

<p>K&L GATES LLP Artoush Varshosaz (TX Bar No. 24066234) 1717 Main Street, Suite 2800 Dallas, TX 75201 Tel: (214) 939-5659 artoush.varshosaz@klgates.com</p> <p>Stephen G. Topetzes (<i>pro hac vice</i>) 1601 K Street, NW Washington, DC 20006-1600 Tel: (202) 778-9328 stephen.topetzes@klgates.com</p> <p>A. Lee Hogewood, III (<i>pro hac vice</i>) 4350 Lassiter at North Hills Ave., Suite 300 Raleigh, NC 27609 Tel: (919) 743-7306 Lee.hogewood@klgates.com</p> <p><i>Counsel for Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors, L.P., NexPoint Advisors, L.P., Highland Income Fund, NexPoint Strategic Opportunities Fund, and NexPoint Capital, Inc.</i></p>	<p>Davor Rukavina, Esq. Texas Bar No. 24030781 Julian P. Vasek, Esq. Texas Bar No. 24070790 MUNSCH HARDT KOPF & HARR, P.C. 3800 Ross Tower 500 N. Akard Street Dallas, Texas 75202-2790 Telephone: (214) 855-7500 Facsimile: (214) 978-4375</p> <p><i>Counsel for Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors, L.P., NexPoint Advisors, L.P., Highland Income Fund, NexPoint Strategic Opportunities Fund, and NexPoint Capital, Inc.</i></p>
---	--

**IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
 DALLAS DIVISION**

In re:)	
)	Chapter 11
HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P.)	Case No. 19-34054 (SGJ11)
Debtors.)	(Jointly Administered)
)	
HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P.,)	
Plaintiff,)	
v.)	Adv. Pro. No. 21-03000 (SGJ11)
)	
HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT FUND)	
ADVISORS, L.P., NEXPOINT ADVISORS, L.P.,)	
HIGHLAND INCOME FUND, NEXPOINT)	
STRATEGIC OPPORTUNITIES FUND,)	
NEXPOINT CAPITAL, INC., AND CLO)	
HOLDCO, LTD,)	
Defendants.)	
)	



**WITNESS AND EXHIBIT LIST OF HIGHLAND CAPITAL
MANAGEMENT FUND ADVISORS, L.P., NEXPOINT ADVISORS, L.P.,
HIGHLAND INCOME FUND, NEXPOINT STRATEGIC
OPPORTUNITIES FUND, AND NEXPOINT CAPITAL, INC.**

Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors, L.P. and NexPoint Advisors, L.P. (together, the “**Advisors**”), and Highland Income Fund, NexPoint Strategic Opportunities Fund, and NexPoint Capital, Inc. (together, the “**Funds**”), hereby file this Witness and Exhibit List for the hearing to consider the *Plaintiff’s Emergency Motion for a Temporary Restraining Order and Preliminary Injunction against Certain Entities Owned and/or Controlled by Mr. James Dondero* [Docket No. 9], which is currently scheduled for January 13, 2021 at 9:30 a.m. (Central Time) in the above-captioned adversary proceeding.

I. Documents that the Advisors and Funds May Use as Exhibits:

Exhibit No.	Description	Offered	Objection	Admitted by Agreement	Admitted
1.	Exhibits listed in the Debtor’s Witness and Exhibit List [Docket No. 13]				
2.	December 28, 2020 Letter from K&L Gates to Pachulski				
3.	CLOs Review [Case No. 19-34054, Docket No. 1670-1]				

The Funds and Advisors reserve the right to supplement this Exhibit List, and reserve the right to rely upon any Exhibits included on the Witness and Exhibit List filed on behalf of CLO Holdco Ltd.

II. Witnesses that the Funds and Advisors May Call to Testify:

1. The Witnesses identified in the Debtor's Witness and Exhibit List [Docket No. 13].

The Funds and Advisors reserve the right to supplement this Witness List, and reserve the right to call any Witnesses included on the Witness and Exhibit List filed on behalf of CLO Holdco Ltd.

Dated: January 10, 2021

MUNSCH HARDT KOPF & HARR, P.C.

By: /s/ Davor Rukavina
Davor Rukavina, Esq.
Texas Bar No. 24030781
Julian P. Vasek, Esq.
Texas Bar No. 24070790
3800 Ross Tower
500 N. Akard Street
Dallas, Texas 75201-6659
Telephone: (214) 855-7500
Facsimile: (214) 855-7584
Email: drukavina@munsch.com

- and -

K&L GATES LLP

Artoush Varshosaz (TX Bar No. 24066234)
1717 Main Street, Suite 2800
Dallas, TX 75201
Tel: (214) 939-5659
artoush.varshosaz@klgates.com

Stephen G. Topetzes (*pro hac vice*)
1601 K Street, NW
Washington, DC 20006-1600
Tel: (202) 778-9328
stephen.topetzes@klgates.com

A. Lee Hogewood, III (*pro hac vice*)
4350 Lassiter at North Hills Ave., Suite 300
Raleigh, NC 27609
Tel: (919) 743-7306
Lee.hogewood@klgates.com

*Counsel for Highland Capital Management
Fund Advisors, L.P., NexPoint Advisors, L.P.,
Highland Income Fund, NexPoint Strategic
Opportunities Fund, and NexPoint Capital, Inc.*

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on January 10, 2021, I caused the foregoing document to be served via electronic email through the Court's CM/ECF system to the parties that have requested or consented to such service.

/s/ Davor Rukavina
Davor Rukavina

PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP
Jeffrey N. Pomerantz (CA Bar No.143717) (*admitted pro hac vice*)
Ira D. Kharasch (CA Bar No. 109084) (*admitted pro hac vice*)
John A. Morris (NY Bar No. 2405397) (*admitted pro hac vice*)
Gregory V. Demo (NY Bar No. 5371992) (*admitted pro hac vice*)
Hayley R. Winograd (NY Bar No. 5612569) (*admitted pro hac vice*)
10100 Santa Monica Blvd., 13th Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90067
Telephone: (310) 277-6910
Facsimile: (310) 201-0760

HAYWARD PLLC
Melissa S. Hayward
Texas Bar No. 24044908
MHayward@HaywardFirm.com
Zachery Z. Annable
Texas Bar No. 24053075
ZAnnable@HaywardFirm.com
10501 N. Central Expy, Ste. 106
Dallas, Texas 75231
Tel: (972) 755-7100
Fax: (972) 755-7110

Counsel for Highland Capital Management, L.P.

**IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
DALLAS DIVISION**

In re:	§	
	§	Chapter 11
HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P., ¹	§	
Debtor.	§	Case No. 19-34054-sgj11
	§	
HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT, L.P.,	§	
	§	
Plaintiff,	§	Adversary Proceeding
	§	
vs.	§	No. 21-03000-sgj11
	§	
HIGHLAND CAPITAL MANAGEMENT FUND	§	
ADVISORS, L.P., NEXPOINT ADVISORS, L.P.,	§	
HIGHLAND INCOME FUND, NEXPOINT	§	

¹ The Debtor's last four digits of its taxpayer identification number are (6725). The headquarters and service address for the above-captioned Debtor is 300 Crescent Court, Suite 700, Dallas, TX 75201.

 STRATEGIC OPPORTUNITIES FUND, §
 NEXPOINT CAPITAL, INC., AND CLO §
 HOLDCO, LTD, §
 §
 §
 Defendants. §

**DEBTOR’S WITNESS AND EXHIBIT LIST WITH RESPECT TO
 EVIDENTIARY HEARING TO BE HELD ON JANUARY 13, 2021**

Highland Capital Management, L.P. (the “Debtor”) submits the following witness and exhibit list with respect to *Plaintiff’s Emergency Motion for a Temporary Restraining Order and Preliminary Injunction against Certain Entities Owned and/or Controlled by Mr. James Dondero* [Docket No. 9] which the Court has set for hearing at 9:30 a.m. (Central Time) on January 13, 2021 (the “Hearing”) in the above-styled adversary proceeding (the “Adversary Proceeding”).

A. Witnesses:

1. James Dondero
2. Any witness identified by or called by any other party; and
3. Any witness necessary for rebuttal.

B. Exhibits:

Letter	Exhibit	Offered	Admitted
A.	Motion for Order Imposing Temporary Restrictions on Debtor’s Ability, as Portfolio Manager, to Initiate Sales by Non-Debtor CLO Vehicles [Docket No. 1528]		
B.	Transcript of 12/16/20 Hearing		
C.	Order Denying Motion for Order Imposing Temporary Restrictions on Debtor’s Ability, as Portfolio Manager, to Initiate Sales by Non-Debtor CLO Vehicles [dkt 1605]		
D.	Email from James Romey dated September 29, 2020		
E.	Structural and Steel Products UCC Presentation dated September 29, 2020		

Letter	Exhibit	Offered	Admitted
F.	Aberdeen Loan Funding Offering Memorandum dated as of March 27, 2008		
G.	Aberdeen Loan Funding Indenture dated as of March 27, 2008		
H.	Aberdeen Loan Funding Supplemental Indenture No. 1 dated as of March 27, 2008		
I.	Aberdeen Loan Funding Preference Shares Paying Agency Agreement dated as of March 27, 2008		
J.	Aberdeen Loan Funding Servicing Agreement dated as of March 27, 2008		
K.	Brentwood CLO Offering Memorandum dated as of December 18, 2006		
L.	Brentwood CLO Indenture dated as of December 21, 2006		
M.	Brentwood CLO Preference Shares Paying Agency Agreement Dated as of December 21, 2006		
N.	Brentwood CLO Servicing Agreement dated as of December 21, 2006		
O.	Eastland CLO Offering Memorandum dated as of March 13, 2007		
P.	Eastland CLO Indenture dated as of March 13, 2007		
Q.	Eastland CLO Preference Shares Paying Agency Agreement Dated as of March 13 2007		
R.	Eastland CLO Servicing Agreement dated as of March 13, 2007		
S.	Gleneagles CLO Offering Memorandum dated as of October 7, 2005		
T.	Gleneagles CLO Indenture dated as of October 13, 2005		
U.	Gleneagles CLO Portfolio Management Agreement dated as of October 13, 2005		

Letter	Exhibit	Offered	Admitted
V.	Gleneagles CLO Preference Shares Paying Agency Agreement Dated as of October 13, 2005		
W.	Grayson CLO Offering Memorandum dated as of November 28, 2006		
X.	Grayson CLO Indenture dated as of November 30, 2006		
Y.	Grayson CLO Preference Shares Paying Agency Agreement dated as of November 30, 2006		
Z.	Grayson CLO Servicing Agreement dated as of November 30, 2006		
AA.	Grayson CLO Amendment No. 1 to Servicing Agreement dated as of October 2, 2007		
BB.	Greenbriar CLO Offering Memorandum dated as of December 18, 2007		
CC.	Greenbriar CLO Indenture dated as of December 20, 2007		
DD.	Greenbriar CLO Preference Shares Paying Agency Agreement Dated as of December 20, 2007		
EE.	Greenbriar CLO Servicing Agreement dated as of December 20, 2007		
FF.	Jasper CLO Offering Memorandum dated as of June 27, 2005		
GG.	Jasper CLO Amended and Restated Portfolio Management Agreement dated as of November 30, 2005		
HH.	Jasper CLO Indenture dated as of June 29, 2005		
II.	Jasper CLO Preference Shares Paying Agency Agreement dated as of June 29, 2005		
JJ.	Liberty CLO Offering Memorandum dated as of December 7, 2005		
KK.	Liberty CLO Indenture dated as of December 8, 2005		

Letter	Exhibit	Offered	Admitted
LL.	Liberty CLO Class E Certificate Paying Agency Agreement dated as of December 8, 2005		
MM.	Liberty CLO Portfolio Management Agreement dated as of December 8, 2005		
NN.	Red River CLO Offering Memorandum dated as of July 31, 2006		
OO.	Red River CLO Indenture dated as of August 3, 2006		
PP.	Red River CLO Amendment No. 1 to Indenture dated as of October 2, 2007		
QQ.	Red River CLO Preference Shares Paying Agency Agreement dated as of August 3, 2006		
RR.	Red River CLO Servicing Agreement dated as of August 3, 2006		
SS.	Red River CLO Amendment No. 1 to Servicing Agreement dated as of October 2, 2007		
TT.	Rockwall CLO Offering Circular (Notes) dated May 8, 2006		
UU.	Rockwall CLO Offering Circular (Preferred Share) dated May 8, 2006		
VV.	Rockwall CLO Indenture dated as of May 10, 2006		
WW.	Rockwall CLO Amendment No. 1 to Indenture dated as of October 2, 2007		
XX.	Rockwall CLO Preference Shares Paying and Agency Agreement dated as of May 10, 2006		
YY.	Rockwall CLO Servicing Agreement dated as of May 10, 2006		
ZZ.	Rockwall CLO Amendment No. 1 to Servicing Agreement dated as of October 2, 2007		
AAA.	Rockwall CLO II Offering Circular (Notes) dated May 8, 2007		

Letter	Exhibit	Offered	Admitted
BBB.	Rockwall CLO II Offering Circular (Preferred Share) dated May 8, 2007		
CCC.	Rockwall CLO II Indenture dated as of May 9, 2007		
DDD.	Rockwall CLO II Preference Shares Paying and Agency Agreement dated as of May 9, 2007		
EEE.	Rockwall CLO II Servicing Agreement dated as of May 9, 2007		
FFF.	Southfork CLO Offering Memorandum dated as of March 9, 2005		
GGG.	Southfork CLO Indenture dated as of March 15, 2005		
HHH.	Southfork CLO Portfolio Management Agreement dated as of March 15, 2005		
III.	Southfork CLO Preference Shares Paying Agency Agreement dated as of March 15, 2005		
JJJ.	Stratford CLO Offering Memorandum dated as of October 22, 2007		
KKK.	Stratford CLO Indenture dated as of October 25, 2007		
LLL.	Stratford CLO Preference Shares Paying and Agency Agreement dated as of October 25, 2007		
MMM.	Stratford CLO Servicing Agreement dated as of October 25, 2007		
NNN.	Valhalla CLO Offering Circular dated as of August 17, 2004		
OOO.	Valhalla CLO Indenture dated as of August 18, 2004		
PPP.	Valhalla CLO Supplemental Indenture dated as of July 25, 2016		
QQQ.	Valhalla CLO Reference Portfolio Management Agreement dated as of August 1, 2016		

Letter	Exhibit	Offered	Admitted
RRR.	Westchester CLO Offering Memorandum dated as of May 30, 2007		
SSS.	Westchester CLO Indenture dated as of May 31, 2007		
TTT.	Westchester CLO Preference Shares Paying Agency Agreement dated as of May 31, 2007		
UUU.	Westchester CLO Servicing Agreement dated as of May 31, 2007		
VVV.	NexPoint Strategic Opportunities Fund, Form N-2 Registration Statement, filed August 27, 2019		
WWW.	NexPoint Strategic Opportunities Fund, Form DEF-14A Proxy Statement, filed July 10, 2020		
XXX.	NexPoint Capital, Inc., Form 497 Prospectus Supplement, filed March 14, 2018		
YYY.	NexPoint Capital, Inc. Form DEF-14A Proxy Statement, filed April 22, 2020		
ZZZ.	Highland Income Fund, Form 497 Prospectus Supplement, filed July 29, 2019		
AAAA.	Highland Income Fund, Form DEF-14A Proxy Statement, filed April 22, 2020		
BBBB.	Written Consent of the General Partner of Highland Capital Management, L.P., Effective September 21, 2020		
CCCC.	List of Board Memberships		
DDDD.	Response to K&L Gates LLP dated December 22, 2020 [Dondero Deposition Exhibit 12]		
EEEE.	Response to K&L Gates LLP dated December 23, 2020 [Dondero Deposition Exhibit 13]		
FFFF.	Letter from K&L Gates to J. Pomerantz dated December 31, 2020		
GGGG.	Response to Letter from K&L Gates to J. Pomerantz dated December 31, 2020		

Letter	Exhibit	Offered	Admitted
HHHH.	Any document entered or filed in the Adversary Proceeding, including any exhibits thereto		
III.	Any document entered or filed in the Debtor's chapter 11 bankruptcy case, including any exhibits thereto		
JJJ.	All exhibits necessary for impeachment and/or rebuttal purposes		
KKKK.	All exhibits identified by or offered by any other party at the Hearing		

Dated: January 9, 2021.

PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP

Jeffrey N. Pomerantz (CA Bar No.143717)
Ira D. Kharasch (CA Bar No. 109084)
John A. Morris (NY Bar No. 2405397)
Gregory V. Demo (NY Bar 5371992)
Hayley R. Winograd (NY Bar No. 5612569)
10100 Santa Monica Blvd., 13th Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90067
Telephone: (310) 277-6910
Facsimile: (310) 201-0760
E-mail: jpomerantz@pszjlaw.com
ikharasch@pszjlaw.com
jmorris@pszjlaw.com
gdemo@pszjlaw.com

-and-

HAYWARD PLLC

/s/ Zachery Z. Annable
Melissa S. Hayward
Texas Bar No. 24044908
MHayward@HaywardFirm.com
Zachery Z. Annable
Texas Bar No. 24053075
ZAnnable@HaywardFirm.com
10501 N. Central Expy, Ste. 106
Dallas, Texas 75231
Tel: (972) 755-7100
Fax: (972) 755-7110

Counsel for Highland Capital Management, L.P.

The logo for K&L GATES, featuring the text "K&L GATES" in white, uppercase letters on a dark blue rectangular background.

December 28, 2020

A. Lee Hogewood, III
Lee.hogewood@klgates.com

T: 1-919-743-7306

Via Email

Gregory V. Demo
Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones, LLP
10100 Santa Monica Blvd., 13th Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90067

Dear Counsel:

Thank you for your letters of December 24, 2020, demanding a reply by the afternoon of the 28th. To cut to the chase, we decline to withdraw the letters of December 22 and 23, 2020. The letter dated December 22, 2020 was a request from counsel for the Funds and Advisors, as well as Holdco, to you as counsel for the Debtor, asking that the Debtor cease further trading in property you have acknowledged is not an asset of the Debtor's estate. The request is continuing. The letter dated December 23, 2020 was notification from counsel for the Funds and Advisors, as well as Holdco, to you as counsel for the Debtors that the process to remove the Debtor as manager of certain funds would be initiated, *subject to* applicable orders in the pending bankruptcy case, provisions of the Bankruptcy Code and, specifically the automatic stay.

Neither letter was presented to, or constituted a request for relief from, any court. Thus, your threat to seek sanctions under Rule 9011 would not seem to be actionable or otherwise warranted by existing law. That said, if you believe there is authority for seeking 9011 sanctions against a party or a lawyer based upon either a request or a notification exclusively between counsel, please provide and we will certainly consider it. I would add that the demand to respond within a single business day, over an intervening holiday, is not in compliance with Rule 9011 in any event. Given that the rule is inapplicable, the procedural infirmity of your demand is immaterial.

Substantively, please consider the following:

December 28, 2020

Page 2

First, there is no confusion on the part of our Firm or our client that our motion was denied. Thus, the Debtor is not prohibited from engaging in sales of CLO assets. Because the Debtor is free to do so, however, does not mean that the Debtor must engage in such transactions. The Debtor has acknowledged that the assets it has sold and may sell are expressly not property of the estate. Thus, any benefits of such transactions to the estate are not evident. On the other hand, the parties holding a majority of the beneficial interests in the assets have requested, and continue to request, that the Debtor refrain from selling those assets for a short time. What is the harm in refraining?

Second, in order to pursue the trades over the last several days, the Debtor has initiated the trades, as we understand it, by giving instructions to a trading desk other than Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors ("HCMFA"). The Debtor has demanded that employees of HCMFA "book" or "settle" the trades. Having not initiated the trades and with the trades executed outside of compliance protocols including HCMLP's order management system, HCMFA employees have been reluctant to do so because, among other reasons, they did not initiate them and cannot be sure such trades were properly pre-cleared. The Debtor presently has adequate staff and resources to process and settle trades without requiring involvement of HCMFA employees. In short, if the Debtor wishes to make trades, it has the ability to make them without HCMFA's assistance. If the Debtor desires or requires the continued support of HCMFA to make such trades, we should discuss an appropriate protocol and payment for such support.

Third, the Debtor's view that the historic affiliate relationship between it, the Funds, the Advisors and Holdco precludes those entities from replacing management is misplaced. While Mr. Dondero was never a control person of Holdco, we acknowledge he was once a control person in connection with many of the relevant entities. There is no doubt that Mr. Dondero no longer has control over the activities of the Debtor as fund manager, and thus the affiliate status that might have precluded the Funds and Advisors from seeking the removal and replacement of the fund manager no longer exists. Indeed, in the transcript of the hearing of December 16, at which the Court denied my clients' motion, Debtor's counsel made crystal clear that the Debtor's board had no interest in speaking with Mr. Dondero and further that Mr. Seery viewed discussions with Mr. Dondero as "a waste of time." Once Mr. Dondero ceased to be a control person or employee of the Debtor, any affiliate status between the Debtor on the one hand and the Advisors and the Funds on the other also terminated. This termination was effective pursuant to both Investment Company Act of 1940 (the "1940 Act") and the Indentures governing the CLOs. Having reviewed these facts with the 1940 Act experts in our Firm, we are confident that affiliate status is no longer an impediment to removal.

In view of the foregoing, I suggest that the parties could benefit from a call this week to discuss our competing communications and perhaps broader questions as well. Please let me know your availability over the next few days and I will work to coordinate a call.

Warm regards,

A. Lee Hogewood, III

A. Lee Hogewood, III

December 28, 2020

Page 3

Cc: (via email)

Jeffrey N. Pomerantz

Ira D. Kharasch

John A. Morris

Hayley R. Winograd

John J. Kane

George Zornado

R. Charles Miller

Highland Capital Management Fund Advisors, L.P.**CLOs Review**

CLO	Enforcement Rights	Obligation Regarding Collateral	Removal Rights	Requisite Threshold For Removal Rights
Aberdeen Loan Funding, Ltd.	Requisite percentage of Preference Shares Holders may enforce obligations under Servicing Agreement of Servicer, as provided in the Indenture or Preference Shares Paying Agency Agreement. SA § 9.	The Servicer must seek to preserve the value of the Collateral for the benefit of the securities holders. SA § 2(b).	No removal without cause. Removal for cause permitted by Majority of Voting Preference Shares Holders directing the Trustee, upon 10 days' notice. SA § 14. For cause removal may be effected in connection with the Servicer breaching the servicing agreement by not preserving the value of the Collateral. SA § 2(b).	Majority of Voting Preference Share Holders. SA § 14.
Brentwood CLO, Ltd.	Requisite percentage of Preference Shares Holders may enforce obligations under Servicing Agreement of Servicer, as provided in the Indenture or Preference Share Paying Agency Agreement. SA § 9.	The Servicer must seek to preserve the value of the Collateral for the benefit of the securities holders. SA § 2(b).	No removal without cause. Removal for cause permitted by Majority of Voting Preference Shares Holders directing the Issuer, upon 10 days' notice. SA § 14. For cause removal may be effected in connection with the Servicer breaching the servicing agreement by not preserving the value of the Collateral. SA § 2(b).	Majority of Voting Preference Share Holders. SA § 14.

CLO	Enforcement Rights	Obligation Regarding Collateral	Removal Rights	Requisite Threshold For Removal Rights
Eastland CLO, Ltd.	Requisite percentage of Preference Shares Holders may enforce obligations under Servicing Agreement of Servicer, as provided in the Indenture or Preference Share Paying Agency Agreement. SA § 9.	The Servicer must seek to preserve the value of the Collateral for the benefit of the securities holders. SA § 2(b).	No removal without cause. Removal for cause permitted by Majority of Voting Preference Shares Holders directing the Issuer, upon 10 days' notice. SA § 14. For cause removal may be effected in connection with the Servicer breaching the servicing agreement by not preserving the value of the Collateral. SA § 2(b).	Majority of Voting Preference Share Holders. SA § 14.
Gleneagles CLO, Ltd.	Requisite percentage of Preference Shares Holders may enforce obligations under Portfolio Management Agreement of Portfolio Manager, as provided in the Indenture or Preference Share Paying Agency Agreement. PMA § 9.	The Portfolio Manager must seek to maximize the value of the Collateral for the benefit of the Preference Shares holders. PMA § 2(b).	Removal without cause permitted by 66 2/3% of Preference Shares Holders (excluding Preference Shares held by the Portfolio Manager and affiliates, or for which they have discretionary voting authority) directing the Issuer, upon 90 days' notice. PMA § 12(c). The Portfolio Manager may avoid removal by purchasing all Preference Shares voting for removal (and Preference Shares not voting for removal but seeking to sell) at the Buy-out Amount (i.e., 12% IRR since the Closing Date). PMA § 12(c). For cause removal may be effected in connection with the Portfolio Manager	66 2/3% of Preference Shares Holders. PMA § 12(c).

CLO	Enforcement Rights	Obligation Regarding Collateral	Removal Rights	Requisite Threshold For Removal Rights
			breaching the portfolio management agreement by not maximizing the value of the Collateral. PMA § 2(b).	
Grayson CLO, Ltd.	Requisite percentage of Preference Shares Holders may enforce obligations under Servicing Agreement of Servicer, as provided in the Indenture or Preference Share Paying Agency Agreement. SA § 9.	The Servicer must seek to preserve the value of the Collateral for the benefit of the securities holders. SA § 2(b).	No removal without cause. Removal for cause permitted by Majority of Voting Preference Shares Holders directing the Issuer, upon 10 days' notice. SA § 14. For cause removal may be effected in connection with the Servicer breaching the servicing agreement by not preserving the value of the Collateral. SA § 2(b).	Majority of Voting Preference Share Holders. SA § 14.
Greenbriar CLO, Ltd.	Requisite percentage of Preference Shares Holders may enforce obligations under Servicing Agreement of Servicer, as provided in the Indenture. SA § 9. The Indenture references a Preference Shares Paying Agency Agreement. Indenture § 1.1 (Definitions-- Preference Share Documents).	The Servicer must seek to preserve the value of the Collateral for the benefit of the securities holders. SA § 2(b).	No removal without cause. Removal for cause permitted by Majority of Voting Preference Shares Holders directing the Trustee, upon 10 days' notice. SA § 14. For cause removal may be effected in connection with the Servicer breaching the servicing agreement by not preserving the value of the Collateral. SA § 2(b).	Majority of Voting Preference Share Holders. SA § 14.

CLO	Enforcement Rights	Obligation Regarding Collateral	Removal Rights	Requisite Threshold For Removal Rights
Jasper CLO, Ltd.	Requisite percentage of Preference Shares Holders may enforce obligations under Portfolio Management Agreement of Portfolio Manager, as provided in the Indenture or Preference Share Paying Agency Agreement. PMA § 9.	The Portfolio Manager must seek to maximize the value of the Collateral for the benefit of the Preference Shares holders. PMA § 2(b).	<p>Removal without cause permitted by 66 2/3% of Preference Shares Holders (excluding Preference Shares held by the Portfolio Manager and affiliates, or for which they have discretionary voting authority) directing the Issuer, upon 90 days' notice. PMA § 12(a).</p> <p>The Portfolio Manager may avoid removal by purchasing all Preference Shares voting for removal (and Preference Shares not voting for removal but seeking to sell) at the Buy-out Amount (i.e., 15% IRR since the Closing Date). PMA § 12(a).</p> <p>For cause removal may be effected in connection with the Portfolio Manager breaching the portfolio management agreement by not maximizing the value of the Collateral. PMA § 2(b).</p>	66 2/3% of Preference Shares Holders. PMA § 12(a).
Liberty CLO, Ltd.	Requisite percentage of Class E Certificates Holders may enforce obligations under Portfolio Management Agreement of Portfolio Manager, as provided in the Indenture or Class E	The Portfolio Manager must seek to maximize the value of the Collateral for the benefit of the Class E Certificates holders. PMA § 2(b).	Removal without cause permitted by 66 2/3% of Class E Certificates Holders (excluding Class E Certificates held by the Portfolio Manager and affiliates, or for which they have discretionary voting authority) directing the Issuer, upon 90 days' notice. PMA § 12(c).	66 2/3% of Class E Certificates Holders. PMA § 12(c).

CLO	Enforcement Rights	Obligation Regarding Collateral	Removal Rights	Requisite Threshold For Removal Rights
	<p>Certificates Paying Agency Agreement. PMA § 9.</p>		<p>The Portfolio Manager may avoid removal by purchasing all Class E Certificates voting for removal (and Class E Certificates not voting for removal but seeking to sell) at the Buy-out Amount (i.e., 12% IRR since the Closing Date). PMA § 12(c).</p> <p>For cause removal may be effected in connection with the Portfolio Manager breaching the portfolio management agreement by not maximizing the value of the Collateral. PMA § 2(b).</p>	
<p>Red River CLO, Ltd.</p>	<p>Requisite percentage of Preference Shares Holders may enforce obligations under Servicing Agreement of Servicer, as provided in the Indenture or Preference Share Paying Agency Agreement. SA § 9.</p>	<p>The Servicer must seek to preserve the value of the Collateral for the benefit of the securities holders. SA § 2(b).</p>	<p>No removal without cause. Removal for cause permitted by Majority of Voting Preference Shares Holders directing the Issuer, upon 10 days' notice. SA § 14. For cause removal may be effected in connection with the Servicer breaching the servicing agreement by not preserving the value of the Collateral. SA § 2(b).</p>	<p>Majority of Voting Preference Share Holders. SA § 14.</p>

CLO	Enforcement Rights	Obligation Regarding Collateral	Removal Rights	Requisite Threshold For Removal Rights
Rockwall CDO Ltd.	Requisite percentage of Preferred Shares Holders may enforce obligations under Servicing Agreement of Servicer, as provided in the Indenture. SA § 9.	The Servicer must seek to preserve the value of the Collateral for the benefit of the securities holders. SA § 2(b).	No removal without cause. Removal for cause permitted by 66 2/3% of Preferred Shares Holders (excluding Preferred Shares held by the Servicer and affiliates, or for which they have discretionary voting authority, but HFP may vote Preferred Shares it owns up to the Original HFP Share Amount) directing the Issuer, upon 10 days' notice. SA § 14. For cause removal may be effected in connection with the Servicer breaching the servicing agreement by not preserving the value of the Collateral. SA § 2(b).	66 2/3% of Voting Preference Share Holders. SA § 14.
Rockwall CDO II Ltd.	Requisite percentage of Preferred Shares Holders may enforce obligations under Servicing Agreement of Servicer, as provided in the Indenture. SA § 9.	The Servicer must seek to preserve the value of the Collateral for the benefit of the securities holders. SA § 2(b).	No removal without cause. Removal for cause permitted by 66 2/3% of Preferred Shares Holders (excluding Preferred Shares held by the Servicer and affiliates, or for which they have discretionary voting authority, but HFP may vote Preferred Shares it owns up to the Original HFP Share Amount) directing the Issuer, upon 10 days' notice. SA § 14. For cause removal may be effected in connection with the Servicer breaching the servicing agreement by not preserving the value of the Collateral. SA § 2(b).	66 2/3% of Voting Preference Share Holders. SA § 14.

CLO	Enforcement Rights	Obligation Regarding Collateral	Removal Rights	Requisite Threshold For Removal Rights
Southfork CLO, Ltd.	Requisite percentage of Preference Shares Holders may enforce obligations under Portfolio Management Agreement of Portfolio Manager, as provided in the Indenture or Preference Share Paying Agency Agreement. PMA § 9.	The Portfolio Manager must seek to maximize the value of the Collateral for the benefit of the Preference Shares holders. PMA § 2(b).	<p>Removal without cause permitted by 63% of Preference Shares Holders (excluding Preference Shares held by the Portfolio Manager and affiliates, or for which they have discretionary voting authority) directing the Issuer, upon 90 days' notice. PMA § 12(c).</p> <p>The Portfolio Manager may avoid removal by purchasing all Preference Shares voting for removal (and Preference Shares not voting for removal but seeking to sell) at the Buy-out Amount (i.e., 12% IRR since the Closing Date). PMA § 12(c).</p> <p>For cause removal may be effected upon the Portfolio Manager authorizing or filing a voluntary petition in connection with the Portfolio Manager breaching the portfolio management agreement by not maximizing the value of the Collateral. PMA § 2(b).</p>	63% of Preference Shares Holders. PMA § 12(c).
Stratford CLO Ltd.	Requisite percentage of Preference Shares Holders may enforce obligations under Servicing Agreement of	The Servicer must seek to preserve the value of the Collateral for the benefit of the securities holders. SA § 2(b).	No removal without cause. Removal for cause permitted by 66 2/3% of Preference Shares Holders (excluding Preference Shares held by the Servicer	66 2/3% of Preference Shares Holders. SA § 14.

CLO	Enforcement Rights	Obligation Regarding Collateral	Removal Rights	Requisite Threshold For Removal Rights
	Servicer, as provided in the Indenture. SA § 9. The Indenture references a Preference Shares Paying Agency Agreement. Indenture § 1.1 (Definitions-- Preference Share Documents).		and affiliates, or for which they have discretionary voting authority, but HFP may vote Preference Shares it owns up to the Original HFP Share Amount) directing the Issuer, upon 10 days' notice. SA § 14. For cause removal may be effected in connection with the Servicer breaching the servicing agreement by not preserving the value of the Collateral. SA § 2(b).	
Valhalla CLO, Ltd.	[No Preference Shares or Class E Certificates.]	[No Preference Shares or Class E Certificates.]	[No Preference Shares or Class E Certificates.]	
Westchester CLO, Ltd.	Requisite percentage of Preference Shares Holders may enforce obligations under Servicing Agreement of Servicer, as provided in the Indenture or Preference Share Paying Agency Agreement. SA § 9.	The Servicer must seek to preserve the value of the Collateral for the benefit of the securities holders. SA § 2(b).	No removal without cause. Removal for cause permitted by Majority of Voting Preference Shares Holders directing the Issuer, upon 10 days' notice. SA § 14. For cause removal may be effected in connection with the Servicer breaching the servicing agreement by not preserving the value of the Collateral. SA § 2(b).	Majority of Voting Preference Share Holders. SA § 14.