Case 25-10606-LSS Doc 71 Filed 0//21/25 Page 1 of 16 Docket #0071 Date Filed: 04/21/2025

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

. Chapter 11

IN RE:

•

LEISURE INVESTMENTS

HOLDINGS, LLC, et al,

. 824 Market Street

. Wilmington, Delaware 19801

Case No. 25-10606(LSS)

Debtors. .

. Tuesday, April 15, 2025

TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING RE:

EMERGENCY MOTION FOR ORDERS (I) AUTHORIZING THE DEBTORS TO PAY CERTAIN PRE-PETITION WAGES, SALARIES, AND OTHER ACCRUED COMPENSATION AND BENEFITS ASSOCIATED WITH THEIR INITIAL PAYROLL AND (II) AUTHORIZING AND DIRECTING APPLICABLE BANKS AND OTHER FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS TO PROCESS AND PAY ALL CHECKS PRESENTED FOR PAYMENT AND TO HONOR ALL FUNDS TRANSFER REQUESTS MADE BY THE DEBTORS RELATING TO THE FOREGOING [Docket No. 52]

BEFORE THE HONORABLE LAURIE SELBER SILVERSTEIN UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE

APPEARANCES:

For the Debtors: Sean T. Greecher, Esq.

Allison S. Mielke, Esq. YOUNG, CONAWAY, STARGATT

& TAYLOR, LLP Rodney Square

1000 North King Street Wilmington, Delaware 19801

For the U.S. Trustee: Benjamin Hackman, Esq.

OFFICE OF THE U.S. TRUSTEE 844 King Street, Suite 2207 Wilmington, Delaware 19801

(Appearances Continued)

Audio Operator: Electronically Recorded

by LaCrisha Harden, ECRO

Transcription Company: Reliable

1007 N. Orange Street Wilmington, Delaware 19801

(302)654 - 8080

Email: gmatthews@reliable-co.com

Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording transcript produced by transcripti



APPEARANCES: (Continued)

For The Prudential
Insurance Company of
America, Prudential
Legacy Insurance
Company of New Jersey,
and Cigna Health and
Life Insurance Company:

Scott D. Cousins, Esq. LEWIS, BRISBOIS, BISGAARD

& SMITH, LLP

500 Delaware Avenue, Suite 700 Wilmington, Delaware 19801

Paul J. Keenan Jr., Esq. BAKER & MCKENZIE, LLP

830 Brickell Avenue, Suite 3100

Miami, Florida 33131

APPEARANCES VIA ZOOM: (On the Record)

Also Appearing: Robert Wagstaff

RIVERON CONSULTING

Case 25-10606-LSS Doc /1 Filed 04/21/25 Page 3 0	1 10
INDEX	
	<u>PAG</u>
STATUS BY MR. GREECHER	4
PRESENTMENT/ARGUMENT BY MS. MIELKE	9
COURT DECISION	13
EXHIBIT	EVII
Wagstaff Declaration at ECF 52-3	10

(Proceedings commence at 11:32 a.m.)

(Call to order of the Court)

THE COURT: Please be seated.

Mr. Greecher.

MR. GREECHER: Good morning, Your Honor. Sean Greecher from Young Conaway on behalf of the debtors.

Your Honor, thank you for setting this special hearing time. We don't have a lot on the agenda, and you may have noticed there's not a lot on the docket. But there is, I can assure you, a lot going on off the docket, around the world. So I thought maybe it's a good time to give you just a quick update.

My World Wildlife Fund calendar in our kitchen said that yesterday was National Dolphin Day, so why don't I start with animal welfare issues. So the independent director and the Riveron team have spoken with authorities in Florida, we have been speaking with the company's existing advisors, we've been speaking with the company's employees who are located in the Florida parks, particularly the veterinary staff. We've also been speaking with parties to serve as an independent advisor to the independent director and CRO regarding animal welfare efforts at the company's facilities. We're hoping to have a party on board shortly to assist with that. So that continues to be top of mind.

Operationally and financially, the Riveron team has

made good progress getting our arms around the financial and operational details at the U.S. parks. Ms. Mielke will be discussing and presenting our emergency wage motion. We are engaged with the company's employees that are doing what's necessary to ensure appropriate stewardship of the business and the animals; and, of course, a critical part of that is paying our employees.

Governance-wise, outside of the U.S., as we discussed at the first-day hearing, the process of effecting governance changes that are necessary, based on the corporate laws of the various foreign jurisdictions, remains ongoing. These are simply processes that, in many cases, require some time. All is moving forward on that front.

Now on to Mexico. As we mentioned at the first-day hearing, the concurso mercantil was filed by prior management with respect to one of the company's Mexican entities.

Evidence has been provided in Mexico that this filing was done without the requisite corporate approval. The dismissal of that concurso has been opposed by prior management's counsel, and the Judge overseeing that proceeding has been reviewing the papers, and we expect that dismissal will occur shortly.

Additionally, the Federal Civil Court in Mexico
City issued orders enjoining the former CEO, Mr. Albor, from
acting as an authorized representative of the company and

voiding the board meeting that purported to authorize that filing. We also obtained an injunction that directed all governance activities run through Mr. Strom and Mr. Wagstaff. Those orders were obtained in Mexico City in the Federal Civil Court.

On Friday last week, the State Court in the state where the company's Cancun headquarters is located, the Quintana Roo -- I tried to say that properly and I'm sure I didn't. But the State Court there entered a companion order recognizing the federal order. With that, the Riveron team was able to, for the first time, access the headquarters and begin to communicate with staff at the headquarters regarding records of the debtors that are needed to conduct these cases.

Unfortunately, at some point after midnight on Saturday morning, at the direction of the former CEO, the headquarters building was forcibly and illegally retaken.

The Riveron team was barred from the premises and prohibited from any further communication with company staff or access to the debtors' books and records.

So the debtors' professionals in Mexico were taking appropriate steps to address that, to enforce the valid court orders that have been obtained and ensure that Riveron can regain access. Those efforts have been feverish, they have spread throughout numerous courts in Mexico. Counsel has

been working around the clock, traveling around the country, personally appearing in court and in person at the facilities in connection with these various steps that are being taken, alongside the Mexican authorities. Given the ex-CEO's conduct, we suspect that this high level of effort will, unfortunately, be likely to continue, particularly if the circumstances continue to escalate, as we fear that they may.

In the meantime, at a minimum, we view the former president -- former CEO's acts -- actions to exercise possession and control over the books and records related to the debtors' assets, liabilities, and operations, and to bar Riveron from access to such books and records, as brazen violations of the automatic stay.

Additionally, Your Honor entered an order on the first day of the case compelling former officers, including the former CEO, to turn over books, documents, records, papers, ESI, and emails related to or in connection with the debtors' property and finances. That order was sent to the former CEO's counsel on April 4th, with a demand for turnover of such materials by last Friday, the 11th. There has been no response to this demand from counsel to the former CEO. Indeed, the former CEO's actions this past Saturday morning suggest, I think, an attempt not to comply.

All this said, assuming no change in circumstances, the debtors anticipate the need to file a motion as soon as

possible to enforce the automatic stay and to enforce the Court's Section 542(e) order. Given the urgency of the request, we'd request a hearing on shortened notice. Happy to work with Your Honor or Your Honor's chambers to find a suitable time for this hearing.

Additionally, as we mentioned, the former CEO's conduct has required herculean efforts from Mexican counsel, firms that are not familiar with U.S. bankruptcy, and frankly, for whom the expenditures of the time and costs here have been very significant, in order to ensure that we can see this process through -- and I'll note the parties should have no doubt that the debtors are resolute in their intention to see this process through -- we will be requesting authority to provide some advance retainers to Mexican counsel, subject to their retention as ordinary course professionals. So we wanted to at least let Your Honor know all of that was coming, likely in short order. And unfortunately, given the posture that we appear to be in with respect to the former CEO, we will likely request that on shortened notice.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

MR. GREECHER: If Your Honor has any questions about that preamble, if not, I'll turn over the podium to Ms. Mielke to address the motion that we did file.

THE COURT: I do not. Obviously, reach out to

chambers, as usual, when you have papers that are ready to be filed, and we'll figure out how to squeeze it in somewhere.

MR. GREECHER: Thank you, Your Honor. Appreciate that accommodation, as always.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. MIELKE: Good morning, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Good morning.

MS. MIELKE: Allison Mielke of Young Conaway for the debtors. That seems like a hard act to follow, after that update.

As Mr. Greecher previewed, the debtors have been working diligently to stabilize operations, to gain the trust of their employees, and to mitigate any uncertainty that these Chapter 11 cases may have caused.

We are before you today requesting certain customary and limited relief to ensure that the debtors can satisfy their payroll, which is due to be paid today. The debtors specifically are seeking authority to pay up to \$514,000 in payroll obligations. Those primarily comprise compensation liabilities and wage deductions and taxes.

As indicated in the Wagstaff declaration, which was attached to the motion, the failure to make payroll would severely disrupt the company's operations and cause substantial financial hardship to the company's employees, thereby causing irreparable and immediate harm. Mr. Wagstaff

1 is present on Zoom today, we thank you for that 2 accommodation. He is available for questions if -- of the 3 Court or other parties-in-interest. At this time, we'd like to move his declaration into evidence. 4 5 THE COURT: Is there any objection? (No verbal response) 6 7 THE COURT: I hear none. It's admitted. (Wagstaff Declaration at ECF 52-3 received in evidence) 8 9 MS. MIELKE: Thank you. 10 Your Honor, the relief we've requested is very customary and I'm happy to answer any questions that you 11 12 have, but otherwise, we'll rely on our papers. 13 THE COURT: Thank you. 14 I guess the only question I had in reading this is 15 that this request goes to U.S. employees. 16 MS. MIELKE: It does. 17 THE COURT: And what's happening with employees 18 worldwide? 19 MS. MIELKE: That's an excellent question. For the 20 -- we don't have visibility into the payroll of those 21 entities, and frankly, we don't have access and control to 22 the books and records that would inform us of that 2.3 information. We are working diligently, as Mr. Greecher 24 mentioned. 25 We will be back before you with additional relief,

no doubt. In particular, we anticipate filing sort of traditional operational first-days with respect to the Florida entities and those operations because we do have visibility and control over those operations. And then, once we are able to have further progress in -- with respect to the Mexican operations, we will be before you requesting appropriate relief at that time.

THE COURT: Okay. And I know there are some locations that are not in the U.S. and not in Mexico. I see Grand Cayman and Jamaica and Rome. And maybe I should have asked Mr. Greecher this. But what is happening with those locations?

MS. MIELKE: Right. So, to the extent they are debtor entities, Your Honor, the information that's necessary to operate those is being held at the headquarters in Mexico. So, to some extent, the criticality of getting into Mexico and getting those books and records is really what -- you know, what will open up control to all the other entities.

With respect to Italy and some of the other

Caribbean entities that are no -- not quite debtors -
THE COURT: Okay.

MS. MIELKE: -- we are presently undergoing certain governance processes in those jurisdictions to effectuate the transition of the board. So, in some -- for example, in Barbados, if -- there's a notice requirement that requires,

1 you know, various steps and certain time periods to pass. So 2 we are going through those processes and applying --3 complying with applicable law in those jurisdictions. THE COURT: Have there been site visits? 4 5 MS. MIELKE: Not to, for example, Italy or Jamaica, but we have been in contact with the operators at those 6 7 entities, and we're in close contact, trying to obtain additional information. 8 9 THE COURT: You could volunteer. MS. MIELKE: I could. 10 11 (Laughter) 12 MS. MIELKE: You're not the first to have made that 13 joke, Your Honor. 14 (Laughter) 15 MS. MIELKE: I'll volunteer for Italy. 16 THE COURT: Uh-huh. 17 MS. MIELKE: Maybe someone else would like to check 18 out Barbados. 19 THE COURT: Uh-huh. 20 (Laughter) 21 MR. GREECHER: Your Honor, just -- Sean Greecher. 22 Mr. Wagstaff has, personally, visited most -- perhaps not 23 all, but most of the parks located in Mexico. He did look at 24 them from the outside, looking in, to some degree, and had 25 some communications with individuals at the parks. But given

1 sort of the situation in Mexico, the -- that process is 2 complicated. 3 THE COURT: Yeah, it sounds like it is. Okay. And 4 I hope, in Mexico, people are taking whatever security 5 precautions need to be taken, including retaining security, 6 to the extent necessary. 7 MS. MIELKE: Yes, Your Honor, that has occurred. 8 THE COURT: Okay. Okay. Does anyone wish to be 9 heard with respect to the employee wages motion? 10 (No verbal response) THE COURT: I hear no one. 11 12 I did review it. As we just discussed, it 13 addresses the U.S. employees, which is -- which the debtors 14 has visibility into. And the relief is, obviously, 15 appropriate. We need to ensure that employees who are 16 working get paid. 17 I did have a couple of comments on the order. 18 Paragraph 4: 19 "Nothing in the motion shall be deemed to violate 20 or permit a violation of 503(c)." 21 I assume -- that language, I think, is a little --22 well, I assume what this language says is we're not giving 23 anyone -- any insiders any bonuses, et cetera. 24 MS. MIELKE: Correct. We're not authorizing --25 THE COURT: Yeah.

```
MS. MIELKE: -- payments made pursuant to Section
 1
 2
       503(c).
 3
                 THE COURT: So let's -- why don't we say it's not
 4
       being authorized, there are no -- rather than that. I think
 5
       that's actually better.
 6
                 MS. MIELKE: Okay.
 7
                 THE COURT: Nothing in this emergency order is
 8
       authorizing or permitting any payments under 503(c).
 9
                 MS. MIELKE: We can make that -- and just to
10
       clarify, I think you said Paragraph 4, but I think it's
11
       Paragraph 3.
12
                 THE COURT: I've got it as Paragraph 4. So am I
13
       looking at the right order? Let's make sure --
14
                 MS. MIELKE: Oh --
15
                 THE COURT: -- I'm looking --
16
                 MS. MIELKE: -- I'm sorry.
17
                 THE COURT: -- at the right order.
18
                 MS. MIELKE: I'm looking at the final order.
19
       apologize. Yeah, okay.
20
                 THE COURT: Okay. And then Paragraph 11. I
21
       understand why this is in here. But I would prefer to deal
       with future debtors --
22
23
                 MS. MIELKE: Okay.
24
                 THE COURT: -- in a separate motion or something
25
       else, but not preview that --
```

```
1
                 MS. MIELKE: Okay.
 2
                 THE COURT: -- or prejudge it.
 3
                 MS. MIELKE: No problem. We'll delete that.
                 THE COURT: Okay.
 4
 5
                 MS. MIELKE: We did upload an order in advance of
       the hearing. So, just to confirm, we will revise the order
 6
 7
       and upload a replacement.
                 THE COURT: Yes. And there's no reason to do a COC
 8
 9
       on that, just --
10
                 MS. MIELKE: Great.
11
                 THE COURT: -- upload it.
12
                 MS. MIELKE: Thank you, Your Honor.
13
                 THE COURT: Okay.
14
                 MS. MIELKE: Appreciate your time.
15
                 THE COURT: That's all we have for today?
16
                 MS. MIELKE: It is.
                 THE COURT: Okay. Very good. We're adjourned.
17
18
                 MR. GREECHER: Thank you.
19
            (Proceedings concluded at 11:46 a.m.)
                                    ****
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

CERTIFICATION

I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter to the best of my knowledge and ability.

April 14, 2024

Coleen Rand, AAERT Cert. No. 341

Certified Court Transcriptionist

For Reliable