Case 24-11390-TMH Doc 339 Filed 07/29/2/1 Page 1 of 9 Docket #0339 Date Filed: 07/29/2024

## IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

In re:

Chapter 11

FISKER INC., et al.,

Case No. 24-11390 (TMH)

Debtors.<sup>1</sup>

(Jointly Administered)

Re: Docket No. 10

FINAL ORDER (I) PROHIBITING UTILITIES FROM ALTERING, REFUSING, OR DISCONTINUING SERVICE, (II) DEEMING UTILITIES ADEQUATELY ASSURED OF FUTURE PERFORMANCE, AND (III) ESTABLISHING PROCEDURES FOR DETERMINING REQUESTS FOR ADDITIONAL ADEQUATE ASSURANCE

Upon the motion (the "Motion")<sup>2</sup> of Fisker Inc. and certain of its affiliates (collectively, the "Debtors"), each of which is a debtor and debtor in possession in the Chapter 11 Cases, for entry of interim and final orders, pursuant to sections 105 and 366 of the Bankruptcy Code and Bankruptcy Rules 6003 and 6004, (a) prohibiting the Utilities from altering, refusing, or discontinuing any Utility Service on account of prepetition accounts outstanding or on account of any perceived inadequacy of the Debtors' proposed adequate assurance, (b) determining that the Debtors' proposed offer of deposits provides the Utilities with adequate assurance of payment within the meaning of section 366 of the Bankruptcy Code, and (c) approving the Adequate Assurance Procedures, as more fully described in the Motion; and the Court having jurisdiction to consider the Motion and the relief requested therein pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1334 and the *Amended Standing Order of Reference* from the United States District Court for the District of Delaware,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Capitalized terms used but not otherwise defined herein shall have the meanings ascribed to them in the Motion.



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The debtors and debtors in possession in these chapter 11 cases, along with the last four digits of their respective employer identification numbers or Delaware file numbers, are as follows: Fisker Inc. (0340); Fisker Group Inc. (3342); Fisker TN LLC (6212); Blue Current Holding LLC (6668); Platinum IPR LLC (4839); and Terra Energy Inc. (0739). The address of the debtors' corporate headquarters is 14 Centerpointe Drive, La Palma, CA 90623.

dated February 29, 2012; and the Court having found that this is a core proceeding pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 157; and the Court having found that it may enter a final order consistent with Article III of the United States Constitution; and the Court having found that venue of the Chapter 11 Cases and related proceedings being proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409; and due and proper notice of the Motion having been provided to the Notice Parties, such notice having been adequate and appropriate under the circumstances, and it appearing that no other or further notice need be provided; and the Court having reviewed and considered the Motion and the DiDonato Declaration; and the Court having held a hearing, if necessary, to consider the relief requested in the Motion on a final basis (the "Hearing"); and the Court having determined that the legal and factual bases set forth in the Motion and the DiDonato Declaration and at the Hearing, if any, establish just cause for the relief granted herein; and the Court having found that the relief requested in the Motion is in the best interests of the Debtors, their creditors, their estates, and all other parties in interest; and all objections and reservations of rights filed or asserted in respect of the Motion, if any, having been withdrawn, resolved, or overruled; and upon all of the proceedings had before the Court; and after due deliberation and sufficient cause appearing therefor,

## IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

- 1. The Motion is granted on a final basis to the extent set forth in this order (this "Order").
- 2. The Adequate Assurance Deposit, in conjunction with the Debtors' cash position, (a) demonstrate the Debtors' ability to pay for future Utility Services in the ordinary course of business and (b) constitute adequate assurance to the Utilities (the "Proposed Adequate Assurance").

- 3. Absent compliance with the procedures set forth in the Motion and this Order, the Debtors' Utilities are prohibited from altering, refusing, or discontinuing Utility Services on account of prepetition amounts outstanding or on account of any perceived inadequacy of the Proposed Adequate Assurance.
- 4. The Debtors are authorized, but not directed, to pay on a timely basis, in accordance with their prepetition practices, all undisputed invoices for Utility Services rendered by the Utilities to the Debtors after the Petition Date.
- 5. Each Utility shall be entitled to the funds in the Adequate Assurance Deposit attributable to such Utility as indicated in the column labeled "Proposed Adequate Assurance" on the attached Utilities List.
- 6. The Debtors' Utilities are prohibited from requiring additional adequate assurance of payment other than in accordance with the following Adequate Assurance Procedures:
  - (a) The Debtors or their advisors will provide a copy of this Order to each of the Utilities listed on the Utilities List within three business days after entry of this Order by the Court.
  - If a Utility is not satisfied with the Proposed Adequate Assurance, it must (b) serve a written request (a "Request") upon (i) the Debtors, c/o Fisker Inc., 14 Centerpointe Drive, La Palma, CA 90623, Attn: Julia Burge and Jennifer Kaushek and (ii) proposed counsel to the Debtors, (A) Davis Polk & Wardwell LLP, 450 Lexington Avenue, New York, NY, 10017, Attn: Brian M. Resnick, Esq., Darren S. Klein, Esq., Steven Z. Szanzer, Esq., and Richard J. Steinberg, Esq.; and (B) Morris Nichols Arsht & Tunnell LLP, 1201 North Market Street, 16th Floor, Wilmington, DE 19801, Attn: Robert J. Dehney, Sr. Esq., Andrew R. Remming, Esq., Brenna A. Dolphin, Esq., Sophie Rogers Churchill, Esq., and Evanthea Hammer, Esq.; and (iii) proposed counsel to the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors, in the above-captioned chapter 11 cases (the "Committee"), (A) Morrison & Foerster LLP, 250 West 55th Street, New York, New York 10019, Attn: Lorenzo Marinuzzi (lmarinuzzi@mofo.com), Douglas Mannal (dmannal@mofo.com), Benjamin Butterfield (bbutterfield@mofo.com), Miranda K. Russell (mrussell@mofo.com), Darren Smolarski (dsmolarski@mofo.com), and Donghao (Helen) Yan (hyan@mofo.com); and (B) proposed co-counsel to the Committee, Cole Schotz P.C., 500 Delaware Avenue, Suite 1410, Wilmington, Delaware 19801, Attn: Justin R. Alberto (jalberto@coleschotz.com); the Request must set forth (i) the location(s) for which Utility Services are provided, (ii) the account number(s) for such location(s), (iii) the outstanding

balance for each account, and (iv) an explanation of why the Utility believes that the Proposed Adequate Assurance is not sufficient adequate assurance of future payment.

- (c) If the Debtors determine, in consultation with the Committee, that a Request or any consensual agreement reached in connection therewith is reasonable, the Debtors, without further order of the Court, may enter into agreements granting additional adequate assurance to the Utility serving such Request and, in connection with such agreements, provide the Utility with additional adequate assurance of payment, including payments on prepetition amounts owing, cash deposits, prepayments, or other forms of security, with notice within 15 calendar days following entry into such agreement to the U.S. Trustee and counsel to the Committee.
- (d) If the Debtors, in their discretion, in consultation with the Committee, determine that a Request is unreasonable, then they shall, within 30 calendar days after receipt of such Request, or such longer period as may be agreed to between the Debtors and the Utility, file a motion (a "**Determination Motion**") pursuant to section 366(c)(3) of the Bankruptcy Code seeking a determination from the Court that the Proposed Adequate Assurance, plus any additional consideration offered by the Debtors, constitutes adequate assurance of payment. Pending notice and a hearing on the Determination Motion, the Utility that is the subject of the unresolved Request may not alter, refuse, or discontinue services to the Debtors.
- If an undisputed amount relating to Utility Services provided post-petition by a Utility is unpaid, and remains unpaid beyond any applicable grace period, such Utility may request a disbursement from the Utility Deposit Account in such undisputed unpaid amount by giving notice to (i) the Debtors, c/o Fisker Inc., 14 Centerpointe Drive, La Palma, CA 90623, Attn: Julia Burge and Jennifer Kaushek; (ii) proposed counsel to the Debtors, (A) Davis Polk & Wardwell LLP, 450 Lexington Avenue, New York, NY, 10017, Attn: Brian M. Resnick, Esq., Darren S. Klein, Esq., Steven Z. Szanzer, Esq., and Richard J. Steinberg, Esq.; and (B) Morris Nichols Arsht & Tunnell LLP, 1201 North Market Street, 16<sup>th</sup> Floor, Wilmington, DE 19801, Attn: Attn: Robert J. Dehney, Sr. Esq., Andrew R. Remming, Esq., Brenna A. Dolphin, Esq., Sophie Rogers Churchill, Esq., and Evanthea Hammer, Esq.; (iii) the U.S. Trustee, 844 N. King Street, Wilmington, DE 19801, Attn: Linda Richenderfer; and (iv) proposed counsel to the Committee, (A) Morrison & Foerster LLP, 250 West 55th Street, New York, New York 10019, Attn: Lorenzo Marinuzzi (lmarinuzzi@mofo.com), Douglas Mannal (dmannal@mofo.com), Benjamin Butterfield (bbutterfield@mofo.com), Miranda K. Russell (mrussell@mofo.com), Darren Smolarski (dsmolarski@mofo.com), and Donghao (Helen) Yan (hyan@mofo.com); (B) proposed co-counsel to the Committee, Cole Schotz P.C., 500 Delaware Avenue, Suite 1410, Wilmington, Delaware 19801, Attn: Justin R. Alberto (jalberto@coleschotz.com) (collectively, the "Utility Notice Parties"). The Debtors shall honor such request within 15 business days after the date the request is received by the Debtors, subject to the ability of the Debtors and any such requesting Utility to resolve any dispute regarding such request without further order of the Court. To the extent a Utility receives a disbursement from the Utility Deposit Account in accordance with this provision, the Debtors shall subsequently replenish the Utility Deposit Account in the amount so disbursed.

- (f) Absent compliance with the Adequate Assurance Procedures set forth in the foregoing provisions (a)-(e), the Debtors' Utilities are prohibited from altering, refusing, or discontinuing service on account of any unpaid prepetition charges and are deemed to have received adequate assurance of payment in compliance with section 366 of the Bankruptcy Code.
- 7. The Debtors are authorized to amend the Utilities List, in consultation with the Committee, to add any subsequently identified Utility. This Order and the Adequate Assurance Procedures shall apply to any such Utility regardless of when such Utility may be added to the Utilities List by Supplemental Notice. The Debtors shall serve a copy of the Motion and this Order on any Utility that is subsequently added to the Utilities List. Such subsequently added Utility that objects to this Order shall have 20 calendar days from the date of service of this Order to file an objection in accordance with the Bankruptcy Rules, the Local Rules, and the Adequate Assurance Procedures.
- 8. The Debtors shall increase the amount of the Adequate Assurance Deposit in the event an additional Utility is added to the Utilities List by an amount equal to two weeks of Utility Service provided by such additional Utility, calculated using the historical average for such payments over the five months prior to the Petition Date.
- 9. Each Utility not objecting the Adequate Assurance Deposit shall be deemed to have stipulated that the Adequate Assurance Deposit constitutes adequate assurance of payment to such Utility within the meaning of section 366 of the Bankruptcy Code, absent further order from the Court, and shall be prohibited from challenging or opting out of the Adequate Assurance Procedures, filing a Request, or requesting any additional adequate assurance of payment of any kind at any time, notwithstanding any attempt by such Utility to reserve a right to seek any such relief.
- 10. The Utilities have been provided with adequate assurance of payment within the meaning of section 366 of the Bankruptcy Code by virtue of the Proposed Adequate Assurance,

and the Adequate Assurance Procedures as proposed are hereby approved. As a result, upon entry of this Order, the Utilities are prohibited from altering, refusing, or discontinuing Utility Services on account of prepetition amounts outstanding or on account of any perceived inadequacy of the Adequate Assurance Procedures, and the Debtors are not required to provide any additional adequate assurance beyond what is stated in this Order, unless and until (a) the Debtors, in their discretion, in consultation with the Committee, agree to an alternative assurance of payment with the Utility or (b) the Court enters an order requiring that additional adequate assurance of payment be provided.

- 11. The portion of the Adequate Assurance Deposit attributable to each Utility shall be returned to the Debtors, without further Court order, on the earlier of (a) the reconciliation and payment by the Debtors of the final invoice of such Utility in accordance with applicable non-bankruptcy law following the termination of Utility Services from such Utility, (b) the sale of all or substantially all of the Debtors' assets, (c) the effective date of a chapter 11 plan, or (d) the date upon which the Chapter 11 Cases are dismissed or converted to a case under chapter 7 of the Bankruptcy Code; *provided, however*, that there are no outstanding disputes related to post-petition payments due between the Debtors and the applicable Utility.
- 12. The Debtors may amend the Utilities List to delete a Utility only if it has provided two-weeks' advance notice to such Utility and has not received any objection from such Utility. If an objection is received and the Debtors and the Utility are unable to resolve such objection, the Debtors shall request a hearing before the Court at the next omnibus hearing date or such other date that the Debtors and the Utility may agree upon.

- 13. Nothing herein constitutes a finding that any entity is or is not a Utility hereunder or a utility under section 366 of the Bankruptcy Code, whether or not such entity is included in the Utilities List.
- 14. The Debtors shall (a) serve a copy of this Order upon each of the Utilities identified on the Utilities List within two business days after entry of this Order, and (b) post this Order on the Debtors' case information website located at https://www.veritaglobal.net/fisker.
- 15. The Debtors shall (a) file a copy of any Supplemental Notice, (b) serve such notice upon each of the Utilities identified therein within two business days after entry of this Order, and (c) post any Supplemental Notice on the Debtors' case information website located at https://www.veritaglobal.net/fisker.
- Assurance Procedures shall be prohibited from altering, refusing, or discontinuing Utility Services, including as a result of the Debtors' failure to pay charges for prepetition Utility Services or to provide adequate assurance of payment in addition to the Proposed Adequate Assurance.
- 17. Nothing in this Order or any action taken by the Debtors in furtherance of the implementation hereof shall be deemed to constitute an assumption or rejection of any executory contract or unexpired lease pursuant to section 365 of the Bankruptcy Code, and all of the Debtors' rights with respect to such matters are expressly reserved.
- 18. Notwithstanding the relief granted herein and any actions taken hereunder, nothing contained herein shall (a) create, nor is it intended to create, any rights in favor of, or enhance the status of any claim held by, any person or entity or (b) be deemed to convert the priority of any claim from a prepetition claim into an administrative expense claim. The Committee also

expressly reserves all rights with respect to the allocation of administrative expenses among Debtor entities.

19. Nothing in this Order nor the Debtors' payment of claims pursuant to this Order shall be construed as or deemed to constitute (a) an agreement or admission by the Debtors as to the amount, priority, character, or validity of any claim against the Debtors on any grounds, (b) a grant of third-party beneficiary status or bestowal of any additional rights on any third party, (c) a waiver or impairment of any rights, claims, or defenses of the Debtors' or any other party in interest's rights (including by the Committee) to dispute the amount, priority, character, or validity of any claim on any grounds, whether under bankruptcy or non-bankruptcy law, (d) a promise by the Debtors to pay any claim, (e) an implication or admission by the Debtors that such claim is payable pursuant to this Order, (f) an implication, admission or finding that any particular claim is an administrative expense claim, other priority claim or otherwise of a type specified or defined in the Motion or this Order except as otherwise provided for in this Order; (g) a request or authorization to assume, adopt, or reject any agreement, contract, or lease pursuant to section 365 of the Bankruptcy Code; (h) an admission as to the validity, priority, enforceability or perfection of any lien on, security interest in, or other encumbrance on property of the Debtors' estates; or (i) a waiver or limitation of any claims, causes of action or other rights of the Debtors or any other party in interest (including the Committee) against any person or entity under the Bankruptcy Code or any other applicable law. The Committee expressly reserves all rights with respect to disputing or challenging the amount, priority, character, or validity of any claim against the Debtors on any grounds.

Case 24-11390-TMH Doc 3390 Filed 07/29/24 Page 9 of 9

20. Any Bankruptcy Rule or Local Rule that might otherwise delay the effectiveness

of this Order is hereby waived, and the terms and conditions of this Order shall be effective and

enforceable immediately upon its entry.

21. The Debtors are authorized to take any action necessary or appropriate to

implement and effectuate the terms of, and the relief granted in, this Order without seeking further

order of the Court.

22. The Court shall retain jurisdiction over any matter arising from or related to the

implementation, interpretation, and enforcement of this Order.

Dated: July 29th, 2024 Wilmington, Delaware

THOMAS M. HORAN UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE

-9-