1 Defendant California Department of Health Care Services (Department) 2 respectfully moves ex parte for leave to file its Opposition to Debtor's 49-page 3 Emergency Motion to Enforce the Automatic Stay, or Alternatively, for Temporary 4 Restraining Order, in excess of the 25-page limit set forth in Local Bankruptcy Rule 5 (LBR) 9013-7(d)(1), up to and including 50 pages. 6 Under LBR 1003-3, the Court "may deviate from the procedures set forth in 7 any Local Bankruptcy Rule in a specific case or proceeding and on the Court's motion or at the request of any party." Here, good cause exists to extend the page 8 9 limit of the Department's Opposition to Debtor's Emergency Motion, under LBR 10 9013-7(d)(1), based on the following grounds: 11 First, the Opposition must address two alternative grounds for emergency relief (an order enforcing the automatic stay, or alternatively, for a temporary 12 13 restraining order) set forth in the Debtor's 49-page Emergency Motion. 14 Second, addressing the Debtor's arguments will require the Department to 15 provide unique, comprehensive analysis that applies a complex fact pattern to 16 California law, substantive federal law, substantive bankruptcy law, and bankruptcy 17 procedure. Third, the Opposition must address the Debtor's extensive allegations of 18 irreparable harm. 19 20 /// 21 /// 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 2

1	For the foregoing reasons, the Department respectfully requests that the Court		
2	grant this Ex Parte Application and extend	d the page limit for Department's	
3	Opposition up to and including 50 pages.		
4	Dated: October _3_, 2022	Respectfully submitted,	
5 6		ROB BONTA Attorney General of California RICHARD T. WALDOW	
7		Supervising Deputy Attorney General	
8		Kenth Waf	
9		KENNETH K. WANG	
10		GRANT LIEN Deputy Attorneys General	
11		Deputy Attorneys General Attorneys for Defendant California Department of Health Care Services	
12	LA2022602345		
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

Case 22-90056-LT Filed 10/03/22 Entered CSD 3000A [07/01/18] Name, Address, Telephone No. & I.D. No. Kenneth K. Wang (Bar No. 201823) Grant Lien (Bar No. 187250) Deputy Attorneys General 300 S Spring Street, No. 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013 Telephone: (213) 269-6217 Fax: (213) 731-2125 E-mail: Kenneth.Wang@doj.ca.gov	ed 10/03/22 19:	40:02 Doc 36	Pg. 4 of 5	
UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 325 West F Street, San Diego, California 92101-6991				
In Re Borrego Community Health Foundation				
	Debtor.	BANKRUPTCY NO.	22-02384-LT11	
Borrego Community Health Foundation				
	Plaintiff(s)	ADVERSARY NO. 22-	-90056-LT	
v. California Department of Health Care Services		Date of Hearing:		
	Defendant(s)	Time of Hearing: Name of Judge: Honor	rable Laura S. Taylor	
ORDER ON Ex Parte Motion to Exceed Page Limit for Opposition to Emergency Motion to Enforce the Automatic Stay, or Alternatively, for Temporary Restraining Order				
The court orders as set forth on the continuation pages attached and numbered $\underline{2}$ through $\underline{2}$ with exhibits, if any,				
for a total of <u>2</u> pages. Motion/Application Docket Entry No	·			
H				
H				
H				
H				

Judge, United States Bankruptcy Court

DATED:

Case 22-90056-LT Filed 10/03/22 Entered 10/03/22 19:40:02 Doc 36 Pg. 5 of 5

CSD 3000A [07/01/18]

Page **2** of **2**

ORDER ON Ex Parte Mtn to Exceed P. Lim. re: Opp'n to Emerg. Mtn. to Enforce Auto. Stay, or Alt., for TRO DEBTOR:Borrego Community Health Foundation

CASE NO.:22-02384

ADV NO.:22-90056

Upon consideration of the Ex Parte Motion to Exceed Page Limit for DHCS' Opposition to Emergency Motion to Enforce the Automatic Stay, or Alternatively, for Temporary Restraining Order (the "Ex Parte Motion") [Adv. Docket No. __], and the Court having found that the relief requested in the Ex Parte Motion is in the best interests of the Debtor's estate, its creditors, and other parties in interest,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

- 1. The Ex Parte Motion is granted;
- 2. Defendant DHCS may file the Opposition up to 50 pages;
- 3. This Court retains exclusive jurisdiction with respect to all matters arising from or related to the implementation, interpretation, and enforcement of this Order.