UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA CHARLOTTE DIVISION

ARMSTRONG WORLD INDUSTRIES, INC. ASBESTOS PERSONAL INJURY SETTLEMENT TRUST, et al.

Plaintiffs,

v.

ALDRICH PUMP LLC, et al.

Defendants.

In re

ALDRICH PUMP LLC, et al., 1

Debtors.

Miscellaneous Proceeding

No. 22-00303 (JCW)

(Transferred from District of Delaware)

Chapter 11

Case No. 20-30608

DECLARATION OF MORGAN R. HIRST

- I, Morgan R. Hirst, hereby declare under penalty of perjury:
- I am a partner of the law firm of Jones Day; my office is located at 110 North 1. Wacker Drive, Suite 4800, Chicago, Illinois 60606. I am a member in good standing of the Bar of the State of Illinois. There are no disciplinary proceedings pending against me.
- 2. I submit this declaration in connection with Debtors' Opposition to Verus Claim Services, LLC's and the Verus Trusts' Motion for Adjournment and Related Relief, filed contemporaneously herewith. I have personal knowledge of the matters set forth herein.
 - 3. Attached hereto as Exhibit A is a true and correct copy of excerpts from the

¹ The Debtors are the following entities (the last four digits of their respective taxpayer identification numbers follow in parentheses): Aldrich Pump LLC (2290) and Murray Boiler LLC (0679). The Debtors' address is 800-E Beaty Street, Davidson, North Carolina 28036.



{00374908 v 1 }

Case 22-00303 Doc 91 Filed 03/27/23 Entered 03/27/23 19:18:41 Desc Main Document Page 2 of 3

transcript of the February 14, 2023 hearing in <u>In re Aldrich Pump LLC</u>, No. 20-30608 (JCW) (Bankr. W.D.N.C.), <u>Armstrong World Industries</u>, <u>Inc. Asbestos Personal Injury Settlement Trust v. Aldrich Pump LLC</u>, Misc. No. 22-00303 (JCW) (Bankr W.D.N.C.), and <u>AC&S Asbestos Settlement Trust v. Aldrich Pump LLC</u>, Misc. No. 23-00300 (JCW) (Bankr. W.D.N.C.).

Case 22-00303 Doc 91 Filed 03/27/23 Entered 03/27/23 19:18:41 Desc Main Document Page 3 of 3

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Dated: March 27, 2023

Chicago, IL

/s/ Morgan R. Hirst

	A Page 3 of	
		3
1	APPEARANCES (continued):	
2		
3 4	For ACC:	Caplin & Drysdale BY: JAMES P. WEHNER, ESQ. One Thomas Circle, NW, Suite 1100 Washington, DC 20005
_		
5 6		Robinson & Cole LLP BY: NATALIE RAMSEY, ESQ. DAVIS LEE WRIGHT, ESQ.
7		1201 N. Market Street, Suite 1406 Wilmington, DE 19801
8		Robinson & Cole LLP BY: KATHERINE M. FIX, ESQ.
9		1650 Market Street, Suite 3600 Philadelphia, PA 19103
10		Hamilton Stephens
11		BY: ROBERT A. COX, JR., ESQ. 525 North Tryon St., Suite 1400
12		Charlotte, NC 28202
13		JONAH RECORDSCOSKY, ESQ.
14	For the FCR:	Orrick Herrington BY: JONATHAN P. GUY, ESQ.
15		1152 15th Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20005-1706
16		Grier, Wright & Martinez, PA
17		BY: A. COTTEN WRIGHT, ESQ. 521 E. Morehead St, Suite 440
18		Charlotte, NC 28202
19	For Certain Insurers:	Duane Morris LLP BY: RUSSELL W. ROTEN, ESQ.
20		865 S. Figueroa St., Suite 3100 Los Angeles, CA 90017-5440
21	For Trane Technologies	McCarter & English, LLP
22	Company LLC and Trane U.S. Inc.:	BY: GREGORY J. MASCITTI, ESQ. 825 Eighth Avenue, 31st Floor
23		New York, NY 10019
24		
25		

	A Page 4 of	f 10 4
1	APPEARANCES (continued):	T
2	For DCPF:	Alexander Ricks PLLC
3		BY: FELTON PARRISH, ESQ. 1420 E. 7th Street, Suite 100
4		Charlotte, NC 28204
5	APPEARANCES (via telephone):	
6	For DCPF:	Warran Canarray
7	FOT DCFF:	Young Conaway BY: KEVIN A. GUERKE, ESQ. 1000 North King Street
8		Wilmington, Delaware 19801
9		Ballard Spahr LLP BY: TYLER B. BURNS, ESQ. 919 North Market St., 11th Floor Wilmington, DE 19801-3034
11	The Market Market lands	_
12	For Trane Technologies Company LLC and Trane U.S. Inc.:	McGuireWoods, LLP BY: K. ELIZABETH SIEG, ESQ. 800 East Canal Street
13		Richmond, VA 23219-3916
14	For Travelers Insurance Companies, et al.:	Steptoe & Johnson LLP BY: JOSHUA R. TAYLOR, ESQ.
15		1330 Connecticut Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036
16	For Matching Claimants:	Hogan McDaniel
17		BY: DANIEL K. HOGAN, ESQ. 1311 Delaware Avenue
18		Wilmington, DE 19806
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
MR. EVERT: So obviously, whatever direction the Court
has to the clerk on that is, is, is fine with us, but that's, I
have no more status on No. 9.
         THE COURT: Right.
         MR. EVERT: As to Items No. 3 through 8, we, we
reached out to the Trusts to ascertain whether they wanted to
participate in our discussions with the ACC over sampling and
they indicated that they did not have any new proposals that
they wanted to raise and they wanted to follow discussions and,
and reserve all rights.
         So although the -- so the Trusts have not been
involved in those discussions.
         THE COURT: Uh-huh (indicating an affirmative
response).
         MR. EVERT: And I -- and I -- I don't -- I don't know
how to characterize it beyond that other than there -- there
were --
         THE COURT: Uh-huh (indicating an affirmative
response).
         MR. EVERT: I, I don't know if they're communicating
with the ACC or not.
                    Uh-huh (indicating an affirmative
         THE COURT:
response).
         MR. EVERT: As the, as the Court knows and as I
probably irritatingly made evident at our last hearing, we, we
```

```
disagree with the Court's ruling on, on sampling for the trust
 1
    discovery. We don't think it's appropriate. So we've, we've
 2
    continued to ponder it with our client, your Honor. And so
 3
    last Friday we, or Friday just a, Friday morning a few days
 4
    ago, we advised the ACC and the Trusts that our, our current
 5
    intention is to move for reconsideration of that particular
 6
 7
    order. And I call it a motion for reconsideration. I quess
    that's what it is.
                        The Court --
 8
             THE COURT: Uh-huh (indicating an affirmative
 9
10
    response).
11
             MR. EVERT: -- ruled orally. No order has been
12
    entered. So --
             THE COURT: Uh-huh (indicating an affirmative
13
    response).
14
15
             MR. EVERT: -- maybe we can talk about that in a
             But -- and, and obviously, I talked a little bit about
16
17
    it at the last hearing and you don't want to hear it again.
18
    I'm not going to belabor where the, where the disagreement is.
             But our intention would be to make that motion
19
    according to the schedule for the March 30 hearing, which would
20
    be filing the motion or whatever we call it and at the Court's
21
    preference by March 9th. And we thought it important to, to
22
    notify the ACC, in particular, that we were considering that
23
    because obviously, we were in the midst of negotiations about a
24
    sample and, and our goal, as we said at the time, has been to,
25
```

```
to try to hear the Court and try to work on sampling, although
 1
    we don't feel like it's appropriate in this particular
 2
    instance. And the ACC acknowledged that, that we'd let them
 3
    know and said they certainly reserve their right to oppose and
 4
    would oppose, in all likelihood, any, any motion for
 5
    reconsideration.
 6
 7
             Now technically, and, and sort of going back to the
    agenda, there are a number of New Jersey matters, which you'll
 8
    see starting at No. 6, that relate to Verus --
 9
10
             THE COURT: Right.
11
             MR. EVERT: -- that are still pending, motions to
    quash and related. And of course, these are highly similar
12
13
    motions to quash that the Court heard and, and has already
    heard in Items 3 through 5, which are the --
14
15
             THE COURT: Uh-huh (indicating an affirmative
    response).
16
17
             MR. EVERT: -- DCPF motions.
             So we're talking about two different trust -- and I
18
    know the Court knows this, but just to be clear -- we're
19
    talking about two different trust claims facilities' highly
20
    similar motions, one of which the Court has tentatively ruled
21
    on or orally ruled on, I should say, denying the motion to
22
    quash, but granting, with the exception of granting the 10
23
    percent sample.
```

THE COURT: Uh-huh (indicating an affirmative

24

25

response).

MR. EVERT: And the others have not been ruled upon. And so we, we would anticipate setting those remaining Verus motions for hearing at the same time any reconsideration is heard. So let me, let me come back to that in just a second.

When we advised the ACC and the Trusts that we were considering this motion to reconsider, we also said at the time and still believe that we should absolutely continue with great vigor these discussions about sampling to be ready to report to the Court on March 30. Because, for a number of reasons, not the least of which is if we do reconsider and the Court denies it, then we're going to need a sampling methodology and likewise, I think we're all in agreement that claims files, whether it's our claims files that are currently the subject of some discovery from the ACC or whether it's the claimants' claim files that the debtors may discover in the future or some others, are clearly, in our view, right for sampling because of the nature of the, the tens of thousands of documents associated with those particular, with that particular discovery.

So we will and, and do pledge to the Court to continue our discussions to see if we can get to agreement on claims file discovery and, and then, you know, the chips will fall where they may on any motion for reconsideration.

So your Honor, I guess I'll stop there setting forth

```
the, I quess, saving a minute for not rebuttal, but just
 1
    further discussion about procedurally how the Court would like
 2
    to look at this for March 30, assuming we go forward. But I'll
 3
    stop in case others have comments about our statements.
 4
             THE COURT: Ms. Ramsey.
 5
             MS. RAMSEY: Thank you again, your Honor. Natalie
 6
 7
    Ramsey for the record.
             Your Honor, we're not going to prearque anything
 8
    today, but, sort of trying to keep the truce that has broken
 9
10
    out.
11
             But, but I will just say for the record that our
    general interest and goal with respect to a sample is to put
12
    both parties on, I'll call it, a level playing field where
13
    there's a discrete number of files that we're both looking to
14
15
    and considering as part of estimation and clearly, if, if there
    is a motion for reconsideration, those are issues that we're
16
17
    going to have to evaluate how to respond to.
18
             THE COURT: Uh-huh (indicating an affirmative
19
    response).
20
             MS. RAMSEY:
                          Thank you.
             THE COURT: Understood.
21
22
             MR. GUY: Nothing to add, your Honor.
             THE COURT: Mr. Parrish.
23
             MR. PARRISH: Thank you, your Honor. Again, Felton
24
```

Parrish for the DCPF.

25

	38
1	THE COURT: Thank you all.
2	(Proceedings concluded at 1:39 p.m.)
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	CERTIFICATE
8	I, court approved transcriber, certify that the
9	foregoing is a correct transcript from the official electronic
10	sound recording of the proceedings in the above-entitled
11	matter.
12	/s/ Janice Russell February 17, 2023
13	Janice Russell, Transcriber Date
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	