

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA  
CHARLOTTE DIVISION

ARMSTRONG WORLD INDUSTRIES, INC.  
ASBESTOS PERSONAL INJURY SETTLEMENT  
TRUST, *et al.*

Plaintiffs,

v.

ALDRICH PUMP LLC, *et al.*

Defendants.

Miscellaneous Proceeding

No. 22-00303 (JCW)

(Transferred from District of Delaware)

AC&S ASBESTOS SETTLEMENT TRUST,  
COMBUSTION ENGINEERING 524(G) ASBESTOS  
PI TRUST, GI HOLDINGS INC. ASBESTOS  
PERSONAL INJURY SETTLEMENT TRUST, GST  
SETTLEMENT FACILITY, KAISER ALUMINUM &  
CHEMICAL CORPORATION ASBESTOS  
PERSONAL INJURY TRUST, QUIGLEY  
COMPANY, INC. ASBESTOS PI TRUST T H  
AGRICULTURE & NUTRITION, L.L.C. ASBESTOS  
PERSONAL INJURY TRUST, and YARWAY  
ASBESTOS PERSONAL INJURY TRUST,

Petitioners,

v.

ALDRICH PUMP LLC, MURRAY BOILER LLC.

Respondents.

Miscellaneous Pleading

No. 23-00300 (JCW)

(Transferred from District of New Jersey)

In re

ALDRICH PUMP LLC, *et al.*,<sup>1</sup>

Debtors.

Chapter 11

Case No. 20-30608

<sup>1</sup> The Debtors are the following entities (the last four digits of their respective taxpayer identification numbers follow in parentheses): Aldrich Pump LLC (2290) and Murray Boiler LLC (0679). The Debtors' address is 800-E Beaty Street, Davidson, North Carolina 28036.



**DECLARATION OF MORGAN R. HIRST**

I, Morgan R. Hirst, hereby declare under penalty of perjury:

1. I am a partner of the law firm of Jones Day; my office is located at 110 North Wacker Drive, Suite 4800, Chicago, Illinois 60606. I am a member in good standing of the Bar of the State of Illinois. There are no disciplinary proceedings pending against me.

2. I submit this declaration in connection with *Debtors' Opposition to Objectors' Ex Parte Motion to Amend Scheduling Order*, filed contemporaneously herewith. I have personal knowledge of the matters set forth herein.

3. Attached hereto as **Exhibit A** is a true and correct copy of the transcript of the March 30, 2023 hearing in In re Aldrich Pump LLC, No. 20-30608 (JCW) (Bankr. W.D.N.C.), Armstrong World Industries, Inc. Asbestos Personal Injury Settlement Trust v. Aldrich Pump LLC, Misc. No. 22-00303 (JCW) (Bankr. W.D.N.C.), and AC&S Asbestos Settlement Trust v. Aldrich Pump LLC, Misc. No. 23-00300 (JCW) (Bankr. W.D.N.C.).

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Dated: May 12, 2023  
Chicago, IL

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Morgan R. Hirst  
Morgan R. Hirst

# **EXHIBIT A**





1 APPEARANCES (continued):

2 For Debtors/Defendants, Jones Day  
3 Aldrich Pump LLC and Murray BY: BRAD B. ERENS, ESQ.  
4 Boiler LLC: MORGAN R. HIRST, ESQ.  
110 North Wacker Dr., Suite 4800  
Chicago, IL 60606

5 Jones Day  
6 BY: DAVID S. TORBERG, ESQ.  
51 Louisiana Avenue, N.W.  
7 Washington, D.C. 20001

8 Evert Weathersby Houff  
9 BY: C. MICHAEL EVERT, JR., ESQ.  
3455 Peachtree Road NE, Ste. 1550  
Atlanta, GA 30326

10 Evert Weathersby Houff  
11 BY: CLARE M. MAISANO, ESQ.  
111 South Calvert St., Suite 1910  
12 Baltimore, MD 21202

13 ROBERT H. SANDS, ESQ.  
14 ALLAN TANANBAUM, ESQ.

15 Audio Operator: COURT PERSONNEL

16  
17 Transcript prepared by: JANICE RUSSELL TRANSCRIPTS  
18 1418 Red Fox Circle  
Severance, CO 80550  
19 (757) 422-9089  
trussell31@tdsmail.com

20  
21 Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording; transcript  
22 produced by transcription service.

23

24

25

1 APPEARANCES (continued):

2 For the ACC: Caplin & Drysdale  
3 BY: SERAFINA CONCANNON, ESQ.  
4 One Thomas Circle, NW, Suite 1100  
Washington, DC 20005

5 Robinson & Cole LLP  
6 BY: NATALIE RAMSEY, ESQ.  
7 DAVIS LEE WRIGHT, ESQ.  
1201 N. Market Street, Suite 1406  
Wilmington, DE 19801

8 Robinson & Cole LLP  
9 BY: ANDREW A. DePEAU, ESQ.  
280 Trumbull Street  
Hartford, CT 06103

10 Winston & Strawn LLP  
11 BY: DAVID NEIER, ESQ.  
12 CRISTINA I. CALVAR, ESQ.  
200 Park Avenue  
13 New York, NY 10166-4193

14 Hamilton Stephens  
15 BY: ROBERT A. COX, JR., ESQ.  
525 North Tryon St., Suite 1400  
Charlotte, NC 28202

16 For the FCR: Orrick Herrington  
17 BY: JONATHAN P. GUY, ESQ.  
18 DANNY BAREFOOT, ESQ.  
1152 15th Street, NW  
Washington, D.C. 20005-1706

19 For Certain Insurers: Duane Morris LLP  
20 BY: RUSSELL W. ROTEN, ESQ.  
865 S. Figueroa St., Suite 3100  
Los Angeles, CA 90017-5440

21 For Individual Fiduciary  
22 Duty Defendants: Brooks Pierce  
23 BY: JIM W. PHILLIPS, JR., ESQ.  
24 JEFFREY E. OLEYNIK, ESQ.  
P. O. Box 26000  
Greensboro, NC 27420

25



1 APPEARANCES (continued):

2 For Trane Technologies  
3 Company LLC and Trane  
4 U.S. Inc.:

McCarter & English, LLP  
BY: GREGORY J. MASCITTI, ESQ.  
825 Eighth Avenue, 31st Floor  
New York, NY 10019

4

5

McGuireWoods, LLP  
BY: BRADLEY R. KUTROW, ESQ.  
201 North Tryon St., Suite 3000  
Charlotte, NC 28202

6

7

Cordes Law, PLLC  
BY: STACY C. CORDES, ESQ.  
1800 East Boulevard  
Charlotte, NC 28203

8

9

10 For Asbestos Trusts:

Ward and Smith, P.A.  
BY: LANCE P. MARTIN, ESQ.  
P. O. Box 2020  
Asheville, NC 28802-2020

11

12

Ballard Spahr LLP  
BY: BETH MOSKOW-SCHNOLL, ESQ.  
919 North Market St., 11th Floor  
Wilmington, DE 19801-3034

13

14

15

16 For the Verus Trusts:

Moon Wright & Houston, PLLC  
BY: ANDREW T. HOUSTON, ESQ.  
212 N. McDowell Street, Suite 200  
Charlotte, NC 28204

17

18

Lowenstein Sandler LLP  
BY: LYNDA A. BENNETT, ESQ.  
One Lowenstein Drive  
Roseland, NJ 07068

19

20

21 For Verus Claims Services,  
22 LLC:

Bradley Arant Boult Cummings LLP  
BY: ANNA-BRYCE HOBSON, ESQ.  
214 North Tryon St., Suite 3700  
Charlotte, NC 28202

23

24

Anselmi & Carvelli LLP  
BY: ZACHARY D. WELLBROCK, ESQ.  
West Tower, Fifth Floor  
56 Headquarters Plaza  
Morristown, NJ 07960

25

25

1 APPEARANCES (continued):

2 For Robert and Marcella  
3 Semian:

Maune Raichle  
BY: CLAYTON L. THOMPSON, ESQ.  
150 West 30th Street, Suite 201  
New York, NY 10001

4

5

Waldrep Wall  
BY: JAMES C. LANIK, ESQ.  
370 Knollwood Street, Suite 600  
Winston-Salem, NC 27103

6

7 For Non-Party Certain  
8 Matching Claimants:

Hogan McDaniel  
BY: DANIEL K. HOGAN, ESQ.  
1311 Delaware Avenue  
Wilmington, DE 19806

9

10

11

Waldrep Wall  
BY: DIANA SANTOS JOHNSON, ESQ.  
370 Knollwood Street, Suite 600  
Winston-Salem, NC 27103

12

For DCPF:

Alexander Ricks PLLC  
BY: FELTON PARRISH, ESQ.  
1420 E. 7th Street, Suite 100  
Charlotte, NC 28204

13

14

15

Young Conaway  
BY: KEVIN A. GUERKE, ESQ.  
1000 North King Street  
Wilmington, Delaware 19801

16

17

ALSO PRESENT:

JOSEPH GRIER, FCR  
Grier, Wright & Martinez, PA  
521 E. Morehead St, Suite 440  
Charlotte, NC 28202

18

19

20

21 APPEARANCES (via telephone):

22 For Non-Party Certain  
23 Matching Claimants:

Stark & Stark, PC  
BY: JOSEPH H. LEMKIN, ESQ.  
P. O. Box 5315  
Lawrenceville, NJ 08648

24

25

25

1 APPEARANCES (via telephone continued):

2 For Travelers Insurance Steptoe & Johnson LLP  
3 Companies, et al.: BY: JOSHUA R. TAYLOR, ESQ.  
4 1330 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.  
5 Washington, D.C. 20036

6 For Verus Claims Services, Anselmi & Carvelli LLP  
7 LLC: BY: ANDREW ANSELMi, ESQ.  
8 West Tower, Fifth Floor  
9 56 Headquarters Plaza  
10 Morristown, NJ 07960  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

1 THE COURT: Let me know. I'm going to ask the clerk  
2 to, to sit in the courtroom.

3 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Uh-huh (indicating an  
4 affirmative response).

5 THE COURT: Or can they just buzz you at a number or  
6 something so you don't have to sit here? Okay.

7 All right. Well, we'll take a recess until you're  
8 ready to go.

9 MR. EVERT: Thank you, your Honor.

10 (Recess from 2:46 p.m., until 3:41 p.m.)

11 AFTER RECESS

12 (Call to Order of the Court)

13 THE COURT: Have a seat.

14 All right. What was arrived at during the break?

15 Mr. Hirst.

16 MR. HIRST: Your Honor, Morgan Hirst for the debtors.

17 Mr. Evert got to do all the fun argument. I got to  
18 announce an agreed schedule. So --

19 THE COURT: Okay. Well, you're one up.

20 MR. HIRST: -- exciting, exciting for me.

21 So, your Honor, we did, I think, reach an agreement.  
22 We have one tiny disagreement, which we'll raise at the end.

23 THE COURT: Okay.

24 MR. HIRST: It is a, maybe a lengthier schedule than  
25 your Honor originally may have suggested.

1 THE COURT: Uh-huh (indicating an affirmative  
2 response).

3 MR. HIRST: The other side would like to retain an  
4 expert to rebut, or attempt to rebut Dr. Mullin. We have no  
5 issue with that.

6 So June 6 is what we decided on for a hearing date --

7 THE COURT: Okay.

8 MR. HIRST: -- if that works for the Court and we --

9 THE COURT: It does.

10 MR. HIRST: -- -- I think, understand that it does.

11 And then there's some interim dates in the middle.

12 First of all, here's what we understand and I think  
13 the other side understands what this hearing is. We want to  
14 make sure your Honor is -- is --

15 THE COURT: Okay.

16 MR. HIRST: -- agreeing with this. The, the issue at  
17 the hearing is whether or not there's going to be compliance  
18 with a subpoena in full; in other words, a response concerning  
19 all the claimants or all the Matching Claimants, or whether  
20 it's going to be a sampled compliance with a subpoena.

21 THE COURT: Okay.

22 MR. HIRST: That's what we understand the hearing to  
23 be about.

24 With that in mind, here's kind of some interim dates  
25 that we've agreed to. This is more for your Honor's

1 information, but we'll --

2 THE COURT: Please.

3 MR. HIRST: -- make it for the record.

4 The other side's going to retain an expert. They're  
5 going to submit some expert report or a declaration or some  
6 form of expert submission by April 25th. They will then have  
7 the opportunity to depose Dr. Mullin up until May 5th.

8 THE COURT: Okay.

9 MR. HIRST: We -- I'm sorry. They will then submit  
10 their brief. Verus will submit a brief in opposition to our  
11 motion for rehearing. DCPF can supplement their opposition to  
12 the motion for rehearing. Those briefs from the objectors to  
13 the motion for rehearing will be done by May 12th.

14 THE COURT: Right.

15 MR. HIRST: We will have the right to depose the  
16 expert they're going to put up and Mr. Eveland, who is the  
17 Verus President, I believe, who submitted an affidavit, and  
18 then, potentially, Mr. Winner, who's the DCPF President, though  
19 that's our area of disagreement, but those depositions have to  
20 take place by May 19th. And then our reply brief is due May  
21 26th.

22 THE COURT: Okay.

23 MR. HIRST: And there'll be no -- yeah -- there'll be  
24 no further briefing after May 26th. One issue of minor  
25 disagreement for your Honor, I think, can decide today is

1 Mr. Winner.

2 So Mr. Winner is DCPF's President.

3 Is that right, Kevin?

4 MR. GUERKE: I believe he's COO.

5 MR. WINNER: Or COO. He submitted a declaration in,  
6 in support of their motion to quash --

7 THE COURT: Right.

8 MR. HIRST: -- last summer.

9 THE COURT: Uh-huh (indicating an affirmative  
10 response).

11 MR. HIRST: They have -- DCPF -- and Mr. Guerke'll,  
12 Mr. Guerke'll tell me if I got this wrong -- they've indicated  
13 they will at least rely on his old declaration. They may  
14 submit a supplemental declaration. We would like to take his  
15 deposition, regardless. DCPF has indicated they would only  
16 agree to a deposition of Mr. Winner in the event they provide a  
17 supplemental declaration. And so our view is if they're going  
18 to rely on his declaration, we should get to depose him whether  
19 it's a new declaration or an old declaration. That, I think,  
20 is the only issue in dispute.

21 THE COURT: Okay.

22 MR. GUERKE: That is in dispute, your Honor. Again,  
23 Kevin Guerke on behalf of DCPF.

24 We object to a deposition of Richard Winner at this  
25 point. The, the declaration was filed in July. The debtors

1 chose not to depose him and the motion to -- so the first we  
2 heard about the deposition, that they want to take his  
3 deposition after all these months was just now out in the  
4 hallway, but struck a, a, a reasonable balance that if we're  
5 going to supplement with new information, they'd have a chance  
6 to depose Mr. Winner on the new information, but don't get a  
7 chance to go back and, and start all over again.

8 DCPF is not a party to this case. You've heard us  
9 argue burden and expense probably more than you, you want to  
10 hear. We shouldn't have that burden magnified by additional  
11 discovery directed at us. I know we're going down on this path  
12 on sampling --

13 THE COURT: Uh-huh (indicating an affirmative  
14 response).

15 MR. GUERKE: -- and additional expert discovery, but,  
16 you know, your Honor, we had a sampling ruling. We thought it  
17 was great. The debtors proposed a sampling that worked for the  
18 debtors. The parties talked about it and reached agreement on  
19 a sampling protocol, at least a 99 percent agreement, and, and  
20 this all could be avoided with the 10 percent sampling, your  
21 Honor and -- but if we have to go down this path, it's going to  
22 be a, a longer, more drawn-out, burdensome, expensive process.

23 Thank you.

24 THE COURT: And what is it you want to ask him about,  
25 generally?



1 MR. HIRST: If they're going to rely on him -- they  
2 have his affidavit -- if they're going to rely on that  
3 affidavit on June 6th, we'd like a chance to ask him questions  
4 about his affidavit and the factual underpinnings behind it.  
5 If they're not going to rely on his affidavit on June 6th at  
6 the hearing or in their papers, we don't have any reason to  
7 bother.

8 THE COURT: So why now and not before?

9 MR. HIRST: Why now? 'Cause they're going to rely on  
10 him in a hearing in --

11 THE COURT: Okay.

12 MR. HIRST: -- two months where they're now going to  
13 have an expert who, presumably, is going to rely, in part, on  
14 some of Mr. Winner's factual underpinnings to his testimony.  
15 So that's, that's why.

16 THE COURT: Well, I got to tell you. What I was  
17 envisioning more was talking about the need for sam, for full-  
18 blown production versus sampling, not as much on, on burden to  
19 that. But if we're going to argue about burden, then, you  
20 know, if we're going to use him, that's fine. We probably need  
21 to, to depose him.

22 But from my vantage point, the questions I have,  
23 primarily, in my mind that made me want to have a further  
24 hearing is, given that we got down the road so far about  
25 sampling, why is that not sufficient? I mean, the bottom line

1 is what are the likelihood that, that, if we do full  
2 production, that there's a risk, now that I can remember that  
3 we were doing these hand scrubbing. But if we're going to  
4 fight about the, you know, how much other cost there is to the,  
5 the Facility, then yeah, I think I'd be inclined to, to allow  
6 it.

7 The question is how, how broadly are y'all planning to  
8 argue.

9 MR. HIRST: That's actually to my colleagues. 'Cause  
10 we're happy to take the issue of burden off of the table,  
11 essentially. If they're going to simply argue -- if the entire  
12 hearing's going to be about -- I mean, their basis for a  
13 sampling -- their, their motion requesting sampling was 'cause  
14 it was burdensome.

15 MS. MOSKOW-SCHNOLL: That is not the only reason.

16 THE COURT: No, no, no. It was also about  
17 confidentiality.

18 MR. HIRST: Confidentiality as well. No, those were  
19 the, the two underpinnings.

20 THE COURT: Right.

21 MR. HIRST: If we're going to continue to --

22 THE COURT: So are we arguing both, or one? That's,  
23 that's all I really think. 'Cause if we're arguing both, I  
24 think I'm setting a rehearing and if I'm reconsidering all of  
25 that, then fine. But the bottom line is the -- in that event,

1 I think we need to have full fact presentation there,  
2 declaration, and if we're going to get anything else from him,  
3 an amended declaration or whatnot, the chance to review. If  
4 we're just going to talk about what the debtors needs are and  
5 why they aren't satisfied, then I would say no.

6 MR. GUERKE: Your Honor, the, the, the same group of  
7 people have already taken Mr. Winner's deposition --

8 THE COURT: Uh-huh (indicating an affirmative  
9 response).

10 MR. GUERKE: -- in DBMP. He already went through  
11 that, that burden in time and effort. The declaration that we  
12 filed in this case is similar to declarations that were filed  
13 in past cases -- and I don't want to mix the cases up -- but --

14 THE COURT: Yeah. We've done too much of that.

15 MR. GUERKE: -- it's -- it's un -- it's unfair to DCPF  
16 as a nonparty to keep being dragged, dragged into more and more  
17 discovery and, and we, we object, your Honor. Unless we,  
18 unless we assert additional facts in a supplemental  
19 declaration, we ask that the Court not allow a deposition of,  
20 of Mr. Winner. His, his declaration has been out there and  
21 we've argued it for months and months.

22 THE COURT: If we're going to rehear burden, then I'm  
23 going to, to allow them to do the deposition, okay? All right.

24 I, I appreciate where he's come, where the Facility's  
25 coming from and this being nonparties, but they're very

1 interested nonparties and, and effectively, I think the  
2 information is very key to what we're doing here.

3 So I, I want a decent record that can go up, if it  
4 needs to be, and I believe we need him for that purpose, so.

5 All right. What else?

6 MR. GUERKE: Please.

7 MS. MOSKOW-SCHNOLL: Your Honor, I just wanted to put  
8 on the record that we, we believe you did enter an order and  
9 that this is procedurally improper.

10 THE COURT: Understood.

11 MS. MOSKOW-SCHNOLL: I just want to make sure that was  
12 on the record.

13 THE COURT: Overruled.

14 MS. MOSKOW-SCHNOLL: Thank you.

15 THE COURT: Okay.

16 Mr. Guy?

17 MR. GUY: Your Honor, we weren't asked about these  
18 dates, but we will totally work with them, of course.

19 On the sampling motion, I want to be practical about  
20 it. I know I want to move forward, but I think, realistically,  
21 we're not going to get progress until this is resolved.

22 So maybe we can continue it until after this hearing.  
23 I hate to say that because my predictions have proven to be  
24 true again, but that seems like the sensible thing to do. But  
25 I defer to the Court entirely on that.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

CERTIFICATE

I, court approved transcriber, certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the official electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter.

/s/ Janice Russell

April 4, 2023

Janice Russell, Transcriber

Date