Ca\$e 22-90056-LT Filed 10/05/22 Entered 10/05/22 12:18:00 Doc 43 Pg. 1 of 3 Docket #0043 Date Filed: 10/5/2022

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

601 SOUTH FIGUEROA STREET, SUITE 2500 LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90017-5704

(213) 623-9300

1 SAMUEL R. MAIZEL (Bar No. 189301) samuel.maizel@dentons.com TANIA M. MOYRON (Bar No. 235736) tania.moyron@dentons.com **DENTONS US LLP** 601 South Figueroa Street, Suite 2500 Los Angeles, California 90017-5704 Telephone: (213) 623-9300 5 Facsimile: (213) 623-9924 JOSEPH R. LAMAGNA (Bar No. 246850) 6 ilamagna@health-law.com DEVIN M. SENELICK (Bar No. 221478) dsenelick@health-law.com JORDAN KEARNEY (Bar No. 305483) jkearney@health-law.com HOOPER, LUNDY & BOOKMAN, P.C. 101 W. Broadway, Suite 1200 10 San Diego, California 92101 Telephone: (619) 744-7300 Facsimile: (619) 230-0987 11 12 Proposed Attorneys for the Chapter 11 Debtor and Debtor In Possession 13 14 UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 15 In re 16 BORREGO COMMUNITY HEALTH 17 FOUNDATION, a California nonprofit public benefit corporation,

Case No. 22-02384-LT11

Chapter 11 Case

BORREGO COMMUNITY HEALTH FOUNDATION, a California nonprofit public benefit corporation,

Debtor and Debtor in Possession.

Plaintiff,

V.

CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH CARE SERVICES,

Defendant.

Adv. Pro. No. 22-90056-LT

EX PARTE MOTION TO EXCEED PAGE LIMIT AND TO FILE TABLES RE REPLY IN SUPPORT OF EMERGENCY MOTION: (I) TO **ENFORCE** THE **AUTOMATIC** STAY PURSUANT TO 11 U.S.C. § 362; OR, ALTERNATIVELY (II) FOR TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER

Judge: Honorable Laura S. Taylor

Date: October 6, 2022 Time: 2:00 p.m. PDT

i

Place: Jacob Weinberger U.S. Courthouse

Department 3 – Room 129

325 West F. St.



2202384221005000000000006

US_ACTIVE\122390122\V-4

EX PARTE MOTION

Borrego Community Health Foundation (the "<u>Debtor</u>"), the debtor and debtor in possession in the above-captioned chapter 11 bankruptcy case (the "<u>Case</u>"), respectfully submits this *Ex Parte Motion to Exceed Page Limit*) (the "<u>Ex Parte Motion</u>"). Specifically, the Debtor seeks leave to (i) file its *Reply in Support of Emergency Motion (I) To Enforce the Automatic Stay Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 362; or, Alternatively, (II) For a Temporary Restraining Order* (the "<u>Reply</u>") [Docket No. 39] in excess of the ten (10) page limit (the "<u>Page Limit</u>") for replies set forth in Local Rule 9013-7(d)(2) of the Local Bankruptcy Rules and Administrative Procedures for the Bankruptcy Court for the Southern District of California (the "<u>LBR</u>"), and (ii) to file the Reply to include tables of authorities and table of contents (the "<u>Tables</u>"), pursuant to LBR 9013-7(d)(3).

Under LBR 1003-3, the Court "may deviate from the procedures set forth in any Local Bankruptcy Rule in a specific case or proceeding and on the Court's own motion or at the request of any party." Here, good cause exists to extend the Page Limit of the Reply under LBR 9013-7(d)(2), because, as with the Motion: (1) the Debtor must apply a complex fact pattern to California law, substantive federal law, substantive bankruptcy law, and bankruptcy procedure; (2) the Reply supports two, alternative grounds of emergency relief (an order enforcing the automatic stay or, alternatively, for a temporary restraining order), which require unique, comprehensive analysis; and (3) the issues that are the subject of the Reply are critical to ensuring the Debtor, the Debtor's estate, and the Debtor's patients are not caused irreparable harm. Accordingly, the Debtor respectfully requests that this Court grant the *Ex Parte* Motion and extend the permissible page limit for the Reply up to 33 pages.

Good cause exists as well to permit the filing of the Reply with Tables. One day after receiving the opposition from the California Department of Health Care Services [Adv. Proc. Docket Nos. 30-33] and multiple evidentiary objections [Adv.

Proc. Docket No. 34-35], the Debtor filed the Reply and the declaration in support thereof [Adv. Proc. Docket No. 39] and responded to numerous evidentiary objections [Adv. Proc. Docket Nos. 40-41] on October 4, 2022. In an abundance of caution, the Debtor now seeks leave merely to file the Tables with the identical Reply.

Based on the foregoing, the Debtor respectfully requests the Court enter an order (i) granting the *Ex Parte* Motion, and (ii) granting such other and further relief as is just and proper under the circumstances.

Dated: October 5, 2022

DENTONS US LLP SAMUEL R. MAIZEL TANIA M. MOYRON

By: /s/ Tania M. Moyron
Proposed Attorneys for the Chapter 11
Debtor and Debtor In Possession