UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS (DALLAS)

IN RE: . Case No. 19-34054-11(SGJ)

HIGHLAND CAPITAL . Earle Cabell Federal Building

MANAGEMENT, L.P., . 1100 Commerce Street

Dallas, TX 75242-1496

Debtor. .

Adv. No. 20-03190(SGJ)

HIGHLAND CAPITAL, MANAGEMENT, L.P.,

Plaintiff,

•

v.

JAMES D. DONDERO,

Defendant. . May 18, 2021 9:37 a.m.

TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING ON RULING RESOLVING ADVERSARY PROCEEDING FILED BY PLAINTIFF HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P.

BEFORE HONORABLE STACEY G. JERNIGAN
UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT JUDGE

TELEPHONIC APPEARANCES:

For Highland Capital Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones LLP

Management: BY: JOHN MORRIS, ESQ.

780 3rd Avenue, 34th Floor

New York, NY 10017

For James Dondero: Bonds Ellis Eppich Schafer Jones LLP

By: JOHN WILSON, ESQ.

420 Thockmorton Street, Suite 1000

Fort Worth, TX 76102

(TELEPHONIC APPEARANCES CONTINUED ON NEXT PAGE.)

Audio Operator: Michael F. Edmond

Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording, transcript produced by a transcript service.

LIBERTY TRANSCRIPTS 7306 Danwood Drive Austin, Texas 78759

E-mail: DBPATEL1180@CM (847) 848-49



TELEPHONIC APPEARANCES (CONTINUED):

For Official Committee Sidley Austin, LLP of Unsecured Creditors: By: MATTHEW CLEMENT, ESQ.

One South Dearborn Chicago, IL 60603

WWW.LIBERTYTRANSCRIPTS.COM

2

1 maybe on a motion that was set. Who is appearing for I guess I 2 should say HCRE Partners and/or Wick Phillips? 3 MR. MORRIS: There may not be anybody here, Your 4 Honor. We filed -- I think we filed notice of cancellation of 5 the status conference. 6 THE COURT: Okay. 7 MR. MORRIS: And that's an item I was going to 8 address, but --9 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. 10 MR. MORRIS: -- that we have cancelled that conference (indiscernible). 12 THE COURT: All right. Well, let's -- I'll stop with 13 appearances at this point, and let me just say for the record 14 where we are this morning. 15 We did have four interim fee applications of 16 professionals for the Unsecured Creditors' Committee. We did not have any objections on those. I reviewed them in chambers and signed orders approving those on an interim basis yesterday 19 | evening. So we have no business to accomplish on those four 20 fee applications. The main event that I thought we had going today was 21 22∥ the final trial in the injunctive relief sought against Mr. Dondero in Adversary 20-03190. And much to my delight and surprise, I saw that at 8:38 this morning a proposed consensual 24

WWW.LIBERTYTRANSCRIPTS.COM

order resolving the adversary proceeding has been submitted.

So, Mr. Morris, do you want to start with that?
MR. MORRIS: I would, Your Honor. But just to make
sure the record is clear with respect to the Wick Phillips
matter. I believe at Docket 2321 we did specifically file a
notice of cancellation of today's status conference. I have
sought from Your Honor's clerk yesterday a trial date in the
matter, and I was able to back into that with Wick Phillips on
a proposed scheduling order, which if we haven't already done
so, we'll be uploading later today. And that's the reason for
the cancellation of that conference.
THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. And you've reminded
me. I remember late-ish yesterday afternoon getting that
communication and seeing the proposed, I think, October it's
an October trial setting you've agreed to with them?
MR. MORRIS: That's the date that we were told was
convenient for the Court.
THE COURT: Okay.

MR. MORRIS: But we had agreed to the balance of the

19 schedule.

THE COURT: Okay. Very good. So there's no business 21 to accomplish there. I'll be looking for that order to sign it 22 today -- the scheduling order, I should say.

All right. So now, again, turning to the main event, 24 Mr. Morris?

MR. MORRIS: Yes. Thank you, Your Honor. John

11

13

16

19

20

21

23

6

1 Morris, Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones. We were scheduled 2 today, as Your Honor pointed out, for a trial on the merits of 3 the debtor's claim for permanent injunctive relief. We did 4 file at Docket 180 this morning in the adversary proceeding 5 notice of a consensual proposed order that we'd like to present 6 today.

As Your Honor knows as well as anybody, this has been 8∥a very long road. You know, our only goal, the debtor's only goal as I tried to make clear was to be left alone, was to be free from threats and interference and related improper conduct. We're pleased that we've gotten to this point. I can't tell you that I know what's motivating Mr. Dondero. But I can tell you that from the debtor's perspective, that we believe that the evidence supporting the requested relief and 15 relief now obtained is overwhelming.

We've put forth substantial proposed findings of fact and conclusions of law, including 120-numbered paragraphs that cited to dozens of documentary exhibits and expensive trial testimony. And so we believe that on the strength of that evidence, we were able to come to this agreement, an agreement that we believe protects the debtor in the ways that the debtor believes it needs to be protected.

I'd like to just highlight some of the changes in the proposed order of what the debtor had originally sought in its proposed findings of fact and conclusions of law so that Your

1 Honor is familiar with, you know, the substantive changes that 2 have been made.

The first change, Your Honor, I'm just taking them in the order in which they appear, and I don't know if Your Honor has the blackline or the clean copy.

> THE COURT: I do.

3

4

5

6

7

8

11

12

13

15

19

21

MR. MORRIS: But the first change -- okay, the first change is that this is no longer a permanent injunction. an injunction that will stay in effect until the Court grants a motion by the debtor to close its Chapter 11 case. the first change.

The second change is that while we believe it was implicit in the earlier draft, this proposed order now expressly applies the debtor's successors including, as you can see, the Claimant Trust Oversight Board, the claimant trustee, the litigation trustee, which are defined as the protected entities, as well as the reorganized debtor. And I think that's the relief that we would have obtained had we gone to trial. But it is helpful that that is qualified in this 20 version of the order.

As we discussed at the docket call conference, there are no longer any shared services agreements between the debtor and any related entity and, therefore, there is now a blanket prohibition preventing Mr. Dondero from communicating with any person employed by the debtor or the reorganized debtor.

3

4

5

8

10

11

12

13

15

19

20

21

24

25

8

But there are new arrangements in place between the $2 \parallel$ debtor and some of the entities controlled by Mr. Dondero, and this order reflects that. Specifically, if we can go to the next page.

You'll see at the end of the second ordered 6 paragraph, Mr. Dondero is still enjoined from working with 7 entities or people under his control, but the exception now is no longer to shared services agreements. They're to a prior order of this Court and something that's known as the shared resources agreement because, you know, frankly, and this was a constructive part of the negotiations over the last 24 or 48 hours.

There are still ongoing communications between the 14 debtor's employees or certain of the debtor's employees and certain employees in Mr. Dondero's companies. And we need those communications to continue. So we wanted to make it clear that all of that is permitted except as it says at the very end of that paragraph, except for threats or acts of interference. Even if it's under the quise of the shared resources agreement, that kind of conduct is not acceptable.

Also, in footnote 3 there, we've also made it clear that communications between and among counsel are -- will not be a violation of this order, again, unless it violates (b) pertaining to threats or (d) pertaining to interference.

The last I think substantive change, which is

5

8 |

9

10

15

18

19

20

9

1 consistent with what we believe to be the law, is you'll see at 2 the bottom of the document we make it clear that the prior 3 preliminary injunction will be deemed dissolved and superseded by this order upon entry.

Those are really the substantive changes, Your Honor. $6 \parallel I$ think it actually does improve the document. I think it 7 provides some clarifications that are worth -- that were worth negotiating, and I do appreciate the Bonds Ellis firm's efforts in that regard.

A couple of other things, we have agreed, and I'd like Mr. Wilson to come on the record that upon entry of this order, Mr. Dondero will as soon as practicable withdraw with 13 prejudice his petition for a writ of mandamus that is pending in the Fifth Circuit. He will withdraw with prejudice his motion for a stay of these proceedings pending a determination on the petition for a writ of mandamus. And he will withdraw with prejudice his interlocutory appeal that's now pending in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Texas.

The debtor is pleased, as I said, to resolve this 21 particular proceeding. As Your Honor knows too well, this is just one aspect of a much larger landscape that we're dealing with here. And I just -- I need to emphasize that the debtor will always look to work cooperatively, but at all times, it is determined to continue to take all steps necessary to protect

1 its estate and the integrity of this bankruptcy process.

2

4

7

9

10

16

19

20

21

24

25

That's all I have, Your Honor, unless Your Honor has 3 any questions.

THE COURT: All right. Not yet. I want to hear from $5 \parallel Mr$. Wilson and see if I have any questions after I hear from 6 him.

Mr. Wilson, would you confirm these statements and 8 add anything you think needs to be added?

MR. WILSON: I apologize, Your Honor. I have a mouse problem. But, yes, I agree with -- largely with what Mr. Morris stated. It was actually upon the status conference 12∥ hearing when we heard that the relief that the debtor was 13 seeking would be narrowed that I suspected that we could 14 resolve this matter because, in truth, I've visited with my 15 client about this.

Mr. Dondero has no intention of threatening the debtor or interfering with their business and, therefore, does not -- is not concerned with these provisions in this injunction to that effect.

Our main concern was simply that the order provided enough clarity and also, you know, provided for the necessary transitioning things that needed to occur between Highland and the Dondero-related entities to complete the unwinding that is there.

And, of course, there's agreements in place, as

1 you've heard, and those agreements need to be carried out. And 2 so, therefore, we feel like we were able to achieve a working $3 \parallel \text{version}$ of the order that both sides could live with. And so we are -- we're pleased that we were able to reach this agreement.

And as to the point about the Fifth Circuit 7 proceedings, we have notified the Fifth Circuit this morning about the -- that we will be withdrawing the mandamus seeking its dismissal and the accompanying motions. And at the conclusion of the proceeding upon entry of this order, yes, we do intend to file those documents.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

15

18

19

21

24

All right. A follow-up question I have, I'm looking 14 at my computer screen, you cannot see what's on it, but what is on it is a draft so far 29-page ruling, order, and opinion on the motion for contempt that, as you know, I've had under advisement since March 24th. No one has said anything about whether this is an intention to resolve or withdraw that.

So can I assume that I should continue forward and 20 issue my ruling on this?

MR. MORRIS: The debtor would urge you to do so, Your This -- that was implicit in what I was trying to say is that this resolves solely the debtor's motion for permanent injunctive relief. The debtor hopes and expects that the Court will continue to work on and ultimately issue its ruling on its 1 motion for contempt.

2

3

4

5

7

11

15

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

THE COURT: All right.

Mr. Wilson, any misunderstanding on that part?

MR. WILSON: Your Honor, the motion for contempt was not discussed in our negotiations that were this final 6 objection.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. Well, then I expect to $8 \parallel$ get this done now that I have today freed up and tomorrow, 9 unless I have an emergencies I don't know about right now. 10∥ think I can get this out in the next few days.

No judge likes to keep things under advisement more than 60 days. You've probably heard that before. That's kind 13 of our internal deadline we give ourselves. So I guess Monday 14 would be May 24th. I'm going to try very hard to have this out to you by then and just so you know, if you've been wondering 16 where things stood on that,

My other question, I mean, this is just curiosity. So obviously, a big part of the injunction request had to do with the debtor's management of the CLOs and the debtor's liquidating certain assets in those CLOs and the alleged interference with Mr. Dondero. I mean I presume that part of what's going on outside of the Court is maybe a transition of that or no? Is that not something happening?

MR. MORRIS: That's not happening, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. I just wasn't sure where things

1 stood on that.

2

5

8

9

10

11

19

20

22

23

MR. MORRIS: And I just do want to make it clear 3 because now I recall that at 4 o'clock this morning I think I wrote somewhere that this settlement resolves the adversary proceeding. Obviously, the debtor does not intend and, by Mr. 6 Wilson's comments, I think he does not intend that the $7 \parallel$ adversary proceeding is going to be closed upon the entry of the order resolving the claim for injunctive relief.

I just don't want to have any argument later that somehow the resolution of this claim precludes the Court from issuing its ruling on the contempt motion. I think Mr. Wilson 12∥ has made that perfectly clear that it wasn't part of the 13∥discussions, and I just want to make sure and nip that in the 14 bud so that somebody doesn't make any argument at some future 15 point that because there's a statement in a title of a document $16\parallel$ that addresses the adversary proceeding, that the Court still has -- that the case -- that the adversary proceeding is not closed and that the Court has the full authority to render its ruling on the motion for contempt.

THE COURT: All right. Let me look at the order real 21 quick on that point.

(Pause)

THE COURT: And there is the final paragraph that: "Court retains exclusive jurisdiction with respect to all matters arising from or relating to the implementation,

	14
1	interpretation, and enforcement of this order." And the
2	paragraph before that, it's a resolution of the injunctive
3	relief and not any broader than that.
4	All right. Well, anything else anyone wants to put
5	on the record?
6	(No audible response)
7	THE COURT: No?
8	MR. MORRIS: Nothing from the debtor, Your Honor.
9	THE COURT: Mr. Wilson, anything?
10	MR. WILSON: No, Your Honor.
11	THE COURT: All right. Well, again, I appreciate
12	these good-faith efforts outside of the courtroom. And in
13	light of, you know, where things are today, it seems like, you
14	know, this is reasonable. So I will happily sign this order.
15	And I guess the next time I will see you is Friday morning at 9
16	o'clock Central Time, right, on the UBS compromise motion?
17	MR. MORRIS: Yes, Your Honor. That's the only thing
18	that's the next item scheduled.
19	THE COURT: All right. Well, thank you all. And I
20	hope we all have a good day doing other things than trying this
21	matter. And I'll see you Friday morning, okay.
22	(Proceedings concluded at 9:57 a.m.)
23	* * * *
24	
25	

<u>CERTIFICATION</u>

I, DIPTI PATEL, court approved transcriber, certify 3 that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the official 4 electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the above-5 entitled matter, and to the best of my ability.

7 /s/ Dipti Patel

8 DIPTI PATEL

10 LIBERTY TRANSCRIPTS DATE: May 21, 2021