. Okay. 10 Q. Okay. 11 sure they got paid and make sure they were back 11 Highland. 10 Q. Okay. 12 in compliance. 12 under the notes at year-end without being 13 Q. Okay. 13 directed	21 even in the c	context of contention and even in	21	payments that were due on December 31st under	
24 Q. I'm just – I'm just asking a pretty 24 form, asked and answered. 25 simple question, sir. I don't mean to be 25 A. No. 1 DONDERO - 10/29/21 Page 463 2 Q. Okay. Did you take any steps to 3 cooffmit that Highland would make the payments 4 that were due under these three term notes at 4 that were due under these three term notes at 5 5 the end of the year? 5 that were due at year-end? 6 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 7 form. 8 A. No. Itestified already the first I 8 A. No, there was a reliance on 9 heard about it was a week or two later. And I 9 Highland. 10 Q. Okay. Is it your testimony that 11 sure they got paid and make sure they were back 11 Highland. 10 Q. Okay. Is it your testimony that 11 sure they got paid and make sure they were back 11 Highland was authorized to make the payments 13 Q. Okay. 11 opporate obligors? 12 under the notes at year-end without being 13 Q. Okay. 13 directed by a representative of the three	22 the context of	of the larger amounts of money that	22	these three term notes?	
25 simple question, sir. I don't mean to be 25 A. No. 1 DONDERO - 10/29/21 1 DONDERO - 10/29/21 Page 463 2 Q. Okay. Did you take any steps to 3 confirm that Highland would make the payments 4 4 that were due under these three term notes at 5 that were due under these three term notes at 4 that Were due under these three term notes at 5 that were due at year-end? 6 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 6 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 7 form. 8 A. No, there was a reliance on 9 Highland. 9 Highland. 10 Q. Okay. Is it your testimony that 1 Highland. 10 Q. Okay. So and make sure they were back 11 Highland was authorized to make the payments 12 in compliance. 12 under the notes at year-end without being 13 3 Q. Okay. 13 dic cotect by a representative of the three 14 MR. MORRIS: I move to strike 11 sufficient by a representative of the three 14 corporate obligors? 15 A. Yes. It is my contention that that 16 is how it worked in prior years also. 17 Q. And so you believe	23 Highland ow	ed us.	23	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	
Page 462 Page 462 1 DONDERO - 10/29/21 Page 463 2 Q. Okay. Did you take any steps to 2 corporate obligors under the term notes ever 3 confirm that Highland would make the payments 3 took any steps in December 2020 to make sure 4 that were due under these three term notes at 4 that Highland would, in fact, make the payments 5 the end of the year? 6 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 6 7 form. 8 A. No. I testfied already the first I 8 A. No, there was a reliance on 9 heard about it was a week or two later. And I 10 Q. Okay. Is it your testimony that 11 sure they got paid and make sure they were back 11 Highland. 12 in compliance. 12 under the notes at year-end without being 13 Q. Okay. 13 directed by a representative of the three 14 MR. MORRIS: I move to strike 14 corporate obligors? 15 everything after the word "no." 15 A. Yes. It is my contention that that 16 Q. Do you know whether anybody on 17 A. Mos beignes also.	24 Q. l'mj	ust – I'm just asking a pretty	24	form, asked and answered.	
1 DONDERO - 10/29/21 1 DONDERO - 10/29/21 2 Q. Okay. Did you take any steps to 2 corporate obligors under the term notes ever 3 confirm that Highland would make the payments 3 took any steps in December 2020 to make sure 4 that were due under these three term notes at 4 that Highland would, in fact, make the payments 5 the end of the year? 5 that were due at year-end? 6 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 6 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 7 form. 8 A. No. there was a reliance on 9 heard about it was a week or two later. And I 9 Highland. 10 called up Frank and confirmed with him to make 10 Q. Okay. Is it your testimony that 11 sure they got paid and make sure they were back 11 Highland. 12 in compliance. 12 under the notes at year-end without being 13 Q. Okay. 13 directed by a representative of the three 14 MR. MORRIS: I move to strike 14 corporate obligors? 15 everything after the word "no." 15 A. Yes. It is my contention that tha	25 simple quest	tion, sir. I don't mean to be	25	A. No.	
2 Q. Okay. Did you take any steps to 2 corporate obligors under the term notes ever 3 confirm that Highland would make the payments 3 took any steps in December 2020 to make sure 4 that were due under these three term notes at 4 that Highland would, in fact, make the payments 5 the end of the year? 5 that were due at year-end? 6 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 6 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 7 form. 7 form. 8 A. No. I testified already the first I 8 A. No, there was a reliance on 9 heard about it was a week or two later. And I 9 Highland. 10 Q. Okay. Is it your testimony that 11 sure they got paid and make sure they were back 11 Highland was authorized to make the payments 12 in compliance. 12 under the notes at year-end without being 13 Q. Okay. 13 directed by a representative of the three 14 MR. MORRIS: I move to strike 14 corporate obligors? 15 everything after the word "no." 15 A. Yes, it is my contention that that 16 Q. Doyou know whether	4				Page 463
3 confirm that Highland would make the payments 3 took any steps in December 2020 to make sure 4 that were due under these three term notes at 4 that Highland would, in fact, make the payments 5 the end of the year? 5 that Were due at year-end? 6 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 6 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 7 form. 8 A. No. I testified already the first I 8 A. No, there was a reliance on 9 heard about it was a week or two later. And I 9 Highland. 0 Q. Okay. Is it your testimony that 11 sure they got paid and make sure they were back 11 Highland. 10 Q. Okay. Is it your testimony that 12 in compliance. 12 under the notes at year-end without being 13 13 Q. Okay. 13 directed by a representative of the three 14 MR. MORRIS: I move to strike 14 corporate obligors? 15 everything after the word "no." 15 A. Yes. It is my contention that that 16 Q. Do you know whether anybody on 16 is how it worked in prior years also. 17 behalf of any of					
4 that were due under these three term notes at 4 that Highland would, in fact, make the payments 5 the end of the year? 5 that were due at year-end? 6 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 6 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 7 form. 8 A. No. Itsettified already the first I 8 A. No, there was a reliance on 9 heard about it was a week or two later. And I 9 Highland. 10 called up Frank and confirmed with him to make 10 Q. Okay. Is it your testimony that 11 sure they got paid and make sure they were back 11 Highland. 12 in compliance. 12 under the notes at year-end without being 13 Q. Okay. 13 directed by a representative of the three 14 MR.MORRIS: I move to strike 14 corporate obligors? 15 everything after the word "no." 15 A. Yes. It is my contention that that 16 op you know whether anybody on 16 is how it worked in prior years also. 17 behalf of any of the three corporate obligors 17 Q. And so you believe that nobody on 18 under the te					
5 the end of the year? 5 that were due at year-end? 6 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 6 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the 7 form. 7 form. 8 A. No. I testified already the first I 8 A. No, there was a reliance on 9 heard about it was a week or two later. And I 9 Highland. 10 called up Frank and confirmed with him to make 10 Q. Okay. Is it your testimony that 11 sure they got paid and make sure they were back 11 Highland was authorized to make the payments 12 in compliance. 12 under the notes at year-end without being 13 Q. Okay. 13 directed by a representative of the three 14 MR. MORRIS: I move to strike 14 corporate obligors? 15 everything after the word "no." 15 A. Yes. It is my contention that that 16 Q. Do you know whether anybody on 16 is how it worked in prior years also. 17 behalf of any of the three corporate obligors 17 Q. And so you believe that nobody on 18 under the payments under the and of the 19 authorized or direct					
6MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the6MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the7form.7form.8A. No. I testified already the first I8A. No, there was a reliance on9heard about it was a week or two later. And I9Highland.10called up Frank and confirmed with him to make10Q. Okay. Is it your testimony that11sure they got paid and make sure they were back11Highland was authorized to make the payments12in compliance.12under the notes at year-end without being13Q. Okay.13directed by a representative of the three14MR. MORRIS: I move to strike14corporate obligors?15everything after the word "no."15A. Yes. It is my contention that that16Q. Do you know whether anybody on16is how it worked in prior years also.17behalf of any of the three corporate obligors17Q. And so you believe that nobody on18under the payments under them at the end of the19authorized or directed Highland to20year?20payments but that Highland did it without –21MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the21without direction?22form.22MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the23A. Not before the end of the year, no.23form.24Q. Okay. And do you know whether24A. Yes, typically. And in 2017 or					
7form.7form.8A. No. Itestified already the first I8A. No, there was a reliance on9heard about it was a week or two later. And I9Highland.10called up Frank and confirmed with him to make10Q. Okay. Is it your testimony that11sure they got paid and make sure they were back11Highland was authorized to make the payments12in compliance.12under the notes at year-end without being13Q. Okay.13directed by a representative of the three14MR. MORRIS: I move to strike14corporate obligors?15everything after the word "no."15A. Yes. It is my contention that that16Q. Do you know whether anybody on16is how it worked in prior years also.17behalf of any of the three corporate obligors17Q. And so you believe that nobody on18under the term notes ever directed Highland to18behalf of any of the corporate obligors ever19make the payments under them at the end of the19authorized or directed Highland to make the20year?20payments but that Highland did it without –21MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the21without direction?22form.22MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the23A. Not before the end of the year, no.23form.24Q. Okay. And do you know whether24A. Yes, typically. And in 2017 or				-	
8 A. No. I testified already the first I 8 A. No, there was a reliance on 9 heard about it was a week or two later. And I 9 Highland. 10 called up Frank and confirmed with him to make 10 Q. Okay. Is it your testimony that 11 sure they got paid and make sure they were back 11 Highland was authorized to make the payments 12 in compliance. 12 under the notes at year-end without being 13 Q. Okay. 13 directed by a representative of the three 14 MR. MORRIS: I move to strike 14 corporate obligors? 15 everything after the word "no." 15 A. Yes. It is my contention that that 16 Q. Do you know whether anybody on 16 is how it worked in prior years also. 17 behalf of any of the three corporate obligors 17 Q. And so you believe that nobody on 18 under the term notes ever directed Highland to 18 behalf of any of the corporate obligors ever 19 make the payments under them at the end of the 19 authorized or directed Highland to make the 20 year? 20 payments but that Highland did it without – <t< td=""><td></td><td>DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the</td><td></td><td>-</td><td></td></t<>		DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the		-	
9heard about it was a week or two later. And I9Highland.10called up Frank and confirmed with him to make10Q. Okay. Is it your testimony that11sure they got paid and make sure they were back11Highland was authorized to make the payments12in compliance.12under the notes at year-end without being13Q. Okay.13directed by a representative of the three14MR. MORRIS: I move to strike14corporate obligors?15everything after the word "no."15A. Yes. It is my contention that that16Q. Do you know whether anybody on16is how it worked in prior years also.17behalf of any of the three corporate obligors17Q. And so you believe that nobody on18under the term notes ever directed Highland to18behalf of any of the corporate obligors ever19make the payments under them at the end of the19authorized or directed Highland to make the20year?20payments but that Highland did it without –21MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the21without direction?22form.22MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the23A. Not before the end of the year, no.23form.24Q. Okay. And do you know whether24A. Yes, typically. And in 2017 or					
10called up Frank and confirmed with him to make10Q.Okay. Is it your testimony that11sure they got paid and make sure they were back11Highland was authorized to make the payments12in compliance.12under the notes at year-end without being13Q.Okay.13directed by a representative of the three14MR. MORRIS: I move to strike14corporate obligors?15everything after the word "no."15A.Yes. It is my contention that that16Q.Do you know whether anybody on16is how it worked in prior years also.17behalf of any of the three corporate obligors17Q.And so you believe that nobody on18under the term notes ever directed Highland to18behalf of any of the corporate obligors ever19make the payments under them at the end of the19authorized or directed Highland to make the20year?20payments but that Highland did it without –21MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the21without direction?22form.22MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the23A.Not before the end of the year, no.23form.24Q.Okay. And do you know whether24A.Yes, typically. And in 2017 or		•			
11sure they got paid and make sure they were back11Highland was authorized to make the payments12in compliance.12under the notes at year-end without being13Q. Okay.13directed by a representative of the three14MR. MORRIS: I move to strike14corporate obligors?15everything after the word "no."15A. Yes. It is my contention that that16Q. Do you know whether anybody on16is how it worked in prior years also.17behalf of any of the three corporate obligors17Q. And so you believe that nobody on18under the term notes ever directed Highland to18behalf of any of the corporate obligors ever19make the payments under them at the end of the19authorized or directed Highland to make the20year?20payments but that Highland did it without –21MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the21without direction?22form.22MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the23A. Not before the end of the year, no.23form.24Q. Okay. And do you know whether24A. Yes, typically. And in 2017 or				-	
12in compliance.12under the notes at year-end without being13Q. Okay.13directed by a representative of the three14MR. MORRIS: I move to strike14corporate obligors?15everything after the word "no."15A. Yes. It is my contention that that16Q. Do you know whether anybody on16is how it worked in prior years also.17behalf of any of the three corporate obligors17Q. And so you believe that nobody on18under the term notes ever directed Highland to18behalf of any of the corporate obligors ever19make the payments under them at the end of the19authorized or directed Highland to make the20year?20payments but that Highland did it without -21MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the21without direction?22form.22MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the23A. Not before the end of the year, no.23form.24Q. Okay. And do you know whether24A. Yes, typically. And in 2017 or					
13Q. Okay.13directed by a representative of the three14MR. MORRIS: I move to strike14corporate obligors?15everything after the word "no."15A. Yes. It is my contention that that16Q. Do you know whether anybody on16is how it worked in prior years also.17behalf of any of the three corporate obligors17Q. And so you believe that nobody on18under the term notes ever directed Highland to18behalf of any of the corporate obligors ever19make the payments under them at the end of the19authorized or directed Highland to make the20year?20payments but that Highland did it without –21MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the21without direction?22form.22MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the2323A. Not before the end of the year, no.23form.24Q. Okay. And do you know whether24A. Yes, typically. And in 2017 or					
14MR. MORRIS: I move to strike14corporate obligors?15everything after the word "no."15A. Yes. It is my contention that that16Q. Do you know whether anybody on16is how it worked in prior years also.17behalf of any of the three corporate obligors17Q. And so you believe that nobody on18under the term notes ever directed Highland to18behalf of any of the corporate obligors ever19make the payments under them at the end of the19authorized or directed Highland to make the20year?20payments but that Highland did it without –21MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the21without direction?22form.22MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the23A. Not before the end of the year, no.23form.24Q. Okay. And do you know whether24A. Yes, typically. And in 2017 or	· ·				
15everything after the word "no."15A. Yes. It is my contention that that16Q. Do you know whether anybody on16is how it worked in prior years also.17behalf of any of the three corporate obligors17Q. And so you believe that nobody on18under the term notes ever directed Highland to18behalf of any of the corporate obligors ever19make the payments under them at the end of the19authorized or directed Highland to make the20year?20payments but that Highland did it without –21MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the21without direction?22form.22MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the23A. Not before the end of the year, no.23form.24Q. Okay. And do you know whether24A. Yes, typically. And in 2017 or		-			
16Q. Do you know whether anybody on16is how it worked in prior years also.17behalf of any of the three corporate obligors17Q. And so you believe that nobody on18under the term notes ever directed Highland to18behalf of any of the corporate obligors ever19make the payments under them at the end of the19authorized or directed Highland to make the20year?20payments but that Highland did it without –21MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the21without direction?22form.22MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the23A. Not before the end of the year, no.23form.24Q. Okay. And do you know whether24A. Yes, typically. And in 2017 or		-			
17behalf of any of the three corporate obligors17Q. And so you believe that nobody on18under the term notes ever directed Highland to18behalf of any of the corporate obligors ever19make the payments under them at the end of the19authorized or directed Highland to make the20year?20payments but that Highland did it without –21MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the21without direction?22form.22MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the23A. Not before the end of the year, no.23form.24Q. Okay. And do you know whether24A. Yes, typically. And in 2017 or		-			
18under the term notes ever directed Highland to18behalf of any of the corporate obligors ever19make the payments under them at the end of the19authorized or directed Highland to make the20year?20payments but that Highland did it without –21MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the21without direction?22form.22MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the23A. Not before the end of the year, no.23form.24Q. Okay. And do you know whether24A. Yes, typically. And in 2017 or					
19 make the payments under them at the end of the19 authorized or directed Highland to make the20 year?20 payments but that Highland did it without –21MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the21 without direction?22form.22MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the23A. Not before the end of the year, no.23form.24Q. Okay. And do you know whether24A. Yes, typically. And in 2017 or					
20 year?20 payments but that Highland did it without –21MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the22form.23A. Not before the end of the year, no.24Q. Okay. And do you know whether24A. Yes, typically. And in 2017 or		•			
21MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the21without direction?22form.22MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the23A. Not before the end of the year, no.23form.24Q. Okay. And do you know whether24A. Yes, typically. And in 2017 or		yments under them at the end of the		-	
22form.22MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the23A. Not before the end of the year, no.23form.24Q. Okay. And do you know whether24A. Yes, typically. And in 2017 or					
23A.Not before the end of the year, no.23form.24Q.Okay. And do you know whether24A.Yes, typically. And in 2017 or		DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the			
24Q.Okay. And do you know whether24A.Yes, typically. And in 2017 or				-	
		•			
25 anybody acting on behalf of any of the three 25 2018, 2019, for sure.					
	25 anybody acti	ing on behalf of any of the three	25	2018, 2019, for sure.	

Case 2100005 sgp Dood 4003 4 Field 01206 221 Elatered 01206 2218 4 8 7 4 3 2 Page 7 5 2 of 8 9 9

Page 464		Page 465
1 DONDERO - 10/29/21	1 DONDERO - 10/29/21	Tuge 400
2 Q. Okay. We have looked at one – at	2 to notes that were held by Highland?	
3 one December 3rd letter. I mean, do you	3 A. No.	
4 remember that you also received a number of	4 Q. Do you recall this letter at all?	
5 letters on December 3rd demanding payment on	5 A. No, if I – if I had, I would have	
6 certain promissory notes?	6 made the forgiveness argument or I would have	
7 A. No.	7 told someone to make the forgiveness argument,	
8 Q. All right.	8 but I don't remember this at all.	
9 MR. MORRIS: Can we call up	9 Q. Okay. Is it fair to say that	
10 Exhibit 2, please. No, I apologize. Not	10 neither you nor anyone acting on behalf of	
11 Exhibit 2, Exhibit 4.	11 yourself, HCMS, or HCRE ever responded to any	
12 (Exhibit 4 marked.)	12 of the demand letters at the beginning of	
13 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Exhibit 4 in the	13 December 2020?	
14 notebook?	14 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	
15 MR. MORRIS: Yes, ma'am.	15 form.	
16 Okay. And now let's – let's go to	16 A. Yes, I don't – I don't know.	
17 the exhibits. Exhibit 2, Exhibit 3,	17 Q. You don't have any knowledge of	
18 Exhibit 4, Exhibit 5.	18 that; is that fair?	
19 Q. Do you see, sir, that this is a	19 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	
20 letter addressed to you on behalf of HCRE	20 form.	
21 Partners that is also dated December 3rd, 2020?	21 A. I don't know.	
22 A. Yes.	22 Q. And you don't have any knowledge of	
23 Q. Does that refresh your recollection	23 anybody responding to any demand letter that	
24 that you also received notices, demand notices	24 was sent to HCMFA; correct?	
25 on or around December 3rd, 2020, with respect	25 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	
D 400		
Page 466		Page 467
1 DONDERO - 10/29/21	1 DONDERO - 10/29/21	Page 467
1 DONDERO - 10/29/21 2 form.	2 on a note might send a demand letter to the	Page 467
1 DONDERO - 10/29/21 2 form. 3 A. HCMFA or Services?	2 on a note might send a demand letter to the3 treasurer of the maker of the note?	Page 467
1 DONDERO - 10/29/21 2 form. 3 A. HCMFA or Services? 4 Q. HCMFA?	 2 on a note might send a demand letter to the 3 treasurer of the maker of the note? 4 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to form. 	Page 467
1DONDERO - 10/29/212form.3A. HCMFA or Services?4Q. HCMFA?5A. I – I don't know. I don't have any	 on a note might send a demand letter to the treasurer of the maker of the note? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to form. A. I'm just saying they could have sent 	Page 467
1 DONDERO - 10/29/21 2 form. 3 A. HCMFA or Services? 4 Q. HCMFA? 5 A. I I don't know. I don't have any 6 knowledge.	 2 on a note might send a demand letter to the 3 treasurer of the maker of the note? 4 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to form. 5 A. I'm just saying they could have sent 6 the NexPoint letter or the Services letter to 	Page 467
1 DONDERO - 10/29/21 2 form. 3 A. HCMFA or Services? 4 Q. HCMFA? 5 A. I I don't know. I don't have any 6 knowledge. 7 MR. MORRIS: Can we put up	 2 on a note might send a demand letter to the 3 treasurer of the maker of the note? 4 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to form. 5 A. I'm just saying they could have sent 6 the NexPoint letter or the Services letter to 7 him also; right? 	Page 467
1 DONDERO - 10/29/21 2 form. 3 A. HCMFA or Services? 4 Q. HCMFA? 5 A. I – I don't know. I don't have any 6 knowledge. 7 MR. MORRIS: Can we put up 8 Exhibit 1, please.	 2 on a note might send a demand letter to the 3 treasurer of the maker of the note? 4 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to form. 5 A. I'm just saying they could have sent 6 the NexPoint letter or the Services letter to 7 him also; right? 8 Q. I don't – I think the NexPoint is 	Page 467
1 DONDERO - 10/29/21 2 form. 3 A. HCMFA or Services? 4 Q. HCMFA? 5 A. I – I don't know. I don't have any 6 knowledge. 7 MR. MORRIS: Can we put up 8 Exhibit 1, please. 9 (Exhibit 1 marked.)	 2 on a note might send a demand letter to the 3 treasurer of the maker of the note? 4 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to form. 5 A. I'm just saying they could have sent 6 the NexPoint letter or the Services letter to 7 him also; right? 8 Q. I don't – I think the NexPoint is 9 only a term note; right? So there is no demand 	Page 467
1 DONDERO - 10/29/21 2 form. 3 A. HCMFA or Services? 4 Q. HCMFA? 5 A. I I don't know. I don't have any 6 knowledge. 7 MR. MORRIS: Can we put up 8 Exhibit 1, please. 9 (Exhibit 1 marked.) 10 MR. MORRIS: We probably want to go	 2 on a note might send a demand letter to the 3 treasurer of the maker of the note? 4 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to form. 5 A. I'm just saying they could have sent 6 the NexPoint letter or the Services letter to 7 him also; right? 8 Q. I don't – I think the NexPoint is 9 only a term note; right? So there is no demand 10 letter. 	Page 467
1 DONDERO - 10/29/21 2 form. 3 A. HCMFA or Services? 4 Q. HCMFA? 5 A. I I don't know. I don't have any 6 knowledge. 7 MR. MORRIS: Can we put up 8 Exhibit 1, please. 9 (Exhibit 1 marked.) 10 MR. MORRIS: We probably want to go 11 to Exhibit 3 of that document.	 2 on a note might send a demand letter to the 3 treasurer of the maker of the note? 4 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to form. 5 A. I'm just saying they could have sent 6 the NexPoint letter or the Services letter to 7 him also; right? 8 Q. I don't – I think the NexPoint is 9 only a term note; right? So there is no demand 10 letter. 11 A. No, I know that. But whatever – 	Page 467
 DONDERO - 10/29/21 form. A. HCMFA or Services? Q. HCMFA? A. I I don't know. I don't have any knowledge. MR. MORRIS: Can we put up Exhibit 1, please. (Exhibit 1 marked.) MR. MORRIS: We probably want to go to Exhibit 3 of that document. Q. This one was sent to Mr. Waterhouse. 	 2 on a note might send a demand letter to the 3 treasurer of the maker of the note? 4 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to form. 5 A. I'm just saying they could have sent 6 the NexPoint letter or the Services letter to 7 him also; right? 8 Q. I don't – I think the NexPoint is 9 only a term note; right? So there is no demand 10 letter. 11 A. No, I know that. But whatever – 12 whatever the other one we were just looking at, 	Page 467
 DONDERO - 10/29/21 form. A. HCMFA or Services? Q. HCMFA? A. I – I don't know. I don't have any knowledge. MR. MORRIS: Can we put up Exhibit 1, please. (Exhibit 1 marked.) MR. MORRIS: We probably want to go to Exhibit 3 of that document. Q. This one was sent to Mr. Waterhouse. Do you see that? 	 2 on a note might send a demand letter to the 3 treasurer of the maker of the note? 4 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to form. 5 A. I'm just saying they could have sent 6 the NexPoint letter or the Services letter to 7 him also; right? 8 Q. I don't – I think the NexPoint is 9 only a term note; right? So there is no demand 10 letter. 11 A. No, I know that. But whatever – 12 whatever the other one we were just looking at, 13 the Services one could have gone to him, too. 	Page 467
1DONDERO - 10/29/212form.3A. HCMFA or Services?4Q. HCMFA?5A. I – I don't know. I don't have any6knowledge.7MR. MORRIS: Can we put up8Exhibit 1, please.9(Exhibit 1 marked.)10MR. MORRIS: We probably want to go11to Exhibit 3 of that document.12Q. This one was sent to Mr. Waterhouse.13Do you see that?14A. Yes.	 2 on a note might send a demand letter to the 3 treasurer of the maker of the note? 4 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to form. 5 A. I'm just saying they could have sent 6 the NexPoint letter or the Services letter to 7 him also; right? 8 Q. I don't – I think the NexPoint is 9 only a term note; right? So there is no demand 10 letter. 11 A. No, I know that. But whatever – 12 whatever the other one we were just looking at, 13 the Services one could have gone to him, too. 14 Anyway, whatever. It doesn't 	Page 467
 DONDERO - 10/29/21 form. A. HCMFA or Services? Q. HCMFA? A. I – I don't know. I don't have any knowledge. MR. MORRIS: Can we put up Exhibit 1, please. (Exhibit 1 marked.) MR. MORRIS: We probably want to go to Exhibit 3 of that document. Q. This one was sent to Mr. Waterhouse. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And did you become aware on 	 2 on a note might send a demand letter to the 3 treasurer of the maker of the note? 4 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to form. 5 A. I'm just saying they could have sent 6 the NexPoint letter or the Services letter to 7 him also; right? 8 Q. I don't – I think the NexPoint is 9 only a term note; right? So there is no demand 10 letter. 11 A. No, I know that. But whatever – 12 whatever the other one we were just looking at, 13 the Services one could have gone to him, too. 14 Anyway, whatever. It doesn't 15 matter. But, no, I don't have a specific 	Page 467
 DONDERO - 10/29/21 form. A. HCMFA or Services? Q. HCMFA? A. I – I don't know. I don't have any knowledge. MR. MORRIS: Can we put up Exhibit 1, please. (Exhibit 1 marked.) MR. MORRIS: We probably want to go to Exhibit 3 of that document. Q. This one was sent to Mr. Waterhouse. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And did you become aware on or around December 3rd, 2020, that Highland 	 2 on a note might send a demand letter to the 3 treasurer of the maker of the note? 4 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to form. 5 A. I'm just saying they could have sent 6 the NexPoint letter or the Services letter to 7 him also; right? 8 Q. I don't – I think the NexPoint is 9 only a term note; right? So there is no demand 10 letter. 11 A. No, I know that. But whatever – 12 whatever the other one we were just looking at, 13 the Services one could have gone to him, too. 14 Anyway, whatever. It doesn't 15 matter. But, no, I don't have a specific 16 recollection of this, if that was your 	Page 467
1 DONDERO - 10/29/21 2 form. 3 A. HCMFA or Services? 4 Q. HCMFA? 5 A. I – I don't know. I don't have any 6 knowledge. 7 MR. MORRIS: Can we put up 8 Exhibit 1, please. 9 (Exhibit 1 marked.) 10 MR. MORRIS: We probably want to go 11 to Exhibit 3 of that document. 12 Q. This one was sent to Mr. Waterhouse. 13 Do you see that? 14 A. Yes. 15 Q. Okay. And did you become aware on 16 or around December 3rd, 2020, that Highland 17 made demand under the two notes listed in this	 2 on a note might send a demand letter to the 3 treasurer of the maker of the note? 4 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to form. 5 A. I'm just saying they could have sent 6 the NexPoint letter or the Services letter to 7 him also; right? 8 Q. I don't – I think the NexPoint is 9 only a term note; right? So there is no demand 10 letter. 11 A. No, I know that. But whatever – 12 whatever the other one we were just looking at, 13 the Services one could have gone to him, too. 14 Anyway, whatever. It doesn't 15 recollection of this, if that was your 17 question. 	Page 467
 DONDERO - 10/29/21 form. A. HCMFA or Services? Q. HCMFA? A. I I don't know. I don't have any knowledge. MR. MORRIS: Can we put up Exhibit 1, please. (Exhibit 1 marked.) MR. MORRIS: We probably want to go to Exhibit 3 of that document. Q. This one was sent to Mr. Waterhouse. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And did you become aware on or around December 3rd, 2020, that Highland made demand under the two notes listed in this letter? 	 2 on a note might send a demand letter to the 3 treasurer of the maker of the note? 4 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to form. 5 A. I'm just saying they could have sent 6 the NexPoint letter or the Services letter to 7 him also; right? 8 Q. I don't – I think the NexPoint is 9 only a term note; right? So there is no demand 10 letter. 11 A. No, I know that. But whatever – 12 whatever the other one we were just looking at, 13 the Services one could have gone to him, too. 14 Anyway, whatever. It doesn't 15 matter. But, no, I don't have a specific 16 recollection of this, if that was your 17 question. 18 Q. You don't have – you don't have any 	Page 467
 DONDERO - 10/29/21 form. A. HCMFA or Services? Q. HCMFA? A. I I don't know. I don't have any knowledge. MR. MORRIS: Can we put up Exhibit 1, please. (Exhibit 1 marked.) MR. MORRIS: We probably want to go to Exhibit 3 of that document. Q. This one was sent to Mr. Waterhouse. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And did you become aware on or around December 3rd, 2020, that Highland made demand under the two notes listed in this letter? A. Yes. Why would this one go to 	 2 on a note might send a demand letter to the 3 treasurer of the maker of the note? 4 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to form. 5 A. I'm just saying they could have sent 6 the NexPoint letter or the Services letter to 7 him also; right? 8 Q. I don't – I think the NexPoint is 9 only a term note; right? So there is no demand 10 letter. 11 A. No, I know that. But whatever – 12 whatever the other one we were just looking at, 13 the Services one could have gone to him, too. 14 Anyway, whatever. It doesn't 15 matter. But, no, I don't have a specific 16 recollection of this, if that was your 17 question. 18 Q. You don't have – you don't have any 19 recollection of Highland making demand under 	Page 467
 DONDERO - 10/29/21 form. A. HCMFA or Services? Q. HCMFA? A. I – I don't know. I don't have any knowledge. MR. MORRIS: Can we put up Exhibit 1, please. (Exhibit 1 marked.) MR. MORRIS: We probably want to go to Exhibit 3 of that document. Q. This one was sent to Mr. Waterhouse. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And did you become aware on or around December 3rd, 2020, that Highland made demand under the two notes listed in this letter? A. Yes. Why would this one go to Frank Waterhouse? 	 2 on a note might send a demand letter to the 3 treasurer of the maker of the note? 4 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to form. 5 A. I'm just saying they could have sent 6 the NexPoint letter or the Services letter to 7 him also; right? 8 Q. I don't – I think the NexPoint is 9 only a term note; right? So there is no demand 10 letter. 11 A. No, I know that. But whatever – 12 whatever the other one we were just looking at, 13 the Services one could have gone to him, too. 14 Anyway, whatever. It doesn't 15 matter. But, no, I don't have a specific 16 recollection of this, if that was your 17 question. 18 Q. You don't have – you don't have any 19 recollection of Highland making demand under 20 promissory notes that were issued by you and 	Page 467
 DONDERO - 10/29/21 form. A. HCMFA or Services? Q. HCMFA? A. I – I don't know. I don't have any knowledge. MR. MORRIS: Can we put up Exhibit 1, please. (Exhibit 1 marked.) MR. MORRIS: We probably want to go to Exhibit 3 of that document. Q. This one was sent to Mr. Waterhouse. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And did you become aware on or around December 3rd, 2020, that Highland made demand under the two notes listed in this letter? A. Yes. Why would this one go to Frank Waterhouse? Q. Was he the treasurer – was he the 	 2 on a note might send a demand letter to the 3 treasurer of the maker of the note? 4 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to form. 5 A. I'm just saying they could have sent 6 the NexPoint letter or the Services letter to 7 him also; right? 8 Q. I don't – I think the NexPoint is 9 only a term note; right? So there is no demand 10 letter. 11 A. No, I know that. But whatever – 12 whatever the other one we were just looking at, 13 the Services one could have gone to him, too. 14 Anyway, whatever. It doesn't 15 matter. But, no, I don't have a specific 16 recollection of this, if that was your 17 question. 18 Q. You don't have – you don't have any 19 recollection of Highland making demand under 20 promissory notes that were issued by you and 21 certain of your affiliates in early December 	Page 467
 DONDERO - 10/29/21 form. A. HCMFA or Services? Q. HCMFA? A. I – I don't know. I don't have any knowledge. MR. MORRIS: Can we put up Exhibit 1, please. (Exhibit 1 marked.) MR. MORRIS: We probably want to go to Exhibit 3 of that document. Q. This one was sent to Mr. Waterhouse. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And did you become aware on or around December 3rd, 2020, that Highland made demand under the two notes listed in this letter? A. Yes. Why would this one go to Frank Waterhouse? Q. Was he the treasurer – was he the treasurer of Highland Capital Management Fund 	 on a note might send a demand letter to the treasurer of the maker of the note? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to form. A. I'm just saying they could have sent the NexPoint letter or the Services letter to him also; right? Q. I don't – I think the NexPoint is only a term note; right? So there is no demand letter. A. No, I know that. But whatever – whatever the other one we were just looking at, the Services one could have gone to him, too. Anyway, whatever. It doesn't matter. But, no, I don't have a specific recollection of this, if that was your question. Q. You don't have – you don't have any recollection of Highland making demand under promissory notes that were issued by you and certain of your affiliates in early December 2020. You don't remember that at all? 	Page 467
 DONDERO - 10/29/21 form. A. HCMFA or Services? Q. HCMFA? A. I – I don't know. I don't have any knowledge. MR. MORRIS: Can we put up Exhibit 1, please. (Exhibit 1 marked.) MR. MORRIS: We probably want to go to Exhibit 3 of that document. Q. This one was sent to Mr. Waterhouse. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And did you become aware on or around December 3rd, 2020, that Highland made demand under the two notes listed in this letter? A. Yes. Why would this one go to Frank Waterhouse? Q. Was he the treasurer – was he the treasurer of Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors at the time? 	 2 on a note might send a demand letter to the 3 treasurer of the maker of the note? 4 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to form. 5 A. I'm just saying they could have sent 6 the NexPoint letter or the Services letter to 7 him also; right? 8 Q. I don't – I think the NexPoint is 9 only a term note; right? So there is no demand 10 letter. 11 A. No, I know that. But whatever – 12 whatever the other one we were just looking at, 13 the Services one could have gone to him, too. 14 Anyway, whatever. It doesn't 15 matter. But, no, I don't have a specific 16 recollection of this, if that was your 17 question. 18 Q. You don't have – you don't have any 19 recollection of Highland making demand under 20 promissory notes that were issued by you and 21 certain of your affiliates in early December 22020. You don't remember that at all? 23 A. There was a lot going on then. And, 	Page 467
1 DONDERO - 10/29/21 2 form. 3 A. HCMFA or Services? 4 Q. HCMFA? 5 A. I – I don't know. I don't have any 6 knowledge. 7 MR. MORRIS: Can we put up 8 Exhibit 1, please. 9 (Exhibit 1 marked.) 10 MR. MORRIS: We probably want to go 11 to Exhibit 3 of that document. 12 Q. This one was sent to Mr. Waterhouse. 13 Do you see that? 14 A. Yes. 15 Q. Okay. And did you become aware on 16 or around December 3rd, 2020, that Highland 17 made demand under the two notes listed in this 18 letter? 19 A. Yes. Why would this one go to 20 Frank Waterhouse? 21 Q. Was he the treasurer – was he the 22 treasurer of Highland Capital Management Fund 23 Advisors at the time? 24 A. Right.	 on a note might send a demand letter to the treasurer of the maker of the note? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to form. A. I'm just saying they could have sent the NexPoint letter or the Services letter to him also; right? Q. I don't – I think the NexPoint is only a term note; right? So there is no demand letter. A. No, I know that. But whatever – whatever the other one we were just looking at, the Services one could have a specific recollection of this, if that was your question. Q. You don't have – you don't have any recollection of Highland making demand under promissory notes that were issued by you and certain of your affiliates in early December 2020. You don't remember that at all? A. There was a lot going on then. And, again, it wasn't something that we either 	Page 467
 DONDERO - 10/29/21 form. A. HCMFA or Services? Q. HCMFA? A. I – I don't know. I don't have any knowledge. MR. MORRIS: Can we put up Exhibit 1, please. (Exhibit 1 marked.) MR. MORRIS: We probably want to go to Exhibit 3 of that document. Q. This one was sent to Mr. Waterhouse. Do you see that? A. Yes. Q. Okay. And did you become aware on or around December 3rd, 2020, that Highland made demand under the two notes listed in this letter? A. Yes. Why would this one go to Frank Waterhouse? Q. Was he the treasurer – was he the treasurer of Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors at the time? 	 2 on a note might send a demand letter to the 3 treasurer of the maker of the note? 4 MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to form. 5 A. I'm just saying they could have sent 6 the NexPoint letter or the Services letter to 7 him also; right? 8 Q. I don't – I think the NexPoint is 9 only a term note; right? So there is no demand 10 letter. 11 A. No, I know that. But whatever – 12 whatever the other one we were just looking at, 13 the Services one could have gone to him, too. 14 Anyway, whatever. It doesn't 15 matter. But, no, I don't have a specific 16 recollection of this, if that was your 17 question. 18 Q. You don't have – you don't have any 19 recollection of Highland making demand under 20 promissory notes that were issued by you and 21 certain of your affiliates in early December 22020. You don't remember that at all? 23 A. There was a lot going on then. And, 	Page 467

Caase210600655gjpDoc148634Fifedc012061221 Elateredc012061221184187432 Page759 of 899

				-
1	Page 468 DONDERO - 10/29/21	1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	Page 469
2	other issues or it wasn't things that we	2	A. Right.	
3	thought were legitimate as part of the overall	3	Q. Okay. And so it is fair to say that	
4	settlement.	4	you never directed anybody to respond to these	
5	You've got to remember we didn't	5	demands because you didn't have knowledge of	
6	realize Seery betrayed the estate at this	6	them; correct?	
7	point. We thought we were moving towards, you	7	A. Right.	
8	know, resolution or a pot plan.	8	Q. Okay. Do you know whether anybody	
9	Q. Okay.	9	responded on behalf – on your behalf or any of	
10	MR. MORRIS: I move to strike.	10	the corporate obligors' behalf to any of the	
11	Q. And please listen carefully to my	11	demand letters that were – that you now know	
12	question.	12	were sent in early December 2020?	
13	Did you have any knowledge in early	13	A. Well, yes. I mean, I know	
14	December 2020 that Highland made demand for	14	eventually. I don't know when, but I don't	
15	payment under demand notes that were issued by	15	think anybody believes these – these HVIN	
16	you and certain of your affiliates?	16	notes are legitimate notes.	
17	A. Same answer.	17	I know the response was more around	
18	Q. Were you aware or you were not	18	it being payments for the TerreStar regulatory	
19	aware?	19	obligations for all the things that Highland	
20	A. Well, no specific knowledge for the	20	had mucked up in the TerreStar situation.	
21	reasons articulated in the answer that you –	21	Q. While you were president of that	
22	you moved to strike.	22	entity; right?	
23	Q. Okay. So – so you had – you had	23	A. Yes.	
24	no particularized knowledge of the demands in	24	Q. Okay. And – and	
25	December 2020; correct?	25		
		20		
1	Page 470 DONDERO - 10/29/21	1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	Page 471
2	these are frivolous obligations, does it?	2	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	
3	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	3	form.	
4	form.	4	A. Yes.	
5	A. PricewaterhouseCoopers doesn't –	5	Q. Can you identify that document for	
6	Q. PricewaterhouseCoopers specifically	6	me?	
	included a disclosure of all of these	7	A. Yeah. It is a it is a settlement	
8	promissory notes in the audited financial	8	with the SEC in terms of what they said the	
9	statements; correct?	9	breaches were, and why they were finding HFAM,	
10	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	10	the rationale that they had in the regulatory	
11	form.	11	breaches and in the settlement, and all of the	
12	A. I mean, as they should have with the	12	breaches in the settlement were things that	
13	information they had at the time, but I think	12	Highland did, not that HFAM did.	
1	what has come out since then is that they – it	14	It was all valuation, it was all –	
14	was moneys that moved from Highland to HFAM for	14	it was all services that HFAM had contracted	
	things that were caused by Highland and people,	16	with Highland that were performed deficiently	
16	not me, not even Frank, I think, but other	17	in the eyes of the SEC.	
	people assumed it was a note and made notes out	18	-	
18	of it. And that is what PricewaterhouseCoopers		Q. Okay. We will – we will get to that in more detail, but I just would like to	
20	put into the financials, but I think what	19 20	know if you believe that any correspondence to	
1		20	the SEC specifically stated that Highland	
21	everybody acknowledges is that they were			
22	never – they were never notes.	22	Capital Management, L.P. and not Highland	
23	Q. Is there a document that you have	23	Capital Management Fund Advisors, L.P. was	
24	ever seen in your life that supports what you	24 25	responsible for the TerreStar valuation error.	
25	just said?	20	A. The SEC would not have parsed	
L				

Caase210600655gjpDoc148634Fifedc012061221 Elateredc012061221184187432 Page750 of 899

1	Page 472			Page 473
1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	g
2	between the different players in the entities.	2	Q. And – and it is – and that	
3	They would have said what they thought the	3	includes the promissory notes that you signed	
4	breaches were overall in their letter, and what	4	that Highland is suing on as well as the	
5	would govern the split is the shared services	5	promissory notes that HCRE, HCMS, and NexPoint	
6	agreement and where were the employees that	6	signed that Highland is suing on; correct?	
7	performed the activities that they cited.	7	A. Yes.	
8	Q. Okay. We will get to that at a	8	Q. Okay. Do you contend that the oral	
9	later time.	9	agreements that you entered into with the	
10	All right. Let's go back to the	10	Dugaboy trustee modified the parties' rights	
11	oral agreements that you entered into with the	11	under the original promissory notes?	
12	Dugaboy trustee.	12	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	
13	MR. MORRIS: And let's start by	13	form.	
14	putting back up Exhibit 31, Paragraph 82.	14	A. Modify, boy, sounds like a legal	
15	MS. JEFFRIES: I'm sorry, can you	15	term. It said conditions by which they could	
16	repeat that?	16	be forgiven.	
17	MR. MORRIS: Yes. Exhibit 31,	17	Q. And there were no such conditions in	
18	Paragraph 82, yes.	18	the original notes; right?	
19	Q. And, again, Mr. Dondero, I think you	19	A. That is correct.	
20	have testified already that you believe	20	Q. Okay. So I'm just asking you from	
21	Paragraph 82 generally describes the oral	21	your perspective whether the oral agreements	
22	agreement that you entered into with the	22	that you entered into with the Dugaboy trustee	
23	Dugaboy trustee with respect to the promissory	23	were intended to modify the parties' rights and	
24	notes that we've described; right?	24	obligations under the original promissory	
25	A. Yes.	25	notes.	
	Page 474			Page 475
1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	
2				
1	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	2	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Can you read	
3	form.	3	that back again.	
3 4	form. A. It was meant to condition the	3 4	that back again. Q. Is it fair to say that the original	
3 4 5	form. A. It was meant to condition the forgiveness.	3 4 5	that back again. Q. Is it fair to say that the original promissory notes that are the subject of the	
3 4 5 6	form. A. It was meant to condition the forgiveness. Q. Did it change –	3 4 5 6	that back again. Q. Is it fair to say that the original promissory notes that are the subject of the oral agreements between you and the Dugaboy –	
3 4 5 6 7	form. A. It was meant to condition the forgiveness. Q. Did it change A. I would like to use those words	3 4 5 6 7	that back again. Q. Is it fair to say that the original promissory notes that are the subject of the oral agreements between you and the Dugaboy – withdrawn.	
3 4 5 6 7 8	form. A. It was meant to condition the forgiveness. Q. Did it change – A. I would like to use those words versus modified the agreement.	3 4 5 6 7 8	that back again. Q. Is it fair to say that the original promissory notes that are the subject of the oral agreements between you and the Dugaboy – withdrawn. Is it fair to say that the original	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	form. A. It was meant to condition the forgiveness. Q. Did it change – A. I would like to use those words versus modified the agreement. Q. Did it – did it alter the parties'	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that back again. Q. Is it fair to say that the original promissory notes that are the subject of the oral agreements between you and the Dugaboy withdrawn. Is it fair to say that the original promissory notes that Highland is suing under	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	form. A. It was meant to condition the forgiveness. Q. Did it change – A. I would like to use those words versus modified the agreement. Q. Did it – did it alter the parties' rights and obligations?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	that back again. Q. Is it fair to say that the original promissory notes that are the subject of the oral agreements between you and the Dugaboy – withdrawn. Is it fair to say that the original promissory notes that Highland is suing under set forth the maker and the payees' rights and	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	form. A. It was meant to condition the forgiveness. Q. Did it change – A. I would like to use those words versus modified the agreement. Q. Did it – did it alter the parties' rights and obligations? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	that back again. Q. Is it fair to say that the original promissory notes that are the subject of the oral agreements between you and the Dugaboy – withdrawn. Is it fair to say that the original promissory notes that Highland is suing under set forth the maker and the payees' rights and obligations under those notes?	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	form. A. It was meant to condition the forgiveness. Q. Did it change – A. I would like to use those words versus modified the agreement. Q. Did it – did it alter the parties' rights and obligations? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	that back again. Q. Is it fair to say that the original promissory notes that are the subject of the oral agreements between you and the Dugaboy – withdrawn. Is it fair to say that the original promissory notes that Highland is suing under set forth the maker and the payees' rights and obligations under those notes? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	form. A. It was meant to condition the forgiveness. Q. Did it change – A. I would like to use those words versus modified the agreement. Q. Did it – did it alter the parties' rights and obligations? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. Q. I'm not trying to play a game with	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	that back again. Q. Is it fair to say that the original promissory notes that are the subject of the oral agreements between you and the Dugaboy – withdrawn. Is it fair to say that the original promissory notes that Highland is suing under set forth the maker and the payees' rights and obligations under those notes? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. Object to the form.	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	form. A. It was meant to condition the forgiveness. Q. Did it change – A. I would like to use those words versus modified the agreement. Q. Did it – did it alter the parties' rights and obligations? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. Q. I'm not trying to play a game with you. I just –	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	that back again. Q. Is it fair to say that the original promissory notes that are the subject of the oral agreements between you and the Dugaboy – withdrawn. Is it fair to say that the original promissory notes that Highland is suing under set forth the maker and the payees' rights and obligations under those notes? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. Object to the form. A. Yeah, I – again, I want to – I	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	form. A. It was meant to condition the forgiveness. Q. Did it change – A. I would like to use those words versus modified the agreement. Q. Did it – did it alter the parties' rights and obligations? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. Q. I'm not trying to play a game with you. I just – MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: That is exactly	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	that back again. Q. Is it fair to say that the original promissory notes that are the subject of the oral agreements between you and the Dugaboy withdrawn. Is it fair to say that the original promissory notes that Highland is suing under set forth the maker and the payees' rights and obligations under those notes? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. Object to the form. A. Yeah, I – again, I want to – I want to avoid using the term "modification" or	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	form. A. It was meant to condition the forgiveness. Q. Did it change – A. I would like to use those words versus modified the agreement. Q. Did it – did it alter the parties' rights and obligations? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. Q. I'm not trying to play a game with you. I just – MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: That is exactly what you are doing. Why don't you just ask	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	that back again. Q. Is it fair to say that the original promissory notes that are the subject of the oral agreements between you and the Dugaboy – withdrawn. Is it fair to say that the original promissory notes that Highland is suing under set forth the maker and the payees' rights and obligations under those notes? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. Object to the form. A. Yeah, I – again, I want to – I want to avoid using the term "modification" or implying modification because, again, the notes	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	form. A. It was meant to condition the forgiveness. Q. Did it change – A. I would like to use those words versus modified the agreement. Q. Did it – did it alter the parties' rights and obligations? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. Q. I'm not trying to play a game with you. I just – MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: That is exactly what you are doing. Why don't you just ask him –	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 that back again. Q. Is it fair to say that the original promissory notes that are the subject of the oral agreements between you and the Dugaboy – withdrawn. Is it fair to say that the original promissory notes that Highland is suing under set forth the maker and the payees' rights and obligations under those notes? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. Yeah, I – again, I want to – I want to avoid using the term "modification" or implying modification because, again, the notes are soft, and they really just talk about a 	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	form. A. It was meant to condition the forgiveness. Q. Did it change – A. I would like to use those words versus modified the agreement. Q. Did it – did it alter the parties' rights and obligations? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. Q. I'm not trying to play a game with you. I just – MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: That is exactly what you are doing. Why don't you just ask him – MR. MORRIS: Please stop talking.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that back again. Q. Is it fair to say that the original promissory notes that are the subject of the oral agreements between you and the Dugaboy – withdrawn. Is it fair to say that the original promissory notes that Highland is suing under set forth the maker and the payees' rights and obligations under those notes? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. Object to the form. A. Yeah, I – again, I want to – I want to avoid using the term "modification" or implying modification because, again, the notes are soft, and they really just talk about a rate and/or payment or amortizations, but	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	form. A. It was meant to condition the forgiveness. Q. Did it change – A. I would like to use those words versus modified the agreement. Q. Did it – did it alter the parties' rights and obligations? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. Q. I'm not trying to play a game with you. I just – MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: That is exactly what you are doing. Why don't you just ask him – MR. MORRIS: Please stop talking. Please stop talking.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 that back again. Q. Is it fair to say that the original promissory notes that are the subject of the oral agreements between you and the Dugaboy – withdrawn. Is it fair to say that the original promissory notes that Highland is suing under set forth the maker and the payees' rights and obligations under those notes? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. Yeah, I – again, I want to – I want to avoid using the term "modification" or implying modification because, again, the notes are soft, and they really just talk about a rate and/or payment or amortizations, but they're soft notes. Something in the agreement 	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	form. A. It was meant to condition the forgiveness. Q. Did it change – A. I would like to use those words versus modified the agreement. Q. Did it – did it alter the parties' rights and obligations? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. Q. I'm not trying to play a game with you. I just – MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: That is exactly what you are doing. Why don't you just ask him – MR. MORRIS: Please stop talking. Please stop talking. Q. Mr. Dondero, is it fair to say that	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 that back again. Q. Is it fair to say that the original promissory notes that are the subject of the oral agreements between you and the Dugaboy – withdrawn. Is it fair to say that the original promissory notes that Highland is suing under set forth the maker and the payees' rights and obligations under those notes? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. Object to the form. A. Yeah, I – again, I want to – I want to avoid using the term "modification" or implying modification because, again, the notes are soft, and they really just talk about a rate and/or payment or amortizations, but they're soft notes. Something in the agreement that lays out the conditions for forgiveness 	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	form. A. It was meant to condition the forgiveness. Q. Did it change – A. I would like to use those words versus modified the agreement. Q. Did it – did it alter the parties' rights and obligations? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. Q. I'm not trying to play a game with you. I just – MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: That is exactly what you are doing. Why don't you just ask him – MR. MORRIS: Please stop talking. Please stop talking. Q. Mr. Dondero, is it fair to say that the promissory notes that are the subject of	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 that back again. Q. Is it fair to say that the original promissory notes that are the subject of the oral agreements between you and the Dugaboy – withdrawn. Is it fair to say that the original promissory notes that Highland is suing under set forth the maker and the payees' rights and obligations under those notes? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. Yeah, I – again, I want to – I want to avoid using the term "modification" or implying modification because, again, the notes are soft, and they really just talk about a rate and/or payment or amortizations, but they're soft notes. Something in the agreement that lays out the conditions for forgiveness aren't necessarily a modification of the note, 	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	form. A. It was meant to condition the forgiveness. Q. Did it change – A. I would like to use those words versus modified the agreement. Q. Did it – did it alter the parties' rights and obligations? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. Q. I'm not trying to play a game with you. I just – MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: That is exactly what you are doing. Why don't you just ask him – MR. MORRIS: Please stop talking. Please stop talking. Q. Mr. Dondero, is it fair to say that the promissory notes that are the subject of your oral agreements with the Dugaboy –	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 that back again. Q. Is it fair to say that the original promissory notes that are the subject of the oral agreements between you and the Dugaboy – withdrawn. Is it fair to say that the original promissory notes that Highland is suing under set forth the maker and the payees' rights and obligations under those notes? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. Yeah, I – again, I want to – I want to avoid using the term "modification" or implying modification because, again, the notes are soft, and they really just talk about a rate and/or payment or amortizations, but they're soft notes. Something in the agreement that lays out the conditions for forgiveness aren't necessarily a modification of the note, and I'd like that to be – 	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 3	form. A. It was meant to condition the forgiveness. Q. Did it change – A. I would like to use those words versus modified the agreement. Q. Did it – did it alter the parties' rights and obligations? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. Q. I'm not trying to play a game with you. I just – MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: That is exactly what you are doing. Why don't you just ask him – MR. MORRIS: Please stop talking. Please stop talking. Q. Mr. Dondero, is it fair to say that the promissory notes that are the subject of your oral agreements with the Dugaboy – Dugaboy trustee set forth the parties' rights	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 that back again. Q. Is it fair to say that the original promissory notes that are the subject of the oral agreements between you and the Dugaboy – withdrawn. Is it fair to say that the original promissory notes that Highland is suing under set forth the maker and the payees' rights and obligations under those notes? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. Object to the form. Object to the form. A. Yeah, I – again, I want to – I want to avoid using the term "modification" or implying modification because, again, the notes are soft, and they really just talk about a rate and/or payment or amortizations, but they're soft notes. Something in the agreement that lays out the conditions for forgiveness aren't necessarily a modification of the note, and I'd like that to be – Q. Let me – 	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	form. A. It was meant to condition the forgiveness. Q. Did it change – A. I would like to use those words versus modified the agreement. Q. Did it – did it alter the parties' rights and obligations? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. Q. I'm not trying to play a game with you. I just – MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: That is exactly what you are doing. Why don't you just ask him – MR. MORRIS: Please stop talking. Please stop talking. Q. Mr. Dondero, is it fair to say that the promissory notes that are the subject of your oral agreements with the Dugaboy – Dugaboy trustee set forth the parties' rights and obligations thereunder, both the maker and	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	 that back again. Q. Is it fair to say that the original promissory notes that are the subject of the oral agreements between you and the Dugaboy – withdrawn. Is it fair to say that the original promissory notes that Highland is suing under set forth the maker and the payees' rights and obligations under those notes? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. A. Yeah, I – again, I want to – I want to avoid using the term "modification" or implying modification because, again, the notes are soft, and they really just talk about a rate and/or payment or amortizations, but they're soft notes. Something in the agreement that lays out the conditions for forgiveness aren't necessarily a modification of the note, and I'd like that to be – Q. Let me – A. – my testimony. 	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	form. A. It was meant to condition the forgiveness. Q. Did it change – A. I would like to use those words versus modified the agreement. Q. Did it – did it alter the parties' rights and obligations? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. Q. I'm not trying to play a game with you. I just – MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: That is exactly what you are doing. Why don't you just ask him – MR. MORRIS: Please stop talking. Please stop talking. Q. Mr. Dondero, is it fair to say that the promissory notes that are the subject of your oral agreements with the Dugaboy – Dugaboy trustee set forth the parties' rights	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 that back again. Q. Is it fair to say that the original promissory notes that are the subject of the oral agreements between you and the Dugaboy – withdrawn. Is it fair to say that the original promissory notes that Highland is suing under set forth the maker and the payees' rights and obligations under those notes? MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form. Object to the form. Object to the form. A. Yeah, I – again, I want to – I want to avoid using the term "modification" or implying modification because, again, the notes are soft, and they really just talk about a rate and/or payment or amortizations, but they're soft notes. Something in the agreement that lays out the conditions for forgiveness aren't necessarily a modification of the note, and I'd like that to be – Q. Let me – 	

Caae 2106006559jpDod 18634Fifed 012061221 Elatered 012061221184187432 Page 755 of 899

_	Page 476	1		Page 477
1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	Fage 4/7
2	of the demand notes, Highland as the payee had	2	of the question.	
3	the unfetterred right to demand payment at any	3	Q. You can answer.	
4	time; correct? Did you understand that?	4	A. The conditions subsequent the	
5	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: At the time that	5	condition precedent precedence for	
6	the notes were first signed?	6	forgiveness changed the ability for the demand	
7	MR. MORRIS: Yes, ma'am.	7	notes to be demanded.	
8	A. Yeah. I mean, at the at the time	8	Q. Okay. And and each of the oral	
9	that they were first put in place, but by the	9	agreements that you entered into with the	
10	time the demand was made, they had already been	10	Dugaboy trustee was related to the loans that	
11	subject to the conditions present or the	11	were reflected in the promissory notes;	
12	conditions for forgiveness.	12	correct?	
13	Q. Okay. So this is exactly what I'm	13	A. Well, it was related to the	
14	trying to get at. At the time the notes were	14	promissory notes themselves.	
15	signed, Highland had the right to make demand	15	Q. Correct. And the promissory notes	
16	for payment at any time; correct?	16	reflect notes that were made from the payee to	
17	A. Yes.	17	the maker; correct?	
18	Q. And when you entered into the oral	18	A. Yeah. Most of them were roll-ups	
19	agreements with the Dugaboy trustee, Highland's	19	from prior.	
20	right to make a demand – pick your word,	20	Q. No. Those are the term notes. I'm	
21	modified, altered, amended, changed – it	21	only talking about the demand notes.	
22	was – your oral agreement had an impact on	22	A. Okay.	
23	Highland's rights under the promissory notes;	23	Q. Okay. So with respect to the demand	
24	correct?	24	notes, the oral agreements that you entered	
25	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to form	25	into with the Dugaboy trustee related to the	
	Page 478			Page 479
1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	
2	loans that were the subject of the promissory	2	you have?	
3	notes; correct?	3	MR. MORRIS: Oh, I've got probably	
4	A. Yeah, I – I – I am just not	4	four hours, so I don't expect to finish	
5	understanding the nuance enough to answer that	5	today. If Mr. Dondero – if Mr. Dondero	
6	question.	6	wants to stop –	
7	Q. Did the oral agreements relate to	7	Q. Are you unable to continue right	
8	the loans that were the subject of the	8	now, Mr. Dondero?	
9	promissory notes?	9	A. Well, if we have four more hours, I	
10	A. The oral agreements affected the	10	would rather do it a day next next week, one	
11	term loans and the demand notes.	11	afternoon.	
12	Q. Okay.	12	MR. MORRIS: Okay. Can we check our	
13	A. Does that answer your question?	13	calendars before we go off the record?	
14	Q. And so – and so is it fair to say	14	We have a deposition on Tuesday.	
15	that the oral agreements related to to	15	I'm not available on Monday. I can make	
16	the to the loans that were the	16	myself free on Wednesday, Thursday, or	
17	subject of the notes?	17	Friday. And I think that we should expect,	
18	A. I don't know.	18	you know, a substantial period of time,	
19	Q. Okay.	19	perhaps as long as a full day.	
20	A. I'm not – I'm not sure what you are	20	I mean, with all due respect –	
21	asking, but I don't know the answer.	21	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: How do you have	
1 ~~	Q. Okay. It is your –	22	a full day? You have already gone you	
22				
23	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: John, just	23	have already gone more than half a day.	
23 24	how – I just think the witness is lagging	24	MR. MORRIS: Yeah. And just just	
23	-			

Caae 2106006559jpDoc148634FHed 012061221 Elatered 012061221184187432 Page 750 of 899

	D. (00			
1	Page 480 DONDERO - 10/29/21	1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	Page 481
2	to - to discuss this with you offline, but	2	or Friday, Mr. Dondero, I will make myself	
3	I didn't decide that Mr. Dondero would	3	available at your convenience.	
4	appear in his personal capacity and on	4	THE WITNESS: I have all day board	
5	behalf of three separate 30(b)(6)	5	meetings on Wednesday.	
6	witnesses.	6	MR. MORRIS: Okay.	
7	If you had given me a different	7	THE WITNESS: I could do Thursday	
8	witness for each, I would have a total of	8	afternoon or I can do Friday afternoon.	
9	28 hours. I don't expect to use anything	9	Hold on.	
10	remotely close to that time, but I am	10	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Let me put this	
11	examining four witnesses here and I	11	on mute and we will look at our calendars.	
12	would – I would appreciate –	12	MR. MORRIS: Thank you.	
13	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: But we also –	13	VIDEOGRAPHER: Do you want to stay	
14	MR. MORRIS: I would appreciate it.			
15	And, look, you can stop me at any time. If	15	MR. MORRIS: Yes, please.	
16	I haven't finished asking the questions	16	THE WITNESS: Hello. All right. I	
17	that I believe I'm entitled to, I will, you	17	can do Thursday afternoon for four hours.	
18	know, take it to the judge. I'm just	18	And if we need more time than that we can	
19	putting you on notice. I have – I'm on	19	either do Friday afternoon or sometime	
20	page 27 of a 57-page outline, so	20	the – the week after that, but I have – I	
21	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Oh, geez.	20	have got -	
22	MR. MORRIS: Yeah, so I do have a	21	-	
1	fair amount more to cover. Okay?	22	MR. MORRIS: Thank you very much. What time on Thursday works for you,	
23	-		sir?	
25	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: All right.	24	THE WITNESS: How about 1:00 o'clock	
25	MR. MORRIS: So Wednesday, Thursday,	25	THE WITNESS. How about 1.00 0 Clock	
1	Page 482	1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	Page 483
1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	Page 483
2	DONDERO - 10/29/21 my time?		DONDERO - 10/29/21 	Page 483
23	DONDERO - 10/29/21 my time? MR. MORRIS: Okay. I appreciate it.	2		Page 483
2 3 4	DONDERO - 10/29/21 my time? MR. MORRIS: Okay. I appreciate it. Thank you very much. 1:00 o'clock Central,	2		Page 483
2 3 4 5	DONDERO - 10/29/21 my time? MR. MORRIS: Okay. I appreciate it. Thank you very much. 1:00 o'clock Central, it is, next Thursday for the continuation	2 3 4 5	JAMES DONDERO	Page 483
2 3 4 5 6	DONDERO - 10/29/21 my time? MR. MORRIS: Okay. I appreciate it. Thank you very much. 1:00 o'clock Central, it is, next Thursday for the continuation of this.	2 3 4 5	JAMES DONDERO Subscribed and sworn to before me	Page 483
2 3 4 5 6 7	DONDERO - 10/29/21 my time? MR. MORRIS: Okay. I appreciate it. Thank you very much. 1:00 o'clock Central, it is, next Thursday for the continuation of this. And hopefully I will finish that	2 3 4 5 6	JAMES DONDERO Subscribed and sworn to before me	Page 483
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	DONDERO - 10/29/21 my time? MR. MORRIS: Okay. I appreciate it. Thank you very much. 1:00 o'clock Central, it is, next Thursday for the continuation of this. And hopefully I will finish that day, you know, if we can go without a lot	2 3 4 5 6 7	JAMES DONDERO Subscribed and sworn to before me this day of 2021.	Page 483
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	DONDERO - 10/29/21 my time? MR. MORRIS: Okay. I appreciate it. Thank you very much. 1:00 o'clock Central, it is, next Thursday for the continuation of this. And hopefully I will finish that day, you know, if we can go without a lot of breaks and the rest of it. Hopefully I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	JAMES DONDERO Subscribed and sworn to before me this day of 2021.	Page 483
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	DONDERO - 10/29/21 my time? MR. MORRIS: Okay. I appreciate it. Thank you very much. 1:00 o'clock Central, it is, next Thursday for the continuation of this. And hopefully I will finish that day, you know, if we can go without a lot of breaks and the rest of it. Hopefully I can finish that day. My intention is to do	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	JAMES DONDERO Subscribed and sworn to before me this day of 2021.	Page 483
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	DONDERO - 10/29/21 my time? MR. MORRIS: Okay. I appreciate it. Thank you very much. 1:00 o'clock Central, it is, next Thursday for the continuation of this. And hopefully I will finish that day, you know, if we can go without a lot of breaks and the rest of it. Hopefully I can finish that day. My intention is to do that. Okay?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	JAMES DONDERO Subscribed and sworn to before me this day of 2021.	Page 483
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	DONDERO - 10/29/21 my time? MR. MORRIS: Okay. I appreciate it. Thank you very much. 1:00 o'clock Central, it is, next Thursday for the continuation of this. And hopefully I will finish that day, you know, if we can go without a lot of breaks and the rest of it. Hopefully I can finish that day. My intention is to do that. Okay? THE WITNESS: Perfect. Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	JAMES DONDERO Subscribed and sworn to before me this day of 2021.	Page 483
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	DONDERO - 10/29/21 my time? MR. MORRIS: Okay. I appreciate it. Thank you very much. 1:00 o'clock Central, it is, next Thursday for the continuation of this. And hopefully I will finish that day, you know, if we can go without a lot of breaks and the rest of it. Hopefully I can finish that day. My intention is to do that. Okay? THE WITNESS: Perfect. Thank you. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Can – can I get	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	JAMES DONDERO Subscribed and sworn to before me this day of 2021.	Page 483
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	DONDERO - 10/29/21 my time? MR. MORRIS: Okay. I appreciate it. Thank you very much. 1:00 o'clock Central, it is, next Thursday for the continuation of this. And hopefully I will finish that day, you know, if we can go without a lot of breaks and the rest of it. Hopefully I can finish that day. My intention is to do that. Okay? THE WITNESS: Perfect. Thank you. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Can – can I get the rough?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	JAMES DONDERO Subscribed and sworn to before me this day of 2021.	Page 483
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	DONDERO - 10/29/21 my time? MR. MORRIS: Okay. I appreciate it. Thank you very much. 1:00 o'clock Central, it is, next Thursday for the continuation of this. And hopefully I will finish that day, you know, if we can go without a lot of breaks and the rest of it. Hopefully I can finish that day. My intention is to do that. Okay? THE WITNESS: Perfect. Thank you. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Can – can I get the rough? COURT REPORTER: Yes. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	JAMES DONDERO Subscribed and sworn to before me this day of 2021.	Page 483
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	DONDERO - 10/29/21 my time? MR. MORRIS: Okay. I appreciate it. Thank you very much. 1:00 o'clock Central, it is, next Thursday for the continuation of this. And hopefully I will finish that day, you know, if we can go without a lot of breaks and the rest of it. Hopefully I can finish that day. My intention is to do that. Okay? THE WITNESS: Perfect. Thank you. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Can – can I get the rough? COURT REPORTER: Yes. Yes. MR. MORRIS: All right. We can go	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	JAMES DONDERO Subscribed and sworn to before me this day of 2021.	Page 483
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	DONDERO - 10/29/21 my time? MR. MORRIS: Okay. I appreciate it. Thank you very much. 1:00 o'clock Central, it is, next Thursday for the continuation of this. And hopefully I will finish that day, you know, if we can go without a lot of breaks and the rest of it. Hopefully I can finish that day. My intention is to do that. Okay? THE WITNESS: Perfect. Thank you. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Can – can I get the rough? COURT REPORTER: Yes. Yes. MR. MORRIS: All right. We can go off the record.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	JAMES DONDERO Subscribed and sworn to before me this day of 2021.	Page 483
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	DONDERO - 10/29/21 my time? MR. MORRIS: Okay. I appreciate it. Thank you very much. 1:00 o'clock Central, it is, next Thursday for the continuation of this. And hopefully I will finish that day, you know, if we can go without a lot of breaks and the rest of it. Hopefully I can finish that day. My intention is to do that. Okay? THE WITNESS: Perfect. Thank you. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Can – can I get the rough? COURT REPORTER: Yes. Yes. MR. MORRIS: All right. We can go off the record. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	JAMES DONDERO Subscribed and sworn to before me this day of 2021.	Page 483
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	DONDERO - 10/29/21 my time? MR. MORRIS: Okay. I appreciate it. Thank you very much. 1:00 o'clock Central, it is, next Thursday for the continuation of this. And hopefully I will finish that day, you know, if we can go without a lot of breaks and the rest of it. Hopefully I can finish that day. My intention is to do that. Okay? THE WITNESS: Perfect. Thank you. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Can – can I get the rough? COURT REPORTER: Yes. Yes. MR. MORRIS: All right. We can go off the record. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Thank you. COURT REPORTER: Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	JAMES DONDERO Subscribed and sworn to before me this day of 2021.	Page 483
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	DONDERO - 10/29/21 my time? MR. MORRIS: Okay. I appreciate it. Thank you very much. 1:00 o'clock Central, it is, next Thursday for the continuation of this. And hopefully I will finish that day, you know, if we can go without a lot of breaks and the rest of it. Hopefully I can finish that day. My intention is to do that. Okay? THE WITNESS: Perfect. Thank you. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Can – can I get the rough? COURT REPORTER: Yes. Yes. MR. MORRIS: All right. We can go off the record. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Thank you. COURT REPORTER: Thank you. COURT REPORTER: Thank you. VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record, 3:53.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	JAMES DONDERO Subscribed and sworn to before me this day of 2021.	Page 483
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	DONDERO - 10/29/21 my time? MR. MORRIS: Okay. I appreciate it. Thank you very much. 1:00 o'clock Central, it is, next Thursday for the continuation of this. And hopefully I will finish that day, you know, if we can go without a lot of breaks and the rest of it. Hopefully I can finish that day. My intention is to do that. Okay? THE WITNESS: Perfect. Thank you. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Can – can I get the rough? COURT REPORTER: Yes. Yes. MR. MORRIS: All right. We can go off the record. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Thank you. COURT REPORTER: Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	JAMES DONDERO Subscribed and sworn to before me this day of 2021.	Page 483
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	DONDERO - 10/29/21 my time? MR. MORRIS: Okay. I appreciate it. Thank you very much. 1:00 o'clock Central, it is, next Thursday for the continuation of this. And hopefully I will finish that day, you know, if we can go without a lot of breaks and the rest of it. Hopefully I can finish that day. My intention is to do that. Okay? THE WITNESS: Perfect. Thank you. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Can – can I get the rough? COURT REPORTER: Yes. Yes. MR. MORRIS: All right. We can go off the record. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Thank you. COURT REPORTER: Thank you. COURT REPORTER: Thank you. VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record, 3:53.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	JAMES DONDERO Subscribed and sworn to before me this day of 2021.	Page 483
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	DONDERO - 10/29/21 my time? MR. MORRIS: Okay. I appreciate it. Thank you very much. 1:00 o'clock Central, it is, next Thursday for the continuation of this. And hopefully I will finish that day, you know, if we can go without a lot of breaks and the rest of it. Hopefully I can finish that day. My intention is to do that. Okay? THE WITNESS: Perfect. Thank you. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Can – can I get the rough? COURT REPORTER: Yes. Yes. MR. MORRIS: All right. We can go off the record. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Thank you. COURT REPORTER: Thank you. COURT REPORTER: Thank you. VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record, 3:53.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	JAMES DONDERO Subscribed and sworn to before me this day of 2021.	Page 483
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	DONDERO - 10/29/21 my time? MR. MORRIS: Okay. I appreciate it. Thank you very much. 1:00 o'clock Central, it is, next Thursday for the continuation of this. And hopefully I will finish that day, you know, if we can go without a lot of breaks and the rest of it. Hopefully I can finish that day. My intention is to do that. Okay? THE WITNESS: Perfect. Thank you. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Can – can I get the rough? COURT REPORTER: Yes. Yes. MR. MORRIS: All right. We can go off the record. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Thank you. COURT REPORTER: Thank you. COURT REPORTER: Thank you. VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record, 3:53.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	JAMES DONDERO Subscribed and sworn to before me this day of 2021.	Page 483
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	DONDERO - 10/29/21 my time? MR. MORRIS: Okay. I appreciate it. Thank you very much. 1:00 o'clock Central, it is, next Thursday for the continuation of this. And hopefully I will finish that day, you know, if we can go without a lot of breaks and the rest of it. Hopefully I can finish that day. My intention is to do that. Okay? THE WITNESS: Perfect. Thank you. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Can – can I get the rough? COURT REPORTER: Yes. Yes. MR. MORRIS: All right. We can go off the record. MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Thank you. COURT REPORTER: Thank you. COURT REPORTER: Thank you. VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the record, 3:53.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	JAMES DONDERO Subscribed and sworn to before me this day of 2021.	Page 483

Caae@210600655gjpDoc148634Fffedc012061221 Elateredc012061221184187432 Plage753 of 899

	F	Page 484			Page 485
1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	.	1	DONDERO - 10/29/21	
2	CERTIFICATE			NAME OF CASE: In re: Highland Capital	
3			3	DATE OF DEPOSITION: October 29, 2021	
4	I, SUSAN S. KLINGER, a certified shorthand		4	NAME OF WITNESS: James Dondero	
5	reporter within and for the State of Texas, do		5	Reason Codes:	
6	hereby certify:		6	1. To clarify the record.	
7	That JAMES DONDERO, the witness whose		7	2. To conform to the facts.	
8	deposition is hereinbefore set forth, was duly		8	3. To correct transcription errors.	
9	sworn by me and that such deposition is a true		9	PageLineReason	
10	record of the testimony given by such witness.		10	Fromto	
11	I further certify that I am not related to		11	PageLineReason	
12	any of the parties to this action by blood or		12	Fromto	
13	marriage; and that I am in no way interested in		13	PageLineReason	
14	the outcome of this matter.		14	Fromto	
15	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my		15	PageLineReason	
16	hand this 29th of October, 2021.		16	Fromto	
17			17	PageLineReason	
18			18	Fromto	
19	Susan S. Klinger, RMR-CRR, CSR		19	PageLineReason	
20	Texas CSR# 6531		20	Fromto	
21			21	PageLineReason	
22			22	Fromto	
23			23	PageLineReason	
24			24	Fromto	
25			25		

Index: \$100..84

	341:1 342:1 343:1	11:16 318:8,10	2020 291:11 336:25	449:6,13 450:11
\$	344:1 345:1 346:1	11th 310:11 324:4	371:11,15,16 372:15	451:3 453:23 455:8
	347:1 348:1 349:1	440:21	373:22 374:6,9,21	461:21
\$100 294:8	350:1 351:1 352:1		393:17 394:2,9,16	35 292:7 309:13
\$14 392:5	353:1 354:1 355:1	12 298:13,16	395:14 397:4,25	
p14 392.3	356:1 357:1 358:1	12-month 420:12	415:8 439:8,17	37 323:18
\$150,000 434:2,14	359:1 360:1 361:1		440:16,21 445:22	3:00 445:8
435:3,9 437:17	362:1 363:1 364:1	12:40 381:8,9	446:5 456:3,13,22	
\$200 294:2	365:1 366:1 367:1 368:1 369:1 370:1	12:51 381:9	459:2,9,13,16 461:20	3:19 454:17,19
-	371:1 372:1 373:1	40 057 10	463:3 464:21,25 465:13 466:16	3:53 482:20,21
\$25 296:18	374:1 375:1 376:1	13 357:19	467:22 468:14,25	9 rd 400.7 47 440.0 40
\$27 420:12	377:1 378:1 379:1	14 391:25	469:12	3rd 439:7,17 440:6,16 464:3,5,21,25 466:16
-	380:1 381:1 382:1	15 380:10,11 421:17		404.3,3,21,25 400.10
\$27.675 419:17	383:1 384:1 385:1	431:8	2021 288:7 357:6	
30 407:22 412:4	386:1 387:1 388:1	431.0	363:17	4
413:5,11	389:1 390:1 391:1	16 362:13,16,18,19	21 337:2	
\$30.75 408:18	392:1 393:1 394:1	391:25		4 393:4 412:23 433:21
930.75 408:18	395:1 396:1 397:1	17 377:21,22	25 292:15,16 422:3,	436:3 448:3,14,20,23
\$400,000 437:4	398:1 399:1 400:1		18	464:11,12,13,18
\$50 296:15	401:1 402:1 403:1	1994 291:10	25-year 293:15	40 365:2
-	404:1 405:1 406:1	1:00 481:25 482:4	-	
\$600 298:12	407:1 408:1 409:1		26 292:16 422:3,19	47 345:5,6
\$75 294:11	410:1 411:1 412:1	1:13 398:19,20	27 480:20	48 353:11,12
ψιο 204.11	413:1 414:1 415:1	1:45 398:18	28 480:9	
	416:1 417:1 418:1	4.40,000,00,00		49 354:5,6
0	419:1 420:1 421:1	1:48 398:20,22	28th 435:4	
	422:1 423:1 424:1		29 288:7	5
03 402:14	425:1 426:1 427:1	2		
	428:1 429:1 430:1		2:13 398:15	5 298:11 414:6 439:15
1	431:1 432:1 433:1 434:1 435:1 436:1	2 288:4 408:8,10,13,	2:45 398:18	445:25 455:11,14,18
	437:1 438:1 439:1	21 436:3,4,5 464:10,		464:18
1 288:4 408:12,15	440:1 441:1 442:1	11,17	2:56 454:16,17	
433:21,23,24 466:8,9	443:1 444:1 445:1	2.1 409:13		50 405:17 429:24
	446:1 447:1 448:1	2.1 409.13	3	57-page 480:20
10 298:13,15 318:3	449:1 450:1 451:1	20 414:24 421:17		
454:8	452:1 453:1 454:1	2008 292:11 426:21	3 348:11 433:14,15,	6
10/29/21 288:1 289:1	455:1 456:1 457:1	428:12 429:7	18,23 436:3,13	0
290:1 291:1 292:1	458:1 459:1 460:1		439:15 464:17	
293:1 294:1 295:1	461:1 462:1 463:1	2010 307:12	466:11	6 446:15,16 448:17,
296:1 297:1 298:1	464:1 465:1 466:1	2014 420:13 450:23		19,22
299:1 300:1 301:1	467:1 468:1 469:1		30(b)(6) 345:2,10,13	
302:1 303:1 304:1	470:1 471:1 472:1	2015 401:21 420:13	353:14,18 354:7,13	8
305:1 306:1 307:1	473:1 474:1 475:1	450:23	363:3 370:21 376:4,	
308:1 309:1 310:1	476:1 477:1 478:1	2017 408:17,24	19 378:3 388:22 391:5 406:5 480:5	8 298:13,15
311:1 312:1 313:1	479:1 480:1 481:1	410:23 411:8 419:12	391.3 400.3 480.3	
314:1 315:1 316:1	482:1	447:2,24 449:6,13	30(b)(6)s 386:22	80 390:4,7
317:1 318:1 319:1	102 365:10	450:11 451:3 452:15	387:23	81 390:7 398:12
320:1 321:1 322:1		455:8 463:24	30-year 451:10,13,17	
323:1 324:1 325:1	10:21 288:8	2018 260.45 425.4		82 357:20,25 390:7
326:1 327:1 328:1	10:41 304:6,7	2018 369:15 435:4	31 354:22,23 402:9,	398:12 403:4,6 422:8
329:1 330:1 331:1		436:10 463:25	13,23 472:14,17	423:10 472:14,18,21
332:1 333:1 334:1	10:47 304:7,9	2019 310:11 324:5	31st 408:16,24	83 357:18,25 358:19
335:1 336:1 337:1	11:08 318:7,8	369:19 436:22 437:4,	410:23 419:12 447:2	84 359:12,13
338:1 339:1 340:1		17 463:25		

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

85	359:21
86	359:23 360:13

88 360:16 361:14 362:9

9

- **91** 357:21
- 94 291:8 365:2,10,15 370:8
- 95 370:14,15,23 375:22
- **96** 370:24 375:22 378:10,17,20 379:14, 24
- **97** 380:2
- 98 380:3
- 9th 291:11 374:9

Α

a.m. 288:8 304:7 318:8

- ability 289:25 353:23 477:6
- absolutely 375:15 458:18

absolve 376:20

accelerate 414:12

acceleration 377:17 412:23 414:13

accepted 392:22

- accepting 377:14
- access 425:25 431:15.25
- accommodate 317:25

accordance 418:16, 23

account 331:3 338:14 372:2

accountants 371:5 451:14

accounting 299:8, 299:3.21 300:7 19 300:4 319:25 324:15 334:12,19 382:23,24 383:9 397:13 410:16.20.25 411:12,15 416:5 418:2 459:6 accounts 319:9,12, 17,21 328:19,22 329:2,8 accrue 373:12 accurate 343:13.15 357:15 364:24 379:10 accurately 334:16 acknowledge 360:6 acknowledges 470:21

acting 340:15 371:24 372:12 396:25 442:25 462:25 465:10

activities 472:7

actual 438:4

add 392:4 additional 361:16 392:5 426:4

addressed 464:20

adjourn 290:4 adjourned 482:21

adjust 291:24 442:10 administered

416:11 417:21 administering 415:3

advance 352:25

advisor 308:11,12, 13,14

advisors 306:8 322:13 324:4,9 326:2,5 335:20,22 374:20 375:6 466:23 471:23

affected 478:10 affiliate 298:4,22

301:3.9 344:12 452:23 **affiliated** 297:16,24 314:22 315:8 325:3, 11,12,17 344:14 426:15

affiliates 344:22 413:12,13 426:22 467:21 468:16

affirmative 347:7,11, 14 348:8 357:21 358:6,20 359:3,19,24 360:12,25 361:13 362:9 365:11 366:7 370:15,22 375:21 376:9,17 378:11 379:23 380:4 389:13 390:9 391:7 398:11 406:20

affixed 434:14 435:7

afoot 438:22

afternoon 479:11 481:8.17.19

aggregate 293:23 295:16 297:25 419:8

aggregating 411:10

agree 400:8 446:25

agreed 301:15 430:5

agreement 335:15, 17,24 336:5,6,9,15, 25 337:5,6 356:4 361:22 381:11,20,24 382:13,21 404:16,24 405:3 407:10.12 420:25 421:5 427:20 449:20 472:6,22 474:8 475:19 476:22

agreements 335:23 336:2 339:23 375:5 399:8,16 400:10,11, 23 401:4,16 403:7, 11,14,20 404:2,8 405:15 406:21 420:21 422:7,23 423:9 426:15,22 427:3 443:10,20 472:11 473:9,21 474:22 475:6 476:19 477:9,24 478:7,10,15 air 423:20 alleged 360:18 allowed 435:7 alter 474:9 altered 476:21

ahead 437:22 441:21

alternative 291:18 361:6 452:2

amassed 424:19 425:5 431:8

amend 320:19

amended 346:18 355:2.20 356:3.5 357:14 362:15,24 364:23 379:9 389:3 402:24 476:21

amortizations 475:18

amount 293:23 294:12 295:17 298:5. 21 299:3 360:7 385:11 391:21 392:2, 6 393:6 407:22 408:17 417:20 419:16,22 422:13 430:6 435:3 460:18 480:23

amounts 333:5 344:21,23 367:12 372:6 377:13 382:6 392:21.25 420:3 424:21 427:4 431:9 448:6 450:17 460:19, 22

and/or 334:14 338:6 364:2 365:18 412:2 475:18

animation 396:22

annoyed 391:22 392:19

annual 369:23 377:2 409:16,22 410:8 453:22 460:10

answers 345:24 347:16.18 405:25 406:22 407:4 439:4 anvtime 371:16

Index: 85..aspect

Apologies 423:24

apologize 288:21 295:12 297:21 301:19 332:5 387:17 422:16 423:18 425:8, 18 445:3 448:21 455:4 464:10

appearance 445:5

appearances 288:17

appears 311:7 314:9

applied 403:15

applies 406:13

apply 333:3 368:15 403:21 404:3,9 405:8,9

appoint 301:23 302:14 311:3 323:14

appointed 301:12,14 302:18 321:6

approval 312:4

approve 312:11

approved 312:19 357:4

approving 364:10

approximate 408:17

approximately 407:22 419:17

April 310:11 324:4 450:23

arbitrary 290:19

area 292:18

argue 393:13

argument 377:17 386:10 393:8,10 446:13 465:6,7

articulate 330:23

articulated 421:10 468:21

aspect 337:10 363:25 370:11 372:5 379:5

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

			Index:	assertedcapacity
asserted 358:20 366:13 assertion 379:16 430:3 431:12 asserts 359:24 360:16 365:16 asset 291:19 298:16 308:10 376:25 412:20 421:14 assets 292:4 299:9 330:16 334:14 assigned 300:20	authorized 316:12, 18 319:8,11,16,20 328:18,21,25 329:7 363:15 371:25 391:19 434:21 438:2 463:11,19 authorizing 364:10 automated 438:3 avoid 475:15 aware 311:18 312:14 314:18,24 315:4 317:7,11 324:18,19,	balance 293:8 298:9 299:4,22 300:8 301:4,10 326:23 327:3,7 405:18 412:2 413:19 418:4 balances 319:24 ballpark 295:18 bank 319:9,12,17,21 328:18,22,25 329:8 425:2,3 bankruptcy 300:6, 15 302:21 315:24,25	Index: betrayed 468:6 big 421:10 bigger 393:11 billion 292:7 binder 346:22 362:19 433:17 binding 345:25 bit 328:3 337:20 345:11 356:17 407:16 blocked 378:13	break 317:23 318:4, 12 380:15,19,21 398:17 399:4 454:7, 23 455:6 breaks 482:9 Brian 324:14 bring 395:6 broad 366:5 bucks 377:3,4 391:25 393:12 421:16 430:14
assume 311:22 342:11 408:25 435:5 438:5 assumed 470:18	24 325:5 327:6 330:10 334:4,6 340:10,12 346:16,17 351:13 352:10,19 357:9,11,12 358:5 359:18 364:14,18,21	366:13 413:14 barred 358:21 359:15 360:2,17 365:17 370:16 base 298:16	blurt 441:18 board 425:2 431:21 481:4 boilerplate 410:17	building 338:18 built 339:5 bullet 416:8 bunch 309:4
assuming 415:20 attached 444:10,18 attention 439:21	365:20 367:20 368:4 369:22 370:6 372:12, 19,25 373:2 376:16 378:8 379:17 389:10 390:8 397:21 398:11	based 324:16 398:5 467:25 basic 416:19 459:5	bone 373:8 418:18, 19,20 419:23 421:19 457:10	burden 327:13 business 291:14 308:5 323:4 330:15 342:6 384:17 385:7
attorney 320:18 321:8 attorneys 429:7 audit 320:2 334:19 411:3,16 416:6	402:4 428:13,18 429:13 434:13 435:13,15 437:16,20 439:7 442:2,11 444:8,19,24 453:21 455:17 466:15	basis 294:5 344:9 Bates 444:20,23 begin 289:6,12,18 beginning 288:4 355:25 357:5 363:16	Bonds 363:23 364:4 bonuses 322:9 424:24 book 309:16	C calculating 334:8 calculation 393:2
426:10 audited 299:10,25 327:18 340:9 412:11, 17 428:11 429:6 430:7,22 432:6,12 470:8	468:18,19 awareness 320:6,8 321:4 322:10 324:12 325:8 332:16 333:4 344:10,18 352:13 366:19 373:17	465:12 behalf 293:4 316:13, 19 349:12 353:23 354:8 363:16 364:11 371:12,24 372:7,13	booklet 448:25 books 298:23 397:19 borrow 295:7 420:5 borrowed 295:3,8,13 420:2,11 437:3,17	calendar 409:17 454:11 calendars 479:13 481:11 call 400:16 450:25
auditor 426:14 427:9 auditors 334:17 373:10 411:12 416:7 426:22 427:14 435:14 451:14 454:5 audits 327:14 334:11	401:22,23 456:25 B back 294:19 304:8 318:9 320:25 336:17	386:17 387:5 388:12 389:8 394:8,16 396:25 404:10 408:24 409:7 411:17 415:4 418:13 419:20 436:10 437:14 443:2 449:12 451:6 455:7 456:18 462:17,25	448:6 450:15 452:14 borrower 409:15 bottom 310:6 323:23 boy 336:17 383:13 416:16 417:8 422:9 473:14	464:9 called 306:7 322:12 329:18 341:8 345:9 357:21 389:15 402:2 462:10 calls 349:24 350:3,9,
337:23 August 356:4 420:13 authoritative 310:20 authority 301:22,23	338:17 381:3,13 388:19 392:5,24 397:10,11 398:21 401:5 424:2,10 428:18 429:7 430:3 454:18 462:11 472:10,14 475:3	463:18 464:20 465:10 469:9,10 480:5 believes 469:15 benefit 339:4 385:10	Boyce 301:15 breach 391:15 392:2, 17 breached 303:11	17,22 351:2 capable 290:7 458:22 capacities 325:3 capacity 303:5
302:13,14 310:5 317:9,13 451:5 authorize 371:18 389:7 420:5 436:9	background 324:15 357:4 bad 405:7 416:16 417:8	benefiting 385:9 benefits 384:20 Berghman 445:7	304:14 305:3,13,15, 22 breaches 471:9,11, 12 472:4	311:2,19 314:4,7 315:20 331:25 332:9 345:8,9,20,24 351:3 363:3 371:16 378:3 388:22 393:14

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

399:25 406:5 480:4

Capital 288:6 290:23 294:10,15,21 295:4, 6,14,21,23 296:3,9 306:7 311:21 329:18 362:23 405:21 434:15 457:24 466:22 471:22,23

captured 308:10 334:16 370:11

care 445:20

carefully 372:11 468:11

carried 298:22 299:4,22 300:8 301:3,10 327:4

case 363:25 378:12 383:5

cases 460:19

cash 383:18 384:13 421:11

categorized 292:24

category 361:9

caused 470:16

caveat 427:17 441:21

ceased 305:11

Central 398:18 482:4

centralized 417:22

certificate 309:23 310:2,10 311:8 313:13 320:24 323:20 331:20 340:12,20 342:12 398:6 436:25

certificates 313:15 342:21

cetera 320:2 334:5 367:13 389:24 392:12,15 393:2 433:2

CFO 299:24 301:13, 23 302:15,18 303:6 311:12,20 313:7,10, 11,19 314:4 440:3

 chance
 331:3
 351:6
 c

 change
 341:13,14
 362:12
 474:6
 c

changed 299:17 476:21 477:6

chagrin 345:11

characterize 333:12 characterizing

409:14

charged 299:2 333:21 364:9

charging 386:7 chat 309:18 355:11

check 426:5 479:12

checks 319:24 chief 303:2 331:23,

choice 345:15

25 332:9

circumstances 348:7 375:12,21 413:8

cited 472:7

claim 360:17

claims 358:21 359:14,25 365:16 370:16

clarification 332:6 378:18 423:8

clarify 337:20

class 400:14,19 427:21

clause 415:9

clauses 416:17

clean 304:11

cleaner 423:6,7 **cleanup** 406:9

clear 295:11 304:24, 25 322:2 335:5 417:11 431:4 432:15 479:25

Cliff 300:17

CLO 338:22 385:5 close 434:12,18,19 436:6 480:10 closed 360:3 closely 410:3 411:23 collateral 413:16 417:7

colleague 309:12

collectively 451:3

combination 310:5

comfortable 390:13 391:10 398:23

commenced 404:5, 11 405:6 433:10

commencement 441:7,9 443:4,8,18 455:23

comment 306:3 338:18 396:18 432:4

common 421:18

communicate 318:11 350:4

communications 348:7 441:18 442:6

comp 361:6,8,16 423:5

companies 311:20 314:13 315:7 325:4, 12 344:14 361:21 412:21 421:19,22 431:19

company 297:4 314:23 325:17 415:2

compared 404:18

compel 395:7

compensation 322:9 404:16,18 421:8,11,21 422:6,22 423:2,13 424:22 430:16 443:24 446:12

complaint 346:18 355:2,20 356:3 358:15 362:15,24 389:3 402:24 408:9,

TSG Reporting - Worldwide

16 433:23 448:15 **complaints** 356:5 407:6

complete 290:5 334:13 335:2 343:12, 15 357:14 364:23 379:10 405:16

completely 391:3 397:7

completeness 327:24

complex 401:25

complexity 363:24

compliance 327:14 371:8 393:3 411:14 462:12

compliant 319:25 334:11 418:2

compliantly 320:7

complicated 386:6

comport 303:6

concede 433:5

concern 333:17 358:6 359:2,18 360:25 361:13 362:8 365:21 370:21 390:9

concerned 411:24 453:14

concerns 347:6 348:11

concluding 328:11

conclusion 452:13

conclusions 305:18

condition 407:11 429:12,16 474:4 477:5

conditioner 423:20

conditions 413:6,10 473:15,17 475:20 476:11,12 477:4

confirm 401:8 462:3

confirmed 462:10 confusing 456:8

877-702-9580

connection 294:17 353:7 426:9

Index: Capital..copy

consideration 360:3

considered 315:5 325:24

consistent 407:6 419:24

consolidated 447:22

contained 430:7

contemporaneousl y 442:12

contend 420:19 424:5 473:8

contended 367:3

contends 461:3

content 416:8

contention 403:13, 19,25 423:17 458:14 460:21 463:15

contentious 461:2

context 335:11 400:10 460:21.22

contingent 427:5

continuation 482:5 continue 290:4.20

389:22 400:6 479:7

contracted 471:15

control 297:2 307:6,

19.23 308:2 311:3

315:12.20 322:21

333:16 341:24

330:5,9 331:7 332:18

371:17 388:5 393:15

410:4 429:22 431:19

controlled 307:14

374:20 385:15

controls 320:2

conversation

457:12

323:6 325:13 342:2

convenience 481:3

copy 355:18 356:21,

22,23 402:20 434:7

APP 759

			Index: Co	ornerstonedemands
448:25	court 288:9 303:14,	23 481:4 482:8,10	deemed 426:16	356:20 359:4 361:25
Cornerstone 427:19	21,24 355:25 366:13	days 349:19,20	427:3,12	365:4 366:8,17,22
	374:24 381:17 395:7		deeply 453:12	367:6 369:7,25 373:5
corporate 312:7	396:5,8 482:15,19	de 297:25 298:4,7,17	. ,	376:10,18 379:11
320:18 321:7 323:7 330:8 342:3 345:21,	cover 480:23	333:5,7,15 334:7,14 343:21,22 376:24	default 368:21 377:8 391:20 392:20 393:5	380:13,20,25 381:6 382:15 383:17 384:4,
25 354:9 405:10	crazy 415:8	377:3 391:20 393:20	412:23	9,22 385:17,24
406:24 407:3,12	-	410:13 411:25 412:2		386:20 387:8,14,18,
458:13 459:20	create 291:7 330:17, 19 413:15	413:18 417:20	defendant 362:23 404:17	21 388:15 390:14,19,
460:10 461:3,13		421:13 458:20	-	21 394:3,10,17
462:17 463:2,14,18 469:10	created 307:9,15 322:24 330:11 339:6	dealing 372:22	defendants 289:7 defense 347:11,14	395:12,18,22 396:3, 9,21 397:5,9 399:11,
correct 290:24 295:4	creatures 365:5	Deborah 288:14,18	358:6,20 359:3,19,24	19,21 400:3 401:11,
301:11,24 306:8		291:25 303:22	360:12,25 361:6,13	18 402:13,18 405:11 406:14 409:9,18,25
313:3 316:5 322:13	credit 291:17 411:4	349:17 350:5 351:5 381:4 383:21 388:9	362:9 365:21 366:2,	400.14 409.9,18,25
325:14 328:15	creditors 452:24	390:18 425:8 428:22	7,14 367:5 368:14	25 423:21 425:14,23
335:15 337:17	crisis 292:12	445:5	370:8,15,22 378:17,	426:17 428:5,21,23
339:24 342:24 351:19 374:6,9	culpa 406:16	debt 333:6 334:5	20 379:16,18,23 389:20 391:7 406:20	429:8 430:25 432:13
389:18 398:2 402:15		421:19 453:10,16	459:21,25 460:8	433:11,16,19 434:8,
404:12 405:14 412:9,	cure 377:15 392:21		461:3,8,9	17 438:10 441:3,16 444:8,16 445:24
18 416:3 419:22	393:6	debtholders 453:13	defenses 347:7	447:11 448:19,24
420:22 424:7 435:10	curing 377:11,12	debtor 367:22	348:9 357:22 365:11	449:22 452:10 453:7,
436:22 438:18 440:2, 17 442:3 447:6,10,19	current 321:14,18	374:21 428:8,10	375:22 376:9,17	24 455:19 456:4,10,
449:7 450:6,11,13	329:5,12 340:25	443:15 444:15	378:11 380:4 389:14	14 457:6,16,19
451:7,10,22,23 452:4	405:18	debts 297:8,14	390:9 398:12 443:3	460:13 461:16,23
456:3,13,22 457:5	curved 374:24	315:14,21 316:3,8	459:20 461:13	462:6,21 463:6,22 464:13 465:14,19,25
458:9 459:10,13,16	cut-and-paste	318:17,22 326:7,12, 17 327:10 328:7	deficiently 471:16	467:4 470:3,10 471:2
465:24 468:25 469:6	406:17	332:20 333:2,23	definable 385:10	473:12 474:2,11,15
470:9 473:6,19 476:4,16,24 477:12,	400.17	340:5 343:6,18 344:6	define 298:7,17	475:2,12 476:5,25
15,17 478:3	D	December 371:11,	317:2 414:21	478:23 479:21
		15 373:21 374:12,17,	_	480:13,21,24 481:10 482:13,18
correctly 320:7 335:12 404:7,22	DAF 339:2,5 385:2,5,	21,25 395:14 397:3,	defined 317:18	
411:2 414:15	19,22 386:5,18 387:6	25 439:7,17 440:6,	411:11 419:12 426:23	delegate 317:19
	388:13	16,21 445:22 446:5		deluded 375:16
correspondence 471:20	date 288:7 310:15	453:23 459:2,9,12,16 461:20,21 463:3	defining 377:14	demand 333:19
	311:10 324:9 325:21	464:3,5,21,25 465:13	definition 416:23	348:12,15 368:15
cost 385:11	428:24	466:16 467:21	definitively 340:10	414:10 439:8,11
costs 333:7 334:5	dated 408:16 439:17	468:14,25 469:12	Deitsch-perez	440:7,9,17,23 441:6,
337:21 338:5,8	464:21	decide 385:21	288:16,18 291:21	11 442:2 443:3 444:4
counsel 288:19	dates 369:9,10	386:16 417:16 480:3	292:2 294:3,16,19,24	445:24 447:22 464:24 465:12,23
289:6,8,9 355:18		decided 297:3 386:8	296:11,23 297:10	466:17 467:2,9,19
counsel's 353:25	Dave 352:10	420:24 451:12	301:25 304:16 305:5,	468:14,15 469:11
354:16	David 300:17	deciding 417:25	25 309:15 311:5	476:2,3,10,15,20
counterparties	Davor 317:22,25		315:16 317:21 318:5, 24 321:21 324:21	477:6,21,23 478:11
377:2	445:2	decision 387:5,12,	326:8,19 327:20	demanded 445:23
couple 300:14,18	day 290:5 336:18	13,19 388:6,12	329:9 331:9 332:11	446:6,10 477:7
349:19,20 350:2	352:16 386:15	429:17	335:16 337:15 340:6	demanding 464:5
421:16 428:15 445:3	410:23 435:12	declared 368:20	343:19 344:7 346:3	demands 468:24
	452:15 479:10,19,22,	deed 416:15	347:3,21,25 348:5,18 349:23 355:3,7,13	469:5
			543.25 555.3,7,15	
	1	1	1	·

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Index: demonstrate..end

			1114.011	
demonstrate 424:14	disclose 426:14	304:1,11 305:1,9	464:1 465:1 466:1	
		306:1 307:1 308:1	467:1 468:1 469:1	E
department 382:23,	disclosed 412:15	309:1,20 310:1 311:1	470:1 471:1 472:1,19	
24 383:2 411:12	426:21 427:9,13	312:1 313:1 314:1	473:1 474:1,20 475:1	earlier 320:24 324:12
417:23	430:24 432:11 433:3	315:1 316:1 317:1	476:1 477:1 478:1	367:18 379:19 406:8
depend 383:4	disclosure 427:23	318:1,11 319:1 320:1	479:1,5,8 480:1,3	415:10 436:24
-	432:7,21,24 441:20	321:1 322:1 323:1	481:1,2 482:1	415.10 430.24
deposed 352:9,11,	470:7	324:1 325:1 326:1		early 337:2 356:9
19	470.7	327:1 328:1 329:1	Dondero's 386:23	374:11,17 467:21
dependition 2005 20	discovery 349:7,13	330:1 331:1 332:1	387:24 401:6 402:16	468:13 469:12
deposition 288:5,20, 25 289:18,22 290:5	425:19,21 444:7,13	333:1 334:1 335:1	double-check	
, ·	discuss 306:5 401:3	336:1 337:1 338:1	425:15	easier 393:12
346:21 349:16 350:6,		339:1 340:1 341:1	425.15	Eastern 398:16
16 351:8,11,14,18,21	441:10,13 480:2		doubt 324:7 409:11	
352:4,7,14 353:2,3,8	discussing 442:19	342:1 343:1 344:1		effective 310:11
363:7 376:19 386:23		345:1,7 346:1 347:1	dozen 350:7 422:12	324:4
399:5 400:4 408:12	discussion 444:3	348:1 349:1 350:1	425:6 431:8	effectively 338:21
444:19 454:24	disrupt 400:4	351:1 352:1 353:1	dozens 293:19,21	419:7
479:14 482:21	-	354:1,24 355:1,17		419.7
depth 334:13	distinguish 457:21	356:1,14 357:1 358:1	drafted 410:15	effort 424:13
-	diversified 291:17	359:1 360:1 361:1	draw 452:12	
describe 291:13		362:1 363:1 364:1	GIGW 452.12	efforts 382:5 424:18
332:24 410:12	doctrine 365:17	365:1 366:1 367:1	driven 454:4	eight-day 440:20
456:17 461:12	370:17	368:1 369:1 370:1	drapped (22,20	
describes 403:6		371:1 372:1,10 373:1	dropped 423:20	electronic 438:9,14,
	document 309:12	374:1 375:1 376:1	DSI 414:24	18
472:21	310:7,21 313:20	377:1 378:1 379:1		electronically
describing 429:3	317:6 320:18 345:4,	380:1 381:1 382:1	dual 321:23	439:2,3
432:8	16 355:24 356:9,16,	383:1,22 384:1,6,7	due 297:24 316:14	439.2,3
	18 357:5,9,13	385:1 386:1 387:1	327:4 344:13,21	Ellis 363:23 364:4
deserve 344:3	363:10,16,20 364:11,	388:1 389:1 390:1,5,	358:22 359:15 360:2	
detail 334:17 378:14	15,19,22 366:12,20	22 391:1 392:1 393:1	366:15 371:20 372:5,	else's 454:11
471:19	378:6,9 379:6,8	394:1 395:1,13 396:1	15 373:3 389:23	email 353:9 445:17
471.13	380:17 381:10	397:1 398:1,23 399:1	391:20 392:25	
detailed 344:9	388:20 389:2,5,8,11	400:1,9 401:1,8	393:13,17,21 394:2	emails 353:6
details 301:21	402:8 435:8 447:18	402:1,23 403:1	395:16 397:3 408:2	employed 308:17
337:24 366:4	466:11 470:23 471:5	404:1,17 405:1		319:15 322:2 383:12
337.24 300.4	documentation	406:1,25 407:1 408:1	409:23 440:8 456:2,	
di 333:12		409:1 410:1 411:1	12,21 457:4 458:8,20	employee 317:20
	342:21 431:12,17,24	412:1 413:1 414:1	460:11 461:14,21	320:11 321:15,19,20,
differentiate 333:24	documents 320:19	415:1 416:1 417:1	462:4 463:5 479:20	24 329:5,13 340:16
dig 369:9	334:18 348:7 352:24	418:1 419:1 420:1	Dugaboy 306:22	341:2 398:5 422:6,22
	367:23 370:5 425:11,	421:1 422:1 423:1	338:6 400:12,16,23	458:21
direct 329:23 337:21	22,24,25 438:14	424:1,5 425:1,11	401:9,17 403:8,14,21	omployage 271.6
338:7 341:18 371:17		426:1,8 427:1 428:1	404:2 405:4 420:21	employees 371:6
directed 462:18	dog 387:15,16	429:1 430:1 431:1	421:2,6 422:24	392:11 422:12
463:13,19 469:4	dollar 386:3	432:1 433:1 434:1	427:20 443:11,20	458:25 459:4,5,9
			472:12,23 473:10,22	472:6
direction 463:21	dollars 391:22	435:1 436:1 437:1	474:22,23 475:6	end 369:24 371:21
directly 306:21 307:3	393:10	438:1 439:1 440:1	476:19 477:10,25	373:3,14 375:3,14
314:5,8,13 322:19	Dondero 288:1,5,11,	441:1,25 442:1 443:1		391:16,17 393:17
	19,22 289:1,15 290:1	444:1,13,15 445:1	duly 288:12	394:2,8,15 395:17
330:3	291:1,23 292:1,3	446:1 447:1 448:1	duplicate 355:15	409:16,23 410:8
directors 431:22		449:1 450:1 451:1		411:8 414:24 415:8
	293:1 294:1 295:1,3	452:1 453:1 454:1,14	duties 297:6 303:2,	447:23 456:2,12,21
disagree 324:11	296:1 297:1 298:1	455:1 456:1 457:1	12 304:14 305:4,13,	457:4 458:15 460:11
disappeared 294:17	299:1 300:1 301:1	458:1 459:1 460:1	15,23 328:12,14	461:15 462:5,19,23
	302:1 303:1,17,20	461:1 462:1 463:1		701.10 702.0,13,20
	-			

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

ensure 393:25 estimate 290:21 296:8 350:14 enter 452:19 estoppel 347:17 entered 336:14 359:15 370:17 381:24 382:12 399:7, 15 400:22 401:16 event 427:8 403:11,14,20 404:25 eventually 368:11 405:4 407:10 472:11, 469:14 22 473:9,22 476:18 477:9,24 evidence 330:24 418:8 entering 400:11 exact 406:21 enterprise 343:24 388:5 **EXAMINATION** 289:13 entire 431:21 examining 480:11 entities 306:20 311:15,24 325:7,8 examples 425:6 333:4,8 335:7,25 431:9 336:4,8,11,20 337:25 exceeded 293:25 338:7 340:10 343:9 345:10 377:7 382:5, exchange 337:7 25 384:19 385:16 339:12 406:6.24 407:13 execute 330:21 423:3 426:15 458:21 472:2 **executed** 403:16,21 404:3,9 405:9 411:7 entities' 407:4 455:7 entitled 414:7 480:17 **executive** 316:21 entity 291:3 306:7,11 317:18 327:23 413:4. 311:4 322:12 329:18 9 330:9 331:7 333:6 executives 325:6 338:2 341:7,10,16 413:20 424:25 425:2 342:9 383:5 385:20 431:10 432:19 413:19 429:21 469:22 exercise 302:13 entity's 332:20 exhibit 309:13 323:17 345:5,6 entrepreneurial 353:11,12 354:4,6, 382:5 22,23 357:20 362:13, equal 360:7 448:7 16 377:21,22 380:10, 11 402:9,23 408:8, equity 385:6 452:24 10,12,15 419:5,9 453:5 420:3,7 433:14,15, equity-ish 453:12 18,23,24 436:4,5,13 437:12 439:15 equivalent 360:21 445:25 446:7,15,16 error 406:17 471:24 447:18 448:3,8,14, 17,19,20,22 450:5,8, established 405:15 17 456:7 464:10,11, estate 323:5 341:11 12,13,17,18 466:8,9, 11 472:14,17 342:7 374:3 375:17, 18 385:8 392:12 exhibits 433:21 468:6 436:3 464:17

existence 323:7 330:8 342:3 exists 461:4 expect 479:4,17 480:9 expectation 392:15 expectations 303:7 expected 338:6 393:20 **expenses** 316:23 338:16 expert 292:18 expertly 334:21 experts 421:24 extended 375:8 extent 301:8 312:11 313:23 316:7 325:10 332:21 334:6 338:25 361:16 371:2 391:12, 13 396:17 427:13 eyes 471:17 F facility 411:4 fact 368:4,7 376:18 387:24 421:9 430:21 463:4 facts 348:8 358:5.25 359:17 360:11,24 361:12 362:8 365:20 366:6 368:9 370:6,20 375:12,20 376:8,15 377:11,16 379:17,22 380:3 390:9,15 391:6 398:10 failed 318:20 319:5 328:5,12 failure 360:2 fair 290:20 293:2,14, 18,21,22 296:20 297:4 311:9 312:2,5 313:8,24 325:22 331:8 339:19 342:23 344:4 372:21 392:10 406:25 410:5 411:19

415:11.22 427:11 428:3 429:3 432:10 439:6 447:4,7 449:19 451:17,24 452:12 458:5 459:19 461:5 465:9,18 469:3 474:20 475:4,8 478:14 480:23

fairly 409:14 422:12

faith 360:20 fallen 316:9

falls 361:9.17

familiar 297:7 306:6 315:14 318:17 322:12 329:18 341:7 433:8

familiarize 297:14 298:21 315:21 316:2 318:22 326:6,17 327:10 328:7,13 332:19.25 344:5

familiarizing 333:22 340:4 343:5

family 314:12 321:8

fast 381:3

faster 361:19

fault 295:12 441:24

favor 296:3 447:2

features 417:8

fee 338:9 339:2

feel 290:3

feeling 289:3,17,21

fees 308:11 317:3 386:7

fide 373:8 418:18.19. 20 419:23 421:19 457:10

figure 429:17

file 363:15

filed 346:17 355:20, 24 356:2,5 362:14 366:12 374:20 416:15

filing 357:5 364:10

Index: ensure..forgiven 389:7 finally 360:15 financial 292:11 299:10,12 300:11 303:2 327:18 331:25 332:9 337:10 382:7 412:8,17 428:11 429:6,15 430:7,19,22 432:6,12 458:22 470:8 financials 299:25 300:20 340:9 343:13. 15 411:3 412:11 430:11 431:5,7 432:17,24 433:4 470:20 financing 451:25 financings 453:2 **find** 434:6 finding 471:9 fine 341:17 369:13 395:11 finish 290:15 340:24 479:4 482:7,10 finished 480:16 firing 315:5 325:24 firm 363:15 firms 334:12 389:23 fits 454:11 flip 436:2 focus 292:19 344:3 390:6 430:3 focusing 334:8 357:25 413:21 422:16 **follow-up** 388:8 **footnoted** 430:12

forcing 290:6

forgave 422:4 430:15 432:11

forgivable 404:20

forgive 421:20 427:3

forgiven 422:21

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580 geez 480:21

423:4.11 425:4 338:4 340:8.14 427:7.13.24 429:11 343:10.11.16 397:24 430:6,24 431:5 398:7 415:3 436:16, 432:22,23,25 433:4 21 437:13.25 438:25 473:16 441:15 442:16 443:5 456:18 462:10 forgiveness 361:7 466:20 470:17 429:4,20 430:4 431:9,22 440:13 Frank's 299:18 443:24 446:13 465:6, 300:10,14,25 437:23 7 467:25 474:5 438:3 475:20 476:12 477:6 frauds 327:25 forgiving 424:6,15 fraudulent 360:17. 429:18 431:13 19 form 294:4 296:24 free 479:16 297:11 306:2 311:6 315:17 318:25 Friday 479:17 481:2, 321:22 324:22 326:9, 8.19 20 327:20 329:10 friendly 413:13 331:10 340:7 343:19 417:21 344:8 361:25 366:9, 18,23 367:7 370:2 frivolous 470:2 373:6 376:11 379:12 front 348:2 389:21 384:23 385:18.25 388:16 390:15 frozen 302:3 399:12,22 401:12,19 fulfill 318:21 319:5 405:12 407:21 328:5,12 409:10,19 410:2,10 411:21 415:15 416:2 fulfilled 302:25 426:18 428:6 429:9 full 431:19 479:19.22 431:2 434:17 438:11 441:4 447:12 449:23 function 333:25 452:11 453:8,25 334:19 455:20 456:15 457:7 functional 401:23 459:18 460:14 461:17.24 462:7.22 functions 459:6 463:7,23 465:15,20 fund 306:7 317:3,4 466:2 467:4 470:4,11 466:22 471:23 471:3 473:13 474:3, 12 475:13 476:25 **funds** 308:6.8.10.16 309:4 312:23 320:19. formal 335:22.23 20 327:16 336:13 336:2 381:18 384:13 formally 382:18 G formation 315:2 formats 291:19 gained 385:11 found 291:6 392:18 game 474:13 founded 290:23 gather 424:13 Frank 299:20 300:20 gave 382:2 392:20 301:23 302:10 309:7 394:20 395:2.21 310:13 312:7 316:9 413:25 430:13 325:8 327:13 334:2, 440:20 22 335:10 336:18

general 293:7 296:20,25 308:15 312:7 343:20 344:10, 17 364:7 376:24 403:7 generalize 384:24 generally 297:12 323:3,5 325:15 326:10,12 327:6 329:20 332:21,23,25 344:15 357:7 363:21 364:12,18 373:15 389:6 426:19 461:10 472:21 give 331:3 334:25 337:24 342:22 366:6 369:8,10 382:2 394:12,19 395:14 397:16 405:16 440:25 451:12 qiving 399:25 422:22 423:13 glad 351:22 good 308:15 312:8 330:23 360:20 382:8 393:3 413:19 423:22 govern 472:5 grace 414:10 440:20, 25 grade 291:17 green 289:2 **Gregory** 322:6 grounds 312:18 group 299:18 300:4, 11,14,21,25 316:10 319:25 334:2,23 335:10 336:19 338:5 guarantee 417:8 guaranteed 416:16 guess 304:20 310:4 312:11 333:3 347:19 375:25 393:7 429:10 437:25 451:18 456:23,25 457:2,10, 11

gulf 382:10 332:6.9.18 335:11.14 336:16 337:6.13 guys 346:23 432:2 338:14 339:11.19 340:3,16 341:3 н 353:14,17,23,24 362:14 363:14 364:11 365:10,15 haggled 418:6,9 366:12,25 367:14,25 half 350:7 430:14 369:15,18,23 370:21 479:23 371:12,17,19,24 372:13,21 375:14 half-hour 380:19,21 379:19 385:22 398:17 403:22,23 405:20,25 hand 355:16 433:8,10,24 434:15 435:2,9,18,23 handle 363:23,25 436:10,22 437:3,14, 382:24 16 439:8,18,25 Hang 355:7 402:18 440:7,20 441:5,11 428:21 442:8 443:2,10,19 445:23 446:9 447:21 happy 317:25 406:3 451:2 452:7 453:6 479:25 457:3,14,17,21 461:8 hard 355:18 356:22, 465:11 473:5 23 402:20 416:14 HCMS' 340:5 363:3,7 434:6 448:25 457:20 443:2 Harvard 385:4 HCMS's 330:8,15 hats 324:20 333:2.23 353:18 372:2 HCMF 331:24 332:3, 10 339:19,25 457:21 HCRE 288:20 341:6, 8,16,19,24 342:2,16 HCMFA 306:11,13, 343:7,13,15,18 19 307:4,6,8,14,19 354:8,9,14 378:4,21 308:2,17,18,22 381:11,22,23,25 309:2,8 310:10,14, 382:13 383:3,5,11,15 19,23 311:3 313:20 384:7 385:9.21 386:3 314:8.17 315:10.13. 404:3,5 405:19 406:2 20 316:13,18 317:9 447:21 448:2,5,11,16 318:15,22 320:22 449:13 450:9,15,21 321:9.16.20 322:7 451:2 452:7,17,18 333:10,17 336:23 453:2,5 455:7 456:5, 339:22 404:10,11 20 459:3,12 464:20 405:13 457:9 465:24 465:11 473:5 466:3,4 HCRE's 342:6 344:6 HCMFA's 308:5 377:23 311:12 315:14,21 HCRE-TYPE 385:20 316:3,8 318:17 319:9,12,17,20 head 292:2 306:4 333:12 311:25 317:14,16 HCMLP 333:11,19 325:19 340:23 404:21 369:17,21 376:8 HCMS 288:20 hear 289:15 303:14. 329:17,21,24 330:3, 19 335:11 395:24 5,11 331:5,14,18 396:4,5,7,9,10,11,22

Index: forgiveness..hear

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

heard 303:16 352:20 462:9 held 312:17,22 313:5, 18 314:12 320:14,16 325:11,17 465:2 helped 339:16 Hendrix 352:19 hereunder 414:14 Hey 380:13 HFAM 335:20 470:15 471:9,13,15 Highland 288:6 289:9 290:23 291:3, 15 7,14 292:5,15 293:16,20,25 294:10, 14,21 295:4,6,7,14, 21,23 296:3,9 297:3, 7,9,15,23 298:2,4,10, 20,22,25 299:4,22 300:8 301:3.9 302:12,18,24 303:12 304:14 305:4,12,14, 23 306:7 308:14 311:19,20 313:7,19 314:5,12,23 315:8 319:15 320:12 321:7, 8,15,19 322:8 325:2 327:5 329:5,13,18 335:15 336:11,16,24 337:7,8,12,22 338:2, 10,13 339:3,7,12,13, 16,17,20 341:2 7 344:13,22 356:2,5 358:15 360:6 362:23 367:2,11,15 371:7, 19,25 372:2,7,13,20 374:6,12,15 375:4,13 376:25 377:8,13,14 381:12,22,25 382:13, 14 383:12,15 384:8, 16 385:23 386:17 427:21 387:6 388:12 391:24 392:16 393:16 395:15 397:2 398:4, 5,9 400:14 404:5,11 405:21 408:4 412:2 415:5 419:11,22 420:2,12 421:14 422:4,20 423:12,18 445:13 424:6,15 426:21 429:6 430:7,10 431:13 432:11,24

433:10 434:15 435:3. 21 350:19.20 479:4.9 9,19 437:4,17 439:8 440:4,6,17,19,24 442:2,7 443:2,9,17, 18 445:23 446:6.10 447:2 448:6,12 450:10,16,22 452:3 453:4 456:17,18 457:24 458:4,16,23 312:12 460:23 461:4 462:3, 18 463:4,9,11,19,20 465:2 466:16,22 467:19 468:14 469:19 470:15.16 471:13,16,21,22 473:4,6 475:9 476:2, 435:21 Highland's 291:9 298:16 301:13 302:14 303:6 311:12 313:6 327:18 346:18 349:12 354:25 358:14 362:15 370:25 389:3 402:24 403:17,22 412:8,16 426:2,10 432:17 435:14 441:11 476:19.23 highlighted 306:4 highly 334:10 391:22 417:18,19 hired 297:3 historic 322:5 431:5, historically 454:3 history 422:3 hold 294:16 329:6 355:14 423:18 481:9 holders 400:14,19 holding 392:5 holds 314:22 315:7 honorable 428:9 hope 335:8 348:23 351:24 396:15 hour 454:9.12 Inc.'s 362:24 hours 290:13 349:20, TSG Reporting - Worldwide

480:9 481:17 house 308:7 424:25 housed 308:6 hundred 391:21 hundreds 293:15 **HVIN** 469:15 L idea 300:21 331:15 410:15,19 416:7 identified 310:14 324:2 348:16 353:24 459:19 461:2,7 identifies 317:8 identify 299:6 300:3 313:4,17 314:21 321:14,18 328:10 329:12 331:16 340:25 341:5 342:14 366:6 396:24 422:2, 18 471:5 **illiquid** 361:18 imagine 290:12 313:21 319:23 320:3 336:17.21 342:18 367:16,17 383:13 impact 476:22 imperfect 365:5 implying 475:16 important 423:15 importantly 440:12 improper 391:4 **in-person** 350:9,12 inaccuracy 378:18 inaccurate 357:10 364:15.19 378:9 379:6 389:12 inaudible 338:23

420:24 427:2 432:7 **included** 354:13 412:8,12,16 414:18 415:13 430:10 432:17,19 470:7 includes 473:3 including 348:15 421:5 459:8,13,16 **inclusive** 350:16 income 322:3,4 343:24 386:19 387:7 388:14 incorporated 366:2 392:24 incumbency 309:23,25 310:10 311:8 313:13,14 320:23 323:19 331:19 340:12,20 342:11,20 398:6 436:25 incur 316:12,18 incurred 337:22 indefinitely 373:12 independent 385:19 **indirect** 329:23 341:19 indirectly 307:3 322:19 330:3 individual 345:8 351:3 industry 317:16 404:19,21 inform 326:12 443:9 information 344:20 378:13 414:25 424:14 427:18 470:13

informed 443:2

Index: heard..investor

incentive 361:18 **inklina** 413:14 incentives 361:17 inordinate 392:6 421:21 insignificant 424:20 include 297:7 318:16 installment 369:24 409:16,22 453:22 456:2,12,21 458:8 460:11 461:20 **instruct** 371:10 393:15 442:21 443:9 445:21 446:4 461:19 instructed 371:24 372:13 397:2 instruction 372:20 397:16 instructions 395:15 intended 325:20 418:19.20 473:23 intent 339:9 377:5,6 414:12 intention 418:14 482:10 intentionally 304:19 interacted 402:4 intercompany 422:5,17,20 423:4,11 **interest** 329:24 330:3 341:19.21 400:14 408:2 419:10 440:8 450:9 452:20 453:10 interests 306:18 307:4 453:5 interject 390:25 interjecting 445:4 interrelated 423:3 interrogatory 426:5 interrupt 422:14 investment 291:16 330:22 401:9 investments 330:21 339:17 342:7 361:19 investor 292:21 initial 419:15 338:24 385:4 877-702-9580

APP 764

			Index	: investorsmaker
investors 330:24 331:2	К	Lauren 320:9	limited 413:16 417:7 427:18	lot 291:22 297:23 311:14 416:3 452:14
invite 396:19		lawsuit 403:17,22	limits 317:12	467:23 482:8
involved 325:9 328:2	keeping 432:2	404:4,11 433:9	lines 331:4	loud 414:9
339:17 355:21 373:16 386:12	key 377:16	lawsuits 399:9,17	liquidity 339:16	love 428:25
406:10 459:5	kind 335:3,6 366:5 384:19 414:14 425:3,	400:25 405:5	list 354:12 418:25	low 312:15
issue 306:5 332:22	11 432:21	lawyer 390:24 391:4	424:19,20 425:10,17,	lower 452:14,20
335:7 360:8 399:9,17	kinds 327:25	lawyers 312:6	18,20 426:4	lunch 380:19,21
400:24 405:5 410:22 423:15 424:10 433:9	Klos 300:17 352:10	350:23,25 365:5 383:6 406:10	listed 354:18 419:5 420:3 425:16 430:17	398:17
issued 467:20 468:15	knew 311:9 313:24 325:20 334:6 336:19	layers 401:25	440:9 445:25 446:6 450:5 466:17	Lynn 363:22 443:12, 17
issues 333:7 348:16	391:23 392:18	lays 475:20	listen 372:11 468:11	M
386:9 468:2	439:11 440:11,12,16 442:12,13,17 451:16	learn 367:14,25 440:5	listing 353:4	
item 430:16	454:2	learned 312:17 368:6	lists 344:20	made 333:19 346:4 367:15,25 368:24
J	knowing 294:5 299:2,21 300:6 301:2	442:23	litigation 289:9 356:7 414:19 441:7,	369:3,5,15,18 372:18
	316:7	leave 288:24 370:13	10 443:4,8,18 455:23	387:12,19 388:6,11 392:6 393:25 395:16
James 288:5,11	knowledge 300:24	380:9,25	LLC 341:8,11	397:22 424:13,18
404:17	301:6 312:4 323:8 340:13 341:22 349:2,	left 456:5	loan 365:23,25	432:19 434:15 439:8 440:6,17 442:2 445:4
January 291:10 450:23	14 351:16,20 367:22	legal 383:2,7 410:18 473:14	416:18 421:19 422:5,	460:20 465:6 466:17
JEFFRIES 472:15	368:24 373:20 397:15 410:11	legitimate 467:25	18,20 423:11 430:4, 5,15,23 431:9 435:2,	468:14 470:18 476:10 477:16
Jim 302:3	420:15 437:8,9 438:6	468:3 469:16	18,24	major- 307:2
John 291:21 317:21	457:5 465:17,22 466:6 468:13,20,24	lengthy 356:18	loaned 452:7	majority 307:4 330:2
335:17 347:21 369:8	469:5	lens 421:12	loans 298:22 299:3,	341:21 400:13,18
376:18 380:13,14	knowledgeable	letter 412:14 426:24	22 300:7 301:3,9 344:12 371:3,9	427:21
386:20 387:22 390:21 395:23,24	373:16	439:16 441:6,12 442:7,11,13,22	404:20 406:11	make 288:15 305:17,
396:3,6 397:5 406:16	Kristin 352:18	442.7,11,13,22	420:17 422:17 423:4 424:7,16 427:3,12	18 341:14 357:14 358:12 364:23
445:3,9 457:19 478:23		444:4,6,9,15,17,24	429:4,11,18,19,24	371:11,13,18,25
Jonathan 322:6	L	445:16,18 464:3,20 465:4,23 466:18	430:23 431:5,13,21 432:7,11,15,17,18	372:14,21 375:13 377:16 379:10 387:5
judge 363:22 443:12,	L.P. 288:6 290:24	467:2,6,10 472:4	448:12 450:21	393:7,10,16 394:7,15
17 480:18	306:8 311:21 322:13 324:4 471:22,23	letters 299:25 426:9	452:19,20,22,23	395:15 397:2 400:15 409:15 410:8 411:17
judgment 305:17	lag 301:25	464:5 465:12 469:11	477:10 478:2,8,11,16	429:17 440:21
July 420:13 428:18	•	level 423:2	logical 377:6,9 391:18 413:20	441:17 445:22 446:5, 9 455:12,25 456:11,
jumps 390:2	lagging 478:24	levels 317:20 404:19	long 301:20 380:18	19,20 457:3 458:7,
juncture 355:22	Lamensdorf 322:6	liabilities 299:9 327:4	397:17,18 427:5	11,15,17 460:10 461:5,20 462:3,10,
June 436:10 437:17	largely 291:16 308:6 370:10 397:20	liability 412:20	434:5 479:19 longer 478:25	11,19 463:3,4,11,19 465:7 466:25 476:15,
justification 347:17	larger 460:22	lieu 361:8,16 416:15	looked 340:19	20 479:15 481:2
365:18 370:7 389:16, 20	largest 292:4	life 427:19 470:24	396:23 431:4 439:10	maker 295:22 296:2
	late 288:22 336:25 356:4 374:6	likelihood 312:15	455:11 464:2	414:9 429:21 437:14 467:3 474:24 475:10

Index: makers..Nexpoint 477:17 matter 288:5 293:7 411:25 412:3 413:18 22 304:4 305:8 mvriad 335:2 296:20 350:18 417:20 421:13 309:11.17 317:24 makers 451:7.25 467:15 458:20 323:17 337:17 345:3 Ν makes 342:7 346:5,8 347:24 348:4 **matters** 302:2 **minimus** 298:7 349:25 353:10 354:4, making 377:13 343:22,23 names 299:14 Mcgraner 342:18,23 21 355:5,9 359:6 461:14 467:19 342:22 425:19.20 **minute** 291:24 369:12 372:8 374:4 **mea** 406:16 426:4 375:19 376:22 maliciously 304:20 minutes 318:3 370:5 377:20 380:12,16,24 means 384:2 395:20 Nancy 288:19 355:14 man 383:22 387:10 454:8 381:4,15 383:19 458:4 narrow 313:16 386:25 387:16 388:3 misleading 390:25 manage 293:4 meant 332:3 456:7 390:3,17,20 391:3 421:21 nature 308:5 323:3 missed 425:9 456:24 474:4 393:22 396:7 397:7 330:15 342:5 **managed** 308:9,16 398:15 401:5 402:9. mechanism 429:18 missing 389:20 325:13,18 327:16 nauseous 289:4 15 403:3 405:14 meet 350:11 mistake 448:22 406:18 408:7,11 management 288:6 necessarily 413:7 414:4 423:23,25 290:24 292:5,18 meetings 350:16,22 mistaken 397:10 475:21 425:8,17 426:7 432:3 294:11,22 295:4,14, 351:2 481:5 Mitts 324:14 necessity 376:20 433:13,18,20 434:9, 21,23 296:3,10 306:7 members 425:2 24 436:13,19 437:11 308:11 311:21 mixed 430:15 needed 372:18 439:14 444:12,22 329:19 362:23 memorized 347:23 modest 382:6 385:11 negotiate 417:13 445:12,20 446:2,14, 405:22 412:14 426:8, memory 356:13 20 448:17,21 454:13 13,23 431:21 434:15 modification 417:4 negotiated 418:6,10 456:6 462:14 464:9, 457:24 458:4 466:22 mentally 289:24 475:15,16,21 15 466:7,10 468:10 negotiating 411:23 471:22,23 mentioned 335:10 modified 357:14 472:13,17 474:18 negotiation 416:22 manager 291:19 364:22 379:9 473:10 367:18 476:7 479:3,12,24 293:2 309:3 421:14 417:2.4 474:8 476:21 480:14,22,25 481:6, met 349:17 negotiations 417:2 12,15,22 482:3,16 managers 309:5 modify 473:14,23 Michael 322:6 451:22 322:5 421:21 mortgages 452:25 **moment** 357:16,25 mid 374:21 netting 392:9,15 manages 330:16 359:11 364:20 366:4, motion 374:20,24 middle 374:24 10 375:23 379:25 395:7 news 428:14 March 435:3 404:15 422:2 461:18 mouth 302:5 Nexbank 431:18 Mark 306:15 383:11 migration 364:6 **Monday** 479:15 432:6,18,20 move 322:11 372:8 marked 345:4,6 monetize 361:18 Mike's 387:14 374:4 375:19 386:24 **Nexpoint** 322:11,12, 353:12 354:6,23 393:22 432:3 433:7 15,18,21,23 323:6, 362:16 377:22 million 294:2.8.11 money 292:18,25 440:15 462:14 10,15 324:3,9,15 380:11 402:23 293:4 295:3,7,8,13 296:15,18 298:12 468:10 326:2,4 327:7,16 408:10 433:15 377:3,4 391:25 392:5 296:9 331:4 336:24 328:6,13 329:6,14 446:16 464:12 466:9 337:7.16 360:7 393:4,12 405:17 moved 364:3 468:22 335:20 336:23 382:11 391:21 407:22 408:18 412:4 470:15 market 339:5 384:16 339:22 341:11 346:2, 413:5,11 419:17 419:22 420:2,6 moving 302:5 468:7 17 353:17 381:23 marketed 330:18.20 420:12 421:16 421:19 448:6 450:16 382:8 383:6 384:16 429:24 430:14 452:7,14 460:22 mucked 469:20 marks 288:3 385:8 389:8 391:24 mince 393:9 moneys 337:19 multiple 311:15.23 393:15,25 394:8,16 masked 422:9 470:15 395:16 396:25 397:3, 320:4,5 324:20 mind 324:13 392:21 material 332:22 325:5,6,22 375:7,8 13,16,22,25 398:8 **monitor** 288:8 344:2 365:22,24 mine 434:19 406:10 403:16,17 405:18,25 368:4,7 369:2 412:7, monthly 299:13 407:17,21,24 408:4, minimis 297:25 **mute** 395:22,23,24 10 425:7 426:16,23 9,24 409:8,24 410:5, months 428:15 298:5,17 333:5,7,12, 396:2,14 481:11 427:4,12 430:6,9 7 411:18 419:11,20, 15 334:7,14 343:21 431:8,9 **MORRIS** 288:14 21,25 420:5,11,16 **mutual** 308:6,7,9,16 376:25 377:3 391:21 289:5.14 291:25 439:12 447:21 451:2 317:3,4 336:12 Matt 342:18 393:20 410:13 294:18 295:2 303:18, 452:7,13,18 453:2,5

Index: Nexpoint's..paragraph

			IIIdex: Nex	point'sparagraph
455:25 456:11,19	360:8 364:7 367:4		418:13,14 459:20	original 356:2
458:25 459:4,9,24,25	368:15 373:8 376:24	0	460:10 461:3,13	407:21 473:11,18,24
460:7 461:8 467:6,8	377:12 391:13 399:9,		462:17 463:2,14,18	475:4,8
473:5	17 400:24 402:6	object 296:23 297:10		
	403:15,21 404:3,9	305:25 311:5 315:16	obligors' 458:13,14	out-of-pocket
Nexpoint's 323:4	405:5,9,10,17 408:3	318:24 321:21 326:8,	469:10	338:8,16
326:7,12,17,22	410:22 411:7 414:23,	19 329:9 331:9 340:6	obtained 312:3	outline 480:20
327:2,9,10 328:7,14,	25 415:4,18,21,23	344:7 366:8,17,22	374:16 435:18	
18,22,25 329:8	416:21 417:12,20,21	367:6 369:25 373:5	448:12 450:22	outstanding 295:17
345:20,24 346:14,20	418:9,13,16,19,20,25	376:10 379:11 384:2,		407:25 419:10 440:7
347:2 348:8 349:3,12	419:5,12,16 427:24	22 385:17,24 388:15	obtaining 452:19	447:22 450:9
388:22 389:2 412:3,	433:9 439:9 440:9	390:14 399:11,19,21,	occurred 368:13	overcharged 391:24
17	442:3 443:10,19	22 401:11,18 405:11		
night 290:10	445:23,24 446:11,18	409:9,18,25 410:9	October 288:7 374:8	overlay 343:20
	447:17,23 448:7	411:20 415:14,25	odd 422:25	overpayment 392:4
nods 292:2	449:4 450:4,10,25	426:17 429:8 430:25		
nominal 386:4	451:3,6,9,16,19,21,	432:13 438:10 441:3,	odds 413:15	overstatement
	25 452:3,9 453:11,	20 445:11 447:11	offense 432:4	460:17
non-payment	19,21,22 455:3 457:9	449:22 452:10 453:7,		owe 294:10 296:9
414:11	461:22 462:4,18	24 455:19 456:4,14	officer 303:2 310:4	426:3
non-privileged	463:2,12 464:6 465:2	457:6 460:13 461:16,	312:8 320:22 321:16	
442:6	466:17 467:20	23 462:6,21 463:6,22	329:6,14 331:13,17,	owed 297:8,15
	468:15 469:16 470:8,	465:14,19,25 467:4	25 332:9 340:16	375:14 419:11,22
nonetheless 334:15	18,22 472:24 473:3,	470:3,10 471:2	341:3 342:15	450:10 460:23
normal 316:22	5,11,18,25 474:21	473:12 474:2,11	officers 311:14	owing 327:4 344:21
	475:5,9,11,16,19	475:12,13 476:25	459:8,12,14,15	366:16
note 306:5 349:3	476:2,6,14,23 477:7,			
368:16,18,19,22	11,14,15,16,20,21,24	objected 312:18	offline 480:2	ownership 306:18
369:23 371:12,21	478:3,9,11,17	objecting 409:12	Okada 306:15,22	307:4,21,24 317:18
372:2,16 397:3	notice 353:14,18	445:6		329:24 341:19
407:17,21,25 408:16,	354:7,13 363:7 367:3	abiantian 0040	omission 406:22	owns 306:13 322:18
23 409:2,6 410:7,15 411:11,18 412:4,15	375:4 377:8 414:11,	objection 294:3	omitted 405:25	
413:5,6,11,15,18,24	12,13 443:19 480:19	324:21 327:20 343:19 347:4 348:25	406:7,12 407:3,5	Р
414:18 415:9,13			anarating 000.40	P
416:9,12,14,18,24	noticed 406:15	361:25 387:8 428:5 434:17	operating 299:13	
417:9,14,17 418:4,	notices 345:2 353:3	434.17	316:20,23 343:23	p.m. 381:9 398:20
17,18 419:4,8,20,23	414:13 464:24	objections 346:4	opinion 447:14	454:17 482:21
420:6,20,25 421:5		348:19 353:25	arel 220:45 227:4	pages 435:21
434:3,14,22 435:14	notion 423:16	354:17 383:21	oral 336:15 337:4	
438:18 440:14,23	notwithstanding	obligation 371:20	361:22 399:7,15 400:10,22 401:4,16	paid 338:8 373:13
446:25 447:5,8,16	375:12 379:16	426:13	403:7,10,13,19,25	392:16 418:21,22
448:8 449:12,15			404:8 406:20 407:10	460:20 462:11
450:3,18 451:12	November 375:4	obligations 294:14,	420:21 443:10,20	paper 338:22
455:6 457:10 467:2,	nuance 478:5	21 295:20 297:8,15,	472:11,21 473:8,21	
3,9 470:18 475:21		23 315:15,22 316:3,	474:22 475:6 476:18,	paragraph 357:17
	number 298:14,21	8,12,19 318:17	22 477:8,24 478:7,	358:19 359:12,13,23
notebook 355:4	299:3 362:17,18	326:7,13,18 327:3,11	10,15	360:13,16 361:14
464:14	408:8,12,13,15 464:4	328:8,15 332:20		362:9 365:2,15 370:8,14 378:20
notes 293:12,15,19,	numbers 392:20	333:2,11,15,18,23	orchestrate 314:19,	370:8,14 378:20 379:14,24 390:4
24 294:23 295:22	425:3	334:4,13 340:5	25	403:4,6 404:14
296:3,22 297:17,25	numerous 265.25	343:6,18,22 344:6,12	orchestrated 327:14	407:14 409:13,20
298:4,12 305:18	numerous 365:25	366:15 367:4 377:2		412:23 414:6,7,17
327:6 334:5 348:12,	368:25	469:19 470:2 473:24	order 374:13,16	412.23 414.0,7,17 421:10 422:8 423:10
15 353:5 356:6		474:10,24 475:11	ordinary 372:23	455:11,14,18 472:14,
358:7,8,9,16 359:10		obligors 356:6	,	18,21
	1	1	1	1

paragraphs 357:20 21 373:3.11 375:13 365:10 375:22 380:2 391:16.20 393:16.25 390:7,10,16 398:12 394:8,15 395:16 416:9 397:2 409:16,23 414:11 439:9 440:7. paraphrase 394:23 21 442:3 453:22 parsed 471:25 456:2,12,19,21 457:4 458:8,17 460:11,17 part 334:19 337:4 461:5,14 464:5 340:8 358:22 359:15 468:15 475:18 476:3, 360:2,18 365:17 16 370:17 412:10 414:19 418:21 423:4 payments 369:24 427:20 443:23 444:2 373:18 377:13 410:8 454:4 456:8 468:3 445:22 446:5,10 454:3 458:15 460:16 participate 289:24 461:21 462:3.19 350:21,25 463:4,11,20 469:18 particularized peak 292:3 468:24 people 293:5 299:6, parties 297:24 339:6 8,15,18 300:10,13,14 413:14 415:4 418:7 303:18 342:19 407:9 416:10,11 421:12 parties' 473:10.23 424:23,24 426:15 474:9.23 458:22 459:7 470:16. partly 389:23 454:4 18 partner 361:6 people's 424:21 Partners 341:8.11 perceived 396:16 455:7 464:21 perceives 396:18 party 452:2,6 percent 298:13,16 passed 338:5 percentage 306:17 passing 363:22 percentages 306:23 past 296:6 338:20 **Perfect** 402:12 patient 372:10 482:12 383:20 performance 385:9 Patrick 301:15 416:16 383:11 performed 471:16 pay 336:23 337:6 472:7 338:7 366:14 367:4 **period** 293:16 369:23 383:15 384:7 307:18,25 330:8 385:22 393:5,12,18, 367:20 401:13,15 19 4 19:7 420:13 421:17 payee 295:24 422:21 440:20.25 479:18 466:25 474:25 476:2 periodic 454:3 477:16 periods 304:21 payees' 475:10 permissible 386:22 paying 339:2 387:23 payment 337:13 person 300:4,17,18 371:11,19,25 372:14,

311:3 315:12,20 316:24 331:16 332:17 333:16 364:8 371:17,18 373:19 388:5 393:15 396:24 397:15 410:4 443:5 458:22 **personal** 358:8,9 386:23 387:24 480:4 **personally** 297:3 301:7 324:2 327:17 363:19 371:10 393:24 405:6 417:16 **personnel** 372:7

perspective 333:13 334:3 377:7 405:17 473:21

petition 311:10 324:9 325:21

Phillips 364:5

phone 350:2,9,17,22 351:2

phrase 347:15

physically 289:23

pick 476:20

picked 335:8

pile 362:13

PJ 434:10

place 476:9

plaintiff 289:8

plaintiff's 355:19 358:21 359:14,25 365:16 370:16

plan 468:8

play 474:13

players 472:2

pleasure 381:4

point 288:25 290:22 309:8 318:2 320:15 377:19 406:19 427:7, 24 433:5 468:7

points 416:9 **policy** 317:6

portfolio 308:10,16 309:3,5 322:5 361:21

portion 303:16 308:15 351:10

position 298:3 311:23 312:16 313:17 314:11,21 320:14 321:7 325:25 329:6 367:9 403:10 407:9

positions 297:5 312:22 315:6 316:21 320:17

possibly 423:3

post 309:17

pot 468:8

potential 330:23

practice 317:17 404:20 423:16,17 424:6,15,22 429:4 430:4 431:13

practices 411:13

precedence 477:5

precedent 429:12,16 477:5

precipitate 314:25

premarked 309:13

prepaid 366:15 391:13 406:11 418:22 461:9

preparation 328:2 350:5 353:7

prepare 349:16

prepared 299:12 334:16 338:3 346:25 347:20 348:6,14,22 349:11 354:17 356:9 363:9 376:6

preparing 299:10 320:4 340:9 350:15 363:20

prepay 389:17

prepayment 366:21 367:5,15,22 368:2, 10,15,24 370:10 378:12,16,20 379:18 459:22,25 460:8

Index: paragraphs..private

prepayments 365:23,25 367:13 369:2,15,19 378:15, 23

prepays 389:19 392:24,25

presence 384:15

present 431:24 476:11

presentation 431:4

presentment 414:10

president 291:10,15 292:6,14 293:16,20, 25 297:6 298:19 302:12,23,24 303:13 304:15,22 305:2,11, 24 308:21 309:6 313:6 315:10 323:12 325:2 326:4 332:17 337:12 338:13 422:3, 19 423:12 469:21

presidents 317:18

pretty 460:24

previously 319:15 408:3

Price 337:23

Pricewaterhouseco opers 469:25 470:5, 6,19

primarily 322:7 339:14 368:18,19 383:10 440:3

principal 293:23 295:17 360:7 407:22 408:2 419:10,16 440:8 450:9

prior 300:5,15 302:20 307:21 311:10 315:23 324:9 325:20 389:14 391:9 418:25 419:11,16 420:6 423:16,17 424:15 441:6,9 442:10 443:3,7 448:7 450:10 463:16 477:19

private 421:18,22

priviloged 444.40	nrudant 440-4	246,05 252,00 200,0		radaction 400-40
privileged 441:18	prudent 413:4	346:25 353:22 363:2, 9 375:25 376:3,6,21	recall 292:8 298:24 302:17 303:4 310:25	redaction 428:12
problem 302:7 381:5	pulling 449:2	378:2 388:21 390:22,	312:20,25 313:21	reestablish 419:8
384:3 454:13	purchasing 317:4	24 480:16	320:14,21 326:15,16,	refer 291:2 306:10
proceed 289:22	purpose 298:8	quick 317:23 380:14	21,25 330:11 337:11,	322:15 329:21
291:25 398:25 454:20	377:10 401:3 404:15,	quickly 344:25	18 355:18 356:2 357:3 363:6 368:23	340:14 341:15 400:9
	24 405:3,8,24 406:7,	345:15 377:12	371:22 373:21	referenced 337:5
proceeds 348:20 349:3 420:16 435:23	23 407:3,9,11 421:4 422:5 423:12 430:22	quote 359:25 360:3	374:11,15,19,23	referred 445:17
448:11 450:21		365:16 370:16	375:3 407:20,24	referring 297:16
produced 367:23	purposes 416:6 422:21 424:25	404:15	409:5 410:6,21 424:9 425:10,21 437:3	371:4
425:13 428:10,17			446:3 465:4	refine 330:22
444:6,12,17 445:18	pursuant 356:4	R	receive 338:14	reflect 477:16
product 451:21	put 290:18 309:12,18		344:19 435:2	
production 428:16	320:25 323:17 342:13 345:3 348:2	raise 382:10	received 322:3	reflected 294:22 295:21 313:20 327:3
	353:10 354:4,22	rambling 387:10	337:13 383:16 435:9	334:15 420:6 477:11
profit 338:9	355:11 367:2 378:10	rate 475:18	464:4,24	refresh 309:14
promissory 293:11,	379:4 391:20 401:5	rates 452:14,20	receiving 386:18	310:17 323:25
12,15,19,24 294:23 295:22 296:2,22	408:7 416:5,7,10 418:14 427:18		387:7 388:13 425:22	339:18 349:5 352:25
408:3,16 411:18	433:14,22 448:3	rationale 471:10	442:14	355:23 356:8 439:12
413:11 419:5 434:3,	466:7 470:20 476:9	read 293:8 296:21	recess 304:7 318:8	455:5 464:23
14,22 446:25 447:17	481:10	358:2,10 361:2	381:9 398:20 454:17	refreshed 331:21
450:4,18 464:6	putting 343:12,14	381:15 397:9,10,12 404:22 409:2,11	recollect 442:16	332:16 341:4 342:11
467:20 470:8 472:23 473:3,5,11,24 474:21	354:24 355:10	410:3 413:6,10,24	recollection 309:14	17 420:14,18
475:5,9 476:23	443:18 472:14	414:9,15 415:24	310:17,21 311:17	regard 386:5 402:5
477:11,14,15 478:2,9	480:19	424:2,3 430:21 447:5	324:2,17 338:12	407:7 443:13,25
proper 412:11	Pwc 412:16	449:15,18 455:13 475:2	349:6 352:25 355:24	regular 344:9 373:1
properly 412:12			356:8 386:2 411:6 420:10 435:17,22	460:16
	Q	reading 310:3 411:23	440:2 442:14,19	regularly 299:17
proportionate			443:16 444:14 448:5,	regulatory 327:15
424:21	qualified 346:3	ready 454:20	10 450:20 455:5	335:21 411:14 416:6
protections 413:17	quarterly 299:11	real 323:5 341:11	464:23 467:16,19	469:18 471:10
		342:7 385:8 391:14	un a a mana a mala al	regulatory-wise
protest 414:11,12	question 294:25	542.7 505.0 591.14	recommended	regulatory-wise
•	question 294:25 295:11,25 302:10	realize 468:6	301:15	418:3
•	295:11,25 302:10 304:10,24 305:21	realize 468:6		
provide 383:3	295:11,25 302:10 304:10,24 305:21 306:2 332:2 335:18		301:15 reconvene 289:3	418:3
provide 383:3 386:17 387:6 404:16 421:7	295:11,25 302:10 304:10,24 305:21 306:2 332:2 335:18 357:2 358:11 372:11	realize 468:6 reason 303:10 304:12 305:2,12 318:19 319:4 324:7,	301:15	418:3 reimbursement 338:15
provide 383:3 386:17 387:6 404:16 421:7 provided 337:8 339:11,16,20,21	295:11,25 302:10 304:10,24 305:21 306:2 332:2 335:18	realize 468:6 reason 303:10 304:12 305:2,12 318:19 319:4 324:7, 10 328:4,11 391:18	301:15 reconvene 289:3 record 304:3,5,8,11, 25 318:6,9 330:20,25 338:18,22 339:4	418:3 reimbursement 338:15
provide 383:3 386:17 387:6 421:7 339:11,16,20,21 353:2 385:12 386:4	295:11,25 302:10 304:10,24 305:21 306:2 332:2 335:18 357:2 358:11 372:11 376:13,22 381:16 383:25 387:2,3 388:2,9,16 391:6,14	realize 468:6 reason 303:10 304:12 305:2,12 318:19 319:4 324:7, 10 328:4,11 391:18 410:25 411:14,15,16	301:15 reconvene 289:3 record 304:3,5,8,11, 25 318:6,9 330:20,25 338:18,22 339:4 381:7,14 382:10	418:3 reimbursement 338:15 relate 361:20 375:2 ⁻
provide 383:3 386:17 387:6 421:7 337:8 339:11,16,20,21 339:11	295:11,25 302:10 304:10,24 305:21 306:2 332:2 335:18 357:2 358:11 372:11 376:13,22 381:16 383:25 387:2,3 388:2,9,16 391:6,14 393:23 395:6,8,9	realize 468:6 reason 303:10 304:12 305:2,12 318:19 319:4 324:7, 10 328:4,11 391:18 410:25 411:14,15,16 413:20 434:20 436:7	301:15 reconvene 289:3 record 304:3,5,8,11, 25 318:6,9 330:20,25 338:18,22 339:4 381:7,14 382:10 384:15 385:5 397:12	418:3 reimbursement 338:15 relate 361:20 375:2 376:8,16 379:23 380:3 398:11 478:7
provide 383:3 386:17 387:6 421:7 339:11,16,20,21 353:2 385:12 386:4 429:7 336:6	295:11,25 302:10 304:10,24 305:21 306:2 332:2 335:18 357:2 358:11 372:11 376:13,22 381:16 383:25 387:2,3 388:2,9,16 391:6,14 393:23 395:6,8,9 397:8 398:7 405:7	realize 468:6 reason 303:10 304:12 305:2,12 318:19 319:4 324:7, 10 328:4,11 391:18 410:25 411:14,15,16	301:15 reconvene 289:3 record 304:3,5,8,11, 25 318:6,9 330:20,25 338:18,22 339:4 381:7,14 382:10	418:3 reimbursement 338:15 relate 361:20 375:27 376:8,16 379:23 380:3 398:11 478:7 related 323:5 366:6 382:4 390:11 398:4
provide 383:3 386:17 387:6 421:7 339:11,7 provided 337:8 339:11,16,20,21 353:2 353:2 385:12 386:4 429:7 429:7	295:11,25 302:10 304:10,24 305:21 306:2 332:2 335:18 357:2 358:11 372:11 376:13,22 381:16 383:25 387:2,3 388:2,9,16 391:6,14 393:23 395:6,8,9 397:8 398:7 405:7 417:10 422:15,25	realize 468:6 reason 303:10 304:12 305:2,12 318:19 319:4 324:7, 10 328:4,11 391:18 410:25 411:14,15,16 413:20 434:20 436:7 437:6 447:6 452:5 453:3	301:15 reconvene 289:3 record 304:3,5,8,11, 25 318:6,9 330:20,25 338:18,22 339:4 381:7,14 382:10 384:15 385:5 397:12 398:19,21 399:24 424:3 454:16,18 479:13 481:14	418:3 reimbursement 338:15 relate 361:20 375:2° 376:8,16 379:23 380:3 398:11 478:7 related 323:5 366:6 382:4 390:11 398:4 415:4 477:10,13,25
provide 383:3 386:17 387:6 404:16 421:7 provided 337:8 339:11,16,20,21 353:2 385:12 386:4 429:7 providing 336:6 422:5 provision 382:14	295:11,25 302:10 304:10,24 305:21 306:2 332:2 335:18 357:2 358:11 372:11 376:13,22 381:16 383:25 387:2,3 388:2,9,16 391:6,14 393:23 395:6,8,9 397:8 398:7 405:7	realize 468:6 reason 303:10 304:12 305:2,12 318:19 319:4 324:7, 10 328:4,11 391:18 410:25 411:14,15,16 413:20 434:20 436:7 437:6 447:6 452:5	301:15 reconvene 289:3 record 304:3,5,8,11, 25 318:6,9 330:20,25 338:18,22 339:4 381:7,14 382:10 384:15 385:5 397:12 398:19,21 399:24 424:3 454:16,18	418:3 reimbursement 338:15 relate 361:20 375:2 376:8,16 379:23 380:3 398:11 478:7 related 323:5 366:6 382:4 390:11 398:4 415:4 477:10,13,25 478:15
provide 383:3 386:17 387:6 421:7 339:11,16,20,21 353:2 385:12 386:4 429:7 providing 336:6 422:5 382:14 415:12,24 447:8	295:11,25 302:10 304:10,24 305:21 306:2 332:2 335:18 357:2 358:11 372:11 376:13,22 381:16 383:25 387:2,3 388:2,9,16 391:6,14 393:23 395:6,8,9 397:8 398:7 405:7 417:10 422:15,25 423:7 424:2 441:22 442:15 457:14,15 460:25 467:17	realize 468:6 reason 303:10 304:12 305:2,12 318:19 319:4 324:7, 10 328:4,11 391:18 410:25 411:14,15,16 413:20 434:20 436:7 437:6 447:6 452:5 453:3 reasonable 392:10	301:15 reconvene 289:3 record 304:3,5,8,11, 25 318:6,9 330:20,25 338:18,22 339:4 381:7,14 382:10 384:15 385:5 397:12 398:19,21 399:24 424:3 454:16,18 479:13 481:14	418:3 reimbursement 338:15 relate 361:20 375:2 376:8,16 379:23 380:3 398:11 478:7 related 323:5 366:6 382:4 390:11 398:4 415:4 477:10,13,25 478:15 relative 333:5,7
421:7 provided 337:8 339:11,16,20,21 353:2 385:12 386:4 429:7 providing 336:6 422:5 provision 382:14	295:11,25 302:10 304:10,24 305:21 306:2 332:2 335:18 357:2 358:11 372:11 376:13,22 381:16 383:25 387:2,3 388:2,9,16 391:6,14 393:23 395:6,8,9 397:8 398:7 405:7 417:10 422:15,25 423:7 424:2 441:22 442:15 457:14,15 460:25 467:17 468:12 477:2 478:6,	realize 468:6 reason 303:10 304:12 305:2,12 318:19 319:4 324:7, 10 328:4,11 391:18 410:25 411:14,15,16 413:20 434:20 436:7 437:6 447:6 452:5 453:3 reasonable 392:10 404:18 411:19 413:24	301:15 reconvene 289:3 record 304:3,5,8,11, 25 318:6,9 330:20,25 338:18,22 339:4 381:7,14 382:10 384:15 385:5 397:12 398:19,21 399:24 424:3 454:16,18 479:13 481:14 482:17,20 records 298:23 330:17 367:8,12	418:3 reimbursement 338:15 relate 361:20 375:24 376:8,16 379:23 380:3 398:11 478:7 related 323:5 366:6 382:4 390:11 398:4 415:4 477:10,13,25 478:15 relative 333:5,7 343:23 376:25
provide 383:3 386:17 387:6 421:7 provided 337:8 339:11,16,20,21 353:2 385:12 386:4 429:7 providing 336:6 422:5 provision 382:14 415:12,24 447:8	295:11,25 302:10 304:10,24 305:21 306:2 332:2 335:18 357:2 358:11 372:11 376:13,22 381:16 383:25 387:2,3 388:2,9,16 391:6,14 393:23 395:6,8,9 397:8 398:7 405:7 417:10 422:15,25 423:7 424:2 441:22 442:15 457:14,15 460:25 467:17	realize 468:6 reason 303:10 304:12 305:2,12 318:19 319:4 324:7, 10 328:4,11 391:18 410:25 411:14,15,16 413:20 434:20 436:7 437:6 447:6 452:5 453:3 reasonable 392:10 404:18 411:19	301:15 reconvene 289:3 record 304:3,5,8,11, 25 318:6,9 330:20,25 338:18,22 339:4 381:7,14 382:10 384:15 385:5 397:12 398:19,21 399:24 424:3 454:16,18 479:13 481:14 482:17,20 records 298:23	418:3 reimbursement 338:15 relate 361:20 375:27 376:8,16 379:23 380:3 398:11 478:7 related 323:5 366:6 382:4 390:11 398:4 415:4 477:10,13,25 478:15 relative 333:5,7

relevant 412:3

reliance 370:25 372:5 463:8

relied 372:22,23 375:13 383:9 393:4,5

relocate 430:14

relocation 424:24

rely 428:2,7,8

relying 367:10 371:7 398:8 458:16

remains 401:21

remember 301:21 307:20,21 308:12,13 309:10 311:14 312:14 320:17 323:2 330:13 358:10 361:5, 8 368:6 375:9 389:14 407:18 409:12 410:25 411:5,22 441:14 443:14,15 464:4 465:8 467:22 468:5

remembered 415:9

remind 460:5

remotely 480:10

remuneration 337:10 382:8 384:13 385:14

render 388:12

rendered 337:14 339:13 384:8 385:23

renegotiation 416:20

rep 299:25

repaying 418:15

repeat 294:24 295:25 302:10 387:3 391:8 394:5 399:13 441:22 472:16

repetitive 335:9

rephrase 295:10 399:13

report 314:5,8,13

reporter 288:9

303:14,21,24 381:17 396:5,8 482:15,19 reports 299:13 represent 351:3 353:16

representation 412:14 426:9,14,24

representative 345:21,25 354:9 378:3 388:22 400:12,

13 463:13 repudiation 365:18

370:7 reputable 334:12

request 317:22 372:25 397:23

requests 349:8,13

required 369:23 385:22 409:15 410:7 411:11 440:24 453:22 454:2

resign 374:5,8

resolution 468:8

resolve 375:17

respect 319:16 325:3 335:7 343:17 348:15, 20 353:24 464:25 472:23 477:23 479:20

respectful 351:25

respective 451:7

442:8,22 469:4

respond 441:11

responded 441:6 465:11 469:9

responding 465:23

respons 328:14 response 305:21 425:21 444:3 469:17

responses 425:19

responsibilities 299:15 318:16,21,23 328:6 398:4 responsibility 299:2,20 300:6 301:2 315:13 319:6 326:6 327:9 332:19 333:22 340:4 343:2,5,17

responsible 299:7,8 300:11 316:6 327:18, 21 471:24

responsive 422:15

rest 290:17 482:9

restate 457:14

364:9

restraining 374:13, 16

restroom 317:23 380:15,23

results 330:23

retail 312:22

return 386:19 387:7 388:14 398:17

revenue 339:6,20,21

review 344:12 346:20 351:7 354:12 389:5 447:13 450:2

reviewed 357:4 429:5

reviewing 355:19 363:20

ridiculous 377:17

rights 473:10,23 474:10,23 475:10 476:23

road 339:7

role 314:2 327:12 343:11 363:19

roles 311:15 325:22 373:12

roll 337:25

roll-ups 477:18

rollup 407:25

room 309:18 355:11

rough 482:14 roughly 375:2

TSG Reporting - Worldwide

row 318:3 RUKAVINA 445:2,14 rules 386:6,7 run 319:24 380:22 running 324:13

S

safe 445:13

satisfaction 371:19 372:14

satisfied 373:18 429:13,16

satisfy 333:18

scope 300:7 301:9 316:7 317:8 387:22 405:15

screen 353:19 354:19,25 355:10 381:2 388:20 389:2 401:6 402:7,17,22 433:22,25 434:6

screw-up 370:25 371:2,4

scroll 434:9

sec 291:22 471:8,17, 21,25

secrecy 427:23

secretary 321:9

section 409:14 412:22,25

secured 416:13,15 452:25 453:13,16

Seery 352:6,8 373:23,24,25 375:16 391:23 392:9 414:24 439:17 468:6

Seery's 352:7

sees 302:6

selection 301:16

send 467:2

877-702-9580

senior 297:5 300:10, 14,17,18 312:8 316:20 325:5 327:23 424:25 431:21 432:19 452:25

Index: relevant..services

432:19 452:25 453:13,16

sense 341:14 392:7 466:25

sentence 405:24

separate 331:3 333:24 385:20 457:9 480:5

separately 430:17

September 355:25 356:10 357:6 374:6

series 455:3

serve 291:9 309:7 323:15 325:21 331:24

served 302:24 303:13 304:15 305:24 310:18,23 311:19 313:6 320:22 321:15 324:8,25 325:3 329:13 331:13, 17,22 332:8 341:2 342:15

service 333:6 334:5

services 294:11,22

295:4,7,9,14,21,23

296:4,10 329:19

331:20 332:4,14

335:15,17,22,23

339:3,9,12,15,22

340:11,20 362:24

383:3.9.16 384:8

392:6 405:19.21

417:22 433:12

385:12,23 386:2,4,

10,18 387:6 388:13

437:14 457:23,24,25

458:3,5,7,23 459:4,

APP 770

15 460:6,7 466:3

467:6,13 471:15

472:5

336:2,5,7,9,10,15,24

337:7,13 338:4,6,15

367:11 371:6,7 372:7

375:5 381:11 382:14

server 426:2

serviced 336:3

343:22

Index: servicing..subject states 359:13 servicing 413:17 signatories 319:12 396:24 402:17 356:13 372:24.25 328:18 408:15 422:14 382:7 383:4 385:10. serving 311:11 statute 335:21 428:11 429:3 432:5 14 438:6 456:24 313:25 signatory 319:9,16, 467:15 468:20 433:22 434:4.11 **stay** 371:8 394:25 20 328:22,25 329:7 set 291:22 319:23 436:5 439:22 446:22 481:13 438:2 specifically 312:14 360:12 365:11 390:9 449:10 450:13 316:5 317:7,12 steal 374:2 375:17 393:6 398:12 419:9 **signature** 310:4,6 454:20 460:25 326:14 344:20 349:4 420:7 447:18 448:7 323:23 408:20 464:19 481:24 step 297:21 364:17 372:17.18 450:8,17 452:8 418:15 434:11,13 sister 351:21 361:22 373:7,15 382:18 steps 316:2 344:11 455:18 474:23 435:7 436:5,8,14 399:8,16,25 400:9,17 393:18,19 412:15 393:24 462:2 463:3 475:10 437:23 438:4,5,9,14, 401:8 403:12 404:8, 430:12 434:23 18 446:21,22 449:10 stick 395:21 25 431:18 settlement 444:2 435:16 437:5 470:6 468:4 471:7.11.12 signatures 320:4 471:21 **Stinson** 288:18 sit 300:24 301:7 363:15 364:3,6 **specifics** 369:16,20 seven-figure 425:3 **signed** 293:11,14,18, 304:13 318:20 319:4 24 310:11 358:16 357:8 445:10 375:25 410:12,13 **Stoops** 300:17 seven-figure-plus 409:3,7,22 410:6,22 situation 469:20 speculating 300:9 431:20 stop 289:2 356:21 412:14 414:18 430:20 441:17 474:18,19 sixth 397:6 share 359:2,17 362:7 415:13,23 416:22 479:6 480:15 368:10,21 417:12 418:12 speculative 427:6 skip 357:24 359:21 419:19,21 435:7,12 strap 291:17 spend 334:7 413:21 **shared** 335:14,17,22, 437:13 438:25 **Skyview** 315:2 23 336:2,5,9,10,15, **strategy** 330:22 446:25 447:5,9 spent 350:15 24 339:3.22 367:11 small 372:6 386:4 449:6,16,21 451:2 stream 339:6,20,21 **split** 322:9 472:5 371:7 375:5 381:11 392:2 393:10.20 453:20 455:14 473:3. 386:18 387:7 388:13 383:8 392:5 417:22 430:18 460:19 6 476:6,15 spreadsheet 368:11 458:23 472:5 strike 372:8 374:4 **soft** 413:15 415:9 369:10 significance 316:25 375:19 393:22 432:3 She'll 428:22 416:9,12,18,24 317:2 460:18 stack 353:2 440:15 462:14 417:8,21 418:17 sheet 298:9 299:4,23 468:10,22 significant 382:9 452:22,23 453:11,19 staff 383:8 397:14 300:8 301:10 326:23 422:13 425:6 475:17,19 strong 324:15 327:3,7 412:2 432:16 **stamped** 444:20,23 significantly 391:14 **sold** 427:22 struck 394:13 422:24 sheets 293:8 301:4 standard 404:20 signing 413:5 413:19 solidifying 411:10 structural 335:3,6 standing 312:8 437:24.25 438:22 shocked 412:19 **solvent** 417:19 393:3 structure 307:21 439:5 308:12 **shoot** 290:13 sophisticated standpoint 418:3 similar 336:7 339:21 292:21,25 383:22 421:13 structured 314:10 342:12 378:11 short 318:4 380:19, 390:23 21 386:11 387:10 start 365:13 424:4 structuring 312:7 similarly 336:3 397:17 398:16 sought 374:12 472:13 373:9 simple 348:25 391:6 451:25 **shorter** 291:23 started 307:22 364:4 struggle 316:15 393:23 395:8,9 sounds 295:5 422:10 400:16 441:23 **show** 330:23 347:22 starting 288:22 study 327:7 333:9 473:14 460:25 406:4 415:17 429:15 stated 388:17 407:13 studying 334:8 431:20 source 334:18 simultaneously 419:17 471:21 stuff 327:15 383:14 side 308:10 450:5 301:18 311:11 **space** 385:8 **statement** 288:15 416:17 321:20 384:5 sides 413:21 **speak** 303:23 399:3 310:4 406:6,23 407:2 subject 332:2 347:3 single 353:9 414:18 453:12 454:22 428:12 430:19 sign 299:24 312:12 348:18 353:25 428:11 458:21 327:24 408:23 426:8, **speaker** 303:25 **statements** 299:10, 354:16 361:21 **sir** 295:11 323:20 13 434:22 436:9 12 300:12 327:15.19. 403:16,22 404:4,10 speaking 301:18 324:24 335:18 449:12 451:5 24 338:4 366:5 416:20,22,25 417:3 303:15 383:21 384:5 345:17 346:11 412:8,17 429:6,15 420:20 429:20 sign-offs 320:5 377:24 381:11 **specific** 320:8 325:7 430:8,22 432:7,12 440:13 443:10,19 387:19 394:14 347:18 348:16 470:9

			Index: s	subordinatedtrust
474:21 475:5 476:11	systems 397:14	471:24	304:14,22,25 305:6,	453:4 454:9 479:5
478:2,8,17		testified 288:12	23 307:15,18,25 309:8 313:5,18,24	today's 288:7 289:18
subordinated 452:25 453:10,12	T	351:14 352:16,22 370:9 379:15 441:25	318:7 320:12,15	346:21 349:16 350:5 353:7
subsequent 407:11	taking 398:7	462:8 472:20	324:25 326:18 329:14 330:8 334:7	told 392:19 465:7
477:4	talk 303:8 304:21 305:18 328:3 366:3	testify 346:14 348:6, 14,22 349:11 354:8,	337:12 338:12 341:3 342:16 344:19,20,23	top 306:3 311:25
subsequently 425:4	414:20 475:17	17	346:21 350:15	325:19 340:23 369:17,21
subsidiaries 415:3 417:19	talked 336:22 406:8 407:16 424:9	testifying 431:7 444:14	353:21,22 358:11 359:9 361:2,3 367:19	topic 347:6 348:11,
substance 318:12	talking 337:16	testimony 318:3,13	378:14 379:2 387:25 389:24 390:13 392:9	17 349:7
399:4 454:23 substantial 479:18	359:10 383:17 390:5	375:11 419:24 459:2 463:10 475:24	393:14 395:4,10 396:17 397:6 398:16	topics 346:9,10 353:16,17,24 354:13,
substituted 419:4	401:14 421:24 429:23,24 441:14	Thedford 320:9,11	399:23 401:13,15	18 363:7 376:5 386:22
447:16 450:3	442:16 443:14,15 456:5 474:18,19	321:14 329:15	406:15 411:4 413:5, 9,21 415:6,23,24	total 350:15 480:8
suggested 391:19	477:21	thereof 310:5 431:22	416:20 417:3 418:12, 14 419:21 424:12	track 330:17,19,25
suggesting 396:18	tax 373:9 382:23,24 383:10 416:6	thereunder 474:24	438:9 439:25 440:11 442:6,14,17,18,20,22	338:18,22,23 339:4 382:9 384:15 385:5
suing 457:2 473:4,6 475:9	tax-related 383:14	thing 324:13 336:12 362:3 389:25 426:2	449:21 453:20	tracking 299:9
summarized 368:12	team 308:16 327:14	436:24 452:17	454:14,15 466:23 470:13 472:9 476:4,	transcript 351:7
summarizing 360:19	340:8,15 343:12,16 417:7	things 292:19 304:20 312:10,12 313:11	5,8,10,14,16 479:18 480:10,15 481:18,23	352:3,7 transfer 360:17,19
summary 313:15	telling 400:5 455:13	317:4 322:8 327:25 359:14,25 360:16	482:2	transferred 360:6
459:18	temporary 374:12, 16	373:9 403:6 428:7 435:11 445:3 468:2	timeframe 371:14	transition 363:22
superseded 419:4 447:17 450:4	tension 373:22,24,25	469:19 470:16	times 338:20 339:15 349:22 350:4,8,11	392:11
supplemental 338:9	term 368:15,18,19,22	471:12 thinking 340:17	356:15 375:8 383:23 420:2 421:20 450:16	travels 445:13
supplying 334:17	369:22 371:3,12,20	375:16 402:3 459:3	title 308:18,25 313:4	treasurer 309:8 310:14,18,23 311:4,
support 336:10	372:2,16 397:3 407:17,21,25 410:22	461:18	323:10 325:11,16 331:5 342:8	12 313:12,19 314:7, 16 316:18 317:9
360:12 370:7 391:7 431:12	427:6 446:17 447:23 448:8 449:4 450:25	thinks 351:25 third-party 330:24	titled 362:22	318:15,21 323:15 324:3,8,17 325:25
supported 382:4	451:3,6,9,10,13,17, 25 452:8 453:21	Thomas 445:7	titles 311:25 312:3	327:9 328:6,13
supports 470:24	455:3,6 461:22	thought 388:4	325:6	343:10,11 397:24 436:21 439:25
supposed 289:11 376:5 392:8 395:20	462:4,18 463:2 467:9 473:15 475:15 477:20 478:11	413:23 467:25 468:3, 7 472:3	today 290:13 291:3 294:10 295:17 296:10 298:10	466:21,22 467:3 treasury 333:25
431:16 461:4 surprise 410:24	termination 375:5	thousand 391:22	300:25 301:7 303:9 304:21 305:22	416:4 417:22
438:17	terms 338:18 373:10	thousands 293:5 throw 290:9	308:19 309:2 314:17,	treated 429:11 trick 358:14
surprising 311:16	375:16 382:20 384:15 386:7 392:10	Thursday 479:16	23 318:20 319:5 323:11 328:5 331:5	trouble 393:11
swear 288:9	403:7 411:18 413:6, 10 416:19 417:13,17	480:25 481:7,17,23	342:14 345:8,20,24 346:25 348:6 349:11	394:22
switching 437:24	418:16 432:22,25 452:8 471:8	482:5 time 288:7 290:3,19	354:8 357:8 364:15	true 453:15
sworn 288:12 synergistic 384:20	452:8 471:8 Terrestar 469:18,20	293:19 298:2 301:20	378:9 379:6 389:11 395:5 401:21 414:20,	trued 392:13
-JU BU U U U U U U U U U		302:23 303:12	22 415:12 445:5	trust 289:9 401:10

427:19 443:21 **unified** 384:19 unit 384:20 400: trustee 400:13.16.23 401:9,17,21,23 402:5 **universe** 311:19 403:8,15,21 404:2 405:4 420:21 421:2,6 unrelated 452:6 422:24 427:20 unsecured 416 443:11 472:12,23 451:19 453:16 473:10,22 474:23 476:19 477:10,25 up-and-comers 300:19 trustees 402:2 trusts 306:23 401:25 V **Tuesday** 479:14 valuation 471:1 typical 317:16 421:25 values 376:25 typically 463:24 variety 291:19 verbal 336:5 38 U 20 versa 308:14 **UCC** 416:15 versus 438:4 47 **Uh-huh** 450:12 vest 385:4 unable 290:20 479:7 vice 308:14 317: unaudited 299:11 video 288:4.8 30 **unaware** 431:6 396:20 457:20 455:16 videotape 396: underpaid 404:18 view 334:14 411 understand 289:20, 25 293:8 296:21 viewed 452:24 301:8 335:18 345:7, 453:11 19,23 354:10 358:13, viewing 398:3 17 370:24 378:4 383:25 386:13 virtually 418:21 388:25 390:22 404:7 voice 302:4 409:7,21 415:12 426:12 435:8 447:9 Volume 288:4 449:20,25 457:13 volunteer 375:2 476:4 understanding W 299:21 300:7 301:2 306:17 316:7.11 wait 355:17 330:14 343:17 347:10.13 403:5 waiver 347:17 410:6 419:3 426:20 358:22 414:7 440:24 478:5 waives 414:10 understood 336:19 382:4,23 waiving 317:3 unfetterred 476:3 walk 406:3

	walked 355:15	
:19	wanted 288:17	\
9	wanting 392:10	
6	Waterhouse 301:12	`
5:18	302:18,25 303:5,11 304:13 305:3,13,22	\ \
	309:7 310:13,18,24	
6	311:4,11,18 312:17, 21 313:5,18,25	
	314:12,16,22 315:6	`
	316:17 318:20 319:19 321:11,13	١,
14,24	323:14 324:3,8,20	
14,24	325:2,21,25 327:8 328:5,12,24 329:15	\
	331:13 337:23	\
1.10	351:14,17 397:24 436:17,21 437:13	
1:19,	438:8 439:21,24	\
	456:18 466:12,20	\
74:8	Waterhouse's 317:8,12 318:16	١,
	351:7	
:18	ways 335:2	
02:2	weather 288:23	
	Wednesday 479:16 480:25 481:5	3
17	week 290:5,17	
1:24	351:17 367:2 462:9	
	479:10 481:20	
	well-capitalized 417:19	
	whatsoever 325:8	
	Wick 364:5	2
	window 423:20	
24	wires 320:5	3
	withdrawn 307:2 315:11 321:12	
	324:23 331:23 340:2 341:6 343:3 367:24 403:12 405:2,7 475:7	
	withholding 414:25 432:5	3
	witnesses 480:6,11	3
	word 327:22 366:21 395:3,4 415:21 458:3 460:17 462:15	7 7

Index: trustee..yells 476:20 words 291:14 297:21 343:25 430:13 474:7 wore 324:20 work 300:20 322:7 338:4 378:20 382:6 383:11 384:20 410:18 454:10 worked 312:6 338:20 383:6 463:16 working 320:18 375:17 384:19 works 378:17 481:23 world 331:17 371:18 396:25 397:15,22 wrap 454:12 written 335:17 336:2, 4,9 381:19 382:21 wrong 402:8 Υ Yankees 395:4,5 year 292:8 299:11 334:11 369:4,24 371:21 373:3,14,19 375:14 391:16,17 395:17 409:17.23 410:8 421:16 422:3 426:10 453:23 457:4 458:16 460:12 461:15 462:5,20,23 vear-end 372:15 458:8 463:5,12 years 291:18 292:15 298:10,11 300:5,15 302:20 311:10 320:17 322:25 330:12 336:22 415:10 422:12,19 463:16 **yell** 396:13,15 yelled 396:19 yelling 396:11 yells 396:2

Case 24-2030350351 300 D048-39 Filter 6012053221 Enteree 6012053221125183459 Frage 7176 fof 2899



CLERK, U.S. BANKRUPTCY COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS

ENTERED THE DATE OF ENTRY IS ON THE COURT'S DOCKET

The following constitutes the ruling of the court and has the force and effect therein described.

Signed December 3, 2021

Hall H. C. Jampe United States Bankruptcy Judge

IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS **DALLAS DIVISION**

In re:	Case No. 19-34054-sgj11
HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P.	
Reorganized Debtor.	Chapter 11
HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P.,	
Plaintiff.	
v.	Adversary No. 21-03003-sgj
JAMES D. DONDERO, NANCY DONDERO, AND THE DUGABOY INVESTMENT TRUST,	
Defendants.	
HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P.,	
Plaintiff.	
v.	Adversary No.: 21-03005-sgj
NEXPOINT ADVISORS, L.P., JAMES DONDERO, NANCY DONDERO, AND THE DUGABOY INVESTMENT TRUST,	
Defendants.	
HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P.,	
Plaintiff.	

v. HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT SERVICES, INC., JAMES DONDERO, NANCY DONDERO, AND THE DUGABOY INVESTMENT TRUST, Defendants.	Adversary No.: 21-03006-sgj
HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P., Plaintiff.	
v. HCRE PARTNERS, LLC (n/k/a NEXPOINT REAL ESTATE PARTNERS, LLC), JAMES DONDERO, NANCY DONDERO AND THE DUGABOY INVESTMENT TRUST,	Adversary No.: 21-03007-sgj
Defendants.	

MEMORANDUM OPINION AND ORDER DENYING ARBITRATION REQUEST AND RELATED RELIEF

I. Introduction and Background

The four above-referenced adversary proceedings, Adversary Proceeding Nos. 21-3003, 21-3005, 21-3006, and 21-3007, started out as what seemed like simple suits by a Chapter 11 Debtor to collect on large promissory notes owed to it (collectively, the "Note Adversary Proceedings"). The court held a hearing on November 9, 2021 ("Hearing") on various motions filed by certain defendants in the Note Adversary Proceedings. This Memorandum Opinion and Order addresses certain motions to compel arbitration and to stay these Note Adversary Proceedings while arbitration would be proceeding.¹ For the reasons set forth below, the court will not compel arbitration or stay these Note Adversary Proceedings.

The Note Adversary Proceedings were originally brought many months ago by Plaintiff Highland Capital Management L.P., now a reorganized debtor ("Highland" or "Reorganized Debtor"), again, as simple suits on notes—that is, alleging breach of contract and seeking turnover of amounts owed from the various obligors under the notes (the "Note Obligor Defendants"). Each Note Obligor Defendant was closely related to Highland's former president, James Dondero ("Mr. Dondero),² and collectively borrowed tens of millions of dollars from Highland prepetition. The

2

¹ Certain defendants herein earlier filed a motion to withdraw the reference in these Note Adversary Proceedings (arguing that the claims were statutory noncore claims or that the bankruptcy court otherwise did not have Constitutional authority to enter final orders). The District Court accepted the bankruptcy court's report and recommendation that the reference should be withdrawn when these Note Adversary Proceedings are trial-ready with the bankruptcy court acting essentially in the position of a magistrate judge for the District Court prior to trial, presiding over all pretrial matters.

² In fact, Mr. Dondero personally was an obligor on three notes.

indebtedness was memorialized in a series of demand and term notes. The indebtedness represented by those notes remains unpaid.

The Note Adversary Proceedings morphed, so to speak, when the Note Obligor Defendants defended the Note Adversary Proceedings by alleging that an oral agreement existed such that the underlying notes would be forgiven by Highland as compensation to Highland's former president, Mr. Dondero, if certain conditions subsequent occurred. The oral agreement was allegedly made on behalf of Highland, acting through one of its largest limited partners, Dugaboy Investment Trust ("Dugaboy"), which is a family trust of Mr. Dondero, on which the trustee is his sister Nancy Dondero ("Ms. Dondero").

When this "oral agreement" defense was articulated, this court granted Highland's request for leave to amend its original complaints in each of the Note Adversary Proceedings to allege alternative theories of liability and add Mr. Dondero,³ Dugaboy, and Ms. Dondero as additional defendants on new counts—the theories being that, if such an oral agreement was made, it may have given rise other causes of action on the part of the actors involved. Highland amended its complaints in each of the Note Adversary Proceedings, adding new Counts III, IV, V, VI, and VII alleging, among other things, fraudulent transfers (Counts III and IV), declaratory judgment as to certain provisions of Highland's limited partnership agreement (Count V), breach of fiduciary duty (Count VI), and aiding and abetting breach of fiduciary duty (Count VII) (the "Amended Complaints").

Presently before the court are a set of virtually identical motions filed by Mr. Dondero, Dugaboy, and Ms. Dondero in each of the four Note Adversary Proceedings seeking to compel arbitration as to Counts V, VI, and VII of, and stay litigation altogether in, the Note Adversary Proceedings, pending the arbitration of Counts V, VI, and VII (the *Motion to Compel Arbitration and Stay Litigation* [Doc. 85, 66, 74, and 65, respectively, in each sequentially-numbered Note Adversary Proceeding⁴], the "Arbitration Motions"). Highland timely filed objections to the motions [Doc. 92, 76, 81, and 77] and replies were filed by Mr. Dondero, Dugaboy and Ms. Dondero [Doc. 107, 88, 93, and 88].⁵

As set forth below, Mr. Dondero, Dugaboy, and Ms. Dondero (hereinafter the "Dondero/Dugaboy Defendants") rely on a mandatory arbitration clause in Highland's Limited Partnership Agreement as the basis for their arbitration request. To be clear, there are no arbitration clauses in the underlying promissory notes. And the Note Obligor Defendants are not seeking arbitration of the breach of contract claims, turnover claims, or fraudulent transfer claims. It is

³ Mr. Dondero was actually a lready a Note Obligor Defendant in Adv. Proc. No. 21-3003, as he as an obligor on three notes.

⁴ All subsequent "Doc." references in this Memorandum Opinion and Order follow this convention.

⁵ The court considered these replies despite the lateness of their filing, less than two business days before the Hearing. At the Hearing, Highland noted its displeasure with these replies being filed 37 days after Highland filed its objections but did expressly did not ask the court to strike the replies. The court reminds the parties, as Highland correctly pointed out, that the Local Civil Rules for the Northern District of Texas, and not the Local Bankruptcy Rules, apply to these adversary proceedings in all respects, since the reference to the Bankruptcy Court was withdrawn and this court is conducting all proceedings in the position of a magistrate judge for the District Court. Thereplies here were required to be filed no later than 14 days following the filing of Highland's objections. *See* Local Civil Rule 7.1(f).

only the Dondero/Dugaboy Defendants seeking arbitration as to Count V (seeking declaratory judgment as to provisions of the Highland limited partnership agreement) and Counts VI and VII (the fiduciary duty claims). The court denies the Arbitration Motions for the reasons stated below.

II. The Agreement Containing the Arbitration Clause

First, a word about what is and is not in dispute regarding the Arbitration Motions. The parties agree that Highland's *Fourth Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership* (the "LPA")⁶ contained Section 6.14, a typical mandatory arbitration provision that requires parties to the LPA to arbitrate certain disputes under certain circumstances (the "Arbitration Clause"):

In the event there is an unresolved legal dispute between the parties and/or any of their respective officers, directors, partners, employees, agents, affiliates or other representatives that involves legal rights or remedies arising from this Agreement, the parties agree to submit their dispute to binding arbitration under the authority of the Federal Arbitration Act ...

The Arbitration Clause also significantly limited discovery that could occur in arbitration:

The discovery process shall be limited to the following: Each side shall be permitted no more than (i) two party depositions of six hours each, each deposition to be taken pursuant to the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure; (ii) one non-party deposition of six hours; (iii) twenty-five interrogatories; (iv) twenty-five requests for admissions; (v) ten request for production (in response, the producing party shall not be obligated to produce in excess of 5,000 total pages of documents, including electronic documents); and (vi) one request for disclosure pursuant to the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure.

The parties further agree that the LPA, as an executory contract, was rejected under 11 U.S.C. § 365 in connection with the court's order confirming Highland's plan of reorganization in February 2021.

The Dondero/Dugaboy Defendants acknowledge that Counts I–IV of the Amended Complaints (Breach of Contract; Turnover; Fraudulent Transfers under 11 U.S.C. § 548; and Fraudulent Transfers under 11 U.S.C. § 544 and the Texas Uniform Fraudulent Transfer Act) are not subject to the Arbitration Clause.

The Dondero/Dugaboy Defendants argue in the Arbitration Motions, however, that Counts V, VI, and VII of the Amended Complaints (seeking a declaratory judgment as to provisions of LPA and claiming breach of fiduciary duty and aiding and abetting of breach of fiduciary duty—

⁶ The LPA was executed by Highland's then-general partner, Strand Advisors, Inc., through the individual James Dondero, who was also then Highland's CEO and Highland's majority limited partner, The Dugaboy Investment Trust, James Dondero's family trust, through its trustee, the individual Nancy Dondero, James Dondero's sister. (Various other limited partners also signed the LPA, but they are not Note Obligor Defendants.) The "oral a greement" defense alleges that The Dugaboy Investment Trust, through Nancy Dondero as trustee, as the holder of a Majority Interest (as defined in the LPA), entered into oral a greements on behalf of Highland with James Dondero to forgive the demand notes at the center of these Note Adversary Proceedings if certain conditions subsequent were met.

all counts that, notably, Highland only added after the Note Obligor Defendants articulated their "oral agreement" defense) *are* subject to the Arbitration Clause. Highland counters that: (a) the rejection of the LPA excuses Highland from being forced to submit to mandatory arbitration of Counts V, VI, and VII; (b) the Dondero/Dugaboy Defendants have waived the Arbitration Clause by not invoking it at any earlier point in these Note Adversary Proceedings; and (c) the Dondero/Dugaboy Defendants should be judicially estopped from invoking the Arbitration Clause now. Highland also argues that arbitration of some but not all the counts of the Amended Complaints would be inefficient and wasteful, and that any stay of proceedings in this court would do a disservice to the resolution of the admittedly non-arbitrable issues in Counts I–IV.

III. The Significance of the Rejection of the Executory Contract (*i.e.*, the LPA) that Contained the Arbitration Clause

The court acknowledges that there is a wealth of federal case law dictating the strong federal policy undergirding the Federal Arbitration Act ("FAA"). See, e.g., Moses H. Cone Mem'l Hosp. v. Mercury Const. Corp., 460 U.S. 1, 24, 103 S. Ct. 927, 74 L. Ed. 2d 765 (1983) (describing the FAA as "a congressional declaration of a liberal federal policy favoring arbitration agreements"). The FAA was enacted by Congress in 1925 and became effective in 1926. It is codified at Title 9 of the United States Code and is predicated upon Congress's exercise of the Commerce Clause powers granted in the Constitution. The FAA contemplates the judiciary's respect for and enforcement of private parties' agreements to resolve disputes through arbitration. The FAA provides:

A written provision in ... a contract ... to settle by arbitration a controversy thereafter arising out of such contract ... shall be valid, irrevocable, and enforceable, save upon such grounds as exist at law or in equity for the revocation of any contract."⁷

Thus, arbitration, pursuant to the FAA, is entirely a matter of contract. And, where a contract contains a provision in which parties agreed to submit future disputes thereunder to arbitration, these provisions should be enforced according to their terms. Section 4 of the FAA specifically directs a court to order parties to arbitrate upon a request by a party that is entitled to demand arbitration in a written contract. The courts have often stated that the FAA reflects a liberal federal policy favoring arbitration and requires arbitration agreements to be rigorously enforced according to their terms.⁸

The court also notes that some courts have grappled with whether a bankruptcy court needs to treat an arbitration provision in a contract any "less mandatory" than other courts. After all, bankruptcy cases are not like other lawsuits; they are multi-faceted, multi-party, and fast-moving. It has often been stated that the underlying purposes of the Bankruptcy Code are to: (a) provide debtors and creditors with orderly and effective administration of bankruptcy estates; and (b) *centralize disputes over debtors' assets and obligations in one forum*. But there is *no* "bankruptcy exception" to an arbitration agreement *per se*—not in any statute and not according

⁷ 9 U.S.C. § 2.

⁸ See AT&TMobility LLC v. Concepcion, 563 U.S. 333, 339 (2011) (citations omitted).

to any court so far. Some courts have opined or suggested that a bankruptcy court, when presiding over a proceeding involving "non-core" disputes pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)-*i.e.*, disputes that are merely related to a bankruptcy case and would have been litigated elsewhere but for the broad nexus created by the debtor's bankruptcy filing-generally must abstain from adjudication and direct the parties to arbitration when presented with an applicable arbitration provision.⁹ But when a bankruptcy court is presented with a "core" dispute—*i.e.*, one which derives from the provisions of the Bankruptcy Code—it may be permissible for the bankruptcy court to decline to order arbitration; after determining that "core" disputes are involved, courts tend to employ a framework for analysis derived from a nonbankruptcy Supreme Court case called Shearson/Am. Express, Inc. v. McMahon, 482 U.S. 220 (1987). In a nutshell, the McMahon Court held that a party seeking to avoid arbitration pursuant to an otherwise applicable agreement must show that Congress-in enacting whatever statute is involved (i.e., the Bankruptcy Code) intended to preclude arbitration and that intent must be deducible from: (1) the statute's text; (2) its legislative history; or (3) "an inherent conflict between arbitration and the statute's underlying purposes."¹⁰ Thus, courts-after finding "core" disputes are involved-tend to plow down a complicated trail of considering whether there is an "inherent conflict" between arbitration and the Bankruptcy Code in whatever dispute happens to be before the court.

The Fifth Circuit has addressed the topic of enforceability of arbitration clauses in bankruptcy in the cases of *In re Gandy* and *In re Nat'l Gypsum*.¹¹ In those cases, the Fifth Circuit instructed that a bankruptcy court may refuse to enforce arbitration clauses and may itself adjudicate a dispute when it finds that: (a) a matter is core or derives from rights under the Bankruptcy Code; *and* (b) enforcement of the arbitration provision would irreconcilably conflict with the purposes or goals of the Bankruptcy Code.¹²

While this is all somewhat enlightening, a slightly different argument is presented to this court by Highland in its argument that the bankruptcy court should not compel arbitration. Highland does not deny the existence of any of the above case law nor the fact that Counts V, VI, and VII involve non-core matters that do not derive from rights under the Bankruptcy Code. Rather, Highland argues, these Note Adversary Proceedings present a circumstance that very few courts have addressed. *The LPA (or at least the Arbitration Clause) was an executory contract that Highland rejected in its confirmed Chapter 11 plan.* As noted above, no one disputes that the LPA was rejected pursuant to Bankruptcy Code section 365. The result, argues Highland, is

⁹ At least one court has suggested that there is a "presumption in favor of arbitration [that] usually trumps the lesser interest of bankruptcy courts in a djudicating non-core proceedings." *MBNA Am. Bank, N.A. v. Hill*, 436F.3d 104, 108 (2d Cir. 2006). *But see Hays & Co. v. Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith, Inc.*, 885 F.2d 1149, 1156-1158 (3d Cir. 1989) (determining there is no discretion to deny arbitration in non-core matters). *See also Gandy v. Gandy (In re Gandy)*, 299 F.3d 489, 496 (5th Cir. 2002) ("it is generally accepted that a bankruptcy court has no discretion to refuse to compel the arbitration of matters not involving 'core' bankruptcy proceedings under 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)"); *Ins. Co. of N. Am. v. NGC Settlement Trust & Asbestos Claims Mgmt. Corp. (In re Nat'l Gypsum Co.)*, 118 F.3d 1056 (5th Cir. 1997) (same).

¹⁰ *McMahon*, 482 U.S. at 227.

¹¹ Gandy, 299 F.3dat489; Nat'l Gypsum Co., 118 F.3d at 1056.

¹² In re Nat'l Gypsum Co., 118F.3d at 1068-69.

that Highland is no longer bound by the LPA's provisions that impose *specific performance* obligations on it—provisions such as the Arbitration Clause. A counterparty to a rejected executory contract can merely seek monetary damages, Highland argues, but it cannot force a debtor to *perform* under a rejected executory contract.

Highland's argument finds support in a both lengthy and well-reasoned opinion by District Judge David Godbey of this District — *Janvey v. Alguire*, 2014 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 193394 (N.D. Tex. Jul. 20, 2014), *aff'd on different grounds* at 847 F.3d 231 (5th Cir. 2017), dealing with federal receiverships (in which the court made analogies to the bankruptcy process)—as well as in an old law review article written by renowned University of Texas Law School Professor Jay Westbrook (often considered the modern-day expert on executory contracts in bankruptcy). *See* Jay Westbrook, *The Coming Encounter: International Arbitration and Bankruptcy*, 67 UNIV. OF MINN. LAW SCHOOL 595 (1983).

The Janvey opinion arose in the context of a federal receivership commenced at the request of the Securities and Exchange Commission in response to the massive R. Allen Stanford Ponzi scheme. Ralph S. Janvey was the receiver ("Receiver") who took possession of all receivership assets and records. Pursuant to those powers, the Receiver filed suit against former employees (the "Employee Defendants") who previously worked in various capacities for the Stanford enterprises ("Stanford Entities") and received salary, commissions, bonuses, or later forgiven loans from the Stanford Entities. The Receiver's suit alleged that the Employee Defendants received fraudulent transfers in violation of the Texas Uniform Fraudulent Transfer Act (TUFTA) or, in the alternative, were unjustly enriched at the expense of the creditors of the Receivership Estate. Some of the Employee Defendants filed motions to compel arbitration. According to a later Fifth Circuit opinion, the arbitration agreements were contained in: (1) promissory notes between the Employee Defendants and the company that governed the upfront loan payments that the company awarded to the Employee Defendants when they joined Stanford; (2) the broker-dealer forms that the company submitted to the Financial Industry Regulation Authority (FINRA) when registering the Employee Defendants as brokers; (3) FINRA's internal rules governing disputes between brokers and their employers; and (4) the company's Performance Appreciation Rights plan. The arbitration clauses provided that "any controversy arising out of or relating to this Note, or default on this Note, shall be submitted to and settled by arbitration pursuant to the constitution, bylaws, rules and regulations of the National Association of Securities Dealers (NASD)." Janvey v. Alguire, 847 F.3d 231, 237 (5th Cir. 2017).

The issue of whether arbitration was required went back and forth between Judge Godbey and the Fifth Circuit and, ultimately, the precise issue pending before Judge Godbey was whether to deny or grant the motions to compel arbitration based on the question of "whether the Receiver is bound by the arbitration clauses if he sues, as he must, on behalf of the Stanford Entities."

Judge Godbey declined to order arbitration because the Receiver had not adopted the arbitration agreements at issue and because arbitration of the Receiver's claims would frustrate a central purpose of federal equity receiverships. Judge Godbey noted that, before a general requirement to arbitrate exists, a party must first be bound to an arbitration agreement — either as a signatory or through a principle of law or equity. Judge Godbey stated that discussions of

possible exceptions to this general requirement to arbitrate, like *McMahon*'s contrary congressional command, *are only necessary after such an initial determination*. Judge Godbey opined that equity receivers, as non-signatories to an arbitration agreement, can, in fact, be bound to the arbitration agreement to the same extent receivership entities would be bound. But there remained a significant resultant question: whether the Employee Defendants' arbitration agreements were contracts that the Receiver could *reject*, "an ability that has deep historical roots for both federal equity receivers and bankruptcy trustees and that continues to be an important tool for both."

Applying Professor Vern Countryman's material breach test, Judge Godbey concluded that arbitration agreements must be analyzed as separate executory contracts, based on the nature of the agreement as well as arbitration caselaw regarding severability. Citing Professor Westbrook, he noted that, "'[v]iewed as an independent contractual obligation of the parties, an arbitration agreement is a classic executory contract, since neither side has substantially performed the arbitration agreement at the time enforcement is sought.' *Westbrook, supra note 26, at 623* (footnote omitted). Furthermore, the appropriate remedy in this circumstance cannot be for the Court to require specific performance by the trustee — *i.e.,* to compel arbitration — because 'injured part[ies] cannot insist on specific performance by the trustee.' *See id. at 619* (collecting cases)." *Janvey,* 2014 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 193394 at *113.

Judge Godbey went on to opine that the Receiver had rejected the arbitration agreement, that the rejection was proper, and that the Receiver was not bound to arbitrate—further noting that if the court required the Receiver to adopt the arbitration agreements, it would greatly burden and deplete the receivership estate. Such a result, weighed in the balance, would be unjust and inequitable.

The Fifth Circuit ultimately affirmed, 847 F.3d 231 (5th Cir. 2017), but applied a different analysis. It determined that the Stanford entity in whose shoes the Receiver had stepped, for purposes of bringing the TUFTA claims (*i.e.*, Stanford International Bank), was not a signatory to the arbitration agreements and was not otherwise bound by them. The Fifth Circuit also determined that, with regard to one Employee Defendant (Giusti) who stood in a unique position (in that there was an arbitration agreement that the Receiver's predecessor was party to and bound), that Guisti waived the right to arbitrate by substantially invoking the judicial process (through the filing of a motion to dismiss, an answer, serving written discovery and answering discovery—which had caused delay and expense). As for Judge Godbey's "broader policy argument" that the federal receivership statutes were at odds with the FAA's mandate in favor of arbitration, noting that these were "important concerns," the Fifth Circuit stated that "we are wary of endorsing these broad policy arguments in the absence of specific direction from the Supreme Court." *Id.* at 245. But the Fifth Circuit did not otherwise address the arguments.

While the *Janvey* case involved a federal receiver, Judge Godbey looked almost entirely to bankruptcy law and to Bankruptcy Code section 365 to reach his ruling. This court finds *Janvey* to be persuasive (and possibly binding) on this court. Moreover, just as a federal receiver is analogous to a bankruptcy trustee, a debtor-in-possession is, of course, statutorily the same as a bankruptcy trustee. *See, e.g.,* 11 U.S.C. § 1107.

To be clear, if a bankruptcy trustee rejects an executory contract, the rejection, of course, constitutes a breach of the contract and subjects the estate to a claim for money damages on behalf of the injured party. 11 U.S.C. § 365(g). Significantly, however, the injured party cannot insist on specific performance by the trustee. See Westbrook, The Coming Encounter, at 619 (and numerous cases cited therein). Instead, the injured party is treated as having a prepetition claim for damages arising as if the breach occurred immediately before the filing of the bankruptcy petition. Professor Westbrook notes that the issue then becomes whether such a prepetition claim, including a claim arising from rejection, must be liquidated pursuant to the arbitration clause. Most jurisprudence in the bankruptcy context dealing with arbitration clauses does not analyze this as a traditional executory contract conundrum. And yet, to use Professor Westbrook's words, an arbitration agreement is a classic executory contract, since neither side has substantially performed the arbitration agreement at the time enforcement is sought. Id. at 623. And although "arbitration survives the contract" as a matter of contract law, "executory obligations may be avoided by the trustee as a matter of bankruptcy law through the exercise of the trustee's power to reject executory contracts." Id. "If specific performance is not available against a trustee, it follows that an arbitration agreement is like any other executory contract which the trustee may reject." *Id.* at 624.

The Janvey decision is not the only case to have addressed the effect of rejection on the viability of an arbitration clause within a rejected executory contract. The Dondero/Dugaboy Defendants cite the court to *In re Fleming Companies, Inc.*, 325 B.R. 687 (Bankr. D. Del. 2005), a case from another bankruptcy court that predates *Janvey* by almost a decade, for the proposition that rejection of an executory contract does not prevent a party from invoking an arbitration clause in that contract. With due respect, the court believes the reasoning in *Janvey* to be more persuasive than the bankruptcy court's in *Fleming Cos*. (and *Janvey* is potentially binding precedent on this court). It also bears noting that it was the debtor in *Fleming Cos*., not the executory contract's counterparty, who was invoking the arbitration clause in the contract the debtor had previously rejected. That distinction is not without significance.

In summary, this court accepts Highland's argument that the LPA was an executory contract duly rejected pursuant to Bankruptcy Code section 365, and that the Arbitration Clause should likewise be considered a separate executory agreement that was rejected. Accordingly, Highland cannot be forced to specifically perform under the Arbitration Clause or the LPA by mandatorily participating in arbitration of Counts V, VI, and VII. The court defers to the compelling reasoning of Judge Godbey in *Janvey* on this point. The court, like Judge Godbey, also finds as a matter of fact that requiring arbitration in this case would impose undue and unwarranted burdens and expenses on the parties to the detriment of Highland's creditors.

IV. Waiver

Even if this court is in error in determining that the Arbitration Clause is no longer binding on Highland because it was rejected pursuant to Bankruptcy Code section 365, the court finds as a matter fact that the Dondero/Dugaboy Defendants have waived any right to invoke the Arbitration Clause. The court has taken judicial notice of its own docket, both in these Note Adversary Proceedings and in the administrative Chapter 11 case, and has considered the entire record of both proceedings, as well as the *Declaration of John A. Morris in Support of Debtor's Objection to Motion to Compel Arbitration and Stay Litigation* [Doc. 94, 78, 83, and 78], and the exhibits annexed thereto, in making the following findings of fact.

The Note Adversary Proceedings were filed in January 2021 (after Highland earlier made demands on the Note Obligor Defendants or otherwise declared events of default). One of the Note Obligor Defendants (Mr. Dondero) timely answered, pleading an affirmative defense that Highland agreed not collect on the underlying notes-but that answer contained nothing more specific than this, nor any mention of arbitration. Amended Answers were later filed by the Note Obligor Defendants, elaborating on and/or adopting the affirmative defense that, through the oral agreement, Highland agreed to forgive the obligations under the notes as compensation to Mr. Dondero "upon fulfillment of conditions precedent." Roughly 90 days after the filing of the Note Adversary Proceedings, the Note Obligor Defendants filed motions to withdraw the reference, which this court spent significant time addressing in making a report and recommendation to the District Court in each Note Adversary Proceeding. No mention of arbitration was made to this court during those proceedings. During a hearing before the court on June 10, 2021, Highland announced its intention to add claims against the Dondero/Dugaboy Defendants for breach of fiduciary duty, yet the issue of arbitration was not raised at that point, or a month later when the Dondero/Dugaboy Defendants received a draft of the Amended Complaint adding Counts V, VI, and VII. Pursuant to the parties' agreement, Highland filed that Amended Complaint on August 27, 2021, as the Dondero/Dugaboy Defendants' "oral agreement" defense became clearer. Only on September 1, 2021, did the Dondero/Dugaboy Defendants file their Arbitration Motions and raise the issue of arbitration under the Arbitration Clause for the first time in these proceedings, more than seven months after the litigation began. At the same time, the Dondero/Dugaboy Defendants also pursued extensive discovery, seeking and obtaining responses to interrogatories and documents requests in scope and number significantly more than the Arbitration Clause permitted, all in accordance with pre-trial stipulations the defendants both negotiated with Highland and then asked this court to approve, which the court did.

Although courts in the Fifth Circuit sometimes apply a presumption against waiver of an arbitration right, the right can certainly be waived. ¹³ "Waiver will be found when the party seeking arbitration substantially invokes the judicial process to the detriment or prejudice of the other party."¹⁴ In this context, prejudice "refers to the inherent unfairness—in terms of delay, expense, or damage to a party's legal position—that occurs when the party's opponent forces it to litigate an issue and later seeks to arbitrate that same issue."¹⁵ A party waives arbitration when it "engage[s] in some overt act in court that evinces a desire to resolve the arbitrable dispute through litigation rather than arbitration."¹⁶

¹³ Williams v. Cigna Fin. Advisors, Inc., 56 F.3d656, 661 (5th Cir. 1995).

¹⁴ Miller Brewing Co. v. Fort Worth Distrib. Co., 781 F.2d 494, 497 (5th Cir. 1986).

¹⁵ Subway Equip. Leasing Corp. v. Forte, 169 F.3d 324, 327 (5th Cir. 1999) (quoting Doctor's Assocs., Inc. v. Distajo, 107 F.3d 126, 134 (2d Cir. 1997)).

¹⁶ Keytrade USA v. Ain Temouchent M/V, 404 F.3d 891, 897 (5th Cir. 2005) (quoting Republic Ins. Co. v. PAICO Receivables, LLC, 383 F.3d 341, 344 (5th Cir. 2004)). See also Price v. Drexel Bumham Lambert, Inc., 791 F2d

While every situation is unique, here the court finds that the Dondero/Dugaboy Defendants waived their right (if any still remained) to demand arbitration, due to their multiple answers, their motions to withdraw the reference, extensive discovery that far exceeded what the Arbitration Clause permitted, and complete silence about the possibility of arbitration for more than eight months. Even though Counts V, VI, and VII were not added by Highland until more than seven months after the Note Adversary Proceedings were filed, the Dondero/Dugaboy Defendants had reason to know that their "oral agreement" affirmative defense might implicate the LPA and the Arbitration Clause, and yet they didn't raise the subject of arbitration until many months of litigation activity in the Note Adversary Proceedings had occurred in this court.¹⁷ The resulting delay and expense warrant this court's applying waiver as permitted by the Fifth Circuit authority cited above. This court finds as a matter of fact that the Dondero/Dugaboy Defendants waived the relief they seek in the Arbitration Motions.

V. Judicial Estoppel, Waste and Inefficiency

Highland also asked the court: (a) to judicially estop the Dondero/Dugaboy Defendants from arguing entitlement to arbitration in light of prior contradictory positions these defendants took in earlier pleadings and arguments before this court, and (b) to decline to order arbitration because of the waste and inefficiency arbitration would represent for these proceedings. Because the court rules that rejection of the Arbitration Clause precludes Highland's being forced to submit to arbitration, and because the court finds that the Dondero/Dugaboy Defendants waived the relief they sought in the Arbitration Motions, the court need not and does not address Highland's arguments pertaining to judicial estoppel or the practical implications of ordering arbitration.

VI. Stay of Counts I-IV

Finally, because the court denies the arbitration requested in the Arbitration Motions, there is no good cause to stay litigation in the entire Note Adversary Proceedings. Even if the court has erred in its ruling on the Arbitration Motions, there still exists no good cause to stay the Note Adversary Proceeding as to Counts I-IV. The Dondero/Dugaboy Defendants acknowledge that Counts I-IV are non-arbitrable claims and, moreover, in the event Plaintiff were to prevail on them, it is likely that Plaintiff would not even pursue Counts V–VII. To clarify, if Plaintiff prevails on Counts I and II (*i.e.*, the breach of contract claims and turnover)—which would involve a finding that there was no oral agreement for nonpayment—then all other counts would become moot. And, if the court were to find that there *were* such an agreement, Plaintiff could potentially still prevail on Counts III and IV (the claims that such an agreement would constitute a fraudulent transfer—also non-arbitrabal). It would seem that only if Plaintiff loses on all of these non-arbitrable claims would it have any interest in pursuing Counts V-VII (*i.e.*, an interest in arguing that the oral agreements amounted to breach of fiduciary duty and aiding and abetting breach of fiduciary duty).

11

^{1156, 1162 (5}th Cir. 1986) (party waived arbitration because it "initiated extensive discovery, answered twice, filed motions to dismiss and for summary judgment, filed and obtained two extensions of pre-trial deadlines, all without demanding arbitration").

¹⁷ The court notes that all Note Obligor Defendants consist of either Mr. Dondero or entities he controls.

The requested stay would also be illogical in this context. The "oral agreement" defense relies on the existence of an oral contract between Highland (via Dugaboy, through its trustee, Ms. Dondero) and Mr. Dondero. The existence of that contract is **not** an arbitrable issue. The implications of that contract's existence are what would potentially be arbitrable. If litigation on Counts I–IV demonstrates that there was no such "oral agreement," then there would be nothing to arbitrate because Counts V–VII would be rendered moot. Staying the litigated determination regarding the existence of the "oral agreement" in favor of arbitrating issues that only arise if there ever were such an agreement strikes the court as backwards. Arbitration should await that determination, not the other way around.

Accordingly, the Dondero/Dugaboy Defendants' requests to stay the Note Adversary Proceedings have no merit and are denied.

ORDER

For the reasons stated in the above Memorandum Opinion and Order, the Arbitration Motions and Stay Motions related thereto are DENIED.

End of Order

Davor Rukavina Julian P. Vasek MUNSCH HARDT KOPF & HARR, P.C. 500 N. Akard Street, Suite 3800 Dallas, Texas 75202-2790 (214) 855-7500 telephone (214) 978-4375 facsimile Email: drukavina@munsch.com

ATTORNEYS FOR NEXPOINT ADVISORS, L.P.

IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS DALLAS DIVISION

In re:	§	
	§	Chapter 11
HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P.,	§	
	§	Case No. 19-34054-sgj11
Debtor.	§	
	§	
HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P.,	§	
	§	
Plaintiff,	§	Adversary Proceeding No.
	§	
VS.	§	21-03005-sgj
	§	
NEXPOINT ADVISORS, L.P., JAMES	§	
DONDERO, NANCY DONDERO, AND THE	§	
DUGABOY INVESTMENT TRUST,	§	
	§	
Defendants.	8	

REPLY OF DEFENDANT NEXPOINT ADVISORS, L.P. IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO EXTEND EXPERT DISCLOSURE AND DISCOVERY DEADLINES

TO THE HONORABLE STACEY G.C. JERNIGAN, U.S. BANKRUPTCY JUDGE:

COMES NOW NexPoint Advisors, L.P. ("<u>NexPoint</u>"), one of the defendants in the above styled and numbered Adversary Proceeding initiated by Highland Capital Management, L.P. as the plaintiff (the "<u>Debtor</u>"), and files this its *Reply* (the "<u>Reply</u>") in support of its *Motion to Extend Expert Disclosure and Discovery Deadlines* (the "<u>Motion</u>"), and replying to the *Objection to Motion of Defendant NexPoint Advisors, L.P. to Extend Expert Disclosure and Discovery Deadlines* (the "Objection"), filed by the Debtor, respectfully stating as follows:

I. <u>SUMMARY</u>

1. The Shared Services Agreement required the Debtor to assist and advise with payments, including on notes. That is in the contract. The Debtor's former CFO confirmed it. The Shared Services Agreement contains a standard of care that the Debtor had to follow. That is also in the contract. And the Fifth Circuit confirms that expert testimony is appropriate, and potentially required, when the standard of care is not obvious. Here, it was obvious until it wasn't. Before Mr. Waterhouse's deposition, the standard of care was not at issue per se. The Defendant simply alleged the Debtor was obligated to facilitate the December payment but did not. That came down to simple contract interpretation. No expert was needed because any lay juror could understand that the Debtor breached its duties by doing nothing to facilitate the payment. But things changed after Mr. Waterhouse's testimony in late October, when he testified that Mr. Dondero allegedly *told* him not to pay this note. The question then became what the Debtor was obligated to do next under the contractual standard of care. The answer is not obvious. And it is the type of issue on which a jury could only benefit from expert opinion testimony. This is precisely the type of case where the Fifth Circuit finds expert testimony appropriate, if not required. Nor is there prejudice to the Debtor: there is no trial setting, the Debtor can contest the admission of the expert's testimony and present its own rebuttal, and, if the Debtor prevails, it also can also seek to recover all collection costs.

II. <u>THE EXPERT TESTIMONY IS APPROPRIATE</u>

2. The Shared Services Agreement, in place during November and December, 2020, provides as follows:

Section 6.01. <u>Standard of Care</u>. Except as otherwise expressly provided herein, each Covered Person shall discharge its duties under this Agreement with the care, skill, prudence and diligence under the circumstances then prevailing that a prudent person acting in a like capacity and familiar with such matters would use in the conduct of an enterprise of a like character and with like aims. To the extent not

inconsistent with the foregoing, each Covered Person shall follow its customary standards, policies, and procedures in performing its duties hereunder.

See Rukavina Declaration, Exh. A at § 6.01.

3. "Covered Person" includes the "Staff and Services Provider," *i.e.* the Debtor, and its managers, directors, officers, and shareholders. *See id.* at p 2. There can be no dispute that section 6.01 applied to the Debtor itself, to Mr. Waterhouse, and to the other employees involved (David Kloss, the controller, and Kristin Hendrix, the senior accountant).

4. The Debtor argues that section 6.01 applies only to duties specifically set forth in the Shared Services Agreement, and that the duty to facilitate payments on NexPoint's behalf is not among those duties. This argument is wrong. The Shared Services Agreement identifies at least three services that the Debtor was required to provide that are directly on point:

(a) *Back- and Middle Office*. Assistance and advice with respect to back- and middle-office functions including, but not limited to . . . finance and accounting, <u>payments</u>, operation, book keeping, cash management . . . accounts payable . . .

(k) *Ancillary Services*. Assistance and advice on all things ancillary or incidental to the foregoing.

(1) *Other*. Assistance and advice relating to such other back- and middle-office services in connection with the day-to-day business of [NexPoint] as [NexPoint] and [the Debtor] may from time to time agree.

See id. at § 2.02 (emphasis added).

5. Assistance and advice—again, *advice*—with respect to "payments" is expressly included. And, should there be any doubt, the Debtor's own Chief Financial Officer at the time confirmed that it was "reasonable for NexPoint to rely on the debtors' employees to inform NexPoint of an upcoming payment due on the \$30 million promissory note." *See* Rukavina Declaration at Exh. C, 337:22-338:8. That is why NexPoint was paying millions of dollars to the Debtor, to assist and *advise* NexPoint with respect to NexPoint's payment obligations. Advice would include advising NexPoint of the consequences of a potential default, especially given the

Debtor's conflict-of-interest at the time between being NexPoint's creditor as well as its accounting, payment, and legal professional. This is especially the case if Mr. Dondero in fact instructed Mr. Waterhouse not to make the payment on the belief that the payment was not due, or would be netted against NexPoint's overpayments to the Debtor.

6. Next, the Debtor argues that expert testimony is not proper on the scope of a party's legal duty, because that is a legal conclusion for the Court. NexPoint agrees. The Debtor also argues that whether the Debtor owed or breached a legal duty is for the jury to decide. NexPoint agrees in part: whether duties are *breached* is an issue for the jury; not whether duties were owed. *See Askanese v. Fajto*, 130 F.3d 657, 673 (5th Cir. 1997). None of these issues are present here: the Court will construe the Shared Services Agreement as a matter of law; that agreement contains section 6.01, and the Court will construe that section. But, the standard of care in that section is:

the care, skill, prudence and diligence under the circumstances then prevailing that a prudent person acting in a like capacity and familiar with such matters would use in the conduct of an enterprise of a like character and with like aims.

See Rukavina Declaration, Exh. A at § 6.01.

7. The issue is simple: if the jury finds that Mr. Dondero did in fact instruct Mr. Waterhouse not to make the payment, then did the Debtor fail to act with "the care, skill, prudence and diligence under the circumstances then prevailing that a prudent person acting in a like capacity and familiar with such matters would use in the conduct of an enterprise of a like character and with like aims" by failing to do anything to advise NexPoint as to the consequences of a default, failing to confirm that Mr. Waterhouse correctly understood the instruction, or not even trying to dissuade Mr. Dondero from his alleged instruction? As simple as this issue appears to sophisticated bankruptcy professionals, it is not one a lay juror could resolve from personal experience or common sense.

8. "Expert testimony is generally <u>required</u> to prove the applicable standard of care." *Quijano v. United States*, 325 F.3d 564, 567 (5th Cir. 2003) (emphasis added); *Streber v. Hunter*, 221 F.3d 701, 724 (5th Cir. 2000) ("Breach of the standard of care must generally be proven by expert testimony"). [E]xpert testimony is <u>necessary</u> to establish the standard of care ... Similarly, breach of a fiduciary duty or a conflict of interest <u>requires</u> proof of expert testimony." *Geiserman v. MacDonald*, 893 F.2d 787, 793-94 (5th Cir. 1990) (internal quotations removed) (emphasis added). An expert is appropriate, and potentially needed, for the jury to understand whether the Debtor employed "the care, skill, prudence and diligence under the circumstances then prevailing that a prudent person acting in a like capacity and familiar with such matters would use in the conduct of an enterprise of a like character and with like aims." That should not be a controversial proposition.

9. The Debtor cites the Fifth Circuit's opinion in *Askanese v. Fajto* as support for its argument. 130 F.3d 657 (5th Cir. 1997). In that opinion, the Fifth Circuit affirmed the exclusion of an expert because "[i]t is not for [the expert] to tell the trier of fact what to decide." *Id.* at 1997. Here, NexPoint's expert would not be telling the jury what to decide; only whether, in his opinion, the Debtor's actions and inactions breached the duties as otherwise specified in the Shared Services Agreement and construed by the Court. The Debtor would have the ability to have a rebuttal expert, and the jury would be free to disregard the expert's testimony. NexPoint's expert would not be telling the jury have to decide, only his opinion as to whether the standard of care as specified in the agreement and construed by the Court was met. Conversely, if NexPoint's lay witnesses purported to present evidence on these duties at trial, the Debtor would certainly object to any such evidence because it would *not* be expert testimony.

III. <u>REPLY REGARDING "GOOD CAUSE</u>"

A. <u>NexPoint's Need and Good Cause for Leave</u>

10. The Debtor argues that NexPoint seeks leave because the testimony of its witness, Mr. Waterhouse, allegedly did not go well. But the Debtor takes some liberties in its argument. For one thing, Mr. Waterhouse is not one of NexPoint's witnesses. In fact, the Debtor took his deposition and he is not NexPoint's witness. Also, his deposition did not go badly for NexPoint. On the contrary, other than his unexpected testimony regarding Mr. Dondero's alleged instruction not to pay the note, his testimony was not harmful to NexPoint and was, objectively, neither helpful nor harmful to either side. The Debtor makes these wrong allegations solely to shoehorn its argument into a case that it cites. *See* Objection at \P 43.

11. But the more pertinent objection is that, as NexPoint has always argued that the Debtor caused the alleged default, NexPoint should have retained an expert months ago: "[i]If NexPoint wanted to offer 'expert testimony' concerning Highland's duties under the SSA, it had nine months to do so, and Mr. Waterhouse's testimony, expected or not, does nothing to change that." Objection at ¶ 44. This argument is wrong as a matter of Fifth Circuit law.

12. Prior to Mr. Waterhouse's deposition, NexPoint did not know that Mr. Dondero allegedly instructed Mr. Waterhouse not to make the payment. NexPoint understood that the Debtor's employees simply dropped the ball on ensuring that the payment was made. Under those facts, expert testimony would not have been needed because anyone, using common sense, can determine whether the Debtor in that case breached it duties. But the situation changed when Mr. Waterhouse gave his deposition testimony because, if the jury believes that Mr. Dondero gave the instruction, now the situation is much more complicated; *i.e.* whether, in light of such an alleged instruction, the Debtor nevertheless breached its duties. This important distinction has been aptly explained by the Fifth Circuit in a case where the issue was whether a trustee breached his duties:

Finders of fact are supposed to reach their conclusions on the basis of common sense, common understanding and fair beliefs, grounded on evidence consisting of direct statements by witnesses or proof of circumstances from which inferences can fairly be drawn. Accordingly, we have explained that, as a general rule, expert testimony is not needed in many if not most cases. Moreover, although expert testimony may be necessary in a professional negligence case to establish the standard of care for the industry, an exception applies in instances of negligence that are a matter of common knowledge comprehensible to laymen.

Although Liberty Mutual contends that expert testimony was required in this case, Lamesa suggests that inasmuch as the Trustee failed to act in the face of obvious danger posed by Mrs. Schooler's ready access to the bankruptcy estate's assets, and in the face of repeated warnings and inquiries by a concerned creditor, a layperson could discern that the standard of care was not met in this case.

We agree with Lamesa that, under the facts of this case, expert testimony was not required to establish that the Trustee breached her duties. While the precise course of action the Trustee should have taken may be subject to reasonable debate, it requires no technical or expert knowledge to recognize that she affirmatively should have undertaken *some* form of action to acquire for the bankruptcy estate the assets to which it was entitled. As the bankruptcy court explained, by doing nothing, the Trustee ignored basic human nature.

In re Schooler, 725 F.3d 498, 514-15 (5th Cir. 2013) (internal citations and quotations omitted).

13. So too, here, NexPoint did not need an expert for the jury to conclude that the Debtor breached its duties by doing *nothing* in light of the upcoming payment, without Mr. Dondero's alleged instruction. But if the jury finds that that instruction occurred, the situation is more complicated: did the Debtor have an affirmative duty after receiving such instruction to seek confirmation, advise as to the potential consequences of a default, or try to dissuade Mr. Dondero? These issues are not within a lay person's common knowledge or common sense. And this is all the more important because, at the same time, the Debtor was providing legal services to NexPoint; *i.e.* the Debtor was NexPoint's law firm.

14. By analogy, it is one thing for a lawyer to fail to inform his client of an upcoming deposition, which leads to a "death penalty" order. Anyone can know, using common sense, that the lawyer committed professional negligence. But what if the lawyer advises the client of the

deadline, but the client tells the lawyer he does not feel like attending the deposition? Can the lawyer sit on his hands and do nothing, or must the lawyer take affirmative steps, for example, to inform the client of the potential consequences, try to reschedule the deposition, or try to dissuade the client from his decision? That is a much more difficult question. Here again:

the general rule is that expert testimony is required to establish the standard of care in a legal malpractice action; an exception to the general rule is recognized where the attorney's lack of care and skill is so evident that the jury can find negligence as a matter of common knowledge, e.g., when an attorney allows the statute of limitations to run on a client's claim.

Floyd v. Hefner, 556 F. Supp. 2d 617, 643 (S.D. Tex. 2008).

15. The Debtor's objection that the expert testimony is irrelevant is likewise wrong. NexPoint has explained above why expert testimony is appropriate, and arguably required, to address the standard of care in the Shared Services Agreement. NexPoint has likewise demonstrated that the Shared Services Agreement expressly provides for assistance and advice with respect to "payments." Here, the Debtor attempts misdirection:

NexPoint does not and cannot identify any provision in the SSA that imposes a duty on Highland to make Annual Installment payments on NexPoint's behalf without direction from an authorized NexPoint representative.

Objection at ¶ 49.

16. NexPoint has never argued that the Debtor should have made the payment "on NexPoint's behalf," in the sense that the Debtor would do so from its funds. And, the issue is not whether the payment should have been made without direction from an authorized NexPoint representative—itself a disputed question of fact made much more complicated by the fact that it was the same individual responsible for the payment on both sides, who was also an officer of both parties. Even if the Debtor is correct, though, the point is that the Debtor failed in its duties to *seek* such authorization.

17. The Debtor also argues that, as NexPoint should always have known that Mr. Dondero did not authorize the payment, Mr. Waterhouse's testimony that Mr. Dondero instructed him not to make the payment does not change the situation such that NexPoint's delay is unreasonable. First, the issue is not whether NexPoint instructed the Debtor to make the payment; that is merely the Debtor's interpretation of its duties under the Shared Services Agreement and the Court or the jury will have to decide whether that is correct. NexPoint does not agree that is the correct standard (and its expert has not been asked to opine on that issue). Second, the issue is the Debtor's failure to *advise* NexPoint on the issue—and *advice* is an express duty under the contract. Third, the Debtor fails to recount the whole of Mr. Dondero's testimony on the "authorization" issue:

Q. Okay. And do you know whether anybody acting on behalf of any of the three corporate obligors under the term notes ever took any steps in December 2020 to make sure that Highland would, in fact, make the payments that were due at year-end?

MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form.

A. No, there was a reliance on Highland.

Q. Okay. Is it your testimony that Highland was authorized to make the payments under the notes at year-end without being directed by a representative of the three corporate obligors?

A. Yes. It is my contention that that is how it worked in prior years also.

Q. And so you believe that nobody on behalf of any of the corporate obligors ever authorized or directed Highland to make the payments but that Highland did it without -- without direction?

MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: Object to the form.

A. Yes, typically. And in 2017 or 2018, 2019, for sure.

Morris Declaration Exh. 4 at: 462:24-463:25.

18. And contrary to the Debtor's characterization of Mr. Waterhouse's testimony, Mr.

Waterhouse testified as follows:

Q. Well, what about long term loans? Was it reasonable for NexPoint to expect debtor employees to ensure that NexPoint timely paid its obligations under long-term notes?

MR. MORRIS: Objection to the form of the question.

MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.

A. I mean, that is one of the things that the Highland personnel did provide to the advisors. Yes, we would -- we would -- over the years, yes, we -- we -- we did do that generally. Again, I don't remember specifically but, yes, generally we - you know, we did do that.

* * *

Q. And what role in years prior to 2020 would employees of the debtor have had with respect to NexPoint making that annual payment?

A. We -- we -- we would have -- I keep saying "we." The team would have calculated any amounts due under that loan and other loans, as -- as standard course. We would -- since we provided treasury services to the advisors, we would inform the -- the -- the -- we informed Mr. Dondero of any cash obligations that are forthcoming, whether we do cash projections. If, you know, any of these payments would have -- or, you know, the sum total of all of these payments, including any note payments, if there were any cash shortfalls, we would have informed Mr. Dondero of any cash shortfalls. We could adequately plan, you know, in instances like that.

Or, sorry, we -- I say "we" – I keep saying "we" -- I keep wearing my -- again, my -- my treasurer hat. But, yes, it is to -- it is to inform Mr. Dondero of the obligations of the advisors in terms of cash and obligations that are -- are upcoming and that -- and that are -- are scheduled to be paid.

* *

Q. And based on your experience, would it have been reasonable for NexPoint to rely on the debtors' employees to inform NexPoint of an upcoming payment due on the \$30 million promissory note?

MR. MORRIS: Objection to form of the question.

MS. DANDENEAU: Objection to form.

A. Yes. Yes, they did. I mean, but I mean, but I don't think these -- these notes were any secret to anybody

Rukavina Declaration at Exh. C: 333:14-338:8.

19. The situation was not, therefore, as the Debtor construes it; that the Debtor could sit around and do nothing until an instruction to pay was issued. On the contrary, as the Shared Services Agreement requires, it was to *advise* NexPoint: "to inform Mr. Dondero of the obligations of the advisors in terms of cash and obligations that are [] upcoming . . . [and] scheduled to be paid." Whatever else can be said about what happened, and whether the jury will believe Mr. Dondero or Mr. Waterhouse, one thing is clear: the course that had been followed for years was not followed here, because the Debtor failed to inform Mr. Dondero of the upcoming alleged obligation, whether outright or because of Mr. Dondero's alleged instruction not to pay.

20. On the issue of timing, NexPoint has already explained that, while it understood that Mr. Dondero instructed Mr. Waterhouse to make no further payments on the Shared Services Agreement, Mr. Dondero never made a similar instruction regarding the Note. *See* Rukavina Declaration at ¶ 10. Mr. Waterhouse's counsel prevented NexPoint's counsel from discussing the matter with Mr. Waterhouse, due to ongoing litigation between the Debtor and Mr. Waterhouse. *See id.* at ¶ 11. If the Court questions the truthfulness of this, the Court need only review the transcript of Mr. Waterhouse' deposition, where NexPoint's attorney asked four (4) times whether Mr. Waterhouse was sure of the instruction, as evidence of counsel's surprise at the answer. *See* Rukavina Declaration a Exh. C: 390:4-392:17.

21. At the same time, it appears that the Debtor knew what Mr. Waterhouse's answer would be well ahead of time—an issue also relevant below to prejudice. On May 11, 2021, the Debtor served its amended responses to NexPoint's discovery. *See* Supplemental Rukavina

Declaration [filed concurrently herewith] at Exh. "A." In those, the Debtor answered the following

interrogatory:

INTERROGATORY NO. 2:

If the Debtor contends that it was not responsible for causing payments to be made under the Note on NexPoint's behalf pursuant to the Shared Services Agreement, explain the legal and factual basis for such contention.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 2:

The Debtor objects to Interrogatory No. 2 on the ground that it seeks a legal conclusion or legal analysis. Subject to its objection, the Shared Services Agreement did not provide that the Debtor was responsible for causing payments to be made under the Note. The Debtor further states that after the Debtor sent NexPoint the Default Letters, NexPoint did not contend that the Debtor was required to make payments under the Note on NexPoint's behalf. The Debtor's personnel caused the January Payment to be processed upon instruction from NexPoint.

See Supplemental Rukavina Declaration at Exh. "B" at p. 7.

22. Even though NexPoint asked the Debtor to explain, factually, why the Debtor was

not responsible for causing payments to be made, rather than including in its answer that Mr.

Dondero gave Mr. Waterhouse the alleged instruction, the Debtor merely answered (as it does

now, despite the clear language of the Shard Services Agreement) that the contract did not impose

this responsibility on the Debtor. Yet, the Debtor's answer to the following request for production

strongly suggests that the Debtor knew of the alleged instruction, yet did not include it in the

interrogatory answer:

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 1:

All Communications pursuant to which any director, officer, or employee of the Debtor was advised or instructed not to make the December Payment or to cause the December Payment to be made.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 1:

Subject to the General Objections, the Debtor is unaware of any documents responsive to Request for Production No. 1. <u>Any Communications responsive to Request for Production No. 1 were verbal</u>.

See id. at p. 10 (emphasis added).

23. The Debtor could and should have stated what these verbal communications were in May, 2021. Instead, NexPoint was forced to wait until Mr. Waterhouse's deposition to learn of the alleged verbal communication. Alternatively, the Debtor too did not know ahead of time how Mr. Waterhouse would answer, but then it can hardly accuse NexPoint of any delay.

B. <u>EXPERT TESTIMONY IS RELEVANT</u>

24. NexPoint has already addressed above why expert testimony is appropriate, why it may even be required, and why, both pursuant to the language of the contract and the Debtor's CFO's testimony, the Debtor had *some* level of duties with respect to the payment.

25. The Debtor argues that the agreement exculpates the Debtor for "any acts or omissions unless it is determined by a court of competent jurisdiction to 'be the result of gross negligence or to constitute fraud or willful misconduct." Objection at p. 13, n. 8. That is not true. That exculpation provision applies only to the "conduct of the business of [NexPoint]." Rukavina Declaration Exh. A at § 6.02. The payment of a note is not the "business" of NexPoint; its business is managing and advising funds and investments. Even so, if the Debtor argues otherwise, then that is a matter for the jury, and the issue is not one appropriate to the present Motion.

26. The Debtor's reliance on the Shared Service Agreement's indemnification provision is likewise unavailing: "an indemnity provision does not apply to claims between the parties to the agreement." *Derr Constr. Co. v. Houston*, 846 S.W.2d 854, 858 (Tex. App. – Houston [14th Dist.] 1992). *Accord In re 1701 Commerce LLC*, 2014 Bankr. LEXIS 3962 at *40 (Bankr. N.D. Tex. 2014) ("[u]nder Texas law, indemnity agreements do not generally apply to

claims between the parties to an agreement"). There is an exception if the agreement expressly provides that the indemnification applies to a claim brought by one party against the other, *see In re 1701 Commerce LLC*, 2014 Bankr. LEXIS at *40, but the language in the Shared Services Agreement does not so provide.

C. <u>The Debtor Will Not Be Prejudiced</u>

27. The Debtor will not suffer prejudice if the Motion is granted. If the Debtor hires a rebuttal expert and prevails at trial, then it will be entitled to the costs of that expert. The scheduling order provided for expert designations by October 29, 2021. NexPoint filed its motion on that day. The Debtor cannot credibly argue prejudice with respect to added costs when the Debtor would have incurred those costs anyway had NexPoint provided an expert report on that day. In this respect:

any additional costs incurred from an extension would not be unreasonable. Here, Plaintiffs seek an extension so they can offer an expert witness for their products liability claims. Defendants have been aware of these claims since this case's inception. Because expert witnesses are crucial for Plaintiffs' prima facie case, Defendants have known they would need to prepare rebuttal evidence since this case began on October 14, 2019. These facts do not present an instance in which a party adds an additional claim, or introduces an eleventh-hour witness, to foist additional litigation costs without warning.

Adams v. Medtronics Inc., 2021 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 47246 at *12 (E.D. Tex. 2021).

28. Likewise here, the Debtor always knew of NexPoint's defense. And, as discussed above, it appears that the Debtor (but not NexPoint) knew what Mr. Waterhouse's testimony would be in May, 2021. Again, had NexPoint provided an expert report on October 29, the Debtor would have incurred whatever costs it would have incurred anyway, except that, in that instance, the Debtor would likely be moving to extend the expert deadline, since the scheduling order does not provide for a separate rebuttal expert deadline. Moreover, the Debtor will have every opportunity to contest the expert's admission at trial; the Court's approval of the Motion does not mean that the expert's testimony is admissible.

29. The Debtor's discussion of a "continuance" is irrelevant, as trial has not been set and likely will not be set for a long time given the Debtor's own desire to pursue summary judgment practice. In that respect, assuming the Court grants the Motion on December 13, 2021, and the Debtor needs one month for a rebuttal expert, and the parties need two weeks for expert depositions, that would still mean that this case would be trial ready by the end of February, 2022thirteen (13) months after being filed. This is not unreasonable and is faster than many cases are declared trial ready. In fact, the Debtor has indicated that it will move for summary judgment by December 17, 2021, with responses due on January 17, 2022, with the Debtor's reply on January 31, 2022—a schedule the Court accepted. And, on December 7, 2021, the Debtor apparently filed motions seeking to consolidate for trial various note cases, including this one, which motion alone will likely take significant time to decide as several District Court judges are involved. In other words, this Adversary Proceeding is not going to be certified as trial ready for a few months at least. Nor would granting this Motion affect the timing of the summary judgment proceedings; whether the Debtor breached the standard of care is a question of fact outside the scope of summary judgment.

IV. <u>PRAYER</u>

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, NexPoint respectfully requests that the Court overrule the Debtor's objection and grant the Motion.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 8th day of December, 2021.

MUNSCH HARDT KOPF & HARR, P.C.

By: /s/ Davor Rukavina

Davor Rukavina State Bar No. 24030781 Julian P. Vasek. State Bar No. 24070790 500 N. Akard Street, Suite 3800 Dallas, Texas 75202-2790 Telephone: (214) 855-7500 Facsimile: (214) 978-4375 Email: drukavina@munsch.com Email: jvasek@munsch.com

ATTORNEYS FOR NEXPOINT ADVISORS, L.P.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that, on December 8th, 2021, a true and correct copy of the foregoing document, including the exhibit thereto, was served via the Court's CM/ECF system on parties entitled to notice thereof, including on counsel for the Debtor.

> /s/ Davor Rukavina Davor Rukavina

IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS DALLAS DIVISION

In re:	§	
	§	Chapter 11
HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P.,	§	-
	§	Case No. 19-34054-sgj11
Debtor.	Š	
	§	
HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P.,	§	
	§	
Plaintiff,	§	Adversary Proceeding No.
	§	
VS.	§	21-03005-sgj
	§	
NEXPOINT ADVISORS, L.P., JAMES	§	
DONDERO, NANCY DONDERO, AND THE	§	
DUGABOY INVESTMENT TRUST,	§	
	§	
Defendants.	§	

SUPPLEMENTAL DECLARATION OF DAVOR RUKAVINA

The undersigned, Davor Rukavina, hereby declares under penalty of perjury pursuant to the laws of the United States of America the following:

1. My name is Davor Rukavina. I am over the age of 21, have never been convicted of a felony or crime of moral turpitude, and am otherwise qualified to give this Declaration.

2. I am an attorney duly licensed to practice law in the State of Texas. I am lead counsel for NexPoint Advisors, L.P. ("<u>NexPoint</u>"), in the above styled and numbered Adversary Proceeding. As such, I directly supervised discovery served by NexPoint in this Adversary Proceeding and the receipt of responses to the same from Highland Capital Management, LP (the "Debtor"), and I have personal knowledge of the same (although not the underlying facts).

3. Attached to this Declaration as Exhibit "A" is a true and correct copy of discovery served by NexPoint on the Debtor on or about March 31, 2021.

4. Attached to this Declaration as Exhibit "B" is a true and correct copy of the Debtor's amended responses to said discovery.

5. I hereby swear under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and ability.

Executed: December 8, 2021.

<u>/s/ Davor Rukavina</u> DAVOR RUKAVINA Davor Rukavina, Esq. Texas Bar No. 24030781 Julian P. Vasek, Esq. Texas Bar No. 24070790 MUNSCH HARDT KOPF & HARR, P.C. 500 N. Akard Street, Suite 3800 Dallas, Texas 75202-2790 Telephone: (214) 855-7500 Facsimile: (214) 978-4375 <u>drukavina@munsch.com</u> jvasek@munsch.com

Counsel for NexPoint Advisors, L.P

IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS DALLAS DIVISION

In re	§	
HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT,	§ §	Chapter 11
L.P.,	§ s	Case No. 19-34054-sgj11
Debtor.	Ş	
	ş	
HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT,	§	
L.P.,	§	
	§	
Plaintiff,	§	
	§	Adv. No. 21-03005
V.	§	
	§	
NEXPOINT ADVISORS, L.P.	§	
	§	
Defendant.	§	

DEFENDANT'S REQUESTS FOR ADMISSIONS, INTERROGATORIES, AND REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION TO PLAINTIFF

TO: Highland Capital Management, L.P. through its counsel of record, Jeffrey Pomerantz and John Morris, Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones LLP, 10100 Santa Monica Blvd., 13th Floor, Los Angeles, CA 90067, jpomerantz@pszjlaw.com; jmorris@pszjlaw.com; Zachery Annable, Hayward PLLC, 10501 N. Central Expy., Ste. 106, Dallas, TX 75231, zannable@haywardfirm.com

NexPoint Advisors, L.P., the defendant in the above-styled and numbered adversary

proceeding, hereby serves these Requests for Admissions, Interrogatories, and Requests for



Production pursuant to Rules 33, 34, and 36 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and Rules 7033, 7034, and 7036 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure.

Highland Capital Management, L.P. is instructed to serve its responses to these requests and interrogatories, along with all documents responsive to these requests, no later than <u>April 30</u>, <u>2021</u>, by delivering them to Julian Vasek, Munsch Hardt Kopf & Harr P.C., 500 N. Akard St., Ste. 3800, Dallas, Texas 75201, <u>jvasek@munsch.com</u>.

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 34(b)(1)(C), made applicable to this adversary proceeding by Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 7034, electronically stored information should be produced in native format.

I. <u>DEFINITIONS</u>

1. "<u>Adversary Proceeding</u>" means the above-captioned adversary proceeding.

2. "<u>Committee</u>" means the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors appointed in the Debtor's bankruptcy case, including its officers, directors, employees, agents, and representatives.

3. "<u>Communication</u>" or "<u>Communications</u>" means every kind of written, recorded, or oral transmission of information.

4. "<u>Complaint</u>" means the *Complaint for (I) Breach of Contract and (II) Turnover of Property of the Debtor's Estate* filed at Dkt. No. 1 in the Adversary Proceeding.

5. "<u>Debtor</u>" means Highland Capital Management, L.P., including its officers, directors, employees, agents, and representatives.

"<u>December Payment</u>" means the payment that was allegedly due on December 31,
 2020 under the Note.

7. "<u>Default Letters</u>" means the letters sent from the Debtor to NexPoint dated January
7, 2021 and January 15, 2021 that are attached as exhibits to the Complaint.

8. "<u>Document</u>" or "<u>Documents</u>" means writings of every type and from any source, including e-mail and electronic documents and including originals and nonidentical copies thereof that are in your possession, custody, or control or known by you to exist.

The term also includes communications not only in words, but in symbols, pictures, sound recordings, film, tapes, and information stored in, or accessible through, computer or other information storage or retrieval systems. If the information is kept in a computer or informational storage or retrieval system, the term also includes codes and programming instructions and other materials necessary to understand such systems.

The term includes, but is not limited to: the original and all copies (regardless of origin and whether or not including additional writing thereon or attached thereto) of pictures, loan agreements, memoranda, reports, books, manuals, instructions, financial reports, working papers, records, notes, letters, notices, confirmations, telegrams, receipts, appraisals, pamphlets, magazines, newspapers, prospectuses, inter-office and intra-office communications, contracts, cables, electronic mails, deleted electronic mails, text messages, notations or memoranda of any sort of any conversation, telephone calls, meetings or other communications, bulletins, printed matter, computer printouts, teletypes, invoices, transcripts, diaries, analyses, returns, summaries, minutes, bills, accounts, estimates, projections, comparisons, messages, correspondence, press releases, circulars, financial statements, reviews, opinions, offers, studies and investigations, modifications, revisions, changes and amendments of any of the foregoing), graphic or oral records or representations of any kind, (including, without limitation, tapes, cassettes, discs and records)

Cases 212030303055650 0001481-5-Eileide011/0508221 Entretere011/0508221810815313 Pagaeg80300f2899

and other written, printed, typed, photographed, or other graphic recorded matter of any kind or nature, however reproduced and whether preserved in writing, phono record, film, photograph, type or video tape.

9. "January Payment" means the payment made by NexPoint under the Note on January 14, 2021 in the amount of \$1,406,111.92.

10. "<u>NexPoint</u>" means NexPoint Advisors, L.P., including its officers, directors, employees, agents, and representatives.

11. "<u>Note</u>" means that certain *Promissory Note* attached to the Complaint as Exhibit 1.

12. "<u>Shared Services Agreement</u>" means that certain *Amended and Restated Shared Services Agreement* between NexPoint and the Debtor, dated effective as of January 1, 2018.

II. <u>REQUESTS FOR ADMISSIONS</u>

1. Admit that the Debtor was responsible for making payments under the Note on NexPoint's behalf pursuant to the Shard Services Agreement.

2. Admit that the Debtor was responsible for causing payments to be made under the Note on NexPoint's behalf pursuant to the Shard Services Agreement.

3. Admit that the Debtor did not make the December Payment on NexPoint's behalf.

4. Admit that the Debtor did not cause the December Payment to be made on NexPoint's behalf.

5. Admit that, pursuant to the Shared Services Agreement, the Debtor made a payment on the Debtor's behalf under the Note on or about December 31, 2018.

6. Admit that, pursuant to the Shared Services Agreement, the Debtor caused a payment to be made on the Debtor's behalf under the Note on or about December 31, 2018.

7. Admit that, pursuant to the Shared Services Agreement, the Debtor made a payment on the Debtor's behalf under the Note on or about December 31, 2019.

8. Admit that, pursuant to the Shared Services Agreement, the Debtor caused a payment to be made on the Debtor's behalf under the Note on or about December 31, 2019.

9. Admit that, prior to the alleged default on December 31, 2020, NexPoint never defaulted under the Note.

III. INTERROGATORIES

1. If the Debtor contends that it was not responsible for making payments under the Note on NexPoint's behalf pursuant to the Shared Services Agreement, explain the legal and factual basis for such contention.

2. If the Debtor contends that it was not responsible for causing payments to be made under the Note on NexPoint's behalf pursuant to the Shared Services Agreement, explain the legal and factual basis for such contention.

3. Provide the following information with respect to each payment made under the Note since its inception: (a) the date such payment was made; (b) the amount of such payment; (c) the individuals involved in making such payment or causing such payment to be made; (d) the account from which such payment was made; and (e) the method by which such payment was made.

4. Describe in detail all steps the Debtor took, including by identifying every individual involved, to evaluate the Note, the December Payment, the January Payment, and/or the alleged default.

Cases 212030303055650 0001481-5-Eileide011/0508221 Entretere011/0508221810815313 Pagaeg 0181 off 2899

5. Describe in detail all steps the Debtor took, including by identifying every individual involved, to evaluate the Debtor's obligations to make a payment or cause a payment to be made under the Note on NexPoint's behalf.

6. Identify all records the Debtor kept regarding services the Debtor provided to NexPoint under the Shared Services Agreement with respect to the Note, and indicate whether such records identify what employee(s) provided services, when such services were provided, and how much time was spent providing such services.

7. For each request for admission above that the Debtor did not unequivocally admit, explain the factual and legal basis for not doing so.

IV. <u>REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION</u>

1. All Communications pursuant to which any director, officer, or employee of the Debtor was advised or instructed not to make the December Payment or to cause the December Payment to be made.

2. All Communications between directors, officers, and/or employees of the Debtor related to the Note.

3. All Communications between directors, officers, and/or employees of the Debtor related to any and all defaults under the Note.

4. All Communications between directors, officers, and/or employees of the Debtor related to the December Payment.

5. All Communications between directors, officers, and/or employees of the Debtor related to prior payments the Debtor made or caused to be made on NexPoint's behalf under the Note.

6. All Communications between directors, officers, and/or employees of the Debtor related to the January Payment.

7. All Communications with third parties related to the Note.

8. All Communications with third parties related to the December Payment.

9. All Communications with third parties related to the January Payment.

10. All Communications with third parties related to prior payments the Debtor made or caused to be made on NexPoint's behalf under the Note.

11. All Communications with third parties related to any and all defaults under the Note.

12. All Communications with the Committee (including, but not limited to, Communications solely between counsel for the Debtor and the Committee) related to the Note, any and all defaults under the Note, the December Payment, the January Payment, and/or the Default Letters.

13. All ledgers, statements, and accounting records related to payments made under the Note to date.

14. All Documents pursuant to which the Debtor was authorized and/or required to make payments or cause payments to be made on NexPoint's behalf under the Note.

15. All Documents and Communications pursuant to which the Debtor contends it was relieved of its obligation to make payments or cause payments to be made under the Note on NexPoint's behalf pursuant to the Shared Services Agreement.

16. All Communications related to potentially marketing and/or selling the Note.

17. The Shared Services Agreement, including all amendments and supplements thereto, whether informal or formal, regardless of how documented.

18. All Documents and Communications construing the Debtor's obligations to NexPoint under the Shared Services Agreement.

19. All Documents and Communications related to the scope of the Debtor's obligations to NexPoint under the Shared Services Agreement.

20. All Documents and Communications identified in connection with Interrogatory 6 above.

21. All billing statements from Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones LLP and Hayward PLLC related to fees the Debtor seeks to collect in the Adversary Proceeding.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 31st day of March, 2021.

MUNSCH HARDT KOPF & HARR, P.C.

By: /s/ Julian P. Vasek

Davor Rukavina, Esq. Texas Bar No. 24030781 Julian P. Vasek, Esq. Texas Bar No. 24070790 500 N. Akard Street, Suite 3800 Dallas, Texas 75202-2790 Telephone: (214) 855-7500 Facsimile: (214) 978-4375 <u>drukavina@munsch.com</u> jvasek@munsch.com

COUNSEL FOR NEXPOINT ADVISORS, L.P.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that, on the 31st day of March, 2021, a true and correct copy of this document was electronically served via email on counsel for the Debtor (jpomerantz@pszjlaw.com; jmorriss@pszjlaw.com; zannable@haywardfirm.com), as well as by first class U.S. mail, postage prepaid to the following recipients:

Zachery Z. Annable HAYWARD PLLC 10501 N. Central Expy, Ste. 106 Dallas, Texas 75231

Jeffrey N. Pomerantz PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP 10100 Santa Monica Blvd., 13th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90067

/s/ Julian P. Vasek

Julian P. Vasek, Esq.

PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP

Jeffrey N. Pomerantz (CA Bar No. 143717) (*admitted pro hac vice*) Ira D. Kharasch (CA Bar No. 109084) (*admitted pro hac vice*) John A. Morris (NY Bar No. 266326) (*admitted pro hac vice*) Gregory V. Demo (NY Bar No. 5371992) (*admitted pro hac vice*) Hayley R. Winograd (NY Bar No. 5612569) (*admitted pro hac vice*) 10100 Santa Monica Blvd., 13th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90067 Telephone: (310) 277-6910 Facsimile: (310) 201-0760

HAYWARD PLLC

Melissa S. Hayward Texas Bar No. 24044908 MHayward@HaywardFirm.com Zachery Z. Annable Texas Bar No. 24053075 ZAnnable@HaywardFirm.com 10501 N. Central Expy, Ste. 106 Dallas, Texas 75231 Tel: (972) 755-7110 Fax: (972) 755-7110

Counsel for the Debtor and Debtor-in-Possession

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS DALLAS DIVISION

In re:	ş Ş	Chapter 11
HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P., ¹	§ §	Case No. 19-34054-sgj11
Debtor.	§ §	
HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P.,	§	
Plaintiff,	§ §	Adv. Proc. No. 21-03005
v.	§	
NEXPOINT ADVISORS, L.P.,	§ §	
Defendant.	§	

¹ The Debtor's last four digits of its taxpayer identification number are (6725). The headquarters and service address for the above-captioned Debtor is 300 Crescent Court, Suite 700, Dallas, TX 75201.



DEBTOR'S AMENDED RESPONSES AND OBJECTIONS TO NEXPOINT ADVISORS, L.P.'S REQUESTS FOR ADMISSIONS, INTERROGATORIES, AND REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION

Highland Capital Management, L.P., ("<u>Plaintiff</u>" or the "<u>Debtor</u>") hereby responds to *Defendant's Requests for Admissions, Interrogatories, and Requests for Production to Plaintiff* (the "<u>Requests</u>")² served by NexPoint Advisors, L.P. ("<u>NexPoint</u>" or "<u>Defendant</u>") in the abovecaptioned adversary proceeding (the "<u>Adversary Proceeding</u>"). The Debtor's amended responses and objections to the Requests (the "<u>Amended Responses</u>") are made pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure ("<u>FRCP</u>") 26, 33, and 34 as made applicable in bankruptcy cases pursuant to Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure 7026, 7033, and 7034.

GENERAL OBJECTIONS

Unless otherwise specified, the following general objections and caveats are applicable to each and every Response and are incorporated into each Response as though set forth in full:

1. The Responses contained herein are based upon information presently known and ascertained by the Debtor.

2. The Debtor objects to the Requests to the extent they seek information or documents that are protected from discovery by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work product doctrine or any other privilege or immunity. The inadvertent disclosure or production of any document that is protected from discovery by any privilege or immunity shall not constitute a waiver of any such privilege or immunity. All references in these objections and responses to the Debtor's agreement to produce documents shall be construed to mean non-privileged documents.

3. The Debtor objects to the Requests to the extent they request information that is not reasonably or readily available to it, in its possession, custody or control, or is more

² Capitalized terms not defined herein shall have the meanings set forth in the Requests.

readily available to NexPoint from another source or for which the burden of obtaining such information is not substantially greater for NexPoint than it is for the Debtor.

4. The Debtor objects to the Requests to the extent they call for legal conclusions and/or legal analyses.

5. All specific responses to the Requests are provided without waiver of, and with express reservation of (a) all objections as to competency, relevancy, materiality, and admissibility of the responses and the subject matter thereof as evidence for any purpose in any further proceedings in this matter; (b) all privileges, including the attorney-client privilege and work product doctrine; (c) the right to object to the use of such responses, or the subject matter thereof, on any ground in any further proceeding in this action; and (d) the right to object on any ground at any time to a demand or request for further responses to these or any other discovery requests or other discovery proceedings.

6. The Debtor objects to the Requests to the extent they seek to expand on or conflict with Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure and/or the Local Rules of the Bankruptcy Court for the Northern District of Texas.

7. The Debtor's agreement to produce documents with respect to a specific Request shall not be construed as a representation that such documents actually exist or are within Plaintiff's possession, custody or control.

8. These General Objections and Responses shall be deemed to be incorporated by reference into the Specific Responses and Objections set forth below.

RESPONSES TO REQUESTS FOR ADMISSIONS

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 1:

Admit that the Debtor was responsible for making payments under the Note on NexPoint's behalf pursuant to the Shared Services Agreement.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 1:

The Debtor denies Request for Admission No. 1 on the ground that the Shared Services

Agreement does not provide that the Debtor was responsible for making payments under the Note.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 2:

Admit that the Debtor was responsible for causing payments to be made under the Note on NexPoint's behalf pursuant to the Shard Services Agreement.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 2:

The Debtor denies Request for Admission No. 2 on the ground that the Shared Services

Agreement does not provide that Debtor was responsible for causing payments to be made under

the Note.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 3:

Admit that the Debtor did not make the December Payment on NexPoint's behalf.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 3:

Admit, providing that NexPoint did not request that any such payment be made, and providing also that when the Debtor received instruction from NexPoint to make a payment during

providing also that when the Debtor received instruction from recki onit to make a payme

January 2021, it did make the payment.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 4:

Admit that the Debtor did not cause the December Payment to be made on NexPoint's behalf.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 4:

Admit, providing also that when the Debtor received instruction from NexPoint to cause a payment to be made during January 2021, it did so.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 5:

Admit that, pursuant to the Shared Services Agreement, the Debtor made a payment on the Debtor's behalf under the Note on or about December 31, 2018.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 5:

The Debtor admits that it made a payment on NexPoint's behalf, and at NexPoint's request and instruction, under the Note on or about December 31, 2018. The Debtor otherwise denies Request for Admission No. 5 on the grounds that the Shared Services Agreement speaks for itself and the Debtor did not make any payment on its own behalf.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 6:

Admit that, pursuant to the Shared Services Agreement, the Debtor caused a payment to be made on the Debtor's behalf under the Note on or about December 31, 2018.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 6:

The Debtor admits that it caused a payment to be made on NexPoint's behalf, and at NexPoint's request and instruction, under the Note on or about December 31, 2018. The Debtor otherwise denies Request for Admission No. 6 on the grounds that the Shared Services Agreement speaks for itself and the Debtor did not make any payment on its own behalf.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 7:

Admit that, pursuant to the Shared Services Agreement, the Debtor made a payment on the Debtor's behalf under the Note on or about December 31, 2019.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 7:

The Debtor admits that it made a payment on NexPoint's behalf, and at NexPoint's request and instruction, under the Note on or about December 31, 2019. The Debtor otherwise denies Request for Admission No. 7 on the grounds that the Shared Services Agreement speaks for itself and the Debtor did not make any payment on its own behalf.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 8:

Admit that, pursuant to the Shared Services Agreement, the Debtor made a payment on the Debtor's behalf under the Note on or about December 31, 2019.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 8:

The Debtor admits that it caused a payment to be made on NexPoint's behalf, and at NexPoint's request and instruction, under the Note on or about December 31, 2019. The Debtor otherwise denies Request for Admission No. 8 on the grounds that the Shared Services Agreement speaks for itself and the Debtor did not make any payment on its own behalf.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 9:

Admit that, prior to the alleged default on December 31, 2020, NexPoint never defaulted under the Note.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 9:

Admit.

OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO INTERROGATORIES

INTERROGATORY NO. 1:

If the Debtor contends that it was not responsible for making payments under the Note on NexPoint's behalf pursuant to the Shared Services Agreement, explain the legal and factual basis for such contention.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 1:

The Debtor objects to Interrogatory No. 1 on the ground that it seeks a legal conclusion or legal analysis. Subject to its objection, the Shared Services Agreement did not require that the Debtor to make payments under the Note on NexPoint's behalf. The Debtor further states that after the Debtor sent NexPoint the Default Letters, NexPoint did not contend that the Debtor was required to make payments under the Note on NexPoint's behalf. The Debtor's personnel processed the January Payment upon instruction from NexPoint.

INTERROGATORY NO. 2:

If the Debtor contends that it was not responsible for causing payments to be made under the Note on NexPoint's behalf pursuant to the Shared Services Agreement, explain the legal and factual basis for such contention.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 2:

The Debtor objects to Interrogatory No. 2 on the ground that it seeks a legal conclusion or legal analysis. Subject to its objection, the Shared Services Agreement did not provide that the Debtor was responsible for causing payments to be made under the Note. The Debtor further states that after the Debtor sent NexPoint the Default Letters, NexPoint did not contend that the Debtor was required to make payments under the Note on NexPoint's behalf. The Debtor's personnel caused the January Payment to be processed upon instruction from NexPoint.

INTERROGATORY NO. 3:

Provide the following information with respect to each payment made under the Note since its inception: (a) the date such payment was made; (b) the amount of such payment; (c) the individuals involved in making such payment or causing such payment to be made; (d) the account from which such payment was made; and (e) the method by which such payment was made.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 3:

See Exhibit A.

INTERROGATORY NO. 4:

Describe in detail all steps the Debtor took, including by identifying every individual involved, to evaluate the Note, the December Payment, the January Payment, and/or the alleged default.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 4:

The Debtor objects to Interrogatory No. 4 on the grounds that it calls for a legal conclusion

or legal analysis, is vague and ambiguous, and is overly broad and unduly burdensome. See Fed.

R. Civ. P. 26(b)(1). Subject to its objection, the Debtor identifies the following individuals and

entity in response to Interrogatory No. 4:

Jim Seery

Greg Demo

John Morris

Frank Waterhouse

Kristin Hendrix

DSI Consulting

INTERROGATORY NO. 5:

Describe in detail all steps the Debtor took, including by identifying every individual involved, to evaluate the Debtor's obligations to make a payment or cause a payment to be made under the Note on NexPoint's behalf.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 5:

The Debtor objects to Interrogatory No. 5 on the grounds that it assumes the Debtor was

obligated to make payments or cause a payment to be made under the Note on NexPoint's behalf.

The Debtor further objects on the grounds that it calls for a legal conclusion or analysis, and is

overly broad and unduly burdensome. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(1). Subject to its objection, the Debtor identifies the following individuals and entity in response to Interrogatory No. 5:

Jim Seery Greg Demo

-

John Morris

Frank Waterhouse

Kristin Hendrix

Blair Hillis

DSI Consulting

INTERROGATORY NO. 6:

Identify all records the Debtor kept regarding services the Debtor provided to NexPoint under the Shared Services Agreement with respect to the Note, and indicate whether such records identify what employee(s) provided services, when such services were provided, and how much time was spent providing such services.

RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 6:

The Debtor does not possess information responsive to Interrogatory No. 6.

INTERROGATORY NO. 7:

For each request for admission above that the Debtor did not unequivocally admit, explain the factual and legal basis for not doing so.

<u>RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 7</u>:

The Debtor objects to Interrogatory No. 7 on the grounds that it calls for a legal analysis

or legal conclusion, and is overly broad and unduly burdensome. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(1).

SPECIFIC OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO DOCUMENT REQUESTS

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 1:

All Communications pursuant to which any director, officer, or employee of the Debtor was advised or instructed not to make the December Payment or to cause the December Payment to be made.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 1:

Subject to the General Objections, the Debtor is unaware of any documents responsive to

Request for Production No. 1. Any Communications responsive to Request for Production No. 1

were verbal.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 2:

All Communications between directors, officers, and/or employees of the Debtor related to the Note.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 2:

Subject to the General Objections, the Debtor will search for and produce documents

responsive to Request for Production No. 2.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 3:

All Communications between directors, officers, and/or employees of the Debtor related to any and all defaults under the Note.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 3:

Subject to the General Objections, the Debtor will search for and produce documents

responsive to Request for Production No. 3.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 4:

All Communications between directors, officers, and/or employees of the Debtor related to the December Payment.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 4:

Subject to the General Objections, the Debtor will search for and produce documents

responsive to Request for Production No. 4.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 5:

All Communications between directors, officers, and/or employees of the Debtor related to prior payments the Debtor made or caused to be made on NexPoint's behalf under the Note.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 5:

Subject to the General Objections, the Debtor will search for and produce documents

responsive to Request for Production No. 5.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 6:

All Communications between directors, officers, and/or employees of the Debtor related to the January Payment.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 6:

Subject to the General Objections, the Debtor will search for and produce documents

responsive to Request for Production No. 6.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 7:

All Communications with third parties related to the Note.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 7:

Subject to the General Objections, the Debtor will search for and produce documents

responsive to Request for Production No. 7.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 8:

All Communications with third parties related to the December Payment.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 8:

Subject to the General Objections, the Debtor will search for and produce documents

responsive to Request for Production No. 8.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 9:

All Communications with third parties related to the January Payment.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 9:

Subject to the General Objections, the Debtor will search for and produce documents

responsive to Request for Production No. 9.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 10:

All Communications with third parties related to prior payments the Debtor made or caused to be made on NexPoint's behalf under the Note.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 10:

Subject to the General Objections, the Debtor will search for and produce documents

responsive to Request for Production No. 10.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 11:

All Communications with third parties related to any and all defaults under the Note.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 11:

Subject to the General Objections, the Debtor will search for and produce documents

responsive to Request for Production No. 11.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 12:

All Communications with the Committee (including, but not limited to, Communications solely between counsel for the Debtor and the Committee) related to the Note, any and all defaults under the Note, the December Payment, the January Payment, and/or the Default Letters.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 12:

Subject to the General Objections, the Debtor will search for and produce documents

responsive to Request for Production No. 12.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 13:

All ledgers, statements, and accounting records related to payments made under the Note to date.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 13:

Subject to the General Objections, the Debtor will search for and produce documents

responsive to Request for Production No. 13.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 14:

All Documents pursuant to which the Debtor was authorized and/or required to make payments or cause payments to be made on NexPoint's behalf under the Note.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 14:

The Debtor objects to Request for Production No. 14 to the extent that it assumes that the

Debtor was required to make payments or cause payments to be made on NexPoint's behalf under

the Note. Subject to its General and Specific Objections, the Debtor is not aware of documents

otherwise responsive to Request for Production No. 14.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 15:

All Documents and Communications pursuant to which the Debtor contends it was relieved of its obligation to make payments or cause payments to be made under the Note on NexPoint's behalf pursuant to the Shared Services Agreement.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 15:

The Debtor objects to Request for Production No. 15 to the extent that it assumes that the

Debtor was obligated to make payments or cause payments to be made on NexPoint's behalf under

the Note. Subject to its General and Specific Objections, the Debtor is not aware of documents

otherwise responsive to Request for Production No. 15.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 16:

All Communications related to potentially marketing and/or selling the Note.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 16:

The Debtor objects to Request for Production No. 16 on the ground that it is not "relevant

to any party's claim or defense." Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(1).

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 17:

The Shared Services Agreement, including all amendments and supplements thereto, whether informal or formal, regardless of how documented.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 17:

Subject to the General Objections, the Debtor will search for and produce documents

responsive to Request for Production No. 17.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 18:

All Documents and Communications construing the Debtor's obligations to NexPoint under the Shared Services Agreement.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 18:

The Debtor objects to Request for Production No. 18 on the ground that it is vague and ambiguous, overly broad, and not proportional to the needs of the case. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(1).

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 19:

All Documents and Communications related to the scope of the Debtor's obligations to NexPoint under the Shared Services Agreement.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 19:

The Debtor objects to Request for Production No. 19 on the ground that it is overly broad,

unduly burdensome, and not proportional to the needs of the case. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(1).

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 20:

All Documents and Communications identified in connection with Interrogatory 6 above.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 20:

The Debtor objects to Request for Production No. 20 on the ground that it is not aware of

any such documents. Subject to the General and Specific Objections, the Debtor will search for

and produce documents responsive to Request for Production No. 20.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 21:

All billing statements from Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones LLP and Hayward PLLC related to fees the Debtor seeks to collect in the Adversary Proceeding.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 21:

Subject to the General Objections, the Debtor will search for and produce documents responsive to Request for Production No. 21.

Dated: May 11, 2021

PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP

Jeffrey N. Pomerantz (CA Bar No. 143717) (admitted pro hac vice) Ira D. Kharasch (CA Bar No. 109084) (admitted pro hac vice) John A. Morris (NY Bar No. 266326) (admitted pro hac vice) Gregory V. Demo (NY Bar No. 5371992) (admitted pro hac vice) Hayley R. Winograd (NY Bar No. 5612569) (admitted pro hac vice) 10100 Santa Monica Blvd., 13th Floor Los Angeles, CA 90067 Telephone: (310) 277-6910 Facsimile: (310) 201-0760 E-mail: jpomerantz@pszjlaw.com ikharasch@pcszjlaw.com jmorris@pszjlaw.com gdemo@pszjlaw.com hwinograd@pszjlaw.com

-and-

HAYWARD PLLC

/s/ Zachery Z. Annable

Melissa S. Hayward Texas Bar No. 24044908 MHayward@HaywardFirm.com Zachery Z. Annable Texas Bar No. 24053075 ZAnnable@HaywardFirm.com 10501 N. Central Expy, Ste. 106 Dallas, Texas 75231 Tel: (972) 755-7110 Fax: (972) 755-7110

Counsel for Highland Capital Management, L.P.

EXHIBIT A

Response to Interrogatory No. 3

Note Receivable Payment Summary **NexPoint Advisors**

Payment Date	Total Paid	Pmt Account
10/20/2017	800,000.00	NexBank Operating Acct *171
12/5/2017	1,301,504.99	NexBank Operating Acct *171
4/10/2018	439,721.54	NexBank Operating Acct *171
5/1/2018	146,573.85	NexBank Operating Acct *171
5/9/2018	879,927.65	NexBank Operating Acct *171
9/5/2018	280,765.40	NexBank Operating Acct *171
9/21/2018	1,023,750.00	NexBank Operating Acct *171
12/18/2018	294,695.10	NexBank Operating Acct *171
3/29/2019	750,000.00	NexBank Operating Acct *171
4/16/2019	1,300,000.00	NexBank Operating Acct *171
6/4/2019	300,000.00	NexBank Operating Acct *171
6/19/2019	2,100,000.00	NexBank Operating Acct *171
7/9/2019	630,000.00	NexBank Operating Acct *171
8/13/2019	1,300,000.00	NexBank Operating Acct *171
12/30/2019	530,112.36	NexBank Operating Acct *171
1/14/2021	1,406,111.92	NexBank Operating Acct *171

Pmt Method

Electronic Bank Transfer **Electronic Bank Transfer** Electronic Bank Transfer Electronic Bank Transfer

Individuals Involved in Making Pmt

Frank Waterhouse, Dave Klos, Kristin Hendrix, Blair Roeber Frank Waterhouse, Dave Klos, Kristin Hendrix, Blair Roeber

Davor Rukavina Julian P. Vasek MUNSCH HARDT KOPF & HARR, P.C. 500 N. Akard Street, Suite 3800 Dallas, Texas 75202-2790 (214) 855-7500 telephone (214) 978-4375 facsimile Email: drukavina@munsch.com

ATTORNEYS FOR NEXPOINT ADVISORS, L.P.

IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS DALLAS DIVISION

In re:	8	
	8	Chapter 11
HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P.,	8	
,,,,,, _, _, _, _,	8	Case No. 19-34054-sgj11
Debtor.	ş	
	§	
HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P.,	§	
	§	
Plaintiff,	§	Adversary Proceeding No.
	§	
VS.	§	21-03005-sgj
	§	
NEXPOINT ADVISORS, L.P., JAMES	§	
DONDERO, NANCY DONDERO, AND THE	§	
DUGABOY INVESTMENT TRUST,	§	
	§	
Defendants.	§	

NOTICE OF EXPERT REPORT OF STEVEN J. PULLY

NexPoint Advisors, L.P., one of the defendants in the above styled and numbered Adversary Proceeding, hereby serves upon all parties the *Expert Report of Steven J. Pully, CPA, CFA, Esq.*, a true, correct, and full copy of which is attached hereto.

Dated at Dallas, Texas this the 10th day of December, 2021.

MUNSCH HARDT KOPF & HARR, P.C.

By: /s/ Davor Rukavina

Davor Rukavina State Bar No. 24030781 Julian P. Vasek. State Bar No. 24070790 500 N. Akard Street, Suite 3800 Dallas, Texas 75202-2790 Telephone: (214) 855-7500 Facsimile: (214) 978-4375 Email: drukavina@munsch.com Email: jvasek@munsch.com

ATTORNEYS FOR NEXPOINT ADVISORS, L.P.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that, on December 10th, 2021, a true and correct copy of the foregoing document, including the exhibit thereto, was served via the Court's CM/ECF system on parties entitled to notice thereof, including on counsel for the Debtor/Plaintiff.

<u>/s/ Davor Rukavina</u> Davor Rukavina

IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS DALLAS DIVISION

		_	
In re:		§	Chamber 11
HIGHLAND CAPITAL		§ s	Chapter 11
MANAGEMENT, L.P.,		8 8 8 8 8 8	Case No. 19-34054-sgj11
MANAOEMENT, L.I.,		8 8	Case 110. 19-54054-sgj11
	Debtor.	8 8	
	Debior.	8 8	
HIGHLAND CAPITAL		§	
MANAGEMENT, L.P.,		\$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$ \$\$	
		8	
	Plaintiff,	8	
		8	
VS.		8	
NEVDONT ADVISODS		8	A lass and Data and the s No.
NEXPOINT ADVISORS,		§	Adversary Proceeding No.
DONDERO, NANCY DO		§	21-03005
THE DUGABOY INVEST	MENI	8	
TRUST,		8	
	Defendants.	8	
	Defendants.	8 8 8 8 8 8	
HIGHLAND CAPITAL		8 §	Advancent Dresseding No
MANAGEMENT SERVIC	TES INC		Adversary Proceeding No. 21-03006
		8	21-03000
JAMES DONDERO, NAN DONDERO, AND THE D		8	
INVESTMENT TRUST,	UGADUI	8	
INVESTIVIENT IKUST,		8	
	Defendants.	8	
	Defendants.	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	
HCRE PARTERS, LLC (N	I/IZ/A/	ş	Adversary Proceeding No.
NEXPOINT REAL ESTA		ş	21-03007
PARTNERS, LLC), JAME		8	21-03007
NANCY DONDERO, AN		8	
DUGABOY INVESTMEN		8	
DUGADOT INVESTMEN	VI INUSI,	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	
	Defendants.	8 §	
	Defendants.	8	

EXPERT REPORT OF STEVEN J. PULLY, CPA, CFA, ESQ.

December 10, 2021

Confidential

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	BACKGROUND AND QUALIFICATIONS	3
II.	ENGAGEMENT	6
III.	BRIEF SUMMARY OF OPINIONS	7
IV.	ASSUMPTIONS	7
V.	SERVICES AGREEMENTS GENERALLY 1	3
VI.	OPINIONS1	5
VII.	CONCLUSION	1

I. BACKGROUND AND QUALIFICATIONS

- 1. My professional background includes over thirty-six years of experience as an investment banker, corporate board member, corporate executive, hedge fund executive, attorney, consultant, and expert witness.
- 2. I graduated with honors from Georgetown University in 1982 with a BSBA in Accounting, and I graduated from The University of Texas at Austin in 1985 with a Doctor of Jurisprudence degree. I hold the Chartered Financial Analyst (CFA) designation and am a licensed CPA and attorney in the State of Texas. I also hold the Series 7, 63, and 79 FINRA securities licenses¹. My CFA designation and my law, CPA, and FINRA licenses are all current.
- 3. I currently work as a corporate executive, as a corporate board member, as an investment banker, and as an expert witness.
 - a. I work on a part-time basis as the Chief Executive Officer of Harvest Oil & Gas, a former public company that is in the process of dissolving. I was Chairman of the Board of Harvest before assuming the Chief Executive Officer role. Until recently, Harvest was largely managed by another company pursuant to a services agreement. When the services agreement was entered into, the services provider and the predecessor of Harvest were affiliates, which they ceased to be during the term of the agreement. Services provided under the agreement included treasury, accounting, and operating functions. One of my roles as Chief Executive Officer is to replace the former service provider by bringing most business functions inhouse.
 - b. I currently serve on the boards of seven private companies. I am typically appointed to boards by large shareholders. In total, I have been on the boards of thirty-two public and private companies. Those companies have operated in a broad cross section of industries, including agriculture, aviation, energy, entertainment, manufacturing, real estate, refining, retail, restaurants, technology, and telecom. I have served on the boards of companies that have outsourced most of their corporate functions or provided outsourcing services for other companies.
 - c. I conduct my investment banking work through Speyside Partners, LLC ("Speyside Partners"), an entity that I co-founded.² At Speyside I work on mergers, acquisitions and divestitures, financings, and restructurings.
- 4. Through the end of 2014, I spent thirteen years working for two different hedge funds. I was the General Counsel and a partner of Carlson Capital, the most recent hedge fund for which I worked. Carlson Capital managed approximately \$9 billion across a number of different funds during much of my tenure and followed a multi-strategy investing approach. Prior to working at Carlson Capital, I worked for Newcastle Capital Management, a hedge fund that pursued a deep value and activist investment strategy. I was the President of Newcastle Capital

¹ I formerly held the Series 24 FINRA license.

² The website for Speyside Partners is <u>www.speysidepartners.com</u>.

Management and worked there for almost six years. Newcastle Capital Management managed as much as \$650 million across a variety of funds while I was employed there. During my tenure, I served as the Chief Executive Officer of two companies controlled by the firm. Both Carlson Capital and Newcastle Capital Management had "shared-services" arrangements, where a separate entity provided a variety of back office, mid-office, and front office services to an affiliated party.

- 5. Prior to becoming a hedge fund executive, I was an investment banker for approximately twelve years at various large firms, including as a Managing Director for Bank of America Securities and as a Senior Managing Director for Bear Stearns. I also worked as an investment banker at Kidder Peabody, PaineWebber, and Wasserstein Perella. Over the course of my work at large investment banking firms, I focused on mergers, acquisitions, divestitures, capital raising, and restructurings.
- 6. Prior to becoming an investment banker, I was a securities and corporate lawyer for almost four years at Baker Botts.
- 7. Based on the work that I have done over the past thirty-six years, I have developed a deep understanding of services agreements and outsourcing generally as well as corporate governance-related matters. I applied the knowledge and experience that I have gained over the past thirty-six years to my analysis in this report.
- 8. I have previously served as a testifying and/or consulting witness in the following actions:
 - a. Ascent Resources Utica, LLC (f/k/a American Energy Utica, LLC); Ascent Resources, LLC (f/k/a American Energy Appalachia Holdings, LLC); Ascent Resources Utica Holdings, LLC (f/k/a American Energy Ohio Holdings, LLC); The Energy & Minerals Group Fund III, LP; EMG Fund III Offshore Holdings, LP; FR AEU Holdings, LLC and FR AE Marcellus Holdings, LLC v. Duane Morris LLP, in the 165th Judicial District Court of Harris County, Cause No. 2015-46550) — Consulting and Testifying witness for Plaintiffs.
 - b. *In re Paladin Energy Corp.*, Case No. 16-13590, in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Northern District of Texas, Dallas Division Consulting and Testifying witness for Debtor.
 - c. In re: Potential Complaint Against Larry Noble, Noble Operating, LLC, Noble Natural Resources, L.L.C. and Javier Urias to Avoid Transfers Testifying witness for Potential Defendants.
 - d. James D. Sallah, not individually but solely in his capacity as Corporate Monitor for OM Global Investment Fund LLC and OM Global LP, Plaintiff, v. BGT Consulting, LLC, d/b/a BGT Fund Administration, and Lara Goldberg, Defendants Testifying witness on behalf of Defendants BGT Consulting, LLC, d/b/a BGT Fund Administration and Lara Goldberg.
 - e. Kenneth A. Kristofek, Gruene Interests, LLC and Gruene Interests Services, LLC, Gran Toro Rojo, LLC, and Gruene USFC, LLC, v. David Gunderson, Horace Winchester, Stan

Bradshaw, and Jerry Williamson, Gruenepointe Holdings, LLC, Adora 8, LLC, Adora 9, LLC, Adora 10, LLC, Adora 14 Realty, LLC, Onpointe Healthcare Development, LLC, U.S. Freedom Capital Holdings, LLC, Lake Ohana, LLC, U.S. Freedom Capital, LLC, and Encantado Investments, LLC, in the District Court of Dallas County, Texas, No. DC-16-07674 — Testifying witness on behalf of Plaintiffs.

- f. *In re SunEdison Securities Litigation*, in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York, 16-md-2742-PKC Testifying witness on behalf of Plaintiffs.
- g. Avid Controls, Inc. v. GE Energy Power Conversion Technology, Ltd.; General Electric Company; and Current Power Solutions, Inc., In the United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas Houston Division, Civil Action No. 4:19-CV-01076 Testifying witness on behalf of Plaintiff.
- h. *Lumos Partners, LLC, Claimant v. VAC-TRON EQUIPMENT, L.L.C., Respondent,* In Arbitration before the American Arbitration Association Testifying witness on behalf of Claimant.
- i. Lord Abbett Affiliated Fund, Inc., et al., Individually and On Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated, Plaintiffs, v. Navient Corporation, et al., Defendants, Case No. 1:16-cv-112-GMS, in the United States District Court for the District of Delaware, Case No. 1:16-cv-112-MN — Testifying witness on behalf of Plaintiff.
- j. Southland National Insurance Corporation in Rehabilitation, Bankers Life Insurance Company in Rehabilitation, Colorado Bankers Life Insurance Company in Rehabilitation, and Southland National Reinsurance Corporation in Rehabilitation, Plaintiffs, v. Greg E. Lindberg, Academy Association, Inc., Edwards Mill Asset Management, LLC, New England Capital, LLC and Private Bankers Life and Annuity Co., Ltd., Defendants, in the General Court of Justice Superior Court Division, 19 CV 13093 —Testifying witness on behalf of Defendants.
- k. Baylor University and Southwestern Baptist Theological Seminary, Plaintiffs, v. Harold E. Riley Foundation and Mike C. Hughes, Defendants, in the District Court of Tarrant County, Texas, 67th Judicial District Testifying witness on behalf of Defendants.
- 1. Advsr, LLC, Plaintiff, v. Magisto, Ltd. And Yahal Zilka, Defendants, in the United States District Court, Northern District of California, San Francisco Division, Case No. 3:19-cv-2670 Testifying witness on behalf of Defendants.
- m. *Lumos Partners, LLC, Claimant v. Altavian, Inc.*, In Arbitration before the American Arbitration Association Testifying witness on behalf of Claimant.
- n. *Fouad Saade; and Kobi Electric, LLC, Claimants, v. Woodbridge International LLC, f/k/a Woodbridge Group, LLC; and Texender "Tex" Sekhon, Respondents,* In Arbitration before the American Arbitration Association Testifying witness on behalf of Claimant.
- 9. I have attached a copy of my curriculum vitae as <u>Exhibit A</u> to this expert report ("Report").

II. ENGAGEMENT

- 10. Highland Capital Management, L.P., is the debtor in the bankruptcy proceeding, *In re: Highland Capital Management, L.P., Debtor,* and is referred to herein as the "Debtor" or the "Plaintiff." I have been engaged in the matters related to the bankruptcy proceeding that are listed below (collectively referred to as the "Actions").
 - a. HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P., Plaintiff, vs. NEXPOINT ADVISORS, L.P., JAMES DONDERO, NANCY DONDERO, AND THE DUGABOY INVESTMENT TRUST, Defendants, Adversary Proceeding No. 21-03005, as a consulting and testifying expert witness on behalf of NexPoint Advisors, L.P. ("NexPoint"), and James Dondero ("Dondero" and NexPoint are collectively referred to as the "NexPoint Defendants").
 - b. HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P., Plaintiff, vs. HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT SERVICES, INC., JAMES DONDERO, NANCY DONDERO, AND THE DUGABOY INVESTMENT TRUST, Defendants, Adversary Proceeding No. 21-03006, as a consulting and testifying expert witness on behalf of Highland Capital Management Services, Inc. ("HCMS"), and Dondero (Dondero and HCMS are collectively referred to as the "HCMS Defendants").
 - c. HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P., Plaintiff, vs. HCRE PARTERS, LLC (N/K/A/ NEXPOINT REAL ESTATE PARTNERS, LLC), JAMES DONDERO, NANCY DONDERO, AND THE DUGABOY INVESTMENT TRUST, Defendants, Adversary Proceeding No. 21-03007, as a consulting and testifying expert witness on behalf of HCRE Partners, LLC ("HCRE"), and Dondero (Dondero and HCRE are collectively referred to as the "HCRE Defendants").
 - d. The NexPoint Defendants, the HCMS Defendants, and the HCRE Defendants are collectively referred to as the "Defendants."
- 11. The Plaintiff has made claims against the Defendants for breach of contract, turnover of property, fraudulent transfer, and breach of fiduciary duty.
- 12. My engagement is through the law firms of Munsch Hardt Kopf & Harr, P.C. ("Munsch Hardt") and Stinson LLP ("Stinson"), which are acting as counsel to the Defendants. I am being compensated for my time at the rate of \$750.00 per hour. My compensation is not in any way contingent on (i) the opinions I express in this Report or any additional report, (ii) the content of any testimony I may give, or (iii) the outcome of the Action.
- 13. I have met with Dondero as well as D. J. Sauter, who is the General Counsel of NexPoint. I have also met with attorneys from counsel to the Defendants: Munsch Hardt, and Stinson.
- 14. I was asked to provide my opinion regarding whether it was appropriate for the Plaintiff to not pay the interest and principal on the Notes (as hereinafter defined) on behalf of NexPoint, HCMS and HCRE (collectively, the "Makers") by December 31, 2020.

III. BRIEF SUMMARY OF OPINIONS

- 15. I believe that the Plaintiff did not act reasonably by failing to pay amounts due on the Notes on behalf of the Makers by December 31, 2020, and otherwise in how it comported itself with respect to the Notes. Section 6.01 of the NexPoint Services Agreement (as hereinafter defined) sets forth a standard of care that the Plaintiff was supposed to comply with in paying the NexPoint Term Note; I also believe that each of the services agreements between the Plaintiff and the Makers required the Plaintiff to act in a reasonable way.
- 16. In forming my opinions and preparing this Report, I relied on all the materials listed in <u>Exhibit</u> <u>B</u> or otherwise cited herein as well as my background and personal experiences.
- 17. In offering my opinions, I am not opining on the legal enforceability of any agreements between the parties to the Actions.
- 18. I reserve the right to amend my Report should new information become available, including any assertions of the parties, witnesses, or any experts made in response to this Report.

IV. ASSUMPTIONS

- 19. The Debtor filed for bankruptcy on October 16, 2019. During the Debtor's bankruptcy, James Seery ("Seery") served as the Chief Executive Officer and/or Chief Restructuring Officer of the Debtor.
- 20. The Debtor was formerly managed by Dondero, who was the firm's co-founder and was its President until January 9, 2020, at which time he resigned all positions with the Debtor and also relinquished control of the Debtor.³ As of October 9, 2020, Dondero ceased to have any involvement as an officer or director of the Debtor.⁴ Dondero also testified that there was tension between Seery and him as well as Seery and others at Highland.⁵
- 21. During 2020, the relationship between Dondero and the Plaintiff became increasingly adversarial. For example, in addition to Dondero ceasing to have any involvement as an officer or director of the Plaintiff, there were various adversarial proceedings between the parties.⁶
- 22. NexPoint, HCMS and HCRE executed certain notes in favor of the Debtor as described below:
 - a. NexPoint executed a promissory note in the original principal amount of \$30,746,812.33, and payable in thirty annual installments beginning by December 31, 2017 (the "NexPoint Term Note").⁷ The NexPoint Note was fully payable in

³ Dondero Deposition, Volume 2, Page 291, lines 9 – 12.

⁴ *Id.* at Page 374, lines 8 – 10.

⁵ *Id.* at page 87, lines 8 - 14.

⁶ See, e.g., *Id.* at page 374, lines 6 – 9.

⁷ Amended Complaint dated August 27, 2021 (the "NexPoint Amended Complaint"), filed by Highland Capital Management, L.P. as plaintiff against defendants, NexPoint Advisors, L.P., James Dondero, Nancy Dondero, and The Dugaboy Investment Trust at 2.

the event of default.⁸ As of December 31, 2020, \$23,610,194.59 of principal remained outstanding on the NexPoint Term Note.⁹

- b. HCMS executed a term note in the original principal amount of \$20,247,628.02 and payable in thirty annual installments beginning on December 31, 2017 (the "HCMS Term Note").¹⁰ The HCMS Term Note was fully payable in the event of default.¹¹
- c. HCRE executed a term note in the original principal amount of \$6,059,831.51 and payable in thirty annual installments beginning on December 31, 2017 (the "HCRE Term Note").¹² The HCRE Term Note was fully payable in the event of default.¹³
- 23. The Debtor and the Makers were all involved in the investment management business, collectively managing billions of dollars on behalf of investors at various points over the course of their relationship with each other. At the time that the NexPoint Term Note, the HCMS Term Note, and the HCRE Term Note (collectively, the "Notes") were entered into, the Plaintiff, NexPoint, HCMS, and HCRE were all related parties as a result of overlapping equity ownership of the entities. As of December 31, 2020, NexPoint, HCMS, and HCRE ceased to have any overlapping equity ownership with the Plaintiff but continued to have overlapping ownership with each other.
- 24. The Plaintiff and NexPoint are parties to an Amended and Restated Shared Services Agreement dated January 1, 2018 (the "NexPoint Services Agreement") pursuant to which Plaintiff provided a broad array of services to NexPoint.¹⁴ NexPoint operated its business with a small number of employees, relying on Plaintiff's much larger workforce to provide many key services for NexPoint to run its business. The NexPoint Services Agreement details numerous areas where the Plaintiff was to provide services to NexPoint, with the Plaintiff essentially providing the entire workforce for most areas of NexPoint's business. The areas that the Plaintiff provided services to NexPoint were detailed under the following headings in the NexPoint Services Agreement: Back- and Middle-Office, Legal Compliance/Risk Analysis, Tax, Management of Clients and Accounts, Valuation, Execution and Documentation, Marketing, Reporting, Administrative Services, Ancillary Services, and Other.¹⁵ The NexPoint Services Agreement essentially covered all functional areas of NexPoint's business other than the executive and investment functions.

⁸ NexPoint Amended Complaint, Exhibit 3. Additionally, I am informed that there was the potential for forgiveness of the Notes in certain circumstances that had also not occurred by December 31, 2020.

⁹ D-NNI -074142.

¹⁰ Amended Complaint dated August 27, 2021 ("HCMS Amended Complaint"), filed by Highland Capital Management, L.P. as plaintiff against defendants, Highland Capital Management Services, Inc., James Dondero, Nancy Dondero, and The Dugaboy Investment Trust at 2.

¹¹ HCMS Amended Complaint, Exhibit 6.

¹² Amended Complaint dated August 27, 2021 ("HCRE Amended Complaint"), filed by Highland Capital Management, L.P. as plaintiff against defendants, HCRE Partners, LLC, James Dondero, Nancy Dondero, and The Dugaboy Investment Trust at 2.

¹³ HCRE Amended Complaint, Exhibit 6.

¹⁴ Amended and Restated Services Agreement dated January 1, 2018, Exhibit 9 to Seery Deposition.

¹⁵ *Id.* at pages 3 - 5.

- 25. The NexPoint Services Agreement contains several provisions relating to the Plaintiff's obligation to make interest and principal payments on the NexPoint Term Note, including the following:
 - a. Section 2.02(a) details various "Back and Middle Office" tasks that the Plaintiff was responsible for performing on behalf of NexPoint.¹⁶ Those services included "payments,"¹⁷ which encompassed payments of interest and principal on the NexPoint Term Note.
 - b. Section 2.02 (b) provided for the Plaintiff to provide "[a]ssistance and advice with respect to legal issues...".¹⁸
 - c. Section 6.01 describes the standard of care that the Plaintiff was supposed to provide to NexPoint.¹⁹ The provision provides that the Plaintiff "shall discharge its duties under this Agreement with the care, skill, prudence and diligence under the circumstances then prevailing that a prudent person acting in a like capacity and familiar with such matters would use in the conduct of an enterprise of a like character and with like aims."
 - d. Section 8.01 required that any amendments or modifications to the agreement were required to be in writing and signed by each party.²⁰
 - e. Section 8.07 provided that any "condition or obligation imposed upon any Party may be waived only upon the written consent of the Parties."²¹
- 26. The Plaintiff first sought to provide notice of termination of the NexPoint Services Agreement in November of 2020, however, the termination date was extended²² and the NexPoint Services Agreement was still in effect as of December 31, 2020.
- 27. While there was no written agreement between either HCMS or HCRE, on the one hand, and the Plaintiff, on the other hand, relating to services that the Plaintiff was to supply to either party, the services that the Plaintiff provided to HCMS and HCRE were essentially the same services that the Plaintiff provided to NexPoint²³ and involved a comprehensive array of services that were necessary in the day-to-day operations of the business of HCMS and HCRE. Like with NexPoint, by December 31, 2020, there was a long history of the Plaintiff having provided services to HCMS and HCRE.²⁴

¹⁶ *Id*. at pages 3 - 4.

¹⁷ *Id.*, Section 2.02(a) provided, "Back- and Middle Office. Assistance and advice with respect to back- and middle-office functions including, but not limited to . . . finance and accounting, payments, operation, bookkeeping, cash management . . . accounts payable . . ."

¹⁸ *Id.* at page 4.

¹⁹ *Id*. at 11.

²⁰ *Id.* at 14.

 $^{^{21}}$ *Id.* at 16.

²² Dondero Deposition, Volume 2, page 375, lines 3-10.

²³ See, e.g., Dondero Deposition, Volume 2, page 335, line 19 to page 336, line 13; Waterhouse Deposition, page 353, lines 6 – 10, page 357, lines 19 – 24.

 $^{^{24}}$ Dondero Deposition, Volume 2, page 94, lines 20 – 22; page 95, lines 4 – 9.

28. When asked about whether the Plaintiff had a services agreement with HCMS, Dondero replied as follows during his deposition²⁵:

My answer would be the advisors like NexPoint and HFAM that had to have by law and regulatory statute have to have formal sub advisors and shared services agreements had formal shared services agreement. Entities that didn't need to have formal written shared services agreements were often serviced similarly or -- or exactly the same as those entities, but without a written agreement, but with a verbal shared services agreement providing, again, all the same similar services, and the entities that didn't have a written shared services agreement ·weren't getting shared services or support from any other entities other than Highland doing the same thing for them that it did for the mutual funds.

- 29. Dondero had a similar response with regard to there being an oral agreement for the Plaintiff to provide services to HCRE.²⁶
- 30. There was extensive testimony about the services that the Plaintiff provided to HCMS and HCRE:
 - a. Under the oral agreements to provide services to HCMS and HCRE, the Plaintiff was responsible for making payments of interest and principal on the HCMS Notes and the HCRE Notes, which had previously been made by December 31, 2017, 2018, and 2019.²⁷
 - b. HCMS and HCRE relied on the Plaintiff to provide services because HCMS and HCRE, like NexPoint, did not have the employees or infrastructure to run its business without the services provided by the Plaintiff.²⁸
 - c. According to Frank Waterhouse ("Waterhouse"), the Chief Financial Officer of the Plaintiff throughout 2020²⁹, the Plaintiff provided the same services to HCRE and HCMSS that it did for NexPoint.³⁰ He also specifically testified that Plaintiff's services included timely paying of bills and loan payments for HCMS³¹ and the same bill paying for HCRE that it did for HCMS and NexPoint.³²
- 31. Interest and principal were due on the Notes by December 31, 2020. Neither interest nor any principal payments were paid on any of the Notes by December 31, 2020. The Plaintiff was supposed to facilitate these payments even though the payments were supposed to be to itself.

²⁵ Dondero Deposition, Volume 2, page 335, line 19 to page 336, line 13.

 $^{^{26}}$ *Id.* at page 381, lines 10 - 23.

 $^{^{27}}$ Waterhouse Deposition, page 354, lines 2 – 23, page 357, lines 2 – 18.

²⁸ Dondero Deposition, Volume 2, page 371, lines 5-9.

²⁹ Waterhouse Deposition, page 28, lines 15-16.

³⁰ *Id.*, page 353, 6-10; 357: 19 – 24.

³¹ *Id.* at page 354, lines 2 to page 357, line 18.

 $^{^{32}}$ *Id.* at page 358, lines 12 - 24.

- 32. On January 7, 2021, the Debtor delivered a letter to each of the Makers (the "Acceleration Letters") indicating that a default had occurred on each of the Notes and demanding the immediate full payment of "all principal, interest, and any other amounts due on the Note…".³³ The effect of the Acceleration Letters was that millions of dollars of principal payments were suddenly due; had the Acceleration Letters not been sent, principal on the Notes would have amortized ratably through 2047.
- 33. In addition to being the Plaintiff's Chief Financial Officer, Waterhouse was also an officer of two of the three Makers as of December 31, 2020.
 - a. He was the Treasurer of NexPoint, an officer-level role, during all periods relevant to my Report. Waterhouse reported at his deposition, "I still manage the finance and accounting function for NexPoint."³⁴
 - b. He was the treasurer and acting treasurer of HCMS.³⁵
- 34. Plaintiff alleges that Dondero orally instructed Waterhouse to not pay the interest and principal on the NexPoint Term Note that was due on December 31, 2021.³⁶ No evidence has been presented that suggests that Dondero's alleged instructions for the Plaintiff to not pay interest and principal on the NexPoint Term Note was in writing. The apparent rational for the alleged instruction was that NexPoint believed that there had been substantial overcharges totaling in the millions of dollars by the Plaintiff under the NexPoint Services Agreement. The overcharges related to charges for employees who were no longer working for the Plaintiff but that were still being charged to NexPoint, which was a violation of the NexPoint Services Agreement. Furthermore, Dondero denies that he instructed Waterhouse not to pay the NexPoint Term Note.³⁷
 - a. Dondero denies that he instructed that no interest and principal be paid on the NexPoint Term Note, testifying, "There is no logical reason, nor would I have ever authorized or suggested no payment to put us...in default due to a *deminimis* amount of money....even if I was highly annoyed with Seery, even if we knew that Seery and Highland had overcharged NexPoint by whatever it was, 14, 16, million bucks, I would not have let a small amount cause a...breach."³⁸
 - b. Dondero also testified that the Plaintiff made the payments due on the Notes by December 31 of 2017, 2018 and 2019 without any specific authorization from any of the Makers.³⁹
- 35. No evidence was presented suggesting that Dondero, HCMS or HCRE instructed the Plaintiff not to make payments on the HCMS Term Note or the HCRE Term Note. HCMS and HCRE had a reasonable expectation that interest and principal on the HCMS Notes and HCRE Notes

³³ Exhibit 6 to Seery Deposition taken on October 21, 2021.

³⁴ Waterhouse Deposition, page 28, lines 15-16.

³⁵ *Id.*, at page 30, lines 9 – 16.

 $^{^{36}}$ *Id.*, at page 390, lines 4 – 13.

³⁷ Dondero Deposition, Volume 2, page 391:18-25.

³⁸ Id.

³⁹ *Id.* at page 463, lines 10-25.

would be paid by December 31, 2020, given past practices and the Plaintiff's obligation to do so.

- 36. Mr. Waterhouse testified about his responsibility in connection with making the payments on the Notes that were due by December 21, 2020⁴⁰:
 - Q: Did you approve of each payment that was made against principal and interest on the notes that were given by the affiliates of Mr. Dondero?
 - A: Did I approve the payments? I approve I approve if there was cash if there was cash being repaid on a note payment, yes, I approved in the general sense of being made aware of the payment and the amount."
 - Q: And are you the person who authorized Highland's employees to effectuate those payments?
 - A: Yes.
- 37. No evidence has been presented of any discussions that the Plaintiff had with Dondero or any of the Makers prior to December 31, 2020, with regard to payments on the Notes other than the alleged discussion between Dondero and Waterhouse described above relating to the NexPoint Term Note. Specifically, the evidentiary record reflects that there was no follow-up by Waterhouse or anyone else at the Plaintiff confirming that it was Dondero's intent for there not to be any payments made on the NexPoint Term Note.⁴¹
 - a. A number of Plaintiff's employees knew about Dondero's alleged instructions prior to December 31, 2020, with respect to the NexPoint Term Note, yet no effort was undertaken to investigate Dondero's instructions by speaking with him or otherwise confirming what NexPoint's intent was regarding the NexPoint Term Note.
 - b. Deposition testimony by Kristin Hendrix ("Hendrix"), who was the assistant controller of the Plaintiff at the time, revealed that she knew by November 30, 2020, or December 1, 2020, that the Plaintiff was not going to pay the interest and principal on the NexPoint Term Note that was due by December 31, 2020.⁴²
 - c. Waterhouse testified that he did not follow-up with Dondero about whether NexPoint should make the payments required by December 31, 2020.⁴³
- 38. Waterhouse also testified that there had not been any instructions from anyone to the Plaintiff to not make the required payments on the HCMS Term Note or the HCRE Term Note by December 31, 2020.⁴⁴ When asked about Dondero's tone when he talked to him about the fact that the payments had not been made on the HCMS Term Note and the HCRE Term Note,

⁴⁰ Waterhouse Deposition, page 56, line 21 to page 57, line 10.

⁴¹ *Id.*, at page 391, lines 18 – 21.

⁴² Hendrix Deposition, page 12, lines 4 - 7.

⁴³ Waterhouse deposition, pages 391: line 18 to page 392, line 2.

 $^{^{44}}$ Waterhouse Deposition, pages 393, line 21 – 25 to page 394, line 4.

Waterhouse said that the tone was very negative and that Dondero's reaction was consistent with the fact that Dondero was surprised that the payments had not been made.⁴⁵

V. SERVICES AGREEMENTS GENERALLY

- 39. Companies seeking to conduct operations more efficiently frequently outsource various operational, accounting, treasury, and other functions to a service provider. By outsourcing such functions, the customer of the services provider can avoid costly employee and infrastructure investments that would otherwise be required to conduct the outsourced functions.
- 40. The agreement between the party receiving the services and the party providing the services is often referred to as a "services agreement," an "outsourcing agreement," or a "shared services agreement." These terms have the same meaning for purposes of this Report although the term "shared services" is often used in the context of a company sharing services with an affiliated party.
- 41. The parties to a services agreement are sometimes related and other times are completely separate with no prior business relationship.
- 42. The actual agreement that comprises the services to be provided under a services agreement varies in form. Some services agreements are comprehensive, others provide limited written direction, and still others are oral.
- 43. Smaller companies are often more likely to outsource a broad set of business functions, typically because they are growing rapidly and do not have the financial resources or time to build out various important business functions.
- 44. Virtually every company outsources some type of business function to a third-party. For example, many companies outsource limited functions such as payroll processing or IT services to various vendors. There is a distinct difference, however, between outsourcing limited functions to a vendor that provides services for many clients versus the more fulsome relationship that is embodied by the typical services agreement involving the services provider managing major aspects of a company's operational and back-office functions.
 - a. Providers of more fulsome services have additional duties relative to a provider that is responsible for limited services. Those additional duties generally emanate from the level of responsibility that the services provider takes on and the services provider's more intimate knowledge of its customer's business.
 - b. Said another way, a provider of a straightforward and often outsourced service such as payroll processing has no reason to understand the underlying business issues of its customers or the perspectives of the employees for which it processes payroll. On the other hand, a provider of more fulsome services has an intimate knowledge

⁴⁵ *Id.* at page 394, lines 12 - 21.

of the goals, objectives, and capabilities of its customers and in discharging its obligations, cannot ignore that knowledge.

- 45. In the case of services agreements that cover a fulsome set of activities for the customer, even if there is a comprehensive agreement between the parties, it is difficult to enumerate with specificity each individual task that the services provider is expected to perform. Tasks are therefore often described in broad terms as opposed to specific detail (i.e., the service provider is required to handle accounting functions for its customer as opposed to saying that a trial balance is required 15 days after month-end, or the annual audit must be completed by a specified date).
 - a. Despite the difficulty in describing each task with specificity that the services provider is required to perform, the specific tasks become apparent as the services provider performs functions on behalf of its customer. In the ordinary course, practices develop that inevitably are deemed acceptable to the services provider and its customer. Such practices are generally fully clarified within one year of the inception of the services agreement because that timeframe allows the parties to interact with each other over the course of a full accounting cycle.
 - b. Following the initial cycle of activities, those previously performed practices are often referred to as "past practices" and such past practices become an important piece in gauging whether the services provider has met it obligations in future periods. Having been affiliated with companies that are customers of services providers, I think of past practices as having virtually the same effect as a written document provided that the services agreement is not written in a way that prohibits such an interpretation.
- 46. Services agreements between related parties often present complicated issues, especially if the relationship changes between the parties during the term of the services agreement. For example, at the beginning of the term of the services agreement, two related parties might constructively work together, almost obviating the need for a detailed agreement between the parties. If there is a change in the relationship between the parties that leads to less cooperation, the original agreement may not be comprehensive enough to optimally deal with the change in circumstances.
 - a. In such situations, past practices can become an even more important factor in determining the services provider's obligations and the reasonable expectations that the customer should have if the contract language is not explicit on the point.
 - b. While the services provider and a customer that is related at the outset of an agreement may cease to be related at some point during the term of the agreement, the services provider's knowledge of the customer's business objectives does not necessarily become stale immediately upon the change in affiliate status. Consequently, any higher duty that comes about from the knowledge that the services provider has about its customer is not necessarily impacted if the affiliate status of the services provider and its customer changes.

VI. OPINIONS

- A. The Plaintiff was obligated to pay interest and principal on the NexPoint Term Note by December 31, 2021, on behalf of NexPoint. Despite the alleged instruction from Dondero that the Plaintiff should not make any payments on NexPoint's behalf, the Plaintiff's obligations to make the payments did not end. At a minimum, the Plaintiff had a duty to investigate whether the payments should have been made, which it did not do. In not making the payments on the NexPoint Term Note and not undertaking steps to further investigate whether the payments should have been made, the Plaintiff did not act reasonably.
- 47. The payment terms of the NexPoint Term Note required that interest and principal was due to the Plaintiff from NexPoint on or before December 31, 2020. It is undisputed that interest and principal were not paid on the NexPoint Term Note by the required date.
- 48. The Plaintiff was obligated to make the payment of interest and principal on behalf of NexPoint on or before December 31, 2020, under the NexPoint Services Agreement.
- 49. The Plaintiff has taken the position that the interest and principal that was due on the NexPoint Term Note by December 31, 2020, was not paid because of Dondero's alleged directive to Waterhouse to not make the payments.⁴⁶
- 50. The evidentiary record highlights several noteworthy facts:
 - a. The Plaintiff had conflicting roles because it was the payee of the NexPoint Term Note and also had the obligation to cause the payments to be made on the NexPoint Term Note. The conflicting roles were also heightened because of the increasingly adversarial role that had developed between the Plaintiff and Dondero.
 - b. The Plaintiff stood to benefit mightily if NexPoint defaulted on the payment of interest or principal, given the Plaintiff's ability to immediately accelerate the payment of the NexPoint Term Note. Without a default, some of the principal of the Notes could have been outstanding until 2047.
 - c. Waterhouse was an officer of the Plaintiff and was also an officer of NexPoint, creating a conflict beyond the conflicts that the Plaintiff had that are described above. Given his dual roles, he had knowledge of the business objectives and financial condition of NexPoint, which should have made it clear to him that NexPoint would not welcome a default on the NexPoint Term Note.
 - d. NexPoint allegedly made overpayments to the Plaintiff that Dondero wanted to be offset against the required interest and principal payments on the NexPoint Term Loan.⁴⁷ The overpayments related to workers that the Plaintiff was charging to NexPoint that no longer worked for the Plaintiff, which violated the terms of the

⁴⁶ Waterhouse Deposition, page 390, lines 4 - 13.

⁴⁷ Seery Deposition, page 226, lines 2 – 4; Dondero Deposition, Volume 2, page 392, lines 3 – 7.

NexPoint Services Agreement. There were ongoing discussions between Dondero and Seery leading up to the end of 2020 relating to the topic.

- e. There is no evidentiary record describing any effort by the Plaintiff to warn NexPoint of the implications of Dondero's alleged request for the payments on the NexPoint Term Note to not be made. For example, despite the fact that the NexPoint Services Agreement required the Plaintiff to provide NexPoint with legal services, the Plaintiff failed to provide NexPoint with legal advice that failing to pay interest and principal could result in an acceleration of the NexPoint Term Loan.
- 51. In my opinion, Dondero's alleged statement to Waterhouse that the Plaintiff should not make payments on the NexPoint Term Note on December 31, 2020, did not provide a basis for the Plaintiff to not make the payments on the Notes given its obligations to NexPoint under the NexPoint Services Agreement. Several reasons support my opinion:
 - a. There is no evidence that the Plaintiff took any reasonable steps to address the myriad of conflicts that it faced.
 - i. The Plaintiff's obligations regarding the required payments of the Notes involved the conflict-ridden task of authorizing and making a payment to itself. Additionally, the Plaintiff stood to benefit significantly by putting the NexPoint Term Note into default given that a default would allow the Plaintiff to realize the proceeds from repayment of the note far earlier than it otherwise would have; had the NexPoint Term Loan not been accelerated, it would have remained outstanding until 2047. While the evidence is silent on whether the Plaintiff was considering the repayment benefit of the NexPoint Term Loan to itself, from an appearance standpoint, the conflict was glaring.
 - ii. The Plaintiff apparently took no steps to address these conflicts either by conferring with NexPoint or Dondero. Conferring with NexPoint or Dondero would have helped in establishing that NexPoint and Dondero really did not want the Plaintiff to transfer funds to pay interest and principal on the NexPoint Term Loan.
 - iii. The Plaintiff also has presented no evidentiary record reflecting how any internal steps were taken to address the conflict. Such steps might have included conducting meetings internally with minutes to reflect discussion regarding the conflict or any efforts to seek guidance from counsel to assist with the conflict.
 - iv. According to deposition testimony by Hendrix, who was the assistant controller of the Plaintiff at the time⁴⁸, she recalled receiving a phone call from Waterhouse on either November 30, 2020, or December 1, 2020, where Waterhouse indicated that no payments would made by the Plaintiff

⁴⁸ Hendrix Deposition, page 12, lines 4 - 7.

on behalf of NexPoint.⁴⁹ Accordingly, it seems that Plaintiff decided as early November 30, 2020 or December 1, 2020, to not make the payments on the NexPoint Term Note. Given the apparent time frame of the decision to not make the payment, the Plaintiff had ample time to confirm in writing with Dondero that the payments should not be made or to otherwise take reasonable steps to ensure that a mistake was not being made and that the Plaintiff was acting reasonably.

- b. The Plaintiff had an obligation to act reasonably in discharging its obligations to make the payments on the NexPoint Term Note on behalf of NexPoint. In addition to not properly addressing conflicts as set forth above, the evidentiary record further reflects that the Plaintiff did not act reasonably.
 - i. No effort was undertaken to inform Dondero that the Plaintiff disagreed with his assumption that there were offsets to the required interest and principal payment requirements on the NexPoint Term Note. Absent any communication from the Plaintiff, Dondero simply had no way of knowing that the Plaintiff disagreed with his perspective that a right of offset did exist, so it was reasonable for him to think that discussion of an offset was on the table.
 - ii. Waterhouse had worked for or with Dondero for many years, making him very familiar with Dondero's management style. Dondero is a decisionmaker who is willing and does change his mind when presented with new facts, something that Waterhouse should have been aware of yet did nothing to address.
 - iii. Given the massive implications of a default of the NexPoint Term Loan to NexPoint, which the Plaintiff should have understood given the robust services that it was providing to NexPoint and the dual financial responsibilities that Waterhouse had to both organizations, the Plaintiff should have acted more responsibly by engaging with NexPoint and Dondero to confirm NexPoint's intent.
 - iv. The NexPoint Services Agreement provides that the Plaintiff was supposed to provide NexPoint with legal advice. In effect, the Plaintiff was NexPoint's law firm. Had the Plaintiff met its commitment, it would have had its internal counsel consult with NexPoint to point out the legal ramifications of the interest and principal payments not being made. There is no evidence suggesting that the Plaintiff took any steps to meet its obligation to provide legal advice as required under the NexPoint Services Agreement.
- c. Waterhouse had a conflict separate from the conflicts that the Plaintiff otherwise had given that he was an officer of both the Plaintiff and the NexPoint. Among

⁴⁹ *Id.* at 71, lines 4 - 7.

other things, Waterhouse's officer role for NexPoint must have provided him with insights into NexPoint's business objectives, which could not have included any appetite for having the Notes accelerated. Yet there is no evidence that Waterhouse's knowledge was utilized in Plaintiff's decision making regarding the required payments of the Notes. It is inapposite to argue that because Waterhouse had knowledge about NexPoint from a source other than the Plaintiff, that he was entitled to ignore that knowledge. In discharging its duties under the NexPoint Services Agreement, the Plaintiff should have been using all information that it had available in its work on behalf of NexPoint.

- d. The NexPoint Services Agreement provided that any amendment to the agreement needed to be in writing⁵⁰ and any consent to a change in the agreement needed to be in writing.⁵¹ No such effort to comply with the writing requirement was undertaken and highlights the fact that any oral statement by Dondero regarding the NexPoint Term Loan not being paid was insufficient under the express terms of the NexPoint Services Agreement.
- e. Section 6.01 of the NexPoint Services Agreement also describes the standard of care that the Plaintiff was supposed to provide to NexPoint in the discharge of its obligations under the agreement.⁵² The provision provides that the Plaintiff "shall discharge its duties under this Agreement with the care, skill, prudence and diligence under the circumstances then prevailing that a prudent person acting in a like capacity and familiar with such matters would use in the conduct of an enterprise of a like character and with like aims." For reasons already described herein, the Plaintiff did not discharge its duties with such care.
- 52. For the foregoing reasons, any alleged default under the NexPoint Term Note was the result of the Plaintiff's own negligence and misconduct, which underscores that Plaintiff did not act reasonably in the discharge of its obligations to NexPoint.
 - B. Based on the oral agreement that the Plaintiff had with HCMS and HCRE and consistent with the services that the Plaintiff had previously provided, HCMS and HCRE had a reasonable expectation that the Plaintiff would continue paying interest and principal on behalf of those entities absent explicit direction to the contrary. As there was no directive from anyone affiliated with HCMS or HCRE to relieve the Plaintiff of that responsibility, the Plaintiff did not act reasonably by not meeting its obligations to make payments of interest and principal on behalf of HCMS.
- 53. While the services agreements between Plaintiff, on the one hand, and HCMS and HCRE, on the other hand, were oral, the existence of an oral services agreement between affiliated parties involved in the investment management business is common and is something that I have regularly observed.

⁵⁰ Amended Services Agreement, Section 8.01.

⁵¹ *Id.* at Section 8.07.

⁵² *Id.* at Section 6.01.

- 54. Like with NexPoint, the Plaintiff provided HCMS and HCRE with a comprehensive array of services that were necessary to the day-to-day operation of their businesses. There was a lengthy history of the Plaintiff providing HCMS and HCRE with such services. The broad array of services provided by the Plaintiff to NexPoint were the same as the scope of work performed by the Plaintiff for HCMS and HCRE.
- 55. The evidentiary record highlights several noteworthy facts:
 - a. The evidentiary record reflects that the Plaintiff historically made payments on behalf of the HCMS Term Note and HCRE Term Note in addition to providing an array of other critical services to HCMS and HCRE not dissimilar from many of the services that the Plaintiff provided to NexPoint under the NexPoint Services Agreement.⁵³
 - b. No evidence has been presented suggesting that there was any communication from HCMS, HCRE, or Dondero suggesting that the payments on the HCMS Term Note and the HCRE Term Note should not continue.
 - c. No evidence has been presented suggesting that on payment dates in years prior to 2020 HCMS or HCRE had to notify the Plaintiff that it wanted the Plaintiff to make the required payments on the HCMS Term Note or the HCRE Term Note. Accordingly, it would not have been reasonable for the Plaintiff to expect that HCMS or HCRE were required to take any affirmative steps to have payments made on their notes.
 - d. The Plaintiff had conflicting roles because it was the payee of the HCMS Term Note and the HCRE Term Note and also had the obligation to cause the payments to be made of those notes. The conflicting roles were also heightened because of the increasingly adversarial role that had developed between the Plaintiff and Dondero.
 - e. The Plaintiff stood to benefit mightily if HCMS and HCRE defaulted on the payment of interest or principal, given the Plaintiff's ability to immediately accelerate the payment of those notes. Without a default, some of the principal of the HCMS Term Note and the HCRE Term Note could have been outstanding until 2047.
 - f. Waterhouse was an officer of the Plaintiff and was also an officer of HCMS, creating a conflict beyond the conflicts that the Plaintiff had that are described above. Given Waterhouse's dual roles, he had knowledge of HCMS's business objectives and financial condition, which should have alerted him that HCMS would not welcome a default on the HCMS Term Note.

⁵³ See, e.g., Dondero Deposition, Volume 2, pages 335:19 to 336:13; page 381, lines 10-23.

- g. The Plaintiff made no effort to warn HCMS or HCRE of the implications of the Plaintiff not making payments on the HCMS Term Note or HCRE Term Note by December 31, 2020.
- 56. Dondero testified about the payments that were required on the HCMS Term Note by December 31, 2020, indicating that there was an expectation by HCMS that the payments were going to be made, regardless of whether there were specific instructions by HCMS to do so:⁵⁴
 - Q: Okay. Do you know whether anybody acting on behalf of HCMS ever instructed or authorized Highland to make a payment on account of HCMS's term note to Highland?
 - A. Well, again, and maybe I didn't say it clearly enough. I think there was a reliance in the due course aspect, especially on small amounts, and it would have been done by Highland personnel on behalf of Services.

* * * * *

- Q. And I'm going to ask you, Mr. Dondero, to be patient with me and to listen carefully to my question. Are you aware of anybody acting on behalf of HCMS, whoever instructed Highland to make a payment in satisfaction of any payment that was due at the year-end of 2020 under the term note?
- A. Not specifically, but I'm saying I don't think it needed to be made specifically.
- 57. The Plaintiff was required to act reasonably in the performance of its obligations to HCMS and HCRE given the record of past practices and the precedent created by similar work done by the Plaintiff for NexPoint. With respect to the payments required under the HCMS Term Note and the HCRE Term Note by the Plaintiff, HCMS and HCRE had a reasonable expectation that they would continue receiving such payment services absent a clear termination by Plaintiff of its obligations to HCMS and HCRE. Given that there is no evidence suggesting that any of the parties had terminated the Plaintiff's obligations to provide services to HCMS and HCRE as of December 31, 2020, especially given that the Plaintiff did not act reasonably by not making the payments on the HCMS Term Note and the HCRE Term Note by December 31, 2021. Likewise, it was also not reasonable for the Plaintiff to not discuss with HCMS and HCRE that payments were not going to be made on the HCMS Term Note and the HCRE Term Note and the HCRE Term Note given that payments had been made in prior years without any request by HCMS or HCRE.
- 58. Hendrix testified that the instruction to her not to make the NexPoint Term Loan payment by December 31, 2020, did not apply to the payments required on the HCMS Term Note and the HCRE Term Note by December 31, 2020.⁵⁵ She also testified that she made no attempt or effort to determine whether Dondero wanted the payments required on the HCMS Term Note

⁵⁴ Dondero Deposition, Volume 2, pages 371:23 – 372:18.

 $^{^{55}}$ Hendrix Deposition, page 100, lines 20 – 23; page 101, lines 8 – 12.

and the HCRE Term Note to be paid by December 31, 2020.⁵⁶ Finally, Hendrix made no attempt to check with anyone whether the payments should be made.⁵⁷ Hendrix's testimony underscores that Plaintiff did not act reasonably in discharging its obligations to HCMS and HCRE.

59. For the foregoing reasons, any alleged default under the HCMS Term Note and the HCRE Term Note was the result of the Plaintiff's own negligence and misconduct, which underscores that Plaintiff did not act reasonably in the discharge of its obligations to HCMS and HCRE.

VII. CONCLUSION

60. In summary, based on the evidence that I have reviewed and relied upon, as well as my training and experience, it is my opinion that the Plaintiff did not act reasonably in choosing not to pay the interest and principal due under the Notes. As a result of Plaintiff's failures to act reasonably, it should not have accelerated payment of the principal amount of the Notes.

Respectfully submitted,

Steven Jruly

Steven J. Pully, CPA, CFA, ESQ.

⁵⁶ *Id.* at page 102, lines 10 – 13.

⁵⁷ *Id.* at page 105, lines 8 – 11.

Caase2210000055gjpDoc142031FHdd012050221 Eletterd012050221122185400 Pagge822 of 299

Exhibit A

STEVEN J. PULLY 4564 Meadowood Road, Dallas, Texas (214) 587-6133 sjpully@yahoo.com

Employment History		
October 2014 – Present	 SPEYSIDE PARTNERS/INVESTMENT BANKER/CONSULTANT/BOARD DIRECTOR/CORPORATE EXECUTIVE Investment banker/consultant to companies, investors and creditors on matters including capital raising, distressed debt restructurings, asset dispositions, activist investing defense, strategic opportunities, and expert witness matters Chief Executive Officer and Chairman, Harvest Oil & Gas (post-reorg) 	
January 2008 – Sept. 2014	 CARLSON CAPITAL, L.P., General Counsel and Partner, Dallas, Texas Responsible for legal affairs of hedge fund with over \$9.0 B of AUM; worked closely with affiliated oil and gas private equity fund with \$700 of AUM beginning in 2010 Member of Management, Operating and Valuation Committees (Chair) 	
Dec. 2001 – October 2007	 NEWCASTLE CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P., President, Dallas, Texas Activist fund with \$650 MM of assets under management Operating positions for portfolio companies: CEO of Pinnacle Frames, Jan. 2003 – June 2004 (largest domestic picture frame manufacturer with 600 employees; involved in multiple visits to Wal-Mart, visited China and identified new CEO for company); CEO of New Century Equity Holdings, June 2003 – Oct. 2007 (cash shell seeking to acquire business) 	
May 2000 – Dec. 2001 January 1997 – May 2000 April 1996 – Dec. 1996 January 1996 - April 1996	 BANC OF AMERICA SECURITIES, Managing Director, Investment Banking - M&A/ Energy & Power Groups; Houston and Dallas, Texas BEAR STEARNS & CO. INC., Senior Managing Director - Investment Banking Department; Dallas, Texas CONVERGENT ASSOCIATES, INC., President, Dallas, Texas. Private equity firm that controlled three technology-oriented companies involved in travel, media and software; affiliated with EDS WASSERSTEIN PERELLA & CO., INC., Vice President - Investment Banking Department; Dallas, Texas Left after brief association because supervisor announced departure plans 	
July 1989 - Dec. 1995	PAINEWEBBER INCORPORATED/ KIDDER, PEABODY & CO., First Vice President - Investment Banking Department; New York City and Houston, Texas	
October 1985 - July 1989	BAKER & BOTTS, Attorneys, Associate – Corporate Department; Houston, Texas	

Board Experience

- **Board Leadership** Experience as Lead Director, Chairman of the Board, Executive Committee member and Chairman of Audit, Compensation, Governance and Strategic Committees
- **Accounting/Finance** CPA and CFA certifications, significant experience with financial statements and analysis, member of several audit committees including chair role
- **Strategic Transactions/Capital Raising** Substantial history with successful strategic transactions and efficient capital raising, including debt restructurings
- **Governance/Activist Investing Expertise** Extensive experience with shareholder governance and activist investing/defense; positive reputation with shareholders as a value creator

Legal/Regulatory - Licensed attorney, extensive experience managing legal/compliance department

Public Company Directorships

Previous: Bellatrix Exploration, Energy XXI (Chair – Comp and Strategic), EPL Oil & Gas Inc. (Lead Director, Chair - Comp), Ember Resources, Cano Petroleum, Goodrich Petroleum, Harvest Oil and Gas (Chairman of the Board, Chair – Audit), Peerless Systems (Chair – Audit), New Century Equity Holdings, MaxWorldwide, Geoworks Corporation, Pizza Inn (Chair – Governance), Titan Energy, VAALCO Energy (Chair – Governance, Comp), Whitehall Jewelers (Chairman)

Private Company Directorships

Current: Harvest Oil & Gas (Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer, formerly public company), Limetree Bay Energy, Heritage Power, Response Team 1, Wild Rivers, OWS, ExpressJet **Previous:** Fox & Hound, GenCanna Global, Pinnacle Frames & Accents, Aspire Holdings (Chair – Comp), PermianLide, Tribune Resources (Chair – Audit), PGi, Southland Royalty, Greylock Energy, Karya Properties, PRIMEXX Energy, Titan Energy

Professional Certifications, Education and Other Interests

CHARTERED FINANCIAL ANALYST, 2004 (Active member), **CERTIFIED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANT,** Texas, 1985 (Active member), **STATE BAR OF TEXAS,** 1985 (Active member), **FINRA** Series 7, 63 and 79 (Current)

The University of Texas School of Law, 1985 International Law Journal, Moot Court, Board of Advocates

Georgetown University, BSBA with honors, 1982, Major in accounting with 3.90 GPA in major President of Student Government Senate, National Model U.N. Team Centre for Management Studies, Oxford University, England, Summer 1981

Sailing, golf, writing, biking and travel; married with two adult daughters

Board of Advisors, Georgetown McDonough School of Business, 2015 - 2018

Documents Reviewed

Complaint for (I) Breach of Contract and (II) Turnover of Property of the Debtor's Estate (Dkt. No. 1, Adv. Proc. No. 21-03004)

Amended Complaint for (I) Breach of Contract, (II) Turnover of Property, (III) Fraudulent Transfer, and (IV) Breach of Fiduciary Duty (Dkt. No. 63, Adv. Proc. No. 21-03005)

Defendant NexPoint Advisors, L.P.'s Answer to Amended Complaint (Dkt. No. 64, Adv. Proc. No. 21-03005)

Amended Complaint for (I) Breach of Contract, (II) Turnover of Property, (III) Fraudulent Transfer, and (IV) Breach of Fiduciary Duty (Dkt. No. 68, Adv. Proc. No. 21-03006)

Highland Capital Management Services, Inc.'s Answer to Plaintiff's Complaint (Dkt. No. 6, Adv. Proc. No. 21-03006)

Defendant Highland Capital Management Services, Inc.'s Answer to Amended Complaint (Dkt. No. 73, Adv. Proc. No. 21-03006)

Amended Complaint for (I) Breach of Contract, (II) Turnover of Property, (III) Fraudulent Transfer, and (IV) Breach of Fiduciary Duty (Dkt. No. 63, Adv. Proc. No. 21-03007)

Defendant HCRE Partners, LLC (n/k/a NexPoint Real Estate Partners, LLC)'s Answer to Amended Complaint (Dkt. No. 68, Adv. Proc. No. 21-03007)

Defendant James Dondero's Answer to Amended Complaint (Dkt. No. 83, Adv. Proc. No. 21-03003)

Remote Videotaped Deposition of Frank Waterhouse, taken October 19, 2021 and Exhibits

Video Deposition of James P. Seery, Jr., taken October 21, 2021 and Exhibits

Deposition of Kristin Hendrix, taken October 27, 2021 and Exhibits

Deposition of David Klos, taken October 27, 2021

Remote Deposition of James Dondero, Volume II, taken October 29, 2021 (Rough draft) and Exhibits

Remote Deposition of James Dondero, Volume III, taken November 4, 2021 (Rough draft) and Exhibits

Case 21	-03005-sgj Doc 148-3 Filed 01/05/22	Entered 01/05/22 18:18:43 Page 858 of 899		
1		STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT		
_	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS DALLAS DIVISION			
2 3	In Re:) Case No. 19-34054-sgj-11) Chapter 11		
)		
4 5	HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P.,	<pre>) Dallas, Texas) Monday, December 13, 2021) 10:30 a.m. Docket</pre>		
6	Debtor.			
7	HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P.,) Adversary Proceeding 21-3005-sgj		
8	Plaintiff,) MOTION TO EXTEND EXPERT) DISCLOSURE AND DISCOVERY		
10	v.) DEADLINES))		
11	NEXPOINT ADVISORS, L.P., et al.,)		
12	Defendants.)))		
13 14	HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P.,	Adversary Proceeding 21-3006-sgj		
15	Plaintiff,) MOTION TO EXTEND EXPERT) DISCLOSURE AND DISCOVERY		
16	v.) DEADLINES)		
17	HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT SERVICES, INC.,)		
18	et al.,			
19	Defendants.)		
20		_)		
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				

Case 21 03005-sgj Doc 148-3 Filed 01/05/22 Entered 01/05/22 18:18:43 Page 859 of 899 2 1 HIGHLAND CAPITAL Adversary Proceeding 21-3007-sgj) 2 MANAGEMENT, L.P.,) MOTION TO EXTEND EXPERT) 3 Plaintiff,) DISCLOSURE AND DISCOVERY DEADLINES 4 v. 5 HCRE PARTNERS, LLC (n/k/a NEXPOINT REAL 6 ESTATE PARTNERS, LLC), 7 Defendant. 8 TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS 9 BEFORE THE HONORABLE STACEY G.C. JERNIGAN, UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE. 10 WEBEX APPEARANCES: 11 For the Debtor-Plaintiffs: Hayley Winograd 12 PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES, LLP 780 Third Avenue, 34th Floor 13 New York, NY 10017-2024 (212) 561-7700 14 For NexPoint Advisors, Davor Rukavina 15 LP: Julian Preston Vasek MUNSCH HARDT KOPF & HARR, P.C 16 500 N. Akard Street, Suite 3800 Dallas, TX 75201-6659 17 (214) 855-7587 18 For HCMS and HCRE: Michael P. Aigen Deborah Rose Deitsch-Perez 19 STINSON LEONARD STREET 3102 Oak Lawn Avenue, Suite 777 20 Dallas, TX 75219 (214) 560-2201 21 Michael F. Edmond, Sr. Recorded by: 22 UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT 1100 Commerce Street, 12th Floor 23 Dallas, TX 75242 (214) 753-2062 24 25

Case 21	-03005-sgj Doc 148-3 Filed 01/05/22	Entered 01/05/22 18:18:43	Page 860 of 899
			3
1			
1 2	Transcribed by:	Kathy Rehling 311 Paradise Cove	0.0
3		Shady Shores, TX 762 (972) 786-3063	08
4			
5			
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23 24	Proceedings recorded	by electronic sound re	cording;
24	transcript produce	d by transcription ser	vice.
20			

Case 21 03005-sgj Doc 148-3 Filed 01/05/22 Entered 01/05/22 18:18:43 Page 861 of 899

4

1	DALLAS, TEXAS - DECEMBER 13, 2021 - 10:55 A.M.
2	THE COURT: I will now take up the Highland three
3	motions to extend expert deadlines. So let me get appearances
4	from lawyers. First, who do we have appearing for the Debtor
5	this morning?
6	MS. WINOGRAD: Good morning, Your Honor. My name is
7	Hayley Winograd of Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones appearing on
8	behalf of Highland.
9	THE COURT: Okay. Good morning. For NexPoint
10	Advisors, who do we have appearing?
11	MR. RUKAVINA: Your Honor, good morning. Davor
12	Rukavina and Julian Vasek.
13	THE COURT: Good morning. All right. For HCMS and
14	NPRE, who do we have appearing?
15	(No response.)
16	THE COURT: Okay. Maybe I should say these names in
17	full.
18	MS. DEITSCH-PEREZ: I apologize, Your Honor. This is
19	Deborah Deitsch-Perez. I believe Michael Aigen will be
20	appearing for HCRE and HCMS. And I wonder if he's having
21	technical difficulties. I saw him on the line a few minutes
22	ago. I'm going to go off and call to make sure that there
23	isn't a problem.
24	THE COURT: Okay.
25	MR. RUKAVINA: But Your Honor, I'll be handling the

Case 21 03005-sgj Doc 148-3 Filed 01/05/22 Entered 01/05/22 18:18:43 Page 862 of 899 5 1 bulk of the arguments, and Mr. Aigen will cover a much smaller 2 amount. 3 THE COURT: Okay. Well, we'll --4 MR. AIGEN: Your Honor, this is Michael Aigen. Are 5 you able to hear me now? 6 THE COURT: I can hear you now. 7 MR. AIGEN: I apologize. Michael Aigen for HCMS and HCRE. 8 9 THE COURT: All right. I presume those are our only 10 formal appearances, but is there anyone else who wished to 11 appear? 12 (No response.) 13 THE COURT: All right. Well, Mr. Rukavina, I'll hear 14 your argument. 15 MR. RUKAVINA: Thank you, Your Honor. 16 I'm sure that the Court has read our papers, and by this 17 motion we seek to extend the expert deadline so that we can 18 retain Steven Pully as our expert on the standard of care. 19 Mr. Pully is on the video. I can see him right now. So, good 20 morning, Mr. Pully. 21 And Your Honor, I'd like for you to be aware that Friday 22 evening I did file on the docket Mr. Pully's report. 23 Obviously, the Court hasn't granted this motion, but I wanted 24 the Court to know that we moved as rapidly as possible, and 25 Mr. Pully has now finalized his report. So there's no future

APP 860

1 need for additional time on my end if the Court grants this
2 motion.

3 Your Honor, before I get to the actual merits of this 4 motion, I feel it important to address a hearing that occurred 5 a few weeks ago that I was not present at because this motion was discussed briefly at the end. This was a hearing held on 6 7 Ms. Deitsch-Perez's motion to dismiss and compel arbitration. 8 And Mr. Vasek, if you could please pull up the transcript 9 of that and scroll down to near the end where this motion is 10 discussed.

Your Honor will maybe recall that we have the transcript where Ms. Deitsch-Perez mentioned as a scheduling matter that this motion had been filed. And the Court says, What on earth does that have to do with this litigation? I don't mean to be flippant and laugh, but what on earth does that have to do with notes?

And if we scroll down some more, Your Honor, Ms. Deitsch-Perez was attempting to explain to the Court the purpose of this motion, and the Court notes that, It sounds like you're talking about an affirmative defense that hasn't been articulated yet.

And if we scroll down some more, Ms. Deitsch-Perez attempts to tell the Court that, in fact, this is an affirmative defense that has always been asserted. And the Court notes there in her dialogue with Ms.

APP 861

Deitsch-Perez that, I'm just letting you know you have a very
 uphill battle convincing me that experts regarding shared
 services agreements would be germane.

And the Court goes on to say that it has heard a lot about shared services agreements during the past few years, including experts on the witness stand in the *Acis* case. And the Court notes that, Under the pleadings as now in the record, I just can't imagine why experts on shared services gareements are going to be relevant evidence.

I think, Mr. Vasek, you can pull that down.

10

11 And I point this out only because, again, I know that the 12 Court has prepared for this hearing, but this is an 13 affirmative defense that has always been pled from the 14 beginning. It does not involve the interpretation of the 15 contract. We're not talking about the shared services agreement. We're not talking about the contract. And recall, 16 17 Your Honor, that both Your Honor and the District Courts have 18 agreed that jury rights do attach here. So the question 19 really is not the Court's familiarity with shared services 20 agreements but whether expert testimony will be relevant to 21 help the jury.

So, what is that expert evidence, Your Honor, and how did this arise? NexPoint is the obligor, the maker on a \$30 million note -- I'm using round numbers -- and that note had been paid down to some \$24 million.

The note purports to require a payment every year on December the 31st. And in the year 2020, although we argued that the payment was prepaid, that payment was not made timely. It was made a couple weeks later, when Mr. Dondero realized what had happened.

6 Our version, NexPoint's version of why this payment did 7 not happen has until recently been that the Debtor dropped the 8 ball. Under the shared services agreement, and as Mr. Dondero 9 and Mr. Frank Waterhouse, the Debtor's former CFO, confirmed, 10 the Debtor was for years responsible to facilitate the annual payment. The Debtor didn't pay from its own funds. It would 11 12 pay it from our funds. But that was both in the contract and 13 that was the practice. Again, Mr. Waterhouse -- and Your 14 Honor has seen in my papers and in his transcript -- confirmed 15 that it was reasonable for NexPoint to rely on the Debtor to 16 ensure that this payment would be made.

So Mr. Vasek, if we can pull up the shared servicesagreement here.

I know that the Court likes to look at contracts, so I will briefly take Your Honor through some of the pertinent provisions, because this relates to directly to Mr. Pully. And Mr. Vasek, if you'll please scroll down to the definitions of Covered Person.

And Your Honor can read it for herself. This is just a definitional that we need as we go forward. But Covered

1 Person means the staff and services provider. That is 2 Highland. That is the Debtor. And it includes managers, 3 members, employees, et cetera. Well, that would be Mr. Frank 4 Waterhouse. Mr. Waterhouse at that time was the Debtor' chief 5 financial officer, and he was also an officer of NexPoint. So 6 he, like many people here, wore two hats. 7 Mr. David Klos at that time was the controller for Highland, and Ms. Kristin Hendrix was a senior accountant at 8 9 Highland. Both Mr. Klos and Ms. Hendrix were providing the 10 services we're going to discuss. 11 If you'll scroll down, Mr. Vasek. 12 The next provision, Your Honor, relates to what services 13 were being provided. 14 Scroll up just a -- just a tad. 15 So you'll see under Section 2.02 the parties are now 16 agreeing here's the services that Highland will be provided. 17 And it's important to note, Your Honor, that at this time this 18 agreement was in place. This agreement was terminated I want 19 to say at the end of February this year. But in December and 20 November of 2020, this agreement was in place. 21 And if the Court looks at the services being provided, the 22 first one there is assistance and advice. That word "advice" 23 is important. Assistance and advice with respect to various 24 things. And you see down there those things include finance 25 and accounting, payments, bookkeeping, cash management, cash

Case 21 03005-sgj Doc 148-3 Filed 01/05/22 Entered 01/05/22 18:18:43 Page 867 of 899

10

1 || forecasting, accounts payable, et cetera.

Keep scrolling down, Mr. Vasek. Obviously, as the Court very well knows, the Debtor was also providing legal services. And if you keep scrolling down, Mr. Vasek, to the next page, there you go, to K and L.

6 These are more catch-all. So if the language of what I 7 just showed you is not express or specific enough, here you 8 have these catch-alls, such as advice on all things ancillary 9 or incidental to the foregoing and advice relating to other 10 back- and middle-office services in connection with the day-11 to-day business.

So, again, we're not here today, we're not asking the Court to decide, nor do I think that it would be this Court to decide, whether the Debtor had a duty to facilitate the December payment. I'm just pointing out that we have, I think anyone would agree, at least a *prima facie* colorable argument that the Debtor would have such duty.

And just to address an issue that the Debtor raised, Mr. Vasek, if you'll scroll down to 6.01, and then if you'll zoom in.

Here, now, Your Honor, is the language that is of relevance, the direct relevance. So we've seen that Covered Person is defined, and we have seen that -- and we can now see that this agreement requires Covered Person -- that includes the Debtor; that includes Mr. Waterhouse; that includes Mr.

APP 865

1 Klos -- to discharge its duties under this agreement. We've 2 seen that there's certainly a colorable argument that the 3 duties under this agreement include facilitating payments and 4 advice with payments and accounts payable and the like, and 5 that the Debtor has to discharge its duties with the care, 6 skill, prudence, and diligence under the circumstances then 7 prevailing that a prudent person acting in a like capacity and familiar with such matters would use in the conduct of an 8 9 enterprise of a like character and with like aims.

That, Your Honor, is what we need the expert on. Not to tell the jury what this contract says, not to tell the jury that the Debtor had a duty, but to look at, under the facts, did the Debtor's performance or lack thereof -- and I'll tell you why that's important in a moment -- did that performance or lack thereof comport with this standard of care?

This is a matter for an expert. The average juror, the average layperson, myself, I would not know what the care, skill, prudence, and diligence of a reasonable prudent person in this situation would be. I can theorize on that. I can opine on that. I'm not an expert on that. This is a matter for an expert, the same as with medical malpractice, legal malpractice, breach of fiduciary duty.

While we're on this agreement, just to address another argument that the Debtor makes, the Debtor says that this agreement exculpates negligence.

Mr. Vasek, if you'll please scroll down to the
 exculpation.

3 And there is an exculpation provision. But if Your Honor 4 -- and it does exculpate negligence. It doesn't exculpate 5 gross negligence, et cetera. But it talks about that only 6 acts or omissions -- it's Romanette (i) -- acts or omissions 7 arising out of or in connection with the conduct of the business of the management company that is exculpated. Again, 8 we're not here today to decide what this means, but the 9 10 business of NexPoint is not note-making; the business of 11 NexPoint is advising thousands of investors and funds with 12 respect to a billion dollars of investments.

13 It is -- the Debtor does have an argument, and either the 14 Court or the jury will have to decide whether this exculpation provision applies. And then if -- and you can remove this, 15 16 Mr. Vasek -- the Debtor likewise says that the agreement's 17 indemnification provision prohibits this argument. We pointed 18 out in our briefing, Your Honor, that, in fact, 19 indemnification under Texas law does not apply to the parties 20 to the contract. It applies to claims made by third parties. 21 But, again, that's an argument that the Debtor has.

22 So we have this contract in place. Late November/early 23 December rolls around, and both Mr. Dondero and Mr. Waterhouse 24 testify that they had a meeting. What was said at that 25 meeting is in dispute. Case 21 03005-sgj Doc 148-3 Filed 01/05/22 Entered 01/05/22 18:18:43 Page 870 of 899

13

1 Mr. Dondero believes that he told Mr. Waterhouse, stop 2 paying on the shared services agreement. It's NexPoint's 3 position -- Your Honor knows we filed an administrative claim 4 -- it's NexPoint's position that it had overpaid millions of 5 dollars under the shared services agreement, in part because 6 many of the employees of the Debtor that we were supposed to 7 be paying our respective share of weren't there anymore. So 8 Mr. Dondero says to Mr. Waterhouse, stop paying on this shared 9 services agreement.

10 Those are the facts as we knew them going into late 11 October. Based on that fact, and based on the fact that the 12 Debtor did not facilitate the payment, we've always asserted 13 as an affirmative defense that our lender, who is also our 14 lawyer, who's also our accountant, who's also our treasury 15 management people, and who have always facilitated these 16 payments in the past, dropped the ball. They committed simple 17 negligence, they dropped the ball, thereby causing the alleged 18 default.

We did not need an expert opinion on that at that time. You've seen in my reply briefing, Your Honor, that, in fact, the Fifth Circuit holds in multiple instances that when it's simply a matter of missing a deadline -- a lawyer missing limitations, if you will -- expert testimony is not required, and in fact may be inappropriate because a lay person can figure out that, a lay juror can figure out that, well, if you

1 just simply didn't do something, whether that's -- whether 2 that comports with the standard of care or not.

On October the 19th of this year, the Debtor and we deposed Mr. Waterhouse. And Mr. Waterhouse had a different testimony. He had a different recollection of that meeting. Mr. Waterhouse said that Mr. Dondero told him in late November or early December, don't make this NexPoint payment. In other words, that Mr. Dondero expressly said the payment that's coming up for NexPoint, do not make this payment.

10 That was news to us. I was so surprised by that testimony 11 that I actually asked Mr. Waterhouse that question four times. 12 And opposing counsel actually got angry at me, kept saying, 13 how many times are you going to keep asking this question? I 14 was surprised.

15 I was not able to talk to Mr. Waterhouse meaningfully 16 before that. Mr. Waterhouse has attorneys, Mr. Waterhouse is 17 in litigation with the Debtor, and those attorneys require 18 that I not communicate with him directly, I communicate only 19 through them. I never took up the chance to ask them about 20 this meeting because the only information that I had and that 21 my client had was that there was no such instruction. The 22 Debtor may or may not have been surprised as well. 23 Mr. Vasek, if you'll please pull up discovery.

24 Your Honor, we're sharing with you now certain of the 25 discovery in this case -- in particular, the Debtor's

APP 869

1	responses.

	-
2	And if you'll go to Interrogatory No. 1, Mr. Vasek.
3	So, Your Honor obviously can read this. But I ask the
4	Debtor, if it contends that it was not responsible for making
5	payments under the note on NexPoint's behalf, please explain
6	the legal and factual basis for such contention. I asked for
7	a factual basis as well. And Your Honor can see in the
8	response that the Debtor objects, the Debtor says that it was
9	not required to make the payment, but nowhere here does the
10	Debtor say that it had received an instruction not to make the
11	payment.
12	Pardon me, Your Honor.
13	This was, I believe, from May or June. In any event, it
14	was early in this litigation. Nowhere here am I put on any
15	kind of notice that it's the Debtor's position that it
16	received an instruction not to make the payment.
17	If we scroll down to Request for Production, I believe
18	it's No. 1, Mr. Vasek.
19	Here, we I ask for all communications pursuant to which
20	the Debtor was advised or instructed not to make the payment
21	or to cause the payment to be made. And the Debtor's answer
22	includes the following: Any communications responsive to
23	Request for Production No. 1 were verbal.
24	Okay. I had to await depositions. That's fine. I had
25	asked in an interrogatory, I didn't get a factual response,

1 and then I'm now being told that any communications were
2 verbal.

3 Now, the Debtor may not have known about Mr. Waterhouse's 4 instruction, it may not have, in which case I don't think it's 5 fair to accuse NexPoint or its counsel of dropping the ball. 6 Or the Debtor may have known of the instruction, in which case 7 the Debtor should have answered Interrogatory No. 1 factually by saying, oh, wait, not only were we not required to make the 8 9 payment, et cetera, et cetera, but we received an instruction 10 from your boss, NexPoint, not to make the payment.

You can remove that.

11

12 So, here we go into October 19th. We depose Mr. 13 We now see that, in fact, I guess it's -- I Waterhouse. 14 forget who -- who the author is, but the plot has thickened. 15 The situation is now much more complicated. Whereas 16 previously we argued that the Debtor had dropped the ball, the 17 question now is, okay, if in fact the jury believes that Mr. 18 Dondero went to Mr. Waterhouse and said, don't make this 19 payment, did that discharge the Debtor's duties as specified 20 by the contract or not?

It's our belief that it did not. It's our belief that Mr. Waterhouse should have, at a minimum, asked Mr. Dondero after that, did I get you right, Jim? Did I understand correctly? Did you mean not to make this payment? It's our belief that the Debtor -- our legal advisers, our accountants, people that

APP 871

are supposed to advise us -- should have called back and said, Jim, you know that if you don't make this payment you're going to have a note accelerated and it's going to be \$24 million. They should have advised Mr. Dondero of the potential consequences, especially given their clear conflict of interest.

7 At the same time, they're our lender to the tune of \$24 million, and they're providing us all this assistance and 8 9 advice that we're paying millions and millions of dollars for. 10 And then also, if Mr. Dondero gave such an instruction, 11 did the Debtor have some duty to try to dissuade him by 12 saying, Jim, you're being a hothead, this is a very serious 13 matter, it's only \$1.4 million, make the payment? In fact, we 14 did make the payment in January, after this issue was learned 15 about. But the Debtor didn't do any of those things.

So, again, the question now is, did the Debtor's lack of any subsequent follow-up -- putting its head in the sand, so to speak -- did that comport with the duties as specified, what would a reasonable person discharging his or her duties under the facts and circumstances in that industry then in place, what should or would have such a reasonable person done? That's where Mr. Pully comes in.

I deposed Mr. Seery a few days after this deposition and I asked him about this, and Mr. Seery said that no, in his view, Mr. Waterhouse acted perfectly appropriately, that Mr.

Waterhouse had no duty to seek clarification or explain the ramifications or anything else. And it was clear to me that Mr. Seery is going to testify to that effect.

4 So at that point in time, now that we knew Mr. 5 Waterhouse's testimony, we decided that it is not only 6 advisable but perhaps necessary to retain an expert. And we 7 moved very quickly. I have had the fortune of working with 8 Mr. Pully before, so I knew him. I was able to rapidly retain 9 him because of our prior familiarity with each other. Mr. 10 Pully reviewed all the transcripts. He reviewed the 11 discovery. He prepared a full and final report. So, from 12 beginning to end, we were done in maybe five weeks, maybe six 13 weeks.

And we're not proposing, Your Honor, that the Debtor doesn't have whatever time it needs to prepare a rebuttal. We're not proposing that the Debtor can't depose Mr. Seery [sic]. Of course it can.

18 So where this adversary proceeding now is is that 19 discovery is over. The Debtor will be filing by December the 20 17th a motion for summary judgment. Your Honor will recall 21 that Your Honor approved a scheduling order on that. And 22 there will be hearings before this Court on summary judgment, 23 and perhaps opposing counsel can remind me, but it's going to 24 be in late January, or I'm going by memory here, maybe early 25 February.

1 So that is, Your Honor, what happened. That is how it 2 happened. It's the truth. It's -- there's no laying behind 3 the log here. There's no litigation decisions that are now 4 backfiring and we're trying to get out of them. What happened 5 here is exactly what should happen in a lawsuit like this, 6 where discovery has illuminated various issues and now we have 7 to deal with the consequences of that discovery as we prepare for trial. 8

9 October the 29th was the date in the scheduling order to 10 disclose experts and provide their reports. Mr. Pully 11 couldn't even hypothetically do that in time since I had 12 retained him a few days before that. But we moved very 13 quickly to file this motion, to file it before the deadline 14 actually expired, in hopes, again, of not -- not only of 15 showing Your Honor that we moved diligently and rapidly when this issue unfolded, but also that we didn't need nunc pro 16 17 tunc relief.

So, Rule 16 does apply. The good cause requirement does apply. But this is not some talismanic super-high burden to meet. Yes, there's a burden. Yes, I must demonstrate to Your Honor why leave based on good cause is required. But we're not trying to unscramble the eggs, and we're not seeking something extraordinary or exotic here.

24 The Fifth Circuit has specified the four factors that the 25 Court should look at. In the Fifth Circuit cases that we've

1 seen and that we've briefed, the deadline had already expired 2 and the people were seeking nunc pro tunc relief. I don't 3 think we have that high of a burden here, but even if we do, 4 we've analyzed those four factors.

5 And the first factor is the explanation for the lateness. Again, did NexPoint act diligently? Did NexPoint hide behind 6 7 the log? Is there some litigation strategy here that has 8 backfired? None of that, Your Honor, is present. There's 9 been no delay. We deposed, pursuant to agreed deposition 10 schedules, we deposed all of the main witnesses in October. 11 When we deposed Mr. Waterhouse, this issue arose. We moved as 12 rapidly as we could thereafter. And you've seen, Your Honor, 13 in the interrogatory answer, that if the Debtor knew about this instruction, then, really, the Debtor should have 14 15 answered its interrogatory to say, we got an instruction not 16 to pay and that's why we didn't pay.

Maybe the Debtor -- maybe the Debtor didn't know that. But when we deposed Mr. Klos and Ms. Hendrix, who are still employees of the Debtor, they testified that they heard Mr. Waterhouse tell them that in late November last year. So they -- they testified that in late November last year Frank Waterhouse told them, Jim Dondero told me, don't make this payment.

24 So, even if the Debtor didn't know what Mr. Waterhouse 25 would testify to, Mr. Klos and Ms. Henderson [sic] did.

Again, I am not pointing the fingers here at the Debtor. I'm not saying that their answer to Interrogatory No. 1 was manipulative, that it was calculated to deceive. I'm not suggesting that. I'm just suggesting that, had the Debtor given a more fulsome answer, we would have immediately investigated and immediately retained an expert back in May or June of this year.

8 The next element, or the next factor, rather, is the 9 importance of this extension. And Your Honor, we have quoted 10 at length Fifth Circuit opinions that say that when the 11 standard of care is involved, expert opinion is appropriate 12 and may be required.

It goes back to, again, if the Debtor just dropped the ball and didn't facilitate the payment, that's easy. That doesn't need an expert. But if the Debtor was instructed by Mr. Dondero not to make the payment and there was a month left before the payment was to be made, did the standard of care as specified in the contract require the Debtor to do something that it failed to do?

20 So we are talking about the standard of care. That is 21 appropriate expert testimony. It may be required. And it is 22 not something that I can argue to a lay juror just based on a 23 deadline being missed.

24 So, yes, this -- the relief we're seeking is important, 25 especially given the jury nature of this trial.

The third factor is the potential prejudice. So, the Debtor says, well, this will increase costs. Yes, it will. But costs alone is not the legally -- the legal standard here. Every litigation has costs. Every litigation has burdens. And if the Debtor prevails in this lawsuit, they will claim attorneys' fees and costs. They're entitled to that under the note and under Texas law.

8 So there will be an incremental cost for the Debtor to 9 retain an expert, but that would have been present as of 10 October the 29th anyway.

Remember, I filed this motion on the deadline. We're seeking six weeks of delay here. This is not late-stage litigation where all the facts are known, all the witnesses have been deposed, everyone's ready for trial, and suddenly a party seeks to increase its opponent's litigation costs here with a last-second expert. This is not that case.

So, there is no prejudice, at least not in the legally relevant way by way of costs, nor is there any prejudice by delay. And this also ties into the fourth factor, which discusses a continuance. There is no prejudice here because we're not trial-set. We don't know when we're going to be trial-set.

Even if the Court denies summary judgment in whole or in part at the end of January or early February -- which I don't think that's very realistic because I think the Court is going

1 to want to think about it some, the Court is going to want to 2 prepare a report and recommendation -- this is not going to be 3 a straightforward summary judgment proceeding.

What is also out there is that the Debtor has filed a
motion to consolidate all these note cases in front of one
District Court judge. That's going to have to be reviewed by
the District Court judges and ruled on.

So we are months, months away from being trial-ready, and 8 9 then we don't know how long it's going to be before we're up 10 for a week or two long jury trial. No one knows that. That is plenty of time for the Debtor to get a rebuttal expert. 11 12 It's plenty of time for the Debtor to depose Mr. Pully. It's 13 plenty of time for everything to come to play so that this case will be certified trial-ready, irrespective of whether 14 15 there's an expert or not. This is not going to delay the 16 process. We're not seeking to delay the process.

Nor are we seeking to derail the summary judgment proceedings. If the Debtor wants to retain an expert for summary judgment proceedings, that just proves that there is a question of fact here that precludes summary judgment.

But as far as continuance or trial-setting, that's just not present here.

And I've quoted Your Honor at length a District Court's opinion from the Eastern District of Texas that talks about prejudice, that talks about costs. And that judge basically

said, look, when it's -- when it's an affirmative defense that 1 2 you've known that since the beginning, which the Debtor has 3 known here since the beginning, then, really, it's not a last-4 second tactic. It's not real prejudice. Yeah. Yeah, there's 5 a delay. Yeah, there's an increased cost. But the plaintiff 6 is now trying to fundamentally change this lawsuit, to 7 fundamentally interject something new here. The plaintiff 8 just needs some more time. And the question is, should the 9 plaintiff have more time?

10 Your Honor, those are the factors. We have -- we have the 11 exhibits. We have the record prepared. It's a part of the 12 motion and the Debtor's response. And Your Honor, we ask that 13 the Court grant this motion -- again, reminding the Court that 14 this does relate to an affirmative defense that's been around 15 since the beginning. It does relate to one that was -- only -- only really became the subject of expert testimony in late 16 17 October. And it's only because discovery in this case worked 18 as it should. No one laid behind the log. No one made a 19 calculated decision that has backfired. No one delayed 20 anything or was less than diligent.

Under these circumstances, Your Honor, because the point of a trial in front of a jury is to get to the truth and it's to enable the jury to have what it needs to make a true, full, and informed decision, we believe that good cause exists, and we'd ask -- NexPoint would ask that the Court grant this

1	motion.
2	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
3	I'll ask Mr. Aigen, does he have anything he wants to
4	supplement with?
5	MR. AIGEN: Yes, Your Honor. I can make a very quick
6	argument here.
7	As you know, HCMS and HCRE have filed a joinder, asking
8	for the same relief. The only thing I want to quickly point
9	out is that the only difference between our clients and Mr.
10	Rukavina's client is the lack of a written services agreement.
11	But I would point out, as the evidence we submitted in our
12	briefing shows, the undisputed testimony is that there was an
13	oral agreement to provide these services, that the Debtor did
14	provide these same exact services that they provided from
15	for NexPoint to HCMS and HCRE, that they had done this for
16	years, and this included making loan payments.
17	So I just wanted to point that out, and I think what this
18	means is that, for the same reasons that Mr. Rukavina asked
19	for this relief, we believe we are entitled to the same
20	relief. And I won't bother to go through all the same
21	arguments that Mr. Rukavina just made to the Court. So that's
22	all I have, Your Honor.
23	THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Ms. Winograd?
24	MS. WINOGRAD: May it please the Court?
25	THE COURT: You may proceed.

MS. WINOGRAD: Your Honor, the motion should be denied because there is no good cause for modifying the scheduling order. The motion is untimely. The expert testimony Defendants seek to gather is both improper and irrelevant. And if the motion is granted, Highland will be prejudiced.

7 This is -- this adversary -- adversary proceeding is a 8 garden-variety collection action on a simple note, it has been 9 going on for roughly a year, and it continues to get delayed 10 due to unnecessary and costly motion practice. Defendants' 11 latest motion is not only another delay tactic, but it is also 12 completely unsupported.

13 And before I tell you why it is unsupported, I want to 14 take a step back and just summarize the context of Defendants' 15 motion. Defendants have always and continue to assert the 16 same affirmative defense, which is that their default under 17 the note was the result of Highland's negligence under the 18 shared services agreement. It is Defendants' position that 19 before Mr. Waterhouse's deposition an expert was not needed to 20 testify regarding Highland's duties under the shared services 21 agreement.

Mr. Waterhouse then testified that Mr. Dondero gave him instruction not to make a payment under the note. It is now Defendants' contention that, solely in light of this testimony, all of a sudden an expert is needed to testify

1 regarding whether Highland owed an affirmative duty under that 2 same shared services agreement to ask Mr. Dondero if he 3 understood the implications of his instruction, and if so, if 4 Highland breached such a purported duty.

5 First of all, Your Honor, based on the clear terms of the 6 shared services agreement, there is no affirmative duty for 7 Highland to ask Mr. Dondero if he understood the implications 8 of his own instruction.

9 Moreover, Your Honor, the question of what Highland's 10 duties are is a legal issue reserved for the Court, and the 11 issue of whether Highland breached -- and Highland submits 12 there was no such breach -- but that issue is reserved for the 13 jury.

Your Honor, if expert testimony wasn't needed before, it is not needed now.

This Court entered a scheduling order in September of 2021. Under Rule 16(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, an existing scheduling order can only be modified upon a showing of good cause. The purpose of Rule 16 is for the Court to prevent unforeseeable and never-ending litigation expenditures.

So the critical question before Your Honor today is
whether there is good cause to modify the scheduling order.
And Highland submits there is not.

25 Cou

Courts consider four general factors to determine whether

there's good cause. It's the party's explanation for failing to previously identify the witness. It's the importance of the witness's testimony. And it's the prejudice to the other side in allowing the testimony. All of these factors weigh in favor of denying the motion.

Regarding the first factor, Defendants' explanation for
failing to previously identify the witness is entirely without
merit. Again, NexPoint first raised its affirmative defense
that its default under the note was the result of Highland's
own negligence back in March of 2021. In other words,
NexPoint had nine months to retain an expert to testify
regarding Highland's duties for nine months.

NexPoint seeks to create -- to distinguish between these notions of Highland somehow, quote, dropping the ball versus Highland not asking Mr. Dondero if he understood the implications of his own instruction. Defendants cite no authority in support of the notion that one of these factual circumstances would somehow require an expert but that the other would not.

20 What this comes down to, Your Honor, is that Defendants 21 are using this testimony as an excuse to muddy the water, to 22 muddy the waters as to the critical issues in this case and as 23 a latch-ditch attempt to bolster their defense.

I don't want to bog you down with case law that's already cited in our brief, but I want to flag a particularly on-point

1 case, and that is Reliance, 110 F.3d at 257. The Fifth 2 Circuit affirmed the lower court's denial of a party's motion 3 to modify the scheduling order when that -- when a deposition 4 didn't go well, specifically holding District Courts have the 5 power to control their dockets by refusing to give ineffective 6 litigants a second chance to develop their case.

7 The suggested expert testimony also is improper as a It is well-settled law in the Fifth Circuit 8 matter of law. 9 that an expert cannot testify regarding the scope of a party's 10 contractual duties under an agreement and whether that party 11 fulfilled such duties. And that is exactly what NexPoint and 12 Defendants are trying to do here. It is trying to have its 13 expert interpret the terms of a shared services agreement and 14 testify regarding Highland's duties thereunder and ultimately 15 whether it thinks Highland breached those duties.

This is an improper subject for expert testimony and precisely the type of expert testimony that the Northern District of Texas rejected in *Panhandle* and which the Fifth Circuit affirmed the rejection of in *Askanase*, two cases cited in our papers.

Even if the suggested expert testimony were proper, which it is not, it is also irrelevant. In order to be relevant, expert testimony must assist the trier of fact understand a complex or distinct issue in a case. Here, the critical issue for Defendants is whether they can prove that their default Case 21 03005-sgj Doc 148-3 Filed 01/05/22 Entered 01/05/22 18:18:43 Page 887 of 899

30

1	under the note was the result of Highland's negligence. This
2	issue is well within the common understanding of a lay person.
3	Again, this is a garden-variety collection action. All of
4	the cases NexPoint cites in its papers in support of the
5	notion that expert testimony is required, all of those cases
6	involve professional malpractice cases, whether legal or
7	medical. And in those cases, an expert was required to
8	testify regarding the general standard of care in a particular
9	industry.
10	Here, NexPoint doesn't seek to have an expert testify
11	regarding the general standard of care in a particular
12	industry. That is not an issue in this case. And this
13	certainly is not a professional malpractice case.
14	NexPoint seeks to have its expert opine as to the scope of
15	Highland's legal duties in a shared services agreement and
16	ultimately whether Highland breached the purported duties,
17	which, again, we submit it did not.
18	The other case NexPoint cites to, In re Schooler, that
19	case also doesn't support Defendants' position, and in fact
20	supports Highland's position. In that case, the Fifth Circuit
21	noted, and I quote, Expert testimony is not needed in many, if
22	not most, cases.
23	I also want to briefly address NexPoint's argument raised
24	for the first time in its reply that Highland was also acting

25 as an attorney to Defendants during this time. As a

1 procedural matter, this argument is entirely improper because 2 it is not proper to raise an argument for the first time in a 3 reply.

And on the merits, again, this is not a professional malpractice case. So for these reasons alone, such a contention should be summarily disregarded by the Court.

7 Finally, Your Honor, Highland would suffer prejudice if 8 the motion is granted because it would be forced to expend 9 significant and costly resources responding to the testimony 10 in the form of retaining a rebuttal expert, taking and 11 defending additional depositions, and engaging in more motion 12 practice. This would be a waste of resources for both parties 13 and for the Court because this testimony isn't ultimately 14 going to be needed at trial.

15 It is improper because it opines as to the ultimate legal 16 issues in this case that are reserved for the Court and then 17 for the jury. And it is also irrelevant because all of the 18 issues in this case are well within the common understanding 19 of a lay person.

I also want to note that HCRE and HCMS's motions asking for the same relief are equally if not more frivolous than NexPoint's because HCMS and HCRE aren't even parties to the shared services agreement. To the extent HCMS and HCRE are asking an expert to testify regarding Highland's alleged duties under an oral agreement, the terms of which are

	52
1	unknown, such a contention is frivolous on its face.
2	But even if such an alleged oral agreement exists, which
3	it does not, this does not change the Rule 16(b) analysis.
4	The Defendants fail to show good cause for modifying the
5	scheduling order.
6	In brief, Your Honor, this motion is simply a delay
7	tactic, the expert testimony is improper, and the motion
8	should be denied. Thank you.
9	THE COURT: Thank you.
10	All right. Movants get the last word. Mr. Rukavina,
11	anything further?
12	MR. RUKAVINA: Yes, Your Honor. Most of what
13	opposing counsel says is the topic of a <i>Daubert</i> issue. We're
14	not seeking to prejudice <i>Daubert</i> today, and they have every
15	ability in the future to argue that Mr. Pully's testimony
16	should not be admissible.
17	Second, this is not a garden-variety case. It is not. It
18	is a case where, again, our lender was also our officer, was
19	providing all kinds of payment services, accounting services,
20	and legal services. It may not be unique, it may not have
21	never happened before, but it is not a garden-variety.
22	I do take issue with the notion that there has been any
23	delay in this case. That is not correct. I just looked at
24	the docket again to refresh my memory. We had a contested
25	hearing on my motion to withdraw the reference that the Debtor

objected to, arguing that 542 was a core matter. Your Honor rejected that argument, and Your Honor agreed with me, as did the District Court, that the reference will be withdrawn when this trial -- when this case is certified trial-ready.

5 So the notion that there has been delay, intentional delay 6 by us, that this is a matter of delay, is absolutely wrong. 7 In fact, this lawsuit has gone on quickly. It's been handled 8 professionally. Both sides have been cooperative, giving each 9 other various accommodations. And I am proud, I think, of how 10 every lawyer has handled themselves in this lawsuit. To 11 suggest delay or intentional delay is wrong.

12 On the law, Your Honor, In re Schooler, I heard counsel 13 argue that it's just illogical and wrong to argue that an 14 expert wasn't required in one situation but now is. But 15 that's In re Schooler, the Fifth Circuit, Your Honor, 725 F.3d 16 498, that I quote at length from. That's one where the 17 trustee dropped the ball, a Chapter 7 trustee failed to give 18 property of the estate. And that's the one where the Fifth 19 Circuit does say, Accordingly, we have explained that, as a 20 general rule, expert testimony is not needed in many, if not 21 most, cases. And then the Fifth Circuit says that, It 22 requires no technical or expert knowledge to recognize that 23 she -- the trustee -- affirmatively should have undertaken 24 some form of action to acquire for the bankruptcy estate the 25 assets to which it was entitled.

1	But, again, this is not that case. This was that case
2	before Mr. Waterhouse testified, and now it's not. This is
3	not a case anymore where the debtor simply dropped the ball,
4	as did that trustee, or as does the doctor who amputates the
5	wrong leg, or as does the lawyer who misses a limitations
6	deadline. This is now a case where, if the jury believes Mr.
7	Waterhouse, the plot has thickened.
8	And finally, Your Honor, again, I'm not here to point
9	fingers, but look at the Debtor's response to Interrogatory
10	No. 1. All that the Debtor needed to say six or seven months
11	ago to avoid this delay is that, oh, wait, we received an
12	instruction not to pay. It would have taken ten words, one
13	sentence, by the Debtor to fully answer an interrogatory and
14	this motion would not have been necessary.
15	Thank you.
16	THE COURT: All right. Mr. Aigen, anything further
17	from you?
18	MR. AIGEN: No, nothing further, Your Honor. We just
19	join in Mr. Rukavina's reply points.
20	THE COURT: All right. As I understand it, the
21	deadline was October 29th for disclosure of experts, and the
22	record shows that at 5:22 p.m. on October 29th the Defendants
23	let me double-check that. That was actually the
24	declaration of Mr. Rukavina. No, 5:22 p.m. on the deadline,
25	the motion of the Defendant to extend the expert disclosure

1 and discovery deadlines was filed.

2 The legal authority that governs here is Rule 16(b). As 3 everyone has acknowledged, it provides that deadlines in 4 scheduling orders may be modified for good cause. I think the 5 standard does apply here. While I guess a lot of the cases 6 analyze it in terms of a request after a deadline has expired, 7 I think a motion on the day of the deadline at 5:22 p.m. is 8 going to be governed by Rule 16(b).

9 So, as the parties have argued to the Court, the Fifth 10 Circuit has specified four factors in guiding a decision in 11 this situation: the explanation for failure to timely move 12 for leave to amend; the importance of the amendment; potential 13 prejudice in allowing the amendment; and availability of a 14 continuance to cure such prejudice.

15 Here, as I think everyone readily acknowledges, these 16 Defendants have always asserted as a defense that the Debtor 17 dropped the ball, I think was one phrase used. That, in any 18 event, it was the fault of the Debtor that the Defendants did 19 default on the payment of these notes. I do not think the 20 sudden statement of Frank Waterhouse suddenly is a game-21 changer that creates some new need for an expert. So, 22 therefore, looking at the factors, I don't think the 23 explanation here to extend the deadlines has merit.

24 Moreover, as far as the importance of the amendment, 25 Factor No. 2, I think it is appropriate to look at the big

picture here a little bit, even though we're not in a Daubert situation, and look at what the expert is argued to be needed for. And I do not think an expert can testify about contractual duties and attempt to interpret its provisions. That is the job of the Court, and I think it is improper subject matter for an expert.

7 I don't buy into any notion that this is terribly unique 8 territory or exotic. I mean, it was a contract. Shared 9 services agreements are not all that unique, shall we say? 10 It's not a device that is used solely in the investment 11 advisor fund world. It's in the corporate world generally. 12 Courts see these in all kinds of cases. So, again, I don't 13 think contract interpretation needs an expert here or should 14 have an expert here.

And just because experts are sometimes -- often, I should say -- appropriate in legal malpractice or medical malpractice or other kinds of tort cases where duties might be needing of elaboration, here, the contract spells out the duties, and I just don't think any of those cases argued are applicable.

20 Prejudice, I do think there is potential prejudice in 21 allowing an extension of this deadline. It will be costly, 22 add a layer of expense and delay to this litigation, when I 23 don't think it would be admissible at trial ultimately.

24

So the motions are denied.

25

Ms. Winograd, could you please prepare a form of order?

It can be a simple form of order. Run it by opposing counsel 1 2 before you upload it, please. All right? 3 MS. WINOGRAD: Yes, Your Honor. 4 THE COURT: Thank you. We're adjourned. 5 MS. WINOGRAD: Thank you. THE CLERK: All rise. 6 7 (Proceedings concluded at 11:47 a.m.) 8 --000--9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 CERTIFICATE 21 I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the 22 above-entitled matter. 23 /s/ Kathy Rehling 12/13/2021 24 Kathy Rehling, CETD-444 Date 25 Certified Electronic Court Transcriber

37

Case 21	-03005-sgj Doc 148-3 Filed 01/05/22	Entered 01/05/22 18:18:43	Page 895 of 899
			38
1		INDEX	
1 2	PROCEEDINGS		4
3	WITNESSES		
4	-none-		
5	EXHIBITS		
6	-none-		
7	RULINGS		34
8	END OF PROCEEDINGS		37
9	INDEX		38
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23 24			
24			
20			



CLERK, U.S. BANKRUPTCY COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS

ENTERED THE DATE OF ENTRY IS ON THE COURT'S DOCKET

The following constitutes the ruling of the court and has the force and effect therein described.

Signed December 21, 2021

United States Bankruptcy Judge

IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS **DALLAS DIVISION**

In re:	§ § Chapter 11
HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P., ¹	§ § Case No. 19-34054-sgj11
Reorganized Debtor.	\$ \$
HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P.,	\$ \$
Plaintiff,	§ § Adversary Proceeding No.
vs.	§ 21-03005-sgj
NEXPOINT ADVISORS, L.P., JAMES DONDERO, NANCY DONDERO AND THE DUGABOY INVESTMENT TRUST,	8 § § §
Defendants. HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P.,	§ 8
Plaintiff,	8 § 8 Adversary Proceeding No.
VS.	§ 21-03006-sgj §

¹ The Reorganized Debtor's last four digits of its taxpayer identification number are (6725). The headquarters and service address for the above-captioned Reorganized Debtor is 100 Crescent Court, Suite 1850, Dallas, TX 75201.

HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT	ş	
SERVICES, INC., JAMES DONDERO, NANCY	§	
DONDERO, AND THE DUGABOY	§	
INVESTMENT TRUST,	§	
	§	
Defendants.	§	
HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P.,	§	
	Š	
Plaintiff,	§	Adversary Proceeding No.
	§	
VS.	§	21-03007-sgj
	§	
HCRE PARTNERS, LLC (N/K/A NEXPOINT	§	
REAL ESTATE PARTNERS, LLC), JAMES	§	
DONDERO, NANCY DONDERO, AND THE	§	
DUGABOY INVESTMENT TRUST,	§	
	§	
Defendants.	§	

ORDER DENYING MOTIONS TO EXTEND EXPERT DISCLOSURE AND DISCOVERY DEADLINES

This matter having come before the Court on the (a) *Motion of Defendant NexPoint Advisors, L.P. to Extend Expert Disclosures and Discovery Deadlines* [Adv. Proc. 21-3005, Docket No. 86] (the "NexPoint Motion") filed by NexPoint Advisors, L.P. ("NexPoint"); (b) *Defendant Highland Capital Management Services, Inc.'s Motion to Extend Expert Disclosure and Discovery Deadlines* [Adv. Proc. 21-3006, Docket No. 91] (the "<u>HCMS Motion</u>") filed by Highland Capital Management Services, Inc. ("<u>HCMS</u>"); and (c) *Defendant HCRE Partners, LLC's Motion to Extend Expert Disclosure and Discovery Deadlines* [Adv. Proc. 21-3007, Docket No. 86] (the "<u>HCRE</u> <u>Motion</u>," and collectively with the NexPoint Motion and the HCMS Motion, the "<u>Motions</u>") filed by HCRE Partners, LLC ("<u>HCRE</u>," and collectively with NexPoint and HCMS, "<u>Defendants</u>"); and this Court having considered (i) the Motions; (ii) *Highland's Objection to Motion of Defendant NexPoint Advisors, L.P. to Extend Expert Disclosure and Discovery Deadlines* [Adv. Proc. 21-3005, Docket No. 104; Adv. Proc. 21-3006, Docket No. 109; Adv. Proc. 21-3007, Docket No. 104] (the "Objection") filed by Highland Capital Management, L.P. ("Highland"); (iii) the (a) Reply of Defendant NexPoint Advisors, L.P. in Support of Motion to Extend Expert Disclosure and Discovery Deadlines [Adv. Proc. 21-3005, Docket No. 115] (the "<u>NexPoint Reply</u>") filed by NexPoint; and (b) Highland Capital Management Services, Inc. and HCRE partners, LLC's Reply in Support of Defendants' Motion to Extend Expert Disclosure and Discovery Deadlines [Adv. Proc. 21-3006, Docket No. 120, and Adv. Proc. 21-3007, Docket No. 115] (the "<u>HCRE and HCMS</u> <u>Replies</u>," and together with the NexPoint Reply, the "<u>Replies</u>") filed by HCRE and HCMS; and (iv) the arguments made during the hearing held on December 13, 2021 (the "<u>Hearing</u>"); and this Court having found that Defendants have not established "good cause" under Rule 16(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure for the relief requested in the Motions; and this Court having jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334; and this Court having found that venue of this proceeding and the Motions in this District is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409; and upon all of the proceedings had before this Court, and after due deliberation and sufficient cause appearing therefor, and for the reasons set forth during the Hearing on these Motions, **IT IS**

ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED THAT:

1. The Motions are **DENIED**.

2. This Court retains jurisdiction with respect to all matters arising from or related to the implementation, interpretation, and enforcement of this Order.

END OF ORDER

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that, on January 5, 2022, a true and correct copy of the foregoing document, including any exhibit(s) thereto, was served on the following recipients via the Court's CM/ECF system:

Case Admin Sup <u>txnb_appeals@txnb.uscourts.gov</u> Bryan Christopher Assink <u>bryan.assink@bondsellis.com</u> Clay M Taylor <u>clay.taylor@bondsellis.com</u> Daniel P Elms <u>elmsd@gtlaw.com, guerrak@gtlaw.com</u> Davor Rukavina <u>drukavina@munsch.com</u> Deborah Rose Deitsch-Perez <u>deborah.deitsch-perez@stinson.com, kinga.mccoy@stinson.com, patricia.tomasky@stinson.com</u> Douglas Draper <u>ddraper@hellerdraper.com, dhepting@hellerdraper.com, gbrouphy@hellerdraper.com, vgamble@hellerdraper.com</u>

Gregory V Demo <u>gdemo@pszjlaw.com</u>, <u>hwinograd@pszjlaw.com</u>, <u>jfried@pszjlaw.com</u>, <u>lsc@pszjlaw.com</u>

Jeffrey N Pomerantz jpomerantz@pszjlaw.com

John A Morris jmorris@pszjlaw.com, hwinograd@pszjlaw.com, lsc@pszjlaw.com

Julian Preston Vasek jvasek@munsch.com

Leslie A Collins <u>lcollins@hellerdraper.com</u>, <u>dhepting@hellerdraper.com</u>

Michael P Aigen <u>michael.aigen@stinson.com</u>, <u>stephanie.gratt@stinson.com</u>

Stacey G Jernigan <u>sgj settings@txnb.uscourts.gov</u>, <u>anna saucier@txnb.uscourts.gov</u>

Zachery Z. Annable <u>zannable@haywardfirm.com</u>, <u>zannable@franklinhayward.com</u>

<u>/s/ Davor Rukavina</u> Davor Rukavina